

# Multi-Scale and Multi-Objective Optimization for Cross-Lingual Aspect-Based Sentiment Analysis

Chengyan Wu<sup>1</sup>, Bolei Ma<sup>2</sup>, Ningyuan Deng<sup>3</sup>, Yanqing He<sup>‡3</sup>, Yun Xue<sup>‡1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Quantum Engineering and Quantum Materials, School of Electronic Science and Engineering (School of Microelectronics),

South China Normal University

<sup>2</sup>LMU Munich & Munich Center for Machine Learning

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Scientific and Technical Information of China

<sup>‡</sup>Corresponding authors.

{chengyan.wu,xueyun}@m.scnu.edu.cn, boleima@lmu.de, {dengny2022,heyq}@istic.ac.cn

## Abstract

Aspect-based sentiment analysis (ABSA) is a sequence labeling task that has garnered growing research interest in multilingual contexts. However, recent studies lack more robust feature alignment and finer aspect-level alignment. In this paper, we propose a novel framework, **Multi-Scale and Multi-Objective optimization (MSMO)** for cross-lingual ABSA. During multi-scale alignment, we achieve cross-lingual sentence-level and aspect-level alignment, aligning features of aspect terms in different contextual environments. Specifically, we introduce code-switched bilingual sentences into the language discriminator and consistency training modules to enhance the model’s robustness. During multi-objective optimization, we design two optimization objectives: supervised training and consistency training, aiming to enhance cross-lingual semantic alignment. To further improve model performance, we incorporate distilled knowledge of the target language into the model. Results show that MSMO significantly enhances cross-lingual ABSA by achieving state-of-the-art performance across multiple languages and models. <sup>1</sup>

## 1 Introduction

Aspect-based sentiment analysis (ABSA) involves identifying specific aspect terms and their sentiment polarity within a sentence (Liu, 2012; Pontiki et al., 2014). While research in ABSA has seen success with English texts, real-world social media interactions often involve multiple languages (Mao et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2021b), highlighting the need for cross-lingual sentiment analysis. For example, as illustrated in Figure 1, if English is the source language and French is the target, a model trained on a labeled dataset in English




Figure 1: An example of a cross-lingual ABSA task. We train on the source language and perform aspect term extraction and sentiment polarity prediction on the target language.

should be able to identify the aspect terms “service” and “nourriture” in the French sentence, with sentiments “positive” and “negative” respectively.

Since obtaining large amounts of annotated training data for low-resource languages is extremely expensive, early cross-lingual sentiment analysis efforts (Zhou et al., 2016; Xu and Wan, 2017; Barnes et al., 2018) solely rely on annotated data from different source languages to learn sentiment classification for target languages. These models typically depend on bilingual dictionaries, pre-trained cross-lingual word embeddings, or machine translation to bridge the gap between source and target languages.

With the advent of multilingual pre-trained language models, recent research has shifted focus to data-level alignment, leveraging multilingual pre-trained models to fine-tune aligned translated data to bridge the gap between source and target languages. Li et al. (2021) generate pseudo data in the target language by translating labeled source language data, indirectly acquiring target language knowledge. Zhang et al. (2021a) enhance the translation-based approach by using unaligned label projection and code-switched data. Bigoulaeva et al. (2023) employ a resampling method to balance the data imbalance in cross-lingual learning,

<sup>1</sup>We release our resources at <https://github.com/swaggy66/MSMO>.

thereby adaptively addressing the issue of data scarcity in the target language. Lin et al. (2023) introduce a contrastive learning framework to refine the semantic space representation in cross-lingual models for the XABSA task. However, existing methods either fail to account for more robust feature representations across different semantic spaces or ambiguously pull the distance between tokens with the same label closer, without considering alignment training for the same aspects from span-level across different languages.

Moreover, adversarial training is crucial for learning robust feature representations (Wang and Pan, 2018). In cross-lingual ABSA, it mainly addresses sub-tasks like aspect term extraction and sentiment classification. Wang and Pan (2018) introduce a transformation-based adversarial network that aligns feature vectors across languages, incorporating syntactic structure. Zhou et al. (2022) develop an adversarial deep averaging network to transfer knowledge from labeled source languages to unlabeled low-resource languages. These methods demonstrate that adversarial training effectively learns language-invariant features across different semantic spaces.

To further enhance cross-lingual finer-grained adaptability, some researchers have proposed consistency training methods to align source and target language information during training. Wang and Henao (2021) attempt to adapt consistency for NER based on back-translation (Edunov et al., 2018). Zhou et al. (2022) propose span-level consistency constraints for word alignment on named entity recognition task, overcoming token count changes during translation.

Inspired by the mentioned methods, and to further enhance interactions between two languages at different granularities, we propose a framework based on **multi-scale** and **multi-objective** optimization, called **MSMO**. Specifically, the MSMO framework comprises four key components: a feature extractor, a language discriminator, a consistency training module, and a sentiment classifier. For the multi-scale aspect, we employ adversarial training for sentence-level alignment and consistency training for aspect-level alignment, leveraging both the bilingual translated dataset and the code-switched dataset in the process. Specifically, introducing a code-switched dataset that switches different aspect terms can introduce perturbations, allowing the embedding spaces of the source and target languages to align better with the anchor as-

pects and improving the robustness of the model. For the multi-objective optimization, we combine supervised training and consistency training as optimization objectives, aiming to align aspect terms of different languages at a finer granularity. Additionally, we extend the MSMO framework to multilingual ABSA. To explore the importance of unlabeled target language knowledge for performance improvement, we also apply knowledge distillation using unlabeled data in the target language.

In summary, our main contributions are as follows:

- **Sentence-level Alignment:** We propose an adversarial training approach using a code-switched dataset. This method enhances the language discriminator’s ability to capture invariant features and develop more robust representations across languages by introducing aspect term perturbations.
- **Aspect-level Alignment:** We utilize consistency training to ensure the model provides consistency predictions for aspect terms with the same sentiment, improving alignment at the aspect level.
- **Multi-objective Optimization:** We integrate supervised training and consistency training objectives to minimize the performance gap between different languages.
- **Extensive Evaluation:** We conduct comprehensive experiments on benchmark datasets in five languages across cross-lingual and multilingual settings. The results demonstrate that our method achieves state-of-the-art performance.

## 2 MSMO Framework

### 2.1 Problem Formulation and Background

We regard the ABSA task as a sequence labeling problem. Given an input sentence  $x = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  containing  $n$  tokens, our goal is to predict the labels  $y = \{y_i\}_{i=1}^n$  for the input sequence, where  $y_i \in Y = \{B, I, E, S\} - \{POS, NEU, NEG\} \cup \{O\}$ , representing the aspect term boundaries and their sentiment polarities corresponding to the token  $x_i$ .

In our cross-lingual transfer framework, following Zhang et al. (2021a), we use the source language data  $D_S$  and the translated target language data  $D_T$ . We also use the code-switched data ( $D_{S_T}, D_{T_S}$ ) during training, where  $D_{S_T}$  is created by replacing the aspect terms in  $D_S$  with their




Figure 2: The MSMO framework. It mainly comprises two basic steps: (1). Sentence-level alignment by adversarial training (§2.3); (2). Aspect-level alignment with multi-objective optimization (§2.4). The **Pretrained Multi-Lingual Encoder** connects both steps by updating the parameters from the loss of language discriminator in step 1 and from the combined loss in step 2.

counterparts in the target language, and  $D_{TS}$  is created by replacing the aspect terms in  $D_T$  with their counterparts in the source language. The training data  $D_U$  consists of sentence-label pairs  $(x_u, y_u) \in D_U$ , where  $D_S \cup D_T \cup D_{ST} \cup D_{TS} \in D_U$ , aiming to predict the label sequence  $y_t$  for the target language in the test set. Details of the code-switched dataset can be found in Appendix §A.1.

## 2.2 Preliminaries of the MSMO Framework

Figure 2 illustrates the key components of our method, which mainly consists of two overall steps: (1). Sentence-level alignment by adversarial training (§2.3); (2). Aspect-level alignment with multi-objective optimization (§2.4). Before delving into the details of the two steps, we illustrate the preliminaries of the **Pretrained Multi-Lingual Encoder**.

The MSMO framework integrates a pre-trained multilingual encoder ( $M$ ) as a feature extractor to generate contextual representations of sentence tokens. Given a sequence of  $n$  tokens  $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ , we take the final hidden layer outputs of the  $M$  as the intermediate representations  $h_i \in \mathbb{R}^l$ .

$$[h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n] = M([x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]) \quad (1)$$

These intermediate token embeddings  $h_i$  are then fed into two different branches. In the first

stage (§2.3),  $h_i$  is input into the language discriminator  $Q$ , which aims to predict a scalar score indicating whether  $x$  is from the source or the target. In the second stage (§2.4), we use the updated  $M$  from the first stage to encode and input the representations into the sentiment classifier  $P$  and the consistency training module  $C$ .  $Q$  uses a sigmoid activation function, while both  $P$  and  $C$  use softmax activation functions, defined as:

$$p(x_i) = F(\text{Dropout}(W * h_i + b)) \quad (2)$$

$P$  predicts labels for the input sequences based on the feature representation  $h_i$ , while  $C$  aligns aspect terms with the same sentiment polarity across different languages by aligning the predicted probability distributions of aspect terms in the source language and target language.

## 2.3 Step 1: Sentence-Level Alignment by Adversarial Training

Now we look into the first step which leverages a language discriminator to conduct sentence-level alignment by adversarial training. In previous research, ADAN (Chen et al., 2018) is proposed to use the Wasserstein distance (Arjovsky et al., 2017) for standard adversarial training, addressing the instability of adversarial training in the ADAN-GRL (Ganin et al., 2015) method and achieving better performance. Inspired by the ADAN paradigm,

we design a language discriminator  $Q$  to reduce the semantic gap across languages.  $Q$  is a binary classifier with a sigmoid layer on top, so the language recognition score is always between 0 and 1, aiming to determine the probability that the input text  $x$  is from source or target based on the hidden features  $h_i$  captured by the feature extractor. For training,  $Q$  is connected to the encoder via a gradient reversal layer (Ganin and Lempitsky, 2015), which retains the input during the forward pass but multiplies the gradient by  $-\lambda$  during the backward pass (we set  $\lambda$  to 1 in Figure 2). Thus, standard backpropagation can be used to train the entire network holistically. However, unlike ADAN, to enhance the robustness of the model while preserving semantic consistency, we also introduce the code-switched dataset in addition to using bilingual parallel corpora. Specifically, for source language sentences that introduce aspect terms in the target language, we stimulate the language discriminator  $Q$  to identify them as source language sentences, and *vice versa*.

By inducing local perturbations from aspect term changes in sentences, we encourage the language discriminator to recognize common features of languages and stimulate the feature extractor to capture invariant features of languages through backpropagation. Our objective is to approximately minimize the Wasserstein distance between  $(P(h_i), P(h'_i))$  according to the Kantorovich-Rubinstein duality (Villani, 2013). To ensure that  $Q$  is a Lipschitz function (up to a constant), the parameters of  $Q$  are always clipped to a fixed range  $[-c, c]$ . Thus, the objective  $J_q$  of  $Q$  becomes:

$$J_q(P(h_i), P(h'_i)) \equiv \max_{\theta_q} \mathbb{E}[Q(P(h_i))] - \mathbb{E}[Q(P(h'_i))] \quad (3)$$

where  $h_i \in D_S \cup D_{S_T}$ , and  $h'_i \in D_T \cup D_{T_S}$ . The supremum (maximum) of  $J_q$  is taken over the set of all 1-Lipschitz functions  $Q$ . Intuitively,  $Q$  tries to output higher scores for source instances and lower scores for target instances. More formally,  $J_q$  is an approximation of the Wasserstein distance between  $P(h_i)$  and  $P(h'_i)$  in Equation 3.

## 2.4 Step 2: Aspect-Level Alignment with Multi-Objective Optimization

After the first stage of training, the updated encoder has learned to extract invariant features across different languages. We use the updated encoder for

the second stage of training, where the extracted features are fed into the sentiment classifier  $P$  and the consistency training module  $C$ .

**Supervised Training.** For the sentiment classifier  $P$ , we use the traditional cross-entropy loss, denoted as  $\mathcal{L}_{CE}$ , which is computed between the predicted label distribution and the gold label in one-hot encoding. Therefore, we seek to minimize the following loss function for  $P$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_{CE} = \frac{1}{|D_U|} \sum_{(x,y) \in D_U} \left[ -\frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^L y_i \log p_{\theta}(y_i | x_i) \right] \quad (4)$$

where  $L$  represents the length of the sentence  $X$ ,  $i$  denotes the  $i$ -th token in the sentence. In addition,  $(x, y)$  belongs to the labeled training dataset  $D_U$ .

**Consistency Training.** Consistency training (Miyato et al., 2019; Clark et al., 2018; Xie et al., 2020) aims to reduce the model’s overfitting and bias towards specific input forms by guiding the model to produce consistency predictions under different input perturbations. Although it has been successful in CV (Wang et al., 2024) and sentence-level NLP tasks (Miyato et al., 2019; Xie et al., 2020), there has been a lack of effective attempts at consistency training for the cross-lingual ABSA task. In cross-lingual ABSA, learning aspect-level feature representations across different languages can achieve cross-lingual adaptability from a finer-grained perspective, where the model should maintain consistency in the predictions of aspect terms with the same sentiment polarity.

We explore a consistency training method for cross-lingual ABSA to improve alignment at the aspect level, as follows. Let  $\phi$  be a transformation function that generates small perturbations, such as noise from text translation or aspect term transformation. In this paper, one transformation method is to translate  $X$  into another language, and another is to swap the aspect terms in the source and target language sequences. Given a sequence of tokens  $X$  and aspect terms in the sequence denoted as  $s$ , we apply the  $\phi$  transformation to the source language sequence to obtain a perturbed sequence  $X'$ , where the aspect terms  $s$  after transformation correspond to  $s'$ . We encourage the model to capture feature representations of aspect terms with the same sentiment across different languages and use bidirectional KL divergence to compute the divergence  $D_{\text{div}}$  between the probability distributions of the aspect term pairs  $(s, s')$  at the span level,

and then minimize their consistency loss  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{cons}}$  to output consistent probability distributions over  $s$  and  $s'$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{cons}} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{(s_i, s'_i) \in (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}')} \frac{1}{2} [\text{KL}(P(y'_i | s'_i) \| P(y_i | s_i)) + \text{KL}(P(y_i | s_i) \| P(y'_i | s'_i))] \quad (5)$$

where  $y_i$  and  $y'_i$  are the labels of the spans and  $m$  is the total number of aspect term pairs  $(s_i, s'_i)$ . Inspired by the approach of Zhou et al. (2022), we define the probability of a span as the product of the tokens that constitute the span.

**Multi-Objective Optimization.** As described above, we apply supervised training and consistency training to the source language data, translated target language data, and code-switched data. Then, we combine the cross-entropy loss  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{CE}}$  with the consistency loss  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{cons}}$  to form our total training objective:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{total}} = \sum_{X \in D_U} \mathcal{L}_{\text{CE}} + \sum_{X \in D_U} \beta \mathcal{L}_{\text{cons}} \quad (6)$$

### 3 Experimental Setups

#### 3.1 Dataset

We choose the SemEval-2016 dataset (Pontiki et al., 2016) to evaluate our method. This dataset consists of real user reviews across eight languages, with ABSA annotations available for English (EN), French (FR), Spanish (ES), Dutch (NL), Russian (RU), and Turkish (TK). However, due to the limited size of the Turkish test set (fewer than 150 sentences), we excluded it from our evaluation, consistent with prior multilingual ABSA studies (Zhang et al., 2021a; Lin et al., 2023). For a fair comparison, we use the data processed by Zhang et al. (2021a). The data for each language is divided into training, validation, and test sets, along with a code-switched dataset. We use English as the source language during training, and the other languages as target languages in the prediction phase.

#### 3.2 Models and Parameter Settings

We evaluate four target languages using the Micro-F1 metric on two multilingual pre-trained models, including the cased mBERT (Devlin et al., 2019) and the base XLM-R model (Conneau et al., 2020), for a fair comparison with existing methods. A prediction is considered correct only when the tuple (entity, label) is correctly predicted, where the

entity is the boundary of the aspect term and the label is the corresponding sentiment polarity. Following the settings of Zhang et al. (2021a), we set the maximum training steps to 2000 for mBERT and 2500 for XLM-R. Additionally, we allocate different weights for the multi-objective optimization functions of the two models across the four target languages, as in Equation 6, with  $\beta$  values  $\{4.5\text{e-}4, 2.5\text{e-}4, 2.5\text{e-}4, 3.5\text{e-}4\}$  and  $\{2.5\text{e-}3, 1.5\text{e-}3, 1.5\text{e-}3, 3.5\text{e-}3\}$  respectively (refer to Appendix A.3). Based on the performance of the validation set of the source language, we select the best model in the last 500 steps.

We select the optimal training hyperparameters through a grid search over combinations of batch size and learning rate. The ranges are: learning rate  $\{1\text{e-}5, 2\text{e-}5, 5\text{e-}5\}$ ; batch size  $\{8, 16, 25\}$ . For mBERT, we use a learning rate of  $5\text{e-}5$  and a batch size of 16; for XLM-R, we use a learning rate of  $2\text{e-}5$  and a batch size of 8. For all experiments, we report the average F1 scores over 5 runs with different random seeds.

#### 3.3 Knowledge Distillation

Previous work (Zhang et al., 2021a) has demonstrated the significant performance of knowledge distillation in cross-lingual ABSA tasks. Therefore, we apply the MSMO framework to three types of distillation tasks: single-teacher distillation, multi-teacher distillation, and multilingual distillation. In single-teacher and multi-teacher distillation, we use a combination of source and target language data ( $D_T$ ,  $D_S$ ,  $D_{S_T}$ , and  $D_{T_S}$ ) to train the teacher models within our MSMO framework. Specifically, for multi-teacher distillation, we assign equal weights to the predictions from different teachers to combine the soft labels. For the student model, we train the encoder and sentiment classifier within the MSMO framework using  $D_T$  data of the corresponding target language, thus providing a solid starting point. In multilingual distillation, the teacher model is trained using a combination of source language data and four target language data. For the student model, we use  $D_T$  from the four target languages as the initial training data. Details on the knowledge distillation can be found in §A.2.

#### 3.4 Compared Methods

We compare our method against several baseline approaches:

- **SUPERVISED:** A fully supervised method where the model is trained using data from the

Methods	mBERT					XLM-R				
	FR	ES	NL	RU	Avg	FR	ES	NL	RU	Avg
SUPERVISED	61.80	67.88	56.80	58.87	61.34	67.44	71.93	64.28	64.93	67.15
ZERO-SHOT (Conneau et al., 2020)	45.60	57.32	42.68	36.01	45.40	56.43	67.10	59.03	56.80	59.84
TRANSLATION-TA (Li et al., 2021)	40.76	50.74	47.13	41.67	45.08	47.00	58.10	56.19	50.34	52.91
BILINGUAL-TA (Li et al., 2021)	41.00	51.23	49.72	43.67	46.41	49.34	61.87	58.64	52.89	55.69
ACS (Zhang et al., 2021a)	49.65	59.99	51.19	52.09	53.23	59.39	67.32	62.83	60.81	62.59
CL-XABSA (SL) (Lin et al., 2023)	49.75	60.12	49.34	50.10	52.32	58.10	64.85	59.75	58.84	60.39
CL-XABSA (TL) (Lin et al., 2023)	50.55	60.09	52.45	50.73	53.46	59.47	64.63	59.40	61.13	61.16
<b>MSMO</b>	<b>51.42</b>	<b>63.26</b>	<b>52.68</b>	<b>53.45</b>	<b>55.20</b>	<b>61.01</b>	<b>69.74</b>	<b>63.26</b>	<b>62.52</b>	<b>64.13</b>
ACS-DISTILL-S (Zhang et al., 2021a)	52.23	62.04	52.72	53.00	55.00	61.00	68.93	62.89	60.97	63.45
ACS-DISTILL-M (Zhang et al., 2021a)	52.25	62.91	53.40	54.58	55.79	59.90	69.24	63.74	62.02	63.73
CL-XABSA-DISTILL-S (Lin et al., 2023)	52.76	62.54	53.38	53.48	55.27	61.20	69.13	63.01	61.37	63.68
CL-XABSA-DISTILL-M (Lin et al., 2023)	52.99	63.54	53.52	53.98	56.01	62.10	69.37	64.27	62.29	64.51
<b>MSMO-DISTILL-S</b>	<b>53.58</b>	<b>63.80</b>	<b>53.97</b>	<b>54.47</b>	<b>56.46</b>	<b>61.69</b>	<b>70.16</b>	<b>63.58</b>	<b>62.96</b>	<b>64.25</b>
<b>MSMO-DISTILL-M</b>	<b>54.39</b>	<b>64.59</b>	<b>54.14</b>	<b>54.89</b>	<b>56.94</b>	<b>63.89</b>	<b>69.93</b>	<b>65.15</b>	<b>63.20</b>	<b>65.54</b>

Table 1: Performance comparison of various methods on different languages using mBERT and XLM-R. S denotes single-teacher distillation and M denotes multi-teacher distillation.

target language.

- **ZERO-SHOT** (Conneau et al., 2020): This method fine-tunes the model on labeled source data and applies it directly to target data, showing strong cross-lingual adaptation.
- **TRANSLATION-TA** and **BILINGUAL-TA** (Li et al., 2021): Both methods are translation-based. TRANSLATION-TA trains the model with pseudo-labeled data and aligned translations, while BILINGUAL-TA combines source data with aligned translations for training.
- **ACS** (Zhang et al., 2021a): This method introduces code-switched data for training, aligning aspect terms at the data level to bridge the gap between different languages.
- **CL-XABSA** (Lin et al., 2023): This is the state-of-the-art (SOTA) approach. CL-XABSA performs contrastive learning at both the sentiment levels (SL) and token levels (TL), respectively, minimizing the distance between tokens with the same sentiment and identical labels.

Additionally, we evaluate the performance of our method with distilled data compared to:

- **Single-Teacher Distillation:** ACS-DISTILL-S and CL-XABSA-DISTILL-S.
- **Multi-Teacher Distillation:** ACS-DISTILL-M and CL-XABSA-DISTILL-M.

## 4 Results and Analysis

### 4.1 Cross-lingual ABSA Results

We compare our method with previous methods in Table 1. Overall, we achieve SOTA performance on both mBERT and XLM-R models compared to the zero-shot baselines and the CL-XABSA base-

lines from Lin et al. (2023), indicating that our approach better facilitates semantic convergence across different languages. Additionally, our results are closer to those of the fully supervised fine-tuning method, highlighting the robustness and effectiveness of our approach in bridging the performance gap with supervised methods.

Content-wise, we observe the following in-depth key phenomena:

**XLM-R vs. mBERT.** Methods based on the XLM-R backbone generally outperform those based on the mBERT backbone. The primary reason is that XLM-R has a larger number of parameters and uses a larger multilingual corpus during the pre-training phase, leading to stronger cross-lingual adaptation capabilities.

**Performance Comparison.** Our proposed MSMO method not only outperforms the ZERO-SHOT method and translation-based methods (BILINGUAL-TA and TRANSLATION-TA) but also achieves better performance than the CL-XABSA method. This demonstrates the effectiveness of introducing sentence-level adversarial training and aspect-level alignment between different languages.

**Language-Specific Improvements.** Our MSMO method achieves performance improvements across all four target languages, with a more noticeable improvement in Spanish. Compared to the CL-XABSA method, the performance of MSMO in Spanish improves by 3.14% and 4.89% on mBERT and XLM-R, respectively. The primary reason is

that languages from different families have different semantic spaces, and Spanish is closer to English in terms of language family, making their semantic spaces more easily converged. This aligns with the findings of Zhang et al. (2021a).

**Distillation Performance.** Following the ACS paradigm, we apply the MSMO method to single-teacher distillation (MSMO-DISTILL-S) and multi-teacher distillation (MSMO-DISTILL-M), and both achieve higher performance. This can be explained by the fact that the teacher model of our MSMO method outperforms the teacher model of the CL-XABSA method. During the knowledge distillation process to the target language, the teacher model of the MSMO method can achieve more accurate soft label predictions, thereby better guiding the student model to learn from these soft labels on unlabeled target language data. Additionally, the multi-teacher distillation method performs better than the single-teacher distillation method, which may be because the multi-teacher model can better combine the strengths of different teachers to guide the student model.

## 4.2 Multilingual ABSA Results

To fairly compare with the previous SOTA method by Lin et al. (2023), we report the results of the MSMO method in a multilingual setting (MTL-MSMO) in Table 2. As shown in Table 2, the MTL-MSMO method outperforms the multilingual CL-XABSA method (MTL-CL-XABSA) for the teacher model, with average Micro-F1 improvements of 1.61% and 0.77% on the mBERT and XLM-R models, respectively. This indicates that using the MSMO method for distillation with unlabeled data can achieve higher performance. This improvement can be attributed to our language discriminator and consistency training modules, which better align the semantic spaces in a multilingual setting. Furthermore, due to the superior performance of the teacher model, the student model can learn knowledge from multiple target languages through the soft labels predicted by the MTL-MSMO teacher model and apply it to specific language inference. Our method also shows significant improvement in multilingual knowledge distillation, with average Micro-F1 improvements of 0.97% and 0.77% on the mBERT and XLM-R models, respectively, over the previous MTL-CL-XABSA-DISTLL, demonstrating the effectiveness and superiority of our proposed method.

	FR	ES	NL	RU	Avg
Based on mBERT:					
MTL-CL-XABSA	50.01	59.05	51.22	50.59	52.72
MTL-CL-XABSA-DISTLL	53.03	62.19	54.25	54.63	56.03
MTL-MSMO	<b>51.33</b>	<b>60.43</b>	<b>53.68</b>	<b>51.89</b>	<b>54.33</b>
MTL-MSMO-DISTLL	<b>54.56</b>	<b>62.69</b>	<b>55.56</b>	<b>56.19</b>	<b>57.00</b>
Based on XLM-R:					
MTL-CL-XABSA	60.09	68.88	64.16	63.07	64.05
MTL-CL-XABSA-DISTLL	62.37	70.58	65.98	62.79	65.43
MTL-MSMO	<b>60.93</b>	<b>69.34</b>	<b>64.85</b>	<b>64.17</b>	<b>64.82</b>
MTL-MSMO-DISTLL	<b>63.23</b>	<b>70.95</b>	<b>66.24</b>	<b>64.36</b>	<b>66.20</b>

Table 2: Multilingual results (MTL) with mBERT and XLM-R as backbone respectively. MTL-CL-XABSA and MTL-CL-XABSA-DISTLL are selected from the best result between TL and SL in Lin et al. (2023).

## 4.3 Ablation Study

To demonstrate the effectiveness of the main components in the MSMO method, we experiment with ablations and present the results in Table 3. We design two variants of MSMO for the experiments:

- 1) **w/o. Language Discriminator:** We remove the language discriminator, retaining only the feature extractor, sentiment classifier, and consistency training modules to train the model;
- 2) **w/o. Consistency Training:** We remove the consistency training module, retaining only the feature extractor, sentiment classifier, and language discriminator to train the model.

As shown in Table 3, the results indicate that

- 1) MSMO experiences a performance drop when any component is removed, demonstrating the importance of all components;
- 2) Removing the language discriminator results in a decrease of 1.68% and 1.30% in the average F1 score, respectively, indicating that training the language discriminator with bilingual data and code-switched bilingual data helps the model learn language-invariant features, contributing to performance improvement. Specifically, in different code-switched contexts, the model can better focus on the boundary changes of aspect terms in different languages, thereby better learning aspect term boundaries and improving model robustness;
- 3) Removing the consistency training component results in a decrease of 1.57% and 1.08% in the average F1 score, respectively, indicating that narrowing the predicted probability distributions of aspect terms in different contexts can reduce the discrepancy of aspect terms with the same sentiment polarity in different semantic spaces.

	FR	ES	NL	RU	Avg
Based on mBERT:					
w/o. Language Discriminator	49.70	60.61	51.57	52.21	53.52
w/o. Consistency Training	50.59	60.40	51.30	52.25	53.63
<b>MSMO</b>	<b>51.42</b>	<b>63.26</b>	<b>52.68</b>	<b>53.45</b>	<b>55.20</b>
Based on XLM-R:					
w/o. Language Discriminator	59.82	68.10	62.41	60.99	62.83
w/o. Consistency Training	59.51	67.96	62.91	61.82	63.05
<b>MSMO</b>	<b>61.01</b>	<b>69.47</b>	<b>63.26</b>	<b>62.52</b>	<b>64.13</b>

Table 3: The ablation study results.

#### 4.4 Excursion: Comparison with LLMs

As recent advances in LLMs emerge, we also evaluate the LLM performance on the ABSA task to compare with our method. We evaluate the LLMs in two ways: by zero-shot prompting (Wu et al., 2024), and by fine-tuning the LLMs on the source language (English) and then validating on target languages (similar to the supervised baseline). For zero-shot prompting, we apply the instruction-tuned version of GPT-4o (OpenAI, 2024), Gemma-2 9B (Team, 2024a), Llama-3.1 8B (AI@Meta, 2024), and Mistral 7B (Jiang et al., 2023), and Qwen2.5 7B (Team, 2024b). For fine-tuning, we employ the LoRA approach (Hu et al., 2022) on the four open-weight LLMs using the base models. We present the results in Table 4. The prompt used for zero-shot prompting is shown in Appendix A.4.

Still, our proposed MSMO method with multilingual distillation demonstrates a marked advancement in average performance, significantly outperforming the highest-scoring LLMs in zero-shot (GPT-4o) and in LoRA fine-tuning (Qwen-2.5). This suggests that while these LLMs excel in various NLP tasks, they may not be as effective for nuanced token-level classification tasks without additional fine-tuning (Wang et al., 2023; Nie et al., 2024). Notably, performance across languages varies, with Spanish achieving relatively higher scores and French lower compared to other languages. This observation is consistent with the results obtained from our MSMO approach.

## 5 Related Work

**Cross-Lingual ABSA.** Research in cross-lingual ABSA (XABSA) generally falls into two categories: data alignment and embedding learning. Data alignment aims to incorporate language-specific knowledge into the target language, often using translation systems or dictionaries to convert annotated data from high-resource languages (Zhou et al., 2013). Techniques such as co-training

	FR	ES	NL	RU	Avg
GPT-4o	48.43	49.91	49.94	45.15	48.36
Gemma-2-9b-It	50.94	48.80	50.24	39.34	47.33
Llama-3.1-8B-Instruct	23.15	32.49	33.53	30.18	29.84
Mistral-7B-Instruct-v0.3	37.21	38.32	33.98	26.58	34.02
Qwen2.5-7B-Instruct	48.88	48.29	46.75	40.25	46.04
Gemma-2-9B + LoRA	48.17	57.46	51.97	47.65	51.31
Llama-3.1-8B + LoRA	52.65	55.37	50.37	48.12	51.63
Mistral-7B-v0.3 + LoRA	59.46	60.79	56.89	50.52	56.92
Qwen2.5-7B + LoRA	63.01	68.95	60.84	53.50	61.58
<b>MTL-MSMO-DISTLL on mBERT</b>	<b>54.56</b>	<b>62.69</b>	<b>55.56</b>	<b>56.19</b>	<b>57.00</b>
<b>MTL-MSMO-DISTLL on XLM-R</b>	<b>63.23</b>	<b>70.95</b>	<b>66.24</b>	<b>64.36</b>	<b>66.20</b>

Table 4: Performance of different LLMs in zero-shot-prompting and in LoRA fine-tuning in comparison with MTL-MSMO-DISTLL (ours).

(Zhou et al., 2015) and constrained SMT (Lambert, 2015) improve data quality. Additionally, pre-trained multilingual word embeddings and methods like warm-up mechanisms (Li et al., 2020) and shared vector spaces (Jebbara and Cimiano, 2019) enhance model performance in multi-lingual ABSA. Zhang et al. (2021a) adopt the translation-based methods by code-switching the aspect terms in target and source data for cross-lingual ABSA. Following this, Lin et al. (2023) use contrastive learning for cross-lingual ABSA.

**Adversarial Networks.** Adversarial training, popular in computer vision (Knoester et al., 2022), has seen limited application in ABSA. Notable work includes Miyato et al. (2017), who apply domain adversarial training to ABSA, and Wang and Pan (2018), who use adversarial networks to align feature vectors across languages. Some methods have also explored character and word-level perturbations. Mamta and Ekbal (2022) generate adversarial samples for specific aspects while maintaining semantic coherence. Adversarial training helps evaluate model resilience and identify vulnerabilities (Lin et al., 2023).

**Consistency Training.** Consistency training regularizes a model by ensuring predictions remain similar for both original and perturbed inputs (Zhou et al., 2022). While widely used in NLP, its application in ABSA is still emerging. Existing work includes Chen et al. (2022), which demonstrates that simple augmentations combined with consistency training yield competitive ABSA performance. Additionally, Zhang et al. (2023) introduces a sentiment consistency regularizer to maintain sentiment consistency across spans.



## 6 Conclusion

In this work, we introduce the novel application of adversarial training and consistency training to cross-lingual aspect-based sentiment analysis. Our approach includes language discriminator and consistency training modules at the sentence and aspect levels, respectively, to better align aspect terms across languages. Multi-objective optimization further bridges semantic gaps between languages, establishing a robust baseline. Additionally, we demonstrate the effectiveness of knowledge distillation with the MSMO method. Extensive experiments confirm that our approach outperforms previous state-of-the-art methods. Future work will explore extending the MSMO framework to other multilingual NLP tasks.

## Limitations

Compared to the traditional cross-lingual ABSA methods, our proposed MSMO method incorporates different modules designed to learn the boundary features of aspect terms across different languages. However, the consistency of these features in highly diverse or idiomatic expressions may still present challenges, necessitating further refinement of these modules to handle more nuanced language variations. Additionally, our experiments rely on specific benchmark datasets, and whether our method can be generalized to other multilingual NLP tasks or real-world applications remains to be verified. Future work should include broader multilingual datasets to assess the robustness of our approach. We leave these for our future research.

## Ethical Considerations

This research was conducted in accordance with the ACM Code of Ethics. The dataset (Pontiki et al., 2016) that we use is publicly available. We report only aggregated results in the main paper. We have not intended or do not intend to share any Personally Identifiable Data with this paper.

## Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the use of ChatGPT exclusively to refine the text in the final manuscript and to assist in coding. This project was in part supported by the Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation under Grant 2023A1515011370, the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32371114), and the Charac-

teristic Innovation Projects of Guangdong Colleges and Universities (No. 2018KTSCX049).

## References

- AI@Meta. 2024. [Llama 3.1 model card](#).
- Martín Arjovsky, Soumith Chintala, and Léon Bottou. 2017. [Wasserstein generative adversarial networks](#). In *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2017, Sydney, NSW, Australia, 6-11 August 2017*, volume 70 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 214–223. PMLR.
- Jeremy Barnes, Roman Klinger, and Sabine Schulte im Walde. 2018. [Bilingual sentiment embeddings: Joint projection of sentiment across languages](#). In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 2483–2493, Melbourne, Australia. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Irina Bigoulaeva, Viktor Hangya, Iryna Gurevych, and Alexander Fraser. 2023. [Label modification and bootstrapping for zero-shot cross-lingual hate speech detection](#). *Language Resources and Evaluation*, 57(4):1515–1546.
- David Z. Chen, Adam Faulkner, and Sahil Badyal. 2022. [Unsupervised data augmentation for aspect based sentiment analysis](#). In *Proceedings of the 29th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 6746–6751, Gyeongju, Republic of Korea. International Committee on Computational Linguistics.
- Xilun Chen, Yu Sun, Ben Athiwaratkun, Claire Cardie, and Kilian Weinberger. 2018. [Adversarial deep averaging networks for cross-lingual sentiment classification](#). *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 6:557–570.
- Kevin Clark, Minh-Thang Luong, Christopher D. Manning, and Quoc Le. 2018. [Semi-supervised sequence modeling with cross-view training](#). In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 1914–1925, Brussels, Belgium. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Alexis Conneau, Kartikay Khandelwal, Naman Goyal, Vishrav Chaudhary, Guillaume Wenzek, Francisco Guzmán, Edouard Grave, Myle Ott, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2020. [Unsupervised cross-lingual representation learning at scale](#). In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 8440–8451, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. [BERT: Pre-training of](#)

- deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*, pages 4171–4186, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Sergey Edunov, Myle Ott, Michael Auli, and David Grangier. 2018. [Understanding back-translation at scale](#). In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 489–500, Brussels, Belgium. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yaroslav Ganin and Victor S. Lempitsky. 2015. [Unsupervised domain adaptation by backpropagation](#). In *Proceedings of the 32nd International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2015, Lille, France, 6-11 July 2015*, volume 37 of *JMLR Workshop and Conference Proceedings*, pages 1180–1189. JMLR.org.
- Yaroslav Ganin, Evgeniya Ustinova, Hana Ajakan, Pascal Germain, Hugo Larochelle, François Laviolette, Mario Marchand, and Victor S. Lempitsky. 2015. [Domain-adversarial training of neural networks](#). *CoRR*, abs/1505.07818.
- Edward J Hu, yelong shen, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, and Weizhu Chen. 2022. [LoRA: Low-rank adaptation of large language models](#). In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Soufian Jebbara and Philipp Cimiano. 2019. [Zero-shot cross-lingual opinion target extraction](#). In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*, pages 2486–2495, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Albert Q. Jiang, Alexandre Sablayrolles, Arthur Mensch, Chris Bamford, Devendra Singh Chaplot, Diego de las Casas, Florian Bressand, Gianna Lengyel, Guillaume Lample, Lucile Saulnier, L lio Renard Lavaud, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Pierre Stock, Teven Le Scao, Thibaut Lavril, Thomas Wang, Timoth e Lacroix, and William El Sayed. 2023. [Mistral 7b](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2310.06825.
- Joris Knoester, Flavius Frasincar, and Maria Mihaela Trușcundefined. 2022. [Domain adversarial training for aspect-based sentiment analysis](#). In *Web Information Systems Engineering – WISE 2022: 23rd International Conference, Biarritz, France, November 1–3, 2022, Proceedings*, page 21–37, Berlin, Heidelberg. Springer-Verlag.
- Patrik Lambert. 2015. [Aspect-level cross-lingual sentiment classification with constrained SMT](#). In *Proceedings of the 53rd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 7th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, pages 781–787, Beijing, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Xin Li, Lidong Bing, Wenxuan Zhang, Zheng Li, and Wai Lam. 2020. [Unsupervised cross-lingual adaptation for sequence tagging and beyond](#). *ArXiv*, abs/2010.12405.
- Xin Li, Lidong Bing, Wenxuan Zhang, Zheng Li, and Wai Lam. 2021. [Unsupervised cross-lingual adaptation for sequence tagging and beyond](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2010.12405.
- Nankai Lin, Yingwen Fu, Xiaotian Lin, Dong Zhou, Aimin Yang, and Shengyi Jiang. 2023. [Cl-xabsa: Contrastive learning for cross-lingual aspect-based sentiment analysis](#). *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 31:2935–2946.
- Bing Liu. 2012. *Sentiment Analysis and Opinion Mining*. Synthesis Lectures on Human Language Technologies. Morgan & Claypool Publishers.
- Mamta Mamta and Asif Ekbal. 2022. [Adversarial sample generation for aspect based sentiment classification](#). In *AAACL/IJCNLP*.
- Yue Mao, Yi Shen, Chao Yu, and Longjun Cai. 2022. [A joint training dual-mrc framework for aspect based sentiment analysis](#). *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, page 13543–13551.
- Takeru Miyato, Shin ichi Maeda, Masanori Koyama, and Shin Ishii. 2017. [Virtual adversarial training: A regularization method for supervised and semi-supervised learning](#). *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 41:1979–1993.
- Takeru Miyato, Shin-Ichi Maeda, Masanori Koyama, and Shin Ishii. 2019. [Virtual adversarial training: A regularization method for supervised and semi-supervised learning](#). *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, page 1979–1993.
- Ercong Nie, Shuzhou Yuan, Bolei Ma, Helmut Schmid, Michael F rber, Frauke Kreuter, and Hinrich Sch tze. 2024. [Decomposed prompting: Unveiling multilingual linguistic structure knowledge in english-centric large language models](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2402.18397.
- OpenAI. 2024. [Gpt-4o model card](#).
- Maria Pontiki, Dimitris Galanis, Haris Papageorgiou, Ion Androutsopoulos, Suresh Manandhar, Mohammad AL-Smadi, Mahmoud Al-Ayyoub, Yanyan Zhao, Bing Qin, Orph e De Clercq, V ronique Hoste, Marianna Apidianaki, Xavier Tannier, Natalia Loukachevitch, Evgeniy Kotelnikov, Nuria Bel, Salud Mar a Jim enez-Zafra, and G l sen Eryiđit. 2016. [SemEval-2016 task 5: Aspect based sentiment analysis](#). In *Proceedings of the 10th International Workshop on Semantic Evaluation (SemEval-2016)*, pages 19–30, San Diego, California. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Maria Pontiki, Dimitris Galanis, John Pavlopoulos, Harris Papageorgiou, Ion Androutsopoulos, and Suresh Manandhar. 2014. [SemEval-2014 task 4: Aspect based sentiment analysis](#). In *Proceedings of the 8th International Workshop on Semantic Evaluation (SemEval 2014)*, pages 27–35, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Gemma Team. 2024a. [Gemma 2: Improving open language models at a practical size](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2403.05530.
- Qwen Team. 2024b. [Qwen2.5: A party of foundation models](#).
- Cédric Villani. 2013. Optimal transport: Old and new.
- Rui Wang and Ricardo Henao. 2021. [Unsupervised paraphrasing consistency training for low resource named entity recognition](#). In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 5303–5308, Online and Punta Cana, Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Shuhe Wang, Xiaofei Sun, Xiaoya Li, Rongbin Ouyang, Fei Wu, Tianwei Zhang, Jiwei Li, and Guoyin Wang. 2023. [Gpt-ner: Named entity recognition via large language models](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.10428*.
- Wenya Wang and Sinno Jialin Pan. 2018. [Transition-based adversarial network for cross-lingual aspect extraction](#). In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Seventh International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*.
- Xiaodong Wang, Junbao Zhuo, Shuhao Cui, Shuhui Wang, and Yuejian Fang. 2024. [Learning invariant representation with consistency and diversity for semi-supervised source hypothesis transfer](#). In *ICASSP 2024 - 2024 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 5125–5129.
- Chengyan Wu, Bolei Ma, Zheyu Zhang, Ningyuan Deng, Yanqing He, and Yun Xue. 2024. [Evaluating zero-shot multilingual aspect-based sentiment analysis with large language models](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2412.12564.
- Qizhe Xie, Zihang Dai, Eduard Hovy, Minh-Thang Luong, and Quoc V. Le. 2020. [Unsupervised data augmentation for consistency training](#). In *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, NIPS '20*, Red Hook, NY, USA. Curran Associates Inc.
- Kui Xu and Xiaojun Wan. 2017. [Towards a universal sentiment classifier in multiple languages](#). In *Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 511–520, Copenhagen, Denmark. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Mao Zhang, Yongxin Zhu, Zhen Liu, Zhimin Bao, Yunfei Wu, Xing Sun, and Linli Xu. 2023. [Span-level aspect-based sentiment analysis via table filling](#). In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 9273–9284, Toronto, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Wenxuan Zhang, Ruidan He, Haiyun Peng, Lidong Bing, and Wai Lam. 2021a. [Cross-lingual aspect-based sentiment analysis with aspect term code-switching](#). In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2021, Virtual Event / Punta Cana, Dominican Republic, 7-11 November, 2021*, pages 9220–9230. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Wenxuan Zhang, Xin Li, Yang Deng, Lidong Bing, and Wai Lam. 2021b. [Towards generative aspect-based sentiment analysis](#). In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, pages 504–510, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ran Zhou, Xin Li, Lidong Bing, Erik Cambria, Luo Si, and Chunyan Miao. 2022. [ConNER: Consistency training for cross-lingual named entity recognition](#). In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 8438–8449, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Xinjie Zhou, Xiaojun Wan, and Jianguo Xiao. 2013. [Collective opinion target extraction in Chinese microblogs](#). In *Proceedings of the 2013 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 1840–1850, Seattle, Washington, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Xinjie Zhou, Xiaojun Wan, and Jianguo Xiao. 2015. [Clopinionminer: Opinion target extraction in a cross-language scenario](#). *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 23(4):619–630.
- Xinjie Zhou, Xiaojun Wan, and Jianguo Xiao. 2016. [Cross-lingual sentiment classification with bilingual document representation learning](#). In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1403–1412, Berlin, Germany. Association for Computational Linguistics.

## A Appendix

### A.1 Code-Switched Dataset

We utilize the code-switched dataset proposed by Zhang et al. (2021a), referred to as  $D_{ST}$  and  $D_{TS}$ .  $D_{ST}$  is constructed by replacing the aspect terms in the source language dataset  $D_S$  with aspect terms that appear in the target language dataset  $D_T$ . In

contrast,  $D_{T_s}$  is generated by replacing the aspect terms in the target language dataset  $D_T$  with aspect terms that appear in the source dataset  $D_S$ .

Figure 3 shows examples of the source language, translated, and code-switched datasets. The aspect terms “service” and “food” in the source language sentence  $D_S$  are marked with special symbols (e.g., “{ }”, “[ ]”). The corresponding target language sentence  $D_T$  is obtained through machine translation, with the aspect terms being “service” and “la nourriture”. Then, by matching the special symbol markers, we can swap the aspect terms between the source and target language sentences. That is, “service” and “food” in the source language sentence is replaced with “service” and “la nourriture” in the target language to obtain  $D_{S_T}$ , and vice versa for the target language sentence to obtain  $D_{T_s}$ , thereby generating the code-switched data.




Figure 3: An example of the code-switched dataset.

The details of the dataset statistics in each language are shown in Table 5. # S and # A denote the number of sentences and aspect terms in different sets, respectively.

		EN	FR	ES	NL	RU
<b>Train</b>	# S	2000	1664	2070	1722	3655
	# A	1743	1641	1856	1231	3077
<b>Test</b>	# S	676	668	881	575	1209
	# A	612	650	713	373	949

Table 5: Statistic of the original dataset.

## A.2 Knowledge Distillation Settings

Figure 4 and 5 illustrate the three modes of knowledge distillation: single-teacher distillation, multi-teacher distillation, and multilingual distillation, proposed by Zhang et al. (2021a).

For the teacher model, we use a combination of source and target language data ( $D_T$ ,  $D_S$ ,  $D_{S_T}$ , and  $D_{T_s}$ ) to train the teacher model within our MSMO framework. For the student model, to enable it to perform the ABSA task in the target language, we use the translated target language data as the initial training data, then obtain soft labels




Figure 4: The single-teacher and multi-teacher distillation process.




Figure 5: The multilingual distillation process.

for the target language test set predictions from the teacher model, and finally conduct incremental training on this soft-labeled data. For multi-teacher distillation, we assign equal weights to different teacher models, i.e.,  $w_k = 1/3$  in Equation 7.

$$p_t = \sum_{k=1}^3 \omega_k * g_{t_k} \quad (7)$$

where  $w_k$  is the weight for each teacher model. With the combined soft label  $g_t$ , a student model can be trained similarly by using only the encoder and sentiment classifier modules as in Equation 8.


$$\mathcal{L}_{KD} = \frac{1}{|D_{NL}|} \sum_{X \in D_{NL}} \left[ \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^L \text{MSE}(p_{t_i}, p_{s_i}) \right] \quad (8)$$

where  $L$  represents the length of the sentence  $X$ , and  $i$  denotes the  $i$ -th token in the sentence. Additionally,  $D_{NL}$  indicates the unlabeled dataset in the target language, and  $p_{t_i}$  and  $p_{s_i}$  are the prediction probabilities of the  $i$ -th token from the student and teacher models, respectively. We use the mean squared error loss  $\text{MSE}(\cdot)$  to measure the difference between the two probability distributions.


## A.3 Detailed Results For Training Experiments

In Figure 6, we present the impact of the parameter  $\beta$  in Eq. 6 on the model performance. We observe

the following phenomena: 1) Spanish achieves the best performance at lower values of  $\beta$ , which can be explained by the fact that similar languages share a closer semantic space and feature representations, making alignment easier. 2) Both excessively large and small values of  $\beta$  lead to performance degradation. When  $\beta$  is too small, the model may overly rely on supervised training, resulting in poor cross-lingual generalization. On the other hand, when  $\beta$  is too large, the model may focus too much on the consistency loss, neglecting language-specific details in sentiment analysis, which leads to suboptimal classification performance for certain languages. Therefore, the choice of  $\beta$  should be adjusted according to the specific requirements of the task and the characteristics of the data, ensuring that the model can capture cross-lingual consistency without losing sensitivity to language-specific features.



(a) mBERT performance



(b) XLM-R performance

Figure 6: The impact of the parameter  $\beta$ .

#### A.4 Detailed Setups for LLM Experiments

Figure 7 shows the prompt applied to the zero-shot LLM experiments. The models include GPT-4o, LLaMa-3.1, Gemma-2 and Mistral in instruction-tuned format. We apply the same prompt to the models in zero-shot settings with only the prompt template as the system prompt.

Aspect-Based Sentiment Analysis (ABSA) involves identifying specific entity (such as a person, product, service, or experience) mentioned in a text and determining the sentiment expressed toward each entity. Each entity is associated with a sentiment that can be [positive, negative, or neutral].

Your task is to:

1. Identify the entity with a sentiment mentioned in the given text.
2. For each identified entity, determine the sentiment in the label set (positive, negative, or neutral).
3. The output should be a list of dictionaries, where each dictionary contains the entity with a sentiment and its corresponding sentiment. If there are no sentiment-bearing entities in the text, the output should be an empty list.

Example Output format:

```
[{"entity": "<entity>", "sentiment": "<label>"}
```

Please return the final output based on the following text in json format.

Figure 7: Instruction format for the zero-shot LLM experiments.