

Image Inversion: A Survey from GANs to Diffusion and Beyond

Yinan Chen^{1*}, Jiangning Zhang^{1,2*}, Yali Bi³, Xiaobin Hu², Teng Hu⁴,
Zhucun Xue¹, Ran Yi⁴, Yong Liu^{1†} and Ying Tai⁵

¹College of Control Science and Engineering, Zhejiang University, ²YouTu Lab, Tencent

³College of Computer and Information Science, Southwest University

⁴Department of Computer Science & Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University

⁵School of Intelligence Science and Technology, Nanjing University
186368@zju.edu.cn, yongliu@iipc.zju.edu.cn, yingtai@nju.edu.cn

Abstract

Image inversion is a fundamental task in generative models, aiming to map images back to their latent representations to enable downstream applications such as editing, restoration, and style transfer. This paper provides a comprehensive review of the latest advancements in image inversion techniques, focusing on two main paradigms: Generative Adversarial Network (GAN) inversion and diffusion model inversion. We categorize these techniques based on their optimization methods. For GAN inversion, we systematically classify existing methods into encoder-based approaches, latent optimization approaches, and hybrid approaches, analyzing their theoretical foundations, technical innovations, and practical trade-offs. For diffusion model inversion, we explore training-free strategies, fine-tuning methods, and the design of additional trainable modules, highlighting their unique advantages and limitations. Additionally, we discuss several popular downstream applications and emerging applications beyond image tasks, identifying current challenges and future research directions. By synthesizing the latest developments, this paper aims to provide researchers and practitioners with a valuable reference resource, promoting further advancements in the field of image inversion. We keep track of the latest works at <https://github.com/RyanChenYN/ImageInversion>.

1 Introduction

Image inversion refers to the task of mapping a given image back to the latent representation with a pre-trained generative model. This task holds significant importance in applications such as image editing, style transfer, image restoration, etc [Xia *et al.*, 2022; Shuai *et al.*, 2024]. Through inversion techniques, users can effectively leverage the rich semantic information of generative models to achieve efficient control and modification of real images, making it an increasingly independent and active research direction.

Early researches on image inversion began with the rise of GANs [Zhu *et al.*, 2016], focusing primarily on how to

project images into the latent space of GANs to facilitate subsequent image editing and generation tasks. The advent of the StyleGAN series [Karras *et al.*, 2019; Karras *et al.*, 2020] notably improved the accuracy and efficiency of image inversion techniques. However, these methods have certain limitations [Tov *et al.*, 2021; Roich *et al.*, 2023; Zhang *et al.*, 2024c]: encoder-based one-forward approaches still yield suboptimal results, while optimization-based methods are time-consuming and fail to meet the demands of general image editing and high-precision applications, such as portrait photography. In recent years, diffusion models have emerged as a new favorite in the field of generative models due to their powerful generative capabilities and stable training processes. From DDPM [Ho *et al.*, 2020]/DDIM [Song *et al.*, 2020] to LDM [Rombach *et al.*, 2022], open-source models like the Stable Diffusion series have significantly enhanced the controllability and effectiveness of image editing, leading to numerous excellent training-free and fine-tuning solutions [Miyake *et al.*, 2023; Chung *et al.*, 2024; Mo *et al.*, 2024]. Recent breakthroughs, such as the DiT [Peebles and Xie, 2023] framework and flow matching techniques, have provided new insights and methods for image inversion. The diverse development from GANs to diffusion models has also laid the foundation for high-fidelity image inversion tasks and controllable editing applications in complex scenarios.

This survey systematically reviews and summarizes the development trajectory of these technologies, abstractly defining the problem from a formulaic perspective, and delving into the principles and practical issues of different categories of methods. It comprehensively covers image inversion and related subfields, providing a thorough discussion.

Scope. This paper focuses on the two main frameworks for image inversion: GAN inversion and diffusion model inversion. For GAN inversion, we conduct a comprehensive analysis and comparison from three perspectives: Encoder-based Approaches, Latent Optimization Approaches, and Hybrid Approaches. For diffusion model inversion, we categorize the methods from a training perspective into Training-free Approaches, Fine-tuning Approaches, and Extra Trainable Module Approaches, discussing the advantages and disadvantages of each. Additionally, we analyze the latest technological trends, such as DiT-based inversion methods [Feng *et al.*, 2024], and explore the applications of inversion techniques in images and broader fields like video [Fan *et al.*, 2024]




Figure 1: **Left:** Diagrammatic overview of the general formulaic description of image inversion (I), as well as its instantiation in GAN (II) and Diffusion (III) frameworks. **Right:** Works summarization on different frameworks in recent years. Only the works from the past four years are listed. Due to the superior performance of diffusion models, the interest in GAN-based work has been declining annually.

and audio[Manor and Michaeli, 2024]. This survey primarily analyzes work post-2021 to ensure its relevance and forward-looking nature. Due to space constraints, only representative works are discussed, with comprehensive and up-to-date work continuously tracked on this [project page](#).

Discussion with Related Surveys. Compared to existing surveys, such as [Xia *et al.*, 2022] which focuses on early GAN-based approaches, and recent work [Shuai *et al.*, 2024] which focuses on diffusion-based approaches, this survey integrates GAN inversion and diffusion model inversion into a unified framework for systematic comparison, filling a research gap in this field. It also extends the discussion of inversion to non-image applications, providing readers with a more comprehensive perspective.

Contributions. Firstly, we provide a thorough review of the latest advancements in the field of image inversion, covering the key inversion techniques of the two main generative models (GANs and diffusion models). By systematically categorizing these methods, we reveal the intrinsic connections and technical differences, offering clear theoretical guidance for researchers. Secondly, we discuss the primary applications from an image-level perspective and related field advancements. Finally, we summarize the current challenges in the research and propose a series of potential future research directions, providing important references for further development in the field of image inversion.

2 Taxonomy of Image Inversion Approaches

2.1 Background and Definition of Image Inversion

Generative Model Inversion refers to the process of recovering the latent variables of a pretrained generative model given an observed output x_0 . As shown in Fig. 1-I, suppose we have a generative model F that maps a latent code z from a latent space Z to the output image space X :

$$F : Z \rightarrow X, \quad x = F(z). \quad (1)$$

Given an input image $x_0 \in X$, the goal of inversion is to find a latent code $z^* \in Z$ such that the reconstructed image $x = F(z^*)$ is as close as possible to the input x_0 . This process can be formulated as the following optimization problem:

$$z^* = \underset{z}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathcal{L}(F(z), x_0), \quad (2)$$

where \mathcal{L} is a predefined loss function that measures the discrepancy between the reconstructed image $x = F(z)$ and the original input x_0 . Common choices for \mathcal{L} include pixel-wise, perceptual, and SSIM losses.

GAN Inversion is a specific instance of generative model inversion. As shown in Fig. 1-II, the generator is denoted as G , which maps latent variables z from the latent space Z to the output space X : $G : Z \rightarrow X$, where $x = G(z)$.

Its goal is to find a latent code $z^* \in Z$ such that the reconstructed image $x = G(z^*)$ is as close as possible to the input x_0 , expressed as the following optimization problem:

$$z^* = \underset{z}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathcal{L}(G(z), x_0), \quad (3)$$

where \mathcal{L} measures the discrepancy between the reconstructed image $x = G(z)$ and the input x_0 . In GAN inversion, additional regularization terms may be introduced to ensure the latent code z^* remains semantically meaningful or lies within the generator’s latent space distribution.

Diffusion Model Inversion models are stepwise generative models that create data x_0 by iteratively denoising an initial noise z_T . As shown in Fig. 1-III, the generative process of a diffusion model is described as:

$$p_\theta(x_{0:T}) = p(z_T) \prod_{t=1}^T p_\theta(z_{t-1}|z_t), \quad (4)$$

where z_t represents the intermediate latent variable at timestep t , z_T is the initial noise, T is the total number of timesteps, and $p_\theta(z_{t-1}|z_t)$ denotes the conditional denoising probability parameterized by θ . The goal of diffusion model inversion is to recover the initial noise z_T^* such that the reconstructed image x_0 matches the input x_0 . This can be formulated as:

$$z_T^* = \underset{z_T}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathcal{L}(z_T, x_0), \quad (5)$$

or further decomposed into intermediate optimization problems at each timestep t :

$$z_t^* = \underset{z_t}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathcal{L}(z_t, x_0). \quad (6)$$




Figure 2: A taxonomy of generative model inversion approaches from GANs to Diffusion and beyond.

Diffusion model inversion involves iteratively optimizing the intermediate latent variables z_t at each timestep, eventually recovering the initial noise z_T . This process can also incorporate the denoising likelihood $p_\theta(z_{t-1}|z_t)$ for timestep-by-timestep optimization. Detailed methods in Fig. 2 are detailed in the following sections

2.2 GAN Inversion

GAN inversion techniques can be broadly classified into three categories: *i*) Encoder-based approaches prioritize speed and conditional control, *ii*) Latent Optimization approaches emphasize reconstruction fidelity, and *iii*) Hybrid approaches seek to balance these competing objectives. This categorization reflects the primary strategy employed to map a real image back into the latent space of a pre-trained GAN.

Encoder-based Approaches. In encoder-based image inversion methods, researchers focus on constructing a direct mapping from image space to the GAN latent space. These methods are renowned for their efficient inference speed and practical utility, making them particularly suitable for real-time processing scenarios and conditional generation tasks. A seminal work in this domain, IcGAN [Perarnau et al., 2016], innovatively designed a dual-encoder architecture responsible for extracting the latent features \mathbf{z} and the associated conditional information \mathbf{y} from images. This breakthrough enabled conditional image editing based on cGANs [Mirza, 2014]. Subsequently, e4e [Tov et al., 2021] proposed a specialized encoding scheme tailored to the characteristics of StyleGAN [Karras et al., 2019]. The core of this approach lies in the progressive offset training strategy combined with adversarial constraints, effectively bridging the gap between latent encoding and the native StyleGAN space, thereby significantly enhancing the model’s editability and fidelity. Recent advancements such as DIEGAN [Yildirim et al., 2023] employ a hybrid network

that combines encoder-derived latent codes with randomly sampled codes for diverse image restoration and editing tasks, utilizing a two-stage training framework. Additionally, GradStyle [Li et al., 2024b] introduces a dual-stream framework integrating progressive residual alignment and global alignment modules, aimed at high-fidelity image reconstruction and flexible attribute manipulation. Overall, these technical approaches rely on establishing direct image-to-latent space mapping to achieve rapid inversion operations. In practical development, it remains crucial to carefully balance reconstruction quality and editability.

Latent Optimization Approaches. Latent optimization methods directly optimize the latent variables of a pre-trained GAN to find the optimal latent code reconstruct a given image, achieving high reconstruction fidelity without additional modules. InvertingGAN [Creswell and Bharath, 2019] uses gradient descent to directly invert GAN generators, achieving high-quality image reconstruction. Image2StyleGAN [Abdal et al., 2019] embeds images into StyleGAN’s extended $W+$ space via optimization, improving embedding quality and style transfer capabilities. PTI [Roich et al., 2023] combines latent space optimization with local generator fine-tuning, balancing reconstruction quality and editability by adapting the generator to specific input image characteristics. These approaches prioritize reconstruction accuracy by iteratively refining latent codes, albeit at the cost of increased computational time. Considering the latency requirements of practical applications and the emergence of a series of high-quality diffusion generative models, such approaches have gradually faded from researchers’ focus in recent years.

Hybrid Approaches. Hybrid methods combine the strengths of both encoder-based and latent optimization approaches, typically using an encoder to provide an initial estimate of

the latent code, which is then refined through optimization, allowing a balance between speed and reconstruction quality, while also enabling more complex editing tasks. iGAN [Zhu *et al.*, 2016] combines deep learning predictors and optimization techniques to efficiently project images onto the GAN manifold and enable interactive editing. SDIC [Zhang *et al.*, 2024c] learns a spatial-contextual discrepancy map to compensate for information loss in latent codes and GAN generators, generating high-quality reconstructions and edits. LOR [Bounareli *et al.*, 2024] combines encoders and latent space direction optimization for high-quality face reenactment via joint training and feature space refinement. StyleFeatureEditor [Bobkov *et al.*, 2024] adopts a two-phase learning approach to train an inverter and a feature editor, addressing detail reconstruction and editability in high-dimensional feature spaces. These approaches leverage the efficiency of encoders and the precision of latent optimization, offering a versatile solution for GAN inversion and image editing.

Pros and Cons Discussion. GAN inversion for image editing faces challenges in balancing reconstruction fidelity, editability, and computational efficiency. The field is moving towards hybrid methods that merge the speed of encoders with the precision of latent optimization. These approaches aim to enable real-time, high-quality image manipulation, preserving essential details and supporting complex editing tasks.

2.3 Diffusion Model Inversion.

According to the differences in training strategies, the Inversion of Diffusion Model for Image methods can be categorized into three types: training-free methods, fine-tuning methods, and methods with additional trainable modules. This classification provides a clear reflection of the differences in inversion strategies with respect to model training.

Training-free Approaches. Training-free methods aim to enable efficient image inversion and editing by directly utilizing pre-trained diffusion models, without relying on additional training or fine-tuning. This category is highly regarded for its convenience and flexibility, especially in scenarios demanding high efficiency. Prompt-to-Prompt (P2P) [Hertz *et al.*, 2023] exemplifies this, achieving intuitive text-driven image editing by manipulating cross-attention maps, eliminating the need for additional model adjustments and providing a direct means of control for text-guided image manipulation. Building upon this, Null-Text Inversion [Mokady *et al.*, 2023] leverages DDIM inversion, reconstructing and editing images by optimizing unconditional text embeddings, avoiding dependence on specific text information and enhancing editing flexibility. To achieve more precise inversion, EDICT [Wallace *et al.*, 2023] introduces coupling transformations and mixing layers, aiming to improve the fidelity of the inversion results. Negative-prompt Inversion [Miyake *et al.*, 2023] takes a different approach, replacing null-text embeddings with negative-prompt embeddings, achieving efficient inversion and editing without optimization, simplifying the operation process. Furthermore, TIC [Duan *et al.*, 2024] enhances features of DDIM inversion to improve editing consistency, ensuring the naturalness of the edited results. StyleID [Chung *et al.*, 2024] achieves training-free style transfer through self-attention feature replacement and initial noise adjustment,

making style conversion more convenient. TF-ICON [Lu *et al.*, 2023] leverages exceptional prompts and attention injection to achieve cross-domain image composition, providing more possibilities for image creation. FreePromptEditing [Liu *et al.*, 2024] achieves efficient text-guided editing by modifying self-attention maps, improving editing efficiency. DesignEdit [et al., 2024b] proposes a multi-layered latent decomposition and fusion framework, aiming to improve editing quality, making the editing results more refined. FreeControl [Mo *et al.*, 2024] introduces spatial control, utilizing feature subspaces for spatial adjustment of text-to-image generation, providing stronger control capabilities for image generation. Blended Diffusion [Avrahami *et al.*, 2022] blends text-guided diffusion latents with input noise, enabling seamless local image editing, making local editing more natural. InterpretDiffusion [Li *et al.*, 2024a] optimizes latent vectors, exploring semantic directions applicable to fair, safe, and responsible generation, providing technical support for the ethical application of generative models. BIRD [Chihaoui *et al.*, 2024] achieves training-free blind image restoration by optimizing the initial noise of pre-trained diffusion models, providing new ideas for image restoration. Therefore, training-free methods hold a significant position in image inversion due to their high efficiency and flexibility, along with continuously enriching technical means.

Fine-tuning Approaches. Fine-tuning methods improve the adaptability and performance of pre-trained diffusion models on specific tasks by adjusting their parameters. Unlike training-free methods, fine-tuning methods focus on targeted optimization of the model to adapt to specific application scenarios. DreamBooth [Ruiz *et al.*, 2023] is a representative example, binding specific subjects with rare identifiers by fine-tuning a small set of input images and introducing class-related prior preservation loss to enhance generation diversity, thus excelling in personalized generation. Forgedit [Zhang *et al.*, 2023] improves fine-tuning strategies with vector projection, achieving efficient text-guided image editing and enhancing editing efficiency. Photoswap [Gu *et al.*, 2023], building on this, combines attention swapping and fine-tuned subject concept learning for more personalized subject replacement. FaceChain-SuDe [Qiao *et al.*, 2024] introduces Subject-Derived regularization (SuDe), enabling models to inherit common attributes of their category while retaining individual characteristics by fine-tuning partial parameters, thus possessing unique advantages in fields such as face editing. CLiC [Safaei *et al.*, 2024] introduces innovative fine-tuning and cross-attention optimization, enabling in-context learning and transfer of local visual concepts, providing stronger semantic understanding capabilities for image editing. As such, fine-tuning methods can effectively enhance model performance on specific tasks, but also require a certain training cost, necessitating a trade-off between performance and cost.

Extra Trainable Module. Extra trainable module methods enhance the functionality of diffusion models by introducing new modules or adjusting network structures, particularly excelling in image editing tasks. Compared to fine-tuning methods, this category typically possesses greater scalability and flexibility, capable of handling more complex editing tasks. For example, StyleDiffusion [Li *et al.*, 2023] optimizes input embeddings for cross-attention "value" layers, achieving text-guided lo-

calized or holistic style editing, providing new ideas for style transfer. DAC [Song *et al.*, 2024] introduces two LoRA modules within a doubly abductive reasoning framework to fine-tune the UNet and text encoder, thereby improving fidelity and editability, providing a guarantee for high-quality image editing. InST [et al., 2023b] utilizes an attention-based textual inversion module for efficient artistic style transfer, further expanding the application scope of style transfer. DETEX [Cai *et al.*, 2024] achieves separation of background and pose information by fine-tuning subject embeddings on Stable Diffusion and introducing trainable attribute mappers, enabling flexible generation control, providing more refined control capabilities for image editing. TurboEdit [Wu *et al.*, 2024] trains encoder networks to reduce computational costs, thereby improving the efficiency of image editing. InvSR [Yue *et al.*, 2024] combines a noise predictor network with partial noise prediction strategies, achieving flexible and efficient super-resolution inversion, enhancing the resolution of image editing. Overall, extra trainable module methods provide diffusion models with greater scalability and flexibility, enabling them to better adapt to various image editing tasks, but also require more sophisticated design and optimization.

Pros and Cons Discussion.

Diffusion model inversion faces challenges in balancing reconstruction accuracy with editability, particularly in maintaining consistency and fidelity during manipulation. The field is progressing towards faster, more controllable, and inversion-free editing techniques, with a focus on improving the robustness and real-time applicability of these models for diverse image editing tasks.

2.4 Promising Technologies on the Rise

The latent space of GANs can be distorted, limiting editability, while Diffusion Models require many iterative steps, leading to high computational costs. To address these issues, Diffusion Transformers (DiT) [Peebles and Xie, 2023] and Rectified Flows have emerged, offering new possibilities for image editing. 1) *The DiT framework*, with its global receptive field and strong modeling capabilities, excels in image generation and editing. Compared to GANs, DiT’s latent space is smoother and more amenable to semantic operations, allowing for more controllable editing. Unlike traditional Diffusion Models, DiT achieves high-quality inversion with fewer steps, enhancing efficiency. For example, DiT4Edit [Feng *et al.*, 2024] optimizes generation speed and editing quality by using DPM-Solver for fewer steps, unifying control of self- and cross-attention for layout and consistency, and introducing patch merging to reduce computation during inference. Stable Flow [Avrahami *et al.*, 2024], also based on DiT, selectively injects attention features into vital layers to maintain high-quality editing while preserving non-edited regions. 2) *Rectified Flow framework* avoids GAN latent space distortion and reduces Diffusion Model computational costs by directly mapping image space to latent space. RF Inversion [et al., 2024a] uses stochastic rectified differential equations and a dynamically controlled vector field for image inversion and editing without additional parameter training, balancing faithfulness and editability. GNRI [Samuel *et al.*, 2025] improves text-to-image diffusion model inversion quality and speed by

introducing a guidance regularization term into the Newton-Raphson optimization process. RF-Solver-Edit [Wang *et al.*, 2024], using the training-free sampler RF-Solver, reduces ODE-solving errors with high-order Taylor expansion and achieves high-quality editing while preserving source image structure integrity. Overall, both above frameworks offer superior controllability and inversion efficiency, representing significant advancements and direction.

3 Application Tasks

3.1 Popular Datasets

LAION [et al., 2022] is a vast, open multimodal dataset with billions of image-text pairs across various languages, domains, and content. Its diversity is crucial for inversion tasks in generative models, particularly in cross-modal retrieval and generative AI training. Generative models can learn image-text relationships, facilitating the reverse mapping of semantic representations in latent space for text-guided image editing.

PIE-bench [Wu *et al.*, 2024] is a specialized benchmark dataset for evaluating image editing models, comprising 700 images of natural and artificial scenes and covering 10 editing types like object replacement and style modification. Each entry includes detailed source and target image descriptions, editing instructions, and annotated editing masks. In generative model inversion, PIE-bench guides fine-grained latent space operations using these instructions and masks, allowing precise evaluation of image editing performance.

FFHQ [Karras *et al.*, 2019] is a high-quality, diverse face dataset with 70,000 images up to 1024×1024 resolution, encompassing various ages, genders, ethnicities, and backgrounds. It is extensively used in generative model inversion for facial generation and style transfer tasks. By inverting the latent vector, models can edit specific facial attributes (*e.g.*, expression or hairstyle) while preserving other features.

MS COCO [Lin *et al.*, 2014] offers 328,000 images across 91 categories in everyday scenes, with high-quality annotations for object detection, instance segmentation, panoptic segmentation, human keypoint detection, and image captioning. In generative model inversion, MS COCO’s text descriptions and annotations assist models in locating specific object representations in the latent space, facilitating image editing and reconstruction in complex scenes.

CelebA-HQ [Karras *et al.*, 2018] comprises 30,000 high-quality face images at 1024×1024 resolution, annotated with 40 attribute labels (*e.g.*, gender, age, hairstyle, and expressions). These labels enable precise control over attributes in generative model inversion. By editing the latent representation, models can manipulate specific attributes, such as changing hairstyles, while preserving other facial features.

DreamBooth [Ruiz *et al.*, 2023] includes 3~5 high-quality images of 30 subjects and 25 text prompts for evaluating subject-driven generation tasks like recontextualization, property modification, accessorization, and artistic rendering. In generative model inversion, DreamBooth aids in exploring subject-specific representations in the latent space. By using unique identifiers and class names, the model enables personalized subject generation and attribute editing while preventing semantic drift.

3.2 Mainstream Applications

Generative model inversion is pivotal in image editing and generation. By encoding real images into a latent space, image inversion methods leverage the capabilities of the latent space for manipulating images. We outline the applications (summarized in Table 1) across various mainstream tasks without focusing on the implementation framework:

- Object Editing:** Object editing enables the editing of specific objects within an image, including operations such as object replacement, removal, and addition. Methods include Prompt-to-Prompt [Hertz *et al.*, 2023] and Null-Text Inversion [Mokady *et al.*, 2023].
- Attribute Editing:** Attribute editing facilitates the modification of object attributes within an image, including editing the color, texture, and shape of objects, as well as the age, expression, and hairstyle of people.
 - Editing Direction:** Modifies attributes by adjusting the latent space vector of the image. Example methods: iGAN [Zhu *et al.*, 2016], Image2StyleGAN [Abdal *et al.*, 2019], e4e [Tov *et al.*, 2021], *etc.*
 - Prompt Editing:** Modifies attributes by modifying the text prompt. Example methods: HyperEditor [Zhang *et al.*, 2024a], Prompt-to-Prompt [Hertz *et al.*, 2023], Null-Text Inversion [Mokady *et al.*, 2023].
- Style Transfer:** Style transfer allows for the transfer of style from one image to another, and it can also be achieved through Prompt-based style transfer, thereby changing the overall style of the image.
 - Based on Reference Image:** Changes the style of the target image using the style of a reference image. Example methods: Image2StyleGAN [Abdal *et al.*, 2019] and InST [et al., 2023b].
 - Based on Semantic Information:** Uses semantic information such as text prompts to control style transfer. Example methods: HyperEditor [Zhang *et al.*, 2024a], Prompt-to-Prompt [Hertz *et al.*, 2023], Null-Text Inversion [Mokady *et al.*, 2023].
- Image Concept Decoupling:** This task refers to learning local concepts from a single image and using them to generate other objects, thereby achieving independent control over different concepts in the image. CLiC [Safaei *et al.*, 2024] is frequently employed.
- Spatially Aware Editing:** Spatially aware editing enables editing images based on spatial information, including operations such as removal, movement, scaling, rotation, and translation. In addition to using Prompt control, Point drag control can also be used to achieve more refined spatial editing. DiffEditor [Mou *et al.*, 2024] and DesignEdit [et al., 2024b] are commonly used methods.
- Controllable Image Generation:** Allows users to control the image generation process through various inputs (*e.g.*, sketches, segmentation maps, poses, *etc.*). Typical method is FreeControl [Mo *et al.*, 2024].
- Personalized Generation:** Personalized generation can be used to reproduce specific subjects in different scenarios, such as generating images of specific subjects in different

Table 1: Methods for downstream tasks. Rose red and orange colors represent GAN and diffusion inversion methods, respectively.

| Task | Representative Methods |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Object Editing | iGAN, Prompt-to-Prompt, Null-Text Inversion EDICT, StyleDiffusion, Negative-prompt Inversion Forgedit, FreePromptEditing, DAC, TurboEdit, TIC |
| Attribute Editing | Editing Direction: iGAN, IcGAN Image2StyleGAN, e4e, PTI, SDIC, LOR GradStyle, StyleFeatureEditor Prompt Editing: HyperEditor, Prompt-to-Prompt Null-Text Inversion, EDICT, StyleDiffusion Negative-prompt Inversion, Forgedit FreePromptEditing, DAC, TurboEdit, TIC |
| Style Transfer | Reference-Based: Image2StyleGAN, InST, StyleID Semantic-Based: HyperEditor, Prompt-to-Prompt Null-Text Inversion, EDICT, StyleDiffusion FreePromptEditing, DAC |
| Image Concept Decoupling | CLiC |
| Spatial-Aware Editing | DiffEditor, DesignEdit |
| Controllable Image Generation | FreeControl |
| Personalized Generation | Single-Sample Personalization: FaceChain-SuDe Multi-Sample Personalization: DreamBooth, DETEX |
| Image Restoration | Super-Resolution: BIRD, InvSR Denoising: BIRD Inpainting: DIEGAN, TurboEdit, Blended Diffusion Deblurring: BIRD |
| Image Fusion | Subject Replacement: Photoswap Pasting: TF-ICON, TIGIC |

artistic styles, different perspectives, different accessories, different expressions, and different backgrounds.

- Single-Sample Personalized Generation:** Personalizes generation using only one reference image. Example method: FaceChain-SuDe [Qiao *et al.*, 2024].
 - Multi-Sample Personalized Generation:** Personalizes generation using multiple reference images for better results. Typical methods: DreamBooth [Ruiz *et al.*, 2023] and DETEX [Cai *et al.*, 2024].
- Image Restoration:** Image restoration can be used to repair damaged images, common sub-tasks are as follows:
 - Image Super-Resolution:** Increases the resolution of an image, making it clearer. Typical methods: BIRD [Chihaoui *et al.*, 2024] and InvSR [Yue *et al.*, 2024].
 - Denoising:** Removes noise from an image, making it cleaner. Typical method: BIRD [Chihaoui *et al.*, 2024].
 - Inpainting:** Repairs missing or damaged parts of an image. Typical methods: DIEGAN [Yildirim *et al.*, 2023], and TurboEdit [Wu *et al.*, 2024].
 - Deblurring:** Removes blur from an image, making it clearer. Typical method: BIRD [Chihaoui *et al.*, 2024].
 - Image Fusion:** Image fusion refers to integrating content from two or more images into one image, thereby creating a new image. Photoswap [Gu *et al.*, 2023], TF-ICON [Lu *et al.*, 2023], and TIGIC [Li *et al.*, 2024c] are commonly used methods.

3.3 Related Domain Research

To further enrich the research on inversion tasks, we briefly review related popular tasks beyond image inversion to strengthen this inspection.

Video Inversion. In the video domain, RIGID [Xu *et al.*, 2023] introduces an additional trainable temporal encoder combined with self-supervised constraints to learn inter-frame temporal coherence, enabling high-quality video reconstruction and editing without retraining the generator. Built on StyleGAN2 [Karras *et al.*, 2020], it optimizes frame consistency and global identity preservation. Meanwhile, Videoshop [Fan *et al.*, 2024] allows users to edit the first frame of a video and automatically propagates the changes across the entire sequence using noise extrapolation and latent normalization techniques, maintaining semantic consistency, motion naturalness, and geometric stability.

Audio Inversion. MusicMagus [Zhang *et al.*, 2024b] is a zero-shot music editing method that leverages pretrained diffusion models with latent space manipulation and cross-attention constraints, enabling text-guided audio editing without additional training. Similarly, ZETA [Manor and Michaeli, 2024] introduces a zero-shot audio editing method using diffusion model inversion to extract noise semantic directions for fine-grained audio editing, supporting tasks such as text-guided removal, style changes, improvisation, and pitch adjustments.

3D Inversion. In the 3D domain, E3DGE [Lan *et al.*, 2023] is an encoder-based method that leverages self-supervised learning with global latent codes and pixel-aligned local features for high-fidelity 3D face reconstruction and consistent editing. Its dual-module structure includes global and local encoders to learn coarse 3D shapes and detailed textures, ensuring high consistency during viewpoint changes and semantic editing. Meanwhile, SHAP-EDITOR [Chen *et al.*, 2024] trains a universal latent editor network by distilling knowledge from 2D diffusion models (*e.g.*, InstructPix2Pix [Brooks *et al.*, 2023]), transferring the understanding of natural language instructions into the 3D latent space for fast and high-quality 3D editing.

Medical Image. In the medical domain, TPD [Lee *et al.*, 2023] leverages two perpendicular 2D diffusion models to jointly model 3D medical data distributions, achieving significant improvements in tasks such as Z-axis super-resolution, compressed sensing MRI, and sparse-view CT reconstruction. InverseSR [et al., 2023a], on the other hand, optimizes latent codes to adapt to both high-sparsity and low-sparsity scenarios, enabling high-resolution MRI reconstruction from low-resolution MRI, excelling in tasks like slice imputation and tumor/lesion filling.

4 Open Problems and Promising Directions

4.1 Open Problems

Trading-off high-fidelity reconstruction and controllable editing. GAN/Diffusion inversion often suffers from “overfitting” the latent space when pursuing pixel-level high-fidelity reconstruction, which sacrifices the ability for subsequent controllable editing, and vice versa. There is a need to define more reasonable latent space constraints while exploring more expressive and generalized large-scale generative models trained on large datasets.

Computational efficiency bottleneck. Although GAN inversion via encoders is faster, it often sacrifices precision. In contrast, diffusion model inversion achieves better results but is computationally expensive due to iterative optimization,

especially for high-resolution generation. This increases training/inference costs and limits applications in resource-constrained scenarios.

Challenges in multimodal and cross-domain inversion Existing inversion methods are primarily focused on single modalities and struggle to generalize to multimodal (*e.g.*, image-to-text) or cross-domain tasks (*e.g.*, medical image to natural image). Developing universal inversion frameworks capable of handling multimodal inputs while maintaining semantic consistency is critical.

Data bias and fairness issues Generative model inversion may produce biased or unfair results due to data imbalances, such as under- or over-representing specific racial or gender attributes. Introducing fairness constraints into the inversion process to mitigate bias is an urgent problem to address.

4.2 Promising Directions

Multi-scale latent space representation learning. By introducing multi-scale latent space representations (*e.g.*, combining local and global encodings), a better balance between high-fidelity reconstruction and controllable editing can be achieved. Further research on defining semantically consistent latent space representations will be crucial.

Efficient optimization and inference algorithms. To address the iterative optimization bottleneck, lightweight optimization modules or efficient algorithms based on approximate inference (*e.g.*, explicit latent space mapping or hierarchical optimization methods) can significantly reduce computational costs and improve efficiency in high-resolution scenarios.

Multimodal consistency inversion techniques. Developing inversion frameworks that support multimodal inputs, such as jointly optimizing the latent spaces of images and texts, can enhance performance in cross-modal tasks. Ensuring semantic consistency in multimodal generation tasks (*e.g.*, image-to-text-to-image) will be a key focus.

Autoregressive image generation inversion framework. Recent autoregressive-based image and video generation has gained attention. Compared to diffusion models, autoregressive models offer higher efficiency and are expected to become one of next-generation foundational models.

Inversion research based on Chain-of-Thought (CoT). CoT technology significantly enhances the reasoning capabilities of large language models. The incorporation of reinforcement learning enables the generation of results that better align with human thought processes. Recently, this technology has also been extended to the field of image generation. Inversion schemes based on CoT can further improve the quality and controllability of generated images.

5 Conclusion

This survey comprehensively reviews the latest advancements in the field of image inversion, summarizing the inversion methods of GANs and diffusion models, along with their key applications. Specifically, this paper delves into the fundamental theoretical frameworks, optimization methods, and the evolution of various techniques for GAN and diffusion model inversion. It also introduces the extensive applications of these methods in tasks such as image editing, style transfer, and image restoration. Additionally, we discuss related fields beyond

images, summarize the current challenges faced by inversion techniques, and propose directions for future research.

References

- [Abdal *et al.*, 2019] Rameen Abdal, Yipeng Qin, and Peter Wonka. Image2stylegan: How to embed images into the stylegan latent space? In *ICCV*, 2019.
- [Avrahami *et al.*, 2022] Omri Avrahami, Dani Lischinski, and Ohad Fried. Blended diffusion for text-driven editing of natural images. In *CVPR*, 2022.
- [Avrahami *et al.*, 2024] Omri Avrahami, Or Patashnik, Ohad Fried, Egor Nemchinov, Kfir Aberman, Dani Lischinski, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Stable flow: Vital layers for training-free image editing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.14430*, 2024.
- [Bobkov *et al.*, 2024] Denis Bobkov, Vadim Titov, Aibek Alanov, and Dmitry Vetrov. The devil is in the details: Stylefeatureeditor for detail-rich stylegan inversion and high quality image editing. In *CVPR*, 2024.
- [Bounareli *et al.*, 2024] Stella Bounareli, Christos Tzelepis, Vasileios Argyriou, Ioannis Patras, and Georgios Tzimiropoulos. One-shot neural face reenactment via finding directions in gan’s latent space. *Int. J. Comput. Vis.*, 2024.
- [Brooks *et al.*, 2023] Tim Brooks, Aleksander Holynski, and Alexei A. Efros. Instructpix2pix: Learning to follow image editing instructions. In *CVPR*, 2023.
- [Cai *et al.*, 2024] Yufei Cai, Yuxiang Wei, Zhilong Ji, Jinfeng Bai, Hu Han, and Wangmeng Zuo. Decoupled textual embeddings for customized image generation. In *AAAI*, 2024.
- [Chen *et al.*, 2024] Minghao Chen, Junyu Xie, Iro Laina, and Andrea Vedaldi. Shap-editor: Instruction-guided latent 3d editing in seconds. In *CVPR*, 2024.
- [Chihaoui *et al.*, 2024] Hamadi Chihaoui, Abdelhak Lemkhenter, and Paolo Favaro. Blind image restoration via fast diffusion inversion. In *NeurIPS*, 2024.
- [Chung *et al.*, 2024] Jiwoo Chung, Sangeek Hyun, and Jaepil Heo. Style injection in diffusion: A training-free approach for adapting large-scale diffusion models for style transfer. In *CVPR*, 2024.
- [Creswell and Bharath, 2019] Antonia Creswell and Anil Anthony Bharath. Inverting the generator of a generative adversarial network. *TNNLS*, 2019.
- [Duan *et al.*, 2024] Xiaoyue Duan, Shuhao Cui, Guoliang Kang, Baochang Zhang, Zhengcong Fei, Mingyuan Fan, and Junshi Huang. Tuning-free inversion-enhanced control for consistent image editing. In *AAAI*, 2024.
- [et al., 2022] Christoph Schuhmann et al. LAION-5B: an open large-scale dataset for training next generation image-text models. In *NeurIPS*, 2022.
- [et al., 2023a] Jueqi Wang et al. Inversesr: 3d brain MRI super-resolution using a latent diffusion model. In *MICCAI*, 2023.
- [et al., 2023b] Yuxin Zhang et al. Inversion-based style transfer with diffusion models. In *CVPR*, 2023.
- [et al., 2024a] Litu Rout et al. Semantic image inversion and editing using rectified stochastic differential equations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.10792*, 2024.
- [et al., 2024b] Yueru Jia et al. Designedit: Multi-layered latent decomposition and fusion for unified & accurate image editing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.14487*, 2024.
- [Fan *et al.*, 2024] Xiang Fan, Anand Bhattad, and Ranjay Krishna. VIDEOSHOP: localized semantic video editing with noise-extrapolated diffusion inversion. In *ECCV*, 2024.
- [Feng *et al.*, 2024] Kunyu Feng, Yue Ma, Bingyuan Wang, Chenyang Qi, Haozhe Chen, Qifeng Chen, and Zeyu Wang. Dit4edit: Diffusion transformer for image editing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.03286*, 2024.
- [Gu *et al.*, 2023] Jing Gu, Yilin Wang, Nanxuan Zhao, Tsujui Fu, Wei Xiong, Qing Liu, Zhifei Zhang, He Zhang, Jianming Zhang, Hyunjoon Jung, and Xin Eric Wang. PHOTOSWAP: personalized subject swapping in images. In *NeurIPS*, 2023.
- [Hertz *et al.*, 2023] Amir Hertz, Ron Mokady, Jay Tenenbaum, Kfir Aberman, Yael Pritch, and Daniel Cohen-or. Prompt-to-prompt image editing with cross-attention control. In *ICLR*, 2023.
- [Ho *et al.*, 2020] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *NeurIPS*, 2020.
- [Karras *et al.*, 2018] Tero Karras, Timo Aila, Samuli Laine, and Jaakko Lehtinen. Progressive growing of gans for improved quality, stability, and variation. In *ICLR*, 2018.
- [Karras *et al.*, 2019] Tero Karras, Samuli Laine, and Timo Aila. A style-based generator architecture for generative adversarial networks. In *CVPR*, 2019.
- [Karras *et al.*, 2020] Tero Karras, Samuli Laine, Miika Aittala, Janne Hellsten, Jaakko Lehtinen, and Timo Aila. Analyzing and improving the image quality of stylegan. In *CVPR*, 2020.
- [Lan *et al.*, 2023] Yushi Lan, Xuyi Meng, Shuai Yang, Chen Change Loy, and Bo Dai. Self-supervised geometry-aware encoder for style-based 3d GAN inversion. In *CVPR*, 2023.
- [Lee *et al.*, 2023] Suhyeon Lee, Hyungjin Chung, Minyoung Park, Jonghyuk Park, Wi-Sun Ryu, and Jong Chul Ye. Improving 3d imaging with pre-trained perpendicular 2d diffusion models. In *ICCV*, 2023.
- [Li *et al.*, 2023] Senmao Li, Joost van de Weijer, Taihang Hu, Fahad Shahbaz Khan, Qibin Hou, Yaxing Wang, and Jian Yang. Stylediffusion: Prompt-embedding inversion for text-based editing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.15649*, 2023.
- [Li *et al.*, 2024a] Hang Li, Chengzhi Shen, Philip Torr, Volker Tresp, and Jindong Gu. Self-discovering interpretable diffusion latent directions for responsible text-to-image generation. In *CVPR*, 2024.
- [Li *et al.*, 2024b] Hao Li, Mengqi Huang, Lei Zhang, Bo Hu, Yi Liu, and Zhendong Mao. Gradual residuals alignment: A dual-stream framework for GAN inversion and image attribute editing. In *AAAI*, 2024.

- [Li *et al.*, 2024c] Pengzhi Li, Qiang Nie, Ying Chen, Xi Jiang, Kai Wu, Yuhuan Lin, Yong Liu, Jinlong Peng, Chengjie Wang, and Feng Zheng. Tuning-free image customization with image and text guidance. In *ECCV*, 2024.
- [Lin *et al.*, 2014] Tsung-Yi Lin, Michael Maire, Serge J. Belongie, James Hays, Pietro Perona, Deva Ramanan, Piotr Dollár, and C. Lawrence Zitnick. Microsoft COCO: common objects in context. In *ECCV*, 2014.
- [Liu *et al.*, 2024] Bingyan Liu, Chengyu Wang, Tingfeng Cao, Kui Jia, and Jun Huang. Towards understanding cross and self-attention in stable diffusion for text-guided image editing. In *CVPR*, 2024.
- [Lu *et al.*, 2023] Shilin Lu, Yanzhu Liu, and Adams Wai-Kin Kong. TF-ICON: diffusion-based training-free cross-domain image composition. In *ICCV*, 2023.
- [Manor and Michaeli, 2024] Hila Manor and Tomer Michaeli. Zero-shot unsupervised and text-based audio editing using DDPM inversion. In *ICML*, 2024.
- [Mirza, 2014] Mehdi Mirza. Conditional generative adversarial nets. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1411.1784*, 2014.
- [Miyake *et al.*, 2023] Daiki Miyake, Akihiro Iohara, Yu Saito, and Toshiyuki Tanaka. Negative-prompt inversion: Fast image inversion for editing with text-guided diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.16807*, 2023.
- [Mo *et al.*, 2024] Sicheng Mo, Fangzhou Mu, Kuan Heng Lin, Yanli Liu, Bochen Guan, Yin Li, and Bolei Zhou. Freecontrol: Training-free spatial control of any text-to-image diffusion model with any condition. In *CVPR*, 2024.
- [Mokady *et al.*, 2023] Ron Mokady, Amir Hertz, Kfir Aberman, Yael Pritch, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Null-text inversion for editing real images using guided diffusion models. In *CVPR*, 2023.
- [Mou *et al.*, 2024] Chong Mou, Xintao Wang, Jiechong Song, Ying Shan, and Jian Zhang. Diffeditor: Boosting accuracy and flexibility on diffusion-based image editing. In *CVPR*, 2024.
- [Peebles and Xie, 2023] William Peebles and Saining Xie. Scalable diffusion models with transformers. In *ICCV*, 2023.
- [Perarnau *et al.*, 2016] Guim Perarnau, Joost Van De Weijer, Bogdan Raducanu, and Jose M Álvarez. Invertible conditional gans for image editing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.06355*, 2016.
- [Qiao *et al.*, 2024] Pengchong Qiao, Lei Shang, Chang Liu, Baigui Sun, Xiangyang Ji, and Jie Chen. Facechain-sude: Building derived class to inherit category attributes for one-shot subject-driven generation. In *CVPR*, 2024.
- [Roich *et al.*, 2023] Daniel Roich, Ron Mokady, Amit H. Bermano, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Pivotal tuning for latent-based editing of real images. *TOG*, 2023.
- [Rombach *et al.*, 2022] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.10752*, 2022.
- [Ruiz *et al.*, 2023] Nataniel Ruiz, Yuanzhen Li, Varun Jampani, Yael Pritch, Michael Rubinstein, and Kfir Aberman. Dreambooth: Fine tuning text-to-image diffusion models for subject-driven generation. In *CVPR*, 2023.
- [Safaei *et al.*, 2024] Mehdi Safaei, Aryan Mikaeili, Or Patashnik, Daniel Cohen-Or, and Ali Mahdavi-Amiri. Clic: Concept learning in context. In *CVPR*, 2024.
- [Samuel *et al.*, 2025] Dvir Samuel, Barak Meiri, Haggai Maron, Yoad Tewel, Nir Darshan, Shai Avidan, Gal Chechik, and Rami Ben-Ari. Lightning-fast image inversion and editing for text-to-image diffusion models, 2025.
- [Shuai *et al.*, 2024] Xincheng Shuai, Henghui Ding, Xingjun Ma, Rongcheng Tu, Yu-Gang Jiang, and Dacheng Tao. A survey of multimodal-guided image editing with text-to-image diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.14555*, 2024.
- [Song *et al.*, 2020] Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. Denoising diffusion implicit models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.02502*, 2020.
- [Song *et al.*, 2024] Xue Song, Jiequan Cui, Hanwang Zhang, Jingjing Chen, Richang Hong, and Yu-Gang Jiang. Doubly abductive counterfactual inference for text-based image editing. In *CVPR*, 2024.
- [Tov *et al.*, 2021] Omer Tov, Yuval Alaluf, Yotam Nitzan, Or Patashnik, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Designing an encoder for stylegan image manipulation. *TOG*, 2021.
- [Wallace *et al.*, 2023] Bram Wallace, Akash Gokul, and Nikhil Naik. EDICT: exact diffusion inversion via coupled transformations. In *CVPR*, 2023.
- [Wang *et al.*, 2024] Jiangshan Wang, Junfu Pu, Zhongang Qi, Jiayi Guo, Yue Ma, Nisha Huang, Yuxin Chen, Xiu Li, and Ying Shan. Taming rectified flow for inversion and editing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.04746*, 2024.
- [Wu *et al.*, 2024] Zongze Wu, Nicholas I. Kolkin, Jonathan Brandt, Richard Zhang, and Eli Shechtman. Turboedit: Instant text-based image editing. In *ECCV*, 2024.
- [Xia *et al.*, 2022] Weihao Xia, Yulun Zhang, Yujiu Yang, Jing-Hao Xue, Bolei Zhou, and Ming-Hsuan Yang. Gan inversion: A survey. *PAMI*, 2022.
- [Xu *et al.*, 2023] Yangyang Xu, Shengfeng He, Kwan-Yee K. Wong, and Ping Luo. RIGID: recurrent GAN inversion and editing of real face videos. In *ICCV*, 2023.
- [Yildirim *et al.*, 2023] Ahmet Burak Yildirim, Hamza Pehlivan, Bahri Batuhan Bilecen, and Aysegul Dundar. Diverse inpainting and editing with GAN inversion. In *ICCV*, 2023.
- [Yue *et al.*, 2024] Zongsheng Yue, Kang Liao, and Chen Change Loy. Arbitrary-steps image super-resolution via diffusion inversion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.09013*, 2024.
- [Zhang *et al.*, 2023] Shiwen Zhang, Shuai Xiao, and Weilin Huang. Forgedit: Text guided image editing via learning and forgetting. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.10556*, 2023.
- [Zhang *et al.*, 2024a] Hai Zhang, Chunwei Wu, Guitao Cao, Hailing Wang, and Wenming Cao. Hypereditor: Achieving

both authenticity and cross-domain capability in image editing via hypernetworks. In *AAAI*, 2024.

[Zhang *et al.*, 2024b] Yixiao Zhang, Yukara Ikemiya, Gus Xia, Naoki Murata, Marco A. Martínez Ramírez, Wei-Hsiang Liao, Yuki Mitsufuji, and Simon Dixon. Musicmagus: Zero-shot text-to-music editing via diffusion models. In *IJCAI*, 2024.

[Zhang *et al.*, 2024c] Ziqiang Zhang, Yan Yan, Jing-Hao Xue, and Hanzi Wang. Spatial-contextual discrepancy information compensation for GAN inversion. In *AAAI*, 2024.

[Zhu *et al.*, 2016] Jun-Yan Zhu, Philipp Krähenbühl, Eli Shechtman, and Alexei A. Efros. Generative visual manipulation on the natural image manifold. In *ECCV*, 2016.