

Natural weak mixing angle under $U(1,3)$ colored gravity

Robert Monjo

Department of Math and Computer Science, Saint Louis University - Madrid Campus.

Max Aub street, 5, E-28003, Madrid, Spain and

Dep. of Algebra, Geometry and Topology - Complutense University of Madrid.

*Pza. Ciencias 3, E-28040 Madrid, Spain.**

(Dated: February 18, 2025)

Colored gravity, based on $U(1,3)$ symmetry, emerges naturally in the complexification of Lorentzian manifolds and integrates $U(1)$ electromagnetism as a subcase. This work explores the viability of also including strong and electroweak interactions under the $U(1,3)$ gauge group of colored gravity. We identify specific generators linked to leptonic and quark interactions and embed the standard Higgs mechanism. Crucially, the weak mixing angle ($\sin^2 \theta_W$) is predicted to exhibit about ~ 0.231 for lepton-lepton interactions (close to observations) and ~ 0.222 for hadron-lepton interactions, which is in 3σ tension with some observations. These findings open pathways for reconciling experimental data with colored gravity and suggest avenues for quantum correction studies.

Popular Summary: The weak mixing angle is a cornerstone in particle physics, linking electromagnetism and the decay force (known as *weak interaction*). Our work delves into a new theory called *colored gravity*, which aims to unify gravity with other forces of nature. This approach predicts the weak mixing angle, providing values closely aligned with experimental data while identifying intriguing discrepancies in specific processes. These findings not only address longstanding questions in physics but also allow testable predictions for future experiments.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Background of the Weinberg angle

The weak mixing angle, also known as the Weinberg angle θ_W , is a fundamental parameter in the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics. It quantifies the mixing of the electromagnetic and weak interactions under the electroweak $U(1) \times SU(2)$ gauge symmetry. Expressed as $\sin^2 \theta_W = g_1^2 / (g_1^2 + g_2^2)$, where g_1 and g_2 represent the gauge coupling constants for $U(1)$ and $SU(2)$, respectively, the Weinberg angle governs the masses of the W and Z bosons via $\sin^2 \theta_W = 1 - m_W^2 / m_Z^2$ [1, 2]. This parameter plays a pivotal role in precision electroweak physics, offering a bridge between theory and experiment [1–6]. While this relationship is theoretically predicted by the SM, the precise value of $\sin^2 \theta_W$ at a given energy scale is influenced by experimentally measured parameters, such as the masses of the W and Z bosons [7, 8]. An extensive review of low-energy precision measurements for $\sin^2 \theta_W$ was performed in [9] for weak neutral-current interactions, mediated by the Z boson.

Precision measurements and renormalization group equations have refined the SM prediction of $\sin^2 \theta_W$ for leptonic interactions, which is approximately 0.23152 ± 0.00010 [7] or 0.23124 ± 0.00012 [10]. Empirical measurements from experiments such as those carried out at the *SLAC Large Detector* (SLD) of the *Stanford Linear Collider* (SLC), the *Compact Muon Solenoid* (CMS) and *Large Hadron Collider beauty* (LHCb) at CERN, and the *Tevatron collider* at Fermilab have largely corroborated the SM predictions for lepton-lepton interactions (Table

TABLE I. Experimental measurement of the effective weak mixing angle θ_W according to lepton-lepton interactions (upper block) and for hadron-lepton (lower block).

Source	$\sin^2 \theta_W$	Uncertainty	Reference
SLD	0.23098 ± 0.00026		SLD Col. 2000 [1]
NuTeV	0.2277 ± 0.0016		Zeller+. 2002 [2]
CMS/LHC	0.2287 ± 0.0020 (stat)	± 0.0025 (syst)	CMS Col. 2011 [3]
LHCb/LHC	0.23142 ± 0.00052 (stat)	± 0.00056 (syst)	LHCb Col. 2015 [4]
Low Q^2	0.2328 ± 0.0009		Davoudiasl+ 2015 [10]
D0/Tevatron	0.23147 ± 0.00047		D0 Col. 2015 [8]
D0/Tevatron	0.23095 ± 0.00035 (stat)	± 0.00020 (syst)	CDF+D0 Col. 2018 [5]
CDF+D0 (Tevatron)	0.23148 ± 0.00033		CDF+D0 Col. 2018 [5]
LHCb/LHC	0.23147 ± 0.00044 (stat)	± 0.00005 (syst)	LHCb Col. 2024 [6]
D0/Tevatron	0.22269 ± 0.00034 (stat)	± 0.00021 (syst)	CDF+D0 Col. 2018 [5]
CDF+D0 (Tevatron)	0.22324 ± 0.00033		CDF+D0 Col. 2018 [5]
CODATA	0.22305 ± 0.00023		Mohr+ 2024 [11]

I), with most results lying within statistical uncertainty [3, 4, 8, 11]. However, some discrepancies in hadron-lepton processes hint at potential new physics [12].

Despite the success of the electroweak framework, integrating quantum chromodynamics (QCD) into a unified theory remains a profound challenge. Grand uni-

* R. Monjo: robert.monjo@slu.edu

fied theories (GUTs) aim to merge the electroweak and strong interactions into a single theoretical framework [13], potentially refining predictions for $\sin^2 \theta_W$ [14–17]. For instance, the SU(5) GUT predicts a value of $\sin^2 \theta_W = 3/8 \approx 0.375$ at the unification energy scale, which is around 10^{16} GeV [18, 19]. When running the renormalization group equations down to the electroweak scale (around the Z boson mass), the predicted value of $\sin^2 \theta_W \approx 0.21$ [9, 18, 20]. Even smaller is the mixing angle for the SU(5) \times SU(5) theory (or double SU(5)), with $\sin^2 \theta_W = 3/16 = 0.1875$ at a unification energy scale; although it is expected to increase at lower energy scales [19]. Similarly, a minimally supersymmetric SO(10) can obtain values about $\sin^2 \theta_W \approx 0.2210$ [21].

B. Motivation and objectives

1. Motivation for U(1, 3)-based colored gravity

Double copy of $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ and more generally double $\mathfrak{u}(N)$ for $N = 4, 5$ contain relevant subalgebras that are related to the lepton-quark interactions [22–24]. The $\mathfrak{su}(4)$ algebra provides a framework to unify quarks and leptons within the same symmetry group [25, 26], whereas $\mathfrak{u}(1, 3)$ plays a significant role in describing the strong interactions of quarks and gluons in the non-perturbative regime at large interaction distances [27]. Within this context, it is plausible that the $\mathfrak{u}(1, 3)$ algebra could also support a quark-lepton unification model, analogous to the $\mathfrak{su}(4)$ case. Furthermore, $\mathfrak{u}(1, 3)$ exhibits connections to Wess-Zumino-Witten models in two dimensions and Chern-Simons theories in three dimensions [28, 29].

More recently, a proposal of colored gravity was successfully based on a double $\mathfrak{su}(1, 3)$ subalgebra [30]. Specifically, using a gauge-like treatment of *teleparallel gravity equivalent to general relativity* (TEGR), Lagrangian density of colored gravity is isomorphic to a SU(1, 3) Yang-Mills theory [30–32].

Colored gravity is motivated by the idea that U(1, 3) gauge group emerges from the complexification of Lorentzian manifolds and spinor field dynamics, offering a natural extension to unify gravity with the SM [30]. In this framework, U(1) electromagnetism appears as a subset, while SU(3) \subset U(1, 3) represents the strong interaction. The structure also supports a Higgs mechanism that integrates leptonic and quark interactions into a unified description.

2. Objectives and structure of this work

This paper aims to extend the SM by embedding its symmetries into the U(1, 3) gauge group, providing insights into the weak mixing angle and its implications for unification. The key contributions include:

- Showing a natural embedding of SU(3) and U(1) \times SU(2) symmetries into the U(1, 3) framework.

- Extending the Higgs mechanism to U(1, 3), linking the electroweak symmetry-breaking process to broader unification.
- Providing theoretical predictions for the weak mixing angle under different interaction contexts.

The paper is structured in five main sections. After the preliminary Sec. II aimed to set the foundational notation, Sec. III introduces the key features of U(1, 3)-based colored gravity. Sec. IV describes the embedding of the SM algebra representatives within U(1, 3) and identifies each fundamental interaction. Then Sec. V develops the fit of Higgs mechanism into the U(1, 3) model by identifying the generators involved and provides a first prediction of the weak mixing angle. Finally, Sec. VI collects the main insights and concluding remarks to interpret the results and outlines directions for future research.

II. PRELIMINARIES

A. Spacetime algebra

This subsection summarizes the key concepts from [33, 34]. Let (M, \mathbf{g}) be a 4-dimensional Lorentzian manifold with Minkowski bundle $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow TM$ and frames $\{x^\mu, x^a\}_{\mu, a}$. The spacetime algebra $Cl_{1,3}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{h})$, with $\mathbf{h} = \boldsymbol{\eta}$ or \mathbf{g} , is generated by $\{v_\mu\}_\mu$ and $\{\gamma_a\}_a$, which satisfy:

$$v_\mu \cdot v_\nu = \frac{1}{2}(v_\mu v_\nu + v_\nu v_\mu) = g_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{1}_4, \quad (1)$$

$$\gamma_a \cdot \gamma_b = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_a \gamma_b + \gamma_b \gamma_a) = \eta_{ab} \mathbf{1}_4, \quad (2)$$

where \cdot is the symmetric (dot) product, and $\mathbf{1}_4$ is the identity matrix. The antisymmetric (wedge) product is defined as:

$$v_\mu \wedge v_\nu = \frac{1}{2}(v_\mu v_\nu - v_\nu v_\mu) = \frac{1}{2}[v_\mu, v_\nu], \quad (3)$$

$$\gamma_a \wedge \gamma_b = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_a \gamma_b - \gamma_b \gamma_a) = \frac{1}{2}[\gamma_a, \gamma_b]. \quad (4)$$

The algebra generators decompose into symmetric and antisymmetric parts: $v_\mu v_\nu = v_\mu \cdot v_\nu + v_\mu \wedge v_\nu$, and similarly for $\gamma_a \gamma_b$. The tetrad components relate to spacetime generators via $v^\mu = e^\mu_a \gamma^a$ and $\gamma^a = e^a_\mu v^\mu$, satisfying orthogonality: $\gamma_a \cdot \gamma^b = \delta_a^b \mathbf{1}_4$ and $v_\mu \cdot v^\mu = \gamma_a \cdot \gamma^a = \mathbf{1}_4$.

The symbols v_μ and γ_a emphasize their connections to the four-velocity covector $u_\mu = dx_\mu/d\tau$ and Dirac gamma matrices, respectively. Distances defined by the generators mirror those from $u_\mu dx^\mu$. The spacetime element is $\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\tau} = v_\mu dx^\mu = \gamma_a dx^a$, and the metric is derived as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}_4 d\boldsymbol{\tau}^2 = \mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\tau} &= (v_\mu \cdot v_\nu) dx^\mu dx^\nu = \mathbf{1}_4 g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu \\ &= (\gamma_a \cdot \gamma_b) dx^a dx^b = \mathbf{1}_4 \eta_{ab} dx^a dx^b. \end{aligned}$$

This formalism encapsulates spacetime geometry in terms of Clifford algebra, providing a robust foundation for describing both the kinematics and dynamics of fields in curved spacetimes. By leveraging these structures, our framework enables an extension of SM symmetries to incorporate gravity through the perturbation of the spacetime generators by the $\mathfrak{u}(1, 3)$ algebra.

B. Complexified Minkowskian spacetime and spinors

Let (\mathcal{M}, η) represent the Minkowskian manifold with the metric $\eta = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$, and let (\mathcal{M}^c, η^c) denote its *complexification*, defined as $\mathcal{M}^c := \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathbf{i}\mathcal{M}$, where $\mathbf{i} := e_0 e_1 e_2 e_3$ is the unit pseudoscalar constructed using the vector basis $\{e_\mu\}_{\mu=0}^4$ of \mathcal{M} [35, 36]. The complexification introduces additional degrees of freedom, allowing the description of both *real* (e.g., velocity and momentum) and *imaginary* components (e.g., angular momentum and magnetic moment), whose behavior under parity inversion differs. The terms *imaginary* and *complexification* arise from the property $\mathbf{i}^2 = (e_0 e_1 e_2 e_3)(e_0 e_1 e_2 e_3) = -1$, with $e_i e_j := e_i \cdot e_j + e_i \wedge e_j$.

In the framework of $\mathcal{M}^c \ni a, b$, the inner product structure is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(a, b) &:= \eta(a + \mathbf{i}x, b + \mathbf{i}y) := \\ &:= \eta(a, b) + \eta(x, y) - \mathbf{i}\eta(x, b) + \mathbf{i}\eta(a, y), \end{aligned}$$

where $a = a + \mathbf{i}x$ and $b = b + \mathbf{i}y$, with a, b, x , and y being real tangent (vector) fields on \mathcal{M} . This definition ensures that both the real and imaginary components of vectors contribute to the overall geometry in a consistent manner.

As an example, any spinor (a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particle) can be expressed as $\psi = u + \mathbf{i}s \in \mathcal{M}^c$, where u represents the unit four-velocity or its *linear momentum*, and s denotes the four-dimensional spin angular momentum, which is linked to the Pauli-Lubanski pseudovector [36]. This representation seamlessly integrates the dynamic properties of particles, such as velocity and spin, into a unified formalism (i.e. a relativistic two-state prescription). For example, the interaction of an electron (or similar charged particle) with mass m , spin s , and Land'e factor $g_e \approx 2$, in the presence of an electromagnetic field F , can be described as:

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \psi^\alpha \approx \mu \psi^\beta F_\beta^\alpha, \quad (5)$$

where $\mu = \frac{g_e}{2} \frac{q}{m} s \approx \frac{q}{m} s$ is the magnetic moment [35]. This equation highlights the coupling between the spinor's intrinsic properties and the external field.

In the Dirac spinor formalism, both the electron (particle) and positron (antiparticle) are represented within the four-component spinor $\psi = (\chi \ \chi^c)^\top$, satisfying the Dirac equation $(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\psi = 0$. The upper two components (represented by χ correspond to the electron, while the lower components (from χ^c) describe the positron, whose interpretation as an antiparticle arises via charge conjugation: $\psi^c = C\bar{\psi}^\top$, where C is the charge conjugation matrix, $\bar{\psi}$ is the complex conjugate, and therefore $(\chi^c)^c = \chi$. This unified description captures both particle and antiparticle dynamics within a single framework.

The four-component spinor ψ can be expressed in the Weyl or chiral representation as $\psi_{\text{chiral}} = \psi_L + \psi_R = (\chi_L \ 0)^\top + (0 \ \chi_R)^\top = (\chi_L \ \chi_R)^\top$, where

$\chi_L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\chi + \chi^c)$ and $\chi_R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\chi - \chi^c)$ are the two-component Weyl spinors in the same chiral basis [37]. Then, using the Clifford algebra $\{\gamma^i\}_{i=0}^3$ for the left- and right-hand projectors $P_L = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma^5)$, $P_R = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \gamma^5)$ with $\gamma^5 := i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$, the spinor can be decomposed as $\psi_L = P_L\psi_{\text{chiral}}$ and $\psi_R = P_R\psi_{\text{chiral}}$. Moreover, the Dirac equation is now $(E - \sigma \cdot \mathbf{p})\psi_R = m\psi_L$ and $(E + \sigma \cdot \mathbf{p})\psi_L = m\psi_R$ with Pauli matrices σ , momentum $\mathbf{p} = m\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and energy $E^2 := m^2 + \mathbf{p}^2$. In contrast to the Dirac representation, this decomposition enables a detailed analysis of left- and right-handed states, which are fundamental to weak interactions.

In the context of particle dynamics, the four-velocity is $u^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\mathbf{v}^2}}(1, \mathbf{v})$, and the spin pseudovector is $s^\mu = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}u_\nu J_{\rho\sigma}$, describing the motion and spin of both particle and antiparticle. For an electron at rest, $u^\mu = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ and $s^\mu = (0, 0, 0, \pm\frac{1}{2})$, where $\pm\frac{1}{2}$ denotes spin alignment. The positron's u and s have the same forms but correspond to its specific quantum state, with negative energy solutions of the Dirac equation reinterpreted as positive via field quantization.

To robustly compute these quantities from a spinor ψ , bilinear covariants are obtained from $u^\mu = \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi$ and $s^\mu = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\bar{\psi}\gamma_\nu\gamma_\rho\psi$, where $\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger\gamma^0$ is the Dirac adjoint, while $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ is the Levi-Civita symbol and $\gamma_{\rho\sigma}$ are the Lorentz transformation generators $\gamma_{\rho\sigma} = \frac{1}{2}[\gamma_\rho, \gamma_\sigma]$. These expressions ensure that u^μ and s^μ are well-defined geometric quantities derived from the spinor ψ and the Clifford algebra structure, making them representation-independent. For instance, the four-velocity from the chiral representation is $u^0 = \chi_L^\dagger\chi_R + \chi_R^\dagger\chi_L = 1$, $u^i = \chi_L^\dagger\sigma^i\chi_R - \chi_R^\dagger\sigma^i\chi_L = 0$ for a spin up particle at rest $\chi_L = \chi_R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 \ 0)^\top$, while the spin pseudo-vector is $s^\mu = (0, 0, 0, +\frac{1}{2})$.

C. Natural U(1, 3) group in gravity

Let (M, g) represent an oriented Lorentzian 4-manifold with metric signature $(+, -, -, -)$, equipped with a spinor structure or an oriented loop space $\mathcal{L}M$.

The real tangent bundle of M , denoted by TM , can be complexified to $T^cM = TM \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong \mathcal{M}^c$. Its associated frame bundle forms a principal $GL(4, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle, written as $L^c(M) \rightarrow M$. The real Lorentzian metric g on TM extends naturally to T^cM as a Hermitian form:

$$g(a + \mathbf{i}x, b + \mathbf{i}y) = g(a, b) + g(x, y) - \mathbf{i}g(x, b) + \mathbf{i}g(a, y),$$

where a, b, x, y are real vector fields on M . This extension preserves the Lorentzian signature $(+, -, -, -)$ while introducing a consistent framework for handling complexified spacetime geometries.

Reducing $L^c(M) \rightarrow M$ to a principal $U(1, 3)$ -bundle requires preserving the Hermitian structure of the metric. Additionally, the volume form defined by g and the orientation of M reduces the structure group further to $SL(4, \mathbb{C})$. Combining these reductions, one ob-

tains a principal bundle with structure group $U(1, 3) \cap SL(4, \mathbb{C}) = SU(1, 3)$ [38].

The Levi-Civita connection $\overset{\circ}{D}$ induced by g extends to $T^c M$ as $\overset{\circ}{D}^c$, serving as a torsion-free reference connection among all possible $SU(1, 3)$ connections on $T^c M$. This torsion-free extension ensures compatibility between the classical geometry of M and the complexified spacetime.

Within this framework, the gauge theory of the non-compact group $U(1, 3)$ is considered, a topic that has long been debated in relation to the properties of quantum field theories (QFTs) based on such gauge groups [29, 39, 40]. Managing the non-compact nature of these groups often requires gauge-fixing methods, such as the Faddeev-Popov procedure, where ghost fields and techniques like the Lorenz gauge ensure unitarity and identify the physical degrees of freedom [41, 42]. While addressing the complexities of quantization is outside the scope of this work, we assume a framework bridging the classical $SU(1, 3)$ Yang-Mills formalism with a gauge-like treatment of teleparallel gravity, as described in [30]. The analogy to chromodynamics motivates the term *colored gravity*, emphasizing its connection to the *strong force*.

For simplicity, we take $M \cong \mathcal{M}$ to be Minkowski spacetime, which is a parallelizable and non-compact manifold. This allows for a global section of the frame bundle, thereby admitting a spinor structure. The spinor structure is essential for defining a $U(1, 3)$ -colored connection, which governs the parallel transport and covariant differentiation of spinor fields. At this stage, perturbations of the Minkowski metric involving spinors are considered negligible for the purpose of defining the spin structure.

III. COLORED GRAVITY

A. A gauge-like treatment of gravity

The colored gravity theory proposed by [30] is based on the idea of a classical-to-quantum bridge between the $SU(1, 3)$ Yang-Mills gauge formalism and the gauge-like treatment of teleparallel gravity. This framework provides a novel unification by embedding teleparallel gravity within a $SU(1, 3)$ gauge theory, offering a reinterpretation of gravity as a gauge-like interaction.

Particularly, spacetime algebra perturbed with Weitzenböck connection can be assimilated to a local complexification based on the $SU(1, 3)$ Yang-Mills theory producing Maxwell-like dynamics [31, 32, 43]. As mentioned in Sec. II C, the pseudo-unitary group $U(1, 3)$ is naturally found in the complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^3$, also noted as $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$. This space, characterized as a Kähler manifold [44, 45], possesses three mutually compatible structures: a complex structure, a Riemannian structure, and a symplectic structure, making it a versatile mathematical framework for exploring unified theories. In colored gravity, Yang-Mills dynamics emerges from locally-perturbed tetrads, recovering features of classical

electrodynamics [30].

B. Perturbed spinor frames

Let $\Psi = \{\psi_n\}_{n=1}^4$ be a multiplet of four Dirac spinors on M , where each spinor ψ_n consists of four components and represents fermionic fields. These spinors can be written as $|\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{M}_4(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C} \times Cl_{1,3}(\mathbb{R}, g)$. The total spin of Ψ ranges from -2 to 2 , and the spinor fields describe particles with the same energy $m > 0$, encoded in the four-momentum \mathbf{m} , satisfying $\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{m} = m^2 \mathbf{1}_4$. The components of \mathbf{m} are given by $m_\mu = \mathbf{m} \cdot \gamma_\mu$, linked to the classical momenta by $m_\mu = m u_\mu \mathbf{1}_4 := m \frac{dx_\mu}{d\tau} \mathbf{1}_4$. Consequently, the velocity is $\mathbf{u} := \mathbf{m}/m = \gamma^\mu u_\mu$, and the spacetime element is $d\boldsymbol{\tau} := \gamma_\mu dx^\mu$, leading to [46]:

$$\Psi = \exp(-i \mathbf{m} \cdot d\boldsymbol{\tau}) \Psi_0(\mathbf{m}). \quad (6)$$

This phase formalism elegantly links the momentum of spinor fields to spacetime evolution.

The gauge potential $\mathbf{A} = A_\mu \gamma^\mu$ is also expressed via the spacetime algebra [47], where $A = A_\mu dx^\mu \in \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{u}(1, 3))$ represents a connection on the $U(1, 3)$ -bundle with components $A_\mu = A_\mu^I \lambda_I$ in a basis $\{\lambda_I\}_{I=1}^{15}$ of $\mathfrak{u}(1, 3)$. The origin $\mathbf{A}(0)$ of the $U(1, 3)$ gauge potential can be defined using covariant Liénard-Wiechert or Cornell-like forms. Normalized using the quadratic Casimir operator, we will choose an origin $\mathbf{A}(0)$ proportional to the momentum $\mathbf{m} = m^\mu \gamma_\mu = m_\mu \gamma^\mu$ as follows:

$$\mathbf{A}(0) \equiv \frac{q}{\kappa \mathbf{m}} = \frac{q}{\kappa m^2} \mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_4(\mathbb{C}),$$

where q is a coupling constant and $\kappa = 8\pi G$ is the gravitational constant, built with the Newtonian constant G .

The associated covariant derivative transforms as $\nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu - iqA_\mu$, introducing a phase $\varphi(x) = qA_\mu dx^\mu$ into the spinor field. This yields the unitary transformation $U(x) = \exp(i\varphi(x))$ and the transformed spinor

$$\Psi \mapsto \hat{\Psi} := U^\dagger(x) \Psi = \exp(-i(\mathbf{m} \cdot \gamma_\mu + qA_\mu) dx^\mu) \Psi_0(\mathbf{m}). \quad (7)$$

The covariant derivative ∇_μ remains symmetric under the transformation, $\hat{\Psi}^\dagger \hat{\nabla}_\mu \hat{\Psi} = \Psi^\dagger \nabla_\mu \Psi$, where $\hat{\nabla}_\mu = U(x) \nabla_\mu U^\dagger(x)$. The unitary operator $U^\dagger(x)$ is connected to the Wilson loop and generalizes the Aharonov-Bohm effect [48].

The compensatory phase $\varphi(x)$ modifies the spacetime generators γ_μ , resulting in the perturbed generators

$$\gamma_\mu \mapsto \hat{\gamma}_\mu := \gamma_\mu + \kappa A_\mu \cdot \mathbf{A}(0) = \gamma_\mu + A_\mu \cdot \frac{q}{\mathbf{m}}, \quad (8)$$

where $1/\mathbf{m} := \mathbf{m}/m^2$. Similarly, the momentum \mathbf{m} and velocity \mathbf{u} are perturbed as

$$\mathbf{m} \mapsto \hat{\mathbf{m}} := \mathbf{m} + q\mathbf{A}, \quad \mathbf{u} \mapsto \hat{\mathbf{u}} := \frac{\hat{\mathbf{m}}}{m} = \mathbf{u} + \frac{q\mathbf{A}}{m}.$$

The perturbed velocity satisfies

$$u_\mu \mapsto \hat{u}_\mu := \mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\gamma}_\mu = \mathbf{1}_4 u_\mu + A_\mu \frac{q}{m}, \quad (9)$$

where $\hat{u}_\mu \in \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1,3)/\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{su}(1,3)$ [49]. This demonstrates how spacetime translations, such as $\delta \hat{\mathbf{x}} = \hat{\mathbf{u}} \delta \tau = \gamma^\mu \hat{u}_\mu \delta \tau$, extend naturally within the new framework, generalizing the Poincaré algebra. These constructions provide a geometric foundation for describing perturbed spinor dynamics in curved or complexified spacetime geometries.

C. Colored metric

As a result, if we denote by $\hat{\mathbf{A}} := \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}(0)$ the relative gauge with coordinates $\hat{A}_\mu := \hat{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \gamma_\mu$, the final metric components are

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mu\nu} &= \hat{\gamma}_\mu \cdot \hat{\gamma}_\nu = \eta_{\mu\nu} - \kappa \hat{A}_\mu \cdot \hat{A}_\nu + \kappa A_\mu(0) \cdot A_\nu(0) \\ &= \eta_{\mu\nu} - \kappa A_\mu \cdot A_\nu + 2q A_{(\mu} u_{\nu)}/m, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $A_\mu = \mathbf{A} \cdot \gamma_\mu$ is a $U(1,3)$ gauge potential (boson), and the last term represents a *gravitational source* at the potential origin. The first perturbation term, $A_\mu \cdot A_\nu$, corresponds to a *gravitation spacetime* linked to a pair of entangled bosons (i.e., a candidate for a *graviton*).

Given that $A_\mu \in \mathfrak{u}(1,3)$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, perturbations of the complexified metric can be expressed as

$$g \sim \eta + \hat{A} \otimes \hat{A} = \eta + (u \oplus A) \otimes (u \oplus A),$$

where η is the background Minkowski metric. These perturbations, mapped through canonical tensor product isomorphisms, correspond to elements of the extended tensor space $(\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1,3)) \otimes (\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1,3))$, significantly broadening the conventional scope of the tensor space $\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$.

When the perturbations are restricted to diagonal components, the resulting metric generates a $Cl_4(\mathbb{C})$ algebra defined by $\{\hat{\gamma}_\mu\}_{\mu=0}^3$. This framework recovers classical geometrical structures, such as the Kaluza–Klein metric, the Kerr coordinates or the Kerr–Schild–Kundt perturbations, where $g \sim \eta + A \otimes A$. Prominent examples include the Kerr–Newman and Reissner–Nordström black holes [50].

In this formulation, the torsion is schematically represented as a *double helix structure*, formed by pairs $A \otimes A$ of entangled $\mathfrak{u}(1,3)$ vector fields. These entangled pairs are physically interpreted as *bosons* (e.g., photons in QED or gluons in QCD), which act as virtual particles facilitating interactions within the extended symmetry group of spacetime. Geometrically, these fields correspond to the connection of a double-copy gauge transformation governed by an extended Poincaré algebra $\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1,3)$.

IV. EMBEDDING OF SM GENERATORS

For the matrix representation of the $\mathfrak{u}(1,3)$ algebra, we select an orthonormal base B of four elements so called 1 ‘lepton’ (l) and 3 ‘colors’ (r, g, b) to identify with the $(1,3)$ signature of the metric, which is $B = \{|l\rangle, |r\rangle, |g\rangle, |b\rangle\}$ and their corresponding dual basis $B^\dagger = \{|\bar{l}\rangle, |\bar{r}\rangle, |\bar{g}\rangle, |\bar{b}\rangle\}$. The group linked to this algebra is acting on the four-dimensional Dirac spinors $\psi = (\psi_L, \psi_R)$, where ψ_L and ψ_R are two-component Weyl spinors. We then consider the *state* configuration $|\Psi\rangle$ of a multiplet Ψ consisting of four Dirac spinor fields $\Psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3, \psi_4)_B$, each with two possible states, *up* and *down* ($|\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle$) for both the lepton and color elements, and $|0\rangle$ represents an empty state [51]. For instance, consider the following initial up-down balanced configuration (with normalization omitted):

$$|\Psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} |\downarrow\rangle \\ |\uparrow\rangle \\ |\downarrow\rangle \\ |\uparrow\rangle \end{pmatrix}_B = \underbrace{|\downarrow\rangle \otimes |l\rangle}_{\downarrow_l} + \underbrace{|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |r\rangle}_{\uparrow_r} + \underbrace{|\downarrow\rangle \otimes |g\rangle}_{\downarrow_g} + \underbrace{|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |b\rangle}_{\uparrow_b}. \quad (11)$$

Let $\{d\varphi_I(x)\}_{I=0}^{15}$ represent a set of 16 infinitesimal *angles* or real phases of Ψ at the position $x \in \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$. Then, consider it as a transformation produced by the unitary operator $U(x) = \exp(id\varphi_I(x)\ell^a) \in U(1,3)$, where $\{\ell^a\}_{I=0}^{15}$ is the set of generators of the non-compact $U(1,3)$ group. In other words, they allow its unitary relation $U^\dagger(x)\eta_{1,3}U(x) = \eta_{1,3}$ with Hermitian adjoint operator $U^\dagger(x) := \exp(-id\varphi_I(x)\ell^a)$, and therefore satisfy the hermiticity condition $(\ell_I)^\dagger = \eta\ell_I\eta^{-1}$. Therefore, these generators are 15 traceless (i.e. ensuring $\det U = 1$) from $SU(1,3) \subset U(1,3)$ and 1 generator equivalent to the identity from $U(1)$ symmetry.

To display a matrix representation, we choose the scaling $\frac{1}{2}$ in order to obtain a trace-relation normalization of $\mathbf{Tr}(\ell_I\ell_J) = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{IJ}$. They can be classified into five categories:

1. **$U(1)_\Gamma$ symmetry:** The identity generator $\ell_0 = \Gamma_0$ linked to a $U(1)$ gauge potential $B^\mu \rightarrow A_{U(1)}^\mu = B^\mu\Gamma_0$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_0 &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} (|l\rangle\langle\bar{l}| + |r\rangle\langle\bar{r}| + |g\rangle\langle\bar{g}| + |b\rangle\langle\bar{b}|), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

This generator is responsible of the classic gravity within the $U(1,3)$ colored gravity (Eq. 10).

2. **$SU(3)_\lambda$ symmetry:** A subset of 8 objects $\{\ell_a\}_{a=1}^8$ corresponds to the generators of the compact subgroup in $SU(1,3)$, which can be located in the lower 3×3 block of the matrices that are **totally lepton-decoupled** and mimic the $SU(3)$ Gell-Mann matrices $\{\lambda_a\}_{a=1}^8$ and their gauge potentials $\{G_a^\mu\}_{a=1}^8$ to

represent $A_{SU(3)}^\mu = \sum_{a=1}^8 G_a^\mu \lambda_a$. Abusing the notation, we will say that $\{\ell_a\}_{a=1}^8 \equiv \{\lambda_a\}_{a=1}^8$ although the last three where conveniently modified:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \lambda_3 &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \lambda_4 &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda_5 &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \lambda_6 &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \lambda_7 &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda_8 &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

3. One mixing $U(1)_y$ symmetry: A non-compact representative y_w of the $su(1, 3)$ is also diagonal like λ_6 and λ_3 , and it is isomorphic to the unit:

$$y_w = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

Like the Γ_0 , the operator y_w is coupled to the lepton sector (i.e. first row/column). At this point we define total $U(1)$ symmetry as $(U(1)_\Gamma \times U(1)_y) / \mathbb{Z}_2$, with the following $u(1)$ algebra representative

$$\chi^\pm = \pm \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{2}\Gamma_0 + \sqrt{6}y_w) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

This object recovers the signature of the spacetime and, at rest, induces a symmetry to keep the spatial components together. On the other hand, the y_w operator is fundamental for defining six completely-diagonal operators:

$$z_{\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow}^\pm := \pm \frac{1}{3} (\sqrt{6}y_w - \sqrt{3}\lambda_6 + 3\lambda_3) \quad (16)$$

$$z_{\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow}^\pm := \pm \frac{1}{3} (\sqrt{6}y_w - \sqrt{3}\lambda_6 - 3\lambda_3) \quad (17)$$

$$z_{\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow}^\pm := \pm \frac{1}{3} (\sqrt{6}y_w + 2\sqrt{3}\lambda_6) \quad (18)$$

Due to its role in these equations, we define *weak hypercharge* as [52]

$$Y_W := \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{6}y_w = \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

Operators of Eq. 16–18 are useful to obtain eigenvalues of the multiplets. For instance, consider $|\Psi\rangle = |\Psi^+\rangle := (|\downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\rangle)_B$, or $|\Psi\rangle = |\Psi^-\rangle := (|\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\rangle)_B$ [53]. For $|\Psi^+\rangle$, we will use $z_{\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow}^+$ since its eigenvectors are $\uparrow_l := (|\downarrow\rangle, |0\rangle, |0\rangle, |0\rangle)$, $\uparrow_r := (|0\rangle, |\uparrow\rangle, |0\rangle, |0\rangle)$, $\downarrow_g := (|0\rangle, |0\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle, |0\rangle)$, and $\uparrow_b := (|0\rangle, |0\rangle, |0\rangle, |\uparrow\rangle)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} z_{\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow}^+ \downarrow_l &= -\frac{1}{2} \downarrow_l, \\ z_{\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow}^+ \uparrow_r &= +\frac{1}{2} \uparrow_r, \\ z_{\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow}^+ \downarrow_g &= -\frac{1}{2} \downarrow_g, \\ z_{\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow}^+ \uparrow_b &= +\frac{1}{2} \uparrow_b. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Therefore, for our initial configuration $|\Psi^+\rangle = (|\downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\rangle)_B$, we identify the *third weak isospin component* $T_3 = z_{\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow}^+$ and the *electric charge* can be finally defined as $Q = T_3 + Y_W$ [54].

4. First part of $3 \times \mathbf{SU}(2)_w$ symmetries: Also interacting with the lepton sector, another subset of 3 non-compact rotation generators can be identified among the $SU(1, 3)$ generators as follows, one per each color linked:

$$\begin{aligned} w_{1,r}^\pm &= \pm \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & w_{1,g}^\pm &= \pm \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ w_{1,b}^\pm &= \pm \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

that can be physically interpreted as responsible of $SU(2)$ *decay procedures*, since they have correspondence with three ladder operators:

$$T_r^\pm = w_{1,r}^\pm \pm \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{3}\lambda_6 - \lambda_3), \quad (22)$$

$$T_g^\pm = w_{1,g}^\pm \pm \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{3}\lambda_6 + \lambda_3), \quad (23)$$

$$T_b^\pm = w_{1,b}^\pm \pm \lambda_3. \quad (24)$$

The T_r^\pm and T_b^\pm operators can apply to initial-configuration eigenvalues $\pm(-\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2})$, while T_g^\pm applies to $\pm(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2})$. For example, consider the operator T_r^\pm acting on $|\Psi^\pm\rangle$, so

$$\begin{aligned} T_r^+ |\Psi^+\rangle &= T_r^+ (|\downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\rangle)_B \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow (|\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\rangle)_B = \lambda_7 |\Psi^-\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_r^- |\Psi^-\rangle &= T_r^- (|\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle)_B \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow (|\downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\rangle, |\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle)_B = \lambda_7 |\Psi^+\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where the sign + or - only depends on the initial configuration of $|\Psi^\pm\rangle$ [55]. The corresponding eigenvalues (action of $z_{\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow}^\pm$) have been omitted by simplicity.

5. **Second part of $3 \times \text{SU}(2)_w$ symmetry:** Finally, a subset of 3 non-compact generators corresponds to boosts between lepton/time and color/spatial components:

$$w_{2,r}^\pm = \pm \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad w_{2,g}^\pm = \pm \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$w_{2,b}^\pm = \pm \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (25)$$

These generators are part of three subalgebras, $\mathfrak{su}(2)_r$, $\mathfrak{su}(2)_g$, and $\mathfrak{su}(2)_b$, isomorphic to $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, whose representative bases are $w_c = \{w_{0,c}^\pm, w_{1,c}^\pm, w_{2,c}^\pm\}$ for $c \in \{r, g, b\}$ and diagonal matrices $w_{0,c}^\pm$ defined from the hypercharge generator of the mixing $U(1)_y$ as follows:

$$w_{0,r}^\pm = z_{\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow}^\pm \pm \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{3}\lambda_6 - \lambda_3) = \pm \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (26)$$

$$w_{0,g}^\pm = z_{\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow}^\pm \pm \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{3}\lambda_6 + \lambda_3) = \pm \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (27)$$

$$w_{0,b}^\pm = z_{\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow}^\pm \mp \lambda_3 = \pm \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (28)$$

These three independent generators ($w_{0,r}^\pm$, $w_{0,g}^\pm$ and $w_{0,b}^\pm$) **complete the last part** of our triple $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ algebra: $\mathfrak{su}(2)_r$, $\mathfrak{su}(2)_g$, $\mathfrak{su}(2)_b$. However, the vector space of $\{w_{0,r}^\pm, w_{0,g}^\pm, w_{0,b}^\pm\}$ is equivalent to the generated by $\{\lambda_3, \lambda_6, y_w\}$, where two of them (λ_3 and λ_6) are already used in the $\text{SU}(3)_\lambda$ symmetry. Thus, only one generator $w_{0,c}^\pm$ (from the three colors $c \in \{r, g, b\}$) needs to be chosen to replace y_w every time the $\text{SU}(2)_w$ symmetry acts.

Therefore, we need to reduce the triple $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ basis to just one effective algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2)_w^{\text{eff}}$ by removing the choice of color,

$$\mathfrak{su}(2)_w^{\text{eff}} := \text{choice}\{\mathfrak{su}(2)_r, \mathfrak{su}(2)_g, \mathfrak{su}(2)_b\}, \quad (29)$$

so we obtain the effective set of $\text{SU}(2)_w$ symmetry generators. Using any of the three equivalent bases w_c with $c \in \{r, g, b\}$, the gauge potential can be defined as $A_{\text{SU}(2)}^\mu = W_a^\mu w_c^a$, where w_c^a is an element of the basis $w_c = \{w_c^a\}_{a=1}^3$ for $c \in \{r, g, b\}$. If the colors are assumed to be equiprobable (e.g. in a lepton-lepton interaction), the effective basis of our

$\mathfrak{su}(2)$ becomes a $\frac{1}{3}$ -weighted mixture of

$$\{w_{2,r}^\pm, w_{2,g}^\pm, w_{2,b}^\pm; w_{1,r}^\pm, w_{1,g}^\pm, w_{1,b}^\pm; z_{\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow}^\pm, \lambda_6^\pm, \lambda_3^\pm\} =: w_l. \quad (30)$$

Notice that $z_{\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow}^\pm$ itself, or originally represented by y_w , plays a central role in our $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ algebra by providing eigenvalues of the spinor multiplet, so it is expected to participate in all the cases.

Therefore, the mapping of the lepton-decoupled $\text{SU}(1,3)$ generators to the 8 representatives of the $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ algebra, plus the identification of the $U(1)_\Gamma$ generator and the effective $\text{SU}(2)_w$ illustrate the embeddings of $\text{SU}(3)$ and $U(1) \times \text{SU}(2)$ into $U(1,3)$. At this point, it is important to note that the purely color generators of $\text{SU}(3) \subset \text{SU}(1,3)$ do not directly interact with the lepton subspace. Only during the procedure of the operators $\{w_{i,c}^\pm\}_{i=0}^2$ color generators play a role, which is just to balance the spatial region after disequilibrium caused by the rotation/boost between the lepton and color regions (which is interpreted as a *decay procedure*). Due to the non-compact nature of the $\text{SU}(1,3)$ group, the lepton and hadron numbers are separately conserved [56].

In contrast, the interactions between the diagonal generators Γ_0 and $z_{\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow}^\pm$ introduce a potential mixing between the gauge potential B_μ of $U(1)_\Gamma$ and the W_a^μ bosons linked to w_c^a in $\text{SU}(2)$. This results in weak interactions involving lepton-quark decays and supports the Higgs mechanism for mass generation.

V. THE HIGGS MECHANISM IN $U(1,3)$

A. Embedding Higgs fields

Let $\phi_1(x)$, $\phi_2(x)$, $\phi_3(x)$ and $\phi_4(x)$ be four scalar fields involved in the Higgs mechanism. Under the $U(1,3)$ framework, it is expected that these scalar fields couple different components of the $\mathfrak{u}(1,3)$ multiplet and facilitate the spontaneous breaking of the $\text{SU}(2) \times U(1)$ symmetry down to a residual $\text{SU}(2)$ weak interaction and a $U(1)$ group identified with electromagnetism.

Firstly, a scalar field matrix $\Phi(x)$ is constructed by excluding the rotation/boost operators ($w_{1,c}$, $w_{2,c}$) and then by combining complementary generators of $U(1,3)$, which couple the lepton state $|l\rangle$ (associated with the time-like component) and the three color states $\{|r\rangle, |g\rangle, |b\rangle\}$ (associated with the spatial directions), multiplied by the four real scalar fields $\phi_1(x)$, $\phi_2(x)$, $\phi_3(x)$, and $\phi_4(x)$. The resulting scalar field matrix can be written in terms of four real matrices: two with diagonal elements, $M_1 := \sqrt{2}\Gamma_0 + \lambda_4$ and $M_2 := z_{\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow}^- - \lambda_4$, and other two purely off-diagonal matrices, $M_3 := i\lambda_2 - i\lambda_8$ and $M_4 := \lambda_1 + \lambda_7$. Using these, we define four versions of Φ , related to the interactions of $\Psi^\pm \leftrightarrow \Psi^\pm$ and

$\Psi^\pm \leftrightarrow \Psi^\mp$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{++} &:= \phi_1 M_1 + \phi_2 iM_2 + \phi_3 M_1 + \phi_4 iM_2 \\ \Phi_{--} &:= \phi_1 M_1 - \phi_2 iM_2 - \phi_3 M_1 + \phi_4 iM_2 \\ \Phi_{+-} &:= \phi_1 M_4 - \phi_2 iM_3 + \phi_3 M_2 - \phi_4 iM_1 \\ \Phi_{-+} &:= \phi_1 M_4 - \phi_2 iM_3 - \phi_3 M_2 + \phi_4 iM_1\end{aligned}\quad (31)$$

Eq. 31 produces four 4×4 matrix representation of $\Phi(x)$ with nonzero entries in the purely-color block and another nonzero element in the purely-lepton position, that is:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{++} &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_0^* & \phi_+ & \phi_0^* \\ 0 & \phi_+ & \phi_0 & \phi_+ \\ 0 & \phi_0^* & \phi_+ & \phi_0^* \end{pmatrix}, \Phi_{--} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_0^* & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_0 & \phi_+ & \phi_0 \\ 0 & \phi_+ & \phi_0^* & \phi_+ \\ 0 & \phi_0 & \phi_+ & \phi_0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \Phi_{+-} &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_+ & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_+ & \phi_0 & \phi_+ \\ 0 & \phi_0^* & \phi_+ & \phi_0^* \\ 0 & \phi_+ & \phi_0 & \phi_+ \end{pmatrix}, \Phi_{-+} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_+ & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_+ & \phi_0^* & \phi_+ \\ 0 & \phi_0^* & \phi_+ & \phi_0 \\ 0 & \phi_+ & \phi_0^* & \phi_+ \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}\quad (32)$$

where $\phi_+(x)$ and $\phi_0(x)$ are respectively the charged and the neutral components, conveniently defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_0(x) &= \phi_1(x) + i\phi_2(x), & \phi_+(x) &= \phi_3(x) + i\phi_4(x) \\ \phi_0^*(x) &= \phi_1(x) - i\phi_2(x), & \phi_+(x) &= -\phi_3(x) + i\phi_4(x).\end{aligned}\quad (33)$$

Then, the components of $\Phi(x)$ can be mapped to a Higgs field doublet:

$$H(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_+(x) \\ \phi_0(x) \end{pmatrix}\quad (34)$$

Here, the Higgs doublet transforms under a chosen $SU(2) \subset U(1,3)$ within the Φ structure (Eq. 31), ensuring that $H(x)$ encodes the correct representation of the symmetry-breaking dynamics. The main role of the Higgs field is played by the scalar fields ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 , which represent self-interactions in the spinor fields. These fields contribute to the symmetry-breaking process by introducing mass terms for gauge bosons. The fields ϕ_3 and ϕ_4 complete the definition of $\Phi(x)$ in Eq. 31, coupling the time-like lepton state $|l\rangle$ and the space-like color states $|r\rangle, |g\rangle, |b\rangle$. These interactions are mediated by the non-compact generators of $\mathfrak{su}(1,3)$, providing a bridge between time-like and spatial elements.

All scalar fields involved in the Higgs mechanism could, in principle, be defined independently. However, their embedding into the symmetry algebra via the non-compact generators of $\mathfrak{su}(1,3)$ adds crucial value, allowing consistency within the group structure and defining their interactions with spinors and gauge bosons. This embedding enhances the theoretical framework by offering a consistent mathematical structure for symmetry breaking and interaction mediation, while also extending the standard Higgs mechanism.

B. Yukawa-like Lagrangian

In our framework, the spinorial states $|\Psi^-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\uparrow, \downarrow, \uparrow, \downarrow)$ and $|\Psi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\downarrow, \uparrow, \downarrow, \uparrow)$ [57] are organized similarly to the Dirac spinor multiplet $\Psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3, \psi_4)$. These spinorial states represent distinct configurations of particle flavors in the multiplet. If the left-hand and right-hand projectors are applied as $\Psi_L^\pm := P_L \Psi^\pm$ and $\Psi_R^\pm := P_R \Psi^\pm$, the interaction between $\Phi(x)$ and the spinors is given by terms of the form:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yukawa-like}} = -\langle \bar{\Psi}_L^\pm | \Phi_{\pm\pm} y | \Psi_R^\pm \rangle + \langle \bar{\Psi}_L^\mp | \Phi_{\pm\mp} y | \Psi_R^\pm \rangle + \text{h.c.} \quad (35)$$

Here, $\bar{\Psi}$ represents the Dirac adjoint of Ψ , ensuring proper Lorentz invariance of the interaction terms. The matrix $y = \text{diag}(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)$ contains the Yukawa coupling constants, which control the strength of the interaction for each particle flavor. The Hermitian conjugate (h.c.) term ensures the Lagrangian is real, maintaining consistency with physical observables. This formulation highlights the interplay between the scalar field and the spinorial multiplet, representing a fundamental mechanism for mass generation within the framework.

For instance, the well-known first family of the Standard Model includes two flavors of leptons (ν and e) and other two for quarks (u , d), corresponding to the states \uparrow and \downarrow , respectively. Then, one can identify $|\Psi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e, u, d, u)$ and $|\Psi^-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\nu, d, u, d)$ and consequently Eq. 35 can be compactly summarized by using a unique pseudo[58]-multiplet $|\hat{\Psi}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\nu, e, u, d)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yukawa-like}} &= -\langle \bar{\hat{\Psi}}_L | \hat{\Phi}(x) \hat{y} | \hat{\Psi}_R \rangle + \text{h.c.}, \\ \hat{\Phi}(x) &:= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_0^* & \phi_+ & 0 & 0 \\ -\phi_+^* & \phi_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \phi_0^* & \phi_+ \\ 0 & 0 & -\phi_+^* & \phi_0 \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}\quad (36)$$

where $\hat{y} = \text{diag}(y_\nu, y_e, y_u, y_d)$. Now, both the lepton and color regions are 2×2 blocks. Moreover, it is assumed the possible existence of the sterile neutrino ν_R [59].

The Lagrangian describing the Higgs field and its interactions is given by $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Higgs}} = |D_\mu H|^2 - V(H)$, where the covariant derivative D_μ introduces the well-known gauge interactions $D_\mu H = \partial_\mu H - igW_\mu H - ig'B_\mu H$ and the potential $V(H)$ can be constructed to allow spontaneous symmetry breaking, as usual, $V(H) = -\mu^2 H^\dagger H + \lambda(H^\dagger H)^2$. At the minimum of this potential, the Higgs doublet acquires a nonzero vacuum expectation value (VEV) such as $\langle H \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, v)$, where $v = \sqrt{-\mu^2/\lambda}$ represents the energy scale at which the $U(1,3)$ symmetry breaks down to a residual $U(1)_\Gamma$. The charged component ϕ_+ vanishes in vacuum, preserving charge conservation.

This standard formulation, now with embedded scalars in Φ , ensures that the Higgs mechanism provides masses to gauge bosons associated with broken symmetry generators while maintaining gauge invariance in the underlying framework. Additionally, the resulting scalar poten-

tial $V(H)$ naturally facilitates the emergence of a single physical scalar field, the Higgs boson, after symmetry breaking.

The spontaneous breaking of symmetry gives masses to the gauge bosons associated with the broken generators. Specifically, the ladder operators $T_r^\pm, T_g^\pm, T_b^\pm$, analogous to the W^\pm bosons in the Standard Model, acquire masses via their interactions with the Higgs VEV:

$$m_W = \frac{1}{2}gv. \quad (37)$$

These operators mediate transitions between the lepton state $|l\rangle$ and the color states $\{|r\rangle, |g\rangle, |b\rangle\}$, forming the foundation for charged current interactions. Their mass terms arise from the interaction:

$$g^2 v h W_\mu^+ W^{-\mu}, \quad (38)$$

where $h(x)$, the physical Higgs boson, represents fluctuations around the VEV:

$$H(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v + h(x) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (39)$$

The Goldstone bosons corresponding to the broken symmetry generators are absorbed by the W^\pm and Z bosons [60], giving them mass while leaving one physical scalar degree of freedom, $h(x)$, in the spectrum. The Φ field is finally

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{++} &= \Phi_{--} = \phi_1 \cdot (\sqrt{2}\Gamma_0 + \lambda_4) \\ \Phi_{+-} &= \Phi_{-+} = \phi_1 \cdot (\lambda_1 + \lambda_7) \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where $\phi_1 = v + h(x)$ is the residual Higgs field, and $\{\lambda_a\}_{a \in \{1,4,7\}}$ encodes redundant information relative to $\sqrt{2}\Gamma_0$. This means that the information on spinor interactions encoded by $\Phi_{\pm\mp}$ is already embedded in the sub-blocks of $\Phi_{\pm\pm}$. Consequently, $\Phi_{\pm\pm} \sim \sqrt{2}\Gamma_0$ becomes the primary representative of the Higgs field in the $U(1,3)$ framework. Except for color rotations, the Higgs boson would be determined by mixing the B^μ boson (associated to Γ_0).

C. Prediction of the Weinberg angle

In this section, we provide details on a direct prediction of the weak mixing angle, or Weinberg angle, without considering quantum corrections. Theoretically, the Weinberg angle θ_W is related to the gauge coupling constants through:

$$\sin^2 \theta_W = \frac{g'^2}{g^2 + g'^2}, \quad (41)$$

where g' and g are the coupling constants for $U(1)$ and $SU(2)$, respectively.

Using the relationship between the coupling constants and their normalization, we factorize $g' = f_{U(1)} \cdot g_{U(1,3)}$

and $g = f_{SU(2)} \cdot g_{U(1,3)}$. Consequently, the Weinberg angle can also be expressed in terms of $f_{U(1)}$ and $f_{SU(2)}$. It should be noted that the contributions from the configurations $+$ and $-$ are totally symmetric and produce exactly the same result. Considering only the $SU(2)$ basis w_b , the maximum expected value for the weak mixing angle is obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} \sin^2 \theta_W^{\max} &= \frac{f_{U(1)}^2}{f_{U(1)}^2 + f_{SU(2)}^2} = \frac{\text{Tr}(\Gamma_0 \Gamma_0)}{\text{Tr}(\Gamma_0 \Gamma_0) + \sum_{a=1}^3 \text{Tr}(w_a^\mu w_a^\mu)} = \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2}} = \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Thus, the predicted value of $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.25$ is reasonably close to the experimentally measured value of 0.231 (Table I, Fig. 1). The discrepancy arises from the additional contributions from the $U(1,3)$ structure that might modify the coupling constants.

Assuming complete mixing of the colors in lepton-lepton interactions, the effective basis of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ is a $\frac{1}{3}$ -weighted mixture of w_l (Eq. 30) and the effective Weinberg angle is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sin^2 \theta_W^{\text{eff}} &= \frac{\text{Tr}(\Gamma_0 \Gamma_0)}{\text{Tr}(\Gamma_0 \Gamma_0) + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{a=1}^9 \text{Tr}(w_l^\mu w_l^\mu)} = \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3}(8 \cdot \frac{1}{2} + 1)} = \frac{6}{26} \approx 0.2308. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Here, all the basis elements of w_l contribute $\frac{1}{2} = \text{Tr}(w_l^\mu w_l^\mu)$ except $z_{\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow}^\pm$, which contributes 1. The resulting value of 0.2308 is in slight tension (between 0.5 and 2 σ) with respect to the empirical estimations based on lepton-lepton experiments.

On the other hand, the minimum expected value when two colors are mixed would be

$$\sin^2 \theta_W^{\min} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(5 \cdot \frac{1}{2} + 1)} = \frac{2}{9} \approx 0.2222. \quad (44)$$

This result is more aligned to the experiments based on weak interactions of quark-lepton processes (Table I lower), but is in tension between 3 and 4 σ with the best empirical estimations.

Consequently, exploring the role of quantum corrections is necessary to explore if quantum corrections could reduce the theoretical tension between colored gravity and the experimental value of the Weinberg angle.

VI. FINAL REMARKS

The complexification of the Minkowskian metric for spinor fields leads to a natural $U(1,3)$ symmetry that perturbs the spacetime generators. The gauge potential for the $U(1,3)$ group can be decomposed as $A^\mu = A_a^\mu \ell^a$, where ℓ^a are the 16 generators of $U(1,3)$, and A_a^μ are the corresponding gauge fields. The embedding of standard $SU(3)$ into $U(1,3)$ is achieved by placing their generators in the spatial 3×3 blocks of the 4×4 matrix structure.




FIG. 1. Comparison of theoretical predictions of $\sin^2 \theta_W$ from colored gravity (dashed lines) and other GUT proposals (dotted lines), with respect empirical estimations (Table I) represented in filled blue circles and uncertainty levels (horizontal lines). The SM prediction is added with its estimated uncertainty range (vertical light blue band at 0.23152 ± 0.00010). The $U(1, 3)$ -based predictions are 0.2308 (light green dashed line), which is separated on 0.5 to 2σ with respect to observations, and about 0.222 (yellow dashed line) that is in 3σ tension. Maximum prediction corresponds to $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.25$ (dark green dashed line).

On the other hand, the embedding of $U(1) \times SU(2)$ is not direct and requires interactions between specific subgroups and symmetry generators.

From the resulting hierarchy, the purely color generators of $SU(3) \subset SU(1, 3)$ do not directly interact with the lepton subspace. Only during the procedure of the $SU(2)$ operators $\{w_{i,c}^\pm\}_{i=0}^2$, the color generators play a role of spatial rotations by balancing the disequilibrium caused by the lepton-color interactions.

The Higgs mechanism in $SU(1, 3)$ extends the SM by embedding its symmetry-breaking structure into the larger gauge group. In this extended framework, the four scalar fields $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_4$ are organized into a 4×4 representation of the Higgs doublet that facilitates the breaking of symmetry of the electroweak interaction. The non-compact generators mediate the couplings necessary for this breaking, with the neutral component acquiring a VEV to drive the process. This approach retains the essential features of the standard Higgs mechanism while integrating it within a broader theoretical structure.

The predicted weak mixing angle $\sin^2 \theta_W$ is aligned to two different cases: a purely lepton-lepton interaction (yielding a value statistically compatible with 0.231), and a hadron-lepton interaction, which shows a tension of about 3σ tension with the best experimental observations. Exploring quantum corrections could further refine these predictions and potentially resolve the discrepancies.

This paper represents the initial development of a novel GUT proposal, with a natural (spacetime-related) Lie

algebra capable of integrating gravity and other fundamental forces. The new theoretical framework could solve some existing open issues. For example, unlike the $SU(5)$ model, proton stability is naturally expected in the $SU(1, 3)$ framework due to the lepton-exclusion properties of its compact $SU(3)$ subgroup.

Future research directions include exploring quantum corrections to the Weinberg angle, better understanding flavor family mixing, and further embedding the Standard Model's interactions into this extended framework. Additionally, the quantization of the spacetime metric, a critical challenge for any GUT when including gravity, will be evaluated in light of our recent theoretical advancements. These explorations will also investigate connections to quantum perspectives, such as the dynamics of causal structures and the implications of quantum non-locality [61]. The quantization of spacetime itself and its integration into a comprehensive theory remain a pivotal challenge for this approach, paving the way for a deeper understanding of the nature's fundamental forces.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author acknowledges the invaluable support of R.O. Campoamor-Stursberg and A. Rodríguez-Abella for reviewing the algebraic structures used in this paper. Their suggestions and encouragements have made this work possible.

- [1] S. Collaboration (SLD), The Final SLD results for A(L R) and A(lepton), in *30th International Conference on High-Energy Physics* (2000) pp. 637–640, arXiv:hep-ex/0102021.
- [2] G. P. Zeller, K. S. McFarland, T. Adams, and et al., Precise determination of electroweak parameters in neutrino-nucleon scattering, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 091802 (2002).
- [3] C. Collaboration (CMS Collaboration), Measurement of the weak mixing angle with the drell-yan process in proton-proton collisions at the lhc, *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 112002 (2011).
- [4] L. Collaboration, Measurement of the forward-backward asymmetry in $z/\gamma \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays and determination of the effective weak mixing angle, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2015**, 190 (2015).
- [5] CDF and D. Collaborations (CDF Collaboration and D0 Collaboration), Tevatron run ii combination of the effective leptonic electroweak mixing angle, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 112007 (2018).
- [6] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb), Measurement of the effective leptonic weak mixing angle, *JHEP* **12**, 026, arXiv:2410.02502 [hep-ex].
- [7] A. Ferroglia and A. Sirlin, Comparison of the standard theory predictions of mw and $\sin 2\theta_{\text{eff}}$ with their experimental values, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 037501 (2013).
- [8] V. M. Abazov, B. Abbott, Acharya, and et al. (D0 Collaboration), Measurement of the effective weak mixing angle in pp to $z/\gamma \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ events, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 041801 (2015).
- [9] K. Kumar, S. Mantry, W. Marciano, and P. Souder, Low-energy measurements of the weak mixing angle, *Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science* **63**, 237 (2013).
- [10] H. Davoudiasl, H.-S. Lee, and W. J. Marciano, Low Q^2 weak mixing angle measurements and rare higgs decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 055005 (2015).
- [11] P. Mohr, D. Newell, B. Taylor, and E. Tiesinga, Codata recommended values of the fundamental physical constants: 2022 (2024), arXiv:2409.03787 [hep-ph].
- [12] A. C. et al., Precision electroweak measurements on the z resonance, *Physics Reports* **427**, 257 (2006).
- [13] A GUT proposal must have at least rank 4. Recall that the rank of a Lie group is equal to the number of diagonal (mutually commuting) generators in its Cartan subalgebra. For example, the rank $SU(1, p) = \text{rank } SU(1 + p) = p$, while the factor $U(1)$ embedded in $U(1, 3)$ provides an additional diagonal matrix (the unitary), so finally the rang of $U(1, 3)$ is 4.
- [14] M. Singer, Effective weinberg angle for weak-electromagnetic gauge theories, *Phys. Rev. D* **26**, 1692 (1982).
- [15] N. Oshimo, Realistic model for su(5) grand unification, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 075011 (2009).
- [16] G. Senjanović and M. Zantedeschi, Minimal su(5) theory on the edge: The importance of being effective, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 095009 (2024).
- [17] V. Basiouris, M. Crispim Romão, S. F. King, and G. K. Leontaris, Modular family symmetry in fluxed guts, *Phys. Rev. D* **111**, 015012 (2025).
- [18] S. Dimopoulos, D. E. Kaplan, and N. Weiner, Electroweak unification into a five-dimensional su(3) at a tev, *Physics Letters B* **534**, 124 (2002).
- [19] K. Babu, R. N. Mohapatra, and A. Thapa, Predictive dirac neutrino spectrum with strong cp solution in $su(5)_l \times su(5)_r$ unification, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2024**, 10.1007/JHEP04(2024)049 (2024).
- [20] M. Einhorn and D. Jones, The weak mixing angle and unification mass in supersymmetric su(5), *Nuclear Physics B* **196**, 475 (1982).
- [21] C. S. Aulakh and S. K. Garg, The new minimal supersymmetric gut: Spectra, rg analysis and fermion fits, *Nuclear Physics B* **857**, 101 (2012).
- [22] V. M. Red’Kov, A. A. Bogush, and N. Tokarevskaya, On parametrization of the linear $gl(4, c)$ and unitary $su(4)$ groups in terms of dirac matrices, *SIGMA* **021**, 46 (2008).
- [23] C. Castro, A clifford $cl(5, c)$ unified gauge field theory of conformal gravity, maxwell and $u(4) \times u(4)$ yang-mills in 4d, *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras* **22**, 1 (2012).
- [24] J. A. R. Cembranos and P. Diez-Valle, Double $su(4)$ model (2019), arXiv:1903.03209 [hep-ph].
- [25] E. Marsch and Y. Narita, Fermion unification model based on the intrinsic $su(8)$ symmetry of a generalized dirac equation, *Frontiers in Physics* **3**, 10.3389/fphy.2015.00082 (2015).
- [26] R. Barbieri and A. . Tesi, B-decay anomalies in pati-salam $su(4)$, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78**, 193 (2018).
- [27] V. V. Khrushchev, Confinement and $u(1,3)$ symmetry of color particles in a complex phase space (2004), arXiv:hep-ph/0311346 [hep-ph].
- [28] A. E. Margolin and V. I. Strazhev, Yang-mills field quantization with non-compact semi-simple gauge group, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **07**, 2747 (1992), <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217732392002214>.
- [29] A. A. Tseytfin, On gauge theories for non-semisimple groups, *Nuclear Physics B* **450**, 231 (1995).
- [30] R. Monjo, A. Rodriguez-Abella, and R. Campoamor-Stursberg, From colored gravity to electromagnetism, *General Relativity and Gravitation* **56**, 10.1007/s10714-024-03307-8 (2024).
- [31] V. C. de Andrade and J. G. Pereira, Gravitational lorentz force and the description of the gravitational interaction, *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, 4689 (1997).
- [32] M. Krššák, R. J. van den Hoogen, J. G. Pereira, C. G. Böhrmer, and A. A. Coley, Teleparallel theories of gravity: illuminating a fully invariant approach, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **36**, 183001 (2019).
- [33] Y.-Q. Gu, Space-time geometry and some applications of clifford algebra in physics, *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras* **28**, 79 (2018).
- [34] Y.-Q. Gu, Space-time geometry and some applications of clifford algebra in physics, *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras* **28**, 37 (2018).
- [35] Y. Friedman, A physically meaningful relativistic description of the spin state of an electron, *Symmetry* **13**, 10.3390/sym13101853 (2021).
- [36] J. M. Chappell, J. G. Hartnett, N. Iannella, A. Iqbal, D. L. Berkahn, and D. Abbott, A new derivation of the minkowski metric, *J. Phys. Commun.* **7**, 065001 (2023).
- [37] This is equivalent to apply the unitary matrix $U_{\text{chiral}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} I & I \\ I & -I \end{pmatrix}$ to $\psi \mapsto \psi_{\text{chiral}} = U_{\text{chiral}} \psi$, and therefore $\psi_L = P_L U_{\text{chiral}} \psi$ and $\psi_R = P_R U_{\text{chiral}} \psi$.
- [38] According to [62, Proposition 5.6], such a reduction cor-

responds to a global section of the bundle of oriented orthonormal frames over M . Formally, this section exists if and only if

$$\frac{L^c(M) \times \text{GL}(4, \mathbb{C})/\text{SU}(1, 3)}{\text{GL}(4, \mathbb{C})} \rightarrow M,$$

where $\text{GL}(4, \mathbb{C})$ acts on $\text{GL}(4, \mathbb{C})/\text{SU}(1, 3)$ via left multiplication.

- [39] S. Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields: Volume 2, Modern Applications* (Cambridge University Press, 2005).
- [40] M. Fabbrichesi, C. M. Nieto, A. Tonero, and A. Ugoletti, Asymptotically safe $\text{su}(5)$ gut, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 095026 (2021).
- [41] J. Thierry-Mieg, Geometrical reinterpretation of Faddeev–Popov ghost particles and BRS transformations, *J. Mathem. Phys.* **21**, 2834 (1980).
- [42] A. Eichhorn, Faddeev-popov ghosts in quantum gravity beyond perturbation theory, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 124016 (2013).
- [43] Y. Itin, Maxwell-type behaviour from a geometrical structure, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **23**, 3361 (2006).
- [44] B. M. Pozetti, *Boundary maps and maximal representations of complex hyperbolic lattices in $SU(m, n)$* , Doctoral thesis, ETH Zurich, Zürich (2014).
- [45] J. C. Díaz-Ramos, M. Domínguez-Vázquez, and T. Hashinaga, Homogeneous lagrangian foliations on complex space forms, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **151**, 823 (2023), <http://xtsunxet.usc.es/carlos/research/2010.11877.pdf>.
- [46] We introduce the spacetime dependence of a spinor field as a phase-displacement operator $\exp(-i \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\tau})$ applied to the initial phase $\Psi_0(\mathbf{m})$.
- [47] J. Dressel, K. Y. Bliokh, and F. Nori, Spacetime algebra as a powerful tool for electromagnetism, *Physics Reports* **589**, 1 (2015), spacetime algebra as a powerful tool for electromagnetism, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1411.5002.pdf>.
- [48] L. Alfonsi, C. D. White, and S. Wikeley, Topology and wilson lines: global aspects of the double copy, *J. High Energ. Phys.* **2020**, 91, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2004.07181.pdf>.
- [49] Here, \mathbb{R} is regarded as a subspace of $\mathfrak{gl}(4, \mathbb{C})$ via the injection $a \mapsto a\mathbf{1}_4$.
- [50] R. Monteiro, D. O’Connell, and D. P. e. a. Veiga, Classical solutions and their double copy in split signature, *Journal of High Energy Physics* 10.1007/jhep05(2021)268 (2021).
- [51] To become familiar with this selection, one can consider the *isospin* to interpret the *up* and *down* states of the lepton element as the *neutrino* (ν) and the *electron* (e), respectively, while the states for the colors correspond to the *quark flavors*, up (u) and down (d).
- [52] For left-chiral fermions (e_L, u_L, d_L, u_L) , the weak hypercharge will be $Y_W = \frac{1}{2}(-1, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$ in this paper.
- [53] Following the same familiar example above, $|\Psi^+\rangle = (|\downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\rangle)_B$ corresponds to a proton ($(|\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\rangle)$) together with an electron ($(|\downarrow\rangle)$), while $|\Psi^-\rangle = (|\downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\rangle)_B$ is a neutron ($(|\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle)$) with a neutrino ($(|\uparrow\rangle)$).
- [54] In our case, the factor $\frac{1}{2}$ of the SM equation $Q = T_3 + \frac{1}{2}Y_W$ is absorbed by the definition of Y_W and the electric charge of $|\Psi^+\rangle$ is then $Q(|\Psi^+\rangle) = (-1, +2/3, -1/3, +2/3)$, as expected.
- [55] Notice that our initial configuration does not allow the action of T_g^\pm as the *green* position is not *up*.
- [56] Therefore, free proton does not decay in this theory. Moreover, the electron-proton configuration ($|\Psi^+\rangle$) is expected to be more stable than the neutrino-neutron configuration ($|\Psi^-\rangle$) due to the electric charge dipole of the first.
- [57] Now, with normalization factor $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ for convenient expression in the Lagrangian.
- [58] This is a pseudo-multiplet in the context of $\text{SU}(1, 3)$ theory because is using a base with two leptons instead of just one like in the natural base of this theory.
- [59] V. V. Barinov, S. N. Danshin, V. N. Gavrin, V. V. Gorbachev, D. S. Gorbunov, T. V. Ibragimova, Y. P. Kozlova, L. V. Kravchuk, V. V. Kuzminov, B. K. Lubsandorzhiev, Y. M. Malyshkin, I. N. Mirmov, A. A. Shikhin, E. P. Veretenkin, B. T. Cleveland, H. Ejiri, S. R. Elliott, I. Kim, R. Massarczyk, D. Frekers, W. C. Haxton, V. A. Matveev, G. V. Trubnikov, J. S. Nico, A. L. Petelin, V. A. Tarasov, A. I. Zvir, R. G. H. Robertson, D. Sinclair, and J. F. Wilkerson, Search for electron-neutrino transitions to sterile states in the best experiment, *Phys. Rev. C* **105**, 065502 (2022).
- [60] L. c. v. Gráf, M. Malinský, T. Mede, and V. Susič, One-loop pseudo-goldstone masses in the minimal $\text{so}(10)$ higgs model, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 075007 (2017).
- [61] E. Castro-Ruiz, F. Giacomini, and i. c. v. Brukner, Dynamics of quantum causal structures, *Phys. Rev. X* **8**, 011047 (2018).
- [62] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, *Foundations of Differential Geometry* No. v. 1 (Interscience Publishers, 1963).
- [63] I. Sahin, Zero-mass limit of a dirac spinor with general spin orientation, *European Journal of Physics* **37**, 065404 (2016).
- [64] C. Soo and H.-L. Yu, General Relativity without paradigm of space-time covariance, and resolution of the problem of time, *Progr. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2014**, 013E01 (2014), <https://academic.oup.com/ptep/article-pdf/2014/1/013E01/4431969/ptt109.pdf>.