

AnDB: Breaking Boundaries with an AI-Native Database for Universal Semantic Analysis

Tianqing Wang

Huawei

Toronto, Canada

wangtianqing2@huawei.com

Guoliang Li

Tsinghua University

Beijing, China

liguoliang@tsinghua.edu.cn

Xun Xue

Huawei

Toronto, Canada

xun.xue@huawei.com

Yong Wang

Huawei

Hangzhou, China

wangyong308@huawei.com

Abstract

In this demonstration, we present AnDB, an AI-native database that supports traditional OLTP workloads and innovative AI-driven tasks, enabling unified semantic analysis across structured and unstructured data. While structured data analytics is mature, challenges remain in bridging the semantic gap between user queries and unstructured data. AnDB addresses these issues by leveraging cutting-edge AI-native technologies, allowing users to perform semantic queries using intuitive SQL-like statements without requiring AI expertise. This approach eliminates the ambiguity of traditional text-to-SQL systems and provides a seamless end-to-end optimization for analyzing all data types. AnDB automates query processing by generating multiple execution plans and selecting the optimal one through its optimizer, which balances accuracy, execution time, and financial cost based on user policies and internal optimizing mechanisms. AnDB future-proofs data management infrastructure, empowering users to effectively and efficiently harness the full potential of all kinds of data without starting from scratch.

PVLDB Artifact Availability:

The source code, data, and/or other artifacts have been made available at <https://github.com/wotchin/AnDB/tree/with-semantic>.

1 INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the data landscape has evolved with the emergence of data lakehouses, a hybrid architecture combining the scalability of data lakes with the performance and management features of data warehouses [9]. However, despite their strengths in data storage, data lakehouses struggle to effectively analyze unstructured data, which constitutes 90% of global data [2] and remains a largely untapped resource [8]. Unstructured data, encompassing text, images, audio, and video, presents significant challenges due to its complexity and heterogeneity, often leading to data silos, inefficiencies, and missed opportunities for actionable insights [6].

The rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI), particularly Large Language Models (LLMs), offers promising solutions to bridge the gap between semantic queries and unstructured data. Techniques like Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) enhance LLMs by integrating external knowledge, reducing hallucinations, and improving accuracy [3]. However, RAG systems are limited

by their focus on retrieval, filtering, and ranking, with inadequate support for advanced operations like aggregation and join.

Recent research has sought to integrate traditional database methodologies with AI technologies. For instance, the "LOTUS" system [7] introduces semantic operators (e.g., semantic join, semantic group) to enhance AI-driven analysis, while "PALIMPSEST" [5] enables LLM-powered queries through a declarative process. Notwithstanding these advancements, significant challenges remain in the precise interpretation of user requirements, and the implementation of effective feedback mechanisms for system calibration. A case in point is the "PALIMPSEST" system, which necessitates user programming intervention, demonstrates inadequate coverage in its execution plan generation, and disregards conventional optimization strategies for data scan operations, etc.

In this demonstration, AnDB addresses these limitations by providing an intuitive SQL-like interface through its SQL Engine, enabling seamless analysis of structured and unstructured data. The Query Optimization component generates multiple execution plans, with an optimizer selecting the most efficient one. Results are delivered relationally via the Execution Engine, ensuring clarity regardless of data structure. Additionally, the Calibration component estimates result accuracy and core metrics (e.g., execution time, token usage), feeding calibration information back into the optimizer to improve future performance.

2 System Overview

As illustrated in Figure 1, AnDB comprises several core components, including the SQL engine, query optimization engine, execution engine, storage component, and auxiliary modules such as the model management and Knowledge Graph (KG) module. Users can seamlessly interact with AnDB using SQL-like statements for unstructured data analysis and standard SQL for relational data queries.

2.1 SQL Engine

SQL Grammar Tokens. In addition to standard SQL statement tokens, AnDB introduces additional tokens to express user requirements for semantic analysis tasks accurately. In Table 1, these tokens are categorized into Semantic Tokens and Auxiliary Tokens, enabling end-to-end semantic analysis with enhanced flexibility and precision.




Figure 1: AnDB Architecture Overview: the comprehensive workflow of the AnDB system

Table 1: Additional SQL Grammar Tokens.

Token	Category	Description
PROMPT	semantic	Specifies semantic requirements based on user prompts. Applicable in SELECT, WHERE.
SEM_MATCH	semantic	Enables semantic and approximate matching with conditional parameters. Applicable in JOIN_ON, WHERE, HAVING.
SEM_GROUP	semantic	Prompts for semantic aggregation. Applicable in SELECT, GROUP_BY.
TABULAR	auxiliary	Defines a custom schema for unstructured data. Applicable in FROM.
FILE	auxiliary	Specifies a file name for unstructured data. Applicable in FROM.
DIRECTORY	auxiliary	Specifies a directory name for batch processing of unstructured files. Applicable in FROM.

Logical Plan. AnDB processes relational data in strict adherence to traditional relational concepts, ensuring compatibility with existing systems. Semantic tokens in AnDB are internally translated into logical plans, naturally bridging the gap between unstructured data query semantics and standard database operators. To achieve this, AnDB introduces several novel operators, e.g., **Transform**, which resembles a map operation but supports flexible schema based on user prompts. Unlike traditional map operations in MapReduce,

which preserve dimensionality, the **Transform** operator can expand or contract relation dimensions, necessitating a new conceptual framework.

Particularly, systems that rely exclusively on isolated prompt fragments while failing to incorporate global contextual information consistently exhibit coherence deficiencies. In contrast, AnDB addresses this limitation by comprehensively considering all prompt text parameters (e.g., PROMPT, SEM_GROUP, and SEM_MATCH), better understanding user requirements.

Physical Plan. AnDB integrates prompt requirements into conventional relational operators, leveraging their inherent flexibility. However, a key challenge lies in designing efficient and effective physical operators to implement logical plans. For example, a logical join operator can be implemented through multiple physical operators, such as nested-loop-join, hash-join, and sorted-merge join. As semantically equivalent execution paths, providing diverse physical operator implementations is essential to support the query optimizer in selecting an optimal execution plan.

Table 2 highlights some key physical operators corresponding to their logical operators, demonstrating how AnDB tackles the issue while maintaining flexibility and performance.

In addition to the direct one-to-one mappings presented in Table 2, AnDB also supports the implementation of logical operators through a sequence of physical operators, offering enhanced flexibility and optimization potential. For instance, in the case of the join operation, the process begins with applying **SemanticTransform** to reformat and normalize the two tables into a unified schema. Subsequently, traditional join methods, such as the nested-loop-join, are applied for the tabular tuples, mirroring the approach used in conventional databases.

2.2 Query Optimization

Although several innovations, like "PALIMPSEST", propose balancing financial cost, accuracy, and runtime, we contend that runtime is merely an intermediate factor, as the financial cost strongly correlates with end-to-end execution time. Therefore, we adopt a "less-is-more" strategy to redefine the problem. Notably, we introduce a normalized cost model that defines the trade-off between these factors, which is interpretable and natural to integrate with the existing relational database optimizer. Specifically, we define the accuracy-cost matrix and token vector as follows.

Let \mathbf{M} represent the accuracy-cost matrix, where the elements correspond to the coefficients for accuracy (A) and cost (C) for both input and output tokens:

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{\text{input}} & A_{\text{output}} \\ C_{\text{input}} & C_{\text{output}} \end{bmatrix}$$

We also define the token vector \mathbf{t} as the number of input and output tokens processed by a semantic operator o :

$$\mathbf{t} = [t_{\text{input}}, t_{\text{output}}]$$

Given that semantic operators process N data tuples, the cost-accuracy trade-off for a semantic operator o is formalized as:

Table 2: Logical Operators and Corresponding Physical Operators

Logical Operator	Physical Operator	Description
Scan	SemanticScan	Scans unstructured data and extracts it into a tabular format based on SQL context and semantics.
	FileScan	Reads raw bytes of unstructured data directly from storage.
	DirectoryScan	Reads raw bytes of unstructured data from a directory as a unified dataset.
	EmbeddingScan	Scans the child operator’s data and embeds it into a vector using an embedding model.
Transform	SemanticTransform	Transforms the child operator’s data using an LLM and user-provided or built-in prompts.
	CodeExecution	Generates executable code via LLM based on the operator’s target, runs it on child data, and passes results to the parent operator.
Join	NestedLoopJoin (vector similarity)	Performs nested loop join using vector similarity for value comparisons.
	HashJoin (vector similarity)	Performs hash join using vector similarity for value comparisons.
	SortedMergeJoin (vector similarity)	Performs sorted-merge join using vector similarity for value comparisons.
	SemanticJoin	Compares two columns’ values by interacting with an LLM.
Group	SemanticCluster	Groups data using unsupervised clustering algorithms.
Filter	SemanticFilter	Filters out irrelevant data by interacting with an LLM.

$$\Gamma(o) = \underbrace{C_{\text{input}} \cdot t_{\text{input}} + C_{\text{output}} \cdot t_{\text{output}}}_{\text{Cost Term}} + \lambda \cdot \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{A_{\text{input}} \cdot t_{\text{input}} + A_{\text{output}} \cdot t_{\text{output}}} \right)}_{\text{Accuracy Loss Term}} \quad (1)$$

where $\lambda \in [0, +\infty)$ is a tuning parameter that controls the trade-off between cost and accuracy. A higher value of λ prioritizes accuracy, while a lower value emphasizes cost reduction.

The core idea of this formulation is to explicitly minimize the weighted total cost while introducing accuracy as a loss term into the optimization objective, which penalizes low accuracy resulting from cost reduction. This design aligns more closely with the traditional database optimization logic. Hence, the optimization of a hybrid execution plan, P , combining both semantic and traditional operators, where $\chi(o)$ is the execution cost of a traditional operator o , is then formulated as:

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left(\sum_{o \in P_{\text{semantic}}} \Gamma(o) + \sum_{o \in P_{\text{traditional}}} \chi(o) \right) \quad (2)$$

By the cost models, AnDB can select an execution plan that minimizes financial cost while achieving the desired level of accuracy.

2.3 Execution Engine

LLM invocations primarily rely on API integration. However, if local deployment is required, there are numerous of significant potential for optimization in areas such as resource scheduling, GPU utilization, and in-memory data caching. Additionally, AnDB has a lightweight knowledge graph module, inspired by GraphRAG [1], to aid in reasoning, as graphs effectively represent entity relationships.

The execution engine can retrieve structured, semi-structured, and unstructured data from disk. A key optimization involves indexing unstructured files, such as extracting keywords from images using multimodal models (e.g., FUYU-8b [4]) and preprocessing documents (e.g., chunking) before storage because performing these tasks during execution would be inefficient.

2.4 Calibration

The calibration component parallels the reflection and self-critique aspects of the agentic AI framework, which integrates two approaches: (1) User-based: This approach utilizes accuracy feedback provided by the user following query execution. (2) Model-based: This method employs cross-validation across multiple LLMs and built-in rules, offering a higher level of automation.

3 DEMONSTRATION OVERVIEW

We showcase AnDB’s functionalities for processing unstructured data.

Dataset. Two unstructured text documents, `neurips_2023.txt`, and `neurips_2024.txt`, were scraped from papers published at NeurIPS conferences in 2023 and 2024.


Scenario 1: Classification and Aggregation. The following three statements are nearly of semantic equivalence but differ in the execution plans. *Statement a* represents a simple retrieval scenario, similar to what is typically processed by RAG systems. *Statement b* demonstrates a semantic retrieval scenario that does not provide a specific schema. AnDB is required to implicitly infer the schema and perform retrieval based on global semantics. *Statement c* is a scenario where the user specifies a schema. AnDB only needs to transform unstructured data into structured data according to the user’s requirements and then apply traditional data operators for aggregation.

```

-- Statement a: simple query scenario
SELECT PROMPT("Analyze technical areas and count the
number of publications in each area.")
FROM FILE("neurips_2024.txt");

-- Statement b: implicit schema inference
SELECT PROMPT("Analyze technical areas"), count(1)
FROM FILE("neurips_2024.txt") -- no schema
GROUP BY PROMPT("Count the numbers of publications in
each area");

-- Statement c: given a specific schema
SELECT area, SEM_GROUP("Count the number of publications
in each area")
FROM TABULAR(PROMPT("technical area") as area FROM
FILE("neurips_2024.txt"))
GROUP BY area;
    
```



Scenario 2: Analyzing Research Trends in NeurIPS (2023-2024). Cross-conference analysis of research trends between NeurIPS 2023 and 2024, involving retrieval, aggregation, and join operations.

Here, we demonstrate an end-to-end workflow for a use case as Figure 2 shown. **Step ①:** The user inputs an SQL-like query in the client interface. **Step ②:** AnDB scans the relevant unstructured data and invokes the LLM to perform the analysis. **Step ③:** The results are returned to the user, and presented in a structured format.




Figure 2: AnDB Workflow

The use case only involves two feasible plans in Figure 3. **Plan A:** First, unstructured data is transformed into tabular data, followed by aggregation using traditional join methods. **Plan B:** During the tabular transformation process, a domain-specific model is used to vectorize the corresponding text contents and embed them into a vector value in a hidden column. Subsequently, during the semantic join, vector similarity is utilized for judgment.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratitude to David Anugraha and Sona Ghotra for providing us with early discussions and code contributions.

References

[1] Darren Edge, Ha Trinh, Newman Cheng, Joshua Bradley, Alex Chao, Apurva Mody, Steven Truitt, and Jonathan Larson. 2024. From Local to Global: A Graph

Figure 3: Scenario 2. Scan unstructured documents and per-form aggregation and join

RAG Approach to Query-Focused Summarization. arXiv:2404.16130 [cs.CL] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.16130>

[2] IDC. 2023. UNTAPPED VALUE: What Every Executive Needs to Know About Unstructured Data. Report number: [US51128223].

[3] Patrick Lewis, Ethan Perez, Aleksandra Piktus, Fabio Petroni, Vladimir Karpukhin, Naman Goyal, Heinrich Küttler, Mike Lewis, Wen tau Yih, Tim Rocktäschel, Sebastian Riedel, and Douwe Kiela. 2021. Retrieval-Augmented Generation for Knowledge-Intensive NLP Tasks. arXiv:2005.11401 [cs.CL] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.11401>

[4] Bo Li, Peiyuan Zhang, Jingkang Yang, Yuanhan Zhang, Fanyi Pu, and Ziwei Liu. 2023. OtterHD: A High-Resolution Multi-modality Model. arXiv:2311.04219 [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.04219>

[5] Chunwei Liu, Matthew Russo, Michael J. Cafarella, Lei Cao, Peter Baille Chen, Zui Chen, Michael Franklin, Tim Kraska, Samuel Madden, Rana Shahout, and Gerardo Vitagliano. 2024. Palimpsest: Optimizing AI-Powered Analytics with Declarative Query Processing. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:275568700>

[6] Samuel Madden, Michael J. Cafarella, Michael J. Franklin, and Tim Kraska. 2024. Databases Unbound: Querying All of the World’s Bytes with AI. *Proc. VLDB Endow.* 17 (2024), 4546–4554. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:272727978>

[7] Liana Patel, Siddharth Jha, Parth Asawa, Melissa Pan, Carlos Guestrin, and Matei Zaharia. 2024. Semantic Operators: A Declarative Model for Rich, AI-based Analytics Over Text Data. arXiv:2407.11418 [cs.DB] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.11418>

[8] Global CIO Ravi Malick. [n.d.]. 90% of your data is unstructured - and it’s full of untapped value. <https://blog.box.com/90-your-data-unstructured-and-its-full-untapped-value> Accessed: 2023-08-24.

[9] Matei A. Zaharia, Ali Ghodsi, Reynold Xin, and Michael Armbrust. 2021. Lakehouse: A New Generation of Open Platforms that Unify Data Warehousing and Advanced Analytics. In *Conference on Innovative Data Systems Research*. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:229576171>