

Critical theories connecting gapped phases with $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry from the duality web

Andreas Karch, Ryan C. Spieler^a

^a*University of Texas, Austin, Physics Department, Austin, TX, 78712, USA*

E-mail: karcha@utexas.edu, rcspieler@utexas.edu

ABSTRACT: We use the ideas behind the duality web to construct numerous conformal field theories mediating the phase transitions between various symmetry broken and topological phases. In particular we obtain the full field theory version of the Kennedy Tasaki transformation, mapping a gapless theory mediating a topological phase transition of symmetry protected topological orders to a standard symmetry breaking one in a 1+1 dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge theory. When we consider all possible discrete gauging operations, we obtain bosonic and fermionic webs with 9 critical theories per web, each connecting 4 separate gapped phases, some of them topological. Bosonization maps the two webs into each other. In addition to discussing the multi-critical theory connecting the four gapped phases in each phase diagram, we discuss the partially gapped theories connecting two of those four. Some of these are gapless symmetry protected topological phases.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The Web of Bosonic CFTs with $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry	3
2.1	Kennedy-Tasaki in the Continuum	3
2.2	Kennedy-Tasaki for Gapless Theories	4
2.3	The Full Web of Bosonic Phase Diagrams	6
2.4	Partially Gapped Theories from One Relevant Deformation	10
3	Fermionic Theories	10
3.1	Gapped Phases	11
3.2	Transformations Generating the Web	12
3.3	Transitions and Phase Diagrams	13
3.4	Partially Gapped Theories from Deforming One Relevant Parameter	16
3.5	Relationship to the Bosonic Web	17
A	Bosonic Actions	19
A.1	Actions for Multi-Critical CFTs and Verification of the Phase Diagram	19
A.2	Actions for Partially Gapped Theories	21
B	Fermionic Actions	25
B.1	Actions for Multi-Critical CFTs and Verifying the Phase Diagram	25
B.2	Actions for Partially Gapped Theories	27

1 Introduction

The idea of the duality web [1, 2] was first introduced in 2+1 dimensional Chern-Simons matter theories with $U(1)$ gauge symmetries, using formal path integral identities as had previously been employed for supersymmetric dualities [3]. A simple seed duality, when read as an exact equivalence of the partition function of two quantum field theories as a function of background fields, can be used to generate a large web of dualities by repeated promotion of backgrounds to dynamical fields. In particular, using 3d bosonization [4–9] as a seed, one can derive a large class of 2+1 dimensional dualities, including the standard particle vortex duality [10, 11] and its fermionic cousin [12].

A similar web of dualities can be found in 1+1 dimensions [13] as hinted at in [14]. Here the standard Jordan-Wigner (JW) bosonization/fermionization transformation [15] serves as

the seed from which many other famous dualities can be derived, including the Kramers-Wannier [16] duality of the Ising model as well as T-duality of string theory. While many of these dualities had first been formulated in lattice systems, one can view them as precise equivalences of continuum quantum field theories. In particular the JW seed duality can either be read as an equivalence of a Majorana fermion coupled to a \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge field and a self-interacting boson, or that of a free Majorana fermion and a boson coupled to a \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge field. Once one has one of the two versions established, the other one follows [13]. By repeated application of the seed one can derive a large web of dualities involving \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetries, both gauged and global.

Another famous example of a 1+1 dimensional transformation involving \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetries was introduced by Kennedy and Tasaki [17] (KT). In the language of the web, this is not a duality in the sense of an exact equivalence of partition functions, but rather it is a well defined gauging procedure that takes one theory into a different one in a controlled way, so that the partition function of the latter can be obtained from the former, but is not equal to it. These kind of transformations generate the web. What has brought the KT transformation into renewed focus recently is that it maps the phase transition between a trivial and non-trivial $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry protected topological (SPT) phase to a standard spontaneous symmetry breaking transition. This is an important ingredient in recent attempts to map all phase transitions to standard Landau symmetry breaking ones. While the KT transformation is non-local, it maps local symmetry algebras into local symmetry algebras. Our goal is to demonstrate that KT fits neatly into the duality web. While the original KT transformation was constructed in a lattice model, we will use its continuum version as laid out in [18]. Furthermore, while [18] only considered the almost trivial field theory describing the gapped phases, we apply the KT transformation to the conformal field theory mediating the phase transition from which the gapped phases can be obtained by relevant deformations. The conformal field theory describing the standard symmetry breaking phase transition is of course well known. Acting with the KT transformation on this CFT gives a CFT mediating the transition between the SPT phase and the trivial phase which turns out to be just a free boson¹. We show that this structure is part of a web connecting 9 different CFTs, each with 2 relevant deformations and hence generating a phase diagram with 4 different phases. The CFT sits at the origin. As we discuss in detail, the axes generically correspond to topologically gapless phases.

We perform a similar analysis for fermionic theories with $\mathbb{Z}_2^F \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ global symmetry and, once again, construct 9 different CFTs, each one sitting at the origin of a 2d phase diagram realizing 4 separate gapped phases. The bosonic and fermionic webs of CFTs can be mapped into each other via fermionization/bosonization.

We'll discuss the bosonic web in section 2, and the fermionic web, as well as the map between the two, in section 3. We present the complete set of Lagrangians and a detailed

¹This CFT has previously been studied in [19], but we believe the explicit Lagrangian realization we get using KT makes its properties much more explicit.

analysis of the phase structures in the appendices.

2 The Web of Bosonic CFTs with $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry

In this section, we discuss the web of bosonic CFTs generated by various discrete gauging operations in theories with a non-anomalous $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry. We begin by reviewing the field-theoretic description of the the Kennedy-Tasaki transformation between SSB and SPT phases. We then apply this transformation directly to Ising² CFT, showing that it governs the desired phase transition between the trivial and SPT phases. We then study all discrete gauging operations and the phase diagrams and CFTs they generate before discussing the partially gapped theories that appear in those phase diagrams.

2.1 Kennedy-Tasaki in the Continuum

The original Kennedy-Tasaki transformation is an operation on gapped systems with $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ global symmetry. As such, the relevant partition functions depend only on background fields, which in the case at hand are two \mathbb{Z}_2 background gauge fields A_1 and A_2 . According to [18] the three options for the partition function that are acted on are the topological trivial phase

$$Z_{\text{Tri}}[A_1, A_2] = 1, \quad (2.1)$$

the spontaneous symmetry breaking phase

$$Z_{\text{SSB}}[A_1, A_2] = \delta(A_1)\delta(A_2), \quad (2.2)$$

and the non-trivial SPT phase

$$Z_{\text{SPT}}[A_1, A_2] = (-1)^{\int A_1 A_2} \quad (2.3)$$

where the exponent is short hand for the standard topological cup product for \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge fields akin to a CS term for gauge fields in 2+1 dimensions. According to [18] we can act on these partition functions Z_I , where I stands for Tri, SSB, and SPT, with two topological manipulations termed² S and T :

$$Z_I[A_1, A_2] \xrightarrow{S} \sum_{a_1, a_2} Z_I[a_1, a_2] (-1)^{\int a_1 A_2 + a_2 A_1} \quad (2.4)$$

$$Z_I[A_1, A_2] \xrightarrow{T} Z_I[A_1, A_2] (-1)^{\int A_1 A_2}. \quad (2.5)$$

²In [18] the sum over gauge fields in the S transformation contains an extra prefactor of $1/|H^0(X_2, \mathbb{Z}_2)|$. As explained in [20], the normalization of these sums can be altered by a power of

$$\chi[x_2, \mathbb{Z}_2] = \frac{|H^0(X_2, \mathbb{Z}_2)||H^2(X_2, \mathbb{Z}_2)|}{|H^1(X_2, \mathbb{Z}_2)|}$$

by adding an extra Euler counterterm to the action. A very convenient choice is to chose the $(-1/2)$ -th power of the Euler character, which yields a prefactor of $1/\sqrt{|H^1(X_2, \mathbb{Z}_2)|}$, which for a genus g Riemann surface is simply 2^{-g} . This is also the normalization used in [13]. Furthermore, for simplicity we chose not to explicitly display these factors; all our sums over gauge fields are implicitly normalized with this prefactor, and so are the delta functions. That is, when we write \sum_a we mean a sum over the flat connections with a prefactor of 2^{-g} , and

$$\delta(A) = 2^g \delta_{A0},$$

S amounts to a non-trivial gauging of the two \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetries, the second stacks a non-trivial SPT. These are once again the straightforward generalizations of the corresponding S and T transformations employed in 2+1 dimensions CS gauge theories [21]. They act on the partition functions as follows:

$$TZ_{\text{SSB}} = Z_{\text{SSB}}, \quad SZ_{\text{SSB}} = Z_{\text{Tri}} \quad (2.6)$$

$$TZ_{\text{Tri}} = Z_{\text{SPT}}, \quad SZ_{\text{Tri}} = Z_{\text{SSB}} \quad (2.7)$$

$$TZ_{\text{SPT}} = Z_{\text{Tri}}, \quad SZ_{\text{SPT}} = Z_{\text{SPT}}. \quad (2.8)$$

In terms of these the KT transformation is

$$KT = STS = TST. \quad (2.9)$$

In particular, $STS = TST$ leaves the trivial phase untouched, but turns SPT into SSB and vice versa.

2.2 Kennedy-Tasaki for Gapless Theories

What we are interested in here is not just KT as a transformation between isolated gapped phases, but as an action on the phase diagram including the conformal field theory (CFT) mediating the second order phase transition between them. Z_{tri} and Z_{SSB} are connected by a completely standard Landau symmetry breaking phase transition with a CFT, which we call CFT_{SSB} , capturing the critical fluctuations of the order parameter. KT maps the gapped phases on either side of the transition to trivial and SPT phase respectively and so should map CFT_{SSB} to a new CFT, CFT_{SPT} , which mediates the phase transition between these two phases. Our goal is to use the duality web techniques to write down these two CFTs and demonstrate that they are indeed mapped into each other using STS .

In equations, we are looking for two CFTs with the following properties

$$Z_{\text{CFT}_{\text{SSB}}} \xrightarrow{m} \begin{cases} Z_{\text{SSB}} & \text{for } m > 0 \\ Z_{\text{Tri}} & \text{for } m < 0 \end{cases}, \quad Z_{\text{CFT}_{\text{SPT}}} \xrightarrow{m} \begin{cases} Z_{\text{SPT}} & \text{for } m > 0 \\ Z_{\text{Tri}} & \text{for } m < 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

with

$$TST Z_{\text{CFT}_{\text{SSB}}} = Z_{\text{CFT}_{\text{SPT}}}. \quad (2.11)$$

Here m denotes a relevant deformation that drives the respective CFT into either one of the allowed gapped phases, depending on sign.

In [22] it was pointed out that there is a second combination of S and T that can be used to map gapped phases and CFTs into each other: the triality operator ST . Unlike KT,

where the second delta is the Kronecker Delta for the discrete choices of connection. This conventions ensures that

$$\sum_{ab} (-1)^{f^{ab}} = 1.$$

. Note that the factor of 2^g in the delta function is indeed needed to correctly account for the 2-fold degeneracy of the groundstate in the symmetry broken phase.

which is an element of order two (meaning it squares to the identity), the triality operator is of order three and permutes the 3 gapped phases. The triality operation also gives rise to a third CFT, the one mediating the transition between SSB and SPT. We will return to this in the next subsection when we discuss the full bosonic duality web.

Clearly the simplest version for CFT_{SSB} is just two copies of the standard Ising CFT. This theory is equivalent to a single boson with target space S^1/\mathbb{Z}_2 , which has a marginal deformation: the size of the interval. In this work we will restrict ourselves to the point where the theory fermionizes into two free Majorana fermions coupled to a \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge field. The marginal deformation comes along for the ride under all the transformations we apply; every single CFT we write is an entire line worth of CFTs. This marginal deformation plays an important role in the work of [22], where it was argued that the marginal deformation of the three CFTs connected by triality in the end lead into a single CFT that is triality invariant, the Kosterlitz-Thouless point.

Returning to the two copies of the Ising model, we write the partition function as

$$Z_{\text{CFT}_{SSB}}[A_1, A_2] = Z_{Is}[A_1] + Z_{Is}[A_2] \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$Z_{Is}[A] = \int \mathcal{D}\phi e^{iS_{Is}[\phi, A]} \quad (2.13)$$

and the action is written in the form used in [13]

$$S_{Is}[\phi, A] = \int (D_A\phi)^2 + \phi^4. \quad (2.14)$$

Here D_A is a covariant derivative coupling the dynamical Ising scalar ϕ to the background \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge field A . The relevant deformation we can add for either of the two Ising scalars with parameter M^2 is the mass squared of the scalar ϕ . The phase transition we are looking at is multi-critical in that first we dial the mass squareds of both scalars simultaneously. We will look at the full phase diagram with unequal masses in the next subsection.

Our task is to demonstrate that acting with TST on this CFT_{SSB} indeed yields a CFT_{SPT} with the property (2.10). Having laid out our conventions we are in a position to explicitly apply TST on CFT_{SSB} . To do so we explicitly work out the impact of the various transformations on the field theory. The original action is given by ($j = 1, 2$)

$$S_{\text{CFT}_{SSB}} = \int \sum_j [(D_{A_j}\phi_j)^2 + \phi_j^4]. \quad (2.15)$$

Acting with T we get

$$S_T = \int \sum_j [(D_{A_j}\phi_j)^2 + \phi_j^4] + i\pi A_1 A_2. \quad (2.16)$$

Acting with S on this we introduce two more dynamical fields a_1 and a_2 and get the action

$$S_{ST} = \int \sum_j [(D_{a_j}\phi_j)^2 + \phi_j^4] + i\pi(a_1 a_2 + a_1 A_2 + a_2 A_1). \quad (2.17)$$

Last but not least acting with one more T we finally arrive at

$$S_{TST} = \int \sum_j [(D_{a_j} \phi_j)^2 + \phi_j^4] + i\pi(a_1 a_2 + a_1 A_2 + a_2 A_1 + A_1 A_2). \quad (2.18)$$

By construction, this CFT we obtain by acting on the two copies of the Ising CFT mediating the standard symmetry breaking phase transition should be the correct CFT describing the transition between trivial and SPT phase, that his S_{TST} is supposed to be the action of CFT_{SPT} . To confirm that his is actually the case, we should show that the CFT described by the action S_{TST} has the desired properties of CFT_{SPT} from (2.10) above.

Let us study the phases one a time:

$M^2 > 0$: If we give the scalars a positive mass squared they simply decouple from the theory. We are left with a purely topological action:

$$S_{M^2 > 0} = i\pi \int (a_1 a_2 + a_1 A_2 + a_2 A_1 + A_1 A_2). \quad (2.19)$$

We can integrate out, say, a_1 , setting $a_2 = A_2$. That leaves us behind with two copies of the cup product, which is indeed equivalent to the trivial theory.

$M^2 < 0$: If we give the scalars a negative mass squared we Higgs the \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge groups, that is the path integral over the scalars produces factors of $\delta(a_1)\delta(a_2)$ in the partition function. This sets 3 of the four cup products in the action to zero, leaving behind a single $A_1 A_2$ term, and hence the resulting theory is indeed the non-trivial SPT phase.

Having verified that CFT_{SPT} has the right phase structure, let us end by noting that this CFT can in fact be rewritten in a much simpler form: it is just a free boson at radius 2! This is not obvious in the form we write the action, but it has in fact been shown in [13] that this is indeed the case. In there this same action was obtained as a \mathbb{Z}_2 gauging of a Dirac fermion. As this is a CFT with central charge $c = 1$ it had to end up being equivalent to one of the known bosonic theories. The authors of [13] use duality arguments to demonstrate that it corresponds to a compact boson at radius 2, and verified some of its expected properties (existence of a marginal deformation that corresponds to changing the radius, T-duality, and an enhanced global symmetry at $R = \sqrt{2}$). In this description of the theory as a free boson, it is also obvious how to calculate all correlation functions.

2.3 The Full Web of Bosonic Phase Diagrams

So far we have focused on the case where the mass squareds of the two Ising scalars (or the mass of the two Majorana fermions after applying KT) are always dialed together. The full phase diagrams of these theories is two dimensional, parametrized by independently dialing the coefficients of the two relevant deformations³. For completeness, let us work out the full 2d phase diagrams that can be realized this way and how they are connected by the various gauging operations. There are five nontrivial gapped phases with $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ global symmetry.

³Some discussion of this appeared in [23–26], but they do not seem as direct or exhaustive as ours.

The first, which we continue to call SSB, spontaneously breaks the entire $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry. The second two, SSB1 and SSB2, break the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry to one of its factors. The fourth, SSBD, breaks the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry to its diagonal subgroup. The fifth, SPT, is the nontrivial SPT phases that we used above to implement the Kennedy-Tasaki transformation. The partition functions for these phases are:

$$Z_{SSB} = \delta(A_1)\delta(A_2) \quad (2.20)$$

$$Z_{SSB1} = \delta(A_1) \quad (2.21)$$

$$Z_{SSB2} = \delta(A_2) \quad (2.22)$$

$$Z_{SSBD} = \delta(A_1 + A_2) \quad (2.23)$$

$$Z_{SPT} = (-1)^{\int A_1 A_2} \quad (2.24)$$

There are three operations that we can use to map among the gapped phases. We can gauge the first copy of \mathbb{Z}_2 , gauge the second copy of \mathbb{Z}_2 , or stack with the nontrivial SPT phase. Following [27], we refer to these operations as O_1 , O_2 , and S_1 , respectively. Their actions on a partition function $Z[A_1, A_2]$ are

$$Z[A_1, A_2] \xrightarrow{O_1} \sum_{a_1} Z[a_1, A_2] (-1)^{\int a_1 A_1}, \quad (2.25)$$

$$Z[A_1, A_2] \xrightarrow{O_2} \sum_{a_2} Z[A_1, a_2] (-1)^{\int a_2 A_2}, \quad (2.26)$$

and

$$Z[A_1, A_2] \xrightarrow{S_1} Z[A_1, A_2] (-1)^{\int A_1 A_2}. \quad (2.27)$$

Note that these include the S and T operations above, since $S = O_1 O_2$ ⁴ and $T = S_1$.

The action of O_1 , O_2 , and S_1 on these theories is simple to work out. One delta function is sufficient to set the putative $\int A_1 A_2$ topological term to 0, so S_1 leaves these partial SSB phases invariant, whereas the gauging in O_1 and O_2 removes the existing delta function but introduces the other one, permuting SSB, SSB1, and SSB2. The exception is SSBD, which is mapped to SPT by O_1 and O_2 . All in all the transformations act as displayed in table 1⁵.

The resulting phase diagrams for the original theory of the two Ising scalars, as well as the theories we obtain by acting with O_1 , O_2 , and S_1 , are displayed in figure 1. CFT_{SSB} and CFT_{SPT} from before correspond to CFTs 6 and 4 respectively. In total we obtain 9 different CFTs where we only count the CFTs as different if the phase diagrams are qualitatively different; reflections and rotations of the diagram are simply relabelings of the relevant deformations and not genuinely new CFTs. Note in particular that the theory of two Ising scalars we started with is invariant under both the O_1 and O_2 operation, which simply exchanges pairs of gapped phases. Acting with S_1 generates a new CFT. The phase diagrams are:

⁴Note that we swapped the labels 1 and 2 on the background fields for the dual symmetry compared to the above.

⁵To derive some of these and results in Appendices A.1 and A.2, the reader should recall that the cup product is supercommutative on cohomology so that terms like a^2 vanish.

Original	Tri	SSB	SSB1	SSB2	SSBD	SPT
O_1 on Original	SSB1	SSB2	Tri	SSB	SPT	SSBD
O_2 on Original	SSB2	SSB1	SSB	Tri	SPT	SSBD
S_1 on Original	SPT	SSB	SSB1	SSB2	SSBD	Tri

Table 1: Action of O_1 , O_2 and S_1 on the gapped bosonic phases.

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_1 &\rightarrow \begin{cases} Z_{\text{SSB2}} \\ Z_{\text{Tri}} \\ Z_{\text{SPT}} \\ Z_{\text{SSBD}} \end{cases}, & Z_2 &\rightarrow \begin{cases} Z_{\text{SSB}} \\ Z_{\text{SSB1}} \\ Z_{\text{SSBD}} \\ Z_{\text{SPT}} \end{cases}, & Z_3 &\rightarrow \begin{cases} Z_{\text{SSB}} \\ Z_{\text{SSB1}} \\ Z_{\text{SSBD}} \\ Z_{\text{Triv}} \end{cases}, \\
Z_4 &\rightarrow \begin{cases} Z_{\text{SSB2}} \\ Z_{\text{Triv}} \\ Z_{\text{SPT}} \\ Z_{\text{SSB1}} \end{cases}, & Z_5 &\rightarrow \begin{cases} Z_{\text{SSB1}} \\ Z_{\text{SSB}} \\ Z_{\text{SPT}} \\ Z_{\text{SSB2}} \end{cases}, & Z_6 &\rightarrow \begin{cases} Z_{\text{SSB1}} \\ Z_{\text{SSB}} \\ Z_1 \\ Z_{\text{SSB2}} \end{cases}, \\
Z_7 &\rightarrow \begin{cases} Z_{\text{Triv}} \\ Z_{\text{SSB2}} \\ Z_{\text{SSBD}} \\ Z_{\text{SSB}} \end{cases}, & Z_8 &\rightarrow \begin{cases} Z_{\text{SPT}} \\ Z_{\text{SSB2}} \\ Z_{\text{SSBD}} \\ Z_{\text{SSB}} \end{cases}, & Z_9 &\rightarrow \begin{cases} Z_{\text{SSBD}} \\ Z_{\text{Triv}} \\ Z_{\text{SPT}} \\ Z_{\text{SSB1}} \end{cases},
\end{aligned}$$

which we summarize in figure 2.

In Appendix A.1, we obtain actions for all nine multi-critical CFTs starting from the action for Ising² and applying O_1 , O_2 , and S_1 as indicated in figure 1. We then deform the mass squareds to verify the phase diagrams in figure 2 explicitly.

These partial symmetry broken phases were also discussed in [22, 25]. We can get from the 3 phases related by triality, SPT, Tri and SSB, to the partially broken ones by the action of O_1 . Conjugating triality with O_1 gives rise to a second triality operator, triality'= O_1 triality O_1 , that permutes SSB1, SSB2 and SSBD. The original triality operation permutes CFTs 4, 5, and 6, whereas the action of triality' permutes CFTs 3, 6 and 7. While CFT 6, that is the Ising squared CFT, features in both “triangles” related by triality, it is a different relevant operator that is turned on in both cases: as is clear from figure 2, one diagonal of




Figure 1: Nine multi-critical CFTs, each one giving rise to a phase diagram with 4 different massive phases as described in the text, are permuted by the action of O_1 , O_2 and S_1 as depicted.




Figure 2: Phase diagrams for the CFTs from Figure 1. To avoid clutter we further abbreviated Tri to 1 and SSB to S.

CFT 6 is the Tri to SSB transition featuring in the SPT-SSB-Tri triangle, whereas the other diagonal gives the SSB1 to SSB2 transition required in the second triangle.

2.4 Partially Gapped Theories from One Relevant Deformation

In the previous subsection, we focused on the multi-critical CFTs that govern the phase diagrams we obtained. These have two relevant parameters, and we verified in Appendix A.1 that we obtain the correct phases upon appropriately deforming them. We now examine the situation when we deform one of the two parameters. This leads to a situation in which one of the two sectors becomes gapped. There are several situations realized throughout our web of phase diagrams:

- The gapped sector is trivial. This will effectively lead to one copy of the Ising CFT. These theories lead to transitions between trivial and partially symmetry broken phases and appear in Z_6 , Z_7 , Z_9 , Z_4 , and Z_1 .
- The gapped sector is an SSB phase. The resulting theories govern transitions between different SSB phases. These occur in Z_6 , Z_5 , Z_7 , Z_8 , Z_4 , and Z_2 .
- The gapped sector is an SPT phase. This situation is called a gapless SPT. It is not intrinsically gapless, since one can deform the mass of the gapless sector to produce an SPT. Much more about gapless topological phases can be found in i.e. [28–32]. These theories govern transitions between the SPT phase and various SSB phases. They appear in Z_5 , Z_8 , Z_9 , and Z_2 .
- There are theories in which a dynamical gauge field plays a role that is more subtle than just a Lagrange multiplier or tool to apply Kramers-Wannier duality⁶. These can govern all sorts of transitions and appear in Z_4 , Z_3 , Z_2 , and Z_1 .

In Appendix A.2, we obtain actions for the partially gapped theories by deforming one of the mass squareds of the CFTs in Appendix A.1. This makes the form of the theories and the phases they govern the transitions between explicit.

3 Fermionic Theories

In this section, we discuss the fermionic side of the story told in the previous section. We begin by discussing the gapped phases with a $\mathbb{Z}_2^F \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry. We follow this with a discussion of the transformations between them. We then describe the web of phase diagrams and the CFTs at their center before turning to the partially gapped theories on the axes of the phase diagrams. Finally, we describe how to obtain the fermionic web by fermionizing the bosonic web.

⁶Recall that, following [13], in the terminology of this paper, Kramers-Wannier duality is the statement that Ising is the same theory as Ising/ \mathbb{Z}_2 . In terms of actions, this lets us exchange $\int[(D_a\phi)^2 + \phi^4 + i\pi aA]$ and $\int[(D_A\phi)^2 + \phi^4]$

3.1 Gapped Phases

Having seen that the duality web techniques can be successfully applied to bosonic phases with a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry, we would like to analyze fermionic theories with a $\mathbb{Z}_2^F \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry. These theories have four topological phases [33] which can be nicely described using the SymmTFT framework [34].

A major role in the fermionic theories is played by a \mathbb{Z}_2 valued topological invariant, the so called Arf invariant, see [13] for an “all you need to know” introduction to it in the context of the 1+1 duality web. The Arf invariant depends on the boundary conditions of the fermions, and so in particular it depends on the spin structure. As the boundary conditions of charged fermions can be modified by turning on Wilson lines for the corresponding \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge fields, we can also include terms which are proportional to $\text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho]$, where ρ is the spin structure and C the gauge field (background or dynamical) under which the fermions are charged.

In order to write down the low energy effective theories in terms of the allowed partition functions with $\mathbb{Z}_2^F \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry, we need to introduce two background fields A and C , where C corresponds to the fermionic symmetry. Only background fields for the fermionic symmetry affect the boundary conditions of fermion fields and so can appear in Arf invariants together with the spin connection. Shifting a fermionic field by a bosonic field gives another fermionic field, so either C or $C + A$ can appear in the Arf.

With this it is easy to write down the partition functions for four putative topological phases, the trivial one as well as 3 non-trivial ones. Note that a discussion along these lines appears already in [35]. We will follow the naming convention chosen there. We believe our systematic field theory treatment elaborates on this previous work in important ways: we construct several CFTs which each sit at the intersection of *four* of these phases and in addition show how KT-like transformations exchange these CFTs together with their full phase diagrams, parts of which have been worked out in [35] as well.

The partition functions for the non-trivial topological phases are

$$Z_{\text{K+GW}}[A, C] = (-1)^{\text{Arf}[(C+A) \cdot \rho]} \quad (3.1)$$

$$Z_{\text{K}}[A, C] = (-1)^{\text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho]} \quad (3.2)$$

$$Z_{\text{GW}}[A, C] = (-1)^{\text{Arf}[(C+A) \cdot \rho] + \text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho]} \quad (3.3)$$

The subscripts K and GW stand for “Kitaev” ⁷ and “Gu-Wen” respectively. One should note that the Arf invariants obey

$$\text{Arf}[(C + A) \cdot \rho] = \text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho] + \text{Arf}[A \cdot \rho] + \text{Arf}[\rho] + \int AC \quad (3.4)$$

so the Arf involving the sum of A and C is “essentially” the correct way to write a cup product between a fermionic and bosonic background field, mimicking how one obtains topological terms involving $U(1)$ and Spin_C connections in 2+1 dimensions.

⁷So called because it is the low energy description of the nontrivial phase of the Majorana chain in [36]

In addition, we can spontaneously break the bosonic \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry giving rise to two SSB phases:

$$Z_{\text{SSB}}[A, C] = \delta(A) \quad (3.5)$$

$$Z_{\text{SSB+K}}[A, C] = \delta(A)(-1)^{\text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho]} \quad (3.6)$$

3.2 Transformations Generating the Web

In [27], the authors identify three operations that map $\mathbb{Z}_2^F \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetric spin theories to $\mathbb{Z}_2^F \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ spin theories. We can gauge the \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry, stack with Arf, or shift the spin structure by a \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge field⁸. Let's try to put these operations into formulas, very similar to what has been done in [27]. Following this reference we will refer to the transformations as S_F , O_F and π_F . S_F is stacking with Arf:

$$Z[A, C] \xrightarrow{S_F} Z[A, C] (-1)^{\text{Arf}[\rho \cdot C]} \quad (3.7)$$

O_F is gauging the bosonic \mathbb{Z}_2 :

$$Z[A, C] \xrightarrow{O_F} \sum_a Z[a, C] (-1)^{f a A}. \quad (3.8)$$

Last but not least π_F shifts the fermionic gauge field by the bosonic one:

$$Z[A, C] \xrightarrow{\pi_F} Z[A, C + A] \quad (3.9)$$

It is straightforward to work out action of these transformations on the gapped phases. S_F simply adds or removes the corresponding Arf term. In phases without the $\text{Arf}[(A + C) \cdot \rho]$ term, the O_F operation removes a delta function of A if there was one, adds it if there wasn't. To see what O_F does to the remaining two phases we need to calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_a (-1)^{\text{Arf}[(C+a) \cdot \rho] + f a A} &= \sum_a (-1)^{\text{Arf}[a \cdot \rho] + f a A + f C A} = (-1)^{\text{Arf}[A \cdot \rho] + \text{Arf}[\rho] + f C A} \\ &= (-1)^{\text{Arf}[(C+A) \cdot \rho] + \text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho]} \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Here we first shifted the “integration variable” by C , then used the following identity obeyed by the Arf invariant⁹, see [13]:

$$\sum_c (-1)^{\text{Arf}[c \cdot \rho] + \text{Arf}[\rho] + f A c} = (-1)^{\text{Arf}[A \cdot \rho]}. \quad (3.11)$$

and last but not least used the property (3.4). Lo and behold, we see that O_F exchanges GW and GW+K. Last but not least, π_F shuffles around the various Arf terms in the SPT phases. In the SSB phases it does not do anything as A is set to zero by the delta functions. All in all the transformations act as summarized in table 2.

⁸They also compose the operations with bosonization. We will return to this later when we connect our fermionic and bosonic CFT webs.

⁹Recall that we absorbed the 2^{-g} normalization factor in [13] into the definition of the sum.

Original	Tri	K	K+GW	GW	SSB	SSB+K
S_F on Original	K	Tri	GW	K+GW	SSB+K	SSB
O_F on Original	SSB	SSB+K	GW	K+GW	Tri	K
π_F on Original	Tri	K+GW	K	GW	SSB	SSB + K

Table 2: Action of O_F , S_F and π_F on the gapped fermionic phases.

3.3 Transitions and Phase Diagrams

Probably the simplest CFT one can write down with a $\mathbb{Z}_2^F \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry is an Ising scalar plus a free Majorana fermion:

$$S_{MI} = \int [(D_A \phi)^2 + \phi^4 + i\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{C \cdot \rho} \chi] \quad (3.12)$$

This CFT has two relevant deformations, the fermion mass m and the scalar mass squared M^2 . If both are turned on we realize one of the gapped phases from our list above. The CFT describes the multi-critical point where both relevant operators are turned to zero. The critical lines where only one relevant operator is tuned to zero generically correspond to gapless SPT phases. We'll return to them later.

It is straightforward to work out the gapped phases this CFT can realize. To do so, we need to recall one more basic fact about the topological terms generated when integrating out a Majorana fermion coupled to the spin connection $C \cdot \rho$: when the fermion has a *positive* mass, it simply decouples from the theory and leaves behind a trivial phase, when the fermion has a *negative* mass, upon integrating out it generates a non-trivial topological term in the action:

$$S_{eff} = i\pi \text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho]. \quad (3.13)$$

This is once again akin to what happens with fermions and CS terms in 2+1 dimensions.

For positive mass squared the scalar simply decouples, for negative mass squared it breaks the symmetry. The fermion decouples for either sign of the mass, but for negative mass we generate a non-trivial Arf term. So the phase diagram is as follows:

$$Z_{MI} \xrightarrow{m, M^2} \begin{cases} Z_{\text{Tri}} & \text{for } m > 0, M^2 > 0 \\ Z_{\text{SSB}} & \text{for } m > 0, M^2 < 0 \\ Z_{\text{K}} & \text{for } m < 0, M^2 > 0 \\ Z_{\text{SSB+K}} & \text{for } m < 0, M^2 < 0 \end{cases}, \quad (3.14)$$

Acting on this well understood CFT with S_F , O_F and π_F we can generate other CFTs, each one mediating a multi-critical transition between 4 of the allowed gapped phases. Once again we only count the CFT as different if the phase diagrams are qualitatively different. This time our starting point, the Majorana + Ising CFT, is invariant under both the S and O operation and only acting with π generates a new CFT. The full set of phase diagrams we




Figure 3: Nine multi-critical CFTs, each one giving rise to a phase diagram with 4 different massive phases as described in the text, are permuted by the action of S_F , O_F and π_F as depicted.

can realize is depicted in Figure 3. Once again we get a web of 9 CFTs, where every node depicts an entire phase diagram with CFT and 4 gapped phases. Note that this procedure gives us a full Lagrangian description of the 9 CFTs we obtain this way, as we will spell out and verify in Appendix B.1.

The Ising + Majorana phase diagram of (3.14) is sitting on node 6 in figure 3. The other CFTs have the following phase diagrams (as in (3.14) these are the gapped phases living in quadrants I, IV, II, III respectively):

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z_1 &\rightarrow \begin{cases} Z_{\text{SSB+K}} \\ Z_{\text{K}} \\ Z_{\text{K+GW}} \\ Z_{\text{GW}} \end{cases}, &
 Z_2 &\rightarrow \begin{cases} Z_{\text{SSB}} \\ Z_{\text{Tri}} \\ Z_{\text{GW}} \\ Z_{\text{K+GW}} \end{cases}, &
 Z_3 &\rightarrow \begin{cases} Z_{\text{SSB}} \\ Z_{\text{Tri}} \\ Z_{\text{GW}} \\ Z_{\text{K}} \end{cases}, &
 Z_4 &\rightarrow \begin{cases} Z_{\text{SSB+K}} \\ Z_{\text{K}} \\ Z_{\text{K+GW}} \\ Z_{\text{Tri}} \end{cases}, \\
 Z_5 &\rightarrow \begin{cases} Z_{\text{Tri}} \\ Z_{\text{SSB}} \\ Z_{\text{K+GW}} \\ Z_{\text{SSB+K}} \end{cases}, &
 Z_7 &\rightarrow \begin{cases} Z_{\text{K}} \\ Z_{\text{SSB+K}} \\ Z_{\text{GW}} \\ Z_{\text{SSB}} \end{cases}, &
 Z_8 &\rightarrow \begin{cases} Z_{\text{K+GW}} \\ Z_{\text{SSB+K}} \\ Z_{\text{GW}} \\ Z_{\text{SSB}} \end{cases}, &
 Z_9 &\rightarrow \begin{cases} Z_{\text{GW}} \\ Z_{\text{K}} \\ Z_{\text{K+GW}} \\ Z_{\text{Tri}} \end{cases},
 \end{aligned}$$




Figure 4: Phase diagrams for the CFTs from Figure 3. To avoid clutter we further abbreviated Tri to 1, SSB to S, SSB+K to SK, GW to G and K+GW to KG.

We have also summarized these phase diagrams in Figure 4.

Besides the Ising + Majorana CFT another very easy to recognize theory is the one living on node 9: this theory simply describes 2 free Majorana fermions. This is the only CFT that leads to no symmetry breaking phase; if one Majorana couples to C and the other to $C + A$ one simply generates the corresponding Arf invariants whenever the fermion mass is negative.

A few of those phase transitions have already been identified in [35], even though the structure becomes much more apparent when one studies the entire class of phase diagrams we generated. All the transitions in [35] correspond to transitions going diagonally across the origin in the phase diagram, so that the CFT directly mediates a transition between gapped phases sitting diagonally across from each other. In particular, [35] already showed that the MI theory can describe a transition between K and SSB (consistent with (3.14)) and the Majorana squared theory between Trivial and GW (as in our Z_9). Furthermore [35] identifies a CFT that can mediate a K to K+GW as well as an SSB+K to trivial transition. This is exactly what our Z_4 does. Another CFT of [35] give a SSB+K to GW transition, as does our Z_8 . Maybe most interestingly, [35] has a CFT that gives a K+GW to SSB transition. This is something both our Z_2 and Z_8 can do! To fully specify the CFT clearly looking at just 2 phases is not enough. Since in [35] this CFT was different from the one of the SSB+K to GW transition, it should not be Z_8 again and so should be our Z_2 .

In Appendix B.1, we obtain actions for all nine multi-critical CFTs by starting with Majorana + Ising and applying S_F , O_F , and π_F as indicated in 3. We then deform the masses

and explicitly confirm the phase diagrams in 4.

3.4 Partially Gapped Theories from Deforming One Relevant Parameter

Just as we did for the bosonic side of the story, we now examine the effect of deforming one of the relevant parameters in our multicritical CFTs. As before, the result is a theory with a gapped sector. Now our possibilities are:

- The gapped sector is trivial. In some cases, these theories are usually Majorana CFTs. Depending on the spin structure to which they couple, they either govern the transition between the trivial and Kitaev phases or the trivial and Kitaev+Gu-Wen phases. These appear in Z_6 , Z_5 , Z_9 , Z_4 , and Z_2 . In other cases, these theories are Ising CFTs that govern the transition between the trivial and SSB phases. This occurs in Z_6 , Z_5 , Z_3 , and Z_2 .
- The gapped sector spontaneously breaks the bosonic \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry. The resulting theories govern the transition between the SSB and SSB+Kitaev phases. They appear in Z_6, Z_5, Z_7 , and Z_8 .
- The gapped sector is in the Kitaev or Kitaev+Gu-Wen phase. It is tempting to call these gapless SPTs, but the Kitaev phase is not an SPT phase, since it is nontrivial even if we turn off C ¹⁰. There are two situations. The first is when the gapless sector is Ising. The resulting theory governs the transition between Kitaev and SSB+Kitaev and appears in Z_6 , Z_7 , Z_4 , and Z_1 . The second situation is that the gapless sector is Majorana. The resulting theory governs the transition between the trivial and Kitaev phases and appears in Z_9 and Z_4 . Gapless topological phases of the form Ising + (Kitaev+Gu-Wen) govern the transition between the SSB + Kitaev and Kitaev + Gu-Wen phases and appears in Z_5 , Z_8 , and Z_4 . Gapless topological phases of the form Majorana+(Kitaev+Gu-Wen) appear in Z_8 and Z_9 and govern the transition between Kitaev+Gu-Wen and Gu-Wen.
- The gapped sector is in the Gu-Wen phase. In this case, we have a gapless SPT. Some examples were found in [35]. As before, the gapless SPTs obtained in this way are not intrinsically gapped, since an appropriate mass deformation sends them to an SPT. Gapless SPT phases of the form Ising + Gu-Wen govern the transition between the SSB and Gu-Wen phases and appear in Z_8 and Z_3 .
- The gapped and gapless sectors can communicate through a dynamical gauge field. Theories of this sort that govern transitions between fermionic invertible phases (that is Kitaev, Gu-Wen, or Kitaev+Gu-Wen) appear in Z_3 , Z_2 , and Z_1 . A theory of this sort that controls the phase transition between SSB and Gu-Wen appears in Z_2 . A theory of

¹⁰If one desires a more marketable term than “invertible field theory that is not an SPT because its spin structure dependence ensures it is nontrivial even without a symmetry background,” [37] refers to the Kitaev phase as an invertible fermionic topological order.

this sort that governs the transition between SSB+Kiteav and Kiteav+Gu-Wen appears in Z_1 .

It's worth noting that there is no transition between the Gu-Wen and trivial phases if one deforms a single parameter! In Appendix B.2, we obtain actions for the partially gapped theories by deforming one of the masses of the CFTs in Appendix B.1. This makes the form of the theories and the phases they govern the transitions between explicit.

3.5 Relationship to the Bosonic Web

Both in the bosonic and fermionic case we found structurally equivalent webs with 9 CFTs each. This similarity is not surprising: the two webs can be mapped into each other by bosonization/fermionization. To flesh this out in detail, is useful to briefly discuss the bosonic counterparts of our fermionic gapped phases and the operations we use to map between them. Recall that, for a bosonic $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry, there are six possible gapped phases which we characterize by the partition functions for their ground states:

$$Z_{Tri}[A_1, A_2] = 1, \quad (3.15)$$

$$Z_{SSB}[A_1, A_2] = \delta(A_1)\delta(A_2), \quad (3.16)$$

$$Z_{SSB1}[A_1, A_2] = \delta(A_1), \quad (3.17)$$

$$Z_{SSB2}[A_1, A_2] = \delta(A_2), \quad (3.18)$$

$$Z_{SSBD}[A_1, A_2] = \delta(A_1 + A_2), \quad (3.19)$$

and

$$Z_{SPT}[A_1, A_2] = (-1)^{\int A_1 A_2}. \quad (3.20)$$

To see what these correspond to in our story, we fermionize with respect to the first \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry. After renaming a gauge field, this looks like (up to an appropriate normalization)

$$Z_F[C, A] = \sum_{a_1} Z_B[a_1, A] (-1)^{\text{Arf}[a_1 \cdot \rho] + \text{Arf}[\rho] + \int C a_1}. \quad (3.21)$$

Thus, we obtain:

$$Z_{F,Tri}[C, A] = \sum_{a_1} (-1)^{\text{Arf}[a_1 \cdot \rho] + \text{Arf}[\rho] + \int C a_1} = (-1)^{\text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho]} = Z_K[C, A], \quad (3.22)$$

$$Z_{F,SSB} = \sum_{a_1} \delta(a_1)\delta(A) (-1)^{\text{Arf}[a_1 \cdot \rho] + \text{Arf}[\rho] + \int C a_1} = \delta(A) = Z_{SSB}[C, A], \quad (3.23)$$

$$Z_{F,SSB1} = \sum_{a_1} \delta(a_1) (-1)^{\text{Arf}[a_1 \cdot \rho] + \text{Arf}[\rho] + \int C a_1} = 1 = Z_{Tri}[C, A], \quad (3.24)$$

$$Z_{F,SSB2} = \sum_{a_1} \delta(A) (-1)^{\text{Arf}[a_1 \cdot \rho] + \text{Arf}[\rho] + \int C a_1} = \delta(A) (-1)^{\text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho]} = Z_{SSB+K}[C, A], \quad (3.25)$$

Bosonic	Fermionic
Tri	K
SSB	SSB
SSB1	Tri
SSB2	SSB+K
SSBD	GW
SPT	K+GW
O_1	S_F
O_2	O_F
S_1	π_F

Table 3: Correspondence of the transformations generating the bosonic and fermionic web respectively.

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{F,SSBD} &= \sum_{a_1} \delta(a_1 + A) (-1)^{\text{Arf}[a_1 \cdot \rho] + \text{Arf}[\rho] + \int C a_1} = (-1)^{\text{Arf}[A \cdot \rho] + \text{Arf}[\rho] + \int C A} \\
&= (-1)^{\text{Arf}[(C+A) \cdot \rho] + \text{Arf}[C \rho]} = Z_{GW}[C, A], \quad (3.26)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$Z_{F,SPT}[C, A] = \sum_{a_1} (-1)^{\text{Arf}[a_1 \cdot \rho] + \text{Arf}[\rho] + \int a_1 (A+C)} = (-1)^{\text{Arf}[(A+C) \cdot \rho]} = Z_{K+GW}[C, A]. \quad (3.27)$$

By comparing the composition of a bosonic operation with fermionization, we can see what the fermionic counterparts to bosonic operations are. Obviously, O_F is the counterpart to gauging the second copy of \mathbb{Z}_2 . By noting that gauging the first copy of \mathbb{Z}_2 maps us from the trivial bosonic phase to SSB1 and stacking with K maps us from K to Triv, we obtain that S_F is the fermionic counterpart to gauging the first copy of \mathbb{Z}_2 . Finally, we note that stacking the SPT maps us from SPT to the trivial bosonic phase and shifting the spin structure maps us from K+GW to K. Thus, π_F is the fermionic counterpart to stacking with SPT¹¹. This was also found in [27]. Table 3 summarizes the correspondence between the bosonic and fermionic gapped phases and operations:

In [35], the author found the same collection of phases and maps between them by fermionizing using the diagonal symmetry. While this will obviously change the dual symmetry, it leads to the same physics. All that changes is what fermionizes to what.

Since, as we just demonstrated, the bosonic and fermionic phases are related by bosonization, it would be very interesting to analyze the action of the triality of [22, 25, 38] also on the fermionic phases. We leave this for future work.

¹¹Or, as some readers might prefer, changing discrete torison.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Da-Chuan Lu, Xie Chen and John McGreevy for inspiring talks at the Strings 25 conference as well as the annual UQM Simons collaboration meeting which prompted us to pursue this line of work. We would also like to thank Masaki Oshikawa and David Tong for very helpful comments and discussions. This work was supported, in part, by the U.S. Department of Energy under Grant DE-SC0022021 and by a grant from the Simons Foundation (Grant 651678, AK).

A Bosonic Actions

In this appendix, we verify both the phase diagrams and the theories at their center and on their axes by writing and deforming explicit actions for the CFTs in question.

A.1 Actions for Multi-Critical CFTs and Verification of the Phase Diagram

We now examine the CFTs in detail to confirm the phase diagrams and the theories on the axes. We start from Z_6 , which is the Ising² CFT, governed by the action

$$S_6 = \int [(D_{A_1} \phi_1)^2 + (D_{A_2} \phi_1)^2 + \phi_1^4 + \phi_2^4]. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Since each copy of Ising governs an SSB transition, we obtain the correct phase diagram.

Applying S_1 maps us to Z_5 , which has the action:

$$S_5 = \int [(D_{A_1} \phi_1)^2 + (D_{A_2} \phi_1)^2 + \phi_1^4 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi A_1 A_2], \quad (\text{A.2})$$

which obviously has the correct phase diagram.

Applying O_1 maps us to Z_7 :

$$\begin{aligned} S_7 &= \int [(D_{a_1} \phi_1)^2 + (D_{A_2} \phi_2)^2 + \phi_1^4 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi a_1 (A_1 + A_2)] \\ &= \int [(D_{A_1+A_2} \phi_1)^2 + (D_{A_2} \phi_2)^2 + \phi_1^4 + \phi_2^4], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where the equality is Kramers-Wannier duality. Taking both masses to positive gives a trivial phase. Taking the first to be positive and the second to be negative gives SSB2. Swapping which is positive and which is negative gives SSBD. Finally, taking both masses to be negative gives SSB. Thus, we obtain the correct phase diagram.

Applying S_1 maps us to Z_8 :

$$S_8 = \int [(D_{A_1+A_2} \phi_1)^2 + (D_{A_2} \phi_2)^2 + \phi_1^4 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi A_1 A_2]. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The phase diagram of this theory simply stacks the SPT on each phase in S_7 , so it clearly gives the correct phase diagram.

Applying O_2 maps us to Z_9 :

$$S_9 = \int [(D_{A_1+a_2}\phi_1)^2 + (D_{a_2}\phi_2)^2 + \phi_1^4 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi(A_1 + A_2)a_2]. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Taking both masses to be positive gives SSBD. Taking the first to be positive and the second to be negative gives the trivial phase. Taking the first to be negative and the second to be positive gives SPT. Taking both to be negative gives SSB1.

Z_9 is a dead end, so return to Z_7 and apply O_2 to obtain Z_4 :

$$S_4 = \int [(D_{A_1+a_2}\phi_1)^2 + (D_{a_2}\phi_2)^2 + \phi_1^4 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi a_2 A_2], \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Taking both scalar masses to be positive gives SSB2. Taking the first to be positive and the second to be negative gives the trivial phase. Taking the first to be negative and the second to be positive gives SPT. Taking both to be negative gives SSB1. This is the correct phase diagram.

We now apply O_1 to get to Z_3 :

$$S_3 = \int [(D_{a_1+a_2}\phi_1)^2 + (D_{a_2}\phi_2)^2 + \phi_1^4 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi(a_2 A_2 + a_1 A_1)]. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Taking the both scalars to have positive masses gives SSB. Taking the first to be positive and the second to be negative gives SSB1. Taking the first to be negative and the second to be positive gives SSBD. Taking both to be negative gives the trivial theory. This is the correct phase diagram.

We now apply S_1 to get to Z_2 :

$$S_2 = \int [(D_{a_1+a_2}\phi_1)^2 + (D_{a_2}\phi_2)^2 + \phi_1^4 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi(a_2 A_2 + a_1 A_1 + A_1 A_2)]. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

This clearly just stacks the SPT phase on top of the phase diagram of S_3 , yielding the correct phase diagram.

Finally, we can apply O_1 to obtain Z_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \int [(D_{a_1+a_2}\phi_1)^2 + (D_{a_2}\phi_2)^2 + \phi_1^4 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi(a_2 A_2 + a_1 s_1 + s_1 A_2 + s_1 A_1)] \\ &= \int [(D_{A_1+A_2+a_2}\phi_1)^2 + (D_{a_2}\phi_2)^2 + \phi_1^4 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi a_2 A_2]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Taking both scalar masses to be positive gives SSB2. Taking the first mass to be negative and the second to be positive gives the SPT phase. Taking the first mass to be positive and the second to be negative gives the trivial phase. Taking both to be negative yields SSBD.

A.2 Actions for Partially Gapped Theories

We now deform the CFTs in the previous section to these realized explicitly. We start from Z_6 , which is the Ising² CFT, governed by the action ¹²

$$S_6 = \int [(D_{A_1}\phi_1)^2 + (D_{A_2}\phi_1)^2 + \phi_1^4 + \phi_2^4]. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

We examine the axes by deforming one parameter at a time. For positive M_1^2 , we obtain

$$S_6^{M_1^2 > 0} = \int [(D_{A_2}\phi_1)^2 + \phi_2^4], \quad (\text{A.11})$$

which is the Ising CFT that governs the transition between the trivial and SSB2 phases. For negative M_1^2 , we obtain

$$S_6^{M_1^2 < 0} = \int [(D_{A_2}\phi_1)^2 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi t A_1], \quad (\text{A.12})$$

which is an Ising + SSB1 theory that mediates the transition between the SSB and SSB1 phases. An analogous story happens when we manipulate M_2^2 .

Z_5 has the action:

$$S_5 = \int [(D_{A_1}\phi_1)^2 + (D_{A_2}\phi_1)^2 + \phi_1^4 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi A_1 A_2]. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Taking $M_1^2 > 0$ gives

$$S_5^{M_1^2 > 0} = \int [(D_{A_2}\phi_1)^2 + \phi_1^4 + i\pi A_1 A_2], \quad (\text{A.14})$$

which is a known gapless SPT that mediates the transition between the SPT and SSB2 phases. Taking $M_1^2 < 0$ gives

$$S_5^{M_1^2 < 0} = \int [(D_{A_2}\phi_1)^2 + \phi_1^4 + i\pi(sA_1 + A_1 A_2)], \quad (\text{A.15})$$

which is an Ising + SSB2 theory that mediates the transition between the SSB1 and SSB phases. An analogous story unfolds when we deform M_2^2 .

Z_7 has the action:

$$S_7 = \int [(D_{A_1+A_2}\phi_1)^2 + (D_{A_2}\phi_2)^2 + \phi_1^4 + \phi_2^4]. \quad (\text{A.16})$$

If just the first scalar mass is positive, we obtain the Ising CFT that maps between the trivial and SSB2 phases. If just the second scalar mass is positive, we obtain an Ising CFT that governs the transition between the trivial and SSB2 phases. If we take the first scalar mass squared to be negative, we obtain an Ising + SSB2 theory that governs the transition between SSB2 and SSB. If we take the second scalar mass squared to be negative, we obtain an Ising + SSB2 that governs the transition between SSB2 and SSB.

¹²We repeat the above actions for convenience.

Z_8 has the action:

$$S_8 = \int [(D_{A_1+A_2}\phi_1)^2 + (D_{A_2}\phi_2)^2 + \phi_1^4 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi A_1 A_2]. \quad (\text{A.17})$$

Taking the first mass squared to be positive gives the gapless SPT,

$$S_8^{M_1^2 > 0} = \int [(D_{A_2}\phi_2)^2 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi A_1 A_2], \quad (\text{A.18})$$

which governs the transition between the SPT and SSB2 phases. Taking the second mass squared to be positive gives the gapless SPT,

$$S_8^{M_1^2 < 0} = \int [(D_{A_1+A_2}\phi_1)^2 + \phi_1^4 + i\pi A_1 A_2], \quad (\text{A.19})$$

which governs the transition between the SPT and SSBD phases. Taking the first mass squared to be negative gives

$$S_8^{M_2^2 < 0} = \int [(D_{A_2}\phi_2)^2 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi(A_1 A_2 + s(A_1 + A_2))], \quad (\text{A.20})$$

which governs the transition between SSBD and SSB. Taking the second mass squared to be negative gives

$$S_8^{M_2^2 < 0} = \int [(D_{A_1+A_2}\phi_1)^2 + \phi_1^4 + i\pi(A_1 A_2 + s A_2)], \quad (\text{A.21})$$

that interpolates between SSB2 and SSB.

Z_9 has the action:

$$S_9 = \int [(D_{A_1+a_2}\phi_1)^2 + (D_{a_2}\phi_2)^2 + \phi_1^4 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi(A_1 + A_2)a_2]. \quad (\text{A.22})$$

Taking the first mass squared to be positive yields:

$$S_9^{M_1^2 > 0} = \int [(D_{a_2}\phi_2)^2 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi(A_1 + A_2)a_2] = \int [(D_{A_1+A_2}\phi_2)^2 + \phi_2^4], \quad (\text{A.23})$$

which governs the transition between SSBD and the trivial phase. Taking the second mass squared to be positive gives

$$S_9^{M_2^2 > 0} = \int [(D_{A_1+a_2}\phi_1)^2 + \phi_1^4 + i\pi(A_1 + A_2)a_2], \quad (\text{A.24})$$

which governs the transition between the SSBD and SPT phases. Taking the first mass squared to be negative gives

$$\begin{aligned} S_9^{M_1^2 < 0} &= \int [(D_{a_2}\phi_2)^2 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi(A_1 + A_2)a_2 + i\pi s(A_1 + a_2)] \\ &= \int [(D_{A_1}\phi_1)^2 + \phi_1^4 + i\pi A_1 A_2], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.25})$$

which is the gapless SPT that governs the transition between the SPT and SSB1 phases. Taking the second mass squared to be negative gives

$$S_9^{M_2^2 < 0} = \int [(D_{A_1+a_2}\phi_1)^2 + \phi_1^4 + i\pi(A_1 + A_2)a_2 + i\pi s(a_2)] = \int [(D_{A_1}\phi_1)^2 + \phi_1^4], \quad (\text{A.26})$$

which governs the transition between the SSB1 and trivial phases.

Z_4 has the action:

$$S_4 = \int [(D_{A_1+a_2}\phi_1)^2 + (D_{a_2}\phi_2)^2 + \phi_1^4 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi a_2 A_2], \quad (\text{A.27})$$

Taking the first scalar mass squared to be positive gives

$$S_4^{M_1^2 > 0} = \int [(D_{a_2}\phi_2)^2 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi a_2 A_2] = \int [(D_{A_1}\phi_2)^2 + \phi_2^4], \quad (\text{A.28})$$

which is an Ising CFT that governs the transition between the trivial and SSB2 phases. Taking the second mass squared to be positive gives

$$S_4^{M_2^2 > 0} = \int [(D_{A_1+a_2}\phi_1)^2 + \phi_1^4 + i\pi a_2 A_2], \quad (\text{A.29})$$

which governs the transition between the SSB2 and SPT phases. Taking the first mass squared to be negative gives

$$\begin{aligned} S_4^{M_1^2 < 0} &= \int [(D_{a_2}\phi_2)^2 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi a_2 A_2 + i\pi s(A_1 + a_2)] \\ &= \int [(D_{A_1}\phi_2)^2 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi A_1 A_2], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.30})$$

which is the gapless SPT that governs the transition between the SPT and SSB1 phases. Taking the second mass squared to be negative yields

$$S_4^{M_2^2 < 0} = \int [(D_{A_1+a_2}\phi_1)^2 + \phi_1^4 + i\pi a_2 A_2 + i\pi s a_2] = \int [(D_{A_1}\phi_1)^2 + \phi_1^4], \quad (\text{A.31})$$

which governs the transition between the trivial and SSB1 phases.

Z_3 has the action:

$$S_3 = \int [(D_{a_1+a_2}\phi_1)^2 + (D_{a_2}\phi_2)^2 + \phi_1^4 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi(a_2 A_2 + a_1 A_1)]. \quad (\text{A.32})$$

Taking the first scalar mass squared to be positive gives

$$S_3^{M_1^2 > 0} = \int [(D_{a_2}\phi_2)^2 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi(a_2 A_2 + a_1 A_1)], \quad (\text{A.33})$$

which governs the transition between the SSB and SSB1 phases. Taking the second scalar mass squared to be positive gives

$$S_3^{M_2^2 > 0} = \int [(D_{a_1+a_2}\phi_1)^2 + \phi_1^4 + i\pi(a_2 A_2 + a_1 A_1)], \quad (\text{A.34})$$

which governs the transition between the SSB and SSBD phases. Taking the first scalar mass squared to be negative gives

$$\begin{aligned} S_3^{M_1^2 < 0} &= \int [(D_{a_2} \phi_2)^2 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi(a_2 A_2 + a_1 A_1) + i\pi s(a_1 + a_2)] \\ &= \int [(D_{a_2} \phi_2)^2 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi a_2(A_1 + A_2)], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.35})$$

which controls the phase transition between SSBD and trivial phases. Taking the second scalar mass squared to be negative gives

$$\begin{aligned} S_3^{M_2^2 < 0} &= \int [(D_{a_1+a_2} \phi_1)^2 + \phi_1^4 + i\pi(a_2 A_2 + a_1 A_1) + i\pi s a_2] = \int [(D_{a_1} \phi_1)^2 + \phi_1^4 + i\pi a_1 A_1] \\ &= \int [(D_{A_1} \phi_1)^2 + \phi_1^4], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.36})$$

where the first equality comes from integrating out the Lagrange multiplier and the second equality is Kramers-Wannier duality. This theory governs the transition between the SSB1 and trivial phases.

Z_2 has the action:

$$S_2 = \int [(D_{a_1+a_2} \phi_1)^2 + (D_{a_2} \phi_2)^2 + \phi_1^4 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi(a_2 A_2 + a_1 A_1 + A_1 A_2)]. \quad (\text{A.37})$$

Taking the first mass squared to be positive, we obtain

$$S_2^{M_1^2 > 0} = \int [(D_{a_2} \phi_2)^2 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi(a_2 A_2 + a_1 A_1 + A_1 A_2)], \quad (\text{A.38})$$

which governs the transition between the SSB and SSB1 phases. Taking the second mass squared to be positive, we obtain

$$S_2^{M_2^2 > 0} = \int [(D_{a_1+a_2} \phi_1)^2 + \phi_1^4 + i\pi(a_2 A_2 + a_1 A_1 + A_1 A_2)], \quad (\text{A.39})$$

, which controls the transition between the SSB and SSBD phases. Taking the first mass squared to be negative, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_2^{M_1^2 < 0} &= \int [(D_{a_2} \phi_2)^2 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi(a_2 A_2 + a_1 A_1 + A_1 A_2 + s(a_1 + a_2))] \\ &= \int [(D_{a_2} \phi_2)^2 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi a_2(A_1 + A_2) + i\pi A_1 A_2], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.40})$$

which governs the transition between SSBD and the SPT phases. Taking the second mass squared to be negative yields

$$\begin{aligned} S_2^{M_2^2 < 0} &= \int [(D_{a_1+a_2} \phi_1)^2 + \phi_1^4 + i\pi(a_2 A_2 + a_1 A_1 + A_1 A_2 + s a_2)] \\ &= \int [(D_{a_1} \phi_1)^2 + \phi_1^4 + i\pi(a_1 A_1 + A_1 A_2)] = \int [(D_{A_1} \phi_1)^2 + \phi_1^4 + i\pi A_1 A_2], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.41})$$

which is a gapless SPT that governs the transition between the SSB1 and SPT phases.

Z_1 has the action:

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \int [(D_{a_1+a_2}\phi_1)^2 + (D_{a_2}\phi_2)^2 + \phi_1^4 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi(a_2A_2 + a_1s_1 + s_1A_2 + s_1A_1)] \\ &= \int [(D_{A_1+A_2+a_2}\phi_1)^2 + (D_{a_2}\phi_2)^2 + \phi_1^4 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi a_2A_2]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.42})$$

Taking the first mass squared to be positive, we obtain

$$S_1^{M_1^2>0} = \int [(D_{a_2}\phi_2)^2 + \phi_2^4 + i\pi a_2A_2] = \int [(D_{A_2}\phi_2)^2 + \phi_2^4] \quad (\text{A.43})$$

, which controls the transition between the trivial and SSB2 phases. Taking the second mass squared to be positive gives

$$S_1^{M_2^2>0} = \int [(D_{A_1+A_2+a_2}\phi_1)^2 + \phi_1^4 + i\pi a_2A_2], \quad (\text{A.44})$$

which governs the transition between the SSB2 and SPT phases. Taking the first mass squared to be negative gives

$$S_1^{M_1^2<0} = \int [(D_{A_1+A_2}\phi)^2 + \phi^4 + i\pi A_1A_2], \quad (\text{A.45})$$

which controls the transition between the SPT and SSBD phases. Taking the second mass squared to be negative gives

$$S_1^{M_2^2<0} = \int [(D_{A_1+A_2}\phi)^2 + \phi^4], \quad (\text{A.46})$$

which is an Ising CFT that governs the transition the trivial and SSBD phases.

B Fermionic Actions

In this appendix, we verify both the phase diagrams and the theories at their center and on their axes by writing and deforming explicit actions for the CFTs in question.

B.1 Actions for Multi-Critical CFTs and Verifying the Phase Diagram

We now discuss the phase diagram in detail. To do so, we start from Majorana \boxtimes Ising and apply S_F , O_F , and π_F to obtain explicit Lagrangian descriptions of all nine multicritical CFTs. We then deform their relevant parameters and verify that we obtain the correct phase diagram. We begin with Z_6 , which is Majorana + Ising, with the action:

$$S_6 = \int (\bar{\chi}\not{D}_{C\rho}\chi + (D_A\phi)^2 + \phi^4). \quad (\text{B.1})$$

The phase diagram for this CFT is discussed above.

Moving to Z_5 is a matter of applying π_F . The resulting CFT has the action

$$S_5 = \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{(C+A)\rho} \chi + (D_A \phi)^2 + \phi^4). \quad (\text{B.2})$$

This indeed has the right phase diagram: the scalar mass takes us from symmetry broken to symmetry unbroken phase, negative fermion mass adds and $\text{Arf}[(C+A) \cdot \rho]$, so in total we get Kitaev+Gu-Wen, Trivial, SSB+Kitaev, and SSB as expected.

Applying S_F moves us to Z_7 . The resulting CFT is described by the action

$$S_7 = \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{(C+A)\rho} \chi + (D_A \phi)^2 + \phi^4) + i\pi \text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho] \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Once again it is easy to see that this has the correct phase diagram. Compared to CFT 5 we add an extra $\text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho]$. So the SSB and SSB+Kitaev get exchanged, and Gu-Wen turns into Kitaev+Gu-Wen.

From Z_7 , we map to Z_8 by applying π_F . The resulting action is

$$S_8 = \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{C\rho} \chi + (D_A \phi)^2 + \phi^4) + i\pi \text{Arf}[(C+A) \cdot \rho]. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

As expected, negative scalar mass squared gives the two SSB phases with and without the Arf, positive scalar mass squared gives either only the Arf of $C+A$ or both Arf's, that is Kitaev+Gu-Wen and Gu-Wen.

To map from Z_8 to Z_9 , we apply O_F , giving

$$\begin{aligned} S_9 &= \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{C\rho} \chi + (D_a \phi)^2 + \phi^4) + i\pi [\text{Arf}[(C+a) \cdot \rho] + \int aA] \\ &= \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{C\rho} \chi + (D_a \phi)^2 + \phi^4) + i\pi [\text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho] + \text{Arf}[a \cdot \rho] + \text{Arf}[\rho] + \int a(A+C)] \\ &= \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{C\rho} \chi + \bar{\xi} \not{D}_{(A+C)\rho} \xi) + i\pi \text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho] = \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{C\rho} \chi + \bar{\xi} \not{D}_{(A+C)\rho} \xi), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

which describes two free Majorana fermions, as promised. In the first equality, we use the quadratic property of the Arf invariant. In the second, we use the fact that fermionizing Ising gives Majorana, and in the third equality, we note that Majorana eats Kitaev¹³. We now turn to the phase diagram. If both Majorana masses are positive, we obtain the trivial phase. If both Majorana masses are negative, we obtain the GW phase. If one Majorana mass is positive and the other negative, we get either K or GW+K, depending on which mass has which sign.

Z_9 is a dead end, so we return to Z_7 . Applying O_F maps us to Z_4 , which has the action

$$S_4 = \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{(C+a)\rho} \chi + (D_a \phi)^2 + \phi^4 + i\pi aA) + i\pi \text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho] \quad (\text{B.6})$$

¹³Somewhat gruesome sounding since both theories are named after people.

This is our first action in which the dynamical gauge field plays a crucial role. We can again see the phases easily. For the first time we encounter a phase diagram with only one SSB phase, for which the gauging of the scalar is crucial. Positive fermion and scalar mass squared leaves a as a Lagrange multiplier giving a $\delta(A)$ - the expected SSB+K case. For positive scalar mass squared and negative fermion mass, a appears in an $\text{Arf}[(C+a)\cdot\rho]$ term, and so the sum over a , using (3.11) and (3.4), gives the sum of the two Arf's and so Kitaev+Gu-Wen once we take the extra $\text{Arf}[C\cdot\rho]$ into account. For negative scalar mass squared this time we get a $\delta(a)$ for the dynamical gauge field and so the entire scalar plus flux phase decouples. Depending on fermion mass we get, as expected, Kitaev or the trivial theory.

To obtain Z_3 , we apply S_F , yielding

$$S_3 = \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{(C+a)\rho} \chi + (D_a \phi)^2 + \phi^4 + i\pi a A). \quad (\text{B.7})$$

Let us begin by examining the phase diagram. Positive Majorana and scalar mass lead to an SSB phase. Positive Majorana mass and negative scalar mass lead to the trivial phase. Negative Majorana mass and positive scalar mass gives the action $i\pi \text{Arf}[(C+a)\cdot\rho] + i\pi \int a A = i\pi [\text{Arf}[C\cdot\rho] + \text{Arf}[a\cdot\rho] + \text{Arf}[\rho] + \int a(C+A) = i\pi [\text{Arf}[(C+A)\cdot\rho] + \text{Arf}[\rho]]$, so we get the Gu-Wen phase. Negative Majorana mass and negative scalar mass lead to Kitaev.

π_F maps us to Z_2 , which has the action

$$S_2 = \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{(C+A+a)\rho} \chi + (D_a \phi)^2 + \phi^4 + i\pi a A). \quad (\text{B.8})$$

Let's begin by discussing the phase diagram associated to this CFT. Positive Majorana and scalar masses deform the theory to the SSB phase. Negative Majorana mass and positive scalar mass combine to deform the theory to Gu-Wen. For positive Majorana mass and negative scalar mass, the theory deforms to the trivial theory. For negative Majorana mass and negative scalar mass, the theory deforms to Kitaev+Gu-Wen.

Finally, we act with S_F to obtain Z_1 , whose action is

$$S_1 = \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{(C+A+a)\rho} \chi + (D_a \phi)^2 + \phi^4 + i\pi a A) + i\pi \text{Arf}[C\cdot\rho]. \quad (\text{B.9})$$

Let us briefly verify the phase diagram. For positive Majorana and scalar mass, the theory deforms to the SSB + K phase. For positive Majorana mass and negative scalar mass, the theory deforms to the K phase. For negative Majorana and positive scalar mass, the theory deforms to the GW + K phase. For negative Majorana and negative scalar mass, the theory deforms to the GW phase. Thus, it possesses the correct phase diagram.

B.2 Actions for Partially Gapped Theories

We now show how these possibilities are realized in our collection of CFTs by explicitly deforming one of the parameters and cataloging the results. We begin with Z_6 , which is Majorana + Ising. It has the action:

$$S_6 = \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{C\rho} \chi + (D_A \phi)^2 + \phi^4). \quad (\text{B.10})$$

The axis between Kitaev and SSB + Kitaev corresponds to taking the Majorana mass to be negative, in which case we obtain

$$S_6^{m<0} = \int ((D_A\phi)^2 + \phi^4) + i\pi \text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho], \quad (\text{B.11})$$

the gapless topological phase Ising + Kitaev, which mediates the transition between K and SSB + Kitaev. If we instead take the Majorana mass to be positive, we get

$$S_6^{m>0} = \int ((D_A\phi)^2 + \phi^4) \quad (\text{B.12})$$

Of course, this is the Ising CFT, which mediates the transition between the \mathbb{Z}_2 trivial and \mathbb{Z}_2 SSB phases. Taking $M^2 > 0$, we obtain

$$S_6^{M^2>0} = \int \bar{\chi} \not{D}_{C\rho} \chi, \quad (\text{B.13})$$

which is simply the Majorana CFT that mediates the transition between the trivial and Kitaev phases. Taking $M^2 < 0$ gives

$$S_6^{M^2<0} = \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{C\rho} \chi + i\pi s A), \quad (\text{B.14})$$

where s is an auxiliary \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge field that can be integrate out to give a delta function in the path integral. Thus, this theory is the product of the Majorana CFT and a \mathbb{Z}_2 SSB phase. It mediates the transition between SSB and SSB + Kitaev.

Z_5 has the action

$$S_5 = \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{(C+A)\rho} \chi + (D_A\phi)^2 + \phi^4). \quad (\text{B.15})$$

The axis between Kitaev + Gu-Wen and SSB + Kitaev again corresponds to negative Majorana mass, so the relevant theory is

$$S_5^{m<0} = \int ((D_A\phi)^2 + \phi^4) + i\pi \text{Arf}[(C + A) \cdot \rho], \quad (\text{B.16})$$

which describes the gapless topological phase Ising + (Kitaev + Gu-Wen). Note that since π_F only affects the spin portion of the theory, we could have simply applied it to Ising + Kitaev. If we instead consider positive Majorana mass, we again obtain the Ising CFT, which mediates the transition between the SSB and trivial phases. If we consider $M^2 > 0$, we obtain

$$S_5^{M^2>0} = \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{(C+A)\rho} \chi), \quad (\text{B.17})$$

which is a Majorana CFT that mediates the transition between the trivial and Kitaev + Gu-Wen phases. Note that this CFT is coupled to a different spin structure than the one described by $S_6^{M^2>0}$. Finally,

$$S_5^{M^2<0} = \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{(C+A)\rho} \chi + i\pi s A), \quad (\text{B.18})$$

which is a SSB + Majorana CFT that mediates the transition between the SSB and SSB + Kitaev phases.

Z_7 has by the action

$$S_7 = \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{(C+A)\rho} \chi + (D_A \phi)^2 + \phi^4) + i\pi \text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho] \quad (\text{B.19})$$

We can turn on a positive Majorana mass, yielding the action

$$S_7^{m>0} = \int ((D_A \phi)^2 + \phi^4) + i\pi \text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho], \quad (\text{B.20})$$

which describes the gapless topological Ising+Kitaev mediating the transition between Kitaev and SSB+Kitaev. Turning on a negative Majorana mass gives

$$S_7^{m<0} = \int ((D_A \phi)^2 + \phi^4) + i\pi \text{Arf}[(C + A) \cdot \rho] + i\pi \text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho], \quad (\text{B.21})$$

which describes the gapless SPT the mediates the phase transition between the SSB and Gu-Wen phases. We can take $M^2 > 0$ to obtain

$$S_7^{M^2>0} = \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{(C+A)\rho} \chi) + i\pi \text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho], \quad (\text{B.22})$$

which mediates the phase transition between Kiteav and Gu-Wen. Finally, turning on a positive scalar mass yields

$$S_7^{M^2<0} = \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{(C+A)\rho} \chi + i\pi sA) + i\pi \text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho], \quad (\text{B.23})$$

which mediates the phase transition between the SSB + Kitaev and SSB phases.

Z_8 has the action

$$S_8 = \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{C\rho} \chi + (D_A \phi)^2 + \phi^4) + i\pi \text{Arf}[(C + A) \cdot \rho]. \quad (\text{B.24})$$

We begin our investigation of the theories on the axes by turning on a positive Majorana mass, yielding

$$S_8^{m>0} = \int ((D_A \phi)^2 + \phi^4) + i\pi \text{Arf}[(C + A) \cdot \rho], \quad (\text{B.25})$$

which describes the gapless topological phase Ising + (K + GW) that mediates the phase transition between SSB + Kitaev and Kitaev + Gu-Wen. If we instead turn on a negative Majorana mass, we obtain

$$S_8^{m<0} = \int ((D_A \phi)^2 + \phi^4) + i\pi \text{Arf}[(C + A) \cdot \rho] + i\pi \text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho], \quad (\text{B.26})$$

which is the Ising + GW gapless SPT that mediates the transition between the SSB and Gu-Wen phases. If we turn on a positive M^2 , we obtain

$$S_8^{M^2>0} = \int \bar{\chi} \not{D}_{C\rho} \chi + i\pi \text{Arf}[(C + A) \cdot \rho], \quad (\text{B.27})$$

which is a gapless topological phase Majorana + (GW + K) that controls the phase transition between Gu-Wen and Gu-Wen+Kitaev. Finally, a negative M^2 begets

$$S_8^{M^2 < 0} = \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{C\rho} \chi + i\pi s A) + i\pi \text{Arf}[(C + A) \cdot \rho], \quad (\text{B.28})$$

which mediates the transition between the SSB+Kitaev and SSB phases.

Z_9 has the action

$$S_9 = \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{C\rho} \chi + \bar{\xi} \not{D}_{(A+C)\rho} \xi), \quad (\text{B.29})$$

We can take the first Majorana mass to be negative, yielding

$$S_9^{m_1 < 0} = \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{C\rho} \chi) + i\pi \text{Arf}[(A + C) \cdot \rho], \quad (\text{B.30})$$

describing the gapless topological phase Majorana + (K + GW), which mediates the transition between Gu-Wen and Kitaev + Gu-Wen. Flipping the sign of the mass gives

$$S_9^{m_1 > 0} = \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{C\rho} \chi), \quad (\text{B.31})$$

which is a Majorana CFT describing the transition between the trivial and Kitaev phases. We can instead turn on the other Majorana mass. A negative m_2 provides

$$S_9^{m_2 < 0} = \int (\bar{\xi} \not{D}_{(C+A)\rho} \xi) + i\pi \text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho], \quad (\text{B.32})$$

which describes the gapless topological phase Majorana + Kitaev that mediates the phase transition between Gu-Wen and Kitaev. The final axis contains the theory described by the action

$$S_9^{m_2 > 0} = \int \bar{\xi} \not{D}_{(C+A)\rho} \xi, \quad (\text{B.33})$$

which is again the Majorana CFT that mediates the transition between Gu-Wen + Kitaev and the trivial phase.

Z_4 has the action

$$S_4 = \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{(C+a)\rho} \chi + (D_a \phi)^2 + \phi^4 + i\pi a A) + i\pi \text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho] \quad (\text{B.34})$$

Let's explore what's on the axes by turning on one deformation at a time. If we turn on a positive Majorana mass, we have

$$S_4^{m > 0} = \int ((D_a \phi)^2 + \phi^4 + i\pi a A) + i\pi \text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho] = \int ((D_A \phi)^2 + \phi^4) + i\pi \text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho], \quad (\text{B.35})$$

where the second equality is Kramers-Wannier duality. This is the gapless topological phase Ising + Kitaev that mediates the transition between SSB + Kitaev and Kitaev. Flipping the

sign of the mass provides

$$\begin{aligned}
S_4^{m<0} &= \int ((D_a\phi)^2 + \phi^4 + i\pi aA) + i\pi \text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho] + i\pi \text{Arf}[(C+a) \cdot \rho] \\
&= \int ((D_a\phi)^2 + \phi^4 + i\pi a(A+C)) + i\pi \text{Arf}[a \cdot \rho] + i\pi \text{Arf}[\rho] \\
&= \int \bar{\chi} \mathcal{D}_{(A+C)\rho} \chi, \quad (\text{B.36})
\end{aligned}$$

which is a Majorana CFT that describes the transition between the trivial and K+GW phases. Turning on a positive scalar mass gives

$$\begin{aligned}
S_4^{M^2>0} &= \int (\bar{\chi} \mathcal{D}_{(C+a)\rho} \chi + i\pi aA) + i\pi \text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho] \\
&= \int (\bar{\chi} \mathcal{D}_{a'\rho} \chi + i\pi(a'+C)A) + i\pi \text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho] \\
&= \int (\bar{\chi} \mathcal{D}_{a'\rho} \chi + i\pi aA) + i\pi \text{Arf}[(C+A) \cdot \rho] + i\pi \text{Arf}[A \cdot \rho] + i\pi \text{Arf}[\rho] \\
&= \int ((D_A\phi)^2 + \phi^4) + i\pi \text{Arf}[(C+A) \cdot \rho]. \quad (\text{B.37})
\end{aligned}$$

Above, the second equality comes from relabeling integration variables, the third equality comes from the quadratic property of the Arf invariant, and the fourth equality comes from the fact that bosonizing the Majorana fermion gives the Ising model. The resulting theory is the gapless topological phase Ising + (Kitaev + Gu-Wen) that mediates the phase transition between SSB + Kitaev and Kitaev + Gu-Wen. Finally, turning on a negative scalar mass gives

$$S_4^{M^2<0} = \int \bar{\chi} \mathcal{D}_{C\rho} \chi + i\pi \text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho], \quad (\text{B.38})$$

which is the gapless topological phase Majorana + Kitaev. It controls the transition between the trivial and Kitaev phases.

Z_3 has the action

$$S_3 = \int (\bar{\chi} \mathcal{D}_{(C+a)\rho} \chi + (D_a\phi)^2 + \phi^4 + i\pi aA). \quad (\text{B.39})$$

We move to the theories on the axes. We begin by considering massive fermions. With positive mass, we obtain

$$S_3^{m>0} = \int ((D_a\phi)^2 + \phi^4 + i\pi aA) = \int ((D_A\phi)^2 + \phi^4), \quad (\text{B.40})$$

which is the Ising CFT that mediates the phase transition between SSB and trivial phases. A negative Majorana mass gives

$$\begin{aligned}
S_3^{m<0} &= \int ((D_a\phi)^2 + \phi^4 + i\pi aA) + i\pi \text{Arf}[(C+a) \cdot \rho] \\
&= \int ((D_a\phi)^2 + \phi^4 + i\pi aA) + i\pi [\text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho] + \text{Arf}[a \cdot \rho] + \text{Arf}[\rho] + \int aC], \quad (\text{B.41})
\end{aligned}$$

which governs the transition between Gu-Wen and Kitaev phases. Now we endow the scalars with mass. For positive mass, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
S_3^{M^2>0} &= \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{(C+a)\rho} \chi + i\pi a A) = \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{a'\rho} \chi + i\pi(a' + C)A) \\
&= \int ((D_A \phi)^2 + \phi^4 + i\pi C A) + i\pi [\text{Arf}[A \cdot \rho] + \text{Arf}[\rho]] \\
&= \int ((D_A \phi)^2 + \phi^4) + i\pi [\text{Arf}[(C + A) \cdot \rho] + \text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho]]. \quad (\text{B.42})
\end{aligned}$$

Above, the second equality follows from relabeling an integration variable, the second from bosonizing the Majorana theory, and the third from the quadratic property of the Arf invariant. The result is the gapless SPT Ising + Gu-Wen that mediates the transition between the Gu-Wen and SSB phases. For negative mass, we obtain

$$S_3^{M^2<0} = \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{(C+a)\rho} \chi + i\pi A a + s a) = \int [\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{(C)\rho} \chi], \quad (\text{B.43})$$

which is a Majorana CFT that governs the transition between the trivial and Kitaev phases.

Z_2 has the action

$$S_2 = \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{(C+A+a)\rho} \chi + (D_a \phi)^2 + \phi^4 + i\pi a A). \quad (\text{B.44})$$

Let's now turn on one relevant deformation at a time. For positive Majorana mass, we obtain

$$S_2^{m>0} = \int ((D_a \phi)^2 + \phi^4 + i\pi a A) = \int ((D_A \phi)^2 + \phi^4), \quad (\text{B.45})$$

which is the Ising CFT that governs the transition between the SSB and trivial phase. For negative Majorana mass, we obtain:

$$S_2^{m<0} = \int ((D_a \phi)^2 + \phi^4 + i\pi a A) + i\pi \text{Arf}[(A + C + a) \cdot \rho], \quad (\text{B.46})$$

which controls the phase transition between Kitaev + Gu-Wen and Gu-Wen. For positive scalar mass, we obtain

$$S_2^{M^2>0} = \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{(C+A+a)\rho} \chi + i\pi a A), \quad (\text{B.47})$$

which controls the transition between SSB and Gu-Wen phases. For negative scalar mass,

$$S_2^{M^2<0} = \int \bar{\chi} \not{D}_{(C+A)\rho} \chi, \quad (\text{B.48})$$

which governs the transition between Gu-Wen + Kitaev and trivial phases.

Z_1 has the action:

$$S_1 = \int (\bar{\chi} \not{D}_{(C+A+a)\rho} \chi + (D_a \phi)^2 + \phi^4 + i\pi a A) + i\pi \text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho]. \quad (\text{B.49})$$

We now move to deforming parameters. For positive Majorana mass, we have

$$S_1^{m>0} = \int ((D_a\phi)^2 + \phi^4 + i\pi aA) + i\pi \text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho] = \int ((D_A\phi)^2 + \phi^4) + i\pi \text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho]. \quad (\text{B.50})$$

This is the gapless topological phase Ising + K that presides over the phase transition between Kitaev and SSB+Kitaev. For negative Majorana mass, we obtain

$$S_1^{m<0} = \int ((D_a\phi)^2 + \phi^4 + i\pi aA) + i\pi \text{Arf}[(C + A + a) \cdot \rho] + i\pi \text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho], \quad (\text{B.51})$$

which governs the transition between Gu-Wen and Gu-Wen+Kitaev (to show the latter, one needs to invoke the quadratic property of the Arf invariant and integrate out a). For positive scalar mass, we obtain

$$S_1^{M^2>0} = \int (\bar{\chi} \mathcal{D}_{(C+A+a)\rho} \chi + i\pi aA) + i\pi \text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho], \quad (\text{B.52})$$

which controls the transition between SSB+Kitaev and Kitaev + Gu-Wen (to show the latter, expand the Arf invariant using the quadratic property and integrate out a). Finally, negative scalar mass gives

$$S_1^{M^2<0} = \int \bar{\chi} \mathcal{D}_{(C+A)\rho} \chi + i\pi \text{Arf}[C \cdot \rho], \quad (\text{B.53})$$

which describes the transition between Kitaev and Gu-Wen.

References

- [1] A. Karch and D. Tong, *Particle-Vortex Duality from 3d Bosonization*, *Phys. Rev. X* **6** (2016), no. 3 031043, [[1606.01893](#)].
- [2] N. Seiberg, T. Senthil, C. Wang, and E. Witten, *A Duality Web in 2+1 Dimensions and Condensed Matter Physics*, *Annals Phys.* **374** (2016) 395–433, [[1606.01989](#)].
- [3] A. Kapustin and M. J. Strassler, *On mirror symmetry in three-dimensional Abelian gauge theories*, *JHEP* **04** (1999) 021, [[hep-th/9902033](#)].
- [4] A. M. Polyakov, *Fermi-Bose Transmutations Induced by Gauge Fields*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **3** (1988) 325.
- [5] N. Shaji, R. Shankar, and M. Sivakumar, *On Bose-fermi Equivalence in a $U(1)$ Gauge Theory With Chern-Simons Action*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **5** (1990) 593.
- [6] S. K. Paul, R. Shankar, and M. Sivakumar, *Fermionization of selfinteracting charged scalar fields coupled to Abelian Chern-Simons gauge fields in (2+1)-dimensions*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **6** (1991) 553–558.
- [7] E. H. Fradkin and F. A. Schaposnik, *The Fermion - boson mapping in three-dimensional quantum field theory*, *Phys. Lett. B* **338** (1994) 253–258, [[hep-th/9407182](#)].
- [8] W. Chen, M. P. A. Fisher, and Y.-S. Wu, *Mott transition in an anyon gas*, *Phys. Rev. B* **48** (1993) 13749–13761, [[cond-mat/9301037](#)].

- [9] M. Barkeshli and J. McGreevy, *Continuous transition between fractional quantum Hall and superfluid states*, *Phys. Rev. B* **89** (2014), no. 23 235116, [[1201.4393](#)].
- [10] M. E. Peskin, *Mandelstam 't Hooft Duality in Abelian Lattice Models*, *Annals Phys.* **113** (1978) 122.
- [11] C. Dasgupta and B. I. Halperin, *Phase Transition in a Lattice Model of Superconductivity*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **47** (1981) 1556–1560.
- [12] D. T. Son, *Is the Composite Fermion a Dirac Particle?*, *Phys. Rev. X* **5** (2015), no. 3 031027, [[1502.03446](#)].
- [13] A. Karch, D. Tong, and C. Turner, *A Web of 2d Dualities: \mathbf{Z}_2 Gauge Fields and Arf Invariants*, *SciPost Phys.* **7** (2019) 007, [[1902.05550](#)].
- [14] T. Senthil, D. T. Son, C. Wang, and C. Xu, *Duality between $(2+1)d$ Quantum Critical Points*, *Phys. Rept.* **827** (2019) 1–48, [[1810.05174](#)].
- [15] P. Jordan and E. P. Wigner, *About the Pauli exclusion principle*, *Z. Phys.* **47** (1928) 631–651.
- [16] H. A. Kramers and G. H. Wannier, *Statistics of the two-dimensional ferromagnet. Part 1.*, *Phys. Rev.* **60** (1941) 252–262.
- [17] T. Kennedy and H. Tasaki, *Hidden $Z_2 \times Z_2$ symmetry breaking in Haldane-gap antiferromagnets*, *Phys. Rev. B* **45** (1992), no. 1 304.
- [18] L. Li, M. Oshikawa, and Y. Zheng, *Noninvertible duality transformation between symmetry-protected topological and spontaneous symmetry breaking phases*, *Phys. Rev. B* **108** (2023), no. 21 214429, [[2301.07899](#)].
- [19] L. Tsui, Y.-T. Huang, H.-C. Jiang, and D.-H. Lee, *The phase transitions between $Z_n \times Z_n$ bosonic topological phases in $1+1D$, and a constraint on the central charge for the critical points between bosonic symmetry protected topological phases*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **919** (2017) 470–503, [[1701.00834](#)].
- [20] J. Kaidi, K. Ohmori, and Y. Zheng, *Symmetry TFTs for Non-invertible Defects*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **404** (2023), no. 2 1021–1124, [[2209.11062](#)].
- [21] E. Witten, *$SL(2, Z)$ action on three-dimensional conformal field theories with Abelian symmetry*, in *From Fields to Strings: Circumnavigating Theoretical Physics: A Conference in Tribute to Ian Kogan*, pp. 1173–1200, 7, 2003. [hep-th/0307041](#).
- [22] D.-C. Lu, Z. Sun, and Y.-Z. You, *Realizing triality and p -ality by lattice twisted gauging in $(1+1)d$ quantum spin systems*, *SciPost Phys.* **17** (2024), no. 5 136, [[2405.14939](#)].
- [23] T. Lichtman, R. Thorngren, N. H. Lindner, A. Stern, and E. Berg, *Bulk anyons as edge symmetries: Boundary phase diagrams of topologically ordered states*, *Phys. Rev. B* **104** (2021), no. 7 075141, [[2003.04328](#)].
- [24] A. Chatterjee and X.-G. Wen, *Holographic theory for continuous phase transitions: Emergence and symmetry protection of gaplessness*, *Phys. Rev. B* **108** (2023), no. 7 075105, [[2205.06244](#)].
- [25] H. Moradi, S. F. Moosavian, and A. Tiwari, *Topological holography: Towards a unification of Landau and beyond-Landau physics*, *SciPost Phys. Core* **6** (2023) 066, [[2207.10712](#)].
- [26] S.-J. Huang and M. Cheng, *Topological holography, quantum criticality, and boundary states*, [2310.16878](#).

- [27] D. Gaiotto and J. Kulp, *Orbifold groupoids*, *JHEP* **02** (2021) 132, [[2008.05960](#)].
- [28] R. Thorngren, A. Vishwanath, and R. Verresen, *Intrinsically gapless topological phases*, *Phys. Rev. B* **104** (2021), no. 7 075132, [[2008.06638](#)].
- [29] R. Wen and A. C. Potter, *Bulk-boundary correspondence for intrinsically gapless symmetry-protected topological phases from group cohomology*, *Phys. Rev. B* **107** (2023), no. 24 245127, [[2208.09001](#)].
- [30] L. Li, M. Oshikawa, and Y. Zheng, *Decorated Defect Construction of Gapless-SPT States*, [2204.03131](#).
- [31] R. Wen and A. C. Potter, *Classification of 1+1D gapless symmetry protected phases via topological holography*, [2311.00050](#).
- [32] L. Li, M. Oshikawa, and Y. Zheng, *Intrinsically/Purely Gapless-SPT from Non-Invertible Duality Transformations*, [2307.04788](#).
- [33] E. Tang and X.-G. Wen, *Interacting One-Dimensional Fermionic Symmetry-Protected Topological Phases*, [1204.0520](#).
- [34] R. Wen, W. Ye, and A. C. Potter, *Topological holography for fermions*, [2404.19004](#).
- [35] S.-J. Huang, *Fermionic quantum criticality through the lens of topological holography*, [2405.09611](#).
- [36] A. Kitaev, *Unpaired Majorana fermions in quantum wires*, *Phys. Usp.* **44** (2001), no. 10S 131–136, [[cond-mat/0010440](#)].
- [37] W. Ji, S.-H. Shao, and X.-G. Wen, *Topological Transition on the Conformal Manifold*, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **2** (2020), no. 3 033317, [[1909.01425](#)].
- [38] R. Thorngren and Y. Wang, *Fusion category symmetry. Part II. Categoriosities at $c = 1$ and beyond*, *JHEP* **07** (2024) 051, [[2106.12577](#)].