

Superstring scattering on the real projective plane

ANDREAS BISCHOF AND STEPHAN STIEBERGER

*Max-Planck Institut für Physik,
Werner-Heisenberg-Institut, 85748 Garching, Germany*

Abstract

Superstring scattering from orientifold planes requires considering string amplitudes on world-sheets with crosscaps with the lowest order case (in string coupling constant) having the topology of the real projective plane. While amplitudes on the latter have been formulated for the trivial one- and two-point cases in this work we go beyond these cases thereby solving various technicalities. The latter include reducing the complex world-sheet integration of closed string insertions over the real projective plane to pure real open string integrals. As a result we find that scattering of n closed strings on the real projective plane can be expressed in terms of disk amplitudes involving $2n$ open strings. In this work we explicitly work out in pure spinor formalism the case $n = 3$ which can be written as a linear combination of two (gauge-invariant) six open string amplitudes. We also present the low-energy expansion of this result necessary to construct closed string couplings on orientifold planes.

MPP-2025-1

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	The Pure Spinor Formalism	4
2.1	Matter and Ghost CFT of the Pure Spinor Formalism	4
2.2	Massless Vertex Operators in the Pure Spinor Formalism	6
3	Open string amplitudes on the disk	9
4	Amplitude prescription for the real projective plane	14
5	Scattering three closed strings off an Op-plane	20
6	Low energy expansion in inverse string tension α'	33
7	Concluding remarks	37
A	Massless open string subamplitude relations	39

1 Introduction

In addition to fundamental strings (consistent) superstring theories also possess extended objects like Dp -branes and orientifold Op -planes, cf. e.g. [1, 2]. In fact, four-dimensional string compactifications with D-branes, orientifolds and fluxes play a major role in finding realistic string vacua in four space-time dimensions [3]. Interactions of open strings with Dp -branes are described by world-sheets with boundaries. While the dynamics of Dp -branes is described by open strings ending on them, orientifold Op -planes are localized at space-time fixed points and are non-dynamical objects. The latter are required for consistency¹, with their negative tension to cancel the positive tension of Dp -branes. Furthermore they describe the world-sheet orientation reversal of closed oriented² strings. More precisely, the action of the world-sheet parity reversal is the combined action of a world-sheet and space-time parity operation with the latter producing space-time \mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold fixed points (planes) giving rise to the orientifold planes. Interactions of closed strings with Op -planes are described by world-sheets with a crosscap instead of the boundary for the open string case. The crosscap is attached to the surface at the position of the Op -plane.

Perturbative closed string scattering is formulated by compact two-dimensional Riemann surfaces without boundaries, while open string scattering is described by compact Riemann surfaces with boundaries. The latter may be obtained from the former by involution. Furthermore, in the case of unoriented (oriented) strings we deal with unoriented (oriented) surfaces. Since an arbitrary two-dimensional surface M is topologically equivalent to a sphere with b holes, c cross-caps, and g handles these parameters specify the underlying perturbative order in the string coupling given by $g_{\text{string}}^{-\chi}$, with the Euler number χ

$$\chi = 2 - b - c - 2g , \tag{1.1}$$

of the Riemann manifold M and $g_{\text{string}} = e^{\Phi}$ the string coupling constant determined by the vacuum expectation value of an universal massless scalar mode (dilaton) Φ of closed strings. There are three Riemann surfaces of positive Euler number χ : the sphere, the disk, and the projective plane. The latter is a disk with opposite points identified. At the order g_{string}^{-1} , i.e. $\chi = 1$ we have two surfaces, namely the real projective plane (with $b = 0, c = 1$) and the disk (with $b = 1, c = 0$). While the real projective plane is non-orientable, the disk is an oriented world-sheet.

For amplitude computations on the disk involving both open and closed strings there are by now many results in the literature albeit only recently pure closed string scattering on the disk beyond two-point level has been elaborated in full generality [4]. The same is not true for amplitude computations on the real projective plane involving closed strings. In fact, only the trivial cases of one and two closed strings on the real projective plane have been discussed so far by conformal field theory methods [5, 6]. The purpose of this work is to go beyond the two-point case and overcome the various technicalities. The latter include discussing vertex operators, which are invariant under the orientifold action, tackling the complex world-sheet integration of closed string insertions over the real projective plane and reducing them to pure real open string integrals. We use the pure spinor formalism

¹While the amplitude for a single closed string on the sphere is zero, there is a non-vanishing amplitude for a single closed string (with zero momentum) to appear from the vacuum, either through the disk or the projective plane producing the potentials of different signs.

²In the unoriented theory, strings are invariant under the action of world-sheet parity.

for our closed string three–point disk amplitude computation. Thus, a large part of our results holds for massless NSNS, RR, RNS and NSR states (using the language of the RNS formalism), even though at some point we will specify our findings to the scattering of only NSNS states. Apart from the broad validity of its results, the pure spinor formalism offers further advantages. The pure spinor formalism has proven to be very powerful for open string disk amplitudes, as its BRST cohomology structure allows to obtain very compact expressions [7, 8, 9], for a review cf. [10]. As a consequence there is an extended literature on open string disk amplitudes in the pure spinor formalism that we can build on. Via contour deformations of the corresponding world–sheet integrals disk amplitudes involving n closed and m open strings can be reduced to pure open string amplitudes involving $2n + m$ open strings only [11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. These manipulations are generalizations of the famous KLT relations on the sphere [16] by also taking into account mixings of left– and right–movers. In particular, we shall make use of the relation between closed string n –point disk amplitudes and open string $2n$ –point disk amplitudes.

Our work is structured as follows: In Section 2 we give a short introduction into the world–sheet degrees of freedom of the pure spinor formalism and review the massless vertex operators of closed string states on the disk. In Section 3 we review the computation of open string amplitudes on the disk. We analyze how these amplitudes decompose into a minimal basis of kinematic building blocks, expressed through Berends–Giele supercurrents and hypergeometric integrals. In Section 4 we propose a scattering amplitude prescription for the real projective plane. We introduce unintegrated and integrated vertex operators, which are invariant under the combined action of world–sheet parity and a space–time orbifold (orientifold). We are able to reduce the scattering amplitude of n closed strings on the real projective plane to a scattering amplitude on the complex sphere. In Section 5 we systematically analyse our amplitude prescription for the real projective plane by analytic continuing the complex coordinates to real coordinates describing open string insertions. In the end we are able to write the scattering amplitude of three closed strings on the real projective plane as linear combination of disk amplitudes involving six open strings. In Section 6 we compute the low energy expansion in the inverse string tension of the scattering amplitude of three closed strings on the real projective plane. Furthermore, in Appendix A we derive monodromy relations which are used to relate open string amplitudes with different color orderings to reduce the number of independent components.

2 The Pure Spinor Formalism

This section introduces the pure spinor formalism, outlining its world-sheet degrees of freedom and the calculation of closed string tree-level amplitudes on the disk D_2 . We closely follow the introduction provided in [17].

2.1 Matter and Ghost CFT of the Pure Spinor Formalism

In the pure spinor formalism, the type IIB action of the world-sheet degrees of freedom is given by:

$$S = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2z \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial X^m \bar{\partial} X_m + p_\alpha \bar{\partial} \theta^\alpha + \bar{p}_\alpha \partial \bar{\theta}^\alpha - w_\alpha \bar{\partial} \lambda^\alpha - \bar{w}_\alpha \partial \bar{\lambda}^\alpha \right), \quad (2.1)$$

where $X^m(z, \bar{z})$, $\theta^\alpha(z)$, $p_\alpha(z)$ and $\bar{\theta}^\alpha(\bar{z})$, $\bar{p}_\alpha(\bar{z})$ are the matter variables [18, 19] and $\lambda^\alpha(z)$, $w_\alpha(z)$ and $\bar{\lambda}^\alpha(\bar{z})$, $\bar{w}_\alpha(\bar{z})$ are the pure spinor ghosts introduced by Berkovits [20]. To ensure the theory has vanishing central charge in $D = 10$ space-time dimensions, the ghost field λ^α , which is a bosonic spinor, has to obey the pure spinor constraint:

$$\lambda^\alpha \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^m \lambda^\beta = 0, \quad m = 0, \dots, 9, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, 16, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^m$ are the symmetric 16×16 Pauli matrices in $D = 10$. For type IIA the right-moving spinorial fields have opposite chirality.

It is convenient to introduce the supersymmetric momentum and the Green-Schwarz constraint:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^m &= \partial X^m + \frac{1}{2}(\theta \gamma^m \partial \theta), \\ d_\alpha &= p_\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial X^m + \frac{1}{4}(\theta \gamma^m \partial \theta) \right) (\gamma_m \theta)_\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

which are conformal primaries of weight $h = 1$ that appear in the vertex operators of massless fields and thus play an important role in the calculation of scattering amplitudes in the pure spinor formalism. Since λ^α is a commuting $SO(1, 9)$ Weyl spinor, there is an additional $h = 1$ field $N^{mn}(z) = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda \gamma^{mn} w)$, which arises from the ghost contribution to the Lorentz current.

Furthermore, in the pure spinor formalism the holomorphic part of the energy momentum tensor T can be written as

$$T(z) = -\frac{1}{2} \partial X^m \partial X_m - p_\alpha \partial \theta^\alpha + w_\alpha \partial \lambda^\alpha, \quad (2.4)$$

with a similar expression for the anti-holomorphic energy momentum tensor.³

For the computation of scattering amplitudes, we will need the following OPEs between the fields in the action (2.1) and the composite fields (2.3)[20, 21]:

$$\begin{aligned} X^m(z, \bar{z}) X^n(w, \bar{w}) &= -\eta^{mn} \ln |z - w|^2, & p_\alpha(z) \theta^\beta(w) &= \frac{\delta_\alpha^\beta}{z - w}, \\ \Pi^m(z) \Pi^n(w) &= \frac{-\eta^{mn}}{(z - w)^2}, & d_\alpha(z) d_\beta(w) &= -\frac{\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^m \Pi_m(w)}{z - w}, \\ d_\alpha(z) \Pi^m(w) &= \frac{(\gamma^m \partial \theta)_\alpha(w)}{z - w}, & d_\alpha(z) \theta^\beta(w) &= \frac{\delta_\alpha^\beta}{z - w}, \\ N^{mn}(z) N^{pq}(w) &= 2 \frac{\eta^{p[n} N^{m]q}(w) - \eta^{q[n} N^{m]p}(w)}{z - w} - 6 \frac{\eta^{m[q} \eta^{p]n}}{(z - w)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

We can obtain the physical spectrum of the pure spinor superstring from the cohomology of the BRST operator, which takes the simple form [20]:

$$Q = \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \lambda^\alpha(z) d_\alpha(z). \quad (2.6)$$

The BRST charge is nilpotent $Q^2 = 0$ as can be verified using the OPE of d_α with d_β in (2.5) and the fact that the ghost field λ satisfies the pure spinor constraint (2.2). We can write the action of the conformal weight one fields Π^m and d_α on a generic superfield \mathcal{V} as:

$$d_\alpha(z) \mathcal{V}(X(w), \theta(w)) = \frac{D_\alpha \mathcal{V}(X(w), \theta(w))}{z - w},$$

³All formulas in this subsection have an obvious antiholomorphic counterpart.

$$\Pi^m(z)\mathcal{V}(X(w),\theta(w)) = -\frac{ik^m\mathcal{V}(X(w),\theta(w))}{z-w}, \quad (2.7)$$

where $D_\alpha = \partial_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^m\theta)_\alpha\partial_m$. Therefore, the BRST charge acts on a superfield $\mathcal{V}(X,\theta)$ as $Q\mathcal{V} = \lambda^\alpha D_\alpha\mathcal{V}$.

2.2 Massless Vertex Operators in the Pure Spinor Formalism

In string theory, a scattering process can be described by a punctured Riemann surface, where each puncture represents a vertex operator position corresponding to the creation or annihilation of a string state. In this section, we focus on the scattering amplitudes of closed strings on the disk D_2 , which can be mapped to the upper half plane \mathbb{H}_+ by a conformal transformation.

We are interested in the scattering amplitudes involving only massless closed string excitations, which are subject to:

$$k^2 = 0, \quad (2.8)$$

where k is the momentum of the string state. These massless modes are described by the space-time superfields A_α, A_m, W^α and F_{mn} (the superfields of super-Maxwell theory) living on superspace spanned by X and θ . The super Yang-Mills (SYM) fields A_m, W^α and F_{mn} are not independent: Rather, they are the field strengths given by [21]

$$\begin{aligned} A_m &= \frac{1}{8}\gamma_m^{\alpha\beta}D_\alpha A_\beta, \\ W^\alpha &= \frac{1}{10}\gamma_m^{\alpha\beta}(D_\beta A^m - \partial^m A_\beta), \\ F_{mn} &= \partial_m A_n - \partial_n A_m. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

The equations of motion of the superfields are given by the following set of equations [21, 22]

$$\begin{aligned} 2D_{(\alpha}A_{\beta)} &= \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^m A_m, & D_\alpha A_m &= (\gamma_m W)_\alpha + \partial_m A_\alpha, \\ D_\alpha \mathcal{F}_{mn} &= 2\partial_{[m}(\gamma_{n]}W)_\alpha, & D_\alpha W^\beta &= \frac{1}{4}(\gamma^{mn})_\alpha{}^\beta \mathcal{F}_{mn}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Moreover, each of the superfields is a functional of the superspace coordinates X and θ . The X^m -dependence of the superfields can be organized into plane waves with momentum k^m and θ^α -dependence is given by a power series in θ . Using the gauge choice $\theta^\alpha A_\alpha(X,\theta) = 0$, the expansions can be found for instance in [23]. For example, for the bosonic space-time degrees of freedom (of a vector field with polarization vector e_m) it takes the following form:⁴

$$\begin{aligned} A_\alpha(X,\theta) &= e^{ik\cdot X} \left\{ \frac{e_m}{2}(\gamma^m\theta)_\alpha - \frac{1}{16}(\gamma_p\theta)_\alpha(\theta\gamma^{mnp}\theta)ik_{[m}e_{n]} + \mathcal{O}(\theta^5) \right\}, \\ A_m(X,\theta) &= e^{ik\cdot X} \left\{ e_m - \frac{1}{4}ik_p(\theta\gamma_m{}^{pq}\theta)e_q + \mathcal{O}(\theta^4) \right\}, \\ W^\alpha(X,\theta) &= e^{ik\cdot X} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}ik_{[m}e_{n]}(\gamma^{mn}\theta)^\alpha + \mathcal{O}(\theta^3) \right\}, \\ F_{mn}(X,\theta) &= e^{ik\cdot X} \left\{ 2ik_{[m}e_{n]} - \frac{1}{2}ik_{[p}e_{q]}ik_{[m}(\theta\gamma_{n]}{}^{pq}\theta) + \mathcal{O}(\theta^4) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

⁴The expansion in [23] is more general including also fermionic space-time degrees of freedom. Moreover, the momenta in (2.11) are real, i.e. they differ from the corresponding momenta of [23] by a factor of i .

It is sufficient to display the expansions only up to a certain order in θ that is relevant for the computation of a scattering amplitude. All higher orders will not contribute, because they drop out due to the zero mode prescription of the pure spinor formalism.

The corresponding closed string Hilbert space is a tensor product of two open string Hilbert spaces

$$H_{\text{closed}} = H_{\text{open}} \otimes H_{\text{open}} . \quad (2.12)$$

Immediately one can imagine that this property carries over to the vertex operators describing the states in the Hilbert space H_{closed} . Indeed, using the operator state correspondence and the factorisation of the Hilbert space (2.12) the closed string vertex operators $V(z, \bar{z}) = V(z) \otimes \bar{V}_i(\bar{z})$ and $U(z, \bar{z}) = U(z) \otimes \bar{U}_i(\bar{z})$ are double copies of open string vertex operators. Hence, the vertex operator for such a closed string state at position z and \bar{z} splits into a direct product of left- and right-moving open string vertex operators:

$$\begin{aligned} V(z, \bar{z}) &= |\lambda^\alpha A_\alpha|^2(z, \bar{z}) , \\ U_i(z, \bar{z}) &= \left| \partial\theta^\alpha A_\alpha + \Pi^m A_m + d_\alpha W^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} N^{mn} F_{mn}(z, \bar{z}) \right|^2(z, \bar{z}) , \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

where we used the notation $|\lambda^\alpha A_\alpha|^2(z, \bar{z}) = (\lambda^\alpha A_\alpha(\theta))(z) (\bar{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}} \bar{A}_{\hat{\alpha}}(\bar{\theta}))(\bar{z}) e^{ik \cdot X(z, \bar{z})}$.⁵ Overlined SYM or world-sheet fields are the antiholomorphic counterparts of the corresponding holomorphic fields, whose spinorial indices with hats $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}, \dots$ have the opposite (same) chirality as α, β, \dots for type IIA (type IIB) superstring theory. Moreover, we have used that the superfields and therefore also vertex operators can be split as follows

$$\mathcal{V}(X, \theta) = \mathcal{V}(\theta) e^{ik \cdot X} \quad (2.14)$$

to emphasise that the closed string vertex operator depends on a plane wave factor $e^{ik \cdot X(z, \bar{z})}$. The $\bar{\theta}$ -expansions for the antiholomorphic fields are as in (2.11) but with independent polarizations $\bar{e}^m, \bar{\chi}^\alpha$ instead of e^m, χ^α , whose tensor product forms the closed string polarization. For example, the purely bosonic (NSNS) closed string polarization tensor is given by $\epsilon^{mn} = e^m \otimes \bar{e}^n$.

The vertex operator V is BRST closed, which means that

$$QV = 0 . \quad (2.15)$$

This is equivalent to putting the superfield A_α on-shell. Moreover, the vertex operator U is BRST exact and therefore fulfils

$$QU = \partial V . \quad (2.16)$$

Hence, it is in the BRST cohomology once we integrate it over the world-sheet. Thus, we call V and U the unintegrated and integrated vertex operator, respectively.⁶ Analogous statements hold for the right-moving part of (2.13).

⁵Here, we have used (2.14) to separate the plane wave factor from the θ -dependent part of the superfields. Alternatively, we could separate $X^m(z, \bar{z}) = X^m(z) + \bar{X}^m(\bar{z})$ into left- and right movers. This would imply that the plane wave factor for the left-moving part of a vertex operator only depends holomorphically on z via $X^m = X^m(z)$ in (2.11). Nevertheless, the full closed string vertex operator (2.13) contains the plane wave factor $e^{ik \cdot X(z, \bar{z})}$, because the plane wave factors of the holomorphic and antiholomorphic sector in (2.13) can be combined into $e^{ik \cdot X(z, \bar{z})}$ [4].

⁶In the literature the unintegrated and integrated vertex operator are often denoted by V and U , respectively, but in [17] a different notation was introduced. The closed string vertex operator can simultaneously contain an integrated and unintegrated factor after gauge fixing, e.g. $V \otimes \bar{U}$ or $U \otimes \bar{V}$.

Due to the boundary of the disk the left- and right-moving part of a closed string vertex operator are not independent any more, i.e. the boundary of the disk imposes an interaction between the holomorphic and antiholomorphic fields. For the computation of the three-point function of closed strings on the disk the doubling trick was used to rewrite the right-moving part of the vertex operator (2.13) in order to allow for a unified treatment of the left- and right-movers [4]. Concretely, in the following we consider type IIB theory in a flat ten dimensional space-time, which contains a D p -brane that is spanned in the $X_1 \times X_2 \times \dots \times X_p$ plane. As usual, we use the fact that the D-brane is infinitely heavy in the small coupling regime, i.e. it can absorb an arbitrary amount of momentum in the X_{p+1}, \dots, X_9 directions transversal to the D-brane. Thus, momentum is effectively only conserved along the D-brane in the perturbative regime that we are working in.

Left- and right-movers separately have the standard correlators on the upper half plane

$$\begin{aligned}\langle X^m(z)X^n(w) \rangle &= -\eta^{mn} \ln(z-w) , \\ \langle p_\alpha(z)\theta^\beta(w) \rangle &= \frac{\delta_\alpha^\beta}{z-w} , \\ \langle w_\alpha(z)\lambda^\beta(w) \rangle &= \frac{\delta_\alpha^\beta}{z-w} ,\end{aligned}\tag{2.17}$$

where the antiholomorphic part is analogous. At the boundary of \mathbb{H}_+ , i.e. at the real axis, the first $p+1$ components of the world-sheet fields satisfy Neumann boundary conditions and the remaining $9-p$ components Dirichlet boundary conditions. Both of these boundary conditions impose non-vanishing correlators between the holomorphic and antiholomorphic parts of the fields. We can simplify the calculations by employing the doubling trick, i.e. we replace the right moving space-time vectors and space-time spinors by

$$\begin{aligned}\text{vectors: } \bar{X}^m(\bar{z}) &= D^m_n X^n(\bar{z}) , \\ \text{spinors: } \bar{\Psi}^\alpha(\bar{z}) &= M^\alpha_\beta \Psi^\beta(\bar{z}) \quad \text{or} \quad \bar{\Psi}_\alpha(\bar{z}) = ((M^T)^{-1})_\alpha^\beta \Psi_\beta(\bar{z}) ,\end{aligned}\tag{2.18}$$

with $\Psi^\alpha \in \{\theta^\alpha, \lambda^\alpha\}$ and $\Psi_\alpha \in \{p_\alpha, w_\alpha\}$ and constant matrices D and M .⁷ Effectively, this corresponds to extending the world-sheet fields to the entire complex plane. This allows us to use only the correlators in (2.17), leading to

$$\begin{aligned}\langle X^m(z)\bar{X}^n(\bar{w}) \rangle &= -D^{mn} \ln(z-\bar{w}) , \\ \langle p_\alpha(z)\bar{\theta}^\beta(\bar{w}) \rangle &= \frac{M^\beta_\alpha}{z-\bar{w}} , & \langle \bar{p}_\alpha(\bar{z})\theta^\beta(w) \rangle &= \frac{((M^T)^{-1})_\alpha^\beta}{\bar{z}-w} , \\ \langle w_\alpha(z)\bar{\lambda}^\beta(\bar{w}) \rangle &= \frac{M^\beta_\alpha}{z-\bar{w}} , & \langle \bar{w}_\alpha(\bar{z})\lambda^\beta(w) \rangle &= \frac{((M^T)^{-1})_\alpha^\beta}{\bar{z}-w} .\end{aligned}\tag{2.19}$$

The matrix

$$D^{mn} = \begin{cases} \eta^{mn} & m, n \in \{0, 1, \dots, p\} \\ -\eta^{mn} & m, n \in \{p+1, \dots, 9\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}\tag{2.20}$$

is the same as in the RNS formalism [24, 25], but the matrix M here differs from the RNS version due to the different spinor representations of the RNS and the PS formalisms. A

⁷A priori, one would introduce two matrices M and N that account for the boundary conditions of the fermions, one for each chirality. Here we already used the result of [17] that $N = (M^T)^{-1}$.

detailed discussion of the matrix M and its properties can be found in [17] and a similar analysis in the context of the RNS formalism can be found for instance in [24]. As described above, only the momentum parallel to the brane is conserved, because D-branes are infinitely heavy objects, that can absorb momentum in the transverse direction. We can introduce a parallel and transverse momentum

$$k_{i\parallel} = \frac{1}{2}(k_i + D \cdot k_i) , \quad k_{i\perp} = \frac{1}{2}(k_i - D \cdot k_i) , \quad (2.21)$$

so that for momentum conservation we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n k_{i\parallel} = 0 . \quad (2.22)$$

Making the replacements (2.18) in the right-moving parts of the vertex operators (2.13) one can show that [17, 26]

$$\overline{V}(\overline{z}) = \left[\lambda^\alpha A_\alpha [D \cdot \overline{e}, M^{-1} \overline{\chi}, D \cdot k](X, \theta) \right] (\overline{z}) , \quad (2.23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{U}(\overline{z}) = & \left[\overline{\partial} \theta^\alpha A_\alpha [D \cdot \overline{e}, M^{-1} \overline{\chi}, D \cdot k](X, \theta) + \Pi^m A_m [D \cdot \overline{e}, M^{-1} \overline{\chi}, D \cdot k](X, \theta) \right. \\ & \left. + d_\alpha W^\alpha [D \cdot \overline{e}, M^{-1} \overline{\chi}, D \cdot k](X, \theta) + \frac{1}{2} N^{mn} \mathcal{F}_{mn} [D \cdot \overline{e}, M^{-1} \overline{\chi}, D \cdot k](X, \theta) \right] (\overline{z}) , \quad (2.24) \end{aligned}$$

i.e. the doubling trick amounts to substituting every antiholomorphic superfield by its holomorphic counterpart and simultaneously multiplying the polarisation vector and momentum with D and the polarization spinors with M^{-1} to account for the boundary conditions. To simplify the notation we will drop the explicit dependence of the superfields on the polarisation and momentum, but instead introduce the following notation

$$\overline{\mathcal{V}}_i(\overline{z}) \equiv \overline{\mathcal{V}}_i[\overline{e}_i, \overline{\chi}_i, k_i](\overline{X}(\overline{z}), \overline{\theta}(\overline{z})) = \mathcal{V}_i[D \cdot \overline{e}_i, M^{-1} \overline{\chi}_i, D \cdot k_i](X(\overline{z}), \theta(\overline{z})) , \quad (2.25)$$

where $\mathcal{V} \in \{A_\alpha, A_m, W^\alpha, F_{mn}\}$ and i denotes the label of an external string state. Moreover, we will also use this notation for the vertex operators. To summarize this: An overlined label indicates that the field or vertex operator originates from the right-moving part of a string state after employing the doubling trick (2.18).

3 Open string amplitudes on the disk

The prescription to calculate open string amplitudes on the disk is well known and tested in the pure spinor formalism [27, 28, 29] and therefore also closed string amplitudes on the sphere are straightforwardly calculated using the KLT relations [16]. Both world-sheets have no moduli and therefore we only have to take care of the residual symmetry of the conformal Killing group (CKG) of the world-sheet topology. Because we have three (six) conformal Killing vectors (CKV) on the disk (sphere), we have to fix the position of three (six) real world-sheet positions [30]. Fixing the reparametrization invariance of the Möbius group of the world-sheet leads to the insertion of unintegrated vertex operators at these positions, while the other vertex operator are integrated over. It is a convenient choice to fix the vertex

operators $i = 1, n - 1$ and n , where n is the number of external states, to some arbitrary positions z_1, z_{n-1} and z_n . In principle, the amplitude is independent of the assignment of the integrated and unintegrated vertex operators. For n massless open strings we find that the scattering amplitude prescription is given by the following correlation function of vertex operators⁸ [33]

$$\mathcal{A}(\sigma) = \int_{D_2(\sigma)} dz_2 dz_3 \cdots dz_{n-2} \langle\langle V_1(z_1) U_2(z_2) U_3(z_3) \cdots U_{n-2}(z_{n-2}) V_{n-1}(z_{n-1}) V_n(z_n) \rangle\rangle, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\langle\langle \dots \rangle\rangle$ denotes the path integral over the variables in the pure spinor action (2.1). The integration domain, which is the boundary of the disk, can be parametrized by (parts of) the compactified real line

$$D_2(\sigma) = \left\{ (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid -\infty < z_{\sigma(1)} < z_{\sigma(2)} < \dots < z_{\sigma(n)} < \infty \right\}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\sigma \equiv \sigma(1, 2, \dots, n)$ is the permutation of the labels that corresponds to the color ordering of the n external string states. Note that three vertex operator positions (z_1, z_{n-2}, z_n) in $D_2(\sigma)$ are position fixed due to the $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ invariance of the world-sheet. A convenient choice is $(z_1, z_{n-1}, z_n) = (0, 1, \infty)$.

The correlation function $\langle\langle \dots \rangle\rangle$ in (3.1) is evaluated by integrating out the non-zero modes of the $h = 1$ conformal primaries $\partial\theta^\alpha(z_i), \Pi^m(z_i), d_\alpha(z_i)$ and $N^{mn}(z_i)$, which is done by applying Wick's theorem and using the OPEs in (2.5). Similarly, one has to compute the contractions of non-zero modes of plane wave factors $e^{ik_i \cdot X(z_i, \bar{z}_i)}$, which gives the Koba-Nielsen factor of the corresponding amplitude. Thereby, one replaces the conformal primaries by their singularities with the other fields in the correlator and obtains [34]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(\sigma) &= \int_{D_2(\sigma)} dz_2 dz_3 \cdots dz_{n-2} \langle\langle V_1(z_1) U_2(z_2) U_3(z_3) \cdots U_{n-2}(z_{n-2}) V_{n-1}(z_{n-1}) V_n(z_n) \rangle\rangle \\ &= \int_{D_2(\sigma)} dz_2 dz_3 \cdots dz_{n-2} \text{KN}(\{z_i\}) \langle\mathcal{K}_n(\{z_i\})\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where we have used (2.14) to strip off the open string Koba-Nielsen factor from the vertex operators and introduced the zero mode correlator $\langle \dots \rangle$. The contraction of the plane wave factors is given by

$$\text{KN}(\{z_i\}) = \prod_{i < j}^n |z_{ij}|^{s_{ij}}, \quad (3.4)$$

where $s_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(k_i + k_j)^2 = k_i \cdot k_j$ for massless states, i.e. $k_i^2 = 0$. Moreover, the exact dependence of $\mathcal{K}_n(\{z_i\})$ on z_i and in terms of the superfields $A_\alpha^i, A_m^i, W_i^\alpha$ and F_{mn}^i is determined by the OPE contractions of the vertex operators, while the dependence on α' follows from the momentum expansion. The exact expression can be obtained by following the steps in the next subsection and can be expressed in terms of SYM amplitudes. Nevertheless, this

⁸As discussed in [10] the computation of gauge theory scattering amplitudes simplifies tremendously when considering ordered gauge invariants that depend only on kinematics [31, 32], i.e. color stripped or color ordered amplitudes. The color dressed S-matrix elements can be recovered by summing over color ordered open string amplitudes with appropriate color weights. For partial open string amplitudes the number of local diagrams grows factorial instead of exponentially [10]. Moreover, we are interested in closed string amplitudes, where the Chan-Paton factors of open string amplitudes are irrelevant. Therefore, we are only considering color stripped or ordered open string amplitudes.

determines the correlator as a unique function of the world-sheet coordinates z_i on the disk [35]. Note that $\mathcal{K}_n(\{z_i\})$ contains all the information of the external states like momenta and polarization vectors/spinors. These enter $\mathcal{K}_n(\{z_i\})$ via the θ -expansions in (2.11).

Moreover, $\mathcal{K}_n(\{z_i\})$ contains the zero modes of λ and θ . At tree level, only the fields $\lambda^\alpha, \theta^\alpha$ and X^m contain zero modes due to their conformal dimension being zero. All other fields, which have a conformal weight of $h = 1$, do not have zero modes on the disk [36]. To evaluate the correlator in (3.3), we first integrate out the non-zero modes. The evaluation of the X^m zero modes results in a momentum-preserving δ -function. Consequently, we are left with an expression in the pure spinor superspace that contains only the zero modes of λ^α and θ^α :

$$\langle \mathcal{K}_n(\{z_i\}) \rangle = \langle \lambda^\alpha \lambda^\beta \lambda^\gamma f_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\theta; \{z_i\}) \rangle. \quad (3.5)$$

The functional $f_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\theta; \{z_i\})$ is a composite superfield of the external states, containing their kinematic content and thus being α' dependent. The argument of $\langle \dots \rangle$ in (3.5) has a finite power series expansion of the enclosed superfields in θ^α . It was argued in [20] that only terms involving five powers of θ and three powers of λ contribute, i.e.

$$\langle \lambda^\alpha \lambda^\beta \lambda^\gamma f_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\theta; \{z_i\}) \rangle = \langle \lambda^\alpha \lambda^\beta \lambda^\gamma f_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\theta; \{z_i\}) \Big|_{\theta^5} \rangle. \quad (3.6)$$

Given that the tensor product of three λ and five θ contains a unique scalar, which is the unique element of the cohomology of the BRST operator in the pure spinor formalism at $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^3\theta^5)$, all terms of this type are proportional to each other [37] and are determined by:

$$\langle (\lambda\gamma^m\theta)(\lambda\gamma^n\theta)(\lambda\gamma^p\theta)(\theta\gamma_{mnp}\theta) \rangle_0 = 2880. \quad (3.7)$$

Even though only five θ^α out of 16 are present in (3.7), the zero mode prescription can be shown to be supersymmetric [20]. Because there is only one unique element (3.7) of the cohomology of Q at order $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^3\theta^5)$, we can evaluate any zero-mode correlator using symmetry arguments together with the normalization condition in equation (3.7) [10].

Evaluating the open string correlator on the disk

The correlator of n open strings on the disk can be systematically evaluated by following the steps presented in [28, 29, 38]: Integrating out the non-zero modes of the $h = 1$ fields corresponds to summing over their OPE singularities. For n external states this results in a sum over $(n-2)!$ single pole terms and a number of double pole integrands that will be used as corrections to the single pole integrands to form the BRST building blocks $T_{ijk\dots p}$ from the associated OPE residue $L_{jiki\dots pi}$. The composite superfields $L_{2131\dots p1}$ of the single pole residues are derived from the contraction of vertex operators, when the integrated vertex operators $U_2 U_3 \dots U_p$ approach an unintegrated vertex operator V_1 , where we have chosen the external states 1, $n-1$ and n to be position fixed. The final result is independent on the order of integrating out the $h = 1$ primaries and we can choose for example the order $z_1 \rightarrow z_3 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow z_p \rightarrow z_1$, which is reflected in the z_{ij} in the denominator:

$$V_1(z_1)U_2(z_2)U_3(z_3)\dots U_p(z_p) \sim \frac{2^{p-2}L_{[p1,[(p-1)1,[\dots,[41,[31,21]]\dots]]]}{z_{23}z_{34}\dots z_{(p-1)p}z_{p1}}. \quad (3.8)$$

Performing these steps to express all single pole composite superfields in terms of $L_{jiki\dots pi}$ and after the double pole correction are absorbed to transform the composite superfields in

their according building blocks $T_{ijk\dots p}$ the scattering amplitude of n open strings on the disk takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}(\rho(1, 2, \dots, n)) &= \\
&= \prod_{q=2}^{n-2} \int_{D_2(\rho)} dz_q \langle V_1(0) U_2(z_2) U_3(z_3) \cdots U_{n-2}(z_{n-2}) V_{n-1}(1) V_n(\infty) \rangle \\
&= \prod_{q=2}^{n-2} \int_{D_2(\rho)} dz_q \prod_{i<j} |z_{ij}|^{s_{ij}} \sum_{p=1}^{n-2} \left\langle \frac{T_{12\dots p} T_{(n-1)(n-2)\dots p+1} V_n}{(z_{12} z_{23} \cdots z_{(p-1)p}) (z_{(n-1)(n-2)} z_{(n-2)(n-3)} \cdots z_{(p+2)(p+1)})} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \mathcal{P}(2, 3, \dots, n-2) \right\rangle, \tag{3.9}
\end{aligned}$$

which is manifestly symmetric in the labels $2, 3, \dots, n-2$ of the integrated vertex operators U_i . This is denote above by the sum over all $(n-3)!$ permutations of these labels. Remarkably, the poles in z_{ij} associated to the BRST building block in the numerator follow a pattern. From the above correlator we find that

$$T_{12\dots p} \longleftrightarrow \frac{1}{z_{12} z_{23} \cdots z_{(p-1)p}}. \tag{3.10}$$

Because of the $(n-3)!$ permutations of the labels of the integrated vertex operators and the sum over p that collects $n-2$ distinct permutation orbits, this special structure (3.10) of the open string amplitude allows to express (3.9) in terms of $(n-2)!$ kinematic numerators and hypergeometric integrals.

To simplify the scattering of n open strings further we exchange the BRST building blocks $T_{12\dots p}$ for the Berends-Giele supercurrents $M_{12\dots p}$, which is possible due to the pattern (3.10), i.e. the z_{ij} -dependence in the denominator of the associated $T_{12\dots p}$. This connection relies on the synergy of different terms in the sum over permutations and the BRST symmetries

$$\begin{aligned}
p = 2n + 1 : \quad 0 &= T_{12\dots n+1[n+2[\dots[2n-1[(2n)(2n+1)]\dots]]] - 2T_{2n+1\dots n+2[n+1[\dots[3[21]]\dots]]}, \\
p = 2n : \quad 0 &= T_{12\dots n[n+1[\dots[2n-2[(2n-1)(2n)]\dots]]] + T_{2n\dots n+1[n[\dots[3[21]]\dots]]}, \tag{3.11}
\end{aligned}$$

of the building blocks. At level p this interplay reduced the number of independent building blocks $T_{ijk\dots p}$ down to $(p-1)!$. Therefore, we find that the building blocks and currents are related inside the amplitude as

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{T_{12\dots p}}{z_{12} z_{23} \cdots z_{(p-1)p}} + \mathcal{P}(2, 3, \dots, p) &= (-1)^{p-1} \prod_{k=2}^p \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{s_{ik}}{z_{ik}} M_{12\dots p} + \mathcal{P}(2, 3, \dots, p), \\
\frac{T_{(n-1)(n-2)\dots p+1}}{z_{(n-1)(n-2)} \cdots z_{(p+2)(p+1)}} + \mathcal{P}(2, 3, \dots, p) &= (-1)^{n-p-1} \prod_{k=p+1}^{n-2} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n-1} \frac{s_{ik}}{z_{ik}} M_{(n-1)(n-2)\dots p+1} \\
&\quad + \mathcal{P}(2, 3, \dots, p), \\
&= (-1)^{n-p-1} \prod_{k=p+1}^{n-2} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n-1} \frac{s_{kj}}{z_{kj}} M_{(p+1)(p+2)\dots n-1} \\
&\quad + \mathcal{P}(2, 3, \dots, p), \tag{3.12}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the reflection symmetry

$$M_{12\dots p} = (-1)^p M_{p(p-q)\dots 21} \tag{3.13}$$

of the rank $n - 1 - p$ Berends-Giele currents in the last line to rewrite $M_{(n-1)(n-2)\dots p+1} = (-1)^{n-p-2} M_{(p+1)(p+2)\dots n-1}$.

The structure of the substitution (3.12) is precisely of the form that we can apply integration by parts relations to the chain of $\frac{s_{ik}}{z_{ik}}$ sums, which arise after exchanging $T_{12\dots p}$ for $M_{12\dots p}$ using (3.12). The basic idea is that the integration boundaries correspond to zeros in the Koba-Nielson factor, i.e. vertex operator positions. Hence, the boundary terms in the world-sheet integrals vanish:

$$\int_{D_2(\rho)} dz_2 \cdots dz_{n-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} \frac{\prod_{i<j} |z_{ij}|^{s_{ij}}}{z_{i_1 j_1} z_{i_2 j_2} \cdots z_{i_{n-4} j_{n-4}}} = 0, \quad (3.14)$$

which relates different integrals in the n open string amplitude with $n - 3$ powers of $z_{i_m j_m}$ in the denominator. In the case where the differentiation variable z_k does not appear in any $z_{i_m j_m}$, i.e. $k \notin \{i_m, j_m\}$ for $m = 2, 3, \dots, n-4$, the derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}$ acts only on the Koba-Nielson factor such that

$$\int_{D_2(\rho)} dz_2 \cdots dz_{n-2} \frac{\prod_{i<j} |z_{ij}|^{s_{ij}}}{z_{i_1 j_1} z_{i_2 j_2} \cdots z_{i_{n-4} j_{n-4}}} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^{n-1} \frac{s_{ik}}{z_{ik}} = 0. \quad (3.15)$$

Leaving the first $\frac{n}{2} - 1$ factors $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{s_{ik}}{z_{ik}}$ untouched and using these relations to integrate the other $\frac{n-3}{2}$ factors by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{q=2}^{n-2} \int dz_q \prod_{i<j} |z_{ij}|^{s_{ij}} \frac{s_{12}}{z_{12}} \left(\frac{s_{13}}{z_{13}} + \frac{s_{23}}{z_{23}} \right) \cdots \left(\frac{s_{1(n-2)}}{z_{1(n-2)}} + \cdots + \frac{s_{(n-1)(n-2)}}{z_{(n-1)(n-2)}} \right) \\ &= \prod_{q=2}^{n-2} \int dz_q \prod_{i<j} |z_{ij}|^{s_{ij}} \left(\prod_{k=2}^{\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{s_{ik}}{z_{ik}} \right) \left(\prod_{k=\frac{n}{2}+1}^{n-2} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n-1} \frac{s_{kj}}{z_{kj}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

After introducing Berends-Giele currents in the n -point function the above relation can be used to write (3.9) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(1, 2, \dots, n) &= \prod_{q=2}^{n-2} \int_{D_2(\rho)} dz_q \prod_{i<j} |z_{ij}|^{s_{ij}} \left\langle \sum_{p=1}^{n-2} \left(\prod_{k=2}^p \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{s_{ik}}{z_{ik}} M_{12\dots p} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \left(\prod_{k=p+1}^{n-2} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n-1} \frac{s_{kj}}{z_{kj}} M_{p+1, \dots, n-2, n-1} \right) V_n + \mathcal{P}(2, 3, \dots, n-2) \right\rangle \\ &= \prod_{q=2}^{n-2} \int_{D_2(\rho)} dz_q \prod_{i<j} |z_{ij}|^{s_{ij}} \left\{ \left(\prod_{k=2}^{\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \frac{s_{mk}}{z_{mk}} \right) \left(\prod_{k=\frac{n}{2}+1}^{n-2} \sum_{n=k+1}^{n-1} \frac{s_{kn}}{z_{kn}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \sum_{p=1}^{n-2} \langle M_{12\dots p} M_{p+1\dots n-2, n-1} V_n \rangle + \mathcal{P}(2, 3, \dots, n-2) \right\} \\ &= \prod_{q=2}^{n-2} \int_{D_2(\rho)} dz_q \prod_{i<j} |z_{ij}|^{s_{ij}} \left\{ \left(\prod_{k=2}^{\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \frac{s_{mk}}{z_{mk}} \right) \left(\prod_{k=\frac{n}{2}+1}^{n-2} \sum_{n=k+1}^{n-1} \frac{s_{kn}}{z_{kn}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. A_{\text{SYM}}(1, 2, 3, \dots, n-1, n) + \mathcal{P}(2, 3, \dots, n-2) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

In the final result of these manipulations the kinematic building blocks can be expressed as a linear combination of $(n - 3)!$ field theory amplitudes, which are each multiplied by

a hypergeometric integral $F_{\mathcal{I}_\rho}^{\sigma(2,3,\dots,n-2)}$ with $\mathcal{I}_\rho = D_2(\rho)$, which is given by the world-sheet dependent part of (3.17) and $\sigma \in S_{n-3}$ refers to the sum over permutations. The string integrands are integrated over parts of the real line given by $D_2(\rho)$ depending on the color ordering ρ .

4 Amplitude prescription for the real projective plane

Tree-level scattering of closed strings off an Op -plane is conformally mapped to a world-sheet described by the real projective plane. The real projective plane can be defined as the quotient S^2/\mathbb{Z}_2 where the \mathbb{Z}_2 -action identifies antipodal points. The action $\mathbb{Z}_2 : z \mapsto -\frac{1}{z}$ is the identification to construct the real projective plane as the quotient S^2/\mathbb{Z}_2 from the sphere S^2 . In addition, this identification preserves the real projective plane and acts without fixed points such that the real projective plane has no boundary. Topologically, \mathbb{RP}^2 is equivalent to a sphere with a crosscap. The conformal Killing group of this configuration is $SU(2)$ with three real parameters and corresponds to the subgroup of $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$, which commutes with the \mathbb{Z}_2 action [30]. Therefore, the real projective plane has three conformal Killing vectors, which allow to fix one and a half (i.e. three real) vertex operator positions as on the disk leaving all others integrated. Hence for the computation of scattering amplitudes of closed strings on the real projective plane we allow for a vertex operator $V_i \otimes \bar{U}_i$ or $U_i \otimes \bar{V}_i$, because the conformal Killing group $SU(2)$ of the real projective plane does not allow to completely fix the positions of two closed string vertex operators. This setup is also discussed in [4] in the context of closed strings scattering off a Dp -brane.

With similar arguments as in [4] after gauge fixing the n -point closed string amplitude reads

$$\mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{RP}^2} = 2ig_c^n T'_p \int_0^1 dy \left\langle\left\langle V_1^{\mathbb{RP}^2}(i, -i)(V \otimes \bar{U})_2^{\mathbb{RP}^2}(iy, -iy) \prod_{j=3}^n \int_{\mathbb{H}_+} d^2z_j U_j^{\mathbb{RP}^2}(z_j, \bar{z}_j) \right\rangle\right\rangle, \quad (4.1)$$

where T'_p is the tension of the Op -plane representing the crosscap state and $(V \otimes \bar{U})^{\mathbb{RP}^2}$ is the vertex operator with half of its (complex) position fixed. Since the fundamental domain of the real projective plane is the disk, which can be mapped to the upper half plane \mathbb{H}_+ , we integrate the remaining integrated vertex operators over \mathbb{H}_+ . This implies that for the amplitude of n closed strings on the real projective plane we can parameterise the first two vertex operator insertions on the disk as $z_1 = x_1 + iy_1$ and $z_2 = x_2 + iy_2$ with the choice $x_1 = 0, y_1 = 1$ and $x_2 = 0$ while keeping the integration over $y_2 = y$. For more details about the gauge fixing of closed strings on the disk we refer to [4].

In the original type I theory open unoriented strings are considered with Neumann boundary conditions along all D space-time directions. In addition, closed unoriented strings can appear through interactions of open unoriented strings. Unoriented states are invariant under the world-sheet parity Ω

$$\Omega : X^m(z) \longleftrightarrow X^m(\bar{z}), \quad (4.2)$$

exchanging the left-moving $X^m(z)$ and right-moving fields $X^m(\bar{z})$ of the space-time coordinate field $X^m(z, \bar{z}) = X^m(z) + X^m(\bar{z})$. Only $\Omega = +1$ states are kept to form unoriented strings. This can be interpreted as gauging Ω including also an orientation reversal of the

transition functions which build the world-sheet [1, 35]. When gauging a world-sheet symmetry, a string transported around a closed curve on the world-sheet needs only come back to itself up to a gauge transformation. Hence, gauging the world-sheet parity implies the inclusion of unoriented world-sheets like the real projective plane at tree-level or Klein bottle at one-loop level.

Type II string theory can be obtained from unoriented type I string theory by applying T -duality. At the fixed points of the T -dual space this procedure gives rise to Op -planes. Considering T -duality of $D-p-1$ compactified directions of open string theory changes the boundary conditions of open strings from Neumann to Dirichlet w.r.t. the T -dual directions. Furthermore the open string endpoints are restricted to lie on a hyperplane (Dp -brane) transverse to those $D-p-1$ directions. For the spacetime coordinates the T -dual coordinates are given by $X'^m(z, \bar{z}) = X^m(z) - X^m(\bar{z})$ instead of $X^m(z, \bar{z})$ [1, 35]. In the original type I theory the world-sheet parity Ω acts only on X^m as (4.2) and becomes a gauge symmetry. On the other hand, in the T -dual theory (for $D = 10$) the spacetime coordinates transform as [35]

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega : X^\mu(z, \bar{z}) &\longleftrightarrow X^\mu(\bar{z}, z) , & \mu = 0, 1, \dots, p , \\ X'^{\tilde{\mu}}(z, \bar{z}) &\longleftrightarrow -X'^{\tilde{\mu}}(\bar{z}, z) , & \tilde{\mu} = p + 1, p + 2, \dots, 9 , \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

which combines a world-sheet parity transformation with a spacetime reflection in the T -dualized coordinates. We use lower case Latin letters to describe the entire ten dimensional space-time, lower case Greek letters represent directions in the world volume of the O-plane and lower case Greek letter with a tilde correspond to the directions transverse to that plane, i.e. the coordinates on which the T -duality has been performed.

When we split the string wavefunction into its internal part which is assumed to be an eigenstate of Ω and an other part describing the dependence on the center of mass $x^{\tilde{\mu}}$, then the projection onto $\Omega = +1$ states determines the wavefunction at the orbifold points $-x^{\tilde{\mu}}$ and $x^{\tilde{\mu}}$ to be the same up to a sign [1]. The components of massless states corresponding to the NSNS sector in the RNS formalism, i.e. the spacetime metric, dilaton and the antisymmetric Kalb-Ramond B -field, obey [1]

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mu\nu}(x^\alpha, -x^{\tilde{\alpha}}) &= G_{\mu\nu}(x^\alpha, x^{\tilde{\alpha}}) , & B_{\mu\nu}(x^\alpha, -x^{\tilde{\alpha}}) &= -B_{\mu\nu}(x^\alpha, x^{\tilde{\alpha}}) , \\ G_{\mu\tilde{\nu}}(x^\alpha, -x^{\tilde{\alpha}}) &= -G_{\mu\tilde{\nu}}(x^\alpha, x^{\tilde{\alpha}}) , & B_{\mu\tilde{\nu}}(x^\alpha, -x^{\tilde{\alpha}}) &= B_{\mu\tilde{\nu}}(x^\alpha, x^{\tilde{\alpha}}) , \\ G_{\tilde{\mu}\tilde{\nu}}(x^\alpha, -x^{\tilde{\alpha}}) &= G_{\tilde{\mu}\tilde{\nu}}(x^\alpha, x^{\tilde{\alpha}}) , & B_{\tilde{\mu}\tilde{\nu}}(x^\alpha, -x^{\tilde{\alpha}}) &= -B_{\tilde{\mu}\tilde{\nu}}(x^\alpha, x^{\tilde{\alpha}}) , \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where the orientifold fixed plane is at $x^{\tilde{\alpha}} = 0$. These relations can be written in a compact way [30]

$$G_{mn}(x^\alpha, -x^{\tilde{\alpha}}) = D_m{}^r D_n{}^s G_{rs}(x^\alpha, x^{\tilde{\alpha}}) , \quad B_{mn}(x^\alpha, -x^{\tilde{\alpha}}) = -D_m{}^r D_n{}^s B_{rs}(x^\alpha, x^{\tilde{\alpha}}) , \quad (4.5)$$

with the matrix D describing the conditions imposed by an Op -plane. These conditions are the same (2.20) as for a Dp -brane, cf. also [40, 24]. The graviton and Kalb-Ramond wavefunctions which solve the above conditions are given by [5]:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mu\nu}(x^m) &= \epsilon_{\mu\nu} e^{ik_\alpha x^\alpha} \cos(k_{\tilde{\alpha}} x^{\tilde{\alpha}}) , & B_{\mu\nu}(x^m) &= i\epsilon_{\mu\nu} e^{ik_\alpha x^\alpha} \sin(k_{\tilde{\alpha}} x^{\tilde{\alpha}}) , \\ G_{\mu\tilde{\nu}}(x^m) &= i\epsilon_{\mu\tilde{\nu}} e^{ik_\alpha x^\alpha} \sin(k_{\tilde{\alpha}} x^{\tilde{\alpha}}) , & B_{\mu\tilde{\nu}}(x^m) &= \epsilon_{\mu\tilde{\nu}} e^{ik_\alpha x^\alpha} \cos(k_{\tilde{\alpha}} x^{\tilde{\alpha}}) , \\ G_{\tilde{\mu}\tilde{\nu}}(x^m) &= \epsilon_{\tilde{\mu}\tilde{\nu}} e^{ik_\alpha x^\alpha} \cos(k_{\tilde{\alpha}} x^{\tilde{\alpha}}) , & B_{\tilde{\mu}\tilde{\nu}}(x^m) &= i\epsilon_{\tilde{\mu}\tilde{\nu}} e^{ik_\alpha x^\alpha} \sin(k_{\tilde{\alpha}} x^{\tilde{\alpha}}) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

The polarization tensors are orthogonal to their momenta $k_i^m \epsilon_{mn}^i = \epsilon_{mn}^i k_i^n = 0$ and for massless states the momenta satisfy $k_i^2 = 0$. The above wavefunctions can be written compactly for all $D = 10$ spacetime dimensions as⁹ [5]:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{mn} &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \epsilon_{mn} e^{ik \cdot x} + (D \cdot \epsilon^T \cdot D)_{mn} e^{ik \cdot D \cdot x} \right\}, \\ B_{mn} &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \epsilon_{mn} e^{ik \cdot x} + (D \cdot \epsilon^T \cdot D)_{mn} e^{ik \cdot D \cdot x} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Therefore, a vertex operator representing the insertion of a closed string state on the real projective plane takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} V_i^{\mathbb{RP}^2}(z_i, \bar{z}_i) &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ V_i[e_i, k_i](z_i) \bar{V}_i[\bar{e}_i, k_i](\bar{z}_i) + V_i[D \cdot \bar{e}_i, D \cdot k_i](z_i) \bar{V}_i[D \cdot e_i, D \cdot k_i](\bar{z}_i) \right\}, \\ U_i^{\mathbb{RP}^2}(z_i, \bar{z}_i) &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ U_i[e_i, k_i](z_i) \bar{U}_i[\bar{e}_i, k_i](\bar{z}_i) + U_i[D \cdot \bar{e}_i, D \cdot k_i](z_i) \bar{U}_i[D \cdot e_i, D \cdot k_i](\bar{z}_i) \right\}, \\ (V \otimes \bar{U})_i^{\mathbb{RP}^2}(z_i, \bar{z}_i) &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ V_i[e_i, k_i](z_i) \bar{U}_i[\bar{e}_i, k_i](\bar{z}_i) + V_i[D \cdot \bar{e}_i, D \cdot k_i](z_i) \bar{U}_i[D \cdot e_i, D \cdot k_i](\bar{z}_i) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where V and U are the usual unintegrated and integrated vertex operators in the pure spinor formalism, respectively, and we used the decomposition $\epsilon_i = e_i \otimes \bar{e}_i$.

The boundary of the disk imposes non-vanishing correlators between the left- and right-moving parts of the world-sheet fields. As a consequence the holomorphic and antiholomorphic parts of closed string vertex operators are not independent. In general, an operator $\mathcal{O}_{(h, \bar{h})} = O_h(z) \otimes \bar{O}_{\bar{h}}(\bar{z})$ with conformal weights (h, \bar{h}) approaching a crosscap state represents a non-trivial process and puts constraints on the operator [41]

$$0 = \langle C | \left[(\bar{z}')^h \bar{O}_{\bar{h}}(\bar{z}') - z^h O_h(z) \right] \Big|_{z = -\frac{1}{\bar{z}'}} \rangle, \quad (4.9)$$

where $\langle C |$ represents a crosscap state, which imposes an interaction between the holomorphic and antiholomorphic sector. Furthermore, in (4.9) the real projective plane is parametrized by the disk with the world-sheet coordinates $z, \bar{z} \in D_2$. After using the doubling trick the different sectors can be related as [35]

$$\bar{O}_{\bar{h}}(\bar{z}) = \left(\frac{\partial z'}{\partial \bar{z}} \right)^{\bar{h}} O_h(z') \quad \text{for } z' = -\frac{1}{\bar{z}}. \quad (4.10)$$

When mapping the fundamental domain of \mathbb{RP}^2 from the disk to the upper half plane as

$$w = i \frac{1 - z}{1 + z}, \quad (4.11)$$

the \mathbb{Z}_2 identification is invariant: $z' = -\frac{1}{\bar{z}} \mapsto w' = -\frac{1}{\bar{w}}$, with w the coordinates on the upper half plane. As a consequence, the doubling trick becomes:

$$\bar{O}_{\bar{h}}(\bar{w}) = \left(\frac{\partial w'}{\partial \bar{w}} \right)^{\bar{h}} O_h(w') \quad \text{for } w' = -\frac{1}{\bar{w}}. \quad (4.12)$$

⁹Note, that for the case $D^{mn} = \eta^{mn}$, i.e. no T -duality applied in type I string theory equations (4.7) reduce to: $G_{mn} = \epsilon_{mn}$ and $B_{mn} = 0$ in agreement that the anti-symmetric tensor is projected out

Recall, for NSNS states in (4.8) we have to introduce the matrix D to account for the space-time boundary conditions enforced by the Op -plane. To apply the doubling trick we shall again substitute the right-moving fields according to (2.18) such that they also satisfy the constraints imposed by the O -plane. Applying the doubling trick not only relates the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic sector, but also converts the correlator on the real projective plane to a correlator on the disk. These manipulations allow to use the two point functions in (2.17) and integrate out the $h = 1$ primary fields. Substituting the antiholomorphic fields by the holomorphic counterparts in (4.8) by using the doubling trick (4.12) gives rise to the following vertex operators for massless NSNS states

$$\begin{aligned}
V_i^{\mathbb{RP}^2}(z_i, \bar{z}_i) &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ V_i[e_i, k_i](z_i) V_i[D \cdot \bar{e}_i, D \cdot k_i] \left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_i} \right) + V_i[D \cdot \bar{e}_i, D \cdot k_i](z_i) V_i[e_i, k_i] \left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_i} \right) \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ V_i(z_i) V_{\bar{i}} \left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_i} \right) + V_{\bar{i}}(z_i) V_i \left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_i} \right) \right\}, \\
U_i^{\mathbb{RP}^2}(z_i, \bar{z}_i) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\bar{z}_i^2} \left\{ U_i[e_i, k_i](z_i) U_i[D \cdot \bar{e}_i, D \cdot k_i] \left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_i} \right) + U_i[D \cdot \bar{e}_i, D \cdot k_i](z_i) U_i[e_i, k_i] \left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_i} \right) \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\bar{z}_i^2} \left\{ U_i(z_i) U_{\bar{i}} \left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_i} \right) + U_{\bar{i}}(z_i) U_i \left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_i} \right) \right\}, \tag{4.13}
\end{aligned}$$

where we made use of the notation (2.25) for indices with a bar.¹⁰ Furthermore, for the half unintegrated and half integrated vertex operator we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(V \otimes \bar{U})_i^{\mathbb{RP}^2}(z_i, \bar{z}_i) &= \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\bar{z}_i^2} \left\{ V_i[e_i, k_i](z_i) U_i[D \cdot \bar{e}_i, D \cdot k_i] \left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_i} \right) + V_i[D \cdot \bar{e}_i, D \cdot k_i](z_i) U_i[e_i, k_i] \left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_i} \right) \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\bar{z}_i^2} \left\{ V_i(z_i) U_{\bar{i}} \left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_i} \right) + V_{\bar{i}}(z_i) U_i \left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_i} \right) \right\}, \tag{4.14}
\end{aligned}$$

where we again made use of the notation in (2.25). The derivative $\left(\frac{\partial \bar{z}'}{\partial \bar{z}} \right)^{\bar{h}} = \left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}^2} \right)^{\bar{h}}$ for $z' = -\frac{1}{\bar{z}}$ stemming from (4.12) explains the prefactor for the integrated vertex operator with $\bar{h} = 1$. Eventually, the vertex operator $U_i(z_i, \bar{z}_i)$ is integrated over the upper half plane in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{H}_+} d^2 z_i U_i^{\mathbb{RP}^2}(z_i, \bar{z}_i) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{H}_+} d^2 z_i \frac{1}{\bar{z}_i^2} \left\{ U_i(z_i) U_{\bar{i}} \left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_i} \right) + U_{\bar{i}}(z_i) U_i \left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_i} \right) \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{H}_+} d^2 z_i \frac{1}{\bar{z}_i^2} U_i(z_i) U_{\bar{i}} \left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_i} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{H}_-} d^2 z_i \frac{1}{\bar{z}_i^2} U_{\bar{i}} \left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_i} \right) U_i(z_i) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2 z_i \frac{1}{\bar{z}_i^2} U_i(z_i) U_{\bar{i}} \left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_i} \right). \tag{4.15}
\end{aligned}$$

Above, we have performed the coordinate transformation $(z_i, \bar{z}_i) \rightarrow \left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_i}, -\frac{1}{z_i} \right)$ in the second term. Note, that for the disk amplitude it is not possible to combine \mathbb{H}_+ and \mathbb{H}_- into \mathbb{C} , i.e. $\mathbb{H}_+ \cup \mathbb{H}_- = \mathbb{C}$, because this amplitude has poles along the boundary (real axis) at $z_i - \bar{z}_i = 0$ [4]. However, for the amplitude on the real projective plane we can take $\mathbb{H}_+ \cup \mathbb{H}_- = \mathbb{C}$, because this amplitude does not exhibit poles on the real line. As we will see below, the corresponding term obeys $1 + z_i \bar{z}_i \neq 0$, because $|z_i|^2 \geq 0$.

¹⁰Here, we are only considering NSNS states such that we have to set $\bar{\chi}_i = 0$ in (2.25).

Finally, applying the previous results to the amplitude (4.1) yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{RP}^2} &= -\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1} ig_c^n T_p' \int_0^1 dy \left\langle\left\langle (V_1(i)V_{\bar{1}}(-i) + V_{\bar{1}}(i)V_1(-i)) \right.\right. \\ &\quad \left.\left. \times \frac{1}{y^2} \left\{ V_2(iy)U_{\bar{2}}\left(-\frac{i}{y}\right) + V_{\bar{2}}(iy)U_2\left(-\frac{i}{y}\right) \right\} \prod_{j=3}^n \int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2z_j \frac{1}{\bar{z}_j^2} U_j(z_j)U_{\bar{j}}\left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_j}\right) \right\rangle\right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Performing the change of variables $(y, z, \bar{z}) \rightarrow (-y, -z, -\bar{z})$ in the terms proportional to $V_{\bar{1}}(i)V_1(-i)$ of the amplitude (4.16) and applying the invariance of the correlator under conformal transformations, i.e. we may rescale all vertex operators as [30]

$$V(az) = V(z), \quad U(az) = aU(z) \quad (4.17)$$

with $a = -1$, we arrive at:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{RP}^2} &= -\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1} ig_c^n T_p' \left\langle\left\langle \left(\int_0^1 dy V_1(i)V_{\bar{1}}(-i) - \int_{-1}^0 dy V_{\bar{1}}(-i)V_1(i) \right) \right.\right. \\ &\quad \left.\left. \times \frac{1}{y^2} \left\{ V_2(iy)U_{\bar{2}}\left(-\frac{i}{y}\right) + V_{\bar{2}}(iy)U_2\left(-\frac{i}{y}\right) \right\} \prod_{j=3}^n \int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2z_j \frac{1}{\bar{z}_j^2} U_j(z_j)U_{\bar{j}}\left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_j}\right) \right\rangle\right\rangle \\ &= -\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1} ig_c^n T_p' \int_{-1}^1 dy \frac{1}{y^2} \left\langle\left\langle V_1(i)V_{\bar{1}}(-i) \left\{ V_2(iy)U_{\bar{2}}\left(-\frac{i}{y}\right) + V_{\bar{2}}(iy)U_2\left(-\frac{i}{y}\right) \right\} \right.\right. \\ &\quad \left.\left. \times \prod_{j=3}^n \int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2z_j \frac{1}{\bar{z}_j^2} U_j(z_j)U_{\bar{j}}\left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_j}\right) \right\rangle\right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

For the second equality we used the property that unintegrated vertex operators anti-commute. Now we perform in those terms of (4.18), which are proportional to $V_{\bar{2}}(iy)U_2\left(-\frac{i}{y}\right)$, the substitution $y \rightarrow -\frac{1}{y}$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1} ig_c^n T_p' \int_{-1}^1 dy \frac{1}{y^2} \left\langle\left\langle V_1(i)V_{\bar{1}}(-i)V_{\bar{2}}(iy)U_2\left(-\frac{i}{y}\right) \prod_{j=3}^n \int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2z_j \frac{1}{\bar{z}_j^2} U_j(z_j)U_{\bar{j}}\left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_j}\right) \right\rangle\right\rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1} ig_c^n T_p' \int_{-\infty}^{-1} dy \left\langle\left\langle V_1(i)V_{\bar{1}}(-i)V_{\bar{2}}\left(-\frac{i}{y}\right)U_2(iy) \prod_{j=3}^n \int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2z_j \frac{1}{\bar{z}_j^2} U_j(z_j)U_{\bar{j}}\left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_j}\right) \right\rangle\right\rangle \\ &\quad \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1} ig_c^n T_p' \int_1^{\infty} dy \left\langle\left\langle V_1(i)V_{\bar{1}}(-i)V_{\bar{2}}\left(-\frac{i}{y}\right)U_2(iy) \prod_{j=3}^n \int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2z_j \frac{1}{\bar{z}_j^2} U_j(z_j)U_{\bar{j}}\left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_j}\right) \right\rangle\right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

The independence of the assignment of integrated and unintegrated vertex operators inside an amplitude has explicitly been shown for closed string amplitudes on the disk in [40]. We use this property to convert in (4.19) the expression $V_{\bar{2}}\left(-\frac{i}{y}\right)U_2(iy)$ to $-\frac{1}{y^2}U_{\bar{2}}\left(-\frac{i}{y}\right)V_2(iy)$. For details we refer the reader to [40] and in the following we only present the most important steps to prove this statement. Without loss of generality we omit all vertex operators with $n > 2$, as their BRST variation vanishes when integrating over them, i.e. when carefully applying Cauchy's theorem such that $QU_{\bar{j}}\left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_j}\right) = \bar{z}_j^2 \bar{\partial}_j U_{\bar{j}}\left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_j}\right)$,

$$Q \int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2z_j \frac{1}{\bar{z}_j^2} U_j(z_j)U_{\bar{j}}\left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_j}\right) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2z_j \left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}_j^2} \partial_j V_j(z_j)U_{\bar{j}}\left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_j}\right) + U_j(z_j)\bar{\partial}_j V_{\bar{j}}\left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_j}\right) \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2 z_j \left[\partial_j \left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}_j^2} V_j(z_j) U_{\bar{j}} \left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_j} \right) \right) + \bar{\partial}_j \left(U_j(z_j) V_{\bar{j}} \left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_j} \right) \right) \right] \\
&= 0, \tag{4.20}
\end{aligned}$$

because the complex plane has no boundary. Hence, along the lines of the computation in appendix C of [40] we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_1^\infty dy \left\langle \left\langle V_1(i) V_{\bar{1}}(-i) V_2 \left(-\frac{i}{y} \right) U_2(iy) \right\rangle \right\rangle &= \int_1^\infty dy \left\langle \left\langle V_1(i) V_{\bar{1}}(-i) \int_{-i}^{-\frac{i}{y}} dz Q U_{\bar{2}}(z) U_2(iy) \right\rangle \right\rangle \\
&= -i \int_1^\infty dy \left\langle \left\langle V_1(i) V_{\bar{1}}(-i) \int_{-i}^{-\frac{i}{y}} dz U_{\bar{2}}(z) \partial_y V_2(iy) \right\rangle \right\rangle \\
&= -i \int_1^\infty dy \partial_y \left\langle \left\langle V_1(i) V_{\bar{1}}(-i) \int_{-i}^{-\frac{i}{y}} dz U_{\bar{2}}(z) V_2(iy) \right\rangle \right\rangle \\
&\quad + i \int_1^\infty dy \left\langle \left\langle V_1(i) V_{\bar{1}}(-i) \partial_y \int_{-i}^{-\frac{i}{y}} dz U_{\bar{2}}(z) V_2(iy) \right\rangle \right\rangle \\
&= -i \left\langle \left\langle V_1(i) V_{\bar{1}}(-i) \int_{-i}^0 dz U_{\bar{2}}(z) U_2(\infty) \right\rangle \right\rangle \\
&\quad - \int_1^\infty dy \frac{1}{y^2} \left\langle \left\langle V_1(i) V_{\bar{1}}(-i) U_{\bar{2}} \left(-\frac{i}{y} \right) V_2(iy) \right\rangle \right\rangle. \tag{4.21}
\end{aligned}$$

With a similar calculation we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\infty}^{-1} dy \left\langle \left\langle V_1(i) V_{\bar{1}}(-i) V_2 \left(-\frac{i}{y} \right) U_2(iy) \right\rangle \right\rangle &= i \left\langle \left\langle V_1(i) V_{\bar{1}}(-i) \int_{-i}^0 dz U_{\bar{2}}(z) V_2(-\infty) \right\rangle \right\rangle \\
&\quad - \int_{-\infty}^{-1} dy \frac{1}{y^2} \left\langle \left\langle V_1(i) V_{\bar{1}}(-i) U_{\bar{2}} \left(-\frac{i}{y} \right) V_2(iy) \right\rangle \right\rangle \tag{4.22}
\end{aligned}$$

such that the two terms cancel:

$$-i \left\langle \left\langle V_1(i) V_{\bar{1}}(-i) \int_{-i}^0 dz U_{\bar{2}}(z) U_2(\infty) \right\rangle \right\rangle + i \left\langle \left\langle V_1(i) V_{\bar{1}}(-i) \int_{-i}^0 dz U_{\bar{2}}(z) U_2(-\infty) \right\rangle \right\rangle = 0, \tag{4.23}$$

because the amplitude does not distinguish whether a vertex operator is at ∞ or $-\infty$. After the reassignment of vertex operators the remaining terms are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-\infty}^{-1} dy \left\langle \left\langle V_1(i) V_{\bar{1}}(-i) V_2 \left(-\frac{i}{y} \right) U_2(iy) \right\rangle \right\rangle + \int_1^\infty dy \left\langle \left\langle V_1(i) V_{\bar{1}}(-i) V_2 \left(-\frac{i}{y} \right) U_2(iy) \right\rangle \right\rangle \\
&= - \int_{-\infty}^{-1} dy \frac{1}{y^2} \left\langle \left\langle V_1(i) V_{\bar{1}}(-i) V_2(iy) U_{\bar{2}} \left(-\frac{i}{y} \right) \right\rangle \right\rangle - \int_1^\infty dy \frac{1}{y^2} \left\langle \left\langle V_1(i) V_{\bar{1}}(-i) V_2(iy) U_{\bar{2}} \left(-\frac{i}{y} \right) \right\rangle \right\rangle. \tag{4.24}
\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, the relation (4.24) implies that (4.19) becomes:

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{n-1} i g_c^n T_p' \int_{-1}^1 dy \frac{1}{y^2} \left\langle \left\langle V_1(i) V_{\bar{1}}(-i) V_2(iy) U_2 \left(-\frac{i}{y} \right) \prod_{j=3}^n \int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2 z_j \frac{1}{\bar{z}_j^2} U_j(z_j) U_{\bar{j}} \left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_j} \right) \right\rangle \right\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1} ig_c^n T_p' \int_{-\infty}^{-1} dy \frac{1}{y^2} \left\langle\left\langle V_1(i)V_{\bar{1}}(-i)V_2(iy)U_{\bar{2}}\left(-\frac{i}{y}\right) \prod_{j=3}^n \int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2z_j \frac{1}{\bar{z}_j^2} U_j(z_j)U_{\bar{j}}\left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_j}\right) \right\rangle\right\rangle \\
&\quad -\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1} ig_c^n T_p' \int_1^{\infty} dy \frac{1}{y^2} \left\langle\left\langle V_1(i)V_{\bar{1}}(-i)V_2(iy)U_{\bar{2}}\left(-\frac{i}{y}\right) \prod_{j=3}^n \int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2z_j \frac{1}{\bar{z}_j^2} U_j(z_j)U_{\bar{j}}\left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_j}\right) \right\rangle\right\rangle .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

Finally, with the information (4.25) and after adding the individual contributions to be integrated over $y \in]-\infty, -1[$ and $y \in]1, \infty[$, together with $y \in]-1, 1[$ the amplitude (4.18) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbb{RP}^2} &= -\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1} ig_c^n T_p' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \frac{1}{y^2} e^{i\pi(\Theta(y-1)+\Theta(-y-1))} \\
&\quad \times \left\langle\left\langle V_1(i)V_{\bar{1}}(-i)V_2(iy)U_{\bar{2}}\left(-\frac{i}{y}\right) \prod_{j=3}^n \int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2z_j \frac{1}{\bar{z}_j^2} U_j(z_j)U_{\bar{j}}\left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_j}\right) \right\rangle\right\rangle .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

The phase $e^{i\pi(\Theta(y-1)+\Theta(-y-1))}$ accounts for the different signs of the integration regions of $y \in]-1, 1[$ and $y \in]-\infty, -1[\cup]1, \infty[$, which arise from the reassignment of vertex operators¹¹. This additional phase is crucial since the integration regions for both $|y| < 1$ and $|y| > 1$ acquire a different orientation after the transformation in (5.21), see also Footnote 17. Hence, after performing the $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ transformation the sign from the different orientation of the integration regions cancels against the minus sign from the reassignment of vertex operators in (4.26). The expression (4.26) provides our scattering amplitude prescription for closed strings on the real projective plane \mathbb{RP}^2 .

5 Scattering three closed strings off an Op -plane

The scattering amplitude of three closed strings on the real projective plane follows from the prescription (4.26) for $n = 3$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_3^{\mathbb{RP}^2} &= 2ig_c^3 T_p' \int_0^1 dy \int_{\mathbb{H}_+} d^2z \left\langle\left\langle V_1^{\mathbb{RP}^2}(i, -i)(V \otimes \bar{U})_2^{\mathbb{RP}^2}(iy, -iy)U_3^{\mathbb{RP}^2}(z, \bar{z}) \right\rangle\right\rangle \\
&= -\frac{1}{4} ig_c^3 T_p' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2z \frac{1}{y^2} \frac{1}{\bar{z}^2} e^{i\pi(\Theta(y-1)+\Theta(-y-1))} \\
&\quad \times \left\langle\left\langle V_1(i)V_{\bar{1}}(-i)V_2(iy)U_{\bar{2}}\left(-\frac{i}{y}\right)U_3(z)U_{\bar{3}}\left(-\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right) \right\rangle\right\rangle ,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

whose Koba-Nielsen factor is given by [35]

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{KN}(y, z, \bar{z}) &= 2^{s_{\bar{1}1}} |1 - y|^{2s_{12}} |1 - y|^{2s_{1\bar{2}}} |1 + y^2|^{s_{2\bar{2}}} |i - z|^{2s_{13}} | -i - z|^{2s_{1\bar{3}}} \\
&\quad \times |iy - z|^{2s_{23}} |1 - iy z|^{2s_{\bar{2}3}} |1 + z\bar{z}|^{s_{3\bar{3}}} .
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

The correlator in (5.1) is very similar than the amplitude of three closed strings on the disk discussed in [4] with the additional factor $\frac{1}{y^2} \frac{1}{\bar{z}^2}$ and the altered positions $\bar{z}_2 = -\frac{i}{y}$ and $\bar{z}_3 = -\frac{1}{\bar{z}}$. Hence, we can identify the correlator of the amplitude (4.26) with the scattering

¹¹This phase is introduced to write the result of this section in (4.26) in a compact form. It just accounts for the different sign of the integration regions. Hence, it does not arise from any kind of contour deformations unlike the monodromy phase in (5.6), but is include in (5.6) to simplify the notation.

of $2n$ open strings [11] and also the computation in [4] suggests that (4.26) can be connected to the scattering of $2n$ open strings on the disk by identifying closed with open strings. Explicitly, for $n = 3$ in (5.1) we find:

$$\bar{1} \leftrightarrow 1, \quad \bar{2} \leftrightarrow 2, \quad 3 \leftrightarrow 3, \quad \bar{3} \leftrightarrow 4, \quad 2 \leftrightarrow 5, \quad 1 \leftrightarrow 6. \quad (5.3)$$

Of course, the integral over the complex plane does not yet correspond to a pair of open string integrals, which are described as integrals over segments of the real line. Thus, in this section we want to use the method that is proposed in [42] and extended in [11, 12] to write the closed integral over \mathbb{C} as open string integrals which arise from the color ordered scattering of six open strings on the disk.

The analytic continuation of (5.1) follows the same steps as in [4]. Therefore, we write the integral over the complex world sheet as two integrals over the real line. By splitting the complex world-sheet coordinate $z = z_1 + iz_2$ into real and imaginary part the integrand in (5.1) becomes an analytic function in z_1 with four pairs of branch points at $z_1 = \pm i(1 - z_2)$, $\pm i(1 + z_2)$, $\pm i(y - z_2)$ and $\pm \frac{i}{y}(1 + yz_2)$, respectively. Because all branch points are purely imaginary, we can rotate the contour in the complex z_1 -plane from the real to the purely imaginary axis similar as depicted in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Branch point structure and contour deformation in the complex z_1 -plane for $z_2 > 1$ and $0 < y < 1$.

Therefore, for each complex world-sheet integration we introduce two new real variables ξ, η

$$z = i(z_1 + z_2) = i\xi, \quad \bar{z} = i(z_1 - z_2) = i\eta, \quad (5.4)$$

where z_1 is the real part and z_2 is the imaginary part of z . These variables are not constrained,

i.e. $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$ as $z, \bar{z} \in \mathbb{C}$, leading to¹²

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_3^{\mathbb{RP}^2} &= -\frac{1}{4} g_c^3 T_p' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\eta \frac{1}{y^2} \frac{1}{\eta^2} \Pi(y, \xi, \eta) \\ &\quad \times \left\langle \left\langle V_1(i) V_{\bar{1}}(-i) V_2(iy) U_{\bar{2}}\left(-\frac{i}{y}\right) U_3(i\xi) U_{\bar{3}}\left(\frac{i}{\eta}\right) \right\rangle \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

In addition, including the monodromy phase $\Pi(y, \xi, \eta)$ ensures that the integrand is holomorphic in ξ and η and accounts for the correct branch of the integrand. After absorbing $e^{i\pi(\Theta(y-1)+\Theta(-y-1))}$ into the phase factor we find that the total phase takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(y, \xi, \eta) &= e^{i\pi(\Theta(y-1)+\Theta(-y-1))} e^{i\pi s_{13}\Theta(-(1-\xi)(1+\eta))} e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}\Theta(-(1+\xi)(1-\eta))} \\ &\quad \times e^{i\pi s_{23}\Theta(-(y-\xi)(y+\eta))} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}\Theta(-(1+y\xi)(1-y\eta))} e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}\Theta(-(1-\xi\eta))}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

where Θ is the Heaviside step function and the kinematic invariants are defined as

$$s_{ij} = k_i \cdot k_j, \quad s_{i\bar{j}} = k_i \cdot D \cdot k_j, \quad (5.7)$$

with the matrix D specifying the kind of boundary conditions of the closed strings under consideration and the underlying orientifold setup. Note, that these are not independent and momentum conservation (2.22) leads to the following kinematical relations:

$$s_{1\bar{1}} = -s_{12} - s_{1\bar{2}} - s_{13} - s_{1\bar{3}}, \quad (5.8)$$

$$s_{2\bar{2}} = -s_{12} - s_{1\bar{2}} - s_{23} - s_{2\bar{3}}, \quad (5.9)$$

$$s_{3\bar{3}} = -s_{13} - s_{1\bar{3}} - s_{23} - s_{2\bar{3}}. \quad (5.10)$$

Consequently, there are six independent kinematic invariants for scattering three closed strings in the presence of O-planes [11].

Finally, by using (4.17) and conformal invariance of the correlator we pull out the factor of i in each of the vertex operators leading to:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_3^{\mathbb{RP}^2} &= -\frac{1}{4} i g_c^3 T_p' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\eta \frac{1}{y^2} \frac{1}{\eta^2} \Pi(y, \xi, \eta) \\ &\quad \times \left\langle \left\langle V_1(1) V_{\bar{1}}(-1) V_2(y) U_{\bar{2}}\left(-\frac{1}{y}\right) U_3(\xi) U_{\bar{3}}\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right) \right\rangle \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

Subject to the arguments of the Θ -functions in the phase factor Π the integration over ξ and η can be split into smaller intervals, in which the monodromy phase becomes constant and independent of the world-sheet coordinates. The individual integration patches and the respective phases are displayed in Table 1 for the case $0 < y < 1$. The integration regions for the amplitude with $-\infty < y < -1$ have almost the same monodromy phases, except one has to simultaneously exchange $k_2 \leftrightarrow D \cdot k_2$ and $y \leftrightarrow -\frac{1}{y}$ such that the vertex operators 2 and $\bar{2}$ interchange positions:

	$V_2(y)$	$U_{\bar{2}}\left(-\frac{1}{y}\right)$	
$0 < y < 1$	$y \in]0, 1[$	$-\frac{1}{y} \in]-\infty, -1[$	(5.12)
$-\infty < y < -1$	$y \in]-\infty, -1[$	$-\frac{1}{y} \in]0, 1[$	

¹²Even though, the vertex operator position $-\frac{i}{y}$ and $\frac{1}{\eta}$ diverge for $y, \eta \rightarrow 0$ the integrand in (5.5) is well defined, as the prefactor $\frac{1}{y^2} \frac{1}{\eta^2}$ cancels these divergences.

	$\eta < -1$	$-1 < \eta < -y$	$-y < \eta < \frac{1}{\xi}$	$\frac{1}{\xi} < \eta < 0$	$0 < \eta < 1$	$1 < \eta < \frac{1}{y}$	$\frac{1}{y} < \eta$
$\xi < -\frac{1}{y}$	$e^{i\pi s_{25}} e^{i\pi s_{15}} e^{i\pi s_{23}} e^{i\pi s_{25}} e^{i\pi s_{35}} \equiv 1$	$e^{i\pi s_{15}} e^{i\pi s_{23}} e^{i\pi s_{25}} e^{i\pi s_{35}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{15}} e^{i\pi s_{25}} e^{i\pi s_{35}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{15}} e^{i\pi s_{25}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{15}} e^{i\pi s_{25}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{25}}$	1
	$\eta < -1$	$-1 < \eta < \frac{1}{\xi}$	$\frac{1}{\xi} < \eta < -y$	$-y < \eta < 0$	$0 < \eta < 1$	$1 < \eta < \frac{1}{y}$	$\frac{1}{y} < \eta$
$-\frac{1}{y} < \xi < -1$	$e^{i\pi s_{15}} e^{i\pi s_{15}} e^{i\pi s_{23}} e^{i\pi s_{35}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{15}} e^{i\pi s_{23}} e^{i\pi s_{35}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{15}} e^{i\pi s_{23}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{15}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{15}}$	1	$e^{i\pi s_{25}}$
	$\eta < \frac{1}{\xi}$	$\frac{1}{\xi} < \eta < -1$	$-1 < \eta < -y$	$-y < \eta < 0$	$0 < \eta < 1$	$1 < \eta < \frac{1}{y}$	$\frac{1}{y} < \eta$
$-1 < \xi < 0$	$e^{i\pi s_{13}} e^{i\pi s_{23}} e^{i\pi s_{35}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{13}} e^{i\pi s_{23}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{23}}$	1	1	$e^{i\pi s_{15}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{15}} e^{i\pi s_{25}}$
	$\eta < -1$	$-1 < \eta < -y$	$-y < \eta < 0$	$0 < \eta < 1$	$1 < \eta < \frac{1}{y}$	$\frac{1}{y} < \eta < \frac{1}{\xi}$	$\frac{1}{\xi} < \eta$
$0 < \xi < y$	$e^{i\pi s_{13}} e^{i\pi s_{23}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{23}}$	1	1	$e^{i\pi s_{15}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{15}} e^{i\pi s_{25}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{15}} e^{i\pi s_{25}} e^{i\pi s_{35}}$
	$\eta < -1$	$-1 < \eta < -y$	$-y < \eta < 0$	$0 < \eta < 1$	$1 < \eta < \frac{1}{\xi}$	$\frac{1}{\xi} < \eta < \frac{1}{y}$	$\frac{1}{y} < \eta$
$y < \xi < 1$	$e^{i\pi s_{13}}$	1	$e^{i\pi s_{23}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{23}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{15}} e^{i\pi s_{23}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{15}} e^{i\pi s_{23}} e^{i\pi s_{35}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{15}} e^{i\pi s_{23}} e^{i\pi s_{35}}$
	$\eta < -1$	$-1 < \eta < -y$	$-y < \eta < 0$	$0 < \eta < \frac{1}{\xi}$	$\frac{1}{\xi} < \eta < 1$	$1 < \eta < \frac{1}{y}$	$\frac{1}{y} < \eta$
$1 < \xi$	1	$e^{i\pi s_{13}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{13}} e^{i\pi s_{23}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{13}} e^{i\pi s_{23}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{13}} e^{i\pi s_{23}} e^{i\pi s_{35}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{13}} e^{i\pi s_{15}} e^{i\pi s_{23}} e^{i\pi s_{35}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{25}} e^{i\pi s_{13}} e^{i\pi s_{15}} e^{i\pi s_{23}} e^{i\pi s_{35}} \equiv 1$

Table 1: Phases $\Pi(y, \xi, \eta)$ for each integration region in the (ξ, η) -plane for $0 < y < 1$.

Therefore, the analysis of these two intervals of y -integrations is very similar and we shall only discuss the case $0 < y < 1$ explicitly. The remaining two regions of y -integration $y \in]-1, 0[$ and $y \in]1, \infty[$ exhibit the same behaviour. Therefore, in the sequel we shall only work out the case $-1 < y < 0$.

Some comments are in order. Not all of the integration patches displayed in Table 1 correspond to open string subamplitudes, but represent only parts of subamplitudes. This is the case for intervals with the boundary value $\eta = 0$, which does not describe a vertex operator position. Similar as for the scattering of three closed strings on the disk in [4] we have integration regions, which start or end at $\eta = \pm\infty$. Since also in case at hand there is no vertex operator positioned at infinity, the corresponding integration regions constitute only parts of partial amplitudes, cf. the discussion at the end of Appendix A and Footnote 22. Strictly speaking, splitting the y -integration into four integration regions to discuss the analytic continuation, implies that all single regions do no longer refer to complete partial subamplitudes. However, this problem is easily bypassed when combining two y -integrations. We shall explicitly discuss this property below and demonstrate that taking into account all four regions of y -integration leads to well defined open string subamplitudes. To streamline the following analysis we ignore this caveat since it does not affect any analytic properties or the branch cut structure of the (ξ, η) -integration except for the position of the y -dependent poles on the real line. The explicit y -integration in the subamplitudes (A.2) will follow from the context and will always be denoted when considering the total amplitude, cf. e.g. (5.13).

Nevertheless, the amplitude has the correct branch cut structure such that we can use Cauchy's theorem and construct closed integration contours in the complex plane. This becomes clear when performing a coordinate transformation of the integration regions in Table 2. We perform¹³ the coordinate change $\eta \rightarrow \frac{1}{\eta}$ which puts the coordinates η and ξ on equal grounds such that integrating over the latter gives rise to poles at the same vertex

¹³After a coordinate transformation only the integration patches change but not their corresponding phase factors since the latter are independent on the world-sheet coordinates in the corresponding regions. This can be seen explicitly by comparing Table 1 and Table 2. Hence, for the phase II we have dropped the dependence on the world-sheet coordinates. However, we have kept II to indicate that each integration patch enters with a monodromy phase.

operator positions $(-\frac{1}{y}, -1, y, 1)$ and we obtain

$$\mathcal{A}_3^{\mathbb{RP}^2} \Big|_{0 < y < 1} = \frac{1}{4} i g_c^3 T_p' \Pi \int_0^1 dy \int d\xi \int d\eta \frac{1}{y^2} \left\langle \left\langle V_1(1) V_{\bar{1}}(-1) V_2(y) U_{\bar{2}}\left(-\frac{1}{y}\right) U_3(\xi) U_{\bar{3}}(\eta) \right\rangle \right\rangle, \quad (5.13)$$

where the integration regions are displayed in Table 2.

	$\eta < \xi$	$\xi < \eta < -\frac{1}{y}$	$-\frac{1}{y} < \eta < -1$	$-1 < \eta < 0$	$0 < \eta < y$	$y < \eta < 1$	$1 < \eta$
$\xi < -\frac{1}{y}$	$e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}}$	1	1	$e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}$
	$\eta < -\frac{1}{y}$	$-\frac{1}{y} < \eta < \xi$	$\xi < \eta < -1$	$-1 < \eta < 0$	$0 < \eta < y$	$y < \eta < 1$	$1 < \eta$
$-\frac{1}{y} < \xi < -1$	$e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}$	1	$e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}}$
	$\eta < -\frac{1}{y}$	$-\frac{1}{y} < \eta < -1$	$-1 < \eta < \xi$	$\xi < \eta < 0$	$0 < \eta < y$	$y < \eta < 1$	$1 < \eta$
$-1 < \xi < 0$	1	$e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}}$	1
	$\eta < -\frac{1}{y}$	$-\frac{1}{y} < \eta < -1$	$-1 < \eta < 0$	$0 < \eta < \xi$	$\xi < \eta < y$	$y < \eta < 1$	$1 < \eta$
$0 < \xi < y$	1	$e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}}$	1
	$\eta < -\frac{1}{y}$	$-\frac{1}{y} < \eta < -1$	$-1 < \eta < 0$	$0 < \eta < y$	$y < \eta < \xi$	$\xi < \eta < 1$	$1 < \eta$
$y < \xi < 1$	$e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}$	1	$e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}$
	$\eta < -\frac{1}{y}$	$-\frac{1}{y} < \eta < -1$	$-1 < \eta < 0$	$0 < \eta < y$	$y < \eta < 1$	$1 < \eta < \xi$	$\xi < \eta$
$1 < \xi$	$e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}}$	1	1	$e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}}$	$e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}$

Table 2: Phases $\Pi(y, \xi, \eta)$ for each integration region in the (ξ, η) -plane for $0 < y < 1$ after the transformation $\eta \rightarrow \frac{1}{\eta}$.

These integration regions can be associated to open string subamplitudes (A.2) or parts of partial amplitudes subject to¹⁴ $0 < y < 1$. In (5.13) the fixing $z_{i_1} \equiv \bar{z}_1 = -1, z_{i_2} \equiv z_1 = 1$ and $z_{i_3} \equiv z_2 = y$ describes a choice of $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ frame on the world-sheet disk of an open string subamplitude. Integration regions with the ξ or η -integration starting or ending at $\xi, \eta = 0$ do not describe partial amplitudes, because $\xi, \eta = 0$ do not correspond to vertex operator positions. Therefore, we introduce¹⁵ partial subamplitudes of the following form

$$\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}|2, 3, 1) = \frac{1}{4} i g_c^3 T_p' \int_0^1 dy \int_y^1 d\xi \int_{-1}^0 d\eta \frac{1}{y^2} \left\langle \left\langle V_1(1) V_{\bar{1}}(-1) V_2(y) U_{\bar{2}}\left(-\frac{1}{y}\right) U_3(\xi) U_{\bar{3}}(\eta) \right\rangle \right\rangle \quad (5.14)$$

such that the notation $\mathbb{A}(\dots, \bar{3}|\dots)$ implies that the integration region for η ends at $\eta = 0$. Likewise for $\mathbb{A}(\dots|\bar{3}, \dots)$ the corresponding integration region for η begins at $\eta = 0$. The same holds for ξ , though in this case it would be in principle possible to combine some of these integration regions into proper open string subamplitudes. Hence, if we have two subamplitudes with the same monodromy phase, they can be joined as $\mathbb{A}(\dots, 3|\dots) + \mathbb{A}(\dots|3, \dots) = \mathbb{A}(\dots, 3, \dots)$. With this notation and momentum conservation (5.13) becomes:

$$\mathcal{A}_3^{\mathbb{RP}^2} \Big|_{0 < y < 1} = \mathbb{A}(3, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}|2, 1) + e^{-i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(3, \bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 2, 1) + e^{-i\pi(s_{1\bar{3}} + s_{2\bar{3}})} \mathbb{A}(3, \bar{3}, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, 1)$$

¹⁴For concreteness, here we are only considering $0 < y < 1$. However, this also holds for the other y -integration regions.

¹⁵For the y -integration we do not use this notation, because for $y \in]0, 1[$ we have $\bar{z}_2 = -\frac{1}{y} \in]-\infty, 1[$ for the vertex operator position. Furthermore, we have already stated that this integration is singled out.

$$\begin{aligned}
& +e^{i\pi(s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{2\bar{3}})}\mathbb{A}(\bar{3}, 3, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, 1) + e^{i\pi(s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{2\bar{3}})}\mathbb{A}(3, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{3}) \\
& +e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}\mathbb{A}(3, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}, 1) + \mathbb{A}(3, \bar{2}, \bar{1}|\bar{3}, 2, 1) + e^{-i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, \bar{3}|2, 1) \\
& +e^{-i\pi(s_{13}+s_{2\bar{3}})}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 2, 1) + e^{i\pi(s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{23})}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, 3, \bar{1}, 2, 1) \\
& +e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{3}, \bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, 2, 1) + e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{3}) + \mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}, 1) \\
& +e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}|\bar{3}, 2, 1) + e^{i\pi(s_{13}+s_{23}+s_{3\bar{3}})}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3, \bar{3}|2, 1) + \\
& +e^{i\pi(s_{13}+s_{23})}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 3|2, 1) + e^{i\pi s_{23}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 3|2, 1) + \mathbb{A}(\bar{3}, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3|2, 1) \\
& +\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3|2, 1, \bar{3}) + e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3|2, \bar{3}, 1) + e^{i\pi(s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{2\bar{3}})}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3|\bar{3}, 2, 1) \\
& +e^{i\pi(s_{13}+s_{23})}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}|3, 2, 1) + e^{i\pi s_{23}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}|3, 2, 1) + \mathbb{A}(\bar{3}, \bar{2}, \bar{1}|3, 2, 1) \\
& +\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}|3, 2, 1, \bar{3}) + e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}|3, 2, \bar{3}, 1) + e^{i\pi(s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{2\bar{3}})}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}|3, \bar{3}, 2, 1) \\
& +e^{-i\pi(s_{13}+s_{23})}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}|\bar{3}, 3, 2, 1) + e^{i\pi s_{13}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}|2, 3, 1) + \mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 2, 3, 1) \\
& +e^{i\pi s_{23}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{3}, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, 3, 1) + e^{i\pi s_{23}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, 3, 1, \bar{3}) + e^{i\pi(s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{23})}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, 3, \bar{3}, 1) \\
& +e^{-i\pi(s_{13}+s_{2\bar{3}})}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}, 3, 1) + e^{-i\pi s_{13}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}|\bar{3}, 2, 3, 1) + \mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}|2, 1, 3) \\
& +e^{i\pi s_{13}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 2, 1, 3) + e^{i\pi(s_{13}+s_{23})}\mathbb{A}(\bar{3}, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, 1, 3) \\
& +e^{i\pi(s_{13}+s_{23})}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, 1, 3, \bar{3}) + e^{i\pi(s_{13}+s_{23}+s_{3\bar{3}})}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{3}, 3) \\
& +e^{-i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}, 1, 3) + \mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}|\bar{3}, 2, 1, 3) . \tag{5.15}
\end{aligned}$$

Even though, there appear integration regions of the form (5.14) in (5.15) we can find closed contours in the complex ξ or η -planes, which give rise to closed monodromy relations. First of all, for the elements of the first and last row in Table 2, which correspond to the regions $\xi < -\frac{1}{y}$ and $1 < \xi$, respectively the following equations can be stated:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 & = \mathbb{A}(\bar{3}, 3, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, 1) + e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}}\mathbb{A}(3, \bar{3}, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, 1) + e^{i\pi s_{23}}e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}}\mathbb{A}(3, \bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 2, 1) \\
& +e^{i\pi s_{13}}e^{i\pi s_{23}}e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}}\mathbb{A}(3, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1) + e^{i\pi s_{13}}e^{i\pi s_{23}}e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}}\mathbb{A}(3, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}, 1) \\
& +\mathbb{A}(3, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{3}) , \\
0 & = \mathbb{A}(\bar{3}, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, 1, 3) + e^{-i\pi s_{23}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 2, 1, 3) + e^{-i\pi s_{13}}e^{-i\pi s_{23}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1, 3) \\
& +e^{-i\pi s_{13}}e^{-i\pi s_{23}}e^{-i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}, 1, 3) + e^{-i\pi s_{13}}e^{-i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}}e^{-i\pi s_{23}}e^{-i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{3}, 3) \\
& +\mathbb{A}(3, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{3}) , \tag{5.16}
\end{aligned}$$

respectively. As a consequence, the first seven and last seven terms of (5.15) give a vanishing contribution. On the other hand, for the integration patches $-1 < \eta < 0$ and $0 < \eta < y$, i.e. the fourth and fifth column in Table 2 we find the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
0 & = \mathbb{A}(3, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}|2, 1) + e^{i\pi s_{13}}e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}}e^{i\pi s_{23}}e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, \bar{3}|2, 1) \\
& +e^{i\pi s_{13}}e^{i\pi s_{23}}e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3, \bar{3}|2, 1) + e^{i\pi s_{13}}e^{i\pi s_{23}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 3|2, 1) \\
& +e^{i\pi s_{13}}e^{i\pi s_{23}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}|3, 2, 1) + e^{i\pi s_{13}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}|2, 3, 1) + \mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}|2, 1, 3) , \\
0 & = \mathbb{A}(3, \bar{2}, \bar{1}|\bar{3}, 2, 1) + e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}|\bar{3}, 2, 1) + e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}}e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3|\bar{3}, 2, 1) \\
& +e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}}e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}|3, \bar{3}, 2, 1) + e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}}e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}|\bar{3}, 3, 2, 1) \\
& +e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}}e^{i\pi s_{23}}e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}|\bar{3}, 2, 3, 1) + \mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}|\bar{3}, 2, 1, 3) , \tag{5.17}
\end{aligned}$$

respectively. Finally, we consider the following monodromy equations corresponding to moving the coordinate ξ for $y < \eta < 1$ and moving the coordinate η for $-\frac{1}{y} < \xi < -1$

$$0 = \mathbb{A}(3, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}, 1) + e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}, 1) + e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}}e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}\mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, \bar{3}, 1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{23}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, 3, \bar{3}, 1) + e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{23}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}, 3, 1) \\
& + \mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}, 1, 3) , \\
0 = & \mathbb{A}(\bar{3}, \bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, 2, 1) + e^{i\pi s_{23}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, 3, \bar{1}, 2, 1) + e^{i\pi s_{23}} e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 2, 1) \\
& + e^{i\pi s_{13}} e^{i\pi s_{23}} e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1) + e^{i\pi s_{13}} e^{i\pi s_{23}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}, 1) \\
& + \mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{3}) , \tag{5.18}
\end{aligned}$$

respectively. Eventually, multiplying the first equation of (5.18) by $e^{-i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}$ and the second by $e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}}$ together with the two equations (5.17) allows to eliminate various terms in (5.15). Altogether, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_3^{\mathbb{RP}^2} \Big|_{0 < y < 1} = & -\mathbb{A}(3, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1) - e^{-i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(3, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}, 1) - e^{-i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1) \\
& - \mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}, 1) + \mathbb{A}(\bar{3}, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, 1) + e^{i\pi s_{23}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, 1) + \mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, 1, \bar{3}) \\
& + e^{i\pi s_{23}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{3}, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, 3, 1) + \mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 2, 3, 1) + e^{i\pi s_{23}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, 3, 1, \bar{3}) \\
& - \mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1, 3) - e^{-i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}, 1, 3) . \tag{5.19}
\end{aligned}$$

As desired the result (5.19) is written only in terms of open string (six-point) subamplitudes (A.2). The integration regions of the partial amplitudes are listed in Table 3.

	$\eta < \xi$	$\xi < \eta < -\frac{1}{y}$	$-\frac{1}{y} < \eta < -1$	$-1 < \eta < y$	$y < \eta < 1$	$1 < \eta$
$\xi < -\frac{1}{y}$				-1	$-e^{-i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}$	
	$\eta < -\frac{1}{y}$	$-\frac{1}{y} < \eta < \xi$	$\xi < \eta < -1$	$-1 < \eta < y$	$y < \eta < 1$	$1 < \eta$
$-\frac{1}{y} < \xi < -1$				$-e^{-i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}$	-1	
	$\eta < -\frac{1}{y}$	$-\frac{1}{y} < \eta < -1$	$-1 < \eta < \xi$	$\xi < \eta < y$	$y < \eta < 1$	$1 < \eta$
$-1 < \xi < y$	1	$e^{i\pi s_{23}}$				1
	$\eta < -\frac{1}{y}$	$-\frac{1}{y} < \eta < -1$	$-1 < \eta < y$	$y < \eta < \xi$	$\xi < \eta < 1$	$1 < \eta$
$y < \xi < 1$	$e^{i\pi s_{23}}$	1				$e^{i\pi s_{23}}$
	$\eta < -\frac{1}{y}$	$-\frac{1}{y} < \eta < -1$	$-1 < \eta < y$	$y < \eta < 1$	$1 < \eta < \xi$	$\xi < \eta$
$1 < \xi$			-1	$-e^{-i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}$		

Table 3: Phases $\Pi(y, \xi, \eta)$ for each integration region in the (ξ, η) -plane for $0 < y < 1$ after applying monodromy relations.

Similar as for the scattering of three closed strings off a Dp brane, we want to change the $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -frame to convert the subamplitudes from the representation \mathbb{A} to \mathcal{A} . After comparing the fixed vertex operator positions in (5.13) with those of (A.7) we perform a $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -transformation which maps the gauge choice $(-1, y, 1)$ to $(0, 1, \infty)$. This manipulation has already been applied for the scattering of two closed strings off a Dp -brane in [40, 25, 24], see e.g. equation (3.9) in [40]. To find this transformation we consider a general fractional linear transformation $\tilde{z} := f(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ with $ad - bc = 1$. The parameters a, b, c

and d are given by solving $f(z) = \tilde{z}$ for $z \in \{-1, y, 1\}$ and $\tilde{z} \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$ leading to the transformation:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1-y^2)}} \begin{pmatrix} 1-y & 1-y \\ -(1+y) & 1+y \end{pmatrix} \in PSL(2, \mathbb{R}). \quad (5.20)$$

The above $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ matrix gives rise to the fractional linear transformation for the coordinates of the vertex operators

$$\tilde{z} = f(z) = \frac{(1-y)(1+z)}{(1+y)(1-z)}, \quad (5.21)$$

which changes the gauge choice from $(-1, y, 1)$ to $(0, 1, \infty)$. Furthermore, we define the new variables:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= f(-y) = \frac{(1-y)^2}{(1+y)^2}, \\ \tilde{\xi} &= f(\xi) = \frac{(1-y)(1+\xi)}{(1+y)(1-\xi)}, \\ \tilde{\eta} &= f(\eta) = \frac{(1-y)(1+\eta)}{(1+y)(1-\eta)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

In addition it is useful to consider the inverse transformations, which are given by¹⁶:

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \frac{1-\sqrt{x}}{1+\sqrt{x}}, \\ \xi &= \frac{\tilde{\xi}-\sqrt{x}}{\tilde{\xi}+\sqrt{x}}, \\ \eta &= \frac{\tilde{\eta}-\sqrt{x}}{\tilde{\eta}+\sqrt{x}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

Note that (5.22) allows for the second solution $y = \frac{1+\sqrt{x}}{1-\sqrt{x}}$ for $x = f(-y)$. For the moment we shall ignore this solution, because for $x \in [0, 1]$ we would have $y \in [1, \infty[$. However, this solution will be discussed in (5.32) and will be employed (together with (5.33)) for (5.31) to account for the region $x \in]1, \infty[$ and $x \in]0, 1[$ giving rise to $y \in]-\infty, -1[$ and $y \in]1, \infty[$, respectively.

Since the unintegrated vertex operators have conformal weight zero and the integrated vertex operators have weight one, they transform under global conformal transformations as

$$V(z) \rightarrow \tilde{V}(\tilde{z}), \quad U(z) \rightarrow \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial \tilde{z}} \right)^{-1} \tilde{U}(\tilde{z}). \quad (5.24)$$

With this the $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -transformation of the integrand can be sketched as:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int dy d\xi d\eta \langle V_1(1) V_{\bar{1}}(-1) V_2(y) U_{\bar{2}}\left(-\frac{1}{y}\right) U_3(\xi) U_{\bar{3}}(\eta) \rangle \\ &\simeq \frac{1}{2} \int dx d\tilde{\xi} d\tilde{\eta} \det \frac{\partial(y, \xi, \eta)}{\partial(x, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta})} \end{aligned}$$

¹⁶The transformation of y here is the same as in (3.9) in [40].

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left\langle \left\langle \tilde{V}_1(\infty) \tilde{V}_1(0) \tilde{V}_2(1) \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \right)^{-1} \tilde{U}_2(-x) \left(\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \tilde{\xi}} \right)^{-1} \tilde{U}_3(\tilde{\xi}) \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \tilde{\eta}} \right)^{-1} \tilde{U}_3(\tilde{\eta}) \right\rangle \right\rangle \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \int dx d\tilde{\xi} d\tilde{\eta} \langle V_1(\infty) V_1(0) V_2(1) U_2(-x) U_3(\tilde{\xi}) U_3(\tilde{\eta}) \rangle . \tag{5.25}
\end{aligned}$$

To go from the second to the last line we have used the fact that the correlator is invariant under $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -transformations. Moreover, we have the freedom to consider either the vertex operator position of 2 fixed at y or that of $\bar{2}$ fixed at $-\frac{1}{y}$ while integrating over the other one [40]. This freedom leads to an additional factor of two, which is compensated by introducing the factor $\frac{1}{2}$ in (5.25). In addition, the derivative factors stemming from the transformation of the integrated vertex operators cancel against the Jacobian of the measure¹⁷ such that the amplitude can be written as

$$\mathcal{A}_3^{\mathbb{RP}^2} \Big|_{0 < y < 1} = \frac{1}{8} i g_c^3 T_p' \Pi \int_0^1 dx \int d\tilde{\xi} \int d\tilde{\eta} \langle V_1(0) U_2(-x) U_3(\tilde{\xi}) U_3(\tilde{\eta}) V_2(1) V_1(\infty) \rangle , \tag{5.26}$$

with the boundaries of the $\tilde{\xi}$ and $\tilde{\eta}$ -integrations given in Table 4. Furthermore, in (5.26) we made use of (5.25) and that according to (5.21) the vertex position $-\frac{1}{y}$ is mapped to $-x$.

The integration over x is still singled out since the integration range $-x \in]-1, 0[$ does not correspond to an open string integral.¹⁸ However, this issue is removed, since the integration over y from $-\infty$ to -1 is mapped to $-x \in]-\infty, -1[$ such that both regions can be combined to form proper subamplitudes.

The explicit computation of the correlator in (5.26) is similar to that in Section 3. After combining partial amplitudes \mathbb{A} discussed in [4] (cf. also Appendix A) as

$$\mathbb{A}(3, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, 2, 1) + \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, \bar{2}, 2, 1, 3, \bar{3}) + \mathbb{A}(\bar{3}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, 2, 1, 3) \xrightarrow{PSL(2, \mathbb{R})} \mathcal{A}(3, 4, 1, 2, 5, 6) , \tag{5.27}$$

the individual integration patches of the amplitude (5.26) are given in Table 4.

	$\tilde{\eta} < \tilde{\xi}$	$\tilde{\xi} < \tilde{\eta} < -x$	$-x < \tilde{\eta} < 0$	$0 < \tilde{\eta} < 1$	$1 < \tilde{\eta}$
$\tilde{\xi} < -x$				-1	$-e^{-i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}$
	$\tilde{\eta} < -x$	$-x < \tilde{\eta} < \tilde{\xi}$	$\tilde{\xi} < \tilde{\eta} < 0$	$0 < \tilde{\eta} < 1$	$1 < \tilde{\eta}$
$-x < \tilde{\xi} < 0$				$-e^{-i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}}$	-1
	$\tilde{\eta} < -x$	$-x < \tilde{\eta} < 0$	$0 < \tilde{\eta} < \tilde{\xi}$	$\tilde{\xi} < \tilde{\eta} < 1$	$1 < \tilde{\eta}$
$0 < \tilde{\xi} < 1$	1	$e^{i\pi s_{23}}$			
	$\tilde{\eta} < -x$	$-x < \tilde{\eta} < 0$	$0 < \tilde{\eta} < 1$	$1 < \tilde{\eta} < \tilde{\xi}$	$\tilde{\xi} < \tilde{\eta}$
$1 < \tilde{\xi}$	$e^{i\pi s_{23}}$	1			

Table 4: Phases $\Pi(x, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta})$ for each integration region in the $(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta})$ -plane for $0 < x < 1$.

¹⁷Note, that we have the identity $\det \left(\frac{\partial(y, \xi, \eta)}{\partial(x, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta})} \right) \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \tilde{\xi}} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \tilde{\eta}} \right)^{-1} = 1$, which holds for both solutions (5.23) and (5.33).

¹⁸As for the scattering of three closed strings on the disk the $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -transformation (5.25) maps the integration over $0 < y < 1$ onto $0 < x < 1$.

They can be written in terms of (A.7) and combine¹⁹ into the result:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_3^{\mathbb{RP}^2} \Big|_{0 < y < 1} &= e^{i\pi s_{23}} \mathcal{A}(\bar{3}, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, 3, 1) + \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 2, 3, 1) + \mathcal{A}(\bar{3}, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, 1) \\
&+ e^{i\pi s_{23}} \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, 1) - e^{-i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1) - \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}, 1) \\
&- \mathcal{A}(3, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1) - e^{-i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} \mathcal{A}(3, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}, 1) .
\end{aligned} \tag{5.28}$$

As discussed in Appendix A the partial subamplitudes appearing in (5.28) can be written in terms of a six-dimensional basis. Therefore, we can use open string monodromy relations to further simplify the expression (5.28). One can verify that in (5.28) all eight subamplitudes have a neighbouring subamplitude, i.e. one partial amplitude can be paired with an other one where two orderings are permuted with the correct monodromy phase factor. Hence, we shall consider the following four relations

$$\begin{aligned}
(i) \quad 0 &= \mathcal{A}(3, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}, 1) + \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}, 1) e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} + \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, \bar{3}, 1) e^{i\pi(s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{2\bar{3}})} \\
&+ \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, 3, \bar{3}, 1) e^{i\pi(s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{23}+s_{2\bar{3}})} + \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}, 3, 1) e^{i\pi(s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{23}+s_{2\bar{3}}+s_{3\bar{3}})} , \\
(ii) \quad 0 &= \mathcal{A}(3, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1) + \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1) e^{-i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} + \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3, \bar{3}, 2, 1) e^{-i\pi(s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{2\bar{3}})} \\
&+ \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 3, 2, 1) e^{-i\pi(s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{2\bar{3}}+s_{3\bar{3}})} + \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 3, 1) e^{-i\pi(s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{23}+s_{2\bar{3}}+s_{3\bar{3}})} , \\
(iii) \quad 0 &= \mathcal{A}(\bar{3}, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, 1) + \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, 1) e^{i\pi s_{23}} + \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 3, 2, 1) e^{i\pi(s_{13}+s_{23})} \\
&+ \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3, \bar{3}, 2, 1) e^{i\pi(s_{13}+s_{23}+s_{3\bar{3}})} + \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, \bar{3}, 1) e^{i\pi(s_{13}+s_{23}+s_{2\bar{3}}+s_{3\bar{3}})} , \\
(iv) \quad 0 &= \mathcal{A}(\bar{3}, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, 3, 1) + \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 2, 3, 1) e^{-i\pi s_{23}} + \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 3, 1) e^{-i\pi(s_{13}+s_{23})} \\
&+ \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}, 3, 1) e^{-i\pi(s_{13}+s_{23}+s_{2\bar{3}})} + \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, 3, \bar{3}, 1) e^{-i\pi(s_{13}+s_{23}+s_{2\bar{3}}+s_{3\bar{3}})}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

and the combination $-e^{-i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} \times (i) - (ii) + (iii) + e^{i\pi s_{23}} \times (iv)$ thereof to express the amplitude (5.28) in terms of only two open string partial amplitudes

$$\mathcal{A}_3^{\mathbb{RP}^2} \Big|_{0 < y < 1} = 2i \sin(\pi s_{1\bar{3}}) \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, \bar{3}, 1) \Big|_{0 < x < 1} + 2i \sin(\pi s_{13}) \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 3, 1) \Big|_{0 < x < 1} . \tag{5.30}$$

With an analogous calculation we obtain for the region $-\infty < y < -1$

$$\mathcal{A}_3^{\mathbb{RP}^2} \Big|_{-\infty < y < -1} = 2i \sin(\pi s_{1\bar{3}}) \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, \bar{3}, 1) \Big|_{1 < x < \infty} + 2i \sin(\pi s_{13}) \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 3, 1) \Big|_{1 < x < \infty} , \tag{5.31}$$

which is not the same as (5.30) because the x -integration in (5.31) goes from 1 to ∞ , cf. also the comment in the paragraph below (5.26). Note that we have to use the second solution of (5.21) to change the $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -frame, because the y -integration requires that

$$y = \frac{1 + \sqrt{x}}{1 - \sqrt{x}} \tag{5.32}$$

in order to map $1 < x < \infty$ back to $-\infty < y < -1$. Consequently, the inverse transformations for η and ξ are given by

$$\xi = \frac{\tilde{\xi} + \sqrt{x}}{\tilde{\xi} - \sqrt{x}} ,$$

¹⁹Also here the amplitudes are only parts of subamplitudes, as the x -integration runs from 0 to 1, c.f. also the comment above. As before we ignore this subtlety here, but make it explicit in the end result (5.30) of this computation.

$$\eta = \frac{\tilde{\eta} + \sqrt{x}}{\tilde{\eta} - \sqrt{x}}. \quad (5.33)$$

After performing the coordinate change $x \rightarrow -x$ we can combine the two results and obtain²⁰:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_3^{\mathbb{RP}^2} \Big|_{-\infty < y < -1} + \mathcal{A}_3^{\mathbb{RP}^2} \Big|_{0 < y < 1} &= 2i \sin(\pi s_{1\bar{3}}) \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, \bar{3}, 1) + 2i \sin(\pi s_{13}) \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 3, 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} g_c^3 T_p' \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \left\{ \sin(\pi s_{1\bar{3}}) F_{\mathcal{I}_1}^{\sigma(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{3})} + \sin(\pi s_{13}) F_{\mathcal{I}_2}^{\sigma(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{3})} \right\} \\ &\quad \times A_{\text{YM}}(\bar{1}, \sigma(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{3}), 2, 1). \end{aligned} \quad (5.34)$$

In the last step we have used the results from Section 3 to express the correlator of (5.30) and (5.31) in terms of YM subamplitudes and hypergeometric integrals. The hypergeometric integrals are defined as

$$F_{\mathcal{I}_p}^{\sigma(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{3})} = - \int_{\mathcal{I}_p} dz_{\bar{2}} dz_3 dz_{\bar{3}} \left(\prod_{i < j} |z_{ij}|^{s_{ij}} \right) \frac{s_{1\sigma(\bar{2})} s_{\sigma(\bar{3})2}}{z_{1\sigma(\bar{2})} z_{\sigma(\bar{3})2}} \left(\frac{s_{1\sigma(3)}}{z_{1\sigma(3)}} + \frac{s_{\sigma(\bar{2})\sigma(3)}}{z_{\sigma(\bar{2})\sigma(3)}} \right), \quad p = 1, 2 \quad (5.35)$$

and their integration regions are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1 &= \left\{ x, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta} \in \mathbb{R} \mid -\infty < x < 0, 0 < \tilde{\xi} < 1, 1 < \tilde{\eta} < \infty \right\}, \\ \mathcal{I}_2 &= \left\{ x, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta} \in \mathbb{R} \mid -\infty < x < 0, 1 < \tilde{\xi} < \infty, 0 < \tilde{\eta} < 1 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.36)$$

Finally, the computation for the case $-1 < y < 0$ is similar to the case $0 < y < 1$ exhibited above. Likewise, the integration over $1 < y < \infty$ is close to the case $-\infty < y < -1$. Therefore, as before we shall only display the most important steps for the integration region $-1 < y < 0$. After the transformation $\eta \rightarrow \frac{1}{\eta}$ the amplitude

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_3^{\mathbb{RP}^2} \Big|_{-1 < y < 0} &= \frac{1}{4} i g_c^3 T_p' \int_{-1}^0 dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\eta \frac{1}{y^2} \Pi(y, \xi, \eta) \\ &\quad \times \left\langle \left\langle V_1(1) V_{\bar{1}}(-1) V_2(iy) U_{\bar{2}} \left(-\frac{1}{y} \right) U_3(\xi) U_{\bar{3}}(\eta) \right\rangle \right\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (5.37)$$

can be written in terms of open string subamplitudes (A.2) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_3^{\mathbb{RP}^2} \Big|_{-1 < y < 0} &= \mathbb{A}(3, \bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}|1, \bar{2}) + e^{-i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(3, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1, \bar{2}) + e^{-i\pi(s_{13}+s_{2\bar{3}})} \mathbb{A}(3, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{2}) \\ &\quad + e^{i\pi(s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{23})} \mathbb{A}(\bar{3}, 3, \bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{2}) + e^{i\pi(s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{23})} \mathbb{A}(3, \bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{2}, \bar{3}) \\ &\quad + e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(3, \bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{3}, \bar{2}) + \mathbb{A}(3, \bar{1}, 2|\bar{3}, 1, \bar{2}) + e^{-i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 3, 2, \bar{3}|1, \bar{2}) \\ &\quad + e^{i\pi(s_{13}+s_{23}+s_{3\bar{3}})} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 3, \bar{3}, 2, 1, \bar{2}) + e^{i\pi(s_{13}+s_{23})} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, \bar{3}, 3, 2, 1, \bar{2}) \\ &\quad + e^{i\pi s_{23}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{3}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, 1, \bar{2}) + e^{i\pi s_{23}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 3, 2, 1, \bar{2}, \bar{3}) + \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 3, 2, 1, \bar{3}, \bar{2}) \\ &\quad + e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 3, 2|\bar{3}, 1, \bar{2}) + e^{i\pi(s_{13}+s_{2\bar{3}}+s_{3\bar{3}})} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, 3, \bar{3}|1, \bar{2}) \\ &\quad + e^{i\pi(s_{13}+s_{2\bar{3}})} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}, 3|1, \bar{2}) + e^{i\pi s_{13}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 3|1, \bar{2}) + \mathbb{A}(\bar{3}, \bar{1}, 2, 3|1, \bar{2}) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, 3|1, \bar{2}, \bar{3}) + e^{i\pi s_{23}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, 3|1, \bar{3}, \bar{2}) + e^{i\pi(s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{23})} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, 3|\bar{3}, 1, \bar{2}) \\ &\quad + e^{i\pi(s_{13}+s_{2\bar{3}})} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}|3, 1, \bar{2}) + e^{i\pi s_{13}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2|3, 1, \bar{2}) + \mathbb{A}(\bar{3}, \bar{1}, 2|3, 1, \bar{2}) \end{aligned}$$

²⁰This is possible, because the amplitude has no pole at $x = -1$ after the transformation. Moreover, we are considering complete subamplitudes (A.7) here.

$$\begin{aligned}
& +\mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2|3, 1, \bar{2}, \bar{3}) + e^{i\pi s_{23}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2|3, 1, \bar{3}, \bar{2}) + e^{i\pi(s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{23})} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2|3, \bar{3}, 1, \bar{2}) \\
& + e^{-i\pi(s_{13}+s_{2\bar{3}})} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2|\bar{3}, 3, 1, \bar{2}) + e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}|1, 3, \bar{2}) + \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1, 3, \bar{2}) \\
& + e^{i\pi s_{13}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{3}, \bar{1}, 2, 1, 3, \bar{2}) + e^{i\pi s_{13}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, 1, 3, \bar{2}, \bar{3}) + e^{i\pi(s_{13}+s_{23})} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, 1, 3, \bar{3}, \bar{2}) \\
& + e^{i\pi(s_{13}+s_{23}+s_{3\bar{3}})} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{3}, 3, \bar{2}) + e^{-i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2|\bar{3}, 1, 3, \bar{2}) + \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}|1, \bar{2}, 3) \\
& + e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1, \bar{2}, 3) + e^{i\pi(s_{13}+s_{2\bar{3}})} \mathbb{A}(\bar{3}, \bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{2}, 3) \\
& + e^{i\pi(s_{13}+s_{2\bar{3}})} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{2}, 3, \bar{3}) + e^{i\pi(s_{13}+s_{2\bar{3}}+s_{3\bar{3}})} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{2}, \bar{3}, 3) \\
& + e^{-i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{3}, \bar{2}, 3) + \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2|\bar{3}, 1, \bar{2}, 3) . \tag{5.38}
\end{aligned}$$

The six variations of the monodromy relations in (A.6) for $n = 6$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 & = \mathbb{A}(3, \bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}|1, \bar{2}) + e^{i\pi s_{13}} e^{i\pi s_{23}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 3, 2, \bar{3}|1, \bar{2}) \\
& + e^{i\pi s_{13}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, 3, \bar{3}|1, \bar{2}) + e^{i\pi s_{13}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}, 3|1, \bar{2}) \\
& + e^{i\pi s_{13}} e^{i\pi s_{23}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}|3, 1, \bar{2}) + e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}|1, 3, \bar{2}) + \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}|1, \bar{2}, 3) , \\
0 & = \mathbb{A}(3, \bar{1}, 2|\bar{3}, 1, \bar{2}) + e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 3, 2|\bar{3}, 1, \bar{2}) + e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{23}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, 3|\bar{3}, 1, \bar{2}) \\
& + e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{23}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2|\bar{3}, \bar{3}, 1, \bar{2}) + e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{23}} e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2|\bar{3}, 3, 1, \bar{2}) \\
& + e^{i\pi s_{13}} e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{23}} e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2|\bar{3}, 1, 3, \bar{2}) + \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2|\bar{3}, 1, \bar{2}, 3) , \\
0 & = \mathbb{A}(\bar{3}, 3, \bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{2}) + e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(3, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{2}) + e^{i\pi s_{13}} e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(3, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1, \bar{2}) \\
& + e^{i\pi s_{13}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(3, \bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}, 1, \bar{2}) + e^{i\pi s_{13}} e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(3, \bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{3}, \bar{2}) \\
& + \mathbb{A}(3, \bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{2}, \bar{3}) , \\
0 & = \mathbb{A}(\bar{3}, \bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{2}, 3) + e^{-i\pi s_{13}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1, \bar{2}, 3) + e^{-i\pi s_{13}} e^{-i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}, 1, \bar{2}, 3) \\
& + e^{-i\pi s_{13}} e^{-i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{-i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{3}, \bar{2}, 3) + e^{-i\pi s_{13}} e^{-i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{-i\pi s_{23}} e^{-i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{2}, \bar{3}, 3) \\
& + \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{2}, 3, \bar{3}) , \\
0 & = \mathbb{A}(3, \bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{3}, \bar{2}) + e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 3, 2, 1, \bar{3}, \bar{2}) + e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{23}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, 3, 1, \bar{3}, \bar{2}) \\
& + e^{i\pi s_{13}} e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{23}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, 1, 3, \bar{3}, \bar{2}) + e^{i\pi s_{13}} e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{23}} e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{3}, 3, \bar{2}) \\
& + \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{3}, \bar{2}, 3) , \\
0 & = \mathbb{A}(\bar{3}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, 1, \bar{2}) + e^{i\pi s_{13}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, \bar{3}, 3, 2, 1, \bar{2}) + e^{i\pi s_{13}} e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 3, \bar{3}, 2, 1, \bar{2}) \\
& + e^{i\pi s_{13}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 3, 2, \bar{3}, 1, \bar{2}) + e^{i\pi s_{13}} e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} e^{i\pi s_{3\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 3, 2, 1, \bar{3}, \bar{2}) \\
& + \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 3, 2, 1, \bar{2}, \bar{3}) \tag{5.39}
\end{aligned}$$

are used to rewrite the corresponding partial amplitudes in (5.38). Thus, the amplitude is reduced to the integration patches

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_3^{\mathbb{RP}^2} \Big|_{-1 < y < 0} & = -\mathbb{A}(3, \bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}, 1, \bar{2}) - e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(3, \bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{3}, \bar{2}) - e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 3, 2, \bar{3}, 1, \bar{2}) \\
& - \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 3, 2, 1, \bar{3}, \bar{2}) + \mathbb{A}(\bar{3}, \bar{1}, 2, 3, 1, \bar{2}) + e^{i\pi s_{13}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 3, 1, \bar{2}) \\
& + \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, 3, 1, \bar{2}, \bar{3}) + e^{i\pi s_{13}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{3}, \bar{1}, 2, 1, 3, \bar{2}) + \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1, 3, \bar{2}) \\
& + e^{i\pi s_{13}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, 1, 3, \bar{2}, \bar{3}) - \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}, 1, \bar{2}, 3) - e^{i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} \mathbb{A}(\bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{3}, \bar{2}, 3) . \tag{5.40}
\end{aligned}$$

Performing the $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -transformation (5.21) maps the interval $-1 < y < 0$ onto the region $1 < x < \infty$ such that the amplitude reads in the new $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -frame

$$\mathcal{A}_3^{\mathbb{RP}^2} \Big|_{-1 < y < 0} = \frac{1}{8} i g_c^3 T_p' \Pi \int_1^\infty dx \int d\tilde{\xi} \int d\tilde{\eta} \langle V_{\bar{1}}(0) U_{\bar{2}}(-x) U_3(\tilde{\xi}) U_{\bar{3}}(\tilde{\eta}) V_2(1) V_1(\infty) \rangle , \tag{5.41}$$

where the integration regions of $\tilde{\xi}$ and $\tilde{\eta}$ correspond to the partial amplitudes in (5.42) below. After the transition $\mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ the above result in (5.40) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_3^{\mathbb{RP}^2} \Big|_{-1 < y < 0} &= e^{i\pi s_{13}} \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 3, 1) + \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 2, 3, 1) + \mathcal{A}(3, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1) \\ &+ e^{i\pi s_{13}} \mathcal{A}(3, \bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 2, 1) - e^{-i\pi s_{1\bar{3}}} \mathcal{A}(\bar{3}, \bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, 2, 1) - \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1) \\ &- \mathcal{A}(\bar{3}, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, 1) - e^{-i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} \mathcal{A}(\bar{3}, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{3}) , \end{aligned} \quad (5.42)$$

which can be further simplified using the following monodromy relations originating from (A.8) for the subamplitudes \mathcal{A}

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathcal{A}(3, \bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 2, 1) + \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 2, 1) e^{i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} + \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, 3, \bar{1}, 2, 1) e^{i\pi(s_{2\bar{3}} + s_{3\bar{3}})} \\ &+ \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, 1) e^{i\pi(s_{1\bar{3}} + s_{2\bar{3}} + s_{3\bar{3}})} + \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 2, 3, 1) e^{i\pi(s_{1\bar{3}} + s_{23} + s_{2\bar{3}} + s_{3\bar{3}})} \\ 0 &= \mathcal{A}(3, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1) + \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1) e^{-i\pi s_{2\bar{3}}} + \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3, \bar{3}, 2, 1) e^{-i\pi(s_{1\bar{3}} + s_{2\bar{3}})} \\ &+ \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 3, 2, 1) e^{-i\pi(s_{1\bar{3}} + s_{2\bar{3}} + s_{3\bar{3}})} + \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 3, 1) e^{-i\pi(s_{1\bar{3}} + s_{23} + s_{2\bar{3}} + s_{3\bar{3}})} \\ 0 &= \mathcal{A}(\bar{3}, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, 1) + \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, 1) e^{i\pi s_{23}} + \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 3, 2, 1) e^{i\pi(s_{13} + s_{23})} \\ &+ \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3, \bar{3}, 2, 1) e^{i\pi(s_{13} + s_{23} + s_{3\bar{3}})} + \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, \bar{3}, 1) e^{i\pi(s_{13} + s_{23} + s_{2\bar{3}} + s_{3\bar{3}})} \\ 0 &= \mathcal{A}(\bar{3}, \bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, 2, 1) + \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, 3, \bar{1}, 2, 1) e^{-i\pi s_{23}} + \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 2, 1) e^{-i\pi(s_{23} + s_{3\bar{3}})} \\ &+ \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1) e^{-i\pi(s_{13} + s_{23} + s_{3\bar{3}})} + \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, 2, \bar{3}, 1) e^{-i\pi(s_{13} + s_{23} + s_{2\bar{3}} + s_{3\bar{3}})} . \end{aligned} \quad (5.43)$$

These reduce the number of partial amplitudes in (5.42) down to two such that

$$\mathcal{A}_3^{\mathbb{RP}^2} \Big|_{-1 < y < 0} = 2i \sin(\pi s_{2\bar{3}}) \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1) \Big|_{1 < x < \infty} + 2i \sin(\pi s_{23}) \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, 1) \Big|_{1 < x < \infty} , \quad (5.44)$$

where $1 < x < \infty$. With a similar calculation the contribution coming from $1 < y < \infty$ is described by the subamplitudes

$$\mathcal{A}_3^{\mathbb{RP}^2} \Big|_{1 < y < \infty} = 2i \sin(\pi s_{2\bar{3}}) \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1) \Big|_{0 < x < 1} + 2i \sin(\pi s_{23}) \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, 1) \Big|_{0 < x < 1} \quad (5.45)$$

and we integrate x from 0 to 1. Again, with the coordinate transformation $x \rightarrow -x$ we end up with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_3^{\mathbb{RP}^2} \Big|_{-1 < y < 0} + \mathcal{A}_3^{\mathbb{RP}^2} \Big|_{1 < y < \infty} &= 2i \sin(\pi s_{2\bar{3}}) \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1) + 2i \sin(\pi s_{23}) \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} g_c^3 T_p' \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \left\{ \sin(\pi s_{2\bar{3}}) F_{\mathcal{I}_3}^{\sigma(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{3})} + \sin(\pi s_{23}) F_{\mathcal{I}_4}^{\sigma(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{3})} \right\} \\ &\quad \times A_{\text{YM}}(\bar{1}, \sigma(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{3}), 2, 1) , \end{aligned} \quad (5.46)$$

with the hypergeometric functions (5.35) and the following two integration regions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_3 &= \{x, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta} \in \mathbb{R} \mid -\infty < x < 0, x < \tilde{\xi} < 0, 0 < \tilde{\eta} < 1\} , \\ \mathcal{I}_4 &= \{x, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta} \in \mathbb{R} \mid -\infty < x < 0, 0 < \tilde{\xi} < 1, x < \tilde{\eta} < 0\} . \end{aligned} \quad (5.47)$$

Altogether, combining the two results (5.34) and (5.46) for the different y -integrations we find that the scattering of three closed strings off an Op -plane is given by:

$$\mathcal{A}_3^{\mathbb{RP}^2} = 2i \sin(\pi s_{1\bar{3}}) \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, \bar{3}, 1) + 2i \sin(\pi s_{13}) \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 3, 1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +2i \sin(\pi s_{2\bar{3}}) \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1) + 2i \sin(\pi s_{23}) \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, 1) \\
= & \frac{1}{4} g_c^3 T_p' \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \left\{ \sin(\pi s_{1\bar{3}}) F_{\mathcal{I}_1}^{\sigma(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{3})} + \sin(\pi s_{13}) F_{\mathcal{I}_2}^{\sigma(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{3})} \right. \\
& \left. + \sin(\pi s_{2\bar{3}}) F_{\mathcal{I}_3}^{\sigma(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{3})} + \sin(\pi s_{23}) F_{\mathcal{I}_4}^{\sigma(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{3})} \right\} A_{\text{YM}}(\bar{1}, \sigma(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{3}), 2, 1) . \quad (5.48)
\end{aligned}$$

Actually, by applying [11] we can state the following two open string monodromy relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
(i) \quad & \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, 1, \bar{3}) + e^{\pi i s_{1\bar{3}}} \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, \bar{3}, 1) + e^{\pi i (s_{1\bar{3}} + s_{2\bar{3}})} \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3, \bar{3}, 2, 1) \\
& + e^{\pi i (s_{1\bar{3}} + s_{2\bar{3}} + s_{3\bar{3}})} \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 3, 2, 1) + e^{-\pi i s_{23}} \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, 1) = 0 , \quad (5.49)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(ii) \quad & \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1, 3) + e^{\pi i s_{13}} \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 3, 1) + e^{\pi i (s_{13} + s_{23})} \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 3, 2, 1) \\
& + e^{\pi i (s_{13} + s_{23} + s_{3\bar{3}})} \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3, \bar{3}, 2, 1) + e^{-\pi i s_{2\bar{3}}} \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1) = 0 . \quad (5.50)
\end{aligned}$$

The combination $\Im(i) + \Im(ii)$ yields the following useful relation:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sin(\pi s_{13}) \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 3, 1) + \sin(\pi s_{1\bar{3}}) \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, \bar{3}, 1) \\
& = \sin(\pi s_{23}) \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, 1) + \sin(\pi s_{2\bar{3}}) \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1) . \quad (5.51)
\end{aligned}$$

Equation (5.51) allows to cast (5.48) into:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_3^{\mathbb{RP}^2} & = 4i \sin(\pi s_{2\bar{3}}) \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1) + 4i \sin(\pi s_{23}) \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, 1) \\
& = \frac{1}{2} g_c^3 T_p' \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \left\{ \sin(\pi s_{2\bar{3}}) F_{\mathcal{I}_3}^{\sigma(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{3})} + \sin(\pi s_{23}) F_{\mathcal{I}_4}^{\sigma(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{3})} \right\} A_{\text{YM}}(\bar{1}, \sigma(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{3}), 2, 1) . \quad (5.52)
\end{aligned}$$

As a result we observe that it is possible to write the scattering of three closed strings off an Op -plane in terms of two open string subamplitudes similar to the case of three closed strings on the disk [4]. Furthermore, our result (5.52) is manifestly symmetric under the exchange $3 \leftrightarrow \bar{3}$. Likewise, the two exchange symmetries $1 \leftrightarrow \bar{1}$ and $2 \leftrightarrow \bar{2}$ can be evidenced. As a consequence, we may write the final result (5.52) in compact form as:

$$\mathcal{A}_3^{\mathbb{RP}^2} = \frac{1}{2} g_c^3 T_p' \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \left\{ \sin(\pi s_{2\bar{3}}) F_{\mathcal{I}_3}^{\sigma(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{3})} A_{\text{YM}}(\bar{1}, \sigma(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{3}), 2, 1) \right\} + (3 \leftrightarrow \bar{3}) . \quad (5.53)$$

Interestingly, three closed string scattering on both the disk and the real projective plane can be written in terms of a basis of two open string subamplitudes. However only in the case of the real projective plane we have the (separate) exchange symmetries $1 \leftrightarrow \bar{1}$ and $2 \leftrightarrow \bar{2}$ and $3 \leftrightarrow \bar{3}$, respectively between left- and right-movers. We conclude by noting that the lowest order in α' of (5.53) vanishes as it is also the case for the scattering of three closed strings on the disk [4]. Consequently the α' expansion of (5.48) only starts at the subleading order in α' , cf. also Section 6.

6 Low energy expansion in inverse string tension α'

In this subsection we shall evaluate the α' -expansion of the amplitude (5.53), building on the results of [9]. More concretely, the hypergeometric integral (5.35) appearing in (5.53)

$$F_{\mathcal{I}_3}^{\sigma(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{3})} = - \int_{\mathcal{I}_3} dz_{\bar{2}} dz_3 dz_{\bar{3}} \left(\prod_{i < j} |z_{ij}|^{s_{ij}} \right) \frac{s_{1\bar{2}\sigma} s_{3\sigma 2}}{z_{1\bar{2}\sigma} z_{3\sigma 2}} \left(\frac{s_{1\bar{3}\sigma}}{z_{1\bar{3}\sigma}} + \frac{s_{2\sigma 3\sigma}}{z_{2\sigma 3\sigma}} \right) , \quad \sigma \in S_3 , \quad (6.1)$$

which is integrated over the domain \mathcal{I}_3 specified in (5.47), need to be expressed in terms of a power series w.r.t. small α' . The six permutations $\sigma \in S_3$ act on the three labels $\bar{2}, 3$ and $\bar{3}$ as $i_\sigma := \sigma(i)$. In (6.1) the domain

$$\mathcal{I}_3 : z_{\bar{2}} < z_3 < z_{\bar{1}} < z_{\bar{3}} < z_2 < z_1 , \quad (6.2)$$

is subject to the fixing

$$z_{\bar{1}} = 0 , z_2 = 1 , z_1 = \infty , \quad (6.3)$$

which may be rescinded by introducing the volume of the conformal Killing group:

$$F_{\mathcal{I}_3}^{(\bar{2}_\sigma 3_\sigma \bar{3}_\sigma)} = -V_{\text{CKG}}^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{I}_3} dz_i \left(\prod_{i < j} |z_{ij}|^{s_{ij}} \right) \frac{1}{z_{\bar{1}2} z_{\bar{1}1} z_{21}} \frac{s_{\bar{1}2_\sigma} s_{\bar{3}_\sigma 2}}{z_{\bar{1}2_\sigma} z_{\bar{3}_\sigma 2}} \left(\frac{s_{\bar{1}3_\sigma}}{z_{\bar{1}3_\sigma}} + \frac{s_{\bar{2}_\sigma 3_\sigma}}{z_{\bar{2}_\sigma 3_\sigma}} \right) , \quad \sigma \in S_3 . \quad (6.4)$$

A more suitable gauge choice for the region (6.2) would be

$$z_2 = 0 , z_3 = 1 , z_1 = \infty , \quad (6.5)$$

leading to:

$$F_{\mathcal{I}_3}^{(\bar{2}_\sigma 3_\sigma \bar{3}_\sigma)} = - \int_{\mathcal{I}_3} dz_{\bar{1}} dz_3 dz_{\bar{3}} \frac{z_{\bar{2}2}}{z_{\bar{1}2}} \left(\prod_{i < j} |z_{ij}|^{s_{ij}} \right) \frac{s_{\bar{1}2_\sigma} s_{\bar{3}_\sigma 2}}{z_{\bar{1}2_\sigma} z_{\bar{3}_\sigma 2}} \left(\frac{s_{\bar{1}3_\sigma}}{z_{\bar{1}3_\sigma}} + \frac{s_{\bar{2}_\sigma 3_\sigma}}{z_{\bar{2}_\sigma 3_\sigma}} \right) , \quad \sigma \in S_3 . \quad (6.6)$$

After introducing the map

$$\varphi_3 : (\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1) \mapsto (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) , \quad (6.7)$$

we may cast (6.6) into the following form

$$F_{\mathcal{I}_3}^{(\bar{2}_\sigma 3_\sigma \bar{3}_\sigma)} = F^{(3, \varphi_3(\bar{2}_\sigma), \varphi_3(3_\sigma), \varphi_3(\bar{3}_\sigma), 5)} \Big|_{\hat{s}_{ij} \rightarrow \varphi_3^{-1}(\hat{s}_{ij})} , \quad (6.8)$$

with

$$F^{(3, 1_\sigma, 2_\sigma, 4_\sigma, 5)} = \int_{0 < z_2 < z_3 < z_4 < 1} dz_2 dz_3 dz_4 \left(\prod_{i < j} |z_{ij}|^{\hat{s}_{ij}} \right) \frac{1}{z_{35}} \frac{\hat{s}_{1_\sigma 3}}{z_{31_\sigma}} \frac{\hat{s}_{4_\sigma 5}}{z_{4_\sigma 5}} \left(\frac{\hat{s}_{2_\sigma 3}}{z_{32_\sigma}} + \frac{\hat{s}_{1_\sigma 2_\sigma}}{z_{1_\sigma 2_\sigma}} \right) , \quad (6.9)$$

with canonical color ordering. In (6.8) the inverse map φ_3^{-1} of (6.7) acts on the six-point kinematic invariants \hat{s}_{ij} to be specified below in (6.14). The six functions $F^{(3abc5)}$ defined in (6.9) correspond to a subset of the following extended set of 120 functions

$$F^{(1_\pi 2_\pi 3_\pi 4_\pi 5_\pi)} = - \int_{0 < z_2 < z_3 < z_4 < 1} dz_2 dz_3 dz_4 \left(\prod_{i < l} |z_{il}|^{\hat{s}_{il}} \right) \frac{1}{z_{1_\pi 5_\pi}} \frac{\hat{s}_{1_\pi 2_\pi}}{z_{1_\pi 2_\pi}} \frac{\hat{s}_{4_\pi 5_\pi}}{z_{4_\pi 5_\pi}} \left(\frac{\hat{s}_{1_\pi 3_\pi}}{z_{1_\pi 3_\pi}} + \frac{\hat{s}_{2_\pi 3_\pi}}{z_{2_\pi 3_\pi}} \right) , \quad (6.10)$$

with $\pi \in S_5$ for canonical color ordering $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)$. The integrals (6.10) integrate to triple hypergeometric functions [43]. An other subset of 24 integrals has been introduced in [9] to describe the six-point open superstring amplitude. In fact, thanks to some (dual)

monodromy relations all these 120 functions can be expressed in terms of a six-dimensional basis $F^{(abc)} \equiv F^{(1abc5)}$ as (with $K_i^* = (K_i^t)^{-1}$) [9]

$$\begin{pmatrix} F^{(31245)} \\ F^{(32145)} \\ F^{(32415)} \\ F^{(34215)} \\ F^{(31425)} \\ F^{(34125)} \end{pmatrix} = K_3^* \begin{pmatrix} F^{(234)} \\ F^{(324)} \\ F^{(432)} \\ F^{(342)} \\ F^{(423)} \\ F^{(243)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.11)$$

respectively. The 6×6 matrix K_3 follows from the corresponding (dual) subamplitude relations and is given by

$$(K_3)_\pi^\sigma = \hat{s}_{36}^{-1} \quad (6.12)$$

$$\times \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\hat{s}_{345} - \hat{s}_4}{(\hat{s}_4 - \hat{s}_{345})\hat{c}_6} & \frac{s_2 - s_4 + s_{345}}{(\hat{s}_2 - \hat{s}_6 + \hat{s}_{345})\hat{s}_{13}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \hat{s}_{35} \\ \frac{\hat{s}_4 + \hat{c}_3}{\hat{s}_4 + \hat{c}_3} & \frac{\hat{s}_2 - \hat{s}_6 + \hat{s}_{345}}{\hat{s}_4 + \hat{c}_3} & -\frac{(\hat{s}_6 + \hat{c}_1)(\hat{s}_3 + s_{13})}{\hat{s}_4 + \hat{c}_3} & -\frac{(\hat{s}_6 + \hat{c}_1)\hat{s}_{13}}{\hat{s}_4 + \hat{c}_3} & -\frac{\hat{c}_{11}\hat{s}_{35}}{\hat{s}_4 + \hat{c}_3} & -\frac{\hat{c}_6\hat{s}_{35}}{\hat{s}_4 + \hat{c}_3} \\ \frac{\hat{s}_1\hat{s}_4(\hat{s}_4 - \hat{s}_{345})}{\hat{s}_{15}(\hat{s}_4 + \hat{c}_3)} & \frac{\hat{s}_4\hat{c}_5\hat{s}_{13}}{\hat{s}_{15}(\hat{s}_4 + \hat{c}_3)} & \frac{(\hat{s}_6 + \hat{c}_1)\hat{c}_3(\hat{s}_3 + \hat{s}_{13})}{\hat{s}_{15}(\hat{s}_4 + \hat{c}_3)} & \frac{(\hat{s}_6 + \hat{c}_1)\hat{c}_3\hat{s}_{13}}{\hat{s}_{15}(\hat{s}_4 + \hat{c}_3)} & \frac{\hat{c}_3\hat{c}_{11}\hat{s}_{35}}{\hat{s}_{15}(\hat{s}_4 + \hat{c}_3)} & -\frac{\hat{s}_1\hat{s}_4\hat{s}_{35}}{\hat{s}_{15}(\hat{s}_4 + \hat{c}_3)} \\ \frac{\hat{s}_4(\hat{s}_1 - \hat{s}_{123})(\hat{s}_1 + \hat{s}_{15})}{\hat{c}_7\hat{s}_{15}} & -\frac{\hat{s}_4\hat{s}_{13}(\hat{s}_1 + \hat{s}_{15})}{\hat{c}_7\hat{s}_{15}} & \frac{\hat{s}_{14}\hat{s}_{25}(\hat{s}_2 + \hat{s}_{35})}{\hat{c}_7\hat{s}_{15}} & \frac{(\hat{s}_6 + \hat{c}_1)\hat{c}_{10}\hat{s}_{13}}{\hat{c}_7\hat{s}_{15}} & \frac{(\hat{s}_6 + \hat{c}_1)\hat{s}_{14}\hat{s}_{35}}{\hat{c}_7\hat{s}_{15}} & -\frac{\hat{c}_4(\hat{s}_1 + \hat{s}_{15})\hat{s}_{35}}{\hat{c}_7\hat{s}_{15}} \\ 0 & 0 & \hat{s}_2 + \hat{s}_{35} & \hat{s}_2 - \hat{s}_4 + \hat{s}_{345} & \hat{s}_{35} & 0 \\ \frac{\hat{s}_4(\hat{s}_1 - \hat{s}_{123})}{\hat{c}_8} & -\frac{\hat{s}_4\hat{s}_{13}}{\hat{c}_8} & -\frac{(\hat{c}_1 - \hat{s}_{13})(\hat{s}_2 + \hat{s}_{35})}{\hat{c}_8} & \frac{\hat{s}_{13}(\hat{s}_4 + s_{24})}{\hat{c}_7} & -\frac{(\hat{c}_1 - \hat{s}_{13})\hat{s}_{35}}{\hat{c}_8} & \frac{\hat{c}_2\hat{s}_{35}}{\hat{c}_8} \end{pmatrix}$$

In the above matrices we have introduced $\hat{c}_1 = \hat{s}_3 - \hat{s}_{234} - \hat{s}_{345}$, $\hat{c}_2 = -\hat{s}_1 + \hat{s}_{123} + \hat{s}_{345}$, $\hat{c}_3 = \hat{s}_2 - \hat{s}_6 - \hat{s}_{123}$, $\hat{c}_4 = \hat{s}_1 - \hat{s}_{123} - \hat{s}_{345}$, $\hat{c}_5 = -\hat{s}_4 + \hat{s}_{123} + \hat{s}_{345}$, $\hat{c}_6 = \hat{s}_4 - \hat{s}_6 - \hat{s}_{13}$, $\hat{c}_7 = -\hat{s}_1 - \hat{s}_3 + \hat{s}_5 + \hat{s}_{345}$, $\hat{c}_8 = \hat{s}_1 + \hat{s}_3 - \hat{s}_5 - \hat{s}_{345}$, $\hat{c}_9 = \hat{s}_2 + \hat{s}_4 - \hat{s}_6 - \hat{s}_{123}$, $\hat{c}_{10} = \hat{s}_1 - \hat{s}_2 + \hat{s}_4 - \hat{s}_5 + \hat{s}_{234} - \hat{s}_{345}$, $\hat{c}_{11} = \hat{s}_1 - \hat{s}_3 + \hat{s}_4 - \hat{s}_6 - \hat{s}_{123} + \hat{s}_{234}$ and $\hat{s}_{ijk} = \hat{s}_{ij} + \hat{s}_{ik} + \hat{s}_{jk}$.

Let us now turn to the α' -expansion of the six integrals (6.1) and (6.6) and likewise the α' -expansion of (6.9) specified by (6.8). With the above preparations the latter are expressed by the α' -expansion of the six functions $F^{(abc)}$ as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} F_{\mathcal{I}_i}^{(2\bar{3}\bar{3})} \\ F_{\mathcal{I}_i}^{(3\bar{2}\bar{3})} \\ F_{\mathcal{I}_i}^{(3\bar{3}\bar{2})} \\ F_{\mathcal{I}_i}^{(3\bar{3}\bar{2})} \\ F_{\mathcal{I}_i}^{(2\bar{3}\bar{3})} \\ F_{\mathcal{I}_i}^{(3\bar{2}\bar{3})} \end{pmatrix} = K_3^* \begin{pmatrix} F^{(234)} \\ F^{(324)} \\ F^{(432)} \\ F^{(342)} \\ F^{(423)} \\ F^{(243)} \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{\hat{s}_{ij} \rightarrow \varphi_3^{-1}(\hat{s}_{ij})}, \quad (6.13)$$

where $K_p^* = (K_p^T)^{-1}$. Note, that the inverse map φ_3^{-1} acts on the six-point kinematic invariants \hat{s}_{ij} as follows

$$\varphi_3^{-1} : \begin{cases} \hat{s}_{12} \mapsto s_{2\bar{3}} \\ \hat{s}_{23} \mapsto s_{1\bar{3}}, & \hat{s}_{123} \mapsto s_{12} + s_{1\bar{3}} + s_{2\bar{3}}, \\ \hat{s}_{34} \mapsto s_{1\bar{3}}, & \hat{s}_{234} \mapsto s_{1\bar{3}} + s_{1\bar{3}} + s_{3\bar{3}}, \\ \hat{s}_{45} \mapsto s_{2\bar{3}}, & \hat{s}_{345} \mapsto s_{1\bar{2}} + s_{2\bar{3}} + s_{1\bar{3}}, \\ \hat{s}_{56} \mapsto s_{1\bar{2}}, \\ \hat{s}_{61} \mapsto s_{1\bar{2}}, \end{cases} \quad (6.14)$$

subject to the constraints (5.8)–(5.10). The methods to find the low energy expansion of the latter has been pioneered in [43] and subsequently been applied and systematized in [44, 45]. By applying these techniques we find, cf. also [9]:

$$F^{(234)} = 1 - \zeta_2 (\hat{s}_{45}\hat{s}_{56} + \hat{s}_{12}\hat{s}_{61} - \hat{s}_{45}\hat{s}_{123} - \hat{s}_{12}\hat{s}_{345} + \hat{s}_{123}\hat{s}_{345}) + \zeta_3(\dots) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^4), \quad (6.15)$$

$$F^{(324)} = -\zeta_2 \hat{s}_{13}(\hat{s}_{23} - \hat{s}_{61} + \hat{s}_{345}) + \zeta_3(\dots) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^4) \quad (6.16)$$

$$F^{(432)} = -\zeta_2 \hat{s}_{14}\hat{s}_{25} + \zeta_3 \hat{s}_{14}\hat{s}_{25} (-\hat{s}_{23} - \hat{s}_{34} + \hat{s}_{56} + \hat{s}_{61} + \hat{s}_{123} + \hat{s}_{234} + \hat{s}_{345}) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^4), \quad (6.17)$$

$$F^{(342)} = \zeta_2 \hat{s}_{13}\hat{s}_{25} + \zeta_3 \hat{s}_{13}\hat{s}_{25} (-\hat{s}_{12} + \hat{s}_{23} + 2\hat{s}_{34} - \hat{s}_{16} - \hat{s}_{123} - 2\hat{s}_{234} - \hat{s}_{345}) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^4), \quad (6.18)$$

$$F^{(423)} = \zeta_2 \hat{s}_{14}\hat{s}_{35} + \zeta_3 \hat{s}_{14}\hat{s}_{35} (2\hat{s}_{23} + \hat{s}_{34} - \hat{s}_{45} - \hat{s}_{56} - \hat{s}_{123} - 2\hat{s}_{234} - \hat{s}_{345}) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^4), \quad (6.19)$$

$$F^{(243)} = -\zeta_2 \hat{s}_{35}(\hat{s}_{34} - \hat{s}_{56} + \hat{s}_{123}) + \zeta_3 s_{35} [-2\hat{s}_{12}\hat{s}_{23} - 2\hat{s}_{12}\hat{s}_{34} + s_{34}^2 + \hat{s}_{34}\hat{s}_{45} - \hat{s}_{45}\hat{s}_{56} - \hat{s}_{56}^2 + \hat{s}_{123}(2\hat{s}_{23} + \hat{s}_{45} + \hat{s}_{123}) + 2\hat{s}_{12}\hat{s}_{234} + \hat{s}_{345}(\hat{s}_{34} - \hat{s}_{56} + \hat{s}_{123})] + \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^4). \quad (6.20)$$

Eventually, in (5.52) the required combinations of (6.13) and their permutations under $3 \leftrightarrow \bar{3}$ comprise

$$\begin{aligned} & \sin(\pi s_{2\bar{3}}) \begin{pmatrix} F_{\mathcal{L}_3}^{(\bar{2}3\bar{3})} \\ F_{\mathcal{L}_3}^{(3\bar{2}\bar{3})} \\ F_{\mathcal{L}_3}^{(\bar{3}\bar{3}\bar{2})} \\ F_{\mathcal{L}_3}^{(3\bar{3}\bar{2})} \\ F_{\mathcal{L}_3}^{(\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{3})} \\ F_{\mathcal{L}_3}^{(\bar{3}\bar{2}\bar{3})} \end{pmatrix} + \sin(\pi s_{23}) \begin{pmatrix} F_{\mathcal{L}_4}^{(\bar{2}3\bar{3})} \\ F_{\mathcal{L}_4}^{(3\bar{2}\bar{3})} \\ F_{\mathcal{L}_4}^{(\bar{3}\bar{3}\bar{2})} \\ F_{\mathcal{L}_4}^{(3\bar{3}\bar{2})} \\ F_{\mathcal{L}_4}^{(\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{3})} \\ F_{\mathcal{L}_4}^{(\bar{3}\bar{2}\bar{3})} \end{pmatrix} \\ & = \pi \left(\begin{aligned} & -s_{12} + \frac{s_{12}s_{1\bar{3}}}{s_{12}} + \frac{s_{12}(s_{12}+s_{1\bar{3}})s_{2\bar{3}}}{s_{12}(s_{12}+s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{2\bar{3}})} + \frac{s_{12}(s_{12}+s_{1\bar{3}})(s_{1\bar{2}}-s_{1\bar{3}})}{s_{12}(s_{12}+s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{2\bar{3}})} \\ & - \frac{s_{1\bar{3}}(s_{12}-s_{1\bar{3}})(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})}{s_{12}(s_{12}+s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{2\bar{3}})} + \frac{s_{1\bar{3}}(s_{12}-s_{1\bar{3}})}{s_{12}} + \frac{s_{2\bar{3}}(s_{12}+s_{1\bar{3}})(s_{12}+s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{2\bar{3}})}{s_{12}(s_{12}+s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{2\bar{3}})} + \frac{s_{2\bar{3}}^2(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})}{s_{12}(s_{12}+s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{2\bar{3}})} \\ & - \frac{s_{1\bar{3}}(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})(s_{12}-s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{2\bar{3}})}{s_{12}(s_{12}+s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{2\bar{3}})} + \frac{s_{12}(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})-s_{1\bar{3}}(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})}{s_{12}} + \frac{s_{2\bar{3}}(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})(s_{12}+s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}}+2s_{2\bar{3}})}{s_{12}(s_{12}+s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{2\bar{3}})} - \frac{(s_{12}-s_{1\bar{3}})(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})}{s_{12}+s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{2\bar{3}}} + \frac{s_{2\bar{3}}^2(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})}{s_{12}(s_{12}+s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{2\bar{3}})} + \frac{s_{2\bar{3}}(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})}{s_{12}} \\ & - \frac{s_{1\bar{3}}(s_{12}-s_{1\bar{3}})(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})}{s_{12}(s_{12}+s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{2\bar{3}})} + \frac{s_{12}(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})-s_{1\bar{3}}(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})}{s_{12}} - \frac{(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})(s_{1\bar{2}}-s_{2\bar{3}})(s_{12}-s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{2\bar{3}})}{s_{12}(s_{12}+s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{2\bar{3}})} + \frac{s_{2\bar{3}}[s_{2\bar{3}}(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})+s_{1\bar{2}}(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})]}{s_{12}(s_{12}+s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{2\bar{3}})} + \frac{2s_{2\bar{3}}(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})}{s_{12}} \\ & - s_{12} + \frac{s_{12}s_{1\bar{3}}}{s_{12}} + \frac{s_{12}(s_{12}-s_{1\bar{3}})(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})}{s_{12}(s_{12}+s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{2\bar{3}})} + \frac{s_{12}(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})s_{2\bar{3}}}{s_{12}(s_{12}+s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{2\bar{3}})} \\ & \frac{s_{1\bar{3}}(s_{12}-s_{1\bar{3}})}{s_{12}} + \frac{s_{2\bar{3}}(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})}{s_{12}} - \frac{s_{1\bar{3}}(s_{12}-s_{1\bar{3}})(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})}{s_{12}(s_{12}+s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{2\bar{3}})} + \frac{s_{2\bar{3}}(s_{12}+s_{2\bar{3}})(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})}{s_{12}(s_{12}+s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{2\bar{3}})} \end{aligned} \right) \\ & + \pi \zeta_2 \left(\begin{aligned} & \frac{s_{12}s_{2\bar{3}}[s_{1\bar{2}}(s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{1\bar{3}}-s_{2\bar{3}})-s_{1\bar{3}}(s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{2\bar{3}})]}{s_{12}} + \frac{s_{12}s_{2\bar{3}}^2(s_{1\bar{2}}-s_{1\bar{3}})}{s_{12}} \\ & - \frac{s_{2\bar{3}}^2[s_{12}(2s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})+s_{12}^2+s_{1\bar{2}}(2s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{2\bar{3}})+s_{1\bar{3}}(s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{2\bar{3}})]}{s_{12}} - \frac{s_{2\bar{3}}[(s_{12}+s_{1\bar{2}})(s_{12}s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}}(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{1\bar{3}}))+s_{12}s_{2\bar{3}}(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})]}{s_{12}} - \frac{s_{2\bar{3}}^3(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})}{s_{12}} \\ & \frac{x_3}{x_4} \\ & \frac{s_{12}s_{2\bar{3}}(s_{12}-s_{1\bar{3}})(s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{1\bar{3}})}{s_{12}} + \frac{s_{12}s_{2\bar{3}}^2(s_{1\bar{2}}-s_{1\bar{3}})}{s_{12}} - \frac{s_{12}s_{2\bar{3}}s_{2\bar{3}}(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})}{s_{12}} \\ & - \frac{s_{2\bar{3}}^2[s_{12}(2s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})+(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})^2+s_{1\bar{3}}s_{1\bar{3}}]}{s_{12}} - \frac{s_{2\bar{3}}(s_{12}+s_{1\bar{2}})[s_{12}s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}}(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{1\bar{3}})]}{s_{12}} - \frac{s_{2\bar{3}}s_{2\bar{3}}(s_{12}+s_{2\bar{3}})(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})}{s_{12}} - \frac{s_{2\bar{3}}^3(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})}{s_{12}} \end{aligned} \right) \\ & + \zeta_3 \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^3), \quad (6.21) \end{aligned}$$

after inserting (6.15)–(6.20). Above we have introduced:

$$x_3 = -\frac{s_{2\bar{3}}^2 [s_{12}(2s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})+s_{1\bar{2}}^2+2s_{1\bar{2}}(s_{1\bar{3}}+s_{23})+s_{1\bar{3}}(s_{13}+s_{1\bar{3}}+2s_{23})]}{s_{1\bar{2}}} - \frac{s_{2\bar{3}}(s_{23}[s_{1\bar{3}}(s_{12}+s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{13})+s_{1\bar{2}}(3s_{12}+s_{1\bar{2}})+s_{1\bar{3}}^2]+(s_{12}+s_{1\bar{2}})[s_{12}s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}}(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{13}+s_{1\bar{3}})]+s_{2\bar{3}}^2(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}}))}{s_{1\bar{2}}} - s_{23}(s_{12}+s_{1\bar{2}})(s_{12}-s_{1\bar{3}}) + s_{2\bar{3}}^2(s_{1\bar{3}}-s_{12}) - \frac{s_{2\bar{3}}^3(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{1\bar{3}})}{s_{1\bar{2}}}, \quad (6.22)$$

$$x_4 = -\frac{s_{2\bar{3}}[s_{23}(s_{12}(3s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{13})+s_{1\bar{2}}^2+s_{1\bar{2}}s_{13}+s_{13}(s_{13}+s_{1\bar{3}}))+s_{1\bar{2}}(s_{12}+s_{1\bar{2}})(s_{12}-s_{13})+2s_{2\bar{3}}^2(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{13})]}{s_{1\bar{2}}} - \frac{s_{2\bar{3}}^2[s_{12}(2s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{13})+(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{13})^2+s_{13}s_{1\bar{3}}]}{s_{1\bar{2}}} - \frac{s_{23}(s_{12}+s_{1\bar{2}})[s_{12}s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{13}(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{13}+s_{1\bar{3}})]}{s_{1\bar{2}}} - \frac{s_{2\bar{3}}^2[(s_{12}s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{23}(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{13})-s_{1\bar{2}}s_{13})]}{s_{1\bar{2}}} - \frac{s_{2\bar{3}}^3(s_{1\bar{2}}+s_{13})}{s_{1\bar{2}}}. \quad (6.23)$$

Employing the expansions (6.21) in (5.52) yields a result $\mathcal{A}_3^{\mathbb{RP}^2}$ for the scattering of three closed strings off an Op -plane, which is manifestly invariant under the three exchange symmetries $1 \leftrightarrow \bar{1}$, $2 \leftrightarrow \bar{2}$ and $3 \leftrightarrow \bar{3}$ up to the order $\alpha'^3 \zeta(3)$. We have checked those by applying BCJ subamplitude relations for the kinematical factors [46].

7 Concluding remarks

In this work we have computed the *complete* tree-level amplitude on the real projective plane involving any three massless closed string states in the NSNS sector. Our main result can be found in (5.53). Our result is interesting both from the conceptual and physical point of view. We could express our findings in terms of a basis of six-point open string subamplitudes and thereby showed that one can connect this closed string amplitude on the real projective plane via KLT-like relations and a $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ transformation to the scattering of open strings on the disk. Surprisingly, however, our main result (5.52) can be written in terms of only two six-point open string subamplitudes albeit the basis of these subamplitudes contains six elements and therefore one might have expected that also the scattering of three closed strings is given in terms of six subamplitudes. On the other hand, our result is in lines of [4], i.e. three closed string amplitudes formulated on the topology of both the disk and the real projective plane reduce to a two-dimensional basis of open string subamplitudes. The open string subamplitudes are dressed by SYM subamplitudes as kinematical building blocks thus being manifestly gauge invariant. We expect that generalizations to an arbitrary number of closed strings on the real projective plane follow the same pattern. In particular, we expect the same dimensions of building blocks as on the disk.

Recall, the tree-level amplitude on the real projective plane involving two massless closed string states has been computed in the NSR formalism with the result [5, 6]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_2^{\mathbb{RP}^2} &\sim K(1, 2) \frac{\Gamma(-t/2)\Gamma(-u/2)}{\Gamma(1-t/2-u/2)} = K(1, 2) \left\{ \frac{4}{tu} - \zeta(2) + 2s \zeta(3) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2) \right\} \\ &\sim \mathcal{A}(\bar{1}, 2, 1, \bar{2}), \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

with some kinematical factor $K(1, 2)$. On the other hand, the tree-level amplitudes on the disk involving two closed string states in the NSR formalism reads [25]:

$$\mathcal{A}_2^{D_2} \sim K(1, 2) \frac{\Gamma(-t/2)\Gamma(-2s)}{\Gamma(1-t/2-2s)} = K(1, 2) \left\{ \frac{1}{st} - \zeta(2) + \frac{1}{2}u \zeta(3) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2) \right\}$$

$$\sim \mathcal{A}(2, 1, \bar{1}, \bar{2}) , \quad (7.2)$$

with $t = -2p_1 \cdot p_2$, $u = -2p_1 \cdot D \cdot p_2$ and $4s + t + u = 0$. The two amplitudes (7.1) and (7.2) are not equal, except at the constant order in α' . In particular, only the amplitude (7.1) exhibits the exchange symmetries $1 \leftrightarrow \bar{1}$ and $2 \leftrightarrow \bar{2}$. Actually, we have:

$$\mathcal{A}_2^{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^2} = \frac{\sin(2\pi s)}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi u}{2}\right)} \mathcal{A}_2^{D_2} . \quad (7.3)$$

On the other hand, $\mathcal{A}_2^{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^2}$ and $\mathcal{A}_2^{D_2}$ assume a rather similar functional dependence in terms of Gamma-functions. It has been speculated in [47] that this pattern continues to hold at higher multiplicities. We find that there is seemingly no direct relation between fully-fledged closed string scattering amplitudes on the disk and the real projective plane. In fact, by comparing²¹ our three-point result $\mathcal{A}_3^{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^2}$ on the real projective plane (5.52)

$$\mathcal{A}_3^{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^2} \sim 4i \sin(\pi s_{23}) \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 3, 2, 1) + 4i \sin(\pi s_{2\bar{3}}) \mathcal{A}(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 2, 1) \quad (7.5)$$

with that $\mathcal{A}_3^{D_2}$ on the disk computed in [4]

$$\mathcal{A}_3^{D_2} \sim 2i \sin(\pi s_{23}) \mathcal{A}(\bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}, 2, 3, 1) + 2i \sin[\pi(s_{23} + s_{2\bar{3}})] \mathcal{A}(\bar{1}, \bar{2}, 2, \bar{3}, 3, 1) , \quad (7.6)$$

we evidence several crucial differences. E.g. already at the constant order in α' the two amplitudes are different. Moreover, only the scattering amplitude on the real projective plane exhibits a symmetry between left- and right-movers.

It would be interesting to derive effective action terms on the orientifold plane following from our amplitude result (6.21) and compare them with similar terms on the D-brane world-volume in lines of [4].

Finally, generalizing our computations to one-loop would be of considerable interest. This amounts to closed string scattering on a Möbius world-sheet and tackling the world-sheet Möbius integrations along the prescription developed in [48, 49] will prove to be useful. Furthermore, taking into account massive strings in the spirit of [50, 51] would also be very interesting.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank Michael Haack for valuable discussions. This work is supported by the DFG grant 508889767 *Forschungsgruppe "Modern foundations of scattering amplitudes"*.

²¹To simplify the discussion in Section 5 and in [4] we have absorbed any prefactors including the string coupling constant and D/Op-plane tension into the definition of open string amplitudes. Hence, for any ordering ρ of a subamplitude $\mathcal{A}(\rho(1, 2, \dots, 6))$ we find that:

$$\frac{\mathcal{A}^{D_2}(\rho(1, 2, \dots, 6))}{\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^2}(\rho(1, 2, \dots, 6))} = 4 \frac{T_p}{T'_p} . \quad (7.4)$$

A Massless open string subamplitude relations

The open string amplitudes on the disk, which have been calculated in Section 3, are the building blocks of closed string amplitudes on both the disk and real projective plane. Hence, it is important to study them in more detail. In general, there are $(n-1)!$ inequivalent color stripped subamplitudes, but they are not independent and can be expressed via a basis with $(n-3)!$ elements [11, 39].

In string theory the world-sheet properties of open string amplitudes imply that under reflection the vertex operators have eigenvalues ± 1 such that the same holds for the amplitude itself [23]. Hence, by applying reflection and parity symmetries we find that the partial amplitudes satisfy

$$\mathcal{A}(1, 2, \dots, n) = (-1)^n \mathcal{A}(n, n-1, \dots, 1) , \quad (\text{A.1})$$

which reduces the number of independent amplitudes from $(n-1)!$ to $\frac{1}{2}(n-1)!$. Note that this is a symmetry that is also well known from field theory amplitudes and follows from studying the sum of Feynman diagrams [11].

Furthermore, algebraic relations between subamplitudes can be derived by applying world-sheet methods. Therefore, let us consider the canonically ordered amplitude in (3.3) and change the vertex operator fixing to some arbitrary positions $(z_{i_1}, z_{i_2}, z_{i_3})$ such that we obtain

$$\mathbb{A}(1, 2, \dots, n) = \int_{-\infty < z_1 < z_2 < \dots < z_n < \infty} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_1, i_2, i_3}}^N dz_i \prod_{k < l}^n |z_k - z_l|^{s_{kl}} \langle \mathcal{K}_n(\{z_p\}) \rangle , \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where we introduced the notation \mathbb{A} to distinguish the amplitude above from (3.1) with fixed positions (z_1, z_{n-1}, z_n) . In the remainder of this section we want to find relations between $\mathbb{A}(1, 2, \dots, n)$ and permutations thereof following the presentation in [4, 11]. Note that (A.2) also satisfies (A.1).

We are only concerned with the Koba-Nielsen factor, since it contains the branch points of the amplitude and therefore prevents the amplitude from being an analytic function of the world-sheet variables z_i . For a specific integration variable z_1 , where $i_1, i_2, i_3 \neq 1$, the corresponding terms in the KN-factor $\prod_{j=2}^n |z_{1j}|^{s_{1j}}$ can be related to a holomorphic function. Explicitly, this can be done by using

$$z^c = \begin{cases} e^{i\pi c} (-z)^c & \text{for } \Im(z) \geq 0 , \\ e^{-i\pi c} (-z)^c & \text{for } \Im(z) < 0 . \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

such that we obtain for example [23]

$$\prod_{j=2}^n (z_{1j})^{s_{1j}} = \prod_{j=2}^n |z_{1j}|^{s_{1j}} \times \begin{cases} 1 & : -\infty < z_1 < z_2 , \\ e^{i\pi s_{12}} & : z_2 < z_1 < z_3 , \\ e^{i\pi s_{12}} e^{i\pi s_{13}} & : z_3 < z_1 < z_4 , \\ \vdots & : \vdots \\ \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} e^{i\pi s_{1j}} & : z_{n-1} < z_1 < z_n , \\ 1 & : z_n < z_1 < \infty . \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Then, we can analytically continue the z_1 integral to the entire complex plane: We integrate z_1 along the real axis followed by a semicircle of infinite radius in the upper half plane rather than over $] -\infty, z_2[$, which is depicted in Figure 2.




Figure 2: Contour integral in the complex z_1 -plane.

The semicircle in the upper half plane vanishes at infinity, since the integrand in (A.2) scales as $z_1^{-2h_1} \rightarrow 0$ for $|z_1| \rightarrow \infty$, where $h_1 = 1$ is the conformal weight of the integrated vertex operator $U_1(z_1)$ in the pure spinor formalism. From Cauchy's theorem it follows that the integral over the holomorphic integrand in z_1 vanishes along the closed contour of z_1 in Figure 2. Hence, we obtain [23]

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz_1 \int_{z_2 < z_3 < \dots < z_n} \prod_{\substack{i=2 \\ i \neq i_1, i_2, i_3}}^n dz_i \prod_{j=2}^n (z_{1j})^{s_{1j}} \prod_{2 \leq k < l}^n |z_{kl}|^{s_{kl}} \langle \mathcal{K}_n(\{z_p\}) \rangle \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{z_2} dz_1 \int_{z_2 < z_3 < \dots < z_n} \prod_{\substack{i=2 \\ i \neq i_1, i_2, i_3}}^n dz_i \prod_{j=2}^n |z_{1j}|^{s_{1j}} \prod_{2 \leq k < l}^n |z_{kl}|^{s_{kl}} \langle \mathcal{K}_n(\{z_p\}) \rangle \\
&\quad + \sum_{q=3}^n e^{i\pi(s_{12} + \dots + s_{1(q-1)})} \int_{z_{q-1}}^{z_q} dz_1 \int_{z_2 < z_3 < \dots < z_n} \prod_{\substack{i=2 \\ i \neq i_1, i_2, i_3}}^n dz_i \prod_{j=2}^n |z_{1j}|^{s_{1j}} \prod_{2 \leq k < l}^n |z_{kl}|^{s_{kl}} \langle \mathcal{K}_n(\{z_p\}) \rangle \\
&\quad + \int_{z_n}^{\infty} dz_1 \int_{z_2 < z_3 < \dots < z_n} \prod_{\substack{i=2 \\ i \neq i_1, i_2, i_3}}^n dz_i \prod_{j=2}^n |z_{1j}|^{s_{1j}} \prod_{2 \leq k < l}^n |z_{kl}|^{s_{kl}} \langle \mathcal{K}_n(\{z_p\}) \rangle \\
&= \mathbb{A}(1, 2, \dots, n) + \sum_{q=3}^n e^{i\pi(s_{12} + \dots + s_{1(q-1)})} \mathbb{A}(2, \dots, q-1, 1, q, \dots, n) + \mathbb{A}(2, \dots, n, 1). \quad (\text{A.5})
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we have divided the z_1 -integration along \mathbb{R} into smaller intervals $] -\infty, z_2[$, $] z_{q-1}, z_q[$ and $] z_n, \infty[$ for $q = 3, 4, \dots, n$. Moreover, we used (A.4) to relate the holomorphic factors $(z_{1j})^{s_{1j}}$ to $|z_{1j}|^{s_{1j}}$ in the Koba-Nielsen factor of the open string amplitude. This process required to introduce the phase factors $e^{i\pi(s_{12} + \dots + s_{1(p-1)})}$ for the individual subsets of \mathbb{R} . Further, this can be interpreted as follows: Each time when we encircle another vertex operator position z_i for $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ while integrating z_1 along the real axis, we pick up a phase. The phase arises when we express the integrand of $\mathbb{A}(1, 2, \dots, n)$ in terms of the integrand of $\mathbb{A}(2, \dots, j, 1, j+1, \dots, n)$ by applying (A.3). For example the subamplitude $\mathbb{A}(1, 2, \dots, n)$ contains a factor $(z_1 - z_2)^{s_{12}}$ whereas $\mathbb{A}(2, 1, 3, \dots, n)$ has a factor $(z_2 - z_1)^{s_{12}}$, which can be related via (A.3) [52].

This discussion leads us to relations among open string subamplitudes [4]

$$0 = \mathbb{A}(1, 2, \dots, n-1, n) + e^{i\pi s_{12}} \mathbb{A}(2, 1, 3, \dots, n-1, n)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +e^{i\pi(s_{12}+s_{13})}\mathbb{A}(2, 3, 1, \dots, n-1, n) + \dots \\
& +e^{i\pi(s_{12}+s_{13}+\dots+s_{1(n-1)})}\mathbb{A}(2, 3, \dots, n-1, 1, n) + \mathbb{A}(2, \dots, n-1, n, 1) , \tag{A.6}
\end{aligned}$$

which are an analogue to the dual Ward identity in field theory. Compared to [11] we obtained a slightly different monodromy relation in (A.6), where we have $\mathbb{A}(2, \dots, n-1, n, 1)$ corresponding to $z_n < z_1 < \infty$, which is not equivalent to $\mathbb{A}(1, 2, \dots, n-1, n)$ corresponding to $-\infty < z_1 < z_2$, because there is no vertex operator with position $z_i \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, the open string subamplitudes $\mathbb{A}(1, 2, \dots, n-1, n)$ and $\mathbb{A}(2, \dots, n-1, n, 1)$ appear with the same phase in the monodromy relation (A.6). Hence, we do not pick up a phase when jumping from $+\infty$ to $-\infty$, since there is no vertex operator localized at infinity. This suggests that they can be combined into one amplitude and in fact they are only parts of the same open string subamplitude,²² which becomes clear in the next subsection if one unintegrated vertex operator i_p is fixed to $z_{i_p} \rightarrow \infty$ for $p \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ [4].

The minimal basis of subamplitudes

Before we use the relations obtained from the monodromy of the world-sheet to reduce the number of inequivalent partial amplitudes, we want to consider the case where one vertex operators is fixed to infinity, which can be obtained from (A.2) by performing a $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -transformation.²³ For simplicity, we choose $z_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that the partial amplitudes can be written as

$$\mathcal{A}(1, 2, \dots, n) = \int_{-\infty < z_1 < z_2 < \dots < z_{n-1} < \infty} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_1, i_2}}^n dz_i \prod_{k < l}^{n-1} |z_k - z_l|^{s_{kl}} \langle \mathcal{K}_n(\{z_p\}) \rangle , \tag{A.7}$$

where two other vertex operator positions (z_{i_1}, z_{i_2}) are fixed to $(0, 1)$. By taking $(z_{i_1}, z_{i_2}) = (z_1, z_{n-1})$ we would recover (3.3) in the $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -frame $(z_1, z_{n-1}, z_n) = (0, 1, \infty)$.

By following the same steps as before we obtain the monodromy relations [11, 39]

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = & \mathcal{A}(1, 2, \dots, n-1, n) + e^{i\pi s_{12}} \mathcal{A}(2, 1, 3, \dots, n-1, n) \\
& + e^{i\pi(s_{12}+s_{13})} \mathcal{A}(2, 3, 1, \dots, n-1, n) \\
& + \dots + e^{i\pi(s_{12}+\dots+s_{1(n-1)})} \mathcal{A}(2, 3, \dots, n-1, 1, n) . \tag{A.8}
\end{aligned}$$

If we now consider the amplitude $\mathcal{A}(2, 3, \dots, n-1, n, 1)$ this would correspond to $z_n = -\infty < z_1 < z_2$, because the boundary of the disk corresponds to the compactified real line, i.e. $-\infty$ and $+\infty$ describe the same point on the boundary of the world-sheet. Therefore, the subamplitudes $\mathcal{A}(1, 2, 3, \dots, n-1, n)$ and $\mathcal{A}(2, 3, \dots, n-1, n, 1)$ are the same, which was not the case for (A.2). Furthermore, the open string subamplitude $\mathcal{A}(1, 2, 3, \dots, n-1, n)$ is given by the combined partial amplitudes $\mathbb{A}(1, 2, \dots, n)$ and $\mathbb{A}(2, \dots, n, 1)$ after performing a $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -transformation, where the fixed vertex operators are (z_{i_1}, z_{i_2}, z_n) in both cases. From $\mathbb{A}(1, 2, \dots, n)$ one could have guessed that there are $n!$ inequivalent amplitudes, but

²²Hence, some $\mathbb{A}(\rho(1, 2, \dots, n))$ do not immediately correspond to open string partial amplitudes with some color ordering ρ of the n open strings, but they can be combined and rewritten such that they will be promoted to open string subamplitudes.

²³This statement is true up to the subtlety that some of the subamplitudes (A.2) have to be combined to yield one open string partial amplitude (A.7).

this identification shows that there are really only $(n-1)!$ independent amplitudes to begin with.

Due to the monodromy relations the dimension of the basis of independent subamplitudes is smaller than $\frac{1}{2}(n-1)!$ suggested by (A.1). To derive the minimal basis following the discussion in [23] we write the set of monodromy relations (A.8) in a more general way

$$\mathcal{A}(1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r, n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) = (-1)^s \prod_{i < j}^s e^{i\pi s_{\beta_i \beta_j}} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{OP}(\{\alpha\}, \{\beta\})} \prod_{k=0}^r \prod_{l=1}^s e^{i\pi s_{\alpha_i \beta_j}} \mathcal{A}(1, \sigma, n) \quad (\text{A.9})$$

where $\alpha_0 = 1$ and the sum over $\text{OP}(\{\alpha\}, \{\beta^T\})$ runs over all permutations of the set $\{\alpha\} \cup \{\beta^T\}$ that preserve the order of the individual elements in both $\{\alpha\}$ and $\{\beta^T\}$, which are subsets of $\{2, 3, \dots, p\}$. Moreover, the expression $\{\beta^T\}$ denotes the set $\{\beta\}$ with reversed ordering of the n_β elements. After using (A.8) and (A.9) the only independent amplitudes left have the external states 1 and n at positions next to each other. The total number of these amplitudes is given by $(n-2)!$ due to the S_{n-2} permutations of the remaining states $2, 3, \dots, n-1$. So far, we have neglected that the amplitudes are real $\mathcal{A}(1, 2, \dots, n) \in \mathbb{R}$, which makes it possible to take only the real part of (A.9) to carry out the reduction to $(n-2)!$ amplitudes $\mathcal{A}(1, \sigma, n)$. Further, the imaginary parts of these relations can be used to find a simpler set of identities. They include one term less than (A.6) and are given by

$$0 = \sin(\pi s_{12}) \mathcal{A}(2, 1, 3, \dots, n-1, n) + \sin(\pi(s_{12} + s_{13})) \mathcal{A}(2, 3, 1, \dots, n-1, n) \\ + \dots + \sin(\pi(s_{12} + \dots + s_{1(n-1)})) \mathcal{A}(2, 3, \dots, n-1, 1, n) \quad (\text{A.10})$$

and relabellings thereof. With (A.10) we are able to write any subamplitude as a linear combination of $(n-3)!$ basis elements. Note that this number is identical to the dimension of the basis of generalized Gaussian hypergeometric functions, which can be used to characterize the open string n -point amplitude [43, 44, 53].

The CFT correlator $\langle \mathcal{K}_n(\{z_p\}) \rangle$ is independent on any permutation of the external states in $\mathcal{A}(1, 2, \dots, n)$ and can be evaluated before specifying the partial amplitude. Only the integration region is different for each subamplitude. Moreover, the branch cuts originate from the Koba-Nielsen factor and not from $\langle \mathcal{K}_n(\{z_p\}) \rangle$, which has only poles with integer powers in the world-sheet coordinates and therefore does not influence the analytic properties of the amplitude. Hence, the results of this appendix are universal for all amplitudes consisting of a correlator with these properties and a Koba-Nielsen factor similar (with the same branch cut structure) to the open string amplitudes considered in this section. Furthermore, the discussion of this appendix does not depend on the number of space-time dimension or the amount of space-time supersymmetry.

References

- [1] J. Polchinski, “Tasi lectures on D-branes,” in “Theoretical Advanced Study Institute in Elementary Particle Physics (TASI 96): Fields, Strings, and Duality,” (1996), pp. 293–356, [hep-th/9611050](#)
- [2] C. Angelantonj and A. Sagnotti, “Open strings,” *Phys. Rept.* **371** (2002) 1–150, [Erratum: *Phys.Rept.* 376, 407 (2003)], [hep-th/0204089](#)
- [3] R. Blumenhagen, B. Kors, D. Lust and S. Stieberger, “Four-dimensional String Compactifications with D-Branes, Orientifolds and Fluxes,” *Phys. Rept.* **445** (2007) 1–193, [hep-th/0610327](#)
- [4] A. Bischof, M. Haack and S. Stieberger, “Scattering three closed strings off a Dp-brane in pure spinor formalism,” *JHEP* **10** (2023) 184, [2308.04175](#)
- [5] M. R. Garousi, “Superstring scattering from O-planes,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **765** (2007) 166–184, [hep-th/0611173](#)
- [6] A. Aldi and M. Firrotta, “Closed superstring moduli tree-level two-point scattering amplitudes in Type IIB orientifold on $T^6/(Z_2 \times Z_2)$,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **961** (2020) 115218, [2001.09468](#)
- [7] C. R. Mafra, O. Schlotterer, S. Stieberger and D. Tsimpis, “Six Open String Disk Amplitude in Pure Spinor Superspace,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **846** (2011) 359–393, [1011.0994](#)
- [8] C. R. Mafra, O. Schlotterer and S. Stieberger, “Complete N-Point Superstring Disk Amplitude I. Pure Spinor Computation,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **873** (2013) 419–460, [1106.2645](#)
- [9] C. R. Mafra, O. Schlotterer and S. Stieberger, “Complete N-Point Superstring Disk Amplitude II. Amplitude and Hypergeometric Function Structure,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **873** (2013) 461–513, [1106.2646](#)
- [10] C. R. Mafra and O. Schlotterer, “Tree-level amplitudes from the pure spinor superstring,” *Phys. Rept.* **1020** (2023) 1–162, [2210.14241](#)
- [11] S. Stieberger, “Open & Closed vs. Pure Open String Disk Amplitudes,” (2009), [0907.2211](#)
- [12] S. Stieberger and T. R. Taylor, “Disk Scattering of Open and Closed Strings (I),” *Nucl. Phys. B* **903** (2016) 104–117, [1510.01774](#)
- [13] S. Stieberger and T. R. Taylor, “New relations for Einstein–Yang–Mills amplitudes,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **913** (2016) 151–162, [1606.09616](#)
- [14] S. Stieberger and T. R. Taylor, “Graviton Amplitudes from Collinear Limits of Gauge Amplitudes,” *Phys. Lett. B* **744** (2015) 160–162, [1502.00655](#)
- [15] S. Stieberger and T. R. Taylor, “Graviton as a Pair of Collinear Gauge Bosons,” *Phys. Lett. B* **739** (2014) 457–461, [1409.4771](#)

- [16] H. Kawai, D. C. Lewellen and S. H. H. Tye, “A Relation Between Tree Amplitudes of Closed and Open Strings,” Nucl. Phys. B **269** (1986) 1–23
- [17] A. Bischof and M. Haack, “Closed string disk amplitudes in the pure spinor formalism,” Journal of High Energy Physics **2021** (2021)(2)
- [18] M. B. Green and J. H. Schwarz, “Covariant Description of Superstrings,” Phys. Lett. B **136** (1984) 367–370
- [19] W. Siegel, “Classical Superstring Mechanics,” Nucl. Phys. B **263** (1986) 93–104
- [20] N. Berkovits, “Super Poincare covariant quantization of the superstring,” JHEP **04** (2000) 018, [hep-th/0001035](#)
- [21] N. Berkovits, “ICTP lectures on covariant quantization of the superstring,” ICTP Lect. Notes Ser. **13** (2003) 57–107, [hep-th/0209059](#)
- [22] E. Witten, “Twistor - Like Transform in Ten-Dimensions,” Nucl. Phys. B **266** (1986) 245–264
- [23] O. Schlotterer, “Scattering amplitudes in open superstring theory,” Ph.D. thesis, Munich U. (2011)
- [24] M. R. Garousi and R. C. Myers, “Superstring scattering from D-branes,” Nucl. Phys. B **475** (1996) 193–224, [hep-th/9603194](#)
- [25] A. Hashimoto and I. R. Klebanov, “Scattering of strings from D-branes,” Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl. **55** (1997) 118–133, [hep-th/9611214](#)
- [26] A. Bischof, “Interaction of closed strings with non-perturbative objects,” Ph.D. thesis, Munich U. (2024)
- [27] C. R. Mafra, “Simplifying the Tree-level Superstring Massless Five-point Amplitude,” JHEP **01** (2010) 007, [0909.5206](#)
- [28] C. R. Mafra, O. Schlotterer, S. Stieberger and D. Tsimpis, “Six Open String Disk Amplitude in Pure Spinor Superspace,” Nucl. Phys. B **846** (2011) 359–393, [1011.0994](#)
- [29] C. R. Mafra, O. Schlotterer and S. Stieberger, “Complete N-Point Superstring Disk Amplitude I. Pure Spinor Computation,” Nucl. Phys. B **873** (2013) 419–460, [1106.2645](#)
- [30] R. Blumenhagen, D. Lüst and S. Theisen, Basic concepts of string theory, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, Springer, Heidelberg, Germany (2013)
- [31] F. A. Berends and W. Giele, “The Six Gluon Process as an Example of Weyl-Van Der Waerden Spinor Calculus,” Nucl. Phys. B **294** (1987) 700–732
- [32] M. L. Mangano, S. J. Parke and Z. Xu, “Duality and Multi - Gluon Scattering,” Nucl. Phys. B **298** (1988) 653–672
- [33] N. Berkovits, “Super Poincare covariant quantization of the superstring,” JHEP **04** (2000) 018, [hep-th/0001035](#)

- [34] N. Berkovits, “Explaining Pure Spinor Superspace,” (2006), [hep-th/0612021](#)
- [35] J. Polchinski, String theory. Vol. 1: An introduction to the bosonic string, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press (2007)
- [36] E. D’Hoker and D. H. Phong, “The Geometry of String Perturbation Theory,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **60** (1988) 917
- [37] C. R. Mafra, “Superstring Scattering Amplitudes with the Pure Spinor Formalism,” Ph.D. thesis, Sao Paulo, IFT (2008), [0902.1552](#)
- [38] C. R. Mafra, “Simplifying the Tree-level Superstring Massless Five-point Amplitude,” *JHEP* **01** (2010) 007, [0909.5206](#)
- [39] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. H. Damgaard and P. Vanhove, “Minimal Basis for Gauge Theory Amplitudes,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103** (2009) 161602, [0907.1425](#)
- [40] A. Bischof and M. Haack, “Closed string disk amplitudes in the pure spinor formalism,” *JHEP* **02** (2021) 206, [2011.10392](#)
- [41] V. A. Kostelecky, O. Lechtenfeld and S. Samuel, “Covariant string amplitudes on exotic topologies to one loop,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **298** (1988) 133–177
- [42] H. Kawai, D. C. Lewellen and S. H. H. Tye, “A Relation Between Tree Amplitudes of Closed and Open Strings,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **269** (1986) 1–23
- [43] D. Oprisa and S. Stieberger, “Six gluon open superstring disk amplitude, multiple hypergeometric series and Euler-Zagier sums,” (2005), [hep-th/0509042](#)
- [44] S. Stieberger and T. R. Taylor, “Multi-Gluon Scattering in Open Superstring Theory,” *Phys. Rev. D* **74** (2006) 126007, [hep-th/0609175](#)
- [45] J. Broedel, O. Schlotterer and S. Stieberger, “Polylogarithms, Multiple Zeta Values and Superstring Amplitudes,” *Fortsch. Phys.* **61** (2013) 812–870, [1304.7267](#)
- [46] Z. Bern, J. J. M. Carrasco and H. Johansson, “New Relations for Gauge-Theory Amplitudes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 085011, [0805.3993](#)
- [47] Y.-X. Chen, Y.-J. Du and Q. Ma, “Relations Between Closed String Amplitudes at Higher-order Tree Level and Open String Amplitudes,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **824** (2010) 314–330, [0901.1163](#)
- [48] S. Stieberger, “Open & Closed vs. Pure Open String One-Loop Amplitudes,” (2021), [2105.06888](#)
- [49] S. Stieberger, “A Relation between One-Loop Amplitudes of Closed and Open Strings (One-Loop KLT Relation),” (2022), [2212.06816](#)
- [50] D. Lüst, C. Markou, P. Mazloumi and S. Stieberger, “Extracting bigravity from string theory,” *JHEP* **12** (2021) 220, [2106.04614](#)
- [51] D. Lüst, C. Markou, P. Mazloumi and S. Stieberger, “A stringy massive double copy,” *JHEP* **08** (2023) 193, [2301.07110](#)

- [52] E. Plahte, “Symmetry properties of dual tree-graph n-point amplitudes,” *Nuovo Cim. A* **66** (1970) 713–733
- [53] S. Stieberger and T. R. Taylor, “Supersymmetry Relations and MHV Amplitudes in Superstring Theory,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **793** (2008) 83–113, 0708.0574