

Nuclear modification of B_c mesons in relativistic heavy-ion collisions based on a linear Boltzmann transport model

Lejing Zhang,¹ Xiaowen Li,¹ Wen-Jing Xing,^{1,*} Shanshan Cao,^{1,†} and Guang-You Qin^{2,‡}

¹*Institute of Frontier and Interdisciplinary Science,
Shandong University, Qingdao, Shandong 266237, China*

²*Institute of Particle Physics and Key Laboratory of Quark and Lepton Physics (MOE),
Central China Normal University, Wuhan, 430079, China*

(Dated: February 17, 2025)

The nuclear modification factor (R_{AA}) of B_c mesons in high-energy nuclear collisions provides a novel probe of heavy quark interactions with the quark-gluon plasma (QGP). Based on a linear Boltzmann transport model that incorporates both Yukawa and string types of interactions between heavy quarks and the QGP, we study the production and evolution of heavy quarks and B_c mesons within the same framework. A B_c bound state dissociates while one of its constituent heavy quarks scatters with the QGP with momentum transfer greater than its binding energy. The medium-modified charm and bottom quarks can recombine into B_c mesons, and the medium-modified bottom quarks can also fragment to B_c mesons. We find that most primordial B_c mesons generated from the initial hard collisions dissociate inside the QGP. The production of B_c mesons is primarily driven by the recombination mechanism at low transverse momentum and fragmentation at high transverse momentum. The string interaction dominates over the Yukawa interaction in the nuclear modification of B_c mesons. The participant number dependence of the B_c meson R_{AA} is determined by the complicated interplay between the heavy quark yield, energy loss, and the QGP volume. We obtain a reasonable description of the R_{AA} of B_c mesons in Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, and provide predictions for Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV.

I. INTRODUCTION

The quark-gluon plasma (QGP) created at the Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collider (RHIC) and the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) is a strongly interacting Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) matter that exhibits properties of a nearly perfect fluid [1–3]. Among various probes of properties of the QGP, heavy quarks are excellent candidates [4]. Due to their large masses that exceed the thermal scale of the QGP, open heavy quarks (charm and bottom quarks) mainly originate from the initial hard nucleus-nucleus collisions and then scatter through the QGP with conserved flavors. Their nuclear modification encodes rich information about the thermodynamic properties of the QGP [5–10] and the hadronization mechanism in the presence of a color-deconfined QCD medium [11]. Meanwhile, the sequential melting of bound states of heavy quarks (charmonia and bottomonia) serve as a QGP thermometer, and has been considered one of the smoking gun signatures of formation of the QGP in high-energy nuclear collisions [12–18].

The QGP effect on heavy quarks can be quantified by the ratio of their spectra in nucleus-nucleus (A+A) collisions to those in proton-proton ($p+p$) collisions, known as the nuclear modification factor (R_{AA}). It is now generally accepted that the R_{AA} of charmonia results from the interplay between their dissociation and regeneration

processes inside the QGP [19–24]. The former causes a suppression of charmonia, while the latter causes an enhancement, both becoming stronger as one moves from peripheral to central heavy-ion collisions, and from the RHIC to LHC environments. Compared to Au+Au collisions at RHIC, the significantly larger yield of charm quark pairs in Pb+Pb collisions at the LHC leads to a much higher charmonium production through regeneration. As a result, one observes a larger R_{AA} of J/ψ at the LHC [25] than at RHIC [26]; and the R_{AA} decreases as the participant number (N_{part}) increases at RHIC, while shows a weak dependence on N_{part} at the LHC. Contribution from regeneration to bottomonium production is much weaker than that to charmonium production [17, 22, 27, 28] due to the much smaller yield of bottom quarks than charm quarks, although it has been suggested that the correlated recombination process may also be important to bottomonium production [29].

Between charmonium and bottomonium, there exists a special category of bound states of heavy quarks, B_c mesons, each consisting of one charm (c) quark and one anti-bottom (\bar{b}) quark (or one \bar{c} quark and one b quark). The B_c mesons are expected to have sizes and binding energies lying between those of J/Ψ and Υ [30–33], and therefore providing a valuable supplement to the heavy quarkonium physics [34, 35]. Since the observation of B_c mesons in the CDF experiment [36], they have generated tremendous interest in heavy quark studies. Recently, the CMS experiment has measured the production and nuclear modification of B_c mesons in relativistic heavy-ion collisions for the first time [37], offering a new opportunity to study the evolution of both heavy quark bound states and open heavy quarks inside the QGP. Interest-

*Electronic address: wenjing.xing@sdu.edu.cn

†Electronic address: shanshan.cao@sdu.edu.cn

‡Electronic address: guangyou.qin@mail.cnu.edu.cn

ingly, instead of a suppression, an obvious enhancement of B_c mesons ($R_{AA} > 1$) has been seen in the CMS data at transverse momentum (p_T) below 10 GeV, which also shows a weak dependence on N_{part} . This suggests that the regeneration of B_c mesons dominates over their dissociation inside the QGP, sparking a series of theoretical efforts to understand the observed phenomena. For instance, the instantaneous coalescence model was developed for studying the regeneration of B_c mesons in Ref. [38]. Transport models that include both dissociation and regeneration processes have been developed in Refs. [39, 40] and the effect of non-thermalized bottom quarks on the enhancement of B_c mesons has been explored in Ref. [39]. The statistical hadronization model has also been employed to study the B_c production in Ref. [41], which is supplemented by the fragmentation process of b quarks at high p_T .

In this work, we extend the linear Boltzmann transport (LBT) model [42, 43] previously developed for studying open heavy quarks and high energy jets to bound states of heavy quarks. Both perturbative (Yukawa) and non-perturbative (string) interactions between heavy quarks and the QGP have been included [44], which provide both the dissociation rate of B_c mesons inside the QGP within the quasifree dissociation picture [45] and reliable medium-modified spectra of charm and bottom quarks for generating B_c mesons from these heavy quarks. The instantaneous coalescence model previously developed for producing single-heavy-quark hadrons [46–49] is extended to the formation of B_c mesons from c (\bar{c}) and \bar{b} (b) quarks, and the fragmentation process from b (\bar{b}) quarks to B_c mesons [50, 51] is also included. With this setup, we are able to provide a simultaneous description of the nuclear modification of single-heavy-quark mesons and B_c mesons.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we discuss the initial production of open heavy quarks and B_c mesons from hard $p + p$ and A+A collisions. In Sec. III, we develop our transport model to describe scatterings of open heavy quarks and dissociation and regeneration of B_c mesons within the same framework. Numerical results on the B_c meson R_{AA} are shown in Sec. IV, where its dependences on different production mechanisms of B_c mesons and different interaction dynamics between open heavy quarks and the QGP are investigated. In the end, we provide a summary and outlook in Sec. V.

II. INITIAL PRODUCTION OF HEAVY QUARKS AND B_c MESONS

We use the fixed-order-next-to-leading log (FONLL) package [52–54] to calculate the p_T spectra (or differential cross sections) of charm and bottom quarks produced from the initial hard nucleon-nucleon scatterings. For $p + p$ collisions, the CT14NLO [54] parton distribution functions (PDFs) of nucleons are used. By sam-




FIG. 1: (Color online) (a) The differential cross sections of D and B mesons as functions of p_T in $p + p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, compared to the CMS data [58, 59]. (b) The cross sections of B_c -decayed $\mu\mu\mu$ as functions of the magnitude of the vector sum of the three muon momenta in $p + p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV and 200 GeV, compared to the CMS data at 5.02 TeV [37].

pling heavy quarks based on their p_T spectra using the Monte-Carlo (MC) method and then converting them into hadrons using the Pythia (Peterson/SLAC) fragmentation [55], one can obtain a reasonable description of the p_T spectra of D and B mesons in $p + p$ collisions, as shown in Fig. 1(a). For A+A collisions, the cold nuclear matter effects on the p_T spectra of heavy quarks are introduced by modifying the nucleon PDFs with the EPPS16 parameterizations [56], and the initial positions of heavy quarks are sampled using the MC-Glauber model [57]. These heavy quarks will then interact with the QGP and hadronize via hybrid fragmentation and coalescence models, as will be detailed in the next section.

In $p + p$ collisions, B_c mesons predominantly originate from the fragmentation of bottom quarks, while the contribution from charm quark fragmentation is small [50]. For example, a dominant channel of B_c production is $gg \rightarrow B_c^+ + b + \bar{c}$ [39, 60, 61], where two gluons produce a pair of b and \bar{b} quarks, and the \bar{b} quark emits a gluon that further splits into a pair of c and \bar{c} quarks. The \bar{b} and c quarks combine into a B_c^+ meson, leaving a b quark and a \bar{c} quark that can fragment into other hadrons. Simi-

larly, b (\bar{b}) quarks generated from the quark annihilation process ($q\bar{q} \rightarrow b\bar{b}$) and the flavor excitation process (e.g., $gb \rightarrow gb$ or $qb \rightarrow qb$) can also produce B_c^\pm mesons in similar ways.

Effectively, one may construct a fragmentation function for B_c production from b quarks [50]:

$$D_{b \rightarrow B_c^-}(z) = N \frac{rz(1-z)^2}{[1-(1-r)z]^6} \times [6 - 18(1-2r)z + (21 - 74r + 68r^2)z^2 - 2(1-r)(6 - 19r + 18r^2)z^3 + 3(1-r)^2(1-2r + 2r^2)z^4], \quad (1)$$

and fit it from the experimental data. Here, z represents the p_T ratio between the B_c meson and its parent b quark, $r = m_c/(m_c + m_b)$, where the masses of the c and b quarks are set as $m_c = 1.27$ GeV and $m_b = 4.19$ GeV in this work. The overall constant factor $N = 0.002$ is determined from the CMS data of $p + p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV [37], as shown in Fig. 1(b).

Here in Fig. 1(b), we first calculate the p_T spectrum of b quarks using the FONLL package, and then convolute it with Eq. (1) to obtain the spectrum of B_c mesons:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{B_c}}{d^2p_T^{B_c}}(p_T) = \int_0^1 dz \frac{1}{z^2} \frac{d\sigma_b}{d^2p_T^b} \left(\frac{p_T}{z} \right) D_{b \rightarrow B_c^-}(z). \quad (2)$$

The B_c mesons correspond to the sum of B_c^+ and B_c^- mesons in both our calculation and the experimental data. Since the experiment measures B_c mesons through the $B_c^+ \rightarrow (J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^- \mu^+) \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ and its charge conjugate channels [37], the branching factors (BFs) – $B(B_c^- \rightarrow J/\psi \mu^- \bar{\nu}) \approx 1.95\%$ [62] and $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) \approx 5.96\%$ [63] – are applied when we compare our cross section of B_c mesons to the data. In addition, a p_T shift – $p_T^{\mu\mu\mu} \approx 0.85 p_T^{B_c}$ [37] – has been taken into account. The overall factor $N = 0.002$ extracted from this comparison indicates about 0.3% of b quarks fragment to B_c mesons.

Figure 1 suggests the perturbative calculation above can provide a satisfactory description of heavy quark and hadron production in $p + p$ collisions, and therefore establish a reliable baseline for our further study of their nuclear modification in A+A collisions. A prediction of the B_c spectrum in $p + p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV is also provided for future validation. In A+A collisions, the production positions of these initially produced B_c mesons are sampled using the MC-Glauber model before they interact with the QGP.

III. MEDIUM MODIFICATION OF HEAVY QUARKS AND B_c MESONS

A. Linear Boltzmann transport for heavy quark evolution inside the QGP

We apply the linear Boltzmann transport (LBT) model [42, 43] to describe the medium modification of

charm and bottom quarks inside the QGP. This provides realistic medium-modified charm and bottom quark spectra for studying the regeneration of B_c mesons. The same numerical framework can also be extended to study the dissociation of B_c mesons inside the QGP. In the LBT model, the phase space distribution of heavy quarks $f_a(x_a, p_a)$ evolves according the Boltzmann equation:

$$p_a \cdot \partial f_a(x_a, p_a) = E_a(\mathcal{C}_{el} + \mathcal{C}_{inel}). \quad (3)$$

Here, $x_a = (t, \vec{x}_a)$ denotes the four-position of heavy quarks and $p_a = (E_a, \vec{p}_a)$ denotes their four-momentum. On the right hand side, the collision integral includes contributions from both elastic and inelastic processes.

For an elastic scattering between a heavy quark a and a thermal parton b inside the QGP to final partons c and d , its rate can be extracted from the Boltzmann equation above as

$$\Gamma_{ab \rightarrow cd}^{el}(\vec{p}_a, T) = \frac{\gamma_b}{2E_a} \int \frac{d^3p_b}{(2\pi)^3 2E_b} \frac{d^3p_c}{(2\pi)^3 2E_c} \frac{d^3p_d}{(2\pi)^3 2E_d} \times f_b(\vec{p}_b, T) [1 \pm f_c(\vec{p}_c, T)] [1 \pm f_d(\vec{p}_d, T)] \times \theta[s - (m_a + \mu_D)^2] \times (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_a + p_b - p_c - p_d) |\mathcal{M}_{ab \rightarrow cd}|^2. \quad (4)$$

The γ_b factor represents the spin-color degeneracy of the medium partons, and their distributions (f_b and f_d) take the thermal forms when the local temperature of the medium (T) is given. The term f_c can be generally neglected considering the dilute density of heavy quarks inside the QGP compared to that of light partons. The \pm sign takes into account the Bose enhancement and Fermi suppression effects on scatterings, and the θ -function is introduced to require the center-of-mass energy (\sqrt{s}) of a and b to be larger than the sum of the heavy quark mass and the Debye screening mass μ_D .

The matrix element $\mathcal{M}_{ab \rightarrow cd}$ is evaluated using a potential scattering model, in which an in-medium Cornell-type potential is assumed for interactions between heavy quarks and thermal light partons [64]:

$$V(r) = V_Y(r) + V_S(r) = -\frac{4}{3} \alpha_s \frac{e^{-m_d r}}{r} - \frac{\sigma e^{-m_s r}}{m_s}, \quad (5)$$

where the first term represents the short-range Yukawa interaction, and the second term represents the long-range string interaction. Here, α_s and σ are two parameters that control the coupling strengths associated with the Yukawa and string interactions, respectively; and m_d and m_s are their corresponding screening masses. The screening mass m_d of the Yukawa part is also used as μ_D in Eq. (4). We use the values $\alpha_s = 0.27$, $\sigma = 0.45$ GeV², $m_d = a + bT$, and $m_s = \sqrt{a_s + b_s T}$ with $a = 0.20$ GeV, $b = 2.0$, $a_s = 0$, and $b_s = 0.10$ GeV, which provide a reasonable description of the nuclear modification factors and elliptic flow coefficients of D mesons and decay products of D and B mesons at RHIC and LHC [44, 64, 65]. The potential obtained using these values also appears consistent with the lattice QCD data [64, 66].

The potential in the momentum space can be obtained from Eq. (5) via a Fourier transformation as

$$V(\vec{q}) = -\frac{4\pi\alpha_s C_F}{m_d^2 + |\vec{q}|^2} - \frac{8\pi\sigma}{(m_s^2 + |\vec{q}|^2)^2}, \quad (6)$$

where \vec{q} represents the momentum exchange between heavy quarks and thermal partons, and $C_F = 4/3$ is the color factor. This potential is then employed as an effective gluon propagator between heavy quarks and thermal partons for evaluating their scattering amplitudes:

$$\begin{aligned} i\mathcal{M} &= i\mathcal{M}_Y + i\mathcal{M}_S \\ &= \bar{u}(p')\gamma^\mu u(p)V_Y(\vec{q})\bar{u}(k')\gamma_\mu u(k) \\ &\quad + \bar{u}(p')u(p)V_S(\vec{q})\bar{u}(k')u(k). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Here, we use a vector interaction vertex for the Yukawa part and require it reproduces the perturbative matrix elements of heavy-light-quark scatterings at the leading order [67], and assume a scalar interaction vertex for the string part [68]. Detailed expressions of the scattering matrices can be found in our earlier work [44]. Note that the Yukawa part of $|\mathcal{M}|^2$ contains an α_s^2 term. In a $2 \rightarrow 2$ scattering process, one α_s is associated with the interaction vertex that connects to the thermal parton inside the QGP, and the other connects to the heavy quark. We take a fixed value $\alpha_s = 0.27$ for the former, while a running value $\alpha_s = 4\pi/[9\ln(2ET/\Lambda^2)]$ for the latter, with $\Lambda = 0.2$ GeV. We use zero masses for light flavor partons, and 1.27 GeV for charm quarks and 4.19 GeV for bottom quarks.

Using these scattering matrices, we calculate the elastic scattering rate of heavy quarks ($\Gamma_{a,i}^{\text{el}}$) through a given channel i using Eq. (4). The total rate is then $\Gamma_a^{\text{el}} = \sum_i \Gamma_{a,i}^{\text{el}}$, and the scattering probability during a time step Δt is given by $P_a^{\text{el}} = 1 - e^{-\Gamma_a^{\text{el}}\Delta t}$.

For inelastic scatterings, their rate is associated with the number of medium-induced gluons emitted by heavy quarks per unit time as

$$\Gamma_a^{\text{inel}}(E_a, T, t) = \int dx dl_\perp^2 \frac{dN_g^a}{dx dl_\perp^2 dt}, \quad (8)$$

where the medium-induced gluon spectrum is obtained from the higher-twist energy loss calculation [69–72]:

$$\frac{dN_g^a}{dx dl_\perp^2 dt} = \frac{2C_A\alpha_s P_a(x) l_\perp^4 \hat{q}_a}{\pi(l_\perp^2 + x^2 m_a^2)^4} \sin^2\left(\frac{t - t_i}{2\tau_f}\right). \quad (9)$$

Here, x and l_\perp are the energy fraction and the transverse momentum of the emitted gluon relative to the heavy quark, $P_a(x)$ denotes the splitting function of heavy quark, m_a is the heavy quark mass, and the aforementioned running coupling α_s is used here. The formation time of a medium-induced gluon is given by $\tau_f = 2E_a x(1-x)/(l_\perp^2 + x^2 m_a^2)$, where t_i represents the production time of the heavy quark, or the time when its previous gluon emission happens, and t is the current time. The jet transport coefficient \hat{q}_a , which characterizes the transverse momentum broadening square of




FIG. 2: (Color online) The R_{AA} of D and B mesons as functions of p_T in Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, compared to the CMS data [58, 59].

the heavy quark per unit time due to elastic scatterings, can be obtained using Eq. (4) with a weight factor $[\vec{p}_c - (\vec{p}_c \cdot \hat{p}_a)\hat{p}_a]^2$ inserted into the integral. Therefore, effects of the string interaction is included in the medium-induced gluon emission process. With Eq. (8), the inelastic scattering probability of a heavy quark within a time step Δt is $P_a^{\text{inel}} = 1 - e^{-\Gamma_a^{\text{inel}}\Delta t}$.

To combine elastic and inelastic processes, we add their scattering rates as:

$$\Gamma_a^{\text{tot}} = \Gamma_a^{\text{el}} + \Gamma_a^{\text{inel}}. \quad (10)$$

The total scattering probability then reads:

$$P_a^{\text{tot}} = 1 - e^{-\Gamma_a^{\text{tot}}\Delta t} = P_a^{\text{el}} + P_a^{\text{inel}} - P_a^{\text{el}}P_a^{\text{inel}}, \quad (11)$$

which can be divided into the probability of pure elastic scattering $P_a^{\text{el}}(1 - P_a^{\text{inel}})$ and the probability of inelastic scattering P_a^{inel} . Using these integrated probabilities and their corresponding differential rates, we use the MC method to simulate the heavy quark evolution through the QGP medium. The medium background, its local temperature and flow velocity information, is generated using the (3+1)-dimensional viscous hydrodynamic model CLVisc [73–76], which has been tuned to describe the soft hadron observables at RHIC and the LHC. Heavy quarks start interacting with the medium at the initial time of its hydrodynamic evolution (0.6 fm), and then scatter through the medium according to our LBT model until the local temperature of the medium drops below their hadronization temperature T_h . On the hypersurface of T_h , heavy quarks are converted into different species of heavy flavor hadrons. We use the hybrid fragmentation and coalescence model developed in Ref. [49] to produce single-heavy-quark hadrons, e.g., D and B mesons, at $T_h = 165$ MeV. This model will also be extended to B_c production later in this work.

As a validation of the LBT model, we present in Fig. 2 the R_{AA} of D and B mesons in Pb+Pb collisions at




FIG. 3: (Color online) The binding energies of the $1S$ and $1P$ states of B_c mesons as functions of the medium temperature, extracted from Ref. [40].

$\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV. With our model setup, a reasonable description of the experimental data can be obtained. This indicates reliable medium-modified spectra of charm and bottom quarks inside the QGP, based on which we can further study their recombination into B_c mesons.

B. Dissociation of B_c mesons

The quasifree dissociation method is employed to calculate the dissociation rate of B_c mesons inside the QGP. This method was first developed to study the dissociation of heavy quarkonia [45] and then extended to B_c mesons [40].

We consider the process $i + B_c \rightarrow c + \bar{b} + i$ ($i = q, \bar{q}, g$), where the bound state of B_c is destroyed when either of its constituent quarks (c or \bar{b}) scatters with a thermal parton inside the QGP (i) with a 4-momentum transfer whose magnitude exceeds the binding energy of B_c . Therefore, the dissociation rate of B_c mesons reads

$$\Gamma_{B_c}^{\text{diss}}(\vec{p}_{B_c}, T) = \Gamma_c(\vec{p}_c, T) + \Gamma_{\bar{b}}(\vec{p}_{\bar{b}}, T), \quad (12)$$

in which Γ_c and $\Gamma_{\bar{b}}$ can be evaluated using Eq. (4) with a step function inserted into the integral requiring that the magnitude of the 4-momentum change of the c (or \bar{b}) quark is greater than the binding energy of B_c mesons. Since $\vec{p}_{B_c} = \vec{p}_c + \vec{p}_{\bar{b}} = \gamma_c m_c \vec{v}_c + \gamma_{\bar{b}} m_{\bar{b}} \vec{v}_{\bar{b}}$ and $\vec{v}_c = \vec{v}_{\bar{b}}$ inside a B_c , we have $\vec{p}_c = m_c \vec{p}_{B_c} / (m_c + m_{\bar{b}})$ and $\vec{p}_{\bar{b}} = m_{\bar{b}} \vec{p}_{B_c} / (m_c + m_{\bar{b}})$ in Eq. (12).

In this work, we take the binding energies of B_c mesons from Ref. [40], where the in-medium spectral functions of B_c mesons are evaluated using the T -matrix method, and the binding energy of a given B_c state is then given by the difference between the peak position of its spectral function and the sum of the in-medium charm and bottom quark masses. Shown in Fig. 3 are the temperature-dependent binding energies (E_B) of the $1S$ and $1P$ states of B_c mesons. In literature, the temperature where E_B




FIG. 4: (Color online) The dissociation rates of $B_c(1S)$ mesons as functions of (a) the medium temperature and (b) the B_c momentum, compared between contributions from the Yukawa and string interactions.

becomes zero is called the “dissociation temperature” of the corresponding state. It is 420 MeV for $B_c(1S)$ and 260 MeV for $B_c(1P)$. Above this value, a B_c meson melts as long as one of its constituent heavy quarks scatters with the medium.

Using the binding energy, we calculate the dissociation rate of $B_c(1S)$ based on Eq. (12) and present its dependences on the medium temperature and the momentum of B_c mesons in Fig. 4. Contributions from the Yukawa and string interactions are compared. In Fig. 4(a), we see the dissociation rate gradually increases with the medium temperature if only the Yukawa interaction is taken into account, which can be understood with the increasing scattering rate of heavy quarks inside the QGP caused by the increasing density of thermal partons, together with the decreasing binding energy of B_c mesons, as temperature increases. Compared to the Yukawa interaction, the string interaction falls much more quickly as temperature increases, leading to a decrease of the heavy quark scattering rate as temperature increases. This competes with the decreasing binding energy of B_c mesons and results in a non-monotonic temperature dependence of the dissociation rate if only the string interaction is taken into account. Since the string interaction is more sen-

sitive to small momentum exchanges than the Yukawa interaction, we note that the binding energy cut has a stronger impact on the former than the latter when calculating the dissociation rate. In Fig. 4(b), as the B_c momentum increases, we observe a gradual increase of its dissociation rate due to the Yukawa interaction, while a decrease due to the string interaction, which are in line with the perturbative and non-perturbative features of the Yukawa and string interactions, respectively. In general, the string interaction gives a larger dissociation rate of B_c mesons compared to the Yukawa interaction, especially at low momentum.

Based on the dissociation rate Γ^{diss} , the dissociation probability for a B_c meson during a time step Δt is given by $P^{\text{diss}} = 1 - e^{-\Gamma^{\text{diss}} \Delta t}$. This is implemented in our LBT model for a MC simulation of B_c mesons inside the QGP. We use the vacuum masses of B_c mesons, 6.324 GeV for their $1S$ state and 6.711 GeV for their $1P$ state predicted by the T -matrix calculation [40], to relate their energies and momenta in our simulation.

C. B_c production from medium-modified heavy quarks

Bottom quarks can fragment into B_c mesons after they travel outside the QGP medium, in the same way as they do in $p + p$ collisions. We extract the medium-modified spectrum of bottom quarks at $T_h = 165$ MeV, and convert it into the spectra of B_c mesons using Eqs. (1) and (2).

In relativistic heavy-ion experiments, a large number of charm and bottom quarks can be produced in each collision event, especially at the LHC energy. This enhances the probability of coalescence between a pair of c (\bar{c}) and \bar{b} (b) quarks into a B_c^+ (B_c^-) meson. This is also known as the regeneration or recombination process of B_c mesons. To study this process, we extend the instantaneous coalescence model developed for coalescence between a heavy quark and a thermal light quark [49] to coalescence between a c (\bar{c}) quark and a \bar{b} (b) quark.

For B_c mesons at a given state, their momentum distribution from recombination can be given by:

$$\frac{d^3 N_{B_c}}{d^3 p_{B_c}} = C_{\text{rec}} g_{B_c} \int d^3 p_c d^3 p_{\bar{b}} \frac{d^3 N_c}{d^3 p_c} \frac{d^3 N_{\bar{b}}}{d^3 p_{\bar{b}}} \times \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p}_{B_c} - \vec{p}_c - \vec{p}_{\bar{b}}) W(\vec{k}). \quad (13)$$

Here, C_{rec} is an overall normalization factor, which will be extracted from the experimental data later. The statistical factor g_{B_c} takes into account the spin-color degrees of freedom (DOF) of the initial and final states. Since $\text{DOF} = 36$ for the initial charm and bottom quarks, and there are 4 $B_c(1S)$ states (1^1S_0 and 3^3S_1) and 12 $B_c(1P)$ states (3^1P_1 , 1^3P_0 , 3^3P_1 , and 5^3P_2), $g_{B_c} = 1/9$ for $B_c(1S)$ and $1/3$ for $B_c(1P)$. The heavy quark spectra $d^3 N_c/d^3 p_c$ and $d^3 N_{\bar{b}}/d^3 p_{\bar{b}}$ are extracted from our LBT simulation. For simplicity, we take an




FIG. 5: (Color online) The QGP volumes within a space-time rapidity gap of 1, as functions of the participant number, at different average temperatures in Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV and Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 200$ GeV.

average hadronization temperature $T_h = 220$ MeV for $B_c(1S)$ and $T_h = 165$ MeV for $B_c(1P)$, considering that the latter is more loosely bounded than the former. In the end, we assume all $B_c(1P)$ mesons decay into $B_c(1S)$ mesons, and add their spectra together.

In Eq. (13), the Wigner function $W(\vec{k})$ provides the probability for a charm quark and an anti-bottom quark to combine into a B_c meson. It is given by the wavefunction overlap between the free quark state and the B_c bound state. By assuming a simple harmonic oscillator potential between the c and \bar{b} quarks, one can obtain the following momentum space Wigner functions for the $1S$ and $1P$ states of a two-body system:

$$W_s(\vec{k}) = \frac{(2\sqrt{\pi}\sigma)^3}{V} e^{-\sigma^2 k^2}, \quad (14)$$

$$W_p(\vec{k}) = \frac{(2\sqrt{\pi}\sigma)^3}{V} \frac{2}{3} \sigma^2 k^2 e^{-\sigma^2 k^2}. \quad (15)$$

Here, we have averaged over the coordinate space with a volume V . The relative momentum \vec{k} between the two heavy quarks, $\vec{k} = (m_{\bar{b}}\vec{p}'_c - m_c\vec{p}'_{\bar{b}})/(m_c + m_{\bar{b}})$, is defined in their center-of-momentum frame (the rest frame of B_c) with $\vec{p}'_c = -\vec{p}'_{\bar{b}}$. The width parameter $\sigma = 1/\sqrt{\mu\omega}$, with μ the reduced mass of the two-body system and ω the frequency of the harmonic oscillator, can be related to the mean-square radii of B_c mesons as

$$\sigma^2(1S) = \frac{4}{3} \frac{(m_c + m_{\bar{b}})^2}{m_c^2 + m_{\bar{b}}^2} \langle r_{1S}^2 \rangle, \quad (16)$$

$$\sigma^2(1P) = \frac{4}{5} \frac{(m_c + m_{\bar{b}})^2}{m_c^2 + m_{\bar{b}}^2} \langle r_{1P}^2 \rangle. \quad (17)$$

We follow Ref. [40] and take $r_{1S} = 0.35$ fm and $r_{1P} = 0.75$ fm, which are assumed to lie between the radii of charmonium and bottomonium states [27]. We have verified that the uncertainties in these radii have minor impact on the B_c spectra obtained from this coalescence

model. With Eq. (13), the p_T distribution of B_c mesons is then given by

$$\frac{d^3 N_{B_c}}{dy d^2 p_T^{B_c}} = E_{B_c} \frac{d^3 N_{B_c}}{d^3 p_{B_c}}. \quad (18)$$

We note that compared to our earlier work [49] where the coalescence between a heavy quark and a thermal light quark is studied, two differences exist for the coalescence between two heavy quarks here. First, in literature, the coalescence probability between a heavy quark and a thermal light quark is often normalized to 1 for zero momentum heavy quarks, since flavor is conserved for heavy quarks during their hadronization and low energy heavy quarks cannot fragment. On the other hand, B_c mesons only contribute a tiny fraction to heavy flavor hadrons, and thus one cannot use the normalization condition above to determine the coefficient C_{rec} in Eq. (13) or σ in Eqs. (14) and (15). In Ref. [40], C_{rec} is adjusted according to the thermal limit of the yield of B_c mesons given by the detailed balance between their dissociation and regeneration. However, since the instantaneous coalescence model is not bound by such thermal limit and heavy quarks are not necessarily thermalized with the QGP in realistic heavy-ion collisions, we choose to determine C_{rec} from the experimental data on the B_c meson R_{AA} later. This is the only parameter we adjust for the coalescence model in this work. Also due to the small number of B_c mesons relative to the total number charm and bottom quarks, we neglect the competition between coalescence and fragmentation processes of producing B_c mesons, and the competition between productions of B_c mesons and other heavy flavor hadrons.

Second, for coalescence between a heavy quark and a thermal light quark, the volume of the QGP does not affect the yield of heavy flavor hadrons via coalescence, since the proportionality of the light quark spectra $d^3 N_l/d^3 p_l$ to V in Eq. (13) cancels the V in Eq. (14) or (15). However, the numbers of charm and bottom quarks are determined by the binary collision number in the initial hard nucleus-nucleon collisions, which is not directly proportional to the QGP volume. As a result, the yield of B_c mesons from the coalescence process is sensitive to the QGP volume. This can be reflected in the centrality (or participant number) dependence of the B_c yield, or the difference of its yields between Au+Au and Pb+Pb collisions, as we will discuss in the next section. In Fig. 5, we present the volume of the QGP extracted from our hydrodynamic simulations. On the transverse ($z = 0$) plane, when the average temperature (converted from the average energy density and the equation of state) of the QGP approaches a given value (e.g. 220 MeV for $B_c(1S)$ production and 165 MeV for $B_c(1P)$ production) at a proper time τ , we extract the area (A) of the QGP region and estimate the volume by $V = A\tau\Delta y$, with $\Delta y = 1$ the rapidity widow we use to analyze the B_c spectra – within $y \in (-0.5, 0.5)$. In the figure, we see the QGP volume increases with N_{part} . It is larger when the average medium temperature is lower, and is larger




FIG. 6: (Color online) The R_{AA} of B_c mesons as a function of N_{part} in Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV, fit to the CMS data [37]. The band corresponds to a range of $C_{\text{rec}} \in (3.6, 6.3)$ used in the recombination model.

in Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV than in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 200$ GeV.

IV. THE NUCLEAR MODIFICATION FACTOR OF B_c MESONS

To quantify the QGP effects on B_c mesons in relativistic heavy-ion collisions, we calculate their nuclear modification factor as

$$R_{AA}(p_T) \equiv \frac{dN^{AA}/dp_T}{dN^{pp}/dp_T \times \langle N_{\text{coll}} \rangle}, \quad (19)$$

where dN^{AA}/dp_T and dN^{pp}/dp_T denote their p_T spectra in A+A and $p+p$ collisions, respectively, and $\langle N_{\text{coll}} \rangle$ is the average number of binary nucleon-nucleon collisions in each A+A collision.

In Fig. 6, we first present the p_T -integrated R_{AA} of B_c mesons as a function of the participant number. In $p+p$ collisions, B_c mesons are produced by b quark fragmentation, as described in Sec. II; and in A+A collisions, we include contributions from the primordially produced B_c mesons that survive dissociation, fragmentation of b quarks after medium modification, and recombination between medium-modified c and b quarks, as described in Sec. III. The model parameter C_{rec} in Eq. (13) is determined by ensuring that our model's output for the B_c meson R_{AA} falls within the error bars of the experimental data [37]. To take into account the 15% shift of p_T from a B_c meson to three muons, we integrate the spectra of B_c mesons within $7 < p_T^{B_c} < 13$ GeV when comparing their R_{AA} to the data analyzed within $6 < p_T^{\mu\mu\mu} < 11$ GeV. In the end, C_{rec} is constrained within (3.6, 6.3).

The participant number dependence of the B_c meson R_{AA} is affected by several competing factors. As N_{part} increases, more heavy quarks are produced in each heavy-ion collision event, leading to an enhanced production of B_c mesons through coalescence. On the other hand,




FIG. 7: (Color online) The R_{AA} of B_c mesons as a function of N_{part} in Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV, compared between using varying and fixed volumes of the QGP in the recombination model.

as discussed in Sec. III C, the QGP volume increases as N_{part} increases, which suppresses the coalescence probabilities between charm and bottom quarks, or the Wigner functions. Meanwhile, in more central collisions, one expects stronger dissociation of the primordially produced B_c mesons, and stronger energy loss of charm and bottom quarks that influences the B_c spectrum through coalescence. The combination of these effects lead to a weak dependence of the B_c meson R_{AA} on N_{part} within the current kinematic range explored at CMS. As discussed in Sec. III C, the volume dependence is a unique feature of the coalescence production of B_c mesons, which is absent in the production of single-heavy-quark hadrons. In Fig. 7, we investigate how the QGP volume affects the R_{AA} of B_c mesons. If fixed volumes are used instead of varying volumes with N_{part} , one would observe an increasing R_{AA} of B_c mesons as N_{part} increases. Here, for illustrative purposes, we use fixed volumes of 310 fm^3 for $B_c(1S)$ and 990 fm^3 for $B_c(1P)$, corresponding to the values at $N_{\text{part}} \approx 193$ (20-30% centrality) in Fig. 5. Such volume dependence of coalescence can be verified with more precise experimental data in the future.

In Fig. 8, we further study the p_T -differentiated R_{AA} of B_c mesons in Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV, and its dependences on different production mechanisms of B_c mesons in heavy-ion collisions and different interaction dynamics of heavy quarks inside the QGP. In Fig. 8(a), one can observe that the majority of the initially produced B_c mesons dissociate inside the QGP when both Yukawa and string interactions are included in our model calculation. The final state B_c mesons in heavy-ion collisions are mainly produced by fragmentation of medium-modified bottom quarks and coalescence between medium-modified charm and bottom quarks: the former dominates at high p_T while the latter dominates at low p_T . The bands here correspond to the range of $C_{\text{rec}} \in (3.6, 6.3)$ we extracted for the coalescence process from the p_T -integrated R_{AA} earlier. We note that




FIG. 8: (Color online) The p_T -dependent R_{AA} of B_c mesons as functions of $p_T^{\mu\mu}$ in Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV, compared between contributions from (a) the dissociation, fragmentation, and recombination processes, and (b) the Yukawa and string interactions between heavy quarks and the QGP. The CMS data [37] are shown for comparison.

our current calculation of dissociation involves certain simplifications. All B_c mesons initially produced from vacuum fragmentation of b quarks are assumed to be in the $1S$ state, dissociation of the $1P$ state has not been included. Besides, there is double counting of B_c mesons produced from fragmentation of both initially generated b quarks and medium-modified b quarks. A more rigorous calculation should consider the formation times of different states of B_c mesons in vacuum, and only take into account dissociation of the B_c mesons that form before the initial time of the QGP medium. Furthermore, inelastic scatterings between heavy quarks and the QGP can also cause dissociation of B_c mesons, which is beyond the $i + B_c \rightarrow c + \bar{b} + i$ process we consider in the present work. However, since only a tiny fraction of b quarks can fragment into B_c mesons and most primordial B_c mesons are destroyed by the QGP, these simplifications have negligible impact on our final results and will be improved in our future efforts.

Contributions from the Yukawa and string interactions between heavy quarks and the QGP to the B_c meson R_{AA} are compared in Fig. 8(b). Compared to the Yukawa in-




FIG. 9: (Color online) Predictions of the B_c meson R_{AA} in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV and their comparisons to results in Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV: (a) for their dependences on p_T , and (b) for their dependences on N_{part} within two p_T ranges.

teraction, the much more intense string interaction leads to not only stronger dissociation of the primordially produced B_c mesons, but also enhanced energy loss of heavy quarks that results in an increased $c+\bar{b} \rightarrow B_c$ coalescence at low p_T and a suppressed $\bar{b} \rightarrow B_c$ fragmentation at high p_T . Within our model, the string interaction dominates the B_c meson R_{AA} .

In the end, we provide predictions of the B_c meson R_{AA} in Au+Au collisions at the RHIC energy, and compare them with the current LHC results. Shown in Fig. 9(a) are the p_T dependences of the B_c meson R_{AA} at the RHIC and LHC energies. At low p_T where the coalescence mechanism dominates, we observe larger R_{AA} in Au+Au collisions at the RHIC energy than in Pb+Pb collisions at the LHC energy. This is opposite to one's expectation that the less abundant heavy quarks produced at the RHIC energy should produce less B_c mesons through coalescence than at the LHC energy. Indeed, we have verified that the yield of B_c mesons through coalescence at RHIC is smaller than that at the LHC. However, their difference is reduced by the smaller QGP volume at RHIC than at the LHC. Moreover, due to the much lower $p+p$ baseline of the B_c spectra at RHIC than

at the LHC, as shown in Fig. 1(b), one would obtain a larger R_{AA} at RHIC than at the LHC at low p_T . At high p_T where the b quark energy loss and fragmentation dominates, we observe smaller R_{AA} at RHIC than at the LHC. This may also be opposite to one's expectation that b quarks lose less energy and is less quenched at RHIC. However, the much softer spectra of b quarks (or B_c mesons) at RHIC, as shown in Fig. 1(b), can lead to a smaller R_{AA} at RHIC than at the LHC even though the energy loss of b quarks has the opposite effect. The same conclusions can be drawn from Fig. 9(b) where the p_T -integrated R_{AA} 's are shown as functions of N_{part} for different p_T ranges. Within $7 < p_T^{B_c} < 13$ GeV where coalescence has a strong impact, the R_{AA} at RHIC is larger than that at the LHC. In this region, the R_{AA} exhibits a weak dependence on N_{part} due to the interplay between the heavy quark abundance and the QGP volume in coalescence, as well as effects of the B_c meson dissociation and the b quark energy loss and fragmentation. Within $13 < p_T^{B_c} < 41$ GeV where fragmentation dominates, the R_{AA} at RHIC is smaller than that at the LHC. In this region, the R_{AA} decreases as N_{part} increases because of the stronger b quark energy loss in more central collisions.

V. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

We develop a comprehensive model calculation on the nuclear modification of B_c mesons in relativistic heavy-ion collisions. The spectra of charm and bottom quarks produced from the initial hard collisions are evaluated using a perturbative calculation, and the spectra of B_c mesons in $p+p$ collisions are obtained by convoluting the b quark spectra with a fragmentation function. Heavy quark evolution inside the QGP medium is simulated using the LBT model that includes both elastic and inelastic scatterings processes, in which both Yukawa and string types of interactions are taken into account. This LBT model is extended to describe the melting of the primordially produced B_c mesons based on the quasifree dissociation picture. In the end, B_c mesons are also produced from the medium-modified heavy quarks via both coalescence and fragmentation processes.

We find that the B_c mesons produced by the initial hard collisions have negligible contribution to the final yield of B_c mesons in relativistic heavy-ion collisions due to their large dissociation rate inside the QGP when the string interaction is included. In heavy-ion collisions, the production of B_c mesons is dominated by coalescence between medium-modified charm and bottom quarks at low p_T , while it is dominated by fragmentation of medium-modified bottom quarks at high p_T . The string interaction dominates the nuclear modification of B_c mesons over the Yukawa interaction in our model. The participant number dependence of the B_c meson R_{AA} relies on the competition between the heavy quark abundance and the QGP volume in coalescence, together with the dissociation of B_c mesons and the energy loss of heavy

quarks. The R_{AA} weakly depends on N_{part} at low p_T , while decreases as N_{part} increases at high p_T . We obtain a reasonable description of the B_c meson R_{AA} in Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, and predict that their R_{AA} should be larger at low p_T while smaller at high p_T in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV, mainly due to different heavy quark and B_c spectra in $p+p$ collisions at different beam energies. This can be tested by the RHIC experiments in the near future.

While our work achieves a simultaneous description of the nuclear modification of single-heavy-quark mesons and B_c mesons in energetic nuclear collisions, it needs improvement in several directions. Unlike the heavy quark evolution part which is fully implemented using the MC method in the LBT model, only the dissociation process of B_c mesons is realized in MC simulation. For the coalescence process, semi-analytical calculation of the B_c spectra is conducted based on the medium-modified charm and bottom quark spectra extracted from the LBT model. This requires a rough estimation of the average temperature and QGP volume when coalescence happens, which may introduce non-negligible uncertainties to the yield of B_c mesons due to the sensitivity of coalescence to the QGP volume. In addition, the current

implementation cannot take into account secondary dissociation of B_c mesons that are regenerated inside the QGP, which could be important. A full MC simulation where heavy quarks and B_c mesons can continue converting to each other till the end of the QGP phase is desired. Furthermore, extension of the B_c production and evolution beyond their $1S$ and $1P$ states may also be necessary [39, 77]. These will be implemented in our follow-up study.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful for the generous help of Biaoqiang Wu, Ralf Rapp, and Jiaying Zhao in developing our model, and the valuable discussions with Rui-Qin Wang, Zefang Jiang, Min He, and Xin-Nian Wang. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grant Nos. 12175122, 2021-867, 12225503, 11935007, and 12321005. W.-J. Xing is also supported by the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation under Grant No. 2023M742099.

-
- [1] M. Gyulassy and L. McLerran, Nucl. Phys. A **750**, 30 (2005), arXiv:nucl-th/0405013.
 - [2] P. Jacobs and X.-N. Wang, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **54**, 443 (2005), arXiv:hep-ph/0405125.
 - [3] B. Muller, J. Schukraft, and B. Wyslouch, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **62**, 361 (2012), arXiv:1202.3233.
 - [4] A. Andronic *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, 107 (2016), arXiv:1506.03981.
 - [5] X. Dong, Y.-J. Lee, and R. Rapp, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **69**, 417 (2019), arXiv:1903.07709.
 - [6] X. Dong and V. Greco, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **104**, 97 (2019).
 - [7] S. Cao *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **99**, 054907 (2019), arXiv:1809.07894.
 - [8] A. Beraudo *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A **979**, 21 (2018), arXiv:1803.03824.
 - [9] Y. Xu *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **99**, 014902 (2019), arXiv:1809.10734.
 - [10] F.-L. Liu, X.-Y. Wu, S. Cao, G.-Y. Qin, and X.-N. Wang, Phys. Lett. B **848**, 138355 (2024), arXiv:2304.08787.
 - [11] J. Zhao *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **109**, 054912 (2024), arXiv:2311.10621.
 - [12] T. Matsui and H. Satz, Phys. Lett. B **178**, 416 (1986).
 - [13] H. Satz, J. Phys. G **32**, R25 (2006), arXiv:hep-ph/0512217.
 - [14] R. Vogt, Phys. Rept. **310**, 197 (1999).
 - [15] C.-Y. Wong, Phys. Rev. C **72**, 034906 (2005), arXiv:hep-ph/0408020.
 - [16] R. Rapp, D. Blaschke, and P. Crochet, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **65**, 209 (2010), arXiv:0807.2470.
 - [17] CMS, S. Chatrchyan *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 222301 (2012), arXiv:1208.2826, [Erratum: Phys.Rev.Lett. 120, 199903 (2018)].
 - [18] A. Andronic *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. A **60**, 88 (2024), arXiv:2402.04366.
 - [19] P. Braun-Munzinger and J. Stachel, Phys. Lett. B **490**, 196 (2000), arXiv:nucl-th/0007059.
 - [20] R. L. Thews, M. Schroedter, and J. Rafelski, Phys. Rev. C **63**, 054905 (2001), arXiv:hep-ph/0007323.
 - [21] X. Zhao and R. Rapp, Nucl. Phys. A **859**, 114 (2011), arXiv:1102.2194.
 - [22] X. Yao and B. Müller, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 014008 (2019), arXiv:1811.09644.
 - [23] B. Chen, Chin. Phys. C **43**, 124101 (2019), arXiv:1811.11393.
 - [24] B. Wu and R. Rapp, Universe **10**, 244 (2024), arXiv:2404.09881.
 - [25] ALICE, B. B. Abelev *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **734**, 314 (2014), arXiv:1311.0214.
 - [26] PHENIX, A. Adare *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 232301 (2007), arXiv:nucl-ex/0611020.
 - [27] X. Du, M. He, and R. Rapp, Phys. Rev. C **96**, 054901 (2017), arXiv:1706.08670.
 - [28] Y. Liu, B. Chen, N. Xu, and P. Zhuang, Phys. Lett. B **697**, 32 (2011), arXiv:1009.2585.
 - [29] X. Yao, W. Ke, Y. Xu, S. A. Bass, and B. Müller, JHEP **01**, 046 (2021), arXiv:2004.06746.
 - [30] E. J. Eichten and C. Quigg, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 5845 (1994), arXiv:hep-ph/9402210.
 - [31] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, and V. O. Galkin, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 014027 (2003), arXiv:hep-ph/0210381.
 - [32] S. Godfrey, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 054017 (2004), arXiv:hep-ph/0406228.
 - [33] E. J. Eichten and C. Quigg, Phys. Rev. D **99**, 054025 (2019), arXiv:1902.09735.
 - [34] M. Schroedter, R. L. Thews, and J. Rafelski, Phys. Rev.

- C **62**, 024905 (2000), arXiv:hep-ph/0004041.
- [35] Y. Liu, C. Greiner, and A. Kostyuk, Phys. Rev. C **87**, 014910 (2013), arXiv:1207.2366.
- [36] CDF, F. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 112004 (1998), arXiv:hep-ex/9804014.
- [37] CMS, A. Tumasyan *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 252301 (2022), arXiv:2201.02659.
- [38] B. Chen, L. Wen, and Y. Liu, Phys. Lett. B **834**, 137448 (2022), arXiv:2111.08490.
- [39] J. Zhao and P. Zhuang, (2022), arXiv:2209.13475.
- [40] B. Wu, Z. Tang, M. He, and R. Rapp, Phys. Rev. C **109**, 014906 (2024), arXiv:2302.11511.
- [41] S. Zhao and M. He, Phys. Lett. B **861**, 139283 (2025), arXiv:2407.05234.
- [42] S. Cao, T. Luo, G.-Y. Qin, and X.-N. Wang, Phys. Rev. C **94**, 014909 (2016), arXiv:1605.06447.
- [43] T. Luo, Y. He, S. Cao, and X.-N. Wang, Phys. Rev. C **109**, 034919 (2024), arXiv:2306.13742.
- [44] W.-J. Xing, S.-Q. Li, S. Cao, and G.-Y. Qin, Phys. Rev. C **110**, 024903 (2024), arXiv:2404.12601.
- [45] L. Grandchamp and R. Rapp, Phys. Lett. B **523**, 60 (2001), arXiv:hep-ph/0103124.
- [46] R. J. Fries, V. Greco, and P. Sorensen, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **58**, 177 (2008), arXiv:0807.4939.
- [47] V. Greco, C. M. Ko, and R. Rapp, Phys. Lett. B **595**, 202 (2004), arXiv:nucl-th/0312100.
- [48] S. Plumari, V. Minissale, S. K. Das, G. Coci, and V. Greco, Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, 348 (2018), arXiv:1712.00730.
- [49] S. Cao *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **807**, 135561 (2020), arXiv:1911.00456.
- [50] E. Braaten, K.-m. Cheung, and T. C. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D **48**, R5049 (1993), arXiv:hep-ph/9305206.
- [51] A. V. Berezhnoy, V. V. Kiselev, A. K. Likhoded, and A. I. Onishchenko, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **60**, 1729 (1997), arXiv:hep-ph/9703341.
- [52] M. Cacciari, S. Frixione, and P. Nason, JHEP **03**, 006 (2001), arXiv:hep-ph/0102134.
- [53] M. Cacciari *et al.*, JHEP **10**, 137 (2012), arXiv:1205.6344.
- [54] M. Cacciari, M. L. Mangano, and P. Nason, Eur. Phys. J. C **75**, 610 (2015), arXiv:1507.06197.
- [55] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Z. Skands, JHEP **05**, 026 (2006), arXiv:hep-ph/0603175.
- [56] K. J. Eskola, P. Paakkinen, H. Paukkunen, and C. A. Salgado, Eur. Phys. J. C **77**, 163 (2017), arXiv:1612.05741.
- [57] M. L. Miller, K. Reygers, S. J. Sanders, and P. Steinberg, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **57**, 205 (2007), arXiv:nucl-ex/0701025.
- [58] CMS, A. M. Sirunyan *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **782**, 474 (2018), arXiv:1708.04962.
- [59] CMS, A. M. Sirunyan *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 152301 (2017), arXiv:1705.04727.
- [60] C.-H. Chang and Y.-Q. Chen, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 4086 (1993).
- [61] C.-H. Chang, C. Driouichi, P. Eerola, and X. G. Wu, Comput. Phys. Commun. **159**, 192 (2004), arXiv:hep-ph/0309120.
- [62] LHCb, R. Aaij *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 112006 (2019), arXiv:1910.13404.
- [63] Particle Data Group, R. L. Workman *et al.*, PTEP **2022**, 083C01 (2022).
- [64] W.-J. Xing, G.-Y. Qin, and S. Cao, Phys. Lett. B **838**, 137733 (2023), arXiv:2112.15062.
- [65] Y. Dang, W.-J. Xing, S. Cao, and G.-Y. Qin, Phys. Rev. C **109**, 064901 (2024), arXiv:2307.14808.
- [66] Y. Burnier, O. Kaczmarek, and A. Rothkopf, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 082001 (2015), arXiv:1410.2546.
- [67] B. L. Combridge, Nucl. Phys. B **151**, 429 (1979).
- [68] F. Riek and R. Rapp, Phys. Rev. C **82**, 035201 (2010), arXiv:1005.0769.
- [69] B.-W. Zhang, E. Wang, and X.-N. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 072301 (2004), arXiv:nucl-th/0309040.
- [70] L. Zhang, D.-F. Hou, and G.-Y. Qin, Phys. Rev. C **100**, 034907 (2019), arXiv:1812.11048.
- [71] X.-f. Guo and X.-N. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 3591 (2000), arXiv:hep-ph/0005044.
- [72] A. Majumder, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 014023 (2012), arXiv:0912.2987.
- [73] L. Pang, Q. Wang, and X.-N. Wang, Phys. Rev. C **86**, 024911 (2012), arXiv:1205.5019.
- [74] L.-G. Pang, H. Petersen, and X.-N. Wang, Phys. Rev. C **97**, 064918 (2018), arXiv:1802.04449.
- [75] X.-Y. Wu, L.-G. Pang, G.-Y. Qin, and X.-N. Wang, Phys. Rev. C **98**, 024913 (2018), arXiv:1805.03762.
- [76] X.-Y. Wu, G.-Y. Qin, L.-G. Pang, and X.-N. Wang, Phys. Rev. C **105**, 034909 (2022), arXiv:2107.04949.
- [77] C.-H. Chang, X.-Y. Wang, and X.-G. Wu, Comput. Phys. Commun. **197**, 335 (2015), arXiv:1507.05176.