

# The cosmological Mass Varying Neutrino model in the late universe

Olga Avsajanishvili<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*E.Kharadze Georgian National Astrophysical Observatory, 47/57 Kostava St., Tbilisi 0179, Georgia*

<sup>2</sup>*School of Natural Sciences and Medicine, Ilia State University, 3/5 Cholokashvili Ave., Tbilisi 0162, Georgia*

(Dated: February 19, 2025)

The cosmological Mass Varying Neutrino model beyond the standard  $\Lambda$ CDM scenario is considered. The interaction of the fermionic field and the scalar field with the inverse power law Ratra-Peebles potential via the Yukawa coupling is studied in detail. Depending on the model parameter  $\alpha$  of the Ratra-Peebles potential of the scalar field, the expansion rate of the universe, the mass equation, the mass of the scalar field, the sum of neutrino masses, the mutual influence of the sum of neutrino masses and the value of the scalar field Ratra-Peebles potential as well as the total density of the thermodynamic potential of the coupled fermionic and scalar fields at the critical point are explored. The values of the sum of neutrino masses  $m_\nu(a_0) \leq 0.07$  eV calculated for values of the model parameter  $\alpha$  of the Ratra-Peebles potential  $0 < \alpha \leq 0.016$  are consistent with the constraint  $m_\nu(a_0) < 0.071$  eV of the cosmological DESI measurements, while the values  $m_\nu(a_0) \leq 0.45$  eV for  $0 < \alpha \leq 0.143$  are consistent with the upper limit  $m_\nu(a_0) < 0.45$  eV obtained in the KATRIN experiment.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The standard spatially-flat  $\Lambda$ CDM cosmological model [1–4] (for a recent review, see [5]) agrees well with various observational constraints obtained over the past decades [6–10].

Being still a fiducial cosmological model at present, the  $\Lambda$ CDM model has several, still unsolved problems, the number of which increases as more accurate observational data are obtained (for recent reviews, see [11–17]). This fact inspires and stimulates cosmologists to explore dark energy models that go beyond the  $\Lambda$ CDM model [11, 15, 18].

The dynamic scalar field  $\phi$ CDM models are one of many alternatives to the  $\Lambda$ CDM model. In these models, dark energy is represented in the form of a uniform cosmological scalar field that slowly varies at the present epoch [19–21]. In these models, the energy density and pressure are time dependent functions under the assumption that the scalar field is described by the ideal barotropic fluid model.

One of the unresolved issues in modern cosmology is the coincidence problem [22–24], the essence of which is that at the present epoch, the density of dark energy is comparable to the energy density of dark matter, despite the fact that in the past they changed differently over time. Based on this, it can be assumed that dark matter and dark energy could somehow interact with each other in the course of their evolution. Various interacting dark energy models have been proposed to provide a possible solution to the coincidence problem in the  $\Lambda$ CDM model [25–32].

In its turn, dark matter is comparable, in the order of the magnitude, to the energy density of neutrinos [33–35]. Various experiments confirmed that neutrinos have the mass [36], but the origin of the neutrinos mass is still an unresolved issue. Stringent constraints on the sum of neutrino masses were obtained by different cosmological measurements [6, 7, 10, 37–40]. The latest cosmologi-

cal constraint on the upper limits on the sum of neutrino masses  $m_\nu(a_0) < 0.071$ eV was established through measurements of baryon acoustic oscillations (BAO) in galaxy, quasar and Lyman- $\alpha$  forest tracers from the Dark Energy Spectroscopic Instrument (DESI) [40]. However, the Karlsruhe Tritium Neutrino Experiment (KATRIN), which directly measured the neutrinos mass, has reported an upper limit  $m_\nu(a_0) < 0.45$ eV [41].

Fardon, Nelson and Weiner elaborated the mechanism of Varying Mass Particles (VAMPs) [25]. They applied this mechanism in the context of neutrinos, as a result of which the Mass Varying Neutrino (MaVaN) model was created. In this model, the neutrinos, *i.e.*, fermionic field(s) interact with the scalar field via the Yukawa coupling. If the decoupled neutrino field is initially massless, then the coupling generates a mass of neutrinos, which subsequently changes over time [26]. The MaVaN scenario is quite compelling, since it connects the origin of neutrino masses to dark energy, and solves the coincidence problem of the  $\Lambda$ CDM model. However, there are some problems that need to be resolved for the MaVaN scenario to be viable [42–48]. One of these is related to the strong instability in the MaVaN model due to the negative square of the sound speed of the dark energy neutrinos-dark energy fluid [42, 47, 48]. The coupling between neutrinos almost stops the evolution of the scalar field and triggers an accelerated expansion of the universe [49, 50]. The exponential clustering of the neutrino occurs due to the exponential growth of scalar perturbations in the MaVaN model [42, 43, 51–56]. The effect of the neutrinos-dark energy fluid in the MaVaN model on various cosmological observations has been studied in many papers, including [53, 57–59].

Within the MaVaN model, Chitov *et al.* analyzed the thermal evolution of the universe and predicted its stable, metastable and unstable phases. They found that the universe at the present epoch is below its critical temperature, and the state of the universe is similar to a supercooled liquid that has not yet crystallized: its

high-temperature (meta)stable phase has become unstable, but the new low-temperature stable phase has not yet been reached.

Mandal *et al.* [60] found that depending on the choice of the scalar field potential, the acceleration of the universe occurs in an instability regime or in a stable regime in the MaVaN model. Mandal & Sehgal [61] considered the interaction of the fermionic field and the scalar field with the exponential potential in the MaVaN model. They found that the current relic dark matter density is reached at fermion masses in the range of 1 GeV – 10<sup>9</sup> GeV for the phase transition (after which the coupled neutrinos-dark energy fluid behaves as pressureless dark matter) temperature range of 10 MeV – 10<sup>7</sup> GeV.

In the framework of the MaVaN model, we explored in detail the interaction of the fermionic field and the scalar field with the inverse power law Ratra-Peebles potential in the late epoch of the universe. In studying the MaVaN model, we used the formalism and approach elaborated by Chitov *et al.* in [62].

This paper is organized as follows: the main equations for the MaVaN model are presented in Section II, the interaction of the fermionic field and the scalar field with the Ratra-Peebles potential is considered in Section III, the results of the calculations are represented in Section IV, and conclusions are summarized in Section V. We used the natural system of units here  $c = k_B = 1$ .

## II. THE GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE MAVAN MODEL

We assumed that the flat, homogeneous and isotropic universe is described by the Friedmann–Lemaître–Robertson–Walker spacetime metric,  $ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t)d\mathbf{x}^2$ , here  $t$  is a cosmic time,  $a(t)$ <sup>1</sup> is a scale factor (normalized to be unity at the present epoch  $a_0 \equiv a(t_0)$ ),  $\mathbf{x}$  are the comoving coordinates.

The Euclidean action of the scalar field is defined as

$$S_B^E = \int_0^\beta d\tau \int a^3 d^3x \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\tau} \right)^2 + \frac{(\nabla\phi)^2}{2a^2} + V(\phi) \right], \quad (1)$$

here  $\tau = it$ ,  $\int d^3x = V$  is a comoving volume;  $a^3 \int d^3x = V_{\text{phys}}$  is a physical volume;  $V(\phi)$  is a potential of the scalar field.

The Euclidean action for the Dirac field has a form

$$S_D^E = \int_0^\beta d\tau \int a^3 d^3x \bar{\psi}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) \hat{D}(\phi) \psi(\mathbf{x}, \tau), \quad (2)$$

here  $\hat{D}(\phi) = \gamma^\circ \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} - \frac{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}{a} \cdot \nabla + g\phi(\mathbf{x}, \tau) - \mu\gamma^\circ$  is the Dirac operator.

The pressure  $P_D$  is defined as

$$P_D = -P_0 + \frac{1}{3\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{k^4 dk}{\epsilon(k)} \left[ n_F(\epsilon_-) + n_F(\epsilon_+) \right], \quad (3)$$

here  $P_0 = 2 \int k d^3\epsilon(k)/(2\pi)^3$  is the vacuum contribution to the pressure;  $\epsilon(k) = \sqrt{k^2 + m_\nu^2}$ ,  $\epsilon_\pm = \epsilon \pm \mu$ ,  $\mu$  is the chemical potential<sup>3</sup>,  $k$  is the Fermi momentum,  $m_\nu$  is the fermionic mass;  $n_F(x) \equiv (e^{\beta x} + 1)^{-1}$  is the Fermi distribution function,  $\beta = 1/T_\nu$  is the inverse temperature  $T_\nu$ ,  $T_\nu = T_{\nu 0}/a$  is the temperature of the fermions at the scale factor  $a$  and  $T_{\nu 0} = 1.9454 \text{ K} = 2.35 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ eV}$  is the temperature of the fermions at the present epoch.

The interaction of the bosonic scalar field with the massless fermions via the Yukawa coupling

$$S_{BD}^E = S_B^E + S_D^E = \Big|_{m_\nu=0} + g \int_0^\beta d\tau \int a^3 d^3x \phi \bar{\psi} \psi, \quad (4)$$

where  $g$  is the Yukawa coupling constant<sup>4</sup>.

The fermionic mass is generated due to the violation of chiral symmetry in the Dirac sector of the Lagrangian Eq. (4), the value of the fermionic mass subsequently changes over time. The path integral for the partition function in the interaction of the scalar field with the fermionic field is

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \mathcal{D}\psi e^{-S_{BD}^E}. \quad (5)$$

The Grassmann fields can be formally integrated [63] as

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}\phi e^{-S_{BD}^E} = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp(-S_{BD}^E + \log \text{Det} \hat{D}(\phi)). \quad (6)$$

The total thermodynamic potential of the coupled fermionic and scalar fields is

$$V_{\phi\nu} = V(\phi) + V_\nu(\phi) = V(\phi) - \frac{2N_F}{3\pi^2\beta^4} \int_{\bar{\phi}}^\infty \frac{(x^2 - \bar{\phi}^2)^{3/2}}{e^x + 1} dx, \quad (7)$$

here  $N_F$  is a number of the neutrinos species, we considered  $N_F = 3$ ; the dimensionless variable  $\bar{\phi} = g\beta\phi$  [62].

The total thermodynamic potential of the coupled fermionic and scalar fields can be found at the saddle-point approximation, minimizing the path integral Eq. (6). The saddle-point approximation coincides with the condition of minimum of the total thermodynamic potential at equilibrium for the fixed temperature and chemical potential values at the critical point  $a_{cr}$

$$\left. \frac{\partial V_{\phi\nu}(\phi)}{\partial\phi} \right|_{\nu, \beta, \phi = \phi_{cr}} = 0, \quad \left. \frac{\partial V_{\phi\nu}^2(\phi)}{\partial\phi^2} \right|_{\nu, \beta, \phi = \phi_{cr}} > 0. \quad (8)$$

<sup>1</sup> Below, for simplicity of notation, we omit the explicit dependence of the scalar factor on time, while implying the dependence of the scalar factor on time.

<sup>2</sup> Here and below, the subscript "0" denotes the current value of quantities depending on time.

<sup>3</sup> In the simplified model, considered in [62] and here,  $\mu = 0$ .

<sup>4</sup> In our calculations, we set the value  $g = 1$

As a consequence, neutrinos acquire mass at the critical point  $a_{cr}$

$$\left. \frac{\partial V_{\phi\nu}(\phi)}{\partial\phi} \right|_{\phi=\phi_{cr}} = \left. \frac{\partial V(\phi)}{\partial\phi} \right|_{\phi=\phi_{cr}} + \left. \frac{\partial V_\nu(\phi)}{\partial\phi} \right|_{\phi=\phi_{cr}}, \quad (9)$$

here  $\phi_{cr} = \langle\phi\rangle$ , and the fermionic mass defines as  $m_\nu = g\phi_{cr}$  [62].

The Eq. (9) can be represented as

$$V'(\phi)|_{\phi=\phi_{cr}} + g\rho_s = 0, \quad (10)$$

where  $\rho_s$  is the scalar fermionic density (or the chiral density) which is given by

$$\rho_s = \frac{m_\nu}{\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dkk^2}{\epsilon(k)} \left[ n_F(\epsilon_+) + n_F(\epsilon_-) - 1 \right]. \quad (11)$$

### III. INTERACTION OF THE FERMIONIC FIELD AND THE SCALAR FIELD WITH RATRA-PEEBLES POTENTIAL

#### A. Scalar field with the Ratra-Peebles potential. Mass equation

We studied the interaction of the fermionic field and the scalar field with the inverse power law Ratra-Peebles potential [19, 20], which has a form

$$V(\phi) = \frac{M^{\alpha+4}}{\phi^\alpha}, \quad (12)$$

here  $M$  and  $\alpha$  are positive model parameters. The parameter  $M$  is the scale mass of the Ratra-Peebles potential, the parameter  $\alpha$  defines the steepness of the potential; for  $\alpha = 0$ , the scalar field  $\phi$ CDM model reduces to the standard  $\Lambda$ CDM model. A three-dimensional representation of the Ratra-Peebles potential is shown in Fig. 1

The total thermodynamic potential of the coupled fermionic and scalar fields with the Ratra-Peebles potential at the critical point  $a_{cr}$  reads as

$$V_{\phi\nu} = \frac{M^{\alpha+4}}{\phi^\alpha} - \frac{2N_F}{3\pi^2\beta^4} \int_{\bar{\phi}}^\infty \frac{(x^2 - \bar{\phi}^2)^{3/2}}{e^x + 1} dx. \quad (13)$$

The dimensionless density of the total thermodynamic potential of the coupled fermionic and scalar fields with the Ratra-Peebles potential can be obtained from the Eq. (13) as

$$\bar{V}_{\phi\nu} = \frac{V_{\phi\nu}(\phi)}{M^4} = \left( \frac{\bar{M}}{\bar{\phi}} \right) - \frac{2N_F}{3\pi^2\bar{M}^4} \int_{\bar{\phi}}^\infty \frac{(x^2 - \bar{\phi}^2)^{3/2}}{e^x + 1} dx, \quad (14)$$

$\bar{M} = \beta M$  and  $\bar{\phi} = g\beta\phi$  are dimensionless variables [62].




FIG. 1: A three-dimensional representation of the Ratra-Peebles potential for  $M = 2 \cdot 10^{-3}$  eV

The mass equation  $I_\alpha(\bar{\phi})$  was derived by finding the condition of minimum of the total thermodynamic potential Eq. (14) at the critical point  $a_{cr}$

$$\frac{\alpha\pi^2 g^\alpha \bar{M}^{\alpha+4}}{2N_F} = I_\alpha(\bar{\phi}), \quad (15)$$

where

$$I_\alpha(\bar{\phi}) = \bar{\phi}^{\alpha+2} \int_{\bar{\phi}}^\infty \frac{(x^2 - \bar{\phi}^2)^{1/2}}{e^x + 1} dx. \quad (16)$$

#### B. Basic equations describing the neutrino-scalar field fluid

Before interaction with the scalar field, neutrinos are in the free-streaming regime, being massless and relativistic. After the critical point, neutrinos become non-relativistic, *i.e.*, the chiral density of neutrinos scales as  $\rho_\nu \propto a^{-3}$  for  $a \geq a_{cr}$ . During this period of time, the formation of neutrinos-dark energy fluid occurs.

The neutrinos-dark energy fluid is characterized by the total effective potential consisting of the Ratra-Peebles potential and the additional component connected to neutrinos

$$V_{\text{couple}} = \frac{M^{\alpha+4}}{\phi^\alpha} + \phi\rho_{cr} \left( \frac{a_{cr}}{a} \right)^3, \quad (17)$$

here  $\rho_{cr} = \alpha(\Delta_{cr}/\nu)^{(\alpha+1)}M^3$  is a value of the chiral density at the critical point;  $\Delta_{cr} = \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}\nu^\nu e^{-\nu}}{\alpha\pi^{3/2}} \right)^{-(\alpha+4)}$ , with  $\nu = \alpha + 5/2$ ,  $M = (\nu^\alpha \rho_{\phi\nu 0})^{(\alpha+1)/(\alpha+4)} \Delta_{cr}^{-\alpha} T_{\nu 0}^{-3\alpha/(\alpha+4)}$ ;  $\rho_{\phi\nu 0} \approx 2.76 \cdot 10^{-11} h^2 \text{ eV}^4$  is the density of the neutrinos-dark energy fluid at the present epoch, with  $h = 0.674$  [8].

The first Friedmann equation and the scalar field Klein-Gordon equation of motion of the neutrinos-dark energy fluid are respectively read

$$E(a) = \left( \Omega_{m0} a^{-3} + \frac{1}{\rho_{cr0}} \left( \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} + \frac{M^{\alpha+4}}{\phi^\alpha} + \phi \rho_{cr} \left( \frac{a_{cr}}{a} \right)^3 \right) \right)^{1/2}, \quad (18)$$

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H(a)\dot{\phi} - \frac{\alpha M^{\alpha+4}}{\phi^{\alpha+1}} + \rho_{cr} \left( \frac{a_{cr}}{a} \right)^3 = 0, \quad (19)$$

here  $E(a) = H(a)/H_0$  is the normalized Hubble parameter,  $H(a)$  is the Hubble parameter,  $H_0$  the value of the Hubble parameter at the present epoch,  $H_0 = 100 \cdot h \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ ;  $\Omega_{m0}$  is the matter density parameter at the present epoch,  $\Omega_{m0} = 0.315$  [8];  $\rho_{cr0} \approx 4.31 \cdot 10^{-11} h^2 \text{ eV}^4$  is the critical energy density at the present epoch.

The mass of the scalar field with the Ratra-Peebles potential is defined as

$$m_\phi = \left( \frac{\partial^2 V(\phi)}{\partial \phi^2} \right)^{1/2} \Big|_{\phi=\phi_{cr}} = \left( \alpha(\alpha+1) M^{\alpha+4} \phi^{-(\alpha+2)} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (20)$$

#### IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

By minimizing the total thermodynamic potential Eq. (13) and solving the mass equation Eq. (16) numerically, we found the values of the scale factor and the sum of neutrino masses at the critical point depending on the value of the model parameter  $\alpha$ , see Table I. With an increase in the value of the model parameter  $\alpha$ , the value of the scale factor at the critical point decreases, *i.e.*, the moment of the neutrino non-relativization occurs earlier, and as a consequence of this, the temperature at the critical point  $T_{cr}$  increases.

The dependence of the mass equation on different values of the model parameter  $\alpha$  is presented in Fig. 2. The value of the mass equation  $I_\alpha$  increases with an increase in the value of the model parameter  $\alpha$  and vice versa.

To study the evolution of neutrinos-dark energy fluid, we jointly numerically integrated the first Friedmann equation Eq. (18) and the scalar field equation of motion Eq. (19). We studied how the value of the sum of neutrino masses depends on the value of the model parameter  $\alpha$ . With an increase in the value of the model parameter  $\alpha$ , the value of the initial sum of neutrino masses and, accordingly, the value of the sum of neutrino masses increases for all scale factors up to the present epoch, see Table I and Fig. 3. The obtained values of the sum of neutrino masses  $m_\nu(a_0) \leq 0.07 \text{ eV}$  for  $0 < \alpha \leq 0.016$  are consistent with the constraint  $m_\nu(a_0) < 0.071 \text{ eV}$  of the cosmological DESI measurements [40], and  $m_\nu(a_0) \leq 0.45 \text{ eV}$  for  $0 < \alpha \leq 0.143$  are

$\alpha$	$a_{cr}$	$T_{cr}$	$m_\nu(a_{cr}) \text{ eV}$	$m_\nu(a_0) \text{ eV}$
0.0001	0.69	0.00034	0.0009	0.0021
0.0010	0.39	0.00061	0.0015	0.0109
0.0100	0.22	0.00109	0.0027	0.0507
0.0160	0.19	0.00120	0.0031	0.0700
0.1000	0.11	0.00223	0.0058	0.3020
0.1400	0.09	0.00260	0.0069	0.4365
0.1430	0.09	0.00260	0.0069	0.4473
0.3000	0.06	0.00416	0.0116	1.3179
0.5000	0.04	0.00668	0.0200	4.0291

TABLE I: The values of the scale factor at the critical point,  $a_{cr}$ , the temperature at the critical point  $T_{cr}$ , the sum of neutrino masses at the critical point,  $m_\nu(a_{cr})$ , the sum of neutrino masses at the present epoch,  $m_\nu(a_0)$ , depending on the value of the model parameter  $\alpha$ .




FIG. 2: The mass equation  $I_\alpha$  for different values of the model parameter  $\alpha$ .

consistent with the constraint  $m_\nu(a_0) < 0.45 \text{ eV}$  of the KATRIN experiment [41].

We investigated the influence of neutrinos-dark energy fluid on the expansion rate of the universe. The expansion of the universe occurs more slowly with an increasing value of the parameter  $\alpha$  and vice versa for all values of the scalar factor, but only at the present epoch the expansion rate of the universe occurs equally regardless of the value of the model parameter  $\alpha$ , see Fig. 4. In contrast to this fact, in the case of a neutrino-non-interacting scalar field with the Ratra-Peebles potential, with an increase in the value of the parameter  $\alpha$ , the scalar field strengthens and, as a consequence, the expansion of the universe occurs faster [64]. In the case of interaction of a scalar field with neutrinos and the formation of the neutrinos-dark energy fluid, with an increase in the value of the  $\alpha$  parameter, the sum of the neutrino masses (see Table I, Figs. (2-3)) increases and, accordingly, the scalar field




FIG. 3: The sum of neutrino masses for different values of the model parameter  $\alpha$  of the Ratra-Peebles potential.

weakens, which leads to a slowdown in the expansion of the universe.




FIG. 4: The normalization expansion rate of the universe for different values of the model parameter  $\alpha$ .

By studying the mutual influence of the value of the sum of neutrino masses and the value of the scalar field potential, we found that with an increase in the value of the model parameter  $\alpha$ , the value of the Ratra-Peebles scalar field potential also increases, see Fig. 5. This happens because the scalar field potential is defined in a certain range of neutrino masses, which depends on the value of the model parameter  $\alpha$ .

We explored the evolution of the mass of the scalar field with the Ratra-Peebles potential from the critical point  $a_{cr}$  to the present epoch  $a_0$  depending on the model parameter  $\alpha$ . With an increasing value of the model parameter  $\alpha$ , the mass of the scalar field decreases, while all




FIG. 5: The mutual influence of the sum of neutrino masses and the scalar field Ratra-Peebles potential for different values of the model parameter  $\alpha$ .

these tracks converge to a zero mass value at the present epoch, see Fig. 6.




FIG. 6: The dependence of the mass of the scalar field with the Ratra-Peebles potential on the model parameter  $\alpha$ .

We studied the dependence of the total density of the thermodynamic potential of the neutrinos-dark energy fluid Eq. (14) at the critical point  $a_{cr}$  on different values of the model parameter  $\alpha$  at the fixed critical temperature  $T_{cr}$ . The results of these calculations are shown in Fig. 7.

With an increase in the value of the parameter  $\alpha$ , the temperature value at the critical point  $a_{cr}$  increases, the negative contribution to the density of the total thermodynamic potential of the fermionic field increases faster than the contribution of the scalar field Eq. (14), which leads to a decrease in the value of the density of the total




FIG. 7: The total density of the thermodynamic potential of the neutrinos-dark energy fluid at the critical point  $a_{cr}$  for different values of the model parameter  $\alpha$ .

thermodynamic potential. In Fig. 7 can be identified: (i) the area of the minimum potential, or the area of neutrino oscillation, as well as (ii) the area of an unstable maximum, after which the density of the total thermodynamic potential rolls down to its minimum. Thus, the universe undergoes a phase transition from the (meta)stable oscillatory regime to the unstable rolling regime of the scalar field, which ultimately leads to the accelerated expansion of the universe.

## V. CONCLUSION

Studying the interaction of the fermionic field and the scalar field with the Ratra-Peebles potential, we found that, with an increase in the value of the model parameter  $\alpha$ : (i) the value of the mass equation  $I_\alpha$  increases; (ii) the moment of the neutrinos non-relativization occurs earlier; (iii) the temperature at the critical point increases; (iv) the value of the initial sum of neutrino masses and, accordingly, the value of the sum of neutrino masses increases for all scale factors up to the present epoch; (v) the expansion of the universe occurs slower for all values of the scalar factor, but only at the present epoch the rate of expansion of the universe occurs equally regardless of the value of the model parameter  $\alpha$ ; (vi) the value of the total density of the thermodynamic potential decrease, (vii) the mass of the scalar field decreases, while all these tracks converge to a zero mass value at the present epoch.

We obtained the consistency of the sum of neutrino masses  $m_\nu(a_0) \leq 0.07$  eV for  $0 < \alpha \leq 0.016$  with the constraint  $m_\nu(a_0) < 0.071$  eV of the cosmological DESI measurements [40], and  $m_\nu(a_0) \leq 0.45$  eV for  $0 < \alpha \leq 0.143$  with the upper limit  $m_\nu(a_0) < 0.45$  eV of the KATRIN experiment [41].

*Acknowledgements* This work was supported by the Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation of Georgia (SRNSFG) [YS-22-998] grant. OA thanks Bharat Ratra, Lado Samushia, Giorgi Khomeriki, Zack Brown, and Nick Magnelli for their hospitality at the Department of Physics, Kansas State University.

- 
- [1] P. J. E. Peebles, *Astrophys. J.* **284**, 439 (1984).
  - [2] P. J. E. Peebles and B. Ratra, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75**, 559 (2003).
  - [3] R. R. Caldwell and M. Kamionkowski, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **59**, 397 (2009).
  - [4] A. Silvestri and M. Trodden, *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **72**, 096901 (2009).
  - [5] M. Lopez-Corredoira, arXiv:2307.10606 (2023).
  - [6] N. Aghanim et al. (Planck), *Astron. Astrophys.* **641**, A1 (2020).
  - [7] N. Aghanim et al. (Planck), *Astron. Astrophys.* **641**, A6 (2020).
  - [8] N. Aghanim et al. (Planck), *Astron. Astrophys.* **641**, A5 (2020).
  - [9] S. Aiola et al. (ACT), *JCAP* **12**, 047 (2020).
  - [10] S. Alam et al. (eBOSS), *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 083533 (2021).
  - [11] E. Di Valentino, O. Mena, S. Pan, L. Visinelli, W. Yang, A. Melchiorri, D. F. Mota, A. G. Riess, and J. Silk, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **38**, 153001 (2021).
  - [12] L. Perivolaropoulos and F. Skara, *New Astron. Rev.* **95**, 101659 (2022).
  - [13] M. Moresco et al., *Living Rev. Rel.* **25**, 6 (2022).
  - [14] E. Di Valentino, *Universe* **8**, 399 (2022).
  - [15] E. Abdalla et al., *JHEAp* **34**, 49 (2022).
  - [16] P. J. E. Peebles, *Annals Phys.* **447**, 169159 (2022).
  - [17] J.-P. Hu and F.-Y. Wang, *Universe* **9**, 94 (2023).
  - [18] A. R. Khalife, M. B. Zanjani, S. Galli, S. Günther, J. Lesgourgues, and K. Benabed, *JCAP* **04**, 059 (2024).
  - [19] B. Ratra and P. J. E. Peebles, *Phys. Rev.* **D37**, 3406 (1988).
  - [20] B. Ratra and P. J. E. Peebles, *Astrophys. J.* **325**, L17 (1988).
  - [21] C. Wetterich, *Nucl. Phys.* **B302**, 645 (1988).
  - [22] M. Kawasaki, H. Murayama, and T. Yanagida, *Modern Physics Letters A* **7**, 563 (1992).
  - [23] D. Comelli, M. Pietroni, and A. Riotto, *Phys. Lett. B* **571**, 115 (2003).
  - [24] H. E. S. Velten, R. F. vom Marttens, and W. Zimdahl, *The European Physical Journal C* **74**, 3160 (2014).
  - [25] R. Fardon, A. E. Nelson, and N. Weiner, *JCAP* **10**, 005 (2004).
  - [26] G. R. Farrar and P. J. E. Peebles, *Astrophys. J.* **604**, 1 (2004).
  - [27] G. Huey and B. D. Wandelt, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 023519 (2006).
  - [28] R.-G. Cai and A. Wang, *JCAP* **03**, 002 (2005).
  - [29] D. Pavon and W. Zimdahl, *Phys. Lett. B* **628**, 206 (2005).
  - [30] M. S. Berger and H. Shojaei, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 083528 (2006).

- [31] S. del Campo, R. Herrera, and D. Pavon, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 021302 (2008).
- [32] S. del Campo, R. Herrera, and D. Pavon, JCAP **01**, 020 (2009).
- [33] S. Hannestad, New J. Phys. **6**, 108 (2004).
- [34] A. D. Dolgov, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **71**, 2152 (2008).
- [35] A. V. Ivanchik, O. A. Kurichin, and V. Y. Yurchenko, Universe **10**, 169 (2024).
- [36] M. Tanabashi et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. **D98**, 030001 (2018).
- [37] N. Palanque-Delabrouille, C. Yèche, N. Schöneberg, J. Lesgourgues, M. Walther, S. Chabanier, and E. Armengaud, JCAP **04**, 038 (2020).
- [38] M. S. Madhavacheril et al. (ACT), Astrophys. J. **962**, 113 (2024).
- [39] M. Tristram et al., Astron. Astrophys. **682**, A37 (2024).
- [40] A. G. Adame et al. (DESI), arXiv:2411.12022 (2024).
- [41] M. Aker et al. (KATRIN), arXiv:2406.13516 (2024).
- [42] N. Afshordi, M. Zaldarriaga, and K. Kohri, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 065024 (2005).
- [43] M. Kaplinghat and A. Rajaraman, Phys. Rev. **D75** (2007).
- [44] A. W. Brookfield, C. van de Bruck, D. F. Mota, and D. Tocchini-Valentini, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 061301 (2006).
- [45] O. E. Bjaelde, A. W. Brookfield, C. van de Bruck, S. Hannestad, D. F. Mota, L. Schrempp, and D. Tocchini-Valentini, JCAP **01**, 026 (2008).
- [46] K. Ichiki and Y.-Y. Keum, JCAP **06**, 005 (2008).
- [47] R. Bean, E. E. Flanagan, and M. Trodden, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 023009 (2008).
- [48] R. Bean, E. E. Flanagan, and M. Trodden, New J. Phys. **10**, 033006 (2008).
- [49] C. Wetterich, Phys. Lett. **B655**, 201 (2007).
- [50] L. G. Collodel and G. M. Kremer, Grav. Cosmol. **18**, 196 (2012).
- [51] V. Pettorino and C. Baccigalupi, Phys. Rev. **D77** (2008).
- [52] D. F. Mota, V. Pettorino, G. Robbers, and C. Wetterich, Phys. Lett. **B663**, 160 (2008).
- [53] V. Pettorino, N. Wintergerst, L. Amendola, and C. Wetterich, Phys. Rev. **D82**, 123001 (2010).
- [54] N. J. Nunes, L. Schrempp, and C. Wetterich, Phys. Rev. **D83**, 083523 (2011).
- [55] Y. Ayaita, M. Weber, and C. Wetterich, Phys. Rev. **D87**, 043519 (2013).
- [56] S. Casas, V. Pettorino, and C. Wetterich, Phys. Rev. **D94**, 103518 (2016).
- [57] A. W. Brookfield, C. van de Bruck, D. F. Mota, and D. Tocchini-Valentini, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 083515 (2006).
- [58] N. Brouzakis, V. Pettorino, N. Tetradis, and C. Wetterich, JCAP **1103**, 049 (2011).
- [59] L. W. K. Goh, I. Ocampo, S. Nesseris, and V. Pettorino, Astron. Astrophys. **692**, A101 (2024).
- [60] S. Mandal, G. Y. Chitov, O. Avsajanishvili, B. Singha, and T. Kahniashvili, JCAP **05**, 018 (2021).
- [61] S. Mandal and N. Sehgal, Phys. Rev. D **107**, 123003 (2023).
- [62] G. Y. Chitov, T. August, A. Natarajan, and T. Kahniashvili, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 045033 (2011).
- [63] J. I. Kapusta and C. Gale, *Finite-temperature field theory: Principles and applications* (Cambridge University Press, 2011).
- [64] O. Avsajanishvili, N. A. Arkhipova, L. Samushia, and T. Kahniashvili, Eur. Phys. J. **C74**, 3127 (2014).