

Dynamics of stellar systems with collisions: eigenvalues and eigenfunctions in nearly collisionless limit

EVGENY V. POLYACHENKO^{1,2} AND ILIA G. SHUKHMAN³

¹*SnT SEDAN, University of Luxembourg, 29 boulevard JF Kennedy, L-1855 Luxembourg, Luxembourg*

²*Institute of Astronomy, Russian Academy of Sciences,
48 Pyatnitskaya st, Moscow 119017, Russia*

³*Institute of Solar-Terrestrial Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences, Siberian Branch
P.O. Box 291, Irkutsk 664033, Russia*

ABSTRACT

We examine the decay of perturbations in an infinite homogeneous self-gravitating model with a Maxwellian distribution function (DF) when weak collisions are present. In collisionless systems within the stable parameter range, the eigenvalue spectrum consists of a continuous set of real frequencies associated with van Kampen modes, which are singular eigenfunctions of the stellar DF. An initial perturbation in the stellar density and gravitational potential decays exponentially through a superposition of these modes, a phenomenon known as Landau damping. However, the perturbation in the stellar DF does not decay self-similarly; it becomes increasingly oscillatory in velocity space over time, indicating the absence of eigenfunctions corresponding to the Landau damping eigenfrequencies. Consequently, we refer to perturbations undergoing Landau damping as quasi-modes rather than true eigenmodes. Even rare collisions suppress the formation of steep DF gradients in velocity space. Ng & Bhattacharjee (2021) demonstrated that introducing collisions eliminates van Kampen modes and transforms Landau quasi-modes into true eigenmodes forming a complete set. As the collision frequency approaches zero, their eigenfrequencies converge to those of the collisionless Landau quasi-modes. In this study, we investigate the behavior of the eigenfunction of the least-damped aperiodic mode as the collision frequency approaches zero. We derive analytic expressions for the eigenfunction in the resonance region and for the damping rate as a function of collision frequency. Additionally, we employ the standard matrix eigenvalue problem approach to numerically verify our analytical results.

Keywords: Stellar dynamics (1596) — Gravitational instability (668) — Gravitational equilibrium (666)

1. INTRODUCTION

Simplifying the Boltzmann kinetic equation by discarding the collision term yields the Vlasov (1945) equation. This simplification is often justified by comparing the collision time with the characteristic dynamical times of the system. When the collision time is significantly longer, the Vlasov equation provides a useful approximation. This simplified equation offers considerable advantages, especially in the analytical study of stellar systems – for instance, in investigating their

stability or the evolution of perturbations arising from various external sources.

It also clarifies conceptual aspects of stellar system dynamics, particularly their eigenmode spectrum. The question of mode spectra in collisionless electron plasmas, analogous to stellar systems, was initially addressed by Landau (1946). Though not explicitly framed as such, Landau’s work examined the evolution of small perturbations in electron density, or electric potential, and distribution function (DF). It demonstrated that density/potential perturbations, at large times, are a sum of decaying exponentials (Landau damping). However, the DF does not decay; it develops increasing corrugation in velocity space. Consequently, no DF eigenfunctions correspond to the Landau damping rates.

evgeny.polyachenko@uni.lu, epolyach@inasan.ru

shukhman@iszf.irk.ru

Later, [van Kampen \(1955\)](#) and [Case \(1959\)](#) clarified how Landau damping, discovered in an initial value setting, relates to the eigenmode spectrum. They showed that Landau damping follows from the decay of an initial perturbation expressed as a continuous superposition of van Kampen (vK) eigenmodes over real frequencies ω . The DF $f_\omega(v)$ of the vK modes is singular at $v = c$ and corresponds to the real eigenvalues ω , or the phase velocity $c = \omega/k$, where the DF and potential perturbations are $\propto \exp[-i(kx - \omega t)]$. Thus, Landau's exponentially decaying solutions are not true modes, i.e., not self-similar perturbations, but quasi-modes. The relationship between true and Landau quasi-modes in a gravitating system was analyzed in detail in [Polyachenko et al. \(2021\)](#).

Completely neglecting collisions is an idealization that can sometimes lead to contradictions or flawed conclusions. A parallel arises in the hydrodynamics of shear flows: reducing the fourth-order viscous Orr-Sommerfeld equation to the second-order inviscid Rayleigh equation (see, e.g., [Schmid & Henningson 2012](#)) can lead to impasses when examining perturbations near the stability boundary. To resolve this, one must revert to a viscous approach, at least formally, because viscosity, though absent from the final formulas, is implicit in their derivation. As detailed in [Lin \(1955\)](#), this situation prompted the Landau-Lin bypass rule for studies of perturbations in collisionless or inviscid systems.

The question of eigenmodes in collisionless systems, such as electron plasmas or stellar systems, exemplifies situations where neglecting collisions leads to paradoxes. Specifically, the lack of DF eigenfunctions corresponding to Landau quasi-modes, and the emergence of vK modes with their singular structure, are puzzling. Although [van Kampen \(1955\)](#), [Case \(1959\)](#), and subsequent studies on collisionless plasma and inviscid fluids (see, e.g., [Balmforth & Morrison 1995](#); [Polyachenko & Shukhman 2022](#)) clarified the interpretation of these singular modes, their presence in physical settings remains unsettling.

Accounting for any finite, even arbitrarily small collision frequency ν helps resolve this issue. At first glance, continuity arguments suggest that the eigenmode spectrum in a collisional medium should, as $\nu \rightarrow 0$, revert to the real, singular vK spectrum. However, this expectation proves incorrect: a series of works by Ng and co-authors (see [Ng et al. 1999, 2004](#); [Ng & Bhattacharjee 2021](#)) show that including collisions in the kinetic equation, even at $\nu \rightarrow 0$, eliminates the vK modes and yields a spectrum of damped modes whose eigenfrequencies align with the Landau quasi-modes at $\nu = 0$.

This article examines, numerically and analytically, the nature of eigenfunctions in the regime of infrequent collisions, a topic not fully explored in prior works. We aim to clarify the origin of the DF, specifically how the corrugated structure of the DF, observed in the initial collisionless problem, relates to the collision frequency when collisions are considered. We also investigate how the localization and extent of this corrugation depend on the damping rate. Following the approach of Ng and co-authors, our calculations employ a model of a homogeneous, infinite medium using the Jeans swindle. Our numerical calculations are not limited in accuracy, allowing us to approach the collisionless limit $\nu = 0$ by reducing the collision frequency to very small values, significantly smaller than those in previous studies.

Section 2 analytically calculates the eigenvalues c using perturbation theory and examines the eigenfunction structure in the limit of infrequent collisions, focusing on the physically relevant least-damped mode. Section 3 validates these results through high-accuracy numerical calculations using a matrix eigenvalue problem solution. Section 4 discusses the findings.

2. ANALYTICAL EXPRESSIONS

For very rare collisions, one can derive a correction to the collisionless (Landau) eigenvalues $c = c_L$ using perturbation theory with respect to the small parameter μ , the dimensionless collision frequency.

We begin, as in [Ng & Bhattacharjee \(2021\)](#), with a linearized system consisting of the one-dimensional Boltzmann equation, incorporating a collision term of the [Lenard & Bernstein \(1958\)](#) form,

$$\frac{\partial \delta f}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial \delta f}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \delta \Phi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v} = \nu \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left(v \delta f + \sigma^2 \frac{\partial \delta f}{\partial v} \right), \quad (1)$$

where $\delta f(t, x, v)$ and $\delta \Phi(t, x)$ represent perturbations of the distribution function (DF) and gravitational potential, respectively. These perturbations are related through the Poisson equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta \Phi}{\partial x^2} = 4\pi G \int dv \delta f, \quad (2)$$

Here, G is the gravitational constant, ν is the collision frequency, and the unperturbed DF is assumed to be Maxwellian:

$$f_0(v) = \frac{\rho_0}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{v^2}{2\sigma^2}\right). \quad (3)$$

Considering disturbances of the form

$$\delta f = f(v) e^{i(kx - \omega t)}, \quad \delta \Phi = \phi e^{i(kx - \omega t)} \quad (4)$$

switching to dimensionless variables, and also using the relation $-k^2\phi = 4\pi G \int f dv$, we obtain:

$$(u - c)g(u) - \eta(u) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du' g(u') = \frac{\mu}{2i} \frac{d}{du} \left[2ug(u) + \frac{dg(u)}{du} \right]. \quad (5)$$

The dimensionless variables are defined as follows. The dimensionless velocity (u), phase velocity (c), and collision frequency (μ) are given by:

$$u \equiv \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}, \quad c \equiv \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2}k\sigma}, \quad \mu \equiv \frac{\nu}{\sqrt{2}k\sigma}. \quad (6)$$

The dimensionless unperturbed distribution function $g_0(u)$ and its perturbation $g(u)$ are:

$$g_0(u) \equiv \frac{\sqrt{2}\sigma}{\rho_0} f_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-u^2}, \quad g(u) \equiv \frac{\sqrt{2}\sigma}{\rho_0} f, \quad (7)$$

where

$$\eta(u) \equiv -\frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{dg_0}{du} = \frac{\alpha u}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-u^2}, \quad \alpha \equiv \frac{k_J^2}{k^2} = \frac{4\pi G \rho_0}{k^2 \sigma^2}. \quad (8)$$

First, consider the collisionless case where $\mu = 0$. Depending on the parameter α , specifically whether it is smaller or larger than 1, initial perturbations will either decay or grow exponentially. In terms of eigenvalues, for $\alpha > 1$, there exist two discrete modes: an unstable eigenmode $c_+ = i\gamma$ with $\gamma > 0$, and a stable eigenmode $c_- = -i\gamma$, along with a set of singular vK modes (see [Polyachenko et al. 2021](#), for details). At $\alpha = 1$, the discrete modes vanish, leaving only vK modes for $\alpha < 1$. The eigenfunctions of the discrete modes are given by:

$$g(u) = \frac{\eta(u)}{u - c_{\pm}}. \quad (9)$$

These eigenfunctions satisfy the normalization condition, which simultaneously represents the collisionless dispersion relation for the eigenvalues:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du g(u) = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du \frac{u e^{-u^2}}{u - c_{\pm}} = 1. \quad (10)$$

Despite the disappearance of the discrete modes, it can be shown that density and potential perturbations decay exponentially for $\alpha < 1$, notably with the damping rate given by the least-damped quasi-mode γ_L that smoothly continues the growth rate $\gamma(\alpha)$ in the region $\alpha > 1$ ([Ikeuchi et al. 1974](#); [Binney & Tremaine 2008](#)). This solution is obtained by applying the Landau-Lin

bypass rule ([Landau 1946](#); [Lin 1955](#)), which modifies the dispersion relation (10) as follows:

$$D(c) \equiv 1 - \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\smile} du \frac{u e^{-u^2}}{u - c} = 0. \quad (11)$$

Here, the symbol “ \smile ” indicates that the integral is taken along a contour in the lower half of the complex u plane, passing below the point $u = c_L$. Since this paper focuses on the modification of c_+ and $c_L = -i\gamma_L$ in the presence of collisions, and both belong to the same branch obtainable from relation (11), we will use $c_L = -i\gamma_L$ for all α , although the index ‘L’ is somewhat misleading for the unstable mode when $\alpha > 1$. Note, however, that no eigenfunction on the real u -axis corresponds to the Landau solution for $\alpha < 1$. For the latter, it is also convenient to define the parameter

$$\beta \equiv 1 - \alpha \quad (12)$$

because $\beta = 0$ corresponds to the marginal stability of our model.

We now apply to $g(u)$ the perturbation theory with respect to μ :

$$g(\mu, u) = g^{(0)}(u) + g^{(1)}(\mu, u), \quad c = c_L + c_1(\mu). \quad (13)$$

Here, c_L represents the collisionless ‘eigenvalue’ satisfying (11). For the zeroth-order approximation of the eigenfunction $g^{(0)}(u)$, we will use (9), with c_{\pm} replaced by c_L , which yields:

$$g^{(0)}(u) = \frac{\eta(u)}{u - c_L}. \quad (14)$$

The key point here is that, although this is not an eigenfunction of the collisionless problem for $\alpha < 1$ on the real u -axis, it is the eigenfunction on a complex-valued u -contour that passes below c_L ([Polyachenko et al. 2021](#)). The perturbed quantities $g^{(1)}(\mu, u)$ and $c_1(\mu)$ are assumed to be of order $\mathcal{O}(\mu)$. To first order in μ , substituting into (5) gives:

$$\begin{aligned} & -c_1 g^{(0)}(u) + (u - c_L) g^{(1)}(\mu, u) \\ & - \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} u e^{-u^2} \int_{\smile} du' g^{(1)}(\mu, u') \\ & = \frac{\mu}{2i} \frac{d}{du} \left[2u g^{(0)}(u) + \frac{d g^{(0)}(u)}{du} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Dividing the equation by $(u - c_L)$ and integrating over u along the lower contour yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
& -c_1 \int \frac{du g^{(0)}(u)}{u - c_L} + \int du g^{(1)}(\mu, u) \left(1 - \int \frac{du}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{u e^{-u^2}}{u - c_L} \right) \\
& = \frac{\mu}{2i} \int \frac{du}{u - c_L} \frac{d}{du} \left[2u g^{(0)}(u) + \frac{dg^{(0)}(u)}{du} \right]. \quad (16)
\end{aligned}$$

The round bracket vanishes due to (11). Then, it is straightforward to obtain $c_1 = -i\mu c_L I_2 / (2I_1)$, where

$$I_1 \equiv \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int \frac{du}{(u - c_L)^2} \frac{u e^{-u^2}}{(u - c_L)^2}, \quad I_2 \equiv \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int \frac{du}{(u - c_L)^4} \frac{e^{-u^2}}{(u - c_L)^4}.$$

The explicit expressions for these integrals are (see Appendix A):

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{c_L} (\beta - 2c_L^2), \quad (17)$$

$$I_2 = \frac{4}{3} + \frac{2}{3} (\beta - 2c_L^2) = \frac{4}{3} + \frac{2}{3} c_L I_1. \quad (18)$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\frac{c_1}{\mu} = -\frac{i}{3} \left[1 + \frac{2}{\beta - 2c_L^2(\alpha)} \right] c_L^2(\alpha). \quad (19)$$

For the least-damped aperiodic mode of interest, we obtain the first-order correction in μ to the damping rate of the Landau quasi-mode, $c_1 = -i \Delta \gamma \equiv -i [\gamma(\mu) - \gamma_L]$:

$$\gamma' \equiv \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta \gamma}{\mu} = -\frac{1}{3} \gamma_L^2 \left(1 + \frac{2}{\beta + 2\gamma_L^2} \right). \quad (20)$$

Near the stability boundary, where $|\beta| \ll 1$:

$$c_L \approx -i \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{\pi}}, \quad \frac{\Delta \gamma}{\mu} \approx -\frac{2}{3\pi} \beta. \quad (21)$$

This implies that the damping rate correction changes sign across the stability boundary: in the stable region where $\beta > 0$, it acts to destabilize the system (reducing the damping rate), while in the unstable region where $\beta < 0$, it acts to stabilize the system (reducing the growth rate). Exact numerical calculations, which do not rely on perturbation theory in the small parameter μ , confirm this approximate analytical result with high precision and extend it to the second order in μ .

We now seek an approximate analytical description of the least-damped mode eigenfunction $g(\mu, u)$ near resonance. For the aperiodic mode ($\text{Re } c = 0$) with small damping rates, this eigenfunction is localized near $u = 0$. We refer to this narrow region around $u = 0$ as the *inner region*, and the rest of the u -axis as the *outer region*. We proceed using matched asymptotic expansions (see, e.g., O'Malley 2014), a technique for solving singularly

perturbed differential equations. We introduce $h(u)$ by writing $g(\mu, u) = h(u) \exp(-u^2)$, which transforms the equation (5) to:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (u - c) h - \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} u \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du h(u) e^{-u^2} \\
& = \frac{\mu}{2i} \left(\frac{d^2 h}{du^2} - 2u \frac{dh}{du} \right). \quad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

We express the eigenvalue as $c = -i\gamma(\mu)$ and introduce the inner variable $U \equiv u/\delta$, also defining $\Gamma \equiv \gamma/\delta$. We then set

$$\delta = (\mu/2)^{1/3}. \quad (23)$$

It is worth noting that in the hydrodynamic stability theory of nearly inviscid shear flows $U(y)$, a similar spatial scale, $\ell_\nu = \nu^{1/3}$, known as the width of the viscous critical layer, is introduced near the critical level $y = y_c$, where $U(y_c) = c$ and ν is the inverse of the Reynolds number (see, e.g., Benney & Maslowe 1975; Churilov & Shukhman 1987, 1996a,b). This scale also arises in weakly collisional plasma in connection with plasma echo (Su & Oberman 1968).

Imposing the same normalization for $g(u)$ as in (10), we obtain, to leading order in δ , the inner problem equation:

$$\frac{d^2 h}{dU^2} - i(U + i\Gamma) h = -\frac{i\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} U, \quad (24)$$

which must be matched with the inner asymptotics of the outer solution. The outer solution is given by

$$h = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{U}{U + i\Gamma} \approx \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(1 - i \frac{\Gamma}{U} \right). \quad (25)$$

Hence, the matching condition requires that $h \rightarrow \alpha/\sqrt{\pi}$ as $|U| \rightarrow \infty$. It is therefore convenient to first extract this constant by setting $h(U) = H(U) + \alpha/\sqrt{\pi}$. For $H(U)$, we obtain the equation

$$\frac{d^2 H}{dU^2} - i(U + i\Gamma) H = -\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma, \quad (26)$$

with $H(U)$ decreasing as $|U| \rightarrow \infty$:

$$H(U) \rightarrow -i \frac{\alpha \Gamma}{\sqrt{\pi} U}. \quad (27)$$

Equation (26) is now amenable to solution using the Fourier transform. For

$$\tilde{H}(q) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dU H(U) e^{-iqU}, \quad (28)$$

we obtain a first-order equation:

$$\frac{d\tilde{H}(q)}{dq} + (\Gamma - q^2) \tilde{H}(q) = -\Gamma \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} \delta(q), \quad (29)$$

where $\delta(q)$ is the Dirac delta function. It is straightforward to solve this equation:

$$\tilde{H}(q) = \frac{\alpha \Gamma}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp(q^3/3 - \Gamma q) \Theta(-q), \quad (30)$$

where $\Theta(x)$ is the Heaviside step function. After inverse Fourier transformation, we have:

$$H(U) = \frac{\alpha \Gamma}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} dq \exp[-i q (U + i\Gamma) - q^3/3]. \quad (31)$$

It is readily verified by integration by parts in (31) that for $|U| \gg 1$, the outer limit of the inner solution matches the inner limit of the outer solution (27) as expected. Finally, for $h(u)$, we obtain:

$$h(u) = \frac{\alpha \gamma}{\delta \sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} dq \exp\left(\frac{\gamma - iu}{\delta} q - \frac{q^3}{3}\right) + \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}}. \quad (32)$$

3. NUMERICAL VALIDATION

For the numerical evaluation of the eigenvalue problem at finite μ , we will adopt an expansion in a series of normalized Hermite polynomials $\bar{H}_n(u)$, similar to Ng et al. (1999):

$$g(\mu, u) = e^{-u^2} h(u) = e^{-u^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \bar{H}_n(u), \quad (33)$$

where $\bar{H}_n(u) = H_n(u)/(2^{n/2} \sqrt{n!} \pi^{1/4})$ and

$$H_n(u) = (-1)^n e^{u^2} \frac{d^n}{du^n} (e^{-u^2}) \quad (34)$$

are the physicist's Hermite polynomials (see, e.g., Gradshteyn et al. 2015). For $n = 0$, we obtain $\bar{H}_0(u) = \pi^{-1/4}$, so that normalizing $g(\mu, u)$ to unity yields $A_0 = \pi^{-1/4}$ for all μ .

Equation (22) leads to the following system of linear equations for the expansion coefficients A_n :

$$A_1 = \sqrt{2} c A_0, \quad (35)$$

$$A_2 = (c + i\mu) A_1 - (\beta/\sqrt{2}) A_0, \quad (36)$$

$$A_{n+1} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{n+1}} \left[(c + i\mu n) A_n - \sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} A_{n-1} \right] \quad (37)$$

for $n \geq 2$.

However, in contrast to Ng et al. (1999), we formulate this problem as a standard matrix eigenvalue problem. For the aperiodic mode of interest, where $c(\mu) = -i\gamma(\mu)$, it is convenient to rewrite it in a purely real form. Introducing $\tilde{\gamma} = \sqrt{2}\gamma$, $\tilde{\mu} = \sqrt{2}\mu$, and $A_n = i^n \tilde{A}_n$, we obtain:

$$\tilde{\gamma} \tilde{A}_n = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{nm}(\tilde{\mu}) \tilde{A}_m, \quad (38)$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{nm}(\tilde{\mu})$ is a tridiagonal matrix:

$$\mathcal{M}_{nm}(\tilde{\mu}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sqrt{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \beta & \tilde{\mu} & -\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} & 2\tilde{\mu} & -\sqrt{3} & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & 3\tilde{\mu} & -\sqrt{4} & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{4} & 4\tilde{\mu} & \dots \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvector \tilde{A}_n then contains only real values.

The sum in the matrix equation (38) is truncated at a large number n_{\max} . To solve this, we employed the Matlab sparse matrix function `eigs`, enhanced by the Advanpix package for multiple precision calculations. This function enables us to find a single eigenvalue close to a pre-set approximate value for $n_{\max} \simeq 10^7$ with quadrupole precision (128 bits) on a workstation with 256 GB of memory. Our experiments indicate that this is sufficient to reliably determine the solution for $\mu \gtrsim 10^{-7}$.

For smaller values of μ , we implemented finding eigenvalues and eigenvectors in C from scratch, utilizing the GNU Multiple Precision Floating-Point Reliable Library. This allowed us to increase n_{\max} to 10^9 with quadrupole precision (128 bits), enabling us to reach $\mu \lesssim 10^{-9}$. The characteristic equation for determining $\tilde{\gamma}$ is given by the vanishing of the determinant of the truncated matrix $\mathcal{M}_{nm}(\tilde{\mu}) - \tilde{\gamma} \delta_{nm}$, i.e.,

$$\tilde{\gamma} P(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\gamma}) + \beta Q(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\gamma}) = 0, \quad (39)$$

where P and Q are polynomials in $\tilde{\gamma}$ and $\tilde{\mu}$ of order n_{\max} . This code calculates an eigenvalue from Eq. (39) for a given parameter μ using the bisection method. The corresponding eigenvector is then approximated using a method that combines inverse iteration with a direct solve for a tridiagonal system.

Our numerical validation of the analytical results is presented in Tab. 1 and Figs. 1–4. Tab. 1 shows calculated damping/growth rates $\gamma(\mu)$ for stable and unstable α . The last column gives the numerical $\Delta\gamma/\mu = [\gamma(\mu) - \gamma_L]/\mu$, comparable to the analytical γ' from (20), valid for small μ (last row of each block). High-precision calculations also allow us to infer the approximate second-order correction, valid for small μ and $|\beta|$ (figures not in table for brevity):

$$\gamma(\mu) \approx \gamma_L - \frac{2}{3\pi} \beta \mu - 0.014 \beta \mu^2, \quad (40)$$

where Eq. (21) has been taken into account. It is observed that the second-order correction acts in the same direction as the first-order correction, reducing the absolute value of the damping/growth rate and vanishing for the marginally stable case $\alpha = 1$.

α	μ	γ	$\Delta\gamma/\mu$
0.37	0	0.49552 51860 75220	
	10^{-3}		-0.22789 16095
	10^{-4}		-0.22786 68569
	10^{-5}		-0.22786 43821
	Formulae		-0.22786 41071
0.85	0	0.08970 84009 02124	
	10^{-4}		-0.03498 63258
	10^{-5}		-0.03498 37029
	10^{-6}		-0.03498 36791
	Formulae		-0.03498 36764
0.90	0	0.05860 21552 01507	
	10^{-5}		-0.02256 80608
	10^{-6}		-0.02256 80460
	10^{-7}		-0.02256 80445
	Formulae		-0.02256 80444
0.95	0	0.02873 80319 79385	
	10^{-6}		-0.01093 48149
	10^{-7}		-0.01093 48142
	10^{-8}		-0.01093 48142
	Formulae		-0.01093 48141
0.99	0	0.00566 25218 19357	
	10^{-7}		-0.00213 46775 05
	10^{-8}		-0.00213 46774 92
	10^{-9}		-0.00213 46774 90
	Formulae		-0.00213 46774 90
1.10	0	-0.05448 42519 74364	
	10^{-5}		0.02004 98460
	10^{-6}		0.02004 98348
	10^{-7}		0.02004 98337
	Formulae		0.02004 98336

Table 1. Damping rates $\gamma(\mu)$ and corrections $\Delta\gamma/\mu$ for the models shown. The first row in each block provides the Landau damping rate for $\mu = 0$, $\gamma(0) = \gamma_L$, with subsequent rows presenting corrections. ‘Formulae’ gives the analytic γ' from Eq. (20). The matrix size was $n_{\max} = 3/\mu$, except for ($\alpha = 0.99, \mu = 10^{-9}$) where $n_{\max} = 3 \cdot 10^8$. Models with $\alpha \leq 0.9$ were calculated using Advanpix (Matlab), and models with $\alpha > 0.9$ using GMP (GNU). A negative damping rate for $\alpha = 1.1$ indicates a growth rate. Corrections reduce the magnitude of both damping and growth rates. Grey rows denote models used in Fig. 4.




Figure 1. The ratio $\Delta\gamma/\mu$ versus α (Eq. 20). Red circles indicate selected data points from Tab. 1.

Fig. 1 displays the curve of γ' , representing the first-order correction to γ_L as a function of α , as given by Eq. (20), along with numerical values of $\Delta\gamma/\mu$ for selected models from Tab. 1.

Fig. 2 illustrates the contrasting behavior of the eigenvalue vector \tilde{A}_n in stable and unstable cases as $\mu \rightarrow 0$, approaching the collisionless limit. All components are real, with alternating signs from $n = 1$. In the unstable case, the eigenvector components vary only slightly with μ , making the markers nearly indistinguishable; therefore, we present only one eigenvector for $\alpha = 1.1$ (black open circles). In contrast, for the stable case ($\alpha = 0.95$), the absolute values $|\tilde{A}_n|$ increase, reaching a maximum at $n \lesssim \gamma_L/\mu$. This suggests a convergence issue with the sum in (33) in the collisionless limit, reflecting the absence of eigenfunctions on the real u -axis in the purely collisionless case.

Figs. 3 and 4 compare numerical solutions for the normalized eigenfunctions $g(u)$ of the least-damped mode (solid lines) with the analytic expression (32), shown as dashed colored lines. The zeroth-order approximation $g^{(0)}(u)$, given by (14), is, in fact, the outer solution, and is shown as black dotted lines. The eigenfunctions exhibit symmetry: the real part is even, and the imaginary part is odd. Therefore, we present only the positive- u portion. To accommodate the wide range of function variations, we use symlog scaling for both coordinate axes, combining logarithmic and linear scaling near zero, with linear regions indicated by grey shading. The final eigenfunction is calculated using (33), truncating the sum at n_{fun} , determined as the maximum n for which $|A_n|$ exceeds $\varepsilon_{\text{tol}} \cdot \max_n |\tilde{A}_n|$. By default, we used a Matlab routine with quadrupole precision and $\varepsilon_{\text{tol}} = 10^{-30}$ for $\alpha \leq 0.9$, and a C routine with higher




Figure 2. Expansion coefficients \tilde{A}_n of the least-damped eigenfunction $g(u)$ expanded over normalized Hermite polynomials for $n \geq 1$ ($\tilde{A}_0 = \pi^{-1/4}$ in all cases). Colored circles (different colors indicate different μ values) depict $|\tilde{A}_n|$ for the stable case ($\alpha = 0.95$), showing significant variations as $\mu \rightarrow 0$. Black open circles show $|\tilde{A}_n|$ for one unstable case ($\alpha = 1.1$); here, coefficients remain nearly indistinguishable as μ decreases, converging to the collisionless limit ($\mu = 0$).

precision (256 bits) and $\varepsilon_{\text{tol}} = 10^{-60}$ for more demanding calculations where $\alpha > 0.9$.

Fig. 3 shows three panels corresponding to gradually decreasing values of μ at fixed $\alpha = 0.95$. In the outer region, the numerical solutions follow the zeroth-order approximation $g^{(0)}(u)$, but begin to oscillate as u approaches zero. One can observe one oscillation for $\mu = 10^{-5}$ in the top panel, two oscillations for $\mu = 10^{-6}$ in the middle panel, and numerous oscillations for $\mu = 10^{-7}$ in the bottom panel. This figure illustrates how the eigenfunction changes as μ decreases, with the evident absence of an eigenfunction in the collisionless limit.

Fig. 4 illustrates the dependence of the resonance regions on the damping rates. We present three eigenfunctions $g(u)$ for values of α where the damping rates γ are approximately in the ratio 0.5 : 0.05 : 0.005. The specific models used here are denoted by a grey background in Tab. 1. The half-width of the resonance region (i.e., for the positive part $u \geq 0$) is approximately 2γ .

In all cases, the agreement between the numerical solutions obtained from (33) and the approximate analytic expression given by (32) is excellent.

4. CONCLUSION

This paper continues our study Polyachenko et al. (2021) of an infinite homogeneous self-gravitating medium with a Maxwellian distribution function (DF), in which we present various specific properties of Landau damping solutions. In particular, we argued that

these solutions are not true modes because an arbitrary perturbation of the DF, depending on real velocity u , does not decay self-similarly but becomes increasingly oscillatory in velocity space over time, despite the corresponding density perturbation decaying exponentially at sufficiently large times with the smallest Landau damping rate. The spectrum of eigenmodes for the stable Maxwellian DF is peculiar, consisting only of singular van Kampen modes (van Kampen 1955; Case 1959). It can be shown that Landau-damped solutions are, in fact, superpositions of van Kampen modes. On the other hand, we showed in Polyachenko et al. (2021) that considering hypothetical perturbations on complex-valued u -contours allows presenting a true eigenfunction even for damped Landau solutions, but the contour must pass below the corresponding eigenvalue c_L .

In a recent paper by Ng & Bhattacharjee (2021), it was shown that introducing collisions eliminates van Kampen modes and transforms Landau quasi-modes into true eigenmodes. This is intuitively understandable, since even infrequent collisions suppress the formation of steep DF gradients in velocity space, from which van Kampen modes suffer. The authors presented numerical evidence that, as the dimensionless collision frequency μ tends to zero, the eigenvalue of the collision problem tends to the Landau-damped solution of the collisionless problem. Furthermore, in their earlier work Ng et al. (1999), which focused on plasma, they presented numerical eigenfunctions for finite values of μ .

In this paper, using our previous finding of the true eigenfunction on complex-valued u -contours, we derived the first-order correction to the eigenvalue with collisions. Notably, while the eigenfunctions become increasingly oscillatory and ultimately do not converge in the collisionless limit, the eigenvalues transition smoothly from the collisional to the collisionless regime. Our first-order correction term demonstrates this smooth eigenvalue transition. Then, using matched asymptotic expansions, we obtained approximate analytical solutions for the eigenfunctions, capturing their increasingly oscillatory behavior. We observe numerically that the resonance region's half-width is approximately 2γ , and its amplitude grows without limit as μ approaches zero. It is also worth noting that although 2γ can be several times larger than δ , this remains acceptable within the matched asymptotic expansion method, as these quantities are considered of the same order.

The diffusion form of the collisional term, specifically the presence of the second derivative, is crucial for the disappearance of van Kampen modes and the emergence of discrete eigenfunctions. The simpler Krook collision




Figure 3. The normalized eigenfunction $g(u)$ of the least-damped mode is shown for $\alpha = 0.95$ and $\mu = 10^{-5}$, 10^{-6} , and 10^{-7} . Real parts of $g(u)$ are even functions, while imaginary parts are odd functions, and only the region $u \geq 0$ is displayed. Both the approximate analytic solution (32) and the exact numerical solution (33) are presented. Dotted lines represent the solution in the outer region (14). As μ approaches zero, the number of oscillations and their amplitudes increase sharply. The solutions are plotted using symlog scaling for both coordinate axes. Symlog scaling combines logarithmic scaling with linear scaling near zero (indicated by grey shading).

term (see Bhatnagar et al. 1954), $-\nu \delta f(v, t)$, merely shifts the continuous van Kampen spectrum of collisionless problem to the low half-plane ω , $\omega = -i\nu + kv$, retaining the absence of regular eigenfunctions. Conversely, the linearized Landau collision term (see, e.g., Lifshitz & Pitaevskii 1995), possessing a diffusion character, yields results qualitatively similar to those in Ng & Bhattacharjee (2021) and the present study.

The linearization of similar equations is a convenient and widely used method of analysis in plasma physics, with well-established applicability. Nonlinearity becomes significant when the damping rate γ_L is comparable to or smaller than the bounce frequency Ω_b of parti-

cles trapped in the potential wells of the wave. For our analysis to be valid, the gravitational potential amplitude must satisfy $\Phi \ll (\gamma_L/k)^2$. For scenarios violating this inequality, we refer the reader to O’Neil (1965) and Galeev & Sagdeev (1979) for a description of perturbation evolution in the essentially nonlinear regime.

Numerical validation confirmed our analytical findings, including the adopted ordering of scales. In contrast to Ng et al. (1999), we employed standard matrix eigenvalue problem solvers, which are particularly efficient for sparse tridiagonal matrices. Using a moderate workstation with 256 GB of memory, we are able to handle matrices with $n_{\max} = 10^9$ with high precision




Figure 4. The normalized eigenfunction $g(u)$, analogous to Fig. 3, is shown for $\alpha = 0.37, 0.9$ and 0.99 (see Tab. 1). These cases have approximate damping rate ratios of $0.5 : 0.05 : 0.005$, respectively. The half-width of the resonance region, measured as the rightmost zero of the EF’s imaginary part, is approximately 2γ .

accuracy. Given that the maximum of the eigenvalue coefficients $|\tilde{A}_n|$ is attained at $n \lesssim \gamma/\mu$, and that several multiples of this n are required for convergence of the eigenvalue and eigenvector calculation methods, we can achieve values of μ on the order of $10^{-9}\beta$. Here, $\beta = 1 - \alpha$ is a parameter that characterizes the proximity to the stability boundary of the Maxwellian DF.

The code is freely available at the GitLab repository: https://gitlab.com/epolyach/collision_gravity_rare_collisions.

1 We thank M. Weinberg for pointing out the papers
 2 by Ng, Bhattacharjee & Skiff and the anonymous refer-
 3 eeree for his valuable comments and suggestions. This
 4 research was partially supported by NSF grant PHY-
 5 2309135 to the Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics
 6 (E. Polyachenko), and by the Russian Academy of Sci-
 7 ences Program No. 28 (subprogram II, ‘Astrophysical
 8 Objects as Cosmic Laboratories) and the Ministry of
 9 Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federa-
 10 tion (I. Shukhman). High-precision calculations were
 11 performed with the Advanpix Multi-precision Comput-
 12 ing Toolbox and the GNU Multiple Precision Floating-
 13 Point Reliable Library.

APPENDIX

A. EVALUATION OF THE INTEGRALS

For I_1 , we have

$$I_1 \equiv \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\curvearrowright} du \frac{u e^{-u^2}}{(u - c_L)^2} = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\curvearrowright} du \frac{e^{-u^2}}{u - c_L} + c_L \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\curvearrowright} du \frac{e^{-u^2}}{(u - c_L)^2} = J - 2c_L \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\curvearrowright} du \frac{u e^{-u^2}}{u - c_L} = J - 2c_L, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where, for the last equality, Eq. (11) was used, and we introduced

$$J \equiv \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\curvearrowright} du \frac{e^{-u^2}}{u - c_L}. \quad (\text{A2})$$

The integral J can be readily calculated from the dispersion equation. We have

$$1 = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\curvearrowright} du \frac{u e^{-u^2}}{u - c_L} = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du e^{-u^2} + c_L J = \alpha + c_L J \quad (\text{A3})$$

Hence $J = (1 - \alpha)/c_L = \beta/c_L$ and, finally we obtain

$$I_1 = \frac{\beta - 2c_L^2}{c_L}. \quad (\text{A4})$$

For I_2 , after three integrations by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\curvearrowright} du \frac{e^{-u^2}}{(u - c_L)^4} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\curvearrowright} du \frac{e^{-u^2}}{u - c_L} (3u - 2u^3) = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\curvearrowright} du \frac{e^{-u^2}}{u - c_L} \left[-(u - c_L)^3 + 3(u - c_L)^2 c_L \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 3(u - c_L)c_L^2 + c_L^3 \right] = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du e^{-u^2} \left[-(u - c_L)^2 + 3(u - c_L)c_L + 3c_L^2 \right] - \frac{2}{3} c_L^3 J \\ &= \frac{2}{3} \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du e^{-u^2} (u^2 + c_L^2) - \frac{2}{3} c_L^3 J = \frac{2}{3} \alpha + \frac{4}{3} \alpha c_L^2 - \frac{2}{3} c_L^2 (1 - \alpha) = \frac{4}{3} + \frac{2}{3} (\beta - 2c_L^2). \quad (\text{A5}) \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$I_2 = \frac{4}{3} + \frac{2}{3} (\beta - 2c_L^2) = \frac{4}{3} + \frac{2}{3} c_L I_1. \quad (\text{A6})$$

REFERENCES

- Balmforth, N. J., & Morrison, P. J. 1995, Singular eigenfunctions for shearing fluids I, Tech. Rep. DOE/ET/53088-692, Institute for Fusion Studies, Austin, Texas.
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/252375696>
- Benney, D. J., & Maslowe, S. A. 1975, *Studies in Applied Mathematics*, 54, 181
- Bhatnagar, P. L., Gross, E. P., & Krook, M. 1954, *Physical Review*, 94, 511, doi: [10.1103/PhysRev.94.511](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.94.511)
- Binney, J., & Tremaine, S. 2008, *Galactic Dynamics: Second Edition* (Princeton University Press).
<https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400828722>
- Case, K. M. 1959, *Ann. Phys.*, 7, 349, doi: [10.1016/0003-4916\(59\)90029-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(59)90029-6)
- Churilov, S. M., & Shukhman, I. G. 1987, *Proc. Roy. Soc. of Lond. A*, 409, 351, doi: [10.1098/rspa.1987.0020](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1987.0020)
- . 1996a, *Atmospheric and Oceanic Physics*, 31, 534

- . 1996b, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 318, 189, doi: [10.1017/S0022112096007082](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112096007082)
- Galeev, A. A., & Sagdeev, R. Z. 1979, in *Reviews of Plasma Physics*, Volume 7, ed. M. A. Leontovich, Vol. 7, 1
- Gradshteyn, I. S., Ryzhik, I. M., Zwillinger, D., & Moll, V. 2015, *Table of integrals, series, and products*; 8th ed. (Amsterdam: Academic Press), doi: [0123849330](https://doi.org/10.123849330)
- Ikeuchi, S., Nakamura, T., & Takahara, F. 1974, *Progress of Theoretical Physics*, 52, 1807, doi: [10.1143/PTP.52.1807](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.52.1807)
- Landau, L. D. 1946, *J. Phys. USSR*, 10, 25, doi: [10.1016/b978-0-08-010586-4.50066-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-08-010586-4.50066-3)
- Lenard, A., & Bernstein, I. B. 1958, *Physical Review*, 112, 1456, doi: [10.1103/PhysRev.112.1456](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.112.1456)
- Lifshitz, E., & Pitaevskii, L. 1995, *Physical Kinetics: Volume 10, Course of theoretical physics* (Elsevier Science).
<https://books.google.ru/books?id=h7LgAAAAAMAAJ>
- Lin, C. C. 1955, *The Theory of Hydrodynamical Stability* (Cambridge University Press).
<https://archive.org/details/theoryofhydrodyn0000linc/page/n5/mode/2up>
- Ng, C. S., & Bhattacharjee, A. 2021, *ApJ*, 923, 271, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ac31ae](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac31ae)
- Ng, C. S., Bhattacharjee, A., & Skiff, F. 1999, *PhRvL*, 83, 1974, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.1974](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.1974)
- . 2004, *PhRvL*, 92, 065002, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.065002](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.065002)
- O'Malley, R. E. 2014, *The Method of Matched Asymptotic Expansions and Its Generalizations* (Cham: Springer International Publishing), 53–121, doi: [10.1007/978-3-319-11924-3_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-11924-3_3)
- O'Neil, T. 1965, *Physics of Fluids*, 8, 2255, doi: [10.1063/1.1761193](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1761193)
- Polyachenko, E. V., & Shukhman, I. G. 2022, *Physics of Fluids*, 34, 064108, doi: [10.1063/5.0094089](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0094089)
- Polyachenko, E. V., Shukhman, I. G., & Borodina, O. I. 2021, *MNRAS*, 503, 660, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stab537](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab537)
- Schmid, P., & Henningson, D. 2012, *Stability and Transition in Shear Flows*, *Applied Mathematical Sciences* (Springer New York).
<https://books.google.com/books?id=PxHVBwAAQBAJ>
- Su, C. H., & Oberman, C. 1968, *PhRvL*, 20, 427, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.20.427](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.20.427)
- van Kampen, N. G. 1955, *Physica*, 21, 949, doi: [10.1016/S0031-8914\(55\)93068-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-8914(55)93068-8)
- Vlasov, A. A. 1945, *J. Phys. USSR*, 9, 25