

IMPROVED REGULARITY ESTIMATES FOR DEGENERATE/SINGULAR FULLY NONLINEAR SYSTEMS AND HARDY-HÉNON-TYPE EQUATIONS

JIANGWEN WANG AND FEIDA JIANG*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the degenerate/singular fully nonlinear dead-core systems coupled with strong absorption terms. Several properties, such as improved regularity for viscosity solutions along the free boundary, non-degeneracy, a measure estimate of free boundary, some Liouville-type results, and the behavior of blow-up solution, are proved. We also investigate sharp and improved regularity estimates for viscosity solutions to Hardy-Hénon-type equations with possibly singular weight and strong absorption ruled by degenerate/singular fully nonlinear operator. Our findings are new even for the model equations, involving a degenerate/singular Laplacian operator.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	8
3. Regularity of solutions along the free boundary	12
4. Special solution	15
5. Geometric properties of the free boundary	16
5.1. Non-degeneracy of solutions	16
5.2. Porosity of the free boundary	17
5.3. Coincidence of the free boundaries	19
6. Liouville type results and blow-up analysis	19
7. Proof of Theorem 1.4, Corollary 1.1 and applications	22
8. Proof of Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.6	24
9. Examples and remarks	26
Acknowledgment	28
References	29

1. INTRODUCTION

The first topic of this paper is to study the regularity properties of viscosity solutions to degenerate/singular fully nonlinear dead-core systems with strong absorption terms

$$(DCS) \quad \begin{cases} |Du|^p F(D^2u, x) = (v_+)^{\lambda_1} & \text{in } B_1 \\ |Dv|^q G(D^2v, x) = (u_+)^{\lambda_2} & \text{in } B_1, \end{cases}$$

where $u_+ = \max\{u, 0\}$, $v_+ = \max\{v, 0\}$, and F and G are fully nonlinear uniformly elliptic operator with uniformly continuous coefficients, namely,

Date: February 17, 2025.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35R35, 35J70, 35J75, 35D40.

Key words and phrases. degenerate/singular fully nonlinear dead-core systems; free boundary; Hardy-Hénon-type equations; viscosity solution.

*corresponding author.

Assumption 1. (Uniformly ellipticity of F, G). For any $N \geq 0$, $M \in \text{Sym}(n)$, $x, y \in B_1$, there holds

$$(1a) \quad \lambda \|N\| \leq F(M + N, x) - F(M, x) \leq \Lambda \|N\|$$

and

$$\lambda \|N\| \leq G(M + N, x) - G(M, x) \leq \Lambda \|N\|,$$

with $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda$.

Assumption 2. (Uniform continuity on the coefficients of F, G). We shall assume

$$(2a) \quad |F(M, x) - F(M, y)| \leq C\omega_1(|x - y|)(1 + \|M\|)$$

and

$$|G(M, x) - G(M, y)| \leq C\omega_2(|x - y|)(1 + \|M\|)$$

for all $M \in \text{Sym}(n)$ and $x, y \in B_1$, where $C > 0$ is a constant and ω_1, ω_2 are a normalized modulus of continuity.

In addition, we also impose some necessary conditions on p, q, λ_1 and λ_2 .

Assumption 3. (p, q and order of reaction λ_1, λ_2). We assume that

$$-1 < p, q < \infty, \quad \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0 \text{ and } \lambda_1 \lambda_2 < (1 + p)(1 + q).$$

In recent years, reaction-diffusion equations characterized by significant absorption terms have attracted considerable attention due to their applications in various fields, including physics, material sciences and chemical engineering. A critical element in the mathematical representation of these problems is the presence of dead-cores, which are areas where the density of the substance (or gas) becomes zero. When the density of one substance is affected by the density of another, the phenomenon is described as a dead-core system. An interesting example is given by

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2u, x) = f(u, v, x) & \text{in } B_1 \\ G(D^2v, x) = g(u, v, x) & \text{in } B_1, \end{cases}$$

where the operators $F, G : \text{Sym}(n) \times B_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f, g : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times B_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ represent the convection terms of the model.

This category of dead-core free boundary problems like **(DCS)** has garnered significant interest over the past four decades. Numerous studies have been conducted on this topic such as the existence of solutions and dead-core set, the asymptotic behavior of solutions, see, for instance, Pucci–Serrin [32] and Bandle et al. [7]. Although there exists a substantial body of literature addressing dead-core problems in the divergence form, the quantitative properties associated with nonlinear systems have been comparatively underexplored. Thereby this has served as the primary motivation for the research in the current paper.

The system **(DCS)** has been studied in three particular cases, namely,

(H1). $p = q = 0, u = v, F = G$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$;

(H2). $-1 < p = q < \infty, F = G$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$;

(H3). $p = q = 0$.

The system **(DCS)** in the **(H1)** case corresponds to the single fully nonlinear equation with strong absorption term

$$(1.1) \quad F(D^2u, x) = (u_+)^{\lambda_1} \text{ in } B_1.$$

The Krylov–Safonov regularity theory in [11] can deduce that viscosity solutions of (1.1) are locally of the class $C^{1,\alpha}$, for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Teixeira in [33], using an improved flatness lemma, scaling techniques and iterative argument, derived the optimal $C^{\frac{2}{1-\lambda_1}}$ regularity along the free boundary $\partial\{u > 0\}$. Later, Araújo et al. [2] employed the same methods as [33] to establish the sharp $C^{\frac{4}{3-\lambda_1}}$ regularity for the

dead-core problem ruled by the infinity Laplacian, $\Delta_\infty u(x) = (u_+)^{\lambda_1}$ in B_1 , where $\lambda_1 \in [0, 3)$ is a constant.

For the **(H2)** case we noticed that **(DCS)** is related to the degenerate/singular fully nonlinear equation

$$(1.2) \quad |Du|^p F(D^2u, x) = (u_+)^{\lambda_1} \quad \text{in } B_1,$$

where $0 \leq \lambda_1 < 1 + p$. Based on a new flatness improvement in [16], da Silva et al. also proved a higher $C^{\frac{2+p}{1+p-\lambda_1}}$ regularity for dead-core solution to (1.2) across the free boundary, and established the Liouville type theorem. Clearly, the result obtained in [33] is a special case of [16]. In the case when $p \geq 0, -1 < \lambda_1 < 1 + p$ in (1.2), Teixeira [34] obtained quantitative regularity estimates for non-negative limiting solutions to (1.2). In the parabolic setting, we refer the readers to da Silva et al. [13–15].

For the **(H3)** case the system **(DCS)** reduces to a class of fully nonlinear elliptic system without degeneracy/singularity. This model was first studied by Araújo et al. [3]. Unlike the single equations (1.1)–(1.2), when solving the system, one may have several difficulties, such as, lacking the comparison principle and being unable to use Perron’s method in the framework of [16, 33]. Nevertheless, the authors in [3] were able to overcome these obstacles via Schaefer’s fixed point theorem, and obtained some weak geometric results such as non-degeneracy, Liouville type results and the measure estimate of free boundary $\partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\}$, where $|(u, v)| := (u_+)^{\frac{1}{1+\lambda_1}} + (v_+)^{\frac{1}{1+\lambda_2}}$.

Motivated by these works [2, 3, 16, 33, 34], the main goal of the first part of this work is to consider geometric and analytic properties of nonnegative solutions of **(DCS)**. To the best of our knowledge, there are very few results for properties of viscosity solutions to **(DCS)**, even for the degenerate/singular Laplacian systems, i.e., $F = G = \Delta$ in **(DCS)**. Moreover, in our work we embrace, to some extent, the previous results of **(H1)**–**(H3)** in a unified way.

We believe that **(H1)**–**(H3)**, and its generalization **(DCS)**, apart from their applications, have inherent connection with various free boundary problems (cf. Alt–Phillips [1], Friedman–Phillips [24] and De Silva–Savin [20–22] for a variational setting and Wu–Yu [35] for a non-variational counterpart). Therefore the study of **(DCS)** is extremely meaningful.

The first main result of our article concerns sharp and improved regularity of dead-core solutions to **(DCS)** along the free boundary $\partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\}$, where

$$|(u, v)| := (u_+)^{\frac{2}{(1+q)(2+p)+\lambda_1(2+q)}} + (v_+)^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(2+q)+\lambda_2(2+p)}}.$$

For simplicity, hereafter we say $(u, v) \geq 0$ if $u, v \geq 0$.

Theorem 1.1. *(Improved regularity along free boundary) Suppose that Assumption 1–3 hold. Let $(u, v) \geq 0$ be a bounded viscosity solution of **(DCS)** and $x_0 \in \partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\} \cap B_{1/2}$, then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$|(u, v)| \leq C|x - x_0|^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}$$

for any $x \in B_{1/8}$. Particularly, we have

$$|u(x)| \leq C|x - x_0|^{\frac{(1+q)(2+p)+\lambda_1(2+q)}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}} \quad \text{and} \quad |v(x)| \leq C|x - x_0|^{\frac{(1+p)(2+q)+\lambda_2(2+p)}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}.$$

We will also discuss how dead-core solutions behave away from their free boundary $\partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\}$. Before presenting the second result of our paper, we list an extra assumption:

Assumption 4.

$$F(0, x) = G(0, x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in B_1.$$

Theorem 1.2. (*Non-degeneracy of solutions*) Suppose that Assumption 1–4 hold. Let $(u, v) \geq 0$ be a bounded viscosity solution to (DCS), and $x_0 \in \{|(u, v)| > 0\} \cap B_{1/2}$, then for a constant $c > 0$, depending on n , such that

$$\sup_{B_r(x_0)} |(u, v)| \geq cr^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}$$

for any $r \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Before proceeding further, we conclude the following observations in Remarks 1.2–1.1.

Remark 1.1. Thanks to Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, one has

$$u \in C^{\frac{(1+q)(2+p)+\lambda_1(2+q)}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}} \quad \text{and} \quad v \in C^{\frac{(1+p)(2+q)+\lambda_2(2+p)}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}$$

along the free boundary $\partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\}$. This regularity is higher than the regularity of viscosity solutions to degenerate/singular equation. As a matter of fact, for the case $p, q \geq 0$, as $|Du|^p F(D^2u, x) \in C_{loc}^{0, \lambda_1}$ and $|Dv|^q G(D^2v, x) \in C_{loc}^{0, \lambda_2}$, under such Assumption 8 the Lemma 2.2 assures that

$$u \in C_{loc}^{1, \min\{\alpha_0^-, \frac{1+\lambda_1}{1+p}\}} \quad \text{and} \quad v \in C_{loc}^{1, \min\{\alpha_0^-, \frac{1+\lambda_2}{1+q}\}}.$$

When the operator F, G are concave/convex and $\lambda_1 < p$, it was proved in [5, Corollary 3.2] sharp regularity, i.e.,

$$u \in C_{loc}^{1, \frac{1+\lambda_1}{1+p}} \quad \text{and} \quad v \in C_{loc}^{1, \frac{1+\lambda_2}{1+q}}.$$

Nevertheless, we must highlight that

$$\frac{(1+q)(2+p) + \lambda_1(2+q)}{(1+p)(1+q) - \lambda_1\lambda_2} > 1 + \frac{1+\lambda_1}{1+p} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{(1+p)(2+q) + \lambda_2(2+p)}{(1+p)(1+q) - \lambda_1\lambda_2} > 1 + \frac{1+\lambda_2}{1+q},$$

which implies that dead-core solution (u, v) is more regular, across the free boundary, than best regularity result coming from the classical regularity theory. Analogously, for the case $-1 < p, q < 0$, it can be seen that $u, v \in C_{loc}^{1, \alpha}$ for any $0 < \alpha < 1$ in spirit of remark 2.2. Direct calculations also yield that

$$\frac{(1+q)(2+p) + \lambda_1(2+q)}{(1+p)(1+q) - \lambda_1\lambda_2}, \quad \frac{(1+p)(2+q) + \lambda_2(2+p)}{(1+p)(1+q) - \lambda_1\lambda_2} > 1 + \alpha.$$

Remark 1.2. As a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1 and 1.2, one has $|(u, v)|$ grows exactly with rate $|x|^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}$, see Corollary 5.1, for details.

Remark 1.3. The method here may also work for a much broader class of degenerate/singular fully nonlinear systems

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2u, Du, x) + |Du|^p \langle b_1(x), Du \rangle = (v_+)^{\lambda_1} & \text{in } B_1 \\ G(D^2v, Dv, x) + |Dv|^q \langle b_2(x), Dv \rangle = (u_+)^{\lambda_2} & \text{in } B_1, \end{cases}$$

where $b_1, b_2 \in C^0(\overline{B}_1, \mathbb{R}^n)$, and the operator F, G satisfy some particular conditions in [16]. We shall further investigate this in a sequel.

As an application of our findings, we prove some Liouville-type results for problem (DCS). More precisely,

Theorem 1.3. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1, let (u, v) be a non-negative viscosity solution of*

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} |Du|^p F(D^2u, x) = (v_+)^{\lambda_1} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \\ |Dv|^q G(D^2v, x) = (u_+)^{\lambda_2} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(1.4) \quad \limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{\frac{-2}{(1+p)(1+q) - \lambda_1 \lambda_2}} |(u(x), v(x))| < \min \left\{ A^{\frac{2}{(1+q)(2+p) + \lambda_1(2+q)}}, B^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(2+q) + \lambda_2(2+p)}} \right\},$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \alpha := \frac{(1+q)(2+p) + \lambda_1(2+q)}{(1+p)(1+q) - \lambda_1 \lambda_2}; & \beta := \frac{(1+p)(2+q) + \lambda_2(2+p)}{(1+p)(1+q) - \lambda_1 \lambda_2} \\ A = [\Lambda(n + \beta - 2)\beta^{1+q}]^{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 - (1+p)(1+q)}} [\Lambda(n + \alpha - 2)\alpha^{1+p}]^{\frac{1+q}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 - (1+p)(1+q)}} \\ B = [\Lambda(n + \alpha - 2)\alpha^{1+p}]^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 - (1+p)(1+q)}} [\Lambda(n + \beta - 2)\beta^{1+q}]^{\frac{1+p}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 - (1+p)(1+q)}}. \end{cases}$$

Then $u \equiv v \equiv 0$.

Remark 1.4. Note that Theorem 1.3 is only applicable to $0 \leq p, q < \infty$. We will further explain this in Section 6.

The second topic of this paper is to study the regularity properties of viscosity solutions of Hardy-Hénon-type equations. Very recently, Hardy-Hénon-type equations with strong absorption term have invoked much attention. Historically, the prototype of Hénon equation came from the study of the stability of a spherical star system in equilibrium to spherical disturbances, see, for instance, [25]. Let us recall a classical elliptic model:

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u(x) = |x|^\alpha u^p(x) & \text{in } B_1, \quad p > 1, \\ u(x) > 0 & \text{in } B_1, \\ u(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_1 \end{cases}$$

is seen as Hardy-type equation if $\alpha < 0$ since its connection to the Hardy-Sobolev inequality, and as Hénon-type equation if $\alpha > 0$ due to its relationship with several diffusion phenomena. The results regarding the existence of non-trivial solution to (1.5) are quite abundant and relatively mature. However, as far as we know, there are few results concerning regularity estimate for viscosity solutions to Hardy-Hénon-type equations with possibly singular weights and strong absorption.

The first result in this direction came up in the recent work of da Silva et al. [18], where they showed sharp and improved regularity estimate for weak solutions of weighted quasilinear elliptic models of Hardy-Hénon-type equations. Subsequently, Bezerra Júnior et al. proved similar results ruled by the ∞ -Laplacian equation, [27].

The preceding researches (cf. [18, 27]) raise the question: Is there an improved regularity estimate for viscosity solutions to Hardy-Hénon-type equations with possibly singular weights and strong absorption governed by the degenerate/singular fully nonlinear operator? Here we give an affirmative answer to this question. To begin with, let us provide a clear statement. We consider

$$(HHTE) \quad |Du|^p F(D^2u, x) = f(|x|, u(x)) \quad \text{in } B_1,$$

where f fulfills

Assumption 5. For all $(x, t) \in B_1 \times I$, $r, s \in (0, 1)$, where $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval, and assume that there exist a positive constant C_n and $f_0 \in L^\infty(B_1)$ such that

$$|f(r|x|, st)| \leq C_n r^\alpha s^\mu \|f_0\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \mu < 1 + p, \quad -1 < p < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad -\mu \frac{2+p}{1+p} < \alpha < \infty.$$

As we will discuss later in this section, the result is new even for the simplest model $|Du|^p \Delta u = |x|^\alpha (u_+)^mu$. Now the fourth main result of this paper can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.4. (*Higher regularity estimate*) Let $u \in C(B_1)$ be a bounded viscosity solution of (HHTE), and (1a), (2a) and Assumption 5 hold. Then for any point $x_0 \in B_{1/2} \cap \partial\{u > 0\}$, such that $f(|x|, t) \simeq$

$|x - x_0|^{\alpha t_+^\mu}$, we have

$$(1.6) \quad \sup_{x \in B_r(x_0)} u(x) \leq Cr^{\frac{2+p+\alpha}{1+p-\mu}}$$

for $r \in (0, 1/2)$, where C is a positive constant depending only on n, p, α and μ .

Remark 1.5. If the operator F is convex/concave, resorting to Lemma 2.1 in Section 2, then the bounded viscosity solutions u of **(HHTE)** are locally of the class $C^{1, \frac{1}{1+p}}$. In this setting, we still achieve improved regularity estimate along the free boundary, since

$$\alpha > -\mu \frac{2+p}{1+p} \Rightarrow \frac{2+p+\alpha}{1+p-\mu} > 1 + \frac{1}{1+p}, \quad p \geq 0.$$

For the case $-1 < p < 0$, using Remark 2.2 we know the bounded viscosity solution u of **(HHTE)** are locally in $C^{1, \beta}$ for any $\beta \in (0, 1)$. Observing that

$$\alpha > -\mu \frac{2+p}{1+p} \Rightarrow \frac{2+p+\alpha}{1+p-\mu} > 1 + \frac{1}{1+p} > 1 + \beta, \quad -1 < p < 0,$$

we also deduce sharpened regularity estimate across the free boundary points in this setting.

An immediate and fundamental consequence of Theorem 1.4 is the local growth estimates for first derivative estimate of solutions. For this purpose, for any point $z \in \{u > 0\} \cap B_{1/2}$, we define $\gamma(z) \in \partial\{u > 0\}$ such that

$$|z - \gamma(z)| = \text{dist}(z, \partial\{u > 0\}).$$

Corollary 1.1. (*Gradient growth near free boundary points*) Let $u \in C(B_1)$ be a bounded viscosity solution to **(HHTE)**, and **(1a)**, **(2a)** and Assumption 5 hold. Then u is locally Lipschitz continuous. Furthermore, for any point $x_0 \in \{u > 0\} \cap B_{1/2}$ such that $f(|x|, t) \simeq |x - \gamma(x_0)|^{\alpha t_+^\mu}$, it holds

$$|Du(x_0)| \leq C \text{dist}(x_0, \partial\{u > 0\})^{\frac{1+\alpha+\mu}{1+p-\mu}},$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, p, α and μ .

Hereafter, we denote the critical set of solutions

$$S(u) := \{x \in B_1 : u(x) = |Du(x)| = 0\}.$$

Assumption 6. There exists $c_0 > 0$ such that f satisfies a lower growth condition given by

$$f(|x|, u) \geq c_0 |x|^{\alpha} u_+^\mu(x) \quad \text{a.e. in } B_1.$$

Theorem 1.5. (*Non-degeneracy at critical points*) Let $\mu \in [0, 1+p)$, $\alpha \in (-\mu \frac{2+p}{1+p}, \infty)$ and $u \in C(B_1)$ be a bounded viscosity solution to **(HHTE)**, and **(1a)**, **(2a)** and Assumption 6 hold. Then there exists $r^* > 0$ such that for any critical point $x_0 \in B_1$ and for all $r \in (0, r^*)$ such that $B_r(x_0) \subset B_1$, it holds

$$\sup_{\partial B_r(x_0)} u(x) \geq \left[\frac{c_0(1+p-\mu)^{2+p}}{\Lambda[(n-2)(1+p-\mu) + (2+p+\alpha)](p+2+\alpha)^{1+p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{1+p-\mu}} r^{\frac{2+p+\alpha}{1+p-\mu}}.$$

Next we will turn our attention to the critical case obtained as $\mu \rightarrow 1+p$, i.e.,

$$(1.7) \quad |Du|^p F(D^2u, x) = |x|^{\alpha} u^{1+p} \quad \text{in } B_1, \quad -1 < p < \infty.$$

Utilizing the barrier argument for the critical equation (1.7), Lemma 2.1 and remark 2.2, we shall demonstrate that a nonnegative solution to (1.7) cannot vanish at an interior point, unless it is identically zero.

Theorem 1.6. (*Strong maximum principle*) Let $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ and u be a nonnegative, bounded viscosity solution to (1.7). Suppose that there exists an interior point $x_0 \in B_1$ such that $u(x_0) = 0$, then $u \equiv 0$ in B_1 .

Difficulties, strategy and novelty of this paper. Here, we will briefly explain the new features in this paper.

- i) It is noteworthy that the approach deriving our findings in **(DCS)** is new in the literature. In contrast to the study of dead-core problems for single equation [16, 33], one may encounter several challenges. One difficulty is the absence of comparison principle, which restrict us from constructing suitable barrier functions to examine the non-degeneracy of solution. However, in this article we provide a version of weak comparison principle, involving with general strong absorption terms, see Lemma 2.5. This conclusion generalizes the results in [3, Lemma 2.1], and leads to non-degeneracy of solution, geometry properties of free boundary and some Liouville type results, see Section 5–6.
- ii) Unlike the dead-core problem for fully nonlinear systems [3], our model **(DCS)** becomes very degenerate/singular along the free boundary $\partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\}$ as soon as $|\nabla u|$ and $|\nabla v|$ vanish at free boundary points. For this reason, we make full use of the $C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$) regularity of solutions to degenerate/singular fully nonlinear equation, and develop some delicate analysis to solve this non-trivial task, see Section 3. The findings of our study are applicable to systems comprising more than two equations.
- iii) We should stress that our results for problem **(HHTE)** recover previous work (cf. Teixeira [33], $\alpha = 0, p = 0$) and complement the result for degenerate/singular fully nonlinear equation (cf. da Silva [16], $-1 < p < \infty, 0 \leq \mu < 1 + p, \alpha = 0$). To some extent, we obtain sharp and improved regularity estimate along the free boundary via alternative strategies and techniques. In effect, we used the Harnack inequality, Theorem 2.1 to show Theorem 1.4, rather than employing the flatness estimate in Theorem 1.1, see Section 7. Apart from this, compared with [33] and [16], Theorem 1.4 reveals an insightful and deep significance: α and μ can jointly influence the regularity estimate of viscosity solution to **(HHTE)** around the set of critical points, see Example 3 and Remark 9.1 below.
- iv) Finally, our results are novel even for the simpler prototype given by the operator $F = G = \Delta$ in **(DCS)**, and $p = 0, f(|x|, u) = |x|^\alpha(u)_+^\mu$ in **(HHTE)**. To summarise, the following table can explain how our result of this paper generalize the results of the previous works [3, 16, 33].

Model PDE	Compatibility condition	Improved and sharp regularity estimates	Reference
$F(D^2u, x) = u_+^{\lambda_1}$	$0 \leq \lambda_1 < 1$	$u \in C_{loc}^{\frac{2}{1-\lambda_1}}$	[33]
$ Du ^p F(D^2u, x) = u_+^{\lambda_1}$	$-1 < p < \infty$ and $0 \leq \lambda_1 < 1 + p$	$u \in C_{loc}^{\frac{2+p-\lambda_1}{1+p-\lambda_1}}$	[16]
$ Du ^p F(D^2u, x) = x ^\alpha u_+^\mu$	$-1 < p < \infty, 0 \leq \mu < 1 + p$ and $-\mu \frac{2+p}{1+p} < \alpha < \infty$	$u \in C_{loc}^{\frac{2+p+\alpha}{1+p-\mu}}$	Theorem 1.4
$\begin{cases} F(D^2u, x) = (v_+)^{\lambda_1} \\ G(D^2v, x) = (u_+)^{\lambda_2} \end{cases}$	$\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$ and $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 < 1$	$u \in C_{loc}^{\frac{2(1+\lambda_1)}{1-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}$ $v \in C_{loc}^{\frac{2(1+\lambda_2)}{1-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}$	[3]
$\begin{cases} Du ^p F(D^2u, x) = (v_+)^{\lambda_1} \\ Dv ^q G(D^2v, x) = (u_+)^{\lambda_2} \end{cases}$	$-1 < p, q < \infty, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$ and $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 < (1+p)(1+q)$	$u \in C_{loc}^{\frac{(1+q)(2+p)+\lambda_1(2+q)}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}$ $v \in C_{loc}^{\frac{(1+p)(2+q)+\lambda_2(2+p)}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}$	Theorem 1.1

TABLE 1. Improved regularity estimates across the free boundary for different PDEs/Systems

Organization of the paper. We organise the remaining content of this paper as follows.

In Section 2, we recall the definition of viscosity solution to **(DCS)** and **(HHTE)**, and provide some auxiliary results, which will be frequently used in this article. Particularly, we shall establish a new weak comparison principle for degenerate/singular fully nonlinear elliptic systems in a general setting.

In Section 3, using a completely new flatness estimate, scaling techniques and an iterative argument, we derive a higher regularity of solutions to **(DCS)** at the free boundary points. Subsequently, we provide two consequences of Theorem 1.1, which may be of own interest, see Corollary 3.1 and 3.2.

In Sections 4 and 5, we are devoted to analysis the radial solutions for **(DCS)**, and further using the radial solutions we construct and weak comparison principle, see Lemma 2.5, to obtain non-degeneracy of solutions. As a result, density estimate, finer geometric measure property and coincidence for the free boundary $\partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\}$ are also discussed.

In Section 6, we shall provide two Liouville-type results for entire solutions and study the limiting profile of blow-up solutions.

In Sections 7 and 8, we prove the sharp and improved regularity estimate for equation **(HHTE)**, i.e, higher regularity along free boundary and non-degeneracy at critical points. Additionally, we also provide some applications, see Corollary 1.1, and Theorems 7.1–7.2.

Finally, in Section 9, we deliver several interesting examples to describe new features.

Notations. The following notations are also used in this article.

- For $r > 0$, $B_r(x)$ denotes the open ball of radius r centered at x . We simply use B_r to denote the open ball $B_r(0)$.
- \mathbf{I} denotes the $n \times n$ identity matrix.
- $\mathcal{H}^n(E)$ denotes the n -dimensional Hausdorff measure of a measurable set E .
- $\text{int}(E)$ denotes the interior point of E .
- $\text{Sym}(n)$ denotes the space of all $n \times n$ symmetric matrices in \mathbb{R}^n .
- $f \simeq g$ denotes there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $\frac{1}{C}g \leq f \leq Cg$.
- C shall denote a generic positive constant which may vary in different inequalities.
- “a.e.” denotes “almost everywhere”.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we first review the definition of viscosity solution to **(DCS)** and **(HHTE)**. Afterwards, we recall several lemmas concerning the interior regularity, Harnack inequality and comparison principle of viscosity solutions for degenerate/singular fully nonlinear equations.

Definition 2.1. *A couple of functions $u, v \in C(B_1)$ is called a viscosity sub-solution [resp. super-solution] of **(DCS)**, if for every $x_0 \in B_1$ we have the following*

i) either for all $\varphi, \psi \in C^2(B_1)$ and $u - \varphi, v - \psi$ attain local maximum [resp. minimum] at x_0 and $D\varphi(x_0), D\psi(x_0) \neq 0$, we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} |D\varphi(x_0)|^p F(D^2\varphi(x_0), x_0) \geq v_+^{\lambda_1}(x_0) \\ |D\psi(x_0)|^q G(D^2\psi(x_0), x_0) \geq u_+^{\lambda_2}(x_0), \end{array} \right. \left[\text{resp.} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |D\varphi(x_0)|^p F(D^2\varphi(x_0), x_0) \leq v_+^{\lambda_1}(x_0) \\ |D\psi(x_0)|^q G(D^2\psi(x_0), x_0) \leq u_+^{\lambda_2}(x_0). \end{array} \right. \right]$$

ii) or there is an open ball $B_\delta(x_0) \subset B_1$, $\delta > 0$ such that $u \equiv a_1$ and $v \equiv a_2$ in $B_\delta(x_0)$ and

$$(a_1)_+^{\lambda_2}, (a_2)_+^{\lambda_1} \leq 0 \text{ [resp. } (a_1)_+^{\lambda_2}, (a_2)_+^{\lambda_1} \geq 0]$$

*A couple of functions $u, v \in C(B_1)$ is called a viscosity solution of **(DCS)**, if it is both a viscosity sub-solution and super-solution of **(DCS)**.*

Definition 2.2. *We say that a function $u \in C(B_1)$ is a viscosity sub-solution [resp. super-solution] of **(HHTE)**, if for every $x_0 \in B_1$ we have the following*

i) either for all $\varphi \in C^2(B_1)$ such that $u - \varphi$ has a local maximum [resp. minimum] at x_0 and $D\varphi(x_0) \neq 0$, we have

$$|D\varphi(x_0)|^p F(D^2\varphi(x_0), x_0) \geq f(|x_0|, u(x_0)) \text{ [resp. } |D\varphi(x_0)|^p F(D^2\varphi(x_0), x_0) \leq f(|x_0|, u(x_0))].$$

ii) or there is an open ball $B_\delta(x_0) \subset B_1$, $\delta > 0$ such that $u \equiv c$ in $B_\delta(x_0)$ and

$$f(|x|, c) \leq 0 \quad [\text{resp. } f(|x|, c) \geq 0] \quad \text{for all } x \in B_\delta(x_0).$$

A function $u \in C(B_1)$ is a viscosity solution of **(HHTE)**, if it is both a viscosity sub-solution and super-solution of **(HHTE)**.

The following interior gradient Hölder regularity will be an important tool for our arguments.

Lemma 2.1. (*[5, Theorem 3.1]*) Assume $u \in C(B_1)$ is a viscosity solution to

$$|Du|^p F(D^2u, x) = f(x) \quad \text{in } B_1,$$

where $p > 0$, $f \in C(B_1) \cap L^\infty(B_1)$, and F satisfies the Assumption 1–2. Then $u \in C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(B_1)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ with the estimate

$$\|u\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(B_{1/2})} \leq C \left(\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(B_1)}^{\frac{1}{1+p}} \right),$$

where $C = C(p, \lambda, \hat{\lambda}, n)$.

Remark 2.1. We stress that the Hölder exponent α is optimal, that is,

$$\alpha = \min \left\{ \alpha_0^-, \frac{1}{1+p} \right\},$$

where α_0 is the optimal Hölder exponent for solutions to constant coefficient, homogeneous equation $F(D^2u) = 0$.

Remark 2.2. Lemma 2.1 is still true for case $-1 < p < 0$, see [10, Theorem 2.1], or [6, Theorem 1.1].

In order to access improved regularity estimate, we will also assume the following conditions on f and F .

Assumption 7. We suppose that f is Hölder continuous and $f(0) = 0$, namely,

$$|f(x)| \leq K|x|^\lambda$$

holds for some $K > 0$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$.

Assumption 8. We suppose that there exist constant $K_1 > 0$ and $\bar{\alpha} \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\omega_F(x, y) \leq K_1|x - y|^{\bar{\alpha}}$$

for all $M \in \text{Sym}(n)$ and $x, y \in B_1$, where ω_F denotes the oscillation of the coefficients of the operator F .

An improved gradient Hölder estimate is formulated as follows.

Lemma 2.2. (*[30, Theorem 1]*) Let $u \in C(B_1)$ be a viscosity solution to

$$|Du|^p F(D^2u, x) = f(x) \quad \text{in } B_1,$$

where $p > 0$, and F satisfies the Assumption 1. Suppose Assumption 7–8 also holds, then u is of class $C^{1, \min\{\alpha_0^-, \frac{1+\lambda}{1+p}\}}$ at the origin, with the estimate

$$|u(x) - u(0) - \nabla u(0) \cdot x| \leq C_\beta |x|^{1+\beta}$$

for all $x \in B_{\frac{1}{4}}(0)$, where

$$\beta = \min \left\{ \alpha_0^-, \frac{1+\lambda}{1+p} \right\}.$$

Remark 2.3. Lemma 2.2 remains true for any ball $B' \subset\subset B_1$. Moreover, it indicates that the Hölder continuity of f directly affects, in a quantitative way, the regularity of viscosity solutions to degenerate equation, see [30], for details.

The next assertion will be appeared in the analysis of Lemma 3.1 in Section 3.

Lemma 2.3. (*[8, Proposition 1.1]*) Suppose that, for $p \in (-1, 0)$, u is a viscosity solution of

$$|Du|^p F(D^2u, x) = f(x) \text{ in } B_1,$$

then u is a viscosity solution of

$$F(D^2u, x) = f(x)|Du|^{-p} \text{ in } B_1.$$

We proceed to establish a Harnack inequality for a class of degenerate/singular fully nonlinear equations, which can be readily adapted to the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 2.1. (*[19, Theorem 1.1]*) Let u be a non-negative viscosity solution to

$$|Du|^p F(D^2u, x) = f \in C(B_1) \cap L^\infty(B_1),$$

where $p > -1$. Then

$$\sup_{B_{1/2}} u(x) \leq C \left(\inf_{B_{1/2}} u(x) + \|f\|_{L^\infty(B_1)}^{\frac{1}{1+p}} \right).$$

The next result is pivotal in order to prove some weak geometric properties (Theorem 1.5) and analysis the strong maximum principle (Theorem 1.6) for the critical equation (1.7) below.

Lemma 2.4. (*[16, Lemma 2.4]*) Let u_1 and u_2 be continuous functions in $\overline{B_1}$ and let $f \in C([0, \infty))$ be increasing with $f(0) = 0$ fulfilling

$$|Du_1|^p F(D^2u_1, x) - \lambda_0(x)f(u_1) \leq 0 \leq |Du_2|^p F(D^2u_2, x) - \lambda_0(x)f(u_2) \text{ in } B_1$$

in the viscosity sense, and $p > -1$. If $u_1 \geq u_2$ on ∂B_1 , then $u_1 \geq u_2$ in B_1 .

Finally, we shall establish a weak comparison principle for degenerate/singular fully nonlinear elliptic systems in a general setting

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} |Du|^p F(D^2u, x) - c_1(x)Q_1(v(x)) = 0 & \text{in } B_1 \\ |Dv|^q G(D^2v, x) - c_2(x)Q_2(u(x)) = 0 & \text{in } B_1, \end{cases}$$

where c_i and Q_i , $i = 1, 2$, satisfy the following assumptions:

Assumption 9. (The continuity and positivity of c_i) $0 < c_i \in C(\overline{B_1})$, $i = 1, 2$.

Assumption 10. (The continuity and monotonicity of Q_i) $Q_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2$ are continuous, strictly increasing function.

In particular, it generalizes the results in [3, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 2.5. (Weak comparison principle) Suppose that the Assumption 1–3 and Assumption 9–10 hold. If (u_1, v_1) is a viscosity super-solution and (u_2, v_2) is a viscosity sub-solution to (2.1) such that $u_1 \geq u_2$ and $v_1 \geq v_2$ on ∂B_1 . Then $u_1 \geq u_2$ or $v_1 \geq v_2$ inside B_1 .

Proof. The idea of the proof is inspired by [9, Theorem 2.3]. We suppose by contradiction that there exists $x_0 \in B_1$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad u_2(x_0) > u_1(x_0) \text{ and } v_2(x_0) > v_1(x_0).$$

Setting

$$M := \sup_{B_1} (u_2 - u_1) > 0,$$

and for any small $\epsilon > 0$, we define

$$\omega_\epsilon(x, y) = u_2(x) - u_1(y) - \frac{1}{4\epsilon} |x - y|^4 \text{ for } x, y \in B_1.$$

We observe that the maximum of ω_ϵ is bigger than M . Let $(x_\epsilon, y_\epsilon) \in \overline{B}_1 \times \overline{B}_1$ be such that $\max_{\overline{B}_1 \times \overline{B}_1} \omega_\epsilon = \omega_\epsilon(x_\epsilon, y_\epsilon) := M_\epsilon \geq M$. It follows from [12, Lemma 3.1],

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{|x_\epsilon - y_\epsilon|^4}{4\epsilon} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} M_\epsilon = M.$$

Particularly, we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} x_\epsilon = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} y_\epsilon = z_0$$

for some $z_0 \in B_1$. Noticed that x_ϵ, y_ϵ must belong to the interior of B_1 . In fact, it can be seen that $u_2(z_0) - u_1(z_0) = M > 0 = \sup_{\partial B_1} (u_2 - u_1)$, which implies $x_\epsilon, y_\epsilon \in B' \subset\subset B_1$. Hence, in spirit of [12, Theorem 3.2], it infers that there exist matrices $X, Y \in \text{Sym}(n)$ such that

$$(2.3) \quad \left(\frac{x_\epsilon - y_\epsilon}{\epsilon}, X \right) \in \overline{J}_{B_1}^{2,+} u_2(x_\epsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{x_\epsilon - y_\epsilon}{\epsilon}, Y \right) \in \overline{J}_{B_1}^{2,-} u_1(y_\epsilon).$$

Moreover,

$$-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbf{I} \leq \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & -Y \end{pmatrix} \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & -\mathbf{I} \\ -\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix},$$

which means that

$$(2.4) \quad X \leq Y.$$

If u_1, v_1 and u_2, v_2 are not locally constants around y_ϵ and x_ϵ , respectively, then we combine (2.3), (2.4), and the Assumptions 1–2 to get

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(x_\epsilon) Q_1(v_2(x_\epsilon)) &\leq \left(\frac{|x_\epsilon - y_\epsilon|}{\epsilon} \right)^p F(X, x_\epsilon) \leq \left(\frac{|x_\epsilon - y_\epsilon|}{\epsilon} \right)^p F(Y, x_\epsilon) \\ &= \left(\frac{|x_\epsilon - y_\epsilon|}{\epsilon} \right)^p [F(Y, x_\epsilon) - F(Y, y_\epsilon)] + \left(\frac{|x_\epsilon - y_\epsilon|}{\epsilon} \right)^p F(Y, y_\epsilon) \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{|x_\epsilon - y_\epsilon|}{\epsilon} \right)^p \omega_1(|x_\epsilon - y_\epsilon|)(1 + \|Y\|) + c_1(y_\epsilon) Q_1(v_1(y_\epsilon)), \end{aligned}$$

then it reads

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{|x_\epsilon - y_\epsilon|}{\epsilon} \right)^p C \omega_1(|x_\epsilon - y_\epsilon|)(1 + \|Y\|) + c_1(x_\epsilon) [Q_1(v_1(y_\epsilon)) - Q_1(v_2(x_\epsilon))] \\ &\quad + Q_1(v_1(y_\epsilon)) [c_1(y_\epsilon) - c_1(x_\epsilon)] \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (2.5) and invoking (2.2), it deduces $c_1(z_0) \leq 0$, which is a contradiction to Assumption 9.

If $u_1 \equiv a_1, v_1 \equiv b_1$ in $B_\delta(y_\epsilon)$ for some $\delta > 0$, and

$$(2.6) \quad c_1(y_\epsilon) Q_1(v_1(y_\epsilon)) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c_2(y_\epsilon) Q_2(u_1(y_\epsilon)) \geq 0.$$

Similarly, if $u_2 \equiv a_2, v_2 \equiv b_2$ in $B_\delta(x_\epsilon)$ for some $\delta > 0$, and

$$(2.7) \quad c_1(x_\epsilon) Q_1(v_2(x_\epsilon)) \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c_2(x_\epsilon) Q_2(u_2(x_\epsilon)) \leq 0.$$

Taking the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (2.6)–(2.7), then we have

$$c_1(z_0)(Q_1(b_2) - Q_1(b_1)) \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c_2(z_0)(Q_2(a_2) - Q_2(a_1)) \leq 0,$$

which means $c_i(z_0) \leq 0, i = 1, 2$, where we have used the fact $b_2 > b_1$ and $a_2 > a_1$. However this is a contradiction to Assumption 9.

Consequently, the proof is complete. \square

We give two remarks to end this section.

Remark 2.4. The case $c_1(x) = c_2(x) \equiv 1, Q_1(v) = v_+^{\lambda_1}, Q_2(u) = u_+^{\lambda_2}$ and $p = q \equiv 0$ corresponds [3, Lemma 2.1].

Remark 2.5. The method in Lemma 2.5 does work for general degenerate/singular elliptic systems with Hamiltonian term

$$\begin{cases} |Du|^p F(D^2u, x) + H_1(x, Du) = c_1(x)Q_1(v(x)) & \text{in } B_1 \\ |Dv|^q G(D^2v, x) + H_2(x, Dv) = c_2(x)Q_2(u(x)) & \text{in } B_1, \end{cases}$$

where $H_i (i = 1, 2)$ satisfy the following conditions:

- a) $H_1(x, \hat{p}) \leq C(1 + |\hat{p}|^{\beta_1})$ and $H_2(x, \hat{p}) \leq C(1 + |\hat{p}|^{\beta_2})$ for some $\beta_1 \in (0, 1 + p]$ and $\beta_2 \in (0, 1 + q]$, $(x, \hat{p}) \in \overline{B_1} \times \mathbb{R}^n$;
- b) $|H_1(x, \hat{p}) - H_1(y, \hat{p})| \leq \omega_1(|x - y|)(1 + |\hat{p}|^{\beta_1})$ and $|H_2(x, \hat{p}) - H_2(y, \hat{p})| \leq \omega_2(|x - y|)(1 + |\hat{p}|^{\beta_2})$, where $\omega_i : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are continuous functions with $\omega_i(0) = 0, i = 1, 2$.

3. REGULARITY OF SOLUTIONS ALONG THE FREE BOUNDARY

Here we derive an improved regularity of solutions to (DCS) along the free boundary $\partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\}$. With this, we prove a finer gradient control near the free boundary $\partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\}$, as well as a better control for dead-core solutions (u, v) close to its free boundary. It is meaningful to examine the measure estimate for the free boundary.

We first establish a new flatness estimate, which is different from [3, Lemma 3.1].

Lemma 3.1. (*Flatness estimate*) *Suppose that Assumptions 1–3 hold, and for given $\mu > 0$, there exists $\kappa_\mu > 0$ such that if $u, v \in C(B_1)$ satisfies*

$$u(0) = v(0) = 0, \quad 0 \leq u, v \leq 1 \quad \text{in } B_1,$$

and

$$\begin{cases} |Du|^p F(D^2u, x) - \kappa(v_+)^{\lambda_1} = 0 & \text{in } B_1 \\ |Dv|^q G(D^2v, x) - (u_+)^{\lambda_2} = 0 & \text{in } B_1, \end{cases}$$

for $0 < \kappa \leq \kappa_\mu$, then

$$\sup_{B_{1/2}} |(u, v)| \leq \mu.$$

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Suppose, for $\mu_0 > 0$, there exist the sequence of functions $\{u_k\}_k, \{v_k\}_k, \{F_k\}_k, \{G_k\}_k$ such that $u_k(0) = v_k(0) = 0, 0 \leq u_k, v_k \leq 1$ and (u_k, v_k) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} |Du_k|^p F_k(D^2u_k, x) - \frac{1}{k}(v_k)_+^{\lambda_1} = 0 & \text{in } B_1 \\ |Dv_k|^q G_k(D^2v_k, x) - (u_k)_+^{\lambda_2} = 0 & \text{in } B_1, \end{cases}$$

where F_k, G_k still fulfill Assumptions 1–2. However,

$$(3.1) \quad \sup_{B_{1/2}} |(u_k, v_k)| > \mu_0.$$

Using Lemma 2.1 and the Arzelà–Ascoli theorem, up to sub-sequence, u_k and v_k converge locally uniformly to some u_∞ and v_∞ respectively, as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Clearly, $u_\infty(0) = v_\infty(0) = 0, 0 \leq u_\infty, v_\infty \leq 1$. By the stability result of viscosity solution, we have that (u_∞, v_∞) satisfies

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} |Du_\infty|^p F_\infty(D^2u_\infty, x) = 0 & \text{in } B_1 \\ |Dv_\infty|^q G_\infty(D^2v_\infty, x) = (u_\infty)_+^{\lambda_2} & \text{in } B_1, \end{cases}$$

where F_∞, G_∞ still satisfy Assumptions 1–2. By cutting lemma [26, Lemma 6], see also [17, Lemma 1.7], and Lemma 2.3, it follows that

$$F_\infty(D^2u_\infty, x) = 0 \quad \text{in } B_1.$$

Then the strong maximum principle [11, Proposition 4.9] implies that $u_\infty \equiv 0$ in B_1 . Using (3.2), Lemma 2.3 and the cutting lemma again, we obtain

$$G_\infty(D^2v_\infty, x) = 0 \quad \text{in } B_1,$$

which, together with $v_\infty(0) = 0$ and strong maximum principle [11, Proposition 4.9], yield $v_\infty \equiv 0$ in B_1 . This contradicts with (3.1). \square

Now we commence with the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We assume, with no loss of generality, that $x_0 = 0$. We now take $\mu = 2^{-\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}$ and κ_μ is as in Lemma 3.1. Letting

$$u_1(x) = \widehat{A}u(\widehat{B}x) \quad \text{and} \quad v_1(x) = \widehat{A}v(\widehat{B}x) \quad \text{in } B_1,$$

where \widehat{A}, \widehat{B} are to be determined later, simple calculations yield that (u_1, v_1) satisfies

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} |Du_1|^p \widetilde{F}(D^2u_1, x) - \widehat{A}^{1+p-\lambda_1} \widehat{B}^{2+p} (v_1^+)^{\lambda_1} = 0 & \text{in } B_1 \\ |Dv_1|^q \widetilde{G}(D^2v_1, x) - \widehat{A}^{1+q-\lambda_2} \widehat{B}^{2+q} (u_1^+)^{\lambda_2} = 0 & \text{in } B_1, \end{cases}$$

in the viscosity sense, where

$$(3.4) \quad \widetilde{F}(D^2u_1, x) = \widehat{A}\widehat{B}^2 F(\widehat{A}^{-1}\widehat{B}^{-2}D^2u_1, \widehat{B}x) \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{G}(D^2v_1, x) = \widehat{A}\widehat{B}^2 G(\widehat{A}^{-1}\widehat{B}^{-2}D^2v_1, \widehat{B}x).$$

Here we choose \widehat{A}, \widehat{B} as follows,

$$\widehat{A} = \min \left\{ \kappa_\mu^{-\left[(1+p-\lambda_1) + \frac{(2+p)(\lambda_2-1-q)}{2+q}\right]}, 1, \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_1)}^{-1}, \|v\|_{L^\infty(B_1)}^{-1} \right\}$$

and

$$\widehat{B} = \widehat{A}^{\frac{\lambda_2-1-q}{2+q}}.$$

Then it easily seen that $u_1(0) = v_1(0) = 0$ and $0 \leq u_1, v_1 \leq 1$ in B_1 . Applying Lemma 3.1 to (u_1, v_1) , it reads

$$(3.5) \quad \sup_{B_{1/2}} |(u_1, v_1)| \leq 2^{-\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}.$$

Next we set two new scaled functions

$$u_2(x) = 2^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}} u_1\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad v_2(x) = 2^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}} v_1\left(\frac{x}{2}\right).$$

From (3.5), we have $0 \leq u_2, v_2 \leq 1$ and $u_2(0) = v_2(0) = 0$. Moreover, it is clear that (u_2, v_2) is a viscosity solution to the variant of system (3.3). Then using Lemma 3.1 again, it infers

$$\sup_{B_{1/2}} |(u_2, v_2)| \leq 2^{-\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}},$$

scaling back to (u_1, v_1) , it deduces

$$\sup_{B_{1/2^2}} |(u_1, v_1)| \leq 2^{-2 \cdot \frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}.$$

Iterating inductively the above reasoning gives the following geometric decay:

$$(3.6) \quad \sup_{B_{1/2^i}} |(u_1, v_1)| \leq 2^{-i \cdot \frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}},$$

Then, for given $0 < r < \frac{\widehat{B}}{2}$, there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^{-(i+1)} < \frac{r}{\widehat{B}} \leq 2^{-i}$ and by (3.6), we have

$$\sup_{B_r} |(u, v)| \leq \sup_{B_{r/\widehat{B}}} |(u_1, v_1)| \leq \sup_{B_{2^{-i}}} |(u_1, v_1)| \leq 2^{-i \cdot \frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (2^{-(i+1)})^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}} 2^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2}{\widehat{B}}\right)^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}} r^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}} \end{aligned}$$

and the Theorem 1.1 is shown. \square

With Theorem 1.1, we can obtain two useful corollaries. The first one is the local growth estimates for first derivative estimate of dead-core solution (u, v) near the free boundary.

Corollary 3.1. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1, and let $(u, v) \geq 0$ be a viscosity solution to (DCS). Then, for any $z \in \{|(u, v)| > 0\} \cap B_{1/2}$, there holds*

$$(3.7) \quad |\nabla u(z)| \leq C \left(\text{dist}(z, \partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\}) \right)^{\frac{(1+q)+\lambda_1(2+q+\lambda_2)}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad |\nabla v(z)| \leq C \left(\text{dist}(z, \partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\}) \right)^{\frac{(1+p)+\lambda_2(2+p+\lambda_1)}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}.$$

Proof. We fix $z \in \{|(u, v)| > 0\} \cap B_{1/2}$, and denote $r = \text{dist}(z, \partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\})$. Now we choose a point $x_0 \in \partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\}$ such that $r = |z - x_0|$.

Invoking Theorem 1.1, we have

$$(3.9) \quad \sup_{B_r(z)} |(u, v)| \leq \sup_{B_{2r}(x_0)} |(u, v)| \leq Cr^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}.$$

Next we define the scaled function \tilde{u}, \tilde{v} as follows :

$$\tilde{u}(x) := \frac{u(z+rx)}{r^{\frac{(1+q)(2+p)+\lambda_1(2+q)}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{v}(x) := \frac{v(z+rx)}{r^{\frac{(1+p)(2+q)+\lambda_2(2+p)}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}}.$$

Then a routine calculation reveals that (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) satisfies

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{cases} |D\tilde{u}|^p \tilde{F}(D^2\tilde{u}, x) = (\tilde{v}_+)^{\lambda_1} & \text{in } B_1 \\ |D\tilde{v}|^q \tilde{G}(D^2\tilde{v}, x) = (\tilde{u}_+)^{\lambda_2} & \text{in } B_1, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\tilde{F}(D^2\tilde{u}, x) = r^{2-\alpha} F(r^{\alpha-2} D^2\tilde{u}, z+rx), \quad \tilde{G}(D^2\tilde{v}, x) = r^{2-\beta} G(r^{\beta-2} D^2\tilde{v}, z+rx)$$

and

$$\alpha = \frac{(1+q)(2+p) + \lambda_1(2+q)}{(1+p)(1+q) - \lambda_1\lambda_2}, \quad \beta = \frac{(1+p)(2+q) + \lambda_2(2+p)}{(1+p)(1+q) - \lambda_1\lambda_2}.$$

Moreover, from (3.9), we know

$$(3.11) \quad \sup_{B_r(z)} |(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})| \leq C.$$

Finally, we combine the Lemmas 2.1, (3.10), (3.11) and Remark 2.2 to obtain

$$|\nabla \tilde{u}(0)| = \frac{|\nabla u(z)|}{r^{\frac{(1+q)+\lambda_1(2+q+\lambda_2)}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}} \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla \tilde{v}(0)| = \frac{|\nabla v(z)|}{r^{\frac{(1+p)+\lambda_2(2+p+\lambda_1)}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}} \leq C.$$

Scaling back to u, v , then (3.7) and (3.8) are proven. \square

The second corollary is the finer control for dead-core solutions (u, v) close its free boundary.

Corollary 3.2. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1, and let $(u, v) \geq 0$ be a viscosity solution to (DCS). Given $x_0 \in \{|(u, v) > 0\} \cap B_{1/2}$, then we have*

$$|(u(x_0), v(x_0))| \leq C \left(\text{dist}(x_0, \partial\{|(u, v) > 0\}) \right)^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q) - \lambda_1 \lambda_2}}.$$

In particular,

$$|u(x_0)| \leq C \left(\text{dist}(x_0, \partial\{|(u, v) > 0\}) \right)^{\frac{(1+q)(2+p) + \lambda_1(2+q)}{(1+p)(1+q) - \lambda_1 \lambda_2}}$$

and

$$|v(x_0)| \leq C \left(\text{dist}(x_0, \partial\{|(u, v) > 0\}) \right)^{\frac{(1+p)(2+q) + \lambda_2(2+p)}{(1+p)(1+q) - \lambda_1 \lambda_2}}.$$

Proof. For simplicity, we denote $d := \text{dist}(x_0, \partial\{|(u, v) > 0\})$. Let $z_0 \in \partial\{|(u, v) > 0\}$ be such that $d = |x_0 - z_0|$. Then applying Theorem 1.1, it concludes

$$|(u(x_0), v(x_0))| \leq \sup_{B_d(x_0)} |(u, v)| \leq \sup_{B_{2d}(z_0)} |(u, v)| \leq Cd^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q) - \lambda_1 \lambda_2}},$$

which entails the desired result. \square

4. SPECIAL SOLUTION

In this section, we shall find a radial viscosity solution for system (DCS). Such a kind of information is vital in deriving the geometric properties (e.g., non-degeneracy) of solutions for many free boundary problems (see [2, 3, 13–16, 29, 33]).

Assume that

$$u(x) = A|x|^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad v(x) = B|x|^\beta,$$

where

$$\alpha := \frac{(1+q)(2+p) + \lambda_1(2+q)}{(1+p)(1+q) - \lambda_1 \lambda_2}, \quad \beta := \frac{(1+p)(2+q) + \lambda_2(2+p)}{(1+p)(1+q) - \lambda_1 \lambda_2}$$

and A, B are positive constants to be chosen later.

Simple calculations yield that

$$(4.1) \quad u_i = A\alpha|x|^{\alpha-2}x_i, \quad u_{ij} = A\alpha(|x|^{\alpha-2}\delta_{ij} + (\alpha-2)|x|^{\alpha-4}x_i x_j), \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

then by the Assumptions 1 and 4, we give

$$(4.2) \quad F(D^2u, x) \leq \Lambda A\alpha(n + \alpha - 2)|x|^{\alpha-2}.$$

We combine (4.1), (4.2) and (DCS) to obtain

$$|Du|^p F(D^2u, x) \leq \Lambda(n + \alpha - 2)(A\alpha)^{1+p}|x|^{(\alpha-1)p + (\alpha-2)}.$$

If B is such that

$$(4.3) \quad \Lambda(n + \alpha - 2)(A\alpha)^{1+p} = B^{\lambda_1},$$

then

$$|Du|^p F(D^2u, x) \leq (B|x|^\beta)^{\lambda_1} = v^{\lambda_1}.$$

Analogously,

$$|Dv|^q G(D^2v, x) \leq \Lambda(n + \beta - 2)(B\beta)^{1+q}|x|^{(\beta-1)q + (\beta-2)}.$$

If A is such that

$$(4.4) \quad \Lambda(n + \beta - 2)(B\beta)^{1+q} = A^{\lambda_2},$$

then

$$|Dv|^q G(D^2v, x) \leq (A|x|^\alpha)^{\lambda_2} = u^{\lambda_2}.$$

Combining (4.3) and (4.4) to obtain the values of A and B as follows :

$$(4.5) \quad A = [\Lambda(n + \beta - 2)\beta^{1+q}]^{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1\lambda_2 - (1+p)(1+q)}} [\Lambda(n + \alpha - 2)\alpha^{1+p}]^{\frac{1+q}{\lambda_1\lambda_2 - (1+p)(1+q)}}$$

and

$$(4.6) \quad B = [\Lambda(n + \alpha - 2)\alpha^{1+p}]^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1\lambda_2 - (1+p)(1+q)}} [\Lambda(n + \beta - 2)\beta^{1+q}]^{\frac{1+p}{\lambda_1\lambda_2 - (1+p)(1+q)}}.$$

Thereby, with a careful analysis, one can see that the pair of functions

$$u(x) = A|x|^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad v(x) = B|x|^\beta$$

are a pair of viscosity super-solutions of system **(DCS)**.

Similarly, one has

$$u(x) = \widehat{A}|x|^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad v(x) = \widehat{B}|x|^\beta$$

are a pair of viscosity sub-solutions of system **(DCS)**, where the constants

$$\widehat{A} = [\lambda(n + \beta - 2)\beta^{1+q}]^{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1\lambda_2 - (1+p)(1+q)}} [\lambda(n + \alpha - 2)\alpha^{1+p}]^{\frac{1+q}{\lambda_1\lambda_2 - (1+p)(1+q)}}$$

and

$$\widehat{B} = [\lambda(n + \alpha - 2)\alpha^{1+p}]^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1\lambda_2 - (1+p)(1+q)}} [\lambda(n + \beta - 2)\beta^{1+q}]^{\frac{1+p}{\lambda_1\lambda_2 - (1+p)(1+q)}}.$$

5. GEOMETRIC PROPERTIES OF THE FREE BOUNDARY

This section is divided into three subsections. In the first subsection, we prove the non-degeneracy of dead-core solution (u, v) in virtue of the radial solution obtained in Section 4 and weak comparison principle (Lemma 2.5). In the second subsection, we prove porosity of the free boundary. Finally, in the third subsection, we provide a coincidence of the free boundary, which may be of own interest.

5.1. Non-degeneracy of solutions. With Section 4 and Lemma 2.5, we now proceed with the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since u and v are continuous, it suffices to show the theorem for the points $x_0 \in \{|(u, v)| > 0\} \cap B_{1/2}$. Set

$$\psi_1(x) = c_1|x - x_0|^\alpha, \quad \psi_2(x) = c_2|x - x_0|^\beta,$$

for $\alpha := \frac{(1+q)(2+p) + \lambda_1(2+q)}{(1+p)(1+q) - \lambda_1\lambda_2}$, $\beta := \frac{(1+p)(2+q) + \lambda_2(2+p)}{(1+p)(1+q) - \lambda_1\lambda_2}$, and $c_1 \in (0, A)$, $c_2 \in (0, B)$ are to be determined.

A routine calculation reveals that

$$(\psi_1)_i = c_1\alpha|x - x_0|^{\alpha-2}(x_i - x_{0,i}), \quad (\psi_2)_i = c_2\beta|x - x_0|^{\beta-2}(x_i - x_{0,i}), \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Further, direct calculations yield that

$$(\psi_1)_{ij} = c_1\alpha|x - x_0|^{\alpha-2}\delta_{ij} + c_1\alpha(\alpha - 2)|x - x_0|^{\alpha-4}(x_i - x_{0,i})(x_j - x_{0,j}),$$

and

$$(\psi_2)_{ij} = c_2\beta|x - x_0|^{\beta-2}\delta_{ij} + c_2\beta(\beta - 2)|x - x_0|^{\beta-4}(x_i - x_{0,i})(x_j - x_{0,j}).$$

Using the Assumptions 1, 4 and system **(DCS)** to give

$$|D\psi_1|^p F(D^2\psi_1, x) - (\psi_2)_+^{\lambda_1} \leq [\Lambda(c_1\alpha)^{1+p}(n + \alpha - 2) - c_2^{\lambda_1}]|x - x_0|^{\beta\lambda_1}$$

and

$$|D\psi_2|^q F(D^2\psi_2, x) - (\psi_1)_+^{\lambda_2} \leq [\Lambda(c_2\beta)^{1+q}(n + \beta - 2) - c_1^{\lambda_2}]|x - x_0|^{\alpha\lambda_2}.$$

Choosing suitable c_1, c_2 such that

$$\Lambda(c_1\alpha)^{1+p}(n + \alpha - 2) - c_2^{\lambda_1} \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda(c_2\beta)^{1+q}(n + \beta - 2) - c_1^{\lambda_2} \leq 0,$$

which implies that

$$|D\psi_1|^p F(D^2\psi_1, x) - (\psi_2)_+^{\lambda_1} \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |D\psi_2|^q F(D^2\psi_2, x) - (\psi_1)_+^{\lambda_2} \leq 0.$$

Next we shall prove the following claim:

Claim. There exists $\xi \in \partial B_r(x_0)$ such that

$$(u(\xi), v(\xi)) \geq (\psi_1(\xi), \psi_2(\xi)).$$

Once the claim is established, we have

$$\sup_{B_r(x_0)} |(u, v)| \geq |(u(\xi), v(\xi))| \geq cr^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}.$$

Now it remains to prove the claim. If the conclusion is false, then for any $\xi \in \partial B_r(x_0)$,

$$(u(\xi), v(\xi)) < (\psi_1(\xi), \psi_2(\xi)).$$

Define

$$\widetilde{\psi}_1 = \begin{cases} \min\{u, \psi_1\}, & x \in B_r(x_0) \\ u, & x \in B_r^c(x_0) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\widetilde{\psi}_2 = \begin{cases} \min\{v, \psi_2\}, & x \in B_r(x_0) \\ v, & x \in B_r^c(x_0). \end{cases}$$

Since (ψ_1, ψ_2) is a viscosity super-solution of **(DCS)**, and (u, v) is also a viscosity super-solution of **(DCS)**, then $(\widetilde{\psi}_1, \widetilde{\psi}_2)$ also is a super-solution. By Lemma 2.5, we obtain

$$0 = \widetilde{\psi}_1(x_0) \geq u(x_0) > 0 \quad \text{or} \quad 0 = \widetilde{\psi}_2(x_0) \geq v(x_0) > 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, this completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

As a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, we have the following:

Corollary 5.1. *Suppose that Assumptions 1–4 hold. Let (u, v) be a non-negative viscosity solution to **(DCS)**, and $x_0 \in \partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\}$, then*

$$\frac{1}{C} r^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}} \leq \sup_{B_r(x_0)} |(u, v)| \leq Cr^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}},$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant depending only on n and $r > 0$ is small enough.

5.2. Porosity of the free boundary. Once we demonstrate the sharp asymptotic behavior of free boundary problem, it naturally follows that we can derive certain weak geometric characteristics of the phase zone. Hence, we shall prove the porosity of the free boundary $\partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\}$ in this subsection.

Corollary 5.2. *(Uniform positive density) Suppose that Assumptions 1–4 hold. Let $(u, v) \geq 0$ be a bounded viscosity solution to **(DCS)**, and $y \in \partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\} \cap B_{1/2}$, then*

$$|B_\rho(y) \cap \{|(u, v)| > 0\}| \geq c\rho^n \quad \text{for any } \rho \in (0, 1/2),$$

where $c > 0$ is a universal constant.

Proof. Applying Theorem 1.2, we have that there exists $y_0 \in \partial B_\rho(y) \cap \{|u, v| > 0\}$ such that

$$(5.1) \quad |(u(y_0), v(y_0))| \geq c_1 \rho^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}.$$

Furthermore, for a small $\theta > 0$, we observe

$$(5.2) \quad B_{\theta\rho}(y_0) \subset \{|(u, v)| > 0\}.$$

Indeed, if there exists \hat{y} such that $\hat{y} \in B_{\theta\rho}(y_0) \cap \partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\}$, then from Theorem 1.1, it deduces

$$c_1\rho^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}} \leq |(u(y_0), v(y_0))| \leq \sup_{B_{\theta\rho}(\hat{y})} |(u, v)| \leq c_2(\theta\rho)^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}},$$

which is a contradiction, provided we choose

$$0 < \theta < \left(\frac{c_1}{c_2}\right)^{\frac{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}{2}}.$$

Therefore we have shown that (5.2) holds, and

$$|B_\rho(y) \cap \{|(u, v)| > 0\}| \geq |B_\rho(y) \cap B_{\theta\rho}(y_0)| \geq c\rho^n.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Before proving the porosity of the free boundary, we recall a concept concerning the porosity of set.

Definition 5.1. ([31, Definition 3.8]) *A set $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called porous with porosity τ , if there exists an $R > 0$ such that $\forall x \in V$ and $\forall r \in (0, R)$ there exists $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that*

$$B_{\tau r}(y) \subset B_r(x) \setminus V.$$

Corollary 5.3. (Porosity of the free boundary) *Suppose that Assumptions 1–4 hold. Let $(u, v) \geq 0$ be a bounded viscosity solution to (DCS). Then, there exists a constants $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$ such that*

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-\epsilon}(\partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\} \cap B_{1/2}) < \infty.$$

Proof. Let $R > 0$ and $x_0 \in B_1$ be such that $\overline{B_{4R}(x_0)} \subset B_1$. From [28, Theorem 2.1], it is enough to prove the free boundary $\partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\} \cap B_R(x_0)$ is a $\frac{\tau}{2}$ -porous set for some $0 < \tau < 1$. For any $r \in (0, R)$, clearly it sees $\overline{B_r(x)} \subset B_{2R}(x_0) \subset B_1$. Let $y \in \partial B_r(x)$ be such that

$$\sup_{\partial B_r(x)} |(u, v)| = |(u(y), v(y))|.$$

Applying Theorem 1.2 above, it deduces

$$(5.3) \quad |(u(y), v(y))| \geq c_1 r^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}.$$

On the other hand, from Corollary 3.2, we obtain

$$(5.4) \quad |(u(y), v(y))| \leq c_2(d(y))^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}},$$

where $d(y) := \text{dist}(y, \partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\} \cap \overline{B_{2R}(x_0)})$. Combining (5.3) and (5.4), we get

$$d(y) \geq \left(\frac{c_1}{c_2}\right)^{\frac{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}{2}} r := \tau r,$$

which implies

$$(5.5) \quad B_{\tau r}(y) \subset B_{d(y)}(y) \subset \{|(u, v)| > 0\}.$$

Now letting z be a point on the line segment connecting x and y such that $|z - y| \leq \frac{\tau r}{2}$, then it can easily seen

$$(5.6) \quad B_{\frac{\tau r}{2}}(z) \subset B_{\tau r}(y) \cap B_r(x).$$

Hence, it follows from (5.5) and (5.6) that

$$B_{\frac{\tau r}{2}}(z) \subset B_{\tau r}(y) \setminus \partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\} \subset B_r(x) \setminus (\partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\} \cap B_R(x_0)),$$

which yields the desired result. \square

We remark that the free boundary $\partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\}$ has Lebesgue measure zero.

5.3. Coincidence of the free boundaries.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose that Assumptions 1–3 hold. Let (u, v) be a non-negative viscosity solution to (DCS). Then*

$$\partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\} = \partial\{u > 0\} = \partial\{v > 0\}.$$

Proof. We divide the proof into two steps:

Step 1. First, we note that

$$(5.7) \quad \text{int}\{u = 0\} = \text{int}\{v = 0\}.$$

In effect, if $\text{int}\{u = 0\} \neq \emptyset$ then for any ball $B_r \subset \text{int}\{u = 0\}$, where $r > 0$ is small enough, we have $Du = 0$ in B_r . From the first equation of (DCS), it reads $v = 0$ in B_r . Hence $\text{int}\{u = 0\} \subset \text{int}\{v = 0\}$. Analogously, we can obtain $\text{int}\{v = 0\} \subset \text{int}\{u = 0\}$.

Next we want to show $\partial\{u > 0\} = \partial\{v > 0\}$ holds. Since the right-hand side of the first equation of (DCS) is bounded, then using Lemma 2.1, we obtain $u \in C_{\text{loc}}^{1,\alpha}(B_1)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. We observe that $|Du| = 0$ on $\partial\{u > 0\}$. In fact, for any fixed $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and for $z \in \partial\{u > 0\}$, by using Theorem 1.1, one has

$$|D_i u(z)| = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{|u(z + te_i) - u(z)|}{t} \leq C \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} |t|^{\frac{(1+q)(2+p)+\lambda_1(2+q)}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}-1} = 0,$$

where we have used $\frac{(1+q)(2+p)+\lambda_1(2+q)}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2} > 1$ in the last equality. This gives $|Du(z)| = 0$. Hence from the first equation of (DCS), it follows $v(z) = 0$, i.e

$$\partial\{u > 0\} \subset \{v = 0\},$$

which, together with (5.7), yield

$$\partial\{u > 0\} \subset \partial\{v = 0\}.$$

Similarly, we can obtain $\partial\{v > 0\} \subset \partial\{u = 0\}$. Therefore, $\partial\{u > 0\} = \partial\{v > 0\}$ is proven.

Step 2. We verify that $\partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\} = \partial\{u > 0\}$ holds. If $x \in \partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\}$, then $u(x) = v(x) = 0$, which clearly leads to $\partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\} \subset \partial\{u > 0\}$. On the other hand, if $x \in \partial\{u > 0\}$, then from Step 1, we have $|(u(x), v(x))| = 0$. Noticed that $x \notin \text{int}\{|(u(x), v(x))| = 0\}$, otherwise it gives $x \in \text{int}\{u = 0\}$, which contradicts with $x \in \partial\{u > 0\}$. Thereby, $x \in \partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\}$, i.e $x \in \partial\{u > 0\} \subset \partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\}$. \square

Remark 5.1. In contrast to [3, Theorem 4.2], the convexity of the operator F and G is not necessary in Theorem 5.1. Additionally, it is worth pointing out that $0 < p, q < \infty$ is crucial in Theorem 5.1 and the method here does not work for $-1 < p, q < 0$.

6. LIOUVILLE TYPE RESULTS AND BLOW-UP ANALYSIS

In this section, we give an application of Theorem 1.1, namely, Liouville-type result. It shows that the dead-core solution (u, v) is identical zero in \mathbb{R}^n provided the solution vanishes at origin and has a growth control at infinity.

The first result in this section is formulated as follows.

Theorem 6.1. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1, let (u, v) be a non-negative viscosity solution of*

$$\begin{cases} |Du|^p F(D^2u, x) = (v_+)^{\lambda_1} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \\ |Dv|^q G(D^2v, x) = (u_+)^{\lambda_2} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

and $u(0) = v(0) = 0$. If

$$(6.1) \quad |(u(x), v(x))| = o\left(|x|^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}\right) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty,$$

then $u \equiv v \equiv 0$.

Proof. We first define the scaled auxiliary functions u_k, v_k as follows:

$$u_k(x) := k^{-\frac{(1+q)(2+p)+\lambda_1(2+q)}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}} u(kx), \quad v_k(x) := k^{-\frac{(1+p)(2+q)+\lambda_2(2+p)}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}} v(kx).$$

Direct calculations yield that $u_k(0) = v_k(0) = 0$, and (u_k, v_k) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} |Du_k|^p F_k(D^2u_k, x) = (v_k)_+^{\lambda_1} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \\ |Dv_k|^q G_k(D^2v_k, x) = (u_k)_+^{\lambda_2} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

in the viscosity sense, where

$$F_k(D^2u_k, x) = k^{\frac{p(1+q)-\lambda_1(2+q+2\lambda_2)}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}} F\left(k^{\frac{\lambda_1(2+q+2\lambda_2)-p(1+q)}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}} D^2u_k, kx\right),$$

and

$$G_k(D^2v_k, x) = k^{\frac{q(1+p)-\lambda_2(2+p+2\lambda_1)}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}} G\left(k^{\frac{\lambda_2(2+p+2\lambda_1)-q(1+p)}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}} D^2v_k, kx\right).$$

It is easy to check that F_k, G_k satisfy the Assumptions 1–2. Moreover, we notice that

$$(6.2) \quad |(u_k, v_k)| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Indeed, let $x_k \in \overline{B_r}$ be such that $\sup_{\overline{B_r}} |(u_k, v_k)| = |(u_k(x_k), v_k(x_k))|$. Now we consider the following two cases.

Case 1. If $|kx_k| \rightarrow \infty$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By (6.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |(u_k(x_k), v_k(x_k))| &= k^{-\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}} |(u(kx_k), v(kx_k))| \\ &\leq |kx_k|^{-\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}} |(u(kx_k), v(kx_k))| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. If $|kx_k|$ is bounded, then from Theorem 1.1, we have

$$(6.3) \quad |(u_k(x_k), v_k(x_k))| \leq C_k |x_k|^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}},$$

where $C_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Scaling back to u , it gives

$$|(u(kx_k), v(kx_k))| \leq C_k |kx_k|^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, (6.2) is shown.

Now if the conclusion of Theorem 6.1 is not true, then there exists $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $|(u(y_0), v(y_0))| > 0$. In the spirit of (6.3), it infers

$$(6.4) \quad \sup_{B_{1/2}} \frac{|(u_k(x), v_k(x))|}{|x|^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}} \leq \frac{|(u(y_0), v(y_0))|}{40|y_0|^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}}$$

for large enough k . Taking $k \gg 2|y_0|$, from (6.4), one has

$$\frac{|(u(y_0), v(y_0))|}{|y_0|^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}} \leq \sup_{B_{k/2}} \frac{|(u(z), v(z))|}{|z|^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}} = \sup_{B_{1/2}} \frac{|(u_k(x), v_k(x))|}{|x|^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}} \leq \frac{|(u(y_0), v(y_0))|}{40|y_0|^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}},$$

which implies $|(u(y_0), v(y_0))| = 0$. This contradicts with $|(u(y_0), v(y_0))| > 0$. Hence, we finish the proof of theorem. \square

An improved Liouville-type result of Theorem 6.1 is Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We follow the ideas of [3, Theorem 5.2](see also [2] and [23]). Fixing $R > 0$, we define

$$(6.5) \quad S_R = \sup_{\partial B_R} |(u, v)| = \sup_{\partial B_R} \left(u_+^{\frac{2}{(1+q)(2+p)+\lambda_1(2+q)}} + v_+^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(2+q)+\lambda_2(2+p)}} \right).$$

In the spirit of (1.4), there exist $0 < \theta \ll 1$ and $R \gg 1$ such that

$$(6.6) \quad R^{\frac{-2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}} S_R \leq \theta m,$$

where $m = \min \left\{ A^{\frac{2}{(1+q)(2+p)+\lambda_1(2+q)}}, B^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(2+q)+\lambda_2(2+p)}} \right\}$.

Now we consider the following two auxiliary functions $\tilde{u}(x), \tilde{v}(x)$:

$$\tilde{u}(x) = A(|x| - \rho)_+^{\frac{(1+q)(2+p)+\lambda_1(2+q)}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{v}(x) = B(|x| - \rho)_+^{\frac{(1+p)(2+q)+\lambda_2(2+p)}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}$$

for some $\rho > 0$. As the previous analysis in Section 4, one has the dead-core domain $B_\rho = \{ |(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})| = 0 \}$. In order to use Lemma 2.5, for $R \gg 1$, we set

$$(6.7) \quad \rho := R - \left(\frac{S_R}{m} \right)^{\frac{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}{2}} \geq \left(1 - \theta^{\frac{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}{2}} \right) R,$$

where we have used (6.6). Then we combine (6.5) and (6.7) to obtain

$$\tilde{u}(x) = A \left(\frac{S_R}{m} \right)^{\frac{(1+q)(2+p)+\lambda_1(2+q)}{2}} \geq \sup_{\partial B_R} u,$$

and

$$\tilde{v}(x) = B \left(\frac{S_R}{m} \right)^{\frac{(1+p)(2+q)+\lambda_2(2+p)}{2}} \geq \sup_{\partial B_R} v$$

for any $x \in \partial B_R$. By Lemma 2.5, we deduce

$$(6.8) \quad \tilde{u} \geq u \quad \text{or} \quad \tilde{v} \geq v \quad \text{in} \quad B_R.$$

Thus, taking $R \rightarrow \infty$ and using (6.7) and (6.8), it follows that $u(x)v(x) = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n , and so

$$(6.9) \quad \{u > 0\} \subset \{v = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{v > 0\} \subset \{u = 0\}.$$

If there exists $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $u(z_0) > 0$. Using the first part of (6.9) and the continuity of u , one has $v = 0$ in some neighbourhood of z_0 . From the second equation of (1.3), we infer $u = 0$ in some neighbourhood of z_0 , which contradicts with $u(z_0) > 0$. Thereby, $u \equiv 0$ holds. Similarly, we can also show $v \equiv 0$. \square

Remark 6.1. We remark that the inequality in (1.4) is sharp. In fact, when

$$u(x) = A|x|^{\frac{(1+q)(2+p)+\lambda_1(2+q)}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}} \quad \text{and} \quad v(x) = B|x|^{\frac{(1+p)(2+q)+\lambda_2(2+p)}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}},$$

(1.4) becomes an equality, but neither u nor v is identically 0.

Remark 6.2. We highlight that the proof of Theorem 1.3 does not work for $-1 < p, q < 0$.

In the next theorem, we shall study the blow-up analysis over free boundary points. Let (u, v) be solution to dead-core problem **(DCS)** and $z_0 \in \partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\} \cap B_{1/2}$. For each $\tau > 0$, we define a blow-up sequence $|(u_\tau, v_\tau)| : B_{\frac{1}{2\tau}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$|(u_\tau, v_\tau)| = \frac{|(u(z_0 + \tau x), v(z_0 + \tau x))|}{\tau^{\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}},$$

that is to say,

$$u_\tau(x) = \frac{u(z_0 + \tau x)}{\tau^{\frac{(1+q)(2+p)+\lambda_1(2+q)}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}} \quad \text{and} \quad v_\tau(x) = \frac{v(z_0 + \tau x)}{\tau^{\frac{(1+p)(2+q)+\lambda_2(2+p)}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}}.$$

The next result analyses the limiting profile for any blow-up sequence.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $z_0 \in \partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\} \cap B_{1/2}$ be a free boundary point, and a blow-up sequence $\{(u_\tau, v_\tau)\}$. Then, up to a subsequence,*

$$(u_\tau, v_\tau) \rightarrow (u_0, v_0) \text{ locally uniformly in } B_1 \text{ and } (Du_\tau, Dv_\tau) \rightarrow (Du_0, Dv_0) \text{ locally uniformly in } B_1.$$

Furthermore, (u_0, v_0) is a non-negative viscosity solution to the following global degenerate elliptic system:

$$(6.10) \quad \begin{cases} |Du_0|^p F_0(D^2 u_0, x) = (v_0)_+^{\lambda_1} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \\ |Dv_0|^q G_0(D^2 v_0, x) = (u_0)_+^{\lambda_2} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

where F_0, G_0 are uniformly elliptic operator. In the end, $0 \in \partial\{|(u_0, v_0)| > 0\}$.

Proof. A straightforward calculation yields that (u_τ, v_τ) fulfills

$$(6.11) \quad \begin{cases} |Du_\tau|^p F_\tau(D^2 u_\tau, x) = (v_\tau(x))_+^{\lambda_1} & \text{in } B_{\frac{1}{2\tau}} \\ |Dv_\tau|^q G_\tau(D^2 v_\tau, x) = (u_\tau(x))_+^{\lambda_2} & \text{in } B_{\frac{1}{2\tau}}, \end{cases}$$

in the viscosity sense, where

$$F_\tau(D^2 u_\tau, x) = \tau^{2-\alpha} F(\tau^{\alpha-2} D^2 u_\tau, z_0 + \tau x), \quad G_\tau(D^2 v_\tau, x) = \tau^{2-\beta} G(\tau^{\beta-2} D^2 v_\tau, z_0 + \tau x).$$

From Theorem 1.1, it follows that

$$(6.12) \quad |u_\tau(x)| \leq C|x|^{\frac{(1+q)(2+p)+\lambda_1(2+q)}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}} \quad \text{and} \quad |v_\tau(x)| \leq C|x|^{\frac{(1+p)(2+q)+\lambda_2(2+p)}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}}$$

for any $x \in B_{\frac{1}{2\tau}}$.

Now combining (6.12), Lemma 2.1 and Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, then, up to a sequence, we have

$$(u_\tau, v_\tau) \rightarrow (u_0, v_0) \text{ locally uniformly in } B_1 \text{ and } (Du_\tau, Dv_\tau) \rightarrow (Du_0, Dv_0) \text{ locally uniformly in } B_1.$$

Taking the limit $\tau \rightarrow 0$ in (6.11) and using the stability result of viscosity solution, it infers (6.10) holds. Finally, since $0 \in \partial\{|(u_\tau, v_\tau)| > 0\}$, then $0 \in \partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\}$ holds clearly. \square

An immediate consequence of Corollary 5.1 is the following:

Theorem 6.3. *Let (u_0, v_0) be a blow-up solution at $z_0 \in \partial\{|(u, v)| > 0\}$. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq \liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \left(|x|^{-\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}} |(u_0, v_0)| \right) \leq \limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \left(|x|^{-\frac{2}{(1+p)(1+q)-\lambda_1\lambda_2}} |(u_0, v_0)| \right) \leq C.$$

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4, COROLLARY 1.1 AND APPLICATIONS

In this section, we give an alternative proof of Theorem 1.4 using the Harnack inequality rather than flatness estimate. Subsequently, the proof of Corollary 1.1 and some applications will be given.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. The idea of the proof is inspired by [27, Theorem 1]. Without loss of generality, we assume $x_0 = 0$. We want to show that the existence of a $C > 0$, such that for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, there holds

$$(7.1) \quad \theta_{j+1} \leq \max \{C2^{-\beta(j+1)}, 2^{-\beta}\theta_j\},$$

where

$$(7.2) \quad \theta_j := \sup_{B_{2^{-j}}} u \quad \text{and} \quad \beta := \frac{2+p+\alpha}{1+p-\mu}.$$

We argue by contradiction, if (7.1) does not hold, i.e., for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $j_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(7.3) \quad \theta_{j_k+1} > \max \{k2^{-\beta(j_k+1)}, 2^{-\beta}\theta_{j_k}\}.$$

Now we define a rescaled function $v_k : B_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$v_k(x) = \frac{u(2^{-j_k}x)}{\theta_{j_k+1}},$$

then simple calculations yield that

$$(7.4) \quad 0 \leq v_k(x) \leq 2^\beta; \quad v_k(0) = 0; \quad \sup_{\overline{B_{1/2}}} v_k(x) = 1.$$

Furthermore, v_k is a viscosity solution to

$$(7.5) \quad |Dv_k|^p \tilde{F}(D^2v_k, x) = \tilde{f}(|x|, v_k(x)) \quad \text{in } B_1,$$

where

$$\tilde{F}(D^2v_k, x) = 2^{-2j_k} \theta_{j_k+1}^{-1} F(2^{2j_k} \theta_{j_k+1}, 2^{-j_k}x)$$

and

$$\tilde{f}(|x|, v_k(x)) = 2^{-(p+2)j_k} \theta_{j_k+1}^{-(p+1)} f(2^{-j_k}|x|, \theta_{j_k+1}v_k(x)).$$

Here the operator \tilde{F} still satisfies **(1a)** and **(2a)**.

Using Assumption 5, we have

$$(7.6) \quad \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} \leq \frac{C_n \|f_0\|_{L^\infty(B_1)}}{k^{p+1-\mu} 2^{(p+2+\alpha)(2+j_k)}},$$

then from (7.4), (7.6), and the Harnack inequality, Theorem 2.1, it concludes

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = \sup_{B_{1/2}} v_k(x) &\leq C \left(\inf_{B_{1/2}} v_k(x) + \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^\infty(B_1)}^{\frac{1}{1+p}} \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{C_n \|f_0\|_{L^\infty(B_1)}}{k^{p+1-\mu} 2^{(p+2+\alpha)(2+j_k)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+p}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence (7.1) holds.

For given $r \in (0, 1)$, then there exists $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^{-j-1} \leq r < 2^{-j}$. In the spirit of (7.1), it follows that

$$\sup_{B_r} u \leq \sup_{B_{2^{-j}}} u \leq C 2^{-\beta j} = C 2^{-\beta(1+j)} 2^\beta \leq C r^\beta = C r^{\frac{2+p+\alpha}{1+p-\mu}}.$$

We finish the proof of Theorem 1.4. □

Proof of Corollary 1.1. The proof is analogous to the proof of Corollary 3.1, for the sake of completeness, we present it here. Let $x_0 \in \{u > 0\} \cap B_{1/2}$ be a point such that $f(x, t) \simeq |x - \gamma(x_0)|^\alpha t_+^\mu$, where $\gamma := \gamma(x_0) \in \partial\{u > 0\}$ fulfills

$$|x_0 - \gamma(x_0)| = \text{dist}(x_0, \partial\{u > 0\}) := d.$$

Invoking Theorem 1.4, we obtain

$$(7.7) \quad \sup_{B_d(x_0)} u \leq \sup_{B_{2d}(\gamma)} u \leq C d^{\frac{2+p+\alpha}{1+p-\mu}}.$$

Next we consider an auxiliary function $v : B_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$v(x) = \frac{u(x_0 + dx)}{d^{\frac{2+p+\alpha}{1+p-\mu}}}.$$

Direct computations yield that v is a viscosity solution to

$$|Dv|^p \tilde{F}(D^2v, x) = \tilde{f}(|x|, v(x)),$$

where

$$\tilde{F}(D^2v, x) = d^{-\frac{\alpha+2\mu-p}{1+p-\mu}} F(d^{\frac{\alpha+2\mu-p}{1+p-\mu}} D^2v(x), x_0 + dx)$$

and

$$\tilde{f}(|x|, v(x)) := d^{-\frac{\alpha(1+p)+\mu(2+p)}{1+p-\mu}} f(|x_0 + dx|, u(x_0 + dx)).$$

Here the operator \tilde{F} still satisfies **(1a)** and **(2a)**. Note that

$$\tilde{f}(|x|, v(x)) \simeq d^{-\frac{\alpha(1+p)+\mu(2+p)}{1+p-\mu}} d^{\alpha + \frac{\mu(2+p+\alpha)}{1+p-\mu}} |x|^\alpha v_+^\mu = |x|^\alpha v_+^\mu,$$

then from (7.7), Lemma 2.1 and Remark 2.2, we have

$$\frac{|\nabla u(x_0)|}{d^{\frac{1+\alpha+\mu}{1+p-\mu}}} = |\nabla v(0)| \leq \sup_{B_{1/2}} |\nabla v| \leq C \left(\sup_{B_1} v + \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^\infty(B_1)}^{\frac{1}{1+p}} \right) \leq C,$$

which gives

$$|\nabla u(x_0)| \leq Cd^{\frac{1+\alpha+\mu}{1+p-\mu}}.$$

□

We conclude this section by giving some applications, i.e., Liouville-type results. The proof is just the same as that of Theorems 1.3 and 6.1, and is omitted here.

Theorem 7.1. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.4, let u be a non-negative bounded entire viscosity solution to*

$$|Du|^p F(D^2u, x) = |x|^\alpha (u)_+^\mu \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

with $u(0) = 0$. Assume that

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(x)}{|x|^{\frac{2+p+\alpha}{1+p-\mu}}} = 0,$$

then $u \equiv 0$.

Theorem 7.2. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.4, let u be a non-negative bounded entire viscosity solution to*

$$|Du|^p F(D^2u, x) = |x|^\alpha (u)_+^\mu \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Suppose that

$$\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(x)}{|x|^{\frac{2+p+\alpha}{1+p-\mu}}} < C_1(n, p, \mu, \alpha, \Lambda),$$

where $C_1(n, p, \mu, \alpha, \Lambda)$ is the constant in Example 5 of Section 9.

8. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5 AND THEOREM 1.6

In this section, we will give the proof of Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.6.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Assuming, without loss of generality, that $x_0 = 0 \in \{u > 0\}$, we consider

$$v(x) = \tilde{A}|x|^\gamma,$$

where $\gamma := \frac{2+p+\alpha}{1+p-\mu}$. We want to show that for suitable value \tilde{A} , there exists a $r^* > 0$ such that

$$(8.1) \quad |Dv|^p F(D^2v, x) - c_0 |x|^\alpha (v)_+^\mu \leq 0$$

for all $r \in (0, r^*)$ and for all $x \in B_r \subset B_1$.

To prove (8.1), we will calculate

$$(8.2) \quad v_i = \tilde{A}\gamma|x|^{\gamma-2}x_i, \quad v_{ij} = \tilde{A}\gamma|x|^{\gamma-2}\delta_{ij} + \tilde{A}\gamma(\gamma-2)|x|^{\gamma-4}x_i x_j, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

then using the Assumptions 4 and **(1a)**, we have

$$(8.3) \quad F(D^2v, x) \leq \Lambda \tilde{A}\gamma(n + \gamma - 2)|x|^{\gamma-2},$$

which, together with (8.2), yields that

$$(8.4) \quad |Dv|^p F(D^2v, x) - c_0|x|^\alpha(v)_+^\mu \leq \left(\Lambda(\tilde{A}\gamma)^{1+p}(n + \gamma - 2) - c_0\tilde{A}^\mu \right) |x|^{\alpha+\gamma\mu}.$$

By choosing

$$\tilde{A} = \left[\frac{c_0}{\Lambda\gamma^{1+p}(n + \gamma - 2)} \right]^{\frac{1}{1+p-\mu}} = \left[\frac{c_0(1+p-\mu)^{2+p}}{\Lambda[(n-2)(1+p-\mu) + (2+p+\alpha)](p+2+\alpha)^{1+p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{1+p-\mu}},$$

then it can be seen that

$$\begin{aligned} |Dv|^p F(D^2v, x) - c_0|x|^\alpha(v)_+^\mu &\leq 0 = |Du|^p F(D^2u, x) - f(|x|, u) \\ &\leq |Du|^p F(D^2u, x) - c_0|x|^\alpha(u)_+^\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for any $B_r \subset B_1$, we can assert the existence of $z_r \in \partial B_r$ such that $u(z_r) > v(z_r)$. In effect, if suppose not, and then by the comparison principle (Lemma 2.4), we obtain $u \leq v$ in B_r . But this contradicts with $u(0) > 0 = v(0)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\partial B_r} u(x) &\geq u(z_r) \geq v(z_r) \\ &= \left[\frac{c_0(1+p-\mu)^{2+p}}{\Lambda[(n-2)(1+p-\mu) + (2+p+\alpha)](p+2+\alpha)^{1+p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{1+p-\mu}} \frac{2+p+\alpha}{r^{1+p-\mu}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $x_0 = 0 \in \partial\{u > 0\}$, consider a sequence of point $\{x_k\}_{k \geq 1} \subset \{u > 0\}$ such that $x_k \rightarrow 0$, and let $r_k := r - |x_k|$. It can be seen that $B_{r_k}(x_k) \subset B_r(0)$, then we have

$$\sup_{\partial B_{r_k}(x_k)} u(x) \geq \left[\frac{c_0(1+p-\mu)^{2+p}}{\Lambda[(n-2)(1+p-\mu) + (2+p+\alpha)](p+2+\alpha)^{1+p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{1+p-\mu}} \frac{2+p+\alpha}{r_k^{1+p-\mu}},$$

and the desired result follows letting $k \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.6. For fixed $\epsilon > 0$, we consider a regularized problem

$$(8.5) \quad \begin{cases} |Du_\epsilon|^p F(D^2u_\epsilon, x) = v_\epsilon(x)u_\epsilon^{1+p} & \text{in } B_1 \\ u_\epsilon(x) = u(x) & \text{on } \partial B_1, \end{cases}$$

where $v_\epsilon(x) := (|x| + \epsilon)^\alpha$. The existence of viscosity solution u_ϵ of problem (8.5) is ensured in the spirit of the Perron's method.

From Lemma 2.1, we have that u_ϵ is of class C_{loc}^{1,α_1} for some $\alpha_1 \in (0, 1)$, then passing to a subsequence if necessary, $\{u_{\epsilon_j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges locally uniformly to some u_∞ in C^1 topology. Taking the limit $j \rightarrow \infty$ in (8.5) and using the stability result of viscosity solution, then we have $u_\infty \in C(B_1)$ is a viscosity solution to

$$|Du_\infty|^p F(D^2u_\infty, x) = |x|^\alpha u_\infty^{1+p} \text{ in } B_1,$$

where $u_\infty = u$ on ∂B_1 . By the comparison principle (Lemma 2.4), it infers $u_\infty = u$ in B_1 . Moreover, observe that $v_0(x) \leq v_\epsilon(x)$, then invoking the comparison principle (Lemma 2.4) again, it follows $u_\epsilon \leq u$ in B_1 . From our assumption, there exists an interior point $x_0 \in B_1$ such that $u(x_0) = 0$, then $u_\epsilon(x_0) = 0$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. Since v_ϵ is non-degenerate, by [16, Theorem 1.3], then it reads $u_\epsilon \equiv 0$ in B_1 . Hence taking the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we immediately obtain $u \equiv 0$ in B_1 . \square

9. EXAMPLES AND REMARKS

In this section, we give some examples and remarks.

Example 1. A natural extension of our results arises when considering equations given by

$$\begin{cases} |Du|^p F(D^2u, x) = f(v) & \text{in } B_1 \\ |Dv|^q G(D^2v, x) = g(u) & \text{in } B_1, \end{cases}$$

where $f, g \in C(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and

$$(9.1) \quad 0 \leq f(\delta t) \leq M_1 \delta^{\lambda_1} f(t) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq g(\delta t) \leq M_2 \delta^{\lambda_2} g(t),$$

with $M_1, M_2 > 0$, $0 \leq p, q < \infty$, $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 < (1+p)(1+q)$, $t > 0$ bounded, and $\delta > 0$ small enough. In addition, we assume that

$$(9.2) \quad f, g \text{ are non-decreasing.}$$

(I). The results obtained in Sections 3–7 remain true, without changes the proof even when f, g have some bounded coefficients, namely,

$$\begin{cases} |Du|^p F(D^2u, x) = f(x, v) & \text{in } B_1 \\ |Dv|^q G(D^2v, x) = g(x, u) & \text{in } B_1, \end{cases}$$

where f, g still satisfy some properties (9.1)–(9.2).

(II). Note that the case $f(v) = v_+^{\lambda_1}$, $g(u) = u_+^{\lambda_2}$ corresponds (DCS). In addition, f, g also contain some interesting models, for example,

- $f(v) = e^v - 1$ and $g(u) = e^u - 1$ with $M_1 = M_2 = 1$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$;
- $f(v) = \log(1 + v^3)$ and $g(u) = \log(1 + u^3)$ with $M_1 = M_2 = 1$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$, see [23, Section 7] for more details.

Example 2. A classical model is the following:

$$|Du|^p F(D^2u, x) = \sum_{i=1}^l c_i |x|^{\alpha_i} (u)_+^{\mu_i}(x) \quad \text{in } B_1,$$

where

$$c_i \geq 0, 0 \leq \mu_i < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \alpha_i < \infty \quad (\text{resp. } -\mu \frac{2+p}{1+p} < \alpha < 0) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq l.$$

In this case, $f(x, u) = \sum_{i=1}^l c_i |x|^{\alpha_i} (u)_+^{\mu_i}(x)$ satisfies Assumption 5 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |f(r|x|, su)| &\leq r^{\min_{1 \leq i \leq l} \{\alpha_i\}} s^{\min_{1 \leq i \leq l} \{\mu_i\}} \|f_0\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} \\ [\text{resp. } |f(r|x|, su)| &\leq r^{\max_{1 \leq i \leq l} \{\alpha_i\}} s^{\max_{1 \leq i \leq l} \{\mu_i\}} \|f_0\|_{L^\infty(B_1)}], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\|f_0\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} := \sup_{\bar{B}_1} |f(|x|, u(x))|.$$

Example 3. Consider

$$u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \left[\frac{(1+p-\mu)^{2+p}}{[(n-2)(1+p-\mu) + (2+p+\alpha)](p+2+\alpha)^{1+p}} \right] |x_i|^{\frac{2+p+\alpha}{1+p-\mu}}, \quad x \in B_1.$$

Simple computations yield that u satisfies

$$|Du|^p \Delta u = \begin{cases} |x_i|^\alpha (u)_+^\mu \lesssim |x|^\alpha (u)_+^\mu & \text{in } B_1, \quad \text{if } \alpha, \mu \neq 0, \\ |x_i|^\alpha \lesssim |x|^\alpha & \text{in } B_1, \quad \text{if } \alpha \neq 0, \mu = 0, \\ (u)_+^\mu & \text{in } B_1, \quad \text{if } \alpha = 0, \mu \neq 0, \\ 1 & \text{in } B_1, \quad \text{if } \alpha, \mu = 0. \end{cases}$$

and

$$S_u(B_1) = \{x \in B_1 : |Du| = 0\} = \{x \in B_1 : x_i = 0\} \quad \text{with } \mathcal{H}_{dim}(S_u(B_1)) = 1.$$

In particular, we have that $u \in C_{loc}^{1, \frac{1+\alpha+\mu}{1+p-\mu}}(B_1)$. Furthermore, if the condition $-\mu \frac{2+p}{1+p} < \alpha < \infty$ in Assumption 5 also holds, then we have

$$1 + \alpha + \mu \geq 1 + \mu - \mu \frac{2+p}{1+p} = \frac{1+p-\mu}{1+p} > 0.$$

Remark 9.1. It is essential to highlight some consequences arising from Example 3. Let $\tau = \frac{1+\alpha+\mu}{1+p-\mu}$, then we note that at critical point $0 \in S_u(B_1)$, the following relationships hold:

$$\begin{cases} \tau \in (0, 1) & \Leftrightarrow \alpha < p - 2\mu \Rightarrow u \in C^{1,\tau}(0), \\ \tau = 1 & \Leftrightarrow \alpha = p - 2\mu \Rightarrow u \in C^{1,1}(0), \\ \tau > 1 & \Leftrightarrow \alpha > p - 2\mu \Rightarrow u \in C^2(0). \end{cases}$$

Observed that as $p \geq 0$, $p - 2\mu > -\mu \frac{2+p}{1+p}$, then viscosity solutions enjoy classical estimate along the set of critical points provided $\alpha > p - 2\mu$. Analogously, as $-1 < p < 0$, $p - 2\mu < -\mu \frac{2+p}{1+p}$, this indicates the viscosity solutions always have classical estimate along the set of critical points provided $\alpha > -\mu \frac{2+p}{1+p}$. See the Figure 9.1 below.




FIGURE 9.1. The relation between α and μ

Example 4. A general model is formulated as follows:

$$(9.3) \quad |Du|^p F(D^2u, x) = \sum_{i=1}^N \text{dist}^{\alpha_i}(x, G_i) (u)_+^{\mu_i} + g_i(|x|) \quad \text{in } B_1,$$

where $G_i \subset B_1$ are disjoint closed sets, $\mu_i \in [0, 1+p)$, $\alpha_i > -\mu_i \frac{2+p}{1+p}$ and

$$\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow 0} \frac{g_i(|x|)}{\text{dist}^{l_i}(x, G_i)} = L_i \in [0, \infty) \quad \text{for some } l_i \geq 0.$$

Then viscosity solution to problem (9.3) belongs to $C_{loc}^{\min_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left\{ \frac{2+p+\alpha_i}{1+p-\mu_i}, \frac{2+p+l_i}{1+p} \right\}}$ along the sets $G_i \cap S(u)$.

Example 5. (Radial solution) Consider the function $\Phi : B_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\Phi(x) = C_1(n, p, \mu, \alpha, \Lambda) |x|^{\frac{2+p+\alpha}{1+p-\mu}},$$

where $C_1(n, p, \mu, \alpha, \Lambda)$ is a positive constant to be determined.

Direct calculations yield that

$$D\Phi(x) = \frac{2+p+\alpha}{1+p-\mu} C_1 |x|^{\frac{1+\alpha+\mu}{1+p-\mu}} \frac{x}{|x|},$$

and

$$D^2\Phi(x) = C_1 \frac{2+p+\alpha}{1+p-\mu} \left[\frac{1+\alpha+\mu}{1+p-\mu} |x|^{\frac{2\mu+\alpha-p}{1+p-\mu}} \frac{x \otimes x}{|x|^2} + |x|^{\frac{2\mu+\alpha-p}{1+p-\mu}} (Id_{n \times n} - \frac{x \otimes x}{|x|^2}) \right].$$

By the uniformly ellipticity of F , (1a), we have that

$$F(D^2\Phi, x) \leq C_1 \Lambda \frac{(p+2+\alpha)[n(1+p-\mu) + (2\mu+\alpha-p)]}{(1+p-\mu)^2} |x|^{\frac{\alpha+2\mu-p}{1+p-\mu}}.$$

Thus, if we choose

$$C_1(n, p, \mu, \alpha, \Lambda) := \left[\frac{(1+p-\mu)^{2+p}}{\Lambda(2+p+\alpha)^{1+p}[n(1+p-\mu) + (2\mu+\alpha-p)]} \right]^{\frac{1}{1+p-\mu}},$$

it follows that Φ is a viscosity super-solution to

$$|D\Phi|^p F(D^2\Phi, x) = |x|^\alpha (\Phi)_+^\mu \quad \text{in } B_1.$$

Particularly, it deduces that Φ belongs to $C_{loc}^{1, \frac{1+\alpha+\mu}{1+p-\mu}}(B_1)$.

Example 6. A natural extension of our results arises the following equation given by

$$(9.4) \quad |Du|^p F(D^2u, x) = f(x, u, Du) \quad \text{in } B_1,$$

where the source term f fulfills $|f(x, t, \xi)| \leq c_n |x|^\alpha |t|^\mu |\xi|^\gamma$ for some $c_n > 0$. As the analysis of Theorem 1.4, we find that if

$$x_0 \in S(u) = \{x \in B_1 : u(x) = |Du(x)| = 0\},$$

where $f(|x|, t, \xi) \simeq |x - x_0|^\alpha |t|^\mu |\xi|^\gamma$, then the viscosity solution to problem (9.4) belong to the class $C_{loc}^{\frac{2+p+\alpha-\gamma}{1+p-\mu-\gamma}}$ along the critical set $S(u)$.

Remark 9.2. The method of Theorem 1.4 may also be applicable to

$$(9.5) \quad |Du|^\gamma \Delta_p^N u = |x|^\alpha (u)_+^\mu \quad \text{in } B_1,$$

where $-1 < \gamma < \infty$, $1 < p < \infty$, $0 \leq \mu < 1 + \gamma$ and $-\mu \frac{2+\gamma}{1+\gamma} < \alpha < \infty$. The viscosity solutions to problem (9.5) should belong to the class $C_{loc}^{\frac{2+\gamma+\alpha}{1+\gamma-\mu}}$ along the critical set $S(u)$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12271093), the Jiangsu Provincial Scientific Research Center of Applied Mathematics (Grant No. BK20233002) and the Start-up Research Fund of Southeast University.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. W. Alt and D. Phillips, A free boundary problem for semilinear elliptic equations, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **368** (1986), 63–107.
- [2] D. J. Araújo, R. Leitão and E. Teixeira, Infinity Laplacian equation with strong absorptions, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **270** (2016), 2249–2267.
- [3] D. J. Araújo and R. Teymurazyan, Fully nonlinear dead-core systems, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **287** (2024), no. 9, Paper No. 110586, 23 pp.
- [4] D. J. Araújo and G. S. Sá, Infinity Laplacian equations with singular absorptions, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, **61** (2022), no. 4, Paper No. 132, 16 pp.
- [5] D. J. Araújo, G. Ricarte and E. Teixeira, Geometric gradient estimates for solutions to degenerate elliptic equations, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, **53** (2015), 605–625.
- [6] S. Baasandorj, S. Byun, K. Lee and S. Lee, $C^{1,\alpha}$ -regularity for a class of degenerate/singular fully non-linear elliptic equations, *Interfaces Free Bound.*, **26** (2024), 189–215.
- [7] C. Bandle and S. Vernier-Piro, Estimates for solutions of quasilinear problems with dead cores, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, **54** (2003), 815–821.
- [8] I. Birindelli and F. Demengel, Hölder regularity of the gradient for solutions of fully nonlinear equations with sub-linear first order term, In Geometric methods in PDE’s, Springer INdAM Ser, **13** (2015), 257–268.
- [9] A. Biswas and H. H. Vo, Liouville theorems for infinity Laplacian with gradient and KPP type equation, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.*, **24** (2023), 1223–1256.
- [10] A. Bronzi, E. Pimentel, G. Rampasso and E. Teixeira, Regularity of solutions to a class of variable-exponent fully nonlinear elliptic equations, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **279** (2020), no. 12, 108781, 31 pp.
- [11] L. Caffarelli and X. Cabré, Fully nonlinear elliptic equations, volume 43. American Mathematical Society, 1995.
- [12] M. G. Crandall, H. Ishii and P. L. Lions, User’s guide to viscosity solutions of second order partial differential equations, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **27** (1992), 1–67.
- [13] J. V. da Silva and A. M. Salort, Sharp regularity estimates for quasi-linear elliptic dead core problems and applications, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, **57** (2018), no. 3, Paper No. 83, 24 pp.
- [14] J. V. da Silva, P. Ochoa and A. Silva, Regularity for degenerate evolution equations with strong absorption, *J. Differential Equations*, **264** (2018), 7270–7293.
- [15] J. V. da Silva and P. Ochoa, Fully nonlinear parabolic dead core problems, *Pacific J. Math.*, **300** (2019), 179–213.
- [16] J. V. da Silva, R. Leitão and G. Ricarte, Geometric regularity estimates for fully nonlinear elliptic equations with free boundaries, *Math. Nachr.*, **294** (2021), 38–55.
- [17] J. V. da Silva and H. Vivas, Sharp regularity for degenerate obstacle type problems: a geometric approach, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, **41** (2021), 1359–1385.
- [18] J. V. da Silva, D. d. Prazeres, G. Ricarte and G. Sá, Sharp and improved regularity estimates for weighted quasilinear elliptic equations of p -Laplacian type and applications, arXiv:2410.14862, 2024.
- [19] G. Dávila, P. Felmer and A. Quaas, Harnack inequality for singular fully nonlinear operators and some existence results, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, **39** (2010), 557–578.
- [20] D. De Silva and O. Savin, The Alt-Phillips functional for negative powers, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **55** (2023), 2749–2777.
- [21] D. De Silva and O. Savin, Uniform density estimates and Γ -convergence for the Alt-Phillips functional of negative powers, *Math. Eng.*, **5** (2023), no. 5, Paper No. 086, 27 pp.
- [22] D. De Silva and O. Savin, Compactness estimates for minimizers of the Alt-Phillips functional of negative exponents, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.*, **23** (2023), no. 1, Paper No. 20220055, 19 pp.
- [23] N. M. Diehl and R. Teymurazyan, Reaction-diffusion equations for the infinity Laplacian, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **199** (2020), 111956, 12 pp.
- [24] A. Friedman and D. Phillips, The free boundary of a semilinear elliptic equation, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **282** (1984), 153–182.
- [25] M. Hénon, Numerical experiments on the stability of spherical stellar systems, *Astronomy and astrophysics*, **24** (1973), 229–238.
- [26] C. Imbert and L. Silvestre, $C^{1,\alpha}$ regularity of solutions of some degenerate fully non-linear elliptic equations, *Adv. Math.*, **233** (2013), 196–206.
- [27] E. B. Júnior, J. V. da Silva, T. Nascimento and G. Sá, Improved regularity estimates for Hardy-Hénon-type equations driven by the ∞ -Laplacian, arXiv:2410.19970, 2024.
- [28] P. Koskela and S. Rohde, Hausdorff dimension and mean porosity, *Math. Ann.*, **309** (1997), 593–609.
- [29] T. Lin and F. Liu, Viscosity solutions to the infinity Laplacian equation with strong absorptions, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.*, **21** (2022), 4251–4267.
- [30] T. Nascimento, Schauder-type estimates for fully nonlinear degenerate elliptic equations, arXiv:2403.07211, 2024.

- [31] A. Petrosyan, H. Shahgholian and N. Uraltseva, Regularity of free boundaries in obstacle-type problems, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 136, American Mathematical Society, Providence (2012).
- [32] P. Pucci and J. Serrin, Dead cores and bursts for quasilinear singular elliptic equations, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **38** (2006), 259–278.
- [33] E. Teixeira, Regularity for the fully nonlinear dead-core problem, *Math. Ann.*, **364** (2016), 1121–1134.
- [34] E. Teixeira, Nonlinear elliptic equations with mixed singularities, *Potential Anal.*, **48** (2018), 325–335.
- [35] Y. Wu and H. Yu, On the fully nonlinear Alt-Phillips equation, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2022, no. 11, 8540–8570.
- [36] E. Zeidler, Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications I-Fixed-point theorems, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986. xxi+897 pp.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND SHING-TUNG YAU CENTER OF SOUTHEAST UNIVERSITY, SOUTHEAST UNIVERSITY,
NANJING 211189, P.R. CHINA

Email address: jiangwen.wang@seu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND SHING-TUNG YAU CENTER OF SOUTHEAST UNIVERSITY, SOUTHEAST UNIVERSITY,
NANJING 211189, P.R. CHINA

Email address: jiangfeida@seu.edu.cn