

# Dynamical Confinement and Magnetic Traps for Charges and Spins

Afshin Besharat<sup>1,\*</sup> and Alexander A. Penin<sup>1,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2J1, Canada*

We use the effective field theory approach to systematically study the dynamics of classical and quantum systems in an oscillating magnetic field. We find that the fast field oscillations give rise to an effective interaction which is able to confine charged particles as well as neutral particles with a spin magnetic moment. The effect is reminiscent of the renown dynamical stabilization of charges by the oscillating electric field and provides a foundation for a new class of magnetic traps. The properties characteristic to the dynamical magnetic confinement are reviewed.

Electromagnetic traps designed to operate individual particles and atoms play a key role in the solution of the physical problems ranging from the measurement of the neutron lifetime [1] and the fine structure constant [2] to synthesis of antimatter [3] and quantum computing [4]. Depending on the problem they can rely on quite diverse physical principles [5–7]. For example, the dynamical stabilization [6] is used in the design of the Paul traps [8], where the rapidly oscillating electric field creates confining potential for charged particles. On the other hand, the magnetic traps [9, 10] capture the neutral particles through the magnetic moment interaction to the static spatially inhomogeneous magnetic field. Dynamical confinement of magnetic moments has also been discussed in the past [11, 12] and verified experimentally [13].

In this Letter we use the effective field theory framework [14] to describe the dynamical stabilization in classical and quantum systems caused by the fast oscillations of the magnetic field. The analysis suggests a physical principle for a new class of particle traps. The mechanism of the confinement and the properties of the bound states in this case are quite different from the existing techniques [15–20], and may be relevant for a number of applications including the study of anti-hydrogen [21], cold atoms [22], *etc.* We present the examples of the confining potential for charges and spin magnetic moments which can be realized experimentally with the existing technology and setup. While the dynamical magnetic confinement of electric charges has not been discussed so far, we revise the previous analysis [11, 12] of the spin magnetic moments.

We start with the general description of classical and quantum dynamics in the rapidly oscillating magnetic field. The theory of the periodically driven systems in the high-frequency limit is based on the concept of averaging, when the effect of the oscillating field is smeared out and the long-time evolution is governed by the resulting effective interaction. The method is well known in classical mechanics [23]. It has been extended to quantum systems [24–27] and refined and generalized in many subsequent works [28–35]. Here we adopt the effective field theory approach developed in [14] to systematically derive the effective action to any order of the *high-frequency expansion* in the ratio of the oscillation period to a char-

acteristic time scale of the averaged system. Let us discuss first the classical system of a particle of mass  $m$  and electric charge  $e$  subject to the Lorentz force

$$\mathbf{F}(t, \mathbf{r}) = e(\mathbf{E}(t, \mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}(t, \mathbf{r})) \quad (1)$$

due to the oscillating magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}(t, \mathbf{r}) = \cos(\omega t)\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})$  and electric field  $\mathbf{E}(t, \mathbf{r}) = \sin(\omega t)\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})$ , where the bold fonts indicate three-dimensional vectors. For the magnetic field generated by an external source, in the region of vanishing charge and current density the Maxwell equations impose the relations  $\boldsymbol{\partial} \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) = \omega\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\partial} \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{O}(1/c^2)$ , where  $c$  is the speed of light. We consider the case when the electromagnetic radiation, *i.e.* the curl of the magnetic field, can be neglected. Following [14] we split the particle coordinates into the slow and fast modes

$$\mathbf{r} \rightarrow \mathbf{r} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\mathbf{c}_n(\mathbf{r}) \cos(n\omega t) + \mathbf{s}_n(\mathbf{r}) \sin(n\omega t)], \quad (2)$$

where the vector  $\mathbf{r}$  now describes the slow smeared motion, and split the total time derivative into the slow and fast components  $d/dt = \mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\partial}_r + \partial_t$ . Substituting this decomposition into the equation of motion and re-expanding in the Fourier harmonics one can find the coefficients  $\mathbf{c}_n(\mathbf{r})$  and  $\mathbf{s}_n(\mathbf{r})$  order by order in  $1/\omega^2$ . The zero harmonic then defines the equation of motion for the slow “time-averaged” evolution. The corresponding effective Lagrangian through the next-to-leading order of the high-frequency expansion reads [14]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{m}{2} v_i v_j g_{ij}(\mathbf{r}) - V_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r}) + \mathcal{O}(1/\omega^6), \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathbf{v} = d\mathbf{r}/dt$ , and the summation over the repeating indices is implied. Here  $g_{ij}$  is the induced three-dimensional metric and  $V_{\text{eff}}$  is the effective potential. Keeping the leading terms quadratic in the electric and magnetic fields and eliminating the latter by the relation  $\mathbf{B} = \boldsymbol{\partial} \times \mathbf{E}/\omega$  we get

$$g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \frac{e^2}{2m^2\omega^4} (\partial E_i \partial E_j + \partial_i \mathbf{E} \partial E_j + \partial_j \mathbf{E} \partial E_i) + \mathcal{O}(1/\omega^6) \quad (4)$$

and

$$V_{\text{eff}} = \frac{e^2}{4m\omega^2} \mathbf{E}^2 + \mathcal{O}(1/\omega^6), \quad (5)$$

where  $\mathbf{B}$  and  $\mathbf{E}$  stand for the field amplitudes  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})$  and  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})$ , respectively. The leading order effective potential has the same form as in the case of the oscillating electric field when the magnetic field can be neglected. However, its properties are quite different since the magnetically induced electric field is not potential. Moreover, for a fixed value of  $\mathbf{B}$  the magnitude of the induced electric field grows linearly with  $\omega$  and the effective potential remains finite at  $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ . At the same time the induced metric Eq. (4) reduces to the result for a potential field [14] only for  $\mathbf{B} = 0$ .

Let us now consider the corresponding quantum system with the time-dependent Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}(t) = \frac{1}{2m} (\hat{\mathbf{p}} - e\mathbf{A}(t, \mathbf{r}))^2 + eV(t, \mathbf{r}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\mathbf{B}(t, \mathbf{r}), \quad (6)$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{p}} = -i\hbar\partial$ ,  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \frac{g\mu}{\hbar}\hat{\mathbf{S}}$  is the magnetic moment,  $g$  is the gyromagnetic ratio,  $\mu$  is the spin magnetic moment unit (magneton), and  $\hat{\mathbf{S}}$  is the operator of the spin. The vector and scalar potentials are given by  $\mathbf{A}(t, \mathbf{r}) = \cos(\omega t)\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r})$ ,  $V(t, \mathbf{r}) = \sin(\omega t)V(\mathbf{r})$  so that the electric field amplitude is  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) = \omega\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) - \partial V(\mathbf{r})$ . The effective time-independent Hamiltonian describing the low-energy excitations of the system can be found by the expansion of the Schrödinger equation Green's function  $\mathcal{G} = (i\hbar\partial_t - \mathcal{H}(t) + i\varepsilon)^{-1}$  in the inverse powers of  $\omega^2$  in Fourier space, similar to the nonrelativistic expansion of the massive Dirac propagator in an external field. For the spin-independent potential interaction the resulting Feynman rules of the *high-frequency effective theory* (HFET) have been derived through higher orders of the expansion [14]. The generalization of the analysis to the magnetic and spin interaction is rather straightforward. Let us outline the calculation of the spin-dependent part. The Fourier transform  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{E}; \mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_f)$  of the Green's function depends on the energy, initial and final momenta satisfying the high-frequency condition  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_{i,f}^2/m \ll \hbar\omega$ . Expanding it in powers of the magnetic moment we get

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0 + \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0 \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\tilde{\mathbf{B}} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0 + \dots, \quad (7)$$

where  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0(\mathcal{E}, \mathbf{p}) = (\mathcal{E} - \mathbf{p}^2/2 + i\varepsilon)^{-1}$  is the free particle propagator,  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$  is the Fourier transform of  $\mathbf{B}(t, \mathbf{r})$ , and the term linear in the external field vanishes by energy conservation due to the condition  $E \ll \hbar\omega$ . In the second term of Eq. (7) the intermediate state propagator carrying a momentum  $\mathbf{p}$  and the energy  $\mathcal{E} + \hbar\omega$  is far off-shell and can be expanded as follows

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0(\mathcal{E} + \hbar\omega, \mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{\hbar\omega} - \frac{\mathcal{E} - \mathbf{p}^2/(2m)}{(\hbar\omega)^2} + \dots, \quad (8)$$

giving rise to a local effective interaction, quadratic in the external field. The contribution of the first term in

Eq. (8) as well as all odd negative powers of  $\omega$  vanish due to the time-reversal symmetry, while the second term results in a spin-dependent *seagull* HFET vertex. Its matrix element between the free on-shell states reads

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2(\hbar\omega)^2} \langle \mathcal{E}, \mathbf{p}_f | (\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\mathbf{B})(\hat{\mathbf{p}}^2/(2m) - \mathcal{E})(\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\mathbf{B}) | \mathcal{E}, \mathbf{p}_i \rangle \\ & = \frac{1}{4m\omega^2} \langle \mathcal{E}, \mathbf{p}_f | (\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\partial_i\mathbf{B})^2 | \mathcal{E}, \mathbf{p}_i \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where we used the on-shell condition  $\mathbf{p}_{i,f}^2 = 2m\mathcal{E}$ . This vertex corresponds to the  $\frac{1}{4m\omega^2} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\partial_i\mathbf{B})^2$  spin-dependent term in the effective Hamiltonian. The contribution of the vector and scalar potential coupled to the particle charge in Eq. (6) can be obtained in the same way, with the full HFET Hamiltonian given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2m} \hat{p}_i g_{ij}^{-1}(\mathbf{r}) \hat{p}_j + V_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r}) + \mathcal{O}(1/\omega^6), \quad (10)$$

where  $g_{ij}^{-1}$  is the inverse of the metric tensor Eq. (4), the gauge-invariant effective potential includes the quantum corrections

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{eff}} & = \frac{1}{4m\omega^2} \left[ e^2 \mathbf{E}^2 + (\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\partial_i\mathbf{B})^2 \right] \\ & - \frac{\hbar^2 e^2}{8m^3 \omega^4} (\partial\partial_j E_i)(\partial\partial_i E_j) + \mathcal{O}(1/\omega^6), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

and the gauge-invariant interaction to a time-independent or slowly varying electromagnetic potential can be included in the standard way. In the limit  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ , Eq. (10) reproduces the classical result Eq. (3). For the degenerate  $S = 1/2$  states the second term of Eq. (11) becomes  $\frac{1}{4m\omega^2} \left(\frac{g\mu}{2}\right)^2 (\partial_i\mathbf{B})^2$ . If the magnetic moment has a definite projection on a given axis, the vector  $\mathbf{B}$  in this expression should be replaced by its projection on the magnetic moment direction. This occurs, *e.g.* when a static homogeneous magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}_0$  is applied to the system, with the Larmor frequency exceeding the driving frequency  $\omega$ . This case has been discussed in [11, 12], where the authors adopted  $-\mu|\mathbf{B}_0 + \mathbf{B}(t, \mathbf{r})|$  as the time-dependent interaction in Eq. (6). This approximation, however, is not consistent since the time averaging applies to the individual components of the oscillating magnetic field rather than to its absolute value. As a result, the effective potential derived in [11, 12] agrees with Eq. (11) only in the limit  $|\mathbf{B}(t, \mathbf{r})|/|\mathbf{B}_0| \rightarrow 0$ . In the existing experimental setup [13] this condition is satisfied only near the minimum of the potential, and the result [11, 12] cannot be used *e.g.* to evaluate the actual shape and depth of the confining potential.

There exist various shapes of the spatial distribution of the oscillating field amplitude, which make the effective potential Eq. (11) confining for charges and spins. The simplest realization of the charge confinement in two dimensions is given by a locally homogeneous amplitude  $\mathbf{B} = (0, 0, B)$ , where we assume an axially symmetric

region of a finite cross section with the flux of the uniformly oscillating magnetic field rapidly vanishing at the boundaries. Then the induced electric field vanishes on the  $z$ -axis, and away from the boundaries is given by  $\mathbf{E} = \frac{\omega B}{2}(-y, x, 0)$ . In the high-frequency limit the leading effect of the oscillating field reduces to the first term in Eq. (11), which gives

$$V_{\text{eff}} = \frac{m\omega_B^2}{16}(x^2 + y^2), \quad (12)$$

where  $\omega_B = eB/m$  is the cyclotron frequency associated with the field oscillation amplitude. Note that the resulting two-dimensional harmonic oscillator has the frequency  $\omega_B/2^{3/2}$  rather than  $\omega_B$  appearing in the case of the static field. The effective potential Eq. (12) does not depend explicitly on the driving frequency  $\omega$  but the convergence of the high-frequency expansion formally requires  $\omega \gg \omega_B$ , which may set a practical limit on the magnitude of the oscillating field and, therefore, on the binding strength. At the same time the calculation of the HFET action through  $\mathcal{O}(1/\omega^6)$  [14] indicates that the high-frequency expansion is not plagued by the large numerical coefficients. For the above system its convergence can be estimated from Eq. (4), which reduces to the mass renormalization factor  $1 + \omega_B^2/(8\omega^2)$  for the motion in the transverse plane. Thus, the actual expansion parameter is  $\omega_B^2/(8\omega^2)$ , and the condition  $\omega \gtrsim \omega_B$  may be sufficient for the convergence and the stability of the confining potential as in the case of one-dimensional Mathieu equation.<sup>1</sup>

Though in the derivation of Eq. (12) we assume a locally homogeneous axially symmetric magnetic field, the existence of a minimum of the effective potential is topologically protected against the spatial perturbations. Indeed, the circulation of the induced electric field implies the existence of at least one ‘‘vortex line’’ where the effective potential vanishes.

In three dimensions the confinement can be realized by a planar rotating field  $\mathbf{B}(t, \mathbf{r}) = B(\cos(\omega t), -\sin(\omega t), 0)$  with the corresponding induced electric field

$$\mathbf{E}(t, \mathbf{r}) = \frac{\omega B}{2}(z \cos(\omega t), -z \sin(\omega t), y \sin(\omega t) - x \cos(\omega t)). \quad (13)$$

In principle the phase shift between the field components requires a generalization of the analysis given above. However, to the leading order in  $1/\omega^2$ , the generalization is straightforward since the oscillation modes do not interfere (their product averages to zero) and the effective potential reads

$$V_{\text{eff}} = \frac{m\omega_B^2}{16}(x^2 + y^2 + 2z^2). \quad (14)$$

We can estimate the binding energy of the trap by evaluating the potential Eq. (14) at the scale of its geometrical size  $L$ , which gives  $E_{\text{bind}} \approx (eBL)^2/(16m)$ . The depth of the corresponding potential well is proportional to  $\omega_B\Phi$ , where  $\Phi$  is the amplitude of the total magnetic flux. For  $L = 1$  cm, the depth of 1 V is achieved with  $B \approx 400$  G,  $\omega \approx 600$  kHz for a proton, and with  $B \approx 10$  G,  $\omega \approx 28$  MHz for an electron. In general, for two particles with the same absolute value of electric charge but essentially different masses  $m_1 \gg m_2$ , the same binding requires different magnetic fields  $B_1/B_2 = (m_1/m_2)^{1/2}$  and driving frequencies. Let us now consider a superposition of such modes in combination with a large static axial homogeneous magnetic field  $B_0 \gg B_{1,2}$ . This implies the hierarchy of the time scales  $\omega_B(B_0, m_2) \gg \omega_2 \gtrsim \omega_B(B_2, m_2) \gg \omega_1 \gtrsim \omega_B(B_1, m_1)$ . Then for the heavy particle the effect of the fast precession of the resulting field with the amplitude  $B_2$  can be neglected. At the same time for the light particle dynamics at the scale  $\omega_2$ , the slow precession with the amplitude  $B_1$  can be treated as a small adiabatic variation of the background magnetic field. Thus, we can perform the time averaging and get the confining potential for the two modes independently. This provides an analog of the combined Paul-Penning trap [17] without static or alternating electric potentials, which can be used to simultaneously trap different particle species.

Remarkably, the rotating magnetic field has already been engineered in the TOP traps for the magnetic moment of cold atoms [18]. With the given values of the parameters it would be able to actually trap ions, though due to a relatively weak magnetic field the corresponding potential well is rather shallow, *e.g.* for a proton it is only about  $10^{-3}$  V.

The confining mechanism for the neutral particles is quite different and is determined by the second term in Eq. (11). Hence, it requires a spatially inhomogeneous magnetic field amplitude. As an example, let us consider an axially symmetric magnetic field of the commonly used Ioffe-Pritchard traps [10]

$$\mathbf{B} = B \left( \frac{xz}{\Delta^2}, \frac{yz}{\Delta^2}, 1 + \frac{x^2 + y^2 - 2z^2}{2\Delta^2} \right), \quad (15)$$

where  $B$  is the value of the homogeneous component of the field and an adjustable parameter  $\Delta$  defines the scale of the field variation determined by the trap geometry. The corresponding effective potential is

$$V_{\text{eff}} = \frac{m\tilde{\omega}^2}{2}(x^2 + y^2 + 3z^2), \quad (16)$$

where

$$\tilde{\omega} = \frac{g\mu B}{2m\Delta^2\omega}. \quad (17)$$

Thus, the magnetic moment is harmonically trapped at the origin. Eq. (16) does not depend on the homogeneous component of the field and is a function of the

<sup>1</sup> For the same set of parameters the transition curve of Mathieu equation gives the bound  $\omega \geq 1.10 \dots \omega_B$ .

field curvature  $B/\Delta^2$  only. If a static homogeneous magnetic field is applied to the system, with the Larmor frequency exceeding  $\omega$ , the effective potential has to be adjusted since only the projection of Eq. (15) on the static field direction contributes. For example, with the static field applied in the axial direction, the expression in the brackets in Eq. (16) should be replaced by  $x^2/2 + y^2/2 + 2z^2$ . In this case the equations of motion following from the Hamiltonian Eq. (6) reduce to the linear Mathieu equations and the stability of the particle equilibrium at the minimum of the effective potential can be analysed beyond the high-frequency expansion. This gives a low bound on the driving frequency  $\omega_{\min} = \left(\frac{g\mu_B}{\kappa m \Delta^2}\right)^{1/2}$ , where  $\kappa = 0.454\dots$  is the root of the Mathieu equation transition curve [36]. Numerically, for  $B/\Delta^2 = 10^3 \text{ G/cm}^2$  we get  $\omega_{\min}/(2\pi) \approx 790 \text{ Hz}$  for a hydrogen atom and about 160 Hz for sodium, which may be well within the experimental reach [13]. The corresponding binding energy can be estimated by evaluating the effective potential Eq. (16) at  $\mathbf{r} = (\Delta, 0, 0)$  and  $\omega = \omega_{\min}$  with the result  $E_{\text{bind}} \approx 0.1\mu_B$ . Thus, the binding energy which can be achieved by dynamical stabilization is parameterically the same as for the static magnetic traps with similar integral spatial variation of the magnetic field, though the stability constraint results in a numerical suppression factor which weakly depends on the trap geometry. The main advantage of the dynamical confinement, however, is that it does not depend on the particle spin orientation and traps the spins at the absolute energy minimum preventing the loss of the particles due to the spin flip [10–13].

To summarize, we have generalized the theory of dynamical stabilization to classical and quantum systems embedded into the rapidly oscillating magnetic field. The resulting time-averaged effective potential can confine the electric charges and magnetic moments, suggesting a new class of the magnetic traps. Such a trap does not involve static or alternating electric potential. For electrically charged particles it possesses all the advantages of the combined Paul-Penning setup [17], *i.e.* is able to confine the particles of opposite charge and different masses simultaneously in the same region of space. There are, however, a few distinct features which can make it an alternative to the existing types of the traps in a number of physical applications. The confining harmonic potential is generated by an oscillating (for two dimensions) or rotating (for three dimensions) locally homogeneous magnetic field, similar to the existing TOP design [18]. It is topologically protected with respect to the spatial field perturbations and can easily be scaled up in size. Its stability is entirely controlled by the driving frequency  $\omega$ , which has to exceed the cyclotron frequency  $\omega_B$  associated with the amplitude of the oscillating field. The depth of the trap is determined by the product of  $\omega_B$  and the magnetic flux amplitude. In the stability region

it does not depend on  $\omega$  and can in principle be scaled up arbitrarily with the total magnetic flux. This is quite distinct from the dynamical stabilization by the oscillating potential electric field, where the depth of the potential well in the stability region is inverse proportional to the squares of the driving frequency and the trap size [8].

We have also revised the existing analysis of the dynamical confinement of neutral particles with an intrinsic (spin) magnetic moment and derived the first correct and general expression for the confining effective potential. It can be realized, in particular, with the spatial distribution of the magnetic field amplitude provided by the geometry of the commonly used Ioffe-Pritchard static field traps. The upper bound on the corresponding binding energy is established.

**Acknowledgments.** The work of A.B. is supported by NSERC. The work of A.P. was supported in part by NSERC and the Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics. A.P. is thankful to the Munich Institute for Astro-, Particle and BioPhysics (MIAPbP), funded by the DFG under Germany’s Excellence Strategy – EXC-2094-390783311, where part of this work was completed.

---

\* abeshara@ualberta.ca

† penin@ualberta.ca

- [1] P. R. Huffman, C. R. Brome, J. S. Butterworth, K. J. Coakley, M. S. Dewey, S. N. Dzhosyuk, R. Golub, G. L. Greene, K. Habicht and S. K. Lamoreaux, *et al.* Nature **403**, 62 (2000).
- [2] D. Hanneke, S. Fogwell and G. Gabrielse, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 120801 (2008).
- [3] G. B. Andresen *et al.* Nature **468**, 673 (2010).
- [4] C. Monroe, W. C. Campbell, L.-M. Duan, Z.-X. Gong, A. V. Gorshkov, P. W. Hess, R. Islam, K. Kim, N. M. Linke, G. Pagano, P. Richerme, C. Senko, and N. Y. Yao Rev. Mod. Phys. **93**, 025001 (2021).
- [5] F. M. Penning, Physica (Utrecht) **3**, 873 (1936).
- [6] P. L. Kapitza, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **21**, 588 (1951).
- [7] V. Vladimírski, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **39**, 1062 (1960) [Sov. Phys.—JETP **12**, 740 (1961)].
- [8] W. Paul, Rev. Mod. Phys. **62**, 531 (1990).
- [9] K. J. Kugler, W. Paul and U. Trinks, Phys. Lett. B **72**, 422 (1978).
- [10] D. E. Pritchard, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 1336 (1983).
- [11] R. V. E. Lovelace, C. Mehanian, T. J. Tommila, and D. M. Lee, Nature **318**, 30 (1985).
- [12] R. V. E. Lovelace and T. J. Tommila Phys. Rev. A **35**, 3597 (1987).
- [13] E. A. Cornell, C. Monroe, and C. E. Wieman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 2439 (1991).
- [14] A. A. Penin and A. Su, Phys. Rev. Lett. **132**, 051601 (2024).
- [15] L. S. Brown and G. Gabrielse, Rev. Mod. Phys. **58**, 233 (1986).
- [16] T. Bergeman G. Erez, H. J. Metcalf, Phys. Rev. A **35**, 1535 (1987).

- [17] J. Walz, S. B. Ross, C. Zimmermann, L. Ricci, M. Prevedelli, and T. W. Hänsch, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 3257 (1995).
- [18] W. Petrich, M. H. Anderson, J. R. Ensher, and E. A. Cornell, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 3352 (1995)
- [19] K. B. Davis, M. -O. Mewes, M. R. Andrews, N. J. van Druten, D. S. Durfee, D. M. Kurn, and W. Ketterle *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**,3969 (1995).
- [20] J. Fortágh and C. Zimmermann, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **79**, 235 (2007).
- [21] J. Eades and F. J. Hartmann, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **71**, 373 (1999).
- [22] A. J. Leggett, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **73**, 307 (2001).
- [23] N. N. Bogoliubov and Y. A. Mitropolski, *Asymptotic Methods in the Theory of Non-Linear Oscillations*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1961.
- [24] R. J. Cook, D. G. Shankland, and A. L. Wells *Phys. Rev. A* **31**, 564 (1985).
- [25] T. P. Grozdanov and M. J. Raković, *Phys. Rev. A* **38**, 1739 (1988)
- [26] S. Rahav, I. Gilary, and S. Fishman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 110404 (2003).
- [27] S. Rahav, I. Gilary, and S. Fishman, *Phys. Rev. A* **68**, 013820 (2003).
- [28] A. Verdeny, A. Mielke, and F. Mintert, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 175301 (2013).
- [29] N. Goldman and J. Dalibard, *Phys. Rev. X* **4**, 031027 (2014).
- [30] A. P. Itin, and M. I. Katsnelson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 075301 (2015).
- [31] A. Eckardt and E. Anisimovas, *New J. Phys.* **17**, 093039 (2015).
- [32] T. Mikami, S. Kitamura, K. Yasuda, N. Tsuji, T. Oka, and H. Aoki, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 144307 (2016).
- [33] M. Bukov, M. Kolodrubetz, and A. Polkovnikov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 125301 (2016).
- [34] P. Weinberg, M. Bukov, L. D'Alessio, A. Polkovnikov, S. Vajna, and M. Kolodrubetz, *Physics Reports* **688**, 1 (2017).
- [35] S. Restrepo, J. Cerrillo, V. M. Bastidas, D. G. Angelakis, and T. Brandes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 250401 (2017).
- [36] I. Kovacic, R. Rand, and S. M. Sah, *Appl. Mech. Rev.* **70**, 020802 (2018).