All-Optical Photoluminescence Spectra of Nitrogen-Vacancy Ensembles in Diamond at Low Magnetic Fields

Xiechen Zheng,^{1, 2, *} Jeyson Támara-Isaza,^{2, 3, *} Zechuan Yin,^{1, 2} Johannes Cremer,² John W. Blanchard,²

Connor A. Hart,² Michael Crescimanno,^{2,4} Paul V. Petruzzi,⁵ Matthew J. Turner,² and Ronald L. Walsworth^{1,2,6}

¹Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

²Quantum Technology Center, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

³Departamento de Física, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, sede Bogotá, Carrera 45, Colombia

⁴Department of Physics, Youngstown State University, Youngstown, Ohio

⁵Laboratory for Physical Sciences, 8050 Greenmead Drive, College Park, Maryland 20740, USA

⁶Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

(Dated: February 18, 2025)

All-optical (AO), microwave-free magnetometry using nitrogen-vacancy (NV) centers in diamond is attractive due to its broad sample compatibility and reduced experimental complexity. In this work, we investigate room-temperature AO photoluminescence (PL) at low magnetic fields (<2 mT) using diamonds with NV ensembles at ppm concentrations. Measured AO-PL contrast features as a function of applied magnetic field magnitude and direction are correlated with near-degenerate NV electronic spin and hyperfine transitions from different NV orientations within the diamond host. Reasonable agreement is found between low-field AO-PL measurements and model-based simulations of the effects of resonant dipolar interactions between NV centers. Maximum observed AO-PL contrast depends on both NV concentration and laser illumination intensity at 532 nm. These results imply different optimal conditions for low-field AO NV sensing compared to conventional optically detected magnetic resonance (ODMR) techniques, suggesting new research and application opportunities using AO measurements with lower system complexity, size, weight, and power.

I. INTRODUCTION

Nitrogen-vacancy (NV) centers in diamonds are a leading modality for magnetometry under wide-ranging conditions, enabling diverse applications across the physical and life sciences [1–7]. Predominant NV sensing protocols, such as optically detected magnetic resonance (ODMR), require microwave fields to coherently manipulate NV electronic spin states, with magnetometry information read out via spin-state-dependent NV photoluminescence (PL). However, the use of external microwave fields adds design complexity and can be incompatible with the system or sample under study [8, 9].

Alternatively, all-optical (AO), microwave-free NV magnetometry protocols have been demonstrated. AO magnetometry exploits effects that reduce PL spin-state contrast, e.g., NV spin-state mixing for off-axis magnetic fields $\sim 10 \,\mathrm{mT}$ [10–12], cross-relaxation between NV electronic spins and substitutional nitrogen (P1) centers at an applied bias magnetic field near $50 \,\mathrm{mT}$ [13], crossrelaxation with NV centers not aligned with the bias field near 60 mT [14], and NV electronic spin-state mixing near the ground-state level anti-crossing (GSLAC) at a bias field $\approx 100 \,\mathrm{mT}$ [15]. These approaches to AO magnetometry employ substantial applied fields that can increase system complexity and SWaP (size, weight, and power); and also induce undesired effects, such as perturbing magnetic materials of interest [16] or being unsuitable for magnetically shielded environments. Recent studies have, however, demonstrated AO magnetometry

at low applied fields ($\sim 1 \text{ mT}$) using NV ensembles with concentrations $\gtrsim 1 \text{ ppm} [17-20]$. In this low-field regime, NV-NV cross-relaxation from dipolar interactions, as well as NV spin-state mixing induced by local electric fields, can contribute to a magnetic-field-dependent reduction in AO-PL intensity, enabling sensitive AO magnetometry.

In this work, we investigate room-temperature AO-PL from dense NV ensembles (~ppm) in CVD-grown diamond samples at low magnetic fields ($< 2 \,\mathrm{mT}$). We experimentally characterize and numerically simulate AO-PL behavior as a function of the magnitude and direction of a weak applied magnetic field, finding reasonable agreement between measurements and calculations (Sec. III A). The narrow linewidths of the observed low-field AO-PL features also allow us to identify NV hyperfine splitting for both ¹⁴N and ¹⁵N-enriched diamond samples. When NV hyperfine states are degenerate, they introduce additional depolarization channels for the spin populations, as observed in the relative AO-PL contrast. We further correlate the measured AO-PL dependence on applied magnetic field with nearly degenerate energy levels observed in microwave-based continuous-wave optically detected magnetic resonance (CW-ODMR) spectra (Sec. III B). As a function of laser power, we experimentally find a maximum of AO-PL contrast. This effect is also captured by numerical simulations of a phenomenological model that includes both NV-concentration and degenerate-level dependent relaxation rates between NV spin sublevels, arising from NV-NV dipolar interactions (Sec. III C).

^{*} These authors contributed equally to this work.

II. BACKGROUND

The NV center is a C_{3v} -symmetric point defect in diamond with ground and excited electronic spin triplet states (S = 1). The electronic ground state Hamiltonian H_{qs} for a single NV can be written as [21]:

$$H_{qs}/\hbar = \vec{S} \cdot D \cdot \vec{S} + \gamma_e \vec{B} \cdot \vec{S} + \vec{S} \cdot A \cdot \vec{I} + \vec{I} \cdot Q \cdot \vec{I}.$$
(1)

Here, \vec{S} and \vec{I} are the electronic and nuclear spin operators, respectively, with I = 1 for ¹⁴N and I = 1/2for ${}^{15}N$; D is the room-temperature zero field splitting (ZFS); γ_e is the electronic spin gyromagnetic ratio; Bis the applied magnetic field (nuclear Zeeman shifts are ignored); A is the hyperfine interaction tensor; and Qdescribes the nuclear electric quadrupole for ¹⁴N (Q = 0for ¹⁵N). The NV electronic ground $({}^{3}A_{2})$ and excited $({}^{3}E)$ triplet states primarily follow spin-conserving optical transitions under 532 nm laser irradiation, and emit broadband PL ($\approx 637-800$ nm). An alternative intersystem crossing to the singlet manifold preferentially allows excited NVs in the $|m_s = \pm 1\rangle$ spin states to decay to the ground $|m_s = 0\rangle$ state with reduced PL emission. As a result, optical excitation both induces spinstate-dependent PL and polarizes NV electronic spins to $|m_s = 0\rangle$ [Fig. 1(a)] [22].

In an NV ensemble, NV-NV dipolar interactions increase the spin relaxation (depolarization) rate between the PL bright $|m_s = 0\rangle$ and dark $|m_s = \pm 1\rangle$ states [23]. The interaction Hamiltonian $H_{\rm int}$ between two NV centers with electronic spin operators $\vec{S}_{1,2}$, and dipolar interaction strength $D_{\rm dd}$ along a unit vector \hat{n}_{12} , is given by

$$H_{\rm int} = D_{\rm dd} [3(\vec{S}_1 \cdot \hat{n}_{12})(\vec{S}_2 \cdot \hat{n}_{12}) - (\vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_2)].$$
(2)

The ground state Hamiltonian for two interacting NVs is then $H = H_{qs1} + H_{qs2} + H_{int}$. The depolarization rate is further enhanced when different NV centers have equal transition frequencies between electronic spin states. For such degenerate spin transitions, resonant NV-NV dipolar interactions induce spin state mixing, i.e., NV-NV cross-relaxation [23, 24]. Consequently, a reduction in both the NV spin polarization lifetime (T_1) and PL intensity can be observed [Fig. 1(c)] [19]. Each NV within an ensemble in a single crystal diamond sample is oriented along one of the four crystallographic axes, with typically equal numbers along each axis. By adjusting the applied magnetic field direction and magnitude in the low-field regime $(< 2 \,\mathrm{mT})$, one can tune the spin transition frequencies of the four NV orientation classes and spectrally overlap their resonances. This magnetic field tuning enables careful measurement of low-field AO-PL signals, i.e., without applied microwaves. Table I summarizes the characteristics of different CVD-grown NVdiamond samples employed in this work.



(a) NV energy levels and couplings allow optical FIG. 1. initialization of electronic spin states and emission of spinstate-dependent photoluminescence (PL). (b) AO-PL measurements utilize three sets of Helmholtz coils to control bias magnetic field magnitude and direction. NV centers in a single crystal diamond plate are optically excited by 532 nm laser light and emit PL ($\approx 637-800$ nm), collected by a photodiode (not shown). A microwave antenna (not shown) allows comparison CW-ODMR measurements. Inset: dipolar interactions between different NV centers contribute to AO-PL contrast at low magnetic fields. (c) Illustration of avoided crossing from two interacting near-resonant NV electronic spins at low magnetic fields (<2 mT). Resonant NV-NV dipolar interactions at the avoided crossing increase the NV depolarization rate and reduce total PL emission.

TABLE I. Diamond samples used in this study. All samples are electronic grade plates (few mm on each side and about 0.5 mm thick), with a 10 μ m-thick surface layer of enhanced nitrogen and NV concentration ([N] = 16 ppm, > 99.995% 12 C) as reported by Element Six Ltd.

Sample $\#$	[NV] (ppm)	N Isotope
S1-14N	≈ 3.8	^{14}N
S2-15N	≈ 3.8	^{15}N
S3-14N	≈ 3.8	^{14}N
S4-14N	≈ 2	^{14}N
S5-14N	≈ 0.3	14 N



FIG. 2. (a) Illustration of applied magnetic fields and four NV orientations along unit vectors \hat{n}_{λ} , \hat{n}_{ϕ} , \hat{n}_{χ} and \hat{n}_{κ} . The on-axis magnetic field B_{\parallel} is along the [111] crystallographic axis. The off-axis magnetic field B_{\perp} is along the [110] crystallographic axis. θ is the polar angle from B_{\parallel} . (b) Experimentally determined AO-PL contrast as a function of applied magnetic fields using sample S1-14N with ≈ 3.8 ppm NV concentration. Large AO-PL contrast around zero applied field, as well as line features at specific θ values are observed. (c) Simulated AO-PL contrast using a fixed dipolar interaction strength. (d) Expanded view of the upper right quadrant in Fig. 2(c), with labels at specific line features indicating the cross-relaxation between NVs of orientations along (i) \hat{n}_{ϕ} , \hat{n}_{χ} and \hat{n}_{κ} at $\theta = 0^{\circ}$; (ii) \hat{n}_{κ} at $\theta = 22.2^{\circ}$; (iii) \hat{n}_{λ} and \hat{n}_{χ} ; \hat{n}_{ϕ} and \hat{n}_{κ} at $\theta = 39.3^{\circ}$; (iv) \hat{n}_{λ} and \hat{n}_{κ} at $\theta = 90^{\circ}$. NV hyperfine interactions contribute to parallel line structures within AO-PL contrast features.

III. RESULTS

A. NV-NV Cross-relaxation Features for a Dense Ensemble

Fig. 1(b) depicts the experimental setup used to study low-field AO-PL, including NV-NV cross-relaxation features. An NV diamond sample is exposed to a controllable magnetic field, with magnitude and direction determined by three sets of Helmholtz coils aligned along the diamond's [110], [110], and [001] crystallographic axes. The unit vectors parallel to the NV symmetry axes are $\hat{n}_{\lambda}, \hat{n}_{\phi}, \hat{n}_{\chi}, \text{ and } \hat{n}_{\kappa} \text{ along } [111], [\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}], [1\bar{1}\bar{1}], \text{ and } [\bar{1}1\bar{1}],$ respectively. As illustrated in Fig. 2(a), the on-axis magnetic field B_{\parallel} is defined as parallel to NVs along axis \hat{n}_{λ} ; and the off-axis magnetic field B_{\perp} is perpendicular to both axes \hat{n}_{λ} and \hat{n}_{ϕ} . The AO-PL contrast for each on/off-axis magnetic field value $(B_{\parallel}, B_{\perp})$ is determined by the normalized difference in intensities between a measured AO-PL signal $I_{\rm sig}$ and an AO-PL reference $I_{\rm ref}$. $I_{\rm ref}$ is given by the maximum measured AO-PL from a given scan of $(B_{\parallel}, B_{\perp})$ values in which the NV orientation classes are spectrally separated. The AO-PL contrast is then calculated as $C = 1 - I_{sig}/I_{ref}$. Further details of the experimental procedure and calibration are given in the Supplementary Material.

We experimentally determine the low-field AO-PL contrast as a function of B_{\parallel} and B_{\perp} , starting with a 3.8 ppm NV concentration diamond enriched with ¹⁴N (sample S1-14N) [Fig. 2(b)]. We vary both B_{\parallel} and B_{\perp} over a range of about 1.5 to -1.5 mT, with step size $\approx 0.02 \text{ mT}$. The resulting two-dimensional plot of experimental AO-PL contrast is in reasonable agreement with a numerical simulation based on the simple two-NV coupled Hamiltonian described by Eqs. (1, 2) with a fixed dipolar interaction strength [Fig. 2(c)] [25]. Details of the numerical simulation are included in Sec. II of the

Supplementary Material. When the applied magnetic field is very close to zero ($\leq 0.1 \,\mathrm{mT}$), spin transitions for NVs along all four axes are spectrally overlapped, resulting in maximum NV-NV cross-relaxation and AO-PL contrast. The experimental AO-PL contrast maximizes at $B_{\parallel} \approx -0.02 \,\mathrm{mT}$, likely due to a background magnetic field (e.g., from the Earth and other lab instrumentation). Additionally, significant AO-PL contrast is observed in both experiment and simulation at specific polar angles θ from B_{\parallel} , consistent with the effect of cross-relaxation among different NV orientations resulting from near-degenerate spin transitions [18–20]. For example, at $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ ($B_{\parallel} \neq 0$ and $B_{\perp} = 0$), the magnetic field is aligned with \hat{n}_{λ} and the three non-aligned NV orientations (along \hat{n}_{χ} , \hat{n}_{ϕ} and \hat{n}_{κ}) experience equal magnetic field projections. In the experiment, this configuration produces a broad, stripe-like feature with large AO-PL contrast (vertical around $B_{\perp} = 0$ in Fig. 2(b)) due to the enhanced cross-relaxation between the three overlapping NV spin resonances. The simulation using the simple two-NV model does not fully capture this broad stripe feature, as seen in Fig. 2(c, d), likely due to the relevance of multi-NV effects as well as inhomogeneities in the experiment. At $\theta = 39.3^{\circ}$, two sets of NV orientations (along \hat{n}_{λ} and \hat{n}_{χ} ; \hat{n}_{ϕ} and \hat{n}_{κ}) experience the same applied field magnitude, with resulting degeneracies in NV spin transitions. Here, cross-relaxation from the two sets of degenerate NV orientations creates a line feature with moderate AO-PL contrast, in both experiment and simulation, compared to the results at $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ with three degenerate NV orientations. Additional line features of moderate AO-PL contrast are observed in both experiment and simulation for other specific polar angles that induce degeneracies between pairs of NV orientations, as seen in Fig. 2(b, c, d). At $\theta = 22.2^{\circ}$, where the total applied field is transverse to NVs oriented along \hat{n}_{κ} , there is a line feature with very weak AO-PL contrast.



FIG. 3. (a) Experimentally measured AO-PL contrast as a function of B_{\parallel} and B_{\perp} using ¹⁴N-enriched sample S1-14N (top) and ¹⁵N-enriched sample S2-15N (bottom) with the same NV concentration (≈ 3.8 ppm). Horizontal dashed lines (orange for S1-14N and purple for S2-15N) indicate line-cut of data shown in Fig. 3(b) for $B_{\parallel} = 1.24$ mT. (b) Experimentally measured AO-PL contrast for samples S1-14N and S2-15N (solid lines) and numerical simulations (dashed lines) at fixed $B_{\parallel} = 1.24$ mT. Vertical offsets are applied to the simulation results for visual clarity. In each measurement as B_{\perp} approaches 0 mT, there are overlapping, unresolved AO-PL contrast peaks from the increased number of near-degenerate spin transitions for all NV orientations. At $B_{\perp} \approx 0.5$ mT, the limited SNR in experimental measurements hinders the identification of cross-relaxation features predicted by numerical simulations. At $B_{\perp} \approx 1.05$ mT, there are five (three) AO-PL contrast peaks with separation $\Delta B_{14N} \approx 0.09$ mT ($\Delta B_{15N} \approx 0.13$ mT) and amplitude ratio of 1:2:3:2:1 (1:2:1) in the top (bottom) data and simulations, respectively, consistent with the effect of NV hyperfine interactions in the two samples.

A comprehensive analysis of all AO-PL contrast features given by the simulation is presented in Sec. III of the Supplementary Material. Additional measurements on an ¹⁴N diamond sample with 0.3 ppm NV concentration (sample S5-14N) are presented in Sec. IV of the Supplementary Material, showing cross-relaxation features at the same angles in the $(B_{\parallel}, B_{\perp})$ plane as for sample S1-14N, but with much smaller AO-PL contrast due to the weaker dipolar coupling between lower concentration NVs in sample S5-14N.

B. Hyperfine Interaction and NV-NV Cross-relaxation

The experimental and simulation results in Fig. 2 also display multiple parallel structures within each θ dependent AO-PL contrast feature. To investigate the role of the NV hyperfine interaction in this AO-PL substructure, we conduct comparative measurements on ¹⁴N and ¹⁵N samples with the same NV concentration (samples S1-14N and S2-15N). Fig. 3(a) shows AO-PL experimental results for positive-only values of B_{\parallel} and B_{\perp} , taken with a smaller magnetic field step size ($\approx 0.01 \text{ mT}$) than the results in Fig. 2(b). (Similar results are found for the other $(B_{\parallel}, B_{\perp})$ quadrants.) In addition, we measure AO-PL contrast at fixed $B_{\parallel} = 1.24 \,\mathrm{mT}$ by scanning B_{\perp} with an even finer step size ($\approx 0.002 \,\mathrm{mT}$) to resolve the individual cross-relaxation line shapes more clearly (solid lines in Fig. 3(b)). As B_{\perp} approaches 0 mT, there are overlapping, unresolved AO-PL contrast peaks due to the increasing number of spin transition degeneracies between different NV orientations. At $B_{\perp} \approx 1.05 \,\mathrm{mT}$, equivalent to $\theta \approx 40^{\circ}$ in Fig. 3(a), two sets of NV orientations (along \hat{n}_{λ} and \hat{n}_{χ} ; \hat{n}_{ϕ} and \hat{n}_{κ}) are near-degenerate. In this parameter regime, the NV hyperfine interaction provides additional depolarization channels and splits the NV-NV cross-relaxation resonances, with associated AO-PL contrast features. In particular, the number of AO-PL contrast peaks varies between the two samples. The ¹⁴N spectrum in Fig. 3(b, top) shows five peaks with a separation $\Delta B_{14N} \approx 0.09 \,\mathrm{mT}$ between neighboring peaks. The ratio of individual peak amplitudes follows 1:2:3:2:1, as expected for the ¹⁴N nuclear spin quantum number I = 1, with multiplicity $1 \otimes 1$. Similarly, the ¹⁵N spectrum in Fig. 3(b, bottom) displays three peaks with $\Delta B_{15N} \approx 0.13 \,\mathrm{mT}$, and a ratio of individual peak amplitude given by 1:2:1, consistent with I = 1/2 for ¹⁵N



FIG. 4. (a) Normalized AO-PL lock-in amplifier (LIA) measurements as a function of B_{\perp} from ¹⁵N-enriched sample S2-15N at fixed $B_{\parallel} = 1.24 \,\mathrm{mT}$. Focus is on LIA features around 0.5 mT (purple) and 1 mT (orange). (b) AO-PL LIA signals with a smaller B_{\perp} step size ($\approx 0.002 \,\mathrm{mT}$) from shaded areas in (a). Two weak features are observed in the shoulder of the dispersive signal with central zero crossing at about 0.53 mT (left). Near 1 mT, three dispersive features are measured with splitting $\Delta B_{15N} \approx 0.13 \,\mathrm{mT}$ (right). For both (left) and (right), vertical dashed lines indicate near-degeneracy of hyperfine transitions. (c) Summary of measurements of microwave-based CW-ODMR NV spin transition frequencies as a function of B_{\perp} , including different NV orientations and hyperfine splitting, for the same sample (S2-15N) and at fixed $B_{\parallel} = 1.24 \,\mathrm{mT}$. Between $B_{\perp} \approx 0.4 \,\mathrm{mT}$ and 0.65 mT (left), NVs along orientation \hat{n}_{κ} experience near-zero total applied magnetic field, leading to multiple avoided crossings between the hyperfine-split $|m_s = +1 \leftrightarrow 0\rangle$ and $|m_s = -1 \leftrightarrow 0\rangle$ spin transitions. Between $B_{\perp} \approx 0.8 \,\mathrm{mT}$ and 1.3 mT (right), results are shown for all NV orientations, including only hyperfine-split $|m_s = +1 \leftrightarrow 0\rangle$ transitions for better clarity. (Consistent CW-ODMR results are found for $|m_s = -1 \leftrightarrow 0\rangle$ transitions.) Two groups of NV spin resonances are observed, each of which consists of two different NV orientations (along \hat{n}_{λ} and \hat{n}_{χ} ; \hat{n}_{ϕ} and \hat{n}_{κ}). Within each spin resonance group, a single hyperfine resonance overlaps at both $B_{\perp} \approx 0.93 \,\mathrm{mT}$ and 1.19 mT; whereas two hyperfine resonances overlap at $B_{\perp} \approx 1.05 \,\mathrm{mT}$. B_{\perp} values for near-degenerate spin transition frequencies align well with those of zero crossings in the AO-PL LIA signals in (b), indicated by vertical dashed lines.

and multiplicity $\frac{1}{2} \otimes \frac{1}{2}$. The magnetic field separation between neighboring peaks can be calculated from the nitrogen nuclear hyperfine splitting A_N and the projection angles of the NVs as $\Delta B_N = A_N / [\gamma_e \cos(\alpha)]$, where γ_e is the gyromagnetic ratio of the NV electronic spin and α is the angle between B_{\perp} and NVs along axis \hat{n}_{χ} or \hat{n}_{κ} (see Sec. VI of the Supplementary Material).

These experimental results are in good agreement with calculations using the same model from Sec. III A (dashed lines in Fig. 3(b)). However, the limited experimental signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) hinders identification and analysis of AO-PL features with modest contrast (e.g., near $B_{\perp} \approx 0.5 \text{ mT}$). To address this issue, we perform lock-in measurements of AO-PL from the ¹⁵N sample (S2-15N), with its simpler hyperfine structure, by amplitude modulating B_{\perp} . Fig. 4(a) shows the normalized in-phase output (X) of the lock-in amplifier (LIA) as a function of B_{\perp} , for fixed $B_{\parallel} = 1.24 \,\mathrm{mT}$. This LIA measurement is effectively a higher SNR derivative of the AO-PL signal of Fig. 3(b, bottom). We highlight two regions of the LIA results that resolve distinct dispersive AO-PL features from NV-NV cross-relaxation: small "shoulders" for B_{\perp} near 0.46 mT and 0.6 mT in the wings of a prominent feature at $B_{\perp} \approx 0.53 \,\mathrm{mT}$ [Fig. 4(b, left)]; and a triplet feature split by $\Delta B_{15N} \approx 0.13 \,\mathrm{mT}$ around $B_{\perp} \approx 1.05 \,\mathrm{mT}$ [Fig. 4(b, right)], matching well the results in Fig. 3(b).

To further characterize the effects of NV-NV crossrelaxation and the NV hyperfine interaction on PL features, we perform microwave driven CW-ODMR measurements on sample S2-15N as a function of B_{\perp} and for fixed $B_{\parallel} = 1.24 \,\mathrm{mT}$, with results summarized in Fig. 4(c). These microwave-based measurements extract the spin transition frequencies for all NV orientations and determine the values of B_{\perp} where these spin transitions become nearly degenerate. In addition, CW-ODMR measurements clearly resolve small (few MHz) splittings from NV hyperfine interactions. In the range of $B_{\perp} \approx 0.4 \,\mathrm{mT}$ to $0.65 \,\mathrm{mT}$, NVs of the same orientation (along \hat{n}_{κ}) exhibit several nearly degenerate (avoided crossing) spin transitions in the CW-ODMR spectrum [Fig. 4(c, left)], which correspond well with observed features in AO-PL LIA measurements [Fig. 4(b, left)]. For $B_{\perp} \approx 1.05 \,\mathrm{mT}$, CW-ODMR measurements show near-degeneracies in both hyperfine resonances from two sets of NV orientations (along \hat{n}_{λ} and \hat{n}_{χ} , or \hat{n}_{ϕ} and \hat{n}_{κ}); whereas for the two nearby should r features (at $B_{\perp} \approx 0.92 \,\mathrm{mT}$ and $1.18 \,\mathrm{mT}$), only one hyperfine resonance has a near-degeneracy for each set of NV orientations [Fig. 4(c, right)]. These CW-ODMR spectroscopic measurements are consistent with the B_{\perp} values and amplitude ratios for the observed AO-PL LIA features, see dashed vertical lines in Fig. 4; and also with the ratio of AO-PL contrast peak amplitudes for sample S2-15N shown in Fig. 3(b, lower right). Comparing to the AO-PL LIA measurements for $B_{\perp} \approx 0.4 \,\mathrm{mT}$ and $0.65 \,\mathrm{mT}$, the increased number of NVs with near-degenerate spin transitions at $B_{\perp} \approx 0.92 \,\mathrm{mT}$, $1.05 \,\mathrm{mT}$, and $1.18 \,\mathrm{mT}$ contributes to the larger AO-PL LIA output amplitudes at the larger B_{\perp} values [Fig. 4(a)].

C. Dependence of AO-PL Contrast on Laser Power

We next characterize AO-PL contrast as a function of laser power for two ¹⁴N samples at fixed $B_{\parallel} = 1.24 \,\mathrm{mT}$ and $B_{\perp} = 1.05 \,\mathrm{mT}$, i.e., near the maximum contrast from the quintet of hyperfine peaks, as in Fig. 3(b,top). The reference AO-PL contrast is measured at $B_{\perp} = 0.73 \,\mathrm{mT}$ where all NV orientation classes are spectrally separated. The two samples are from the same growth process, with identical nitrogen concentration $([N] \approx 16 \text{ ppm})$ but different electron irradiation doses, which allows varying NV concentration while keeping other material properties and experimental conditions (laser spot size, etc.) constant: sample S3-14N ([NV] \approx 3.8 ppm) and sample S4-14N ([NV] ≈ 2 ppm), see Table I. Fig. 5 shows the measured change of AO-PL contrast for each sample, with laser power varied from $0.1\,\mathrm{mW}$ to 50 mW, in reasonable agreement with numerical results from a rate-equation model. In this model, we include relaxation between $|m_s = \pm 1\rangle$ and $|m_s = 0\rangle$ spin sublevels in both the electronic ground and excited states. Details of the rate-equation model, supporting measurements, and results for hyperfine quintet peak widths as a function of laser power are given in Supplementary Material, Sec. V.

For both samples, the observed AO-PL contrast initially increases with laser power, reaches a maximum



FIG. 5. AO-PL contrast at $B_{\parallel} = 1.24 \,\mathrm{mT}$ and $B_{\perp} = 1.05 \,\mathrm{mT}$ as a function of laser power for samples S3-14N ([NV] $\approx 3.8 \,\mathrm{ppm}$) and S4-14N ([NV] $\approx 2 \,\mathrm{ppm}$). Markers indicate values determined from experimental measurements, with the standard deviation indicated by error bars; solid lines are from a rate-equation model with NV-concentration and spin-resonance-dependent relaxation rates between spin sublevels. For both samples, the contrast exhibits a maximum determined by the trade-off between optical pumping and spin relaxation. Sample S3-14N has higher overall AO-PL contrast, for all laser powers, because of its larger [NV] and hence stronger NV-NV dipolar interactions and cross-relaxation features.

value, and then decreases at higher power. We attribute the initial increase of AO-PL contrast to improved spin polarization from optical pumping, consistent with the low-field AO-PL measurements from Ref [26]. At higher laser power, optical pumping polarizes NV centers to the $|m_s=0\rangle$ state at a rate faster than relaxation between the bright $|m_s = 0\rangle$ and dark $|m_s = \pm 1\rangle$ states, decreasing AO-PL contrast. Including the decay rates between spin sublevels to be both NV-concentration and spinresonance-dependent [23, 24], our rate-equation model reproduces the experimentally observed shift of maximum AO-PL contrast to higher laser power for sample S3-14N, with its larger [NV] and hence stronger NV-NV dipolar interactions; see solid lines in Fig. 5. At even higher laser powers, NV ionization may further reduce AO-PL contrast [27, 28].

IV. CONCLUSION

In summary, we experimentally investigate all-optical (AO), microwave-free photoluminescence (PL) in NV ensembles in diamond as a function of the magnitude and direction of low magnetic fields (<2 mT). We observe increases in AO-PL contrast arising from near-degeneracies in NV spin transitions, with contributions from different NV orientations and hyperfine splitting in both ¹⁴N (I = 1) and ¹⁵N (I = 1/2) diamonds. Mea-

surements are in reasonable agreement with results from numerical simulations using a two-NV model of dipolar interactions. Further substantiation of this physical picture is provided by consistency between the magnetic field values of measured AO-PL contrast peaks and those for near-degenerate NV spin and hyperfine transitions observed in CW-ODMR spectra. Experimentally, we also find a maximum of AO-PL contrast as a function of applied laser power, using two ¹⁴N diamond samples of different NV concentration. These measurements are consistent with numerical results based on a model with NV-concentration and spin-resonancedependent relaxation rates between both ground and excited state $|m_s = \pm 1\rangle$ and $|m_s = 0\rangle$ spin sublevels.

Our results indicate that an NV spin optical pumping rate comparable to the NV-NV dipolar interaction rate yields optimal low-field AO-PL contrast. By using a diamond sample with higher NV concentration, which increases dipolar interactions and associated spin relaxation, one may be able to use higher laser excitation power to achieve improvements in both AO-PL contrast and total photon emission, which can be expected to provide improved AO NV magnetic field sensitivity. Although AO-PL contrast is largest at near-zero-field, as NVs from all four orientations in the diamond host can resonantly interact, we find the magnetic field slope of near-zero-field AO-PL features to be $\approx 3 \times$ smaller than at finite fields $\approx 1 \,\mathrm{mT}$ (see Sec. VII of the Supplementary Material). The relatively large magnetic field linewidth of AO-PL contrast features at near-zero-field may arise from NV spin-state mixing induced by local electric fields [19] and/or inhomogeneities in the system. Therefore, the optimal conditions for near-zero-field AO NV magnetic sensing may rely on both diamond engineering and technical optimization.

The AO, microwave-free approach to ensemble NV magnetometry offers opportunities to reduce device

complexity and avoid introducing disturbances to sensing targets [8, 9, 13]. In particular, given the robustness of the diamond host and NV properties [21], low-field AO measurements may be performed in harsh environments (extreme temperature, pressure, radiation, etc.), where efficient microwave delivery and application of a substantial bias magnetic field are challenging or may adversely affect the system under study [29]. With optimal AO-PL contrast occurring at modest optical pumping power (mW-scale), AO operation may enable sensitive NV magnetometry in a device of relatively low size, weight, and power (low-SWaP), enabling diverse applications beyond a controlled laboratory environment. Finally, our studies may prove advantageous when extending AO measurements to other defect ensemble systems with spin-dependent optical properties, such as in silicon carbide (SiC) [30, 31] and hexagonal boron nitride (h-BN) [32, 33].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Jner Tzern Oon for helpful discussions on implementing NV-NV dipolar interactions in numerical simulations; and Jiashen Tang for assistance in performing polarization lifetime measurements. We acknowledge the U.S. Army Research Laboratory for providing NV diamond samples. J.T.-I. acknowledges support from the Universidad Nacional de Colombia, project No. 57522 "CENTRO DE EXCELENCIA EN TEC-NOLOGÍAS CUÁNTICAS Y SUS APLICACIONES A METROLOGÍA" Código: 57522. M.C acknowledges partial funding from NSF-DMR-2226956. This work is supported by, or in part by, the Department of Energy under Grant. No. DESC0021654; U.S. Army Research Laboratory under Contract No. W911NF2420143; and the University of Maryland Quantum Technology Center.

- J. M. Taylor, P. Cappellaro, L. Childress, L. Jiang, D. Budker, P. R. Hemmer, A. Yacoby, R. Walsworth, and M. D. Lukin, 4, 810, publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- [2] J. F. Barry, M. J. Turner, J. M. Schloss, D. R. Glenn, Y. Song, M. D. Lukin, H. Park, and R. L. Walsworth, 113, 14133 (), publisher: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.
- [3] D. R. Glenn, D. B. Bucher, J. Lee, M. D. Lukin, H. Park, and R. L. Walsworth, 555, 351, publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- [4] M. J. H. Ku, T. X. Zhou, Q. Li, Y. J. Shin, J. K. Shi, C. Burch, L. E. Anderson, A. T. Pierce, Y. Xie, A. Hamo, U. Vool, H. Zhang, F. Casola, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, M. M. Fogler, P. Kim, A. Yacoby, and R. L. Walsworth, 583, 537, publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- [5] M. J. Turner, N. Langellier, R. Bainbridge, D. Walters, S. Meesala, T. M. Babinec, P. Kehayias, A. Yacoby, E. Hu, M. Lončar, R. L. Walsworth, and E. V. Levine,

14, 014097, publisher: American Physical Society.

- [6] J. Tang, Z. Yin, C. A. Hart, J. W. Blanchard, J. T. Oon, S. Bhalerao, J. M. Schloss, M. J. Turner, and R. L. Walsworth, 5, 044403.
- [7] Z. Yin, J. Tang, C. A. Hart, J. W. Blanchard, X. Xiang, S. Satyajit, S. Bhalerao, T. Tao, S. J. DeVience, and R. L. Walsworth, 22, 054050.
- [8] A. V. Vorst, A. Rosen, and Y. Kotsuka, *RF / Microwave Interaction with Biological Tissues* (John Wiley & Sons) google-Books-ID: IV3FPLJoyzMC.
- [9] Y. Schlussel, T. Lenz, D. Rohner, Y. Bar-Haim, L. Bougas, D. Groswasser, M. Kieschnick, E. Rozenberg, L. Thiel, A. Waxman, J. Meijer, P. Maletinsky, D. Budker, and R. Folman, 10, 034032, publisher: American Physical Society.
- [10] N. D. Lai, D. Zheng, F. Jelezko, F. Treussart, and J.-F. Roch, 95, 133101.
- [11] L. Rondin, J.-P. Tetienne, P. Spinicelli, C. Dal Savio, K. Karrai, G. Dantelle, A. Thiaville, S. Rohart, J.-F. Roch, and V. Jacques, 100, 153118.

- [12] J.-P. Tetienne, L. Rondin, P. Spinicelli, M. Chipaux, T. Debuisschert, J.-F. Roch, and V. Jacques, 14, 103033.
- [13] X. Zhang, G. Chatzidrosos, Y. Hu, H. Zheng, A. Wickenbrock, A. Jerschow, and D. Budker, 11, 3069, number: 7 Publisher: Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute.
- [14] A. Jarmola, V. M. Acosta, K. Jensen, S. Chemerisov, and D. Budker, 108, 197601.
- [15] A. Wickenbrock, H. Zheng, L. Bougas, N. Leefer, S. Afach, A. Jarmola, V. M. Acosta, and D. Budker, 109, 053505.
- [16] D. A. Simpson, J.-P. Tetienne, J. M. McCoey, K. Ganesan, L. T. Hall, S. Petrou, R. E. Scholten, and L. C. L. Hollenberg, 6, 22797.
- [17] S. V. Anishchik, V. G. Vins, A. P. Yelisseyev, N. N. Lukzen, N. L. Lavrik, and V. A. Bagryansky, 17, 023040, publisher: IOP Publishing.
- [18] D. S. Filimonenko, V. M. Yasinskii, A. P. Nizovtsev, S. Y. Kilin, and F. Jelezko, 54, 1730.
- [19] C. Pellet-Mary, M. Perdriat, P. Huillery, and G. Hétet, 20, 034050.
- [20] O. Dhungel, T. Lenz, M. Omar, J. S. Rebeirro, M.-T. Luu, A. T. Younesi, R. Ulbricht, V. Ivády, A. Gali, A. Wickenbrock, and D. Budker, 109, 224107 ().
- [21] J. F. Barry, J. M. Schloss, E. Bauch, M. J. Turner, C. A. Hart, L. M. Pham, and R. L. Walsworth, 92, 015004 (), publisher: American Physical Society.
- [22] M. W. Doherty, N. B. Manson, P. Delaney, F. Jelezko, J. Wrachtrup, and L. C. L. Hollenberg, The nitrogenvacancy colour centre in diamond, 528, 1.
- [23] J. Choi, S. Choi, G. Kucsko, P. C. Maurer, B. J. Shields, H. Sumiya, S. Onoda, J. Isoya, E. Demler, F. Jelezko,

N. Y. Yao, and M. D. Lukin, **118**, 093601, publisher: American Physical Society.

- [24] G. Kucsko, S. Choi, J. Choi, P. Maurer, H. Zhou, R. Landig, H. Sumiya, S. Onoda, J. Isoya, F. Jelezko, E. Demler, N. Yao, and M. Lukin, **121**, 023601.
- [25] S. V. Anishchik and K. L. Ivanov, 305, 67.
- [26] O. Dhungel, M. Mrózek, T. Lenz, V. Ivády, A. Gali, A. Wickenbrock, D. Budker, W. Gawlik, and A. M. Wojciechowski, **32**, 21936 (), publisher: Optica Publishing Group.
- [27] R. Giri, C. Dorigoni, S. Tambalo, F. Gorrini, and A. Bifone, 99, 155426.
- [28] I. Cardoso Barbosa, J. Gutsche, and A. Widera, 108, 075411.
- [29] K.-M. C. Fu, G. Z. Iwata, A. Wickenbrock, and D. Budker, 2, 044702.
- [30] M. Widmann, S.-Y. Lee, T. Rendler, N. T. Son, H. Fedder, S. Paik, L.-P. Yang, N. Zhao, S. Yang, I. Booker, A. Denisenko, M. Jamali, S. A. Momenzadeh, I. Gerhardt, T. Ohshima, A. Gali, E. Janzén, and J. Wrachtrup, 14, 164, publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- [31] D. Simin, V. Soltamov, A. Poshakinskiy, A. Anisimov, R. Babunts, D. Tolmachev, E. Mokhov, M. Trupke, S. Tarasenko, A. Sperlich, P. Baranov, V. Dyakonov, and G. Astakhov, 6, 031014.
- [32] A. Gottscholl, M. Kianinia, V. Soltamov, S. Orlinskii, G. Mamin, C. Bradac, C. Kasper, K. Krambrock, A. Sperlich, M. Toth, I. Aharonovich, and V. Dyakonov, 19, 540 (), publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- [33] A. Gottscholl, M. Diez, V. Soltamov, C. Kasper, A. Sperlich, M. Kianinia, C. Bradac, I. Aharonovich, and V. Dyakonov, 7, eabf3630 (), publisher: American Association for the Advancement of Science.