A crude but efficient pipeline for JWST MIRI imager : the case of sn1987A

R. Gastaud,¹ and A. Coulais^{2,1}

¹Université Paris-Saclay, Université Paris Cité, CEA, CNRS, AIM, Gif-sur-Yvette, France Rene.Gastaud@cea.fr ²LERMA, Observatoire de Paris, Université PSL, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Paris, France Alain.Coulais@obspm.fr

Abstract.

Most of the space projects or large observatories do have official tools like simulators, end-to-end pipelines developed during years by a large team of contributors. They are like *cathedrals*. In this paper, we show that very simplistic code using basic operators provided by high level language like GDL allows to write quickly high quality code to process raw data into scientifically validated outputs. This is *bazaar*.

In this paper we argument why we consider large infrastructure should be designed to allow small ones to benefit from it and allow to graft better alternative processing at very low cost.

1. introduction

Observations in imager mode of supernova sn1987A with MIRI have been made in July 2022, just after Performance Verification Phase of the instruments onboard space mission Webb (aka "James Webb Space Telescope" or JWST) (Wright & al. 2023). We quickly realized that the official pipeline was too limited at that time and does not give the high quality level we need. So we developed in IDL/GDL a simple but efficient pipeline to process our data from scratch. Being able to code with our favorite language (GDL : Park & et al (2022); Coulais & Duvert (2024)) was a way to quickly test new ideas and have high quality results, without waiting for official product.

2. What can we expect from a pipeline ?

What is a pipeline ? A pipeline ingests the data from any instrument, any observation mode, and processes it by steps to a meaningfully science data. Pipeline automatically runs on all data, do not confuse with Data Analysis Tools which requires science decisions (human interaction), but a good pipeline is flexible and can be used as a Data Analysis Tool.

What is a good pipeline : this depends upon the user. An average user wishes to have:

- easy and quick installation on a personal computer
- good documentation, with a lot of examples (notebook or python scripts)

Gastaud and Coulais

2

- speed and memory : could be run on a personal computer on reasonable amount of time
- input/output for each stage/step should be stored in self-documented open-format files containing information on the previous steps, with the name of the calibration files used, and the version of the pipeline.

An advanced user wishes more:

- modularity: each stage/step can be individually called
- flexibility: each step has default method and parameters which can be over-ridden
- parametrable log facility
- documentation on each step (methods and parameters)

A programmer wishes even more:

- unit test for each stage/step
- each step can be done by different methods, easily substituable
- use of a configuration management for the code (eg Git or SVN)
- documentation on the unit tests, heritage of each module, architecture, etc...
- use of external libraries (do not reinvent the wheel)



Figure 1. Left : JWST pipeline, with in blue the home-made. Right : mosaicking images : top home-made, bottom official pipeline

3. JWST Official Pipeline

Gordon & al. (2015) described the official JWST MIRI pipeline It respects most of the points we list in the previous section. We can discuss that the documentation is very limited, and the examples too. We were able to locate only a very limited set of unitary tests, poorly documented, and we don't find end-to-end tests.

JWST is an observatory coming with four instruments, each one are a combination of a large number of observing modes and optical configurations. For MIRI, we have LRS, MRS, imager, full or sub-array modes, etc... The installation of the official pipeline comes with all the modes, takes time, requires Conda and a lot of disk space. Even if you have very limited data (eg a small LRS observation) all the code for all the 4 instruments and all the modes are installed and calibrations products are downloaded. At the end, before running the code, the total amount of space is surprisingly large (tens of Go).

FITS files haves a long story in the ADASS conferences. In the JWST case, the input/output of each step, intermediate or final, and the calibration data are stored in FITS files. These files contain the detailed information of the previous steps, the version of the pipeline and also the version of the associated calibration files (CDP). The very interesting point to use FITS files is it can be read back by most of the tools used in astronomy (ds9, fv, IDL/GDL, ...).

The pipeline is divided in 3 main stages. Each stage produces an output which data has different dimensions and or different unis, so this division is not arbitrary. Each stage is divided in several steps, which can be turned on/off, whose methods and parameters can be selected. A stage or a step can be executed alone. The input/output of each step can be stored (not the default option). This is great to tune the pipeline to your data, the problem is again the documentation (some name can be misleading).

The pipeline is versatile : the same code for the different instruments as much as possible, both for storing data and processing data. This is possible with inheritance. The drawback is a code more difficult to understand. The pipeline uses as much as possible existing routines/libraries, for example the astropy package.

4. Dedicated Home-made Pipeline

At the beginning of JWST scientific life, we had to process an observation of SN1987 made by the MIRI Imager (Bouchet & al. 2024). This observation was done with four different filters, and with a subarray 512x512 to avoid saturation (the sampling time is quicker than the full array). Four different exposures with different pointing were taken for each filter (Dithering) to reduce the impact of bad pixels. We simplify the steps and avoid to use the official calibration products : some were not available, some were not optimal (in our case), and some were not up to date. Our home-made pipeline starts from raw data and can be used only one mode of the observatory (see blue line in figure 1 left).

We made significant improvements in data processing for

- ramps to slopes, rewriting a method with a better Allan Variance (we use median filtering)
- odd/even removal (less SNR on individual maps) : we remove dark subtraction step which only adds noise and compute a better background

4 Gastaud and Coulais

• mosaicking (better resolution in maps) we rebin of a factor 2 to take into account the half-pixel shifts

When compared to the initial official product, clearly the revisited one was better, which was *a must* for the post-processing done in Bouchet & al. (2024).

Even if those improvements are now known and published, some are still not in the official pipeline. Thanks to our experience as data scientists, following KISS philosophy, we wrote the custom tools working on raw data, circumventing the constraints from official infrastructure, in a month.

As usual, the end of the pipeline is not the end of the processing. We have an extra-step which we call post-processing (see figure 1). In our case, from the 4 maps each at differnts wavelenght (5.6, 8, 12, 15 um) we want to compute a temperature map. This was easily written in GDL using the astrolib (blackbody and MPFIT (Markwardt 2009)).

5. Conclusion

In the ADASS context we discuss what can be a good pipeline nowadays, enriched from the experience of JWST and other spatial projects.

JWST official pipeline was an excellent tool because without having to learn internal details of the official pipeline, we can extract data from it, or insert data into it, which allows very quick comparisons between alternatives methods for given steps, with alternative language. Then in few weeks and few simple routines we propose three methods (ramp processing, odd/even removal and mosaicking) better than the official ones.

References

Bouchet, P., & al. 2024, The Astrophysical Journal, 965, 51. URL https://dx.doi.org/10. 3847/1538-4357/ad2770

Coulais, A., & Duvert, G. 2024, in Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XXXIV, Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series

Gordon, K. D., & al. 2015, PASP, 127, 696. 1508.02441

Markwardt, C. B. 2009, in Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XVIII, edited by D. A. Bohlender, D. Durand, & P. Dowler, vol. 411 of Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, 251. 0902.2850

Park, J., & et al 2022, Journal of Open Source Software, 7, 4633. URL https://doi.org/ 10.21105/joss.04633

Wright, G. S., & al. 2023, Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific, 135, 048003. URL https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/acbe66

Acknowledgments. AC warmly thanks POL and CEA for travel funding. AC thanks RG for daily usage of GDL since years !