Advancing the heralded photon number-state characterization by understanding the interplay of experimental imperfections

Daniel Borrero Landazabal, Kaisa Laiho

German Aerospace Center (DLR), Institute of Quantum Technologies, Ulm, 89081 Germany

E-mail: daniel.borrerolandazabal@dlr.de

Abstract. We theoretically explore the properties of heralded number states including up to three photons that are generated from single-mode twin beams. We investigate the effects of different parameters involved in the state preparation by using the fidelity, normalized second-order factorial moment of photon number for the heralded state $(g_h^{(2)})$, and photon-number parity as figures of merit. Especially, the photon-number parity offers a practical and robust tool for inferring the target state quality by capturing the contamination of all undesired photon-number contributions. We focus on expressing our results in terms of experimentally easily accessible parameters such as the coincidences-to-accidentals ratio and the detection efficiencies. Our results identify the optimal parameter regions for generating high quality photonnumber states and provide useful insights for advancing their use in quantum technologies.

Keywords: photon counting, number states, photon-number parity

1. Introduction

The generation and precise characterization of quantum optical states are foundational in order to advance the implementation of technologies for quantum information processing, quantum communication, and precision metrology [1]. For this purpose, single- and few-photon states are particularly interesting as they serve as indispensable resources [2]. These states can be produced for example by heralding on one of the twin photons generated in non-linear optical processes, such as parametric down-conversion (PDC) [3] or four-wave mixing [4]. However, until today the generation of high-quality photon-number states remains challenging due to experimental imperfections, which introduce undesirable vacuum, one and multi-photon contributions [5–7].

The accurate characterization of the photon-number statistics of these heralded states is essential for the optimization and best exploitation of the photonic sources. Traditionally, the state quality has been accessed using figures-of-merit such as the fidelity and the second-order correlation function, $g^{(2)}$ [8–12]. The fidelity quantifies the overlap of the generated state relative to an ideal target state, while $g^{(2)}$ provides information about the multi-photon contributions of the state. For single photons, a low $g^{(2)}$ value is desirable, as it signals minimal multi-photon contamination [13–15]. However, these metrics present notable limitations: the fidelity is experimentally challenging to measure [6], and $g^{(2)}$ neglects the impact of the vacuum component, an essential factor in determining the overall state quality [16–19].

To address these limitations, we explore the photon-number parity as a more practical and comprehensive tool for characterizing photon-number states. The parity directly captures the quantum characteristics of the heralded state and provides insight into all photon contributions [10, 20] and eventually allows one to access the phase-space properties of light [21, 22]. Its feasibility in experimental implementation and robustness in evaluating state quality make it an attractive alternative to conventional metrics.

Photon correlations play, in general, an important role for the characterization of sources producing photons in pairs. In order to perform such correlation measurements with faint light, the use of photo detectors with single-photon sensitivity, high-efficiency, low dead-time and low jitter are of great interest. Photon counters used in quantum optics experiments can manly be divided in two categories. While the true photon-number resolving detectors allow implementing the projection into the photon-number basis, the so-called quasi-photon-number resolving detectors, which can be implemented for example with beam-splitter networks connected to single-photon sensitive detectors that can only resolve between the optical vacuum and at least one impinging photon, can resemble a photon-number resolving detector [23].

Here, we investigate the characteristics of heralded single-, two-, and three-photon states generated from a single-mode twin beams in a PDC process. Our study evaluates the impact of experimentally easily accessible parameters on the quality of the generated states. We take into account the heralding efficiency and the target-state detection efficiency that both can be accessed via the measurement of Klyshko's efficiencies [24] as well as the coincidences-to-accidentals ratio (CAR). By analyzing several figures of merit, including the fidelity, $g_h^{(2)}$ -value, success probability and photon-number parity, we examine the trade-offs between state quality and practical experimental constraints. Our findings reveal that apart from high detection efficiencies, optimally selected CAR values indeed significantly enhance the quality of the heralded states. However, there is an inherent trade-off between the success probability and state quality, highlighting the need of the careful parameter optimization. Importantly, we demonstrate that the photon-number parity effectively captures these trade-offs and provides a reliable assessment of the state quality, surpassing the limitations of the fidelity and $g_h^{(2)}$ -value. Altogether, we provide a deeper understanding of the practical challenges in generating high-quality photon number states by heralding and provide guidance for experimental implementations that are faced by the limitations and imperfections of photon-counting applications.

2. Methods used in heralding number states

The single-mode twin beams can be generated via the non-linear optical process of PDC [25–29] or four-wave mixing [4, 30, 31]. With a single-mode state we refer to the presence of a single optical frequency mode that can be quantified by the Schmidt number [32]. We denote the twin beams as signal and idler, which exhibit correlations across various degrees of freedom, including most importantly the photon number and polarization [3, 33–36]. The single-mode behavior can be achieved under specific conditions of the selected non-linear optical material platform as demonstrated experimentally in references [37–40]. Typically, the individual twin beams are cross-polarized [7, 41] or travel at different directions [25, 26] such that one of them can easily be used for the heralding.

Mathematically, the single-mode twin beams can be represented in the photonnumber basis by

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n \sqrt{1 - |\lambda|^2} \, |n, n\rangle_{s,i} \,, \tag{1}$$

where λ denotes the squeezing strength parameter, *n* represents the photon number, and s(i) labels the signal (idler) beam. This description assumes a perfect photon-number correlation between the signal and idler beams.

Additionally in the single-mode case, the relation between the twin beam mean photon number \bar{n} and the squeezing strength, $|\lambda|^2 = \bar{n}/(1+\bar{n})$, allowing one to express the density matrix for the joint state as

$$\hat{\rho}_{s,i} = |\psi\rangle \langle \psi| = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(\bar{n}) |n, n\rangle_{s,i-i,s} \langle n, n| , \quad P_n(\bar{n}) = \frac{\bar{n}^n}{(1+\bar{n})^{n+1}} , \quad (2)$$

with $P_n(\bar{n})$ being the photon-number distribution. Such distribution follows in the single-mode case a thermal distribution with mean-photon number given by the twin beam mean photon number \bar{n} [12, 36]. This density matrix is diagonal in the photon-number basis, as photons are generated in pairs within the non-linear optical medium [33]. In the single-mode case, the thermal distribution $P_n(\bar{n})$ then determines the population of the different photon-number contributions that each depends on the twin beam mean photon number \bar{n} .

Here, we examine the arrangement illustrated in figure 1. Our primary objective is to investigate the characteristics of heralded single-, two-, and three-photon states generated by a source, whose properties follow equation (1). To achieve this, the cross-polarized beams are first separated by a polarizing beamsplitter, after which idler photons are detected with an efficiency μ_h using a photon-counting system associated with a typical Positive-Operator-Valued-Measure (POVM). Detection of one, two, or three photons in this photon counter heralds the single-, two-, and three-photon states in the signal arm, respectively. Once being heralded, the characterization of the state is carried out in the signal arm with a detection efficiency of μ_s .



Figure 1. Investigated experimental arrangement. The emitted twin beams are described as single-mode twin beams given in equation (1), where the two correlated beams, signal and idler, are cross-polarized. The polarizing beamsplitter (PBS) is used to separate the two correlated beams. The idler beam is detected by a photon counting system having an efficiency μ_h and an associated POVM, thus, the idler beam is used as a herald. Thereafter, the heralded state in the signal arm travels through a lossy optical beam path having an efficiency μ_s and finally the heralded state properties denoted with the symbol "?" are characterized.

2.1. Photon-counting system in the heralding

Here, we employ the quasi-photon-number resolving detection system for the heralding process, and such a system can be treated with the click-detection formalism [42]. In the event of heralding, the detection is performed in idler. Due to the photon-number correlation of twin beams this projection affects signal as well. Such a modification on the signal beam is modeled by [1, 43]

$$\hat{\rho}_s = \frac{\text{Tr}_i \left\{ \hat{O}_k \hat{\rho}_{s,i} \right\}}{\text{Tr}_{s,i} \left\{ \hat{O}_k \hat{\rho}_{s,i} \right\}},\tag{3}$$

where k is the number of heralded photons, see figure 1 and \hat{O}_k describes the POVM in the heralding.

In this case, the POVM [44–46] associated with the photon counter used in heralding is an array of single-photon sensitive click-detectors without photon-number resolution. Thus, the POVM is defined as [42, 47]

$$\hat{O}_k =: \binom{N}{k} \exp\left\{\frac{-\mu_h \hat{n} + \nu}{N} (N - k)\right\} \left(\hat{\mathcal{I}} - \exp\left\{\frac{-\mu_h \hat{n} + \nu}{N}\right\}\right)^k :, \tag{4}$$

for an array of N click-detectors, from which only k = [0, N] are triggered when photons impinge on them. Additionally, the efficiency μ_h and dark count probability ν of the devices are taken into account. In equation (4) the photon-number operator $\hat{n} = \hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a}$ and the identity is denoted with $\hat{\mathcal{I}}$. Finally, the symbol ":: " refers to the normal operator ordering.

By expanding the last term in equation (4) with binomial coefficients and applying the normal ordering, the investigated POVM takes in the number-state basis the form

$$\hat{O}_{k} = \sum_{m=0}^{k} \binom{N}{k} \binom{k}{m} (-1)^{m} e^{-\frac{\nu}{N}(N+m-k)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\mu_{h}}{N}(N+m-k)\right)^{n} |n\rangle_{i \ i} \langle n| , \quad (5)$$

which spans in the Hilbert-space of the idler beam and only has diagonal elements. For a more detailed calculation see appendix 6.1.

The detector parameters are of great importance, when heralding number states. For instance, the number of detectors can in principle be infinite, however, in a realenvironment condition, practicality, space issues and reasonable costs play a relevant role. Therefore, we fix the number of detectors to N = 4, which represents a realistic value achievable in a common experimental implementation. The number of clicks kdepends on the heralded target state, i.e., k = 1, 2, 3 indicating a single-, two- and threephoton states, respectively. For the dark count probability a realistic value, $\nu = 5 \times 10^{-4}$, from a feasible range for state of the art detectors is taken from references [48–50]. Such a value is also proper to show, how the dark count probability affects the heralded state properties.

2.2. Photon statistics of heralded number states

Assuming that the photon-number probability can be measured in a lossless fashion, its n-th element can be retrieved from equation (3) via

$$p_n^{\rm s} = \operatorname{Tr}_{\rm s} \left\{ \hat{\rho}_{\rm s} \left| n \right\rangle_{s \ s} \left\langle n \right| \right\} \,. \tag{6}$$

By inserting equations (5) and (2) into equation (3), and then plugging the result into equation (6) one gets access to the photon-number distribution of the heralded signal beam including the effects of the imperfect heralding. This leads to

$$p_n^{\rm s} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{k} \binom{N}{k} \binom{k}{m} (-1)^m e^{-\frac{\nu}{N}(N+m-k)} \left(1 - \frac{\mu_h}{N}(N+m-k)\right)^n P_n(\bar{n}), \quad (7)$$

in which p_n^s is the photon-number distribution of the heralded signal beam when having k-clicks in the idler arm by using a system of N click detectors with efficiency μ_h and dark count probability ν .

The losses in the signal arm are treated by means of the loss-matrix evaluation [1, 48, 51, 52]. By using the photon-number distribution of the heralded signal beam in equation (7), the loss-degraded photon-number distribution is evaluated as

$$p_{m,L}^{s} = \sum_{n=0}^{N_{s}} \binom{n}{m} (\mu_{s})^{m} (1-\mu_{s})^{(n-m)} p_{n}^{s}, \qquad (8)$$

where μ_s and N_s are the efficiency and the highest resolvable photon number of the detector system in the signal arm, respectively. Conversely, the inversion of equation (8) can be implemented to counteract the effect of the losses in the signal arm detection, which nevertheless is a highly nontrivial task in experiments [52].

2.3. Defining twin beam properties via coincidences-to-accidentals ratio (CAR)

In order to completely define the parameters—so to say the input knobs—of our investigated experimental arrangement, we further need a parameter for characterizing

Fixed	Number of detectors $N = 4$	Number of clicks $k = [1, 2, 3]$	Dark count probability $\nu = 5 \times 10^{-4}$
Variable	Heralding efficiency μ_h	Signal efficiency μ_s	Twin beam mean photon number CAR

Table 1. All fixed and variable input parameters for the computations. The number of clicks implies the heralding of a *k*-photon state.

the photon-number-properties of the generated twin beams. In other words, we need access to the twin beam mean photon number \bar{n} in equation (7). Although being proportional to the pump power of the twin-beam generation, measuring such a value for faint light sources is not a straightforward task in a laboratory. This poses a challenge, as \bar{n} is essential for completely describing the heralded state, as well as the probabilistic photon source itself [12–15, 36]. Luckily, in the region of low pump powers, the cross-correlation between signal and idler provides a loss-independent alternative, which experimentally can be directly and easily measured [29, 32].

In this context, the cross-correlation $g^{(1,1)}$ represents the tight photon-number correlation between signal and idler [16, 19]. Such correlation also corresponds to the broadly used coincidences-to-accidentals ratio (CAR) [28, 29, 53]. Its value can be computed for twin beams in terms of the photon-number content as

$$CAR \equiv g^{(1,1)} = \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n!}{(n-1)!}\right)^2 P_n(\bar{n})}{\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n P_n(\bar{n})\right)^2}.$$
(9)

For more details see appendix 6.2.

The value of CAR is related to the mean-photon number of the twin-beam state. Indeed, in the single-mode case an approximation for the value of CAR in the region of small twin beam mean photon number is given by [16]

$$CAR \approx 2 + 1/\bar{n} \,. \tag{10}$$

In the case of studied heralded number states, the twin beam mean photon number is typically in the described range such that the used approximation is valid. Therefore, the CAR can be used as an alternative to the twin beam mean photon number and pump power.

Here, we focus on the effects of the efficiencies μ_h , μ_s and the twin beam mean photon number—determined by the CAR—in the generation of heralded photon-number states. We vary these parameters to study the quality state preparation, by turning the input parameter knobs. Finally, we summarize all fixed and variable input parameter values used in the computation are summarized in table 1.

3. Analysis tools for verification and validation of heralded state properties

A comprehensive treatment is essential for characterizing the heralded states properties. In order to find a desired parameter space for the state generation we use the fidelity, success probability, and $g_h^{(2)}$ as figures of merit for the heralded state. Further, we investigate the photon-number parity of the heralded states to be able to especially see the effect of the optical losses on the heralded state quality.

3.1. Fidelity

The fidelity is the projection of the generated state onto the desired targeted photonnumber state with m photons, therefore, it represents the quality of the heralded state. This figure of merit is affected by the imperfect heralding and the losses in the signal detection. In the case of perfect overlap the fidelity equals to the unity. However, in the case of imperfect heralding one encounters $\mathcal{F}(|m\rangle) < 1$, with m = 1, 2, 3. Numerically, the maximum possible fidelity can be obtained from the loss-degraded photon-number distribution of the heralded state, equation (8), by extracting the corresponding photonnumber contribution $\mathcal{F}(|m\rangle) = p_{m,L}^s$.

3.2. Success probability

The success of heralding a given state is determined by the probability of a specific measurement projection to happen and it is equivalent to the normalization factor in equation (3), that is, $\mathcal{P}_h = \text{Tr}_{s,i} \left\{ \hat{O}_k \hat{\rho}_{s,i} \right\}$. Therefore, this probability also depends on all the relevant parameters of the POVM and the twin beams, but it is independent of the losses in the signal arm μ_s .

3.3. Normalized factorial moment of photon number

The normalized m-th order factorial moment of photon number $g^{(m)}$ is a practical figure of merit for evaluating the photon-number content of faint light. In comparison to the photon statistics these normalized moments are usually extracted in loss-independent manner. One can evaluate these moments for the heralded state in the signal beam path, denoted as $g_h^{(m)}$, while idler serves as herald. In particular, we make use of the normalized second-order factorial moment of photon number for the heralded state, $g_h^{(2)}$, as a figure of merit. Numerically, the normalized factorial moments can be computed in terms of the photon-number distribution via

$$g_{h}^{(m)} = \frac{\sum_{n} \frac{n!}{(n-m)!} p_{n}^{s}}{\left(\sum_{n} n p_{n}^{s}\right)^{m}} = \frac{\sum_{n} \frac{n!}{(n-m)!} p_{n,L}^{s}}{\left(\sum_{n} n p_{n,L}^{s}\right)^{m}},$$
(11)

indicating that the value of $g_h^{(m)}$ remains the same for a single optical mode regardless whether the loss-degraded photon statistics from equation (8) or the lossless photon statistics in equation (7) is employed [20]. For more details see appendix 6.3.

3.4. Photon-number parity of heralded states

The expectation value for the photon-number parity can be directly evaluated from the photon statistics. Alternatively, this figure of merit can be extracted via the normalized factorial moments of photon number that usually are measured in loss-independent fashion, if the mean-photon number of the heralded state is known as well. In case the heralded state suffers from optical losses, the loss-corrected form of the heralded state mean photon number $\langle \hat{n}_s \rangle$ is straightforward to determine [16]. This can be done by dividing the loss-degraded mean photon number of the heralded state $\langle \hat{n}_{s,L} \rangle = \mu_s \langle \hat{n}_s \rangle$ [20].

Alternatively, also CAR offers an approximate approach for extracting the losscorrected mean photon number of the heralded state. Later, we estimate $\langle \hat{n}_s \rangle$ in terms of the CAR value. Such finding also suggests the existence of a proper region in the CAR parameter to improve the generation of heralded number-photon states.

Numerically, the loss-degraded photon-number parity is gained via equation

$$\left\langle \hat{\Pi} \right\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n p_{n,L}^s = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{g_h^{(m)}}{m!} \left(2 \left\langle \hat{n}_{s,L} \right\rangle \right)^m ,$$
 (12)

where $p_{n,L}^s$ is the loss-degraded photon-number distribution given in equation (8), $g_h^{(m)}$ is the normalized factorial moment described in equation (11), and $\langle \hat{n}_{s,L} \rangle$ is the lossdegraded mean-photon number of the heralded state in the signal beam. Therefore, losses in the signal arm can in principle be fixed by implementing the inverse of the lossmatrix for the evaluation of the loss-inverted photon statistics or using the loss-corrected mean-photon number of the heralded state $\langle \hat{n}_s \rangle$.

4. Results

We simulate the characteristics of the heralded number states with the help of the analysis tools defined in section (3). The states are generated in terms of the input parameters treated in section (2). Apart from the fixed POVM parameters, these particular input parameters of the state preparation underpin to the CAR, and the heralding and detection efficiencies μ_h and μ_s , respectively. In the following, we compute the fidelity, $g_h^{(2)}$, and success probability within a two-dimensional parameter space defined by the CAR and the heralding efficiency μ_h . For extracting the fidelity we assume an ideal signal detection efficiency of $\mu_s = 1$, giving us the clearest picture of the achievable values in the sense of an upper limit. Additionally, these numbers are not contaminated by multiphoton contributions, which may happen with other values of μ_s . We note that the other two used figures of merits are independent of it. Additionally, the photon-number parity is evaluated in a three-dimensional parameter space that also includes variations in the signal arm efficiency. In this way, we can identify the region for preparing the most desired state using readily accessible experimental parameters.

We start by investigating the characteristics of the heralded single-photon state by setting k = 1. In figure 2 we present the results for its fidelity, $g_h^{(2)}$ and success



Figure 2. Properties of heralded single photons. We investigate the characteristics in terms of (a) fidelity, (b) $g_h^{(2)}$ and (c) success probability in terms of the heralding efficiency, μ_h and CAR value, which is inversely related to the twin beam mean photon number, with the input parameters listed in table 1. Additionally, the ticks for the contour values (white, gray, black) are marked in the colorbars.

probability. In the following, we depict for all investigated states the desired regions with bright yellow, while less favorable regions are shown in dark blue. A substantial region of the parameter space, bounded by the black curve in figure 2(a), exhibits a fidelity of $\mathcal{F}_h(|1\rangle) \geq 0.90$ indicating the region of high overlap with the target state.

This high-fidelity region corresponds to heralding arm efficiencies μ_h ranging from around 0.3 to 1.0 and CAR values approximately between 4 and 230. Even though we assume an ideal signal arm efficiency of $\mu_s = 1.0$, we can extract a minimum required heralding efficiency and upper and lower bounds for the value of CAR from our results. Outside this region, towards higher CAR values the fidelity diminishes due to an increased contribution from the vacuum component, and towards lower CAR values the fidelity decreases as multi-photon contributions become more prominent. Notably, higher heralding detection efficiencies consistently lead to improved fidelity values, as anticipated. The maximum fidelity of $\mathcal{F}_h(|1\rangle) \approx 0.98$ is achieved within a narrow CAR parameter range from around 15 to 38 under ideal conditions with no losses, specifically $\mu_h = 1$ and $\mu_s = 1$.

The $g_h^{(2)}$, ideally approaches zero for a heralded single-photon state. However, this is unattainable in practice due to dark counts and multi-photon contributions. As shown in figure 2(b), $g_h^{(2)}$ disappears at high CAR values. Conversely, at low values of CAR, $g_h^{(2)}$ increases due to the simultaneous generation of multiple photon pairs. Typically, $g_h^{(2)} \leq 0.5$ is considered a boundary for discriminating single-photon sources [54]. However, at high CAR values, the heralded state may have significant vacuum



Figure 3. (a) Photon-number parity for a heralded single-photon state in terms of μ_h , μ_s and CAR, with the input parameters listed in table 1. (b) Loss-corrected meanphoton number of the heralded state as a function of CAR for different heralding detection efficiencies.

contributions, resulting in low-quality single-photon states, as evidenced by a reduction in fidelity. This highlights that a low $g_h^{(2)}$ value alone is insufficient to fully characterize the quality of a heralded single-photon state, specially at high CAR.

Finally, we depict the success probability, \mathcal{P}_h , in figure 2(c). This probability decreases at higher values of CAR due to a reduced generation rate of photon pairs. In the region of high heralding detection efficiencies the maximum success probability, $\mathcal{P}_h \approx 29\%$, is achieved at low values of CAR. For ideal detection efficiencies, the success probability for states with fidelity above 0.90 is approximately 26%.

In figure 3(a) we present the photon-number parity of the heralded single-photon state, for which this expectation value ideally takes the value $\langle \hat{\Pi} \rangle = -1$. This region closely matches with the fidelity results in figure 2(a), underscoring the utility of the photon-number parity as a practical characterization tool. Unlike $g_h^{(2)}$, which provides only a limited insight into the state's quality, the photon-number parity gives an insightful characterization of the heralded state, offering a reliable alternative to the fidelity as a figure of merit. The effect of signal arm efficiency, μ_s , is also evident in the results. A high detection efficiency of $\mu_s > 80\%$ in the signal arm is required to effectively characterize the heralded state without loss inversion.

Indeed, the parity can be experimentally retrieved by using photon-correlation measurements, as depicted in equation (12). Additionally, instead of extracting the loss-degraded heralded state mean photon number $\langle \hat{n}_{s,L} \rangle$ and correcting it for losses, there is a connection between CAR and the loss-corrected mean-photon number of the heralded state $\langle \hat{n}_s \rangle$, this is shown in figure 3(b). In the region around CAR 10 and 100 one can reach $\langle \hat{n}_s \rangle \approx 1$. This region also corresponds to the most favorable for the heralding of a single-photon state according to the results from parity, fidelity and $g_h^{(2)}$.

Overall, the quality of the heralded single-photon state diminishes at high values of CAR due to the dark count probability and the increased vacuum contributions in the thermal distribution of the single-mode twin beams. Conversely, at low values of CAR, the quality is impaired by the growing multi-photon component in the heralded state.



Figure 4. Properties of heralded two-photons. We investigate the characteristics in terms of (a) fidelity, (b) $g_h^{(2)}$ and (c) success probability \mathcal{P}_h in terms of the heralding efficiency, μ_h and CAR value, and using the other input parameters from table 1. Additionally, the ticks for the contour values (white, gray, black) are marked in the colorbars.

Altogether, the optimal heralded single-photon state is achieved at CAR around 15, with fidelity $\mathcal{F}_h(|1\rangle) \approx 0.98$, $g_h^{(2)} \approx 0.04$, success probability $\mathcal{P}_h \approx 6.8\%$ and photon-number parity $\langle \hat{\Pi} \rangle \approx -0.95$.

Second, we investigate the properties of two-photon state as a target state achieved in the simulation by setting k = 2, and present in figure 4 its fidelity, $g_h^{(2)}$ and the success probability. Compared to the single-photon state preparation in figure 2, the optimal region for generating a high-quality two-photon state is significantly smaller. Moreover, the success probability is notably lower, with the highest success probability reaching approximately 14% at low values of CAR.

Again, the photon-number parity presented in figure 5(a) effectively encapsulates the information provided by both the fidelity and $g_h^{(2)}$, offering a comprehensive assessment of the heralded two-photon state's quality. For the two-photon target state the ideal value of the photon-number parity is $\langle \hat{\Pi} \rangle = 1$. Similar to the single-photon case, the vacuum contributions at high values of CAR reduce the state's purity, while multi-photon contributions dominate at low values of CAR, degrading the state's quality. Once again, the heralding detection efficiency μ_h has less influence compared to the signal arm detection efficiency μ_s , emphasizing the latter's critical role. Finally, in figure 5(b) a region of optimal heralded state mean photon number, $\langle \hat{n}_s \rangle \approx 2$, is depicted. This region is found for an increased optimal CAR value compared to the case in figure 3(b).



Figure 5. (a) Photon-number parity for a heralded two-photon state in terms of μ_h , μ_s and CAR, with the input parameters listed in table 1. (b) Loss-corrected mean-photon number of the heralded state as a function of CAR for different heralding detection efficiencies.

heralded two-photon state maintains good quality. Notably, the purest generated state, with the highest success probability, is achieved at around CAR of 23. This state exhibits a fidelity $\mathcal{F}_h(|1\rangle) \approx 0.96$, $g_h^{(2)} \approx 0.52$, success probability $\mathcal{P}_h \approx 0.15\%$ and photon-number parity value $\langle \hat{\Pi} \rangle \approx 0.91$.

Finally, we explore the properties of three-photon state and in figure 6 present the results for the fidelity, $g_h^{(2)}$ and the success probability. Compared to the cases of preparing single- and two-photon states, it becomes evident that the optimal



Figure 6. Properties of heralded three-photons. We investigate the characteristics in terms of (a) fidelity, (b) $g_h^{(2)}$ and (c) success probability \mathcal{P}_h in terms of the heralding efficiency, μ_h and CAR value, and using the other input parameters from table 1. Additionally, the ticks for the contour values (white, gray, black) are marked in the colorbars.



Figure 7. (a) Photon-number parity for a heralded three-photon state in terms of μ_h , μ_s and CAR, with the input parameters listed in table 1. (b) Loss-corrected mean-photon number of the heralded state as a function of CAR for different heralding detection efficiency.

parameter region for generating the desired state gets even smaller. Additionally, the success probability is further reduced, with the highest success probability reaching approximately 5.7%, for low CAR values.

Similar to the cases of heralded single-photon and two-photon states, the effects of vacuum and multi-photon contributions are observed also in the heralded three-photon state. For this purpose the value for the photon-number parity, which is presented in figure 7(a), serves as a reliable indicator for identifying the region of high-quality heralded states. Ideally, for the three-photon target state the photon-number parity $\langle \hat{\Pi} \rangle = -1$. A region of optimal heralded state mean photon number, $\langle \hat{n}_s \rangle \approx 3$, is shown in figure 7(b). A similar behavior of increased value of CAR is observed, as for the case in figure 5(b). The purest generated state, with the highest success probability, occurs at CAR around 43, exhibiting a fidelity $\mathcal{F}_h(|1\rangle) \approx 0.95$, $g_h^{(2)} \approx 0.675$, success probability $\mathcal{P}_h \approx 5 \times 10^{-4}\%$, and a photon-number parity $\langle \hat{\Pi} \rangle \approx -0.85$. Finally, we summarize in table 2 the figures of merit for the heralded number states and best input parameter range in terms of CAR.

Figure of merit	$ 1\rangle$	$ 2\rangle$	$ 3\rangle$
CAR	15	23	43
\mathcal{F}_h	0.98	0.96	0.95
$g_h^{(2)}$	0.04	0.52	0.675
$\mathcal{P}_h \ (\%)$	6.8	0.15	$5 imes 10^{-4}$
$\left< \hat{\Pi} \right>$	-0.95	0.91	-0.89
$0\left\langle \hat{n}_s \right\rangle \left(0.4 \le \mu_h \le 0.6 \right)$	1.06 - 1.08	2.06 - 2.07	3.02 - 3.03

Table 2. Parameters for the state preparation together with those for describing the heralded state quality leading to characteristics closest to the target states.

5. Conclusion

The preparation of high quality heralded photon-number states plays a crucial role in quantum optics. The lack of true photon-number-resolving techniques at the few photon level restricts the quality of the state preparation and characterization. We studied the generation and characterization of heralded low photon-number states up to three photons prepared with heralding from single-mode twin beams generated via PDC. By analyzing the key experimental parameters-such as the coincidences-to-accidentals ratio (CAR), heralding efficiency (μ_h), and signal arm efficiency (μ_s)-we identified the trade-offs between success probability and state quality. Using figures of merits including the fidelity, normalized second-order factorial moment $(g_h^{(2)})$, success probability and photon-number parity, we demonstrated how these parameters of the state preparation influence the quality and practical use of the heralded photon-number states.

Our results show that while fidelity and $g_h^{(2)}$ are widely used to characterize photon-number states, they have limitations. While the former needs at least lossinversion techniques the latter can be used only for state classification. In contrast, the photon-number parity provides a comprehensive and experimentally accessible metric for assessing state quality, clearly showing the contamination from the undesired photonnumber contributions.

Using a realizable heralding detector, we demonstrate that the optimal region for generating high-quality photon-number states depends on a delicate balance of experimental parameters. High values of CAR mitigate multi-photon contributions but increase the vacuum component and allow contamination through detector dark counts, while low values of CAR lead to excessive multi-photon contamination. Our results suggest that moderate levels of CAR are favorable, even for the heralding of a single-photon state. Additionally, detection efficiencies in both the heralding and signal arms significantly influence state quality. We identified regions in the input parameter space, where several analysis tools indicate high-quality state preparation, thus providing practical guidance for experimental implementations.

In addition, our results point out that the desired value of CAR is increasing, when going towards a higher number-state. This creates a challenge since at the same time the success probability is strongly reduced. Earlier studies have reported that there exist a trade-off between the success probability and state quality [8]. Our analysis can individually address the effect of the different experimental imperfections. Thus, we gain information of their interplay in a real experiment and can find the best range for each of these parameter knobs. Including to the analysis of the fidelity and success probability also the analysis of $g_h^{(2)}$ and photon-number parity, we can compare the effect of the imperfections on each figure of-merit. Additionally, we provide means for the calibration of the heralded state mean photon number solely by the value of the CAR, which can be highly practical in an experiment.

Altogether, our study emphasizes the importance of the photon-number parity as a versatile and reliable tool for characterizing photon-number states, surpassing conventional metrics in practical utility and interpretive power. By identifying optimal regions in the experimental parameter space, this study contributes to the generation of high-quality heralded photon-number states, laying a foundation for their deployment in quantum optics tasks and contributing to the development of versatile photon-pair sources.

6. Appendix

6.1. Derivation of the POVM presentation in the number state basis

The POVM for an array of N-detectors from which k of them detect a photon is given by [42, 47]

$$\hat{O}_k =: \binom{N}{k} \hat{\Theta}^{N-k} (\hat{\mathcal{I}} - \hat{\Theta})^k :, \qquad \hat{\Theta} = \exp\left\{-\frac{\mu_h \hat{n} + \nu}{N}\right\}$$
(13)

where $\hat{\mathcal{I}}$ is the identity operator, and $\hat{\Theta}$ takes into account the efficiency μ_h of the detectors and the dark count probability ν . By expanding the binomial from the k-powered term,

$$\hat{O}_k =: \binom{N}{k} \hat{\Theta}^{N-k} \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} \hat{\mathcal{I}}^{k-m} (-\hat{\Theta})^m : .$$
(14)

Then rearranging and replacing the $\hat{\Theta}$ operator gives, and noting that $\hat{\mathcal{I}}^j = \hat{\mathcal{I}}$,

$$\hat{O}_k = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{N}{k} \binom{k}{m} (-1)^m e^{-\frac{\nu}{N}(N+m-k)} : \exp\left\{-\frac{\mu_h \hat{n}}{N}(N+m-k)\right\} : .$$
(15)

Now, by making used of the identity for ordered exponential operators [20]

$$: \exp\{\alpha \hat{n}\} := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1+\alpha)^n |n\rangle \langle n| , \qquad (16)$$

and taking into account that the operator applies on the idler beam, it is possible to get the form presented in equation (4)

$$\hat{O}_{k} = \sum_{m=0}^{k} \binom{N}{k} \binom{k}{m} (-1)^{m} e^{-\frac{\nu}{N}(N+m-k)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\mu_{h}}{N}(N+m-k)\right)^{n} |n\rangle_{i \ i} \langle n| \ . \tag{17}$$

6.2. Cross-correlation function

In a similar way to the normalized factorial moments, the high-order cross-correlation function can in general be computed as

$$g^{(n,m)} = \frac{\operatorname{Tr}_{s,i}\left\{\hat{\rho}_{s,i}:(\hat{a}_{i}^{\dagger}\hat{a}_{i})^{n}::(\hat{a}_{s}^{\dagger}\hat{a}_{s})^{m}:\right\}}{\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{s,i}\left\{\hat{\rho}_{s,i}\hat{a}_{i}^{\dagger}\hat{a}_{i}\right\}\right)^{n}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{s,i}\left\{\hat{\rho}_{s,i}\hat{a}_{s}^{\dagger}\hat{a}_{s}\right\}\right)^{m}},$$
(18)



Figure 8. $g_h^{(3)}$ value of heralded three-photons in terms of the heralding efficiency, μ_h and CAR value, and using the other input parameters from table 1.

or in terms of the joint-photon number statistics $P_{k,l}$,

$$g^{(n,m)} = \frac{\sum_{k,l} \frac{k!}{(k-n)!} \frac{l!}{(l-m)!} P_{k,l}}{\left(\sum_{k} k P_{k,l}\right)^n \left(\sum_{l} l P_{k,l}\right)^m} .$$
 (19)

The cross-correlation function is the n-th and m-th coincidence detection in the idler and signal, respectively. This is simplified for the single-mode twin beams. As they are correlated in the photon-number, the joint-photon number statistics is diagonal, $P_{k,l} = P_k(\bar{n})\delta_{kl}$, and therefore

$$g^{(n,m)} = \frac{\sum_{k} \frac{k!}{(k-n)!} \frac{k!}{(k-m)!} P_k(\bar{n})}{\left(\sum_{k} k P_k(\bar{n})\right)^{n+m}},$$
(20)

with $P_k(\bar{n})$ being the thermal statistics given in equation (2). From this expression, the CAR value can be computed as in equation (9).

6.3. Normalized high-order factorial moment

In general, the normalized high-order factorial moment for the heralded beam is retrieved from

$$g_h^{(m)} = \frac{\operatorname{Tr}_s \left\{ \hat{\rho}_s : (\hat{a}_s^{\dagger} \hat{a}_s)^m : \right\}}{\left(\operatorname{Tr}_s \left\{ \hat{\rho}_s \hat{a}_s^{\dagger} \hat{a}_s \right\} \right)^m},$$
(21)

where, $\hat{\rho}_s$ is the density matrix of the signal beam in equation (3), and \hat{a} (\hat{a}^{\dagger}) is the annihilation (creation) ladder operator of photons. This form can be expressed in terms of the photon statistics of the signal beam, as shown in equation (11).

For completeness, we present in figure 8 the values of $g_h^{(3)}$ for the three-photon state. In this case the value for the target state is $g_h^{(3)} = 2/9 \approx 0.22$. However, a more strict condition for the desired state preparation range was achieved via $g_h^{(2)}$, which is presented in figure 6.

Acknowledgments

Special thanks to Thomas Dirmeier and Christoph Marquardt for helpful discussions about photon correlations.

References

- [1] A. Migdall, S. V. Polyakov, J. Fan, and J. C. Bienfang. *Single-photon generation and detection: physics and applications*. Academic Press, 2013.
- [2] P. Kok, W. J. Munro, K. Nemoto, T. C. Ralph, J. P. Dowling, and G. J. Milburn. Linear optical quantum computing with photonic qubits. *Reviews of modern* physics, 79(1):135–174, 2007.
- J. Rarity, P. Tapster, J. Levenson, J. Garreau, I. Abram, J. Mertz, T. Debuisschert,
 A. Heidmann, C. Fabre, and E. Giacobino. Quantum correlated twin beams. Applied Physics B, 55:250–257, 1992.
- [4] M. Levenson, R. Shelby, M. Reid, and D. Walls. Generation and detection of squeezed states of light by nondegenerate four-wave mixing in an optical fiber. *Physical Review A*, 32(3):1550, 1985.
- [5] E. Waks, E. Diamanti, and Y. Yamamoto. Generation of photon number states. New Journal of Physics, 8(1):4, 2006.
- [6] K. Laiho, K. Cassemiro, and C. Silberhorn. Producing high fidelity single photons with optimal brightness via waveguided parametric down-conversion. Optics express, 17(25):22823–22837, 2009.
- [7] T. Sonoyama, K. Takahashi, T. Sano, T. Suzuki, T. Nomura, M. Yabuno, S. Miki, H. Terai, K. Takase, W. Asavanant, et al. Generation of multi-photon Fock states at telecommunication wavelength using picosecond pulsed light. *Optics Express*, 32(18):32387–32395, 2024.
- [8] A. Christ and C. Silberhorn. Limits on the deterministic creation of pure singlephoton states using parametric down-conversion. *Physical Review A—Atomic*, *Molecular, and Optical Physics*, 85(2):023829, 2012.
- [9] R. J. Francis-Jones and P. J. Mosley. Exploring the limits of multiplexed photonpair sources for the preparation of pure single-photon states. arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1394, 2014.
- [10] K. Laiho, M. Schmidt, H. Suchomel, M. Kamp, S. Höfling, C. Schneider, J. Beyer, G. Weihs, and S. Reitzenstein. Photon-number parity of heralded single photons from a Bragg-reflection waveguide reconstructed loss-tolerantly via moment generating function. *New Journal of Physics*, 21(10):103025, 2019.
- [11] E. Meyer-Scott, C. Silberhorn, and A. Migdall. Single-photon sources: Approaching the ideal through multiplexing. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 91(4), 2020.

- [12] L. Stasi, P. Caspar, T. Brydges, H. Zbinden, F. Bussières, and R. Thew. Highefficiency photon-number-resolving detector for improving heralded single-photon sources. *Quantum Science and Technology*, 8(4):045006, 2023.
- [13] C. Bruscino, M. Ejrnaes, P. Ercolano, D. Salvoni, C. Zhang, H. Li, L. You, L. Parlato, and G. Pepe. Reduction of g2 (0) value in heralded spontaneous parametric down-conversion sources using photon number resolving detectors. *Low Temperature Physics*, 50(1):24–28, 2024.
- [14] S. Pani, D. Earl, and F. Becerra. Effects of multi-photon states in the calibration of single-photon detectors based on a portable bi-photon source. AVS Quantum Science, 6(4), 2024.
- [15] A. Rani, V. Mongia, P. Parvatikar, R. Gharate, T. Sharma, J. Ramakrishnan, P. Chandravanshi, and R. P. Singh. Passive polarization-encoded BB84 protocol using a heralded single-photon source. arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.02944, 2024.
- [16] K. Laiho, T. Dirmeier, M. Schmidt, S. Reitzenstein, and C. Marquardt. Measuring higher-order photon correlations of faint quantum light: a short review. *Physics Letters A*, 435:128059, 2022.
- [17] Y. Zhang, L. Cui, X. Guo, W. Zhao, X. Li, and Z. Ou. Full characterization of an all fiber source of heralded single photons. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.14310*, 2024.
- [18] H. Azuma, W. J. Munro, and K. Nemoto. Heralded single-photon source based on superpositions of squeezed states. *Physical Review A*, 109(5):053711, 2024.
- [19] A. G. Magnoni, L. T. Knoll, L. Wölcken, J. Defant, J. Morales, and M. A. Larotonda. Toward an optical-fiber-based temporally multiplexed single-photon source. *Physical Review A*, 110(3):033712, 2024.
- [20] S. Barnett and P. M. Radmore. Methods in theoretical quantum optics. Vol. 15. Oxford University Press, 2002.
- [21] K. E. Cahill and R. J. Glauber. Density operators and quasiprobability distributions. *Physical Review*, 177(5):1882, 1969.
- [22] A. Royer. Measurement of the Wigner function. *Physical review letters*, 55(25):2745, 1985.
- [23] T. S. Santana, C. D. Muñoz, R. A. Starkwood, and C. J. Chunnilall. Extending the quantum tomography of a quasi-photon-number-resolving detector. *Optics Express*, 32(11):20350–20359, 2024.
- [24] D. Klyshko. Observable signs of nonclassical light. Physics Letters A, 213(1-2):7– 15, 1996.
- [25] A. Lamas-Linares, J. C. Howell, and D. Bouwmeester. Stimulated emission of polarization-entangled photons. *Nature*, 412(6850):887–890, 2001.
- [26] M. Fiorentino, S. M. Spillane, R. G. Beausoleil, T. D. Roberts, P. Battle, and M. W. Munro. Spontaneous parametric down-conversion in periodically poled KTP waveguides and bulk crystals. *Optics express*, 15(12):7479–7488, 2007.

- [27] F. Hou, X. Xiang, R. Quan, M. Wang, Y. Zhai, S. Wang, T. Liu, S. Zhang, and R. Dong. An efficient source of frequency anti-correlated entanglement at telecom wavelength. *Applied Physics B*, 122:1–8, 2016.
- [28] X.-X. Fang, L. Wang, and H. Lu. Efficient generation of broadband photon pairs in shallow-etched lithium niobate nanowaveguides. *Optics Express*, 32(13):22945– 22954, 2024.
- [29] A. Angural and J. Ghosh. Fully-guided polarization-correlated photon pairs at 1560 nm from a type-II SPDC-based source. *Optics Letters*, 49(3):442–445, 2024.
- [30] C. Embrey, M. Turnbull, P. Petrov, and V. Boyer. Observation of localized multispatial-mode quadrature squeezing. *Physical Review X*, 5(3):031004, 2015.
- [31] Z. Yang, P. Saurabh, F. Schlawin, S. Mukamel, and K. E. Dorfman. Multidimensional four-wave-mixing spectroscopy with squeezed light. *Applied Physics Letters*, 116(24), 2020.
- [32] A. Christ, K. Laiho, A. Eckstein, K. N. Cassemiro, and C. Silberhorn. Probing multimode squeezing with correlation functions. *New Journal of Physics*, 13(3):033027, 2011.
- [33] O. Haderka, J. Peřina Jr, M. Hamar, and J. Peřina. Direct measurement and reconstruction of nonclassical features of twin beams generated in spontaneous parametric down-conversion. *Physical Review A—Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics*, 71(3):033815, 2005.
- [34] A. Allevi, S. Olivares, and M. Bondani. Measuring high-order photon-number correlations in experiments with multimode pulsed quantum states. *Physical Review A—Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics*, 85(6):063835, 2012.
- [35] L. Dovrat, M. Bakstein, D. Istrati, E. Megidish, A. Halevy, L. Cohen, and H. Eisenberg. Direct observation of the degree of correlations using photon-number-resolving detectors. *Physical Review A—Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics*, 87(5):053813, 2013.
- [36] A. Allevi and M. Bondani. Multi-mode twin-beam states in the mesoscopic intensity domain. *Physics Letters A*, 423:127828, 2022.
- [37] P. J. Mosley, J. S. Lundeen, B. J. Smith, and I. A. Walmsley. Conditional preparation of single photons using parametric downconversion: a recipe for purity. *New Journal of Physics*, 10(9):093011, 2008.
- [38] P. G. Evans, R. S. Bennink, W. P. Grice, T. S. Humble, and J. Schaake. Bright Source of Spectrally Uncorrelated Polarization-Entangled Photons with Nearly Single-Mode Emission. *Physical Review Letters*, 105(25):253601, 2010.
- [39] N. Bruno, A. Martin, T. Guerreiro, B. Sanguinetti, and R. T. Thew. Pulsed source of spectrally uncorrelated and indistinguishable photons at telecom wavelengths. *Optics express*, 22(14):17246–17253, 2014.

- [40] M. Arora, J. Arya, P. Chokkara, and J. Lugani. Singly poled thin film lithium niobate waveguide as a tunable source of photon pairs across telecom band. arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.17369, 2024.
- [41] S. Sempere-Llagostera, G. Thekkadath, R. Patel, W. Kolthammer, and I. Walmsley. Reducing g (2)(0) of a parametric down-conversion source via photon-number resolution with superconducting nanowire detectors. *Optics Express*, 30(2):3138–3147, 2022.
- [42] J. Sperling, W. Vogel, and G. Agarwal. True photocounting statistics of multiple on-off detectors. *Physical Review A—Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics*, 85(2):023820, 2012.
- [43] S. J. van Enk. Photodetector figures of merit in terms of POVMs. Journal of Physics Communications, 1(4):045001, 2017.
- [44] M. O. Scully and W. E. Lamb. Quantum theory of an optical maser. III. Theory of photoelectron counting statistics. *Physical Review*, 179(2):368, 1969.
- [45] S. M. Barnett, L. S. Phillips, and D. T. Pegg. Imperfect photodetection as projection onto mixed states. *Optics communications*, 158(1-6):45–49, 1998.
- [46] H. Lee, U. Yurtsever, P. Kok, G. M. Hockney, C. Adami, S. L. Braunstein, and J. P. Dowling. Towards photostatistics from photon-number discriminating detectors. *Journal of Modern Optics*, 51(9-10):1517–1528, 2004.
- [47] J. Sperling, W. Vogel, and G. Agarwal. Quantum state engineering by click counting. *Physical Review A*, 89(4):043829, 2014.
- [48] I. Afek, A. Natan, O. Ambar, and Y. Silberberg. Quantum state measurements using multipixel photon detectors. *Physical Review A—Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics*, 79(4):043830, 2009.
- [49] H. Shibata, K. Shimizu, H. Takesue, and Y. Tokura. Ultimate low system darkcount rate for superconducting nanowire single-photon detector. Optics letters, 40(14):3428–3431, 2015.
- [50] L. Helt and M. Steel. Effect of dark counts on single-photon heralding with quasinumber-resolving detection schemes. *Optics Letters*, 42(23):4792–4795, 2017.
- [51] K. Laiho, M. Avenhaus, K. Cassemiro, and C. Silberhorn. Direct probing of the Wigner function by time-multiplexed detection of photon statistics. *New Journal* of *Physics*, 11(4):043012, 2009.
- [52] S. Krishnaswamy, F. Schlue, L. Ares, V. Dyachuk, M. Stefszky, B. Brecht, C. Silberhorn, and J. Sperling. Experimental retrieval of photon statistics from click detection. *Phys. Rev. A*, 110:023717, 2024.
- [53] X.-X. Fang, H.-Y. Du, X. Zhang, L. Wang, F. Chen, and H. Lu. High-Efficiency On-Chip Quantum Photon Source in Modal Phase-Matched Lithium Niobate Nanowaveguide. *Laser & Photonics Reviews*:2400782, 2024.
- [54] P. Grünwald. Effective second-order correlation function and single-photon detection. *New Journal of Physics*, 21(9):093003, 2019.