

Global Solvability for the Compressible Hookean Viscoelastic Fluids with a Free Boundary in Some Classes of Large Data

Fei Jiang^{a,b}, Youyi Zhao^{a,b,*}

^a*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou, 350108, China.*

^b*Key Laboratory of Operations Research and Control of Universities in Fujian, Fuzhou 350108, China.*

Abstract

Recently Jiang–Jiang established a global (in time) existence result for unique strong solutions of the two-dimensional (2D) free-boundary problem of an incompressible Hookean viscoelastic fluid, the rest state of which is defined in a slab, in some classes of large data [28]. In particular, Jiang–Jiang’s mathematical result shows that, if the initial free boundary is flat, the way the elastic deformation under the large elasticity coefficient κ acts on the free boundary prevents the natural tendency of the fluid to form singularities, even when the initial velocity is properly large. However it is not clear whether their result can be extended to the corresponding *3D case*. In this paper, we further find a similar result in the *3D stratified (immiscible) compressible Hookean viscoelastic fluids in an infinite slab with two restrictive conditions*: that the elasticity coefficients of two fluids are equal, and that the initial density functions satisfy the asymptotic stability condition in Lagrangian coordinates. These two restrictive conditions in the compressible case contribute us to avoid the essential obstacles that would be faced in the extension of Jiang–Jiang’s result from two dimensions to our 3D case. In addition, we can further obtain a new result regarding the vanishing phenomena of the nonlinear interactions of solutions with the fixed initial velocity and the initial zero perturbation deformation. Such a new result roughly presents that the solutions of the problem considered by us can be approximated by the ones of a linear problem for sufficiently large κ .

Keywords: Compressible viscoelastic fluids; Stratified fluids; Exponential time-decay; Large initial velocity; Vanishing phenomena of nonlinear interactions.

1. Introduction

Viscoelastic materials include a wide range of fluids with elastic properties, as well as solids with fluid properties. The models of viscoelastic fluids formulated by Oldroyd, in particular the classical Oldroyd-B model, have been studied by many authors. In this paper, we consider the following incompressible Oldroyd model which includes a viscous stress component and a stress component for a neo-Hookean solid [13, 44]:

$$\begin{cases} \rho v_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho v) = 0, \\ \rho v_t + \rho v \cdot \nabla v + \operatorname{div} \mathcal{S}(\rho, v, U) = 0, \\ U_t + v \cdot \nabla U = \nabla v U, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

*Corresponding author.

Email addresses: jiangfei0591@163.com (Fei Jiang), zhaoyouyi957@163.com (Youyi Zhao)

where the tensor $\mathcal{S}(\rho, v, U)$ is given as follows:

$$\mathcal{S}(\rho, v, U) := P(\rho)\mathbb{I} - \mathcal{V}(v) - \kappa (UU^\top / \det U - \mathbb{I}). \quad (1.2)$$

We call (1.1)₁ the continuity equation, (1.1)₂ the momentum equations and (1.1)₃ the deformation equations. Next we shall introduce the mathematical notations in the above motion equations.

The unknowns $\rho := \rho(x, t)$, $v := v(x, t)$ and $U := U(x, t)$ represent the density, velocity and deformation tensor (a 3×3 matrix valued function) of the viscoelastic fluid, resp. The pressure function $P(\tau) \in C^4(\mathbb{R}^+)$ in (1.1) is always assumed to be positive and strictly increasing with respect to τ , and the viscosity (stress) tensor $\mathcal{V}(v)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{V}(v) := \mu \mathbb{D}v + \lambda \operatorname{div} v \mathbb{I} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbb{D}v := \nabla v + \nabla v^\top,$$

where $\lambda := \varsigma - 2\mu/3$, and the parameters $\mu > 0$ and $\varsigma \geq 0$ represent the shear and bulk viscosity coefficients, resp.; κ denotes the elasticity coefficient (i.e., the ratio between the kinetic and elastic energies) [36], and the term $\kappa \operatorname{div} (UU^\top / \det U - \mathbb{I})$ represents the elasticity, where $\det U$ means the determinant of U . In addition the letter \mathbb{I} and the superscript \top in (1.2) are the 3×3 identity matrix and the transpose, resp.

For strong solutions of both the Cauchy and the initial-boundary value problems of (1.1), the authors in [14–17, 44] have established the global (in time) existence of solutions in various functional spaces whenever the initial data is a small perturbation around the rest state $(\bar{\rho}, 0, \mathbb{I})$, where the constant $\bar{\rho} > 0$ denotes the equilibrium density. The global existence of weak solutions to (1.1) with small perturbations near the rest state is established by Hu [11]. We also refer to [43, 53] in relevant progresses. However, it is still a longstanding open problem whether a global solution of the equations of compressible viscoelastic fluids exists for any general large initial data, even in the two-dimensional case. At present, other mathematical topics for viscoelastic fluids have also been widely investigated, such as the decay-in-time of solutions with small perturbations [17, 20, 42], the incompressible limit [34], the corresponding incompressible case [7, 12, 33, 37, 38] and so on. We also refer the readers to [3, 18, 19, 39, 40, 48] and the references cited therein for the local/global existence of solutions to the other closely related models in viscoelastic fluids.

Viscoelasticity is a material property that exhibits both viscous and elastic characteristics under deformation. In particular, an elastic fluid strains when it is stretched and quickly returns to its original state once the stress is removed. This means that the elasticity will has a stabilizing effect on the motion of viscoelastic fluids. In fact Jiang et al. verified that the elasticity can inhibit the Rayleigh–Taylor instability and thermal instability for a properly large elasticity coefficient κ in Hookean viscoelastic fluids [24, 29–31]. Recently, Ishigaki also found that the elasticity in viscoelastic fluids can accelerate the decay-in-time of the L^p estimates with $p > 2$ [20]. This stabilizing effect can be also observed in the incompressible elastic fluids (i.e., in the absence of viscosity). For example, in [45, 46], the authors established an interesting global existence results of global (in time) classical solutions with small initial data for the incompressible elastic fluids. Note that such a result is not known for Euler equations, where the elastic effect is not present. Later Lei and Wang further independently obtained the global (in time) classical solutions with the stability of lower-order derivatives for the 2D elastic fluid system [32, 49]. In addition, the stabilizing effect of elasticity on the local-in-time motion of elastic fluids can be found in the free-boundary case, interested readers can refer to [5] for the vortex sheet problem and [35] for the Rayleigh–Taylor problem. We mention that recently the well-posedness of the free-boundary problems of incompressible/compressible elastic/viscoelastic fluids have been widely investigated, see [9, 10, 47, 50, 51] and the references cited therein.

Motivated by the inhibition phenomenon of the Rayleigh–Taylor instability by elasticity in viscoelastic fluids for a properly large elasticity coefficient κ in [29], Jiang–Jiang obtained a global existence result of strong solutions to the initial value problem of an incompressible/compressible Hookean viscoelastic fluid defined in a periodic domain, when the initial velocity is *relatively* smaller than the elasticity coefficient [27]. This means that the strong elasticity can prevent the development of singularities even when the initial velocity is large, thus playing a similar role to viscosity in preventing the formation of singularities in viscous flows. Recently, Jiang–Jiang further established a similar result in the system of incompressible viscoelastic fluids with a free boundary by developing new ideas. More precisely, for any given initial velocity $v|_{t=0}$ perturbing the rest state of an incompressible viscoelastic fluid with a free boundary, the elasticity under the relatively larger κ can prevent the formation of singularities on the free boundary for the 2D case, in which the fluid domain of the rest state is a slab with a fixed lower boundary and an upper free boundary. Jiang–Jiang’s mathematical result shows that, if the initial free boundary is flat, the way the elastic deformation under the relatively larger κ acts on the free boundary prevents the natural tendency of the fluid to form singularities, even when the initial velocity is properly large. We mention that the self-overlap singularities of the free boundary may form if κ is relatively smaller than the initial perturbation velocity, please refer to Di Iorio–Marcati–Spirito’s result for the formation of splash singularities on the free boundary of a 2D incompressible viscoelastic fluid [8], which extends the result obtained by Castro, Córdoba, Fefferman, Gancedo and Gómez-Serrano for the Navier–Stokes equations in [4].

Unfortunately it seems to be difficult to further extend Jiang–Jiang’s result for the 2D free-boundary problem of incompressible viscoelastic fluids in [28] to the corresponding 3D case due to some essential obstacles arising from the free boundary and the pressure function. In this paper, however, we find a similar result in the stratified (immiscible) *compressible* viscoelastic fluids (with an internal free interface) in a slab, where both elasticity coefficients of the two fluids shall be equal (i.e., the condition of uniform elasticity coefficients) and the initial density functions satisfy the asymptotic stability condition of density (see (2.24)) in Lagrangian coordinates (see Theorem 2.1 for details). In addition, we further derive the vanishing phenomena of the nonlinear interactions (i.e., the solution of the nonlinear problem can be approximately by the one of a linear problem) in the Lagrangian coordinates as $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ (see Theorem 2.2 for details). We mention that such a conclusion can not be expected in the incompressible case with a free boundary, as discussed in [28].

2. Main results

Before further stating our main results in details, we shall first formulate our problem.

2.1. A Model of stratified Hookean viscoelastic fluids

We consider two distinct, immiscible, Hookean viscoelastic fluids evolving in a moving domain $\Omega(t) = \Omega_+(t) \cup \Omega_-(t)$ for time $t \geq 0$. The upper fluid fills the upper domain

$$\Omega_+(t) := \{(x_h, x_3)^\top \mid x_h := (x_1, x_2)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^2, d(x_h, t) < x_3 < h_+\}, \quad (2.1)$$

and the lower fluid fills the lower domain

$$\Omega_-(t) := \{(x_h, x_3)^\top \mid x_h \in \mathbb{R}^2, h_- < x_3 < d(x_h, t)\}. \quad (2.2)$$

We assume that h_+ and h_- are two fixed and given constants satisfying $h_- < h_+$, but the internal surface function $d := d(x_h, t)$ is free and unknown. The internal surface

$$\Sigma(t) := \{x_3 = d\} \quad (2.3)$$

moves between the two Hookean viscoelastic fluids, and $\Sigma_{\pm} := \{x_3 = h_{\pm}\}$ are the fixed upper and lower boundaries of $\Omega(t)$, resp.

We use the equations in (1.1) to describe the motion of the stratified compressible Hookean viscoelastic fluids, and add the subscript $+$, resp. $-$ to the notations of the known physical parameters, pressure functions and other unknown functions in (1.1) for the upper, resp. lower fluids. Thus the motion equations of the stratified compressible Hookean viscoelastic fluids read as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho_{\pm} + \operatorname{div}(\rho_{\pm} v_{\pm}) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_{\pm}(t), \\ \rho_{\pm} \partial_t v_{\pm} + \rho_{\pm} v_{\pm} \cdot \nabla v_{\pm} + \operatorname{div} \mathcal{S}_{\pm}(\rho_{\pm}, v_{\pm}, U_{\pm}) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_{\pm}(t), \\ \partial_t U_{\pm} + v_{\pm} \cdot \nabla U_{\pm} = \nabla v_{\pm} U_{\pm} & \text{in } \Omega_{\pm}(t). \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

Here the notation \mathcal{S}_{\pm} are defined by (1.2) with $(v_{\pm}, U_{\pm}, P_{\pm}, \mu_{\pm}, \varsigma_{\pm}, \kappa_{\pm})$ in place of $(v, U, P, \mu, \varsigma, \kappa)$, $P_{\pm} := P_{\pm}(\tau)|_{\tau=\rho_{\pm}}$ denote the two different pressure functions of the upper and lower Hookean viscoelastic fluids.

Motivated by both models of the stratified compressible viscous fluids in [22] and the incompressible Hookean viscoelastic fluid with a free boundary [50], for two Hookean viscoelastic fluids meeting at a free boundary, the standard assumptions are that the velocity is continuous across the interface and that the jump in the normal stress is zero under *ignoring the internal surface tension*. This requires us to enforce the jump conditions

$$[[v]] = 0 \text{ and } [[\mathcal{S}]]\vec{\nu} = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma(t), \quad (2.5)$$

where we have written the normal vector to the boundary $\Sigma(t)$ as $\vec{\nu}$, denoted the interfacial jump by $[[f]] := f_+|_{\Sigma(t)} - f_-|_{\Sigma(t)}$, and $f_{\pm}|_{\Sigma(t)}$ are the traces of the functions f_{\pm} on $\Sigma(t)$. We mention that our results in Theorems 2.1–2.2 can be extended to the case with internal surface tension, please refer to [52] for details on how to further estimate the internal surface tension. We will also enforce the condition that the fluid velocity vanishes at the fixed boundaries; we implement this via the boundary conditions

$$v_{\pm} = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma_{\pm}. \quad (2.6)$$

To simplify the representation of (2.4) and (2.6), we introduce the indicator functions $\chi_{\Omega_{\pm}(t)}$ and denote

$$\rho := \rho_+ \chi_{\Omega_+(t)} + \rho_- \chi_{\Omega_-(t)}, \quad v := v_+ \chi_{\Omega_+(t)} + v_- \chi_{\Omega_-(t)}, \quad U = U_+ \chi_{\Omega_+(t)} + U_- \chi_{\Omega_-(t)}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\mu := \mu_+ \chi_{\Omega_+(t)} + \mu_- \chi_{\Omega_-(t)}, \quad \varsigma := \varsigma_+ \chi_{\Omega_+(t)} + \varsigma_- \chi_{\Omega_-(t)}, \quad \kappa := \kappa_+ \chi_{\Omega_+(t)} + \kappa_- \chi_{\Omega_-(t)}. \quad (2.8)$$

Thus one has

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho v) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega(t), \\ \rho v_t + \rho v \cdot \nabla v + \operatorname{div} \mathcal{S} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega(t), \\ U_t + v \cdot \nabla U = \nabla v U & \text{in } \Omega(t) \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$v = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma_{\pm}^+, \quad (2.10)$$

where \mathcal{S} is defined by (1.2) with $(\rho, v, U, P, \mu, \varsigma)$ given by (2.7)–(2.8), and $\Sigma_{\pm}^{\pm} := \Sigma_{\pm} \cup \Sigma_{\pm}$. Moreover, under the first jump condition in (2.5), the internal surface function is defined by v , i.e.,

$$d_t + v_1(x_h, d)\partial_1 d + v_2(x_h, d)\partial_2 d = v_3(x_h, d) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (2.11)$$

Finally, we impose the initial data for (ρ, v, U, d) :

$$(\rho, v, U)|_{t=0} := (\rho^0, v^0, U^0) \text{ in } \Omega \setminus \Sigma(0) \text{ and } d|_{t=0} = d^0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (2.12)$$

where $\Omega := \mathbb{R}^2 \times (h_-, h_+)$ and $\Sigma(0) = \{x_3 = d(x_h, 0)\}$. Then (2.5) and (2.9)–(2.12) constitute an initial-boundary value problem for stratified compressible Hookean viscoelastic fluids with a free interface, which we call the SCVF model for simplicity.

Now let us consider a rest state of the SCVF model. Choose a constant $\bar{d} \in (h_-, h_+)$, and consider positive density constants $\bar{\rho}_{\pm}$, which satisfy the equilibrium state

$$\begin{cases} \nabla P_{\pm}(\bar{\rho}_{\pm}) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_{\pm}, \\ \llbracket P(\bar{\rho}) \rrbracket \mathbf{e}^3 = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

where $\mathbf{e}^3 := (0, 0, 1)^{\top}$,

$$\Sigma := \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{\bar{d}\}, \quad \Omega_+ := \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{\bar{d} < x_3 < h_+\} \text{ and } \Omega_- := \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{h_- < x_3 < \bar{d}\}.$$

Let $\bar{\rho} := \bar{\rho}_+ \chi_{\Omega_+} + \bar{\rho}_- \chi_{\Omega_-}$. Then $(\rho, v, U) = (\bar{\rho}, 0, \mathbb{I})$ with $d = \bar{d}$ is a rest state (solution) of the SCVF model. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\bar{d} = 0$ in this paper. If \bar{d} is not zero, we can adjust the x_3 -coordinate to make $\bar{d} = 0$. Thus $h_- < 0$, and d can be called the displacement function of the point at the interface deviating from the plane Σ .

2.2. Reformulation in Lagrangian coordinates

It is well-known that the movement of the free interface $\Sigma(t)$ and the subsequent change of the domains $\Omega_{\pm}(t)$ in Eulerian coordinates will result in severe mathematical difficulties. Hence, we shall switch our verification to Lagrangian coordinates, so that the interface and the domains are the fixed plane and the fixed domains, resp. To this purpose, we take Ω_+ and Ω_- to be the fixed Lagrangian domains, and assume that there exist invertible mappings

$$\zeta_{\pm}^0 : \Omega_{\pm} \rightarrow \Omega_{\pm}(0),$$

such that $\det(\nabla \zeta_{\pm}^0) \neq 0$,

$$\Sigma(0) = \zeta_{\pm}^0(\Sigma), \quad \Sigma_+ = \zeta_+^0(\Sigma_+) \text{ and } \Sigma_- = \zeta_-^0(\Sigma_-). \quad (2.14)$$

The first condition in (2.14) means that the initial interface $\Sigma(0)$ is parameterized by the mapping ζ_{\pm}^0 restricted to Σ , while the latter two conditions in (2.14) mean that ζ_{\pm}^0 map the fixed upper and lower boundaries into themselves. Define the flow maps ζ_{\pm} as the solutions to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \zeta_{\pm}(y, t) = v_{\pm}(\zeta_{\pm}(y, t), t) & \text{in } \Omega_{\pm}, \\ \zeta_{\pm}(y, 0) = \zeta_{\pm}^0(y) & \text{in } \Omega_{\pm}. \end{cases}$$

We denote the Eulerian coordinates by (x, t) with $x = \zeta(y, t)$, whereas the fixed $(y, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+$ stand for the Lagrangian coordinates.

In order to switch back and forth from Lagrangian to Eulerian coordinates, we assume that $\zeta_{\pm}(\cdot, t)$ are invertible and $\Omega_{\pm}(t) = \zeta_{\pm}(\Omega_{\pm}, t)$. Since v_{\pm} and ζ_{\pm}^0 are all continuous across Σ , we have $\Sigma(t) = \zeta_{\pm}(\Sigma, t)$, i.e.,

$$[[\zeta]] = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma. \quad (2.15)$$

In other words, the Eulerian domains of upper and lower fluids are the images of Ω_{\pm} under the mappings ζ_{\pm} , and the free interface is the image of Σ under the mappings $\zeta_{\pm}(\cdot, t)$. In addition, in view of the non-slip boundary condition $v_{\pm}|_{\Sigma_{\pm}} = 0$, we have

$$y = \zeta_{\pm}(y, t) \text{ on } \Sigma_{\pm}.$$

From now on, we define that $\zeta = \zeta_+ \chi_{\Omega_+} + \zeta_- \chi_{\Omega_-}$, $\zeta^0 := \zeta_+^0 \chi_{\Omega_+} + \zeta_-^0 \chi_{\Omega_-}$ and $\eta := \zeta - y$.

Next we introduce some notations involving η . We define $\mathcal{A} := (\mathcal{A}_{ij})_{3 \times 3}$ via $\mathcal{A}^{\top} = (\nabla(\eta + y))^{-1} := (\partial_j(\eta + y)_i)_{3 \times 3}^{-1}$, and the differential operators $\nabla_{\mathcal{A}}$, $\text{div}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}$ are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\mathcal{A}} w &:= (\nabla_{\mathcal{A}} w_1, \nabla_{\mathcal{A}} w_2, \nabla_{\mathcal{A}} w_3)^{\top}, \quad \nabla_{\mathcal{A}} w_i := (\mathcal{A}_{1k} \partial_k w_i, \mathcal{A}_{2k} \partial_k w_i, \mathcal{A}_{3k} \partial_k w_i)^{\top}, \\ \text{div}_{\mathcal{A}}(f_1, f_2, f_3)^{\top} &= (\text{div}_{\mathcal{A}} f_1, \text{div}_{\mathcal{A}} f_2, \text{div}_{\mathcal{A}} f_3)^{\top}, \quad \text{div}_{\mathcal{A}} f_i := \mathcal{A}_{lk} \partial_k f_{il}, \\ \Delta_{\mathcal{A}} w &:= (\Delta_{\mathcal{A}} w_1, \Delta_{\mathcal{A}} w_2, \Delta_{\mathcal{A}} w_3)^{\top} \text{ and } \Delta_{\mathcal{A}} w_i := \text{div}_{\mathcal{A}} \nabla_{\mathcal{A}} w_i \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

for vector functions $w := (w_1, w_2, w_3)^{\top}$ and $f_i := (f_{i1}, f_{i2}, f_{i3})^{\top}$, where we have used the Einstein convention of summation over repeated indices and ∂_k denotes the partial derivative with respect to the k -th component of the variable y , i.e., $\partial_k := \partial_{y_k}$.

Finally, we further introduce some properties of \mathcal{A} .

- (1) In view of the definition of \mathcal{A} , one can deduce the following two important properties:

$$\partial_l(J\mathcal{A}_{kl}) = 0 \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$\partial_i(\eta + y)_k \mathcal{A}_{kj} = \mathcal{A}_{ik} \partial_k(\eta + y)_j = \delta_{ij}, \quad (2.18)$$

where $J = \det(\nabla(\eta + y))$, $\delta_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq j$, and $\delta_{ij} = 1$ for $i = j$. The relation (2.17) is often called the geometric identity.

- (2) We can evaluate that $J\mathcal{A}e^3 = \partial_1(\eta + y) \times \partial_2(\eta + y)$, and thus, by (2.15),

$$[[J\mathcal{A}e^3]] = 0. \quad (2.19)$$

- (3) In view of (2.19), the unit normal \vec{n} to $\Sigma(t) = \zeta(\Sigma, t)$ can be written as follows:

$$\vec{n} = \tilde{n}|_{\Sigma} \text{ with } \tilde{n} := \frac{J\mathcal{A}e^3}{|J\mathcal{A}e^3|}. \quad (2.20)$$

In Lagrangian coordinates, the displacement gradient tensors $\tilde{U}_{\pm}(y, t)$ are defined by a Jacobi matrix of $\zeta_{\pm}(y, t)$:

$$\tilde{U}_{\pm}(y, t) := \nabla \zeta_{\pm}(y, t), \text{ i.e., } \tilde{U}_{ij} := \partial_j(\zeta_{\pm}(y, t))_i.$$

When we study this deformation tensor in Eulerian coordinates, we shall denote it by

$$U_{\pm}(x, t) := \tilde{U}_{\pm}(\zeta_{\pm}^{-1}(x, t), t).$$

Moreover, by virtue of the chain rule, it is easy to check that $U_{\pm}(x, t)$ satisfy the transport equation (2.4)₃. This means that the deformation tensor in Lagrangian coordinates can be directly represented by ζ_{\pm} , namely $U_{\pm} = \nabla \zeta_{\pm}|_{y=\zeta_{\pm}^{-1}(x, t)}$, if the initial data U^0 also satisfies

$$U_{\pm}^0 = \nabla \zeta_{\pm}^0 ((\zeta_{\pm}^0)^{-1}(x, t), t). \quad (2.21)$$

Define the Lagrangian unknowns

$$(\varrho, u)(y, t) = (\rho, v)(\zeta(y, t), t) \text{ for } (y, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+,$$

then the transformed (stratified) viscoelastic problem in Lagrangian coordinates reads as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \zeta_t = u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \varrho_t + \varrho \operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{A}} u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \varrho u_t + \operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}(\varrho, u) - \kappa(\nabla \zeta \nabla \zeta^{\top} / J - \mathbb{I})) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \llbracket \zeta \rrbracket = \llbracket u \rrbracket = 0, \quad \llbracket (\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}(\varrho, u) - \kappa(\nabla \zeta \nabla \zeta^{\top} / J - \mathbb{I})) \vec{n} \rrbracket = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ (\zeta, u) = (y, 0) & \text{on } \Sigma_{-}^+, \\ (\varrho, \zeta, u)|_{t=0} = (\varrho^0, \zeta^0, u^0) & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (2.22)$$

where we have defined that $\Omega := \Omega_+ \cup \Omega_-$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}(\varrho, u) &:= P(\varrho)\mathbb{I} - \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}}(u), \quad \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}}(u) := \mu \mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}} u + \lambda \operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{A}} u \mathbb{I}, \quad \lambda := \varsigma - 2\mu/3, \\ \mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}} u &:= \nabla_{\mathcal{A}} u + \nabla_{\mathcal{A}} u^{\top} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{n} := \frac{J \mathcal{A} \mathbf{e}^3}{|J \mathcal{A} \mathbf{e}^3|} \text{ represents the unit normal to } \Sigma(t). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we proceed to rewrite the density and the elasticity in Lagrangian coordinates. Viscoelasticity is a material property that exhibits both viscous and elastic characteristics when undergoing deformation. In particular, a viscoelastic fluid strains when stretched, and quickly returns to its rest state once the stress is removed. Therefore, we naturally have the following asymptotic behaviors after the rest state of the viscoelastic fluid being perturbed:

$$\zeta \rightarrow y \text{ and } \varrho(y, t) \rightarrow \bar{\rho} \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.23)$$

It follows from (2.22)₁ that $J_t = J \operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{A}} u$, which, together with (2.22)₂, yields

$$\partial_t(\varrho J) = 0.$$

Thus we deduce from the above identity and the asymptotic behavior (2.23) that

$$\varrho J = \varrho^0 J^0 = \bar{\rho}, \quad (2.24)$$

which implies $\varrho = \bar{\rho} J^{-1}$, provided the initial data (ϱ^0, J^0) satisfies

$$\varrho^0 = \bar{\rho} J_0^{-1}, \quad (2.25)$$

where ϱ^0 and J^0 are the initial data of ϱ and J , resp. We call (2.24) *the asymptotic stability condition of density* in Lagrangian coordinates.

It is worth noting that by (2.17) and the relation (2.18), we have

$$\operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{A}}(\nabla \zeta \nabla \zeta^{\top} / J) = J^{-1} \operatorname{div}(J \mathcal{A}^{\top} \nabla \zeta \nabla \zeta^{\top} / J) = J^{-1} \Delta \eta. \quad (2.26)$$

Similarly, by virtue of (2.18)–(2.20), we get

$$\llbracket \kappa(\nabla\zeta\nabla\zeta^\top/J - \mathbb{I})\bar{n} \rrbracket = \llbracket \kappa(\nabla\zeta\mathbf{e}^3 - J\mathcal{A}\mathbf{e}^3) \rrbracket / |J\mathcal{A}\mathbf{e}^3| \text{ on } \Sigma. \quad (2.27)$$

Under the assumptions (2.21) and (2.25), we can use the relations (2.24), (2.26) and (2.27) to rewrite (2.22) as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \eta_t = u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \bar{\rho}u_t + J\operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{\rho}J^{-1}, u) = \kappa\Delta\eta & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \llbracket \eta \rrbracket = \llbracket u \rrbracket = 0, \quad \llbracket \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{\rho}J^{-1}, u)J\mathcal{A}\mathbf{e}^3 \rrbracket = \llbracket \kappa((\nabla\eta + \mathbb{I})\mathbf{e}^3 - J\mathcal{A}\mathbf{e}^3) \rrbracket & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ (\eta, u) = (0, 0) & \text{on } \Sigma_-^+, \\ (\eta, u)|_{t=0} = (\eta^0, u^0) & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (2.28)$$

where $\eta = \zeta - y$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{\rho}J^{-1}, u) := P(\bar{\rho}J^{-1})\mathbb{I} - \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}}(u)$. If the initial-boundary value problem (2.28) admits a solution (η, u) , then we further get the both functions of density and the deformation tensor in Lagrangian coordinates by the relations

$$\varrho = \bar{\rho}J^{-1} \text{ and } \tilde{U} = \nabla(\eta + y). \quad (2.29)$$

Due to the mathematical difficulty arising from $\kappa_+ \neq \kappa_-$ on the interface, we also consider the case of κ being uniform as in [5, 6, 35], i.e.,

$$\kappa_+ = \kappa_-. \quad (2.30)$$

Under such case, thanks to (2.19), the initial-boundary value problem (2.28) reduces to

$$\begin{cases} \eta_t = u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \bar{\rho}u_t + J\operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{\rho}J^{-1}, u) = \kappa\Delta\eta & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \llbracket \eta \rrbracket = \llbracket u \rrbracket = 0, \quad \llbracket \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{\rho}J^{-1}, u)J\mathcal{A}\mathbf{e}^3 - \kappa\nabla\eta\mathbf{e}^3 \rrbracket = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ (\eta, u) = (0, 0) & \text{on } \Sigma_-^+, \\ (\eta, u)|_{t=0} = (\eta^0, u^0) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.31)$$

2.3. Notations

To conveniently stating our results and presenting their proofs, we shall introduce some simplified notations:

(1) Basic notations: $\Omega := \mathbb{R}^2 \times (h_-, h_+)$, $f := \int_{\Omega}$, $f_{\Sigma} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}$. $\mathbb{R}_0^+ := [0, \infty)$, $a \lesssim b$ means that $a \leq cb$ for some positive constant c , which is independent of κ but may depend on the domain Ω and the other known physical parameters, such as $\bar{\rho}_{\pm}$, μ_{\pm} and ς_{\pm} , and may vary from line to line or from place to place. However sometimes we also renew to denote the constant c by C_i for $1 \leq i \leq 3$ (or c_j for $1 \leq j \leq 5$), under such case, C_i (or c_j) are still independent of κ but do not vary from line to line or from place to place. The notation $\partial_{\mathbf{h}}^{\alpha}$ denotes $\partial_1^{\alpha_1}\partial_2^{\alpha_2}$ for some multi-index of order $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$.

(2) Simplified Banach spaces, norms and semi-norms:

$$\begin{aligned} L^p &:= L^p(\Omega), \quad H^i := W^{i,2}(\Omega), \quad \|\cdot\|_{L^p} := \|\cdot\|_{L^p(\Omega)}, \quad \|\cdot\|_i := \|\cdot\|_{H^i}, \\ \|\cdot\|_{i,j}^2 &:= \sum_{|\alpha|=i} \|\partial_{\mathbf{h}}^{\alpha} \cdot\|_j^2, \quad \|\cdot\|_{\underline{i},j}^2 := \sum_{k=0}^i \|\cdot\|_{k,j}^2, \\ |\cdot|_s &:= \|\cdot\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \quad |\cdot|_{L^p} := \|\cdot\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $1 < p \leq \infty$, s is a real number, and i, j are non-negative integers. It should be noted that

$$|f|_{i+1/2} := |f|_{\Omega_+}|_{i+1/2} + |f|_{\Omega_-}|_{i+1/2}, \quad \text{if } f \in H^{i+1} \text{ with } i \geq 0.$$

In addition, we also use the simplified norm $\|\aleph(f_1, \dots, f_n)\|_X := \sqrt{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|\aleph f_i\|_X^2}$, where the number of components of the vector functions f_1, \dots, f_n may be different to each other, and \aleph represents a differential operator.

(3) Simplified functional classes: for non-negative integer $i \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} H^{1,i} &:= \{f \in H^i \mid \partial_h^\alpha f \in H^i \text{ for } 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 1\}, \\ H_*^{1,3} &:= \{f \in H^{1,3} \mid \zeta := f(y, t) + y : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega \text{ is a } C^1\text{-homeomorphism,} \\ &\quad \text{mapping, and satisfies } 1/2 \leq \det \nabla \zeta \leq 3/2\}, \\ H_0^{1,i} &:= \{f \in H^{1,i} \mid f|_{\Sigma_-^+} = 0 \text{ and } \llbracket f \rrbracket = 0\}, \quad H_{*,0}^{1,3} := H_*^{1,3} \cap H_0^{1,1}. \end{aligned}$$

(4) Energy/dissipation functionals:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(t) &:= \kappa^{-2} (\|u\|_{\underline{1},2}^2 + \|u_t\|_{\underline{1},0}^2) + \kappa^{-1} (\|\eta\|_{\underline{1},3}^2 + \|u\|_{\underline{1},1}^2) + \|\eta\|_{\underline{1},2}^2 \\ &\quad + \|(\operatorname{div} \eta, u)\|_{\underline{3},0}^2 + (1 + \kappa) \|\eta\|_{\underline{3},1}^2, \\ \mathcal{D}(t) &:= \kappa^{-2} (\|u\|_{\underline{1},3}^2 + \|u_t\|_{\underline{1},1}^2) + \kappa^{-1} \|u\|_{\underline{1},2}^2 + \|\eta\|_{\underline{1},3}^2 + \kappa^{1/2} \|\eta\|_{\underline{1},2}^2 \\ &\quad + \|u\|_{\underline{3},1}^2 + \kappa \|\eta\|_{\underline{3},1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

2.4. Global solvability in some classes of large data

Our first result concerns the existence of global solutions for the initial-boundary value problem (2.31) in some classes of large data.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $(\eta^0, u^0) \in H_{*,0}^{1,3} \times H_0^{1,2}$. We further assume that κ is a constant, i.e., $\kappa_- = \kappa_+$. There exist positive constants c_1 (sufficiently small) and c_2 , such that if initial data (η^0, u^0) satisfies the compatibility condition*

$$\llbracket \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}^0}(\bar{\rho} J_0^{-1}, u^0) J^0 \mathcal{A}^0 \mathbf{e}^3 - \kappa \nabla \eta^0 \mathbf{e}^3 \rrbracket = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma \quad (2.32)$$

and the condition of large elastic coefficient

$$\kappa^{-1} \max \left\{ (4c_2(1 + \kappa^{-8})E^0)^{1/14}, (4c_2(1 + \kappa^{-8})E^0)^8 \right\} \leq c_1, \quad (2.33)$$

then the initial-boundary value problem (2.31) admits a unique strong solution $(\eta, u) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}_0^+, H_{*,0}^{1,3} \times H_0^{1,2})$; moreover, it enjoys the stability estimate

$$\mathcal{E}(t) + \int_0^t \mathcal{D}(\tau) e^{\frac{(\tau-t)}{c_3(1+\kappa^{-1})}} d\tau \lesssim e^{-\frac{t}{c_3(1+\kappa^{-1})}} (1 + \kappa^{-8}) E^0 \quad (2.34)$$

for some positive constant $c_3 > 0$, where we have defined that

$$\begin{aligned} E^0 &:= \kappa^{-2} \|u^0\|_{\underline{1},2}^2 + \kappa^{-1} (\|\eta^0\|_{\underline{1},3}^2 + \|u^0\|_{\underline{1},1}^2) + \|\eta^0\|_{\underline{1},2}^2 \\ &\quad + \|(\operatorname{div} \eta^0, u^0)\|_{\underline{3},0}^2 + (1 + \kappa) \|\eta^0\|_{\underline{3},1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.1. Recalling that the solution η in Theorem 2.1 satisfies $\zeta(y, t) := \eta(y, t) + y \in H_*^{1,3}$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$, we can easily obtain an existence result of strong solutions to the original problem, i.e., the SCVF model, by an inverse transformation of Lagrangian coordinates and taking c_1 sufficiently small, where $\Omega_+(t)$, $\Omega_-(t)$ and $\Sigma(t)$ are defined by (2.1)–(2.3) with $d := \zeta_3(\zeta_h^{-1}(x_h, t), 0, t)$, resp. [23]. In addition, let

$$\alpha = \kappa^{-2} \|u^0\|_{\underline{1},2}^2 + \kappa^{-1} \|u^0\|_{\underline{1},1}^2 + \|u^0\|_{\underline{3},0}^2,$$

then the condition of large elasticity coefficient in (2.33) with $\eta^0 = 0$ reduces to

$$\max \left\{ (4c_2(1 + \kappa^{-8})\alpha)^{1/14}, (4c_2(1 + \kappa^{-8})\alpha)^8 \right\} \leq c_1\kappa, \quad (2.35)$$

which shows that the singularities do not form on the internal free boundary $\Sigma(t)$ of the compressible Hookean viscoelastic fluid, if the elasticity coefficient is *relatively* larger than the initial perturbation velocity.

The basic idea in the proof for Theorem 2.1 can be found in [28], where Jiang–Jiang investigated the well-posedness of the $2D$ free-boundary problem of incompressible viscoelastic fluids in some classes of large data. Next we briefly sketch the proof idea of Theorem 2.1 and explain why Jiang–Jiang’s result in [28] can be extended to the case of $3D$ stratified compressible viscoelastic fluids in this paper.

Taking the inner product of (2.31)₂ with u in L^2 , we obtain the basic energy identity of the initial-boundary value problem (2.31):

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} \|\bar{\rho}u\|_0^2 + \bar{\rho} \int \int_{\bar{\rho}/4}^{\bar{\rho}J^{-1}} \frac{P(z)}{z^2} dz dy + \frac{\kappa}{2} \|\nabla\eta\|_0^2 \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \|\sqrt{\mu}J\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}}u\|_0^2 + \|\sqrt{\lambda}J\text{div}_{\mathcal{A}}u\|_0^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

Integrating the above identity over $(0, t)$ yields that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\bar{\rho}u\|_0^2 + 2\bar{\rho} \int \int_{\bar{\rho}/4}^{\bar{\rho}J^{-1}} \frac{P(z)}{z^2} dz dy + \kappa \|\nabla\eta\|_0^2 + \|\sqrt{\mu}J\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}}u\|_0^2 + 2\|\sqrt{\lambda}J\text{div}_{\mathcal{A}}u\|_0^2 \\ & = \|\bar{\rho}u^0\|_0^2 + 2\bar{\rho} \int \int_{\bar{\rho}/4}^{\bar{\rho}J_0^{-1}} \frac{P(z)}{z^2} dz dy + \kappa \|\nabla\eta^0\|_0^2 =: 2I^0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

In particular, we have

$$\|\nabla\eta\|_0^2 \leq 2I^0/\kappa. \quad (2.38)$$

We call I^0 the initial mechanical energy. It is easy to see that, for the given initial mechanical energy I^0 , $\|\nabla\eta\|_0^2$ is enough small as κ is sufficiently large, as shown by (2.38).

Such a smallness property also exists in Jiang–Jiang’s result for the free-boundary problem of $2D$ incompressible viscoelastic fluids in [28] and also plays a key role in establishing the existence of global solutions with some classes of large data. However the norm of pressure in [28] increases with respect to κ . To overcome this growth behavior, Jiang–Jiang used the three-layer energy method, which includes anisotropic energy/dissipation functionals with the weight of elasticity coefficient, to establish *a priori* stability estimates under proper *a priori* assumptions. In our compressible case, the perturbation pressure does not increase with respect to κ , see the expression of pressure

$P(\bar{\rho}J^{-1})$ in (3.5). Based on this fact and Jiang–Jiang’s analysis process for the 2D incompressible problem, we roughly observe that the problem (2.31) may be approximated by the corresponding linear problem (see (2.45)) with the smallness property

$$\|\nabla\eta\|_{L^\infty} \ll 1 \text{ for sufficiently large } \kappa.$$

Motivated by the approximate idea mentioned above and Jiang–Jiang’s proof method in [28], we carefully analyze the corresponding linear problem and the structure of the nonlinear terms in (3.10). Based on this analysis, we choose the following *a priori* assumptions:

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathcal{E}(t) \leq K^2 \text{ for some } T > 0 \quad (2.39)$$

and

$$\kappa^{-1} \max \{K^{1/7}, K^{16}\} \in (0, \delta] \text{ with sufficiently small } \delta. \quad (2.40)$$

The smallness of δ may only depends on the parameters μ , λ and $\bar{\rho}$. Consequently, we can utilize an energy method to prove that there exist two constants K and δ , such that the solution of (2.31) with *a priori* assumptions (2.39) and (2.40) enjoys the *a priori* estimate

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathcal{E}(t) \leq K^2/4, \quad (2.41)$$

where the constant K will be determined later by (5.38).

Roughly speaking, our energy method can be divided into two steps: the first step is to establish the tangential estimates, and the second step is to close the normal estimates for (η, u) using the tangential estimates. To achieve this goal, we shall make full use of the anisotropic Sobolev inequalities (see (A.10) and (A.11)) to carefully estimate the nonlinear terms \mathcal{N}_u^μ , \mathcal{N}_u^λ and \mathcal{N}_η^P in the inhomogeneous form (3.10). Based on the *a priori* estimate mentioned above, together with the existence of unique local solutions, we can immediately obtain Theorem 2.1. The detailed proof will be presented in Section 5.

It should be noted that the key inequality (2.38) can not be excepted to the case $\kappa_- \neq \kappa_+$. In fact, under such case, we have the basic energy identity:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} \|\bar{\rho}u\|_0^2 + \bar{\rho} \int \int_{\bar{\rho}/4}^{\bar{\rho}J^{-1}} \frac{P(z)}{z^2} dz dy + \frac{1}{4} (\|\sqrt{\kappa} \mathbb{D}\eta\|_0^2 - 2\|\sqrt{\kappa} \operatorname{div}\eta\|_0^2) \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \|\sqrt{\mu} J \mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}} u\|_0^2 + \|\sqrt{\lambda} J \operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{A}} u\|_0^2 = \int \kappa \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^N : \nabla u dy, \end{aligned} \quad (2.42)$$

see the definition of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^N$ in (3.1). The additional nonlinear term $\kappa \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^N$ results in the failure of our method to establish Theorem 2.1. This is also the reason why we shall consider the stratified case in Theorem 2.1.

2.5. Vanishing phenomena of the nonlinear interactions

Now we state the vanishing phenomena of the nonlinear interactions with respect to large κ . Such phenomena present that the solution of the initial-boundary value problem (2.31) in Theorem 2.1 with $\eta^0 = 0$ can be approximated by the solution of a linear problem, if κ is sufficiently large.

Theorem 2.2. *Let (η, u) be the solution established in Theorem 2.1, then we have the stability estimates of the nonlinear solution (η, u) around the linear solution (η^1, u^1) :*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\operatorname{div}\eta^d, u^d)(t)\|_{\underline{3},0}^2 + (1 + \kappa)\|\eta^d(t)\|_{\underline{3},1}^2 + \int_0^t (\|\operatorname{div}\eta^d(\tau)\|_{\underline{3},0}^2 + \|(\sqrt{\kappa}\eta^d, u^d)(\tau)\|_{\underline{3},1}^2) e^{\frac{(\tau-t)}{c_4(1+\kappa^{-1})}} d\tau \\ & \lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-17})(\kappa^{-1/4} + \kappa^{-5/4})e^{-\frac{t}{c_4(1+\kappa^{-1})}} E^0(E^0 + \|u^0\|_{\underline{1},2}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\eta^d(t)\|_{\underline{1},2}^2 + \kappa \int_0^t \|\eta^d(\tau)\|_{\underline{1},2}^2 e^{\frac{(\tau-t)}{c_5(1+\kappa^{-1})}} d\tau \\ & \lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-17})(\kappa^{-1/8} + \kappa^{-5/4})e^{-\frac{t}{c_5(1+\kappa^{-1})}} (1 + E^0)(E^0 + \|u^0\|_{\underline{1},2}^2) \end{aligned} \quad (2.44)$$

for positive constants c_4 and c_5 , where we have defined that $(\eta^d, u^d) := (\eta - \eta^1, u - u^1)$ with $(\eta^1, u^1) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^+, H_0^{1,3} \times H_0^{1,2})$ is the solution to the following linear problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \eta_t^1 = u^1 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \bar{\rho}u_t^1 - \operatorname{div}(P'(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho}\operatorname{div}\eta^1\mathbb{I} + \mu\mathbb{D}u^1 + \lambda\operatorname{div}u^1\mathbb{I}) = \kappa\Delta\eta^1 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \llbracket\eta^1\rrbracket = \llbracket u^1\rrbracket = 0, & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ \llbracket P'(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho}\operatorname{div}\eta^1\mathbb{I} + \mu\mathbb{D}(u^1) + \lambda\operatorname{div}u^1\mathbb{I} + \kappa\nabla\eta^1\rrbracket e^3 = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ (\eta^1, u^1) = (0, 0) & \text{on } \Sigma_+^-, \\ (\eta^1, u^1)|_{t=0} = (\eta^0, u^0 + u^r) & \text{in } \Omega \end{array} \right. \quad (2.45)$$

and (η^1, u^1) enjoys

$$\text{the same regularity as well as the solution } (\eta, u) \text{ in Theorem 2.1.} \quad (2.46)$$

Moreover, the function $u^r \in H_0^{1,2}$ enjoys

$$\|u^r\|_{\underline{1},2}^2 \lesssim \|\eta^0\|_{\underline{2},1}\|\eta^0\|_{\underline{1},2}\|(\eta^0, u^0)\|_{\underline{1},2}^2. \quad (2.47)$$

Here and in what follows, we call the strong solution of the initial-boundary value problem (2.31) (abbr. the nonlinear problem) the *nonlinear solution*, and the strong solution of the linear problem (2.45) the *linear solution*. We also briefly sketch the proof idea of Theorem 2.2. Since both the linear and nonlinear solutions may enjoy different compatibility conditions, we shall first use the stratified elliptic theory to modify the given initial data (η^0, u^0) in Theorem 2.1 so that the obtained new initial data can be used to generate a linear solution. Then we subtract the linear problem from the nonlinear problem to obtain an error problem. Following the argument of Theorem 2.1 with slight modifications, we can easily derive the stability estimate of the error function (η^d, u^d) from the error problem. The detailed derivation will be presented in Section 6.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 3, we will further rewrite (2.31) as an inhomogeneous form, which is convenient for establishing the *a priori* estimates of solutions. Section 4 is devoted to the derivation of some preliminary estimates which will be utilized in the energy evolution. In Sections 5 and 6, we provide the detailed proofs for Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, resp.

3. Inhomogeneous forms

In this section, we rewrite the initial-boundary value problem (2.31) as an inhomogeneous form, which will be used in the derivations of *a priori* estimates for the solutions of (2.31).

To begin with, we let $\mathcal{B} = J\mathcal{A}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B} - \mathbb{I}$,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^L = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_2\eta_2 + \partial_3\eta_3 & -\partial_1\eta_2 & -\partial_1\eta_3 \\ -\partial_2\eta_1 & \partial_1\eta_1 + \partial_3\eta_3 & -\partial_2\eta_3 \\ -\partial_3\eta_1 & -\partial_3\eta_2 & \partial_1\eta_1 + \partial_2\eta_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^N = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_2\eta_2\partial_3\eta_3 - \partial_2\eta_3\partial_3\eta_2 & \partial_1\eta_3\partial_3\eta_2 - \partial_1\eta_2\partial_3\eta_3 & \partial_1\eta_2\partial_2\eta_3 - \partial_1\eta_3\partial_2\eta_2 \\ \partial_2\eta_3\partial_3\eta_1 - \partial_2\eta_1\partial_3\eta_3 & \partial_1\eta_1\partial_3\eta_3 - \partial_1\eta_3\partial_3\eta_1 & \partial_1\eta_3\partial_2\eta_1 - \partial_1\eta_1\partial_2\eta_3 \\ \partial_2\eta_1\partial_3\eta_2 - \partial_2\eta_2\partial_3\eta_1 & \partial_1\eta_2\partial_3\eta_1 - \partial_1\eta_1\partial_3\eta_2 & \partial_1\eta_1\partial_2\eta_2 - \partial_1\eta_2\partial_2\eta_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.1)$$

Then

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^L + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^N \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\mathcal{A} = J^{-1}\mathcal{B} = J^{-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}} + \mathbb{I}).$$

Using the above identity, we have

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}}u = \mathbb{D}u + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_u^1, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{A}}u = \operatorname{div}u + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_u^2, \quad (3.4)$$

where we have defined that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_u^1 &:= \left(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,j}^{1,u} \right)_{3 \times 3} \text{ with } \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{ij}^{1,u} := J^{-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{ji}\partial_l u_i + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{il}\partial_l u_j) + (J^{-1} - 1)(\partial_j u_i + \partial_i u_j), \\ \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_u^2 &:= J^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{ij}\partial_j u_i + (J^{-1} - 1)\partial_i u_i. \end{aligned}$$

Exploiting the Taylor expansion, it is easy to check that

$$P(\bar{\rho}J^{-1}) = P(\bar{\rho}) - P'(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho}\operatorname{div}\eta + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\eta}^3, \quad (3.5)$$

where we have defined that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\eta}^3 &:= P'(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho}(J^{-1} - 1 + \operatorname{div}\eta) + \mathcal{R}^{\eta}, \\ \mathcal{R}^{\eta} &:= \int_0^{\bar{\rho}(J^{-1}-1)} (\bar{\rho}(J^{-1} - 1) - z) \frac{d^2}{dz^2} P(\bar{\rho} + z) dz. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{\rho}J^{-1}, u)J\mathcal{A} \\ &= \left(P(\bar{\rho}) - P'(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho}\operatorname{div}\eta + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\eta}^3 \right) \mathcal{B} - \mu \left(\mathbb{D}u + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_u^1 \right) \mathcal{B} - \lambda \left(\operatorname{div}u\mathbb{I} + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_u^2 \right) \mathcal{B} \\ &= P(\bar{\rho})\mathcal{B} - (P'(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho}\operatorname{div}\eta\mathbb{I} + \mu\mathbb{D}u + \lambda\operatorname{div}u\mathbb{I}) + \mathcal{N}_{\eta}^P - \mathcal{N}_u^{\mu} - \mathcal{N}_u^{\lambda}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where we have defined that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}_\eta^P &:= \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\eta^3 \mathcal{B} - P'(\bar{\rho}) \bar{\rho} \operatorname{div} \eta \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \\ \mathcal{N}_u^\mu &:= \mathbb{D}u \tilde{\mathcal{B}} + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_u^1 \mathcal{B} \text{ and } \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda := \operatorname{div} u \tilde{\mathcal{B}} + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_u^2 \mathcal{B}.\end{aligned}$$

Making use of (2.13)₂, (2.17), (2.19) and (3.7), we further have

$$J \operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{\rho} J^{-1}, u) = \operatorname{div} (\mathcal{N}_\eta^P - \mathcal{N}_u^\mu - \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda - (P'(\bar{\rho}) \bar{\rho} \operatorname{div} \eta \mathbb{I} + \mu \mathbb{D}u + \lambda \operatorname{div} u \mathbb{I})) \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\llbracket \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{\rho} J^{-1}, u) J \mathbf{A} \mathbf{e}^3 \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathcal{N}_\eta^P - \mathcal{N}_u^\mu - \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda \rrbracket \mathbf{e}^3 - \llbracket (P'(\bar{\rho}) \bar{\rho} \operatorname{div} \eta \mathbb{I} + \mu \mathbb{D}u + \lambda \operatorname{div} u \mathbb{I}) \rrbracket \mathbf{e}^3. \quad (3.9)$$

Consequently, thanks to (3.8) and (3.9), the initial-boundary value problem (2.31) can be rewritten as the following inhomogeneous form:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \eta_t = u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \bar{\rho} u_t - \operatorname{div} (P'(\bar{\rho}) \bar{\rho} \operatorname{div} \eta \mathbb{I} + \mu \mathbb{D}u + \lambda \operatorname{div} u \mathbb{I} + \kappa \nabla \eta^\top) = \operatorname{div} (\mathcal{N}_u^\mu + \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda - \mathcal{N}_\eta^P) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \llbracket \eta \rrbracket = \llbracket u \rrbracket = 0, & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ \llbracket P'(\bar{\rho}) \bar{\rho} \operatorname{div} \eta \mathbb{I} + \mu \mathbb{D}u + \lambda \operatorname{div} u \mathbb{I} + \kappa \nabla \eta \rrbracket \mathbf{e}^3 = \llbracket \mathcal{N}_\eta^P - \mathcal{N}_u^\mu - \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda \rrbracket \mathbf{e}^3 & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ (\eta, u) = (0, 0) & \text{on } \Sigma_-^+, \\ (\eta, u)|_{t=0} = (\eta^0, u^0) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.10)$$

4. Preliminaries

Before the proof of Theorem 2.1, we shall first derive some preliminary estimates and then establish the estimates of the nonlinear terms \mathcal{N}_u^μ , \mathcal{N}_u^λ and \mathcal{N}_η^P . These estimates of nonlinear terms will be utilized in the derivations of energy evolution. To this purpose, we let (η, u) be a solution to the problem (2.31) defined on $\Omega \times [0, T]$ with $T > 0$ and *a priori* assume that

$$(1 + \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{3},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{1},2}^{1/2}) \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \lesssim \delta. \quad (4.1)$$

It should be noted that the smallness of δ depends on $\bar{\rho}$, μ , λ and Ω , but not on κ . Next we use the condition (4.1) to establish some preliminary estimates.

Lemma 4.1. *Let (η, u) satisfy $\eta_t = u$. Under the condition (4.1) with sufficiently small δ , we have*

(1) *the estimates for \mathcal{B} :*

$$\|\tilde{\mathcal{B}}\|_{\underline{i},0} \lesssim \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{i},0}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\|\tilde{\mathcal{B}}\|_{\underline{j},1} \lesssim \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{j},1}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\|\tilde{\mathcal{B}}\|_{\underline{1},2} \lesssim \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{1},2}, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\|\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_t\|_{\underline{1},0} \lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},0}, \quad (4.5)$$

$$\|\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_t\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}, \quad (4.6)$$

where $0 \leq i \leq 3$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is defined by (3.2).

(2) the estimates for J^{-1} and \mathcal{R}^η :

$$1/2 \leq J^{-1} \leq 2, \quad (4.7)$$

$$\|J^{-1} - 1\|_{\dot{\mathbf{z}},0} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{z}},0}, \quad (4.8)$$

$$\|J^{-1} - 1\|_{\dot{\mathbf{j}},1} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{j}},1}, \quad (4.9)$$

$$\|J^{-1} - 1\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},2} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},2}, \quad (4.10)$$

$$\|J_t^{-1}\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},0} \lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},0}, \quad (4.11)$$

$$\|J_t^{-1}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}, \quad (4.12)$$

$$\|(J^{-1} - 1 + \operatorname{div}\eta, \mathcal{R}^\eta)\|_{\dot{\mathbf{z}},0} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{z}},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},2}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{z}},0}, \quad (4.13)$$

$$\|(J^{-1} - 1 + \operatorname{div}\eta, \mathcal{R}^\eta)\|_{\dot{\mathbf{j}},1} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{z}},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},2}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{j}},1}, \quad (4.14)$$

$$\|(J^{-1} - 1 + \operatorname{div}\eta, \mathcal{R}^\eta)\|_{\dot{\mathbf{2}},1} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{z}},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},2}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{2}},1}, \quad (4.15)$$

$$\|(J^{-1} - 1 + \operatorname{div}\eta, \mathcal{R}^\eta)_t\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},0} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{z}},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},2}^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},0}, \quad (4.16)$$

$$\|(J^{-1} - 1 + \operatorname{div}\eta, \mathcal{R}^\eta)_t\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{z}},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},2}^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}, \quad (4.17)$$

where $0 \leq i \leq 3$, $1 \leq j \leq 2$, $J := \det(\nabla\eta + I)$ and \mathcal{R}^η is defined in (3.6).

Proof. (1) Let $1 \leq k, l, m, n \leq 3$. By (A.11), we have

$$\|\partial_m \eta_n \partial_k \eta_l\|_{\dot{\mathbf{z}},0} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{z}},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{2}},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{z}},0} \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq 3$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_3(\partial_m \eta_n \partial_k \eta_l)\|_{\dot{\mathbf{j}},0} \\ & \lesssim \|\partial_3 \partial_m \eta_n \partial_k \eta_l\|_{\dot{\mathbf{j}},0} + \|\partial_m \eta_n \partial_3 \partial_k \eta_l\|_{\dot{\mathbf{j}},0} \\ & \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{z}},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{2}},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla^2 \eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{j}},0} + \|\nabla^2 \eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},0}^{3/4} \|\nabla^2 \eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{z}},0}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{j}},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{j}},1}^{1/2} \\ & \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{z}},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{2}},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{j}},1} \text{ for } j = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

Exploiting (A.11) and the interpolation inequality, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_3^2(\partial_m \eta_n \partial_k \eta_l)\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},0} \\ & \lesssim \|\partial_3^2 \partial_m \eta_n \partial_k \eta_l\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},0} + \|\partial_3 \partial_m \eta_n \partial_3 \partial_k \eta_l\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},0} + \|\partial_m \eta_n \partial_3^2 \partial_k \eta_l\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},0} \\ & \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{z}},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{2}},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla^3 \eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},0} + \|\nabla^2 \eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},0}^{3/4} \|\nabla^2 \eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{z}},0}^{1/4} \|\nabla^2 \eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla^2 \eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},1}^{1/2} \\ & \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{z}},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{2}},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},2}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining with the above three estimates then yields

$$\|\partial_m \eta_n \partial_k \eta_l\|_{\dot{\mathbf{z}},0} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{z}},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{2}},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{z}},0} \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq 3, \quad (4.18)$$

$$\|\partial_m \eta_n \partial_k \eta_l\|_{\dot{\mathbf{j}},1} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{z}},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{2}},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{j}},1} \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \quad (4.19)$$

$$\|\partial_m \eta_n \partial_k \eta_l\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},2} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{z}},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{2}},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{\mathbf{1}},2}. \quad (4.20)$$

Recalling the expressions of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^L$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^N$, we immediately deduce (4.2)–(4.4) from (4.18)–(4.20) under the assumption (4.1) with sufficiently small δ .

Moreover, utilizing (A.11) and the fact that $\eta_t = u$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}\|\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_t\|_{\underline{1},0} &\lesssim (1 + \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4})\|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},0}, \\ \|\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_t\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim (1 + \|\nabla\eta\|_{L^\infty})\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim (1 + \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4})\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty},\end{aligned}$$

which imply (4.5) and (4.6) under the assumption (4.1) with sufficiently small δ .

(2) Applying the determinant expansion theorem, it is easy to see that

$$J = \det(\nabla\eta + I) = 1 + \operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta, \quad (4.21)$$

where we have defined that $r_\eta := r_2^\eta + r_3^\eta$,

$$\begin{aligned}r_2^\eta &:= \partial_1\eta_1\partial_2\eta_2 + \partial_1\eta_1\partial_3\eta_3 + \partial_2\eta_2\partial_3\eta_3 - \partial_2\eta_1\partial_1\eta_2 - \partial_2\eta_3\partial_3\eta_2 - \partial_3\eta_1\partial_1\eta_3, \\ r_3^\eta &:= \partial_1\eta_1(\partial_2\eta_2\partial_3\eta_3 - \partial_2\eta_3\partial_3\eta_2) + \partial_2\eta_1(\partial_1\eta_3\partial_3\eta_2 - \partial_1\eta_2\partial_3\eta_3) + \partial_3\eta_1(\partial_1\eta_2\partial_2\eta_3 - \partial_1\eta_3\partial_2\eta_2).\end{aligned}$$

Making use of (4.18)–(4.20), one easily deduces that

$$\|r_2^\eta\|_{\underline{i},0} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{i},0} \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq 3, \quad (4.22)$$

$$\|r_2^\eta\|_{\underline{j},1} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{j},1} \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \quad (4.23)$$

$$\|r_2^\eta\|_{\underline{1},2} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},2}. \quad (4.24)$$

Let $1 \leq k, l, m, n, q, r \leq 3$. Following the derivations of (4.18)–(4.20), we can also estimate that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_k\eta_l\partial_m\eta_n\partial_q\eta_r\|_{\underline{i},0} &\lesssim \|\partial_k\eta_l\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2}\|\partial_k\eta_l\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4}\|\partial_k\eta_l\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4}\|\partial_m\eta_n\partial_q\eta_r\|_{\underline{i},0} \\ &\quad + \|\partial_m\eta_n\partial_q\eta_r\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2}\|\partial_m\eta_n\partial_q\eta_r\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4}\|\partial_m\eta_n\partial_q\eta_r\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4}\|\partial_k\eta_l\|_{\underline{i},0}, \\ \|\partial_3(\partial_k\eta_l\partial_m\eta_n\partial_q\eta_r)\|_{\underline{j},0} &\lesssim \|\partial_k\eta_l\partial_3(\partial_m\eta_n\partial_q\eta_r)\|_{\underline{j},0} + \|\partial_3\partial_k\eta_l(\partial_m\eta_n\partial_q\eta_r)\|_{\underline{j},0} \\ &\lesssim \|\partial_k\eta_l\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2}\|\partial_k\eta_l\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4}\|\partial_k\eta_l\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4}\|\partial_3(\partial_m\eta_n\partial_q\eta_r)\|_{\underline{j},0} \\ &\quad + \|\partial_k\eta_l\|_{\underline{j},0}^{1/2}\|\partial_k\eta_l\|_{\underline{j},1}^{1/2}\|\partial_3(\partial_m\eta_n\partial_q\eta_r)\|_{\underline{1},0}^{3/4}\|\partial_3(\partial_m\eta_n\partial_q\eta_r)\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/4} \\ &\quad + \|(\partial_m\eta_n\partial_q\eta_r)\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2}\|(\partial_m\eta_n\partial_q\eta_r)\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4}\|(\partial_m\eta_n\partial_q\eta_r)\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4}\|\partial_3\partial_k\eta_l\|_{\underline{j},0} \\ &\quad + \|\partial_3\partial_k\eta_l\|_{\underline{1},0}^{3/4}\|\partial_3\partial_k\eta_l\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/4}\|(\partial_m\eta_n\partial_q\eta_r)\|_{\underline{j},0}^{1/2}\|(\partial_m\eta_n\partial_q\eta_r)\|_{\underline{j},1}^{1/2}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\partial_3^2(\partial_k\eta_l\partial_m\eta_n\partial_q\eta_r)\|_{\underline{1},0} \\ &\lesssim \|\partial_3^2\partial_k\eta_l(\partial_m\eta_n\partial_q\eta_r)\|_{\underline{1},0} + \|\partial_3\partial_k\eta_l\partial_3(\partial_m\eta_n\partial_q\eta_r)\|_{\underline{1},0} + \|\partial_k\eta_l\partial_3^2(\partial_m\eta_n\partial_q\eta_r)\|_{\underline{1},0} \\ &\lesssim \|\partial_m\eta_n\partial_q\eta_r\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2}\|\partial_m\eta_n\partial_q\eta_r\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4}\|\partial_m\eta_n\partial_q\eta_r\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4}\|\partial_3^2\partial_k\eta_l\|_{\underline{1},0} \\ &\quad + \|\partial_3\partial_k\eta_l\|_{\underline{1},0}^{3/4}\|\partial_3\partial_k\eta_l\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/4}\|\partial_3(\partial_m\eta_n\partial_q\eta_r)\|_{\underline{1},0}^{1/2}\|\partial_3(\partial_m\eta_n\partial_q\eta_r)\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \|\partial_3(\partial_m\eta_n\partial_q\eta_r)\|_{\underline{1},0}^{3/4}\|\partial_3(\partial_m\eta_n\partial_q\eta_r)\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/4}\|\partial_3\partial_k\eta_l\|_{\underline{1},0}^{1/2}\|\partial_3\partial_k\eta_l\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \|\partial_k\eta_l\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2}\|\partial_k\eta_l\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4}\|\partial_k\eta_l\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4}\|\partial_3^2(\partial_m\eta_n\partial_q\eta_r)\|_{\underline{1},0},\end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq i \leq 3$ and $j = 1, 2$. Exploiting (4.1), (4.18)–(4.20), the interpolation inequality and the above three estimates, we obtain that

$$\|r_3^\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0} \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq 3, \quad (4.25)$$

$$\|r_3^\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1} \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \quad (4.26)$$

$$\|r_3^\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}. \quad (4.27)$$

Combining (4.22)–(4.24) with (4.25)–(4.27) then yields

$$\|r_\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0} \lesssim \|r_2^\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0} + \|r_3^\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0} \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq 3, \quad (4.28)$$

$$\|r_\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1} \lesssim \|r_2^\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1} + \|r_3^\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1} \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \quad (4.29)$$

$$\|r_\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2} \lesssim \|r_2^\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2} + \|r_3^\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}. \quad (4.30)$$

Hence, in the light of (4.1) and (4.28)–(4.30), we arrive at

$$\|\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0} \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq 3, \quad (4.31)$$

$$\|\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1} \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \quad (4.32)$$

$$\|\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}. \quad (4.33)$$

In addition, similarly to the derivations of (4.5) and (4.6), we can infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t r_\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0} &\lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{L}^0}, \\ \|\partial_t r_\eta\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}, \\ \|\partial_t(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\dot{L}^0} &\lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{L}^0}, \\ \|\partial_t(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

$$\|\partial_t(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}. \quad (4.35)$$

Next we are devoted to deriving (4.7)–(4.17) in sequence. Using (4.1), (4.31)–(4.33) and (A.9), we obtain from (4.21) that

$$\|J - 1\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \delta, \quad (4.36)$$

which yields (4.7) for sufficiently small δ .

In view of (4.21) and the fact that $\eta_t = u$, we have

$$J^{-1} - 1 = (1 - J^{-1})(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta) - (\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta), \quad (4.37)$$

$$J^{-1} - 1 + \operatorname{div}\eta = (1 - J^{-1})(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta) - r_\eta, \quad (4.38)$$

$$J_t^{-1} = (J^{-1} - 1)_t = (1 - J^{-1})_t(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta) + (1 - J^{-1})(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)_t - (\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)_t, \quad (4.39)$$

$$(J^{-1} - 1 + \operatorname{div}\eta)_t = (1 - J^{-1})_t(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta) + (1 - J^{-1})(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)_t - \partial_t r_\eta. \quad (4.40)$$

Making use of (4.31)–(4.32) and (A.11), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(1 - J^{-1})(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\dot{L}^s} \\ &\lesssim \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\dot{L}^0}^{1/2} \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/4} \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4} \|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\dot{L}^s} \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4} \|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\dot{L}^s} \text{ for } s = 0, 1, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (4.1), (4.31) and (4.37), yields

$$\|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{s},0} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{s},0} \text{ for } s = 0, 1. \quad (4.41)$$

In the same manner, we can utilize (4.31)–(4.32) and (A.11) to derive that, for $1 \leq n \leq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_n((1 - J^{-1})(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta))\|_{\underline{1},0} \\ & \lesssim \|\partial_n(1 - J^{-1})(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{1},0} + \|(1 - J^{-1})\partial_n(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{1},0} \\ & \lesssim \|\partial_n(1 - J^{-1})(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{1},0} + \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\partial_n(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{1},0} \\ & \quad + \|(1 - J^{-1})(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\partial_n(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{1},0} \\ & \lesssim \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|\partial_n(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{1},0} \\ & \quad + \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|\partial_n(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{1},0} \\ & \quad + \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \\ & \quad \times \|\partial_n(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{1},0}^{1/2} \|\partial_n(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{1},0}^{1/2} \|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/2} \\ & \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} (\|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{1},1} + \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}) \\ & \quad + \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{1},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/2} \|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

which, in combination with (4.1), (4.31)–(4.32), (4.41) and (4.37), gives rise to

$$\|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{1},1} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}. \quad (4.42)$$

In view of (4.31)–(4.32), (4.41)–(4.42) and (A.11) with $i = 2$, we further deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(1 - J^{-1})(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{2},0} \\ & \lesssim \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{2},0} \\ & \quad + \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{3},0}^{1/2} \|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{1},0}^{1/2} \|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \\ & \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{2},0} + \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{3},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4}, \end{aligned}$$

which, along with (4.1), (4.32) and (4.37), implies that

$$\|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{2},0} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}. \quad (4.43)$$

Analogously, exploiting (4.31)–(4.32), (4.41)–(4.43) and (A.11), we can estimate that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_n((1 - J^{-1})(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta))\|_{\underline{2},0} \\ & \lesssim \|\partial_n(1 - J^{-1})(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{2},0} + \|(1 - J^{-1})\partial_n(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{2},0} \\ & \lesssim \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|\partial_n(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{2},0} \\ & \quad + \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/2} \|\partial_n(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{1},0}^{3/4} \|\partial_n(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/4} \\ & \quad + \|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|\partial_n(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{2},0} \\ & \quad + \|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/2} \|\partial_n(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{1},0}^{3/4} \|\partial_n(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/4} \\ & \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} (\|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{2},1} + \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}), \end{aligned}$$

which, along with (4.1), (4.31)–(4.32) and (4.37), yields

$$\|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{2},1} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}. \quad (4.44)$$

Consequently, the estimate (4.9) follows by combining (4.42) with (4.44).

Making use of (4.9), (4.31)–(4.32), (4.42)–(4.43) and (A.11), we can have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(1 - J^{-1})(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{3},0} \\ & \lesssim \|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{3},0} \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \\ & \quad + \|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{3},0} \\ & \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} (\|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{3},0} + \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{3},0}), \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (4.31), (4.41) and (4.43), implies (4.8) for sufficiently small δ .

Additionally, exploiting (A.11), (4.8)–(4.9), (4.31)–(4.32), (4.37) and the interpolation inequality, one infers that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_3^2((1 - J^{-1})(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta))\|_{\underline{1},0} \\ & \lesssim \|\partial_3^2(1 - J^{-1})(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{1},0} + \|\partial_3(1 - J^{-1})\partial_3(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{1},0} \\ & \quad + \|(1 - J^{-1})\partial_3^2(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{1},0} \\ & \lesssim \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{1},2} \\ & \quad + \|\partial_3(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{1},0}^{3/4} \|\partial_3(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/4} \|\partial_3(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{1},0}^{1/2} \|\partial_3(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/2} \\ & \quad + \|\partial_3(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{1},0}^{1/2} \|\partial_3(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/2} \|\partial_3(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{1},0}^{3/4} \|\partial_3(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/4} \\ & \quad + \|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|(\operatorname{div}\eta + r_\eta)\|_{\underline{1},2} \\ & \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} (\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},2} + \|(1 - J^{-1})\|_{\underline{1},2}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.45)$$

Combining (4.33) with (4.45) and (4.9) then yields (4.10) for sufficiently small δ .

Furthermore, utilizing (4.8)–(4.10), (4.31)–(4.32), (4.34)–(4.35) and (A.10)–(A.11), we can deduce from (4.39) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_t^{-1}\|_{\underline{1},0} & \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} (\|J_t^{-1}\|_{\underline{1},0} + \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},0}) + \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},0}, \\ \|J_t^{-1}\|_{L^\infty} & \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} (\|J_t^{-1}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}) + \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain (4.11) and (4.12) directly from the above two estimates under the assumption (4.1) with sufficiently small δ .

Thanks to (4.8)–(4.10), (4.31)–(4.33) and (4.28)–(4.30), we can follow the derivation of (4.18)–(4.20) to deduce from (4.38) that

$$\|J^{-1} - 1 + \operatorname{div}\eta\|_{\underline{i},0} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{i},0} \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq 3, \quad (4.46)$$

$$\|J^{-1} - 1 + \operatorname{div}\eta\|_{\underline{j},1} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{3},0} \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \quad (4.47)$$

$$\|J^{-1} - 1 + \operatorname{div}\eta\|_{\underline{2},1} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}. \quad (4.48)$$

Similarly, we can follow the derivations of (4.11)–(4.12) to deduce from (4.40) that

$$\|(J^{-1} - 1 + \operatorname{div}\eta)_t\|_{\underline{1},0} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},0}, \quad (4.49)$$

$$\|(J^{-1} - 1 + \operatorname{div}\eta)_t\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}. \quad (4.50)$$

Next we turn to the estimates of \mathcal{R}^η . From now on, we define that

$$P'' := \frac{d^2}{dz^2}P, \quad \mathcal{P} := \bar{\rho} \int_0^{\bar{\rho}(J^{-1}-1)} P''(\bar{\rho} + z)dz$$

and

$$\mathbb{S}(y, t) := \begin{cases} (0, \bar{\rho}(J^{-1} - 1)) & \text{for } J^{-1} - 1 > 0; \\ (\bar{\rho}(J^{-1} - 1), 0) & \text{for } J^{-1} - 1 < 0; \\ \{0\} & \text{for } J^{-1} - 1 = 0. \end{cases}$$

By (4.36) and the condition $P(\cdot) \in C^4(\mathbb{R}^+)$, we easily see that, for sufficiently small δ ,

$$\bar{\rho}/2 \leq \bar{\rho}J^{-1} \leq 2\bar{\rho}$$

and

$$\sup_{(y,t) \in \Omega \times I_T} \left| P''(\bar{\rho} + z) \Big|_{z \in \mathbb{S}(y,t)} \right| + \left\| \frac{d^{j+1}}{dz^{j+1}} P(z) \Big|_{z=\bar{\rho}J^{-1}} \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 1, \quad (4.51)$$

where $1 \leq j \leq 3$. Hence we first have

$$\|\mathcal{P}\|_0 \lesssim \left\| P''(z) \Big|_{z=\bar{\rho}J^{-1}} \right\|_{L^\infty} \|(J^{-1} - 1)\|_0 \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_0. \quad (4.52)$$

Moreover, noting that

$$\partial_m \mathcal{P} = \bar{\rho} \partial_m (J^{-1} - 1) P''(z) \Big|_{z=\bar{\rho}J^{-1}} \quad \text{for } m = 1, 2, 3, t,$$

In light of (4.8)–(4.10) and (4.51)–(4.52), we can further derive that

$$\|\mathcal{P}\|_{i,0} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{i,0} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq 3, \quad (4.53)$$

$$\|\mathcal{P}\|_{j,1} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{j,1} \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \quad (4.54)$$

$$\|\mathcal{P}\|_{\underline{1},2} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},2}. \quad (4.55)$$

In the same manner,

$$\|\mathcal{P}_t\|_{\underline{1},0} \lesssim \|\partial_t (J^{-1} - 1) P''(z) \Big|_{z=\bar{\rho}J^{-1}}\|_{\underline{1},0} \lesssim \|J_t^{-1}\|_{\underline{1},0}, \quad (4.56)$$

$$\|\mathcal{P}_t\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\partial_t (J^{-1} - 1) P''(z) \Big|_{z=\bar{\rho}J^{-1}}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|J_t^{-1}\|_{L^\infty}. \quad (4.57)$$

Recalling the definition of \mathcal{R}^η , it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_m \mathcal{R}^\eta &= \partial_m (J^{-1} - 1) \mathcal{P} + (J^{-1} - 1) \partial_m \mathcal{P} - \partial_m \int_0^{\bar{\rho}(J^{-1}-1)} z \frac{d^2}{dz^2} P(\bar{\rho} + z) dz \\ &= \partial_m (J^{-1} - 1) \mathcal{P} + (J^{-1} - 1) \partial_m \mathcal{P} - \bar{\rho}^2 (J^{-1} - 1) P''(\bar{\rho}J^{-1}) \partial_m (J^{-1} - 1) \end{aligned} \quad (4.58)$$

for any $m = 1, 2, 3$ and t . Moreover, by (4.51) and (4.8)–(4.10), one easily has

$$\|\mathcal{R}^\eta\|_0 \lesssim \|(J^{-1} - 1)\|_{L^\infty} \|(J^{-1} - 1)\|_0 \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_0. \quad (4.59)$$

Thanks to (4.53)–(4.55) and (4.58)–(4.59), we easily derive that

$$\|\mathcal{R}^\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0} \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq 3, \quad (4.60)$$

$$\|\mathcal{R}^\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1} \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \quad (4.61)$$

$$\|\mathcal{R}^\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}. \quad (4.62)$$

Hence, we immediately obtain (4.13)–(4.14) by collecting (4.46)–(4.48) and (4.60)–(4.62) together.

Making use of (4.11)–(4.12), (4.51), (4.56)–(4.58) and (A.11), we easily obtain that

$$\|\mathcal{R}_t^\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{L}^0}, \quad (4.63)$$

$$\|\mathcal{R}_t^\eta\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}. \quad (4.64)$$

Consequently, by collecting (4.49)–(4.50) and (4.63)–(4.64) together, the desired estimates (4.16)–(4.17) follow. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.1. \square

Now we further establish the estimates of the nonlinear terms.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $0 \leq i \leq 3$. Under the condition (4.1) with sufficiently small δ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathcal{N}_u^\mu, \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda)\|_{\dot{L}^0} &\lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{L}^0} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{L}^0}^{1/2} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.65)$$

$$\|(\mathcal{N}_u^\mu, \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda)\|_{\dot{L}^j} \lesssim \begin{cases} \begin{aligned} &\|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{3/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{L}^0}^{1/2} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/2} \\ &+ \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/2} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{L}^0}^{3/4} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4} \\ &+ \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{L}^1} \end{aligned} & \text{for } j = 1; \\ \begin{aligned} &\|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{L}^2} \\ &+ \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{3/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/2} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/2} \\ &+ \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/2} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{3/4} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4} \\ &+ \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{L}^0}^{1/2} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2} \end{aligned} & \text{for } j = 2, \end{cases} \quad (4.66)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathcal{N}_u^\mu, \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda)_t\|_{\dot{L}^0} &\lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4} \|\nabla u_t\|_{\dot{L}^0} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{L}^0} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{L}^0}^{1/2} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.67)$$

$$\|\mathcal{N}_\eta^P\|_{\dot{L}^0} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0}, \quad (4.68)$$

$$\|\mathcal{N}_\eta^P\|_{\dot{L}^j} \lesssim \begin{cases} \begin{aligned} &\|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/2} \\ &+ \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1} \end{aligned} & \text{for } j = 1; \\ \begin{aligned} &\|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/2} \\ &+ \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2} \end{aligned} & \text{for } j = 2, \end{cases} \quad (4.69)$$

$$\|\partial_t \mathcal{N}_\eta^P\|_{\dot{L}^0} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{L}^2}^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{L}^0}. \quad (4.70)$$

Proof. (1) Let $\varphi, \psi \in \{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3}$, and f_k with $1 \leq k \leq 5$ are defined as follows.

$$f_1 := \varphi, \quad f_2 := J^{-1} - 1, \quad f_3 := (J^{-1} - 1)\varphi,$$

$$f_4 := \varphi\psi \text{ and } f_5 := (J^{-1} - 1)\varphi\psi.$$

Following the derivations of (4.2)–(4.6) by further using (4.2)–(4.4) and (4.7)–(4.12), we can first have that

$$\|f_k\|_{\dot{i},0} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{i},0}, \quad (4.71)$$

$$\|f_k\|_{\underline{j},1} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{j},1}, \quad (4.72)$$

$$\|f_k\|_{\underline{1},2} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},2}, \quad (4.73)$$

$$\|\partial_t f_k\|_{\underline{1},0} \lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},0}, \quad (4.74)$$

$$\|\partial_t f_k\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \quad (4.75)$$

for any $1 \leq k \leq 5$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$, and $j = 1, 2$. Using (4.71)–(4.73) and (A.11), we can estimate that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_k \partial_m u_n\|_{\dot{i},0} &\lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{i},0} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{i},0} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},2}^{1/4}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.76)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_3(f_k \partial_m u_n)\|_{\underline{1},0} &\lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{3/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},2}^{1/2} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},0}^{3/4} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/4} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},1}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.77)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_3^2(f_k \partial_m u_n)\|_{\underline{1},0} &\lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},2} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{3/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/2} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},2}^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},2}^{1/2} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},1}^{3/4} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.78)$$

Recalling the definitions of \mathcal{N}_u^μ and \mathcal{N}_u^λ in (3.7), we see that

$$\text{each component of } \mathcal{N}_u^\mu \text{ and } \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda \text{ is a linear combinations of } \{f_k \partial_m u_n\}. \quad (4.79)$$

Thus we immediately derive (4.65)–(4.66) from (4.76)–(4.78) and the fact stated in (4.79).

Furthermore, making use of (4.71)–(4.75) and (A.11), we can estimate that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(f_k \partial_m u_n)_t\|_{\underline{1},0} &\lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla u_t\|_{\underline{1},0} \\ &\quad + \|\partial_t f_k\|_{\underline{1},0} \|\partial_m u_n\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial_t f_k\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_m u_n\|_{\underline{1},0} \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla u_t\|_{\underline{1},0} + \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},0} \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (4.79), yields (4.67) by using (A.9).

In addition, noting that

$$\text{each component of } \mathcal{N}_\eta^P \text{ is a linear combinations of } \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\eta^3, f_1 \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\eta^3 \text{ and } f_1 \partial_m \eta_n. \quad (4.80)$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\eta^3$ is defined by (3.5). Therefore, following the derivations of (4.65)–(4.67) by further utilizing (4.2)–(4.6), (4.13)–(4.17) and the interpolation inequality, the desired estimates (4.68)–(4.70) easily follow. This completes the proof. \square

5. Proof of Theorem 2.1

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.1. The key step in the proof is to *a priori* derive the energy inequality (2.34) for the solution (η, u) of the problem (2.31) under the *a priori* assumptions of (2.39) and (2.40), where the constant K will be determined later by (5.38) and the smallness of δ depends on $\bar{\rho}$, μ , λ and Ω , but not on κ . Obviously, the condition (4.1) and the preliminary estimates in Lemmas 4.1–4.2 hold automatically under the assumptions (2.39) and (2.40) with sufficiently small δ .

5.1. Basic energy estimates

Now we are focus on the derivation of basic energy estimates for (η, u) , which include the y_h -derivative estimates of (η, u) in Lemmas 5.1–5.2, the estimate of temporal derivative of u in Lemma 5.3, and the normal derivative estimates of (η, u) in Lemmas 5.4–5.5. Next, we shall establish these estimates in sequence.

Lemma 5.1. *Let α satisfy $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3$. Under the assumptions (2.39) and (2.40) with sufficiently small δ , it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\partial_h^\alpha(\sqrt{\bar{\rho}}u, \sqrt{P'(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho}}\operatorname{div}\eta, \sqrt{\kappa}\nabla\eta)\|_0^2 \right) + \|\partial_h^\alpha(\sqrt{\mu/2}\mathbb{D}u, \sqrt{\lambda}\operatorname{div}u)\|_0^2 \\ & \lesssim (\kappa^{-1/8} + \kappa^{-5/8})\sqrt{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{D}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Proof. Applying ∂_h^α to (3.10) yields

$$\begin{cases} \partial_h^\alpha \eta_t = \partial_h^\alpha u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \bar{\rho} \partial_h^\alpha u_t - \operatorname{div} \partial_h^\alpha (P'(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho} \operatorname{div} \eta \mathbb{I} + \mu \mathbb{D}u + \lambda \operatorname{div} u \mathbb{I} + \kappa \nabla \eta^\top) = \operatorname{div} \partial_h^\alpha (\mathcal{N}_u^\mu + \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda - \mathcal{N}_\eta^P) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \llbracket \partial_h^\alpha \eta \rrbracket = \llbracket \partial_h^\alpha u \rrbracket = 0, & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ \llbracket \partial_h^\alpha (P'(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho} \operatorname{div} \eta \mathbb{I} + \mu \mathbb{D}u + \lambda \operatorname{div} u \mathbb{I} + \kappa \nabla \eta) \rrbracket \mathbf{e}^3 = \llbracket \partial_h^\alpha (\mathcal{N}_\eta^P - \mathcal{N}_u^\mu - \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda) \rrbracket \mathbf{e}^3 & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ (\partial_h^\alpha \eta, \partial_h^\alpha u) = (0, 0) & \text{on } \Sigma^\pm. \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

Taking the inner product of (5.2)₂ and $\partial_h^\alpha u$ in L^2 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\sqrt{\bar{\rho}} \partial_h^\alpha u\|_0^2 + \int \operatorname{div} \partial_h^\alpha (P'(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho} \operatorname{div} \eta \mathbb{I} + \mu \mathbb{D}u + \lambda \operatorname{div} u \mathbb{I} + \kappa \nabla \eta^\top) \cdot \partial_h^\alpha u \, dy \\ & - \int \operatorname{div} \partial_h^\alpha (\mathcal{N}_u^\mu + \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda - \mathcal{N}_\eta^P) \cdot \partial_h^\alpha u \, dy = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

Exploiting the integration by parts and the boundary conditions (5.2)₃–(5.2)₅, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \operatorname{div} \partial_h^\alpha (P'(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho} \operatorname{div} \eta \mathbb{I} + \mu \mathbb{D}u + \lambda \operatorname{div} u \mathbb{I} + \kappa \nabla \eta^\top) \cdot \partial_h^\alpha u \, dy \\ & - \int \operatorname{div} \partial_h^\alpha (\mathcal{N}_u^\mu + \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda - \mathcal{N}_\eta^P) \cdot \partial_h^\alpha u \, dy \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_h^\alpha(\sqrt{P'(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho}}\operatorname{div}\eta, \sqrt{\kappa}\nabla\eta)\|_0^2 + \|\partial_h^\alpha(\sqrt{\mu/2}\mathbb{D}u, \sqrt{\lambda}\operatorname{div}u)\|_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 I_i, \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

where we have defined that

$$I_1 := \int \partial_h^\alpha \mathcal{N}_u^\mu : \nabla \partial_h^\alpha u^\top dy, \quad I_2 := \int \partial_h^\alpha \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda \nabla \partial_h^\alpha \operatorname{div} u dy, \quad \text{and} \quad I_3 := - \int \partial_h^\alpha \mathcal{N}_\eta^P \partial_h^\alpha \operatorname{div} u dy.$$

Using the integration by parts, (4.66) and (4.68), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |I_1 + I_2| \\ & \lesssim \|(\mathcal{N}_u^1, \mathcal{N}_u^2)\|_{\underline{3},0} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{3},0} \\ & \lesssim \left(\|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{3},0} + \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{3},0} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \right) \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{3},0} \\ & \lesssim \kappa^{-1/8} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{D}} \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

and

$$|I_3| \lesssim \|\mathcal{N}_\eta^3\|_{\underline{3},0} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{3},0} \lesssim \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/8} \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{3},0} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{3},0} \lesssim \kappa^{-5/8} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{D}}. \quad (5.6)$$

Plugging (5.4)–(5.6) into (5.3), then yields (5.1). This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 5.2. *Let α satisfy $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3$. Under the assumptions (2.39) and (2.40) with sufficiently small δ , it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(2 \int \bar{\rho} \partial_h^\alpha u \cdot \partial_h^\alpha \eta dy + \|\partial_h^\alpha (\sqrt{\mu/2} \mathbb{D} \eta, \sqrt{\lambda} \operatorname{div} \eta)\|_0^2 \right) + \|\partial_h^\alpha (\sqrt{P'(\bar{\rho})} \bar{\rho} \operatorname{div} \eta, \sqrt{\kappa} \nabla \eta)\|_0^2 \\ & \lesssim \|\sqrt{\bar{\rho}} \partial_h^\alpha u\|_0^2 + \kappa^{-1/2} (\kappa^{-1/8} + \kappa^{-5/8}) \sqrt{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{D}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

Proof. Taking the inner product of (5.2)₂ and $\partial_h^\alpha \eta$ in L^2 yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int \bar{\rho} \partial_h^\alpha u \cdot \partial_h^\alpha \eta dy + \int \operatorname{div} \partial_h^\alpha (P'(\bar{\rho}) \bar{\rho} \operatorname{div} \eta \mathbb{I} + \mu \mathbb{D} u + \lambda \operatorname{div} u \mathbb{I} + \kappa \nabla \eta^\top) \cdot \partial_h^\alpha u dy \\ & - \int \operatorname{div} \partial_h^\alpha (\mathcal{N}_u^\mu + \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda - \mathcal{N}_\eta^P) \cdot \partial_h^\alpha u dy = \|\sqrt{\bar{\rho}} \partial_h^\alpha u\|_0^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Similarly to (5.4), exploiting the integration by parts and the boundary conditions (5.2)₃–(5.2)₅, we can have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \operatorname{div} \partial_h^\alpha (P'(\bar{\rho}) \bar{\rho} \operatorname{div} \eta \mathbb{I} + \mu \mathbb{D} u + \lambda \operatorname{div} u \mathbb{I} + \kappa \nabla \eta^\top) \cdot \partial_h^\alpha u dy \\ & - \int \operatorname{div} \partial_h^\alpha (\mathcal{N}_u^\mu + \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda - \mathcal{N}_\eta^P) \cdot \partial_h^\alpha u dy \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_h^\alpha (\sqrt{\mu/2} \mathbb{D} u, \sqrt{\lambda} \operatorname{div} u)\|_0^2 + \|\partial_h^\alpha (\sqrt{P'(\bar{\rho})} \bar{\rho} \operatorname{div} \eta, \sqrt{\kappa} \nabla \eta)\|_0^2 + \sum_{i=4}^6 I_i, \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

where we have defined that

$$I_4 := \int \partial_h^\alpha \mathcal{N}_u^\mu : \nabla \partial_h^\alpha \eta^\top dy, \quad I_5 := \int \partial_h^\alpha \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda \partial_h^\alpha \operatorname{div} \eta dy \quad \text{and} \quad I_6 := - \int \partial_h^\alpha \mathcal{N}_\eta^P \partial_h^\alpha \operatorname{div} \eta dy.$$

Following the arguments of (5.5)–(5.6), we can also estimate that

$$|I_4 + I_5 + I_6| \lesssim \kappa^{-1/2} (\kappa^{-1/8} + \kappa^{-5/8}) \sqrt{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{D}}. \quad (5.10)$$

Putting (5.9)–(5.10) into (5.8), then (5.7) follows. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 5.3. *Under the assumptions (2.39) and (2.40) with sufficiently small δ , it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \kappa^{-2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\sqrt{\bar{\rho}} u_t\|_{\underline{1},0}^2 + \|(\sqrt{P'(\bar{\rho})} \bar{\rho} \operatorname{div} u, \sqrt{\kappa} \nabla u)\|_{\underline{1},0}^2 \right) + c \kappa^{-2} \|u_t\|_{\underline{1},1}^2 \\ & \lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-1}) \kappa^{-1/8} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{D}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

Proof. Applying $\partial_h^\alpha \partial_t$ with $|\alpha| \leq 1$ to (3.10), we have

$$\begin{cases} \bar{\rho} \partial_h^\alpha u_{tt} - \operatorname{div} \partial_h^\alpha (P'(\bar{\rho}) \bar{\rho} \operatorname{div} u \mathbb{I} + \mu \mathbb{D} u_t + \lambda \operatorname{div} u_t \mathbb{I} + \kappa \nabla u^\top) \\ = \operatorname{div} \partial_h^\alpha \partial_t (\mathcal{N}_u^\mu + \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda - \mathcal{N}_\eta^P) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \llbracket \partial_h^\alpha u \rrbracket = \llbracket \partial_h^\alpha u_t \rrbracket = 0, & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ \llbracket \partial_h^\alpha (P'(\bar{\rho}) \bar{\rho} \operatorname{div} u \mathbb{I} + \mu \mathbb{D} u_t + \lambda \operatorname{div} u_t \mathbb{I} + \kappa \nabla u) \rrbracket \mathbf{e}^3 = \llbracket \partial_h^\alpha \partial_t (\mathcal{N}_\eta^P - \mathcal{N}_u^\mu - \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda) \rrbracket \mathbf{e}^3 & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ (\partial_h^\alpha u, \partial_h^\alpha u_t) = (0, 0) & \text{on } \Sigma_-^+. \end{cases} \quad (5.12)$$

Taking the inner product of (5.12)₁ with $\kappa^{-2} \partial_h^\alpha u_t$ in L^2 , and then integrating by parts over Ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \kappa^{-2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\sqrt{\bar{\rho}} \partial_h^\alpha u_t\|_0^2 + \|\partial_h^\alpha (\sqrt{P'(\bar{\rho})} \bar{\rho} \operatorname{div} u, \sqrt{\kappa} \nabla u)\|_0^2 \right) + \kappa^{-2} \|\partial_h^\alpha (\sqrt{\mu/2} \mathbb{D}(u_t), \sqrt{\lambda} \operatorname{div} u_t)\|_1^2 \\ & = -\kappa^{-2} \int (\partial_h^\alpha \partial_t \mathcal{N}_u^\mu : \nabla \partial_h^\alpha u_t^\top + \partial_h^\alpha (\partial_t \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda - \partial_t \mathcal{N}_\eta^P) \partial_h^\alpha \operatorname{div} u_t) \, dy. \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

Thanks to (4.67) and (4.70), we can estimate that

$$\begin{aligned} & -\kappa^{-2} \int (\partial_h^\alpha \partial_t \mathcal{N}_u^\mu : \nabla \partial_h^\alpha u_t^\top + \partial_h^\alpha (\partial_t \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda - \partial_t \mathcal{N}_\eta^P) \operatorname{div} \partial_h^\alpha u_t) \, dy \\ & \lesssim \kappa^{-2} \|\nabla u_t\|_{\underline{1},0} \left(\|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla u_t\|_{\underline{1},0} + \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},0} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},1}^{3/8} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/8} \right) \\ & \quad + \kappa^{-2} \|\nabla \partial_h^\alpha u_t\|_0 \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},0} \\ & \lesssim \kappa^{-2} \|\nabla \partial_h^\alpha u_t\|_0 \left(\kappa^{7/8} \|\kappa^{-1/2} \nabla u\|_{\underline{1},0} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\kappa^{-1/2} \nabla u\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\kappa^{-1} \nabla u\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} (\|u_t\|_{\underline{1},1} + \|u\|_{\underline{1},1}) \right) \\ & \lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-1}) \kappa^{-1/8} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{D}}. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting the above estimate into (5.13), then summing up over α from $|\alpha| = 0$ to $|\alpha| = 1$, and finally using Korn's inequality yields (5.11) immediately. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 5.4. *Under the assumptions of (2.39) and (2.40) with sufficiently small δ , it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{H}_\kappa(\eta) + c \|(\eta, \kappa^{-1} u)\|_{\underline{1},3}^2 \\ & \lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-4}) \|(\sqrt{\kappa} \eta, u)\|_{\underline{3},1}^2 + (1 + \kappa^{-2}) (\kappa^{-2} \|u_t\|_{\underline{1},1}^2 + (\kappa^{-1/4} + \kappa^{-5/2}) \mathcal{E}\mathcal{D}), \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

where the energy functional $\mathcal{H}_\kappa(\eta)$ satisfies

$$\kappa^{-1} \|\partial_3^2 \eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{H}_\kappa(\eta) \lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-2}) \kappa^{-1} \|\partial_3^2 \eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^2. \quad (5.15)$$

Remark 5.1. By virtue of (5.15), we can see that

$$\kappa^{-1} \|\eta\|_{\underline{1},3}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{H}_\kappa(\eta) + (1 + \kappa^{-2}) \kappa \|\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^2 \lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-2}) (\kappa^{-1} \|\eta\|_{\underline{1},3}^2 + \kappa \|\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^2).$$

Proof. The first two components and the third component of (3.10)₂ read as

$$- \mu \partial_3^2 u_h - \kappa \partial_3^2 \eta_h = \mathcal{K}_h, \quad (5.16)$$

$$- (\mu + \lambda) \partial_3^2 u_3 - (P'(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho} + \kappa) \partial_3^2 \eta_3 = \mathcal{K}_3, \quad (5.17)$$

where we have defined that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_h &:= \mu \Delta_h u_h + \lambda \nabla_h \operatorname{div} u + \kappa \Delta_h \eta_h + P'(\bar{\rho}) \bar{\rho} \nabla_h \operatorname{div} \eta - \bar{\rho} \partial_t u_h \\ &\quad + (\operatorname{div} \partial_h^\alpha (\mathcal{N}_u^\mu + \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda - \mathcal{N}_\eta^P))_h, \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_3 &:= \mu \Delta_h u_3 + \lambda \partial_3 \operatorname{div}_h u_h + \kappa \Delta_h \eta_3 + P'(\bar{\rho}) \bar{\rho} \partial_3 \operatorname{div}_h \eta_h - \bar{\rho} \partial_t u_3 \\ &\quad + (\operatorname{div} \partial_h^\alpha (\mathcal{N}_u^\mu + \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda - \mathcal{N}_\eta^P))_3, \end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

and f_h, f_3 represent the first two components and the third component of $f := (f_h, f_3)^\top$, resp. Noting that the order of ∂_3 in the linear parts on the right-hand side of (5.16) and (5.17) is lower than that of ∂_3 on the left-hand side, this fact clearly provides that the y_3 -derivative estimates of η can be converted to the y_h -derivative estimates.

Let $0 \leq i, l \leq 1$, $0 \leq j \leq i$ and $0 \leq k \leq i - j$. Applying $\partial_h^{j+k+l} \partial_3^{i-j-k}$ to (5.16)–(5.17) yields that

$$- \partial_h^{j+k+l} \partial_3^{i-j-k} (\mu \partial_3^2 u_h + \kappa \partial_3^2 \eta_h) = \partial_h^{j+k+l} \partial_3^{i-j-k} \mathcal{K}_h, \quad (5.20)$$

$$- \partial_h^{j+k+l} \partial_3^{i-j-k} ((\mu + \lambda) \partial_3^2 u_3 + (P'(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho} + \kappa) \partial_3^2 \eta_3) = \partial_h^{j+k+l} \partial_3^{i-j-k} \mathcal{K}_3. \quad (5.21)$$

Taking the inner products of $-\kappa^{-1} \partial_h^{j+k+l} \partial_3^{i-j-k+2} \eta_h$, resp. $-\kappa^{-1} \partial_h^{j+k+l} \partial_3^{i-j-k+2} \eta_3$ with (5.20) resp. (5.21) in L^2 , we find by $\eta_t = u$ that

$$\begin{aligned} &\kappa^{-1} \int \partial_h^{j+k+l} \partial_3^{i-j-k+2} (\mu \partial_t \eta_h + \kappa \eta_h) \cdot \partial_h^{j+k+l} \partial_3^{i-j-k+2} \eta_h \, dy \\ &= -\kappa^{-1} \int \partial_h^{j+k+l} \partial_3^{i-j-k} \mathcal{K}_h \cdot \partial_h^{j+k+l} \partial_3^{i-j-k+2} \eta_h \, dy \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\kappa^{-1} \int \partial_h^{j+k+l} \partial_3^{i-j-k} ((\mu + \lambda) \partial_3^2 \partial_t \eta_3 + (P'(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho} + \kappa) \partial_3^2 \eta_3) \partial_h^{j+k+l} \partial_3^{i-j-k+2} \eta_3 \, dy \\ &= -\kappa^{-1} \int \partial_h^{j+k+l} \partial_3^{i-j-k} \mathcal{K}_3 \partial_h^{j+k+l} \partial_3^{i-j-k+2} \eta_3 \, dy. \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

Using Young's inequality, we can further deduce from (5.22)–(5.23) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\kappa^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} (\mu \|\partial_3^2 \eta_h\|_{j+l, i-j}^2 + (\mu + \lambda) \|\partial_3^2 \eta_3\|_{j+l, i-j}^2) + \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_3^2 \eta_h\|_{j+l, i-j}^2 \\ &\quad + (1 + \kappa^{-1} P'(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho}) \|\partial_3^2 \eta_3\|_{j+l, i-j}^2 \lesssim \kappa^{-2} \|\mathcal{K}\|_{j+l, i-j}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.24)$$

Similarly, taking the inner products of $-\kappa^{-2}\partial_h^{j+k+l}\partial_3^{i-j-k+2}u_h$, resp. $-\kappa^{-2}\partial_h^{j+k+l}\partial_3^{i-j-k+2}u_3$ with (5.20), resp. (5.21) in L^2 , and then following the derivation of (5.24), we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \kappa^{-1}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|\partial_3^2\eta_h\|_{j+l,i-j}^2 + (1 + \kappa^{-1}P'(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho})\|\partial_3^2\eta_3\|_{j+l,i-j}^2\right) \\ & + c\kappa^{-2}\|(\sqrt{\mu}\partial_3^2u_h, \sqrt{(\mu+\lambda)}\partial_3^2u_3)\|_{j+l,i-j}^2 \lesssim \kappa^{-2}\|\mathcal{K}\|_{j+l,i-j}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

Thus, combining (5.24) with (5.25), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{H}_{i,j,\kappa}(\eta) + c\|\partial_3^2(\eta, \kappa^{-1}u)\|_{j+l,i-j}^2 \lesssim \kappa^{-2}\|\mathcal{K}\|_{j+l,i-j}^2, \quad (5.26)$$

where we have defined that

$$\mathcal{H}_{i,j,\kappa}(\eta) := \kappa^{-1}\left\|\left(\sqrt{(\mu+1)}\partial_3^2\eta_h, \sqrt{(1+\mu+\lambda+\kappa^{-1}P'(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho})}\partial_3^2\eta_3\right)\right\|_{j+l,i-j}^2.$$

In addition, we have

$$\|\mathcal{K}\|_{j+l,i-j}^2 \lesssim \|(\sqrt{\kappa}\eta, \sqrt{P'(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho}}\eta, u)\|_{j+l+1,i-j+1}^2 + \|(u_t, \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{N}_u^\mu + \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda - \mathcal{N}_\eta^P))\|_{j+l,i-j}^2,$$

from which and (5.26) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{H}_\kappa(\eta) + \|(\eta, \kappa^{-1}u)\|_{\underline{1},3}^2 \\ & \lesssim \|(\eta, \kappa^{-1}u)\|_{\underline{3},1}^2 + (1 + \kappa^{-1})^2(\kappa^{-2}\|(\sqrt{\kappa}\eta, \eta, u)\|_{\underline{3},1}^2 + \kappa^{-2}\|(u_t, \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{N}_u^\mu + \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda - \mathcal{N}_\eta^P))\|_{\underline{1},1}^2) \\ & \lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-4})\|(\sqrt{\kappa}\eta, u)\|_{\underline{3},1}^2 + (1 + \kappa^{-2})(\kappa^{-2}\|u_t\|_{\underline{1},1}^2 + \kappa^{-2}\|(\mathcal{N}_u^\mu + \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda - \mathcal{N}_\eta^P)\|_{\underline{1},2}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (5.27)$$

where we have defined that

$$\mathcal{H}_\kappa(\eta) := \sum_{l=0}^1 \left(h_{1,0}\mathcal{H}_{1,0,\kappa}(\eta) + (1 + \kappa^{-1})^2 h_{1,1}\mathcal{H}_{1,1,\kappa}(\eta) + h_{0,0}\mathcal{H}_{0,0,\kappa}(\eta) \right)$$

for some positive constants $h_{i,j}$ depending on the domain and other known physical parameters, but not on κ .

Moreover, utilizing (4.66)–(4.69) with $j = 2$, we can estimate that

$$\begin{aligned} & \kappa^{-2}\|(\mathcal{N}_u^\mu + \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda - \mathcal{N}_\eta^P)\|_{\underline{1},2}^2 \\ & \lesssim \kappa^{-2}\left(\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/2}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/2}\|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},2}^2 + \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{3/2}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/2}\|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},1}\|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},2}\right. \\ & \quad + \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}\|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},1}^{3/2}\|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},2}^{1/2} + \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{2},0}\|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/2}\|\nabla u\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/2}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},2}^2 \\ & \quad \left. + \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},2} + \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/2}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/2}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},2}^2\right) \\ & \lesssim (\kappa^{-1/4} + \kappa^{-5/2})\mathcal{E}\mathcal{D}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, plugging the above estimate into (5.27), we deduce (5.14) from (5.27). In addition, $\mathcal{H}_\kappa(\eta)$ obviously satisfies (5.15). This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 5.5. *Under the assumptions of (2.39) and (2.40) with sufficiently small δ , it holds that*

$$\kappa^{-2}\|u\|_{\underline{1},2}^2 \lesssim \kappa^{-2}\|u_t\|_{\underline{1},0}^2 + (1 + \kappa^{-2})\|\eta\|_{\underline{1},2}^2 + (\kappa^{-1/4} + \kappa^{-9/4})\mathcal{E}^2. \quad (5.28)$$

Proof. Let us consider the following stratified Lamé problem

$$\begin{cases} -\mu\Delta u - (\mu + \lambda)\nabla\operatorname{div}u = F^1 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \llbracket u \rrbracket = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ \llbracket \mu\mathbb{D}u + \lambda\operatorname{div}u\mathbb{I} \rrbracket \mathbf{e}^3 = \llbracket F^2 \rrbracket \mathbf{e}^3 & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_-^+, \end{cases} \quad (5.29)$$

where we have defined that

$$\begin{aligned} F^1 &:= -\bar{\rho}u_t + \operatorname{div}(P'(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho}\operatorname{div}\eta\mathbb{I} + \kappa\nabla\eta + \mathcal{N}_u^\mu + \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda - \mathcal{N}_\eta^P), \\ F^2 &:= -(P'(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho}\operatorname{div}\eta\mathbb{I} + \kappa\nabla\eta + \mathcal{N}_u^\mu + \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda) + \mathcal{N}_\eta^P. \end{aligned}$$

Exploiting the regularity of solutions of stratified Lamé problem in (A.14), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa^{-2}\|u\|_{\underline{1},2}^2 &\lesssim \kappa^{-2}(\|F^1\|_{\underline{1},0}^2 + |F^2|_{3/2}^2) \\ &\lesssim \kappa^{-2}\|u_t\|_{\underline{1},0}^2 + (1 + \kappa^{-2})\|\eta\|_{\underline{1},2}^2 + \kappa^{-2}\|(\mathcal{N}_u^\mu, \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda, \mathcal{N}_\eta^P)\|_{\underline{1},1}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.30)$$

Making use of (4.66) and (4.69) with $j = 1$, we can estimate that

$$\begin{aligned} &\kappa^{-2}\|(\mathcal{N}_u^\mu, \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda, \mathcal{N}_\eta^P)\|_{\underline{1},1}^2 \\ &\lesssim \kappa^{-2}(\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{3/2}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/2}\|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},0}\|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},1} + \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},2}\|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},0}^{3/2}\|\nabla u\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/2}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/2}\|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},1}^2 + \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},0}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},0}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/2}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/2}\|\nabla\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^2) \\ &\lesssim (\kappa^{-1/4} + \kappa^{-9/4})\mathcal{E}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Putting the above estimate into (5.30) and using Young's inequality then yields (5.28) immediately. This completes the proof. \square

5.2. Stability estimates

With Lemmas 5.1–5.5 in hand, we are now in a position to establish the desired *a priori* estimate (2.41) under the assumptions (2.39) and (2.40) for sufficiently small δ .

We first define

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathcal{E}} &:= C_1\|(\sqrt{\bar{\rho}}u, \sqrt{P'(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho}}\operatorname{div}\eta, \sqrt{\kappa}\nabla\eta)\|_{\underline{3},0}^2 \\ &\quad + 2\sum_{|\alpha|=0}^3\int\bar{\rho}\partial_h^\alpha u \cdot \partial_h^\alpha \eta dy + \|(\sqrt{\mu/2}\mathbb{D}\eta, \sqrt{\lambda}\operatorname{div}\eta)\|_{\underline{3},0}^2, \\ \bar{\mathcal{D}} &:= C_1\|u\|_{\underline{3},1}^2 + \|\operatorname{div}\eta\|_{\underline{3},0}^2 + \kappa\|\eta\|_{\underline{3},1}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant C_1 . Using Korn's inequality (A.12) and Poincaré's inequality, we deduce from Lemmas 5.1–5.2 that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\bar{\mathcal{E}} + c\bar{\mathcal{D}} \lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-1/2})(\kappa^{-1/8} + \kappa^{-5/8})\sqrt{\bar{\mathcal{E}}}\bar{\mathcal{D}}, \quad (5.31)$$

and that $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$ satisfies

$$\|u\|_{\underline{3},0}^2 + (1 + \kappa)\|\eta\|_{\underline{3},1}^2 \lesssim \bar{\mathcal{E}} \lesssim \|u\|_{\underline{3},0}^2 + (1 + \kappa)\|\eta\|_{\underline{3},1}^2.$$

Hence, we further deduce from Lemmas 5.3–5.4 and (5.31) that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{\mathcal{E}} + c\tilde{\mathcal{D}} \lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-9/2})(\kappa^{-1/8} + \kappa^{-5/2})(\sqrt{\bar{\mathcal{E}}} + \mathcal{E})\mathcal{D}, \quad (5.32)$$

where we have defined that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{E}} &:= \mathcal{H}_\kappa(\eta) + C_2(1 + \kappa^{-4})\kappa^{-2} \left(\|\sqrt{\bar{\rho}}u_t\|_{\underline{1},0}^2 + \|(\sqrt{P'(\bar{\rho})}\bar{\rho}\operatorname{div}u, \sqrt{\kappa}\nabla u)\|_{\underline{1},0}^2 \right) + C_2^2(1 + \kappa^{-4})\bar{\mathcal{E}}, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{D}} &:= \|(\eta, \kappa^{-1}u)\|_{\underline{1},3}^2 + C_2(1 + \kappa^{-4})\kappa^{-2}\|u_t\|_{\underline{1},1}^2 + C_2^2(1 + \kappa^{-4})\bar{\mathcal{D}} \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant C_2 .

Using the interpolation inequality, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta\|_{\underline{1},2}^2 &\lesssim \|\kappa^{-1/2}\eta\|_{\underline{1},3}\|\kappa^{1/2}\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}, \quad \kappa^{1/2}\|\eta\|_{\underline{1},2}^2 \lesssim \|\eta\|_{\underline{1},3}\|\kappa^{1/2}\eta\|_{\underline{1},1}, \\ \text{and } \kappa^{-1}\|u\|_{\underline{1},2}^2 &\lesssim \kappa^{-1}\|u\|_{\underline{1},3}\|u\|_{\underline{1},1}. \end{aligned}$$

These three inequalities, in combination with (5.15), yields

$$\mathcal{E} - \kappa^{-2}\|u\|_{\underline{1},2}^2 \lesssim \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-4})(\mathcal{E} - \kappa^{-2}\|u\|_{\underline{1},2}^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D} \lesssim \tilde{\mathcal{D}}. \quad (5.33)$$

Therefore, it follows from (5.32) and $\mathcal{D} \lesssim \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ that there exists a constant $\tilde{\delta}_1 \in (0, 1)$ such that, for any $\delta \leq \tilde{\delta}_1$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{\mathcal{E}} + c\mathcal{D} \leq 0. \quad (5.34)$$

Integrating the above inequality over $(0, t)$, and then using (5.33), we get

$$\mathcal{E}(t) - \kappa^{-2}\|u\|_{\underline{1},2}^2 + \int_0^t \mathcal{D}(\tau)d\tau \lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-4})\mathcal{E}(0),$$

which, together with (5.28), yields that there exists a constant $\tilde{\delta}_2 \in (0, 1)$ such that, for any $\delta \leq \tilde{\delta}_2$,

$$\mathcal{E}(t) + \int_0^t \mathcal{D}(\tau)d\tau \lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-6})\mathcal{E}(0), \quad (5.35)$$

where we have defined $f(0) := f(t)|_{t=0}$.

Furthermore, exploiting (4.66) and (4.69) with $i = 1$ for $t = 0$, we deduce from (3.10)₂ that there exists a constant $\tilde{\delta}_3 \in (0, 1)$ such that, for any $\delta \leq \tilde{\delta}_3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa^{-2}\|u_t|_{t=0}\|_{\underline{1},0}^2 &\lesssim \kappa^{-2}((1 + \kappa^2)\|\eta^0\|_{\underline{1},2}^2 + \|u^0\|_{\underline{1},2}^2 + \|(\mathcal{N}_u^\mu + \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda - \mathcal{N}_\eta^P)(0)\|_{\underline{1},1}^2) \\ &\lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-2})E^0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.36)$$

Substituting the above estimate into (5.35) yields that, for any $\delta \leq \delta_1 := \min\{\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{\delta}_3\}$,

$$\mathcal{E}(t) + \int_0^t \mathcal{D}(\tau)d\tau \lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-8})E^0 \leq c_2(1 + \kappa^{-8})E^0 \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, T], \quad (5.37)$$

where the constant $c_2 \geq 1$.

Now, if we take

$$K^2 = 4c_2(1 + \kappa^{-8})E^0, \quad (5.38)$$

it then follows from (5.37) that

$$\mathcal{E}(t) + \int_0^t \mathcal{D}(\tau) d\tau \leq K^2/4 \text{ for any } t \in [0, T]. \quad (5.39)$$

Additionally, by (5.33), one has

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \leq c_3(1 + \kappa^{-1})\mathcal{D} \quad \text{for some constant } c_3 > 0.$$

Thanks to (5.36) and the above relation, we derive from (5.33)–(5.34) that, for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\mathcal{E}(t) - \kappa^{-2}\|u\|_{\underline{1},2}^2 + \int_0^t \mathcal{D}(\tau) e^{\frac{(\tau-t)}{c_3(1+\kappa^{-1})}} d\tau \lesssim e^{-\frac{t}{c_3(1+\kappa^{-1})}} (1 + \kappa^{-6})E^0. \quad (5.40)$$

Consequently, combining (5.28) with (5.39)–(5.40) and (2.40) (with $\delta \leq \delta_1$), we finally obtain that

$$\mathcal{E}(t) + \int_0^t \mathcal{D}(\tau) e^{\frac{(\tau-t)}{c_3(1+\kappa^{-1})}} d\tau \lesssim e^{-\frac{t}{c_3(1+\kappa^{-1})}} (1 + \kappa^{-8})E^0. \quad (5.41)$$

This completes the derivation of *a priori* stability estimate. More precisely, we have the following conclusion of the *a priori* stability estimate.

Proposition 5.1. *Let (η, u) be the solution of the initial-boundary value problem (2.31). If (η, u) satisfies (2.39)–(2.40) for K defined by (5.38) and for sufficiently small δ such that $\delta \leq \delta_1$, then (η, u) satisfies (5.39) and the exponential stability estimate (5.41) for any $t \in [0, T]$.*

In addition, we introduce a local well-posedness for the initial-boundary value problem (2.31).

Proposition 5.2. *Let $(\eta^0, u^0) \in H_0^{1,3} \times H_0^{1,2}$ satisfy the compatibility condition (2.32) and*

$$\|(\nabla\eta^0, u^0)\|_{\underline{1},2} \leq B,$$

where B is a positive constant. Then there is a constant $\delta_2 \in (0, 1]$ such that, if η^0 further satisfies

$$\|\nabla\eta^0\|_{L^\infty} \leq \delta_2, \quad (5.42)$$

there exist a local existence time $T > 0$ (depending possibly on B, μ, λ, κ and δ_2) and a unique local strong solution $(\eta, u) \in C^0([0, T], H_0^{1,3} \times H_0^{1,2})$ to the initial-boundary value problem (2.31), satisfying $0 < \inf_{(y,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]} \det(\nabla\eta + I)$ and $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\nabla\eta\|_{L^\infty} \leq 2\delta_2$.

Proof. The local and global well-posedness results of stratified compressible viscous fluids have been established by Jang–Tice–Wang in [21, 22]. Thus, following the standard iteration method in [21], we can easily obtain the well-posedness result of the initial-boundary value problem (2.31) stated in Proposition 5.2. \square

With Propositions 5.1 and 5.2 in hand and the homeomorphism mapping theorem in Lemma A.7, we easily establish Theorem 2.1, please refer to [26, Theorem 2.1] or [27, Theorem 1.4] for the proof.

6. Proof of Theorem 2.2

Let (η, u) be the solution to the problem (2.31) established in Theorem 2.1. By (2.33), we find that

$$\|\nabla\eta^0\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 1, \quad \|\nabla\eta^0\|_{\underline{3},0} \|\nabla\eta^0\|_{\underline{1},1} \lesssim 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla\eta^0\|_{\underline{3},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla\eta^0\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla\eta^0\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \lesssim 1, \quad (6.1)$$

where (η^0, u^0) is the initial data of (η, u) given in Theorem 2.1.

Since the initial data (η^0, u^0) does not generally satisfy the compatibility jump condition (2.45)₄ of the linear problem (2.45), one has to modify the initial data (η^0, u^0) so that the modified initial data, denoted by $(\tilde{\eta}^0, \tilde{u}^0)$, can be used as the initial data for the linear problem (2.45).

To this purpose, we consider the following stratified Lamé problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\mu\Delta u^r - (\mu + \lambda)\nabla\text{div}^r = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \llbracket u^r \rrbracket = 0, & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ \llbracket \mu\mathbb{D}u^r + \lambda\text{div}u^r\mathbb{I} \rrbracket \mathbf{e}^3 = -\llbracket \mathcal{N}_\eta^P(0) - \mathcal{N}_u^\mu(0) - \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda(0) \rrbracket \mathbf{e}^3 & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ u^r = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_-^+. \end{cases} \quad (6.2)$$

In view of the existence theory of stratified Lamé problem (see Lemma A.8), there exists a solution $u^r \in H_0^{1,2}$ to (6.2); moreover, by (A.14), the solution enjoys that

$$\|u^r\|_{\underline{1},2}^2 \lesssim \|\llbracket \mathcal{N}_\eta^P(0) - \mathcal{N}_u^\mu(0) - \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda(0) \rrbracket\|_{1+1/2}^2. \quad (6.3)$$

Making use of (4.2)–(4.3), (4.8)–(4.9), (4.13)–(4.14) for $t = 0$, (6.1) and (A.4)–(A.7), we can deduce from (6.3) that the solution u^r enjoys the estimate (2.47).

Let $\tilde{\eta}^0 = \eta^0$ and $\tilde{u}^0 = u^0 + u^r$, where u^r is constructed above. Then $(\tilde{\eta}^0, \tilde{u}^0)$ satisfies the jump condition

$$\llbracket P'(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho}\text{div}\tilde{\eta}^0\mathbb{I} + \mu\mathbb{D}\tilde{u}^0 + \lambda\text{div}\tilde{u}^0\mathbb{I} + \kappa\nabla\tilde{\eta}^0 \rrbracket \mathbf{e}^3 = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma. \quad (6.4)$$

Following the argument of the well-posedness result in (5.2), we easily prove that there exists a unique global solution (η^1, u^1) to the linearized problem (2.45) with initial data $(\eta^1, u^1)|_{t=0} = (\tilde{\eta}^0, \tilde{u}^0)$. Moreover, the solution enjoys the same regularity as well as the solution (η, u) in Theorem 2.1. In particular, following the derivations of (5.11) by using (6.1), we can deduce from (2.45) and (2.47) that

$$(\kappa^{-2}\|u_t^1\|_{\underline{1},0}^2 + \kappa^{-1}\|u^1\|_{\underline{1},1}^2) + \kappa^{-2} \int_0^t \|u_t^1(\tau)\|_{\underline{1},1}^2 d\tau \lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-2})E^0. \quad (6.5)$$

Subtracting (2.45) from (3.10), then we obtain that

$$\begin{cases} \eta_t^d = u^d & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \bar{\rho}u_t^d - \text{div}(P'(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho}\text{div}\eta^d\mathbb{I} + \mu\mathbb{D}u^d + \lambda\text{div}u^d\mathbb{I} + \kappa(\nabla\eta^d)^\top) \\ = \text{div}(\mathcal{N}_u^\mu + \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda - \mathcal{N}_\eta^P) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \llbracket \eta^d \rrbracket = \llbracket u^d \rrbracket = 0, & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ \llbracket P'(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho}\text{div}\eta^d + \mu\mathbb{D}u^d + \lambda\text{div}u^d\mathbb{I} + \kappa\nabla\eta^d \rrbracket \mathbf{e}^3 = \llbracket \mathcal{N}_\eta^P - \mathcal{N}_u^\mu - \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda \rrbracket & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ (\eta^d, u^d) = (0, 0) & \text{on } \Sigma_-^+, \\ (\eta^d, u^d)|_{t=0} = (0, u^r) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (6.6)$$

Following the arguments of Lemmas 5.1–5.2 with slight modifications, we can deduce from (6.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \bar{\mathcal{E}}^d + c \bar{\mathcal{D}}^d &\lesssim \left(\|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{3},0} + \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{3},0} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|\nabla \eta\|_{\underline{3},0} \right) \|(\nabla \eta^d, \nabla u^d)\|_{\underline{3},0} \\
&\lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-1/2})(\kappa^{-1/8} + \kappa^{-5/8}) \sqrt{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{D}} \|(\sqrt{\kappa} \nabla \eta^d, \nabla u^d)\|_{\underline{3},0},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

where we have defined that

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mathcal{E}}^d &:= C_3 \|(\sqrt{\bar{\rho}} u^d, \sqrt{P'(\bar{\rho})} \bar{\rho} \operatorname{div} \eta^d, \sqrt{\kappa} \nabla \eta^d)\|_{\underline{3},0}^2 \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^3 \int \bar{\rho} \partial_h^\alpha u^d \cdot \partial_h^\alpha \eta^d dy + \|(\sqrt{\mu/2} \mathbb{D} \eta^d, \sqrt{\lambda} \operatorname{div} \eta^d)\|_{\underline{3},0}^2, \\
\bar{\mathcal{D}}^d &:= C_3 \|u^d\|_{\underline{3},1}^2 + \|\operatorname{div} \eta^d\|_{\underline{3},0}^2 + \kappa \|\eta^d\|_{\underline{3},1}^2
\end{aligned}$$

for some suitably large C_3 , and that $\bar{\mathcal{E}}^d$ satisfies

$$\|(\operatorname{div} \eta^d, u^d)\|_{\underline{3},0}^2 + (1 + \kappa) \|\eta^d\|_{\underline{3},1}^2 \lesssim \bar{\mathcal{E}}^d \lesssim \|(\operatorname{div} \eta^d, u^d)\|_{\underline{3},0}^2 + (1 + \kappa) \|\eta^d\|_{\underline{3},1}^2,$$

which implies that

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}^d \lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-1}) \bar{\mathcal{D}}^d. \tag{6.8}$$

Furthermore, using Young's inequality, we can deduce from (6.7) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \bar{\mathcal{E}}^d + c \bar{\mathcal{D}}^d \lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-1})(\kappa^{-1/4} + \kappa^{-5/4}) \mathcal{E}\mathcal{D}. \tag{6.9}$$

Thanks to (2.47), we can see that

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}^d(0) \lesssim \|u^r\|_{\underline{1},2}^2 \lesssim \kappa^{-1/2} E^0 \|(\eta^0, u^0)\|_{\underline{1},2}^2. \tag{6.10}$$

Consequently, in the light of (6.8)–(6.10), along with (2.34), we then obtain the desired error estimate (2.43).

Additionally, taking the inner product of (6.6) with $\partial_h^\alpha \partial_3^2 \eta^d$ for $|\alpha| \leq 1$ in L^2 , and following the derivation of (2.45) with slight modifications, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} \overline{\|\partial_3^2 \eta^d\|_{\underline{1},0}^2} + \|\sqrt{\kappa} \partial_3^2 \eta^d\|_{\underline{1},0}^2 \\
&\lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-2}) \|(\sqrt{\kappa} \eta^d, u^d)\|_{\underline{2},1}^2 + \kappa^{-1} \|u_t^d\|_{\underline{1},0}^2 + \kappa^{-1} \|(\mathcal{N}_u^\mu, \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda, \mathcal{N}_\eta^P)\|_{\underline{1},1}^2 \\
&\lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-2}) \|(\sqrt{\kappa} \eta^d, u^d)\|_{\underline{2},1}^2 + \kappa^{-1} \|u_t^d\|_{\underline{1},0}^2 + (\kappa^{-1/4} + \kappa^{-5/4}) \mathcal{E}\mathcal{D},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

where $\overline{\|\partial_3^2 \eta^d\|_{\underline{1},0}^2}$ and $\|\partial_3^2 \eta^d\|_{\underline{1},0}^2$ are equivalent to each other.

Taking the inner product of (6.6)₂ with $\kappa^{-1}\partial_h^\alpha u_t^d$ in L^2 , and then using the integration by parts, and (6.6)₃–(6.6)₅, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \kappa^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int \partial_h^\alpha (P'(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho} \operatorname{div} \eta^d \mathbb{I} + \kappa \nabla \eta^d) : \nabla \partial_h^\alpha u^d dy + \|\partial_h^\alpha (\sqrt{\mu/2} \mathbb{D} u^d, \sqrt{\lambda} \operatorname{div} u^d)\|_0^2 / 2 \right) \\
& + \kappa^{-1} \|\sqrt{\bar{\rho}} \partial_h^\alpha u_t^d\|_0^2 \\
& = \kappa^{-1} \left(\|\partial_h^\alpha (\sqrt{P'(\bar{\rho})\bar{\rho}} \operatorname{div} u^d, \sqrt{\kappa} \nabla u^d)\|_0^2 \right) \\
& - \kappa^{-1} \left(\int \partial_h^\alpha \mathcal{N}_u^\mu : \nabla (\partial_h^\alpha u_t^d)^\top dy + \int \partial_h^\alpha \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda \operatorname{div} \partial_h^\alpha u_t^d dy - \int \partial_h^\alpha \mathcal{N}_\eta^P \operatorname{div} \partial_h^\alpha u_t^d dy \right). \tag{6.12}
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by virtue of (4.65) and (4.68) with $i = 1$, we can estimate that

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \kappa^{-1} \left(\int \partial_h^\alpha \mathcal{N}_u^\mu : \nabla (\partial_h^\alpha u_t^d)^\top dy + \int \partial_h^\alpha \mathcal{N}_u^\lambda \operatorname{div} \partial_h^\alpha u_t^d dy - \int \partial_h^\alpha \mathcal{N}_\eta^P \operatorname{div} \partial_h^\alpha u_t^d dy \right) \\
& \lesssim \|\nabla \eta\|_{2,0}^{1/2} \|\nabla \eta\|_{1,1}^{1/4} \|\nabla \eta\|_{2,1}^{1/4} (\|\nabla \eta\|_{1,0} + \|\nabla u\|_{1,0}) \|\kappa^{-1} u_t^d\|_{1,1} \\
& \lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-1/2}) \kappa^{-1/8} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{D}} \|\kappa^{-1} (u_t, u_t^1)\|_{1,1}. \tag{6.13}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, making use of (2.34), (2.43), (2.47), (6.5) and Young's inequality, we immediately obtain (2.44) from (6.11)–(6.13). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Appendix A. Analysis tools

This appendix is devoted to listing some mathematical analysis tools that have been used in the previous sections. It should be remarked that in this appendix we still adopt the simplified mathematical notations introduced in Section 2.3. In particular, we still use the notation $a \lesssim b$ to mean that $a \leq cb$ for some constant c , which may depend on the domain and the other given parameters in the lemmas below.

Lemma A.1. *Interpolation inequalities in 1D case (see [41, Theorem]): For any given interval (a, b) ,*

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{1/2} \|\varphi'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{1/2}, \tag{A.1}$$

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(a,b)} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{L^2(a,b)}^{1/2} \|\varphi\|_{H^1(a,b)}^{1/2}. \tag{A.2}$$

Lemma A.2. *Embedding inequalities in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ (see [2, Theorem 1.66] and [23, Lemma A.1]): For any given constant $s > 1$,*

$$|\varphi|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |\varphi|_s, \tag{A.3}$$

$$|\phi|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\phi\|_{W^{1,4}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim |\phi|_{3/2}. \tag{A.4}$$

Lemma A.3. *Trace estimate (see [23, Lemma A.6]): For any given non-negative integer i ,*

$$|\varphi|_{i+1/2} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{i+1,0}^{1/2} \|\partial_3 \varphi\|_{i,0}^{1/2} + \|\varphi\|_{i+1,0}. \tag{A.5}$$

Lemma A.4. *Product estimates in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ (see [2, Corollary 2.86]): For any given $s > 0$,*

$$|\varphi\phi|_s \lesssim |\varphi|_{L^\infty} |\phi|_s + |\varphi|_s |\phi|_{L^\infty}, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

in particular, by (A.3), we further have, for any given $s > 1$,

$$|\varphi\phi|_s \lesssim |\varphi|_s |\phi|_s. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Lemma A.5. *(1) Interpolation inequalities in Sobolev spaces defined in Ω : Let i and j be given nonnegative integers and satisfy $0 \leq j \leq i$, then*

$$\|f\|_j \lesssim \|f\|_0^{1-j/i} \|f\|_i^{j/i}, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|f\|_{\underline{2},0}^{3/8} \|f\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/8} \|f\|_{\underline{1},1}^{3/8} \|f\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/8}. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

(2) Product estimates in Sobolev spaces defined in Ω :

$$\|\varphi\phi\|_0 \lesssim \begin{cases} \|\varphi\|_{\underline{1},0}^{3/8} \|\varphi\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/8} \|\varphi\|_{\underline{1},1}^{3/8} \|\varphi\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/8} \|\phi\|_0; \\ \|\varphi\|_{\underline{1},0}^{3/4} \|\varphi\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/4} \|\phi\|_0^{1/2} \|\phi\|_1^{1/2}; \\ \|\varphi\|_{\underline{1},0}^{1/2} \|\varphi\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\varphi\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\phi\|_0^{1/2} \|\phi\|_{\underline{1},0}^{1/2}, \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

$$\|\varphi\phi\|_{i,0} \lesssim \begin{cases} \left. \begin{aligned} &\|\varphi\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\varphi\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\varphi\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|\phi\|_{i,0} \\ &\|\varphi\|_{\underline{1},0}^{1/2} \|\varphi\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\phi\|_{i,0}^{1/2} \|\phi\|_{i,1}^{1/2} \\ &\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \|\phi\|_{i,0} + \|\varphi\|_{i,0} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty} \end{aligned} \right\} \text{for } i = 0, 1; \\ \left. \begin{aligned} &\|\varphi\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\varphi\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\phi\|_{\underline{1},0}^{3/4} \|\phi\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/4} + \|\varphi\|_{\underline{1},0}^{3/4} \|\varphi\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/4} \|\phi\|_{i,0}^{1/2} \|\phi\|_{i,1}^{1/2} \\ &\|\varphi\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\varphi\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\varphi\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|\phi\|_{i,0} + \|\varphi\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\varphi\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/2} \|\phi\|_{\underline{1},0}^{3/4} \|\phi\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/4} \\ &\|\varphi\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\varphi\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\varphi\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|\phi\|_{i,0} + \|\varphi\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\varphi\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/2} \|\phi\|_{\underline{1},0}^{1/2} \|\phi\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\phi\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \\ &\|\varphi\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\varphi\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\varphi\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|\phi\|_{i,0} + \|\varphi\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\phi\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\phi\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\phi\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \end{aligned} \right\} \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 2; \\ \|\varphi\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\varphi\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\varphi\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \|\phi\|_{i,0} + \|\varphi\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\phi\|_{\underline{2},0}^{1/2} \|\phi\|_{\underline{1},1}^{1/4} \|\phi\|_{\underline{2},1}^{1/4} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 3. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Proof. The estimate (A.8) can be found in [1, Theorem 5.2]. By (A.1)–(A.2) and the density theorem of Sobolev spaces (see [1, Theorem 3.22]), we easily obtain the estimates (A.9)–(A.10). Similarly, using (A.10) and the interpolation inequality, we can get the estimate (A.11), please refer to [26, Lemma 3.1] for details. \square

Lemma A.6. *Korn's inequality (see [22, Proposition A.8]):*

$$\|w\|_1 \lesssim \|\mathbb{D}w\|_0 \quad \text{for any } w \in H_0^1. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Lemma A.7. *Homeomorphism mapping theorem (see [25, Lemma 4.2]): There is a positive constant δ_3 , such that for any $\varphi \in H^{1,3}$ satisfying $\|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \leq \delta_3$, we have (after possibly being redefined on a set of measure zero) $1/2 \leq \det(\nabla\varphi + I) \leq 3/2$ and*

$$\psi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega \text{ is a } C^1\text{-homeomorphism mapping,} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

where $\psi := \varphi + y$.

Lemma A.8. *Stratified elliptic theory (see [22, Lemma A.10]): Let $i \geq 0$, $\mathcal{F} \in H^i$ and $\mathcal{G} \in H^{i+1/2}$, then there exists a unique solution $u \in H^{i+2}$ to the following stratified Lamé problem:*

$$\begin{cases} \mu\Delta w + (\mu + \lambda)\nabla\operatorname{div}w = \mathcal{F} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \llbracket w \rrbracket = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ \llbracket \mu\mathbb{D}w + \lambda\operatorname{div}w \rrbracket \mathbf{e}^3 = \mathcal{G} & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ w = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_{\pm}^{\pm}; \end{cases}$$

moreover, the solution enjoys

$$\|u\|_{i+2} \lesssim \|\mathcal{F}\|_i + |\mathcal{G}|_{i+1/2}. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Acknowledgements. The research of Fei Jiang was supported by NSFC (Nos. 12022102 and 12231016), the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province of China (Nos. 2022J01105 and 2024J011011), the Central Guidance on Local Science and Technology Development Fund of Fujian Province (No. 2023L3003) and Fujian Alliance Of Mathematics (No. 2025SXMLMS01), and the research of Youyi Zhao was supported by NSFC (No. 12401289), the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province of China (No. 2024J08029) and the Research Foundation of Fuzhou University (No. XRC-24050).

Conflict of Interest. On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] R.A. Adams, J.J.F. Fournier, Sobolev Space, Academic Press: New York, 2005.
- [2] H. Bahouri, J.Y. Chemin, R. Danchin, Fourier Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, Springer, 2011.
- [3] O. Bejaoui, M. Majdoub, Global weak solutions for some Oldroyd models, J. Differential Equations 254 (2013) 660–685.
- [4] A. Castro, D. Córdoba, C.L. Fefferman, F. Gancedo, J. Gómez-Serrano, Splash singularities for the free boundary Navier–Stokes equations, Ann. PDE 5 (2019) 1–117.
- [5] R.M. Chen, J.L. Hu, D.H. Wang, Linear stability of compressible vortex sheets in two-dimensional elastodynamics, Adv. Math. 311 (2017) 18–60.
- [6] R.M. Chen, J.L. Hu, D.H. Wang, Linear stability of compressible vortex sheets in 2D elastodynamics: variable coefficients, Math. Ann. 376 (2020) 863–912.
- [7] Y. Chen, P. Zhang, The global existence of small solutions to the incompressible viscoelastic fluid system in 2 and 3 space dimensions, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 31 (2006) 1793–1810.
- [8] E. Di Iorio, P. Marcati, S. Spirito, Splash singularity for a free-boundary incompressible viscoelastic fluid model, Adv. Math. 368 (2020) 107124.
- [9] X.M. Gu, Z. Lei, Local well-posedness of free-boundary incompressible elastodynamics with surface tension via vanishing viscosity limit, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 245 (2022) 1285–1338.
- [10] X.M. Gu, Y. Mei, Vanishing viscosity limits for the free boundary problem of compressible viscoelastic fluids with surface tension, Sci. China Math. 66 (2023) 1263–1300.
- [11] X.P. Hu, Global existence of weak solutions to two dimensional compressible viscoelastic flows, J. Differential Equations 265 (2018) 3130–3167.
- [12] X.P. Hu, F.H. Lin, Global solution to two dimensional incompressible viscoelastic fluid with discontinuous data, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 69 (2016) 372–404.

- [13] X.P. Hu, D.H. Wang, Local strong solution to the compressible viscoelastic flow with large data, *J. Differential Equations* 249 (2010) 1179–1198.
- [14] X.P. Hu, D.H. Wang, Global existence for the multi-dimensional compressible viscoelastic flows, *J. Differential Equations* 250 (2011) 1200–1231.
- [15] X.P. Hu, D.H. Wang, Strong solutions to the three-dimensional compressible viscoelastic fluids, *J. Differential Equations* 252 (2012) 4027–4067.
- [16] X.P. Hu, D.H. Wang, The initial-boundary value problem for the compressible viscoelastic flows, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 35 (2015) 917–934.
- [17] X.P. Hu, G.C. Wu, Global existence and optimal decay rates for three-dimensional compressible viscoelastic flows, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 45 (2013) 2815–2833.
- [18] X.P. Hu, W.B. Zhao, Global existence of compressible dissipative elastodynamics systems with zero shear viscosity in two dimensions, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 235 (2020) 1177–1243.
- [19] J.R. Huang, Y.H. Wang, H.Y. Wen, R.Z. Zi, Optimal time-decay estimates for an Oldroyd-B model with zero viscosity, *J. Differential Equations* 306 (2022) 456–491.
- [20] Y. Ishigaki, Diffusion wave phenomena and L^p decay estimates of solutions of compressible viscoelastic system, *J. Differential Equations* 269 (2020) 11195–11230.
- [21] J. Jang, I. Tice, Y.J. Wang, The compressible viscous surface-internal wave problem: local well-posedness, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 48 (2016) 2602–2673.
- [22] J. Jang, I. Tice, Y.J. Wang, The compressible viscous surface-internal wave problem: stability and vanishing surface tension limit, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 343 (2016) 1039–1113.
- [23] F. Jiang, H. Jiang, S. Jiang, Rayleigh–Taylor instability in stratified compressible fluids with/without the interfacial surface tension, *arXiv:submit/5130422 [math.AP]* 23 Sep 2023 (2023).
- [24] F. Jiang, S. Jiang, Nonlinear stability and instability in the Rayleigh–Taylor problem of stratified compressible MHD fluids, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 58 (2019) Art. 29, 61 pp.
- [25] F. Jiang, S. Jiang, On magnetic inhibition theory in non-resistive magnetohydrodynamic fluids, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 233 (2019) 749–798.
- [26] F. Jiang, S. Jiang, Asymptotic behaviors of global solutions to the two-dimensional non-resistive MHD equations with large initial perturbations, *Adv. Math.* 393 (2021) 108084.
- [27] F. Jiang, S. Jiang, Strong solutions of the equations for viscoelastic fluids in some classes of large data, *J. Differential Equations* 282 (2021) 148–183.
- [28] F. Jiang, S. Jiang, Non-formation of singularities on the free-boundary of an incompressible viscoelastic fluid with relatively larger elasticity coefficient, <https://pan.baidu.com/s/1sRQ3zXdrnmM1Ary-1Gdfzw?pwd=1234> (2024).
- [29] F. Jiang, S. Jiang, G.C. Wu, On stabilizing effect of elasticity in the Rayleigh–Taylor problem of stratified viscoelastic fluids, *J. Funct. Anal.* 272 (2017) 3763–3824.
- [30] F. Jiang, M.M. Liu, Nonlinear stability of the viscoelastic Bénard problem, *Nonlinearity* 33 (2020) 1677–1704.
- [31] F. Jiang, G.C. Wu, X. Zhong, On exponential stability of gravity driven viscoelastic flows, *J. Differential Equations* 260 (2016) 7498–7534.
- [32] Z. Lei, Global well-posedness of incompressible elastodynamics in two dimensions, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 69 (2016) 2072–2106.
- [33] Z. Lei, C. Liu, Y. Zhou, Global solutions for incompressible viscoelastic fluids, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 188 (2018) 371–398.
- [34] Z. Lei, Y. Zhou, Global existence of classical solutions for the two-dimensional Oldroyd model via the incompressible limit, *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis* 37 (2005) 797–814.
- [35] H. Li, W. Wang, Z.F. Zhang, Well-posedness of the free boundary problem in incompressible elastodynamics, *J. Differential Equations* 267 (2019) 6604–6643.
- [36] F.H. Lin, Some analytical issues for elastic complex fluids, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 65 (2012) 893–919.
- [37] F.H. Lin, C. Liu, P. Zhang, On hydrodynamics of viscoelastic fluids, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 58

- (2005) 1437–1471.
- [38] F.H. Lin, P. Zhang, On the initial-boundary value problem of the incompressible viscoelastic fluid system, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 61 (2008) 539–558.
 - [39] P.L. Lions, N. Masmoudi, Global solutions for some Oldroyd models of non-Newtonian flows, *Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B* 21 (2000) 131–146.
 - [40] N. Masmoudi, Global existence of weak solutions to the fene dumbbell model of polymeric flows, *Invent. Math.* 191 (2013) 427–500.
 - [41] L. Nirenberg, On elliptic partial differential equations, Estratto dagli Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa Serie III XIII. Fasc. II (1959).
 - [42] X.H. Pan, J. Xu, Global existence and optimal decay estimates of the compressible viscoelastic flows in L^p critical spaces, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 39 (2019) 2021–2057.
 - [43] X.H. Pan, J. Xu, Y. Zhu, Global existence in critical spaces for non-Newtonian compressible viscoelastic flows, *J. Differential Equations* 331 (2022) 162–191.
 - [44] J.Z. Qian, Z.F. Zhang, Global well-posedness for compressible viscoelastic fluids near equilibrium, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 198 (2010) 835–868.
 - [45] T. Sideris, B. Thomases, Global existence for three-dimensional incompressible isotropic elastodynamics via the incompressible limit, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 58 (2005) 750–788.
 - [46] T. Sideris, B. Thomases, Global existence for three-dimensional incompressible isotropic elastodynamics, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 60 (2007) 1707–1730.
 - [47] Y. Trakhinin, Well-posedness of the free boundary problem in compressible elastodynamics, *J. Differential Equations* 264 (2018) 1661–1715.
 - [48] W.J. Wang, H.Y. Wen, The Cauchy problem for an Oldroyd-B model in three dimensions, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* 30 (2020) 139–179.
 - [49] X.C. Wang, Global existence for the 2D incompressible isotropic elastodynamics for small initial data, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* 18 (2017) 1213–1267.
 - [50] L. Xu, P. Zhang, Z.F. Zhang, Global solvability of a free boundary three-dimensional incompressible viscoelastic fluid system with surface tension, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 208 (2013) 753–803.
 - [51] J.Y. Zhang, Local well-posedness and incompressible limit of the free-boundary problem in compressible elastodynamics, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 244 (2022) 599–697.
 - [52] Y.Y. Zhao, On the inhibition of Rayleigh–Taylor instability by surface tension in stratified incompressible viscous fluids under Lagrangian coordinates, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 44 (2024) 2815–2845.
 - [53] Y. Zhu, Global classical solutions of 3D compressible viscoelastic system near equilibrium, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 61 (2022) Paper No. 21, 22.