

Building Age Estimation: A New Multi-Modal Benchmark Dataset and Community Challenge

Nikolaos Dionelis, Nicolas Longép , Alessandra Feliciotti, Mattia Marconcini, Devis Peressutti, Nika Oman Kadunc, JaeWan Park, Hagai Raja Sinulingga, Steve Andreas Immanuel, Ba Tran, Caroline Arnold

Abstract—Estimating the construction year of buildings is of great importance for sustainability. Sustainable buildings minimize energy consumption and are a key part of responsible and sustainable urban planning and development to effectively combat climate change. By using Artificial Intelligence (AI) and recently proposed Transformer models, we are able to estimate the construction epoch of buildings from a multi-modal dataset. In this paper, we introduce a new benchmark multi-modal dataset, i.e. the Map your City Dataset (MyCD), containing top-view Very High Resolution (VHR) images, Earth Observation (EO) multi-spectral data from the Copernicus Sentinel-2 satellite constellation, and street-view images in many different cities in Europe, co-localized with respect to the building under study and labelled with the construction epoch. We assess EO generalization performance on new/ previously unseen cities that have been held-out from training and appear only during inference. In this work, we present the community-based data challenge we organized based on MyCD. The AI4EO Challenge MapYourCity was opened in 2024 for 4 months. We present the Top-4 performing models, and the evaluation results. During inference, the performance of the models using both all three input modalities and only the two top-view modalities, i.e. without the street-view images, is examined. The evaluation results show that the models are effective and can achieve good performance on this difficult real-world task of estimating the age of buildings, even on previously unseen cities, as well as even using only the two top-view modalities (i.e. VHR and Sentinel-2) during inference.

I. INTRODUCTION

Estimation of the age of buildings in cities is important for sustainability, urban planning, and structural safety purposes. Sustainable buildings minimize energy consumption and are a key part of responsible and sustainable urban planning and development that seeks to effectively combat climate change, as well as rising temperatures. With increase in urbanization and Earth Sciences research into urban areas, the need to estimate the energy efficiency of buildings, so as to meet important sustainability goals, has never been greater. The age of buildings plays an important role in energy modelling, as well as in urban policies. The age of the building can be

used as a proxy for the energy efficiency. However, data for the construction period of buildings is not currently available globally in a *consistent* and homogeneous manner. To this end, as a start, in this study, we focus on European cities.

In this real-world application study for sustainability and climate, the main aim is to develop models that automatically estimate the construction year of buildings with improved performance using Artificial Intelligence (AI) techniques and cross-view datasets based on street-view images and Earth Observation (EO) datasets. The goals of this paper are to present the new multi-modal dataset, examine example images from this street-view and EO dataset, present the community data challenge that we organized, and present the evaluation results of the top-performing models from the challenge. We introduce a new benchmark dataset for a real-world multi-modal application study. It consists of three modalities, including street-view ground images, top-view satellite Very High Resolution (VHR) images at 50 cm resolution, and Copernicus Sentinel-2 *multi-spectral* satellite data. All the modalities are co-localized with respect to the specific building under study, as well as its label of the construction epoch. The problem is formulated as a classification task with seven classes for the construction epoch, ranging from 1900 to nowadays.

This paper evaluates the EO generalization performance of the models on cities that are not included in the training dataset, i.e. on new/ previously unseen cities. We examine the performance of the models when both training and testing are performed with all 3 modalities. There is benefit in using the top-view VHR images (and to a lesser extent, Sentinel-2 data), in addition to the street-view images. In this work, we also examine the performance of the models when training is performed with all the three modalities, and testing is performed *without* the street-view images, i.e. when using only *two* out of the three encoders (i.e. top-view VHR and Sentinel-2 images). These results are compared to those when training and testing are performed using all the three modalities.

Main contributions. Our contributions are that this work introduces a novel multi-modal dataset, presents the challenge we organized to estimate the age of buildings in different cities in Europe using this dataset, examines the performance evaluation results of the models from the challenge, and shows the potential of effectively combining and fusing street-view, top-view satellite and remotely sensed Sentinel-2 EO data for accurately predicting the construction period of buildings. In this paper, we present and compare the performance of the models from the challenge that have been trained and tested on the new multi-modal street-view and EO dataset.

N. Dionelis and N. Longép  are with the European Space Agency (ESA), Φ-lab, ESRI, Italy, e-mail: {Nikolaos.Dionelis, Nicolas.Longepe}@esa.int.

A. Feliciotti and M. Marconcini are with MindEarth, Switzerland, e-mail: {alessandra.feliciotti, mattia.marconcini}@mindearth.ch.

D. Peressutti and N. O. Kadunc are with Sinergise/ Planet, Slovenia, email: {devis.peressutti, nika.oman-kadunc}@planet.com.

J. Park, H. R. Sinulingga, and S. A. Immanuel are with TelePIX, Seoul, South Korea, e-mail: {eric.park, hagairaja, steve}@telepix.net.

B. Tran is with Axelspace Corporation, Tokyo, Japan, e-mail: thba1590@gmail.com.

C. Arnold is with Helmholtz Institute Hereon, Germany and German Climate Computing Center DKRZ, Germany, e-mail: arnold@dkrz.de.

Manuscript created November, 2024; received January, 2025.




Fig. 1: **Left:** Example street-view image from the multi-modal dataset. **Right:** Example top-view satellite VHR image.




Fig. 2: Example top-view satellite VHR image and the corresponding geo-localized S-2 image (here, RGB bands for visualization purposes, where the dataset includes all the 12 spectral bands, i.e. L2A) from the multi-modal dataset MyCD.

II. DATA AND TASK

A. The multi-modal street-view and EO dataset MyCD

We present the multi-modal dataset and examine example images from this street-view and EO dataset. The dataset MyCD encompasses the three modalities of: a) Street-view images, b) Top-view satellite VHR RGB images at 50 cm resolution, and c) Multi-spectral 12-band S-2 data. These input modalities have very different characteristics (number of spectral bands, spatial resolution, and building perspectives from top and street-view). In the dataset, the three modalities are co-localized with respect to the specific building under study. In Fig. 1, we show two example images for the modalities of street-view ground and top-view satellite VHR, respectively.

In Fig. 2, an example top-view VHR image and the corresponding S-2 image, both from the new multi-modal dataset MyCD, are shown, together with the building location in the centre, as a *blue dot* in the image. We observe the geospatial overlay of the two *top-view* image modalities and that the two top-view modalities are centered at the building under study.

In Fig. 3, additional examples of street-view and top-view images from the new multi-modal dataset MyCD are shown.

The dataset MyCD comprises cross-view image data from 6 countries in Europe, and more specifically from 19 cities, for 7 different building construction epoch classes extracted from the EUBUCCO database. For the training set data, 15 cities have been chosen, while for the test set data, the *remaining* 4 cities have been used. The building construction epoch classes are 7, i.e. *labelled* from 0 to 6, where Class 0 is the building construction age “Before 1930”, Class 1 the period 1930-1945, Class 2 the epoch 1946-1960, Class 3 the period 1961-1976, Class 4 the time epoch 1977-1992, Class 5 the period 1993-2006, and Class 6 “After 2006”. In Figs. 4 and 5, we show the number of image samples in the dataset MyCD per country and building construction epoch class, as well as per city.

B. The task of predicting the age of buildings

The multi-modal dataset MyCD was used in the challenge that we organized in 2024 to predict the age of buildings in several *different* cities in Europe. The goal of the challenge was to achieve the best performance possible on cities not included in the training data, i.e. on previously unseen cities. In addition, half of the test samples did not include the street-view modality. With this data challenge, we hope to advance the research on models’ out-of-distribution (OoD) generalization.

We present the evaluation results for the OoD generalization performance of the top-performing models from the challenge when: 1) both training and testing are performed with all the three modalities of the dataset MyCD, and 2) training is performed with the three modalities of the street-view and EO dataset and testing/ inference is performed using only the *top-view* satellite modalities, i.e. without the street-view images.

III. MODELS AND METHODOLOGIES

A. Models developed to predict building construction year

In this section, we will discuss the models from the challenge that estimate the age of buildings. More specifically, we discuss the models that achieve the top/ winning results of the challenge (i.e. in Sec. V, in Figs. 11 and 12). In particular, in this section, we will discuss four different models that predict the construction epoch of buildings. To achieve very good/ *top* performance, they employ several different deep learning techniques, for example class label correlation modelling.

Label correlation. The team Creamble performs label correlation modelling to take advantage of the distance between



Fig. 3: **Left:** Example street-view image of building from the dataset MyCD, and the corresponding top-view VHR image. The images are *co-localized* with respect to the specific building. **Right:** Example top-view image from our multi-modal dataset.




Fig. 4: Number of images per country and building age class.




Fig. 5: Number of images per city and building age class.

the labels. For the seven building construction epoch classes, adjacent classes are correlated, while *far apart* classes are not. Soft labels are also used, as well as label smoothing and hyperparameter search. In addition, K-fold cross-validation, the recently proposed model EVA [1], and a model ensemble of 15 models with soft-voting are also used. The model minimizes the cross-entropy loss with label smoothing (0.3). The flowchart is shown in Fig. 6, where the model ensemble that estimates the construction period of buildings is illustrated.

Prediction-level data fusion. The team TelePIX AI performs prediction-level data fusion (rather than data fusion at the feature level), as well as K-fold cross-validation with the city as the fold for each country. For this method, a mean confidence model ensemble is used. Moreover, as encoders, the model EVA-02 [2] is also utilized, as well as reBEN [3]. For classification, the cross-entropy loss is used. The flowchart diagram of this model is illustrated in Fig. 7. Hyper-parameter optimization using Bayesian optimization with Optuna (e.g.




Fig. 6: Flowchart of the model to predict the age of buildings by the team Creamble.




Fig. 7: Flowchart diagram of the model by the team TelePIX AI, where the results are in Sec. V, in Figs. 11 and 12.

for the learning rate (lr), batch size, and lr *decay* factor) is also performed. In addition, here, the image pre-processing is: normalization, histogram equalization, random rotation and flipping, and random hide of the street-view images, where the latter is for the missing modality problem during inference (i.e. missing *street-view* images). For this approach, the key factors for good model performance are: powerful encoders, random hide *street-view* ($P: 0.5$) so that the model learns the significant features from the VHR images and Sentinel-2, split based on country, ensemble technique and pre-processing to increase robustness of the final prediction and training stability.

Ensemble with country categorical feature. The team xmb trains an ensemble of four models. For this approach, a categorical feature for the country in the format of one-hot representation is used. This country categorical feature is used in the late data fusion concatenation of the features. For the three input modalities of the dataset MyCD, the latent representations after average pooling are combined, also using the country categorical feature, and then inputted to the final dense Fully Connected (FC) layer. In addition, in the ensemble, the models EfficientNet and MobileViT are also used, and geometric data fusion is also performed. The latent feature representations before average pooling are combined according to the method presented in [4], and then inputted to average pooling and, then, concatenated using the country categorical feature. To address the problem of the missing modality during inference, street-view images during training




Fig. 8: Flowchart of model using an additional shared feature encoder for the street- and top-view VHR images and the distribution alignment loss, by the team xmb.




Fig. 9: Flowchart of the model that uses SwinT encoders, by the team The AI Buzzard.

are randomly replaced with all zeros, i.e. input dropout, and shared feature modelling [5] is performed. Here, an additional shared encoder for the two different modalities of street-view and top-view VHR is used. The flowchart diagram is shown in Fig. 8. The distribution alignment loss function term [5] is also added in the objective function minimized during training.

SwinT-encoder late data fusion with attention. The model Swin Transformer (SwinT) pretrained on ImageNet22K is used by the team The AI Buzzard, for the encoders of the three different input modalities. Late data fusion using attention is performed, as well as 5-fold cross-validation training, grouped by cities and stratified by the class label. Data fusion is performed by computing a weighted sum of the embeddings. In this way, the model is able to handle missing data during inference (i.e. missing street-view images). The flowchart diagram is shown in Fig. 9. For this method, the models are first trained on *all* the data and, then, they are fine-tuned on the individual countries. For data augmentation, random flipping, color jittering, and random resized cropping are utilized.

Discussion about the key features. The *main* characteristics of the models discussed in the preceding paragraphs are: i) very good/ top pretrained model, i.e. EVA [1] or EVA-02 [2], that is used as a starting point so as to not train from scratch, ii) model ensemble, iii) hyper-parameter optimization using for example *Optuna*, iv) label correlation modelling between adjacent classes (per modality) because the distance between adjacent classes is smaller than the distance between classes that are not adjacent, and v) missing modality *distribution alignment* loss together with a shared feature encoder. Here, additional key features of the main models are: K-fold cross-validation training, *geometric* data fusion using the methodology in [4], and use of a categorical feature for the country in the form of one-hot vector representation.




Fig. 10: Flowchart of the model ABS, the baseline of the challenge we organized using the multi-modal dataset MyCD.




Fig. 11: Evaluation results of the different models, and more specifically the private leaderboard of the challenge.

B. Baseline model: Age of Buildings for Sustainability (ABS)

We present the baseline model Age of Buildings for Sustainability (ABS) which is based on Transformers, and more specifically on the recently proposed model *SegFormer* [6], [7], which uses multi-scale features. This baseline model of the challenge employs *three* encoder networks, one for each of the modalities of the dataset MyCD, and performs late data fusion by concatenating the feature representations in the latent space. The *flowchart* of the model ABS is shown in Fig. 10. The output is the construction epoch class for the queried building. We also release our code for ABS for reproducibility¹.

IV. RELATED WORK

Many different AI models from the recent literature perform data fusion of street-view images and top-view satellite images. With AI and deep learning methods, by performing late data fusion (i.e. concatenating latent representations in the feature space), it is possible to achieve better performance than early data fusion, because street-view images and top-view satellite data have many differences, for example in resolution, object characteristics and scale, and significant differences in appearance. Street-view and top-view satellite images do not look alike and top-view data cover a larger geographical area. In general, the effective fusion of street-view ground images and nadir-view satellite or aerial images is nowadays an open research problem. By jointly using both street- and

¹The GitHub repository is: <http://github.com/AI4EO/MapYourCity>.




Fig. 12: Results from the evaluation of the models, and more specifically the public leaderboard of the challenge.

top-view images, the model proposed in [8] performs mapping of building functions using a specific data fusion strategy that combines in a decision-level manner different models trained for each image modality independently. In this way, by performing decision-level data fusion of the two modalities, the method presented in [8] combines the predictions of different models in order to accurately perform mapping of building functions, for example commercial, residential, public, and industrial, i.e. classification using four different classes.

Furthermore, nowadays, the importance of street-view images has become evident in the literature [9]. Street-view imagery is an important data source for urban analytics, helping us derive insights for making *informed* decisions [10]. Using street-view images, we can analyze for example the built environment, the vegetation, and the transportation [9], and the results of the analyses can be linked to important health, urban, and socio-economic studies. In this work, street-view images from Mapillary are used in the multi-modal dataset MyCD.

Street-view imagery is also important for urban infrastructure assessment [11] and urban mobility, as well as for spatial data infrastructures, urban planning [12], and urban greenery. In [11], the dataset Global Streetscapes is introduced which contains 10 million street-view images from 688 cities around the Earth, from 212 countries and different regions. These images have been crowdsourced from Mapillary and KartaView. Moreover, the dataset Global Streetscapes [11] also includes metadata information for every street-view image, such as geo-location, longitude and latitude, acquisition time, and contextual, semantic, and perceptual information.

Transformer models have shown to achieve good/ top performance and outperform other models, for example models that use an architecture based on Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN), U-Net, and/or Residual Networks (ResNet). Importantly, in [13], geo-location matching of street-view images and top-view aerial images is performed using a Transformer-based model and the mechanism of attention. The model TransGeo proposed in [13] is based on Transformers because global information modeling is crucial. It performs attention-guided non-uniform cropping of images as a means of attending and zooming-in on only informative image patches, not focusing on non-informative (for the task) parts of the image.

Different datasets that contain top-view satellite or aerial images exist, for example the dataset OpenEarthMap [14].

This publicly available dataset is designed for the task of high-resolution land cover mapping *semantic* segmentation. More specifically, OpenEarthMap contains 5000 aerial and satellite images, and for each image, it has land cover labels/ annotations for 8 different classes. It covers in total 97 regions, from 44 countries across 6 continents. This recent dataset OpenEarthMap has been used, for example, in the model proposed in [15] which performs semantic segmentation land cover classification in a *few-shot* continual learning setting.

V. EVALUATION AND RESULTS

Evaluation metrics. In this work, for the numerical evaluation results, we compute the classification confusion matrix for the task of predicting the construction period of buildings, where we have 7 different classes, for example “After 2006”. We calculate the average of the diagonal items of the confusion matrix, and we report this metric, which is also known as the Mean Producer Accuracy (MPA) evaluation metric.

We present the evaluation of the models from the challenge in Figs. 11 and 12. We use MPA_ALL_MODALITIES to denote the setting when both training and testing are performed with all modalities. In addition, we also use MPA_TOP_VIEW to denote the setting when training is performed with all modalities and inference is performed using only the two *top-view* modalities. TOTAL is the average of the two MPAs.

In Figs. 11 and 12, in the results², the MPA_TOP_VIEW scores are lower than MPA_ALL_MODALITIES. The top score for MPA_ALL_MODALITIES in the private leaderboard is 0.74 indicating that the estimation of the construction epoch of buildings in unseen cities is possible. When using *only* the top-view data during inference, then the performance of the models decreases by more than 10 points in the MPA score. Finally, we observe that for the TOTAL scores, the top winning values are approximately 0.65 in the private leaderboard.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this work, we have introduced the new multi-modal benchmark dataset MyCD and the real-world multi-modal application study for EO generalization. The performance evaluation of the models from the challenge show that estimating the age of buildings in previously unseen cities is possible and effective, as well as predicting the construction period of buildings/ houses from only top-view satellite images, i.e. without using the street-view images during inference.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The challenge was accomplished thanks to the contributions of many people. We want to thank all the team: Juan Pedro, Dennis Albrecht, and everyone in ESA AI4EO.

REFERENCES

- [1] Yuxin Fang, et al., “EVA: Exploring the limits of masked visual representation learning at scale,” *In Proc. IEEE/CVF Conference Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, p. 19358-19369, 2023.
- [2] Y. Fang, et al., “EVA-02: A Visual Representation for Neon Genesis,” *arXiv:2303.11331*, 2023.

²<https://platform.ai4eo.eu/map-your-city/leaderboard>

- [3] K. Clasen, et al., “reBEN: Refined BigEarthNet Dataset for Remote Sensing Image Analysis,” *arXiv:2407.03653*, 2024.
- [4] Boan Chen, et al., “Multi-modal fusion of satellite and street-view images for urban village classification based on a dual-branch deep neural network,” *Int J Applied EO Geoinformation*, 109, 102794, 2022.
- [5] Hu Wang, et al., “Multi-modal learning with missing modality via shared-specific feature modelling,” *In Proc. IEEE/CVF CVPR*, 2023.
- [6] E. Xie and et al., “Segformer: Simple and efficient design for semantic segmentation with transformers,” *In Proc. NeurIPS*, 34:12077, 2021.
- [7] N. Dionelis, F. Pro, L. Maiano, I. Amerini, and B. Le Saux, “Learning from unlabelled data with transformers: Domain adaptation for semantic segmentation of high resolution aerial images,” *In Proc. IGARSS*, 2024.
- [8] E. J. Hoffmann, Y. Wang, M. Werner, J. Kang, and X. X. Zhu, “Model fusion for building type classification from aerial and street view images,” *Remote Sensing*, vol. 11, no. 11, p. 1259, 2019.
- [9] F. Biljecki and K. Ito, “Street view imagery in urban analytics and GIS: A review,” *Landscape and Urban Planning*, vol. 215, no. 104217, 2021.
- [10] W. Chen and et al., “Global urban road network patterns: Unveiling multiscale planning paradigms of 144 cities with a novel deep learning approach,” *Landscape and Urban Planning*, vol. 241, p. 104901, 2024.
- [11] Y. Hou, M. Quintana, M. Khomiakov, W. Yap, J. Ouyang, K. Ito, and et al., “Global Streetscapes - A comprehensive dataset of 10 million street-level images across 688 cities for urban science and analytics,” *ISPRS J. Photogrammetry Remote Sensing*, vol. 215, pp. 216–238, 2024.
- [12] X. Liang and et al., “Revealing spatio-temporal evolution of urban visual environments with street view,” *Landscape Urban Planning*, 2023.
- [13] S. Zhu, M. Shah, and C. Chen, “TransGeo: Transformer is all you need for cross-view image geo-localization,” *In Proc. IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, p. 1162–1171, 2022.
- [14] J. Xia and et al., “OpenEarthMap: A benchmark dataset for global high-resolution land cover mapping,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV)*, 2023.
- [15] S. A. Immanuel and H. R. Sinulingga, “Learnable prompt for few-shot semantic segmentation in remote sensing,” in *W CVPR L3D-IVU*, 2024.



Nikolaos Dionelis M.Eng. in electrical and electronic engineering from Imperial College London (ICL), UK and PhD degree in electrical engineering from ICL. Worked at the University of Edinburgh and the University Defence Research Collaboration (UDRC) in Signal Processing as a Postdoctoral Research Associate in Machine Learning for four years. Now, working at the European Space Agency (ESA), at the Φ -lab.



Nicolas Longépé M.Eng. in electronics & communication systems. M.S. degree in electronics at the National Institute for the Applied Sciences, France. PhD degree from Uni Rennes. Worked at EO Research Center of JAXA, as Japan Society for the Promotion of Science Fellow, and then as an invited researcher. Worked at CLS, France, as a research engineer in the Radar Application Division. Now, working at the European Space Agency (ESA), at the Φ -lab.



Alessandra Feliciotti is a Project and Operations manager at MindEarth. She is also an Urban Scientist and with MindEarth, her tasks include urban and regional GIS analysis, webGIS applications and digital cartography. She also has a PhD degree from the University of Strathclyde, UK.



Mattia Marconcini is a Research Data Scientist and Development Project Manager at the German Aerospace Center (DLR). He is an Engineer and Project Manager in the Smart Cities and Spatial Development team at the DLR Earth Observation Center (EOC) since March 2012. From August 2018, he has also been supporting MindEarth of which he has become partner in 2021. His research and work focus on the use of Earth Observation techniques to support sustainable urban development.



Devis Peressutti is a Data/ML Scientist at Sinergise/ Planet. He develops machine learning solutions for remote sensing and earth observation applications. His research interests primarily lie in: machine learning and pattern recognition in remotely sensed imagery, image segmentation and registration, and prototyping and deploying large-scale ML algorithms to production.



Nika Oman Kadunc is a Software Engineer / Earth Observation Scientist at Sinergise/ Planet. She is a Data Scientist in the EO Research team discovering insights from earth observation data and turning creative ideas into working innovative solutions.



JaeWan Park was born on March 26 , 1989, in Republic of Korea (South Korea). He received a Bachelor’s degree in Economics from the Hankuk University of Foreign Studies and is currently pursuing a Master’s degree in Data Science at the Sogang University. His research fields include detection tasks using satellite imagery, few-shot segmentation, and multi-modal approaches. He is currently working at the TelePIX AI Team, focusing on AI research and development using satellite imagery.



Hagai Raja Sinulingga is an Indonesian computer scientist who earned his master’s degree from Sejong University in 2023 and his bachelor’s degree from Institut Teknologi Bandung in 2020. His expertise lies in detection tasks, few-shot segmentation, and foundational models. Currently, he is an artificial intelligence researcher and developer at the TelePIX AI Team, focusing on satellite imagery applications. Prior to joining TelePIX, he conducted research in anomaly detection at the Imaging and Intelligent Systems Lab, Sejong University.



Steve Andreas Immanuel was born in Indonesia. He completed his master’s degree at Sejong University in 2024, following his bachelor’s from Institut Teknologi Bandung in 2021. His areas of expertise include vision-language models, few-shot segmentation, and image compression. He is currently an AI researcher and developer at the TelePIX AI Team, where he focuses on satellite imagery applications. Previously, he worked as a researcher at Vision Language Intelligence Lab, Sejong University.



Ba Tran Ba Tran is a Computer Vision Software Engineer at Axelspace Corporation (Tokyo, Japan), specializing in satellite image construction for the GRUS satellite constellation and satellite image analysis. He graduated from the University of Tokyo in 2016, where he earned his Bachelor’s degree in Information & Communication Engineering from the Faculty of Engineering. He completed his Master’s degree at the same university, in the Graduate School of Information Science & Technology in 2018.



Caroline Arnold Caroline Arnold graduated in Physics from the University of Tübingen in 2015. She received a PhD in theoretical physics from the University of Hamburg in 2019. She joined Helmholtz AI and the German Climate Computing Center as an AI consultant in 2020. Her interests are deep learning for spatiotemporal data, remote sensing, and climate modeling.