

Robust 6DoF Pose Tracking Considering Contour and Interior Correspondence Uncertainty for AR Assembly Guidance

Jixiang Chen, Jing Chen, *Member, IEEE*, Kai Liu, Haochen Chang, Shanfeng Fu, and Jian Yang

Abstract—Augmented reality assembly guidance is essential for intelligent manufacturing and medical applications, requiring continuous measurement of the 6DoF poses of manipulated objects. Although current tracking methods have made significant advancements in accuracy and efficiency, they still face challenges in robustness when dealing with cluttered backgrounds, rotationally symmetric objects, and noisy sequences. In this paper, we first propose a robust contour-based pose tracking method that addresses error-prone contour correspondences and improves noise tolerance. It utilizes a fan-shaped search strategy to refine correspondences and models local contour shape and noise uncertainty as mixed probability distribution, resulting in a highly robust contour energy function. Secondly, we introduce a CPU-only strategy to better track rotationally symmetric objects and assist the contour-based method in overcoming local minima by exploring sparse interior correspondences. This is achieved by pre-sampling interior points from sparse viewpoint templates offline and using the DIS optical flow algorithm to compute their correspondences during tracking. Finally, we formulate a unified energy function to fuse contour and interior information, which is solvable using a re-weighted least squares algorithm. Experiments on public datasets and real scenarios demonstrate that our method significantly outperforms state-of-the-art monocular tracking methods and can achieve more than 100 FPS using only a CPU.

Index Terms—6DoF pose tracking, vision-based measurement, probability model, AR assembly guidance

I. INTRODUCTION

AUGMENTED reality (AR) assembly guidance is a promising research field that is widely applied in everyday life [1], intelligent manufacturing [2], and medical scenarios [3]. By utilizing optical see-through head-mounted displays (OST HMDs), 3D virtual information can be seamlessly integrated with real-world objects, providing visual feedback that effectively enhances work efficiency and assists operators in making subsequent decisions [4], [5]. To achieve better fusion of virtual and real elements, thereby offering users a more immersive experience, real-time, continuous, and

This work was supported in part by Basic Research, under grant JCKY2021602B021 and National Science Foundation Program of China, under grant 62025104. (*Corresponding author: Jing Chen*).

Jixiang Chen, Jing Chen, Kai Liu, Shanfeng Fu, and Jian Yang are with the School of Optics and Photonics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, 100081, China (e-mails: 3220235081@bit.edu.cn, chen74jing29@bit.edu.cn, 3120245404@bit.edu.cn, 3120230520@bit.edu.cn, jyang@bit.edu.cn).

Haochen Chang is with the School of Systems Science and Engineering, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, 510006, China (e-mail: changhch5@mail2.sysu.edu.cn).

accurate measurement of the 6DoF pose of 3D objects is crucial.

Numerous 6DoF object pose measurement methods have been proposed, including various sensor measurement techniques such as optical trackers [5], electromagnetic trackers [6], ultrasound trackers [7], and cameras. These methods can be categorized as: feature-point-based [8]-[12], direct [13]-[16], edge-based [17]-[22], region-based [23]-[30], multi-feature-based [31]-[41], and deep learning-based [42]-[45] object tracking methods. Among these, monocular 6DoF object tracking methods are low-cost and easy to deploy, making them particularly suitable for environments with constrained computational resources. Therefore, in this paper, we mainly focus on monocular 6DoF pose tracking methods.

In AR-based industrial assembly environments, the manipulated objects are typically texture-less and rotationally symmetric, and the working conditions are complex, involving cluttered backgrounds, occlusion, and image noise. These factors make robust tracking particularly challenging. Edge-based and region-based methods are suitable for tracking texture-less objects, as both aim to find an optimal pose that best aligns projected contours with the object contours. Therefore, we categorize them as contour-based methods. Recently, these methods have seen significant improvements in both accuracy and efficiency. To tackle the issue of partial occlusions of objects and the ambiguous colors present in backgrounds, [27] proposed a contour point search strategy considering distance and color constraint along with a pixel-wise weighted energy function. To better track less-distinct objects, [39] proposed a contour-part model which detects correspondences by gradient-orientation-based template matching. To improve efficiency, [28] proposed a correspondence line model and new smooth step functions that generate line-wise posterior probabilities with Gaussian property. In addition, sparse viewpoint model was introduced to improve efficiency. To further address large displacement issues, [30] proposed a hybrid optimization method that combines local and non-local optimization. While promising, there are still potential improvements when handling complex scenarios in industrial assembly.

Firstly, experimental results on RBOT public dataset [25] demonstrate that, despite achieving state-of-the-art performance, contour-based methods still exhibit unsatisfied tracking capabilities in sequences with additive noises and cluttered backgrounds. Except for the reason that noises and cluttered edges can obscure intricate image details, making contour matching more challenging, noise itself may also cause

This work has been submitted to the IEEE for possible publication. Copyright may be transferred without notice, after which this version may no longer be accessible.

inaccurate color statistics and blur the distinction between foreground and background, as illustrated in Fig. 1(a). Furthermore, contour-based methods normally utilize a line searching strategy to improve efficiency. This strategy assumes that the projected contour and object contour have nearly the same orientation and curvature, thereby enabling the search for correspondences along 1D normal line. However, this assumption is prone to failure when dealing with contours that have large curvature or significant inter-frame pose variation.

Secondly, contour-based methods, which rely on contour alignment, may encounter difficulties in accurately estimating pose due to the ambiguity of contour, as illustrated in Fig. 1(b). Although regularization strategy [28] can help constrain pose variation, it is only effective in cases involving small out-plane rotations. Therefore, this problem is not fundamentally resolved. An alternative approach is to leverage correspondences within the object silhouette between two consecutive frames. To achieve this, feature-point-based methods [8]-[12], direct methods [13]-[16] and optical flow algorithms [41] have been proposed. However, the lack of efficient, robust, and CPU-only implementation strategy restricts their applications. In addition, contour-based methods alone are unsuitable for measuring objects with significant inter-frame pose variation, as the non-convex nature of the energy function makes the estimated pose prone to getting stuck in local minima during optimization. To tackle this issue, combining local and non-local optimization [30] or selecting transitional view [29] have been proposed. Although the tracking success rates are ameliorated to a certain extent, real-time performance and additional device dependency still limit their applications.




Fig. 1. Challenging scenarios for object tracking in industrial assembly.

Based on above observations, we propose a novel correspondence searching strategy, named as point-to-distribution searching, to achieve robust and efficient monocular contour-based pose tracking. Our approach distinguishes itself from previous works by utilizing a fan-shaped strategy to search potential object contour points and then project these points onto the normal of precomputed projected contour point to generate a 1D probability distribution. The mean of this distribution is considered as the most likely position of the correspondence, while the variance quantifies

the uncertainty in that position and implicitly reflects the effects of noise and the shape complexity of the local object contour. To model this distribution, we introduce a mixed probability distribution composed of a Gaussian distribution and a uniform distribution. This mixed distribution enhances the robustness of contour energy, correspondences with high uncertainty can be effectively suppressed during optimization. The differences between previous methods and ours is shown in Fig. 2(a).




Fig. 2. Proposed data association strategies.

To make our tracking method robust for rotationally symmetric objects, we also propose a CPU-only strategy that leverages sparse interior correspondences of the object. Specifically, we randomly pre-sample interior points in viewpoint templates offline and purely use CPU to compute their corresponding points by DIS algorithm [46] online, as depicted in Fig. 2(b). Unlike traditional methods that rely on feature points [12], our approach allows us to pre-define arbitrary number of interior points at any position and compute their correspondences. Based on these sparse correspondences, we particularly establish a robust interior energy incorporating extended patch-wise confidence to achieve robust estimation.

To further improve the robustness of our algorithm and handle more complex scenarios, we formulate a unified energy function to fuse contour and interior information that can be simply solved by a re-weighted least squares algorithm. A variable weight is introduced to dynamically adjust the ratio between the two energy components. Initially, interior energy is prioritized to aid optimization cross local minima and finally contour energy is dominant to ensure pixel-level accuracy. This coarse-to-fine strategy makes two modalities better complement each other.

We summarize our main contributions as follows:

1. We propose a robust monocular contour-based pose tracking method that employs fan-shaped search strategy to determine point-to-distribution contour correspondences described by a mixed distribution, effectively accounting for shape and noise uncertainties of local contours, which significantly improve the performance especially in noise sequences;
2. We introduce an efficient CPU-only strategy to establish sparse interior correspondences, that is to pre-sample interior points at pre-generated viewpoint model offline and compute their correspondences by DIS optical flow algorithm online;
3. With contour and interior correspondences, we establish a joint energy function that can be easily solved by re-weighted

least squares algorithm. Experimental results have demonstrated improved performances on public datasets and in an AR assembly guidance application.

II. RELATED WORK

Vision-based 6DoF pose tracking is crucial for augmented reality assembly guidance. Numerous 6DoF object pose tracking methods have been proposed, including feature-based [8]-[16], contour-based [17]-[30], multi-feature-based [4]-[7], [31]-[41], and deep learning-based [42]-[45] tracking approaches. In this section, we provide a brief review of these methods.

A. Feature-based methods

Feature-point-based methods [8]-[12], which use monocular cameras [8]-[11] or calibrated stereo cameras [12], detect key points with distinct features on the surfaces of objects, and build correspondences between 3D model points and 2D image points by matching key points between two consecutive frames. Pose variation thus can be solved by PnP algorithm. However, only well-textured objects can acquire enough feature points, and incorrect correspondences may lead to tracking failure.

Direct methods [13]-[16] align the pixels of object surface on two consecutive frames by minimizing the photometric error, which rely on the strong assumption of photometric constancy and may easily fail in environment with dynamic light. In addition, both feature-point-based methods and direct methods may occur the accumulation of errors during tracking.

B. Contour-based methods

Both edge-based [17]-[22] and region-based [23]-[30] methods aim to seek an optimal pose so that the projected contours can best align with the object contours in the captured image. As an early edge-based method, RAPID [17] used 1D search lines to sparsely find corresponding points of the projected contour points in image. Following this work, many improved methods have been proposed. [18] and [19] utilized more robust estimators to solve pose. [20] introduced color statistics cue to achieve optimal contour points searching, and this work was further extended by [21] who proposed to use global optimization to constrain the position of adjacent contour points. In cases of cluttered background and partial occlusion, [22] proposed to use edge confidence fusing color and edge distance cues to search contour and build a weighted energy function.

Instead of explicitly searching for contours, the region-based [23]-[30] methods employ color statistics to segment object and obtain the most likely contours. PWP3D [23] established pixel-wise posterior probabilities and jointly execute image segmentation and pose optimization. [24] proposed to use local color histograms instead of global ones to better track heterogeneous objects. To further improve the robustness of color statistics and optimization strategy, [25] introduced temporally consistent local color histograms attached to model vertexes and a Gauss-Newton-like pose optimization strategy. [26] defined overlapping fan-shaped local regions and proposed to detect occlusion by edge distance and color cues. [27]

proposed an optimal contour points searching strategy and a pixel-wise weighted energy function to tackle cases of partial occlusions and ambiguous colors. Recently, [28] developed SRT3D, a sparse region-based 6DoF tracking method which significantly improves accuracy and efficiency. To address large pose shift problem, [29] proposed to apply transitional view to reduce interference of local minima in optimization. Issues such as incorrect correspondences, significant pose displacement, and the presence of symmetrical objects can easily lead to pose measurement failures.

C. Multi-feature-based methods

Fusing region and edge information is demonstrated better performances in complex scenes. [35] proposed contour-part model that leveraged gradient of edges and region to improve robustness when tracking less-distinct objects. [36] proposed adaptive weighting technique to better balance region and edge information. [37] employed a color statistic model and a geometric edge model to reduce the effect of visual ambiguity during establishing feature correspondences.

Besides the edge and contour, interior region of an object can provide raw pixel data, which also serves as an important visual cue for object pose estimation, and have been combined with region-based methods [38], [39], [41]. For example, [38] and [39] proposed to directly optimize gradient-based descriptor fields [13] to enhance performance based on region-based method [25]. Optical flow algorithms, which can compute 2D correspondences, have also been fused in edge-based method [40]. Later, [41] proposed to fuse region, SIFT feature and optical flow for rigid body and articulated object tracking. However, current methods that utilize interior information of objects require the use of GPUs for rendering during tracking, resulting in significant time consumption and hardware resource usage. Therefore, how to further improve robustness, efficiency and reduce device dependency of the utilization of interior correspondences are still open problems and influence application scenarios.

The tracker that utilizes multi-sensor information fusion has demonstrated excellent performance. When both RGB and depth cameras are available, methods that combine region and depth information often yield outstanding results and have been extensively researched [31]-[34]. For example, [34] introduced a highly efficient and robust probabilistic method ICG, which integrates depth data into a region-based tracking algorithm, enabling it to manage partial occlusions effectively. Other approaches, such as [5], combine Time-of-Flight (ToF) cameras, infrared (IR) cameras, and inertial measurement units (IMUs), utilizing the Unscented Kalman Filter (UKF) to enhance pose tracking. Additionally, [7] proposed an optoacoustic and inertial pose tracking method for moving objects using particle filtering. While these methods show promise, their reliance on specific devices can limit their broader applicability, particularly in industrial settings.

D. Deep learning-based methods

The deep learning-based approaches predicted the pose parameters directly by training neural networks. [42] utilized a

lightweight CNN to predict object contour positions and uncertainties, achieving state-of-the-art performance in monocular pose tracking methods. Other method using “render-and-compare” strategy and iteratively refine pose [43] also achieves great performance. Although deep learning-based methods show potential, their dependence on additional GPU resources and significant time consumption render them impractical for resource-constrained industrial assembly applications. Furthermore, training networks for specific objects requires annotating 6DoF datasets [44], [45], which presents significant challenges and requires considerable time.

III. METHOD

In this section, we describe our 6DoF object pose tracking method in detail. Fig. 3 illustrates the flowchart of our method. During offline stage, both contour and interior points are randomly sampled at pre-generated sparse viewpoint templates, and their 2D-3D correspondences are stored. In online tracking stage, we propose a fan-shaped search strategy to build point-to-distribution contour correspondences, taking into account the shape and noise uncertainties of local contours. Additionally, we utilize an efficient CPU-only strategy to establish sparse interior correspondences by using the DIS optical flow algorithm. Finally, we formulate a joint energy function that can simultaneously optimize contour and interior energies with a simple re-weighted least squares algorithm.




Fig. 3. Our 6DoF object pose tracking flowchart.

A. Preliminaries

The purpose of 6DoF object pose tracking is to consecutively measure the 6DoF pose of a 3D object from image sequences, which can be represented by a 4×4 homogeneous matrix

$$\mathbf{T}_{CM} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{CM} & \mathbf{t}_{CM} \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{SE}(3). \quad (1)$$

If we define an object model point as $\mathbf{X}_M = [X_M, Y_M, Z_M]^T \in \mathbf{R}^3$ and describe its camera coordinate as $\mathbf{X}_C = [X_C, Y_C, Z_C]^T \in \mathbf{R}^3$, the transformation from a 3D model coordinate frame to its corresponding 2D image point can be written as

$$\mathbf{x} = \pi \left(\mathbf{K} \left(\mathbf{T}_{CM} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_M \right)_{3 \times 1} \right), \quad (2)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_M$ is the homogeneous representation of \mathbf{X}_M , $\pi(\mathbf{X}) = [X/Z, Y/Z]^T$ for 3D point $\mathbf{X} = [X, Y, Z]$, \mathbf{K} is the known 3×3 camera intrinsic matrix. During optimization, pose variation is represented by a Lie algebra $\xi = [w_1, w_2, w_3, v_1, v_2, v_3]^T \in \mathbf{R}^6$ and its corresponding transformation matrix can be computed by an exponential map

$$\Delta \mathbf{T} = \exp(\hat{\xi}) \in \mathbf{SE}(3), \quad (3)$$

with a previous pose represented by transformation matrix $\mathbf{T}_{CM}(t-1)$, the current object pose can be updated by

$$\mathbf{T}_{CM}(t) = \Delta \mathbf{T} \mathbf{T}_{CM}(t-1). \quad (4)$$

B. Contour-based tracking with correspondence uncertainty

To compute the inter-frame motion $\Delta \mathbf{T}$, contour-based methods must establish 3D-2D correspondences between 3D model points and object contour points. The challenge lies in accurately determining the projected contour point to the corresponding object contour point on the image. However, factors such as noise, cluttered backgrounds, and occlusion in industrial environments often cause visual ambiguities. For instance, a projected contour point may correspond to inaccurate image points, which can lead to tracking failure. In this section, we describe our contour correspondences searching approach which can effectively handle the unavoidable false contour points caused by these challenges.

1) *Pre-generated sparse viewpoint templates*: In industrial assembly, tracking efficiency and the minimize GPU usage are crucial. To address this issue, we employ a strategy of pre-generating sparse virtual viewpoint templates, inspired by [32]. Given a 3D object model, we use a virtual camera positioned at viewpoints \mathbf{v}_i centered on the object to render object depth images. These images are then binarized to generate object masks. N_{cm} contour points along the object mask and N_{in} interior points within the mask are subsequently sampled randomly. Using (5), we transform their 2D coordinates \mathbf{x}_j^i and contour normal vectors \mathbf{n}_j^i into the 3D model coordinates using depth d_j^i , and store them as viewpoint templates for tracking purposes.

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_j^i = \mathbf{T}_{CM}^{\mathbf{v}_i} \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_j^i, d_j^i), \quad \mathbf{N}_j^i = \mathbf{R}_{MC}^{\mathbf{v}_i} [\mathbf{n}_j^i, 0]^T. \quad (5)$$

2) *Contour search line*: During tracking, given a previous pose $\mathbf{T}_{CM}(t-1)$, we first calculate its closest virtual viewpoint \mathbf{v}_i . The 3D model points $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_j^i$ and normal vectors \mathbf{N}_j^i stored in its viewpoint template thus can be projected onto the current image $I(\mathbf{x})$. Therefore, we can obtain the projected contour of 3D model. Using the color probability, the object on the image can be segmented into a foreground region Ω_f and a background region Ω_b with the background color probability of pixel \mathbf{x}

$$P_b(\mathbf{x}) = p_b(I(\mathbf{x})) / (p_f(I(\mathbf{x})) + p_b(I(\mathbf{x}))), \quad (6)$$

where $p_f(I(\mathbf{x}))$ and $p_b(I(\mathbf{x}))$ denote current foreground and background color histograms, respectively.

For the i -th projected contour point $\mathbf{x}_{cnt,i}$, we define search line L_{ij} with $\mathbf{x}_{cnt,i}$ the center and $[l_{ij,x}, l_{ij,y}]^T$ the direction vector. For the k -th point $\mathbf{x}_{i,j,k}$ on the search line L_{ij} , we calculate its direction gradient $g_{i,j,k}$ along the $[l_{ij,x}, l_{ij,y}]^T$ on the probability image. We consider the points whose $g_{i,j,k} > 0$ as candidate contour points. Although there are maybe more than one candidate points whose direction gradient are greater than 0, only the point with the highest direction gradient on this line is considered as the potential contour point.

3) *Determine contour correspondences*: In most contour-based methods, such as [28] and [30], the point searched along the normal of projected contour point $\mathbf{x}_{cnt,i}$ is treated as

corresponding object contour point. However, this strategy is sensitive to contour curvature, large displacements, and noise, as shown in Fig. 4, which can lead to erroneous correspondences and degrade pose accuracy. To mitigate this problem, a reasonable method is to sample multiple local object contour points around the projected point $\mathbf{x}_{cnt,i}$ and consider the mean of their distribution as the most likely correspondence. This would help reduce the impact of abnormal sampling points. Therefore, we propose a fan-shaped search strategy to find potential object contour points within a fan region and project these points onto the normal of projected contour point to generate a 1D probability distribution.




Fig. 4. Previous point-to-point correspondence search and our point-to-distribution correspondence search in different contour matching scenarios.

As described in Fig. 5, given a projected contour point $\mathbf{x}_{cnt,i}$, we use a parametric equation $C_i(\theta)$ to describe the potential object contour on the fan-shaped search region around it, where $\theta \in [-\theta_{max}, \theta_{max}]$ denotes the search angle range. These object contour points are then projected onto the normal $\mathbf{n}_{cnt,i}$ to form a 1D distribution. The mean of this distribution μ_i represents the expected position of object contour point along the normal, and the variance illustrates the shape complexity of this local contour which we refer to as shape uncertainty $\sigma_{shp,i}$. Since it is difficult to know the exact mathematical expression of $C_i(\theta)$, We approximately estimate it by uniformly sampling an odd number of angles, N_{sam}




Fig. 5. Parametric equation representation of local contour.

$$\mu_i = \frac{1}{2\theta_{max}} \int_{-\theta_{max}}^{\theta_{max}} \mathbf{n}_{cnt,i}^T C_i(\theta) d\theta \approx \frac{1}{N_{sam}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{sam}} \mathbf{n}_{cnt,i}^T C_i(\theta_i). \quad (7)$$

To estimate the variance denoted as $\sigma_{shp,i}$, we impose the constraint $\sigma_{shp,i} > 1$ to ensure stability in the pose optimization

$$\sigma_{shp,i}^2 = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{N_{sam}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{sam}} (\mathbf{n}_{cnt,i}^T C_i(\theta_i) - \mu_i)^2, 1 \right\}. \quad (8)$$

Meanwhile, in scenarios with heavier additive noise and cluttered backgrounds that may obscure object contours and result in wrong contour correspondences during line search, we thus explicitly define a noise uncertainty $\sigma_{noi,i}$ and use it to scale $\sigma_{shp,i}$ to represent the correspondence uncertainty $\sigma_i = \sigma_{shp,i} \sigma_{noi,i}$. Here, $\sigma_{noi,i}$ is defined as (9)

$$\sigma_{noi,i} = \sum_{g_{i,j,k} \in \{g_{i,j,k}\}} g_{i,j,k} / \max \{g_{i,j,k}\}, j = (N_{sam} + 1) / 2, \quad (9)$$

which is the sum of direction gradients of all candidate contour points divided by the direction gradient of the potential contour point on the normal search line. Our contour data association strategy is depicted as Fig. 6.




Fig. 6. Contour data association strategy. Contour correspondences are computed based on so-called probability gradient images. Then, fan-shaped search strategy and direction-gradient-based confidence are used to compute candidate contour points.

4) *Contour energy derived from the mixed probability model*:

For a projected contour point $\mathbf{x}_{cnt,i}$, the residual $r_{cnt,i}$ is defined as the distance between $\mathbf{x}_{cnt,i}$ and the mean μ_i described in (7). The probability density of $r_{cnt,i}$ is represented as $P(r_{cnt,i}|\xi)$

$$r_{cnt,i} = \mathbf{n}_{cnt,i}^T \mathbf{x}_{cnt,i} - \mu_i \sim P(r_{cnt,i} | \xi). \quad (10)$$

Assuming that projected contour points are independent, the optimal pose ξ can be obtained by minimizing the negative log of likelihood probability, thus the final contour energy function is defined as follows

$$E_{cnt} = -\ln \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N_{cnt}} P(r_{cnt,i} | \xi) \right) = -\sum_{i=1}^{N_{cnt}} \ln(P(r_{cnt,i} | \xi)). \quad (11)$$

Note that the form of energy function E_{cnt} depends on the likelihood probability density function, so it is not an absolutely standard least squares problem. Inspired by [47], we modify the energy function E_{cnt} by introducing a contour weight $w_{cnt,i}$. During each optimization iteration, $w_{cnt,i}$ can be seen as a constant. Thus, E_{cnt} is transformed into a re-weighted least squares form that can be solved by Gauss-Newton method

$$E_{cnt} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{cnt}} \frac{1}{2} w_{cnt,i} r_{cnt,i}^2, \text{ with } w_{cnt,i} = -\frac{\partial \ln(P(r_{cnt,i} | \xi))}{\partial r_{cnt,i}} \frac{1}{r_{cnt,i}}. \quad (12)$$

Typically, contour-based methods use a Gaussian distribution to describe the probability density $P(r_{cnt,i} | \xi)$. However, Gaussian distribution can only form an inverse variance weighting, making it susceptible to outliers. To address this issue, inspired by [48], we propose a mixed distribution that combines a Gaussian and a uniform distribution, expressed as follows

$$P(r_{cnt,i} | \xi) = a_{1,i} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_i^2} r_{cnt,i}^2} + a_{2,i}, \quad (13)$$

in (13), $a_{1,i}$ and $a_{2,i}$ are the weight coefficients corresponding to Gaussian and uniform distribution. Because $\ln(P(r_{cnt,i} | \xi))$ cannot be differentiated easily, we use the following form to approximate it

$$\ln \left(a_{1,i} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_i^2} r_{cnt,i}^2} + a_{2,i} \right) \approx b_{1,i} e^{-\frac{b_{2,i}}{\sigma_i^2} r_{cnt,i}^2} + b_{3,i}, \quad (14)$$

here, $b_{1,i}$, $b_{2,i}$ and $b_{3,i}$ are parameters which are used to fit the log of likelihood probability. It is worth to mention that the two forms must be equal at three specific positions: $r_{cnt,i} = 0$, $r_{cnt,i} \rightarrow \infty$ and $r_{cnt,i} = \sigma_i$, to ensure the validity of (14). Thus $b_{1,i}$, $b_{2,i}$ and $b_{3,i}$ can be solved by using following formulas

$$b_{1,i} = \ln \left(1 + \frac{a_{1,i}}{a_{2,i}} \right), b_{2,i} = -\ln \left(\frac{\ln \left(1 + \frac{a_{1,i}}{a_{2,i}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)}{\ln \left(1 + \frac{a_{1,i}}{a_{2,i}} \right)} \right), b_{3,i} = \ln(a_{2,i}). \quad (15)$$

Substituting (14) into (12), we obtain the contour weight

$$w_{cnt,i} = (2b_{1,i} b_{2,i} / \sigma_i^2) e^{-\frac{b_{2,i}}{\sigma_i^2} r_{cnt,i}^2}. \quad (16)$$

Assuming $a_{1,i} \gg a_{2,i}$, meaning the weight coefficients of Gaussian is larger than that of uniform distribution, we can derive the following approximation

$$b_{1,i} b_{2,i} \approx -\ln \left(\frac{a_{1,i}}{a_{2,i}} \right) \ln \left(1 + \ln \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) / \ln \left(\frac{a_{1,i}}{a_{2,i}} \right) \right) \approx \frac{1}{2}. \quad (17)$$

This approximation provides us a convenience to substitute $b_{1,i} b_{2,i}$ with a constant value 1/2 without having to compute them for all correspondences. To further simplify the contour

weight $w_{cnt,i}$ described in (16), we again replace $b_{2,i}$ and σ_i^2 in exponential term with empirical values b_2 and σ^2 for all correspondences. Therefore, by defining $\beta = b_2 / \sigma^2$, we obtain the simplified formula of $w_{cnt,i}$

$$w_{cnt,i} = (1/\sigma_i^2) e^{-\beta r_{cnt,i}^2} = (1/(\sigma_{shp,i}^2 \sigma_{noi,i}^2)) e^{-\beta r_{cnt,i}^2}. \quad (18)$$

Compared to the conventional Gaussian distribution assumption, modeling $P(r_{cnt,i} | \xi)$ as a mixture of a Gaussian and a uniform distribution can provide an additional exponential term $e^{-\beta r_{cnt,i}^2}$. This modification helps depress outliers with large residuals, as illustrated in Fig. 7(c).




Fig. 7. Comparison between Gaussian probability model (blue curves) and mixed probability model (red curves) over different values of residual r_{cnt} . (a) Probability density function. (b) Negative log probability density. (c) Contour weight.

C. Efficient Interior modality

To further enhance the robustness of tracking rotationally symmetric objects and complement contour-based method to acquire improved reliability, we introduce additional sparse interior correspondences by computing dense optical flow in an efficient way. Since sparse viewpoint templates and randomly sampled interior points within the object silhouette are already pre-generated during the offline stage, we can use the closest virtual viewpoint to project the pre-stored 3D model interior points onto the image, obtaining the projected 2D interior points $\mathbf{x}_{in,i}$. For each 2D interior point $\mathbf{x}_{in,i}$, the DIS optical flow is applied to compute its interior correspondence $\mathbf{x}'_{in,i}$. To improve efficiency, we restrict the computation of dense optical flow to an ROI area with the same size as the probability ROI computed in our contour-based method.

After computing the optical flow of interior sampling points, we aim to minimize re-projection errors between projected interior points $\mathbf{x}_{in,i}$ and their correspondences $\mathbf{x}'_{in,i}$. Similar to the contour energy E_{cnt} , we express the interior energy E_{in} as a re-weighted least squares problem by using (19) for more effective optimization. Outliers can be depressed by adjusting γ

$$E_{in} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{in}} \frac{1}{2} w_{in,i} r_{in,i}^2, \text{ with } w_{in,i} = c_{in,i} e^{-\gamma r_{in,i}^2} \text{ and } r_{in,i} = \|\mathbf{x}'_{in,i} - \mathbf{x}_{in,i}\|_2, \quad (19)$$

here $c_{in,i}$ is the optical flow confidence which we defined as 3×3 patch-wise measurement error of optical flow to handle noise

$$c_{in,i} = \max \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{1}{9} \sum_{j \in S_i} e_j \right)^2, 0 \right\}, \quad (20)$$

where e_j is the measurement error of point-to-point flow, similar to [41], which includes intensity error, gradient error, and smoothness error. S_i denotes set of pixels within the i -th patch.

D. Joint pose optimization

Given the contour energy E_{cnt} and interior energy E_{in} , we establish a joint energy function. Here, λ serves as a variable weight, allowing for the adjustment of the relative contribution of E_{cnt} and E_{in}

$$E = \lambda E_{cnt} + (1 - \lambda) E_{in}, \quad (21)$$

Thus, for each iteration, the pose variation can be solved by regularized Gauss-Newton method

$$\Delta \xi = \left(-\mathbf{H} + \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_r \mathbf{I}_3 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_t \mathbf{I}_3 \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{g}, \quad (22)$$

where \mathbf{H} is the Hessian matrix and \mathbf{g} is the gradient vector of E , regularization parameters $\lambda_r = 5000$, $\lambda_t = 500000$.

IV. IMPLEMENTATION

In experiments, we expand the bounding box of object by 40 pixels as ROI area, which gives consideration of computational efficiency and displacement range of object. Sobel operator is used to approximately compute gradient of probability image. The number of contour sampling points N_{cnt} is equal to the number of interior sampling points N_{in} , we set them to 200.

To improve the efficiency and accuracy of our method, the coarse-to-fine fan-shaped search and optimization strategies are employed. Before tracking, we uniformly define 36 directions to cover 360° and precompute the cosine values for the angles between each pair of directions. These predefined directions are used to approximate the sampling directions during the tracking stage. During tracking, for projected contour point $\mathbf{x}_{cnt,i}$, we initially approximate its normal $\mathbf{n}_{cnt,i}$ to the closest predefined direction, and search starts with the widest search range A_{reg} and the longest search length to handle significant displacements. Then, the lengths of search lines are progressively decreased from 73 pixels to 13 pixels and search range A_{reg} from 60° to 0° , to facilitate optimization convergence. As we propose a mixed probability to describe the distribution of object contour correspondences, which can provide an additional exponential term $e^{-\beta r_{cnt,i}^2}$ in the energy function E_{cnt} . Ablation studies are conducted and the optimal empirical value $\beta = b_2 / \sigma^2$ is $\{0.003125, 0.0125, 0.05, 0.2\}$.

V. EXPERIMENT

The performances of our methods are evaluated and compared with state-of-the-art monocular 6DoF object tracking methods using the public dataset Region-Based Object Tracking (RBOT) [25], Object Pose Tracking (OPT) [49]. We also test our method in a real-world AR assembly guidance application. For simplicity, we refer to our contour-based tracker as ‘‘Ours (C)’’ and our multi-feature-based tracker as ‘‘Ours’’. All experiments run on a laptop with a 2.7 GHz Intel Core i7-3740QM CPU and an Intel HD 4000 GPU. The GPU is used only for offline generation of sparse viewpoint templates, while our 6DoF pose tracking algorithm runs on the CPU. C++ is used to implement our methods.

A. Evaluation on RBOT dataset

The RBOT dataset [25] is a semi-synthetic monocular 6DoF tracking dataset comprising 18 objects with diverse shapes and textures, as shown in Fig. 8. Each object has four image sequences, corresponding to regular case, case of dynamic light, case of dynamic light with Gaussian noise and case of dynamic light with occlusion. Each sequence contains 1001 monocular images of 640×512 pixels resolution, for each frame ground-truth rotation matrix \mathbf{R}_{gt} and translation vector \mathbf{t}_{gt} are labeled. In our experiments, we use the metric defined by [25], and respectively compute translation error and rotation error for each frame by

$$e_t = \|\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}_{gt}\|_2, \quad e_R = \cos^{-1} \left(\left(\text{trace}(\mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{R}_{gt}) - 1 \right) / 2 \right), \quad (23)$$

pose tracking is considered successful only when $e_t < 5\text{cm}$ and $e_R < 5^\circ$, otherwise it is considered failed, and pose will be recovered by ground-truth.



Fig. 8. 3D objects used in RBOT dataset [25]. Soda, Cube and Candy are rotationally symmetric objects.

Table I compares the tracking success rates of our method with those of state-of-the-art monocular methods, including [25]-[30], [35]-[37], and [39]. For contour-based methods, the most competitive methods are [28], a highly efficient sparse region-based approach, and [30], which combines local and non-local optimization to avoid local minima. When comparing the tracking success rates in regular, dynamic light and dynamic light with occlusion variants, ‘‘Ours (C)’’ has almost the same outstanding performance as [28] but is slightly inferior to [30]. In the dynamic light with noise scenario, ‘‘Ours (C)’’ achieves tracking success rates that are significantly 3.4% and 1.9% higher than those of [28] and [30], respectively. This demonstrates that our method is more robust in handling noises. This performance is expected, because [28] and [30] only use one direction normal search line to determine correspondence, which makes them more susceptible to errors in noisy frames. Our method addresses this problem by employing a fan-shaped search strategy to establish a 1D distribution of potential contour correspondences. The mixed distribution model used in our method contributes to a more robust energy function and effectively decrease outliers.

As shown in Table I, ‘‘Ours’’ significantly enhances tracking success rates compared to ‘‘Ours (C)’’, outperforming nearly all monocular tracking techniques across most sequences.




Fig. 9. Tracking examples. The first column and the third column show the projected sampling points before and after optimization, blue and red points represent the projected contour and interior points respectively. The second column and the fourth column show the coarsest and finest optimization step of our contour modality and interior modality. Warmer color indicates higher weight for each correspondence.

B. Evaluation on OPT dataset

The OPT dataset [49] is a real-world dataset that consists of 6 objects and 552 image sequences, each with a resolution of 1920×1080 pixels, captured under various lighting and motion conditions. Fig.10 illustrates partial examples from this dataset. To evaluate our methods, we follow the metric in [49], and compute the average vertex error as pose error of each frame

$$e_T = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| (\mathbf{T}\mathbf{X}_i - \mathbf{T}_{gt}\mathbf{X}_i)_{3 \times 1} \right\|_2, \quad (24)$$

where \mathbf{T}_{gt} is the ground truth pose and \mathbf{X}_i is the i -th vertex of the 3D model of object. If $e_T < k_e d_m$, tracking is considered successful. Here d_m is the largest distance between vertices and k_e is a threshold varying from 0 to 0.2. Thus, tracking quality for each object is computed by an AUC (area under curve) score ranging from 0 to 20. During tracking process, initial pose of the first frame is given. But unlike RBOT dataset, if tracking fails in the OPT dataset, pose will not be recovered.




Fig. 10. Examples from OPT dataset [49].

TABLE II

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS ON OPT DATASET. WE COMPARE WITH STATE-OF-THE-ART MONOCULAR 6DoF OBJECT TRACKING METHODS. THE BEST RESULTS ARE BOLD, THE SECOND-BEST RESULTS ARE UNDERLINED, AND THE ROTATIONALLY SYMMETRIC OBJECT IS COLORED RED.

| Method | Soda | Chest | Ironman | House | Bike | Jet | Average |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| IJCV12 [23] | 5.87 | 5.55 | 3.92 | 3.58 | 5.36 | 5.81 | 5.01 |
| ECCV18 [50] | 14.85 | 14.97 | 14.71 | 14.48 | 12.55 | 17.17 | 14.79 |
| TPAMI19 [25] | 8.86 | 11.76 | 11.99 | 10.15 | 11.90 | 13.22 | 11.31 |
| TIP20 [26] | 9.01 | 12.24 | 11.21 | 13.61 | 12.83 | 15.44 | 12.39 |
| JCST21 [36] | 9.00 | 14.92 | 13.44 | 13.60 | 12.85 | 10.64 | 12.41 |
| IJCV22 [28] | <u>15.64</u> | 16.30 | 17.41 | 16.36 | <u>13.02</u> | 15.64 | 15.73 |
| ECCV22 [30] | 4.20 | 9.20 | 3.26 | 4.05 | 7.63 | 8.65 | 6.16 |
| Ours (C) | 15.57 | <u>16.89</u> | <u>17.61</u> | <u>16.69</u> | 12.75 | <u>17.23</u> | <u>16.12</u> |
| Ours | 16.52 | 17.03 | 17.75 | 16.71 | 13.04 | 17.63 | 16.45 |

Experimental results on the OPT dataset are summarized in Table II. We compared our method with the state-of-the-art monocular 6DoF tracking methods [23], [25], [26], [28], [30], [36] and [50], all of which only utilize monocular RGB images. Notably, when compared with the most competitive contour-based method [28], “Ours (C)” achieves higher AUC scores for four out of six objects. And when it comes to the average AUC scores, “Ours (C)” has a 0.39 advantage over [28], and also outperforms other monocular methods, demonstrating its ability to acquire high robustness and accuracy in real cases. Also, we are surprisingly to find that for Jet object, “Ours (C)” gains outstanding AUC score 17.23, highlighting its capability to track objects with sharp contours. The performance of “Ours”

shows improvements for all six objects, particularly for Soda object which exhibits rotationally symmetry. The AUC score for Soda is 16.52, this result is consistent with that from the RBOT dataset, further validating the effectiveness of our approach for tracking symmetric objects.

C. Runtime Analysis

TABLE III
AVERAGE RUNTIMES PER FRAME, HARDWARE USAGE AND AVERAGE TRACKING SUCCESS RATE ON RBOT DATASET FOR STATE-OF-THE-ART METHODS.

| Method | Hardware | Runtime (ms) | Tracking success rate (%) |
|--------------|-----------|--------------|---------------------------|
| TPAMI19 [25] | GPU + CPU | 15.5 ~ 21.8 | 72.8 |
| TIP20 [26] | GPU + CPU | 41.2 | 76.5 |
| TIE21 [39] | GPU + CPU | 6.9 | 77.7 |
| JCST21 [36] | GPU + CPU | 32.1 | 81.1 |
| TCSVT21 [35] | GPU + CPU | 40 ~ 50 | 85.6 |
| TVCG22 [27] | GPU + CPU | 33.1 | 84.8 |
| IICV22 [28] | CPU | 1.1 | 90.9 |
| ECCV22 [30] | CPU | 11 ~ 20 | 92.2 |
| TIE23 [29] | GPU + CPU | 4 | 91.6 |
| AEI24 [37] | CPU | 14.8 | <u>92.5</u> |
| Ours (C) | CPU | <u>3</u> | 91.9 |
| Ours | CPU | 9 | 95.4 |

Table III lists the runtime, hardware usage and the average tracking success rates of our method and compared methods on the RBOT dataset. On our device, the average running time of “Ours (C)” is 3ms, which is only slower around 2ms than [28], yet achieves nearly 1% improvement of average tracking success rate. The running time of “Ours” is 9ms, which is slower than [28], but it provides a higher tracking success rate by 4.5%. When compared with [30], which reports 11ms ~ 20ms on a more powerful CPU, “Ours” is faster and more accurate. For recent advanced methods [37], “Ours” achieves faster speed and higher tracking success rate. This proves that our method is efficient and robust enough to satisfy real-world applications.

D. Ablation studies

In the following ablation studies, we conduct experiments on the RBOT and OPT datasets. We analyze several parameters, including those related to the mixed distribution, the sampling interval angle and searching range of the fan-shaped area, the weight of the contour energy function, and the optical flow confidence, to assess their influence on tracking performance.

1) *The parameter of the mixed distribution*: As described in section III, parameter b_2 determines the proportion of Gaussian distribution and uniform distribution in the mixed probability model. For instance, when $b_2 = 0$, the mixed distribution would degenerate to a Gaussian distribution. Fig. 11 and Fig. 12 illustrate that b_2 has a more significant impact on tracking success rates compared to AUC scores. Interestingly, the highest AUC and tracking success rates are achieved when b_2 is set to 0.20 for both datasets. Images in RBOT dataset exhibit heavily cluttered background and noisy points. As a result, the means of the distribution are susceptible to noise. Appropriately increasing b_2 raises the proportion of the uniform distribution,

which helps mitigate the effects of outliers. In contrast, for OPT dataset, the background is nearly pure white, which makes the outlier suppression strategy less effective in improving performance. Additionally, as illustrated in Fig. 11 and Fig. 12, high value of b_2 makes pose optimization difficult to converge, ultimately leading to a decrease in tracking success rates.




Fig. 11. Tracking success rates for different values of b_2 on RBOT dataset.




Fig. 12. AUC scores for different values of b_2 on OPT dataset.

TABLE IV
TRACKING SUCCESS RATES (IN %) FOR DIFFERENT COMBINATIONS OF SAMPLING INTERVALS AND SEARCH REGION ANGLES ON RBOT DATASET.

| ΔA_{int} | 20° | | | 10° | | | 5° | | |
|------------------|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| | $\{0^\circ, 0^\circ, 0^\circ, 0^\circ\}$ | $\{120^\circ, 80^\circ, 40^\circ, 0^\circ\}$ | $\{240^\circ, 160^\circ, 80^\circ, 0^\circ\}$ | $\{0^\circ, 0^\circ, 0^\circ, 0^\circ\}$ | $\{60^\circ, 40^\circ, 20^\circ, 0^\circ\}$ | $\{120^\circ, 80^\circ, 40^\circ, 0^\circ\}$ | $\{0^\circ, 0^\circ, 0^\circ, 0^\circ\}$ | $\{30^\circ, 20^\circ, 10^\circ, 0^\circ\}$ | $\{60^\circ, 40^\circ, 20^\circ, 0^\circ\}$ |
| Reg. | 93.6 | 93.9 | 91.6 | 93.9 | 94.4 | 94.1 | 93.9 | <u>94.2</u> | 94.4 |
| Dyn. | 93.8 | 94.1 | 92.1 | 94.3 | 94.8 | 94.6 | 94.3 | 94.6 | <u>94.7</u> |
| Noi. | 84.0 | 83.2 | 76.0 | 84.1 | 85.1 | 83.1 | 84.3 | 85.1 | <u>84.8</u> |
| Occ. | 92.1 | 92.8 | 90.2 | 92.3 | <u>93.1</u> | <u>93.1</u> | 92.3 | 92.7 | 93.2 |
| Avg. | 90.9 | 91.0 | 87.5 | 91.2 | 91.9 | 91.2 | 91.2 | 91.7 | 91.8 |

2) *Fan-shaped contour points search strategy*: As described in section IV, we employ a coarse-to-fine fan-shaped search strategy to build contour correspondences. The sampling interval angle ΔA_{int} and the angle of fan-shaped region A_{reg} significantly influence the tracking success rates. In our experiments, the coarse-to-fine strategy has four stages to converge. Three ΔA_{int} of 20°, 10° and 5° are tested. For each ΔA_{int} , we evaluate three sets of $\{A_{reg}\}$. $\{0^\circ, 0^\circ, 0^\circ, 0^\circ\}$ corresponds to searching the contour points solely along the normal direction, $\{6\Delta A_{int}, 4\Delta A_{int}, 2\Delta A_{int}, 0^\circ\}$ means a moderate fan-shaped search region, and $\{12\Delta A_{int}, 8\Delta A_{int}, 4\Delta A_{int}, 0^\circ\}$ indicates a larger search region. As shown in Table IV, the highest average tracking success rate is achieved when ΔA_{int} is set to 10° and $\{A_{reg}\}$ is set to $\{60^\circ, 40^\circ, 20^\circ, 0^\circ\}$. When $\{A_{reg}\}$ is set to $\{0^\circ, 0^\circ, 0^\circ, 0^\circ\}$, our method degenerates into RAPID-like methods where correspondences are searched only along

normal search lines. However, thanks to the noise uncertainty and mixed probability model, our contour-based method can still achieve relatively high tracking success rates. We also observe that excessively large search ranges or dense sampling may not necessarily enhance performance.

We also visualize the situation when $\{A_{reg}\}$ is set to $\{0^\circ, 0^\circ, 0^\circ, 0^\circ\}$, as shown in the Fig. 13. We use red filled circles to indicate the contour points only searched by the normal lines. For regions where point-to-point correspondences are prone to incorrect, such as regions with high object contour curvature and image interference, our point-to-distribution strategy eliminates their impact by reducing their weights.




Fig. 13. Qualitative analysis of different data association strategies. For each object, the first row displays the results of previous contour point search strategy, while the second row visualizes our contour weights, with the box area indicating the error scenarios from previous strategy.

3) *The weight of contour energy:* In our contour-based method, we utilize a mixed probability to model the distribution of image contour correspondences along the normal search line. Based on this model, we derive a robust weighted energy function E_{cnt} which consists of the uncertainty term $1/\sigma_i^2$ (a product of shape uncertainty $1/\sigma_{shp,i}^2$ and noise uncertainty $1/\sigma_{noi,i}^2$) and an exponential term $e^{-\beta r_{cnt,i}^2}$. Each of them takes significant effects. The ablation results on RBOT dataset are shown in Table V. It can be observed that the energy function E_{cnt} without weights degenerates into a general least square problem, resulting in the lowest tracking success rates. Individually considering these terms can significantly improve the average tracking success rates by 3%, 4.6%, and 7%. While, combining all terms together will further improve performance, and achieves the highest average tracking success rate 91.9%.

Fig. 14 illustrates the important role played by those contour weights. For the uncertainty term $1/\sigma_i^2$, it suppresses correspondences which are located in error-prone areas, such as high contour curvature. And for the exponential term $e^{-\beta r_{cnt,i}^2}$, it suppresses outliers that are far from the mean of distribution. Taken together, these two terms ensure the tracking robustness.




Fig. 14. Qualitative analysis of our contour weight.

TABLE V
TRACKING SUCCESS RATES (IN %) FOR DIFFERENT COMBINATIONS OF CONTOUR WEIGHT PARTS ON RBOT DATASET.

| uncertainty term | | exponential term | tracking scene | | | | |
|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| $1/\sigma_{shp,i}^2$ | $1/\sigma_{noi,i}^2$ | $e^{-\beta r_{cnt,i}^2}$ | Reg. | Dyn. | Noi. | Occ. | Avg. |
| x | x | x | 87.0 | 87.9 | 67.2 | 81.1 | 80.8 |
| √ | x | x | 89.3 | 90.1 | 71.3 | 84.4 | 83.8 |
| x | √ | x | 90.6 | 91.4 | 73.1 | 86.4 | 85.4 |
| x | x | √ | 92.1 | 92.5 | 77.3 | 89.1 | 87.8 |
| √ | √ | x | 92.4 | 92.9 | 78.8 | 89.0 | 88.3 |
| √ | x | √ | 93.3 | 93.7 | <u>81.5</u> | 91.2 | 89.9 |
| x | √ | √ | <u>93.6</u> | <u>94.0</u> | 81.4 | <u>91.9</u> | <u>90.2</u> |
| √ | √ | √ | 94.4 | 94.8 | 85.1 | 93.1 | 91.9 |

4) *The patch-wise optical flow confidence:* Table VI demonstrates the effectiveness of the patch-wise optical flow confidence $c_{in,i}$ we introduced. Using $c_{in,i}$ achieves higher tracking success rates for all four cases than not using it, with an average improvement of 0.4%.

TABLE VI
TRACKING SUCCESS RATES (IN %) WITH AND WITHOUT PATCH-WISE OPTICAL FLOW CONFIDENCE ON RBOT DATASET.

| $c_{in,i}$ | Reg. | Dyn. | Noi. | Occ. | Avg. |
|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| x | 96.7 | 96.6 | 91.5 | 95.3 | 95.0 |
| √ | 97.1 | 97.0 | 91.7 | 95.6 | 95.4 |

E. Applications of Augmented Reality Assembly Guidance

Besides evaluating on public datasets, we perform additional experiments on AR assembly guidance scenario to validate the effectiveness of our approach. In this application, our method tracks the pose of texture-less industrial parts in real time, such as the Electric machine and Battery box considered in this paper. Fig. 15(a) and Fig. 15(b) show the AR assembly guidance system and the coordinates involved in tracking. Based on the obtained real-time pose of the assembly, it can estimate the relative 6DoF pose between the industrial parts hold on the operator (seen the blue models in Fig. 15(c) and Fig. 15(e)) and the target assembly position rendered by computer (seen the red models in Fig. 15(c) and Fig. 15(e)), and then judge whether the assembly result is correct or whether the current assembly step is completed. The relative 6DoF pose \mathbf{T}_{MT} of the target position with respect to the manipulated object can be computed by

$$\mathbf{T}_{MT} = (\mathbf{T}_{WC} \mathbf{T}_{CM})^{-1} \mathbf{T}_{WT}, \quad (25)$$

Here, the pose \mathbf{T}_{WC} between the camera to world coordinate system can be obtained by the SLAM module on the HMD, transformation matrix \mathbf{T}_{CM} of the manipulated object relative to the camera is calculated in real-time using our algorithm. While \mathbf{T}_{WT} , the target assembly position in the world coordinate system, is known and can be rendered by the 3D rendering engine Unity 3D. When the translation error of \mathbf{T}_{MT} is less than 1 cm and the rotation error of \mathbf{T}_{MT} is within 1° , both the guiding arrow and the target model change from red to green, indicating to the operator that the assembly is correct, as shown in Fig. 15(d) and Fig. 15(f). The 3D models we used are depicted in Fig. 16.





Fig. 15. Application of AR assembly guidance.



To further evaluate the tracking robustness of our algorithm, we create challenging scenes featuring cluttered backgrounds, object with rotational symmetry, occlusion, and large displacement. Fig. 17(a) demonstrates the robust tracking results in a cluttered background. Robust contour weight helps to reduce the influence of wrong contour correspondences. This result is also validated on public dataset, as shown in Table I. In Fig. 17(b), we visualize the tracking performance for

objects with rotational symmetry, such as the Battery box and Industrial fan which exhibits rotationally symmetric appearance after part of its region is occluded. It is worth mentioning that handling ambiguous contours is crucial, as many industrial parts naturally exhibit symmetric characteristics. As shown in Fig. 18, the comparison between “Ours (C)” and “Ours” indicates that using interior correspondences helps address the ambiguous contour problem and enables more robust tracking.

During the assembly process, partial occlusion is unavoidable. Fig. 17(c) demonstrates the tracking results under this condition. Our robust weights help suppress erroneous correspondences in occluded parts, thus enhancing the tracking performance.

In Fig. 17(d), due to the rapid movement of the operator’s head or hand, the tracked object has large displacement. However, thanks to efficient interior correspondences, our tracker is able to maintain successful tracking.

We measure the mean and standard deviation of translation and rotation errors of five manipulated objects in the scene, as shown in Fig. 19, utilizing interior information of the object significantly improves the accuracy of rotation estimation.




Fig. 17. Performances of our method in challenging situations. For each scene, the first image is the original image, the second image is our tracking result, we use estimated pose and blue color to render AR image.




Fig. 18. Error curves of two manipulated objects with rotational symmetry.




Fig. 19. The mean and standard deviation of translation and rotation errors of our methods.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we propose a robust monocular 6DoF object pose tracking method considering both contour and interior information for efficient AR assembly guidance. By modeling the shape and noise uncertainty of local contours as mixed distributions, our contour-based method performs exceptionally well, particularly in tracking under noisy conditions. By introducing efficient interior correspondences, our multi-feature-based tracker significantly outperforms existing monocular tracking methods, especially when dealing with rotationally symmetric objects, achieving 100 FPS using only the CPU.

While our monocular 6DoF object tracking methods demonstrate high robustness and excellent performance on public datasets, there is still room to improve in the future. Firstly, when image appears motion blur, the accuracy of the optical flow and contour matching cannot be fully guaranteed. Secondly, when objects are seriously occluded, the contour and interior information of object will be destroyed, leading to inaccurate correspondences. Thus, more robust occlusion-aware strategy is required to address this issue.

REFERENCES

- [1] L.-C. Wu, I.-C. Lin, and M.-H. Tsai, "Augmented reality instruction for object assembly based on markerless tracking," In Proceedings of the 20th ACM SIGGRAPH Symposium on Interactive 3D Graphics and Games, I3D '16, pp. 95–102. Association for Computing Machinery, 2016. doi: 10.1145/2856400.2856416.
- [2] M. Eswaran, A. K. Gulivindala, A. K. Inkulu, and M. V. A. R. Bahubalendruni, "Augmented reality-based guidance in product assembly and maintenance/repair perspective: A state of the art review on challenges and opportunities," *Expert Systems with Applications*, 2023.
- [3] C. Kleinbeck, H. Schieber, S. Andress, C. Krautz and D. Roth, "ARTFM: Augmented Reality Visualization of Tool Functionality Manuals in Operating Rooms," in *2022 IEEE Conference on Virtual Reality and 3D User Interfaces Abstracts and Workshops (VRW)*, Christchurch, New Zealand, 2022, pp. 736–737, doi: 10.1109/VRW55335.2022.00219.
- [4] S. Li, H. Schieber, N. Corell, B. Egger, J. Kreimeier and D. Roth, "GBOT: Graph-Based 3D Object Tracking for Augmented Reality-Assisted Assembly Guidance," *2024 IEEE Conference Virtual Reality and 3D User Interfaces (VR)*, Orlando, FL, USA, 2024, pp. 513–523, doi: 10.1109/VR58804.2024.00072.
- [5] D. Black and S. Salcudean, "Robust Object Pose Tracking for Augmented Reality Guidance and Teleoperation," in *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 73, pp. 1–15, 2024, Art no. 9509815, doi: 10.1109/TIM.2024.3398108.
- [6] A. Vaccarella, E. De Momi, A. Enquobahrie and G. Ferrigno, "Unscented Kalman Filter Based Sensor Fusion for Robust Optical and Electromagnetic Tracking in Surgical Navigation," in *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 62, no. 7, pp. 2067–2081, July 2013, doi: 10.1109/TIM.2013.2248304.
- [7] D. Esslinger et al., "Accurate Optoacoustic and Inertial 3-D Pose Tracking of Moving Objects With Particle Filtering," in *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 69, no. 3, pp. 893–906, March 2020, doi: 10.1109/TIM.2019.2905749.
- [8] L. Vacchetti, V. Lepetit and P. Fua, "Stable real-time 6DoF tracking using online and offline information," in *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 26, no. 10, pp. 1385–1391, Oct. 2004, doi: 10.1109/TPAMI.2004.92.
- [9] S. Hinterstoisser, S. Benhimane and N. Navab, "N3M: Natural 3D Markers for Real-Time Object Detection and Pose Estimation," *2007 IEEE 11th International Conference on Computer Vision*, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 2007, pp. 1–7, doi: 10.1109/ICCV.2007.4409004.
- [10] Y. Park, V. Lepetit and Woontack Woo, "Multiple 6DoF object tracking for augmented reality," *2008 7th IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality*, Cambridge, 2008, pp. 117–120, doi: 10.1109/ISMAR.2008.4637336.
- [11] D. Wagner, G. Reitmayr, A. Mulloni, T. Drummond and D. Schmalstieg, "Real-Time Detection and Tracking for Augmented Reality on Mobile Phones," in *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 355–368, May–June 2010, doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2009.99.
- [12] R. Laganieri, S. Gilbert and G. Roth, "Robust object pose estimation from feature-based stereo," in *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 55, no. 4, pp. 1270–1280, Aug. 2006, doi: 10.1109/TIM.2006.876521.
- [13] A. Crivellaro and V. Lepetit, "Robust 6DoF tracking with Descriptor Fields," *2014 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, Columbus, OH, USA, 2014, pp. 3414–3421, doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2014.436.
- [14] B.-K. Seo and H. Wuest, "Robust 6DoF object tracking Using an Elaborate Motion Model," *2016 IEEE International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality (ISMAR-Adjunct)*, Merida, Mexico, 2016, pp. 70–71, doi: 10.1109/ISMAR-Adjunct.2016.0042.
- [15] L. Chen, F. Zhou, Y. Shen, X. Tian, H. Ling and Y. Chen, "Illumination insensitive efficient second-order minimization for planar object tracking," *2017 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, Singapore, 2017, pp. 4429–4436, doi: 10.1109/ICRA.2017.7989512.
- [16] L. Zhong, M. Lu and L. Zhang, "A Direct 6DoF object tracking Method Based on Dynamic Textured Model Rendering and Extended Dense Feature Fields," in *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, vol. 28, no. 9, pp. 2302–2315, Sept. 2018, doi: 10.1109/TCSVT.2017.2731519.
- [17] C. Harris and C. Stennett, "RAPID - a video rate object tracker," in *Proceedings of the British Machine Vision Conference 1990*, Oxford, 1990, p. 15.1–15.6. doi: 10.5244/C.4.15.
- [18] É. Marchand, P. Bouthemy, and F. Chaumette, "A 2D–3D model-based approach to real-time visual tracking," *Image Vis. Comput.*, vol. 19, no. 13, pp. 941–955, Nov. 2001, doi: 10.1016/S0262-8856(01)00054-3.
- [19] T. Drummond and R. Cipolla, "Real-time visual tracking of complex structures," in *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 24, no. 7, pp. 932–946, July 2002, doi: 10.1109/TPAMI.2002.1017620.
- [20] B.-K. Seo, H. Park, J.-I. Park, S. Hinterstoisser and S. Ilic, "Optimal Local Searching for Fast and Robust Textureless 6DoF object tracking in Highly Cluttered Backgrounds," in *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 99–110, Jan. 2014, doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2013.94.
- [21] G. Wang, B. Wang, F. Zhong, X. Qin, and B. Chen, "Global optimal searching for textureless 6DoF object tracking," *Vis. Comput.*, vol. 31, no. 6, pp. 979–988, Jun. 2015, doi: 10.1007/s00371-015-1098-7.
- [22] H. Huang, F. Zhong, Y. Sun, and X. Qin, "An Occlusion-aware Edge-Based Method for Monocular 6DoF object tracking using Edge Confidence," in *Computer Graphics Forum. (CGF)*, Oct. 2020, vol. 39, no. 7, pp. 399–409, doi: 10.1111/cgf.14154.
- [23] V. A. Prisacariu and I. D. Reid, "PWP3D: Real-Time Segmentation and Tracking of 3D Objects," *Int. J. Comput. Vis. (IJCV)*, Jul. 2012, vol. 98, no. 3, pp. 335–354, doi: 10.1007/s11263-011-0514-3.
- [24] J. Hexner and R. R. Hagege, "2D-3D Pose Estimation of Heterogeneous Objects Using a Region Based Approach," *Int. J. Comput. Vis. (IJCV)*, May 2016, vol. 118, no. 1, pp. 95–112, doi: 10.1007/s11263-015-0873-2.
- [25] H. Tjaden, U. Schwanecke, E. Schömer and D. Cremers, "A Region-Based Gauss-Newton Approach to Real-Time Monocular Multiple Object Tracking," in *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 41, no. 8, pp. 1797–1812, 1 Aug. 2019, doi: 10.1109/TPAMI.2018.2884990.

- [26] L. Zhong, X. Zhao, Y. Zhang, S. Zhang and L. Zhang, "Occlusion-Aware Region-Based 3D Pose Tracking of Objects With Temporally Consistent Polar-Based Local Partitioning," in *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 29, pp. 5065-5078, 2020, doi: 10.1109/TIP.2020.2973512.
- [27] H. Huang, F. Zhong and X. Qin, "Pixel-Wise Weighted Region-Based 6DoF object tracking Using Contour Constraints," in *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, vol. 28, no. 12, pp. 4319-4331, 1 Dec. 2022, doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2021.3085197.
- [28] M. Stoiber, M. Pfanne, K. H. Strobl, R. Triebel and A. Albu-Schäeffler, "SRT3D: A Sparse Region-Based 6DoF object tracking Approach for the Real World," *Int. J. Comput. Vis. (IJCV)*, vol. 130, no. 2, pp. 1-23, April. 2022, doi: 10.1007/s11263-022-01579-8.
- [29] Q. Wang, J. Zhou, Z. Li, X. Sun and Q. Yu, "Robust and Accurate Monocular Pose Tracking for Large Pose Shift," in *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 70, no. 8, pp. 8163-8173, Aug. 2023, doi: 10.1109/TIE.2022.3217598.
- [30] X. Tian, X. Lin, F. Zhong and X. Qin, "Large-Displacement 6DoF object tracking with Hybrid Non-local Optimization," in *Eur. Conf. Comput. Vis. (ECCV)*, pp. 627-643, October. 2022, doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-20047-2_36.
- [31] C. Y. Ren, V. Prisacariu, O. Kähler, I. D. Reid and D. W. Murray, "Real-Time Tracking of Single and Multiple Objects from Depth-Colour Imagery Using 3D Signed Distance Functions," *Int. J. Comput. Vis. (IJCV)*, vol. 124, no. 1, pp. 80-95, Aug. 2017, doi: 10.1007/s11263-016-0978-2.
- [32] W. Kehl, F. Tombari, S. Ilic and N. Navab, "Real-Time 3D Model Tracking in Color and Depth on a Single CPU Core," *2017 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, Honolulu, HI, USA, 2017, pp. 465-473, doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2017.57.
- [33] D. J. Tan, N. Navab and F. Tombari, "Looking Beyond the Simple Scenarios: Combining Learners and Optimizers in 3D Temporal Tracking," in *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, vol. 23, no. 11, pp. 2399-2409, Nov. 2017, doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2017.2734539.
- [34] M. Stoiber, M. Sundermeyer and R. Triebel, "Iterative Corresponding Geometry: Fusing Region and Depth for Highly Efficient 6DoF tracking of Textureless Objects," *2022 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, New Orleans, LA, USA, 2022, pp. 6845-6855, doi: 10.1109/CVPR52688.2022.00673.
- [35] X. Sun, J. Zhou, W. Zhang, Z. Wang and Q. Yu, "Robust Monocular Pose Tracking of Less-Distinct Objects Based on Contour-Part Model," in *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, vol. 31, no. 11, pp. 4409-4421, Nov. 2021, doi: 10.1109/TCSVT.2021.3053696.
- [36] J. Li, F. Zhong, S. Xu, and X. Qin, "6DoF object tracking with Adaptively Weighted Local Bundles," *Journal of Computer Science and Technology (JCST)*, 2021, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 555-571, doi: 10.1007/s11390-021-1272-5.
- [37] N. Lv, D. Zhao, F. Kong, Z. Xu, F. Du, "A multi-feature fusion-based pose tracking method for industrial object with visual ambiguities," in *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, vol. 62, Part C, Oct. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.aei.2024.102788.
- [38] L. Zhong and L. Zhang, "A Robust Monocular 6DoF object tracking Method Combining Statistical and Photometric Constraints," in *Int. J. Comput. Vis. (IJCV)*, vol. 127, no. 8, pp. 973-992, Aug. 2019, doi: 10.1007/s11263-018-1119-x.
- [39] F. Liu, Z. Wei and G. Zhang, "An Off-Board Vision System for Relative Attitude Measurement of Aircraft," in *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 69, no. 4, pp. 4225-4233, April 2022, doi: 10.1109/TIE.2021.3075889.
- [40] L. Vacchetti, V. Lepetit and P. Fua, "Combining edge and texture information for real-time accurate 3D camera tracking," *Third IEEE and ACM International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality*, Arlington, VA, USA, 2004, pp. 48-56, doi: 10.1109/ISMAR.2004.24.
- [41] T. Brox, B. Rosenhahn, J. Gall and D. Cremers, "Combined Region and Motion-Based 6DoF tracking of Rigid and Articulated Objects," in *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 402-415, March 2010, doi: 10.1109/TPAMI.2009.32.
- [42] L. Wang et al., "Deep Active Contours for Real-time 6-DoF Object Tracking," *2023 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, Paris, France, 2023, pp. 13988-13998, doi: 10.1109/ICCV51070.2023.01290.
- [43] Y. Li, G. Wang, X. Ji, Y. Xiang, and D. Fox, "DeepIM: Deep Iterative Matching for 6D Pose Estimation," *Int. J. Comput. Vis. (IJCV)*, vol. 128, no. 3, pp. 657-678, Mar. 2020, doi: 10.1007/s11263-019-01250-9.
- [44] M. Liu, G. Feng, T. -B. Xu, F. Liu and Z. Wei, "Fusing Dense Features and Pose Consistency: A Regression Method for Attitude Measurement of Aircraft Landing," in *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 72, pp. 1-13, 2023, Art no. 5007913, doi: 10.1109/TIM.2023.3244803.
- [45] Z. Liu, X. Wang, B. Pu, J. Tang and J. Sun, "WireframePose: Monocular 6-D Pose Estimation of Metal Parts Based on Wireframe Extraction and Matching," in *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 73, pp. 1-10, 2024, Art no. 5032810, doi: 10.1109/TIM.2024.3460945.
- [46] T. Kroeger, R. Timofte, D. Dai and L. V. Gool, Luc, "Fast Optical Flow Using Dense Inverse Search," In *European Conference on Computer Vision 2016*, pp. 471-488, Oct. 2016, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-46493-0_29.
- [47] C. Kerl, J. Sturm and D. Cremers, "Robust odometry estimation for RGB-D cameras," *2013 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, Karlsruhe, Germany, 2013, pp. 3748-3754, doi: 10.1109/ICRA.2013.6631104.
- [48] P. Biber, S. Fleck and W. Straßer, "A Probabilistic Framework for Robust and Accurate Matching of Point Clouds," in *Proceedings Pattern Recognition, Dagm Symposium, August 30-september 1, Tübingen, Germany*, pp. 480-487, Aug. 2004, doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-28649-3_59.
- [49] P. -C. Wu, Y. -Y. Lee, H. -Y. Tseng, H. -I. Ho, M. -H. Yang and S. -Y. Chien, "[POSTER] A Benchmark Dataset for 6DoF Object Pose Tracking," *2017 IEEE International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality (ISMAR-Adjunct)*, Nantes, France, 2017, pp. 186-191, doi: 10.1109/ISMAR-Adjunct.2017.62.
- [50] B. Bugaev, A. Kryshchenko and R. Belov, "Combining 3D Model Contour Energy and Keypoints for Object Tracking," in *Eur. Conf. Comput. Vis. (ECCV)*, Munich, Germany, pp. 55-70, Sep. 2018, doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-01258-8_4.

Jixiang Chen received B.S. degree in optical engineering from the Harbin Engineering University, Harbin, China, in 2021. He is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree with the School of Optics and Photonics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, China. His research interests include augmented reality, 6DoF pose estimation.

Jing Chen (Member, IEEE) received a Postdoctoral Research Fellow with the Graz University of Technology, Austria, in 2003. She is currently a Doctoral Supervisor and an Assistant Professor with the School of Optics and Photonics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, China. Her main research interests include augmented reality, human-computer interaction, visual SLAM, and deep learning.

Kai Liu received B.S. degree in optical engineering from the Ocean University of China, Qingdao, China, in 2022. He is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree with the School of Optics and Photonics, Beijing Institute of Technology. His research interests include augmented reality, 6DoF object tracking.

Haochen Chang received the B.E. degree in physics from Ocean University of China, Qingdao, China, in 2021 and received the M.S. degree in optical engineering from the School of Optics and Photonics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, China, in 2024. He is currently studying for a Ph.D. degree in computer science at the Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou. His research interests include computer vision, deep learning and virtual reality.

Shanfeng Fu received the B.E. degree in optical engineering from the Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, China, in 2023. He is currently studying for a master's degree in optical engineering at the School of Optics and Photonics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing. His research interests include computer vision and virtual reality.

Jian Yang received the Ph.D. degree in optical engineering from the Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, China, in 2007. He is currently a Professor with the School of Optics and Photonics, Beijing Institute of Technology. His research interests include medical image processing, augmented reality, and computer vision.