

# UNITARY ORTHONORMAL BASES OF FINITE DIMENSIONAL INCLUSIONS

KESHAB CHANDRA BAKSHI AND B V RAJARAMA BHAT

ABSTRACT. We study unitary orthonormal bases in the sense of Pimsner and Popa for inclusions  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$ , where  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  are finite dimensional von Neumann algebras and  $E$  is a conditional expectation map from  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathcal{B}$ . It is shown that existence of such bases requires that the associated inclusion matrix satisfies a spectral condition forcing dimension vectors to be Perron-Frobenius eigenvectors and the conditional expectation map preserves the Markov trace. Subject to these conditions, explicit unitary orthonormal bases are constructed if either one of the algebras is abelian or simple. They generalize complex Hadamard matrices, Weyl unitary bases, and a recent work of Crann et al which correspond to the special cases of  $\mathcal{A}$  being abelian, simple, and general multi-matrix algebras respectively with  $\mathcal{B}$  being the algebra of complex numbers. For the first time  $\mathcal{B}$  is more general. As an application of these results it is shown that if  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$ , admits a unitary orthonormal basis then the Connes-Størmer relative entropy  $H(\mathcal{A}_1|\mathcal{A})$  equals the logarithm of the square of the norm of the inclusion matrix, where  $\mathcal{A}_1$  denotes the Jones basic construction of the inclusion. As a further application, we prove the existence of unitary orthonormal bases for a large class of depth 2 subfactors with abelian relative commutant.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

If we consider  $\mathbb{C}^n$  as an algebra as well as a Hilbert space with respect to standard inner product (normalized so that the identity has norm 1), and look at unitary orthonormal bases we end up with complex Hadamard matrices. Unlike the real case, they exist in all dimensions. Complex Hadamard matrices such as finite Fourier matrices have made their appearance in several different contexts and there is extensive literature on the same. In a similar vein, the full matrix algebra  $M_n$ , considered as a Hilbert space by imposing the Hilbert-Schmidt inner product coming from the normalized tracial state, also admits a variety of unitary orthonormal bases. For instance it is well-known that Weyl unitaries arising out of a projective unitary representation of the group  $\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ , yields one such basis of this space. These bases have found a wide variety of applications. Of particular interest are the applications in quantum information theory ([27]). Some of them are known as nice error bases (See [16], [15], [4]). They are useful in quantum teleportation and dense coding schemes as described by Werner in [28].

Recently Crann, Kribs, and Pereira [9] constructed unitary orthonormal bases for arbitrary finite dimensional von Neumann algebras on fixing suitable states on them. Their work showed us the way to go further. In this article we generalize several of these constructions of unitary orthonormal bases to the much wider setting of Pimsner-Popa bases and demonstrate some applications. Except for this introduction and the last section, this article deals with only finite dimensional algebras. Whether we call them as von Neumann

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L08, 46L7, 15A63, 15B34, 81P45.

*Key words and phrases.* matrix algebras, unitary orthonormal bases, Weyl unitaries, sub-factors, relative entropy.

algebras or  $C^*$ -algebras won't make a difference. In the final section we discuss applications of the theory developed to subfactors. The applications to quantum information theory and other fields remains to be explored. The beautiful combinatorial structures and symmetries seen in these unitary bases make it apparent that these constructions are likely to have further applications. Already Conlon et al [7] have proposed some uses of unitary orthonormal bases on general multi-matrix algebras in quantum teleportation theory.

The theory of orthogonal bases developed by Pimsner and Popa [19] considers bases for von Neumann subalgebra-algebra pairs with a chosen conditional expectation map. They are really orthogonal bases for Hilbert von Neumann modules rather than Hilbert spaces. Given a subfactor with finite Jones index (see [12]), Pimsner and Popa proved that there always exists an orthonormal basis corresponding to the unique trace-preserving conditional expectation (see [19]). Subsequently, the concept of Pimsner-Popa bases became an indispensable tool in subfactor theory. It has been crucially used in the description of planar algebras of Jones which are regarded as extremely important invariants for subfactors. Motivated by Pimsner-Popa bases, Watatani developed an algebraic theory of index for a unital inclusion of  $C^*$ -algebras in [26]. One can show that there always exists a Pimsner-Popa basis for an inclusion of multi-matrix algebras with respect to any faithful trace. Inclusions of finite dimensional von Neumann algebras play a central role in the theory of subfactors. Indeed, a certain grid of finite dimensional von Neumann-algebras defines the so-called '*standard invariant*' of a subfactor which is a complete invariant for a '*good class*' of subfactor with finite Jones index. Furthermore, from the early days of subfactor theory certain quadruples of finite dimensional von Neumann algebras, called *commuting squares* have been used to construct a large class of hyperfinite subfactors. Thus, the study of inclusion of finite dimensional von Neumann algebras is of paramount importance in subfactor theory. Pimsner-Popa orthonormal bases consisting of unitaries (for subfactors, Cartan MASAs, finite dimensional inclusions etc.) arise naturally in von Neumann algebra theory (see [21, 24], for instance) and it has many applications. One of the motivation of this paper is the following open problem asked by Popa (see [24]):

**Question 1.1.** (Popa) *Does there exist a unitary orthonormal basis for an integer index irreducible subfactor?*

Recently the first author and Gupta have showed that any finite index regular subfactor  $N \subset M$  with  $N' \cap M$  is either commutative or simple admits a unitary orthonormal basis (see [2]). The crucial fact we used in the proof is the existence of the unitary orthonormal basis for an inclusion  $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is either simple or abelian. In [2], it was conjectured that any regular subfactor will have unitary orthonormal basis. This has been answered positively in [9] by proving that any inclusion of finite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  has unitary orthonormal basis with respect to the unique Markov trace. It is natural to attempt to generalize this result for more general multi-matrix algebras. In this article we have two new constructions of unitary orthonormal bases, one extending that of [9] in Section 5 and another extending Weyl unitaries in Section 6.

Consider a triple  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$  where  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  are finite dimensional von Neumann algebras,  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  is a unital inclusion and  $E : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a conditional expectation map. We call such triples as subalgebra systems. In *Section 2* we recall some basic facts and the definition of orthonormal bases for subalgebra systems. In *Section 3*, we derive necessary conditions for the existence of unitary orthonormal basis. The following theorem summarizes our results on this.

**Theorem A:** *Let  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$  be an inclusion of finite dimensional von Neumann algebras, with  $A\tilde{m} = \tilde{n}$ , where  $A$  is the inclusion matrix,  $\tilde{m}, \tilde{n}$  are dimension vectors as in (Equation (2.5)). Suppose  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, E)$  admits a unitary orthonormal basis with  $d$ -unitaries. Then*

$$A^t\tilde{n} = d\tilde{m}. \quad (1.1)$$

Consequently,

$$A^t A\tilde{m} = d\tilde{m}; \quad AA^t\tilde{n} = d\tilde{n}.$$

*In particular,  $\tilde{m}, \tilde{n}$  are Perron-Frobenius eigenvectors of  $A^t A$  and  $AA^t$  respectively with eigenvalue  $d$ . Moreover  $E$  is the unique conditional expectation preserving the Markov trace with trace vector equal to the dimension vector  $\tilde{n}$ .*

We call the conditions imposed on the inclusion matrix  $A$  by this theorem as the *spectral condition* and the condition on  $E$  as the *trace condition*. We suspect that these conditions could also be sufficient for the existence of unitary orthonormal basis. Currently we are unable to prove this. However, we are able to build unitary orthonormal bases for large classes of subalgebra systems.

In *Section 4*, we develop some basic notations and write down various formulae for conditional expectation maps on multi-matrix algebras. If a conditional expectation preserves the standard trace then it is a mixed unitary channel, and in such cases we write them explicitly as convex combinations of a certain number of unitary channels. These concrete descriptions of conditional expectation maps help us to verify the orthogonality of unitaries of various bases we construct in following sections.

In *Section 5* we exhibit a unitary orthonormal basis for an inclusion of multi-matrix algebras  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  with either  $\mathcal{B}$  or  $\mathcal{A}$  abelian. This construction makes heavy use of quasi-circulant matrices. It is inspired by the recent work of Crann, Kribs, and Pereira ([9]), who handled the case of  $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{C}$ . The final answer can be seen in Theorem 6.1. As a consequence we have the following theorem.

**Theorem B:** *Suppose the subalgebra system  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$  satisfies the spectral condition and  $\mathcal{B}$  is abelian. Then it has a unitary orthonormal basis.*

It is a well-known fact that the subalgebra system  $(\mathbb{C} \subseteq M_n, \frac{1}{n}\text{tr})$  admits a unitary orthonormal basis. A standard basis called Weyl unitaries consists of a family of the form  $\{V^j U^k : 0 \leq j, k \leq (n-1)\}$  where  $V$  is a cyclic shift and  $U$  is a diagonal unitary with roots of unity on the diagonal. Generalizing this construction, in *Section 6*, we exhibit a unitary basis where we replace  $\mathbb{C}$  by a general finite dimensional abelian algebra and  $M_n$  is replaced by a direct sum of copies of  $M_n$  with inclusion satisfying the spectral conditions necessitated by Theorem A.

In *Section 7*, we describe various methods of getting unitary orthonormal bases for new subalgebra systems constructed out of subalgebra systems already having this property. The methods we have are concatenation, taking tensor products or direct sums and the basic construction of Jones. These devices are good enough to prove the following.

**Theorem C:** *Let  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$  be a subalgebra systems of finite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras.*

- (i) *If  $\mathcal{B} = M_m$  with  $E$  preserving the Markov trace then  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$  has unitary orthonormal basis.*
- (ii) *If  $\mathcal{A} = M_n$  with  $E$  preserving the unique trace on  $M_n$  then  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$  has unitary orthonormal basis.*

In the concluding *Section 8*, we provide two applications. As a first application, we show that if  $N \subset M$  is a depth 2 subfactor with  $N' \cap M$  abelian and  $N' \cap M \subset N' \cap M_1$  is

superextremal (see [10]), then the trace preserving conditional expectation from  $M$  onto  $N$  has unitary orthonormal basis. To achieve this we crucially use the spectral condition as in Theorem A.

For the second and final application, we consider an inclusion of finite dimensional von Neumann algebras  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  with an inclusion matrix  $A$  and suppose  $\mathcal{A}_1$  is the Jones basic construction (see [12]) corresponding to the Markov trace  $\tau$ . If the unique  $\tau$ -preserving conditional expectation has a unitary orthonormal basis, then we prove that  $H(\mathcal{A}_1|\mathcal{A}) = \ln \|A\|^2$ , where  $H$  denotes the Connes-Størmer relative entropy (see [8]). To prove this we have used the spectral condition and Theorem B.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Our basic set up will be a triple  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$  where  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  are finite dimensional von Neumann algebras with  $\mathcal{B}$  being a unital subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $E : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a conditional expectation map, that is,  $E$  is a unital completely positive map satisfying  $E(Y) = Y, \forall Y \in \mathcal{B}$ . We will call such a triple as a sub-algebra system. It is well-known that any such map  $E$  has the ‘*bimodule property*’:

$$E(YXZ) = YE(X)Z, \forall Y, Z \in \mathcal{B}, X \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Given a faithful trace  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  there exists a unique conditional expectation map  $E_\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  preserving  $\varphi$ . For further information on conditional expectation maps we refer to [25].

**Definition 2.1.** Consider a subalgebra system  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$  as above. A family  $\{W_j : 0 \leq j \leq (d-1)\}$  (for some  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ) of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a (*right*) *Pimsner-Popa basis* for  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$  if

$$X = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} W_j E(W_j^* X), \forall X \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (2.1)$$

It is said to be an *unitary orthonormal basis* if  $W_j$  is a unitary for every  $j$ , and

$$E(W_j^* W_k) = \delta_{jk}, \forall 0 \leq j, k \leq (d-1). \quad (2.2)$$

The word ‘*right*’ in the nomenclature is suppressed as we will not be dealing with any other kind of bases. It is known that all finite dimensional subalgebra systems admit Pimsner-Popa bases. However, as we shall see, existence of unitary orthonormal bases is not always guaranteed. So it is useful to have the following definition [6].

**Definition 2.2.** A subalgebra system  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$  is said to have *U-property* if it admits a unitary orthonormal basis.

Now we introduce our standard setting and develop some notation. Throughout we will take

$$\mathcal{A} = M_{n_0} \oplus M_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n_{s-1}}; \quad (2.3)$$

$$\mathcal{B} = M_{m_0} \oplus M_{m_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{m_{r-1}}. \quad (2.4)$$

The *dimension vectors* of  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  are given by

$$\tilde{n} = \begin{pmatrix} n_0 \\ n_1 \\ \vdots \\ n_{s-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{m} = \begin{pmatrix} m_0 \\ m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_{r-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.5)$$

respectively. The *inclusion matrix* of  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is given by an  $s \times r$  matrix:

$$A = [a_{ij}]_{0 \leq i \leq (s-1); 0 \leq j \leq (r-1)}.$$

where the algebra  $M_{m_j}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  appears  $a_{ij}$  times in the algebra  $M_{n_i}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Any inclusion of finite dimensional von Neumann algebras  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  is completely determined up to isomorphism by this triple  $(A, \tilde{m}, \tilde{n})$  of one inclusion matrix and two dimension vectors. Note that by dimension counting,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} a_{ij} m_j = n_i, \quad \forall 0 \leq i \leq (s-1) \quad (2.6)$$

or in matrix notation:

$$\tilde{n} = A\tilde{m}. \quad (2.7)$$

Any faithful tracial state  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  is given by

$$\varphi(\oplus_{i=0}^{s-1} X_i) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} p_i n_i} \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} p_i \text{trace}(X_i), \quad \oplus_{i=0}^{s-1} X_i \in \mathcal{A}, \quad (2.8)$$

for some scalars  $p_i > 0$  with  $\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} p_i = 1$ . We call the column vector  $\tilde{p} = (p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{s-1})^t$  as a *trace vector* of  $\varphi$ , which is uniquely determined from  $\varphi$  up to multiplication by a positive scalar. Any such state  $\varphi$  is said to be a *Markov state* if

$$AA^t \tilde{p} = \|A\|^2 \tilde{p}. \quad (2.9)$$

This means that  $\tilde{p}$  is a Perron-Frobenius eigenvector for the non-negative matrix  $AA^t$ .

From Crann et. al. [9] we know that the sub-algebra system  $(\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$  admits a unitary basis, if the conditional expectation  $E$  is given by

$$E(X) = \varphi(X)I, \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{A}, \quad (2.10)$$

with  $\varphi$  being the tracial state with trace vector  $\tilde{n}$ , that is

$$\varphi(\oplus X_i) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} n_i^2} \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} n_i \text{trace}(X_i), \quad X_i \in M_{n_i}, 1 \leq i \leq (s-1). \quad (2.11)$$

Note that in this case, the inclusion matrix is a column matrix,  $A = [n_0, \dots, n_{s-1}]^t$  and  $\varphi$  is a Markov state.

**Remark 2.3.** If for a subalgebra system  $(\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}, E)$  with inclusion matrix  $A$ , if  $d := \|A\|^2$  is an integer and there are  $d$  many unitaries satisfying the orthonormality condition (2.2), then they form a basis, that is, (2.1) is automatic (See [1] [Theorem 2.2]).

### 3. MARKOV STATE PRESERVATION AND THE SPECTRAL CONDITION

In this section we will see various properties of inclusions of finite dimensional algebras having unitary orthonormal basis. In other words we are deriving some necessary conditions for subalgebra systems to have  $U$ -property.

We wish to show that  $E$  preserves the tracial state with trace vector equal to the dimension vector  $\tilde{n}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Later we will see that this is actually the Markov state for the inclusion, when the inclusion has  $U$ -property. First we work out a special case. Here we observe that the construction of unitary orthonormal basis in [9] required a Markov state is not a coincidence. It is inescapable.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Suppose  $(\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$  has  $U$ -property where*

$$\mathcal{A} = M_{n_0} \oplus M_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus M_{n_{s-1}}.$$

*Then  $E$  is given by Equation (2.10) and Equation (2.11).*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\{W_0, W_1, \dots, W_{d-1}\}$  is a unitary o.n.b. for the triple  $(\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$ . Clearly we have  $d = n_0^2 + n_1^2 + \dots + n_{s-1}^2$ , as that is the dimension of  $\mathcal{A}$ . By general theory,

$$E(X) = \text{trace}(\rho X).1, \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{A}$$

for some density matrix,

$$\rho = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{s-1} \rho_i$$

where  $\rho_i$  is a positive matrix in  $M_{n_i}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq (s-1)$ . Let

$$\rho_i = \sum_{a=0}^{n_i-1} p_{ia} |v_{ia}\rangle \langle v_{ia}|,$$

be a spectral decomposition of  $\rho_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq (s-1)$ , so that  $\{v_{ia} : 0 \leq i \leq (s-1); 0 \leq a \leq (n_i-1)\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{C}^{n_0} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n_{s-1}}$ , and  $\sum_{i,a} p_{ia} = 1$ . Let

$$W_j = W_{j0} \oplus W_{j1} \oplus \dots \oplus W_{j(s-1)}$$

be the decomposition of  $W_j$  in  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}_{n_0} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{M}_{n_{s-1}}$  for  $0 \leq j \leq (d-1)$ . From the orthonormality of the basis we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{jk} &= \text{trace}(\rho W_j^* W_k) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \text{trace}(\rho_i W_{ji}^* W_{ki}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \sum_{a=0}^{n_i-1} p_{ia} \text{trace}(|v_{ia}\rangle \langle v_{ia}| W_{ji}^* W_{ki}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \sum_{a=0}^{n_i-1} p_{ia} \langle W_{ji} v_{ia}, W_{ki} v_{ia} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\delta_{jk} = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \sum_{a=0}^{n_i-1} \langle \sqrt{p_{ia}} W_{ji} v_{ia}, \sqrt{p_{ia}} W_{ki} v_{ia} \rangle. \quad (3.1)$$

For fixed  $j$ ,  $\sqrt{p_{ia}} W_{ji} v_{ia}$  is a vector in  $\mathbb{C}^{n_i}$ . Therefore, taking

$$w_j := (\sqrt{p_{ia}} W_{ji} v_{ia})_{0 \leq i \leq (s-1); 0 \leq a \leq (n_i-1)}$$

we have a vector in  $\mathbb{C}^{n_0^2 + n_1^2 + \dots + n_{s-1}^2} = \mathbb{C}^d$  and by Equation (3.1) these vectors are orthonormal as we vary  $j$ . Taking them as column vectors, we get a  $d \times d$  unitary matrix

$$W := [w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{d-1}].$$

Therefore, the  $l^2$ -norm of every row of  $W$  is one. On the other hand, the sum of  $l^2$ -norms of the first  $n_0$ -rows of  $W$  is given by

$$\sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \|\sqrt{p_{00}} W_{j0} v_{00}\|^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} p_{00}.1 = p_{00}.d.$$

This gives  $n_0 = dp_{00}$  or  $p_{00} = \frac{n_0}{d}$ . This we got by taking  $(i, a) = (0, 0)$  and adding over  $j$ . Similarly, for any fixed  $(i, a)$ ,

$$n_i = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \|\sqrt{p_{ia}} W_{ji} v_{ia}\|^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} p_{ia}.1 = d.p_{ia}.$$

This shows that

$$p_{ia} = \frac{n_i}{d}.$$

In other words,

$$\rho_i = \sum_{a=0}^{n_i-1} p_{ia} |v_{ia}\rangle \langle v_{ia}| = \sum_{a=0}^{n_i-1} \frac{n_i}{d} |v_{ia}\rangle \langle v_{ia}| = \frac{n_i}{d} \cdot I_i$$

where  $I_i$  is the identity in the appropriate space. Hence,

$$\rho = \oplus_i \left( \frac{n_i}{d} \right) \cdot I_i.$$

So  $\varphi(\oplus_i X_i) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} n_i \text{trace}(X_i)$ . □

Now we look at the general case. We need the following tool, which says that unitary orthonormal bases may be obtained by concatenation.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Suppose  $(\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_0, E_0)$  and  $(\mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1, E_1)$  are two subalgebra systems having  $U$ -property. Then  $(\mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_0, E_1 E_0)$  has  $U$ -property. Indeed, if  $\{V_j : j \in J\}$  are  $\{W_k : k \in K\}$  are unitary orthonormal basis for  $(\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_0, E_0)$  and  $(\mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1, E_1)$  respectively, then  $\{V_j W_k : j \in J, k \in K\}$  is an unitary orthonormal basis for  $(\mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_0, E_1 E_0)$ .*

*Proof.* This follows by direct computation using the bi-module property of the conditional expectation  $E_0$ . □

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$  be an inclusion of finite dimensional von Neumann algebras having  $U$ -property. Suppose  $\mathcal{A} = M_{n_0} \oplus M_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n_{s-1}}$ . Then  $E$  is the unique conditional expectation preserving the tracial state  $\varphi$  given by Equation (2.11).*

*Proof.* By [9] the subalgebra system  $(\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B}, E_1)$  has  $U$ -property for some conditional expectation map  $E_1$ . Then by Proposition 3.2  $(\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E_1 E)$  has  $U$ -property. Thanks to Proposition 3.1,  $E_1 E$  preserves the state  $\varphi$ . This means that

$$E_1 E(X) = \varphi(X) I, \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{3.2}$$

Replacing  $X$  by  $E(X)$  in this equation, we get

$$E_1 E(E(X)) = \varphi(E(X)) I, \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Since  $E^2 = E$ , this yields

$$E_1 E(X) = \varphi(E(X)) I, \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{3.3}$$

From Equation (3.2) and Equation (3.3),

$$\varphi(X) = \varphi(E(X)), \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{3.4}$$

□

In the previous result we can not say that the state  $\varphi$  is the unique tracial state preserved by the conditional expectation. For instance, when  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$ , the conditional expectation map is the identity map and it preserves every state. However, this is not the case in most non-trivial situations.

**Corollary 3.4.** *For  $n \geq 1$ , suppose  $\varphi$  is a faithful state on  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , such that the Hilbert space  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  with inner product*

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = \varphi(X^* Y), \quad X, Y \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$$

*admits a unitary orthonormal basis. Then  $\varphi$  must be the normalized standard trace on  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .*

*Proof.* This is just the special case of the previous theorem with  $\mathcal{A} = M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{C}$  and  $E$  given by  $E(X) = \varphi(X)I$ ,  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ . □

Now we show a very important spectral property for the inclusion matrix of a subalgebra system admitting a unitary orthonormal basis. This has several consequences.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$  be a subalgebra system, with*

$$A\tilde{m} = \tilde{n},$$

where  $A$  is the inclusion matrix, and  $\tilde{m}, \tilde{n}$  are dimension vectors as in (Equation (2.5)). Suppose  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$  admits a unitary orthonormal basis with  $d$ -unitaries. Then

$$A^t\tilde{n} = d\tilde{m}, \tag{3.4}$$

Consequently,

$$A^tA\tilde{m} = d\tilde{m}; \quad AA^t\tilde{n} = d\tilde{n}.$$

In particular,  $\tilde{m}, \tilde{n}$  are Perron-Frobenius eigenvectors of  $A^tA$  and  $AA^t$  respectively with eigenvalue  $d$ .

*Proof.* Let  $P_i$  be the projection onto the  $i$ -th summand in the decomposition  $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{s-1} M_{n_i}$ , and similarly let  $Q_j$  be the projection onto the  $j$ -th summand in the decomposition  $\mathcal{B} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{r-1} M_{m_j}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq (s-1); 0 \leq j \leq (r-1)$ . Note that  $P_i, Q_j$  are minimal central projections of  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ , respectively. We will compute the dimension of the vector space,

$$\mathcal{A}Q_j = \{XQ_j : X \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

for fixed  $j$ , with  $0 \leq j \leq (r-1)$  in two different ways.

Recall that  $\mathcal{A}P_i$  gives us the  $i$ -th component of  $\mathcal{A}$ , in which the  $j$ -th component  $M_{m_j}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  appears  $a_{ij}$  times. Therefore  $\mathcal{A}P_iQ_j$  consists of rectangular matrices of size  $n_i \times a_{ij}m_j$  in  $M_{n_i}$ . Hence

$$\dim(\mathcal{A}P_iQ_j) = n_i a_{ij} m_j.$$

As we have a direct sum decomposition,

$$\dim(\mathcal{A}Q_j) = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} n_i a_{ij} m_j. \tag{3.5}$$

Let  $\{W_0, W_1, \dots, W_{d-1}\}$  be an unitary orthonormal basis for the subalgebra system  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$ . Let  $\{Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_{m_j^2-1}\}$  be a basis for  $\mathcal{B}Q_j$ . We claim that  $\{W_k Y_l : 0 \leq k \leq (d-1); 0 \leq l \leq (m_j^2-1)\}$  is a basis for  $\mathcal{A}Q_j$ .

If  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $X = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} W_k E(W_k^* X)$  So,

$$XQ_j = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} W_k E(W_k^* X)Q_j, \tag{3.6}$$

Note that  $E(W_k^* X)$  is in  $\mathcal{B}$ . This shows that  $\{W_k Y_l\}$  spans  $\mathcal{A}Q_j$ . Now if  $\sum c_{kl} W_k Y_l = 0$  for some scalars  $c_{kl}$ . For any fixed  $a$ , by orthonormality of  $W_k$ 's,

$$0 = E(W_a^* (\sum_{k,l} c_{kl} W_k Y_l)) = \sum_{k,l} c_{kl} E(W_a^* W_k) Y_l = \sum_l c_{al} Y_l,$$

which implies  $c_{al} = 0$ . So  $\{W_k Y_l\}$  are linearly independent and the dimension of  $\mathcal{A}Q_j$  is  $d \cdot m_j^2$ . Combining with equation (3.5), we get  $\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} n_i a_{ij} m_j = d m_j^2$  or equivalently  $A^t\tilde{n} = d\tilde{m}$ . □



**Corollary 3.6.** *Suppose a subalgebra system  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$  with inclusion matrix  $A$  has  $U$ -property. Then the square of the norm of  $A$  is an integer and equals to the number of elements in the unitary basis.*

*Proof.* This is clear from the previous theorem as Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of a non-negative matrix is its spectral radius and the spectral radius of  $A^t A$  is equal to  $\|A\|^2$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7.** *Suppose a finite dimensional inclusion  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$  has  $U$ -property. Then  $E$  is the unique conditional expectation preserving the Markov trace.*

*Proof.* Follows from the definition of Markov trace and the previous result.  $\square$

Combining Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.5, we have Theorem A. We also note the following interesting quadratic relationship. This shouldn't be very surprising as we have similar relations for group algebras (See comments in the beginning of Section 7).

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$  be a subalgebra system with inclusion matrix  $A$  and dimension vectors  $\tilde{n}, \tilde{m}$ . Suppose it has  $U$ -property with  $d$ -unitaries in the basis. Then the vector space dimensions of  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  are related by,*

$$\sum_i n_i^2 = d \sum_j m_j^2 \quad (3.7)$$

*Proof.* With notation as before,

$$\langle \tilde{m}, A^t A \tilde{m} \rangle = \langle \tilde{m}, A^t \tilde{n} \rangle = d \langle \tilde{m}, \tilde{m} \rangle = b \sum_j m_j^2.$$

Also,

$$\langle \tilde{m}, A^t A \tilde{m} \rangle = \langle A \tilde{m}, A \tilde{m} \rangle = \langle \tilde{n}, \tilde{n} \rangle = \sum_i n_i^2.$$

$\square$

**Remark 3.9.** Following the arguments in the proof of Theorem 3.5, where we assume only a Pimsner-Popa basis, which may not be orthogonal, all the steps go through, except verification of the linear independence. This leads to the inequality:

$$A^t \tilde{n} \leq d \tilde{m}.$$

#### 4. THE CONDITIONAL EXPECTATION

In this section we introduce some notation and describe how the conditional expectation map looks like for finite dimensional inclusions. We also write down canonical trace preserving conditional expectation maps as mixed unitaries.

Here and in subsequent sections we need to deal with roots of unity of different orders. It is very convenient to have the following notation. Define  $\epsilon : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  by

$$\epsilon(x) = e^{2\pi i x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.1)$$

We make repeated use of the following elementary observations.

**Remark 4.1.** Let  $\epsilon$  be the exponential function defined as above. Then (i)  $\epsilon(x + y) = \epsilon(x) \cdot \epsilon(y)$ ,  $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ; (ii)  $\epsilon(-x) = \overline{\epsilon(x)}$ ,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ ; (iii)  $\epsilon(x) = 1$  iff  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ . (iv) For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \epsilon(jx) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } kx \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad x \notin \mathbb{Z} \\ k & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$$

Consider two finite dimensional von Neumann algebras:

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{s-1} M_{n_i}, \quad \mathcal{B} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{r-1} M_{m_j}$$

with  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ , having an inclusion matrix  $A = [a_{ij}]_{1 \leq i \leq (s-1); 1 \leq j \leq (r-1)}$ . Here it would be assumed that for every  $j$ , there exists some  $i$ , such that  $a_{ij} \neq 0$ . Otherwise, a component of  $\mathcal{B}$  would be missing in  $\mathcal{A}$  and we don't have unital inclusion  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ .

Fix any such faithful tracial state  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  as in 2.8. We know that there exists a unique conditional expectation from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  which preserves  $\varphi$ . In this section we wish to compute this conditional expectation. This will be useful to verify orthogonality of unitaries of various bases we are going to construct in subsequent sections. We also show that if  $\varphi$  preserves the standard trace on  $\mathcal{A}$  (that is when  $p_i$ 's are all equal), the conditional expectation is a mixed unitary channel and can be written down explicitly in such a form.

The algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  acts naturally on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  of dimension  $n_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus n_{s-1}$ . It is convenient to choose a basis which encodes the inclusion of  $\mathcal{B}$ . We do this by choosing an orthonormal basis:

$$\{u_{ijkl} : 0 \leq i \leq (s-1); 0 \leq j \leq (r-1); 0 \leq k \leq (a_{ij}-1); 0 \leq l \leq (m_j-1)\},$$

for  $\mathcal{H}$  with following understanding:

- (1) For fixed  $i$ ,  $|u_{ijkl}\rangle\langle u_{ij'k'l'}|$ , with  $j, k, l, j', k', l'$  varying, form the matrix units of the  $i$ -th summand  $M_{n_i}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- (2) For fixed  $i, j, k$ , the matrix units of  $k$ -th copy of  $M_{m_j}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $M_{n_i}$ , are given by  $|u_{ijkl}\rangle\langle u_{ij'kl'}|$  with  $0 \leq l, l' \leq (m_j-1)$ .
- (3) For fixed  $j$ , the matrix units of  $\mathcal{B}$  are given by

$$\sum_{i=0}^{(s-1)} \sum_{k=0}^{(a_{ij}-1)} |u_{ijkl}\rangle\langle u_{ij'kl'}|.$$

Note that if  $a_{ij} = 0$ ,  $M_{m_j}$  does not appear in  $M_{n_i}$ . In such a case, there is no vector of the form  $u_{ijkl}$ .

Putting together different diagonal blocks created by  $\mathcal{B}$  we get a new algebra,

$$\mathcal{C} := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{s-1} \bigoplus_{j=0}^{r-1} \bigoplus_{k=0}^{(a_{ij}-1)} M_{m_j},$$

where the matrix units of  $M_{m_j}$  for fixed  $i, j, k$  are as in (ii). Clearly this is an intermediate von Neumann algebra:  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ .

First we consider a conditional expectation of  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathcal{C}$ . We will call it  $E_1$ . It is easy to write it down. For fixed  $i, j, k$ , let  $P_{ijk}$  be the projection on to the subspace spanned by  $\{u_{ijkl} : 0 \leq l \leq (m_j-1)\}$ . For any  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ , take

$$E_1(X) = \sum_{i,j,k} P_{ijk} X P_{ijk}.$$

It is simply pinching of  $X$ , to the diagonal blocks. Clearly  $E_1$  is a unital completely positive map. The diagonal entries of  $E_1(X)$  (in the chosen basis) are same as that of  $X$ . Hence  $E_1$  preserves *every* tracial state on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Furthermore,

$$E_1(|u_{ijkl}\rangle\langle u_{ij'kl'}|) = |u_{ijkl}\rangle\langle u_{ij'kl'}|.$$

Hence  $E_1(Y) = Y$ , for  $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ . This shows that  $E_1$  is a conditional expectation from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Now we wish to compute the conditional expectation from  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$ , which preserves  $\varphi$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{C}$  contains only certain diagonal blocks. The conditional expectation  $E_2$  is

got by taking weighted averages of these blocks and the weights depend upon the state  $\varphi$  we want to preserve. Indeed take

$$q_{ij} = \frac{p_i}{\sum_{x=0}^{s-1} p_x a_{xj}}. \quad (4.2)$$

It is well defined as  $p_x$ 's are strictly positive and for every  $j$ ,  $a_{xj} \neq 0$  for some  $x$ , and so we have  $\sum_{x=0}^{s-1} p_x a_{xj} \neq 0$ . Note that  $\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} a_{ij} q_{ij} = 1$ .

Consider  $Y = \bigoplus_{ijk} Y_{ijk}$  in  $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{ijk} M_{m_j}$ . We take,  $E_2(Y) = \bigoplus_{ijk} Z_{ijk}$  where

$$Z_{ijk} := \sum_{v=0}^{s-1} \sum_{w=0}^{a_{vj}-1} q_{vj} Y_{v j w}.$$

Note that  $Z_{ijk}$  does not depend upon  $i$  or  $k$ . Hence  $\bigoplus_{ijk} Z_{ijk} \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $E_2$  is a CP map. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(E_2(Y)) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{ijk} p_i \text{trace}(Z_{ijk}) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{ijk} p_i \left( \sum_{v=0}^{s-1} \sum_{w=0}^{a_{vj}-1} q_{vj} \text{trace}(Y_{v j w}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{ij} \sum_{v=0}^{s-1} \sum_{w=0}^{a_{vj}-1} p_i a_{ij} q_{vj} \text{trace}(Y_{v j w}) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_j \sum_{v=0}^{s-1} \sum_{w=0}^{a_{vj}-1} p_v \text{trace}(Y_{v j w}) \\ &= \varphi(Y). \end{aligned}$$

If  $Y \in \mathcal{B}$ , then  $Y_{ijk}$  does not depend upon  $i, k$  and so we get

$$\sum_{v=0}^{s-1} \sum_{w=0}^{a_{vj}-1} q_{vj} Y_{v j w} = Y_j \cdot \sum_{v=0}^{s-1} a_{vj} q_{vj} = Y_j.$$

Therefore  $E_2$  is a UCP map, fixing elements of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Now take  $E := E_2 \circ E_1 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ . Being a composition of conditional expectation maps, it is a conditional expectation. Since both  $E_1, E_2$  preserve  $\varphi$ ,  $E$  also preserves  $\varphi$ .

**4.1. Mixed unitary channels.** We wish to write down the conditional expectation map  $E$  as a mixed unitary channel, that is, we want to have a family of unitaries  $\{U_k : k \in K\}$  such that the map  $E$  is a convex combination of maps of the form:  $X \mapsto U_k X U_k^*$ . Clearly any such map must preserve the standard trace. So in this subsection we assume that the state  $\varphi$  is given by

$$\varphi(\bigoplus X_i) = \frac{1}{\sum_x n_x} \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \text{trace}(X_i).$$

We consider the lexicographic order on  $\{(i, j) : 0 \leq i \leq (s-1); 0 \leq j \leq (r-1)\}$  by setting  $(i_1, j_1) < (i_2, j_2)$  if either  $i_1 < i_2$  or  $i_1 = i_2$  and  $j_1 < j_2$ . Take  $T_j = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} a_{ij}$  and  $T = \sum T_j$ . In other words,  $T_j$  is the number of copies of  $M_{m_j}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $T$  is the total number of such blocks. Then the formula for weights (4.2) simplifies to,  $q_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sum_{x=0}^{s-1} 1 \cdot a_{xj}} = \frac{1}{T_j}$  for every  $i, j$ .

Look at the map  $E_1$  defined before. To express it as a mixed unitary channel, define  $K$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  by

$$K(u_{ijkl}) = \epsilon\left(\frac{1}{T}\left[\sum_{(i_1, j_1) < (i, j)} a_{i_1 j_1} + k\right]\right)u_{ijkl}.$$

It means that  $K$  is a diagonal operator, which acts as scalar  $\epsilon\left(\frac{1}{T}\sum_{(i_1, j_1) < (i, j)} a_{i_1 j_1} + k\right)$  on the  $(i, j, k)$ -th block of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Take

$$F_1(X) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{x=0}^{T-1} K^x X (K^x)^*, X \in \mathcal{A}.$$

We claim that  $F_1$  is same as  $E_1$ . Taking powers of  $K$ ,

$$K^x(u_{ijkl}) = \epsilon\left(\frac{x}{T}\left[\sum_{(i_1, j_1) < (i, j)} a_{i_1 j_1} + k\right]\right)u_{ijkl}.$$

Hence,

$$F_1(|u_{ijkl}\rangle\langle u_{i'j'k'l'}|) = \sum_{x=0}^{T-1} \epsilon\left(\frac{x}{T}\left[\sum_{(i_1, j_1) < (i, j)} a_{i_1 j_1} + k - \sum_{(i_2, j_2) < (i', j')} a_{i_2 j_2} - k'\right]\right)|u_{ijkl}\rangle\langle u_{i'j'k'l'}|.$$

From Remark 4.1, concerning basic properties of the exponential function  $\epsilon$ , the last sum is zero, unless

$$\sum_{(i_1, j_1) < (i, j)} a_{i_1 j_1} + k = \sum_{(i_2, j_2) < (i', j')} a_{i_2 j_2} + k'.$$

This happens iff  $(i, j, k) = (i', j', k')$  as  $\sum_{(i_1, j_1) < (i, j)} a_{i_1 j_1} + k$  on varying  $i, j, k$  is just an enumeration of all the integers from 0 to  $T-1$ . Consequently,

$$F_1(|u_{ijkl}\rangle\langle u_{i'j'k'l'}|) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (i, j, k) \neq (i', j', k'); \\ |u_{ijkl}\rangle\langle u_{i'j'k'l'}| & \text{Otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Comparing with the action of  $E_1$ , it is clear that  $F_1 = E_1$ .

Now we look at  $E_2$ . For fixed  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, (r-1)\}$ , take  $\mathcal{G}_j = \{(i, k) : 0 \leq i \leq (s-1); 0 \leq k \leq a_{ij} - 1\}$ . We order the elements of  $\mathcal{G}_j$  also in lexicographic order. Note that  $\mathcal{G}_j$  has  $T_j$  many elements and  $T_j \neq 0$ . We may name the elements of  $\mathcal{G}_j$  as  $\{g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{T_j-1}\}$  (The dependence on  $j$  is suppressed here in notation). Let  $\sigma : \mathcal{G}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_j$  be the cyclic permutation of  $\mathcal{G}_j$ , defined by  $\sigma(g_y) = g_{y+1}$ , for  $0 \leq y < T_j - 1$  and  $\sigma(g_{T_j-1}) = g_0$ .

For fixed  $j_1$ , define  $L_{j_1}$  by setting

$$L_{j_1} u_{ijkl} = \begin{cases} u_{ijkl} & \text{if } j \neq j_1; \\ u_{i'j_1 k'l} & \text{if } j = j_1; \sigma((i, k)) = (i', k'). \end{cases}$$

In other words,  $L_{j_1}$  acts as identity on basis vectors  $u_{ijkl}$  with  $j \neq j_1$  and if  $j = j_1$  it cyclically permutes  $(i, k)$  in  $\mathcal{G}_{j_1}$ . We consider the powers of  $L_{j_1}$ ,  $L_{j_1}^x$  for  $0 \leq x \leq T_{j_1} - 1$  and set

$$G_{j_1}(Y) = \frac{1}{T_{j_1}} \sum_{x=0}^{T_{j_1}-1} L_{j_1}^x Y (L_{j_1}^x)^*, Y \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Clearly  $G_{j_1}$  is a mixed unitary channel on  $\mathcal{C}$ . It averages all the blocks in  $\mathcal{C}$  corresponding to  $M_{m_{j_1}}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$ . In particular,

$$G_{j_1}(|u_{ij_1 kl}\rangle\langle u_{i_1 j_1 k_1 l'}|) = \frac{1}{T_{j_1}} \sum_{x=0}^{T_{j_1}-1} L_{j_1}^x |u_{ij_1 kl}\rangle\langle u_{i_1 j_1 k_1 l'}| (L_{j_1}^x)^* = \frac{1}{T_{j_1}} \sum_{(i_1, k_1) \in \mathcal{G}_{j_1}} |u_{i_1 j_1 k_1 l}\rangle\langle u_{i_1 j_1 k_1 l'}|$$

and if  $j \neq j_1$ ,

$$G_{j_1}(|u_{ijkl}\rangle\langle u_{ijk'l}|) = \frac{1}{T_{j_1}} \sum_{x=0}^{T_{j_1}-1} L_{j_1}^x |u_{ijkl}\rangle\langle u_{ijk'l}| (L_{j_1}^x)^* = |u_{ijkl}\rangle\langle u_{ijk'l}|.$$

We may carry this averaging process with respect to every  $j$ . Then it is clear the map  $Y \mapsto G_0 \circ G_1 \circ \dots \circ G_{r-1}(Y)$ ,  $Y \in \mathcal{C}$  is same as the conditional expectation map  $E_2$  defined earlier.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$  be a subalgebra system, where  $E$  is the conditional expectation preserving the standard trace. Then  $E$  is a mixed unitary channel:  $E(X) =$*

$$\frac{1}{T_0 T_1 \dots T_{s-1} T} \sum_{x_0=0}^{T_0-1} \sum_{x_1=0}^{T_1-1} \dots \sum_{x_{r-1}=0}^{T_{r-1}-1} \sum_{y=0}^{T-1} L_0^{x_0} L_1^{x_1} \dots L_{r-1}^{x_{r-1}} K^y X (K^y)^* (L_{r-1}^{x_{r-1}})^* \dots (L_1^{x_1})^* (L_0^{x_0})^*.$$

*Proof.* As both  $E_1, E_2$  are mixed unitary channels,  $E = E_2 \circ E_1$  is also a mixed unitary by composition. □

It is to be noted that in this description of the conditional expectation map, the unitaries in general may not be elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ . They are from the ambient space  $M_{n_0+n_1+\dots+n_{s-1}}$ .

## 5. THE ABELIAN CASE

In this Section we construct a unitary orthonormal basis for a subalgebra system  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$  where either  $\mathcal{A}$  or  $\mathcal{B}$  is abelian. This is a generalization of the construction in [9], where the special case of  $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{C}$  was considered. To begin with note that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is abelian then so is  $\mathcal{B}$ . Therefore it suffices to consider the case where  $\mathcal{B}$  is abelian.

At first we introduce a class of matrices. The unitaries in our constructions of orthonormal bases are invariably in this class. Recall the exponential function  $\epsilon$  defined in equation (4.1). For any natural number  $n$ , the  $n \times n$ , finite Fourier matrix  $F_n$  is the unitary matrix given by

$$F_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} [\epsilon(\frac{jk}{n})]_{0 \leq j, k \leq (n-1)}.$$

Denote the  $n \times n$  diagonal matrix with diagonal entries  $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}$  by  $D(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$ . Similarly for  $n$ -complex numbers  $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}$  the *circulant matrix*  $C(b_0, \dots, b_{n-1})$  is defined as:

$$C(b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}) = F_n^* D(b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}) F_n.$$

Note that we are parametrizing circulant matrices by their eigenvalues and not by their matrix entries. This simplifies our computations.

**Definition 5.1.** A matrix of the form  $D_1 C D_2$ , where  $D_1, D_2$  are diagonal and  $C$  is circulant is called a *quasi-circulant* matrix.

We collect together a few basic facts about quasi-circulant matrices in the form a proposition for easy reference. It is to be noted that the notion is basis dependent.

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}, b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}, c_0, \dots, c_{n-1}$  be complex numbers.*

- (1) *If  $a_j$ 's and  $b_j$ 's are of modulus 1 then  $D(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$  and  $C(b_0, \dots, b_{n-1})$  are unitary matrices with eigenvalues  $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}$  and  $b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}$  respectively.*
- (2) *For any natural number  $r$ ,*

$$(D(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}))^r = D(a_0^r, \dots, a_{n-1}^r); (C(b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}))^r = C(b_0^r, \dots, b_{n-1}^r).$$

- (3)  $C(b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}) = \frac{1}{n} [\sum_{y=0}^{n-1} b_y \epsilon(\frac{y(k-j)}{n})]_{0 \leq j, k \leq (n-1)}$ . In particular the diagonal entries of  $C(b_0, \dots, b_{n-1})$  are all equal and equal to  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_y b_y$ .
- (4)  $C(b_0, \dots, b_{n-1})D(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) = \frac{1}{n} [\sum_{y=0}^{n-1} b_y \epsilon(\frac{y(k-j)}{n}) a_k]_{0 \leq j, k \leq (n-1)}$ , and its trace is given by  $\frac{1}{n} (\sum_y b_y) (\sum_x a_x)$ .
- (5)  $D(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})C(b_0, \dots, b_{n-1})D(c_0, \dots, c_{n-1}) = \frac{1}{n} [a_j \sum_{y=0}^{n-1} b_y \epsilon(\frac{y(k-j)}{n}) c_k]_{0 \leq j, k \leq (n-1)}$  and its trace is given by  $\frac{1}{n} (\sum_y b_y) (\sum_x a_x c_x)$ .
- (6) The diagonal of  $D(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})C(b_0, \dots, b_{n-1})D(c_0, \dots, c_{n-1})$  is same as that of  $C(b_0, \dots, b_{n-1})D(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})D(c_0, \dots, c_{n-1})$ .
- (7) The circulant matrix  $C(1, \frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n})$  is the permutation matrix which permutes the standard basis  $\{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}\}$  cyclically, mapping  $e_j$  to  $e_{j+1}$  (with addition modulo  $n$ ) for  $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ .

*Proof.* The results (1) to (6) follow by direct computations and (7) follows from (3), as the  $jk$ 'th entry of  $C(1, \frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n})$  is given by

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{y=0}^{n-1} \epsilon(\frac{y}{n}) \epsilon(\frac{y(k-j)}{n}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{y=0}^{n-1} \epsilon(\frac{y(k+1-j)}{n}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = k+1; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

□

Now let us take  $\mathcal{B}$  as abelian. Then our basic setup reduces to

$$\mathcal{A} = \oplus_{i=0}^{s-1} M_{n_i}, \quad \mathcal{B} = \mathbb{C}^r,$$

and the dimension vector  $\tilde{m}$  has all entries equal to 1. Consequently the inclusion matrix  $A = [a_{ij}]_{s \times r}$  satisfies  $n_i = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} a_{ij}$  for all  $i$  and the spectral condition implies  $\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} n_i a_{ij} = d$  for all  $j$ , for some natural number  $d$ . The conditional expectation  $E$  should preserve the state  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ , where

$$\varphi(\oplus_i X_i) = \frac{1}{\sum_i n_i^2} \sum_i n_i \text{trace}(X_i), \quad \oplus_i X_i \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Further,  $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{C}^r$  implies that the orthonormal basis  $\{u_{ijkl}\}$  has  $l$  identically equal to 0. Therefore, we may suppress  $l$  from the notation and take the basis as

$$\{u_{ijk} : 0 \leq i \leq (s-1); 0 \leq j \leq (r-1); 0 \leq k \leq a_{ij} - 1\}.$$

Let  $P_0, \dots, P_{s-1}$  be the minimal central projections of  $\mathcal{A}$ , that is,  $P_i$  is the projection on to the span of  $\{u_{ijk} : 0 \leq j \leq r-1; 0 \leq k \leq a_{ij} - 1\}$ . The  $(i, j, k)$ th -diagonal entry of  $X := \oplus_i X_i$  is given by  $x_{ijk} := \langle u_{ijk}, X u_{ijk} \rangle$ . Now the conditional expectation  $E$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E(\oplus_i X_i) &= \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \frac{(\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \sum_{k=0}^{a_{ij}-1} n_i x_{ijk})}{\sum_i n_i a_{ij}} P_j \\ &= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \sum_{k=0}^{a_{ij}-1} n_i x_{ijk}) P_j. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $E(X) = 0$  if and only if for every  $j$ ,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \sum_{k=0}^{a_{ij}-1} n_i x_{ijk} = 0. \quad (5.1)$$

Now we define two unitary matrices  $U = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{s-1} U_i$ ,  $V = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{s-1} V_i$ , in  $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{s-1} M_{n_i}$ . The spectrum of these matrices will be various powers of the  $d$ -th root of unity. For notational convenience we take  $b := \frac{1}{d}$ .

Let  $V_i$  be the circulant matrix

$$V_i = C(1, \epsilon(b), \epsilon(2b), \dots, \epsilon((n_i - 1)b)).$$

The matrix  $U_i$  is chosen to be a direct sum of diagonal matrices (and hence diagonal) with respect to the basis chosen above:

$$U_i = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{r-1} \epsilon \left( \sum_{x=0}^{i-1} n_x a_{xj} b \right) D(1, \epsilon(n_i b), \epsilon(2n_i b), \dots, \epsilon(n_i(a_{ij} - 1)b))$$

In other words, the  $(i, j, k)$ -th diagonal entry of  $U$  is given by  $\epsilon(\sum_{x=0}^{i-1} n_x a_{xj} b + kn_i b)$ .

**Theorem 5.3.** *With notation as above,*

$$\{V^t U^t : 0 \leq t \leq (d - 1)\}$$

*is a unitary orthonormal basis for  $(\mathbb{C}^r \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$ .*

*Proof.* From basic properties of quasi-circulant mentioned above,

$$U^t = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{s-1} U_i^t, \quad V^t = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{s-1} V_i^t,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_i^t &= C(1, \epsilon(tb), \epsilon(2tb), \dots, \epsilon((n_i - 1)tb)) \\ U_i^t &= \bigoplus_{j=0}^{r-1} \epsilon \left( \sum_{x=0}^{i-1} n_x a_{xj} tb \right) D(1, \epsilon(n_i tb), \epsilon(2n_i tb), \dots, \epsilon((a_{ij} - 1)n_i tb)). \end{aligned}$$

Now  $V_i^t$  being a circulant matrix it is possible to compute its entries. Note that it is an  $n_i \times n_i$  matrix and it acts on the span of  $\{u_{ijk} : 0 \leq j \leq (r - 1), 0 \leq k \leq (a_{ij} - 1)\}$ . For fixed  $i$ , arranging these vectors using the lexicographic order of subscripts, the location of  $u_{ijk}$  is  $\sum_{v=0}^{j-1} a_{iv} + k$ . Hence the entries of  $V^t$  are given by:

$$(V^t)_{ijk, i'j'k'} = \delta_{i, i'} \cdot \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{y=0}^{n_i-1} \epsilon(ytb) \epsilon \left( \frac{y(\sum_{v'=0}^{j'-1} a_{iv'} + k' - \sum_{v=0}^{j-1} a_{iv} - k)}{n_i} \right).$$

Where as  $U^t$  is a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries being,

$$(U^t)_{ijk, ijk} = \epsilon \left( \sum_{x=0}^{i-1} n_x a_{xj} tb + kn_i tb \right).$$

Therefore the entries of  $W(t) := V^t U^t$  are given by

$$W_{ijk, i'j'k'}(t) = \frac{\delta_{ii'}}{n_i} \sum_{y=0}^{n_i-1} \epsilon(ytb) + \frac{y(\sum_{v'=0}^{j'-1} a_{iv'} + k' - \sum_{v=0}^{j-1} a_{iv} - k)}{n_i} + kn_i tb + \sum_{x=0}^{i-1} n_x a_{xj} tb. \quad (5.2)$$

In particular the  $(i, j, k)$ -th diagonal entry of  $W(t) = V^t U^t$  is given by

$$W_{ijk}(t) := \left[ \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{y=0}^{n_i-1} \epsilon(ytb) \right] \epsilon(kn_i tb + \sum_{x=0}^{i-1} n_x a_{xj} tb). \quad (5.3)$$

For fixed  $j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \sum_{k=0}^{a_{ij}-1} n_i W_{ijk}(t) &= \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \sum_{k=0}^{a_{ij}-1} \left[ \sum_{y=0}^{n_i-1} \epsilon(ytb) \right] \cdot \epsilon(kn_i tb) + \sum_{x=0}^{i-1} n_x a_{xj} tb \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \epsilon \left( \sum_{x=0}^{i-1} n_x a_{xj} tb \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{a_{ij}-1} \epsilon(kn_i tb) \right) \left( \sum_{y=0}^{n_i-1} \epsilon(ytb) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \epsilon \left( \sum_{x=0}^{i-1} n_x a_{xj} tb \right) \left( \sum_{z=0}^{n_i a_{ij}-1} \epsilon(ztb) \right) \\
&= \sum_{v=0}^{d-1} \epsilon(vtb) \\
&= \sum_{v=0}^{d-1} \epsilon \left( \frac{vt}{d} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

which is zero if  $t \neq 0$ .

From this computation using equation (5.1), we see that  $E(W(t)) = 0$  for  $t \neq 0$ . Now for any  $v$ ,  $E((W(v))^* W(t)) = E((V^v U^v)^* V^t U^t) = E((U^{-v})^* V^{t-v} U^t)$ . As  $U$  is diagonal and  $V$  is circulant, the diagonal of  $U^{-v} V^{t-v} U^t$  is same as that of  $V^{t-v} U^{t-v}$ . Hence the conditional expectation  $E((U^{-v})^* V^{t-v} U^t) = E(V^{t-v} U^{t-v}) = E(W(t-v)) = 0$  for  $t \neq v$ , proving claimed orthogonality.  $\square$

In the previous theorem to prove the orthogonality of the basis elements it sufficed to know only their diagonal entries. The theorem includes the construction of [9] as a special case. For reader's convenience we write the formulae explicitly for this situation. Consider the inclusion  $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{A}, E)$  where  $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{s-1} M_{n_i}$  and  $E(\bigoplus X_i) = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} n_i \text{trace}(X_i)$ . The inclusion matrix is same as the dimension vector  $\tilde{n}$ . Now the basis consists of  $\{u_{ik} : 0 \leq i \leq (s-1); 0 \leq k \leq (n_i-1)\}$  and  $d = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} n_i^2$ . As before, taking  $b = \frac{1}{d}$ , the unitary operators generating the basis are given by

$$U^t = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{s-1} U_i^t, \quad V^t = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{s-1} V_i^t,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
V_i^t &= C(1, \epsilon(tb), \epsilon(2tb), \dots, \epsilon((n_i-1)tb)) \\
U_i^t &= \epsilon \left( \sum_{x=0}^{i-1} n_x^2 tb \right) D(1, \epsilon(n_i tb), \epsilon(2n_i tb), \dots, \epsilon((n_i-1)n_i tb)).
\end{aligned}$$

The unitary basis is given by  $W(t) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{s-1} W_i(t) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{s-1} V_i^t U_i^t$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq d-1$ , where

$$(W_i(t))_{k,k'} = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{y=0}^{n_i-1} \epsilon(ytb + \frac{y(k'-k)}{n_i}) + kn_i tb + \sum_{x=0}^{i-1} n_x^2 tb. \quad (5.4)$$

## 6. GENERALIZING WEYL UNITARIES

The subalgebra system  $(\mathbb{C} \subseteq M_n, \frac{1}{n} \text{tr})$  admits a unitary orthonormal basis is well-known. A standard basis called Weyl unitaries consists of a family of the form  $\{V^j U^k : 0 \leq j, k \leq (n-1)\}$  where  $V$  is a cyclic shift and  $U$  is a diagonal unitary with roots of unity on the diagonal.



Generalizing this construction we exhibit a unitary basis where we replace  $\mathbb{C}$  by a general finite dimensional abelian algebra and  $M_n$  is replaced by a direct sum of copies of  $M_n$  with inclusion satisfying the spectral condition.

Consider subalgebra system  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, E)$  where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B} &= \mathbb{C} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C} (r \text{ times}) = \mathbb{C}^r, \\ \mathcal{A} &= M_n \oplus \cdots \oplus M_n (s \text{ times})\end{aligned}$$

with inclusion matrix  $A = [a_{ij}]_{r \times s}$ . The dimension vector of  $\mathcal{B}$  has all entries equal to 1 and the dimension vector of  $\mathcal{A}$  has all entries equal to  $n$ . Now the spectral condition implies that  $\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} a_{ij} = q$  for some fixed natural number  $q$ , independent of  $j$ . The number of basis vectors will be  $d = nq$ . The quadratic condition (3.8) implies  $rq = ns$ . The Markov trace on  $\mathcal{A}$  is given by

$$\varphi(\oplus_{i=0}^{s-1} X_i) = \frac{1}{ns} \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \text{trace}(X_i), \quad \oplus_i X_i \in \mathcal{A}.$$

In our standard set up, as  $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{C}^r$ , the basis for the Hilbert space reduces to

$$\{u_{ijk} : 0 \leq i \leq s-1; 0 \leq j \leq r-1; 0 \leq k \leq a_{ij}-1\}.$$

Denoting the diagonal entries by,  $x_{ijk} = \langle u_{ijk} X_i u_{ijk} \rangle$ , the conditional expectation is given by  $E(\oplus_i X_i) = \oplus Y_i$ , where  $Y_i$  is a diagonal matrix with  $Y_{ijk,ijk} = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \sum_{k=0}^{a_{ij}-1} x_{ijk}$ .

First we consider two unitary operators. Take,

$$V = \oplus_{i=0}^{s-1} V_i,$$

where  $V_i = C(1, \epsilon(\frac{1}{n}), \epsilon(\frac{2}{n}), \dots, \epsilon(\frac{n-1}{n}))$ . Note that  $V_i$  cyclically permutes the basis vectors. Consequently diagonals of powers  $V_i^v$  are all equal to zero for  $0 < v < n$ .

Define  $U$  by

$$U u_{ijk} = \epsilon\left(\frac{1}{q} \left(\sum_{x=0}^{i-1} a_{xj} + k\right)\right) u_{ijk}.$$

So  $U$  is a diagonal unitary and for any  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$U^t u_{ijk} = \epsilon\left(\frac{t}{q} \left(\sum_{x=0}^{i-1} a_{xj} + k\right)\right) u_{ijk}.$$

**Theorem 6.1.** *With notation as above,  $\{V^v U^t : 0 \leq v \leq n-1, 0 \leq t \leq q-1\}$  is a unitary orthonormal basis for the subalgebra system  $(\mathbb{C}^r \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the diagonal entries of  $V^v U^t$ . Since  $U^t$  is diagonal and  $V^v$  has all the diagonal entries equal to 0 we get

$$\langle u_{ijk}, V^v U^t u_{ijk} \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v \neq 0; \\ \epsilon\left(\frac{t}{q} \left(\sum_{x=0}^{i-1} a_{xj} + k\right)\right) & \text{if } v = 0. \end{cases}$$

Now, for fixed  $j$ , for  $t \neq 0$ ,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \sum_{k=0}^{a_{ij}-1} \epsilon\left(\frac{t}{q} \left(\sum_{x=0}^{i-1} a_{xj} + k\right)\right) = \sum_{y=0}^{q-1} \epsilon\left(\frac{t}{q}(y)\right) = 0,$$

as  $(\sum_{x=0}^{i-1} a_{xj} + k)$  on varying  $i, k$  is just an enumeration of all natural numbers from 0 to  $q - 1$ . Hence

$$\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \sum_{k=0}^{a_{ij}-1} \langle u_{ijk}, V^v U^t u_{ijk} \rangle = 0.$$

It follows that  $E(V^v U^t) = 0$  if  $(v, t) \neq (0, 0)$ .

Now for any  $v_1, v_2, t_1, t_2$ ,  $(V^{v_1} U^{t_1})^* V^{v_2} U^{t_2} = U^{-t_1} V^{v_2 - v_1} U^{t_2}$ . As  $U$  is diagonal and  $V$  is circulant, the diagonal of  $U^{-t_1} V^{v_2 - v_1} U^{t_2}$  is same as that of  $V^{v_2 - v_1} U^{t_2 - t_1}$ . Therefore if  $(v_1, t_1) \neq (v_2, t_2)$ ,

$$E(V^{v_1} U^{t_1})^* V^{v_2} U^{t_2} = E(V^{v_2 - v_1} U^{t_2 - t_1}) = 0.$$

□

We remark that unlike Weyl unitaries the orthonormal basis constructed here need not be a projective unitary representation.

## 7. NEW BASES FROM THE OLD AND THE FULL MATRIX ALGEBRA

It is well-known that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is the complex group algebra of a finite group  $G$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is the group algebra of a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , then with suitable conditional expectation map  $E$ ,  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$  admits a unitary orthonormal basis (See Example 1.2.3 of [26]). It is obvious that not all subalgebra systems appear as subalgebra systems of group algebras. Characterizing such inclusions seems to be a difficult problem. The question as to which multi-matrix algebras are group algebras is itself open and is known as Brauer's Problem 1 ([17]). The crossed product construction (See Example 2.3 of [7]) is another standard method of constructing subalgebra systems with unitary orthonormal bases.

In the last two sections we have explicitly constructed unitary orthonormal bases for inclusions where the subalgebra under consideration is abelian. Here we describe a few methods of constructing unitary orthonormal bases using the known ones. It is to be noted that whenever we have a unitary basis, taking transposes, adjoints or complex conjugates of every element we get another unitary basis (though a 'right' basis may give rise to a 'left' basis). But this is for the same subalgebra system. Currently we are interested in simple ways of constructing orthonormal bases for new inclusions. One such method is 'concatenation', and this has already been described in Section 2. We do not know as to whether the constructions described here exhaust all the subalgebra systems with  $U$ -property or not. However, we are able to show that the answer is in the affirmative if  $\mathcal{A}$  or  $\mathcal{B}$  is a full matrix algebra.

**7.1. Tensor products and direct sums.** Suppose  $(\mathcal{B}_i \subseteq \mathcal{A}_i, E_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$  are two finite dimensional inclusions with  $U$ -property. Suppose  $\{U_1(i), \dots, U_{d_i}(i)\}$  is a unitary o.n.b. for  $(\mathcal{B}_i, \mathcal{A}_i, E_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Take  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2$ . Consider it as a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$  in the natural way with the inclusion matrix being the tensor product of inclusion matrices. Then it is seen easily that  $E = E_1 \otimes E_2$  is a conditional expectation map from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$ . For this inclusion,  $(\mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2, E_1 \otimes E_2)$ , we can observe that  $\{U_j(1) \otimes U_k(2) : 0 \leq j \leq d_1; 0 \leq k \leq d_2\}$  is a unitary orthonormal basis. Under the same set up, if  $d_1 = d_2$ , the subalgebra system  $(\mathcal{B}_1 \oplus \mathcal{B}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \mathcal{A}_2, E_1 \oplus E_2)$  has  $U$ -property. In fact,  $\{U_j(1) \oplus U_j(2) : 0 \leq j \leq d_1\}$  is a unitary orthonormal basis.

**7.2. Basic Construction.** Let  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$  be an inclusion of finite dimensional algebras. Then by the famous Jones basic construction we have an inclusion  $(\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1, E_1)$  where the inclusion matrix is the transpose of the original inclusion matrix and  $E_1$  is the dual conditional expectation of  $E$  (see [26]). Now it is known that if  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$  has U-property, so does the  $(\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1, E_1)$ . Indeed if  $\{U_0, \dots, U_{d-1}\}$  is a unitary orthonormal basis for  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$ , and  $J$  is the Jones projection in  $\mathcal{A}_1$ , then  $\{W_j : 0 \leq j \leq (d-1)\}$  where

$$W_j = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \epsilon\left(\frac{jk}{d}\right) U_k J U_k^*$$

is a unitary orthonormal basis for  $(\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1, E_1)$ , as shown in [6].

We may employ any of these methods repeatedly and get various subalgebra systems admitting unitary basis. We also make use of the trivial fact that for any algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , the inclusion system  $(\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$ , where  $E$  is the identity map, admits a unitary basis, namely  $\{I\}$ . This allows us to get the following results.

**Theorem 7.1.** *Let  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$  be a subalgebra system with  $\mathcal{B} = M_m$ , and  $E$  preserving the Markov trace. Then  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$  has U-property.*

*Proof.* As usual take  $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{s-1} M_{n_i}$ . Now  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  (unitally) means that each  $n_i$  is a multiple of  $m$ , say  $n_i = m \times k_i$  for some natural number  $k_i$  and the inclusion matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} k_0 \\ k_1 \\ \vdots \\ k_{s-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the inclusion is isomorphic to the tensor product of  $(M_m \subseteq M_m, E_1)$  and  $(\mathbb{C} \subseteq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{s-1} M_{k_i}, E_2)$ , where  $E_1$  is the identity map and  $E_2$  is the state:

$$E_2\left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{s-1} Y_i\right) = \frac{1}{\sum_i k_i^2} \sum_i \text{trace}(Y_i), \quad \bigoplus_i Y_i \in \bigoplus_i M_{k_i}.$$

Since both of these admit unitary basis (the first one trivially, and the second one because of Section 4),  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$  also admits a unitary basis. □

**Theorem 7.2.** *Let  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$  be a subalgebra system with  $\mathcal{A} = M_n$  and  $E$  preserving the unique trace on  $M_n$ . Then  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$  has U-property.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathcal{B} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{r-1} M_{m_j}$ . Now the inclusion matrix is a row vector, say  $A = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}]$  satisfying  $n = \sum_j a_j m_j$ . Now the spectral condition implies that there exists  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$m_j \cdot d = n a_j, \quad \forall j.$$

So  $a_j = \frac{d}{n} \cdot m_j, \forall j$ . Let  $k, l$  be relatively prime natural numbers such that  $\frac{l}{k} = \frac{d}{n}$ . As  $a_j$  is an integer,  $k$  divides  $m_j$ . Take  $\tilde{m}_j = \frac{m_j}{k}$ . Then

$$n = \sum_j a_j m_j = \sum_j \frac{d}{n} m_j^2 = kl \sum_j \tilde{m}_j^2$$

Consider three inclusions  $\mathcal{B}_0 = M_k, \mathcal{A}_0 = M_k, \mathcal{B}_1 = \mathbb{C}, \mathcal{A}_1 = M_l$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2 = \bigoplus_j M_{\tilde{m}_j}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2 = M_{\sum_j \tilde{m}_j^2}$ . We observe that  $(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{A}_1, E_1)$  where  $E_1$  is the normalized trace has U-property. Also  $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_2$  (with appropriate conditional expectation) has U-property, by [9]. On employing Jones basic construction to this inclusion,  $\mathcal{B}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_2$  has U-property.

Taking the tensor product of three inclusions we see that  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  with its conditional expectation preserving the Markov state has  $U$ -property.  $\square$

## 8. APPLICATIONS

We provide two applications of the theory of unitary orthonormal bases we developed in the previous sections. One is in subfactor theory and the other one is in Connes-Størmer relative entropy.

**8.1. Depth 2 subfactor and unitary Pimsner-Popa basis.** In this subsection we focus on a subfactor  $N \subset M$  of type  $II_1$  factors with  $[M : N] < \infty$ . There exists a unique trace preserving conditional expectation  $E$  from  $M$  onto  $N$ . Motivated by the finite dimensional case, we call the triple  $(N \subset M, E)$  a *subfactor system*. Popa asked whether there exists a unitary orthonormal basis for an irreducible subfactor  $N \subset M$  with  $[M : N]$  being an integer. See [24] for various motivations of this open problem. The following modification of the above problem also seems to be very interesting (see [2, 3]).

**Question 8.1.** Does there exist a unitary orthonormal basis for an integer index extremal subfactor?

Recently, in [2] it has been proved that any finite index regular subfactor with either simple or abelian relative commutant must have unitary orthonormal basis. Also, in [2] it was conjectured that any regular subfactor will have unitary orthonormal basis. Indeed, in [9] this conjecture has been verified by proving that any regular subfactor with finite Jones index has unitary orthonormal basis. As pointed out in [2] and [9], as a cute application of the existence of the unitary orthonormal basis one can conclude that any finite index regular subfactor has depth at most 2. The existence of unitary orthonormal basis for a general integer index and depth 2 subfactor seems to be unknown. In [3] we managed to prove that any finite index and depth 2 subfactor  $N \subset M$  with  $N' \cap M$  simple must have unitary orthonormal basis. In this paper, we have a complementary result as in Theorem 8.5. Before stating the result, let us recall few important definitions.

Consider an inclusion of finite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$  with the Bratelli diagram connected and a conditional expectation  $E : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ . It follows that the dual inclusion  $\mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{N}'$  also has connected Bratelli diagram and there exists a dual conditional expectation  $E' : \mathcal{N}' \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'$ . Then, by Theorem 2.6 in [10], there exists a tracial state  $\omega_s$  on  $\mathcal{N}' \cap \mathcal{M}$  which is the pointwise strong limit of the words  $\{EE'EE' \dots, E'EE'E \dots\}$ .

**Definition 8.2.** [10] (*Minimal conditional expectation*) Suppose we have an inclusion of finite dimensional von Neumann algebras  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$  with the Bratelli diagram connected. A conditional expectation  $E : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is called **minimal** if the norm of the Watatani index  $\|\text{ind}_w E\|$  is minimal among all other conditional expectations from  $\mathcal{M}$  onto  $\mathcal{N}$ . This minimal value is called the minimal index of  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ .

**Definition 8.3.** [10] (*Superextremal inclusion*) Consider an inclusion of finite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$  with the connected Bratelli diagram. We denote the Markov trace by  $\tau$ . The pair  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$  is said to be a **superextremal inclusion** if the  $\tau$ -preserving conditional expectation is the minimal conditional expectation and  $\omega_s = \tau|_{\mathcal{N}' \cap \mathcal{M}}$ .

**Definition 8.4.** (*Finite depth subfactor*) Consider a finite index inclusion  $N \subset M$  of  $II_1$ -factors and suppose  $N \subset M \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_k \subset \dots$  is the corresponding tower of Jones' basic construction. Then, the inclusion  $N \subset M$  is said to have *finite depth* if there exists a  $k$  such that  $N' \cap M_{k-2} \subset N' \cap M_{k-1} \subset N' \cap M_k$  is an instance of basic construction. The least such  $k$  is defined as the *depth* of the inclusion.

The study of depth 2 subfactor has attracted a good deal of attention. A finite depth subfactor is an intermediate subfactor of a depth 2 subfactor. It is also a well-known fact that any depth 2 subfactor can be characterized by an action of an weak Hopf algebra.

**Theorem 8.5.** *Consider a subfactor  $N \subset M$  with finite Jones index and suppose  $E$  denotes the unique  $tr$ -preserving conditional expectation. If  $N \subset M$  is a depth 2 subfactor with  $N' \cap M$  abelian and  $N' \cap M \subset N' \cap M_1$  is superextremal then the subfactor system  $(N \subset M, E)$  admits a unitary orthonormal basis.*

*Proof.* We denote the Markov trace for  $M \subset M_1$  by  $tr_1$  which is the extension of the trace on  $M$ . We also denote by  $E_{N' \cap M}$  the  $tr_1|_{N' \cap M_1}$ -preserving conditional expectation from  $N' \cap M_1$  onto  $N' \cap M$ . As  $N \subset M$  is of depth 2, we have the following non-degenerate commuting square with respect to  $tr_1$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \subset & M_1 \\ \cup & & \cup \\ N' \cap M & \subset & N' \cap M_1 \end{array} .$$

In other words,  $E_M E_{N' \cap M} = E_{N' \cap M} E_M$  and any Pimsner-Popa basis for  $E_{N' \cap M}$  is also a Pimsner-Popa basis for  $E_M$ . Since,  $N' \cap M \subset N' \cap M_1$  is superextremal, by Proposition 4.4 in [10], we see that  $N' \cap M \subset N' \cap M_1$  satisfies the spectral condition as in Theorem 3.5. By Theorem 5.3 we conclude that we have a unitary orthonormal basis for  $(N' \cap M \subset N' \cap M_1, E_{N' \cap M})$  and so, for  $(M \subset M_1, E_M)$  too. The proof is complete once we recall that  $N \subset M$  is of depth 2 iff  $N_{-1} \subset N$  is also of depth 2, where  $N_{-1} \subset N$  is a model of the downward basic construction of  $N \subset M$ .  $\square$

**Remark 8.6.** From Theorem 8.5 we conclude if a depth 2 subfactor  $N \subset M$  has the property that  $N' \cap M$  is abelian and  $N' \cap M \subset N' \cap M_1$  is superextremal, then  $[M : N]$  must be an integer. At present, we don't know whether the superextremality condition in Theorem 8.5 will be automatically satisfied for a depth 2 subfactor or not.

**8.2. Quantum relative Entropy and unitary orthonormal basis.** Generalizing the classical notion of the conditional entropy from ergodic theory, Connes and Størmer in [8] defined a relative entropy  $H(\mathcal{P}|\mathcal{Q})$  between a pair of finite dimensional von Neumann-subalgebras  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  of a finite von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  with a fixed faithful normal trace. Using the relative entropy as the main technical tool they succeeded to prove a non-commutative version of the Kolmogorov-Sinai type theorem. Subsequently, Pimsner and Popa (in [19]) observed that the definition of the Connes-Størmer relative entropy does not depend on  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}$  being finite dimensional and we may consider the relative entropy  $H(\mathcal{P}|\mathcal{Q})$  for arbitrary von Neumann subalgebras  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{M}$ . The most striking result they discovered is the close relationship between the Jones index of a type  $II_1$ -subfactor  $N \subset M$  and the relative entropy  $H(M|N)$ . We refer the reader to [18] for a comprehensive study of relative entropy.

The relative entropy for an inclusion of finite dimensional von Neumann algebras happens to be a fundamental tool in subfactor theory (see [22, 23]). For an inclusion of multi-matrix algebras  $(\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, E)$ , with  $E$  preserving a faithful trace, Pimsner and Popa in [19] have provided very useful formulae for the Probabilistic index  $\lambda(\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}, E)$  and the relative entropy  $H(\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B})$ . Using those formulas, in [20], Pimsner and Popa investigated the relationship between the relative entropy  $H$  and the norm of the inclusion matrix  $A$ . One can observe from [20] that the equality of  $H(\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B})$  and  $\ln \|A\|^2$  is a delicate matter. In this paper, we revisit this problem.

**Theorem 8.7.** *Consider an inclusion of finite dimensional von Neumann algebras  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  with an inclusion matrix  $A$  and suppose  $\mathcal{A}_1$  is the basic construction corresponding to the Markov trace  $\tau$ . If the unique  $\tau$ -preserving conditional expectation has a unitary orthonormal basis, then*

$$H(\mathcal{A}_1|\mathcal{A}) = \ln \|A\|^2.$$

In order to prove the above theorem we first recall a result by Pimsner and Popa.

**Proposition 8.8.** [19] *Let  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$  be arbitrary finite von Neumann algebras with a trace  $tr$  and  $q \in \mathcal{M}$  a projection such that  $E_{\mathcal{N}' \cap \mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{M}}(q) = cq$  for some scalar  $c$  and some projection  $f \in \mathcal{N}' \cap \mathcal{M}$ . Then,*

$$H(\mathcal{M}|\mathcal{N}) \geq c^{-1}tr(\eta E_{\mathcal{N}}(q))$$

*Proof of Theorem 8.7:* Suppose  $tr_{\mathcal{A}}$  is the Markov trace for the inclusion  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ . It is well-known that the minimal conditional expectation  $E_0 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is precisely the unique  $tr_{\mathcal{A}}$ -preserving conditional expectation. Fix a unitary Pimsner-Popa basis  $\{u_i : i \in I\}$  for  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, E_0)$ . The trace  $tr_{\mathcal{A}}$  extends to a trace on  $\mathcal{A}_1$ , denoted by  $tr_{\mathcal{A}_1}$ , which is also the Markov trace for the inclusion  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}_1$ . Define a trace  $tr_{\mathcal{B}'}$  on the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{B}'$  by  $tr_{\mathcal{B}'}(x') = tr_{\mathcal{A}_1}(x_1)$ , where  $x' = J_{\mathcal{A}}x_1J_{\mathcal{A}}$  for some  $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ . It is easy to check that  $tr_{\mathcal{B}'}$  is a trace and moreover, it is the Markov trace for the inclusion  $\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{B}'$ . Following [5][Proposition 2.7] (see also [14][Proposition 2.24]), the unique  $tr_{\mathcal{B}'}$ -preserving conditional expectation  $E_{\mathcal{A}'}^{\mathcal{B}'}$  is given by

$$E_{\mathcal{A}'}^{\mathcal{B}'}(x') = \|A\|^{-2} \sum_i u_i x' u_i^*. \quad (8.1)$$

Now if  $E_0$  has unitary orthonormal basis we note that the dual conditional expectation  $E_1 : \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  will also have a unitary orthonormal basis and therefore, it satisfies the spectral condition as in Theorem 3.5 and hence, it is superextremal (see [10][Proposition 4.4]) and therefore,  $tr_{\mathcal{A}_1}|_{\mathcal{A}' \cap \mathcal{A}_1} = tr_{\mathcal{A}'}|_{\mathcal{A}' \cap \mathcal{A}_1} = tr_{\mathcal{B}'}|_{\mathcal{A}' \cap \mathcal{A}_1}$ . It follows that if  $e_1$  denotes the Jones projection corresponding to  $E_0$  then we must have:

$$E_{\mathcal{A}' \cap \mathcal{A}_1}^{\mathcal{A}_1}(e_1) = E_{\mathcal{A}' \cap \mathcal{A}_1}^{\mathcal{B}' \cap \mathcal{A}_1}(e_1). \quad (8.2)$$

Since,  $\{u_i\}$  is a basis we have  $\sum_i u_i e_1 u_i^* = 1$  (see [1], for instance) and therefore, by Equation (8.1) we get  $E_{\mathcal{A}' \cap \mathcal{A}_1}^{\mathcal{B}' \cap \mathcal{A}_1}(e_1) = \|A\|^{-2}$  and by Equation (8.2),  $E_{\mathcal{A}' \cap \mathcal{A}_1}^{\mathcal{A}_1}(e_1) = \|A\|^{-2}$ . Using Proposition 8.8 we get  $H(\mathcal{A}_1|\mathcal{A}) \geq \ln \|A\|^2$ . By Proposition 2.6.3 of [26], we see that  $\lambda(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}) = \|A\|^{-2}$ . Thanks to Proposition 3.5 of [19], we obtain  $H(\mathcal{A}_1|\mathcal{A}) \leq -\ln \lambda(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}) = \ln \|A\|^2$ . Thus, we conclude that  $H(\mathcal{A}_1|\mathcal{A}) = \ln \|A\|^2$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** This collaboration got initiated at the *Discussion meeting on Linear Analysis* held at Evolve Back, Coorg, during Feb. 19-23, 2023. We thank the Indian Academy of Sciences, Bangalore for funding this program. A part of this work was done when the first author was visiting the second at Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore. Bakshi thanks ISI Bangalore for kind hospitality. Bakshi was supported through a DST INSPIRE faculty grant No. DST/INSPIRE/04/2019/002754. Bhat gratefully acknowledges funding from SERB, Anusandhan National Research Foundation (India) through J C Bose Fellowship No. JBR/2021/000024.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Bakshi, K.: On Pimsner-Popa bases. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.* 127 (2017), no. 1, 117–132.
- [2] Bakshi, K; Gupta, B.: A few remarks on Pimsner-Popa bases and regular subfactors of depth 2. *Glasgow Mathematical Journal.* 64 (2022), no. 3, 586–602.
- [3] Bakshi, K; Guin, S.: On Pimsner-Popa orthonormal basis and Popa’s relative dimension of projection, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.13820>.
- [4] Bhat, B. V. R.; Chakraborty, P.; Franz, U.: Nice error basis and quantum channel. *Open Systems and Information Dynamics.* 31 (2024), No. 01, 2450003.
- [5] Bisch, D.: Bimodules, higher relative commutants and the fusion algebra associated to a subfactor. *Operator algebras and their applications.* (Waterloo, ON, 1994/1995), Fields Inst. Commun., vol. 13, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997, pp. 13–63. MR 1424954
- [6] Ceccherini-Silberstein, T. : On subfactors with unitary orthonormal bases,. *J. Math. Sci.* **137** (2006), no. 5, 5137 - 5160.
- [7] Conlon, A., Crann, J., Kribs, D. W., and Levene, R.L.: Quantum teleportation in the commuting operator framework, *Annales Henri Poincaré*, Volume 24, pages 1779–1821, (2023).
- [8] Connes, A.; Størmer, E.: Entropy for automorphisms of  $II_1$  von Neumann algebras. *Acta Math.* 134 (1975), no. 3-4, 289–306.
- [9] Crann, J; Kribs, D and Pereira, R. : Orthogonal unitary bases and a subfactor conjecture. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society.* 151 (2023), no 9, 3793–3799.
- [10] Giorgetti, L; Longo, R.: Minimal index and dimension for 2- $C^*$ -categories with finite-dimensional centers. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 370 (2019), no. 2, 719–757.
- [11] Izumi, M.: Inclusions of simple  $C^*$ -algebras. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 547 (2002), 97–138.
- [12] Jones, V. F. R.: Index for subfactors. *Invent. Math.* 72 (1983), no. 1, 1–25.
- [13] Jones, V. F. R.; Sunder, V. S.: Introduction to subfactors. *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*, 234. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [14] Jones, V.F.R; D. Penneys.: The embedding theorem for finite depth subfactor planar algebras. *Quantum Topol.* 2 (2011), no. 3, 301–337.
- [15] Klappenecker, A.; Rotteler, M.: On the monomiality of nice error bases. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 51, (2005), no.3, 1084–1089.
- [16] Knill, E.: Group representations, error bases and quantum codes. *Los Alamos National Laboratory Report LAUR-96-2807*, 1996.
- [17] Moretó, A.: Complex group algebras of finite groups: Brauer’s Problem 1. *Advances in Mathematics.* 208 (2007) 236–248.
- [18] Neshveyev, S; Størmer, E.: Dynamical entropy in operator algebras. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [19] Pimsner, M.; Popa, S.: Entropy and index for subfactors. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) 19 (1986), no. 1, 57–106.
- [20] Pimsner, M; Popa, S.: Finite dimensional approximation for pairs of algebras and obstructions for the index. *J. Funct. Anal.* 98 (1991), no. 2, 270–291.
- [21] Popa, S.: Orthogonal pairs of  $*$ -subalgebras in finite von Neumann algebras. *J. Operator Theory* 9 (1983), no. 2, 253–268.
- [22] Popa, S.: Classification of amenable subfactors of type II. *Acta Math.* 172 (1994), no. 2, 163–255.
- [23] Popa, S.: Some properties of the symmetric enveloping algebra of a subfactor, with applications to amenability and property T. *Doc. Math.* 4 (1999), 665–744.
- [24] Popa, S.: Asymptotic orthogonalization of subalgebras in  $II_1$  factors. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 55 (2019), no. 4, 795–809.
- [25] Umegaki, U.: Conditional expectation in an operator algebra. *Tôhoku Math. J.* (2), 6:177–181, 1954.
- [26] Watatani, Y.: Index for  $C^*$ -subalgebras, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 83, no. 424, 1990.
- [27] Watrous, J.: Quantum information theory. Cambridge University Press. (2018).
- [28] Werner, R.F.: All teleportation and dense coding schemes. *J. Phys. A.* 34(35), 7081–7094 (2001)

(Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh 208016, India)

*Email address:* keshab@iitk.ac.in, bakshi209@gmail.com

(Statistics and Mathematics Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, R V College Post, Bangalore - 560059, India)

*Email address:* bvrajaramabhat@gmail.com