

IP-Composer: Semantic Composition of Visual Concepts

SARA DORFMAN, Tel Aviv University, Israel
 DANA COHEN-BAR, Tel Aviv University, Israel
 RINON GAL, NVIDIA, Israel
 DANIEL COHEN-OR, Tel Aviv University, Israel



Fig. 1. IP-Composer enables compositional generation from a set of visual concepts. These are portrayed through a set of input images, along with a prompt describing the desired concept to be extracted from each.

Content creators often draw inspiration from multiple visual sources, combining distinct elements to craft new compositions. Modern computational approaches now aim to emulate this fundamental creative process. Although recent diffusion models excel at text-guided compositional synthesis, text as a medium often lacks precise control over visual details. Image-based composition approaches can capture more nuanced features, but existing methods are typically limited in the range of concepts they can capture, and require expensive training procedures or specialized data. We present IP-Composer, a novel training-free approach for compositional image generation that leverages multiple image references simultaneously, while using natural language to describe the concept to be extracted from each image. Our method builds on IP-Adapter, which synthesizes novel images conditioned on an input image’s CLIP embedding. We extend this approach to multiple visual inputs by crafting composite embeddings, stitched from the projections of multiple input images onto concept-specific CLIP-subspaces identified through text. Through comprehensive evaluation, we show that our approach enables more precise control over a larger range of visual concept compositions.

1 INTRODUCTION

The ability to fuse visual concepts from different sources into a single cohesive composition is a fundamental aspect of developing novel, creative content. This approach mirrors our natural creative processes: selecting specific attributes, objects, and elements from various inspirations to craft something new and unique.

Extensive research has been conducted to enable such compositional image generation capabilities. The common approach relies on the unprecedented ability of recent diffusion models to synthesize images conditioned on natural language prompts. Since language is inherently composable, one can easily combine unrelated concepts through simple prompts. However, text-based methods often lack the detailed control and precision that is frequently required for more fine-grained applications [Gal et al. 2022; Ruiz et al. 2022; Zhang et al. 2023b]. To address these shortcomings, a more recent line of work focuses on manipulation and composition techniques based on image references [Lee et al. 2024; Richardson et al. 2024; Vinker et al. 2023; Zhang et al. 2023a]. As images are inherently more expressive and precise, these techniques are able to capture complex visual details that textual descriptions often fail to convey. Although powerful, these methods are frequently constrained by a limited range of concepts they can handle, or require computationally expensive per-concept training and fine-tuning that reduce their practicality and scalability.

Our work, IP-Composer, aims to address these limitations by introducing a highly flexible, training-free approach for compositional image generation that combines several concepts drawn from multiple visual sources. We build on IP-Adapter, an encoder-based approach that augments an existing text-to-image diffusion model

(e.g., SDXL) with a new image-condition input, allowing users to generate novel variations of the content shown in the conditioning image. Importantly, IP-Adapter employs CLIP as a feature extraction backbone.

Recently, it has been shown [Gandelsman et al. 2024] that CLIP’s attention heads span semantic subspaces of the CLIP embedding space. These subspaces are then characterized by finding textual descriptions whose CLIP embeddings span the same space. In their work, Gandelsman et al. [2024] demonstrate that this property can be used to improve the accuracy of CLIP-based classification, by simply subtracting projections to subspaces linked to background properties such as “snow” or “water”, which lead to spurious correlations. Our hypothesis is that if it is possible to remove a concept (“water background”) without harming the semantics of the rest of the embedding, then it may also be possible to replace it with a different instance from the same concept category, drawn from a different image.

To achieve this, we propose to first identify the CLIP-subspaces that are tied to the textually described concepts that we want to extract from each conditioning image. This is done by asking an LLM to generate a list of texts describing possible variations of each concept, encoding them into CLIP-space, and finding the subspace which they span. Then, we encode each image and project its CLIP-embedding onto its relevant concept subspace, extracting an isolated concept embedding. These extracted concept representations can then be recombined to create new composite embeddings that preserve the semantic meaning of each component. Finally, this computed embedding replaces the standard image encoding in the IP-Adapter pipeline, enabling the synthesis of novel images containing the composition of concepts (see fig. 1).

Notably, our approach bridges the gap between high-level conceptual control and fine-grained visual detail, using text to describe and select broad concepts, but specifying the unique instance of each concept through visual examples.

We compare our method with prior training-based approaches, and demonstrate that it not only allows for more general concept selection, but also competes favorably even in scenarios where training data is available. Compared to existing CLIP-based methods that rely on embeddings interpolation or concatenation, our approach achieves higher accuracy and robustness, enabling better control over a broader range of concepts with minimal attribute leakage.

All in all, our approach offers an intuitive, text-based and training-free method to generate images inspired by multiple visual concepts, opening new possibilities for creative content generation and visual exploration.

2 RELATED WORK

Controllable diffusion models. Text-to-image diffusion models [Balaji et al. 2022; Ho et al. 2020; Nichol et al. 2021; Ramesh et al. 2022; Rombach et al. 2021; Saharia et al. 2022] have emerged as a powerful paradigm for high-quality image generation, demonstrating remarkable capabilities in translating natural language descriptions into detailed images. As the technology matured, researchers explored various control mechanisms beyond text, including spatial controls such as segmentation masks [Couairon et al. 2022],

sketches [Voynov et al. 2023], depth maps [Bhat et al. 2023; Mou et al. 2024; Zhang et al. 2023c] and layout [Avrahami et al. 2023; Dahary et al. 2024; Li et al. 2023; Zheng et al. 2023]. While text and spatial controls offer structural guidance, they often fall short in precisely controlling style and appearance.

This limitation motivated the development of image-guided generation methods. One approach involves personalization through per-image optimization, either by fine-tuning token embeddings [Gal et al. 2022] or the model itself [Ruiz et al. 2022]. More efficient encoder-based approaches [Arar et al. 2023; Gal et al. 2023; Mou et al. 2024; Ruiz et al. 2023; Wei et al. 2023; Ye et al. 2023] have also emerged. Of these, IP-Adapter [Ye et al. 2023] employs a decoupled cross-attention mechanism to inject image features into the generation process. Our approach leverages a pre-trained IP-Adapter model to similarly inject image features into the generative process. However, we extend it to handle compositional generation, where multiple input images are used to describe an array of visual concepts that should appear in the generated outputs.

CLIP directions for image editing. The discovery of semantically meaningful directions in latent spaces was first demonstrated in GANs [Goodfellow et al. 2014; Karras et al. 2019] where moving along these trajectories enables controlled image editing operations [Shen et al. 2020]. Early unsupervised methods discovered these editing directions through various approaches: [Voynov and Babenko 2020] learned directions by predicting identifiable image transformations, GANSpace [Härkönen et al. 2020] employed PCA to find dominant directions in latent codes, and SeFa [Shen and Zhou 2021] analyzed generator weights directly to identify principal editing directions.

The emergence of CLIP [Radford et al. 2021], bridging visual and textual representations in a shared embedding space, revolutionized image editing by enabling text-guided manipulation. StyleClip [Patashnik et al. 2021] leveraged this capability by finding traversal directions that align images with textual descriptions. Abdal et al. [2021] proposed methods for discovering interpretable editing directions in CLIP space with automatic natural language descriptions. StyleGAN-NADA [Gal et al. 2021] took a different approach, using CLIP-space directions to enable zero-shot domain adaptation. Recent works [Baumann et al. 2024; Zhuang et al. 2024] have demonstrated image editing capabilities in Stable Diffusion by manipulating CLIP text embedding. Lastly, Guerrero-Viu et al. [2024] leveraged domain diffusion prior [Aggarwal et al. 2023; Ramesh et al. 2022] to create clusters of image embeddings for source and target prompts, enabling the discovery of disentangled directions specifically for texture image editing.

Our approach also explores CLIP’s embedding space. However, rather than finding directions of movement in CLIP space, we identify subspaces which encode specific semantic concepts. We then stitch new embeddings from the projections of different images on different concept spaces.

Compositional image generation. Recent works have explored various approaches to enable multi-condition control in image generation. For text-based control, [Liu et al. 2023a] improved multi-object generation by introducing methods to compose multiple text prompts coherently. For spatial and global controls, Composer

[Huang et al. 2023] trained a diffusion model that accepts multiple conditions at test-time, while Uni-ControlNet [Zhao et al. 2023] achieved similar capabilities with significantly reduced training costs by training two small adapters. Several works have focused on compositing elements from different images: ProSpect [Zhang et al. 2023a] introduced a step-aware prompt space to learn decomposed attributes from images for new compositions, while Lee et al. [2024] proposed learning disentangled concept encoders aligned with language-specified axes, enabling composition through concept remixing. Finally, pOps [Richardson et al. 2024] tunes a diffusion prior model [Ramesh et al. 2022] to learn semantic operators for element composition, though it requires training each operator on a suitable dataset, limiting its practical applications.

In contrast, our method enables compositional image generation using an off-the-shelf IP-Adapter model. Our approach leverages the ease of language-based controls to identify concept-specific CLIP subspaces, but uses image inputs to convey more specific details.

3 METHOD

We begin by describing our method for the simple case of creating a composition of two images. Given a reference image I_{ref} (typically one describing the background or scene layout) and a concept image I_c , we would like to output a composition depicting the concept c from I_c while obtaining the rest of the attributes from I_{ref} .

At the core of our method lies the ability to isolate and extract the “ c component” from a CLIP image embedding. Motivated by recent findings on the existence of different semantic subspaces in CLIP, we aim to construct a projection matrix P_c , which will be used to project CLIP image embeddings to obtain the encoding of the specific concept “ c ”.

Constructing The Projection Matrix. To construct a projection matrix for a concept c , we first gather a set of texts t_1, \dots, t_n , each describing an instance of the concept, with the aim of conceptually spanning its domain. To do so, we query a large language model (LLM) and simply ask it to create texts that span the concept’s attribute space. Next, using the CLIP text encoder $CLIP_t$, we obtain embeddings for the collected texts: $CLIP_t(t_1), \dots, CLIP_t(t_n)$. To extract the most relevant directions of this subspace, we apply Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) to the matrix of text embeddings. Let the combined embedding matrix be represented as:

$$E = [CLIP_t(t_1), \dots, CLIP_t(t_n)]^T, \quad (1)$$

where $E \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, n is the number of texts and d the embedding dimension. The SVD of E can be expressed as:

$$E = U\Sigma V^T, \quad (2)$$

where $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$. The rows of V , also referred to as the right singular vectors, represent directions in the embedding space. While it is a common practice to normalize the embeddings before constructing the matrix E , we observe improved performance when working with the unnormalized embeddings which also preserve the natural variation in the data.

Finally, we select the top r singular vectors (corresponding to the r largest singular values) from V . These vectors capture the most significant variations in the subspace corresponding to concept c .




Fig. 2. Method overview for a 2-image composition scenario. (top) We use an LLM to generate texts describing possible variations of a concept we want to extract from the concept-image. We encode the responses using CLIP, and find the embedding-subspace that they span. (bottom) We generate a composite CLIP-embedding by replacing the projection of the reference image on this embedding-subspace with the matching projection of the concept-image. The composite embedding can be used by an off-the-shelf IP-Adapter to generate images combining the reference and the visual concept. The same approach can be applied with additional concept images.

The projection matrix $P_c \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is then computed as:

$$P_c = V_r^T V_r, \quad (3)$$

where $V_r \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times d}$ contains the top r singular vectors. The value of r is selected empirically, and depends on the nature of the concept. In practice, the same r can often be used for most concepts, but broader concepts like “animals” commonly benefit from utilizing more directions than specific concepts like “dog breeds”.

Image Composition. We aim to create a composite embedding that jointly encodes the concept c from I_c while preserving the remaining attributes of I_{ref} . To achieve this, we simply replace the concept-space projection of I_{ref} with the projection of I_c . More concretely, the composite embedding is given by:

$$\mathbf{e}_{\text{comp}} = \mathbf{e}_{\text{ref}} - P_c \mathbf{e}_{\text{ref}} + P_c \mathbf{e}_c, \quad (4)$$

where \mathbf{e}_{ref} and \mathbf{e}_c are the CLIP embeddings of I_{ref} and I_c , respectively. This composite embedding \mathbf{e}_{comp} is then passed to the IP-Adapter to generate the final composed image I_{comp} , combining the attributes of I_{ref} with the concept instance extracted from I_c .

Generalization to Multiple Concepts. The same approach can be extended to K concepts, $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_K\}$, with corresponding projection matrices $\{P_{c_1}, P_{c_2}, \dots, P_{c_K}\}$ and concept images $\{I_{c_1}, I_{c_2}, \dots, I_{c_K}\}$. Here, the composed embedding is constructed by subtracting the concept-space projections of the reference embedding and adding the matching concept embedding from each source image:

$$\mathbf{e}_{\text{comp}} = \mathbf{e}_{\text{ref}} - \sum_{k=1}^K P_{c_k} \mathbf{e}_{\text{ref}} + \sum_{k=1}^K P_{c_k} \mathbf{e}_{c_k}, \quad (5)$$

where \mathbf{e}_{c_k} represents the embedding of the concept image I_{c_k} . Note that we do not subtract the projection of each concept on the subspaces of the other concepts as we find empirically that this makes the compositions more sensitive to the choice of the number of singular vectors r_c used for each concept.




Fig. 3. Examples of visual concept compositions enabled by IP-Composer. Our method can seamlessly tackle texture-based tasks like colorization and pattern changes, but also convey layouts or modify object-level content.

Implementation Details. We implement our method on top of a pre-trained SDXL [Podell et al. 2024] model using an IP-Adapter [Ye et al. 2023] encoder based on OpenCLIP-ViT-H-14 [Ilharco et al. 2021] (ip-adapter_sd-xl_vit-h). To generate concept variation descriptions, we used GPT-4o [OpenAI 2022] and asked for 150 prompts. In cases that require higher subspace dimensions (e.g. object insertion) we instead generated 500 prompts.

4 EXPERIMENTS

Qualitative Results. We begin by showcasing our method’s ability to generate novel images, composed of attributes derived from a set of input images. As demonstrated in Figures 1, 3, 12 and 13, our approach can handle a wide range of attributes. These range from injecting a subject into an existing scene, to conditioning generation on times-of-day, transferring patterns or clothing, or even mimicking poses. For many of these, collecting data to train a supervised model would be challenging, but our text-based approach can seamlessly handle them. Notably, our method is not restricted to input pairs, but can generalize to multiple conditioning components. However, this is restricted by the limited dimensionality of




Fig. 4. Results demonstrating our method’s ability to integrate text prompts alongside image embeddings, leveraging IP-Adapter’s built-in support for text conditioning.

the embedding space. With enough components, or when using components that require high subspace dimensions, we eventually observe leakage.

While most of our experiments involve only image-based conditioning, we note that our approach can also involve text conditioning, in the same fashion as the baseline IP-Adapter model. See Figure 4 for examples.

Qualitative Comparisons. Next, we compare our method against a set of baselines aimed at tackling the same composable generation task. Specifically, we consider three approaches: (1) pOps [Richardson et al. 2024], which fine-tunes a CLIP-conditioned model to accept multiple image inputs and combine them into a single embedding for a given task (e.g., texturing or object insertion). Importantly, this approach requires a per-task dataset of roughly 50,000 samples. We use the official pre-trained “scene” (subject insertion), “texturing” and “union” operators, where the last one is used as a default for scenarios that do not match the first two. (2) ProSpect [Zhang et al. 2023a], which inverts an image into a set of word embeddings [Gal et al. 2022], each containing a different aspect of the image (layout, materials etc.). New prompts can then combine embeddings from different images in order to create compositions. This approach requires lengthy per-image optimization, and is limited only to concepts which the diffusion model naturally decomposes when learning multiple embeddings. For pattern-object compositions, we follow ProSpect’s content-material separation scheme, while for other concept pairs where both inputs represent content (e.g. dog-background combination), we alternate between prompts from each concept during generation. (3) Describe & Compose, where we first ask a VLM [Liu et al. 2023b] to describe the desired concept in each image, and then create a new image conditioned on both text descriptions using Composable-Diffusion [Liu et al. 2023a].

Since ProSpect requires lengthy optimization per image, we conduct comparisons on a small set spanning 4 scenarios, each of which contains 2 images for each of 2 concepts (for a total of 16 combinations). Importantly, our set spans both scenarios where an object should be swapped (e.g., outfits) but also ones where an object is added. Our scenarios also span components that are typically represented at different steps of the diffusion process, from layout-affecting compositions (object insertion) to appearance changes (pattern transfer). Finally, our set contains both generated and real images, ensuring that the evaluated methods are not restricted to strictly in-domain inputs. For a fair comparison, we do not tune our subspace rank for each task, but set $r = 30$ for low-variation tasks like outfit replacement and $r = 120$ for high-variation tasks like pattern changes.

Sample qualitative results are shown in fig. 7. When compared to the training-based pOps, our method achieves comparable results on subject insertion without requiring any specialized datasets or model tuning. For tasks where no dedicated pOps encoder is available, we utilized their ‘union’ operator, as it is the most relevant for achieving the desired results. On these tasks, our approach significantly outperformed the results achieved by pOps, highlighting the generalization capabilities of our text-based approach. Compared to ProSpect, our approach can better handle layout changes and can tackle concepts which are not naturally separated when tuning




Fig. 5. Quantitative results mimic our qualitative observations, showing that IP-Composer can successfully compete with and even outperform existing training-based methods.




Fig. 6. User study results. Our approach is commonly preferred by users, even when compared with training-based methods.

multiple word embeddings. Finally, the description-based method struggles to convey the specifics of each concept and has significant leakage (cherry petals in the dog image, hat in the emotion transfer). As also shown in previous work [Gal et al. 2022], we further observe that the use of long descriptions makes the model more likely to discard parts of the prompt in the generated results.

Quantitative comparisons. To better evaluate the performance of our method, we conduct a quantitative evaluation. Here, we ask GPT-4 to create a description of the target concept in each image, then manually verify the description and modify it to ensure that it does not contain leakage or misses important attributes. We then compute the CLIP-space distance between the text describing each concept in an input pair and the generated image that aims to combine them. To further quantify the leakage of unwanted properties, we employ the same method to generate descriptions of all image properties *not related* to the concept that we aim to extract. We similarly measure the CLIP-space similarity between each generated image and these descriptions. However, here the goal is to achieve a lower score, indicating that the non-concept properties did not leak into the output.

The results are shown in fig. 5. These results mirror those of the qualitative evaluation, demonstrating that our approach can achieve high similarity to both desired concepts, while minimizing undesired leakage. Here, we additionally report results when tuning our




Fig. 7. Baseline comparisons. IP-Composer achieves comparable or better results than the baselines, including those trained on the task using task-specific data.



Fig. 8. Ablation results. IP-Composer outperforms alternative approaches for combining visual cues using an IP-Adapter backbone, allowing for more accurate concept specification and for reduced leakage.




Fig. 9. Quantitative ablation study. Our approach achieves comparable concept similarity to the most performant alternatives, but preserves higher similarity to the reference and has greatly reduced leakage.

method’s rank parameter for each individual task (“IP-Composer (Tuned)”). Doing so further enhances our performance, demonstrating that while even default parameters can achieve state-of-the-art results, a dedicated user has room to improve them further.

Finally, we verify our results using a user study. Here, we use a 2-alternative forced choice setup. We show each user a pair of input images with a caption denoting which concept should be extracted from each. Then, we show them an image generated by our method and an image generated by one of the baselines, and ask them to select the one that better combines the visual concepts from the two images. We collected a total of 560 responses from 35 different users. The results are reported in fig. 6, confirming that our approach is significantly preferred over existing baselines.



Fig. 10. When combining more than two concepts, we can either join them two at-a-time and generate an image to use as the reference for the next step, or combine many concepts at once. In some cases, the multi-step approach can reduce leakage of undesired features. However, it also increases the possibility of losing details from the input images, harming the end result.

Ablation. Next, we conduct an ablation study where we explore the use of different ways to combine IP-Adapter encodings for compositional generation. IP-Adapter itself first extracts a CLIP-embedding from each image, then converts these embeddings into a set of tokens which are used to condition the diffusion model through new cross-attention layers. Hence, we examine a scenario where we interpolate between the CLIP-embeddings of the two

input images, as well as one where we concatenate their IP-Adapter tokens before feeding them into the diffusion model. Finally, we also examine a scenario where we span the CLIP-subspace of a concept using images rather than text. Here, we use the same LLM-generated descriptions of variations of a concept in order to generate images depicting these variations. Then, we encode them using CLIP, and use their CLIP-embeddings to span the concept space.

We evaluate the approaches using the same metrics of the quantitative comparisons. However, since none of the approaches require per-image training, we expand our evaluation set to 150 images. Results are shown in figs. 8 and 9. Compared to concatenations and interpolations, our approach offers better control, with the ability to designate specific concepts to be extracted from an image and avoid significant leakage. The image-based approach suffers from increased leakage because the generated images tend to fill-in content unrelated to the prompt, such as the creation of an appropriate background. Since this content varies between the different images, it is represented in the dominant directions in the SVD.



Fig. 11. Limitations. Demonstrating the affect of concept entanglement/disentanglement on our method. (Top) When attempting to compose leopard pattern with a zebra’s body, the combination may produce giraffe-like features. (Bottom) When using descriptions that only specify outfit style, our method doesn’t transfer the outfit color, demonstrating the gap between CLIP-disentanglement and the common intuition. This can be resolved by using more specific concept prompts.

5 LIMITATIONS

While our approach is typically more general than current training-based approaches, it still has limitations. One limitation arises from surprising entanglements in the CLIP and diffusion feature spaces. For example, when attempting to combine a zebra’s body with a leopard fur pattern (fig. 11 (top)), the diffusion model tends to produce animals with the head of a giraffe, even though no giraffe appears in either input image. We hypothesize that this may be related to the tendency of diffusion models to represent some concepts as a composition of more basic visual components [Chefer et al. 2023], but leave further investigation to future work.

On the other hand, some concepts may be *more* disentangled in CLIP-space than intuitively expected. For example, outfit types

and colors are disentangled in CLIP-space, hence, an “outfit” subspace spanned with descriptions of different types of outfits (“dress”, “tuxedo...”) will not preserve outfit colors (fig. 11 (bottom)). However, this can be easily amended by also specifying colors in the spanning texts (“red dress”, “blue tuxedo”...).

Finally, we note that IP-Adapter itself is limited in the level of detail captured from the input image. Hence, our approach will not be sufficient for capturing delicate details such as exact identities. Stronger encoders may achieve higher fidelity, but it is not clear that our embedding-space projections would generalize to more complex feature spaces.

6 CONCLUSIONS

We presented IP-Composer, a training-free method that allows a user to compose novel images from visual concepts derived through a set of input images. To do so, our approach uses a CLIP-based IP-Adapter, leveraging their joint disentangled subspace structure. Through this approach, we achieve comparable or better performance compared with existing training-based methods, and can more easily generalize to novel concepts derived solely from textual descriptions.

We hope that our work can serve as an additional component of the creative toolbox, and open the way to additional composable-concept discovery methods.

7 ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to thank Ron Mokady and Yoav Tevel for providing feedback and helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- Rameen Abdal, Peihao Zhu, John Femiani, Niloy J Mitra, and Peter Wonka. 2021. Clip2stylegan: Unsupervised extraction of stylegan edit directions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.05219* (2021).
- Pranav Aggarwal, Hareesh Ravi, Naveen Marri, Sachin Kelkar, Fengbin Chen, Vinh Khuc, Midhun Harikumar, Ritiz Tambi, Sudharshan Reddy Kakumanu, Purvak Lapsiya, Alvin Ghouas, Sarah Saber, Malavika Ramprasad, Baldo Faieta, and Ajinkya Kale. 2023. Controlled and Conditional Text to Image Generation with Diffusion Prior. *arXiv:2302.11710* [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.11710>
- Moab Arar, Rinon Gal, Yuval Atzmon, Gal Chechik, Daniel Cohen-Or, Ariel Shamir, and Amit H. Bermano. 2023. Domain-agnostic tuning-encoder for fast personalization of text-to-image models. In *SIGGRAPH Asia 2023 Conference Papers*. 1–10.
- Omri Avrahami, Thomas Hayes, Oran Gafni, Sonal Gupta, Yaniv Taigman, Devi Parikh, Dani Lischinski, Ohad Fried, and Xi Yin. 2023. Spatext: Spatio-textual representation for controllable image generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 18370–18380.
- Yogesh Balaji, Seungjun Nah, Xun Huang, Arash Vahdat, Jiaming Song, Karsten Kreis, Miika Aittala, Timo Aila, Samuli Laine, Bryan Catanzaro, Tero Karras, and Ming-Yu Liu. 2022. eDiff-I: Text-to-Image Diffusion Models with Ensemble of Expert Denoisers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.01324* (2022).
- Stefan Andreas Baumann, Felix Krause, Michael Neumayr, Nick Stracke, Vincent Tao Hu, and Björn Ommer. 2024. Continuous, Subject-Specific Attribute Control in T2I Models by Identifying Semantic Directions. *arXiv:2403.17064* [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.17064>
- Shariq Farooq Bhat, Niloy J. Mitra, and Peter Wonka. 2023. LooseControl: Lifting ControlNet for Generalized Depth Conditioning. *arXiv:2312.03079* [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.03079>
- Hila Chefer, Oran Lang, Mor Geva, Volodymyr Polosukhin, Assaf Shocher, Michal Irani, Inbar Mosseri, and Lior Wolf. 2023. The hidden language of diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.00966* (2023).
- Guillaume Couairon, Jakob Verbeek, Holger Schwenk, and Matthieu Cord. 2022. Diffedit: Diffusion-based semantic image editing with mask guidance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.11427* (2022).
- Omer Dahary, Or Patashnik, Kfir Aberman, and Daniel Cohen-Or. 2024. Be Yourself: Bounded Attention for Multi-Subject Text-to-Image Generation. *arXiv:2403.16990* [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.16990>
- Rinon Gal, Yuval Alaluf, Yuval Atzmon, Or Patashnik, Amit H. Bermano, Gal Chechik, and Daniel Cohen-Or. 2022. An Image is Worth One Word: Personalizing Text-to-Image Generation using Textual Inversion. <https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2208.01618>
- Rinon Gal, Moab Arar, Yuval Atzmon, Amit H Bermano, Gal Chechik, and Daniel Cohen-Or. 2023. Encoder-based domain tuning for fast personalization of text-to-image models. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)* 42, 4 (2023), 1–13.
- Rinon Gal, Or Patashnik, Haggai Maron, Gal Chechik, and Daniel Cohen-Or. 2021. StyleGAN-NADA: CLIP-Guided Domain Adaptation of Image Generators. *arXiv:2108.00946* [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.00946>
- Yossi Gandelsman, Alexei A. Efros, and Jacob Steinhardt. 2024. Interpreting CLIP’s Image Representation via Text-Based Decomposition. *arXiv:2310.05916* [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.05916>
- Ian Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. 2014. Generative adversarial nets. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 27 (2014).
- Julia Guerrero-Viu, Milos Hasan, Arthur Roullier, Midhun Harikumar, Yiwei Hu, Paul Guerrero, Diego Gutiérrez, Belen Masia, and Valentin Deschaintre. 2024. TexSliders: Diffusion-Based Texture Editing in CLIP Space. In *Special Interest Group on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques Conference Papers '24 (SIGGRAPH '24)*. ACM, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3641519.3657444>
- Erik Härkönen, Aaron Hertzmann, Jaakko Lehtinen, and Sylvain Paris. 2020. GANSpace: Discovering Interpretable GAN Controls. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.02546* (2020).
- Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. 2020. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 33 (2020), 6840–6851.
- Lianghua Huang, Di Chen, Yu Liu, Yujun Shen, Deli Zhao, and Jingren Zhou. 2023. Composer: Creative and Controllable Image Synthesis with Composable Conditions. *arXiv:2302.09778* [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.09778>
- Gabriel Ilharco, Mitchell Wortsman, Ross Wightman, Cade Gordon, Nicholas Carlini, Rohan Taori, Achal Dave, Vaishaal Shankar, Hongseok Namkoong, John Miller, Hannaneh Hajishirzi, Ali Farhadi, and Ludwig Schmidt. 2021. *OpenCLIP*. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5143773> If you use this software, please cite it as below.
- Tero Karras, Samuli Laine, and Timo Aila. 2019. A style-based generator architecture for generative adversarial networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 4401–4410.
- Sharon Lee, Yunzhi Zhang, Shangzhe Wu, and Jiajun Wu. 2024. Language-Informed Visual Concept Learning. *arXiv:2312.03587* [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.03587>
- Yuheng Li, Haotian Liu, Qingyang Wu, Fangzhou Mu, Jianwei Yang, Jianfeng Gao, Chunyuan Li, and Yong Jae Lee. 2023. GLIGEN: Open-Set Grounded Text-to-Image Generation. *arXiv:2301.07093* [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.07093>
- Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Yuheng Li, and Yong Jae Lee. 2023b. Improved Baselines with Visual Instruction Tuning.
- Nan Liu, Shuang Li, Yilun Du, Antonio Torralba, and Joshua B. Tenenbaum. 2023a. Compositional Visual Generation with Composable Diffusion Models. *arXiv:2206.01714* [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.01714>
- Chong Mou, Xintao Wang, Liangbin Xie, Yanze Wu, Jian Zhang, Zhongang Qi, and Ying Shan. 2024. T2i-adapter: Learning adapters to dig out more controllable ability for text-to-image diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 38. 4296–4304.
- Alex Nichol, Prafulla Dhariwal, Aditya Ramesh, Pranav Shyam, Pamela Mishkin, Bob McGrew, Ilya Sutskever, and Mark Chen. 2021. Glide: Towards photorealistic image generation and editing with text-guided diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.10741* (2021).
- OpenAI. 2022. ChatGPT. <https://chat.openai.com/>. Accessed: 2023-10-15.
- Or Patashnik, Zongze Wu, Eli Shechtman, Daniel Cohen-Or, and Dani Lischinski. 2021. StyleCLIP: Text-Driven Manipulation of StyleGAN Imagery. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.17249* (2021).
- Dustin Podell, Zion English, Kyle Lacey, Andreas Blattmann, Tim Dockhorn, Jonas Müller, Joe Penna, and Robin Rombach. 2024. SDXL: Improving Latent Diffusion Models for High-Resolution Image Synthesis. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*. <https://openreview.net/forum?id=di52zR8xgf>
- Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. 2021. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 8748–8763.
- Aditya Ramesh, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alex Nichol, Casey Chu, and Mark Chen. 2022. Hierarchical text-conditional image generation with clip latents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.06125* (2022).
- Elad Richardson, Yuval Alaluf, Ali Mahdavi-Amiri, and Daniel Cohen-Or. 2024. pOps: Photo-Inspired Diffusion Operators. *arXiv:2406.01300* [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.01300>
- Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. 2021. High-Resolution Image Synthesis with Latent Diffusion Models. *arXiv:2112.10752* [cs.CV]
- Nataniel Ruiz, Yuanzhen Li, Varun Jampani, Yael Pritch, Michael Rubinstein, and Kfir Aberman. 2022. DreamBooth: Fine Tuning Text-to-image Diffusion Models for

- Subject-Driven Generation. (2022).
- Nataniel Ruiz, Yuanzhen Li, Varun Jampani, Wei Wei, Tingbo Hou, Yael Pritch, Neal Wadhwa, Michael Rubinstein, and Kfir Aberman. 2023. HyperDreamBooth: HyperNetworks for Fast Personalization of Text-to-Image Models. *arXiv:2307.06949* [cs.CV]
- Chitwan Saharia, William Chan, Saurabh Saxena, Lala Li, Jay Whang, Emily Denton, Seyed Kamyar Seyed Ghasemipour, Burcu Karagol Ayan, S Sara Mahdavi, Rapha Gontijo Lopes, et al. 2022. Photorealistic Text-to-Image Diffusion Models with Deep Language Understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.11487* (2022).
- Yujun Shen, Jinjin Gu, Xiaoou Tang, and Bolei Zhou. 2020. Interpreting the latent space of gans for semantic face editing. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 9243–9252.
- Yujun Shen and Bolei Zhou. 2021. Closed-Form Factorization of Latent Semantics in GANs. *arXiv:2007.06600* [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.06600>
- Yael Vinker, Andrey Voynov, Daniel Cohen-Or, and Ariel Shamir. 2023. Concept decomposition for visual exploration and inspiration. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)* 42, 6 (2023), 1–13.
- Andrey Voynov, Kfir Aberman, and Daniel Cohen-Or. 2023. Sketch-guided text-to-image diffusion models. In *ACM SIGGRAPH 2023 Conference Proceedings*. 1–11.
- Andrey Voynov and Artem Babenko. 2020. Unsupervised discovery of interpretable directions in the gan latent space. In *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 9786–9796.
- Yuxiang Wei, Yabo Zhang, Zhilong Ji, Jinfeng Bai, Lei Zhang, and Wangmeng Zuo. 2023. ELITE: Encoding Visual Concepts into Textual Embeddings for Customized Text-to-Image Generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*. 15943–15953.
- Hu Ye, Jun Zhang, Sibio Liu, Xiao Han, and Wei Yang. 2023. Ip-adapter: Text compatible image prompt adapter for text-to-image diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.06721* (2023).
- Lvmin Zhang, Anyi Rao, and Maneesh Agrawala. 2023b. Adding Conditional Control to Text-to-Image Diffusion Models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*. 3836–3847.
- Lvmin Zhang, Anyi Rao, and Maneesh Agrawala. 2023c. Adding conditional control to text-to-image diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*. 3836–3847.
- Yuxin Zhang, Weiming Dong, Fan Tang, Nisha Huang, Haibin Huang, Chongyang Ma, Tong-Yee Lee, Oliver Deussen, and Changsheng Xu. 2023a. ProSpect: Prompt Spectrum for Attribute-Aware Personalization of Diffusion Models. *arXiv:2305.16225* [cs.GR] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.16225>
- Shihao Zhao, Dongdong Chen, Yen-Chun Chen, Jianmin Bao, Shaozhe Hao, Lu Yuan, and Kwan-Yee K. Wong. 2023. Uni-ControlNet: All-in-One Control to Text-to-Image Diffusion Models. *arXiv:2305.16322* [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.16322>
- Guangcong Zheng, Xianpan Zhou, Xuwei Li, Zhongang Qi, Ying Shan, and Xi Li. 2023. Layoutdiffusion: Controllable diffusion model for layout-to-image generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 22490–22499.
- Chenyi Zhuang, Ying Hu, and Pan Gao. 2024. Magnet: We Never Know How Text-to-Image Diffusion Models Work, Until We Learn How Vision-Language Models Function. *arXiv:2409.19967* [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.19967>




Fig. 12. Additional qualitative results generated using IP-Composer.




Fig. 13. Additional qualitative results generated using IP-Composer.