

# AV-Flow: Transforming Text to Audio-Visual Human-like Interactions

Aggelina Chatziagapi  
Stony Brook University

aggelina@cs.stonybrook.edu

Louis-Philippe Morency  
Meta AI

lpmorency@meta.com

Hongyu Gong  
Meta AI

hygong@meta.com

Michael Zollhöfer  
Codec Avatars Lab, Meta

zollhoefer@meta.com

Dimitris Samaras  
Stony Brook University

samaras@cs.stonybrook.edu

Alexander Richard  
Codec Avatars Lab, Meta

richardalex@meta.com




Figure 1. We present AV-Flow, a novel method for *joint audio-visual generation* of 4D talking avatars, given text input only (*e.g.* obtained from an LLM). Inter-connected diffusion transformers ensure cross-modal communication, synthesizing synchronized speech, facial motion, and head motion, based on the flow matching objective. AV-Flow further enables empathetic dyadic interactions, by animating an *always-on* avatar that actively listens and reacts to the audio-visual input of a user.

## Abstract

We introduce AV-Flow, an audio-visual generative model that animates photo-realistic 4D talking avatars given only text input. In contrast to prior work that assumes an existing speech signal, we synthesize speech and vision jointly. We demonstrate human-like speech synthesis, synchronized lip motion, lively facial expressions and head pose; all generated from just text characters. The core premise of our approach lies in the architecture of our two parallel diffusion transformers. Intermediate highway connections ensure communication between the audio and visual modalities, and thus, synchronized speech intonation and facial dynamics (*e.g.*, eyebrow motion). Our model is trained with flow matching, leading to expressive results and fast inference. In case of dyadic conversations, AV-Flow produces an *always-on* avatar, that actively listens and reacts to the audio-visual input of a user. Through extensive experiments, we show that our method outperforms prior work, synthesizing natural-looking 4D talking avatars. Project page: <https://aggelinacha.github.io/AV-Flow/>.

## 1. Introduction

With the rise of large language models (LLMs), such as ChatGPT or Llama, we have entered an era where we can communicate and interact with artificial intelligence and knowledge-based systems using human language. However, such communication is currently largely limited to text only, with some speech-based exceptions like GPT-4o. As beings with spatial audio-visual sensing, humans evolved to communicate best through speech and facial expressions. An immersive and natural interaction between a human and an AI system therefore requires more than just text.

In this work, we aim to get one step closer to closing this gap. We demonstrate a method that synthesizes a photo-realistic 4D avatar, based on text input only, and generates speech, facial expressions, head motion, and lip sync simultaneously. Since listening and reactive expressions are just as much part of a communication as speaking, we further show that we can learn active listening behavior – like back-channeling of expressions – from the audio-visual input from a user. Overall, our approach allows to animate an *always-on* 4D avatar by transforming text (*e.g.*, as obtained from an LLM) into expressive speech and facial motion, and exhibits active listening and expressive reactions, leading to empathetic dyadic interactions.

Our work is closely related to talking face generation, which has been a topic of research exploration for many years. Early works learn phoneme-to-viseme mappings [5, 6]. More recent approaches model talking faces with deep neural networks, conditioned on speech signals, either for 2D videos [24, 57, 93, 95] or for 3D meshes [1, 12, 19, 61]. Generative adversarial networks (GANs) [23] have repeatedly shown appealing results [28, 57, 77, 97]. Currently, diffusion models [25] have taken over the generative modeling space, with VASA-1 [86] achieving lifelike generation of audio-driven talking faces in 2D videos.

However, these works tend to fall short on one or multiple axes. *First*, most are limited to cascaded systems. They assume that an input speech signal is already provided, either as a real recording of a human voice or generated by a pre-trained text-to-speech system, and mainly focus on precise lip synchronization. Connecting these systems to an LLM requires a cascaded approach of text-to-speech, followed by speech-to-vision. In contrast, we propose an architecture that *jointly* generates audio and visual outputs, directly from text. In this way, we achieve natural synchronization of different modalities (*e.g.*, speech intonation and corresponding eyebrow motion) and avoid latency and error accumulation that cascaded systems suffer from. *Second*, existing systems typically focus on monadic settings. They can generate facial animation given text or speech, yet do not consider non-speech cases. In other words, existing systems can actively speak, but they do not generate authentic listening behavior. We demonstrate that conditioning on audio-visual user input creates authentic listening behavior, such as back-channeling of smiles. *Third*, most existing works operate on 2D video or on untextured 3D meshes which lack detail. Our approach operates on high-quality photo-realistic 4D avatars instead.

Our proposed AV-Flow (Audio-Visual Flow Matching) consists of two inter-connected diffusion transformers. Given input text tokens, one transformer generates the speech signal and the other one generates the visual output. Through intermediate highway connections, we ensure communication between the speech and visual modalities. In this way, AV-Flow synthesizes highly correlated speech and vision (*e.g.*, synchronized speech intonation with facial dynamics). With additional conditioning on a user’s video and audio, we allow our system to reason over the user’s behavior and generate avatar motion accordingly, leading to richer expressions, back-channeling of emotional cues like smiles, or affirming head nods. We resort to flow matching [40] as a training objective, in order to achieve fast inference and synthesize human-like speech and 4D visual outputs, that capture natural nuances and expressive motion.

In brief, our contributions are as follows:

- We introduce AV-Flow, a novel approach for joint audio-visual generation of 4D talking avatars using flow match-

ing, given just input text.

- Our fully-parallel diffusion transformers ensure cross-modal interaction through intermediate highway connections, generating correlated speech and visual outputs.
- AV-Flow enables dyadic conversations, by animating an *always-on* avatar that actively listens and reacts to the audio-visual input of a user.

## 2. Related Work

**Audio-driven Talking Heads.** Earlier approaches for audio-driven talking face generation, such as Video Rewrite [6] and Voice Puppetry [5], propose probabilistic models that map phonemes extracted from an audio signal to corresponding mouth shapes (visemes). This phoneme-to-viseme mapping can be learned by hidden Markov models (HMMs) [21, 63], decision trees [32], or long short-term memory (LSTM) units [18]. Synthesizing Obama [71] is one of the first notable works that produces photo-realistic lip synced videos of former U.S. President Barack Obama. Subsequent works propose encoder-decoder architectures, most of them trained as generative adversarial networks (GANs) [23], using large video datasets [9, 27, 34, 57, 75, 77, 94, 95, 97]. Most operate in the 2D space, generating low-resolution videos, while some of them use intermediate representations, like landmarks or 3DMM parameters [4, 93]. They mainly focus on precise lip synchronization, *e.g.*, Wav2Lip [57]. A few enable additional control of head pose [95, 97] or emotion [14, 22, 29, 79, 84]. Recent approaches produce higher-resolution images, by learning a 3D representation of the human head based on neural radiance fields (NeRFs) [8, 24, 42, 88–90] or gaussian splatting [10, 36]. Still, the output is a 2D video and they require a pre-recorded speech signal as input.

Another line of work addresses the problem of audio-driven 4D facial animation. Several works learn to animate subject-specific face models [7, 30, 56, 59] or artist-designed character rigs [15, 72, 96] based on input speech. Works [1, 12, 55, 70, 74, 83], like VOCA [12], MeshTalk [60], FaceFormer [19], and FaceTalk [1] show expressive animation of 3D meshes, accurately lip syncing to speech inputs. However, they do not learn head motion and only use untextured 3D meshes that lack detail.

Diffusion models [25, 66, 67] have recently taken over in the generative modeling domain. They have already shown improved results in talking faces [31, 46, 64, 68, 76, 81, 85]. Some of them operate in the image space, while more recent ones propose latent diffusion models; conditioned on audio, they generate latent face embeddings. VASA-1 [86] achieves lifelike generation of audio-driven talking faces. However, all these can only produce 2D videos. More related to our work, Audio2Photoreal [51] synthesizes photo-realistic 4D humans. While it generates natural-looking gestures, it lacks in lip syncing, and again assumes existing

speech signal as input. In contrast, we propose a method that can animate 4D avatars from just text. Furthermore, we use flow matching [40], which compared to diffusion models, achieves faster inference and better performance.

**Text-driven Talking Heads.** The problem of text-driven talking faces is much less explored. Very early works, like MikeTalk [17], are based on phoneme-to-viseme mappings [73, 78]. Subsequently, neural networks learn text-to-lip positions in the image space [11, 33, 41], but they work on low-resolution 2D videos. Some works propose cascaded approaches, *i.e.*, text-to-speech (TTS) and then speech or latent codes to vision [50, 91, 92]. However, cascaded methods usually are slower and prone to error accumulation. Other works use text for video editing [20], or as a description [13, 39, 45, 80, 84], in order to control the emotional state or the identity of the generated subject. Most related with us are TTSTF [28] and NEUTART [49], which jointly generate speech and video. However, they again work with low-resolution 2D videos. In contrast, we synthesize high-quality, photo-realistic 4D avatars. Through our fully-parallel architecture, trained end-to-end with flow matching, we achieve fast inference and natural-looking talking heads. Additionally, our method enables an *always-on* avatar that actively listens and reacts, leading to empathetic interactions with a user.

### 3. Method

We present AV-Flow, a novel method for *joint audio-visual generation* of 4D talking avatars, driven by just text inputs. An overview of our approach is illustrated in Fig. 2. AV-Flow consists of two inter-connected diffusion transformers, one for audio and one for visual generation. Given input text tokens, the audio transformer generates a mel-spectrogram, through a series of transformer blocks. Correspondingly, the vision transformer generates head and facial dynamics. We design intermediate highway connections that ensure communication between the audio and visual modalities. The synthesized mel-spectrogram is decoded to a speech signal via a pre-trained vocoder. Correspondingly, the predicted head and facial dynamics are rendered to the output 4D avatar with a pre-trained decoder. The overall method produces audio and visual outputs in a *fully-parallel* way. In case of dyadic interactions, we additionally condition on audio-visual signals from a user, which guides the synthesis of natural-looking conversational 4D avatars.

#### 3.1. Representations

Our training data consist of dyadic conversations between a main subject, dubbed as “actor”, and a “participant” (or user). The raw audio includes one channel for each of them. The 3D avatar representation of the actor corresponds to the latent space of a Codec Avatar [43, 82]. For the participant, we have access to a monocular video showing their

face. We first present our basic AV-Flow model, which is trained on the actor’s data only (pairs of audio, head and face encodings). In Sec. 3.5, we demonstrate how we can additionally condition on participant’s information to model dyadic interactions.

**Input Tokens.** We transcribe the raw audio using a pre-trained Wav2Vec2 model [2] for speech recognition (ASR) [52, 87]. We extract the outputs of the last layer (logits), which essentially correspond to text character predictions. We use the logits  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^{29}$  per frame  $i$  as input tokens of our model. At inference time, we can drive our model from raw text alone, by learning a text-to-tokens module that maps raw text to character-level logits (see Sec. 3.4).

**Facial Dynamics.** Our data include facial expression codes  $f_i \in \mathbb{R}^{256}$  per frame  $i$  of the actor, which correspond to the latent space of a VAE [43]. These represent the holistic facial motion, including facial expression, lip motion, eyebrow and eyelid movements.

**Head Dynamics.** Our data also include a 6-DoF head rotation and translation. We convert the rotation matrix to quaternion representation and concatenate with the translation vector, leading to a head pose  $\hat{h}_i \in \mathbb{R}^7$  per frame  $i$ . We train a small temporal VAE on the head poses, with one transformer encoder layer for the encoder and one for the decoder, in order to learn a more robust and temporally consistent representation. We use the latent space of this VAE to encode the head dynamics  $h_i \in \mathbb{R}^8$  per frame  $i$ .

#### 3.2. Architecture

**Diffusion Transformers.** We construct 2 parallel diffusion transformers, one for the audio generation and one for the visual generation. Each of them is based on the original architecture of latent diffusion transformer (DiT) models [54], and consists of  $N$  blocks. We follow the variant of *in-context conditioning*, concatenating input noise with the input tokens. The transformers are trained to progressively denoise the input noise, in order to restore the corresponding signal, and model the appropriate distribution. Formally, for a sequence of  $n$  frames with input tokens  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ , the audio transformer learns to synthesize the corresponding mel-spectrogram  $S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 80}$ , and the visual transformer learns to synthesize the corresponding head poses  $H = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n\}$  and facial encodings  $F = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$ . In order to facilitate the communication between the modalities and achieve exact correspondence of the number of frames and spectrogram dimension, we upsample the ground truth annotations to the rate of the spectrogram bins (at 86 fps).

**Audio-Visual Fusion.** We design intermediate highway connections that enable communication between the audio and visual modalities. In this way, we achieve synchronized speech intonation with facial and head dynamics. Formally, for an output  $x_l^a \in \mathbb{R}^{d_l}$  of a DiT block  $l$  of the audio




Figure 2. **Overview of AV-Flow.** Given any input text, our method synthesizes *expressive audio-visual 4D talking avatars*, jointly generating head and facial dynamics and the corresponding speech signal. Two parallel diffusion transformers with intermediate highway connections ensure communication between the audio and visual modalities. AV-Flow can be additionally conditioned on the audio-visual input of a user, in order to synthesize conversational avatars in dyadic interactions.

transformer ( $l = 1, \dots, N$ ), and the corresponding output  $\mathbf{x}_l^v \in \mathbb{R}^{d_l}$  of the vision transformer, we learn a linear fusion:

$$\mathbf{y}_l^a = \mathbf{x}_l^a + \mathbf{U}_l^T [\mathbf{x}_l^a; \mathbf{x}_l^v] + \mathbf{b}_l, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{y}_l^v = \mathbf{x}_l^v + \mathbf{V}_l^T [\mathbf{x}_l^a; \mathbf{x}_l^v] + \mathbf{c}_l, \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathbf{U}_l, \mathbf{V}_l \in \mathbb{R}^{2d_l \times d_l}$  and  $\mathbf{b}_l, \mathbf{c}_l \in \mathbb{R}^{d_l}$  are learnable parameters. The resulting features  $\mathbf{y}_l^a$  and  $\mathbf{y}_l^v$  are then fed as input into the next transformer block  $l + 1$  of the audio and visual transformer, respectively (see Fig. 2).

**Vocoder.** We decode the synthesized mel-spectrogram to a speech signal using a pre-trained BigVGAN vocoder [35]. We use the base version that is trained with speech signals sampled at 22050 Hz and spectrograms with 80 mel bands. We keep its weights frozen.

**Avatar Decoder and Renderer.** We use the mesh-based Codec Avatar decoder and renderer released in [3, 51].

### 3.3. Flow Matching

Flow matching is an efficient approach for generative modeling, recently introduced by Lipman *et al.* [40]. It combines ideas from continuous normalizing flows (CNF) and diffusion models. It leads to simpler paths with straight line trajectories, compared to the curved paths of diffusion models. Thus, it enables faster training and inference. In this section, we describe an overview of flow matching, that we use to train AV-Flow. We refer the interested reader to [40].

Let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  an observation in the data space (that can be a spectrogram sample or head pose or face encoding in our case), sampled from an unknown distribution  $q(\mathbf{x})$ . A probability density path is a time-dependent probability density function  $p_t : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ . CNFs construct a probability path  $p_t$  such that  $p_0$  is a simple prior distribution, *i.e.*, a standard normal distribution  $p_0(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$ , and  $p_1$

approximates the distribution  $q$ . A time-dependent vector field  $u_t : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  generates the path  $p_t$ , and is used to construct a flow  $\phi_t : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ ;  $\phi_t$  pushes the data from the prior towards the target distribution and is defined via the ordinary differential equation (ODE):

$$\frac{d}{dt} \phi_t(\mathbf{x}) = v_t(\phi_t(\mathbf{x})); \quad \phi_0(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}. \quad (3)$$

The vector field  $v_t$  is approximated by a neural network with parameters  $\theta$ . Flow matching proposes the following objective, that allow us to flow from  $p_0$  to  $p_1$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{FM}}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{t, p_t(\mathbf{x})} \|v_t(\mathbf{x}; \theta) - u_t(\mathbf{x})\|^2. \quad (4)$$

As a tractable instantiation of Eq. (4), we follow the Optimal Transport (OT) formulation from [40] where the flow from  $p_0$  to  $p_1$  is modeled by a straight line. Then,

$$\phi_t(\mathbf{x}) = (1 - (1 - \sigma_{\min})t)\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{x}_1 \quad (5)$$

and the network  $v_t$  predicting the flow from a random Gaussian sample  $\mathbf{x}_0 \sim p_0(\mathbf{x})$  to a data sample  $\mathbf{x}_1 \sim q(\mathbf{x}_1)$  can be trained by optimizing the conditional flow matching objective:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CFM}} = \mathbb{E}_{t, \mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1} \|v_t(\phi_t(\mathbf{x}_0)) - (\mathbf{x}_1 - (1 - \sigma_{\min})\mathbf{x}_0)\|^2. \quad (6)$$

We empirically found that an L1 loss leads to more realistic results than an L2 loss. Therefore, we optimize the objective:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{AV-Flow}} = \lambda_s \mathcal{L}_s + \lambda_h \mathcal{L}_h + \lambda_f \mathcal{L}_f, \quad (7)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_s, \mathcal{L}_h, \mathcal{L}_f$  are the objectives as in Eq. (6) for the mel-spectrograms  $S$ , head poses  $H$ , and facial dynamics  $F$  correspondingly, using L1 norm instead of L2. Once the network  $v_t$  is trained, any ODE solver can be used to solve Eq. (3). We use the Euler solver in our work.

### 3.4. Text-Driven Generation

As mentioned in Sec. 3.1, during training, our input tokens are logits extracted from an ASR model, since we do not have available any text annotations. These input tokens are essentially predictions of text characters. Thus, our model easily generalizes to any input text at inference. To demonstrate this capability, we train a small text-to-tokens model (see Fig. 2), that maps raw text to logits, using the LJSpeech dataset [26]. It follows a similar architecture with [48]. It first maps the input text to phonemes and learns corresponding embeddings. It then predicts their duration and projects them to character-level logits at 86 fps (see also suppl.).

### 3.5. Dyadic Conversations

An important capability of AV-Flow is that it can be easily conditioned to other input signals, that can guide the audio-visual generation accordingly. Using our conversational data (described in more detail in Sec. 4), we demonstrate how we can guide the 4D talking avatar in a dyadic interaction, based on the audio-visual input of a user (see Fig. 2). In this way, we synthesize a *conversational* avatar, that *actively listens* and reacts (*e.g.*, with facial expressions or head nodding), leading to empathetic interactions.

We propose to provide audio and visual guidance from the raw monocular video of the participant. Given each video frame  $i$ , we extract features  $s_i$  using SMIRK [58]. SMIRK predicts FLAME [38] parameters given a single image and faithfully captures a large variety of facial expressions. The features  $s_i = [e_i; j_i; r_i]$  include facial expressions  $e_i \in \mathbb{R}^{50}$ , jaw pose  $j_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , and head rotation  $r_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$  per frame  $i$ . We further extract ASR tokens  $a_i^p$  from the audio channel of the user, similarly with our input tokens for the actor. Both  $s_i$  and  $a_i^p$  are concatenated with the input tokens, giving audio-visual guidance to our method and enabling dyadic interaction with a user.

## 4. Experiments

**Datasets.** We use the publicly available dataset proposed by Audio2Photoreal [51]. This dataset includes dyadic conversations between 4 pairs of subjects. Each session lasts about 2 hours, with a total duration of 8 hours. There are 4 individuals. In each session one is the main “actor” and the other one is the “participant”. The actors are prompted to a diversity of situations, including informal and more professional interactions. Both subjects are captured simultaneously in multi-view capture domes, enabling photo-realistic rendering. The data include the raw audio, face expression codes of the actors, and pre-trained personalized renderers.

In addition to this dataset, we capture an internal dataset of 50 hours in a similar setting. It includes 1 main actor, who is engaged in dyadic conversations with 20 different participants. Similarly with [51], we extract the raw audio at

48 kHz. Applying a simple voice activity detection (VAD), we separate the audio of the actor from the audio of the participant. We extract face encodings and head poses of the full 50 hours for the actor. We also have the raw video of the participant from one camera view and a pre-trained personalized renderer for the actor.

**Evaluation Metrics.** Since our approach synthesizes both audio and vision, we evaluate both modalities. Regarding the visual part, we follow similar works [51, 59, 60] and choose a combination of metrics that capture:

- *Lip synchronization:* We first reconstruct the ground truth and generated 3D meshes per frame. We determine the lip closures when the corresponding vertices of the inner upper and lower lips match (*i.e.*, the distance is almost zero). We compute the F1-score to emphasize the importance of both high precision and high recall [59].
- *Realism:* We measure the Fréchet distance between ground truth and generated face expressions ( $FD_e$ ), in order to estimate the distribution distance.
- *Diversity:* We measure the diversity of the generated head poses ( $Div_h$ ) and expressions ( $Div_e$ ) as the standard deviation across samples in our test set.

An important contribution of our method is the correlation between our synthesized audio and video:

- *Audio-visual alignment:* We calculate the Beat Align Score [37, 65, 93, 98] between audio and head motion ( $BC_h$ ) and between audio and facial motion ( $BC_e$ ). The audio beats are estimated by detecting peaks in onset strength [16, 47] in the generated speech, and the motion beats as the local minima of the kinetic velocity [37].

Finally, we evaluate our synthesized speech signal:

- *Audio quality:* We measure the mel cepstral distortion (MCD), which estimates the difference between mel cepstra with dynamic time warping [28, 35], and the word error rate (WER) to evaluate the intelligibility [53, 87].

**Implementation Details.** In Eq. (7), we use  $\lambda_s = 3.0$ ,  $\lambda_f = 1.0$ , and  $\lambda_h = 0.2$ . We notice that 8 steps for the Euler solver give similar results with 16 or 32 steps, and lead to faster inference. We use rotary positional embeddings [69] and windows of 10 frames for the DiTs. For our input data rate of 86 fps (see Sec. 3.2), this leads to a negligible starting latency of around 120 ms, and real-time synthesis.

### 4.1. Ablation Study

We first conduct an ablation study, comparing our proposed audio-visual fusion with different variants: (a) Separate models: we train 2 separate transformers in parallel (one for audio and one for visual generation), without any connections. (b) Shared weights: we train a single model for both modalities by concatenating the inputs/outputs. (c) Cascaded approach: we learn the audio DiT (tokens-to-speech) followed by the visual DiT (speech-to-video). Tab. 1 shows the corresponding quantitative results. AV-Flow achieves




Figure 3. **Qualitative Results of AV-Flow.** From just raw text characters as input, AV-Flow synthesizes expressive audio signal (shown as mel-spectrogram on top) and corresponding head and facial dynamics of our 4D talking avatar.

	<i>Lip Sync</i>	<i>Realism</i>	<i>Diversity</i>		<i>AV-Alignment</i>		<i>Audio Quality</i>	
Method	$F1_{lips} \uparrow$	$FD_e \downarrow$	$Div_h \uparrow$	$Div_e \uparrow$	$BC_h \uparrow$	$BC_e \uparrow$	$MCD \downarrow$	$WER \downarrow$
Separate Models	0.933	0.981	0.023	0.614	0.218	0.184	1.009	0.179
Shared Weights	0.910	0.862	0.024	0.664	0.208	0.174	0.986	0.287
Cascaded	0.848	1.223	0.026	0.571	0.222	0.222	1.009	0.179
AV-Flow (Ours)	<b>0.964</b>	<b>0.861</b>	<b>0.029</b>	<b>0.680</b>	<b>0.258</b>	<b>0.229</b>	<b>0.900</b>	<b>0.157</b>

Table 1. **Ablation Study.** We compare with the following variants: (a) Separate Models: 2 separate DiTs (one for audio and one for visual generation), without any connections, (b) Shared Weights: 1 model for both modalities with shared weights, (c) Cascaded Method: sequence of audio DiT (tokens-to-speech) and visual DiT (speech-to-video). Our proposed AV-Flow achieves the best results.

the best results across all the metrics. It produces well-synchronized lips, with accurate lip closures, which is crucial for photo-realistic 4D talking avatars. In addition, the proposed audio-visual fusion leads to the best correlation between audio and motion, as measured by the  $BC_h$  and  $BC_e$  metrics.

Fig. 3 shows qualitative results of our method. From just raw text characters as input (no audio available), AV-Flow synthesizes expressive audio signal and corresponding facial and head dynamics of our 4D talking avatar. Notice the accuracy of the lip motion for each phoneme (written at the bottom), as well as the expressiveness of the avatar.

## 4.2. Evaluation

**Baselines.** Very few works for talking faces have addressed the problem of text-driven generation, and even fewer the problem of joint audio-visual generation. To the best of our knowledge, our proposed AV-Flow is the first approach that can generate audio-visual 4D talking heads from only text input. A concurrent work with us is TTSF [28]. However, we identify the following main differences: (a) TTSF only generates 2D videos (not 4D avatars). (b) We propose a fully-parallel architecture with intermediate highway connections, focusing on the audio-visual fusion. (c) Our architecture is trained end-to-end with flow matching, achieving fast inference, compared with the GAN-based TTSF. (d)

We additionally address the case of dyadic conversations, where our model communicates with a user. Since TTSF’s code is not available, we implement their method for our data (TTSF\*), where we train a personalized model that predicts face encodings and head motion (therefore, without any GAN-based losses and identity prediction).

Most of the state-of-the-art methods are audio-driven and focus on the generation of 2D talking faces. We choose to compare with the seminal VASA-1 [86] that similarly with us trains a DiT (but not with flow matching) on the latent space of head and facial dynamics. We adapt VASA-1 to be able to deal with our 3D data (encodings and renderer) and name the variant VASA-1\*. We also compare with the audio-driven face generation of Audio2Photoreal [78], as well as FaceTalk [1]. Note that FaceTalk only generates expressions, not head motion, but focuses on 4D avatars compared with the other 2D methods. Finally, we attach our text-to-speech (TTS) model and convert VASA-1\* and Audio2Photoreal to text-driven methods. For fair comparison with all these methods, in our evaluation we use input audio from our test set that is converted to audio features (audio-driven) or text tokens (text-driven).

**Quantitative Evaluation.** Tab. 2 demonstrates the corresponding quantitative results. AV-Flow produces the best audio-visual alignment, as well as the most accurate lip synchronization. It also synthesizes diverse face and head



Figure 4. **Qualitative Evaluation.** We compare with state-of-the-art methods for audio-driven talking faces, namely FaceTalk [1], VASA-1 [86], Audio2Photoreal [51], and the text-driven TTSF [28] (the only one that can generate speech from text like ours). We re-implement VASA-1 and TTSF (denoted with an asterisk) for our data (face encodings and renderers). FaceTalk only animates the face (not head motion). Our proposed AV-Flow synthesizes the corresponding phoneme (shown on top) more accurately.

	<i>Lip Sync</i>	<i>Realism</i>	<i>Diversity</i>		<i>AV-Alignment</i>		<i>Audio Quality</i>		<i>Speed</i>
Method	$F1_{lips} \uparrow$	$FD_e \downarrow$	$Div_h \uparrow$	$Div_e \uparrow$	$BC_h \uparrow$	$BC_e \uparrow$	$MCD \downarrow$	$WER \downarrow$	Time (s) $\downarrow$
FaceTalk	0.851	0.873	N/A	0.670	N/A	0.209	N/A	N/A	1.443
VASA-1*	0.846	0.887	0.032	0.664	0.210	0.204	N/A	N/A	0.965
Audio2Photoreal	0.920	0.879	0.022	0.586	0.198	0.202	N/A	N/A	1.578
VASA-1* w/ TTS	0.710	2.665	<b>0.033</b>	0.678	0.190	0.201	N/A	N/A	1.265
Audio2Photoreal w/ TTS	0.813	3.289	0.021	0.538	0.168	0.175	N/A	N/A	1.778
TTSF*	0.929	0.962	0.023	0.630	0.233	0.211	1.229	0.285	0.400
AV-Flow (Ours)	<b>0.964</b>	<b>0.861</b>	0.029	<b>0.680</b>	<b>0.258</b>	<b>0.229</b>	<b>0.900</b>	<b>0.157</b>	<b>0.398</b>

Table 2. **Quantitative Evaluation.** We compare with state-of-the-art methods for audio-driven talking faces, namely FaceTalk [1], VASA-1 [86], and Audio2Photoreal [51]. We convert them to text-driven by attaching our TTS (denoted w/ TTS), and compare with TTSF [28] which is the only method that can generate speech from text like ours. We re-implement VASA-1 and TTSF (denoted with an asterisk) for our 3D data. We evaluate the synthesis quality, as well as the inference speed (seconds for generating 20 sec. offline).

motion. VASA-1\* with TTS seems to slightly surpass our method in the head diversity ( $Div_h$ ). However, qualitatively we noticed that this is because it generates more noisy

head motion (higher variance). Our input tokens are also more robust as input: in the case of our conversational data, VASA-1\* generates noisy and random motion during

pauses of the actor. Additionally, we produce better audio quality compared with TTSF [28].

**Inference Speed.** Since our method is based on flow-matching end-to-end, it achieves faster inference than the other methods. We measure the inference speed as the time for a single pass of the model on a single A100 GPU, averaged across multiple runs. We assume audio features stored and omit the renderer for this calculation. The last column of Tab. 2 shows this time in seconds. Using only 8 steps for the Euler solver, AV-Flow needs only around 200ms to synthesize around 20 seconds of audio-visual content (offline speed). With the additional text-to-tokens module, it requires less than 400ms. Our TTSF\* does not include any identity prediction or StyleGAN-based architecture, and thus the inference becomes faster from the original TTSF [28]. In comparison, the text-driven VASA-1\* that is diffusion-based needs more than 1 second for the same length of video generation.

**Qualitative Evaluation.** Fig. 4 shows qualitative comparisons with state-of-the-art methods for talking face generation. We use test audio from the EARS dataset [62], and convert it to input audio features or text tokens accordingly. Since this input comes from a different individual than the training subject, lip syncing becomes more challenging. We show a variety of phonemes (on top) and corresponding mouth positions for each method. AV-Flow demonstrates significant robustness, expressively and accurately animating the 4D talking avatars, under any input text. We also encourage the readers to watch our supplemental video.

### 4.3. Audio-Visual Guidance in Conversations

As mentioned in Sec. 3.5, we propose to provide audio-visual guidance from a participant in dyadic conversations, extending AV-Flow to *conversational* avatars. Very few methods put avatars in this setting, actively talking and listening, although this interaction is common in everyday life. Audio2Photoreal [51] produces listening behavior based on audio input, but cannot react to participant’s expressions.

Fig. 5 shows the same actor talking with different participants. The basic AV-Flow (without any guidance) is shown in the last column. With our audio-visual guidance (3rd col.), the generated avatar reacts with their gaze and/or smile, according to the participant’s expression or voice. Fig. 6 shows the L2 norm of the SMIRK [58] expression codes over time for the ground truth actor, participant, and generated actor with and without guidance. The graph shows how the actor reacts at the same time or before/after the participant, while they interact. With the proposed guidance, AV-Flow produces the appropriate expression, leading to empathetic interactions. We also noticed a 2% decrease in  $FD_e$  for our test set with this guidance (see also suppl.).




Figure 5. **Audio-Visual Guidance in Dyadic Conversations.** The actor reacts (with their gaze or smile) according to the participant’s expression and/or voice (AV-Flow with guidance).




Figure 6. **Guidance over time.** L2 norm of expression codes for the ground truth actor, the participant, and the generated actor with or without guidance. With guidance, AV-Flow produces the appropriate reaction in dyadic interactions.

## 5. Conclusion

In conclusion, we introduce a novel method for joint audio-visual generation of 4D talking avatars, given only text inputs (*e.g.*, obtained by an LLM). Our fully-parallel diffusion-based architecture ensures cross-modal communication, leading to synchronized audio and visual modalities. Trained with flow matching, AV-Flow leads to fast inference and natural-looking talking faces. It also enables dyadic conversations, animating an always-on avatar that actively listens and reacts to the audio-visual input of a user. We be-



lieve that this work gets one step closer to enabling natural interaction between a human and an AI system.

**Limitations and Ethical Considerations.** While our method produces natural-looking avatars, it does not have any understanding of the semantic content of the inputs. E.g., if a user makes a joke without laughing themselves, the model would not know that it was a joke and could not react accordingly. This will be an interesting exploration for future work. In this work, we only use consenting participants. Since our method is identity-specific, only these can be rendered. This addresses ethical concerns of generating non-consenting subjects.

## References

- [1] Shivangi Aneja, Justus Thies, Angela Dai, and Matthias Nießner. Facetalk: Audio-driven motion diffusion for neural parametric head models. In *Proc. IEEE Conf. on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2024. 2, 6, 7
- [2] Alexei Baevski, Yuhao Zhou, Abdelrahman Mohamed, and Michael Auli. wav2vec 2.0: A framework for self-supervised learning of speech representations. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:12449–12460, 2020. 3
- [3] Timur Bagautdinov, Chenglei Wu, Tomas Simon, Fabián Prada, Takaaki Shiratori, Shih-En Wei, Weipeng Xu, Yaser Sheikh, and Jason Saragih. Driving-signal aware full-body avatars. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 40(4):1–17, 2021. 4, 1, 2, 3
- [4] Volker Blanz and Thomas Vetter. A morphable model for the synthesis of 3d faces. In *Proceedings of the 26th annual conference on Computer graphics and interactive techniques*, pages 187–194, 1999. 2
- [5] Matthew Brand. Voice puppetry. In *Proceedings of the 26th Annual Conference on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques*, page 21–28, USA, 1999. ACM Press/Addison-Wesley Publishing Co. 2
- [6] Christoph Bregler, Michele Covell, and Malcolm Slaney. Video rewrite: Driving visual speech with audio. In *Proceedings of the 24th annual conference on Computer graphics and interactive techniques*, pages 353–360, 1997. 2
- [7] Yong Cao, Wen C Tien, Petros Faloutsos, and Frédéric Pighin. Expressive speech-driven facial animation. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 24(4):1283–1302, 2005. 2
- [8] Aggelina Chatziagapi, ShahRukh Athar, Abhinav Jain, Rohith MV, Vimal Bhat, and Dimitris Samaras. Lipnerf: What is the right feature space to lip-sync a nerf? In *2023 IEEE 17th International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition (FG)*, pages 1–8, 2023. 2
- [9] Lele Chen, Ross K Maddox, Zhiyao Duan, and Chenliang Xu. Hierarchical cross-modal talking face generation with dynamic pixel-wise loss. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 7832–7841, 2019. 2
- [10] Kyusun Cho, Jounghbin Lee, Heeji Yoon, Yeobin Hong, Jaehoon Ko, Sangjun Ahn, and Seungryong Kim. Gaussiantalker: Real-time high-fidelity talking head synthesis with audio-driven 3d gaussian splatting. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.16012*, 2024. 2
- [11] Jeongsoo Choi, Minsu Kim, Se Jin Park, and Yong Man Ro. Text-driven talking face synthesis by reprogramming audio-driven models. In *ICASSP 2024-2024 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 8065–8069. IEEE, 2024. 3
- [12] Daniel Cudeiro, Timo Bolkart, Cassidy Laidlaw, Anurag Ranjan, and Michael Black. Capture, Learning, and Synthesis of 3D Speaking Styles. In *Proceedings IEEE Conf. on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 10101–10111, 2019. 2
- [13] Xingjian Diao, Ming Cheng, Wayner Barrios, and SouYoung Jin. Ft2f: First-person statement text-to-talking face generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.05430*, 2023. 3
- [14] Nikita Drobyshev, Antoni Bigata Casademunt, Konstantinos Vougioukas, Zoe Landgraf, Stavros Petridis, and Maja Pantic. Emoportraits: Emotion-enhanced multimodal one-shot head avatars. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 8498–8507, 2024. 2
- [15] Pif Edwards, Chris Landreth, Eugene Fiume, and Karan Singh. Jali: an animator-centric viseme model for expressive lip synchronization. *ACM Transactions on graphics (TOG)*, 35(4):1–11, 2016. 2
- [16] Daniel PW Ellis. Beat tracking by dynamic programming. *Journal of New Music Research*, 36(1):51–60, 2007. 5
- [17] Tony Ezzat and Tomaso Poggio. Visual speech synthesis by morphing visemes. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 38:45–57, 2000. 3
- [18] Bo Fan, Lijuan Wang, Frank K. Soong, and Lei Xie. Photoreal talking head with deep bidirectional lstm. In *2015 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 4884–4888, 2015. 2
- [19] Yingruo Fan, Zhaojiang Lin, Jun Saito, Wenping Wang, and Taku Komura. Faceformer: Speech-driven 3d facial animation with transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 18770–18780, 2022. 2
- [20] Ohad Fried, Ayush Tewari, Michael Zollhöfer, Adam Finkelstein, Eli Shechtman, Dan B Goldman, Kyle Genova, Zeyu Jin, Christian Theobalt, and Maneesh Agrawala. Text-based editing of talking-head video. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 38(4):1–14, 2019. 3
- [21] Shengli Fu, Ricardo Gutierrez-Osuna, Anna Esposito, Praveen K Kakumanu, and Oscar N Garcia. Audio/visual mapping with cross-modal hidden markov models. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 7(2):243–252, 2005. 2
- [22] Yuan Gan, Zongxin Yang, Xihang Yue, Lingyun Sun, and Yi Yang. Efficient emotional adaptation for audio-driven talking-head generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, pages 22634–22645, 2023. 2
- [23] Ian Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. Generative adversarial nets. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 27, 2014. 2

- [24] Yudong Guo, Keyu Chen, Sen Liang, Yong-Jin Liu, Hujun Bao, and Juyong Zhang. Ad-nerf: Audio driven neural radiance fields for talking head synthesis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 5784–5794, 2021. 2
- [25] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:6840–6851, 2020. 2
- [26] Keith Ito and Linda Johnson. The lj speech dataset. <https://keithito.com/LJ-Speech-Dataset/>, 2017. 5, 2, 3
- [27] Amir Jamaludin, Joon Son Chung, and Andrew Zisserman. You said that?: Synthesising talking faces from audio. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 127, 2019. 2
- [28] Youngjoon Jang, Ji-Hoon Kim, Junseok Ahn, Doyeop Kwak, Hong-Sun Yang, Yoon-Cheol Ju, Il-Hwan Kim, Byeong-Yeol Kim, and Joon Son Chung. Faces that speak: Jointly synthesising talking face and speech from text. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 8818–8828, 2024. 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8
- [29] Xinya Ji, Hang Zhou, Kaisiyuan Wang, Qianyi Wu, Wayne Wu, Feng Xu, and Xun Cao. Eamm: One-shot emotional talking face via audio-based emotion-aware motion model. In *ACM SIGGRAPH 2022 Conference Proceedings*, 2022. 2
- [30] Tero Karras, Timo Aila, Samuli Laine, Antti Herva, and Jaakko Lehtinen. Audio-driven facial animation by joint end-to-end learning of pose and emotion. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 36(4):1–12, 2017. 2
- [31] Seyeon Kim, Siyoon Jin, Jihye Park, Kihong Kim, Jiyoung Kim, Jisu Nam, and Seungryong Kim. Moditalker: Motion-disentangled diffusion model for high-fidelity talking head generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.19144*, 2024. 2
- [32] Taehwan Kim, Yisong Yue, Sarah Taylor, and Iain Matthews. A decision tree framework for spatiotemporal sequence prediction. In *Proceedings of the 21th ACM SIGKDD international conference on knowledge discovery and data mining*, pages 577–586, 2015. 2
- [33] Rithesh Kumar, Jose Sotelo, Kundan Kumar, Alexandre De Brebisson, and Yoshua Bengio. Obamanet: Photo-realistic lip-sync from text. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.01442*, 2017. 3
- [34] Avisek Lahiri, Vivek Kwatra, Christian Frueh, John Lewis, and Chris Bregler. Lipsync3d: Data-efficient learning of personalized 3d talking faces from video using pose and lighting normalization. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 2755–2764, 2021. 2
- [35] Sang-gil Lee, Wei Ping, Boris Ginsburg, Bryan Catanzaro, and Sungroh Yoon. Bigvgan: A universal neural vocoder with large-scale training. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.04658*, 2022. 4, 5, 2
- [36] Jiahe Li, Jiawei Zhang, Xiao Bai, Jin Zheng, Xin Ning, Jun Zhou, and Lin Gu. Talkinggaussian: Structure-persistent 3d talking head synthesis via gaussian splatting. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 127–145. Springer, 2025. 2
- [37] Ruilong Li, Shan Yang, David A Ross, and Angjoo Kanazawa. Ai choreographer: Music conditioned 3d dance generation with aist++. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 13401–13412, 2021. 5
- [38] Tianye Li, Timo Bolkart, Michael J. Black, Hao Li, and Javier Romero. Learning a model of facial shape and expression from 4D scans. *ACM Transactions on Graphics, (Proc. SIGGRAPH Asia)*, 36(6):194:1–194:17, 2017. 5
- [39] Jun Ling, Yiwen Wang, Han Xue, Rong Xie, and Li Song. Posetalk: Text-and-audio-based pose control and motion refinement for one-shot talking head generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.02657*, 2024. 3
- [40] Yaron Lipman, Ricky TQ Chen, Heli Ben-Hamu, Maximilian Nickel, and Matt Le. Flow matching for generative modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.02747*, 2022. 2, 3, 4
- [41] Jinglin Liu, Zhiying Zhu, Yi Ren, Wencan Huang, Baoxing Huai, Nicholas Yuan, and Zhou Zhao. Parallel and high-fidelity text-to-lip generation. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 1738–1746, 2022. 3
- [42] Xian Liu, Yinghao Xu, Qianyi Wu, Hang Zhou, Wayne Wu, and Bolei Zhou. Semantic-aware implicit neural audio-driven video portrait generation. *arXiv*, abs/2201.07786, 2022. 2
- [43] Stephen Lombardi, Jason Saragih, Tomas Simon, and Yaser Sheikh. Deep appearance models for face rendering. *ACM Trans. Graph.*, 37(4):68:1–68:13, 2018. 3, 2
- [44] I Loshchilov. Decoupled weight decay regularization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.05101*, 2017. 2
- [45] Yifeng Ma, Suzhen Wang, Yu Ding, Bowen Ma, Tangjie Lv, Changjie Fan, Zhipeng Hu, Zhidong Deng, and Xin Yu. Talkclip: Talking head generation with text-guided expressive speaking styles. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.00334*, 2023. 3
- [46] Yifeng Ma, Shiwei Zhang, Jiayu Wang, Xiang Wang, Yingya Zhang, and Zhidong Deng. Dreamtalk: When expressive talking head generation meets diffusion probabilistic models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.09767*, 2023. 2
- [47] Brian McFee, Colin Raffel, Dawen Liang, Daniel PW Ellis, Matt McVicar, Eric Battenberg, and Oriol Nieto. librosa: Audio and music signal analysis in python. In *SciPy*, pages 18–24, 2015. 5
- [48] Shivam Mehta, Ruibo Tu, Jonas Beskow, Éva Székely, and Gustav Eje Henter. Matcha-TTS: A fast TTS architecture with conditional flow matching. In *Proc. ICASSP*, 2024. 5, 2
- [49] Georgios Milis, Panagiotis P Filntisis, Anastasios Roussos, and Petros Maragos. Neural text to articulate talk: Deep text to audiovisual speech synthesis achieving both auditory and photo-realism. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.06613*, 2023. 3
- [50] Kentaro Mitsui, Yukiya Hono, and Kei Sawada. Uniflg: Unified facial landmark generator from text or speech. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.14337*, 2023. 3
- [51] Evonne Ng, Javier Romero, Timur Bagautdinov, Shaojie Bai, Trevor Darrell, Angjoo Kanazawa, and Alexander Richard. From audio to photoreal embodiment: Synthesizing humans

- in conversations. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1001–1010, 2024. [2](#), [4](#), [5](#), [7](#), [8](#), [1](#), [3](#)
- [52] Vassil Panayotov, Guoguo Chen, Daniel Povey, and Sanjeev Khudanpur. Librispeech: an asr corpus based on public domain audio books. In *2015 IEEE international conference on acoustics, speech and signal processing (ICASSP)*, pages 5206–5210. IEEE, 2015. [3](#), [2](#)
- [53] Adam Paszke, Sam Gross, Francisco Massa, Adam Lerer, James Bradbury, Gregory Chanan, Trevor Killeen, Zeming Lin, Natalia Gimelshein, Luca Antiga, et al. Pytorch: An imperative style, high-performance deep learning library. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 32, 2019. [5](#), [2](#)
- [54] William Peebles and Saining Xie. Scalable diffusion models with transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 4195–4205, 2023. [3](#)
- [55] Ziqiao Peng, Haoyu Wu, Zhenbo Song, Hao Xu, Xiangyu Zhu, Jun He, Hongyan Liu, and Zhaoxin Fan. Emotalk: Speech-driven emotional disentanglement for 3d face animation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, pages 20687–20697, 2023. [2](#)
- [56] Hai X Pham, Samuel Cheung, and Vladimir Pavlovic. Speech-driven 3D facial animation with implicit emotional awareness: a deep learning approach. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition workshops*, pages 80–88, 2017. [2](#)
- [57] K R Prajwal, Rudrabha Mukhopadhyay, Vinay P. Nambodiri, and C.V. Jawahar. A lip sync expert is all you need for speech to lip generation in the wild. In *Proceedings of the 28th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, page 484–492, 2020. [2](#)
- [58] George Retsinas, Panagiotis P. Filntisis, Radek Danecek, Victoria F. Abrevaya, Anastasios Roussos, Timo Bolkart, and Petros Maragos. 3d facial expressions through analysis-by-neural-synthesis. In *Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2024. [5](#), [8](#), [1](#)
- [59] Alexander Richard, Colin Lea, Shugao Ma, Jurgen Gall, Fernando De la Torre, and Yaser Sheikh. Audio-and gaze-driven facial animation of codec avatars. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF winter conference on applications of computer vision*, pages 41–50, 2021. [2](#), [5](#)
- [60] Alexander Richard, Michael Zollhöfer, Yandong Wen, Fernando De la Torre, and Yaser Sheikh. Meshtalk: 3d face animation from speech using cross-modality disentanglement. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 1173–1182, 2021. [2](#), [5](#)
- [61] Alexander Richard, Michael Zollhöfer, Yandong Wen, Fernando De la Torre, and Yaser Sheikh. MeshTalk: 3D Face Animation from Speech using Cross-Modality Disentanglement. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.08223*, 2021. [2](#)
- [62] Julius Richter, Yi-Chiao Wu, Steven Krenn, Simon Welker, Bunlong Lay, Shinjii Watanabe, Alexander Richard, and Timo Gerkmann. EARS: An anechoic fullband speech dataset benchmarked for speech enhancement and dereverberation. In *Interspeech*, 2024. [8](#), [3](#)
- [63] Shinji Sako, Keiichi Tokuda, Takashi Masuko, Takao Kobayashi, and Tadashi Kitamura. Hmm-based text-to-audio-visual speech synthesis. In *Sixth International Conference on Spoken Language Processing*, 2000. [2](#)
- [64] Shuai Shen, Wenliang Zhao, Zibin Meng, Wanhua Li, Zheng Zhu, Jie Zhou, and Jiwen Lu. DiffTalk: Crafting diffusion models for generalized audio-driven portraits animation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1982–1991, 2023. [2](#)
- [65] Li Siyao, Weijiang Yu, Tianpei Gu, Chunze Lin, Quan Wang, Chen Qian, Chen Change Loy, and Ziwei Liu. Bailando: 3d dance generation via actor-critic gpt with choreographic memory. In *CVPR*, 2022. [5](#)
- [66] Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. Denoising diffusion implicit models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.02502*, 2020. [2](#)
- [67] Yang Song, Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Diederik P Kingma, Abhishek Kumar, Stefano Ermon, and Ben Poole. Score-based generative modeling through stochastic differential equations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.13456*, 2020. [2](#)
- [68] Michal Stypulkowski, Konstantinos Vougioukas, Sen He, Maciej Zieba, Stavros Petridis, and Maja Pantic. Diffused heads: Diffusion models beat gans on talking-face generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pages 5091–5100, 2024. [2](#)
- [69] Jianlin Su, Murtadha Ahmed, Yu Lu, Shengfeng Pan, Wen Bo, and Yunfeng Liu. Roformer: Enhanced transformer with rotary position embedding. *Neurocomputing*, 568:127063, 2024. [5](#)
- [70] Zhiyao Sun, Tian Lv, Sheng Ye, Matthieu Lin, Jenny Sheng, Yu-Hui Wen, Minjing Yu, and Yong-jin Liu. Diffposetalk: Speech-driven stylistic 3d facial animation and head pose generation via diffusion models. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 43(4):1–9, 2024. [2](#)
- [71] Supasorn Suwajanakorn, Steven M Seitz, and Ira Kemelmacher-Shlizerman. Synthesizing obama: learning lip sync from audio. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (ToG)*, 36(4):1–13, 2017. [2](#)
- [72] Sarah Taylor, Taehwan Kim, Yisong Yue, Moshe Mahler, James Krahe, Anastasio Garcia Rodriguez, Jessica Hodgins, and Iain Matthews. A deep learning approach for generalized speech animation. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 36(4):1–11, 2017. [2](#)
- [73] Sarah L Taylor, Moshe Mahler, Barry-John Theobald, and Iain Matthews. Dynamic units of visual speech. In *Proceedings of the 11th ACM SIGGRAPH/Eurographics conference on Computer Animation*, pages 275–284, 2012. [3](#)
- [74] Balamurugan Thambiraja, Ikhsanul Habibie, Sadegh Aliakbarian, Darren Cosker, Christian Theobald, and Justus Thies. Imitator: Personalized speech-driven 3d facial animation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 20621–20631, 2023. [2](#)
- [75] Justus Thies, Mohamed Elgharib, Ayush Tewari, Christian Theobald, and Matthias Nießner. Neural voice puppetry: Audio-driven facial reenactment. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 716–731. Springer, 2020. [2](#)
- [76] Linrui Tian, Qi Wang, Bang Zhang, and Liefeng Bo. Emo: Emote portrait alive-generating expressive portrait videos

- with audio2video diffusion model under weak conditions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.17485*, 2024. 2
- [77] Konstantinos Vougioukas, Stavros Petridis, and Maja Pantic. End-to-end speech-driven realistic facial animation with temporal gans. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR) Workshops*, 2019. 2
- [78] Vincent Wan, Robert Anderson, Art Blokland, Norbert Braunschweiler, Langzhou Chen, BalaKrishna Kolluru, Javier Latorre, Ranniery Maia, Björn Stenger, Kayoko Yanagisawa, et al. Photo-realistic expressive text to talking head synthesis. In *INTERSPEECH*, pages 2667–2669, 2013. 3, 6
- [79] Duomin Wang, Yu Deng, Zixin Yin, Heung-Yeung Shum, and Baoyuan Wang. Progressive disentangled representation learning for fine-grained controllable talking head synthesis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2023. 2
- [80] Yuchi Wang, Junliang Guo, Jianhong Bai, Runyi Yu, Tianyu He, Xu Tan, Xu Sun, and Jiang Bian. Instructavatar: Text-guided emotion and motion control for avatar generation, 2024. 3
- [81] Huawei Wei, Zejun Yang, and Zhisheng Wang. Aniportrait: Audio-driven synthesis of photorealistic portrait animations, 2024. 2
- [82] Cheng-hsin Wu, Ningyuan Zheng, Scott Ardisson, Rohan Bali, Danielle Belko, Eric Brockmeyer, Lucas Evans, Timothy Godisart, Hyowon Ha, Xuhua Huang, et al. Multi-face: A dataset for neural face rendering. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.11243*, 2022. 3
- [83] Jinbo Xing, Menghan Xia, Yuechen Zhang, Xiaodong Cun, Jue Wang, and Tien-Tsin Wong. Codetalker: Speech-driven 3d facial animation with discrete motion prior. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 12780–12790, 2023. 2
- [84] Chao Xu, Junwei Zhu, Jiangning Zhang, Yue Han, Wenqing Chu, Ying Tai, Chengjie Wang, Zhifeng Xie, and Yong Liu. High-fidelity generalized emotional talking face generation with multi-modal emotion space learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 6609–6619, 2023. 2, 3
- [85] Mingwang Xu, Hui Li, Qingkun Su, Hanlin Shang, Liwei Zhang, Ce Liu, Jingdong Wang, Yao Yao, and Siyu zhu. Hallo: Hierarchical audio-driven visual synthesis for portrait image animation, 2024. 2
- [86] Sicheng Xu, Guojun Chen, Yu-Xiao Guo, Jiaolong Yang, Chong Li, Zhenyu Zang, Yizhong Zhang, Xin Tong, and Baining Guo. Vasa-1: Lifelike audio-driven talking faces generated in real time. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.10667*, 2024. 2, 6, 7
- [87] Yao-Yuan Yang, Moto Hira, Zhaoheng Ni, Anjali Chourdia, Artyom Astafurov, Caroline Chen, Ching-Feng Yeh, Christian Puhersch, David Pollack, Dmitriy Genzel, Donny Greenberg, Edward Z. Yang, Jason Lian, Jay Mahadeokar, Jeff Hwang, Ji Chen, Peter Goldsborough, Prabhat Roy, Sean Narenthiran, Shinji Watanabe, Soumith Chintala, Vincent Quenneville-Bélaïr, and Yangyang Shi. Torchaudio: Building blocks for audio and speech processing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.15018*, 2021. 3, 5, 2
- [88] Shunyu Yao, RuiZhe Zhong, Yichao Yan, Guangtao Zhai, and Xiaokang Yang. DFA-NeRF: Personalized Talking Head Generation via Disentangled Face Attributes Neural Rendering. *arXiv*, abs/2201.00791, 2022. 2
- [89] Zhenhui Ye, Jinzheng He, Ziyue Jiang, Rongjie Huang, Jiawei Huang, Jinglin Liu, Yi Ren, Xiang Yin, Zejun Ma, and Zhou Zhao. Geneface++: Generalized and stable real-time audio-driven 3d talking face generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.00787*, 2023.
- [90] Zhenhui Ye, Ziyue Jiang, Yi Ren, Jinglin Liu, Jinzheng He, and Zhou Zhao. Geneface: Generalized and high-fidelity audio-driven 3d talking face synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.13430*, 2023. 2
- [91] Zhenhui Ye, Ziyue Jiang, Yi Ren, Jinglin Liu, Chen Zhang, Xiang Yin, Zejun Ma, and Zhou Zhao. Ada-tta: Towards adaptive high-quality text-to-talking avatar synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.03504*, 2023. 3
- [92] Sibozhang, Jiahong Yuan, Miao Liao, and Liangjun Zhang. Text2video: Text-driven talking-head video synthesis with personalized phoneme-pose dictionary. In *ICASSP 2022-2022 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 2659–2663. IEEE, 2022. 3
- [93] Wenxuan Zhang, Xiaodong Cun, Xuan Wang, Yong Zhang, Xi Shen, Yu Guo, Ying Shan, and Fei Wang. Sadtalker: Learning realistic 3d motion coefficients for stylized audio-driven single image talking face animation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 8652–8661, 2023. 2, 5
- [94] Hang Zhou, Yu Liu, Ziwei Liu, Ping Luo, and Xiaogang Wang. Talking face generation by adversarially disentangled audio-visual representation. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 9299–9306, 2019. 2
- [95] Hang Zhou, Yasheng Sun, Wayne Wu, Chen Change Loy, Xiaogang Wang, and Ziwei Liu. Pose-controllable talking face generation by implicitly modularized audio-visual representation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2021. 2
- [96] Yang Zhou, Zhan Xu, Chris Landreth, Evangelos Kalogerakis, Subhansu Maji, and Karan Singh. Visemenet: Audio-driven animator-centric speech animation. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 37(4):1–10, 2018. 2
- [97] Yang Zhou, Xintong Han, Eli Shechtman, Jose Echevarria, Evangelos Kalogerakis, and Dingzeyu Li. Makeittalk: Speaker-aware talking-head animation. *ACM Transactions on Graphics*, 39(6), 2020. 2
- [98] Lingting Zhu, Xian Liu, Xuanyu Liu, Rui Qian, Ziwei Liu, and Lequan Yu. Taming diffusion models for audio-driven co-speech gesture generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 10544–10553, 2023. 5

# AV-Flow: Transforming Text to Audio-Visual Human-like Interactions

## Supplementary Material

### Contents

The supplementary document is organized as follows:

- A. Additional Results
- B. Implementation Details
- C. Ethical Considerations

We strongly encourage the readers to watch our supplementary video.

### A. Additional Results

**Audio-Visual Guidance.** As mentioned in Sec. 3.5, we propose to provide audio-visual guidance from a participant in dyadic conversations. We condition the model to visual information, by extracting features  $s_i$  using SMIRK [58] from the monocular video of the participant. For the audio, we further extract ASR tokens  $\alpha_i^p$  from the audio channel of the participant. We notice that both modalities are important, in order to produce realistic and meaningful interactions. However, overall for our conversational data, the results feel realistic even if we condition on only one modality. In Fig. 7, we demonstrate some cases where we notice some difference when only one modality is available. In the first row, the avatar better mirrors the expression, with a wider smile, when visual information is present. In the second row, it produces a realistic but unnecessary smile with only visual guidance, whereas it loses eye contact with only audio guidance. In the third row, the audio seems to play an important role that makes the user to smile. Overall, since we have available both audio and video, we propose to condition AV-Flow to both modalities to produce our photo-realistic *always-on* avatar.

Tab. 3 shows the quantitative results for the basic AV-Flow without guidance and with audio-visual guidance. We compute the F1-score for the lip closures, as well as the F1-score for the smiles. The lip closures are detected by measuring the distance of the vertices of the inner upper and lower lips for the 3D mesh per frame. Similarly, smiling is detected when the distance of the left and right corners of the mouth is larger than a threshold. We also compute the Fréchet distance between ground truth and generated face expressions ( $FD_e$ ) to estimate the distribution distance. With our proposed guidance, we notice an increase in F1-score for the smiles and a decrease in  $FD_e$  for the dyadic setting, as the avatar produces more realistic reactions and facial expressions while listening to the user. Our basic AV-Flow achieves a slightly more accurate lip synchronization.

**Audio-Visual Alignment.** We design intermediate highway connections that enable communication between the audio and visual diffusion transformers. In our ablation




Figure 7. **Audio-Visual Guidance.** AV-Flow with audio-visual guidance produces more realistic expressions while listening. In audio-only guidance, the avatar might lose eye contact or not mirror a smile. In visual-only guidance, it might produce more smiles than needed.

Method	F1 <sub>lips</sub> ↑	F1 <sub>smiles</sub> ↑	FD <sub>e</sub> ↓
AV-Flow w/o guidance	<b>0.964</b>	0.611	0.861
AV-Flow w/ guidance	0.933	<b>0.685</b>	<b>0.845</b>

Table 3. **AV-Flow with or without Guidance.** In dyadic conversations, the proposed audio-visual guidance leads to more realistic reactions and facial expressions, while the avatar is listening to the user. Without guidance, our basic AV-Flow achieves slightly more accurate lip synchronization.

study in Sec. 4.1, we notice that our proposed audio-visual fusion leads to the best correlation between audio and motion, as measured by the  $BC_h$  and  $BC_e$  metrics. This audio-visual correlation is also shown in Fig. 9. We observe patterns where the energy of the facial motion matches the energy of the corresponding synthesized audio (plotted as the normalized squared L2-norm of the generated facial dynamics and mel-spectrogram over time). We compare with the variant of the separate models, without any cross-modal connections, where the correlation is lower.

**Additional Qualitative Results.** Fig. 8 shows additional qualitative results of our method, rendered in frontal and side views. We use pre-trained personalized renderers [3, 51] that produce photo-realistic 3D avatars. Therefore, we can render the generated avatars from any viewpoint. In this



Figure 8. **Qualitative Results of AV-Flow.** We show frontal and side views for corresponding phonemes. We use pre-trained personalized renderers [3] that synthesize photo-realistic 3D avatars.




Figure 9. **Audio-Visual Alignment.** Correlation between synthesized speech and facial motion by AV-Flow, compared to the variant of separate models without any connections. Energy is estimated as the normalized squared L2-norm of the generated facial dynamics and mel-spectrogram over time.

work, we are mostly interested in the facial expression, lip synchronization and realistic head motion over time. We only provide the side views for completeness. We refer the interested reader to [3, 43] for more details in Codec Avatars.

**Video Results.** We encourage the readers to watch our supplementary video.

## B. Implementation Details

**Text-to-Tokens.** Since our training dataset does not include any text annotations, we extract tokens (logits) from the raw audio using an ASR model (see Sec. 3.1). At inference time, in order to be able to synthesize audio-visual content directly from text characters, we learn a text-to-tokens model. Inspired by the architecture proposed by Matcha-TTS [48], we first map the input text to phonemes. We learn phoneme embeddings (192-dimensional) that are

passed through a text encoder of 3 1D convolutional layers. A duration predictor gives their duration. A diffusion transformer of 3 layers maps the features to logits, which can be used as input tokens to our model. We follow the rest hyperparameters, architecture and training with flow matching of Matcha-TTS [48]. Our main difference is that we predict character-level logits, not mel-spectrograms. We use LJSpeech [26] to train our text-to-tokens model.

**Architecture.** We use  $N = 8$  blocks for our audio and visual DiTs. We first project the inputs to 512-dimensional through a linear layer. Each transformer block has input and output dimensions of 512, hidden size of 1024, and 4 heads for the multi-head self-attention. We use windows of 10 frames, looking only 2 frames in the future. With this windowing, we achieve only 120ms latency, as mentioned in Sec. 4. We have also tried windows of 20 frames, getting similar results, but a bit higher latency. As described in Sec. 3.2, we upsample the data at 86fps to achieve exact correspondence between audio and video. We extract mel-spectrograms following the same extraction as BigVGAN [35]. In this way, we directly use the pre-trained BigVGAN as our vocoder to get the output speech signal. Our input tokens are extracted from a pre-trained Wav2Vec2 model with the base architecture, that is trained for ASR using 960 hours of unlabeled audio from the LibriSpeech dataset [52, 53, 87].

**Training.** During training, we use a batch size of 16 segments. Each segment corresponds to a duration of 20 seconds. We set  $\sigma_{\min} = 10^{-6}$ . Our implementation is based on PyTorch [53]. We use AdamW optimizer [44] with a learning rate of  $10^{-4}$ , and hyperparameters  $\beta_1 = 0.9$ ,  $\beta_2 = 0.98$ ,  $\epsilon = 10^{-9}$ . We train AV-Flow for about 36 hours (1 million iterations) on a single A100 GPU.

## C. Ethical Considerations

We use the publicly available dataset proposed by Audio2Photoreal [51]. We also collected an additional dataset of 50 hours in a similar setting. Both datasets include dyadic conversations between individuals. The data include raw audio and video, as well as face expression codes and head poses for the actors, paired with pre-trained personalized renderers [3, 43]. During collection of the data, we have followed appropriate procedures and all individuals have provided their full consent for our research work. Our model is identity-specific and thus, only those individuals can be rendered and no one else. This addresses ethical concerns of generating subjects without their consent, or generating misleading content. We have also used audio from the EARS dataset [62] to test lip synchronization to custom input speech and the widely used LJSpeech [26] to train our text-to-tokens module.

Although we have strictly followed all these procedures in collecting and using our data, we would like to note the potential misuse of similar technologies in generating photo-realistic human avatars. Apart from the benefits for education, virtual communication, healthcare, etc, there is still the possibility of generating misleading content. Research on fake content detection and forensics is crucial. We intend to release our source code to help improving such research.