

A SECOND-ORDER METHOD ON GRADED MESHES FOR FRACTIONAL LAPLACIAN VIA RIESZ FRACTIONAL DERIVATIVE WITH A SINGULAR SOURCE TERM

MINGHUA CHEN*, JIANXING HAN†, JIANKANG SHI‡, AND FAN YU§

Abstract. The high-order numerical analysis for fractional Laplacian via the Riesz fractional derivative, under the low regularity solution, has presented significant challenges in the past decades. To fill in this gap, we design a grid mapping function on graded meshes to analyse the local truncation errors, which are *far less than* second-order convergence at the boundary layer. To restore the second-order global errors, we construct an appropriate right-preconditioner for the resulting matrix algebraic equation. We prove that the proposed scheme achieves second-order convergence on graded meshes even if the source term is singular or hypersingular. Numerical experiments illustrate the theoretical results. The proposed approach is applicable for multidimensional fractional diffusion equations, gradient flows and nonlinear equations.

Key words. Fractional Laplacian via Riesz fractional derivative, Difference-quadrature method, Singular source term, Stability and convergence, Graded meshes

MSC codes. 26A33, 26A30, 65L20

1. Introduction. Fractional Laplacian is a powerful tool in modeling phenomena for anomalous diffusion, which appears naturally in the α -stable Lévy process instead of the standard Brownian motion [1, 3, 19, 37, 26]. It can be found in many applications, such as porous media flow [13], image processing [18], biophysics [2]. In this work, we study a second-order difference-quadrature (DQ) scheme on graded meshes for the integral-differential version of the fractional Laplacian [4]

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(x) = f(x) & x \in \Omega, \\ u(x) = 0 & x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ is the integral-differential fractional Laplacian, in terms of the Riesz (left and right Riemann-Liouville) fractional derivative [1, 22, 25, 35], defined by

$$(1.2) \quad (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(x) = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} I^{2-\alpha} u(x) \quad \text{with} \quad 1 < \alpha < 2.$$

Note that the Riesz fractional integration can be realized in the form of the Riesz potential [34, eq. (1.30)], namely,

$$(1.3) \quad I^{2-\alpha} u(x) = \int_{\Omega} K(x-y)u(y)dy \quad \text{with} \quad K(x) = \frac{|x|^{1-\alpha}}{2 \cos((2-\alpha)\pi/2)\Gamma(2-\alpha)}.$$

The fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ can be defined in several equivalent ways [23, 25] on the whole space \mathbb{R}^n . For example, it can be defined as a pseudo-differential operator via the Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F}[(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u](\xi) = |\xi|^{\alpha} \mathcal{F}[u](\xi),$$

*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Gansu Key Laboratory of Applied Mathematics and Complex Systems, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, P.R. China (chenmh@lzu.edu.cn).

†School of Mathematics and Statistics, Gansu Key Laboratory of Applied Mathematics and Complex Systems, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, P.R. China (hanjx2023@lzu.edu.cn).

‡School of Mathematics and Computational Science, Xiangtan University, Xiangtan 411105, Hunan, People's Republic of China (shijk@xtu.edu.cn).

§Institute for Math & AI, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, P.R. China (yufan24@whu.edu.cn).

or in terms of the hypersingular integral operator

$$(1.4) \quad (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(x) = C_{n,\alpha} \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha}} dy.$$

A key challenge in dealing with the fractional Laplacian arises from the fact that typical solutions u exhibit a weak singularity at the boundary. For example, the exact (Getoor) solution [19, 22, 27] is

$$u(x) = \frac{2^{-\alpha} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1+\alpha}{2})} [(x - a)(b - x)]^{\frac{\alpha}{2}},$$

when Ω is a bounded interval $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $f \equiv 1$. Moreover, the model equation (1.1) can involve a singular/hypersingular source term, even if the exact solution u is absolutely continuous [31, 32, 41]. These lead to a severe order reduction for many numerical methods [4, 7, 12, 22].

Among various techniques for approximating *integral* version of the fractional Laplacian (1.4), numerical quadrature with piecewise linear polynomials (collocation) is the simplest, since it only need a single integration and are much simpler to implement on a computer. In [22], Huang and Oberman first proposed a quadrature-based finite difference method for solving the one-dimensional (1D) integral fractional Laplacian. The method yields a numerical solution with an accuracy of $\mathcal{O}(h^{2-\alpha})$ in the discrete $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ norm, provided that the solution is sufficiently smooth. However, this accuracy reduces to $\mathcal{O}(h^{\alpha/2})$ in the case $f \equiv 1$, leading to a boundary singularity in u . Inspired by [22], $\mathcal{O}(|\log h| h^{2-\alpha/2})$ convergence for $0 < \alpha < 2$ and $\mathcal{O}(h^\alpha)$ for $\alpha \leq 4/3$, respectively, is proved [21] in the discrete $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ norm on graded meshes for $n = 1, 2$ by means of a discrete barrier function. Recently, $\mathcal{O}(h^{2-\alpha})$ convergence for $0 < \alpha < 1$ is given in [10] by collocation method on graded meshes, where it remains to be proved for $1 < \alpha < 2$. It seems that achieving a second-order accurate scheme using piecewise linear polynomials collocation method for fractional Laplacian (1.4) with $1 < \alpha < 2$ is not an easy task.

Nevertheless, there are already some important progress for numerically solving *integral-differential* version of the fractional Laplacian (1.2) with $1 < \alpha < 2$ via the Riesz (left and right Riemann-Liouville) fractional derivative. Take, for example, the finite difference method [6, 7, 8, 9, 16, 29, 30, 39, 33, 36, 40], finite element method [4, 5, 15, 17], and spectral method [14, 38]. However, these methods may suffer from a severe order reduction when the exact solution has a weak singularity at the boundary and the source term is singular.

How to design the second-order convergence for the model (1.1) under the low regularity solution has not been addressed in the literature. In this work, we combine the finite difference method and numerical quadrature, called the difference-quadrature (DQ) method, to approximate the *differential* and *integral* operator of the fractional Laplacian (1.2) on graded meshes. The DQ method was proposed by the authors for solving Riesz fractional diffusion equations on uniform mesh [11, 12] when the solution is sufficiently smooth with $u \in C^4(\Omega)$. However, the high-order numerical analysis [11, 12], under the low regularity solution, presents significant challenges in the past decades. To fill in this gap, we design a grid mapping function and a natural-skew ordering to handle local truncation errors, and construct an appropriate right-preconditioner for the resulting matrix algebraic equation. By utilizing the Hölder regularity of the data, we prove that the proposed scheme is second-order convergence on graded meshes even if the source term is hypersingular.

2. The main results. In this section, we describe the difference-quadrature scheme on graded meshes for fractional Laplacian (1.1) via the Riesz fractional derivative and state our main results about the convergence rate of the numerical solutions.

2.1. Difference-quadrature scheme. To keep the expressions simple below we assume we are on the interval $\Omega = (0, 2T)$, but everything can be shifted to an arbitrary interval (a, b) . Partition Ω by the graded mesh

$$\pi_h : 0 = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_{2N-1} < x_{2N} = 2T,$$

where we set

$$(2.1) \quad x_j = \begin{cases} T \left(\frac{j}{N}\right)^r & \text{for } j = 0, 1, \dots, N, \\ 2T - T \left(\frac{2N-j}{N}\right)^r & \text{for } j = N+1, N+2, \dots, 2N, \end{cases}$$

with a bounded grading exponent $r \geq 1$.

Set $h_j = x_j - x_{j-1}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2N$ and define $h := \frac{1}{N}$. Let S_h be the space of globally continuous piecewise linear functions on the mesh π_h that vanish at $x = 0, 2T$. In this space, we choose as a basis the standard hat functions $\phi_j(x)$ in [10]. Then, define the piecewise linear interpolant of the true solution u to be

$$(2.2) \quad \Pi_h u(x) := \sum_{j=1}^{2N-1} u(x_j) \phi_j(x).$$

We discretise (1.1) by replacing $u(x)$ by a continuous piecewise linear function $u_h(x) := \sum_{j=1}^{2N-1} u_j \phi_j(x)$, whose nodal values u_j are to be determined by collocation at each mesh point x_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2N-1$:

$$(2.3) \quad -D_h^\alpha u_h(x_i) := -D_h^2 I^{2-\alpha} u_h(x_i) = f(x_i) =: f_i$$

with the local truncation error

$$(2.4) \quad \tau_i := -D_h^\alpha \Pi_h u(x_i) - f(x_i) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, 2N-1.$$

Here the approximation of second order derivatives can be found in [24, eq. (1.14)]

$$(2.5) \quad D_h^2 u(x_i) := \frac{2}{h_i + h_{i+1}} \left(\frac{1}{h_i} u(x_{i-1}) - \left(\frac{1}{h_i} + \frac{1}{h_{i+1}} \right) u(x_i) + \frac{1}{h_{i+1}} u(x_{i+1}) \right).$$

Moreover, the Riesz fractional derivatives in (1.2) can be approximated by

$$(2.6) \quad -D_h^\alpha u_h(x_i) = -D_h^2 I^{2-\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{2N-1} u_j \phi_j(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{2N-1} a_{ij} u_j.$$

In particular, we have

$$(2.7) \quad -D_h^\alpha \Pi_h u(x_i) = - \sum_{j=1}^{2N-1} D_h^\alpha \phi_j(x_i) u(x_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{2N-1} a_{ij} u(x_j).$$

The discrete equation (2.3) can be rewritten as the matrix form

$$(2.8) \quad AU = F,$$

where the coefficient matrix A and the vectors U and F are defined by $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{(2N-1) \times (2N-1)}$, $U = (u_1, \dots, u_{2N-1})^T$ and $F = (f_1, \dots, f_{2N-1})^T$. In particular, the coefficient a_{ij} can be explicitly expressed as

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= -D_h^2 I^{2-\alpha} \phi_j(x_i) \\ &= -\frac{2}{h_i + h_{i+1}} \left(\frac{1}{h_i} \tilde{a}_{i-1,j} - \left(\frac{1}{h_i} + \frac{1}{h_{i+1}} \right) \tilde{a}_{i,j} + \frac{1}{h_{i+1}} \tilde{a}_{i+1,j} \right) \end{aligned}$$

with the quadrature coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}_{ij} &= I^{2-\alpha} \phi_j(x_i) \\ &= \frac{\kappa_\alpha}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)} \left(\frac{|x_i - x_{j-1}|^{3-\alpha}}{h_j} - \left(\frac{1}{h_j} + \frac{1}{h_{j+1}} \right) |x_i - x_j|^{3-\alpha} + \frac{|x_i - x_{j+1}|^{3-\alpha}}{h_{j+1}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and $\kappa_\alpha = \frac{1}{2 \cos((2-\alpha)\pi/2)} = -\frac{1}{2 \cos(\alpha\pi/2)} > 0$.

2.2. Regularity of the true solution. For any $\beta > 0$, we use the standard notation $C^\beta(\bar{\Omega})$, $C^\beta(\mathbb{R})$, etc., for Hölder spaces and their norms and seminorms. When no confusion is possible, we use the notation $C^\beta(\Omega)$ to refer to $C^{k,\beta'}(\Omega)$, where k is the greatest integer such that $k < \beta$ and where $\beta' = \beta - k$. The Hölder spaces $C^{k,\beta'}(\Omega)$ are defined as the subspaces of $C^k(\Omega)$ consisting of functions whose k -th order partial derivatives are locally Hölder continuous [20, p. 52] with exponent β' in Ω .

For convenience, we define

$$(2.10) \quad \delta(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) = \min\{x, 2T - x\}, \quad x \in (0, 2T),$$

and $\delta(x, y) = \min\{\delta(x), \delta(y)\}$. To bound the derivatives of u , we introduce the following δ -dependent Hölder norms.

DEFINITION 2.1 (δ -dependent Hölder norms [28]). *For any $\beta > 0$, write $\beta = k + \beta'$, where k is an integer and $\beta' \in (0, 1]$. Given $\sigma \geq -\beta$, define the seminorm*

$$|w|_\beta^{(\sigma)} = \sup_{x, y \in \Omega} \left(\delta(x, y)^{\beta+\sigma} \frac{|w^{(k)}(x) - w^{(k)}(y)|}{|x - y|^{\beta'}} \right).$$

The δ -dependent Hölder norm $\|\cdot\|_\beta^{(\sigma)}$ is defined as follows:

$$\|w\|_\beta^{(\sigma)} = \begin{cases} \sum_{l=0}^k \sup_{x \in \Omega} (\delta(x)^{l+\sigma} |w^{(l)}(x)|) + |w|_\beta^{(\sigma)}, & \sigma \geq 0, \\ \|w\|_{C^{-\sigma}(\bar{\Omega})} + \sum_{l=1}^k \sup_{x \in \Omega} (\delta(x)^{l+\sigma} |w^{(l)}(x)|) + |w|_\beta^{(\sigma)}, & -1 < \sigma < 0. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 2.2. [28, pp. 276-277] *Let $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and u be a solution of (1.1). Then, $u \in C^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $u/\delta^{\alpha/2} \in C^\sigma(\bar{\Omega})$ for some $\sigma \in (0, 1 - \alpha/2)$, $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ with*

$$\|u\|_{C^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u/\delta^{\alpha/2}\|_{C^\sigma(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$$

for some positive constant $C = C(\Omega, \alpha)$.

In particular, this result says that if $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, then

$$(2.11) \quad |u(x)| \leq C \delta(x)^{\alpha/2} \quad \text{for all } x \in \bar{\Omega}.$$

LEMMA 2.3. [28, Proposition 1.4] Let $\beta > 0$ be such that neither β nor $\beta + \alpha$ is an integer. Let $f \in C^\beta(\Omega)$ be such that $\|f\|_\beta^{(\alpha/2)} < \infty$, and $u \in C^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R})$ be a solution of (1.1). Then, $u \in C^{\beta+\alpha}(\Omega)$ and

$$\|u\|_{\beta+\alpha}^{(-\alpha/2)} \leq C \left(\|u\|_{C^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R})} + \|f\|_\beta^{(\alpha/2)} \right)$$

for some positive constant $C = C(\Omega, \alpha, \beta)$.

By definition of δ -dependent Hölder norms, we have following results obviously.

LEMMA 2.4. Let $\beta = 4 - \alpha + \gamma$ with $0 < \gamma < \alpha - 1$. Assume that $f \in L^\infty(\Omega) \cap C^\beta(\Omega)$ be such that $\|f\|_\beta^{(\alpha/2)} < \infty$, and u be a solution of (1.1). Then

$$\begin{aligned} |u^{(l)}(x)| &\leq C\delta(x)^{\alpha/2-l} \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega \text{ and } l = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \\ |f^{(l)}(x)| &\leq C\delta(x)^{-\alpha/2-l} \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega \text{ and } l = 0, 1, 2, \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant $C = C(\Omega, \alpha, \beta, f)$.

Proof. Our hypotheses imply that $2 < \beta < 3$, and $4 < \beta + \alpha < 5$. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\|u\|_{\beta+\alpha}^{(-\alpha/2)} \leq C \left(\|u\|_{C^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R})} + \|f\|_\beta^{(\alpha/2)} \right).$$

By Definition 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, it yields

$$\sum_{l=1}^4 \sup_{x \in \Omega} \left(\delta(x)^{l-\alpha/2} |u^{(l)}(x)| \right) \leq C \left(\|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|f\|_\beta^{(\alpha/2)} \right),$$

which is desired result $l = 1, 2, 3, 4$. The case $l = 0$ is covered by (2.11).

The second inequality can be obtained by Definition 2.1, namely,

$$\sum_{l=0}^2 \sup_{x \in \Omega} \left(\delta(x)^{l+\alpha/2} |f^{(l)}(x)| \right) \leq \|f\|_\beta^{(\alpha/2)}.$$

The proof is completed. \square

2.3. Main results. The main results of this paper consist of the following theorems, which will be proved in Section 3 and Section 4, respectively.

THEOREM 2.5 (Local Truncation Error). Let $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ and $f \in L^\infty(\Omega) \cap C^\beta(\Omega)$ be such that $\|f\|_\beta^{(\alpha/2)} < \infty$, where $\beta = 4 - \alpha + \gamma$ with $0 < \gamma < \alpha - 1$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau_i| &= | -D_h^\alpha \Pi_h u(x_i) - f(x_i) | \\ &\leq Ch^{\min\{\frac{r\alpha}{2}, 2\}} \delta(x_i)^{-\alpha} + C(r-1)h^2(T - \delta(x_i) + h_N)^{1-\alpha}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2N-1 \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant $C = C(\Omega, \alpha, \beta, r, f)$.

THEOREM 2.6 (Global Error). Let $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ and $f \in L^\infty(\Omega) \cap C^\beta(\Omega)$ be such that $\|f\|_\beta^{(\alpha/2)} < \infty$, where $\beta = 4 - \alpha + \gamma$ with $0 < \gamma < \alpha - 1$. Let u_i be the approximate solution of $u(x_i)$ computed by the discretization scheme (2.3). Then,

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq 2N-1} |u_i - u(x_i)| \leq Ch^{\min\{\frac{r\alpha}{2}, 2\}}$$

for some positive constant $C = C(\Omega, \alpha, \beta, r, f)$.

3. Local Truncation Error. For convenience, we use the notation \simeq , where $x \simeq y$ means that $cx \leq y \leq Cx$ for some positive constants c, C independent of h .

For $1 \leq j \leq 2N$, we define the combination of adjacent grid points as

$$(3.1) \quad y_j^\theta = (1 - \theta)x_{j-1} + \theta x_j, \quad \theta \in (0, 1).$$

Then, using the definition of grid points $\{x_j\}$ in (2.1), it follows that

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $h = \frac{1}{N}$ and $\delta(x_j)$ be defined by (2.10). Then we have*

$$h_j \simeq h_{j+1} \simeq h\delta(x_j)^{1-1/r}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2N - 1,$$

$$\delta(x_j) \simeq \delta(x_{j+1}) \simeq \delta(y_{j+1}^\theta), \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2N - 2.$$

We next give a detailed analysis of the local truncation error.

3.1. Proof of Theorem 2.5. The local truncation error (2.4) can be expressed

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau_i &= -D_h^2 I^{2-\alpha} \Pi_h u(x_i) + \frac{d^2}{dx^2} I^{2-\alpha} u(x_i) \\ &= D_h^2 I^{2-\alpha} (u - \Pi_h u)(x_i) - \left(D_h^2 - \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right) I^{2-\alpha} u(x_i). \end{aligned}$$

We estimate each component of this partition.

THEOREM 3.2. *There exists a constant C such that*

$$\left| \left(D_h^2 - \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right) I^{2-\alpha} u(x_i) \right| \leq Ch^2 \delta(x_i)^{-\alpha/2-2/r}.$$

Proof. Since $f \in C^2(\Omega)$ and $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} I^{2-\alpha} u(x) = f(x)$ for $x \in \Omega$, it implies $I^{2-\alpha} u \in C^4(\Omega)$. From Lemma A.1 in Appendix A, we have for $1 \leq i \leq 2N - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} - \left(D_h^2 - \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right) I^{2-\alpha} u(x_i) &= \frac{h_{i+1} - h_i}{3} f'(x_i) \\ &+ \frac{2}{h_i + h_{i+1}} \left(\frac{1}{h_i} \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} f''(y) \frac{(y - x_{i-1})^3}{3!} dy + \frac{1}{h_{i+1}} \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} f''(y) \frac{(y - x_{i+1})^3}{3!} dy \right). \end{aligned}$$

According to Lemmas 2.4, B.1 and B.2, the desired result is obtained. \square

Now we consider the first term of the local truncation error in (3.2), which we denote for simplicity

$$(3.3) \quad R_i := D_h^2 I^{2-\alpha} (u - \Pi_h u)(x_i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2N - 1.$$

We have derived the following results concerning the estimation of R_i including Theorems 3.3 and 3.4, which will be demonstrated in Subsection 3.3.

THEOREM 3.3. *For $1 \leq i < N/2$, there exists a constant C such that*

$$|R_i| \leq \begin{cases} Ch^2 x_i^{-\alpha/2-2/r}, & \alpha/2 - 2/r + 1 > 0, \\ Ch^2 (x_i^{-1-\alpha} \ln(i) + \ln(N)), & \alpha/2 - 2/r + 1 = 0, \\ Ch^{r\alpha/2+r} x_i^{-1-\alpha}, & \alpha/2 - 2/r + 1 < 0. \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 3.4. For $N/2 \leq i \leq N$, there exists a constant C such that

$$|R_i| \leq C(r-1)h^2(T-x_i+h_N)^{1-\alpha} + \begin{cases} Ch^2, & \alpha/2 - 2/r + 1 > 0, \\ Ch^2 \ln(N), & \alpha/2 - 2/r + 1 = 0, \\ Ch^{r\alpha/2+r}, & \alpha/2 - 2/r + 1 < 0. \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.5. Since the mesh (2.1) is symmetric at $x = T$, Theorems 3.3 and 3.4 can be extended to the case of $3N/2 < i \leq 2N - 1$ and $N \leq i \leq 3N/2$, respectively.

According to Theorems 3.2 to 3.4 and Remark 3.5, and using

$$\begin{aligned} h^2 x_i^{-\alpha/2-2/r} &\leq T^{\alpha/2-2/r} h^{\min\{\frac{r\alpha}{2}, 2\}} x_i^{-\alpha}, \\ h^{r\alpha/2+r} x_i^{-1-\alpha} &\leq T^{-1} h^{r\alpha/2} x_i^{-\alpha}, \\ h^r x_i^{-1} \ln(i) &= T^{-1} \frac{\ln(i)}{i^r} \leq T^{-1}, \quad h^r \ln(N) = \frac{\ln(N)}{N^r} \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

the proof of Theorem 2.5 is completed.

3.2. Grid mapping functions. In this subsection, we introduce the *natural-skew ordering* and *grid mapping functions*. From (1.3) and (3.3), we know that

$$(3.4) \quad I^{2-\alpha} (u - \Pi_h u) (x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{2N} \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} (u(y) - \Pi_h u(y)) K(x_i - y) dy = \sum_{j=1}^{2N} T_{ij}$$

with

$$(3.5) \quad T_{ij} = \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} (u(y) - \Pi_h u(y)) K(x_i - y) dy, \quad i = 0, \dots, 2N, \quad j = 1, \dots, 2N.$$

To estimate R_i more precisely, we define the *vertical difference quotients* of T_{ij}

$$(3.6) \quad V_{ij} = \frac{2}{h_i + h_{i+1}} \left(\frac{1}{h_i} T_{i-1,j} - \left(\frac{1}{h_i} + \frac{1}{h_{i+1}} \right) T_{i,j} + \frac{1}{h_{i+1}} T_{i+1,j} \right),$$

and the *skew difference quotients* of T_{ij}

$$(3.7) \quad S_{ij} = \frac{2}{h_i + h_{i+1}} \left(\frac{1}{h_i} T_{i-1,j-1} - \left(\frac{1}{h_i} + \frac{1}{h_{i+1}} \right) T_{i,j} + \frac{1}{h_{i+1}} T_{i+1,j+1} \right).$$

From (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$(3.8) \quad R_1 = \sum_{j=1}^3 V_{1,j} + \sum_{j=4}^{2N} V_{1,j} \quad \text{and} \quad R_2 = \sum_{j=1}^4 V_{2,j} + \sum_{j=5}^{2N} V_{2,j}.$$

Moreover, using (3.3)-(3.7), we can express R_i based on the natural-skew ordering, as shown in Figure 1:

$$(3.9) \quad R_i = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5 \quad \text{for} \quad 3 \leq i \leq N.$$

Here, $I_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} V_{ij}$, $I_3 = \sum_{j=k+1}^{m-1} S_{ij}$, $I_5 = \sum_{j=m+1}^{2N} V_{ij}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \frac{2}{h_i + h_{i+1}} \left(\frac{1}{h_{i+1}} (T_{i+1,k} + T_{i+1,k+1}) - \left(\frac{1}{h_i} + \frac{1}{h_{i+1}} \right) T_{i,k} \right), \\ I_4 &= \frac{2}{h_i + h_{i+1}} \left(\frac{1}{h_i} (T_{i-1,m} + T_{i-1,m-1}) - \left(\frac{1}{h_i} + \frac{1}{h_{i+1}} \right) T_{i,m} \right) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$(3.10) \quad k = \lceil i/2 \rceil, \quad m = \begin{cases} 2i, & 3 \leq i < N/2, \\ 2N - \lceil N/2 \rceil + 1, & N/2 \leq i \leq N. \end{cases}$$

Note that I_1 and I_5 along with V_{ij} as defined in (3.6), represent natural (vertical) ordering, while I_3 , along with S_{ij} as defined in (3.7), represents skew ordering.




FIG. 1. Natural-Skew ordering of R_i .

The complexity in estimating S_{ij} in (3.7) lies in the fact that the integral domains for $T_{i-1,j-1}$, $T_{i,j}$ and $T_{i+1,j+1}$ are distinct. We first normalize T_{ij} to the unit interval.

LEMMA 3.6. *For any $y \in (x_{j-1}, x_j)$, there exists*

$$\begin{aligned} T_{ij} &= \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} (u(y) - \Pi_h u(y)) K(x_i - y) dy \\ &= \int_0^1 -\frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{2} h_j^3 u''(y_j^\theta) K(x_i - y_j^\theta) d\theta \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{3!} h_j^4 K(x_i - y_j^\theta) (\theta^2 u'''(\eta_{j1}^\theta) - (1-\theta)^2 u'''(\eta_{j2}^\theta)) d\theta \end{aligned}$$

with $\eta_{j1}^\theta \in (x_{j-1}, y_j^\theta)$, $\eta_{j2}^\theta \in (y_j^\theta, x_j)$.

Proof. By (3.5) and Lemma A.2, the desired result is obtained. \square

To estimate the local truncation error more concisely, we construct the following grid mapping functions.

DEFINITION 3.7. *For $1 \leq i, j \leq 2N - 1$, we define the grid mapping functions*

$$(3.11) \quad y_{i,j}(x) = \begin{cases} (x^{1/r} + Z_{j-i})^r & i < N, j < N, \\ \frac{x^{1/r} - Z_i}{Z_1} h_N + x_N & i < N, j = N, \\ 2T - (Z_{2N-(j-i)} - x^{1/r})^r & i < N, j > N, \\ \left(\frac{Z_1}{h_N} (x - x_N) + Z_j \right)^r & i = N, j < N, \\ x & i = N, j = N, \end{cases}$$

and

$$y_{i,j}(x) = \begin{cases} 2T - \left(\frac{Z_1}{h_N} (2T - x - x_N) + Z_{2N-j} \right)^r & i = N, j > N, \\ (Z_{2N+j-i} - (2T - x)^{1/r})^r & i > N, j < N, \\ \frac{Z_{2N-j} - (2T - x)^{1/r}}{Z_1} h_N + x_N & i > N, j = N, \\ 2T - ((2T - x)^{1/r} - Z_{j-i})^r & i > N, j > N \end{cases}$$

with $Z_j := T^{1/r} \frac{j}{N}$.

Let us further define

$$(3.12) \quad h_{i,j}(x) = y_{i,j}(x) - y_{i,j-1}(x),$$

$$(3.13) \quad y_{i,j}^\theta(x) = (1 - \theta)y_{i,j-1}(x) + \theta y_{i,j}(x), \quad \theta \in (0, 1),$$

$$(3.14) \quad P_{i,j}^\theta(x) = (h_{i,j}(x))^3 K(x - y_{i,j}^\theta(x)) u''(y_{i,j}^\theta(x)),$$

$$(3.15) \quad Q_{i,j,l}^\theta(x) = (h_{i,j}(x))^l K(x - y_{i,j}^\theta(x)), \quad l = 3, 4.$$

Then, we can check that

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} y_{i,j}(x_{i-1}) &= x_{j-1}, & y_{i,j}(x_i) &= x_j, & y_{i,j}(x_{i+1}) &= x_{j+1}, \\ h_{i,j}(x_{i-1}) &= h_{j-1}, & h_{i,j}(x_i) &= h_j, & h_{i,j}(x_{i+1}) &= h_{j+1}, \\ y_{i,j}^\theta(x_{i-1}) &= y_{j-1}^\theta, & y_{i,j}^\theta(x_i) &= y_j^\theta, & y_{i,j}^\theta(x_{i+1}) &= y_{j+1}^\theta. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.14), (3.15) and Lemma 3.6, we can rewrite T_{ij} as

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{ij} &= \int_0^1 -\frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{2} P_{i,j}^\theta(x_i) d\theta \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{3!} Q_{i,j,4}^\theta(x_i) [\theta^2 u'''(\eta_{j,1}^\theta) - (1-\theta)^2 u'''(\eta_{j,2}^\theta)] d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.5), (3.7) and (3.17), for $1 \leq i \leq 2N - 1$, $2 \leq j \leq 2N - 1$, we have

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} S_{ij} &= \int_0^1 -\frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{2} D_h^2 P_{i,j}^\theta(x_i) d\theta \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \frac{\theta^3(1-\theta)}{3!} \frac{2}{h_i + h_{i+1}} \left(\frac{Q_{i,j,4}^\theta(x_{i+1}) u'''(\eta_{j+1,1}^\theta) - Q_{i,j,4}^\theta(x_i) u'''(\eta_{j,1}^\theta)}{h_{i+1}} \right) d\theta \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 \frac{\theta^3(1-\theta)}{3!} \frac{2}{h_i + h_{i+1}} \left(\frac{Q_{i,j,4}^\theta(x_i) u'''(\eta_{j,1}^\theta) - Q_{i,j,4}^\theta(x_{i-1}) u'''(\eta_{j-1,1}^\theta)}{h_i} \right) d\theta \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 \frac{\theta(1-\theta)^3}{3!} \frac{2}{h_i + h_{i+1}} \left(\frac{Q_{i,j,4}^\theta(x_{i+1}) u'''(\eta_{j+1,2}^\theta) - Q_{i,j,4}^\theta(x_i) u'''(\eta_{j,2}^\theta)}{h_{i+1}} \right) d\theta \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \frac{\theta(1-\theta)^3}{3!} \frac{2}{h_i + h_{i+1}} \left(\frac{Q_{i,j,4}^\theta(x_i) u'''(\eta_{j,2}^\theta) - Q_{i,j,4}^\theta(x_{i-1}) u'''(\eta_{j-1,2}^\theta)}{h_i} \right) d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

The following Lemmas 3.8 to 3.12 about the grid mapping functions will be used in next subsection, which are proved in Appendix C.

LEMMA 3.8. *For any $\xi \in (x_{i-1}, x_{i+1})$, $2 \leq i, j \leq 2N - 2$, there exist*

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &\simeq x_i, \quad \delta(y_{i,j}(\xi)) \simeq \delta(x_j), \quad h_{i,j}(\xi) \simeq h_j, \\ |y_{i,j}(\xi) - \xi| &\simeq |x_j - x_i|, \quad |y_{i,j-1}(\xi) - \xi| \simeq |x_{j-1} - x_i|, \\ |y_{i,j}^\theta(\xi) - \xi| &= (1 - \theta)|y_{i,j-1}(\xi) - \xi| + \theta|y_{i,j}(\xi) - \xi| \simeq |y_j^\theta - x_i|. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3.9. *For any $\xi \in (x_{i-1}, x_{i+1})$, $2 \leq i \leq N, 2 \leq j \leq 2N - 2$, there exist*

$$\begin{aligned} |h'_{i,j}(\xi)| &\leq C(r-1)Z_1x_i^{1/r-1}\delta(x_j)^{1-2/r} \leq C(r-1)h_jx_i^{1/r-1}\delta(x_j)^{-1/r}, \\ |(y_{i,j}(\xi) - \xi)'| &\leq Cx_i^{-1}|x_j - x_i|. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3.10. *For any $\xi \in (x_{i-1}, x_{i+1})$, $2 \leq i \leq N, 2 \leq j \leq 2N - 2$, there exist*

$$|y''_{i,j}(\xi)| \leq C(r-1) \begin{cases} x_j^{-1/r}x_i^{1/r-2}|x_j - x_i|, & i < N, j < N, \\ x_N^{1-1/r}x_i^{1/r-2}, & i < N, j = N, \\ \delta(x_j)^{1-2/r}x_i^{1/r-2}x_N^{1/r}, & i < N, j > N, \\ \delta(x_j)^{1-2/r}x_N^{2/r-2}, & i = N, j \neq N, \\ 0 & i = N, j = N. \end{cases}$$

For $2 \leq i \leq N, 3 \leq j \leq 2N - 2$, there exist

$$|h''_{i,j}(\xi)| \leq C(r-1) \begin{cases} Z_1x_i^{1/r-2}x_j^{-2/r}(|x_j - x_i| + x_j), & i < N, j < N, \\ x_i^{1/r-2}x_N^{1-1/r}, & i < N, j = N, N+1, \\ Z_1x_i^{1/r-2}\delta(x_j)^{1-3/r}x_N^{1/r}, & i < N, j > N+1, \\ Z_1x_N^{2/r-2}\delta(x_j)^{1-3/r}, & i = N, j \neq N, N+1, \\ x_N^{-1}, & i = N, j = N, N+1. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 3.11. *Let $P_{i,j}^\theta(x_i)$ be defined by (3.14) and the difference quotient operator D_h^2 be defined by (2.5). Then we have*

Case 1. *For $3 \leq i < N, \lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil + 1 \leq j \leq \min\{2i - 1, N - 1\}$, there exists*

$$|D_h^2 P_{i,j}^\theta(x_i)| \leq Ch_j^3 |y_j^\theta - x_i|^{1-\alpha} x_i^{\alpha/2-4}.$$

Case 2. *For $N/2 \leq i \leq N, j = N, N+1$, there exists*

$$|D_h^2 P_{i,j}^\theta(\xi)| \leq Ch_j^3 |y_j^\theta - x_i|^{1-\alpha} + C(r-1)h_j^2 (|y_j^\theta - x_i|^{1-\alpha} + h_j |y_j^\theta - x_i|^{-\alpha}).$$

Case 3. *For $N/2 \leq i \leq N, N+2 \leq j \leq 2N - \lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil$, there exists*

$$|D_h^2 P_{i,j}^\theta(\xi)| \leq Ch_j^3 (|y_j^\theta - x_i|^{1-\alpha} + (r-1)|y_j^\theta - x_i|^{-\alpha}).$$

LEMMA 3.12. *Let $Q_{i,j,l}^\theta(x_i)$ be defined by (3.15). Then we have for $2 \leq i \leq N, 2 \leq j \leq 2N - 2, l = 3, 4$, there exist*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{Q_{i,j,l}^\theta(x_{i+1})u^{(l-1)}(\eta_{j+1}^\theta) - Q_{i,j,l}^\theta(x_i)u^{(l-1)}(\eta_j^\theta)}{h_{i+1}} \right| \\ &\leq Ch_j^l |y_j^\theta - x_i|^{1-\alpha} x_i^{-1} \delta(x_j)^{\alpha/2-l+1-1/r} (x_i^{1/r} + \delta(x_j)^{1/r}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{Q_{i,j,l}^\theta(x_i)u^{(l-1)}(\eta_j^\theta) - Q_{i,j,l}^\theta(x_{i-1})u^{(l-1)}(\eta_{j-1}^\theta)}{h_i} \right| \\ & \leq Ch_j^l |y_j^\theta - x_i|^{1-\alpha} x_i^{-1} \delta(x_j)^{\alpha/2-l+1-1/r} (x_i^{1/r} + \delta(x_j)^{1/r}) \end{aligned}$$

with $\eta_j^\theta \in (x_{j-1}, x_j)$.

3.3. Error analysis of R_i . In this subsection, we estimate the first term of the local truncation error R_i in (3.3) through (3.8) and (3.9). We denote

$$(3.19) \quad K_y(x) := K(x-y) = \frac{\kappa_\alpha}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} |x-y|^{1-\alpha}, \quad 1 < \alpha < 2,$$

where the kernel function $K(x)$ is given in (1.3) and κ_α is given in (2.9).

LEMMA 3.13. Let $I_5 = \sum_{j=m+1}^{2N} V_{ij}$ be defined by (3.9). Then we have
Case 1. For $1 \leq i < N/2$ and $m = \max\{2i, 3\}$, there exists

$$\sum_{j=m+1}^{2N} |V_{ij}| \leq Ch^2 x_i^{-\alpha/2-2/r} + \begin{cases} Ch^2, & \alpha/2 - 2/r + 1 > 0, \\ Ch^2 \ln(N), & \alpha/2 - 2/r + 1 = 0, \\ Ch^{r\alpha/2+r}, & \alpha/2 - 2/r + 1 < 0. \end{cases}$$

Case 2. For $N/2 \leq i \leq N$ and $m = 2N - \lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil + 1$, there exists

$$\sum_{j=m+1}^{2N} |V_{ij}| \leq \begin{cases} Ch^2, & \alpha/2 - 2/r + 1 > 0, \\ Ch^2 \ln(N), & \alpha/2 - 2/r + 1 = 0, \\ Ch^{r\alpha/2+r}, & \alpha/2 - 2/r + 1 < 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $1 \leq i < N/2$, $m+1 \leq j \leq 2N$ with $m = \max\{2i, 3\}$, using (3.5), (3.6), (3.19), Lemmas A.3 and B.3, we have

$$|V_{ij}| = \left| \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} (u(y) - \Pi_h u(y)) D_h^2 K_y(x_i) dy \right| \leq Ch^2 \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} \delta(y)^{\alpha/2-2/r} |x_i - y|^{-1-\alpha} dy.$$

Since $y \geq x_{j-1} \geq x_{2i}$, $y - x_i \simeq y$, and $x_i \simeq x_{2i}$, it yields

$$\sum_{j=m+1}^N |V_{ij}| \leq Ch^2 \int_{x_{2i}}^{x_N} y^{-\alpha/2-2/r-1} dy \leq Ch^2 x_i^{-\alpha/2-2/r}.$$

On the other hand, since $y - x_i \simeq T$ if $y \geq x_N = T$, there exist

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=N+1}^{2N-1} |V_{ij}| & \leq CT^{-1-\alpha} h^2 \int_{x_N}^{x_{2N-1}} (2T-y)^{\alpha/2-2/r} dy \\ & \leq \begin{cases} \frac{C}{\alpha/2-2/r+1} T^{-\alpha/2-2/r} h^2, & \alpha/2 - 2/r + 1 > 0, \\ CrT^{-1-\alpha} h^2 \ln(N), & \alpha/2 - 2/r + 1 = 0, \\ \frac{C}{|\alpha/2-2/r+1|} T^{-\alpha/2-2/r} h^{r\alpha/2+r}, & \alpha/2 - 2/r + 1 < 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by Lemma A.4, one has

$$|V_{i,2N}| \leq CT^{-1-\alpha} h_{2N}^{\alpha/2+1} = CT^{-\alpha/2} h^{r\alpha/2+r}.$$

Then, the desired result in Case 1 is obtained. We can similarly prove for Case 2, the details are omitted here. \square

Immediately, we can calculate R_1, R_2 from (3.8).

LEMMA 3.14. *For $i = 1, 2$, we have*

$$|R_i| \leq Ch^2 x_i^{-\alpha/2-2/r} + \begin{cases} Ch^2, & \alpha/2 - 2/r + 1 > 0, \\ Ch^2 \ln(N), & \alpha/2 - 2/r + 1 = 0, \\ Ch^{r\alpha/2+r}, & \alpha/2 - 2/r + 1 < 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. According to (3.8), Lemmas 3.13 and B.4, the desired result is obtained. \square

For R_i with $3 \leq i \leq N$, the terms $\{I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4\}$ in (3.9) remain to be estimated.

LEMMA 3.15. *Let $I_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} V_{ij}$ be defined by (3.9). Then we have, for $3 \leq i \leq N, k = \lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil$,*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |V_{ij}| \leq \begin{cases} Ch^2 x_i^{-\alpha/2-2/r}, & \alpha/2 - 2/r + 1 > 0, \\ Ch^2 x_i^{-1-\alpha} \ln(i), & \alpha/2 - 2/r + 1 = 0, \\ Ch^{r\alpha/2+r} x_i^{-1-\alpha}, & \alpha/2 - 2/r + 1 < 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. According to (3.6), Lemmas A.4 and B.3, it yields

$$|V_{i1}| \leq C \int_0^{x_1} x_1^{\alpha/2} |x_i - y|^{-1-\alpha} dy \simeq x_1^{\alpha/2+1} x_i^{-1-\alpha} = T^{\alpha/2+1} h^{r\alpha/2+r} x_i^{-1-\alpha}.$$

Using Lemma A.3, Lemma B.3 and $y \leq x_{k-1} < 2^{-r} x_i, x_i - y \simeq x_i$, we have

$$|V_{ij}| \leq Ch^2 \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} y^{\alpha/2-2/r} x_i^{-1-\alpha} dy, \quad 2 \leq j \leq k-1,$$

and

$$\sum_{j=2}^{k-1} |V_{ij}| \leq Ch^{r\alpha/2+r} x_i^{-1-\alpha} + Ch^2 x_i^{-1-\alpha} \int_{x_1}^{x_{\lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil - 1}} y^{\alpha/2-2/r} dy.$$

Moreover we can check that

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_{\lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil - 1}} y^{\alpha/2-2/r} dy \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha/2-2/r+1} (2^{-r} x_i)^{\alpha/2-2/r+1}, & \alpha/2 - 2/r + 1 > 0, \\ \ln(2^{-r} x_i) - \ln(x_1), & \alpha/2 - 2/r + 1 = 0, \\ \frac{1}{|\alpha/2-2/r+1|} x_1^{\alpha/2-2/r+1}, & \alpha/2 - 2/r + 1 < 0. \end{cases}$$

The proof is completed. \square

Subsequently, we turn our attention to $I_3 = \sum_{j=k+1}^{m-1} S_{ij}$ with $m = 2i$ for $3 \leq i < N/2$ and $m = 2N - \lceil N/2 \rceil + 1$ for $N/2 \leq i \leq N$ in (3.10).

LEMMA 3.16. *Let $I_3 = \sum_{j=k+1}^{m-1} S_{ij}$ be defined by (3.9). Then we have*
Case 1. For $N/2 \leq i \leq N, m = 2N - \lceil N/2 \rceil + 1$, there exist

$$|S_{ij}| \leq C(h^3 + (r-1)h^2)(T - x_i + h_N)^{1-\alpha}, \quad j = N, N+1,$$

and

$$\sum_{j=N+2}^{m-1} |S_{ij}| \leq Ch^2 + C(r-1)h^2(T - x_i + h_N)^{1-\alpha}.$$

Case 2. For $3 \leq i \leq N-1$, $k = \lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil$, there exist

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^{\min\{m-1, N-1\}} |S_{ij}| \leq Ch^2 x_i^{-\alpha/2-2/r}, \quad \sum_{j=\lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil + 1}^{N-1} |S_{Nj}| \leq Ch^2 + C(r-1)h^2 h_N^{1-\alpha}.$$

Proof. Case 1: From (3.18), using $\theta(1-\theta)h_j \leq |y_j^\theta - x_i|$, Lemmas 3.1, 3.11 and 3.12, it yields

$$|S_{ij}| \leq C(h_j^3 + (r-1)h_j^2) \int_0^1 |y_j^\theta - x_i|^{1-\alpha} d\theta, \quad j = N, N+1$$

with $\int_0^1 |y_j^\theta - x_i|^{1-\alpha} dy \simeq (|x_j - x_i| + h_N)^{1-\alpha}$.

On the other hand, for $j \geq N+2$, $x_i \simeq x_j \simeq T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{ij}| &\leq Ch_j^2 \int_0^1 (|y_j^\theta - x_i|^{1-\alpha} + (r-1)|y_j^\theta - x_i|^{-\alpha}) h_j d\theta \\ &\leq Ch^2 \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} |y - x_i|^{1-\alpha} + (r-1)|y - x_i|^{-\alpha} dy. \end{aligned}$$

It implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=N+2}^{2N - \lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil} |S_{ij}| &= Ch^2 \int_{x_{N+1}}^{x_{2N - \lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil}} |y - x_i|^{1-\alpha} + (r-1)|y - x_i|^{-\alpha} dy \\ &\leq Ch^2 (T^{2-\alpha} + (r-1)(T - x_i + h_N)^{1-\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: for $3 \leq i \leq N-1$, $k+1 \leq j \leq \min\{m-1, N-1\}$, using Lemmas 3.1, 3.11 and 3.12, $x_i \simeq x_j$ and $h_i \simeq h_j$, we have

$$|S_{ij}| \leq Ch_j^2 x_i^{\alpha/2-4} \int_0^1 |y_j^\theta - x_i|^{1-\alpha} h_j d\theta = Ch^2 x_i^{\alpha/2-2-2/r} \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} |y - x_i|^{1-\alpha} dy,$$

$$\sum_{k+1}^{\min\{2i-1, N-1\}} |S_{ij}| \leq Ch^2 x_i^{\alpha/2-2-2/r} \int_{x_k}^{x_{\min\{2i-1, N-1\}}} |y - x_i|^{1-\alpha} dy \leq Ch^2 x_i^{-\alpha/2-2/r}.$$

We can similarly prove the last inequality by Case 1. The proof is completed. \square

Finally, we focus our error analysis on the terms I_2 and I_4 .

LEMMA 3.17. Let I_2, I_4 be defined by (3.9). Then we have

Case 1. For $3 \leq i \leq N$, $k = \lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil$, there exists

$$I_2 = \frac{2}{h_i + h_{i+1}} \left(\frac{1}{h_{i+1}} (T_{i+1,k} + T_{i+1,k+1}) - \left(\frac{1}{h_i} + \frac{1}{h_{i+1}} \right) T_{i,k} \right) \leq Ch^2 x_i^{-\alpha/2-2/r}.$$

Case 2. For $3 \leq i < N/2$, $m = 2i$, there exists

$$I_4 = \frac{2}{h_i + h_{i+1}} \left(\frac{1}{h_i} (T_{i-1,2i} + T_{i-1,2i-1}) - \left(\frac{1}{h_i} + \frac{1}{h_{i+1}} \right) T_{i,2i} \right) \leq Ch^2 x_i^{-\alpha/2-2/r}.$$

Case 3. For $N/2 \leq i \leq N$, $m = N - \lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil + 1$, there exists

$$I_4 = \frac{2}{h_i + h_{i+1}} \left(\frac{1}{h_i} (T_{i-1,m} + T_{i-1,m-1}) - \left(\frac{1}{h_i} + \frac{1}{h_{i+1}} \right) T_{i,m} \right) \leq Ch^2.$$

Proof. Since

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{h_{i+1}} (T_{i+1,k} + T_{i+1,k+1}) - \left(\frac{1}{h_i} + \frac{1}{h_{i+1}} \right) T_{i,k} \\ &= \frac{1}{h_{i+1}} (T_{i+1,k} - T_{i,k}) + \frac{1}{h_{i+1}} (T_{i+1,k+1} - T_{i,k}) + \left(\frac{1}{h_{i+1}} - \frac{1}{h_i} \right) T_{i,k}. \end{aligned}$$

According to $x_i - x_k \simeq x_i \simeq x_k$, [Lemmas 3.1, A.3](#) and [B.3](#), we have

$$\frac{1}{h_{i+1}} (T_{i+1,k} - T_{i,k}) = \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_k} (u(y) - \Pi_h u(y)) D_h K_y(x_i) dy \leq Ch^2 x_i^{-\alpha/2-2/r} h_k.$$

From [Lemmas 3.6](#) and [A.2](#) and (3.15), we can obtain

$$\frac{1}{h_{i+1}} (T_{i+1,k+1} - T_{i,k}) = \int_0^1 \frac{\theta(\theta-1)}{2} \frac{Q_{i,k;3}^\theta(x_{i+1}) u''(\eta_{k+1}^\theta) - Q_{i,k;3}^\theta(x_i) u''(\eta_k^\theta)}{h_{i+1}} d\theta$$

with $\eta_k^\theta \in (x_{k-1}, x_k)$ and $\eta_{k+1}^\theta \in (x_k, x_{k+1})$. Using [Lemmas 3.1](#) and [3.12](#), we have

$$\frac{1}{h_{i+1}} |T_{i+1,k+1} - T_{i,k}| \leq Ch^2 x_i^{-\alpha/2-2/r} h_k.$$

For the third term in (3.20), using $h_i \simeq h_k$, [Lemmas 3.1, A.3](#) and [B.1](#), it yields

$$\frac{h_{i+1} - h_i}{h_i h_{i+1}} T_{i,k} \leq C(r-1) h^2 x_i^{-\alpha/2-2/r} h_k.$$

Then, the desired result of Case 1 is obtained. The Case 2 and Case 3 for I_4 can be similarly proven as the way in Case 1; the details are omitted here. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.3. For $1 \leq i < N/2$ with $m = 2i$ in (3.9), combining [Lemma 3.14](#), [Lemma 3.15](#), Cases 1 and 2 of [Lemma 3.17](#), Case 2 of [Lemma 3.16](#) and Case 1 of [Lemma 3.13](#), the proof is completed. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.4. For $N/2 \leq i \leq N$ with $m = 2N - \lceil N/2 \rceil + 1$ in (3.9), we split I_3 as

$$(3.21) \quad I_3 = \sum_{j=k+1}^{m-1} S_{ij} = \sum_{j=k+1}^{N-1} S_{ij} + (S_{iN} + S_{i,N+1}) + \sum_{j=N+2}^{m-1} S_{ij}.$$

According to [Lemma 3.15](#), Cases 1 and 3 of [Lemma 3.17](#), [Lemma 3.16](#) and Case 2 of [Lemma 3.13](#), the desired result is obtained. \square

4. Convergence analysis. We can now prove our main convergence result for [Theorem 2.6](#).

4.1. Some properties of the stiffness matrix. In this subsection, we show some properties of the stiffness matrix A defined by (2.8) and construct an appropriate right-preconditioner for the resulting matrix algebraic equation.

LEMMA 4.1. *The stiffness matrix A defined by (2.8) is strictly diagonally dominant, with positive entries on the main diagonal and negative off-diagonal entries. In particular, there exists a constant C_A such that*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2N-1} a_{ij} \geq C_A(x_i^{-\alpha} + (2T - x_i)^{-\alpha}) \quad \text{with} \quad C_A = \frac{\kappa_\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{\Gamma(2 - \alpha)} 2^{-r\alpha}.$$

Proof. From (2.9), there exist

$$a_{ii} = \frac{\kappa_\alpha}{\Gamma(4 - \alpha)} \frac{4}{h_i h_{i+1}} (h_i^{2-\alpha} + h_{i+1}^{2-\alpha} - (h_i + h_{i+1})^{2-\alpha}) > 0,$$

where we use $1 + t^\theta > (1 + t)^\theta$ with $t = \frac{h_{i+1}}{h_i}$ for $\theta \in (0, 1)$.

Let $s = \frac{h_{i-1}}{h_i}$ and $t = \frac{h_{i+1}}{h_i}$, by Lemma B.6, we can check that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i,i-1} &= \frac{-\kappa_\alpha}{\Gamma(4 - \alpha)} \frac{1}{h_{i-1} h_i h_{i+1}} \left(h_{i+1} h_{i-1}^{3-\alpha} - (h_i + h_{i+1})(h_{i-1} + h_i)^{3-\alpha} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + h_i(h_{i-1} + h_i + h_{i-1})^{3-\alpha} + (h_{i-1} + h_i)(h_i + h_{i+1}) h_i^{2-\alpha} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (h_{i-1} + h_i)(h_i + h_{i+1})^{3-\alpha} + h_{i-1} h_{i+1} h_i^{2-\alpha} + h_{i-1} h_{i+1}^{3-\alpha} \right) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

For $|i - j| \geq 2$, $x_{i+1} - y$, $x_i - y$ and $x_{i-1} - y$ have the same sign (> 0 or < 0) for $y \in (x_{j-1}, x_{j+1})$, it yields $\frac{h_i}{h_i + h_{i+1}} |x_{i+1} - y| + \frac{h_{i+1}}{h_i + h_{i+1}} |x_{i-1} - y| = |x_i - y|$. Since $x^{1-\alpha}$ is a convex function for $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, by Jensen's inequality, we have

$$\frac{h_i}{h_i + h_{i+1}} |x_{i+1} - y|^{1-\alpha} + \frac{h_{i+1}}{h_i + h_{i+1}} |x_{i-1} - y|^{1-\alpha} > |x_i - y|^{1-\alpha},$$

which implies that $D_h^2 K_y(x_i) > 0$ by (2.5) and (3.19). Thus, from (2.9), we get

$$a_{ij} = -D_h^2 I^{2-\alpha} \phi_j(x_i) = - \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j+1}} \phi_j(y) D_h^2 K_y(x_i) dy < 0.$$

We next prove that the stiffness matrix A defined by (2.8) is strictly diagonally dominant. For the quadrature coefficients \tilde{a}_{ij} in (2.9), we calculate that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2N-1} \tilde{a}_{ij} = g_0(x_i) + g_{2N}(x_i)$$

with $g_0(x) = \frac{-\kappa_\alpha}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)} \frac{|x-x_0|^{3-\alpha} - |x-x_1|^{3-\alpha}}{h_1}$ and $g_{2N}(x) = \frac{-\kappa_\alpha}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)} \frac{|x_{2N}-x|^{3-\alpha} - |x_{2N-1}-x|^{3-\alpha}}{h_{2N}}$.

It implies that $\sum_{j=1}^{2N-1} a_{ij} = D_h^2 g_0(x_i) + D_h^2 g_{2N}(x_i)$ by (2.9).

For $i = 1$, there exists

$$D_h^2 g_0(x_1) = 2\kappa_\alpha \frac{1 + (2^r - 1)^{3-\alpha} + 2(2^r - 1) - (2^r)^{3-\alpha}}{\Gamma(4 - \alpha) 2^r (2^r - 1)} x_1^{-\alpha} \geq \frac{\kappa_\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{\Gamma(2 - \alpha)} 2^{-r\alpha} x_1^{-\alpha},$$

since $h(t) = 2(1 + (t - 1)^{3-\alpha} + 2(t - 1) - t^{3-\alpha}) - (3 - \alpha)(2 - \alpha)(\alpha - 1)(t^{2-\alpha} - t^{1-\alpha})$ is an increasing function for $t = 2^r \geq 1$ and $h(1) = 0$.

For $i \geq 2$, by [Lemma A.1](#), there exist $\xi \in (x_{i-1}, x_{i+1})$ and $\eta \in (x_0, x_1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} D_h^2 g_0(x_i) &= g_0''(\xi) = -\kappa_\alpha \frac{|\xi - x_0|^{1-\alpha} - |\xi - x_1|^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)h_1} = \frac{\kappa_\alpha(\alpha-1)}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} |\xi - \eta|^{-\alpha} \\ &\geq \frac{\kappa_\alpha(\alpha-1)}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} x_{i+1}^{-\alpha} \geq \frac{\kappa_\alpha(\alpha-1)}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} 2^{-r\alpha} x_i^{-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have $D_h^2 g_0(x_i) \geq C_A x_i^{-\alpha}$ with $C_A = \frac{\kappa_\alpha(\alpha-1)}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} 2^{-r\alpha}$ for $i \geq 1$. We can similarly prove $D_h^2 g_{2N}(x_i) \geq C_A (2T - x_i)^{-\alpha}$. The proof is completed. \square

Let us first introduce the quasi-preconditioner

$$(4.1) \quad G = \text{diag}(\delta(x_1), \dots, \delta(x_{2N-1})),$$

where $\delta(x)$ is defined by [\(2.10\)](#). Then we have

LEMMA 4.2. *Let $\tilde{B} := AG$ and A be defined by [\(2.8\)](#). Then the matrix $\tilde{B} = (\tilde{b}_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{(2N-1) \times (2N-1)}$ has positive entries on the main diagonal and negative off-diagonal entries. In particular, there exist constants $C_{\tilde{B}}, C_B$ such that*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2N-1} \tilde{b}_{ij} \geq C_B (T - \delta(x_i) + h_N)^{1-\alpha} - C_{\tilde{B}} (x_i^{1-\alpha} + (2T - x_i)^{1-\alpha}).$$

with $C_B = \frac{2\kappa_\alpha}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}$, $C_{\tilde{B}} = \frac{\kappa_\alpha}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} 2^{r(\alpha-1)}$.

Proof. From [\(2.9\)](#) and [\(4.1\)](#), it yields

$$\tilde{b}_{ij} = a_{ij} \delta(x_j) = -\frac{2}{h_i + h_{i+1}} \left(\frac{1}{h_{i+1}} \tilde{a}_{i+1,j} - \left(\frac{1}{h_i} + \frac{1}{h_{i+1}} \right) \tilde{a}_{i,j} + \frac{1}{h_i} \tilde{a}_{i-1,j} \right) \delta(x_j).$$

Since $\delta(x) \equiv \Pi_h \delta(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{2N-1} \phi_j(x) \delta(x_j)$ by [\(2.2\)](#) and [\(2.10\)](#), from the definition of the quadrature coefficients \tilde{a}_{ij} in [\(2.9\)](#), we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2N-1} \tilde{a}_{ij} \delta(x_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{2N-1} I^{2-\alpha} \phi_j(x_i) \delta(x_j) = I^{2-\alpha} \delta(x_i) = -p(x_i) + q(x_i)$$

with $p(x) = \frac{2\kappa_\alpha}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)} |T - x|^{3-\alpha}$ and $q(x) = \frac{\kappa_\alpha}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)} (x^{3-\alpha} + (2T - x)^{3-\alpha})$. It implies that $\sum_{j=1}^{2N-1} \tilde{b}_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^{2N-1} a_{ij} \delta(x_j) = D_h^2 p(x_i) - D_h^2 q(x_i)$.

For $i \neq N$, by [Lemma A.1](#), there exists $\xi \in (x_{i-1}, x_{i+1})$ such that

$$D_h^2 p(x_i) = \frac{2\kappa_\alpha}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} |T - \xi|^{1-\alpha} \geq \frac{2\kappa_\alpha}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} (T - \delta(x_i) + h_N)^{1-\alpha}$$

and for $i = N$, it yields

$$D_h^2 p(x_N) = \frac{4\kappa_\alpha}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)h_N^2} h_N^{3-\alpha} \geq C_B (T - \delta(x_N) + h_N)^{1-\alpha}.$$

We can similarly prove the following inequality

$$D_h^2 q(x_i) \leq C_{\tilde{B}} (x_i^{1-\alpha} + (2T - x_i)^{1-\alpha}), \quad i = 1, \dots, 2N-1.$$

The proof is completed. \square

Noted that $\tilde{B} = AG$ in Lemma 4.2 is not diagonally dominant, e.g., $\sum_{j=1}^{2N-1} \tilde{b}_{ij} < 0$ when x_i is near the boundary. We introduce the preconditioner $\lambda I + \mu G$ as following.

LEMMA 4.3. *Let $B := A(\lambda I + \mu G)$ with $\lambda = 1 + 2^{r(\alpha-1)}T$, $\mu = (\alpha - 1)2^{-r\alpha-1}$. Then $B = (b_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{(2N-1) \times (2N-1)}$ is strictly diagonally dominant, with positive entries on the main diagonal and negative off-diagonal entries. Moreover, we have*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2N-1} b_{ij} \geq C_A \left((x_i^{-\alpha} + (2T - x_i)^{-\alpha}) + (T - \delta(x_i) + h_N)^{1-\alpha} \right).$$

Proof. From Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{2N-1} b_{ij} &= \sum_{j=1}^{2N-1} \left(\lambda a_{ij} + \mu \tilde{b}_{ij} \right) \\ &\geq \lambda C_A \left(x_i^{-\alpha} + (2T - x_i)^{-\alpha} \right) - \mu C_{\tilde{B}} 2T \left(x_i^{-\alpha} + (2T - x_i)^{-\alpha} \right) \\ &\quad + \mu C_B (T - \delta(x_i) + h_N)^{1-\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

with $\lambda = 1 + 2TC_{\tilde{B}}/C_B = 1 + 2^{r(\alpha-1)}T$ and $\mu = C_A/C_B = (\alpha - 1)2^{-r\alpha-1}$. The proof is completed. \square

4.2. Proof of Theorem 2.6. Let $\epsilon_i = u(x_i) - u_i$ with $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_{2N} = 0$. Subtracting (2.6) from (2.7), we get

$$(4.2) \quad A\epsilon = \tau,$$

where $\epsilon = [\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{2N-1}]^T$ and $\tau = [\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{2N-1}]^T$ with τ_i in (2.4).

Let $\lambda I + \mu G$ be the right-preconditioner and $B = A(\lambda I + \mu G)$ defined in Lemma 4.3. Then we can rewrite (4.2) as

$$B(\lambda I + \mu G)^{-1}\epsilon = \tau, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{2N-1} b_{ij} \frac{\epsilon_j}{\lambda + \mu\delta(x_j)} = \tau_i.$$

Assume that $\left| \frac{\epsilon_{i_0}}{\lambda + \mu\delta(x_{i_0})} \right| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2N-1} \left| \frac{\epsilon_j}{\lambda + \mu\delta(x_j)} \right|$. From Lemma 4.3 with $b_{ii} > 0$ and $b_{ij} < 0, i \neq j$, it yields

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau_{i_0}| &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^{2N-1} b_{i_0,j} \frac{\epsilon_j}{\lambda + \mu\delta(x_j)} \right| \geq b_{i_0,i_0} \left| \frac{\epsilon_{i_0}}{\lambda + \mu\delta(x_{i_0})} \right| - \sum_{j \neq i_0} |b_{i_0,j}| \left| \frac{\epsilon_j}{\lambda + \mu\delta(x_j)} \right| \\ &\geq b_{i_0,i_0} \left| \frac{\epsilon_{i_0}}{\lambda + \mu\delta(x_{i_0})} \right| - \sum_{j \neq i_0} |b_{i_0,j}| \left| \frac{\epsilon_{i_0}}{\lambda + \mu\delta(x_{i_0})} \right| = \sum_{j=1}^{2N-1} b_{i_0,j} \left| \frac{\epsilon_{i_0}}{\lambda + \mu\delta(x_{i_0})} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

According to the above inequality, Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\left| \frac{\epsilon_i}{\lambda + \mu\delta(x_i)} \right| \leq \left| \frac{\epsilon_{i_0}}{\lambda + \mu\delta(x_{i_0})} \right| \leq \frac{|\tau_{i_0}|}{\sum_{j=1}^{2N-1} b_{i_0,j}} \leq Ch^{\min\{\frac{r\alpha}{2}, 2\}} + C(r-1)h^2.$$

Since $\lambda + \mu\delta(x_i) \leq \lambda + \mu T$, we can derive

$$|\epsilon_i| \leq C(\lambda + \mu T)h^{\min\{\frac{r\alpha}{2}, 2\}} \leq C \left(1 + (2^{r(\alpha-1)} + (\alpha - 1)2^{-r\alpha-1})T \right) h^{\min\{\frac{r\alpha}{2}, 2\}}.$$

The proof is completed.

Remark 4.4. Let $B = A(\lambda I + \mu G)$ with $\mu = 0$ in the proof of [Theorem 2.6](#), which means that there is no preconditioning. Thus, from [Theorem 2.5](#) and [Lemma 4.1](#), we can only prove

$$|\epsilon_i| \leq Ch^{\min\{\frac{r\alpha}{2}, 2\}} + C(r-1)h^{3-\alpha} \leq Ch^{\min\{\frac{r\alpha}{2}, 3-\alpha\}}, \quad 1 < \alpha < 2,$$

which may suffer from a severe order reduction.

From [Lemma 2.4](#), the source term $|f(x)| \leq C\delta(x)^{-\alpha/2}$ may potentially be singular. If the bound of [Lemma 2.4](#) is replaced by the more general weaker regularity bound, we have the following result.

THEOREM 4.5 (Global Error with singular source term). *Let $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} |u^{(l)}(x)| &\leq C\delta(x)^{\sigma-l}, l = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \\ |f^{(l)}(x)| &\leq C\delta(x)^{\sigma-\alpha-l}, l = 0, 1, 2 \end{aligned}$$

with $\sigma \in (0, \frac{\alpha}{2}]$. Let u_i be the approximate solution of $u(x_i)$ computed by the discretization scheme (2.3). Then,

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq 2N-1} |u_i - u(x_i)| \leq Ch^{\min\{r\sigma, 2\}}$$

for some positive constant $C = C(\Omega, \alpha, \sigma, r, f)$.

Proof. Similar to the performer in [Theorems 2.5](#) and [2.6](#), it is easy to check the local truncation error

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau_i| &= | -D_h^\alpha \Pi_h u(x_i) - f(x_i) | \\ &\leq Ch^{\min\{r\sigma, 2\}} \delta(x_i)^{-\alpha} + C(r-1)h^2(T - \delta(x_i) + h_N)^{1-\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

and the global error $\max_{1 \leq i \leq 2N-1} |u_i - u(x_i)| \leq Ch^{\min\{r\sigma, 2\}}$. The proof is completed. \square

5. Numerical experiments. We use the difference-quadrature scheme (2.8) to solve the fractional Laplacian (1.1) with both regular and singular source terms .

5.1. Regular source term. If $f \equiv 1$, the exact (Getoor) solution [19, 22, 27] of the problem (1.1) is

$$u(x) = \frac{2^{-\alpha} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1+\alpha}{2})} [x(1-x)]^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}, \quad x \in \Omega = (0, 1).$$

In the numerical experiments of this example, we measure the numerical errors by using the maximum nodal error (i.e., the discrete L^∞ norm):

$$E^N := \max_{0 \leq i \leq 2N} |u(x_i) - u_i|.$$

The rate of convergence of E^N is computed in the usual way, viz.,

$$\text{Rate}^N = \log_2 \left(\frac{E^{N/2}}{E^N} \right).$$

[Table 1](#) shows that the difference-quadrature method (2.8) has convergence order $\mathcal{O}(h^{\min\{\frac{r\alpha}{2}, 2\}})$, which agrees exactly with [Theorem 2.6](#).

TABLE 1

Maximum nodal errors showing convergence rate $\mathcal{O}(h^{\frac{\sigma}{2}})$ with $r = 1$ and $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$ with $r = 4/\alpha$.

N	$r = 1$				$r = 4/\alpha$			
	$\alpha = 1.2$	Rate	$\alpha = 1.8$	Rate	$\alpha = 1.2$	Rate	$\alpha = 1.8$	Rate
100	1.1269e-3		2.7320e-5		4.1583e-5		7.6424e-6	
200	7.4281e-4	0.6013	1.4829e-5	0.8815	1.0628e-5	1.9682	2.0649e-6	1.8880
400	4.8986e-4	0.6006	7.9970e-6	0.8909	2.6919e-6	1.9811	5.5008e-7	1.9083
800	3.2311e-4	0.6003	4.2989e-6	0.8955	6.7824e-7	1.9888	1.4495e-7	1.9240

5.2. Singular source term. We take the singular source term $f(x) = x^{\sigma-\alpha}$, $\sigma \in (0, \frac{\alpha}{2}]$ with $\sigma = 0.4$ in (1.1). Since the analytic solution is unknown, the convergence rate of the numerical results is computed by

$$\text{Rate}^N = \log_2 \left(\frac{E^{N/2}}{E^N} \right) \quad \text{with} \quad E^N = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N} |u_i^{N/2} - u_{2i}^N|.$$

TABLE 2

Maximum nodal errors showing convergence rate $\mathcal{O}(h^\sigma)$ with $r = 1$ and $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$ with $r = 2/\sigma$.

N	$r = 1$				$r = 2/\sigma$			
	$\alpha = 1.2$	Rate	$\alpha = 1.8$	Rate	$\alpha = 1.2$	Rate	$\alpha = 1.8$	Rate
100	2.9193e-2		5.6776e-2		2.7820e-3		5.0311e-3	
200	2.2619e-2	0.3681	4.3468e-2	0.3853	6.9631e-4	1.9983	1.3190e-3	1.9315
400	1.7435e-2	0.3755	3.3112e-2	0.3926	1.7418e-4	1.9992	3.4157e-4	1.9492
800	1.3395e-2	0.3804	2.5161e-2	0.3962	4.3557e-5	1.9996	8.7691e-5	1.9617

Table 2 shows that the difference-quadrature method (2.8) has convergence order $\mathcal{O}(h^{\min\{r\sigma, 2\}})$, which agrees with Theorem 4.5.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 12471381 and Science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholars of Gansu Province under Grant No. 23JRRA1020.

Appendix A. Approximations of difference and interpolation. In this appendix, we provide some approximations for the second-order difference quotients D_h^2 and the interpolation error $u(x) - \Pi_h u(x)$.

LEMMA A.1. *Let D_h^2 be the difference quotient operator defined by (2.5). If $g(x) \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^2(\Omega)$, there exists $\xi \in (x_{i-1}, x_{i+1})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2N - 1$, such that*

$$D_h^2 g(x_i) = g''(\xi), \quad \xi \in (x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}).$$

Moreover, if $g(x) \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^4(\Omega)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_h^2 g(x_i) &= g''(x_i) + \frac{h_{i+1} - h_i}{3} g'''(x_i) \\ &+ \frac{2}{h_i + h_{i+1}} \left(\frac{1}{h_i} \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} g'''(y) \frac{(y - x_{i-1})^3}{3!} dy + \frac{1}{h_{i+1}} \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} g'''(y) \frac{(x_{i+1} - y)^3}{3!} dy \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Taylor series expansion, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(x_{i-1}) &= g(x_i) - (x_i - x_{i-1})g'(x_i) + \frac{(x_i - x_{i-1})^2}{2}g''(\xi_1), \quad \xi_1 \in (x_{i-1}, x_i), \\ g(x_{i+1}) &= g(x_i) + (x_{i+1} - x_i)g'(x_i) + \frac{(x_{i+1} - x_i)^2}{2}g''(\xi_2), \quad \xi_2 \in (x_i, x_{i+1}). \end{aligned}$$

From (2.5) and intermediate value theorem, it yields

$$D_h^2 g(x_i) = \frac{h_i}{h_i + h_{i+1}}g''(\xi_1) + \frac{h_{i+1}}{h_i + h_{i+1}}g''(\xi_2) = g''(\xi), \quad \xi \in [\xi_1, \xi_2].$$

The second equation can be derived in a similar manner. The proof is completed. \square

LEMMA A.2. *Let $y_j^\theta = (1 - \theta)x_{j-1} + \theta x_j$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$ with $2 \leq j \leq 2N - 1$. Then,*

$$u(y_j^\theta) - \Pi_h u(y_j^\theta) = -\frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{2}h_j^2 u''(\xi), \quad \xi \in (x_{j-1}, x_j),$$

$$u(y_j^\theta) - \Pi_h u(y_j^\theta) = -\frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{2}h_j^2 u''(y_j^\theta) + \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{3!}h_j^3 (\theta^2 u'''(\eta_1) - (1-\theta)^2 u'''(\eta_2))$$

with $\eta_1 \in (x_{j-1}, y_j^\theta)$, $\eta_2 \in (y_j^\theta, x_j)$.

Proof. Using Taylor series expansion, we get

$$\begin{aligned} u(x_{j-1}) &= u(y_j^\theta) - \theta h_j u'(y_j^\theta) + \frac{\theta^2 h_j^2}{2!} u''(\xi_1), \quad \xi_1 \in (x_{j-1}, y_j^\theta), \\ u(x_j) &= u(y_j^\theta) + (1-\theta)h_j u'(y_j^\theta) + \frac{(1-\theta)^2 h_j^2}{2!} u''(\xi_2), \quad \xi_2 \in (y_j^\theta, x_j), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} u(y_j^\theta) - \Pi_h u(y_j^\theta) &= u(y_j^\theta) - (1-\theta)u(x_{j-1}) - \theta u(x_j) \\ &= -\frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{2}h_j^2 (\theta u''(\xi_1) + (1-\theta)u''(\xi_2)) \\ &= -\frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{2}h_j^2 u''(\xi), \quad \xi \in [\xi_1, \xi_2]. \end{aligned}$$

The second equation can be derived in a similar manner. The proof is completed. \square

LEMMA A.3. *For any $y \in (x_{j-1}, x_j)$, $2 \leq j \leq 2N - 1$, there exists*

$$|u(y) - \Pi_h u(y)| \leq h_j^2 \max_{\xi \in [x_{j-1}, x_j]} |u''(\xi)| \leq Ch^2 \delta(y)^{\alpha/2-2/r}.$$

Proof. According to Lemmas 2.4, 3.1 and A.2, the desired result is obtained. \square

LEMMA A.4. *For any $x \in [x_{j-1}, x_j]$, $1 \leq j \leq 2N$, there exists*

$$|u(x) - \Pi_h u(x)| = \left| \frac{x_j - x}{h_j} \int_{x_{j-1}}^x u'(y) dy - \frac{x - x_{j-1}}{h_j} \int_x^{x_j} u'(y) dy \right| \leq \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} |u'(y)| dy.$$

In particular, we have $|u(x) - \Pi_h u(x)| \leq C \frac{2}{\alpha} h_1^{\alpha/2}$ for $x \in (0, x_1) \cup (x_{2N-1}, 2T)$.

Proof. From the definition of $\Pi_h u(x)$ in (2.2) and using $u(x) = u(x_i) + \int_{x_i}^x u'(y) dy$, the proof is completed. \square

Appendix B. Bound estimates. Set $h_i = x_i - x_{i-1}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2N$ and define $h := \frac{1}{N}$. The following bounds are needed in several places.

LEMMA B.1. *For $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2N - 1$, there exists a constant C such that*

$$|h_{i+1} - h_i| \leq C(r-1)h^2\delta(x_i)^{1-2/r}, \quad r \geq 1.$$

Proof. According to the definition of $h_i = x_i - x_{i-1}$ as defined in (2.1), we obtain

$$h_{i+1} - h_i = \begin{cases} T\left(\left(\frac{i+1}{N}\right)^r - 2\left(\frac{i}{N}\right)^r + \left(\frac{i-1}{N}\right)^r\right), & 1 \leq i \leq N-1, \\ 0, & i = N, \\ -T\left(\left(\frac{2N-i-1}{N}\right)^r - 2\left(\frac{2N-i}{N}\right)^r + \left(\frac{2N-i+1}{N}\right)^r\right), & N+1 \leq i \leq 2N-1. \end{cases}$$

Since $(i+1)^r - 2i^r + (i-1)^r \simeq r(r-1)i^{r-2}$ for $i \geq 1$, the desired result is obtained. \square

LEMMA B.2. *For $1 \leq i \leq 2N - 1$, there exists a constant C such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{h_i + h_{i+1}} \left| \frac{1}{h_i} \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} f''(y) \frac{(y - x_{i-1})^3}{3!} dy + \frac{1}{h_{i+1}} \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} f''(y) \frac{(y - x_{i+1})^3}{3!} dy \right| \\ \leq Ch^2\delta(x_i)^{-\alpha/2-2/r}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, for $1 \leq i \leq 2N - 1$, we have

$$\left| \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} f''(y) \frac{(y - x_{i-1})^3}{3!} dy \right| \leq C \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \delta(y)^{-\alpha/2-2} (y - x_{i-1})^3 dy.$$

In particular, for $i = 1$, there exists

$$\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \delta(y)^{-\alpha/2-2} (y - x_{i-1})^3 dy = \int_0^{x_1} y^{1-\alpha/2} dy = \frac{1}{2-\alpha/2} x_1^{2-\alpha/2} \simeq x_1^{-\alpha/2-2} h_1^4.$$

For $2 \leq i \leq 2N - 1$, by Lemma 3.1, it yields

$$\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \delta(y)^{-\alpha/2-2} (y - x_{i-1})^3 dy \simeq \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \delta(x_i)^{-\alpha/2-2} (y - x_{i-1})^3 dy \simeq \delta(x_i)^{-\alpha/2-2} h_i^4$$

The desired result is obtained. \square

LEMMA B.3. *For all $1 \leq i \leq 2N - 1$, $1 \leq j \leq 2N$, $y \in (x_{j-1}, x_j)$, there exist*

$$\begin{aligned} |D_h K_y(x_i)| &\simeq |x_i - y|^{-\alpha} \quad \text{if } [x_{j-1}, x_j] \cap [x_i, x_{i+1}] = \emptyset, \\ D_h^2 K_y(x_i) &\simeq |x_i - y|^{-1-\alpha} \quad \text{if } [x_{j-1}, x_j] \cap [x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}] = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $x_{i-1} - y$, $x_i - y$ and $x_{i+1} - y$ have the same sign, using Lemma A.1 and $K_y(x) = \frac{\kappa_\alpha}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} |x - y|^{1-\alpha}$ in (3.19), it yields

$$\begin{aligned} |D_h K_y(x_i)| &= \frac{\kappa_\alpha(\alpha-1)}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} |\xi - y|^{-\alpha}, \quad \xi \in (x_i, x_{i+1}), \\ D_h^2 K_y(x_i) &= \frac{\kappa_\alpha \alpha(\alpha-1)}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} |\xi - y|^{-1-\alpha}, \quad \xi \in (x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from $|\xi - y| \simeq |x_i - y|$, the desired result is obtained. \square

LEMMA B.4. *There exists a constant C such that*

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 V_{1j} \leq Ch^2 x_1^{-\alpha/2-2/r} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^4 V_{2j} \leq Ch^2 x_2^{-\alpha/2-2/r}.$$

Proof. According Lemma A.4, Lemma A.3, (3.5), (3.6), it implies,

$$T_{ij} \leq Cx_1^{2-\alpha/2} \simeq h_1^2 h^2 x_1^{-\alpha/2-2/r} \simeq h_1^2 h^2 x_2^{-\alpha/2-2/r} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq 4.$$

The proof is completed. \square

LEMMA B.5. *Let $a, b > 0$, $\theta \in [0, 1]$. Then we have $b^{1-\theta}|a^\theta - b^\theta| \leq |a - b|$.*

Proof. Since $|t^\theta - 1| \leq |t - 1|$ with $t = \frac{a}{b} > 0$, the proof is completed. \square

LEMMA B.6. *Let $x > 0, y \geq 1$ with $\alpha \in (1, 2)$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= xy(1 + x^{2-\alpha} + y^{2-\alpha}) + (1 + x + y)^{3-\alpha} \\ &\quad - (1 + x)(1 + y)((1 + x)^{2-\alpha} + (1 + y)^{2-\alpha} - 1) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first and second derivatives of $f(x, y)$ with respect to x are

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x f(x, y) &= (3 - \alpha) [x^{2-\alpha} y + (1 + x + y)^{2-\alpha} - (1 + x)^{2-\alpha} (1 + y)] \\ &\quad + 1 + 2y + y^{3-\alpha} - (1 + y)^{3-\alpha}, \\ \partial_x^2 f(x, y) &= (3 - \alpha)(2 - \alpha) (yx^{1-\alpha} + (1 + x + y)^{1-\alpha} - (1 + y)(1 + x)^{1-\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{y}{1+y}x + \frac{1}{1+y}(1+x+y) = 1+x$ and $x^{1-\alpha}$ is convex for $x > 0$, using Jensen's inequality, it yields $\frac{y}{1+y}x^{1-\alpha} + \frac{1}{1+y}(1+x+y)^{1-\alpha} > (1+x)^{1-\alpha}$, which implies $\partial_x^2 f(x, y) > 0$ and $\partial_x f(x, y) > \partial_x f(0, y)$. Since $\partial_x f(0, y) > 0$ for $y \geq 1$, we have $f(x, y) > f(0, y) = 0$. The proof is completed. \square

Appendix C. Proofs for grid mapping functions. In this appendix, we provide the proofs of Lemmas 3.8-3.12 in Subsection 3.2.

Proof of Lemma 3.8. The first two approximations can be derived from (2.1) and (3.16) with $2 \leq i, j \leq 2N - 2$.

We next prove $|y_{i,j}(\xi) - \xi| \simeq |x_j - x_i|$. From (3.11), we have $y_{i,j}(\xi) - \xi = 0$ if $i = j$. Without loss of generality, if $i < j$, then $y_{i,j}(\xi) - \xi \leq x_{j+1} - x_{i-1} \simeq x_j - x_i$. Since the second derivatives of $|y_{i,j}(\xi) - \xi|$ is less than zero by (3.11), which implies it is concave. Thus, $|y_{i,j}(\xi) - \xi| \geq \min\{x_{j-1} - x_{i-1}, x_{j+1} - x_{i+1}\} \simeq |x_j - x_i|$.

From (3.11), (3.12), (3.16), using the approximation above, there exists $h_{i,j}(\xi) = y_{i,j}(\xi) - y_{i,j-1}(\xi) = y_{j-1,j}(y_{i,j-1}(\xi)) - y_{i,j-1}(\xi) \simeq x_j - x_{j-1} = h_j$.

The final estimate can be obtained since $y_{i,j-1}(\xi) - \xi, y_{i,j}(\xi) - \xi$ have the same sign (≥ 0 or ≤ 0). \square

Proof of Lemma 3.9. From (3.12) and (3.11), we can see that

$$h'_{i,j}(x) = \begin{cases} x^{1/r-1} \left(y_{i,j}^{1-1/r}(x) - y_{i,j-1}^{1-1/r}(x) \right), & i < N, j < N, \\ x^{1/r-1} \left(\frac{h_N}{rZ_1} - y_{i,N-1}^{1-1/r}(x) \right), & i < N, j = N, \\ x^{1/r-1} \left((2T - y_{i,N+1}(x))^{1-1/r} - \frac{h_N}{rZ_1} \right), & i < N, j = N + 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$h'_{i,j}(x) = \begin{cases} x^{1/r-1} \left((2T - y_{i,j}(x))^{1-1/r} - (2T - y_{i,j-1}(x))^{1-1/r} \right), & i < N, j > N + 1, \\ \frac{rZ_1}{h_N} \left(y_{N,j}^{1-1/r}(x) - y_{N,j-1}^{1-1/r}(x) \right), & i = N, j < N, \\ \frac{rZ_1}{h_N} \left(\frac{h_N}{rZ_1} - y_{N,N-1}^{1-1/r}(x) \right), & i = N, j = N. \end{cases}$$

For $2 \leq i \leq N$, $2 \leq j < N$, it yields

$$(C.1) \quad \begin{aligned} y_{i,j}^{1-1/r}(\xi) - y_{i,j-1}^{1-1/r}(\xi) &\leq x_{j+1}^{1-1/r} - x_{j-2}^{1-1/r} \\ &\leq CT^{1-1/r}(r-1)N^{1-r}j^{r-2} = C(r-1)Z_1x_j^{1-2/r}. \end{aligned}$$

For $2 \leq i \leq N$, $j = N$, since

$$(C.2) \quad \frac{h_N}{rZ_1} = T^{1-1/r} \frac{1 - (1-h)^r}{rh} = \eta^{1-1/r} \simeq x_N^{1-1/r}, \quad \eta \in (x_{N-1}, x_N),$$

we have $|\frac{h_N}{rZ_1} - y_{i,N-1}^{1-1/r}(\xi)| \leq x_N^{1-1/r} - x_{N-2}^{1-1/r} \simeq (r-1)Z_1x_N^{1-2/r}$.

For $2 \leq i \leq N$, $N+1 \leq j \leq 2N-2$, it can be checked

$$|h'_{i,j}(\xi)| \leq C(r-1)Z_1\xi^{1/r-1}(2T - x_j)^{1-2/r}.$$

Combine with [Lemmas 3.1](#) and [3.8](#), the first inequality is obtained.

On the other hand, from [\(3.11\)](#), we have $|y_{i,j}(x) - x| = \text{sign}(j-i)(y_{i,j}(x) - x)$ and $(y_{i,j}(x) - x)' = y'_{i,j}(x) - 1$.

For $2 \leq i < N$, $2 \leq j < N$, by [Lemmas 3.8](#) and [B.5](#), we have

$$\xi^{1/r} |y_{i,j}^{1-1/r}(\xi) - \xi^{1-1/r}| \leq |y_{i,j}(\xi) - \xi| \simeq |x_j - x_i|.$$

For $2 \leq i < N$, $j = N$, using [\(C.2\)](#) and [Lemma B.5](#), it yields

$$(C.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \eta^{1/r} \left| \frac{h_N}{rZ_1} - \xi^{1-1/r} \right| &\leq |\eta - \xi|, \quad \eta \in (x_{N-1}, x_N) \\ &\leq |x_N - x_i| + |h_N| + |h_{i+1}| \leq 3|x_N - x_i|. \end{aligned}$$

For $2 \leq i < N$, $N < j \leq 2N-2$, from [Lemma B.5](#), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^{1/r} |(2T - y_{i,j}(\xi))^{1-1/r} - \xi^{1-1/r}| &\leq |2T - y_{i,j}(\xi) - \xi| \\ &\leq |2T - x_j - x_i| + |y_{i,j}(\xi) - x_j| + |\xi - x_i| \leq |2T - x_j - x_i| + 2h_N \\ &\leq |x_j - T| + |T - x_i| + 2h_N \leq 2|x_j - x_i|. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to proof of [\(C.3\)](#), we have $y_{N,N}(x) - x \equiv 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^{1/r} |y_{N,j}^{1-1/r}(\xi) - \frac{h_N}{rZ_1}| &\leq C|x_j - x_N|, \quad i = N, j < N, \\ \eta^{1/r} |(2T - y_{N,j}(\xi))^{1-1/r} - \frac{h_N}{rZ_1}| &\leq C|x_j - x_N|, \quad i = N, j > N. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using [\(3.11\)](#) and [Lemma 3.8](#), the second inequality is obtained. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.10. By Definition 3.7, Lemma B.5 and (C.2), there exist

$$(C.4) \quad \begin{aligned} x_j^{1-1/r} |Z_{j-i}| &= x_j^{1-1/r} |x_j^{1/r} - x_i^{1/r}| \leq |x_j - x_i|, \quad i < N, j < N, \\ Z_{2N-j+i} &\leq Z_{2N} = 2T^{1/r}, \quad i < N, j > N, \\ \frac{h_N}{rZ_1} &\simeq x_N^{1-1/r}, \quad i = N, 2 \leq j \leq 2N - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Combine with (3.11) and Lemma 3.8, the first inequality is obtained.

From (3.12), it yields $h''_{i,j}(x) = y''_{i,j}(x) - y''_{i,j-1}(x)$. For $3 \leq j \leq 2N - 2$, we have

$$(C.5) \quad \begin{aligned} |y_{i,j}^{1-2/r}(\xi) - y_{i,j-1}^{1-2/r}(\xi)| &\simeq (r-2)Z_1 x_j^{1-3/r}, \\ |(2T - y_{i,j}(\xi))^{1-2/r} - (2T - y_{i,j-1}(\xi))^{1-2/r}| &\simeq (r-2)Z_1 (2T - x_j)^{1-3/r}, \end{aligned}$$

which can be similarly proven as (C.1).

For $2 \leq i < N$, $3 \leq j < N$, it yields

$$y_{i,j}^{1-2/r}(\xi) Z_{j-i} - y_{i,j-1}^{1-2/r}(\xi) Z_{j-i-1} = \left(y_{i,j}^{1-2/r}(\xi) - y_{i,j-1}^{1-2/r}(\xi) \right) Z_{j-i} + y_{i,j-1}^{1-2/r}(\xi) Z_1.$$

Combine with (C.4) and (C.5), we get

$$|y_{i,j}^{1-2/r}(\xi) Z_{j-i} - y_{i,j-1}^{1-2/r}(\xi) Z_{j-i-1}| \leq CZ_1 \left(|r-2| x_j^{-2/r} |x_j - x_i| + x_j^{1-2/r} \right).$$

For $2 \leq i < N$, $j = N, N+1$, it leads to

$$|h''_{i,j}(x)| \leq |y''_{i,j}(x)| + |y''_{i,j-1}(x)| \leq C(r-1)x_i^{1/r-2} x_N^{1-1/r}.$$

For $2 \leq i < N$, $j > N+1$, from Lemma 3.1 and (C.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \delta(y_{i,j}(\xi))^{1-2/r} Z_{2N-(j-i)} - \delta(y_{i,j-1}(\xi))^{1-2/r} Z_{2N-(j-i-1)} \right| \\ &\leq CZ_1 \left(|r-2| \delta(x_j)^{1-3/r} x_N^{1/r} + \delta(x_j)^{1-2/r} \right) \leq CZ_1 \delta(x_j)^{1-3/r} x_N^{1/r}. \end{aligned}$$

For $i = N, j = N, N+1$, one has $|h''_{N,j}(\xi)| \leq Cx_N^{-1}$. For $i = N$, $j \neq N, N+1$, using (C.5), (3.11) and Lemma 3.8, the second inequality is obtained. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.11. According to $|y_{i,j}^\theta(\xi) - \xi| = \text{sign}(j-i-1+\theta)(y_{i,j}^\theta(\xi) - \xi)$ with $\theta \in (0, 1)$, Lemma A.1, (1.3) and (3.14), we have $D_h^2 P_{i,j}^\theta(x_i) = P_{i,j}^{\theta\prime\prime}(\xi)$, $\xi \in (x_{i-1}, x_{i+1})$. From Lemmas 2.4, 3.1 and 3.8 to 3.10 and (3.11), and regarding the selection process of i, j within Case 1-3, it turns out that

$$\begin{aligned} h_{i,j}(\xi) &\leq Ch_j, \quad |h'_{i,j}(\xi)| \leq C(r-1)h_j x_i^{-1}, \\ |y_{i,j}^\theta(\xi) - \xi| &\leq C|y_j^\theta - x_i|, \quad |(y_{i,j}^\theta(\xi) - x_i)'| \leq C|y_j^\theta - x_i|x_i^{-1}, \\ |u''(y_{i,j}^\theta(\xi))| &\leq Cx_i^{\alpha/2-2}, \quad |(u''(y_{i,j}^\theta(\xi)))'| \leq Cx_i^{\alpha/2-3}, \quad |(u''(y_{i,j}^\theta(\xi)))''| \leq Cx_i^{\alpha/2-4}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |h''_{i,j}(\xi)| &\leq C(r-1)h_j x_i^{-2}, \quad |(y_{i,j}^\theta(\xi) - x_i)''| \leq C(r-1)|y_j^\theta - x_i|x_i^{-2}, \quad \text{for Case 1,} \\ |h''_{i,j}(\xi)| &\leq C(r-1), \quad |(y_{i,j}^\theta(\xi) - x_i)''| \leq C(r-1), \quad \text{for Case 2,} \\ |h''_{i,j}(\xi)| &\leq C(r-1)h_j, \quad |(y_{i,j}^\theta(\xi) - x_i)''| \leq C(r-1), \quad \text{for Case 3.} \end{aligned}$$

Using Leibniz formula and chain rules, the desired results are obtained. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.12. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{Q_{i,j,l}^\theta(x_{i+1})u'''(\eta_{j+1}^\theta) - Q_{i,j,l}^\theta(x_i)u'''(\eta_j^\theta)}{h_{i+1}} \\ &= \frac{Q_{i,j,l}^\theta(x_{i+1}) - Q_{i,j,l}^\theta(x_i)}{h_{i+1}}u'''(\eta_{j+1}^\theta) + Q_{i,j,l}^\theta(x_i)\frac{u'''(\eta_{j+1}^\theta) - u'''(\eta_j^\theta)}{h_{i+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

There exists $\xi \in (x_i, x_{i+1})$ such that $\frac{Q_{i,j,l}^\theta(x_{i+1}) - Q_{i,j,l}^\theta(x_i)}{h_{i+1}} = Q_{i,j,l}^\theta{}'(\xi)$. From (3.15), Lemmas 3.1, 3.8 and 3.9, Leibniz formula and chain rule, we have

$$|Q_{i,j,l}^\theta{}'(\xi)| \leq Ch_j^l |y_j^\theta - x_i|^{1-\alpha} (x_i^{-1} + x_i^{1/r-1} \delta(x_j)^{-1/r}), \quad Q_{i,j,l}^\theta(x_i) = Ch_j^l |y_j^\theta - x_i|^{1-\alpha}.$$

According to Lemmas 2.4 and 3.1, it implies $|u^{(l-1)}(\eta_{j+1}^\theta)| \leq C\delta(x_j)^{\alpha/2-l+1}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|u^{(l-1)}(\eta_{j+1}^\theta) - u^{(l-1)}(\eta_j^\theta)|}{h_{i+1}} &= |u^{(l)}(\eta)| \frac{\eta_{j+1}^\theta - \eta_j^\theta}{h_{i+1}}, \quad \eta \in (x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}) \\ &\leq C\delta(\eta)^{\alpha/2-l} \frac{x_{j+1} - x_{j-1}}{h_{i+1}} \simeq x_i^{1/r-1} \delta(x_j)^{\alpha/2-l+1-1/r}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the first inequality is obtained. The second one can be similarly proven. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] G. ACOSTA, J. P. BORTHAGARAY, O. BRUNO, AND M. MAAS, *Regularity theory and high order numerical methods for the (1D)-fractional Laplacian*, Math. Comp., 87 (2018), pp. 1821–1857.
- [2] F. ANDREU-VAILLO, J. M. MAZÓN, J. D. ROSSI, AND J. J. TOLEDO-MELERO, *Nonlocal diffusion problems*, vol. 165 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Real Sociedad Matemática Española, Madrid, 2010.
- [3] J. BERTOIN, *Lévy processes*, vol. 121 of Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [4] A. BONITO, W. LEI, AND J. E. PASCIAK, *Numerical approximation of the integral fractional Laplacian*, Numer. Math., 142 (2019), pp. 235–278.
- [5] W. BU, Y. TANG, AND J. YANG, *Galerkin finite element method for two-dimensional Riesz space fractional diffusion equations*, J. Comput. Phys., 276 (2014), pp. 26–38.
- [6] C. ÇELİK AND M. DUMAN, *Crank-Nicolson method for the fractional diffusion equation with the Riesz fractional derivative*, J. Comput. Phys., 231 (2012), pp. 1743–1750.
- [7] M. CHEN AND W. DENG, *Fourth order accurate scheme for the space fractional diffusion equations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 52 (2014), pp. 1418–1438.
- [8] M. CHEN AND W. DENG, *A second-order numerical method for two-dimensional two-sided space fractional convection diffusion equation*, Appl. Math. Model., 38 (2014), pp. 3244–3259.
- [9] M. CHEN AND W. DENG, *High order algorithms for the fractional substantial diffusion equation with truncated Lévy flights*, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 37 (2015), pp. A890–A917.
- [10] M. CHEN, W. DENG, C. MIN, J. SHI, AND M. STYNES, *Error analysis of a collocation method on graded meshes for a fractional Laplacian problem*, Adv. Comput. Math., 50 (2024), pp. Paper No. 49, 27.
- [11] M. CHEN, W. DENG, AND Y. WU, *Superlinearly convergent algorithms for the two-dimensional space-time Caputo-Riesz fractional diffusion equation*, Appl. Numer. Math., 70 (2013), pp. 22–41.
- [12] M. CHEN, Y. WANG, X. CHENG, AND W. DENG, *Second-order LOD multigrid method for multidimensional Riesz fractional diffusion equation*, BIT, 54 (2014), pp. 623–647.
- [13] A. DE PABLO, F. QUIRÓS, A. RODRÍGUEZ, AND J. L. VÁZQUEZ, *A fractional porous medium equation*, Adv. Math., 226 (2011), pp. 1378–1409.
- [14] B. DENG, Z. ZHANG, AND X. ZHAO, *Superconvergence points for the spectral interpolation of Riesz fractional derivatives*, J. Sci. Comput., 81 (2019), pp. 1577–1601.
- [15] W. DENG, *Finite element method for the space and time fractional Fokker-Planck equation*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 47 (2008/09), pp. 204–226.

- [16] H. DING AND C. LI, *High-order algorithms for Riesz derivative and their applications (IV)*, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, 22 (2019), pp. 1537–1560.
- [17] V. J. ERVIN, N. HEUER, AND J. P. ROOP, *Regularity of the solution to 1-D fractional order diffusion equations*, *Math. Comp.*, 87 (2018), pp. 2273–2294.
- [18] P. GATTO AND J. S. HESTHAVEN, *Numerical approximation of the fractional Laplacian via hp-finite elements, with an application to image denoising*, *J. Sci. Comput.*, 65 (2015), pp. 249–270.
- [19] R. K. GETTOOR, *First passage times for symmetric stable processes in space*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 101 (1961), pp. 75–90.
- [20] D. GILBARG AND N. S. TRUDINGER, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Vol. 224, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977.
- [21] R. HAN AND S. WU, *A monotone discretization for integral fractional Laplacian on bounded Lipschitz domains: pointwise error estimates under Hölder regularity*, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 60 (2022), pp. 3052–3077.
- [22] Y. HUANG AND A. OBERMAN, *Numerical methods for the fractional Laplacian: a finite difference–quadrature approach*, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 52 (2014), pp. 3056–3084.
- [23] M. KWAŚNICKI, *Ten equivalent definitions of the fractional Laplace operator*, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, 20 (2017), pp. 7–51.
- [24] R. J. LEVEQUE, *Finite difference methods for ordinary and partial differential equations*, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2007. Steady-state and time-dependent problems.
- [25] A. LISCHKE, G. PANG, M. GULIAN, AND ET AL., *What is the fractional Laplacian? A comparative review with new results*, *J. Comput. Phys.*, 404 (2020), pp. 109009, 62.
- [26] R. METZLER AND J. KLAFTER, *The random walk’s guide to anomalous diffusion: a fractional dynamics approach*, *Phys. Rep.*, 339 (2000), p. 77.
- [27] X. ROS-OTON AND J. SERRA, *The Dirichlet problem for the fractional Laplacian: regularity up to the boundary*, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9), 101 (2014), pp. 275–302.
- [28] X. ROS-OTON AND J. SERRA, *The dirichlet problem for the fractional laplacian: Regularity up to the boundary*, *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, 101 (2014), pp. 275–302.
- [29] Z.-H. SHE, C.-X. LAO, H. YANG, AND F.-R. LIN, *Banded preconditioners for Riesz space fractional diffusion equations*, *J. Sci. Comput.*, 86 (2021), pp. Paper No. 31, 22.
- [30] S. SHEN, F. LIU, V. ANH, AND I. TURNER, *The fundamental solution and numerical solution of the Riesz fractional advection-dispersion equation*, *IMA J. Appl. Math.*, 73 (2008), pp. 850–872.
- [31] J. SHI AND M. CHEN, *High-order BDF convolution quadrature for subdiffusion models with a singular source term*, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 61 (2023), pp. 2559–2579.
- [32] J. SHI, M. CHEN, AND J. CAO, *High-order BDF convolution quadrature for fractional evolution equations with hyper-singular source term*, *J. Sci. Comput.*, 101 (2024), pp. Paper No. 9, 22.
- [33] E. SOUSA AND C. LI, *A weighted finite difference method for the fractional diffusion equation based on the Riemann-Liouville derivative*, *Appl. Numer. Math.*, 90 (2015), pp. 22–37.
- [34] V. TARASOV, *Fractional Dynamics: Application of Fractional Calculus to Dynamics of Particles, Fields and Media*, Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 10 2011.
- [35] V. E. TARASOV, *Fractional dynamics*, *Nonlinear Physical Science*, Springer, Heidelberg; Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2010. Applications of fractional calculus to dynamics of particles, fields and media.
- [36] W. TIAN, H. ZHOU, AND W. DENG, *A class of second order difference approximations for solving space fractional diffusion equations*, *Math. Comp.*, 84 (2015), pp. 1703–1727.
- [37] E. VALDINOCI, *From the long jump random walk to the fractional Laplacian*, *Bol. Soc. Esp. Mat. Apl. SeMA*, (2009), pp. 33–44.
- [38] N. WANG, Z. MAO, C. HUANG, AND G. E. KARNIAKAKIS, *A spectral penalty method for two-sided fractional differential equations with general boundary conditions*, *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 41 (2019), pp. A1840–A1866.
- [39] Q. YANG, F. LIU, AND I. TURNER, *Numerical methods for fractional partial differential equations with Riesz space fractional derivatives*, *Appl. Math. Model.*, 34 (2010), pp. 200–218.
- [40] F. ZENG, F. LIU, C. LI, K. BURRAGE, I. TURNER, AND V. ANH, *A Crank-Nicolson ADI spectral method for a two-dimensional Riesz space fractional nonlinear reaction-diffusion equation*, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 52 (2014), pp. 2599–2622.
- [41] H. ZHOU AND W. TIAN, *Two time-stepping schemes for sub-diffusion equations with singular source terms*, *J. Sci. Comput.*, 92 (2022), pp. Paper No. 70, 28.