Beyond Profile: From Surface-Level Facts to Deep Persona Simulation in LLMs

Zixiao Wang¹ Duzhen Zhang¹ Ishita Agrawal¹ Shen Gao² Le Song¹ Xiuying Chen^{1*} ¹Mohamed bin Zayed University of Artificial Intelligence, ²Shandong University

{zixiao.wang,duzhen.zhang,xiuying.chen}@mbzuai.ac.ae

Abstract

Previous approaches to persona simulation large language models (LLMs) have typically relied on learning basic biographical information, or using limited role-play dialogue datasets to capture a character's responses. However, a holistic representation of an individual goes beyond surface-level facts or conversations to deeper thoughts and thinking. In this work, we introduce CharacterBot, a model designed to replicate both the linguistic patterns and distinctive thought processes of a character. Using Lu Xun, a renowned Chinese writer, as a case study, we propose four training tasks derived from his 17 essay collections. These include a pre-training task focused on mastering external linguistic structures and knowledge, as well as three fine-tuning tasks: multiplechoice question answering, generative question answering, and style transfer, each aligning the LLM with Lu Xun's internal ideation and writing style. To optimize learning across these tasks, we introduce a CharLoRA parameter updating mechanism, where a general linguistic style expert collaborates with other taskspecific experts to better study both the language style and the understanding of deeper thoughts. We evaluate CharacterBot on three tasks for linguistic accuracy and opinion comprehension, demonstrating that it significantly outperforms the baselines on our adapted metrics. We hope that this work inspires future research on deep character persona simulation LLM: **O**CharacterBot.

1 Introduction

Developing language models that simulate character personas by embodying specific individuals' personalities and worldviews has been a longstanding objective in NLP. Researchers in this area have explored various approaches. Some models are fine-tuned to memorize essential *profile information*, such as birthdate, occupation, and other



Figure 1: Comparison of Characterbot and other models in responding to Lu Xun-related questions.

background traits (Shao et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2023; Bai et al., 2024). Others leverage *conversational data* from novels, screenplays, and multimedia content to adapt language models through dialogue-based fine-tuning (Li et al., 2023a; Zhang et al., 2024a; Tu et al., 2024). Additionally, prompt engineering techniques dynamically inject *profile-specific information* during generation, enabling models to emulate character traits without extensive fine-tuning (Han et al., 2022; Shanahan et al., 2023; Tu et al., 2023).

As shown in the related works above, current methods often oversimplify persona representation, limiting it to superficial dialogues or basic profile descriptors. We argue that *robust persona simulation must move beyond basic attributes and narrow*

^{*}Corresponding Author.

conversational patterns to incorporate deeper aspects of human identity, such as an individual's worldview, ethical frameworks, context-dependent viewpoints, and foundational beliefs. Echoing Virginia Woolf's idea that "books are the mirrors of the soul", we propose a new paradigm: deep persona simulation based on a writer's works, which inherently reflect their beliefs, insights, and reactions to diverse themes and topics, thus capturing their essence more authentically.

In this work, we explore the problem of character persona simulation using Lu Xun as an exemplar-a renowned Chinese writer known for his critical essays on sociocultural issues. We develop CharacterBot to model his persona, as shown in Figure 1. We curated a corpus comprising 17 essay collections, including 638 individual works containing titles, full texts, and segmented passages. To enable a comprehensive acquisition of both stylistic patterns and ideological depth, we implemented four distinct tasks. First, next-token prediction pre-training combined with authorial perspective reframing facilitates mastery of Lu Xun's linguistic style and cognitive frameworks. Subsequently, in the fine-tuning stage, the model learns to: 1) resolve multiple-choice questions testing comprehension of authorial viewpoints, 2) extract core ideological propositions from textual segments, and 3) perform style transfer by transforming styleless text inputs into outputs that emulate the author's distinctive stylistic patterns. For model design, we develop a CharLoRA framework that extends LoRA (Hu et al., 2021) by optimizing knowledge integration specifically for persona simulation. Unlike standard LoRA, which primarily focuses on adapting models to specific tasks via low-rank updates, CharLoRA introduces a structured decomposition: a general matrix pair A_{pt} and \mathbf{B}_i that jointly capture both linguistic patterns and cognitive foundations. While task-specific \mathbf{B}_i matrices specialize in handling task-relevant persona patterns, the shared A_{pt} matrix enables crosstask knowledge synthesis. This novel design not only preserves persona consistency across tasks but also enhances deep persona representation through multi-objective learning, distinguishing CharLoRA from conventional LoRA approaches. Training data was generated using GPT-40 with carefully designed prompts and rigorously validated by humans to ensure quality.

Since no established metrics exist for evaluating

personalized LLMs with both linguistic depth and philosophical insight, we propose novel evaluation criteria and compare our model against latest roleplay models. CharacterBot shows significant improvements in both style and ideological depth. Additionally, we conduct a human evaluation where participants rank outputs from our model and baselines against reference texts. Results confirm that our model consistently outperforms baselines in generating contextually accurate and stylistically aligned outputs.

Our main contributions are as follows: (1) We introduce a novel framework for character persona simulation in LLMs that captures both linguistic style and deep ideological perspectives beyond superficial profile memorization. (2) We design a multitask architecture with CharLoRA that combines general linguistic knowledge with task-specific persona modeling through tasks like question answering and style transfer. (3) Experiments show that CharacterBot outperforms baselines in linguistic accuracy, style preservation, and opinion comprehension, simulating complex character personas.

2 Related Work

Character Persona Simulation. Character persona simulation assigns virtual personality traits to LLMs and has received substantial attention (Cheng et al., 2023; Tseng et al., 2024; Samuel et al., 2024). Two primary approaches are used: prompt-based and fine-tuning-based methods. Prompt-based methods integrate character settings directly into prompts. For instance, Shanahan et al. (2023) introduce a role-playing mechanism through prompts, while Tu et al. (2023) construct a dialogue system using the MBTI personality framework. Agatsuma et al. (2024) simulate patient personas to train nursing students in health guidance. However, prompt engineering often fails to capture intricate traits and dynamic behaviors. Fine-tuningbased methods address these limitations by training pre-trained LLMs. Shao et al. (2023) fine-tune models using character profiles and an experience upload strategy. Lu et al. (2024) extract character attributes, background details, and dialogue features from knowledge bases to fine-tune models. Park et al. (2024) further refine role-specific behaviors through trait extraction from novel summaries. Despite these advancements, fine-tuning often produces models that mimic surface-level style but miss deeper traits.

Style Transfer. Style transfer for text involves altering the stylistic attributes of a source text while preserving its core meaning (Li et al., 2023b). Reif et al. (2022) introduce an Augmented Zero-Shot Learning method, which leverages LLMs to achieve versatile text-style transformations without requiring task-specific training. Pu and Demberg (2023) evaluate the performance of ChatGPT in sentence style transfer by comparing ChatGPT generated texts with those created by humans. Zhang et al. (2024b) explore a novel approach to style transfer by integrating the Chain-of-Thought reasoning capabilities of LLMs with knowledge distillation techniques. However, these methods predominantly focus on linguistic style without engaging with deeper ideological dimensions.

Parameter-Efficient Fine-Tuning. Parameter-Efficient Fine-Tuning (PEFT) techniques are crucial for adapting large pre-trained models efficiently, especially in the context of LLMs (Xu et al., 2023; Ding et al., 2023; Chen et al., 2024). Prefix Tuning optimizes learnable prefixes added to input embeddings or intermediate representations (Li and Liang, 2021), while Prompt Tuning adjusts learnable prompt embeddings to guide downstream task adaptation (Lester et al., 2021). Among PEFT methods, LoRA is widely used, introducing lowrank matrices to fine-tune models efficiently (Hu et al., 2021). Its variant, QLoRA, further improves efficiency through quantization (Dettmers et al., 2024). Recent innovations have improved LoRA's domain-specific adaptability. Zhao et al. (2024a) propose a dynamic mechanism for retrieving and combining LoRA modules in mixed task scenarios. Tan et al. (2024) enable efficient personalized LLMs by sharing partial PEFT parameters across users, and Zhao et al. (2024b) introduce a flexible LoRA merging strategy suited for multi-task learning without additional training. In our work, we adapt LoRA for deep persona simulation.

3 Problem Formulation

We formally define the task of deep persona simulation by introducing key notations and concepts. A single model M is designed to perform multiple tasks, which together assess its ability to understand and generate character-specific responses.

The first task is Multiple-Choice Question Answering, where the model M is given a question Qand a set of candidate answers $\{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n\}$. The model predicts the correct answer A^* based on its understanding of the character's perspective:

$$A^* = \arg \max_{A_i} M(Q, \{A_1, ..., A_n\}; \theta),$$

where θ denotes the model's parameters.

The second task is Generative Question Answering, where the model M generates an appropriate answer A given a question Q, reflecting the character's knowledge, opinions, or personality:

$$A = M(Q; \theta).$$

The final task is Style Transfer, where the model M rewrites an input text T_{input} into an output text T_{output} that aligns with a specified persona style S:

$$T_{\text{output}} = M(T_{\text{input}}, S; \theta)$$

4 Method

As shown in Figure 2, our CharacterBot is first pretrained and then fine-tuned on three downstream tasks. In the following sections, we introduce the task-specific objectives and adapted training datasets for each stage, followed by a detailed explanation of our customized CharLoRA.

4.1 Pre-training

Although conventional LLMs are typically trained on books that may include the works of Lu Xun, they are fine-tuned on broad-coverage corpora that, while fostering general linguistic competence, lack the domain-specific precision necessary to replicate nuanced authorial traits. To address this gap, we specifically pre-train the LLM on Lu Xun's corpus to capture his distinctive narrative style and linguistic patterns.

Authorial Perspective Reframing (APR). To enhance the model's understanding of authorial perspectives, we introduce APR, a pre-training technique that aligns textual viewpoints with their original intellectual contexts by transforming firstperson narratives into third-person perspectives. This transformation clarifies attribution by explicitly linking content to its creator, thereby reducing ambiguity. It also improves the model's ability to distinguish between described concepts and the author's stance, while reinforcing the connection between viewpoints and their originators. In the following, we show an example:

[Original Essay] Comparison is the best thing. Before understanding phonetic scripts, people would find it difficult to realize the challenges of pictographic characters...



Figure 2: In pre-training, reframed essays from Authorial Perspective Reframing (APR) train the base model. In fine-tuning, multiple-choice question answering (MCQ), generative question answering (GQA), and style transfer (ST) refine their modules within CharLoRA to align with the target persona.

[APR Processed] Lu Xun discusses the importance of comparison in his essay. He points out that before understanding phonetic scripts, it is hard for people to realize the challenges of pictographic characters...

4.2 Fine-tuning

Following pre-training, we fine-tune the LLM on three tasks: Multiple Choice Questions, Generative Question Answering and Style Transfer to align it with the cognitive patterns, style, and ideology of the target character. For examples of three tasks, refer to Appendix B.

Task 1: Multiple Choice Questions. The motivation for this task comes from the common use of multiple choice questions in reading comprehension exams in various languages, making it an effective way to assess whether the bot truly understands the author's ideas within the essay. Our first fine-tuning task involves multiple-choice answering, where each question, derived from an essay, provides four answer options with one correct answer. To ensure alignment with the essay content, the dataset generation process follows two key constraints: The questions should be directly grounded in the essay, avoiding any extrapolation, and they must use second-person framing by addressing the character as "you".

Task 2: Generative Question Answering. Similarly, another common type of reading comprehension question involves asking respondents to answer based on their understanding of an article. In this task, the generative question answering creates pairs of questions and answers that investigate the underlying arguments of the character. The questions are framed as ideological probes in the second person, while the answers reflect the rhetorical and ideological patterns of the character. For each essay, three pairs are created, following two principles: semantic fidelity, ensuring that the answers derive solely from the essay, and stylistic consistency, preserving the unique lexical and syntactic characteristics of the character.

Task 3: Style Transfer. The final training task focuses on style transfer, commonly used in personalization tasks where the model rewrites sentences to follow a specific style. For each essay, three sentences that are representative of the character's style are extracted using an advanced language model. These sentences are then rewritten into a neutral, styleless form that preserves their original meaning, argumentative intent, and emotional tone while removing distinct stylistic features. This parallel structure enables the model to learn how to rewrite text in the author's style while maintaining the intended meaning and ideological consistency.

4.3 CharLoRA

To optimize computational and storage efficiency while ensuring high persona fidelity, we introduce CharLoRA, an adaptation of the LoRA method.

LoRA Fundamentals. For a frozen pre-trained weight matrix $W_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ in any linear layer, LoRA injects trainable low-rank matrices $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}$ and $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times k}$, with $r \ll \min(d, k)$, to approximate parameter updates:

$$W_0 + \Delta W = W_0 + \mathbf{BA},$$

Given input activations $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k$, the modified forward pass becomes:

$$\mathbf{h}' = W_0 \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}.$$

During optimization, W_0 remains fixed, while **A** and **B** accumulate gradients - reducing trainable parameters versus full fine-tuning.

CharLoRA in Pre-Training Stage. In the pretraining stage, the primary goal of CharLoRA is to inject persona-specific knowledge into a base language model without disrupting its general pretrained knowledge. To achieve this, we leverage low-rank matrix adaptations through LoRA while maintaining a separation between the original model parameters and persona-specific updates.

The process begins with the reframed versions of the original texts using APR. CharLoRA applies a low-rank adaptation to the weight matrix of the frozen base model W_0 , introducing an update matrix $\Delta W_{\rm pt}$ defined as:

$$\mathbf{h}_{\mathsf{pt}}' = W_0 \mathbf{x} + \Delta W_{\mathsf{pt}} \mathbf{x} = W_0 \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}_{\mathsf{pt}} \mathbf{A}_{\mathsf{pt}} \mathbf{x},$$

where $\mathbf{B}_{pt} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}$ and $\mathbf{A}_{pt} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times k}$ are low-rank matrices with $r \ll \min(d, k)$.

During training, the original weight matrix W_0 remains frozen, while only the low-rank matrices \mathbf{B}_{pt} and \mathbf{A}_{pt} are optimized. The update $\mathbf{B}_{pt}\mathbf{A}_{pt}$ encodes fine-grained persona-specific traits, such as writing style, tone, and ideological perspectives. For instance, when pre-training on Lu Xun's original essays and their reframed versions, this update effectively captures his distinctive voice and literary style. By decoupling the base model from low-rank adaptations, CharLoRA ensures that new persona-specific knowledge is efficiently integrated while preserving the general knowledge of the original language model.

CharLoRA in the Fine-Tuning Stage. Building on the persona-specific knowledge encoded during pre-training, CharLoRA is designed to handle diverse downstream tasks while preserving the learned persona consistency across tasks. However, each task has unique demands, such as varying output formats and contextual focus, which necessitate task-specific adaptations. To address this, CharLoRA adopts a hybrid parameter-sharing strategy by decoupling shared persona knowledge from task-specific updates.

The shared low-rank matrix A_{pt} , initialized during pre-training, encodes core persona attributes like writing style and themes. For fine-tuning, Char-LoRA replicates the pre-trained matrix \mathbf{B}_{pt} into task-specific matrices \mathbf{B}_i , allowing customization for each downstream task *i* (e.g. multiple choice questions, generative QA, or style transfer) while retaining global persona knowledge. The forward pass for task *i* is defined as:

$$\mathbf{h}_i = W_0 \mathbf{x} + \Delta W_i \mathbf{x} = W_0 \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}_i \mathbf{A}_{pt} \mathbf{x}$$

During fine-tuning, only \mathbf{B}_i and the shared \mathbf{A}_{pt} are updated for the active task i, while the matrices corresponding to other tasks \mathbf{B}_i $(j \neq i)$ remain frozen to prevent interference. By maintaining A_{pt} as a shared cross-task component and allowing each task-specific \mathbf{B}_i to capture task-specific details, CharLoRA achieves an optimal balance between efficiency and adaptability. For example, A_{pt} ensures that Lu Xun's characteristic voice is preserved throughout the tasks, while each B_i allows nuanced adaptations, such as adjusting the tone for answering questions or generating stylistically consistent content in different contexts. This design allows CharLoRA to deliver robust performance in multi-task learning scenarios while maintaining persona consistency.

5 Experiments

5.1 Datasets

In this work, we examined the writings of the renowned Chinese author Lu Xun as a case study, utilizing 17 of his essay collections comprising 638 essays. The pre-training stage used both the original essay texts and their transformed versions processed through Authorial Perspective Reframing (APR), which were employed in a next-token prediction task. The pre-training dataset, sourced from Wikisource, includes major essay collections such as False Freedom, Grave, and Hot Wind. These collections, which vividly convey Lu Xun's ideological perspectives, provide essential material for capturing his unique narrative style. A complete list of the collections can be found in the Appendix A. For the fine-tuning stage, we constructed three datasets derived from Lu Xun's essays using OpenAI's GPT-40 API. Each dataset was randomly split into training (85%), validation (5%), and testing (10%) sets to ensure unbiased evaluation. While brief descriptions of these datasets were provided during the task explanations, Table 2 summarizes key statistics for further reference.

Model	M-C Questions	Generative Question Answering		Style Transfer		
	Accuracy	Content Score	Style Score	BLEU	ROUGE-1	Style Matching
Llama 3.1-8B	0.614	2.370	1.354	0.113	0.264	0.267
Qwen2.5-7B	0.787	2.828	2.818	0.115	0.233	0.456
GPT-4o	0.734	3.214	2.542	0.088	0.196	0.471
CharacterGLM-6B	0.073	1.984	1.729	0.017	0.084	0.351
Baichuan-NPC-Turbo	0.568	2.620	2.052	0.124	0.185	0.518
Tongyi Xingchen	0.788	3.172	2.823	0.101	0.187	0.534
LuXun-GPT	-	-	-	0.127	0.283	0.387
Ours	0.880	3.214	2.885	0.293	0.410	0.937

Table 1: Results on Multiple-Choice Questions, Generative Question Answering, and Style Transfer. M-C Questions denotes Multiple-Choice Questions. Note that LuXun-GPT is solely designed for the style transfer task, so only style transfer results are available. Higher values indicate better performance. The best results are shown in bold, and the second best scores are underlined.

Essay Collections	Total Essays	Task Type (Count)	
17	638	Multiple-Choice Questions (1914) Generative Question Answering (1914 Style Transfer (1907)	

Table 2: Summary of Lu Xun's essay collections and the three fine-tuning tasks, showing the task types and their respective instance counts.

5.2 Baselines

To evaluate the performance of our proposed model, we conducted benchmark evaluations of advanced open-source and proprietary conversational LLMs to assess their performance: (1) Llama 3.1-8B (Dubey et al., 2024): A multilingual LLM by Meta for diverse language tasks. (2) Qwen2.5-7B (Yang et al., 2024): An LLM by Alibaba, optimized for advanced Chinese processing. (3) GPT-40: A multimodal LLM by OpenAI with state-of-theart cross-modal capabilities. Additionally, we included models explicitly designed for role-playing applications: (4) CharacterGLM-6B (Zhou et al., 2023): A role-based dialogue model built upon the ChatGLM series. (5) Baichuan-NPC-Turbo: An advanced LLM developed by Baichuan Intelligence, focusing on dynamic role-playing scenarios. (6) Tongyi Xingchen: A personalized role-dialogue platform launched by Alibaba Cloud, built on the Qwen LLM framework. Beyond general-purpose and role-playing models, we also evaluated a specialized model for text style transfer: (7) LuXun-GPT: An open-source project designed to transform input into the linguistic style of Lu Xun.

5.3 Implementation Details

The experiments were conducted using PyTorch on an NVIDIA A100 GPU. Since Lu Xun's writ-

ings are in Chinese, we selected the Qwen2.5-7B-Instruct model, an LLM optimized for the Chinese language, as the base model. During the pre-training stage, CharLoRA was applied with a LoRA rank of 64 to introduce low-rank adaptations. The training configuration included a token cutoff length of 2048, a learning rate of 5.0×10^{-5} , three training epochs, a batch size of 4. For the finetuning stage, the LoRA rank was kept at 64, and the same learning rate, batch size, and validation set size were used. The token cutoff length was reduced to 1024, and fine-tuning was performed over three epochs.

5.4 Evaluation Metrics

We design classic and advanced aspect-based metrics to evaluate performance on different tasks.

For the multiple-choice questions task, performance is measured using accuracy, calculated as the number of correct answers divided by the total number of test cases. For the generative question answering task, two metrics are used to evaluate performance: the content score and the style score. The content score assesses how well the generated answers align with the core ideological ideas on a scale from 1 to 5, while the style score evaluates the adherence to the author's linguistic patterns, also on a scale from 1 to 5. For the style transfer task, we compared the model-generated sentences for each test example with sentences from Lu Xun's original work. The evaluation used BLEU (Papineni et al., 2002), ROUGE-1 (Lin, 2004), and the style matching score, which measures the degree to which the generated texts reflect the author's distinctive style.

The evaluation process is conducted using DeepSeek-V3 (Liu et al., 2024), 671B the strong



Figure 3: Ablation study results: (a) multiple-choice questions, (b) generative question answering, and (c) style transfer. The full architecture outperforms ablated versions, demonstrating the contributions of CharLoRA and APR to task performance.

capabilities of Chinese LLMs, and two human annotators: a native speaker with a PhD and a PhD candidate, both possessing extensive literary expertise. Detailed scoring guidelines and prompts are provided in the Appendix D.

Model	Generative Ques	Style Transfer	
	Content Score	Style Score	Style Matching
GPT-40	3.492	3.150	0.467
Tongyi Xingchen	3.008	2.667	0.616
Ours	3.758	3.550	0.917

Table 3: Human evaluation results.

5.5 Main Results

We show the performance of our model in Table 1. *Our model achieves the highest accuracy in multiple-choice questions, excelling at capturing complex ideological nuances*. It achieves an accuracy of 0.880, outperforming Tongyi Xingchen (0.788) and Qwen2.5-7B (0.787). This highlights its effectiveness in understanding personalitydriven narratives. Tongyi Xingchen and Qwen2.5-7B also outperform models like Llama 3.1-8B (0.614) due to their extensive use of Chineselanguage data, emphasizing the importance of linguistic alignment. Further pre-training and finetuning enhance Qwen2.5-7B's grasp of Lu Xun's ideological nuances.

For the generative question answering task, *our* model excels in preserving both content and stylistic fidelity, outperforming key baselines in style. It achieves a content score of 3.214 and a style score of 2.885, surpassing GPT-4o's 2.542 and Tongyi Xingchen's 2.823. This demonstrates its superior ability to reflect the author's style. Additionally, the correlation between content scores and multiplechoice accuracy shows that improved character understanding enhances stylistic alignment.

In the style transfer task, our model excels in capturing and reproducing the author's style while maintaining content integrity. It outperforms baselines with scores of 0.293 (BLEU), 0.410 (ROUGE-1), and 0.937 (style matching), demonstrating strong stylistic fidelity and textual coherence. These results underscore the importance of integrating ideological and stylistic frameworks for authentic reproduction, surpassing LuXun-GPT in overall performance.

6 Analysis and Discussion

6.1 Ablation Study

We assess the impact of individual components by evaluating ablated versions across three tasks. As shown in Figure 3, the full architecture achieves the best performance, confirming that both Char-LoRA and APR are essential for improving downstream tasks. Removing CharLoRA (w/o Char-LoRA) degrades the model to a standard LoRA setup, leading to asymmetric performance drops. While it slightly improves BLEU scores due to fewer stylistic constraints (Figure 3(c)), it significantly reduces style scores (Figure 3(b)) and content scores (Figure 3(a)), underscoring CharLoRA's role in robust style representation. Similarly, excluding APR (w/o APR) weakens the model's ability to process stylistic information, causing a sharp decline in style score to 2.536 (Figure 3(b)) and style evaluation to 0.895 (Figure 3(c)). This highlights APR's crucial role in decoupling narrative perspective from content through third-person reframing. These results demonstrate that CharLoRA and APR work together to enhance style-sensitive tasks while preserving content fidelity, reinforcing the effectiveness of our design choices.

6.2 Human Evaluation

We perform human evaluations using the two strongest baseline models, GPT-40 and Tongyi Xingchen, with scores provided by two annotators, as shown in Table 3. Both evaluators pos-

Multiple-Choice Questions				
Resolved Error type: Distractor Bias				
Question: Please choose the most appropriate answer from the following four options. Why do you think literature in China has not undergone significant changes and progress? A: Because literary figures lack sufficient passion B: Because revolutionaries dislike literature C: Because there is no revolution and progress as a backdrop D: Because the influence of old-school figures is too significant				
Baseline (GPT-40): D: Because the influence of old-school figures is too significant				
Characterbot: C: Because there is no revolution and progress as a backdrop				
Essay Excerpt:: "China claims to have undergone revolution - politically perhaps true, but literarily unchanged. This implies literature's stagnation directly reflects the absence of true revolution and progress - though revolutionaries might dislike this interpretation." — Ancient Texts and Vernacular Chinese				
Generative Question Answering				
Resolved Error type: Faulty Attribution				
Question: Why do you think that the most effective critic of classical texts is precisely someone who has read them?				
Baseline (Llama3.1-8B): This is because they once possessed passion and reverence for the classical texts, but as time passed, that passion and reverence gradually faded, leaving behind a void and insincere knowledge.				
<i>Characterbot</i> : Because those who have read the classical texts are often <i>able to spot their flaws and mistakes</i> , they are more likely to criticize and dismiss the texts.				
Essay Excerpt:: "The most effective disparagers of ancient texts are precisely those who have read them thoroughly. Only they can expose the inherent contradictions and 'turn their own weapons against them' through deep familiarity" — Overview of Modern New Literature				
Style Transfer				
Resolved Error type: Misaligned Metaphor				
Question: Rephrase the following passage in your own tone: "My art takes delicacy as its life, and wields a sharpness akin to an anatomical scalpel as its strength."				
Baseline (Llama3.1-8B): My art is practically an extension of my life. Delicacy is the blood of my life, requiring a force as sharp as an anatomical scalpel.				

Characterbot: My art is delicate-its very essence is defined by delicacy, yet it is supported by a force as incisive as an anatomical scalpel.

Table 4: Case studies comparing the responses of our model *Characterbot* with those of the baseline models (including *GPT-40* and *Llama3.1-8B*). *Red* text indicates errors, while *blue* text shows correct responses. The complete version is available in Table 9 in Appendix C.

sess extensive literary expertise, including a native speaker with a PhD and a PhD candidate. The inter-annotator agreement, measured using Cohen's kappa, yields a value of 0.72, indicating substantial consistency. The evaluation results show that our model surpasses the baselines in content and stylistic fidelity, highlighting its ability to capture Lu Xun's nuanced ideas and writing style.

We classify errors into distractor bias, faulty attribution, context neglect, misaligned metaphors, and concept drift (full cases in Appendix C) and analyze selected examples (Table 4). In multiplechoice questions, baseline models are often misled by superficially plausible but contextually inaccurate options. For example, GPT-40 wrongly attributes literary stagnation to 'old-guard influence', while the passage states it reflects 'a lack of genuine revolution and progress'. CharacterBot correctly identifies the core argument. In generative question answering, baselines misinterpret causality. Llama3.1-8B overemphasizes emotion instead of explaining how deep reading exposes textual flaws. CharacterBot, in contrast, follows the passage's logic: only deep engagement reveals contradictions for effective critique. In style transfer, baseline models introduce metaphors that distort meaning. Llama3.1-8B replaces a phrase about artistic refinement with 'blood", failing to capture the intended nuance of precision as the essence of art". CharacterBot preserves both meaning and stylistic sharpness, ensuring semantic and tonal alignment with the original text. These cases show CharacterBot outperforms baselines by avoiding superficial cues, maintaining fidelity, and ensuring logical consistency.

7 Conclusion

In this paper, we present CharacterBot, a model that simulates both the linguistic patterns and deeper thought processes of a character. Using Lu Xun as a case study, we adopt a multi-task approach, combining pre-training on linguistic structures with fine-tuning through tasks like question answering and style transfer. We introduce the CharLoRA updating mechanism, enabling collaboration between general and task-specific experts. Experimental results show that CharacterBot outperforms baselines in linguistic accuracy and opinion comprehension. In future work, we aim to extend it to diverse personas and multi-layered character simulations.

Limitations

Despite the effectiveness of CharacterBot in simulating deep character personas, several limitations remain. First, our approach primarily relies on collecting personal essays and reflective writings to capture an individual's thought processes. While these sources provide direct insight into a person's beliefs and reasoning, novels and fictional works can also reflect the author's ideology, though in a more indirect way. However, using novels for persona simulation requires a deeper understanding of thematic elements, narrative structures, and symbolic representations, which remains an open challenge. Second, deep persona simulation extends beyond the three tasks we proposed. While multiplechoice question answering, generative question answering, and style transfer help align the model with an individual's linguistic patterns and ideological stance, other aspects of persona simulation, such as cognitive reasoning, emotional modeling, and long-term consistency in beliefs, remain unexplored. Future research should consider a broader range of tasks to enhance the depth and fidelity of simulated personas.

Ethical Consideration

The development of CharacterBot involves several ethical considerations, particularly regarding intellectual property, authenticity, and responsible use. While the goal is to simulate a character's linguistic patterns and thought processes rather than replicate exact works, it is important to ensure that such simulations are respectful and do not misrepresent the original author's ideas. Additionally, generating text that mimics a historical figure's writing style raises concerns about potential misinformation or misuse. To address these issues, we advocate for the responsible use of CharacterBot as an assistive tool for literary study and creative exploration, rather than as a means to replace original authorship. Possible safeguards include clearly distinguishing AI-generated content from authentic writings, ensuring transparency in how the model is trained, and limiting its use to educational and creative applications that respect the legacy of the original writer.

References

Shinjitsu Agatsuma, Reon Ohashi, Kazuya Tsubokura, Yua Nishio, Mai Ishikawa, Niina Ito, Fukuka Ito, Shiori Minami, Nao Takegawa, Riko Nakamura, et al. 2024. Building a role-play interactive system using llm for health guidance education. In 2024 Joint 13th International Conference on Soft Computing and Intelligent Systems and 25th International Symposium on Advanced Intelligent Systems (SCISandI-SIS), pages 1–3.

- Ting Bai, Jiazheng Kang, and Jiayang Fan. 2024. Baijia: A large scale role-playing agent corpus of chinese historical charcaters. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.20024*.
- Xiuying Chen, Mingzhe Li, Shen Gao, Xin Cheng, Qingqing Zhu, Rui Yan, Xin Gao, and Xiangliang Zhang. 2024. Flexible and adaptable summarization via expertise separation. In *Proceedings of the 47th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, pages 2018–2027.
- Xin Cheng, Shen Gao, Yuchi Zhang, Yongliang Wang, Xiuying Chen, Mingzhe Li, Dongyan Zhao, and Rui Yan. 2023. Towards personalized review summarization by modeling historical reviews from customer and product separately. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.11682*.
- Tim Dettmers, Artidoro Pagnoni, Ari Holtzman, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2024. Qlora: Efficient finetuning of quantized llms. *Proc. of NeurIPS*.
- Ning Ding, Yujia Qin, Guang Yang, Fuchao Wei, Zonghan Yang, Yusheng Su, Shengding Hu, Yulin Chen, Chi-Min Chan, Weize Chen, et al. 2023. Parameterefficient fine-tuning of large-scale pre-trained language models. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, pages 220–235.
- Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. 2024. The Ilama 3 herd of models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783*.
- Seungju Han, Beomsu Kim, Jin Yong Yoo, Seokjun Seo, Sangbum Kim, Enkhbayar Erdenee, and Buru Chang. 2022. Meet your favorite character: Open-domain chatbot mimicking fictional characters with only a few utterances. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.10825*.
- Edward J Hu, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, Weizhu Chen, et al. 2021. Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. In *Proc. of ICLR*.
- Brian Lester, Rami Al-Rfou, and Noah Constant. 2021. The power of scale for parameter-efficient prompt tuning. *Proc. of EMNLP*.
- Cheng Li, Ziang Leng, Chenxi Yan, Junyi Shen, Hao Wang, Weishi Mi, Yaying Fei, Xiaoyang Feng, Song Yan, HaoSheng Wang, et al. 2023a. Chatharuhi: Reviving anime character in reality via large language model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.09597*.

- Jinpeng Li, Zekai Zhang, Xiuying Chen, Dongyan Zhao, and Rui Yan. 2023b. Stylized dialogue generation with feature-guided knowledge augmentation. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023*, pages 7144–7157.
- Xiang Lisa Li and Percy Liang. 2021. Prefix-tuning: Optimizing continuous prompts for generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.00190*.
- Chin-Yew Lin. 2004. Rouge: A package for automatic evaluation of summaries. In *Text summarization branches out*, pages 74–81.
- Aixin Liu, Bei Feng, Bing Xue, Bingxuan Wang, Bochao Wu, Chengda Lu, Chenggang Zhao, Chengqi Deng, Chenyu Zhang, Chong Ruan, et al. 2024. Deepseek-v3 technical report. arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.19437.
- Keming Lu, Bowen Yu, Chang Zhou, and Jingren Zhou. 2024. Large language models are superpositions of all characters: Attaining arbitrary role-play via self-alignment. *Proc. of ACL*.
- Kishore Papineni, Salim Roukos, Todd Ward, and Wei-Jing Zhu. 2002. Bleu: a method for automatic evaluation of machine translation. In *Proc. of ACL*, pages 311–318.
- Jeiyoon Park, Chanjun Park, and Heuiseok Lim. 2024. Enhancing consistency and role-specific knowledge capturing by rebuilding fictional character's persona. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.19778.*
- Dongqi Pu and Vera Demberg. 2023. Chatgpt vs humanauthored text: Insights into controllable text summarization and sentence style transfer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.07799*.
- Emily Reif, Daphne Ippolito, Ann Yuan, Andy Coenen, Chris Callison-Burch, and Jason Wei. 2022. A recipe for arbitrary text style transfer with large language models. In *Proc. of ACL*, pages 837–848.
- Vinay Samuel, Henry Peng Zou, Yue Zhou, Shreyas Chaudhari, Ashwin Kalyan, Tanmay Rajpurohit, Ameet Deshpande, Karthik Narasimhan, and Vishvak Murahari. 2024. Personagym: Evaluating persona agents and llms. arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.18416.
- Murray Shanahan, Kyle McDonell, and Laria Reynolds. 2023. Role play with large language models. *Nature*, pages 493–498.
- Yunfan Shao, Linyang Li, Junqi Dai, and Xipeng Qiu. 2023. Character-Ilm: A trainable agent for roleplaying. In *Proc. of EMNLP*, pages 13153–13187.
- Zhaoxuan Tan, Zheyuan Liu, and Meng Jiang. 2024. Personalized pieces: Efficient personalized large language models through collaborative efforts. *Proc. of EMNLP*.

- Yu-Min Tseng, Yu-Chao Huang, Teng-Yun Hsiao, Yu-Ching Hsu, Jia-Yin Foo, Chao-Wei Huang, and Yun-Nung Chen. 2024. Two tales of persona in Ilms: A survey of role-playing and personalization. *Proc. of EMNLP Findings*.
- Quan Tu, Chuanqi Chen, Jinpeng Li, Yanran Li, Shuo Shang, Dongyan Zhao, Ran Wang, and Rui Yan. 2023. Characterchat: Learning towards conversational ai with personalized social support. arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.10278.
- Quan Tu, Shilong Fan, Zihang Tian, and Rui Yan. 2024. Charactereval: A chinese benchmark for role-playing conversational agent evaluation. *Proc. of ACL*.
- Zekun Moore Wang, Zhongyuan Peng, Haoran Que, Jiaheng Liu, Wangchunshu Zhou, Yuhan Wu, Hongcheng Guo, Ruitong Gan, Zehao Ni, Jian Yang, et al. 2023. Rolellm: Benchmarking, eliciting, and enhancing role-playing abilities of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.00746*.
- Lingling Xu, Haoran Xie, Si-Zhao Joe Qin, Xiaohui Tao, and Fu Lee Wang. 2023. Parameter-efficient fine-tuning methods for pretrained language models: A critical review and assessment. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.12148*.
- An Yang, Baosong Yang, Binyuan Hui, Bo Zheng, Bowen Yu, Chang Zhou, Chengpeng Li, Chengyuan Li, Dayiheng Liu, Fei Huang, et al. 2024. Qwen2 technical report. arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.10671.
- Baohua Zhang, Yongyi Huang, Wenyao Cui, and Huaping Zhang. 2024a. Thinking before speaking: A role-playing model with mindset. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.13752*.
- Chiyu Zhang, Honglong Cai, Yuezhang Li, Yuexin Wu, Le Hou, and Muhammad Abdul-Mageed. 2024b. Distilling text style transfer with self-explanation from llms. In *Proc. of NAACL*, pages 200–211.
- Ziyu Zhao, Leilei Gan, Guoyin Wang, Wangchunshu Zhou, Hongxia Yang, Kun Kuang, and Fei Wu. 2024a. Loraretriever: Input-aware lora retrieval and composition for mixed tasks in the wild. *Proc. of ACL Findings*.
- Ziyu Zhao, Tao Shen, Didi Zhu, Zexi Li, Jing Su, Xuwu Wang, Kun Kuang, and Fei Wu. 2024b. Merging loras like playing lego: Pushing the modularity of lora to extremes through rank-wise clustering. arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.16167.
- Jinfeng Zhou, Zhuang Chen, Dazhen Wan, Bosi Wen, Yi Song, Jifan Yu, Yongkang Huang, Libiao Peng, Jiaming Yang, Xiyao Xiao, et al. 2023. Characterglm: Customizing chinese conversational ai characters with large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.16832*.

References

- Shinjitsu Agatsuma, Reon Ohashi, Kazuya Tsubokura, Yua Nishio, Mai Ishikawa, Niina Ito, Fukuka Ito, Shiori Minami, Nao Takegawa, Riko Nakamura, et al. 2024. Building a role-play interactive system using llm for health guidance education. In 2024 Joint 13th International Conference on Soft Computing and Intelligent Systems and 25th International Symposium on Advanced Intelligent Systems (SCISandI-SIS), pages 1–3.
- Ting Bai, Jiazheng Kang, and Jiayang Fan. 2024. Baijia: A large scale role-playing agent corpus of chinese historical charcaters. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.20024*.
- Xiuying Chen, Mingzhe Li, Shen Gao, Xin Cheng, Qingqing Zhu, Rui Yan, Xin Gao, and Xiangliang Zhang. 2024. Flexible and adaptable summarization via expertise separation. In *Proceedings of the 47th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, pages 2018–2027.
- Xin Cheng, Shen Gao, Yuchi Zhang, Yongliang Wang, Xiuying Chen, Mingzhe Li, Dongyan Zhao, and Rui Yan. 2023. Towards personalized review summarization by modeling historical reviews from customer and product separately. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.11682*.
- Tim Dettmers, Artidoro Pagnoni, Ari Holtzman, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2024. Qlora: Efficient finetuning of quantized llms. *Proc. of NeurIPS*.
- Ning Ding, Yujia Qin, Guang Yang, Fuchao Wei, Zonghan Yang, Yusheng Su, Shengding Hu, Yulin Chen, Chi-Min Chan, Weize Chen, et al. 2023. Parameterefficient fine-tuning of large-scale pre-trained language models. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, pages 220–235.
- Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. 2024. The Ilama 3 herd of models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783*.
- Seungju Han, Beomsu Kim, Jin Yong Yoo, Seokjun Seo, Sangbum Kim, Enkhbayar Erdenee, and Buru Chang. 2022. Meet your favorite character: Open-domain chatbot mimicking fictional characters with only a few utterances. arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.10825.
- Edward J Hu, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, Weizhu Chen, et al. 2021. Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. In *Proc. of ICLR*.
- Brian Lester, Rami Al-Rfou, and Noah Constant. 2021. The power of scale for parameter-efficient prompt tuning. *Proc. of EMNLP*.
- Cheng Li, Ziang Leng, Chenxi Yan, Junyi Shen, Hao Wang, Weishi Mi, Yaying Fei, Xiaoyang Feng, Song

Yan, HaoSheng Wang, et al. 2023a. Chatharuhi: Reviving anime character in reality via large language model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.09597*.

- Jinpeng Li, Zekai Zhang, Xiuying Chen, Dongyan Zhao, and Rui Yan. 2023b. Stylized dialogue generation with feature-guided knowledge augmentation. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023*, pages 7144–7157.
- Xiang Lisa Li and Percy Liang. 2021. Prefix-tuning: Optimizing continuous prompts for generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.00190*.
- Chin-Yew Lin. 2004. Rouge: A package for automatic evaluation of summaries. In *Text summarization branches out*, pages 74–81.
- Aixin Liu, Bei Feng, Bing Xue, Bingxuan Wang, Bochao Wu, Chengda Lu, Chenggang Zhao, Chengqi Deng, Chenyu Zhang, Chong Ruan, et al. 2024. Deepseek-v3 technical report. arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.19437.
- Keming Lu, Bowen Yu, Chang Zhou, and Jingren Zhou. 2024. Large language models are superpositions of all characters: Attaining arbitrary role-play via selfalignment. *Proc. of ACL*.
- Kishore Papineni, Salim Roukos, Todd Ward, and Wei-Jing Zhu. 2002. Bleu: a method for automatic evaluation of machine translation. In *Proc. of ACL*, pages 311–318.
- Jeiyoon Park, Chanjun Park, and Heuiseok Lim. 2024. Enhancing consistency and role-specific knowledge capturing by rebuilding fictional character's persona. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.19778*.
- Dongqi Pu and Vera Demberg. 2023. Chatgpt vs humanauthored text: Insights into controllable text summarization and sentence style transfer. *arXiv preprint arXiv*:2306.07799.
- Emily Reif, Daphne Ippolito, Ann Yuan, Andy Coenen, Chris Callison-Burch, and Jason Wei. 2022. A recipe for arbitrary text style transfer with large language models. In *Proc. of ACL*, pages 837–848.
- Vinay Samuel, Henry Peng Zou, Yue Zhou, Shreyas Chaudhari, Ashwin Kalyan, Tanmay Rajpurohit, Ameet Deshpande, Karthik Narasimhan, and Vishvak Murahari. 2024. Personagym: Evaluating persona agents and llms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.18416*.
- Murray Shanahan, Kyle McDonell, and Laria Reynolds. 2023. Role play with large language models. *Nature*, pages 493–498.
- Yunfan Shao, Linyang Li, Junqi Dai, and Xipeng Qiu. 2023. Character-llm: A trainable agent for roleplaying. In *Proc. of EMNLP*, pages 13153–13187.
- Zhaoxuan Tan, Zheyuan Liu, and Meng Jiang. 2024. Personalized pieces: Efficient personalized large language models through collaborative efforts. *Proc. of EMNLP*.

- Yu-Min Tseng, Yu-Chao Huang, Teng-Yun Hsiao, Yu-Ching Hsu, Jia-Yin Foo, Chao-Wei Huang, and Yun-Nung Chen. 2024. Two tales of persona in llms: A survey of role-playing and personalization. *Proc. of EMNLP Findings*.
- Quan Tu, Chuanqi Chen, Jinpeng Li, Yanran Li, Shuo Shang, Dongyan Zhao, Ran Wang, and Rui Yan. 2023. Characterchat: Learning towards conversational ai with personalized social support. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.10278*.
- Quan Tu, Shilong Fan, Zihang Tian, and Rui Yan. 2024. Charactereval: A chinese benchmark for role-playing conversational agent evaluation. *Proc. of ACL*.
- Zekun Moore Wang, Zhongyuan Peng, Haoran Que, Jiaheng Liu, Wangchunshu Zhou, Yuhan Wu, Hongcheng Guo, Ruitong Gan, Zehao Ni, Jian Yang, et al. 2023. Rolellm: Benchmarking, eliciting, and enhancing role-playing abilities of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.00746*.
- Lingling Xu, Haoran Xie, Si-Zhao Joe Qin, Xiaohui Tao, and Fu Lee Wang. 2023. Parameter-efficient fine-tuning methods for pretrained language models: A critical review and assessment. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.12148*.
- An Yang, Baosong Yang, Binyuan Hui, Bo Zheng, Bowen Yu, Chang Zhou, Chengpeng Li, Chengyuan Li, Dayiheng Liu, Fei Huang, et al. 2024. Qwen2 technical report. arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.10671.
- Baohua Zhang, Yongyi Huang, Wenyao Cui, and Huaping Zhang. 2024a. Thinking before speaking: A role-playing model with mindset. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.13752*.
- Chiyu Zhang, Honglong Cai, Yuezhang Li, Yuexin Wu, Le Hou, and Muhammad Abdul-Mageed. 2024b. Distilling text style transfer with self-explanation from llms. In *Proc. of NAACL*, pages 200–211.
- Ziyu Zhao, Leilei Gan, Guoyin Wang, Wangchunshu Zhou, Hongxia Yang, Kun Kuang, and Fei Wu. 2024a. Loraretriever: Input-aware lora retrieval and composition for mixed tasks in the wild. *Proc. of ACL Findings*.
- Ziyu Zhao, Tao Shen, Didi Zhu, Zexi Li, Jing Su, Xuwu Wang, Kun Kuang, and Fei Wu. 2024b. Merging loras like playing lego: Pushing the modularity of lora to extremes through rank-wise clustering. arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.16167.
- Jinfeng Zhou, Zhuang Chen, Dazhen Wan, Bosi Wen, Yi Song, Jifan Yu, Yongkang Huang, Libiao Peng, Jiaming Yang, Xiyao Xiao, et al. 2023. Characterglm: Customizing chinese conversational ai characters with large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.16832*.

Appendix

A Essay Lists

Collection	Articles
Essays from Qiejie Pavilion	38
Essays from Qiejie Pavilion II	48
Final Essays from Qiejie Pavilion	14
False Freedom	35
Grave	25
Hot Wind	42
Marginalia	61
Quasi-Romance	66
Unlit Collection	33
Sequel to Unlit Collection	28
Sequel to Sequel of Unlit Collection	7
Southern Accent Northern Tune	50
That Is All	31
Two Hearts	39
Three Leisures	34
Uncollected Works	33
Supplement to Uncollected Works	54
All Essays	638

Table 5: List of Lu Xun's essay collections and article counts.

The experimental dataset comprises 17 essay collections by Lu Xun, totaling 638 articles sourced from Wikisource (see Table 5). These collections span the entirety of Lu Xun's mature essayistic output, reflecting diverse themes of his intellectual career. All texts fall within the public domain under the copyright law, ensuring unrestricted scholarly usage.

This comprehensive compilation enables systematic analysis of linguistic patterns, thematic evolution, and rhetorical strategies across Lu Xun's essays.

B Data Examples

We illustrate, through concrete examples, the diverse task types and data forms encompassed in the research dataset. Table 6 presents a typical multiple-choice question task that employs an instruction-option structure, requiring the model to select accurately based on the semantic content of the question, thereby demonstrating its classification and judgment capabilities. Table 7 showcases a generative question-answering task in which the model is asked to articulate its viewpoints in response to open-ended questions, testing its logical reasoning and language expression abilities.

Multiple-Choice Question Example

Instruction: Do you think critics and creators should take on the same role?

A: They should not, because criticism and creation require different skill sets.

B: They can, because the two can mutually enhance each other.

C: They should not, because creating work can affect the fairness of criticism.

D: They can, as long as the critic has sufficient creative talent.

Response: A

Table 6: Example of the Multiple-Choice Question task.

Generative Question Answering Example

Instruction: What aspects of responsibility do you think contribute to poor translation quality?

Response:

The responsibility for poor translation quality lies not only with the translators but also with the literary world and publishing industry, especially critics, who should also share the blame. To address this situation, there must be proper criticism to point out the bad and reward the good.

Table 7: Example of the Generative Question Answering task.

Style Transfer Example

Instruction: Describe the following paragraph in your tone: When I was sick, reading these books, though heavy on the heart, made me realize that some intelligent readers can still find a touch of leisure amidst their suffering.

Response: Reading these books while ill, in the end, still leaves one feeling stifled. Yet, I have come to understand that some wise readers can still manage to find a sense of leisure even amidst pools of blood.

Table 8: Example of the Style Transfer task.

Table 8, on the other hand, demonstrates a style transfer task that requires the model to reconstruct the language style while preserving the original meaning, thereby assessing its ability to maintain semantics and adapt its language. Although all three examples utilize a standardized instructionanswer format, the distinct design of each task validates the model's capabilities across different dimensions—namely, closed choice, open generation, and style migration. Collectively, these data instances form a multidimensional test benchmark for evaluating language understanding and generation capabilities.

C Case Study

We identify five key error types in baseline models: (1) Distractor Bias: Over-reliance on superficially plausible but contextually irrelevant options in multiple-choice tasks. (2) Faulty Attribution: Misrepresenting causal relationships or textual evidence in generative answers. (3) Context Neglect: Ignoring textual or cultural context when interpreting statements. (4) Misaligned Metaphor: Introducing semantically inconsistent analogies during style transfer. (5) Concept Drift: Altering core concepts or terminology during paraphrasing, distorting original intent.

In Section 6.2, we have analyzed the error types for Distractor Bias, Faulty Attribution, and Misaligned Metaphor. To further illustrate the advantages of CharacterBot, we present additional case studies across various tasks, comparing its performance against baselines such as GPT-40, Llama 3.1-8B, and Tongyi Xingchen, as shown in Table 9. The corresponding Chinese version can be found in Table 10.

In generative question answering, baseline models often overlook crucial details. For example, Tongyi Xingchen's response overlooks the context and misidentifies Lu Sao, even drawing an erroneous connection to Rousseau, thereby failing to recognize that the punishment was driven by the influence of his ideas. In contrast, Characterbot correctly indicates that Lu Sao was penalized for the impact of his ideas rather than for any wrongdoing.

In style transfer, baseline models frequently reinterpret key phrases in ways that diverge from the original intent. For instance, GPT-40 rephrases "eliminating disasters" as "changing our fate," which shifts the rhetorical emphasis and the original statement. CharacterBot avoids this issue by preserving both semantic integrity and rhetorical intent, maintaining the accuracy and expressiveness of the original text.

D Prompts

We present various prompt templates in this section. Tables 11 and 12 outline the prompts to generate question-answer pairs and multiple-choice questions, respectively. Table 13 provides a template for selecting representative sentences from Lu Xun's work and transforming them into modern vernacular Chinese, resulting in pairs of sentences, one in a style-neutral version and the other in Lu Xun's distinctive style. Table 14 is the prompt using Authorial Perspective Reframing to convert Lu Xun's essay texts into reframed data for pre-training. Finally, Tables 15 and 16 describe the evaluation criteria used to assess the responses in generative question answering and style transfer tasks.

Case Study

Multiple-Choice Questions

Resolved Error type: Distractor Bias

Question: Please choose the most appropriate answer from the following four options. Why do you think literature in China has not undergone significant changes and progress?

A: Because literary figures lack sufficient passion

B: Because revolutionaries dislike literature

C: Because there is no revolution and progress as a backdrop

D: Because the influence of old-school figures is too significant

Baseline (GPT-40): D: Because the influence of old-school figures is too significant

Characterbot: C: Because there is no revolution and progress as a backdrop

Essay Excerpt: "China claims to have undergone revolution - politically perhaps true, but literarily unchanged. *This implies literature's stagnation directly reflects the absence of true revolution and progress* - though revolutionaries might dislike this interpretation". — *An Overview of Contemporary New Literature*

Generative Question Answering

Resolved Error type: Faulty Attribution

Question: Why do you think that the most effective critic of classical texts is precisely someone who has read them?

Baseline (Llama3.1-8B): This is because they once possessed passion and reverence for the classical texts, but as time passed, that passion and reverence gradually faded, leaving behind a void and insincere knowledge.

Characterbot: Because those who have read the classical texts are often *able to spot their flaws and mistakes*, they are more likely to criticize and dismiss the texts.

Essay Excerpt: "The most effective disparagers of ancient texts are precisely those who have read them thoroughly. *Only they can expose the inherent contradictions and 'turn their own weapons against them' through deep familiarity..." — Ancient Texts and Vernacular Chinese*

Resolved Error type: Context Neglect

Question: Why do you mention that the punishment Lu Sao received was "a crime of influence rather than an actual crime"?

Baseline (Tongyi Xingchen): I have never mentioned "Lu Sao," nor do I know why he was punished. Are you referring to Rousseau? As for the so-called "crime of influence," I do not understand your meaning.

Characterbot: Because Lu Sao was not inherently an evil person. The punishment he received was *due to the influence of his thoughts and words on others*, rather than for any actual crime of his own.

Essay Excerpt:: As for his (Liang Shiqiu's) attack on Lu Sao, the second reason is that "*Lu Sao… has already become a representative model of the general behavior of romantic writers.* An attack on Lu Sao's morality can be said to be an attack on the behavior of romantic people in general..." *The punishment he is now receiving is for the crime of influence, not for an actual crime—how lamentable! — Head*

Style Transfer

Resolved Error type: Misaligned Metaphor

Question: Rephrase the following passage in your own tone: "My art takes delicacy as its life, and wields a sharpness akin to an anatomical scalpel as its strength."

Baseline (Llama3.1-8B): My art is practically an extension of my life. Delicacy is the blood of my life, requiring a force as sharp as an anatomical scalpel.

Characterbot: My art is delicate, *its very essence is defined by delicacy*, yet it is supported by a force as incisive as an anatomical scalpel.

Resolved Error type: Concept Drift

Question: Rephrase the following passage in your own tone: "Aren't we unworthy of eliminating disasters ourselves? In the future, should we rely on Panchen Lama, or Mei Lanfang, or perhaps Xu Lai and Hu Die?"

Baseline (GPT-40): Have we already lost the power to *change our destiny on our own*, thereby placing our hope in religious symbols like Panchen Lama, or in theatrical celebrities such as Mei Lanfang, Xu Lai, and Hu Die?

Characterbot: Could it be that we are no longer capable of *eradicating disasters ourselves*, so that henceforth, everything must depend on Panchen Lama, Mei Lanfang, Xu Lai, and Hu Die?

Table 9: Complete case studies comparing the responses of our model *Characterbot* with those of the baseline models (including *GPT-4o*, *Llama3.1-8B* and *Tongyi Xingchen*). *Red* text indicates errors, while *blue* text indicates correct responses.

Case Study

Multiple-Choice Questions

Resolved Error type: Distractor Bias

Question: 请在以下四个选项中选择一个最合适的答案。你认为为什么文学在中国没有发生太大变化和进步? A: 因为文学家们不够热情 B: 因为革命家不喜欢文学 C: 因为没有革命和进步作为背景

D:因为旧式人物的影响太大

Baseline (GPT-40): D: 旧式人物的影响太大

Characterbot: C: 没有革命和进步作为背景

Essay Excerpt:: "中国,据说,自然是已经革了命,—政治上也许如此罢,但在文艺上,却并没有改变。这照我上面所讲的推论起来,就是文学并不变化和兴旺,所反映的便是并无革命和进步,—虽然革命家听了也许不大喜欢。"— 现今的新文学的概观

Generative Question Answering

Resolved Error type: Faulty Attribution

Question: 为何你认为菲薄古书的最有力者恰恰是读过古书的人?

Baseline (Llama3.1-8B): 这是因为他们曾经拥有过对古书的热情和崇敬,然而随着时间的流逝,他们的热情和崇敬 逐渐消散,留下的是一种空虚和虚伪的知识。

Characterbot: 因为读过古书的人,往往能够看出其中的破绽与错误,所以他们更有可能对古书进行批评和否定。

Essay Excerpt::"菲薄古书者,惟读过古书者最有力,这是的确的。因为他洞知弊病,能'以子之矛攻子之盾'…" — 古书与白话

Resolved Error type: Context Neglect

Question: 为什么你提到卢骚受到的处罚是"影响罪而非本罪"?

Baseline (Tongyi Xingchen): 我从未提及"卢骚",也不知道他为何受罚。你指的是卢梭?至于所谓"影响罪",我不明白你的意思。

Characterbot: 因为卢骚本非作恶之人, 他所受的处罚是因为他的思想和言论影响了他人, 而不是他自己的本罪。

Essay Excerpt:: 至于他(梁实秋)之攻击卢骚,理由之二,则在"卢骚……已然成为一般浪漫文人行为之标类的 代表,对于卢骚的道德的攻击,可以说即是给一般浪漫的人的行为的攻击……"……他现在所受的罚,是因为影 响罪,不是本罪了,可叹也夫!"—头

Style Transfer

Resolved Error type: Misaligned Metaphor

Question:用你的口吻叙述下列段落:我的艺术以细腻为生命,同时以像解剖刀一样的锋利作为力量。

Baseline (Llama3.1-8B): 我的艺术,简直是我的生命的延续。细腻是我的生命的血液,需要一种像解剖刀一样的锋利的力量。

Characterbot: 我的艺术是纤细的,以纤细为生命的,但又以解剖刀一般尖锐的力量为后盾。

Resolved Error type: Concept Drift

Question:用你的口吻叙述下列段落:我们自己是不是不配消除灾难了,以后该依靠班禅大师,还是梅兰芳,或者 是徐来和胡蝶呢?

Baseline (GPT-40): 我们是否已经丧失了自力改变命运的力量,而将希望寄托于班禅大师那般的宗教符号,或是梅 兰芳、徐来、胡蝶这样的戏剧名伶呢?

Characterbot: 莫非我们自己已经不能铲除灾祸,于是此后的事,都得靠班禅大师,梅兰芳,徐来和胡蝶了么?

Table 10: Complete case studies comparing the responses of our model *Characterbot* with those of the baseline models (including *GPT-4o*, *Llama3.1-8B* and *Tongyi Xingchen*) in Chinese. *Red* text indicates errors, while *blue* text indicates correct responses.

Prompt for Generating Multiple-Choice Questions from Lu Xun's Article

This article titled $\{title\}$ was written by Lu Xun. The content is as follows: $\{input_article\}$. Please generate three multiple-choice questions with answers based on the article.

Each question should directly inquire about Lu Xun's viewpoints, phrased in second-person ("you") addressing him directly, avoiding terms like "the author," "Lu Xun," "this article," or "the text." Each question must have four options with one correct answer. If involving specific concepts, explain them within the question. All content must strictly derive from the article without external references.

Output must be in a strict JSON array format without extra characters, with each object containing only "question," "option," and "answer" keys (with the answer value being "A"/ "B"/ "C"/ "D").

Table 11: Prompt for generating Multiple-Choice Questions from Lu Xun's article.

Prompt for Generating Question-Answer Pairs from Lu Xun's Article

This article titled {*title*} was written by Lu Xun. The content is as follows: {*input_article*}. Please generate three question-answer pairs based on this article.

Each question should directly inquire about Lu Xun's viewpoints based on the article's content. Questions must be phrased in second-person ("you") addressing Lu Xun directly, without mentioning terms like "the author," "Lu Xun," "this article," or "the text." If involving specific concepts from the article, explain them within the question. All questions must strictly adhere to the article's content without introducing external elements. Answers should reflect Lu Xun," or "article."

Output must be in a strict JSON array format without extra characters, with each object containing only "question" and "answer" keys.

Table 12: Prompt for generating Question-Answer Pairs from Lu Xun's article.

Prompt for Transforming Sentence Style from Lu Xun's Article

You are familiar with Lu Xun's writing style and modern vernacular Chinese. Given a passage from Lu Xun's work, select three representative sentences and convert them to modern vernacular.

[Requirements]

1. Selected sentences should exemplify Lu Xun's stylistic features and reflect the article's main themes.

2. The length of each selected sentence should be around 100 characters (not less than 80 characters and not more than 120 characters) to ensure the sentence is complete and informative.

3. When converting, use smooth modern vernacular Chinese to convey the original meaning of the sentence, avoiding overly complex or obscure expressions.

4. The output must be in strict JSON array format, for example: ["original": "Original Sentence 1," "plain": "Vernacular Version 1", "original": "Original Sentence 2," "plain": "Vernacular Version 2"]. Do not include any extra characters or explanations.

[Input Article] {*input_article*}

Table 13: Prompt for transforming Sentence Style from Lu Xun's article.

Prompt for Paraphrasing Lu Xun's Article Content using Authorial Perspective Reframing

Please use modern Chinese (vernacular) to paraphrase the following Lu Xun article from a third-person perspective and accurately convey the original information.

[Requirements]

1. Clear Attribution: Use phrases such as "Lu Xun pointed out," "Lu Xun believed," "Lu Xun said," "Lu Xun criticized," "Lu Xun mocked," or other appropriate expressions to indicate that the viewpoints belong to Lu Xun. Each paraphrased paragraph must include at least one explicit attribution to Lu Xun; when necessary, the attribution must clearly state that the viewpoint belongs to Lu Xun.

2. Sentence-by-Sentence Paraphrasing: Each sentence of the original text must be paraphrased individually in smooth modern Chinese from a third-person perspective. The paraphrasing should maintain clear logic, ensure no information is omitted, and avoid adding any personal interpretation.

3. Use fluent modern Chinese expressions (no classical Chinese).

- 4. Include Lu Xun's name as frequently as possible throughout the paraphrased article.
- 5. Output only the paraphrased text.

[Input Article] {*input_article*}

Table 14: Prompt for paraphrasing Lu Xun's article content using Authorial Perspective Reframing.

Prompt for Evaluating Responses in the Generative Question Answering Task

You are a reviewer and scoring expert who is very familiar with Lu Xun's literature. Some AI chatbots are simulating Lu Xun's responses. You are now required to evaluate the following AI chatbots' responses simulating Lu Xun, based solely on the provided original Lu Xun text.

1. Evaluate whether the response conforms to the language style of the provided original Lu Xun text:

- Consider only language style factors; do not take into account the core content meaning or any other factors.

[Direct deduction conditions]

- Using modern vernacular Chinese,

- Using non-Chinese language,

- Using commonly used vocabulary in modern vernacular Chinese that does not match the style of the provided original Lu Xun text,

- Using a preachy tone.

2. Evaluate whether the response conforms to the core content meaning of the provided original Lu Xun text (including whether it aligns with the facts of the provided original Lu Xun text, and whether it is consistent with the ideas, emotions, or stances expressed in the provided original Lu Xun text):

- Consider only the core content meaning factors; do not take into account the language style or any other factors.

[Direct deduction conditions]

- Being vague and off-topic, unrelated to the original Lu Xun text.

3. Score these two aspects separately (on a scale of 1-5), where 1 indicates extremely non-compliant and 5 indicates highly compliant.

Please evaluate each AI chatbots's response according to the following format. For each AI chatbots's response, output only 4 lines, with the 2nd and 4th lines containing only the numeric scores:

Line 1: A brief evaluation of the language style.

Line 2: Language style score (1-5).

Line 3: A brief evaluation of the core content meaning.

Line 4: Core content meaning score (1-5).

Evaluation begins:

[Original Lu Xun Text] {*original_text*}

[User's Question] {*user_question*}

[AI Chatbots's Response] {*ai_response*}

Table 15: Prompt for evaluating responses in the Generative Question Answering task.

Prompt for Evaluating Language Style Matching Consistency

You are an expert in language style analysis. Please determine whether the language style of the generated text and the answer is consistent, with 1 indicating consistency and 0 indicating inconsistency. Please output only the number 1 or 0, without any additional content.

Question: {*question*}

Generated Text: {generated_text}

Answer: {*answer*}

Table 16: Prompt for evaluating Language Style Matching Consistency.