

# $\Omega_c$ baryon spectrum and strong decays in a constituent quark model

Hui-Hua Zhong<sup>1,2</sup>, Ming-Sheng Liu<sup>1\*</sup>, Li-Ye Xiao<sup>4</sup>, Kai-Lei Wang<sup>5</sup>, Qi-Li<sup>6</sup>, Xian-Hui Zhong<sup>2,3†</sup>

1) Tianjin Key Laboratory of Quantum Optics and Intelligent Photonics,  
School of Science, Tianjin University of Technology, Tianjin 300384, China

2) Department of Physics, Hunan Normal University, and Key Laboratory of Low-Dimensional  
Quantum Structures and Quantum Control of Ministry of Education, Changsha 410081, China

3) Synergetic Innovation Center for Quantum Effects and Applications (SICQEA), Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410081, China

4) Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Beijing 100083, China

5) Department of Electronic Information and Physics, Changzhi University, Changzhi, Shanxi 046011, China and

6) School of Science, Tianjin Chengjian University, Tianjin 300000, China

In this work, we study the masses of the  $1S$ -,  $1P$ -,  $1D$ -,  $2S$ -, and  $2P$ -wave  $\Omega_c$  baryon states within a semi-relativistic constituent quark potential model. Furthermore, the strong decay properties for the excited  $\Omega_c$  states are evaluated by using the masses and wave functions obtained from the potential model. In a unified framework, we provide a reasonable explanation of both the masses and widths for the newly observed  $\Omega_c$  resonances  $\Omega_c(3000)$ ,  $\Omega_c(3050)$ ,  $\Omega_c(3065)$ ,  $\Omega_c(3090)$ ,  $\Omega_c(3120)$ ,  $\Omega_c(3185)$ , and  $\Omega_c(3327)$ . Based on the obtained decay properties and mass spectrum, we further suggest optimal channels and mass regions to find the missing  $\Omega_c$  resonances. We expect our study can provide a useful reference for establishing the  $\Omega_c$  spectrum.

PACS numbers:

## I. INTRODUCTION

For the  $\Omega_c$  baryon family, the ground state  $\Omega_c(2695)1/2^+$  was first established in 1985 by the WA62 experiment [1]. In 2009, its spin partner  $\Omega_c(2770)3/2^+$  was also reconstructed in the  $\Omega_c(2695)\gamma$  final state by the Belle collaboration [2]. In 2017, five new narrow excited  $\Omega_c$  states,  $\Omega_c(3000)$ ,  $\Omega_c(3050)$ ,  $\Omega_c(3065)$ ,  $\Omega_c(3090)$ , and  $\Omega_c(3120)$  were observed in the  $\Xi_c^+ K^-$  channel by the LHCb Collaboration [3]. Subsequently, except for  $\Omega_c(3120)$ , the other four states were confirmed by the Belle collaboration [4]. In 2021, the LHCb collaboration observed the  $\Omega_c(3000)$ ,  $\Omega_c(3050)$ ,  $\Omega_c(3065)$  and  $\Omega_c(3090)$  once again in the process  $\Omega_b^- \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ K^- \pi^-$  [5]. Moreover, they probed the spin of the four excited  $\Omega_c$  states, and found that their spin assignments are consistent with  $J = 1/2, 3/2, 3/2$ , and  $5/2$ . More recently, besides the confirmation of the five excited  $\Omega_c$  states first observed in 2017, the LHCb Collaboration also observed two new excited states,  $\Omega_c(3185)$  and  $\Omega_c(3327)$ , in the  $\Xi_c^+ K^-$  channel [6]. The discovery of these new states not only has significantly expanded the  $\Omega_c$  family, but also offers an excellent opportunity to further investigate the strong interactions between heavy and light quarks.

Stimulated by newly observed excited  $\Omega_c$  states, many theoretical studies on the mass spectrum and decay properties have been carried out within various models and methods [7–41]. These newly discovered states are commonly explained as the orbital or radial excitations of the  $\Omega_c$  baryon, however, there remain some differences in the predictions of the spin-parity quantum numbers [41, 42]. In addition to being interpreted as conventional three-quark states, these newly discovered states were also suggested as compact pentaquarks or baryon-meson molecular states in the literature [43–57]. More information

about the status of the  $\Omega_c$  baryons can be seen in the recent review works [42, 58].

In 2017, inspired by the discovery of five new narrow states of  $\Omega_c$  at LHCb [3], our group investigated the strong and radiative decay properties of the low-lying  $\lambda$ -mode orbital ( $1P_\lambda$ ) and radial ( $2S_\lambda$ ) excitations of  $\Omega_c$  within the chiral quark model [59]. In which, the  $\Omega_c(3000)$ ,  $\Omega_c(3050)$ ,  $\Omega_c(3065)$ , and  $\Omega_c(3090)$  are assigned as the  $1P_\lambda$  states with quantum numbers  $1/2^-$ ,  $3/2^-$ ,  $3/2^-$ , and  $5/2^-$ , respectively; while the  $\Omega_c(3120)$  is assigned as the  $2S_\lambda$  states with quantum numbers  $1/2^+$  or  $3/2^+$ . In 2018, our research group extended the studies to the  $\lambda$ -mode second orbital ( $1D_\lambda$ ) excitations of  $\Omega_c$  [60]. It is found that the  $1D_\lambda$  states have a relatively narrow decay width of a several MeV or several tens MeV, and the kaonic decay channels  $\Xi_c K$ ,  $\Xi'_c K$  and  $\Xi_c^* K$  may be ideal channels for future searches [60].

In this work, we perform a unified study of both the mass spectrum and strong decays of the  $\Omega_c$  baryons up to the  $2P$  states (with one orbital and one radial excitations at the same time). Due to the decay properties of some states are sensitive to the details of the wave function, a simple harmonic oscillator (SHO) form for the  $\Omega_c$  states as that adopted in the previous works of our group [59, 60] may not be reliable in some cases. To improve this, we first calculate the mass spectrum of  $1S$ -,  $1P$ -,  $1D$ -,  $2S$ -, and  $2P$ -wave  $\Omega_c$  baryons within a semi-relativistic constituent quark potential model. It should be mentioned that both the  $\lambda$ - and  $\rho$ -mode excitations are considered. Then, by using the masses and genuine wave functions calculated from the potential model, we give our predictions of the strong decay properties for the  $\Omega_c$  resonances within the chiral quark model. As another improvement of the previous works [59, 60], we have incorporated the contribution of the relativistic correction term  $\mathcal{H}^{RC}$  in the study of decay properties. Recent studies of excited heavy-light mesons [61] and baryons [62–64] have revealed that this term plays an important role in some radially excited states.

This paper is organized as follows. The framework is given

\*E-mail: liumingsheng@email.tjut.edu.cn

†E-mail: zhongxh@hunnu.edu.cn

in Sec. II, where we give an introduction to the potential quark model for the mass calculations and the chiral quark model for the evaluations of the strong decays. In Sec. III, the masses and strong decay properties of  $1S^-$ ,  $1P^-$ ,  $1D^-$ ,  $2S^-$ , and  $2P^-$  wave  $\Omega_c$  baryons are presented, while the possible assignments of the newly observed  $\Omega_c$  states are discussed based on the obtained results. Finally, a summary is given in Sec. IV.




FIG. 1: Singly heavy baryon system with  $\rho$ - or  $\lambda$ -mode excitations.  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  stand for the two light quarks, and  $Q_3$  stands for the heavy quark.  $\mathbf{r}_1$ ,  $\mathbf{r}_2$ , and  $\mathbf{r}_3$  are their position coordinates.

## II. FRAMEWORK

### A. Mass spectrum

A singly heavy baryon state contains two light quarks and a heavy quark. The heavy quark violates the flavor SU(4) symmetry. However, the SU(3) symmetry between the other two light quarks is retained. According to symmetry, the heavy quark is fixed at position 3, while the two light quarks occupy positions 1 and 2. Their position coordinates are labeled with  $\mathbf{r}_1$ ,  $\mathbf{r}_2$ , and  $\mathbf{r}_3$  as shown in Fig. 1.

#### 1. Hamiltonian

To describe a singly heavy baryon system, we adopt a semi-relativistic Hamiltonian [62], i.e.,

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^3 \sqrt{\mathbf{p}_i^2 + m_i^2} + \sum_{i<j} V(r_{ij}) + C_0, \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{p}_i$  and  $m_i$  stand for the momentum and mass of the  $i$ -th quark, respectively.  $V(r_{ij})$  represents the effective potentials between the  $i$ -th and  $j$ -th quarks with a distance  $r_{ij} \equiv |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|$ , while  $C_0$  is the zero point energy.

The internal quark potentials,  $V(r_{ij})$ , can be decomposed into the spin-independent and spin-dependent parts,

$$V(r_{ij}) = V^{corn}(r_{ij}) + V^{sd}(r_{ij}). \quad (2)$$

For the spin-independent part,  $V^{corn}(r_{ij})$ , we adopt the widely used Cornell form [65], i.e.,

$$V^{corn}(r_{ij}) = \frac{b}{2} r_{ij} - \frac{2\alpha_{ij}}{3} \frac{1}{r_{ij}}, \quad (3)$$

where the first term is the confinement part, while the second term is the Coulomb-like potential derived from the one gluon exchange (OGE) model [66, 67]. The  $b$  is the slope parameter of the confinement potentials, while  $\alpha_{ij}$  are the strong coupling constants. The spin-dependent part  $V^{sd}(r_{ij})$  is given by

$$V^{sd}(r_{ij}) = V^{SS}(r_{ij}) + V^T(r_{ij}) + V^{LS}(r_{ij}), \quad (4)$$

where  $V^{SS}(r_{ij})$ ,  $V^T(r_{ij})$ , and  $V^{LS}(r_{ij})$  stand for the spin-spin, tensor, and spin-orbit potentials, respectively. In the OGE model, the spin-spin and tensor potentials are given by [66, 67]

$$V^{SS}(r_{ij}) = \frac{2\alpha_{ij}}{3} \left\{ \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \frac{\sigma_{ij}^3 e^{-\sigma_{ij}^2 r_{ij}^2}}{\pi^{3/2}} \cdot \frac{16}{3m_i m_j} (\mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j) \right\}, \quad (5)$$

$$V^T(r_{ij}) = \frac{2\alpha_{ij}}{3} \frac{1}{m_i m_j r_{ij}^2} \left\{ \frac{3(\mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij})(\mathbf{S}_j \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij})}{r_{ij}^2} - \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \right\}, \quad (6)$$

while the spin-orbit part is given by [66]

$$V^{LS}(r_{ij}) = V_{ij}^{so(v)} + V_{ij}^{so(s)}, \quad (7)$$

with a color-magnetic piece

$$V_{ij}^{so(v)} = \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \frac{dV^{Coul}(r_{ij})}{dr_{ij}} \left( \frac{\mathbf{r}_{ij} \times \mathbf{p}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_i}{2m_i^2} - \frac{\mathbf{r}_{ij} \times \mathbf{p}_j \cdot \mathbf{S}_j}{2m_j^2} - \frac{\mathbf{r}_{ij} \times \mathbf{p}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_i - \mathbf{r}_{ij} \times \mathbf{p}_j \cdot \mathbf{S}_j}{m_i m_j} \right), \quad (8)$$

and a Thomas-precession piece

$$V_{ij}^{so(s)} = -\frac{1}{r_{ij}} \frac{dV^{Conf}(r_{ij})}{dr_{ij}} \left( \frac{\mathbf{r}_{ij} \times \mathbf{p}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_i}{2m_i^2} - \frac{\mathbf{r}_{ij} \times \mathbf{p}_j \cdot \mathbf{S}_j}{2m_j^2} \right). \quad (9)$$

In the spin-dependent potentials, the  $\mathbf{S}_i$  represents the spin operator of the  $i$ th quark.

#### 2. Wave function

The spatial wave function can be set as  $\psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3)$ . To remove the influence of center-of-mass motion, in the calculations one needs to express the single-particle coordinates  $(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3)$  with the Jacobi coordinates  $(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \mathbf{R})$  by the following transformation,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\rho} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda} \\ \mathbf{R} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} & -\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{m_1}{M_{12}} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{m_2}{M_{12}} & -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \\ \sqrt{3} \frac{m_1}{M_{123}} & \sqrt{3} \frac{m_2}{M_{123}} & \sqrt{3} \frac{m_3}{M_{123}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{r}_1 \\ \mathbf{r}_2 \\ \mathbf{r}_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

TABLE I: The total wave functions of the  $\Omega_c$  baryons up to the  $2P$  states. The configurations are denoted by  $n^{2S+1}L_{\lambda(\rho)}J^P$ , where  $n-1$  corresponds to the node number of the wave function. The right superscripts ( $s, \lambda, \rho$ ) of the spatial wave function  $\psi_{LM_L}$  and spin wave function  $\chi$  stand for their permutation symmetries. The Clebsch-Gordan series for the spin and orbital angular-momentum addition  $|JM_J\rangle = \Sigma_{M_L+M_S=M_J}\langle LM_L, S M_S | JM_J \rangle {}^n\psi_{LM_L}\chi_{SM_S}$  has been omitted.

State	$n$	$L$	$S$	$l_\rho$	$l_\lambda$	$J^P$	Wave function
$1^4S\frac{3}{2}^+$	1	0	$\frac{3}{2}$	0	0	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	${}^1\psi_{00}^s\chi_{\frac{3}{2}M_S}^s\phi_f\phi_c$
$1^2S\frac{1}{2}^+$	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	${}^1\psi_{00}^s\chi_{\frac{1}{2}M_S}^s\phi_f\phi_c$
$2^4S\frac{3}{2}^+$	2	0	$\frac{3}{2}$	0	0	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	${}^2\psi_{00}^s\chi_{\frac{3}{2}M_S}^s\phi_f\phi_c$
$2^4S'\frac{3}{2}^+$	2	0	$\frac{3}{2}$	0	0	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	${}^2\psi_{00}^{\prime s}\chi_{\frac{3}{2}M_S}^s\phi_f\phi_c$
$2^2S\frac{1}{2}^+$	2	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	${}^2\psi_{00}^s\chi_{\frac{1}{2}M_S}^s\phi_f\phi_c$
$2^2S'\frac{1}{2}^+$	2	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	${}^2\psi_{00}^{\prime s}\chi_{\frac{1}{2}M_S}^s\phi_f\phi_c$
$1^4P_\lambda J^-$	1	1	$\frac{3}{2}$	0	1	$\frac{5}{2}^-, \frac{3}{2}^-, \frac{1}{2}^-$	${}^1\psi_{1M_L}^\lambda\chi_{\frac{3}{2}M_S}^s\phi_f\phi_c$
$1^2P_\lambda J^-$	1	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1	$\frac{3}{2}^-, \frac{1}{2}^-$	${}^1\psi_{1M_L}^\lambda\chi_{\frac{1}{2}M_S}^s\phi_f\phi_c$
$1^2P_\rho J^-$	1	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	$\frac{3}{2}^-, \frac{1}{2}^-$	${}^1\psi_{1M_L}^\rho\chi_{\frac{1}{2}M_S}^\rho\phi_f\phi_c$
$2^4P_\lambda J^-$	2	1	$\frac{3}{2}$	0	1	$\frac{5}{2}^-, \frac{3}{2}^-, \frac{1}{2}^-$	${}^2\psi_{1M_L}^\lambda\chi_{\frac{3}{2}M_S}^s\phi_f\phi_c$
$2^4P'_\lambda J^-$	2	1	$\frac{3}{2}$	0	1	$\frac{5}{2}^-, \frac{3}{2}^-, \frac{1}{2}^-$	${}^2\psi_{1M_L}^{\prime\lambda}\chi_{\frac{3}{2}M_S}^s\phi_f\phi_c$
$2^2P_\lambda J^-$	2	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1	$\frac{3}{2}^-, \frac{1}{2}^-$	${}^2\psi_{1M_L}^\lambda\chi_{\frac{1}{2}M_S}^s\phi_f\phi_c$
$2^2P'_\lambda J^-$	2	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1	$\frac{3}{2}^-, \frac{1}{2}^-$	${}^2\psi_{1M_L}^{\prime\lambda}\chi_{\frac{1}{2}M_S}^s\phi_f\phi_c$
$2^2P_\rho J^-$	2	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	$\frac{3}{2}^-, \frac{1}{2}^-$	${}^2\psi_{1M_L}^\rho\chi_{\frac{1}{2}M_S}^\rho\phi_f\phi_c$
$2^2P'_\rho J^-$	2	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	$\frac{3}{2}^-, \frac{1}{2}^-$	${}^2\psi_{1M_L}^{\prime\rho}\chi_{\frac{1}{2}M_S}^\rho\phi_f\phi_c$
$1^4D_\lambda J^+$	1	2	$\frac{3}{2}$	0	2	$\frac{7}{2}^+, \frac{5}{2}^+, \frac{3}{2}^+, \frac{1}{2}^+$	${}^1\psi_{2M_L}^\lambda\chi_{\frac{3}{2}M_S}^s\phi_f\phi_c$
$1^2D_\lambda J^+$	1	2	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	2	$\frac{5}{2}^+, \frac{3}{2}^+$	${}^1\psi_{2M_L}^\lambda\chi_{\frac{1}{2}M_S}^s\phi_f\phi_c$
$1^4D_\rho J^+$	1	2	$\frac{3}{2}$	2	0	$\frac{7}{2}^+, \frac{5}{2}^+, \frac{3}{2}^+, \frac{1}{2}^+$	${}^1\psi_{2M_L}^\rho\chi_{\frac{3}{2}M_S}^s\phi_f\phi_c$
$1^2D_\rho J^+$	1	2	$\frac{1}{2}$	2	0	$\frac{5}{2}^+, \frac{3}{2}^+$	${}^1\psi_{2M_L}^\rho\chi_{\frac{1}{2}M_S}^s\phi_f\phi_c$

where  $M_{12} = m_1 + m_2$  and  $M_{123} = m_1 + m_2 + m_3$ . The masses of Jacobian coordinates are defined as  $m_\rho \equiv 2m_1m_2/M_{12}$ ,  $m_\lambda \equiv 3M_{12}m_3/2M_{123}$ , and  $M_R \equiv M_{123}/3$ , respectively.

The spatial wave function expressed with the single-particle coordinates can be transformed into the form expressed with the Jacobian coordinates, i.e.,

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3) = e^{i\mathbf{P}_R \cdot \mathbf{R}} \psi(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}), \quad (11)$$

where  $\mathbf{P}_R$  is the momentum of the center of mass. For a state with quantum numbers of total orbital angular momentum  $L$  and its  $z$  component,  $L$  and  $M_L$ , the wave function of the relative motion part,  $\psi(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ , can be expressed as [68–70]

$$\psi_{LM_L}(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = R(\rho, \lambda) \theta_{LM_L}(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}), \quad (12)$$

where  $R(\rho, \lambda)$  and  $\theta_{LM}$  stand for the radial and angular parts of the wave function, respectively. The angular part can be chosen as a vector-coupled product of solid spherical harmonics of the Jacobi coordinates

$$\theta_{LM_L}(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \rho^{l_\rho} \lambda^{l_\lambda} [Y_{l_\rho m_\rho}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \otimes Y_{l_\lambda m_\lambda}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}})]_{LM_L}, \quad (13)$$

where  $l_{\rho(\lambda)}$  and  $m_{\rho(\lambda)}$  are the quantum numbers of the orbital angular momentum and its  $z$  component corresponding

to  $\rho(\lambda)$ -mode excitation, respectively. On the other hand, the radial part  $R(\rho, \lambda)$  should be determined by solving the Schrödinger equation.

In the spin-orbital ( $L$ - $S$ ) coupling scheme, the total wave function for the state with quantum numbers of total angular momentum and its  $z$  component,  $J$  and  $M_J$ , is given by

$$\Psi_{JM_J} = \mathcal{A} \left\{ R(\rho, \lambda) [\theta_{LM_L}(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \otimes \chi_{SM_S}]_{JM_J} \phi_f \phi_c \right\}, \quad (14)$$

where  $\mathcal{A}$  represents the operator that imposes the antisymmetry on the total wave function when the two light quarks are exchanged.  $\chi$ ,  $\phi_f$ , and  $\phi_c$  represent the spin, flavor, and color wave functions, respectively.  $S$  and  $M_S$  are the quantum numbers of the total spin angular momentum  $S$  and its  $z$  component, respectively. The explicit forms of the spin wave function  $\chi_{SM_S}^\sigma$  with different permutation symmetries  $\sigma (= s, \rho, \lambda)$  can be found in Refs. [71, 72]. For a baryon system, the color wave function should be a color singlet. One can explicitly express it as

$$\phi_c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (rgb - rbg + gbr - grb + brg - bgr). \quad (15)$$

For the  $\Omega_c$  baryons considered in the present work, the flavor wave function is a very simple form,  $\phi_f = ssc$ . The fla-

vor wave functions for all of the singly heavy baryons can be found in Ref. [72]. The total wave functions for the  $\Omega_c$  baryons up to the  $2P$  states have been given in Table I.

For a physical state, generally,  $L$  and  $S$  are not good quantum numbers, while the total angular momentum  $J$  and parity are conserved. Therefore, one should take into account the mixing between different configurations with the same spin-parity  $J^P$  numbers. It should be pointed out that the single heavy baryons should respect the requirement of the heavy quark symmetry [73–76]. In the heavy quark mass limit ( $m_Q \rightarrow \infty$ ), the heavy quark spin  $S_Q$  is approximately conserved, since the interactions between the heavy quark and the two light quarks are strongly suppressed by heavy quark mass [66]. This indicates that, for a singly heavy baryon, the state constructed by the  $j$ - $j$  coupling scheme,  $|\{[(l_\rho l_\lambda)_L s_\rho]_j s_Q\}_J\rangle$ , may be often closer to the physical state than that of the  $L$ - $S$  scheme,  $|\{(l_\rho l_\lambda)_L (s_\rho s_Q)_S\}_J\rangle$ . Where  $s_\rho$  and  $s_Q$  are the quantum numbers of the spin of the two light quark system  $S_\rho$  and the heavy quark spin, respectively, and  $j$  is the quantum number of angular momentum  $\mathbf{j} \equiv \mathbf{L} + \mathbf{S}_\rho$ . According to the properties of angular momentum couplings, one can establish a relationship between the states constructed within the  $L$ - $S$  and  $j$ - $j$  coupling schemes, i.e., [73]

$$\left\{ \left\{ [(l_\rho l_\lambda)_L s_\rho]_j s_Q \right\}_J \right\} = (-1)^{L+s_\rho+J+\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{2j+1} \times \sum_{S=s_\rho-s_Q}^{s_\rho+s_Q} \sqrt{2S+1} \times \left\{ \begin{matrix} L & s_\rho & j \\ s_Q & J & S \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ [(l_\rho l_\lambda)_L (s_\rho s_Q)_S]_J \right\}, \quad (16)$$

where  $\left\{ \begin{matrix} L & s_\rho & j \\ s_Q & J & S \end{matrix} \right\}$  is a 6- $J$  symbol. For convenience, the state of the  $L$ - $S$  scheme is labeled with  $|^{2S+1}LJ^P\rangle$ , while that of the  $j$ - $j$  scheme is labeled with  $|J^P, j\rangle$ .

### 3. Numerical method

In this work, we adopt the variation principle to solve the Schrödinger equation. To calculate the matrix elements in coordinate space, we adopt the explicitly correlated Gaussian method [68–70]. The radial part of the spatial wave function is expanded in terms of the correlated Gaussian basis. In the single-particle coordinate system, such a basis function is given by [68, 69]

$$\psi = \exp\left(-\sum_{j>i=1}^3 \alpha_{ij} r_{ij}^2\right), \quad (17)$$

where  $\alpha_{ij}$  are variational parameters. Considering the symmetry of the two light identical quarks, we should have  $\alpha_{13} = \alpha_{23}$ , thus there are two independent variational parameters,  $\alpha_{12} \equiv a$  and  $\alpha_{13} = \alpha_{23} \equiv d$ . It should be mentioned that the form chosen in Eq. (17) is simpler for the choice of the nonlinear parameters [69]. To calculate the kinetic energy, it is convenient to use a set of Jacobi coordinates  $\mathbf{x} = (\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ , instead of

the relative vectors  $\mathbf{r}_{ij}$ . The basis function of Eq. (17) can be rewritten as

$$G(\mathbf{x}, A) = e^{\bar{\mathbf{x}}A\mathbf{x}}, \quad (18)$$

where the matrix  $A$  is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2a + d & 0 \\ 0 & 3d \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

The zero nondiagonal matrix element indicates that for the spatial wave function of the singly heavy baryon containing two light identical quarks, the Jacobi coordinates  $\boldsymbol{\rho}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$  can be decoupled.

By using the Gaussian bases, the trial radial part of the spatial wave function  $R(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$  in Eq. (12) can be expanded as

$$R(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \equiv R(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^N c_k G(\mathbf{x}, A_k). \quad (20)$$

The accuracy of the trial function depends on the length of the expansion  $N$  and the nonlinear parameters  $A_k$ . In our calculations, following the method in Ref. [77], we let the variational parameters form a geometric progression. The variational parameters  $a$  and  $d$  are set as

$$\begin{cases} a_\ell = a_1 q^{\ell-1} & (\ell = 1, \dots, \ell_{max}), \\ d_{\ell'} = d_1 q'^{\ell'-1} & (\ell' = 1, \dots, \ell'_{max}), \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

where  $q$  and  $q'$  are the ratio coefficients. Therefore, six parameters  $\{a_1, a_{\ell_{max}}, \ell_{max}, d_1, d_{\ell'_{max}}, \ell'_{max}\}$  are required to be determined through the variational method. The length of the expansion  $N$  is determined by  $N = \ell_{max} \times \ell'_{max}$ .

For a given state, one can work out the Hamiltonian matrix elements,

$$H_{kk'} = \langle \Psi_{JM_J}(\mathbf{x}, A_k) | H | \Psi_{JM_J}(\mathbf{x}, A_{k'}) \rangle, \quad (22)$$

where  $\Psi_{JM_J}(\mathbf{x}, A_k) = \mathcal{A}\{G(\mathbf{x}, A_k)[\theta_L \otimes \chi_S]_{JM_J} \phi_f \phi_c\}$  for the  $L$ - $S$  scheme, while  $\Psi_{JM_J}(\mathbf{x}, A_k) = \mathcal{A}\{G(\mathbf{x}, A_k)[[\theta_L \otimes S_\rho]_{jM_J} \otimes S_Q]_{JM_J} \phi_f \phi_c\}$  for the  $j$ - $j$  scheme. Then, by solving the generalized matrix eigenvalue problem,

$$\sum_{k'=1}^N (H_{kk'} - E N_{kk'}) c_{k'} = 0, \quad (23)$$

one can obtain the eigenenergy  $E$ , and the expansion coefficients  $\{c_k\}$ .  $N_{kk'}$  is an overlap factor defined by  $N_{kk'} = \langle \Psi_{JM_J}(\mathbf{x}, A_k) | \Psi_{JM_J}(\mathbf{x}, A_{k'}) \rangle$ . For the  $\Omega_c$  baryon states, when we take  $\ell_{max} = \ell'_{max} = 9$ ,  $a_1 = 0.1$  fm,  $a_9 = 2$  fm,  $d_1 = 0.2$  fm and  $d_9 = 3$  fm, we can obtain stable solutions.

### 4. parameters

There are eight parameters  $m_{s(c)}$ ,  $b$ ,  $C_0$ ,  $\alpha_{ss(sc)}$ ,  $\sigma_{ss(sc)}$ , in the quark potential model. We have listed these parameters in Table II. To be consistent with the previous work of our



TABLE II: Quark potential model parameters.

$m_s$ (GeV)	$m_c$ (GeV)	$b$ (GeV <sup>2</sup> )	$C_0$ (GeV)	$\alpha_{ss}$
0.55	1.45	0.12	-0.252	0.78
$\alpha_{sc}$	$\sigma_{ss}$ (GeV)	$\sigma_{sc}$ (GeV)	$r_{c(ss)}$ (fm)	$r_{c(sc)}$ (fm)
0.57	0.40	0.68	0.23	0.16

group [61], the constituent masses of the strange and charm quark are fixed with  $m_s = 0.55$  GeV and  $m_c = 1.45$  GeV, respectively. The other parameters are determined by fitting the measured masses of six states: (i) two early established 1S states,  $\Omega_c(2695)1/2^+$  and  $\Omega_c(2770)3/2^+$  [78]; (ii) four newly observed states  $\Omega_c(3000)$ ,  $\Omega_c(3050)$ ,  $\Omega_c(3065)$ , and  $\Omega_c(3090)$  at LHCb and Belle [78], which are interpreted as the first orbitally excited states with  $J^P = 1/2^-, 3/2^-, 3/2^-$  and  $5/2^-$ , respectively according to our previous studies [59, 72]. The slope parameter  $b = 0.12$  GeV<sup>2</sup> determined in the present work is comparable to  $b = 0.11 - 0.14$  GeV<sup>2</sup> adopted in the previous works of our group [62, 79–81].

Additionally, we need to point out that we cannot obtain stable solutions for some orbitally excited states due to the divergent behavior of the  $1/r_{ij}^3$  terms in the spin-orbit and tensor potentials, if we do not treat them as perturbative terms. In order to overcome this problem, following the method adopted in the previous works [62, 82–84], we introduce two cutoff parameters  $r_{c(ss)}$  and  $r_{c(sc)}$  in the calculation. In a small range  $(0, r_c)$ , we let  $1/r^3 = 1/r_c^3$  in the spin-orbit and tensor potentials. It is found that the masses of the  $1P_\lambda$  states of  $\Omega_c$  with  $J^P = 1/2^-$  and  $5/2^-$  show some sensitivities to the cutoff distance between the light strange and heavy charm quarks,  $r_{c(sc)}$ . Thus, the cutoff parameter  $r_{c(sc)} = 0.16$  fm is determined by fitting the measured masses of the  $1P$  states  $\Omega_c(3000)$  and  $\Omega_c(3090)$  together with their mass splitting. The other cutoff distance between the two light strange quarks,  $r_{c(ss)}$ , is chosen as  $r_{c(ss)} = 0.23$  fm to be consistent with that for the two light  $u/d$  quarks determined in our previous work [62]. It should be mentioned that only the two  $\rho$ -mode  $1D$ -wave states  $|J^P = 1/2^+, 1\rangle_{1D_\rho}$  and  $|J^P = 3/2^+, 1\rangle_{1D_\rho}$  are notably sensitive to the cutoff distance  $r_{c(ss)}$ .

## B. Strong decay

In this work, we adopt the chiral quark model [85–91] to study the OZI-allowed two-body strong decays of the baryon resonances by emitting a single pseudoscalar meson. This model was widely adopted to study the strong decays of excited hadrons [59–62, 71, 72, 79, 80, 92–101]. The effective low energy quark-pseudoscalar-meson coupling in the SU(3) flavor basis at tree level is given by [85]

$$\mathcal{L}_{PS} = \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{2}f_M} \bar{\psi}_j \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \psi_j \vec{I} \cdot \partial^\mu \vec{\phi}_M. \quad (24)$$

In Eq. (24),  $\psi_j$  corresponds to the  $j$ th light quark field in a hadron,  $I$  is a flavor operator,  $\phi_M$  denotes the pseudoscalar

meson octet,  $f_M$  stands for the pseudoscalar meson decay constant, and  $\delta$  is a global parameter accounting for the strength of the quark-pseudoscalar-meson couplings.

To match the nonrelativistic baryon wave functions in the calculations, it is crucial to adopt the non-relativistic form of the effective Lagrangian in the calculations. Performing a nonrelativistic reduction up to the mass order of  $1/m^2$ , one has [61, 62]

$$H_I = \mathcal{H}^{NR} + \mathcal{H}^{RC}, \quad (25)$$

with

$$\mathcal{H}^{NR} = g \sum_j \left( \mathcal{G} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j \cdot \mathbf{q} + \frac{\omega_M}{2\mu_q} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j \cdot \mathbf{p}_j \right) F(\mathbf{q}^2) I_j \varphi_M, \quad (26)$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}^{RC} = -\frac{g}{32\mu_q^2} \sum_j \left[ m_{\mathbb{P}}^2 (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_j \cdot \mathbf{q}) + 2\boldsymbol{\sigma}_j \cdot (\mathbf{q} - 2\mathbf{p}_j) \times (\mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{p}_j) \right] F(\mathbf{q}^2) I_j \varphi_M. \quad (27)$$

Here,  $\mathcal{H}^{NR}$  is a nonrelativistic term up to the  $1/m$  order, while  $\mathcal{H}^{RC}$  is a relativistic correction term at the mass order of  $1/m^2$ . In the above equations,  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_j$  and  $\mathbf{p}_j$  are the spin operator and internal momentum operator of the  $j$ -th light quark within a hadron.  $\varphi_M = e^{-iq \cdot r_j}$  is the plane wave part of the emitted light meson. The factors  $g$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are defined by  $g = \delta \sqrt{(E_i + M_i)(E_f + M_f)} / (\sqrt{2}f_M)$  and  $\mathcal{G} = -\left( \frac{\omega_M}{E_f + M_f} + 1 + \frac{\omega_M}{2m_j'} \right)$ , in which  $(E_i, M_i)$  and  $(E_f, M_f)$  are the energy and mass of the initial baryon and final baryon, respectively.  $\omega_M$ ,  $\mathbf{q}$ , and  $m_{\mathbb{P}}$  are the energy, three momentum and mass of the final state pseudoscalar meson. The reduced mass  $\mu_q$  is expressed as  $1/\mu_q = 1/m_j + 1/m_j'$  for the masses of the  $j$ -th quark in the initial and final baryons, respectively.

The factor  $F(\mathbf{q}^2) = \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda^2}{\Lambda^2 + \mathbf{q}^2}}$  is introduced to suppress the unphysical contributions in the high momentum region, where  $\Lambda$  is taken of the order of the chiral symmetry breaking scale. The flavor operators  $I_j$  for each pseudoscalar meson together with their matrix representations are given in Table III.

It should be noted that the strong decay properties of the excited  $\Omega_c$  states have been studied within the chiral quark model [59, 60, 72]. In these works, only the  $\mathcal{H}^{NR}$  term is kept in the calculations, while the relativistic correction term  $\mathcal{H}^{RC}$  is overlooked. Recently, the  $\mathcal{H}^{RC}$  term was first included in the investigations of the strong decays of heavy baryon resonances and multistrangeness baryon resonances [63, 64]. Subsequently, in the study of the decays of heavy-light mesons, non-strange light baryons, the effects of the  $\mathcal{H}^{RC}$  term were also taken account for by our group [61, 62]. It is found that the agreement with the data is significantly improved. In this study, to enhance the reliability of the results, we include the effects of the  $\mathcal{H}^{RC}$  term.

By using the transition operator given in Eq. (25), the two-body OZI-allowed strong decay amplitude for the  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'\mathbb{M}$  process can be worked out by

$$\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'\mathbb{M}] = \langle \mathcal{B}' | H_I | \mathcal{B} \rangle, \quad (28)$$

TABLE III: Flavor operators  $I_j$  and their Matrix representations.  $a^\dagger(u, d, s)$  and  $a(u, d, s)$  are the creation and annihilation operators for the  $u$ ,  $d$ , and  $s$  quarks.  $\phi_P$  is the mixing angle between the flavor eigenstates  $\eta_q (= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u\bar{u} + d\bar{d}))$  and  $\eta_s (= s\bar{s})$ .  $\lambda^i$  ( $i = 1 - 8$ ) are the Gell-Mann Matrices, and  $\lambda^0$  is a  $3 \times 3$  identity matrix.

meson	$I_j$	Matrix representation of $I_j$
$\pi^+$	$a_j^\dagger(d)a_j(u)$	$(\lambda^1 - i\lambda^2)/2$
$\pi^-$	$a_j^\dagger(u)a_j(d)$	$(\lambda^1 + i\lambda^2)/2$
$\pi^0$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[a_j^\dagger(u)a_j(u) - a_j^\dagger(d)a_j(d)]$	$\lambda^3/\sqrt{2}$
$K^+$	$a_j^\dagger(s)a_j(u)$	$(\lambda^4 - i\lambda^5)/2$
$K^0$	$a_j^\dagger(s)a_j(d)$	$(\lambda^6 - i\lambda^7)/2$
$\bar{K}^0$	$a_j^\dagger(d)a_j(s)$	$(\lambda^6 + i\lambda^7)/2$
$K^-$	$a_j^\dagger(u)a_j(s)$	$(\lambda^4 + i\lambda^5)/2$
$\eta$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[a_j^\dagger(u)a_j(u) + a_j^\dagger(d)a_j(d)] \cos \phi_P - a_j^\dagger(s)a_j(s) \sin \phi_P$	$(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}\lambda^0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda^8) \cos \phi_P - (\frac{1}{3}\lambda^0 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\lambda^8) \sin \phi_P$
$\eta'$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[a_j^\dagger(u)a_j(u) + a_j^\dagger(d)a_j(d)] \sin \phi_P + a_j^\dagger(s)a_j(s) \cos \phi_P$	$(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}\lambda^0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda^8) \sin \phi_P + (\frac{1}{3}\lambda^0 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\lambda^8) \cos \phi_P$

where  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{B}'$  stand for the initial and final baryon states, respectively, and  $\mathbb{M}$  is the emitting pseudoscalar meson. With derived decay amplitudes from Eq. (28), the partial decay width for the  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'\mathbb{M}$  process can be obtained with

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{|q|}{M_i^2} \frac{1}{2J_i + 1} \sum_{J_{iz}J_{fz}} |\mathcal{M}_{J_{iz}J_{fz}}|^2, \quad (29)$$


where  $J_i$  is the total angular momentum quantum number of the initial baryon,  $J_{iz}$  and  $J_{fz}$  represent the third components of the total angular momenta of the initial and final baryons, respectively.

The parameters of the chiral quark model have been well determined in our previous studies [61, 62, 90, 91]. The decay constants for  $K$  and  $\eta$  are chosen as  $f_{K/\eta} = 0.113$  MeV. The cut-off parameter  $\Lambda$  in the factor  $F(q^2) = \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda^2}{\Lambda^2 + q^2}}$  is taken as  $\Lambda = 0.66$  GeV according to our recent studies in Refs. [61, 62]. The overall dimensionless parameter  $\delta$  accounting for the strength of the quark-meson couplings is fixed with  $\delta = 0.557$ . The mixing angle between the flavor eigenstates  $\eta_q$  and  $\eta_s$  is taken as  $\phi_P = 41.2^\circ$  as that determined in Ref. [102]. Additionally, in this work, the constituent quark mass for the  $u/d$  quark is taken with  $m_{u/d} = 0.42$  GeV, within the typical range of  $0.4 \pm 0.1$  GeV.

In the calculations of the strong decays, we also need the masses and wave functions of initial and final baryon states. In this work, the masses and wave functions of the excited  $\Omega_c$  states are taken from our quark model predictions. For a decay process, the final baryon state may be  $\Xi_c$ ,  $\Xi'_c$ ,  $\Xi_c^{*}$ ,  $\Xi_c(2790)$ , or  $\Xi_c(2815)$ . Their wave functions are adopted the simple harmonic oscillator form. The harmonic oscillator parameter  $\alpha_\rho$  for the  $\rho$  mode in the spatial wave function is taken as  $\alpha_\rho = 0.50$  GeV, while the parameter  $\alpha_\lambda$  for the  $\lambda$  mode is related to  $\alpha_\rho$  by  $\alpha_\lambda = \left(\frac{3m_c}{m_u+m_s+m_c} \frac{(m_u+m_s)^2}{4m_u m_s}\right)^{1/4} \alpha_\rho$  [90]. The masses of the meson and baryon states in the final states are taken from the PDG [78]. For clarity, the parameters adopted in the present work have been collected in Table IV.

TABLE IV: Parameters for strong decays.

Chiral quark model parameters				
$f_{K/\eta}$ (GeV)	$\Lambda$ (GeV)	$\delta$	$\phi_P$	$m_{u/d}$ (GeV)
0.113	0.66	0.557	$41.2^\circ$	0.42
Masses (MeV) for the final states				
$\Xi_c$	$\Xi'_c$	$\Xi_c^{*}$	$\Xi_c(2790)$	$\Xi_c(2815)$
2468	2578	2645	2792	2817
$\Omega_c$	$\Omega_c(2770)$	$K$	$\eta$	
2695	2766	494	548	



binning the spectrum obtained within the potential model, the strong decay properties of the excited  $\Omega_c$  baryons are studied within the chiral quark model. The decay properties are given in Table VI.

### A. 1S-wave states

According to the quark model classification, there are two 1S-wave states  $|J^P = 1/2^+, 1\rangle_{1S}$  and  $|J^P = 3/2^+, 1\rangle_{1S}$ . They should correspond to the  $\Omega_c(2695)1/2^+$  and  $\Omega_c(2770)3/2^+$  first observed in the early WA62 and Belle experiments [1, 2], respectively. Their measured masses can be well described in the quark potential model.

### B. 1P-wave states

In the 1P-wave states, there are five  $\lambda$ -mode excitations and two  $\rho$ -mode excitations according to the quark model classification. The masses for the  $1P_\lambda$  states scatter in the range of  $\sim 3.0 - 3.1$  GeV. For the states with the same  $J^P$  numbers, the  $\rho$ -mode excitations lie approximately 50–80 MeV above that of the  $\lambda$ -mode excitations. Our predicted mass range for the 1P-wave states is consistent with the predictions in the literature, e.g. Refs. [10, 17, 18, 73, 74, 103, 104], although there is strong model dependency in the predictions of the mass splittings between these 1P-wave states. More details can be found in Table V.

In 2017, five narrow resonances,  $\Omega_c(3000)$ ,  $\Omega_c(3050)$ ,  $\Omega_c(3065)$ ,  $\Omega_c(3090)$ , and  $\Omega_c(3120)$ , were first observed in the  $\Xi_c^+ K^-$  channel by the LHCb Collaboration [3]. They were also confirmed by later experiments carried out at Belle and LHCb [4–6]. The PDG averaged masses and widths of these resonances are presented as follows [78],

$$\begin{aligned} m[\Omega_c(3000)] &= 3000.46 \pm 0.25 \text{ MeV}, \\ \Gamma[\Omega_c(3000)] &= 3.8^{+1.6}_{-0.4} \text{ MeV}, \\ m[\Omega_c(3050)] &= 3050.17 \pm 0.19 \text{ MeV}, \\ \Gamma[\Omega_c(3050)] &< 1.8 \text{ MeV}, \\ m[\Omega_c(3065)] &= 3065.58 \pm 0.21 \text{ MeV}, \\ \Gamma[\Omega_c(3065)] &= 3.4^{+0.7}_{-0.8} \text{ MeV}, \\ m[\Omega_c(3090)] &= 3090.15 \pm 0.26 \text{ MeV}, \\ \Gamma[\Omega_c(3090)] &= 8.5^{+0.8}_{-1.7} \text{ MeV}, \\ m[\Omega_c(3120)] &= 3118.98^{+0.27}_{-0.35} \text{ MeV}, \\ \Gamma[\Omega_c(3120)] &< 2.5 \text{ MeV}. \end{aligned}$$

From the point of view of the mass, these states are good candidates for the 1P-wave  $\Omega_c$  excitations. In a previous work of our group [59], the quark model assignments for these newly observed states were discussed based on the strong decay analysis. It is suggested that the  $\Omega_c(3050)$ ,  $\Omega_c(3065)$ , and  $\Omega_c(3090)$  can be assigned as the 1P states  $|1^4 P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle$ ,  $|1^2 P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle$ , and  $|1^4 P_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^-}\rangle$ , respectively, while the  $\Omega_c(3000)$  can be explained as the 1P mixed state  $|1P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle_1$  via the  $|1^2 P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle$ - $|1^4 P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle$  mixing with a sizeable mixing angle  $\sim 24^\circ$  [59]. In

the present work, based on the obtained mass spectrum and decay properties, further discussions about these newly observed states are given as follows.

### I. $\Omega_c(3000)$

As the lowest 1P state, the  $\lambda$ -mode excitation  $|J^P = 1/2^-, 1\rangle_{1P_\lambda}$  has a mass of  $\sim 3008$  MeV. The  $\Xi_c K$  channel, as the only OZI-allowed two body strong decay channel, is nearly forbidden in the heavy quark mass limit, thus the decay width is predicted to be very narrow,  $\Gamma \sim 0$ . While for the other  $\lambda$ -mode excitation  $|J^P = 1/2^-, 0\rangle_{1P_\lambda}$ , the mass and width are predicted to be

$$M \simeq 3021 \text{ MeV}, \Gamma \simeq 97 \text{ MeV}. \quad (30)$$

The decay width is nearly saturated by the  $\Xi_c K$  channel. It is found that, although the predicted masses of the  $\lambda$ -mode excitations  $|J^P = 1/2^-, 1\rangle_{1P_\lambda}$  and  $|J^P = 1/2^-, 0\rangle_{1P_\lambda}$  are consistent with the measured mass of  $\Omega_c(3000)$ , the predicted decay properties do not match the observations.




FIG. 3: Decay widths of  $|1P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle_1$  and  $|1P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle_2$  states with  $M = 3000$  MeV as a function of mixing angle  $\theta$ . The blue cylindrical region represents the measured width range of  $\Omega_c(3000)$ .

It should be mentioned that the states in the  $j$ - $j$  coupling scheme can be expressed with the linear combination of the configurations in the  $L$ - $S$  coupling scheme. According to the Eq. (16), one has

$$\begin{pmatrix} |J^P = 1/2^-, 1\rangle_{1P_\lambda} \\ |J^P = 1/2^-, 0\rangle_{1P_\lambda} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2/3} & \sqrt{1/3} \\ -\sqrt{1/3} & \sqrt{2/3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |1^2 P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle \\ |1^4 P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle \end{pmatrix}, \quad (31)$$

If let  $\cos \theta = \sqrt{2/3}$  and  $\sin \theta = \sqrt{1/3}$ , we get the mixing angle  $\theta \simeq 35.3^\circ$  in the heavy-quark symmetry limit. Since the mass of the charm quark is not infinitely large, the heavy-quark symmetry should be slightly broken. This implies that the states  $|J^P = 1/2^-, 0\rangle_{1P_\lambda}$  and  $|J^P = 1/2^-, 1\rangle_{1P_\lambda}$  of the  $j$ - $j$  coupling scheme are not strictly the physical states. Therefore, the mixing angle between the  $1^2 P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}$  and  $1^4 P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}$  is not exactly equal to  $35.3^\circ$ .

TABLE V: The masses (MeV) of  $\Omega_c$  baryons compared with those of other works and the data.

States	Ours	Exp. [78]	RQM [18]	NQM [73]	NQM [74]	RQM [103]	hCQM [104]	RQM [17]	NQM [10]
$ J^P = 1/2^+, 1\rangle_{1S}$	2696	2695	2692	2718	2731	2698	2695	2699	2699
$ J^P = 3/2^+, 1\rangle_{1S}$	2767	2766	2768	2776	2779	2768	2767	2762	2758
$ J^P = 1/2^-, 0\rangle_{1P_\lambda}$	3021		3041	2990	3048	3055	3028	3057	3034
$ J^P = 1/2^-, 1\rangle_{1P_\lambda}$	3008	3000	3025	2977	3030	2966	3011	3045	3024
$ J^P = 3/2^-, 1\rangle_{1P_\lambda}$	3062	3065	3059	2994	3056	3054	2993	3062	3059
$ J^P = 3/2^-, 2\rangle_{1P_\lambda}$	3061	3050	3080	2986	3033	3029	2976	3039	3057
$ J^P = 5/2^-, 2\rangle_{1P_\lambda}$	3091	3090	3110	3014	3057	3051	2947	3067	3077
$ J^P = 1/2^-, 1\rangle_{1P_\rho}$	3085		3103	...	3110	...	...	...	...
$ J^P = 3/2^-, 1\rangle_{1P_\rho}$	3115	3119?	3143	...	3111	...	...	...	...
$ J^P = 1/2^+, 1\rangle_{21S}$	3127		3178	3152	3152	3088	3100	3150	3206
$ J^P = 1/2^+, 1\rangle_{22S}$	3307		3308	...	...	...	...	...	...
$ J^P = 3/2^+, 1\rangle_{21S}$	3177	3185?	3223	3190	3190	3123	3126	3197	3246
$ J^P = 3/2^+, 1\rangle_{22S}$	3325		3322	...	...	...	...	...	...
$ J^P = 1/2^+, 1\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$	3264		3296	...	3292	3287	3215	3304	3301
$ J^P = 3/2^+, 1\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$	3296		3374	...	3285	3298	3262	3313	3318
$ J^P = 3/2^+, 2\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$	3280		3311	...	3257	3282	3231	3304	3305
$ J^P = 5/2^+, 2\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$	3305		3317	...	3299	3297	3173	3314	3319
$ J^P = 5/2^+, 3\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$	3296	3327?	3347	3196	3288	3286	3188	3304	3309
$ J^P = 7/2^+, 3\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$	3306	3327?	3360	...	...	3283	3136	3315	3317
$ J^P = 1/2^+, 1\rangle_{1D_\rho}$	3363	3327?	3357	...	...	...	...	...	...
$ J^P = 3/2^+, 1\rangle_{1D_\rho}$	3385		3393	...	...	...	...	...	...
$ J^P = 3/2^+, 2\rangle_{1D_\rho}$	3391		3429	...	3285	...	...	...	...
$ J^P = 5/2^+, 2\rangle_{1D_\rho}$	3427		3344	...	...	...	...	...	...
$ J^P = 5/2^+, 3\rangle_{1D_\rho}$	3376		3413	...	3359	...	...	...	...
$ J^P = 7/2^+, 3\rangle_{1D_\rho}$	3416		...	...	...	...	...	...	...
$ J^P = 1/2^-, 0\rangle_{2P_\lambda}$	3360		3483	...	...	...	...	3426	...
$ J^P = 1/2^-, 0\rangle_{2P'_\lambda}$	3529		3553	...	...	...	...	3562	...
$ J^P = 1/2^-, 1\rangle_{2P_\lambda}$	3352		3419	...	...	...	...	3416	...
$ J^P = 1/2^-, 1\rangle_{2P'_\lambda}$	3522		3535	...	...	...	...	3554	...
$ J^P = 3/2^-, 1\rangle_{2P_\lambda}$	3397		3437	...	...	...	...	3431	...
$ J^P = 3/2^-, 1\rangle_{2P'_\lambda}$	3532		3546	...	...	...	...	3566	...
$ J^P = 3/2^-, 2\rangle_{2P_\lambda}$	3397		3449	...	...	...	...	3411	...
$ J^P = 3/2^-, 2\rangle_{2P'_\lambda}$	3523		3536	...	...	...	...	3550	...
$ J^P = 5/2^-, 2\rangle_{2P_\lambda}$	3422		3468	...	...	...	...	3435	...
$ J^P = 5/2^-, 2\rangle_{2P'_\lambda}$	3531		3535	...	...	...	...	3569	...
$ J^P = 1/2^-, 1\rangle_{2P_\rho}$	3437		3490	...	...	...	...	...	...
$ J^P = 1/2^-, 1\rangle_{2P'_\rho}$	3574		3586	...	...	...	...	...	...
$ J^P = 3/2^-, 1\rangle_{2P_\rho}$	3466		3510	...	...	...	...	...	...
$ J^P = 3/2^-, 1\rangle_{2P'_\rho}$	3590		3559	...	...	...	...	...	...

We construct the physical states  $|1P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle_1$  and  $|1P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle_2$  as mixed states between  $|1^2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle$  and  $|1^4P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{pmatrix} |1P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle_1 \\ |1P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |1^2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle \\ |1^4P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle \end{pmatrix}. \quad (32)$$

With the above mixing scheme, the strong decay widths of  $|1P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle_1$  and  $|1P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle_2$  as functions of the mixing angle  $\theta$  are plotted in Fig 3. When the mixing angle is taken as  $\theta = (22 \pm$

$2)^\circ$ , the narrow decay width of  $\Omega_c(3000)$  can be well explained with the  $|1P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle_1$  assignment. Therefore, the  $\Omega_c(3000)$  favors the narrow mixed state  $|1P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle_1$  with a sizeable mixing angle  $\theta \simeq 22^\circ$ , which is slightly smaller than  $35.3^\circ$  determined by the heavy-quark symmetry. This conclusion is consistent with that obtained in a previous work of our group [59]. The  $J^P = 1/2^-$  assignment for the  $\Omega_c(3000)$  is supported by the LHCb measurements [5].

In addition, there should exist a broad state  $|1^4P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle_2$



TABLE VI: The partial decay widths (MeV) of the excited states of  $\Omega_c$ .  $\Gamma_{sum}^{th}$  stands for the sum of partial widths.

State	Mass	$\Gamma[\Xi_c K]$	$\Gamma[\Xi'_c K]$	$\Gamma[\Xi_c^{*} K]$	$\Gamma[\Omega_c \eta]$	$\Gamma[\Omega_c(2770)\eta]$	$\Gamma[\Xi_c(2790)K]$	$\Gamma[\Xi_c(2815)K]$	$\Gamma_{sum}^{th}$
$ J^P = 1/2^-, 0\rangle_{1P_\lambda}$	3021	97.25	-	-	-	-	-	-	97.25
$ J^P = 1/2^-, 1\rangle_{1P_\lambda}$	3008	$\sim 0$	-	-	-	-	-	-	$\sim 0$
$ J^P = 3/2^-, 1\rangle_{1P_\lambda}$	3062	$\sim 0$	-	-	-	-	-	-	$\sim 0$
$ J^P = 3/2^-, 2\rangle_{1P_\lambda}$	3061	6.39	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.39
$ J^P = 5/2^-, 2\rangle_{1P_\lambda}$	3091	12.21	0.04	-	-	-	-	-	12.25
$ J^P = 1/2^-, 1\rangle_{1P_\rho}$	3085	$\sim 0$	42.35	-	-	-	-	-	42.35
$ J^P = 3/2^-, 1\rangle_{1P_\rho}$	3115	$\sim 0$	1.07	-	-	-	-	-	1.07
$ J^P = 1/2^+, 1\rangle_{2S}$	3127	71.21	25.39	-	-	-	-	-	96.60
$ J^P = 1/2^+, 1\rangle_{2S}$	3307	21.27	23.92	8.97	0.33	-	8.52	-	63.01
$ J^P = 3/2^+, 1\rangle_{2S}$	3177	40.17	7.34	10.08	-	-	-	-	57.59
$ J^P = 3/2^+, 1\rangle_{2S}$	3325	23.96	7.45	30.15	0.30	0.03	0.04	$\sim 0$	61.93
$ J^P = 1/2^+, 1\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$	3264	1.98	0.50	0.15	0.01	-	-	-	2.64
$ J^P = 3/2^+, 1\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$	3296	1.92	0.14	0.51	0.01	-	$\sim 0$	-	2.58
$ J^P = 3/2^+, 2\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$	3280	$\sim 0$	1.23	0.53	0.03	-	-	-	1.79
$ J^P = 5/2^+, 2\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$	3305	$\sim 0$	1.07	1.44	$\sim 0$	-	0.01	-	2.52
$ J^P = 5/2^+, 3\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$	3296	6.36	1.07	0.41	$\sim 0$	-	0.03	-	7.87
$ J^P = 7/2^+, 3\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$	3306	6.73	0.67	0.67	$\sim 0$	-	$\sim 0$	-	8.07
$ J^P = 1/2^+, 1\rangle_{1D_\rho}$	3363	6.93	1.92	0.70	0.03	$\sim 0$	$\sim 0$	0.03	9.61
$ J^P = 3/2^+, 1\rangle_{1D_\rho}$	3385	7.17	0.53	2.13	0.02	0.06	0.10	0.04	10.05
$ J^P = 3/2^+, 2\rangle_{1D_\rho}$	3391	$\sim 0$	4.95	6.74	0.23	0.06	0.01	$\sim 0$	11.99
$ J^P = 5/2^+, 2\rangle_{1D_\rho}$	3427	$\sim 0$	9.59	9.72	0.28	0.47	$\sim 0$	0.01	20.07
$ J^P = 5/2^+, 3\rangle_{1D_\rho}$	3376	28.28	6.80	3.88	0.11	0.01	0.10	0.01	39.19
$ J^P = 7/2^+, 3\rangle_{1D_\rho}$	3416	35.35	5.44	8.47	0.15	0.09	0.02	0.18	49.70
$ J^P = 1/2^-, 0\rangle_{2P_\lambda}$	3360	3.59	0.02	2.84	0.03	23.07	0.45	1.81	31.81
$ J^P = 1/2^-, 0\rangle_{2P'_\lambda}$	3529	5.57	$\sim 0$	0.02	0.01	$\sim 0$	7.79	6.79	20.18
$ J^P = 1/2^-, 1\rangle_{2P_\lambda}$	3352	0.01	0.95	1.22	33.10	0.02	7.14	7.43	49.87
$ J^P = 1/2^-, 1\rangle_{2P'_\lambda}$	3522	$\sim 0$	2.69	1.22	5.90	1.58	21.90	13.26	46.55
$ J^P = 3/2^-, 1\rangle_{2P_\lambda}$	3397	0.01	0.02	2.84	0.03	23.07	0.45	1.81	28.23
$ J^P = 3/2^-, 1\rangle_{2P'_\lambda}$	3532	0.01	1.04	3.96	1.50	4.93	4.42	18.67	34.53
$ J^P = 3/2^-, 2\rangle_{2P_\lambda}$	3397	0.82	0.07	$\sim 0$	0.05	0.07	3.08	0.41	4.50
$ J^P = 3/2^-, 2\rangle_{2P'_\lambda}$	3523	1.33	1.76	2.20	2.53	1.33	12.97	2.47	24.59
$ J^P = 5/2^-, 2\rangle_{2P_\lambda}$	3422	6.81	0.88	1.45	0.01	0.12	0.15	0.10	9.52
$ J^P = 5/2^-, 2\rangle_{2P'_\lambda}$	3531	0.33	0.44	2.09	1.16	2.12	$\sim 0$	12.46	18.60
$ J^P = 1/2^-, 1\rangle_{2P_\rho}$	3437	$\sim 0$	2.73	4.62	44.56	0.06	$\sim 0$	$\sim 0$	51.97
$ J^P = 1/2^-, 1\rangle_{2P'_\rho}$	3574	$\sim 0$	0.10	1.11	37.24	2.82	$\sim 0$	$\sim 0$	41.27
$ J^P = 3/2^-, 1\rangle_{2P_\rho}$	3466	$\sim 0$	0.07	4.43	0.03	32.38	$\sim 0$	$\sim 0$	36.91
$ J^P = 3/2^-, 1\rangle_{2P'_\rho}$	3590	$\sim 0$	1.39	1.23	6.33	32.53	$\sim 0$	$\sim 0$	41.48

nearly the  $\Omega_c(3000)$ . The mass and width are predicted to be

$$M \simeq 3020 \text{ MeV}, \Gamma \simeq 80 \text{ MeV}. \quad (33)$$

It may be interesting to looking for this broad state in future experiments.

## 2. $\Omega_c(3050)$ , $\Omega_c(3065)$ , and $\Omega_c(3120)$

First, we focus on the  $\Omega_c(3050)$  and  $\Omega_c(3065)$  resonances. From the point of view of the mass, the  $\Omega_c(3050)$  and  $\Omega_c(3065)$  resonances may be candidates of the two  $\lambda$ -mode states  $|J^P = 3/2^-, 1\rangle_{1P_\lambda}$  and  $|J^P = 3/2^-, 2\rangle_{1P_\lambda}$ . They are highly degenerated in the mass region of  $M \simeq 3060$  MeV according to our quark model predictions. Considering the decay properties, one finds that the  $|J^P = 3/2^-, 1\rangle_{1P_\lambda}$  state has a very narrow width of

$$\Gamma < 0.01 \text{ MeV}, \quad (34)$$




FIG. 4: Decay widths of  $|1P_{\lambda \frac{3}{2}}^{-}\rangle$  (with  $M = 3066$  MeV) and  $|1P_{\lambda \frac{3}{2}}^{-}\rangle$  (with  $M = 3050$  MeV) states as a function of mixing angle  $\theta$ . The blue and red cylindrical regions correspond to the measured width ranges of  $\Omega_c(3065)$  and  $\Omega_c(3050)$ , respectively.




FIG. 5: Decay widths of  $\Omega_c(3120)$  and  $\Omega_c(3065)$  (as mixed states defined in Eq. (38)) as a function of mixing angle  $\theta$ . The blue and red cylindrical regions correspond to the decay ranges of  $\Omega_c(3120)$  and  $\Omega_c(3065)$ , respectively.

since the only possible strong decay channel  $\Xi_c K$  is nearly forbidden in the heavy quark symmetry limit. Thus, it is hard to observe the  $|J^P = 3/2^-, 1\rangle_{1P_\lambda}$  state in the  $\Xi_c K$  channel, which is inconsistent with the fact that the  $\Omega_c(3050)$  was first established in this channel if assigning  $\Omega_c(3050)$  to  $|J^P = 3/2^-, 1\rangle_{1P_\lambda}$ . The other state  $|J^P = 3/2^-, 2\rangle_{1P_\lambda}$  dominantly decays into the  $\Xi_c K$  channel with a width of

$$\Gamma \simeq 7 \text{ MeV}, \quad (35)$$

which is about a factor of 2 larger than the measured width of  $\Omega_c(3065)$ . Therefore, assigning the  $\Omega_c(3050)$  and  $\Omega_c(3065)$  to the  $|J^P = 3/2^-, 1\rangle_{1P_\lambda}$  and  $|J^P = 3/2^-, 2\rangle_{1P_\lambda}$  states in the  $j$ - $j$  coupling scheme, respectively, their observed decay properties cannot be well explained in theory.

As we know, the heavy quark symmetry should be slightly

broken since the charm quark is not heavy enough. Thus, the physical states will not exactly be the states of the  $j$ - $j$  coupling scheme. In general, the physical states can be constructed via the  $|1^2P_{\lambda \frac{3}{2}}^{-}\rangle$ - $|1^4P_{\lambda \frac{3}{2}}^{-}\rangle$  mixing,

$$\begin{pmatrix} |1P_{\lambda \frac{3}{2}}^{-}\rangle_1 \\ |1P_{\lambda \frac{3}{2}}^{-}\rangle_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |1^2P_{\lambda \frac{3}{2}}^{-}\rangle \\ |1^4P_{\lambda \frac{3}{2}}^{-}\rangle \end{pmatrix}. \quad (36)$$

When we take  $\theta = 24.1^\circ$ , the  $|1P_{\lambda \frac{3}{2}}^{-}\rangle_1$  and  $|1P_{\lambda \frac{3}{2}}^{-}\rangle_2$  states exactly correspond to those of the  $j$ - $j$  coupling scheme.

With the mixing scheme defined in Eq. (36), the strong decay widths of  $|1P_{\lambda \frac{3}{2}}^{-}\rangle_1$  and  $|1P_{\lambda \frac{3}{2}}^{-}\rangle_2$  as functions of the mixing angle  $\theta$  are plotted in Fig 4. From the figure, one can find that the  $\Omega_c(3050)$  and  $\Omega_c(3065)$  resonances seem to more favor the states  $|1^4P_{\lambda \frac{3}{2}}^{-}\rangle$  and  $|1^2P_{\lambda \frac{3}{2}}^{-}\rangle$  of the  $L$ - $S$  coupling scheme, respectively. Assigning  $\Omega_c(3050)$  to the  $|1^4P_{\lambda \frac{3}{2}}^{-}\rangle$  state (i.e.,  $\theta = 0^\circ$ ), the predicted decay width,  $\Gamma \simeq 0.8$  MeV, is consistent with the central value observed by the LHCb collaboration in 2017 [3]. While assigning  $\Omega_c(3065)$  to the  $|1^2P_{\lambda \frac{3}{2}}^{-}\rangle$  state, the predicted decay width,  $\Gamma \simeq 6$  MeV, is slightly larger than the observed one. As a whole the  $\Omega_c(3050)$  and  $\Omega_c(3065)$  resonances can be assigned as the  $\lambda$ -mode excited states with  $J^P = 3/2^-$ , which is consistent with the suggestions in Refs. [9, 17, 18, 59] and the LHCb observations [5]. It should be mentioned that the fine mass splitting between  $\Omega_c(3050)$  and  $\Omega_c(3065)$ ,  $\Delta m \simeq 15$  MeV, cannot be reasonably explained in theory.

Then, let's focus on the  $\Omega_c(3120)$ . From the point of view of the mass and width, the newly observed resonance  $\Omega_c(3120)$  may be a good candidate of the  $|J^P = 3/2^-, 1\rangle_{1P_\rho}$  state. Our predicted mass and width,

$$M \simeq 3115 \text{ MeV}, \quad \Gamma \simeq 1.1 \text{ MeV}, \quad (37)$$

are in good agreement with the observations of  $\Omega_c(3120)$ . However, if assigning  $\Omega_c(3120)$  to  $|1^2P_{\rho \frac{3}{2}}^{-}\rangle$ , the  $\Xi_c K$  channel should be forbidden, which is inconsistent with the fact that the  $\Omega_c(3120)$  was established in the  $\Xi_c K$  channel.

The  $|1^2P_{\rho \frac{3}{2}}^{-}\rangle$  may slightly mix with the  $|1^2P_{\lambda \frac{3}{2}}^{-}\rangle$  via the spin-dependent interactions. In this case, the  $\Omega_c(3120)$ , as a  $|1^2P_{\rho \frac{3}{2}}^{-}\rangle$  dominant state, may have a significant decay rate into the  $\Xi_c K$  channel due to the  $|1^2P_{\lambda \frac{3}{2}}^{-}\rangle$  component. This also indicates that the  $\Omega_c(3065)$  should correspond to the other mixed state dominated by the  $|1^2P_{\lambda \frac{3}{2}}^{-}\rangle$  component. Treating  $\Omega_c(3120)$  and  $\Omega_c(3065)$  as two mixed states via the  $|1^2P_{\rho \frac{3}{2}}^{-}\rangle$ - $|1^2P_{\lambda \frac{3}{2}}^{-}\rangle$  mixing,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Omega_c(3065) \\ \Omega_c(3120) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |1^2P_{\lambda \frac{3}{2}}^{-}\rangle \\ |1^2P_{\rho \frac{3}{2}}^{-}\rangle \end{pmatrix}, \quad (38)$$

we plot their strong decay widths as functions of the mixing angle  $\theta$  in Fig 5. From the figure, one can see that when taking the mixing angle in the range of  $\theta \simeq 10 - 15^\circ$ , the decay width of  $\Omega_c(3120)$  is estimated to be

$$\Gamma \simeq 2.0 - 2.5 \text{ MeV}, \quad (39)$$

which is contributed by both the  $\Xi_c K$  and  $\Xi'_c K$  channels with comparable partial widths. The theoretical decay width is consistent with the observed range. Meanwhile, the  $\Omega_c(3120)$  has a significant decay rate of  $\sim 50 - 60\%$  into the  $\Xi_c K$  channel, which is also consistent with the observations. The  $\Omega_c(3120)$  as a  $J^P = 3/2^-$  state dominated by the  $\rho$ -mode excitation is also suggested in some other works [18]. For the  $\Omega_c(3065)$ , when treated as a mixed state dominated by the  $|1^2 P_{\lambda \frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle$  component, the decay width is closer to the observed value.

In short, the  $\Omega_c(3050)$  may favor the  $|1^4 P_{\lambda \frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle$  assignment. The  $\Omega_c(3065)$  and  $\Omega_c(3120)$  may be mixed states via the  $|1^2 P_{\rho \frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle$ - $|1^2 P_{\lambda \frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle$  mixing. The  $\Omega_c(3065)$  and  $\Omega_c(3120)$  are dominated by the  $|1^2 P_{\lambda \frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle$  and  $|1^2 P_{\rho \frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle$  components, respectively. The slight  $|1^2 P_{\lambda \frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle$  component of  $\Omega_c(3120)$  is crucial for understanding why it can be observed in the  $\Xi_c K$  channel. To further confirm the nature of  $\Omega_c(3120)$ , more observations of the  $\Xi'_c K$  channel are necessary in future experiments.

### 3. $\Omega_c(3090)$

The observed mass of  $\Omega_c(3090)$  is very close to that of the  $\rho$ -mode excitation  $|J^P = 1/2^-, 1\rangle_{1P_\rho}$  and the  $\lambda$ -mode excitation  $|J^P = 5/2^-, 2\rangle_{1P_\lambda}$ . For the  $|J^P = 1/2^-, 1\rangle_{1P_\rho}$ , the mass and width are predicted to be

$$M \simeq 3085 \text{ MeV}, \Gamma \simeq 42 \text{ MeV}. \quad (40)$$

The strong decays of this state are governed by the  $\Xi'_c K$  channel, while the  $\Xi_c K$  channel is nearly forbidden. Obviously, the decay properties do not support  $\Omega_c(3090)$  as the  $\rho$ -mode excitation.

On the other hand, if assigning the  $\Omega_c(3090)$  resonance to the  $J^P = 5/2^-$  state  $|J^P = 5/2^-, 2\rangle_{1P_\lambda}$ , it is found that the theoretical mass and width

$$M \simeq 3091 \text{ MeV}, \Gamma \simeq 12 \text{ MeV}. \quad (41)$$

are consistent with the observations. The width of this state is nearly saturated by the  $\Xi_c K$  channel, while decay rate into the  $\Xi'_c K$  channel is very small ( $\sim 3 \times 10^{-3}$ ). Our assignment for the  $\Omega_c(3090)$  is consistent with the predictions of Refs. [9, 17, 18, 32, 41, 59] and LHCb observations [5].

### C. $1D$ - and $2S$ -wave states

According to the quark model classification, there are four  $2S$ -, six  $1D_{\lambda^-}$ -, and six  $1D_{\rho}$ -wave states.

From Table V and Fig 2, one can see that the two high-lying  $2S$  excitations have a mass of  $\sim 3.31$  GeV, while the other two low-lying  $2S$  excitations have a mass of  $\sim 3.15$  GeV. There is a gap of  $\sim 200$  MeV between the low-lying and high-lying  $2S$  states. It should be emphasized that the two low-lying  $2S$  states are dominated by the  $\lambda$ -mode radial excitation, while the two high-lying  $2S$  states are dominated by the  $\rho$ -mode radial excitation. For the  $1D$  excitations, the masses of the  $\lambda$  mode are approximately  $3.2 - 3.3$  GeV, while the masses of

the  $\rho$  mode are approximately  $3.3 - 3.4$  GeV. The mass of the  $\rho$ -mode excitation is about 100 MeV greater than that of the corresponding  $\lambda$  mode.

Furthermore, from Table VI, one can obtain the decay properties of  $2S$  and  $1D$  excitations. For the  $2S$  excitations, the decay widths are relatively broad,  $\Gamma > 50$  MeV. They mainly decay into the  $\Xi_c K$ ,  $\Xi'_c K$ , and  $\Xi_c^* K$  channels. Their partial widths often reach up to  $O(10)$  MeV. For the  $1D$ -wave  $\lambda$ -mode excitations, the decay widths may be relatively narrow, the sum of the partial widths of the  $\Xi_c K$ ,  $\Xi'_c K$ , and  $\Xi_c^* K$  channels is only several MeV. In the  $\lambda$ -mode excitations, only the  $|J^P = 5/2^+, 3\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$  and  $|J^P = 7/2^+, 3\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$  have a relatively large partial width ( $\sim 7$  MeV) into the  $\Xi_c K$  channel. For the  $1D$ -wave  $\rho$ -mode excitations, it is found that the  $|J^P = 5/2^+, 3\rangle_{1D_\rho}$  and  $|J^P = 7/2^+, 3\rangle_{1D_\rho}$  may have a relatively broad width,  $\Gamma > 40$  MeV, and dominantly decay into the  $\Xi_c K$  channel. The decay widths for the other  $\rho$ -mode excitations may be relatively narrow, the sum of the partial widths of the  $\Xi_c K$  and  $\Xi'_c K$ , and  $\Xi_c^* K$  channels is  $\sim 10$  MeV.

For the  $1D$ - and  $2S$ -wave states, there are several candidates, such as  $\Omega_c(3185)$  and  $\Omega_c(3327)$ , from recent LHCb experiments [3, 6]. Based on the obtained decay properties and mass spectrum, some discussions about these newly observed states are given as follows.

#### 1. $\Omega_c(3185)$

The  $\Omega_c(3185)$  was observed in the  $\Xi_c^+ K^-$  channel by the LHCb Collaboration in 2023 [6]. The measured mass and width are [78]

$$\begin{aligned} m[\Omega_c(3185)] &= 3185_{-1.9}^{+7.6} \text{ MeV}, \\ \Gamma[\Omega_c(3185)] &= 50_{-21}^{+12} \text{ MeV}. \end{aligned}$$

It is found that the  $2S$  state  $|J^P = 3/2^+, 1\rangle_{2S}$ , which is dominated by the  $\lambda$ -mode radial excitation, is a good candidate of  $\Omega_c(3185)$ . Our predicted mass of  $|J^P = 3/2^+, 1\rangle_{2S}$ ,  $M \simeq 3177$  MeV, is consistent with the experimentally observed mass of  $\Omega_c(3185)$ . The  $|J^P = 3/2^+, 1\rangle_{2S}$  dominantly decays into the  $\Xi_c K$  channel with a total width of

$$\Gamma \simeq 58 \text{ MeV}. \quad (42)$$

The predicted decay mode and width is also in good agreement with the experimental measurements. Thus, the newly observed  $\Omega_c(3185)$  favors the  $|J^P = 3/2^+, 1\rangle_{2S}$  assignment, which is consistent with that suggested in the literature [9, 18, 26]. Moreover, the  $\Omega_c(3185)$  resonance, as the  $|J^P = 3/2^+, 1\rangle_{2S}$  assignment, should have significant decay rates into  $\Xi'_c K$  ( $\sim 12\%$ ) and  $\Xi_c^* K$  ( $\sim 17\%$ ) channels, which are worth observing in future experiments.

If the  $\Omega_c(3185)$  correspond to  $|J^P = 3/2^+, 1\rangle_{2S}$  indeed, its spin partner  $|J^P = 1/2^+, 1\rangle_{2S}$  is most likely to be observed in future experiments. The mass and width of this state are predicted to be

$$M \simeq 3127 \text{ MeV}, \Gamma \simeq 97 \text{ MeV}. \quad (43)$$

This broad state mainly decays into the  $\Xi_c K$  and  $\Xi_c' K$  channels with branching fractions  $\sim 74\%$  and  $\sim 26\%$ , respectively.

Finally, it should be mentioned that in previous work [59], very narrow widths ( $\Gamma \sim 1$  MeV) were predicted for the two  $\lambda$ -mode  $2S$  states with  $J^P = 1/2^+$  and  $3/2^+$  based on the simple harmonic oscillator wave functions. Thus, the  $\Omega_c(3120)$  was suggested as the  $2S$  assignment with  $J^P = 1/2^+$  or  $3/2^+$ . However, based on the genuine wave functions obtained from the quark potential model we obtain broad widths, which is inconsistent with the observation of  $\Omega_c(3120)$ . In these genuine functions, besides the dominant  $\lambda$ -mode component there is also a significant  $\rho$ -mode component.

## 2. $\Omega_c(3327)$

The  $\Omega_c(3327)$  also was observed in the  $\Xi_c^+ K^-$  channel by the LHCb Collaboration in 2023 [6]. The measured mass and width of this state are [78],

$$m[\Omega_c(3327)] = 3327.1_{-1.8}^{+1.2} \text{ MeV},$$

$$\Gamma[\Omega_c(3327)] = 20_{-5}^{+14} \text{ MeV}.$$

Combining the mass and the main decay channel of  $\Xi_c^+ K^-$ , it is found that the two high-lying  $2S$  excitations ( $|J^P = 1/2^+, 1\rangle_{2S'}$  and  $|J^P = 3/2^+, 1\rangle_{2S'}$ ), one  $1D$ -wave  $\rho$ -mode excitation ( $|J^P = 1/2^+, 1\rangle_{1D_\rho}$ ), and three  $1D$ -wave  $\lambda$ -mode excitations ( $|J^P = 3/2^+, 1\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$ ,  $|J^P = 5/2^+, 3\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$ , and  $|J^P = 7/2^+, 3\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$ ) may be candidates of  $\Omega_c(3327)$ .

For the two  $2S$  excitations  $|J^P = 1/2^+, 1\rangle_{2S'}$  and  $|J^P = 3/2^+, 1\rangle_{2S'}$ , it is found that the widths may be too broad. The sum of the partial widths of their main decay channels  $\Xi_c K$ ,  $\Xi_c' K$ , and  $\Xi_c^* K$  reaches up to  $\sim 60$  MeV, which is not in good agreement with the narrow width nature of  $\Omega_c(3327)$ . Therefore, these two  $2S$  excitations are not likely to be assigned as  $\Omega_c(3327)$ .

Then, we focus on the four  $1D$ -wave candidates of  $\Omega_c(3327)$ ,  $|J^P = 1/2^+, 1\rangle_{1D_\rho}$ ,  $|J^P = 3/2^+, 1\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$ ,  $|J^P = 5/2^+, 3\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$ , and  $|J^P = 7/2^+, 3\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$ . It should be noted that these  $1D$  states with a mass of  $\sim 3.3$  GeV also possibly decay into the  $\Xi_c D$  and  $\Xi_c D^*$  channels. Using the quark pair creation model [105–107], Li-Ye Xiao has estimated the partial widths of the  $\Xi_c D$  and  $\Xi_c D^*$  channels [108]. The results together with the sums of their partial widths for the  $K$  and  $\eta$  emitting channels are presented in Table VII.

It is found that the  $|J^P = 3/2^+, 1\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$  as a candidate of  $\Omega_c(3327)$  should be excluded. This state has a broad width of  $\Gamma \sim 80$  MeV and mainly decays into the  $\Xi_c(2815)K$  channel, which is inconsistent with the observations. It is interesting to find that the  $\Omega_c(3327)$  seems to more favor the  $J^P = 7/2^+$  state  $|J^P = 7/2^+, 3\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$  or the  $J^P = 5/2^+$  state  $|J^P = 5/2^+, 3\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$ , although the  $J^P = 1/2^+$  state  $|J^P = 1/2^+, 1\rangle_{1D_\rho}$  cannot be excluded. As shown in Table VII, the partial decay width of  $\Gamma[\Omega_c |J^P = 7/2^+, 3\rangle_{1D_\lambda} \rightarrow \Xi D]$  is relatively large. Therefore, to determine whether  $\Omega(3327)$  can be assigned as the  $J^P = 7/2^+$  state, the  $\Xi D$  invariant mass spectrum around 3.3 GeV is worth observing in future experiments. Finally, it should be pointed out that the  $\Omega_c(3327)$  is also sug-

gested to be a candidate of the  $1D$ -wave state in the literature [9, 10, 23, 24, 26, 41].

TABLE VII: The strong decay widths (MeV) of  $|J^P = 3/2^+, 1\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$ ,  $|J^P = 5/2^+, 3\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$ ,  $|J^P = 7/2^+, 3\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$ , and  $|J^P = 1/2^+, 1\rangle_{1D_\rho}$  as the candidates of  $\Omega_c(3327)$ .  $\Gamma_{lps}^{th}$  stands for the sum of the partial widths for the  $K$  and  $\eta$  emitting channels.

States	$\Gamma_{lps}^{th}$	$\Gamma[\Xi D]$	$\Gamma[\Xi D^*]$	$\Gamma^{th}$	$\Gamma^{exp}$ [78]
$ J^P = 3/2^+, 1\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$	70.8	5.6	0.24	76.6	$20_{-5}^{+14}$
$ J^P = 5/2^+, 3\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$	11.8	0.14	0.02	12.0	
$ J^P = 7/2^+, 3\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$	10.1	5.1	$\sim 0$	15.2	
$ J^P = 1/2^+, 1\rangle_{1D_\rho}$	8.9	-	-	8.9	

## D. $2P$ -wave states

According to the quark model classification, there are seven  $2P_\lambda$ -, and seven  $2P_\rho$ -wave states. From Table V and Fig 2, we find that the masses of the  $\lambda$ - and  $\rho$ -mode orbital excitations are in the ranges of  $\sim 3.3 - 3.5$  GeV and  $\sim 3.4 - 3.6$  GeV, respectively. Furthermore, we find that the states dominated by the  $\rho$ -mode radial excitation (denoted by  $2P'$  with subscripts) are about 120-170 MeV heavier than the corresponding  $2P$  states dominated by the  $\lambda$ -mode radial excitation.

From Table VI, we can obtain the decay properties of  $2P$  excitations. In these fourteen states, only three states  $|J^P = 1/2^-, 0\rangle_{2P_\lambda}$ ,  $|J^P = 1/2^-, 0\rangle_{2P'_\lambda}$ , and  $|J^P = 5/2^-, 2\rangle_{2P_\lambda}$  have significant decay rates into the  $\Xi_c K$  channel. It is interesting to find that several states, such as  $|J^P = 1/2^-, 1\rangle_{2P_\lambda}$  (3352) and  $|J^P = 1/2^-, 1\rangle_{2P_\rho}$  (3437), have large decay rates into the  $\Omega_c \eta$ ; while several states, such as  $|J^P = 1/2^-, 0\rangle_{2P_\lambda}$  (3360) and  $|J^P = 3/2^-, 1\rangle_{2P_\lambda}$  (3397), have large decay rates into the  $\Omega_c(2770)\eta$  channel.

By observing the invariant mass spectrum of  $\Omega_c \eta$  and/or  $\Omega_c(2770)\eta$  in the mass region of  $\sim 3.3 - 3.4$  GeV, one may find some signals of the  $2P$  states.

## IV. SUMMARY

In this work, the masses of the  $1S$ -,  $1P$ -,  $1D$ -,  $2S$ -, and  $2P$ -wave  $\Omega_c$  baryon states are studied within a semi-relativistic constituent quark potential model. Furthermore, the strong decay properties for the excited  $\Omega_c$  states are evaluated by using the masses and wave functions obtained from the potential model. Based on the obtained mass spectrum and decay properties, the quark model assignments for the newly observed  $\Omega_c$  states are discussed and suggested. We expect our study can provide a useful reference for establishing the  $\Omega_c$  baryon spectrum. Some key results from this study are emphasized as follows.

- The  $\Omega_c(3000)$  favors the narrow  $J^P = 1/2^-$  mixed state via the  $|1^2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle - |1^4P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle$  states mixing ( $|1^2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle$ ) as



the dominant component). Its nearby partner, as a broad state with a width of  $\sim 80$  MeV, is worth observing in future experiments.

- The  $\Omega_c(3050)$  and  $\Omega_c(3090)$  favor the  $1P_\lambda$  states  $|1^4P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle$  and  $|1^4P_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^-}\rangle$  of the  $L$ - $S$  scheme, respectively.
- The  $\Omega_c(3065)$  and  $\Omega_c(3120)$  may be two  $J^P = 3/2^-$  mixed states via the  $|1^2P_{\rho\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle$ - $|1^2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle$  mixing. The components of  $\Omega_c(3065)$  and  $\Omega_c(3120)$  are dominated by the  $\lambda$ -mode excitation  $|1^2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle$  and the  $\rho$ -mode excitation  $|1^2P_{\rho\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle$ , respectively.
- The newly observed state  $\Omega_c(3185)$  can be assigned as the  $2S$  state with  $J^P = 3/2^+$ ,  $\Omega_c|J^P = 3/2^+, 1\rangle_{2S}$ , which is dominated by the  $\lambda$ -mode radial excitation. Its spin partner  $\Omega_c|J^P = 1/2^+, 1\rangle_{2S}(3127)$  is likely to be observed in the  $\Xi_c K$  channel as well.
- The newly observed state  $\Omega_c(3327)$  may be assigned as either the  $1D$  state with  $J^P = 5/2^+$ ,  $\Omega_c|J^P =$

$5/2^+, 3\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$ , or the  $J^P = 7/2^+$  state  $\Omega_c|J^P = 7/2^+, 3\rangle_{1D_\lambda}$ . To determine nature of  $\Omega(3327)$ , the  $\Xi D$  invariant mass spectrum around 3.3 GeV is worth observing in future experiments.

- In the mass region of  $\sim 3.3$ – $3.4$  GeV, some  $2P$  states are most likely to be observed in the invariant mass spectrum of  $\Omega_c\eta$  and/or  $\Omega_c(2770)\eta$ .

### Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Ru-Hui Ni for very helpful discussions of the strong decay. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No.12105203, No.12175065, No.12235018, No.12205216, No.12205026, and No.12005013) and Applied Basic Research Program of Shanxi Province, China under Grant No. 202103021223376.

- 
- [1] S. F. Biagi, M. Bourquin, A. J. Britten, R. M. Brown, H. J. Burckhart, A. A. Carter, C. Doré, P. Extermann, M. Gaillard and C. N. P. Gee, *et al.* Properties of the Charmed Strange Baryon  $\Lambda_c^+$  and Evidence for the Charmed Doubly Strange Baryon  $\Xi_c^0$  at 2.74 GeV/ $c^2$ , *Z. Phys. C* **28**, 175 (1985).
- [2] E. Solovieva, R. Chistov, I. Adachi, H. Aihara, K. Arinstein, T. Aushev, A. M. Bakich, V. Balagura, U. Bitenc and A. Bondar, *et al.* Study of  $\Omega_c^0$  and  $\Omega_c^+$  Baryons at Belle, *Phys. Lett. B* **672**, 1-5 (2009).
- [3] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], Observation of five new narrow  $\Omega_c^0$  states decaying to  $\Xi_c^+ K^-$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 182001 (2017).
- [4] J. Yelton *et al.* [Belle], Observation of Excited  $\Omega_c$  Charmed Baryons in  $e^+e^-$  Collisions, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 051102 (2018).
- [5] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], Observation of excited  $\Omega_c^0$  baryons in  $\Omega_b^- \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ K^- \pi^-$  decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, L091102 (2021).
- [6] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], Observation of New  $\Omega_c^0$  States Decaying to the  $\Xi_c^+ K^-$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 131902 (2023).
- [7] E. Ortiz-Pacheco, R. Bijker, A. Giachino and E. Santopinto, Heavy  $\Omega_c$  and  $\Omega_b$  baryons in the quark model, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **1610**, 012011 (2020).
- [8] H. Garcia-Tecocoatz, A. Giachino, J. Li, A. Ramirez-Morales and E. Santopinto, Strong decay widths and mass spectra of charmed baryons, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 034031 (2023).
- [9] G. L. Yu, Y. Meng, Z. Y. Li, Z. G. Wang and L. Jie, Strong decay properties of single heavy baryons  $\Lambda_Q$ ,  $\Sigma_Q$  and  $\Omega_Q$ , *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **38**, 2350082 (2023).
- [10] S. Q. Luo and X. Liu, Newly observed  $\Omega_c(3327)$ : A good candidate for a D-wave charmed baryon, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 074041 (2023).
- [11] S. Q. Luo and X. Liu, Investigating the spectroscopy behavior of undetected 1F-wave charmed baryons, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 034002 (2023).
- [12] E. Ortiz-Pacheco and R. Bijker, Masses and radiative decay widths of S- and P-wave singly, doubly, and triply heavy charm and bottom baryons, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 054014 (2023).
- [13] Y. X. Peng, S. Q. Luo and X. Liu, Refining radiative decay studies in singly heavy baryons, *Phys. Rev. D* **110**, 074034 (2024).
- [14] E. Ortiz-Pacheco and R. Bijker, Strong decay widths of S- and P-wave singly-, doubly- and triply-heavy charm and bottom baryons, [arXiv:2410.09622 [hep-ph]].
- [15] H. García-Tecocoatz, A. Giachino, A. Ramirez-Morales, A. Rivero-Acosta, E. Santopinto and C. A. Vaquera-Araujo, Study of the spectra and decay widths of singly heavy baryons, *EPJ Web Conf.* **303**, 01009 (2024).
- [16] M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner, Excited  $\Omega_c$  Baryons as 2S states, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 014006 (2023).
- [17] G. L. Yu, Z. Y. Li, Z. G. Wang, J. Lu and M. Yan, Systematic analysis of single heavy baryons  $\Lambda_Q$ ,  $\Sigma_Q$  and  $\Omega_Q$ , *Nucl. Phys. B* **990**, 116183 (2023).
- [18] X. Z. Weng, W. Z. Deng and S. L. Zhu, Heavy baryons in the relativized quark model with chromodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* **110**, 056052 (2024).
- [19] R. Patel, M. Shah and P. C. Vinodkumar, S-Wave Spectra of  $\Omega_c^0$  Baryon in a Relativistic Dirac Formalism Using the Independent Quark Model, *Few Body Syst.* **64**, 30 (2023).
- [20] H. Bahtiyar, K. U. Can, G. Erkol, P. Gubler, M. Oka and T. T. Takahashi, Charmed baryon spectrum from lattice QCD near the physical point, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 054513 (2020).
- [21] M. Padmanath and N. Mathur, Quantum Numbers of Recently Discovered  $\Omega_c^0$  Baryons from Lattice QCD, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 042001 (2017).
- [22] H. M. Yang and H. X. Chen, P-wave charmed baryons of the  $SU(3)$  flavor  $6_F$ , *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 034037 (2021).
- [23] Z. G. Wang, F. Lu and Y. Liu, Analysis of the D-wave  $\Sigma$ -type charmed baryon states with the QCD sum rules, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83**, 689 (2023).
- [24] J. H. Pan and J. Pan, Investigation of the mass spectra of singly heavy baryons  $\Sigma_Q$ ,  $\Xi'_Q$ , and  $\Omega_Q$  ( $Q=c,b$ ) in the Regge trajectory model, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 076010 (2024).
- [25] J. Oudichhya and A. K. Rai, Spin-parity identification of newly observed singly charmed baryons in Regge phenomenology, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **59**, 123 (2023).
- [26] P. Jakhad, J. Oudichhya, K. Gandhi and A. K. Rai, Identifi-



- cation of newly observed singly charmed baryons using the relativistic flux tube model, Phys. Rev. D **108**, 014011 (2023).
- [27] P. Jakhad, J. Oudichhya and A. K. Rai, Masses of higher excited states of  $\Xi_c'$  and  $\Omega_c$  baryons, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **39**, 2443005 (2024).
- [28] P. Jakhad, J. Oudichhya and A. K. Rai, Strong decays and spin-parity assignments of low-lying singly charmed baryons, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **39**, 2443013 (2024).
- [29] W. Wang and R. L. Zhu, Interpretation of the newly observed  $\Omega_c^0$  resonances, Phys. Rev. D **96**, 014024 (2017).
- [30] H. Y. Cheng and C. W. Chiang, Quantum numbers of  $\Omega_c$  states and other charmed baryons, Phys. Rev. D **95**, 094018 (2017).
- [31] H. Huang, J. Ping and F. Wang, Investigating the excited  $\Omega_c^0$  states through  $\Xi_c K$  and  $\Xi_c' K$  decay channels, Phys. Rev. D **97**, 034027 (2018).
- [32] Z. Zhao, D. D. Ye and A. Zhang, Hadronic decay properties of newly observed  $\Omega_c$  baryons, Phys. Rev. D **95**, 114024 (2017).
- [33] B. Chen and X. Liu, New  $\Omega_c^0$  baryons discovered by LHCb as the members of  $1P$  and  $2S$  states, Phys. Rev. D **96**, 094015 (2017).
- [34] S. Q. Luo, B. Chen, X. Liu and T. Matsuki, Predicting a new resonance as charmed-strange baryonic analog of  $D_{s0}^*$ (2317), Phys. Rev. D **103**, 074027 (2021).
- [35] V. O. Galkin and R. N. Faustov, Heavy Baryon Spectroscopy, Phys. Part. Nucl. **51**, 661-667 (2020).
- [36] M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner, Very narrow excited  $\Omega_c$  baryons, Phys. Rev. D **95**, 114012 (2017).
- [37] S. S. Agaev, K. Azizi and H. Sundu, Interpretation of the new  $\Omega_c^0$  states via their mass and width, Eur. Phys. J. C **77**, 395 (2017).
- [38] H. X. Chen, Q. Mao, W. Chen, A. Hosaka, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, Decay properties of  $P$ -wave charmed baryons from light-cone QCD sum rules, Phys. Rev. D **95**, 094008 (2017).
- [39] H. X. Chen, W. Chen, Q. Mao, A. Hosaka, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu,  $P$ -wave charmed baryons from QCD sum rules, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 054034 (2015).
- [40] Z. G. Wang, Analysis of  $\Omega_c(3000)$ ,  $\Omega_c(3050)$ ,  $\Omega_c(3066)$ ,  $\Omega_c(3090)$  and  $\Omega_c(3119)$  with QCD sum rules, Eur. Phys. J. C **77**, 325 (2017).
- [41] Z. Y. Li, G. L. Yu, Z. G. Wang and J. Z. Gu, Heavy-quark dominance and fine structure of excited heavy baryons  $\Sigma_Q$ ,  $\Xi_Q$  and  $\Omega_Q$ , Eur. Phys. J. C **84**, 1310 (2024).
- [42] H. Y. Cheng, Charmed baryon physics circa 2021, Chin. J. Phys. **78**, 324-362 (2022).
- [43] J. T. Zhu, S. Y. Kong, L. Q. Song and J. He, Systematical study of  $\Omega_c$ -like molecular states from interactions  $\Xi_c^{(*)} \bar{K}^{(*)}$  and  $\Xi_c^{(*)} D^{(*)}$ , Phys. Rev. D **105**, 094036 (2022).
- [44] J. Feng, C. Cheng, F. Yang and Y. Huang, Description of the newly observed  $\Omega_c^*$  states as molecular states, [arXiv:2303.17770 [hep-ph]].
- [45] Q. Xin, X. S. Yang and Z. G. Wang, The singly charmed pentaquark molecular states via the QCD sum rules, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **38**, 2350123 (2023).
- [46] Y. Yan, X. Hu, H. Huang and J. Ping, Investigating excited  $\Omega_c$  states from pentaquark perspective, Phys. Rev. D **108**, 094045 (2023).
- [47] U. Özdem, Magnetic dipole moments of the  $\Omega_c^0(3185)$  and  $\Omega_c^0(3327)$  states from molecular perspective, Phys. Lett. B **849**, 138432 (2024).
- [48] N. Ikeno, W. H. Liang and E. Oset, Molecular nature of the  $\Omega_c(3120)$  and its analogy with the  $\Omega(2012)$ , Phys. Rev. D **109**, 054023 (2024).
- [49] B. L. Huang, B. Wang and S. L. Zhu, Octet baryon and heavy meson interactions in chiral effective field theory, Phys. Rev. D **110**, 116007 (2024).
- [50] U. Özdem, Electromagnetic properties of  $\Omega_c^0$  resonances via light-cone QCD, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **139**, 978 (2024).
- [51] R. Chen, A. Hosaka and X. Liu, Searching for possible  $\Omega_c$ -like molecular states from meson-baryon interaction, Phys. Rev. D **97**, 036016 (2018).
- [52] H. C. Kim, M. V. Polyakov and M. Praszalowicz, Possibility of the existence of charmed exotica, Phys. Rev. D **96**, 014009 (2017).
- [53] C. S. An and H. Chen, Observed  $\Omega_c^0$  resonances as pentaquark states, Phys. Rev. D **96**, 034012 (2017).
- [54] A. Ali, L. Maiani, A. V. Borisov, I. Ahmed, M. Jamil Aslam, A. Y. Parkhomenko, A. D. Polosa and A. Rehman, A new look at the  $Y$  tetraquarks and  $\Omega_c$  baryons in the diquark model, Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, 29 (2018).
- [55] G. Montaña, A. Feijoo and À. Ramos, A meson-baryon molecular interpretation for some  $\Omega_c$  excited states, Eur. Phys. J. A **54**, 64 (2018).
- [56] V. R. Debastiani, J. M. Dias, W. H. Liang and E. Oset, Molecular  $\Omega_c$  states generated from coupled meson-baryon channels, Phys. Rev. D **97**, 094035 (2018).
- [57] E. Santopinto, A. Giachino, J. Ferretti, H. García-Tecocoatzi, M. A. Bedolla, R. Bijker and E. Ortiz-Pacheco, The  $\Omega_c$ -puzzle solved by means of quark model predictions, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, 1012 (2019).
- [58] H. X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu, Y. R. Liu and S. L. Zhu, An updated review of the new hadron states, Rept. Prog. Phys. **86**, no.2, 026201 (2023).
- [59] K. L. Wang, L. Y. Xiao, X. H. Zhong and Q. Zhao, Understanding the newly observed  $\Omega_c$  states through their decays, Phys. Rev. D **95**, 116010 (2017).
- [60] Y. X. Yao, K. L. Wang and X. H. Zhong, Strong and radiative decays of the low-lying  $D$ -wave singly heavy baryons, Phys. Rev. D **98**, 076015 (2018).
- [61] R. H. Ni, J. J. Wu and X. H. Zhong, Unified unquenched quark model for heavy-light mesons with chiral dynamics, Phys. Rev. D **109**, 116006 (2024).
- [62] H. H. Zhong, M. S. Liu, R. H. Ni, M. Y. Chen, X. H. Zhong and Q. Zhao, Unified study of nucleon and  $\Delta$  baryon spectra and their strong decays with chiral dynamics, Phys. Rev. D **110**, 116034 (2024).
- [63] A. J. Arifi, D. Suenaga and A. Hosaka, Relativistic corrections to decays of heavy baryons in the quark model, Phys. Rev. D **103**, 094003 (2021).
- [64] A. J. Arifi, D. Suenaga, A. Hosaka and Y. Oh, Strong decays of multistrangeness baryon resonances in the quark model, Phys. Rev. D **105**, 094006 (2022).
- [65] E. Eichten, K. Gottfried, T. Kinoshita, K. D. Lane and T. M. Yan, Charmonium: The Model, Phys. Rev. D **17**, 3090 (1978) [erratum: Phys. Rev. D **21**, 313 (1980)].
- [66] S. Capstick and N. Isgur, Baryons in a relativized quark model with chromodynamics, Phys. Rev. D **34**, 2809-2835 (1986).
- [67] S. Godfrey and N. Isgur, Mesons in a Relativized Quark Model with Chromodynamics, Phys. Rev. D **32**, 189-231 (1985).
- [68] K. Varga and Y. Suzuki, Precise Solution of Few Body Problems with Stochastic Variational Method on Correlated Gaussian Basis, Phys. Rev. C **52**, 2885-2905 (1995).
- [69] K. Varga and Y. Suzuki, Solution of few body problems with the stochastic variational method: 1. Central forces, Comput. Phys. Commun. **106**, 157-168 (1997).
- [70] J. Mitroy, S. Bubin, W. Horiuchi, Y. Suzuki, L. Adamowicz, W. Cencek, K. Szalewicz, J. Komasa, D. Blume and K. Varga, Theory and application of explicitly correlated Gaussians,

- Rev. Mod. Phys. **85**, 693-749 (2013).
- [71] L. Y. Xiao and X. H. Zhong,  $\Xi$  baryon strong decays in a chiral quark model, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 094002 (2013).
- [72] K. L. Wang, Y. X. Yao, X. H. Zhong and Q. Zhao, Strong and radiative decays of the low-lying  $S$ - and  $P$ -wave singly heavy baryons, Phys. Rev. D **96**, 116016 (2017).
- [73] W. Roberts and M. Pervin, Heavy baryons in a quark model, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **23**, 2817-2860 (2008).
- [74] T. Yoshida, E. Hiyama, A. Hosaka, M. Oka and K. Sadato, Spectrum of heavy baryons in the quark model, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 114029 (2015).
- [75] N. Isgur and M. B. Wise, Spectroscopy with heavy quark symmetry, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 1130-1133 (1991).
- [76] Y. Yamaguchi, S. Ohkoda, A. Hosaka, T. Hyodo and S. Yasui, Heavy quark symmetry in multihadron systems, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 034034 (2015).
- [77] E. Hiyama, Y. Kino and M. Kamimura, Gaussian expansion method for few-body systems, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **51**, 223-307 (2003).
- [78] S. Navas *et al.* [Particle Data Group], Review of particle physics, Phys. Rev. D **110**, 030001 (2024).
- [79] M. S. Liu, K. L. Wang, Q. F. Lü and X. H. Zhong,  $\Omega$  baryon spectrum and their decays in a constituent quark model, Phys. Rev. D **101**, 016002 (2020).
- [80] Q. Li, R. H. Ni and X. H. Zhong, Towards establishing an abundant  $B$  and  $B_s$  spectrum up to the second orbital excitations, Phys. Rev. D **103**, 116010 (2021).
- [81] Q. Li, L. C. Gui, M. S. Liu, Q. F. Lü and X. H. Zhong, Mass spectrum and strong decays of strangeonium in a constituent quark model, Chin. Phys. C **45**, 023116 (2021).
- [82] W. J. Deng, H. Liu, L. C. Gui and X. H. Zhong, Charmonium spectrum and their electromagnetic transitions with higher multipole contributions, Phys. Rev. D **95**, 034026 (2017).
- [83] W. J. Deng, H. Liu, L. C. Gui and X. H. Zhong, Spectrum and electromagnetic transitions of bottomonium, Phys. Rev. D **95**, 074002 (2017).
- [84] Q. Li, M. S. Liu, L. S. Lu, Q. F. Lü, L. C. Gui and X. H. Zhong, Excited bottom-charmed mesons in a nonrelativistic quark model, Phys. Rev. D **99**, 096020 (2019).
- [85] A. Manohar and H. Georgi, Chiral Quarks and the Nonrelativistic Quark Model, Nucl. Phys. B **234**, 189-212 (1984).
- [86] Z. P. Li, The threshold pion photoproduction of nucleons in the chiral quark model, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 5639-5646 (1994).
- [87] Z. P. Li, The Kaon photoproduction of nucleons in the chiral quark model, Phys. Rev. C **52**, 1648-1661 (1995).
- [88] Z. P. Li, H. X. Ye and M. H. Lu, An Unified approach to pseudoscalar meson photoproductions off nucleons in the quark model, Phys. Rev. C **56**, 1099-1113 (1997).
- [89] Q. Zhao, J. S. Al-Khalili, Z. P. Li and R. L. Workman, Pion photoproduction on the nucleon in the quark model, Phys. Rev. C **65**, 065204 (2002).
- [90] X. H. Zhong and Q. Zhao, Charmed baryon strong decays in a chiral quark model, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 074008 (2008).
- [91] X. H. Zhong and Q. Zhao, Strong decays of heavy-light mesons in a chiral quark model, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 014029 (2008).
- [92] L. H. Liu, L. Y. Xiao and X. H. Zhong, Charm-strange baryon strong decays in a chiral quark model, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 034024 (2012).
- [93] K. L. Wang, Q. F. Lü and X. H. Zhong, Interpretation of the newly observed  $\Sigma_b(6097)^+$  and  $\Xi_b(6227)^-$  states as the  $P$ -wave bottom baryons, Phys. Rev. D **99**, 014011 (2019).
- [94] K. L. Wang, Q. F. Lü and X. H. Zhong, Interpretation of the newly observed  $\Lambda_b(6146)^0$  and  $\Lambda_b(6152)^0$  states in a chiral quark model, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 114035 (2019).
- [95] K. L. Wang, L. Y. Xiao and X. H. Zhong, Understanding the newly observed  $\Xi_c^0$  states through their decays, Phys. Rev. D **102**, 034029 (2020).
- [96] L. Y. Xiao and X. H. Zhong, Toward establishing the low-lying  $P$ -wave  $\Sigma_b$  states, Phys. Rev. D **102**, 014009 (2020).
- [97] L. Y. Xiao and X. H. Zhong, Possible interpretation of the newly observed  $\Omega(2012)$  state, Phys. Rev. D **98**, 034004 (2018).
- [98] X. H. Zhong and Q. Zhao, Strong decays of newly observed  $D_{sJ}$  states in a constituent quark model with effective Lagrangians, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 014031 (2010).
- [99] X. H. Zhong, Strong decays of the newly observed  $D(2550)$ ,  $D(2600)$ ,  $D(2750)$ , and  $D(2760)$ , Phys. Rev. D **82**, 114014 (2010).
- [100] L. Y. Xiao and X. H. Zhong, Strong decays of higher excited heavy-light mesons in a chiral quark model, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 074029 (2014).
- [101] R. H. Ni, Q. Li and X. H. Zhong, Mass spectra and strong decays of charmed and charmed-strange mesons, Phys. Rev. D **105**, 056006 (2022).
- [102] X. H. Zhong and Q. Zhao,  $\eta'$  photoproduction on the nucleons in the quark model, Phys. Rev. C **84**, 065204 (2011).
- [103] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov and V. O. Galkin, Spectroscopy and Regge trajectories of heavy baryons in the relativistic quark-diquark picture, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 014025 (2011).
- [104] Z. Shah, K. Thakkar, A. K. Rai and P. C. Vinodkumar, Mass spectra and Regge trajectories of  $\Lambda_c^+$ ,  $\Sigma_c^0$ ,  $\Xi_c^0$  and  $\Omega_c^0$  baryons, Chin. Phys. C **40**, 123102 (2016).
- [105] L. Micu, Decay rates of meson resonances in a quark model, Nucl. Phys. B **10**, 521-526 (1969).
- [106] A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pene and J. C. Raynal, Naive quark pair creation model of strong interaction vertices, Phys. Rev. D **8**, 2223-2234 (1973).
- [107] A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pene and J. C. Raynal, Naive quark pair creation model and baryon decays, Phys. Rev. D **9**, 1415-1419 (1974).
- [108] Li-Ye Xiao, Open charmed meson emitting decays of singly-heavy baryons, In preparation.