

Holographic central charge for double scaled SYK

Paramesh Mahapatra*

Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee,
Roorkee 247667, Uttarakhand, India

Hemant Rathi†

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, 1/AF Bidhannagar,
Kolkata 700064, India

Dibakar Roychowdhury‡

Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee,
Roorkee 247667, Uttarakhand, India

Abstract

In this paper, we calculate the holographic central charge (c_{sDG}) associated with sine dilaton gravity in the semiclassical limit. Following the standard holographic folklore, this should correspond to the central charge associated with a q Schwarzian quantum mechanical model in the double scaling limit. In the low energy limit, the gravity description flows into the ordinary JT gravity which is a conjectured dual of ordinary Schwarzian quantum mechanics at strong coupling. We compute the associated central charge (c_{JT}), which reveals $c_{sDG} > c_{JT}$. We identify this as an artifact of the UV completion of JT gravity in terms of sine dilaton gravity.

1 Overview and motivation

One of the most simplest and intriguing realizations of holography [1]-[3] in the present era has been the correspondence between the Jackiw-Teitelboim gravity (JT) in (1+1) dimensions [4]-[5] and the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) model in (0+1) dimension [6]-[25]. The SYK model [6]-[8] has drawn renewed attention in the recent years due its several remarkable features. For example, it is a solvable model of quantum many-body interactions at strong coupling and in the Large N limit. On the other hand, its bulk dual, namely the JT gravity, has been proved to be an excellent toy model of quantum gravity in two dimensions [26].

Interestingly enough, the above duality that holds in the deep IR of a RG-flow, has recently been realized to be uplifted in the sense of a UV completion of a (0+1)d QFT [27]-[31]. The above correspondence goes under name of the

*E-mail: paramesh_m@ph.iitr.ac.in

†E-mail: hemant.rathi@saha.ac.in

‡E-mail: dibakar.roychowdhury@ph.iitr.ac.in

conjectured duality between the double scaled SYK (DSSYK) (which is regarded as the all energy generalization of SYK) [27]-[29] and the sine dilaton gravity (sDG) [30]-[31], which has been claimed to be the UV completion of JT gravity. For the familiarity of the reader, in the next two subsections, we begin by reviewing the basic aspects of DSSYK/sDG duality and the holographic central charge [32]-[35] for 2d dilaton gravity models.

1.1 Quick review of the background material

The SYK theory is a quantum mechanical model of N interacting Majorana fermions in one dimension [6]-[8]

$$H = i^{p/2} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_p} J_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \psi_{i_1} \dots \psi_{i_p}, \quad (1)$$

where, ψ_i s are the fermionic operators which satisfy $\{\psi_i, \psi_j\} = 2\delta_{ij}$, and $J_{i_1 \dots i_p}$ s are random numbers chosen from a Gaussian distribution with zero mean.

Here N denotes total number of fermions in the system, while p is the number of sites considered in a single interaction. The most common choice of p is four [9], for which it becomes a quartic interaction. At low energies (integrating out massive degrees of freedom), the SYK model can be described by an effective Schwarzian quantum mechanics [36]

$$S_{eff} = \int d\tau Sch(f, \tau), \quad (2)$$

where $f(\tau)$ is the reparametrization of the parameter τ and the Schwarzian derivative is given by

$$Sch(f, \tau) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{f''(\tau)}{f'(\tau)} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{f''(\tau)}{f'(\tau)} \right)'$$

On the other hand, the JT gravity is described by an action [26]

$$S_{JT} = -\frac{1}{16\pi G_2} \left[\int_M \sqrt{-g} (\Phi R + 2\Phi) d^2x + 2 \int_{\partial M} \sqrt{\gamma} \Phi_B K dt \right], \quad (3)$$

where $G_2 \sim 1/N$ and the last term is the Gibbons-Hawking-York (GHY) term, which is needed in order to define a proper variational principle [37] and K is the trace of the extrinsic curvature. It can be shown that the boundary action of JT gravity can be reduced down to an effective Schwarzian theory (2) by appropriate parametrization of the trace of extrinsic curvature [23]. This paved the way for JT/SYK holographic dictionary, which involves the matching of the correlation functions, etc.

The DSSYK model is obtained by considering the Hamiltonian (1) and taking the double scaling limit namely, $p \rightarrow \infty$, $N \rightarrow \infty$ where $p \sim \sqrt{N}$, such that $\frac{p^2}{N}$ is finite and fixed. The theory is governed by the parameter q which is related to N and p as [38]

$$q = e^{-\frac{p^2}{N}}, 0 < q < 1. \quad (4)$$

The SYK model can be obtained by taking the limit $q \rightarrow 1$ [27]. Analogous to the SYK model, the DSSYK can be described by an effective action known as the

q -Schwarzian [27], [39]. The q -Schwarzian path integral in phase space is given by

$$\int D\phi D\beta Dp_\phi Dp_\beta \exp \left[i \int dt \left[p_\phi \phi' + p_\beta \beta' + \frac{1}{4} \frac{e^{i \log(qp_\phi)}}{(\log q)^2} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{e^{-i \log(qp_\beta)}}{(\log q)^2} + \frac{1}{2 \log q} e^{-2\phi} e^{-i(\log q)3p_\phi/2} \frac{e^{2i(\log q)\beta p_\beta - 1}}{2i(\log q)\beta} \right] \right], \quad (5)$$

where ϕ and β appear from Gauss decomposition [38], which provides a parametrization of the determinant of the metric at the boundary [27]. The q -Schwarzian is a one-parameter (q) deformation of the Schwarzian and the Schwarzian phase space path integral (JT gravity description) can be obtained by taking the $q \rightarrow 1$ limit [27]. Notice that, unlike the SYK model, the DSSYK is highly non-local. The interaction Hamiltonian in the SYK accounts for only nearest neighbor interactions and p is taken to be small ($p=4$ being the most common choice). On the other hand, in DSSYK, $p \sim \sqrt{N}$ is a large number which therefore accounts for an all to all interaction. Moreover, while choosing p number of sites among the N total number of fermions, all possible combinations are considered, which includes non-neighbor sites [40]. The non-locality makes the theory UV complete and also manifests itself in its bulk dual namely the sDG. In terms of symmetry, the Schwarzian quantum mechanics has an underlying symmetry of $SU(1,1)$, while on the other hand, the q -Schwarzian model has an underlying symmetry of $SU_q(1,1)$ quantum group [41]-[42], which is a q -deformation of $SU(1,1)$.

The duality between sDG and DSSYK has been established in [30]-[31]. The sDG is defined by the following action¹ [30]

$$S_{sDG} = \frac{1}{2} \int dx^2 \sqrt{g} \left(\Phi R + \frac{\sin(2|\log q|\Phi)}{|\log q|} \right) + \int d\tau \sqrt{\gamma} \left(\Phi K - i \frac{e^{-i|\log q|\Phi}}{|\log q|} \right), \quad (6)$$

along with the boundary conditions² [30]-[31]

$$\sqrt{\gamma} e^{i|\log q|\Phi_{bdy}} = \frac{i}{2|\log q|}, \quad (7)$$

$$2|\log q|\Phi_{bdy} = \frac{\pi}{2} + i\infty. \quad (8)$$

The boundary partition function of the sDG can be expressed using the on-shell boundary action (6) and using the boundary conditions (7)-(8) [30]

$$Z_{sDG} = \int dE(\theta) \exp \left(\frac{\pi\theta}{|\log q|} + \beta \frac{\cos \theta}{2|\log q|} \right), \quad (9)$$

where θ is the black hole horizon and β is the inverse Hawking temperature. This has been shown to be precisely matching with the partition function of the q -Schwarzian model in the semi-classical limit, where θ is some integration constant [30], [36].

¹In this paper, we will consider a $U(1)$ extension of the action (6)

²As we shall see, $\Phi_{bdy} = \frac{\pi}{2} + i\infty$ translates into $r_{bdy} = \frac{\pi}{2} + i\infty$. This arises due the conformal mapping of the sDG to an effective AdS_2 geometry (disk) while matching correlation function on both sides of the duality. Therefore, the infinity in the imaginary part is just the reflection of setting the AdS_2 boundary at $\rho = \infty$.

One of the fascinating features of DSSYK is the non-monotonous behavior of the entropy (S) as a function of energy (E). The energy and entropy [30] are related as

$$S(E)_{DSSYK} = \frac{\pi \cos^{-1}(-2E|\log q|) - (\cos^{-1}(-2E|\log q|))^2}{|\log q|} \quad (10)$$

$$E = -\frac{\cos \theta}{2|\log q|}, 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi. \quad (11)$$

From the above expression (10), one can see that the entropy (S) is a non-monotonous function of energy (E). Considering an expansion with $|\log q| \ll 1$, one finds

$$\Delta S_{DSSYK} = 4E^2 |\log q| + O(|\log q|^3) \dots, \quad (12)$$

where $\Delta S_{DSSYK} = |S(E) - S_0|$ with $S_0 = \frac{\pi^2}{4|\log q|}$. On the other hand, the entropy and the energy for the q -Schwarzian model are related as

$$S(E)_{qSch} = \frac{\pi \cos^{-1}(-2E|\log q|)}{|\log q|}. \quad (13)$$

One can see that for the q -Schwarzian model, the entropy (S) is strictly a monotonous function of energy (E)

$$\Delta S_{qSch} = 2\pi E + O(|\log q|^2) \dots, \quad (14)$$

where $\Delta S_{qSch} = |S(E) - 2S_0|$. The other distinctive property of the DSSYK is the existence of the “temperature” and the “fake temperature” that has been discussed in detail in [30].

The q -Schwarzian model produces the DSSYK results only after imposing the positivity constraint $n \geq 0$, which denotes the number of chords n in a chord diagram [28], [40]. Correlations in DSSYK correspond to the worldline length of a massive particle non-minimally coupled to the sDG in the bulk. The positivity constraint then translates as $L \geq 0$ in the bulk, where according to the holographic dictionary [30]

$$L = 2|\log q|n. \quad (15)$$

1.2 Central charge: A brief review

The central charge is one of the key observables of a QFT that counts the number of degree of freedom in a RG flow. Computing the central charge using the holographic techniques [32]-[34] is a comparatively easy and straightforward way out to the technical challenges involved in the QFT calculations. Below, we review essential steps for computing the central charge using holographic techniques [35].

To begin with, we consider a scalar field $\chi(x_1, x_2)$ in two spacetime dimensions. In order to simplify the analysis, we switch into a complex coordinates (z, \bar{z}) , where $z = x_1 + ix_2$ and $\bar{z} = x_1 - ix_2$. It is noteworthy to mention that under $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ transformation³, the scalar field of a conformal invariant theory transforms as

$$\chi(z, \bar{z}) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\right)^\Delta \left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial \bar{z}}\right)^{\bar{\Delta}} \chi'(f(z), \bar{f}(\bar{z})), \quad (17)$$

³Under the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ transformation,

$$z \rightarrow f(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}, \quad (16)$$

where a, b, c, d are the complex numbers such that, $ad - bc = 1$.

where $(\Delta, \bar{\Delta})$ denote the conformal weights of the scalar field χ .

Next, we explore the transformation properties of $\chi(z, \bar{z})$ under infinitesimal coordinate transformations

$$z \rightarrow z + \epsilon(z), \quad \bar{z} \rightarrow \bar{z} + \bar{\epsilon}(\bar{z}). \quad (18)$$

In literature [43]-[44], the variation of $\chi(z, \bar{z})$ under (18) is commonly expressed using the following commutation relation

$$\delta_{\epsilon, \bar{\epsilon}} = [Q_\epsilon + Q_{\bar{\epsilon}}, \chi(z, \bar{z})], \quad (19)$$

where we define the charges as

$$Q_\epsilon = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint dz \epsilon(z) T_{zz}(z), \quad Q_{\bar{\epsilon}} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint d\bar{z} \bar{\epsilon}(\bar{z}) \bar{T}_{\bar{z}, \bar{z}}(\bar{z}). \quad (20)$$

These are the generators associated with the infinitesimal coordinate transformation (18). Furthermore, here $T_{zz}(z)$ and $\bar{T}_{\bar{z}, \bar{z}}(\bar{z})$ are the components of stress-energy tensor.

Next, we introduce another useful entity in the context of a conformal field theory, namely the Operator Product Expansion (OPE). The OPE (for the product of two operators) is defined as [43]-[44]

$$\chi_i(z, \bar{z}) \chi_j(w, \bar{w}) = \sum_k C_{ijk} (z-w)^{\Delta_k - \Delta_i - \Delta_j} (\bar{z}-\bar{w})^{\bar{\Delta}_k - \bar{\Delta}_i - \bar{\Delta}_j} \chi_k(w, \bar{w}), \quad (21)$$

where the coefficients C_{ijk} are the constants, which can be expressed in terms of the 3-point function $\langle \chi_i \chi_j \chi_k \rangle$.

With all the preliminaries in hand, one can now compute the OPE taking the product of two stress-energy tensor T_{zz} , which yields [43]-[44]

$$T_{zz}(z) T_{zz}(w) = \frac{c}{2(z-w)^4} + 2 \frac{T_{zz}(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial T_{zz}(w)}{z-w} + \dots, \quad (22)$$

where c is known as the ‘‘left central charge’’. Similarly, one can compute the OPE for the stress-energy tensor $\bar{T}_{\bar{z}, \bar{z}}$, which yields a right central charge (\bar{c}). For the diffeomorphism invariant theory, these central charge are equal, $c = \bar{c}$.

Now, using (19) and (20), the variation of stress-energy can be expressed as

$$\delta_\epsilon T_{zz}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint dw \epsilon(w) T_{ww}(w) T_{zz}(z). \quad (23)$$

Next, we substitute the OPE for T_{zz} (22) into (23) and after solving the integration, one finds [43]

$$\delta_\epsilon T_{tt} = -\frac{c}{24\pi} G(t) + 2T_{tt} F(t) + \epsilon(z) \partial_t T_{tt}, \quad (24)$$

where we set $x_2 = 0$ and identify the variable x_1 with t .

Furthermore, here $G(t) = -2\pi \partial_t^3 \epsilon(t)$ and $F(t) = \partial_t \epsilon(t)$. It is noteworthy to mention here that the last two terms in (24) are generated due to the standard tensor transformation of T_{zz} under diffeomorphism (18). However, the first term, which is proportional to the central charge (c), indicates the symmetry breaking in the curved spacetime and is related to the conformal anomaly in the CFT [43].

In this paper, we compute the central charge (24) associated with the sDG (c_{sDG}) and in its low-energy regime, namely as the JT gravity (c_{JT}), using the variational properties of the boundary stress-energy tensor under the combined action of diffeomorphism and $U(1)$ gauge transformation [32]-[34]. Finally, we compare our finding with (24) in order to identify the associated central charge. Our analysis provides substantial evidence for sDG to be the UV completion theory of JT gravity, where we show $c_{sDG} > c_{JT}$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows:

- In Section 2, we study the sDG in the presence of $U(1)$ gauge interaction. In particular, we obtain solutions for background fields in the Fefferman Graham (FG) gauge and compute boundary stress-energy tensor (T_{tt}). Additionally, we investigate the transformation properties of T_{tt} under the combined action of diffeomorphism and $U(1)$ gauge transformation and obtain the holographic central charge.

- In Section 3, we explore the low energy limit of sDG keeping the $U(1)$ gauge potential. This turns out to be the well-known JT gravity coupled with $U(1)$ gauge fields. We compute the associated central charge using holographic techniques and compare it to that with the sDG.

- Finally in Section 4, we conclude our discussion along with mentioning some interesting future extensions.

2 Periodic $U(1)$ dilaton gravity

The complete action for sDG [30] in the presence of $U(1)$ gauge potential (A_μ) can be expressed as

$$I_{sDG} = \frac{1}{4|\log q|} \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} [\Phi R + 2 \sin[\Phi] - \frac{\xi}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}] + I_{bdy}, \quad (25)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the electromagnetic field strength tensor for the $U(1)$ gauge field (A_μ) and ξ is the associated (dimensionless) coupling constant.

Here, I_{bdy} stands for the boundary action, which gets modified in the presence of $U(1)$ potential [33]

$$I_{bdy} = \frac{1}{2|\log q|} \int dt \sqrt{-\gamma} [\Phi K - i e^{-i\Phi/2} + \frac{\xi}{4} \sqrt{\gamma^{ab} A_a A_b}], \quad |\log q| = \frac{p^2}{N} \quad (26)$$

where (a, b) are the boundary indices. Notice that, the action (26) is free from boundary divergences where γ^{ab} is the induced boundary metric. In the semiclassical limit, $|\log q| \ll 1$.

2.1 Dynamics of background fields

On varying the bulk action (25) with respect to the background fields, we obtain the following set of equations

$$(\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu - g_{\mu\nu} \square) \Phi + \frac{\xi}{2} \left(F_{\mu\rho} F_\nu^\rho - \frac{1}{4} F^2 g_{\mu\nu} \right) + \sin(\Phi) g_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (27)$$

$$R + 2 \cos(\Phi) = 0, \quad (28)$$

$$\nabla_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (29)$$

Next, we solve these equations (27)-(29) in the Fefferman Graham (FG) gauge [32], [45]. In this gauge, the computation of holographic central charge [32] turns

out to be relatively easy. The space-time metric and gauge field ansatz in the FG gauge can be expressed as

$$ds^2 = d\eta^2 + h_{tt}(\eta)dt^2, \quad (30)$$

$$A_\mu dx^\mu = A_t(\eta)dt, \quad \Phi \equiv \Phi(\eta). \quad (31)$$

In FG gauge (30)-(31), the above equations (27)-(29) boil down into

$$\frac{h'_{tt}(\eta)^2 - 2h_{tt}(\eta)h''_{tt}(\eta)}{2h_{tt}(\eta)^2} + 2\cos(\Phi(\eta)) = 0, \quad (32)$$

$$\Phi''(\eta) - \frac{h'_{tt}(\eta)}{2h_{tt}(\eta)}\Phi'(\eta) = 0, \quad (33)$$

$$\partial_\eta \left(\frac{A'_t(\eta)}{\sqrt{-h_{tt}(\eta)}} \right) = 0, \quad (34)$$

where ' denotes the derivative with respect to the variable η . Notice that, the above sets of equations (32)-(34) are quite difficult to solve due to the presence of the cosine function in (32). However, one can solve these equations (27)-(29) in a new gauge, where the metric ansatz takes the following form [30]

$$ds^2 = F(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{F(r)}. \quad (35)$$

In the above gauge (35), the equations (27)-(29) can be expressed as

$$\Phi''(r) = 0, \quad (36)$$

$$F''(r) - 2\cos(\Phi(r)) = 0, \quad (37)$$

$$A'_t(r) = 0, \quad (38)$$

where ' denotes derivative with respect to the radial variable r .

The solutions to the above set of equations (36)-(38) are given below

$$\Phi(r) = c_1 r + c_2, \quad (39)$$

$$F(r) = c_5 + c_6 r - \frac{2\cos(c_1 r + c_2)}{c_1^2}, \quad (40)$$

$$A_t(r) = c_3 r + c_4, \quad (41)$$

where c_i , ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 6$) are the integration constants.

Notice that, in this gauge, the space-time boundary is located⁴ at $r = \frac{\pi}{2} + i\infty$ and the following boundary conditions hold [30]

$$\sqrt{F}e^{i\Phi_{bdy}/2} = i, \quad \Phi_{bdy} = \frac{\pi}{2} + i\infty. \quad (42)$$

It is interesting to notice that one can switch back to our previous FG gauge (30)-(31), using the following coordinate redefinition [34]

$$\eta = \int \frac{dr}{\sqrt{F(r)}}, \quad (43)$$

and identify $h_{tt}(\eta) = F(r)$, where r should be expressed in terms of the variable η .

⁴Notice that, g_{tt} diverges at the boundary. In particular, $\cos r$ diverges at $r = \pi/2 + i\infty$.

Using (40) and (43), one finds the precise mapping between r and FG variable η as⁵

$$r = 2\text{JacobiAmplitude}\left[\frac{\eta}{2}, -4\right]. \quad (44)$$

It is noteworthy to mention that the equations of motion in FG gauge⁶ (32)-(34) is also satisfied subjected to the coordinate transformation (44).

Using (44), one can express the background fields in FG coordinate

$$h_{tt}(\eta) = -2 \cos\left(2\text{JacobiAmplitude}\left[\frac{\eta}{2}, -4\right]\right) + 3, \quad (45)$$

$$\Phi(\eta) = 2\text{JacobiAmplitude}\left[\frac{\eta}{2}, -4\right], \quad (46)$$

$$A_t(\eta) = 2\text{JacobiAmplitude}\left[\frac{\eta}{2}, -4\right], \quad (47)$$

which when substituted back into (32)-(34) yields a trivial solution⁷.

2.2 Holographic central charge

In this Section, we first obtain the holographic stress-energy tensor [32]-[34] associated with the 1D boundary theory and subsequently investigate its transformation properties under the mutual effects of diffeomorphism and $U(1)$ gauge transformation. Finally, we compute the holographic central charge using these properties.

The boundary stress-energy tensor [32]-[34] is defined as

$$T^{ab} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} \frac{\delta I_{bdy}}{\delta \gamma^{ab}}. \quad (48)$$

Using (26) and (48), we obtain

$$T^{ab} = \frac{1}{2|\log q|} \left[n_\mu \nabla^\mu \Phi \gamma^{ab} + n^\mu \frac{\Phi}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} (\partial_\mu \sqrt{-\gamma}) \gamma^{ab} - i\gamma^{ab} e^{-i\frac{\Phi}{2}} + \frac{\xi}{4} \gamma^{ab} \left(\sqrt{\gamma^{cd} A_c A_d} \right) + \frac{\xi}{4} \left(\frac{A^a A^b}{\sqrt{\gamma^{cd} A_c A_d}} \right) \right], \quad (49)$$

where $n^\mu = \delta_\eta^\mu$ denotes the unit normal vector [33].

In the FG gauge (30)-(31), the above stress-energy tensor (49) boils down into

$$T_{tt} = \frac{1}{2|\log q|} \left(h_{tt} \partial_\eta \Phi + \frac{\Phi}{2} \partial_\eta h_{tt} - h_{tt}^{3/2} + \frac{\xi}{2} A_t \sqrt{h_{tt}} \right), \quad (50)$$

where we have used the boundary condition (42).

Next, we study the transformation properties of (49) under the diffeomorphism: $x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu + \epsilon^\mu(x^\alpha)$, where $\epsilon^\mu(x^\alpha)$ are the parameters associated with the diffeomorphism.

Under diffeomorphism, the background fields transform as

$$\delta_\epsilon A_\mu = \epsilon^\nu \nabla_\nu A_\mu + A_\nu \nabla_\mu \epsilon^\nu, \quad (51)$$

$$\delta_\epsilon g_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu \epsilon_\nu + \nabla_\nu \epsilon_\mu, \quad (52)$$

$$\delta_\epsilon \Phi = \epsilon^\mu \nabla_\mu \Phi. \quad (53)$$

⁵Here, we set $c_1 = c_3 = 1$, $c_2 = c_4 = c_6 = 0$ and $c_5 = 3$.

⁶In the η variable, the boundary is located at $\eta_{bdy} = 2.01 + 1.48i$, such that $h_{tt}(\eta)$ diverges.

⁷See Appendix A, for a detailed numerical analysis.

One can read off diffeomorphism parameters using (51)-(53). Using background solutions (39)-(41) along with the map (44), one can show

$$\epsilon_t = G(t) \left[2 \cos \left(2 \text{JacobiAmplitude} \left[\frac{\eta}{2}, 4 \right] \right) - 3 \right], \quad \epsilon_\eta = F, \quad (54)$$

where F and $G(t)$ are the integration constants.

It is important to notice that we are working in a gauge where $A_\eta = 0$ (31). Therefore any coordinate transformation must respect this condition. To verify this, we consider the variation of A_η under diffeomorphism, which yields

$$\delta_\epsilon A_\eta = A_t \partial_\eta \epsilon^t, \quad \epsilon^t = -G(t). \quad (55)$$

Substituting (54) into (55) and after performing differentiation, one finds that variation $\delta_\epsilon A_\eta$ vanishes identically. Therefore, the above diffeomorphism (54) is consistent as it preserves the gauge condition (31).

Next, we express the complete variation of the boundary stress-energy tensor (50) under diffeomorphism,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\epsilon T_{tt} = \frac{1}{2|\log q|} & \left[(\delta_\epsilon h_{tt}) \partial_\eta \Phi + h_{tt} \partial_\eta (\delta_\epsilon \Phi) + \frac{(\delta_\epsilon \Phi)}{2} \partial_\eta h_{tt} + \frac{\Phi}{2} \partial_\eta (\delta_\epsilon h_{tt}) - \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{h_{tt}} \delta_\epsilon h_{tt} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\xi}{2} (\delta_\epsilon A_t) \sqrt{h_{tt}} + \frac{\xi}{2} A_t \delta_\epsilon (\sqrt{h_{tt}}) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

where the variation of background fields (51)-(53) can be expressed as

$$\delta_\epsilon A_t = F \left(\text{JDN} \left[\frac{\eta}{2}, -4 \right] \right) + \partial_t G(t) \left(-2 \text{JA} \left[\frac{\eta}{2}, -4 \right] \right), \quad (57)$$

$$\delta_\epsilon h_{tt} = 2F \left(\text{JDN} \left[\frac{\eta}{2}, -4 \right] \sin \left[2 \text{JA} \left[\frac{\eta}{2}, -4 \right] \right] \right) + 2 \partial_t G(t) \left(-3 + 2 \cos \left(2 \text{JA} \left[\frac{\eta}{2}, -4 \right] \right) \right), \quad (58)$$

$$\delta_\epsilon \Phi = F \left(\text{JDN} \left[\frac{\eta}{2}, -4 \right] \right), \quad (59)$$

where JA and JDN respectively denote the JacobiAmplitude and JacobiDN [46].

Using (49), (56)-(59), one can finally express the variation of T_{tt} as

$$\delta_\epsilon T_{tt} = 2T_{tt}F - \frac{c_{sDG}}{24\pi} \partial_t G(t), \quad (60)$$

where by comparing with (24), we identify the central charge of sDG as⁸

$$c_{sDG} = \frac{24\pi}{25|\log q|} (3 + 34\xi). \quad (61)$$

Notice that, the c_{sDG} grows as $\sim \frac{1}{|\log q|}$ and $|\log q| \ll 1$. Therefore it is a large number in the semiclassical limit. Moreover, the central charge (61) boil down to ordinary sDG in the absence of the U(1) gauge field ($\xi = 0$).

⁸In the above derivation, we replace FG variable η using the inverse map of (44) and replace r by $r_{bdy} = \pi/2 + i\infty$. Here, we absorb the imaginary contribution through the redefinition of constants, F and G .

3 Comments on JT gravity limit

We now consider the low energy limit of periodic U(1) dilaton gravity (25). This is achieved by taking the limit $|\log q| \rightarrow 0$ [31], which eventually leads to the well-known JT gravity in the presence of a gauge field [32]. We derive the associated boundary stress-energy tensor and compute the holographic central charge following the standard procedure as discussed in Section 2.

To begin with, we rescale the dilaton (Φ) and the coupling constant (ξ) as

$$\Phi = 2\pi + 2|\log q|\Phi_{JT}, \quad \xi \rightarrow 2\xi|\log q|, \quad (62)$$

where Φ_{JT} is the dilaton for the JT gravity [32].

Next, we take the low energy limit $|\log q| \rightarrow 0$ keeping Φ_{JT} and ξ finite, which results in a JT gravity action [32]

$$I_{JT} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_2} \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} [\Phi_{JT}(R+2) - \frac{\xi}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}] + I_{bdy}, \quad (63)$$

where we denote the GHY term as⁹.

$$I_{bdy} = \frac{1}{8\pi G_2} \int dt \sqrt{-\gamma} [\Phi_{JT} K + \frac{\Phi_{JT}}{2} + \frac{\xi}{4} \sqrt{\gamma^{ab} A_a A_b}]. \quad (64)$$

3.1 Dynamics of background fields

The equations of motion associated with the background fields (Φ , $g_{\mu\nu}$ and A_μ) can be obtained by varying the action (63)

$$(\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu - g_{\mu\nu} \square) \Phi + \frac{\xi}{2} \left(F_{\mu\rho} F_\nu^\rho - \frac{1}{4} F^2 g_{\mu\nu} \right) + \Phi g_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (65)$$

$$R + 2 = 0, \quad (66)$$

$$\nabla_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (67)$$

Next, we solve these dynamical equations (65)-(67) in the static FG gauge (30)-(31), which yields

$$\partial_\eta^2 \sqrt{-h_{tt}(\eta)} - \sqrt{-h_{tt}(\eta)} = 0, \quad (68)$$

$$\Phi''(\eta) - \frac{h'_{tt}(\eta)}{2h_{tt}(\eta)} \Phi'(\eta) = 0, \quad (69)$$

$$\partial_\eta \left(\frac{A'_t(\eta)}{\sqrt{-h_{tt}(\eta)}} \right) = 0. \quad (70)$$

Upon solving these equations (68)-(70), one finds

$$\sqrt{-h_{tt}(\eta)} = m_1 e^\eta + m_2 e^{-\eta}, \quad (71)$$

$$A_t(\eta) = m_3(m_1 e^\eta + m_2 e^{-\eta}) + m_4, \quad (72)$$

$$\Phi(\eta) = m_5(m_1 e^\eta + m_2 e^{-\eta}) + m_6, \quad (73)$$

where m_i , ($i = 1, 2..6$) are integration constants.

⁹In order to be consistent with the literature [32], we include a factor of $1/16\pi G_2$ in front of the action by hand, where $G_2 \sim \frac{1}{N}$ is the gravitational coupling constant in JT gravity. For the rest of the paper, we drop the subscript (JT) in dilaton (Φ).

3.2 Stress-energy tensor and central charge

We now compute the boundary stress-energy tensor and study its properties under the combined action of diffeomorphism and U(1) gauge transformations. Finally, we obtain the central charge for the boundary theory and compare it with the central charge for sDG gravity obtained previously.

The stress-energy tensor (48) can be obtained by varying the action (64) with respect to the induced metric (γ^{ab})

$$T^{ab} = \frac{1}{8\pi G_2} \left[n_\mu \nabla^\mu \Phi \gamma^{ab} + n^\mu \frac{\Phi}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} (\partial_\mu \sqrt{-\gamma}) \gamma^{ab} + \gamma^{ab} \frac{\Phi}{2} + \frac{\xi}{4} \gamma^{ab} (\sqrt{\gamma^{cd} A_c A_d}) + \frac{\xi}{4} \left(\frac{A^a A^b}{\sqrt{\gamma^{cd} A_c A_d}} \right) \right]. \quad (74)$$

In the FG gauge (30)-(31), above entity (74) boils down into

$$T_{tt} = \frac{1}{8\pi G_2} \left(h_{tt} \partial_\eta \Phi + \frac{\Phi}{2} \partial_\eta h_{tt} + \frac{1}{2} h_{tt} \Phi + \frac{\xi}{2} A_t \sqrt{h_{tt}} \right). \quad (75)$$

Next, we explore the transformation properties of (75) under the combined action of diffeomorphism and U(1) gauge transformations. To begin with, we compute the diffeomorphism parameter ($\epsilon^\mu(x^\alpha)$) using the variations of background fields (51)-(53) and the solutions (71)-(73), which yields

$$\epsilon_\eta = f(t), \quad \epsilon_t = \frac{e^{-2\eta}}{2m_1} (m_2 + m_1 e^{2\eta}) (2m_1 (m_2 + m_1 e^{2\eta}) g(t) + \partial_t f(t)), \quad (76)$$

where $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ are the integration constants.

Notice that under diffeomorphism (76), one of the components of U(1) gauge field transforms as

$$\delta_\epsilon A_\eta = A_t \partial_\eta \epsilon^t \neq 0, \quad (77)$$

which violates the gauge condition (31), where A_η is set to be zero. In order to restore the gauge condition, we employ a second U(1) gauge transformation, $A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu \Sigma$ and choose Σ such that $\delta_{(\epsilon+\Sigma)} A_\eta = 0$.

Using (72) and (76), we obtain

$$\Sigma = - \int d\eta A_t \partial_\eta \left(\frac{\epsilon_t}{h_{tt}} \right) = \frac{\partial_t f(t) (m_4 + 2m_1 m_3 e^\eta)}{2m_1 (m_2 + m_1 e^{2\eta})}. \quad (78)$$

Below, we note down the variation of the boundary stress energy tensor (75) under the combined action of diffeomorphism and U(1) gauge transformation, which yields

$$\delta_{(\epsilon+\Sigma)} T_{tt} = \frac{1}{8\pi G_2} \left[(\delta_\epsilon h_{tt}) \partial_\eta \Phi + h_{tt} \partial_\eta (\delta_\epsilon \Phi) + \frac{(\delta_\epsilon \Phi)}{2} \partial_\eta h_{tt} + \frac{\Phi}{2} \partial_\eta (\delta_\epsilon h_{tt}) + \frac{1}{2} (\delta_\epsilon h_{tt}) \Phi + \frac{1}{2} h_{tt} (\delta_\epsilon \Phi) + \frac{\xi}{2} (\delta_{(\epsilon+\Sigma)} A_t) \sqrt{h_{tt}} + \frac{\xi}{2} A_t \delta_\epsilon (\sqrt{h_{tt}}) \right], \quad (79)$$

where the individual variations of background fields (51)-(53) can be summarised as

$$\delta_{(\epsilon+\Sigma)} A_t = \frac{1}{2m_1(m_1 e^{2\eta} + m_2)} \left[e^{-\eta} \left((m_2 m_3 - e^\eta (m_1 m_3 e^\eta + m_4)) (\partial_t^2 f(t) + 2m_1 \partial_t g(t) \times \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. (m_1 e^{2\eta} + m_2) \right) + 2m_1 m_3 f(t) (m_1 e^{2\eta} + m_2)^2 \right) + \partial_t^2 f(t) (m_4 + 2m_1 m_3 e^\eta) \right], \quad (80)$$

$$\delta_\epsilon h_{tt} = \frac{e^{-2\eta}}{m_1} (m_1 e^{2\eta} + m_2) (\partial_t^2 f(t) + 2m_1 (f(t) (m_2 - m_1 e^{2\eta}) + \partial_t g(t) (m_1 e^{2\eta} + m_2))), \quad (81)$$

$$\delta_\epsilon \Phi = f(t) m_5 (m_1 e^\eta + m_2 e^{-\eta}). \quad (82)$$

Using (75) and (80)-(82), one can finally express (79) as

$$\delta_{(\epsilon+\Sigma)} T_{tt} = 2T_{tt} f(t) - \frac{c_{JT}}{24\pi} \partial_t^2 f(t), \quad (83)$$

where by comparing with (24), one finds

$$c_{JT} = \frac{5\xi}{2G_2} \quad (84)$$

as the holographic central charge of the JT gravity model (63).

It is important to notice that the c_{JT} vanishes in the absence of U(1) gauge fields. Similar observation were made earlier by authors in [32]-[33]. Whereas on the other hand, for sDG we still have a non-zero central charge for $\xi = 0$.

In order make a precise comparison between the two central charges, we now rewrite the c_{sDG} (61) in terms of c_{JT} (84), which yields

$$c_{sDG} = \frac{8\pi}{25|\log q|} \left(9 + \frac{204}{5} \frac{|\log q|}{p^2} c_{JT} \right). \quad (85)$$

The above expression (85) clearly shows that sDG has more degree of freedom than JT gravity. This can be nicely associated with the notion of a flow central charge or c -function [47]-[50] that monotonically decreases in a RG flow such that $c_{sDG} > c_{JT}$. In other words, JT gravity can be interpreted as the deep IR limit of its UV completion namely the sDG.

4 Conclusion and future direction

To sum up, in the present work, we explore the holographic aspects of sine dilaton gravity (sDG) coupled to U(1) gauge field. In particular, we compute solutions for background fields and obtain the boundary stress-energy tensors in the FG gauge. We examine the variational properties of these background solutions and boundary stress-energy tensor under the diffeomorphism. We find that under diffeomorphism, the variation of the gauge field preserves the gauge condition. We finally compute the holographic central charge (c_{sDG}) associated with the sDG using these variational properties of the boundary stress-energy tensor.

Next, we examine the low-energy limit of sDG keeping the U(1) gauge interaction intact, which boils down into the well-known JT gravity coupled with a U(1)

potential. We obtain corresponding solutions for background fields and compute the boundary stress-energy tensor and investigate its properties under diffeomorphism. Unlike the sDG, here, diffeomorphism breaks the gauge condition, and hence, we require an additional U(1) gauge transformation to restore the FG gauge condition. Finally, we compute the holographic central charge (c_{JT}) by utilizing the variational properties of the boundary stress-energy tensor under the combined action of diffeomorphism and U(1) gauge transformations. We also compare the two central charges, which shows $c_{sDG} > c_{JT}$.

Below, we outline some interesting future extensions of our present paper.

- A two site SYK model with complex couplings has been discussed in [51]. An interesting observation that emerges from the discussion is that at low energies (without introducing a coupling between the two sites), the free energy becomes constant with the temperature up to a critical point where it changes abruptly, thereby indicating the onset of a first order phase transition in the system. The dual to the constant free energy phase on the JT gravity side is a charged wormhole solution. Further in [52], the phases of the wormhole solution has been discussed. It has been shown that as the temperature is varied from low to high, the system undergoes a phase transition from a wormhole to two separate black holes. Therefore, it would be an interesting problem to see if a similar setup in sDG produces an analogue wormhole to black hole phase transition. Recently, wormhole amplitude in sDG has been computed in [53] using the WdW quantization. The amplitude has been shown to match with the spectral correlation of finite-cut matrix integral, thereby strengthening the relation of sDG with q-deformed JT gravity matrix integral.

- It has been shown in literature that there also exists a de-Sitter (dS) version of JT gravity (dS/JT gravity) [54]-[56]. The sDG also yields the dS/JT gravity by using an appropriate scaling (redefinition of the dilaton field) as discussed in [31]. The dS/JT gravity is the conjectured dual of DSSYK at high temperature [57]-[58]. Therefore, a natural extension of our present work would be to map the central charge of sDG to that into the central charge of dS gravity as found in [59].

- The c -theorem for 2d QFTs was first proved in [60]. The idea is that, in an RG flow, one can define a c -function in the parameter space, which decreases monotonically with energy. At the stationary points in the RG flow, the function attains a constant value which equals the central charge c of the corresponding CFT at that fixed point. The c -theorem states that $c_{UV} > c_{IR}$ in a RG flow from UV to IR. This was generalized to higher dimensions in [61] by J. Cardy. The holographic interpretation of the c -theorem was first made in [62]. A simple generalization to all dimensions has been discussed in [63]-[64]. The essence of the discussion is, varying the energy scale of the boundary theory in an AdS/CFT correspondence, translates to varying the radial coordinate r in the bulk theory with $r \rightarrow 0$ being the IR and $r \rightarrow \infty$ being the UV in the boundary theory. The bulk analogue of the c -function is then a suitable “flow” function which decreases monotonically with r and matches the central charge c at the stationary points. A simple prescription for the flow-function has been presented in [63] with some conditions on the matter action and the corresponding stress energy tensor ($T_{\mu\nu}$ must satisfy the null energy condition). The holographic c -function in the context of 2d gravity with constant dilaton field has also been discussed in [65].

Therefore, implementing the above ideas to find a suitable flow function in 2d periodic dilaton gravity, that yields the central charges of the JT gravity (c_{JT}) at IR and the sDG (c_{sDG}) at a UV fixed points respectively, can be an interesting extension of the current work.

We hope to address some of these issues in our future work.

Acknowledgments

PM and DR are indebted to the authorities of Indian Institute of Technology, Roorkee for their unconditional support towards researches in basic sciences. HR would like to thank the authorities of Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, for their support. DR would like to acknowledge The Royal Society, UK for financial assistance. DR also acknowledges the Mathematical Research Impact Centric Support (MATRICS) grant (MTR/2023/000005) received from ANRF, India.

A Numerical solution

In this Section, we show that the (45)-(47) are indeed the solutions of the equations of motion (32)-(34) in FG gauge. To start with, we rewrite these equations (32)-(34) in the following way

$$\partial_\eta^2 \sqrt{h_{tt}(\eta)} = \cos(\Phi(\eta)) \sqrt{h_{tt}(\eta)}, \quad (86)$$

$$\Phi'(\eta)^2 = h_{tt}(\eta), \quad (87)$$

$$A_t'(\eta)^2 = h_{tt}(\eta). \quad (88)$$

Notice that the equation (86) is trivially satisfied by the solutions (45)-(47). On the other hand, it is quite challenging to check that the expressions (45)-(47) are also the solutions of (87) and (88). Therefore, we will check them numerically and in particular, we plot the function $X'(\eta)^2 - h_{tt}(\eta)$, where $X(\eta) = (\Phi(\eta), A_t(\eta))$ against the real value of the variable η as shown in figure (1).




Figure 1: Plot of the function $X'(\eta)^2 - h_{tt}(\eta)$ vs η , where $X(\eta) = (\Phi(\eta), A_t(\eta))$.

It is clear from the above figure (1) that the difference between the left-hand side and right-hand side of the equations (87)-(88) is of the order 10^{-15} , which can be ignored for the practical purpose. In other words, the solutions (45)-(47) satisfy the equations of motion (86)-(88).

References

- [1] J. M. Maldacena, “The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998), 231-252 doi:10.1023/A:1026654312961 [arXiv:hep-th/9711200 [hep-th]].
- [2] E. Witten, “Anti-de Sitter space and holography,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998), 253-291 doi:10.4310/ATMP.1998.v2.n2.a2 [arXiv:hep-th/9802150 [hep-th]].
- [3] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, “Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory,” *Phys. Lett. B* **428** (1998), 105-114 doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00377-3 [arXiv:hep-th/9802109 [hep-th]].
- [4] R. Jackiw, “Lower Dimensional Gravity,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **252** (1985), 343-356 doi:10.1016/0550-3213(85)90448-1
- [5] C. Teitelboim, “Gravitation and Hamiltonian Structure in Two Space-Time Dimensions,” *Phys. Lett. B* **126** (1983), 41-45 doi:10.1016/0370-2693(83)90012-6
- [6] S. Sachdev and J. Ye, “Gapless spin fluid ground state in a random, quantum Heisenberg magnet,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 3339 (1993) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.70.3339 [arXiv:cond-mat/9212030 [cond-mat]].
- [7] A. Kitaev. 2015. A simple model of quantum holography, talk given at KITP strings seminar and Entanglement program, February 12, April 7, and May 27, Santa Barbara, U.S.A.
- [8] J. Maldacena and D. Stanford, “Remarks on the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model,” *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, no.10, 106002 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.106002 [arXiv:1604.07818 [hep-th]].
- [9] G. Sárosi, “AdS₂ holography and the SYK model,” *PoS Modave2017* (2018), 001 doi:10.22323/1.323.0001 [arXiv:1711.08482 [hep-th]].
- [10] J. Polchinski and V. Rosenhaus, “The Spectrum in the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev Model,” *JHEP* **04**, 001 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2016)001 [arXiv:1601.06768 [hep-th]].
- [11] A. Kitaev and S. J. Suh, “The soft mode in the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model and its gravity dual,” *JHEP* **05**, 183 (2018) doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2018)183 [arXiv:1711.08467 [hep-th]].
- [12] K. Jensen, “Chaos in AdS₂ Holography,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, no.11, 111601 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.111601 [arXiv:1605.06098 [hep-th]].
- [13] S. R. Das, A. Ghosh, A. Jevicki and K. Suzuki, “Duality in the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev Model,” *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.* **255**, 43-61 (2017) doi:10.1007/978-981-13-2179-5_4
- [14] S. R. Das, A. Jevicki and K. Suzuki, “Three Dimensional View of the SYK/AdS Duality,” *JHEP* **09**, 017 (2017) doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2017)017 [arXiv:1704.07208 [hep-th]].
- [15] S. R. Das, A. Ghosh, A. Jevicki and K. Suzuki, “Three Dimensional View of Arbitrary q SYK models,” *JHEP* **02**, 162 (2018) doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2018)162 [arXiv:1711.09839 [hep-th]].
- [16] M. Taylor, “Generalized conformal structure, dilaton gravity and SYK,” *JHEP* **01**, 010 (2018) doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2018)010 [arXiv:1706.07812 [hep-th]].

- [17] A. Jevicki, K. Suzuki and J. Yoon, “Bi-Local Holography in the SYK Model,” JHEP **07**, 007 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2016)007 [arXiv:1603.06246 [hep-th]].
- [18] A. Jevicki and K. Suzuki, “Bi-Local Holography in the SYK Model: Perturbations,” JHEP **11**, 046 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2016)046 [arXiv:1608.07567 [hep-th]].
- [19] A. Lala and D. Roychowdhury, “SYK/AdS duality with Yang-Baxter deformations,” JHEP **12**, 073 (2018) doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2018)073 [arXiv:1808.08380 [hep-th]].
- [20] D. Roychowdhury, “Holographic derivation of q SYK spectrum with Yang-Baxter shift,” Phys. Lett. B **797**, 134818 (2019) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2019.134818 [arXiv:1810.09404 [hep-th]].
- [21] A. Almheiri and J. Polchinski, “Models of AdS₂ backreaction and holography,” JHEP **11**, 014 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2015)014 [arXiv:1402.6334 [hep-th]].
- [22] H. Kyono, S. Okumura and K. Yoshida, “Deformations of the Almheiri-Polchinski model,” JHEP **03**, 173 (2017) doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2017)173 [arXiv:1701.06340 [hep-th]].
- [23] J. Maldacena, D. Stanford and Z. Yang, “Conformal symmetry and its breaking in two dimensional Nearly Anti-de-Sitter space,” PTEP **2016**, no.12, 12C104 (2016) doi:10.1093/ptep/ptw124 [arXiv:1606.01857 [hep-th]].
- [24] D. J. Gross and V. Rosenhaus, “The Bulk Dual of SYK: Cubic Couplings,” JHEP **05**, 092 (2017) doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2017)092 [arXiv:1702.08016 [hep-th]].
- [25] D. J. Gross and V. Rosenhaus, “A Generalization of Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev,” JHEP **02**, 093 (2017) doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2017)093 [arXiv:1610.01569 [hep-th]].
- [26] T. G. Mertens and G. J. Turiaci, “Solvable models of quantum black holes: a review on Jackiw–Teitelboim gravity,” Living Rev. Rel. **26** (2023) no.1, 4 doi:10.1007/s41114-023-00046-1 [arXiv:2210.10846 [hep-th]].
- [27] A. Blommaert, T. G. Mertens and S. Yao, “Dynamical actions and q-representation theory for double-scaled SYK,” JHEP **02** (2024), 067 doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2024)067 [arXiv:2306.00941 [hep-th]].
- [28] H. W. Lin, “The bulk Hilbert space of double scaled SYK,” JHEP **11** (2022), 060 doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2022)060 [arXiv:2208.07032 [hep-th]].
- [29] M. Berkooz and O. Mamroud, “A Cordial Introduction to Double Scaled SYK,” [arXiv:2407.09396 [hep-th]].
- [30] A. Blommaert, T. G. Mertens and J. Papalini, “The dilaton gravity hologram of double-scaled SYK,” [arXiv:2404.03535 [hep-th]].
- [31] A. Blommaert, A. Levine, T. G. Mertens, J. Papalini and K. Parmentier, “An entropic puzzle in periodic dilaton gravity and DSSYK,” [arXiv:2411.16922 [hep-th]].
- [32] A. Castro, D. Grumiller, F. Larsen and R. McNees, “Holographic Description of AdS(2) Black Holes,” JHEP **11** (2008), 052 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/11/052 [arXiv:0809.4264 [hep-th]].

- [33] H. Rathi and D. Roychowdhury, “AdS₂ holography and ModMax,” *JHEP* **07** (2023), 026 doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2023)026 [arXiv:2303.14379 [hep-th]].
- [34] H. Rathi and D. Roychowdhury, “Holographic JT gravity with quartic couplings,” *JHEP* **10** (2021), 209 doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2021)209 [arXiv:2107.11632 [hep-th]].
- [35] H. Rathi, “AdS₂/CFT₁ at finite density and holographic aspects of 2D black holes,” [arXiv:2404.02724 [hep-th]]
- [36] T. G. Mertens, “The Schwarzian theory — origins,” *JHEP* **05** (2018), 036 doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2018)036 [arXiv:1801.09605 [hep-th]].
- [37] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, “Action Integrals and Partition Functions in Quantum Gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **15** (1977), 2752-2756 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.15.2752
- [38] S. M. Khoroshkin, A. A. Stolin and V. N. Tolstoi, “Gauss decomposition of trigonometric R matrices,” *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **10** (1995), 1375-1392 doi:10.1142/S0217732395001496 [arXiv:hep-th/9404038 [hep-th]].
- [39] A. Blommaert, T. G. Mertens and S. Yao, “The q-Schwarzian and Liouville gravity,” *JHEP* **11** (2024), 054 doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2024)054 [arXiv:2312.00871 [hep-th]].
- [40] M. Berkooz, M. Isachenkov, V. Narovlansky and G. Torrents, “Towards a full solution of the large N double-scaled SYK model,” *JHEP* **03** (2019), 079 doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2019)079 [arXiv:1811.02584 [hep-th]].
- [41] Christian Kassel, ”Quantum Groups”
- [42] A. Kirillov Jr, ”Introduction to Lie Groups and Lie Algebras”
- [43] E. Kiritsis, “String theory in a nutshell,” Princeton University Press, 2019, ISBN 978-0-691-15579-1, 978-0-691-18896-6
- [44] J. Polchinski, “String theory. Vol. 1: An introduction to the bosonic string,” Cambridge University Press, 2007, ISBN 978-0-511-25227-3, 978-0-521-67227-6, 978-0-521-63303-1 doi:10.1017/CBO9780511816079
- [45] Fefferman, Charles; Graham, C. Robin. Conformal invariants, dans Élie Cartan et les mathématiques d’aujourd’hui - Lyon, 25-29 juin 1984, Astérisque, no. S131 (1985), 22 p.
- [46] Milton Abramowitz, Irene A. Stegun, “Handbook of Mathematical Functions: with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables (Dover Books on Mathematics)”.
- [47] N. T. Macpherson, C. Núñez, L. A. Pando Zayas, V. G. J. Rodgers and C. A. Whiting, “Type IIB supergravity solutions with AdS₅ from Abelian and non-Abelian T dualities,” *JHEP* **02** (2015), 040 doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2015)040 [arXiv:1410.2650 [hep-th]].
- [48] Y. Bea, J. D. Edelstein, G. Itsios, K. S. Kooner, C. Nunez, D. Schofield and J. A. Sierra-Garcia, “Compactifications of the Klebanov-Witten CFT and new AdS₃ backgrounds,” *JHEP* **05** (2015), 062 doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2015)062 [arXiv:1503.07527 [hep-th]].
- [49] P. Merrikin, C. Nunez and R. Stuardo, “Compactification of 6d N=(1,0) quivers, 4d SCFTs and their holographic dual Massive IIA backgrounds,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **996** (2023), 116356 doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2023.116356 [arXiv:2210.02458 [hep-th]].

- [50] D. Chatzis, A. Fatemiabhari, C. Nunez and P. Weck, “SCFT deformations via uplifted solitons,” Nucl. Phys. B **1006** (2024), 116659 doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2024.116659 [arXiv:2406.01685 [hep-th]].
- [51] A. M. García-García and V. Godet, “Euclidean wormhole in the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model,” Phys. Rev. D **103** (2021) no.4, 046014 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.046014 [arXiv:2010.11633 [hep-th]].
- [52] H. Rathi and D. Roychowdhury, “Phases of Euclidean wormholes in JT gravity,” Nucl. Phys. B **994** (2023), 116315 doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2023.116315 [arXiv:2111.11279 [hep-th]].
- [53] A. Blommaert, A. Levine, T. G. Mertens, J. Papalini and K. Parmentier, “Wormholes, branes and finite matrices in sine dilaton gravity,” [arXiv:2501.17091 [hep-th]].
- [54] J. Maldacena, G. J. Turiaci and Z. Yang, “Two dimensional Nearly de Sitter gravity,” JHEP **01** (2021), 139 doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2021)139 [arXiv:1904.01911 [hep-th]].
- [55] E. Alonso-Monsalve, D. Harlow and P. Jefferson, “Phase space of Jackiw-Teitelboim gravity with positive cosmological constant,” [arXiv:2409.12943 [hep-th]].
- [56] J. Held and H. Maxfield, “The Hilbert space of de Sitter JT: a case study for canonical methods in quantum gravity,” [arXiv:2410.14824 [hep-th]].
- [57] A. A. Rahman, “dS JT Gravity and Double-Scaled SYK,” [arXiv:2209.09997 [hep-th]].
- [58] L. Susskind, “De Sitter Space, Double-Scaled SYK, and the Separation of Scales in the Semiclassical Limit,” [arXiv:2209.09999 [hep-th]].
- [59] H. Verlinde and M. Zhang, “SYK Correlators from 2D Liouville-de Sitter Gravity,” [arXiv:2402.02584 [hep-th]].
- [60] A. B. Zamolodchikov, “Irreversibility of the Flux of the Renormalization Group in a 2D Field Theory,” JETP Lett. **43** (1986), 730-732
- [61] J. L. Cardy, “Is There a c Theorem in Four-Dimensions?,” Phys. Lett. B **215** (1988), 749-752 doi:10.1016/0370-2693(88)90054-8
- [62] L. Girardello, M. Petrini, M. Porrati and A. Zaffaroni, “Novel local CFT and exact results on perturbations of N=4 superYang Mills from AdS dynamics,” JHEP **12** (1998), 022 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/1998/12/022 [arXiv:hep-th/9810126 [hep-th]].
- [63] R. C. Myers and A. Sinha, “Seeing a c-theorem with holography,” Phys. Rev. D **82** (2010), 046006 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.82.046006 [arXiv:1006.1263 [hep-th]].
- [64] R. C. Myers and A. Sinha, “Holographic c-theorems in arbitrary dimensions,” JHEP **01** (2011), 125 doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2011)125 [arXiv:1011.5819 [hep-th]].
- [65] M. Suh, “Holographic renormalization group flows in two-dimensional gravity and AdS black holes,” JHEP **07** (2020), 209 doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2020)209 [arXiv:2002.07194 [hep-th]].