# Non-Markovian Discrete Diffusion with Causal Language Models

Yangtian Zhang<sup>\*1</sup> Sizhuang He<sup>\*1</sup> Daniel Levine<sup>1</sup> Lawrence Zhao<sup>1</sup> David Zhang<sup>1</sup> Syed A Rizvi<sup>1</sup> Emanuele Zappala<sup>2</sup> Rex Ying<sup>1</sup> David van Dijk<sup>1</sup>

## Abstract

Discrete diffusion models have emerged as a flexible and controllable paradigm for structured sequence modeling, yet they still lag behind causal language models in expressiveness. To bridge the gap between two paradigms, we introduce CaDDi, a causal discrete diffusion model that unifies sequential and temporal modeling within a non-Markovian diffusion framework. Unlike conventional diffusion models that operate step by step with no access to prior states, CaDDi integrates the temporal trajectory, enabling more expressive and controllable generation. Our approach also treats causal language models as a special case, allowing seamless adoption of pretrained large language models (LLMs) for discrete diffusion without the need for architectural modifications. Empirically, we demonstrate that CaDDi outperforms state-of-the-art discrete diffusion models on both natural language and biological sequence tasks, narrowing the gap between diffusion-based methods and large-scale autoregressive transformers.

# 1. Introduction

Autoregressive transformers have become a dominant approach for sequence modeling (Vaswani, 2017; Chowdhery et al., 2023; Touvron et al., 2023a), achieving state-of-the-art performance in many natural language and biological tasks. Their left-to-right decoding paradigm simplifies training via next-token prediction and is supported by large-scale pretraining, unlocking broad linguistic (or domain) knowledge. However, these models can be less flexible for bidirectional or partially specified generation, such as text infilling or prompting from arbitrary locations.

Preliminary work. Under review.



Figure 1. (a). Framework of non-Markovian discrete diffusion, where noise is added independently to  $\mathbf{x}_0$  at each timestep. The reverse pass leverages the entire generative trajectory for denoising. (b).  $\mathbf{x}_0$ -parameterization. The reverse model predicts the clean data  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0$  and then re-applies noise to obtain  $\mathbf{x}_{t-1}$ .

By contrast, discrete diffusion models (Dieleman et al., 2022; Austin et al., 2023; Gulrajani & Hashimoto, 2024; Gat et al., 2024) naturally accommodate controllable generation scenarios where tokens can be iteratively refined and sampled in a bidirectional manner (Shen et al., 2023). Recent advances have extended discrete diffusion to continuous time (Campbell et al., 2022; Shi et al., 2024), improved its training objectives (Lou et al., 2024; Sahoo et al., 2024; Schiff et al., 2024), and accelerated inference (Park et al., 2024; Liu et al., 2024). Yet, these models often lag behind autoregressive approaches in generation quality (Zheng et al., 2024), due in part to their reliance on a *single* latent state for denoising—leading to fragile inference where small decoding errors can accumulate over time (Xu et al., 2024; Zheng et al., 2024; Hu & Ommer, 2024).

In this work, we aim to bridge the gap between both paradigms. Specifically, we extend the non-Markovian diffusion process of Gu et al. (2024) to the discrete domain, allowing each denoising step to use information from the entire generative trajectory rather than from a single state. This holistic view mitigates error accumulation and makes the backward (denoising) process naturally align with *causal* language modeling. Leveraging this insight, we propose CaDDi, a causal discrete diffusion model that unifies *sequential* (left-to-right) and *temporal* (multi-step) dimensions in

<sup>\*</sup>Both authors contributed equally to this project, the authorship order was determined by a coin toss. <sup>1</sup>Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA <sup>2</sup>Idaho State University, Pocatello, ID, USA. Correspondence to: Rex Ying <rex.ying@yale.edu>, David van Dijk <david.vandijk@yale.edu>.

a single transformer architecture. As a result, CaDDi can be trained efficiently via a simple next-token prediction loss—similar to a causal language model—while preserving the bidirectional control and iterative refinement of diffusion.

Additionally, we show that CaDDi can be viewed as a generalization of traditional autoregressive models (T = 1 is the special case), making it straightforward to fine-tune a pretrained LLM for discrete diffusion. Such adaptation unlocks flexible generation modes (e.g., text infilling) without sacrificing the rich knowledge encoded by large-scale pretraining. Finally, CaDDi can be further accelerated at inference via semi-speculative decoding.

In summary, our key contributions are:

- We first extend the non-Markovian diffusion process to the discrete space, where the model integrates the generative trajectory of the preceding states, enabling a more robust inference paradigm.
- We propose CaDDi, a causal discrete diffusion model that unifies sequential and temporal modeling within a non-Markovian diffusion framework. CaDDi generalizes traditional causal language models as a special case and can seamlessly adopt pretrained LLMs for discrete diffusion, enabling more controllable and structured generation.
- Quantitative results show that CaDDi surpasses recent discrete diffusion models on both biological sequences and natural language tasks. Additionally, it provides greater control over generation compared to standard autoregressive models, making it a powerful alternative for structured sequence modeling.

## 2. Preliminary

#### 2.1. Variational Perspective of Diffusion Models

Diffusion models can be viewed as a special class of hierarchical variational autoencoders (HVAEs), where the latent variables consist of progressively corrupted versions of the original data. HVAEs are trained by maximizing the evidence lower bound (ELBO) on the data log-likelihood. Concretely, let  $\mathbf{x}_0$  be the original data and  $\mathbf{x}_{1:T}$  be the latent variables at timesteps  $1, \ldots, T$ . The ELBO objective can be written as:

$$\max_{\theta,\phi} \mathcal{L}_{\theta,\phi}^{\text{ELBO}} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{1:T} \sim q_{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_{1:T} | \mathbf{x}_{0})} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log p_{\theta} \left( \mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t:T} \right) + \log p_{\theta} \left( \mathbf{x}_{T} \right) - \log q_{\phi} \left( \mathbf{x}_{1:T} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0} \right) \right],$$
(1)

where  $q_{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_{1:T} \mid \mathbf{x}_0)$  is the variational posterior distribution, and  $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t:T})$  is the generative (reverse) model.

**Markovian Assumptions.** Traditional diffusion models (viewed as a special case of HVAEs) make the following Markovian assumptions:

- Forward Process: A non-learnable Markov chain  $q_{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_{1:T} \mid \mathbf{x}_0) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} q(\mathbf{x}_t \mid \mathbf{x}_{t-1}).$
- **Reverse Process:** A learnable Markov chain  $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_{t:T}) = p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_{t}).$

Enforcing the reverse process to be Markovian simplifies the sampling process: at each timestep, the model only conditions on the current latent variable  $x_t$  to predict  $x_{t-1}$ . While this constraint facilitates efficient generation, it can limit the model's capacity to capture long-range dependencies within the latent chain.

### 2.2. Discrete Diffusion Language Models

Recently, discrete diffusion models (Austin et al., 2023) have recently emerged as a powerful framework. In contrast to their continuous counterparts, which corrupt data by adding Gaussian noise in a real-valued space, discrete diffusion models operate on categorical variables, gradually corrupting tokens before reconstructing them through a learned denoising process.

**Forward Process.** Let  $\mathbf{x}_0 = (\mathbf{x}_0^1, \mathbf{x}_0^2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_0^L)$  be a sequence of discrete tokens from a vocabulary  $\mathcal{V}$  of size  $|\mathcal{V}|$ . The forward (noising) process produces  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_T$  by independently corrupting each token according to a time-dependent transition matrix  $\mathbf{Q}_t$ :

$$q(\mathbf{x}_t \mid \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) = \operatorname{Cat}(\mathbf{x}_t; \mathbf{Q}_t \mathbf{x}_{t-1}), \qquad (2)$$

where  $Cat(\cdot; \pi)$  denotes the categorical distribution with parameter  $\pi$ , and  $[\mathbf{Q}_t]_{ij}$  gives the probability of transitioning from state *i* to state *j* at time *t*.

**Reverse Process.** The reverse (denoising) model  $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_t)$  is learned to invert the corruption process. Employing the *x*<sub>0</sub>-parameterization (Austin et al., 2023), one can write:

$$p_{\theta} \left( \mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t} \right) \propto \sum_{\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{0}} q \left( \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_{t} \mid \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{0} \right) p_{\theta} \left( \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{0} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t} \right),$$
(3)

where  $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{\tilde{x}}_0 | \mathbf{x}_t)$  is a time-dependent denoiser mapping  $\mathbf{x}_t$  back to an estimate of the original  $\mathbf{x}_0$ .

**Training Objectives.** Training commonly involves maximizing the variational lower bound on  $\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_0)$ . In practice, (Austin et al., 2023) have shown that a simple denoising objective can achieve better generative quality under

 $x_0$ -parameterization:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{simple}} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_0, \, \mathbf{x}_t \sim q} \big[ -\log p_\theta \big( \mathbf{x}_0 \mid \mathbf{x}_t \big) \big], \tag{4}$$

In this work, we also adopt this simplified objective. Various refinements of this objective exist, such as implicit maximizing the variational lower bound by score entropy (Lou et al., 2024), utilizing a reweighted denoising objective specifically designed for absorbing discrete diffusion (Sahoo et al., 2024), but the Markovian nature of the forward and reverse processes remains a key component of discrete diffusion models.

## 3. Non-Markovian Discrete Diffusion

Previous methods have modeled the discrete diffusion process as a Markovian process, where the model learns an instantaneous reverse process to denoise  $\mathbf{x}_t$  and reconstruct  $\mathbf{x}_{t-1}$  by  $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_t)$  (Austin et al., 2023). Despite efficient generation, this Markovian constraint can limit the model's ability to capture long-range dependencies within the latent chain. All relevant information is compressed into single state  $\mathbf{x}_t$ , potentially leading to a non-robust inference procedure.

In this paper, we extend the non-Markovian diffusion process to discrete data modeling following (Gu et al., 2024). Specifically, recent studies have demonstrated that the Markovian assumption is not strictly necessary in the inference process. Breaking this assumption allows for the incorporation of the entire temporal trajectory  $\mathbf{x}_{t:T}$  to denoise  $\mathbf{x}_{t-1}$  by  $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_{t:T})$  in autoregressive manner, leading to a more expressive and robust inference process.

In the following, we will describe how the non-Markovian discrete diffusion process is constructed. Crucially, we will see that the resulting non-Markovian autoregressive inference mechanism essentially aligns with a causal language model plus an additional temporal dimension—laying the groundwork for our unified spatial-temporal framework (Section 4).

#### 3.1. Hybrid Non-Markovian Forward Trajectory

A key challenge in realizing non-Markovian inference is how to design the forward trajectory so that future states  $\mathbf{x}_{t+1:T}$  carry more complementary information about $\mathbf{x}_t$ . The straightforward Markovian absorbing process  $q(\mathbf{x}_{0:T}) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} q(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_{t-1})$  is ill-suited for this purpose, as information is highly limited and redundant. To ensure each timestep retains complementary information about the original data, we (1). *construct the forward trajectory by independently corrupting*  $\mathbf{x}_0$ . (2). *Mix an abosrbing kernel with uniform kernal to produce more diverse noisy states.*  **Independent Corruption.** As shown in Fig. 1, the diffusion trajectory  $\mathbf{x}_{0:T}$  is created where we add *independent* noise to  $\mathbf{x}_0$  at different timesteps, rather than relying on the previous state as the noise source. The forward trajectory is constructed as:

$$q(\mathbf{x}_{0:T}) := q(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_T)$$
(5)

$$=q(\mathbf{x}_0)\prod_{t=1}^T q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_{0:t-1})$$
(6)

$$=q(\mathbf{x}_0)\prod_{t=1}^T q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0),\tag{7}$$

where  $q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0)$  is the marginal conditional distribution, which is obtained from a standard Markovian diffusion kernel but applied directly to  $\mathbf{x}_0$ . For example, in absorbing or uniform diffusion processes, we can write:

$$q\left(\mathbf{x}_{t} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0}\right) = \operatorname{Cat}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}; \mathbf{x}_{0} \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{t}\right)$$
(8)

$$= \operatorname{Cat}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}; \mathbf{x}_{0} \prod_{k=1}^{t} \mathbf{Q}_{k}\right)$$
(9)

where  $\mathbf{Q}_k$  is the transition matrix at step k, and  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_t$  is the product of all such transitions up to t. This construction generalizes the Markovian forward trajectory (see Appendix for further details) and creates a sequence of noisy states that better preserve intermediate information across timesteps.

**Proposition 3.1** (Discrete Non-Markovian Information Gain). Let  $\{\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_T\}$  be a discrete forward diffusion process that is <u>not</u> strictly Markovian. Suppose there exists at least one timestep t such that<sup>1</sup>

$$\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \not \perp \mathbf{x}_{t+1:T} \mid \mathbf{x}_t.$$

Then the conditional mutual information

$$I(\mathbf{x}_{t-1};\mathbf{x}_{t+1:T} \mid \mathbf{x}_t) > 0,$$

which implies that conditioning on  $\mathbf{x}_{t+1:T}$  in the reverse process  $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_{t:T})$  strictly reduces the uncertainty about  $\mathbf{x}_{t-1}$  compared to conditioning on  $\mathbf{x}_t$  alone.

In other words, when the non-Markovian forward trajectory is designed with independent corruption, future noisy states can complement each other, bolstering the reverse inference process.

**Hybrid Diffusion Kernel.** Most prior discrete diffusion methods rely on a single kernel, such as purely absorbing (where tokens are replaced by [MASK]) or purely uniform corruption. However, sticking to one kernel can lead to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>it is trivial to find such t in our case with indendent noise corruption.



Figure 2. (a). Inference paradigm for a standard causal language model versus CaDDi. In CaDDi, each timestep first autoregressively denoises the tokens into  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0$ , then re-applies noise via the diffusion kernel to obtain A traditional autoregressive model emerges as the special case of T = 1, which can be adapted to discrete diffusion by fine-tuning. (b). Extending 1D to 2D Rotary Positional Encoding. Standard rotary encodings for token positions are seamlessly generalized to also encode diffusion timesteps, remaining fully backward-compatible with existing language model architectures.

Algorithm 1 Inference for Non-Markovian Discrete Diffusion

- 1: **Input:** Prior distribution  $q(\mathbf{x}_T)$ , model parameters  $\theta$
- 2: **Output:** Sampled data  $\mathbf{x}_0$
- 3: Initialize  $\mathbf{x}_T \sim q(\mathbf{x}_T)$  as the noisy input data at the final timestep
- 4: for t = T down to 1 do
- 5: Predict the clean data  $\mathbf{x}_0$  from the historical trajectory  $\mathbf{x}_{t:T}$  using the model:  $p_{\theta} (\mathbf{x}_0 | \mathbf{x}_{t:T})$
- 6: Sample from the predicted distribution to obtain the clean data at timestep t 1:  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0 \sim p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_0 | \mathbf{x}_{t:T})$
- 7: Add noise to the predicted clean data to get the next timestep t 1:  $\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \sim q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_0)$
- 8: return  $\mathbf{x}_0$

monotonic or insufficiently diverse noise patterns in the generative trajectory. We therefore mix an absorbing kernel and a uniform kernel:

$$\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_t = (1 - \alpha_t - \beta_t)\mathbf{I} + \alpha_t \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_T^{\text{absorb}} + \beta_t \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_T^{\text{uniform}}, \quad (10)$$

where  $\alpha_t, \beta_t$  are time-dependent schedules with boundary conditions  $\alpha_0 = 0, \alpha_T = 1, \beta_0 = 0, \beta_T = 0$ . The terms  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_T^{\text{absorb}}$  and  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_T^{\text{uniform}}$  are the marginal diffusion kernels (absorbing and uniform, respectively) at the final step. By mixing these kernels, we introduce a spectrum of corruption modes-some tokens may be masked out, while others might be replaced by random symbols, thus yielding a more informative trajectory.

### 3.2. Non-Markovian Inference Process

We train the non-Markovian reverse model  $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_{t:T})$  by minimizing a weighted ELBO objective derived from the variational perspective of diffusion:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{non-markov}} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{1:T} \sim q\left(\mathbf{x}_{1:T} | \mathbf{x}_{0}\right)} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{T} \tilde{\omega}_{t} \log p_{\theta}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t:T}\right) \right].$$
(11)

Here, the key difference from standard discrete diffusion is that each term conditions on  $\mathbf{x}_{t+1:T}$ . By Theorem 3.1, this inclusion *strictly reduces* the conditional entropy when the forward process is indeed non-Markovian. Consequently,  $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_{t:T})$  has a more robust denoising pathway.

Once trained, sampling proceeds in an autoregressive manner, iterating backward over time by conditioning on the entire future trajectory  $\mathbf{x}_{t:T}$ .

**x**<sub>0</sub>-**Parameterization.** Similar to standard discrete diffusion approaches (Schiff et al., 2024; Gat et al., 2024), we adopt an  $x_0$ -parameterization to simplify training. In this view, we directly predict the clean sequence  $\mathbf{x}_0$  at each step, which leads to a simpler denoising objective:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{non-markov}} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{1:T} \sim q\left(\mathbf{x}_{1:T} | \mathbf{x}_{0}\right)} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log p_{\theta}\left(\mathbf{x}_{0} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t:T}\right) \right].$$
(12)

(See Appendix for the derivation.) At inference time, we first sample  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0$  from the learned denoiser  $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_0 | \mathbf{x}_{t:T})$  and then use the forward kernel  $q(\cdot | \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0)$  to obtain  $\mathbf{x}_{t-1}$ . This procedure iterates backward through time until we reach  $\mathbf{x}_0$ . Pseudocode is presented in Algorithm 1.

# 4. CaDDi: Causal Discrete Diffusion Model

The autoregressive property of non-Markovian inference (Section 3) naturally lends itself to a causal model that predicts tokens in a left-to-right fashion. Motivated by this insight, we propose **CaDDi**, a causal language model that unifies the *sequential* dimension (i.e., token order) with the *temporal* dimension (i.e., discrete diffusion timesteps). Concretely, CaDDi leverages a standard left-to-right structure while conditioning on multiple timesteps of the diffusion chain, enabling it to handle non-Markovian discrete diffusion in a single unified framework.

## 4.1. Unified Sequential and Temporal Modeling

In the non-Markovian setting (Section 3), the reverse process is inherently autoregressive: we decode the entire sequence of latent states  $\mathbf{x}_{t:T}$  to retrieve  $\mathbf{x}_{t-1}$ . This naturally aligns with the standard left-to-right generation of language models. However, unlike a conventional *single*-dimensional token sequence, we must now account for a temporal dimension.

**Constructing the Training Trajectory.** To accommodate both sequential and temporal dependencies in a single model, CaDDi constructs a *non-Markovian* forward trajectory for each data instance:

$$(\mathbf{x}_T^{(0)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_T^{(L)}, \mathbf{x}_{T-1}^{(0)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_0^{(L)}),$$

where the upper index (i) denotes the token position in the original sequence of length L, and the subscript denotes the diffusion timestep. We then train a causal language model to predict the next token at each position via a standard next-token prediction loss. Crucially, the target for each position i is always the original clean token  $\mathbf{x}_0^{(i)}$  under  $\mathbf{x}_0$ -parameterization.

**Context Window.** In practice, feeding all timesteps into the model can be prohibitively large. Therefore, we restrict the model's temporal context to the most recent n timesteps. More formally, for each timestep t and token position i, we define the context  $C_{t,i}$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{C}_{t,i} = \left\{ \mathbf{x}_{\tau}^{(j)} \mid t - 1 \le \tau \le \min(t + n, T), \ 0 \le j \le L \right\}$$
$$\cup \left\{ \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{0}^{(j)} \mid 0 \le j < i \right\}$$
(13)

where  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{0}^{(j)}$  is the predicted clean token<sup>2</sup> at position *j* at current timestep *t*. The token-level training objective is then:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{token}}^{t,i} = \mathbb{E}\left[-\log p_{\theta}\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}^{(i)} \mid \mathcal{C}_{t,i}\right)\right].$$
(14)

This design ensures that CaDDi captures both local temporal structure and token-level dependencies in a scalable manner. In practice, there is a inherent trade-off between scalability and the ability to model long-range temporal dependencies. We found n = 4 a reasonable choice through empirical evaluation.

### 4.2. 2D Rotary Positional Encoding for Sequence Position & Diffusion Timestep

A modern causal language model typically encodes *only* the sequential dimension, via rotary positional encodings (Su et al., 2024). However, for non-Markovian discrete diffusion, we must capture not just the standard token-level sequence but also a temporal dimension corresponding to diffusion timesteps. To address this, we extend the original 1D rotary scheme to a 2D variant, allowing the model to incorporate positional information across both the sequence index i and the diffusion timestep t. This enhanced encoding enables the model to more effectively learn joint dependencies between tokens and their progression through multiple diffusion steps.

Specifically, standard RoPE in modern language models like Pythia (Biderman et al., 2023b) rotates a subset of the query/key dimensions according to the token position *i*. If  $\mathbf{R}^{(i)}$  denotes the rotation matrix parameterized by *i*, the attention weight between positions *i* and *j* becomes  $(\mathbf{R}^{(i)}\mathbf{q}^{(i)})^{\top} (\mathbf{R}^{(j)}\mathbf{k}^{(j)})$ , where

$$\mathbf{R}^{(i)} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(i\theta_{12}) & -\sin(i\theta_{12}) & 0 & \cdots \\ \sin(i\theta_{12}) & \cos(i\theta_{12}) & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

As shown in Figure 2, we generalize this approach by introducing additional rotation for the timestep dimension:

$$\mathbf{R}_{t}^{(i)} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\left(i\theta_{12}\right) & -\sin\left(i\theta_{12}\right) & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \sin\left(i\theta_{12}\right) & \cos\left(i\theta_{12}\right) & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cos\left(t\theta_{34}\right) & -\sin\left(t\theta_{34}\right) & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \sin\left(t\theta_{34}\right) & \cos\left(t\theta_{34}\right) & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

This 2D encoding allows the model to disentangle positionalbased rotation (the token dimension i) from temporal-based rotation (the temporal dimensiont), letting CaDDi jointly reason about sequential and temporal positions.

**Consistency with Standard Language Modeling.** By interleaving temporal based rotation in these additional dimensions, it's easy to observe that when two tokens share the same timepoint t:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{t}^{(i)} \mathbf{q}_{t}^{(i)} \end{pmatrix}^{\top} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{t}^{(j)} \mathbf{k}_{t}^{(j)} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{q}_{t}^{(i)}^{\top} \mathbf{R}_{0}^{(j-i)} \mathbf{k}_{t}^{(j)}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{R}^{(i)} \mathbf{q}_{t}^{(i)} \end{pmatrix}^{\top} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{R}^{(j)} \mathbf{k}_{t}^{(j)} \end{pmatrix}$$

which means that in the same timepoint the sequential attention pattern is identical to that of a conventional causal language model, and  $\mathbf{R}_t^{(i)}$  reduces to the usual (1D) rotation in *i*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In teacher-forced training, this is the ground truth clean token

Hence, CaDDi remains backward-compatible: if no temporal dimension is present or within the same timepoint, it behaves like a standard causal language model. This design ensures that CaDDi smoothly unifies the standard sequential modeling paradigm with the demands of non-Markovian discrete diffusion.

## 4.3. Adapt LLMs for Discrete Diffusion

A key observation is that standard causal language modeling can be seen as a special case of our proposed framework under particular settings: namely, a single-step diffusion (T = 1) and a minimal context window restricted to the current timestep. When T = 1, the forward trajectory is simply  $x_0$ , and the reverse process is a single-step denoising process which autoregressively predicts next clean token, closly mirroring the standard language modeling paradigm.

Moreover, as shown in 4.2, the 2D rotary positional encoding can be seamlessly integrated into existing language models, allowing for a unified treatment of both sequential and temporal dimensions. Given these equivalence, one can take a pretained LLM (trained in a standard causal fashion) and further fine-tune it under our non-Markovian diffusion objective. By allowing the model to condition on the previous timesteps in the diffusion chain  $\mathbf{x}_{t+1:T}$ , we equip it with iterative denoising capabilities beyond standard next-token prediction. This straightforward adaptation:

- · Expands Generation Modes: The LLM can perform text infilling or partial prompting from arbitrary positions, rather than strictly appending text at the end, as shown in Figure 3.
- Leverages Pretraining Knowledge: Since large LLMs are already trained on vast corpora, fine-tuning under our discrete diffusion objective benefits from a strong initialization and broad linguistic knowledge.
- No Architectural Changes: We only replace the original (causal) loss with a non-Markovian diffusion loss and provide noise-corrupted sequences as training data, preserving the underlying transformer structure.

### 4.4. Inference Bottleneck of Naive CaDDi

Naive CaDDi inference can be slower than standard discrete diffusion, typically requiring  $\mathcal{O}(L \times T)$  function evaluations for a sequence of length L over T timesteps. However, by leveraging the unique properties of causal language modeling, we propose a *semi-speculative decoding* strategy that substantially reduces inference time while maintaining generation quality.

Semi-Speculative Decoding. Although causal language models generate tokens sequentially, they can verify the Algorithm 2 Semi-Speculative Decoding for Non-Markovian Discrete Diffusion

- 1: **Input:** model parameters  $\theta$ , prior distribution  $q(\mathbf{x}_T)$
- 2: **Output:** Sampled data  $\mathbf{x}_0$
- 3: Initialize  $\mathbf{x}_T \sim q(\mathbf{x}_T) \quad \triangleright$  Noisy data at final timestep
- 4: for t = T down to 1 do
- 5:  $i \leftarrow 0$
- if  $\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_0^{prev}$  is available then  $\triangleright$  If previous step is avail-6: able, use it as drafted tokens
- $i \leftarrow \text{VERIFY}(p_{\theta}, \mathbf{x}_{t:T}, \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{0}^{\text{prev}}) \triangleright Predict drafted$ 7: tokens's probability in parallel, verify to find the first rejection on index i  $\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{0}^{i, \text{prev}} \leftarrow \text{CORRECT}(p_{\theta}, \mathbf{x}_{t:T}, \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{0}^{\text{prev}}) \triangleright \text{Correct}$ 8: the first rejection on index i based on criterion  $\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{0}^{0:i} \leftarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{0}^{0:i, \text{prev}}$ 9:

while i < L do 10:

- $\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{0}^{i+1} \leftarrow p_{\theta} \left( \mathbf{x}_{0} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t:T}, \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{0}^{0:i} \right) \\ i \leftarrow i+1$ 11:
- 12:
  - $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{t-1} &\sim q \big( \mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_0 \big) \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_0^{\text{prev}} &\leftarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_0 \end{aligned}$
- 13:
- 14:
- 15: return  $\mathbf{x}_0$ ▷ Final predicted clean data

probabilities of any pre-drafted sequence in parallel. Notably, CaDDi shares the same denoising target  $x_0$  across all timesteps. This observation suggests a natural procedure: reuse the previous timestep's predictions  $\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_0^{\text{prev}}$  as a *draft* for the current timestep (see Algorithm 2). The model then verifies these drafted tokens in parallel, accepting those that meet a specified confidence threshold (e.g. high probability).

This approach closely resembles speculative decoding (Leviathan et al., 2023), with one key difference: we do not rely on a separate, smaller model to propose the draft sequence. Instead, CaDDi's own predictions from the preceding timestep serve as the draft. Like speculative decoding, various verification and correction strategies (e.g. greedy, nucleus sampling) can be employed, ensuring either a comparable or identical sampling distribution while significantly reducing the total number of sampling steps.

Further Acceleration. Beyond semi-speculative decoding, CaDDi also benefits from key-value caching (KV-*Cache*), a hallmark of causal generation that is unavailable in bidirectional discrete diffusion models. Additionally, the  $\mathbf{x}_0$ -parameterization enables efficient timestep skipping, further accelerating inference. We believe these techniques only begin to illustrate the potential for more advanced optimization and scaling in CaDDi, which we leave for future exploration.

..., I have been advocating for the 'right to speak up if I don't trust them.' As I have stated before, this is something I believe in deeply. I was once caught in a fantasy, lost in uncertainty, but speaking out is better han remaining silent. Over time ...

... A recent investigation by The Guardian revealed the impact of economic policies on housing prices and other financial factors. However, during a period of heightened anti-terror measures, the push for justice has remained a priority, ...

The first season highlighted the importance of maintaining a strong presence in the league. Injuries have made it difficult for some players, but their role in shaping the team's success remains crucial. Despite setbacks, their resilience and ...

*Figure 3.* Representative text completions illustrating controllable generation with CaDDi, adapted from a pretrained Pythia model. CaDDi generates meaningful text interleaved with user-provided prompts (highlighted in cyan).

*Table 1.* **Comparison of multiple protein-generation methods on the ACYP protein dataset.** All models are evaluated over 100 generated sequences. We report average pLDDT and TM-score (higher is better), RMSD and scPPL (lower is better), and H-prob (percentage of samples matching known protein families).

MODEL	PLDDT ( $\uparrow$ )	TM-score $(\uparrow)$	$RMSD\;(\downarrow)$	H-prob $(\uparrow)$	scppl $(\downarrow)$
ACYP dataset	94.8	0.98	0.86	100%	3.02
D3PM	82.6	0.91	1.41	90%	7.47
Discrete Flow Matching	83.6	0.89	1.48	87%	7.20
MDLM	83.4	0.91	1.27	92%	7.02
UDLM	84.7	0.92	1.28	92%	7.19
SEDD	79.7	0.95	0.91	99%	5.10
CaDDi (64 steps)	92.9	0.97	0.90	100%	3.19

# 5. Experiments

**Baseline.** We compare CaDDi with several established discrete diffusion models, including D3PM (Austin et al., 2023), SEDD (Lou et al., 2024), MDLM (Sahoo et al., 2024), UDLM (Schiff et al., 2024), and Discrete Flow Matching (Gat et al., 2024). For MDLM and UDLM, we utilized their official implementations, which also include implementations of D3PM and SEDD. Additionally, we implemented Discrete Flow Matching based on the MDLM repo with the discrete path and denoising loss defined in (Gat et al., 2024).

Our core causal language model is based on Pythia-160M (Biderman et al., 2023a), with a customized tokenizer and embedding layers tailored to the specific task. For baseline models, we follow their original implementations, using Diffusion Transformers (Peebles & Xie, 2023) with rotary positional encoding and timestep embeddings. To ensure a fair comparison, we match the number of learnable parameters across all models, with CaDDi using slightly fewer parameters (see Table 4 for details). All discrete diffusion and flow-matching models use 1000 diffusion steps, while CaDDi is configured with a context window of 4 time points and 64 diffusion steps (see Section D for an ablation study). All models are trained with a learning rate of 3e-4, 2500 warm-up steps, and linear learning rate annealing. For evaluation, we sample the same number of sequences across all models.

### 5.1. Biological Sequence Generation

We test the sequence generation capability of CaDDi on the AcyP protein dataset, comprising 26,878 protein sequences from the Acylphosphatase family, each containing 64 to 128 residues, sourced from UniProt (Consortium, 2024).

**Metrics** We assess the quality of generated sequences using the following metrics: pLDDT (Jumper et al., 2021) and self-consistency perplexity (scPPL), which measure the feasibility of sequences to fold into stable protein structures; and TM-score, RMSD, and H-prob, which evaluate the structural similarity of generated sequences to known structures in the PDB database (Berman et al., 2000). Detailed descriptions of these metrics are provided in C.1.

As shown in Table 1, CaDDi consistently outperforms all baseline models across all metrics. High pLDDT scores and low scPPL values indicate that sequences generated by CaDDi are highly likely to fold into viable protein structures, as visualized in Figure 6. Furthermore, TM-score, RMSD, and H-prob demonstrate that CaDDi generates realistic sequences with strong homology to known structures in the PDB database.

We test CaDDi's capability in modeling more complicated sequences on One Billion Words (Chelba et al., 2014), a large, real world natural language dataset consisting over 30M English language sentences with varying lengths. We follow the tokenization and training setup in DiffusionBert (He et al., 2022). For UDLM, we directly use pretrained

		GPT2 LLAMA-2		2	LLAMA-3		SELF-BLEU			
Model	T=1	T=0.7	T=0.5	T=1	T=0.7	T=0.5	T=1	T=0.7	T=0.5	
LM1B dataset	114.79	—	—	22.97	—	_	42.20	—	_	0.0378
UDLM	313.24	318.80	328.99	110.37	111.86	119.21	207.91	219.86	231.05	0.0704
D3PM	232.37	134.55	133.38	76.00	55.54	59.02	145.96	98.26	110.86	0.1113
SEDD	201.19	148.43	81.44	77.54	66.54	46.91	144.23	84.20	60.00	0.1192
MDLM	199.45	135.85	106.20	67.86	62.34	60.09	126.35	113.19	104.71	0.1442
Discrete Flow Matching	<u>182.21</u>	<u>94.46</u>	106.03	<u>67.02</u>	<u>38.93</u>	61.91	120.09	<u>66.22</u>	102.89	0.1093
CaDDi	139.80	76.02	67.59	65.93	35.38	27.76	121.25	59.66	44.54	0.0882

Table 2. Evaluation on the LM1B dataset. We report guided Generative Perplexity (PPL) under three pretrained causal language models (GPT-2, Llama-2-7B, and Llama-3.2-3B) at different sampling temperatures of each baseline model and CaDDi (T = 1, T = 0.7, T = 0.5). The best performance is **bolded**, and the second-best is <u>underlined</u>.

weights hosted by the authors.

### 5.2. Unconditional Text Generation

**Metrics** To evaluate the quality of model-generated texts, we report guided generative perplexity, a refined version of generative perplexity that evaluates texts within a natural language context. This adjustment helps mitigate the degenerate behaviors observed with standard generative perplexity (Holtzman et al., 2020) The guided generative perplexity is computed using various large language models, including GPT-2 (Radford et al., 2019), Llama-2 (7B parameters) (Touvron et al., 2023b), and Llama-3 (3B parameters) (Dubey et al., 2024), all of which are pretrained on large natural language corpora. To further evaluate the diversity of generated texts, we compute the self-BLEU (Zhu et al., 2018) score of the set of generated texts. Details of the metrics can be found in C.1).

CaDDi achieves strong generative perplexities across all three large language models evaluated, outperforming baselines in all but one case with only a marginal difference. This demonstrates CaDDi's capability in generating coherent text unconditionally. Additionally, CaDDi achieves a comparable self-BLEU score with other models, highlighting its ability to generate diverse and coherent text samples. As shown in Figure 4, with the help of semi-speculative decoding, our model can achieve better performance while maintaining an inference cost comparable to other models.

### 5.3. Conditional Text Generation

We evaluate conditional text generation on the Amazon Polarity dataset (McAuley & Leskovec, 2013), which consists of 3.6M Amazon reviews labeled as positive or negative. We adapt this task as text infilling by prepending a labelbased prompt to each review (see Appendix C.2 for details). We train the conditional generator  $p_{\theta}$  ( $\mathbf{x}_0 | \mathbf{x}_t, y$ ) alongside unconditional one  $p_{\theta}$  ( $\mathbf{x}_0 | \mathbf{x}_t$ ), by preserving certain parts of the text as fixed.



Figure 4. Comparison of several discrete diffusion models on LM1B by number of function evaluations (NFE, x-axis) and generative perplexity (y-axis). Each curve traces how perplexity changes as the NFE increases. CaDDi-Spec represents CaDDi with semi-speculative decoding by nucleus sampling (Leviathan et al., 2023).

We measure sentiment accuracy (SA) using a fine-tuned DistilBERT classifier. As shown in Table 3, our approach achieves performance comparable to a fine-tuned GPT-2 on the same dataset while offering more flexible generation (unlike GPT2 only allow prompting from begining, our method allows for prompting from arbitrary parts of the text, such as the middle or the title. Examples are shown in Figure 7). Furthermore, by applying classifier-free guidance (CFG) (Ho & Salimans, 2022) with different guidance scales  $\gamma$ , we generate reviews that better align with the given prompts.

Table 3. Comparison of GPT-2, CaDDi, and CaDDi-CFG on the Amazon Polarity dataset. Generation is conditioned on either positive or negative.

Model	Condition	Sentiment Accuracy (%)
GPT-2	Positive Negative	73.07 75.18
<b>CaDDi-CFG</b> $_{\gamma=1}$	Positive Negative	71.37 85.42
<b>CaDDi-CFG</b> $_{\gamma=1.25}$	Positive Negative	73.61 85.92

# 6. Conclusion

We introduced <u>CaDDi</u>, a causal discrete diffusion framework that relaxes the traditional Markovian assumptions in favor of an autoregressive inference process. By explicitly conditioning each denoising step on the entire future trajectory, CaDDi captures richer temporal dependencies and leverages iterative refinement. Critically, our approach can also be built atop existing causal language models bridging standard sequence modeling with powerful diffusion capabilities—while preserving both knowledge from large-scale training and the flexibility of iterative editing.

# **Impact Statement**

This paper aims to advance the field of generative models. Beyond the established ethical considerations in this area—such as potential biases—our approach does not introduce any unique risks. The current scope and scale of our work are not sufficient to pose significant concerns in these areas.

# References

- Alamdari, S., Thakkar, N., van den Berg, R., Lu, A. X., Fusi, N., Amini, A. P., and Yang, K. K. Protein generation with evolutionary diffusion: sequence is all you need. <u>bioRxiv</u>, 2023. doi: 10.1101/2023.09.11.556673. URL https://www.biorxiv.org/content/early/2023/09/12/2023.09.11.556673.
- Austin, J., Johnson, D. D., Ho, J., Tarlow, D., and van den Berg, R. Structured denoising diffusion models in discrete state-spaces, 2023. URL https://arxiv.org/ abs/2107.03006.
- Berman, H. M., Westbrook, J., Feng, Z., Gilliland, G., Bhat, T. N., Weissig, H., Shindyalov, I. N., and Bourne, P. E. The protein data bank. <u>Nucleic Acids Res</u>, 28(1):235– 242, January 2000.
- Biderman, S., Schoelkopf, H., Anthony, Q., Bradley, H., O'Brien, K., Hallahan, E., Khan, M. A., Purohit, S., Prashanth, U. S., Raff, E., Skowron, A., Sutawika, L., and van der Wal, O. Pythia: A suite for analyzing large language models across training and scaling, 2023a. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.01373.
- Biderman, S., Schoelkopf, H., Anthony, Q. G., Bradley, H., O'Brien, K., Hallahan, E., Khan, M. A., Purohit, S., Prashanth, U. S., Raff, E., et al. Pythia: A suite for analyzing large language models across training and scaling. In <u>International Conference on Machine Learning</u>, pp. 2397–2430. PMLR, 2023b.
- Campbell, A., Benton, J., De Bortoli, V., Rainforth, T., Deligiannidis, G., and Doucet, A. A continuous time

framework for discrete denoising models. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 35:28266– 28279, 2022.

- Chelba, C., Mikolov, T., Schuster, M., Ge, Q., Brants, T., Koehn, P., and Robinson, T. One billion word benchmark for measuring progress in statistical language modeling, 2014. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/1312. 3005.
- Chowdhery, A., Narang, S., Devlin, J., Bosma, M., Mishra, G., Roberts, A., Barham, P., Chung, H. W., Sutton, C., Gehrmann, S., et al. Palm: Scaling language modeling with pathways. Journal of Machine Learning Research, 24(240):1–113, 2023.
- Consortium, T. U. Uniprot: the universal protein knowledgebase in 2025. <u>Nucleic Acids Research</u>, 53(D1): D609–D617, 11 2024. ISSN 0305-1048. doi: 10.1093/ nar/gkae1010. URL https://doi.org/10.1093/ nar/gkae1010.
- Davis, O., Kessler, S., Petrache, M., İsmail İlkan Ceylan, Bronstein, M., and Bose, A. J. Fisher flow matching for generative modeling over discrete data, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.14664.
- Dieleman, S., Sartran, L., Roshannai, A., Savinov, N., Ganin, Y., Richemond, P. H., Doucet, A., Strudel, R., Dyer, C., Durkan, C., et al. Continuous diffusion for categorical data. arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.15089, 2022.
- Dubey, A., Jauhri, A., Pandey, A., Kadian, A., Al-Dahle, A., Letman, A., Mathur, A., Schelten, A., Yang, A., Fan, A., et al. The llama 3 herd of models. <u>arXiv preprint</u> arXiv:2407.21783, 2024.
- Gardiner, C. Stochastic methods: A handbook for the natural and social sciences2009.
- Gat, I., Remez, T., Shaul, N., Kreuk, F., Chen, R. T. Q., Synnaeve, G., Adi, Y., and Lipman, Y. Discrete flow matching, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/ 2407.15595.
- Gu, J., Wang, Y., Zhang, Y., Zhang, Q., Zhang, D., Jaitly, N., Susskind, J., and Zhai, S. Dart: Denoising autoregressive transformer for scalable text-to-image generation, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.08159.
- Gulrajani, I. and Hashimoto, T. B. Likelihood-based diffusion language models. <u>Advances in Neural Information</u> Processing Systems, 36, 2024.
- He, S., Levine, D., Vrkic, I., Bressana, M. F., Zhang, D., Rizvi, S. A., Zhang, Y., Zappala, E., and van Dijk, D. Calmflow: Volterra flow matching using causal language models, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/ 2410.05292.

- He, Z., Sun, T., Wang, K., Huang, X., and Qiu, X. Diffusionbert: Improving generative masked language models with diffusion models, 2022. URL https://arxiv. org/abs/2211.15029.
- Ho, J. and Salimans, T. Classifier-free diffusion guidance. arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.12598, 2022.
- Ho, J., Jain, A., and Abbeel, P. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models, 2020. URL https://arxiv.org/ abs/2006.11239.
- Holtzman, A., Buys, J., Du, L., Forbes, M., and Choi, Y. The curious case of neural text degeneration, 2020. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.09751.
- Hsu, C., Verkuil, R., Liu, J., Lin, Z., Hie, B., Sercu, T., Lerer, A., and Rives, A. Learning inverse folding from millions of predicted structures. <u>ICML</u>, 2022. doi: 10.1101/2022.04.10.487779. <u>URL https://www.biorxiv.org/content/ early/2022/04/10/2022.04.10.487779.
  </u>
- Hu, V. T. and Ommer, B. [mask] is all you need. <u>arXiv</u> preprint arXiv:2412.06787, 2024.
- Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O., Tunyasuvunakool, K., Bates, R., Žídek, A., Potapenko, A., Bridgland, A., Meyer, C., Kohl, S. A. A., Ballard, A. J., Cowie, A., Romera-Paredes, B., Nikolov, S., Jain, R., Adler, J., Back, T., Petersen, S., Reiman, D., Clancy, E., Zielinski, M., Steinegger, M., Pacholska, M., Berghammer, T., Bodenstein, S., Silver, D., Vinyals, O., Senior, A. W., Kavukcuoglu, K., Kohli, P., and Hassabis, D. Highly accurate protein structure prediction with alphafold. <u>Nature</u>, 596 (7873):583–589, Aug 2021. ISSN 1476-4687. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2. URL https://doi. org/10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2.
- Leviathan, Y., Kalman, M., and Matias, Y. Fast inference from transformers via speculative decoding. In <u>International Conference on Machine Learning</u>, pp. 19274–19286. PMLR, 2023.
- Lipman, Y., Chen, R. T. Q., Ben-Hamu, H., Nickel, M., and Le, M. Flow matching for generative modeling, 2023. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.02747.
- Liu, A., Broadrick, O., Niepert, M., and Broeck, G. V. d. Discrete copula diffusion. <u>arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.01949</u>, 2024.
- Lou, A., Meng, C., and Ermon, S. Discrete diffusion modeling by estimating the ratios of the data distribution, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.16834.

- McAuley, J. and Leskovec, J. Hidden factors and hidden topics: understanding rating dimensions with review text. In <u>Proceedings of the 7th ACM conference</u> on Recommender systems, pp. 165–172, 2013.
- Park, Y.-H., Lai, C.-H., Hayakawa, S., Takida, Y., and Mitsufuji, Y. Jump your steps: Optimizing sampling schedule of discrete diffusion models. <u>arXiv preprint</u> arXiv:2410.07761, 2024.
- Peebles, W. and Xie, S. Scalable diffusion models with transformers, 2023. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/ 2212.09748.
- Radford, A., Wu, J., Child, R., Luan, D., Amodei, D., and Sutskever, I. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. 2019.
- Sahoo, S. S., Arriola, M., Schiff, Y., Gokaslan, A., Marroquin, E., Chiu, J. T., Rush, A., and Kuleshov, V. Simple and effective masked diffusion language models. <u>arXiv</u> preprint arXiv:2406.07524, 2024.
- Schiff, Y., Sahoo, S. S., Phung, H., Wang, G., Boshar, S., Dalla-torre, H., de Almeida, B. P., Rush, A., Pierrot, T., and Kuleshov, V. Simple guidance mechanisms for discrete diffusion models. <u>arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.10193</u>, 2024.
- Shen, T., Peng, H., Shen, R., Fu, Y., Harchaoui, Z., and Choi, Y. Film: Fill-in language models for any-order generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.09930, 2023.
- Shi, J., Han, K., Wang, Z., Doucet, A., and Titsias, M. K. Simplified and generalized masked diffusion for discrete data. arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.04329, 2024.
- Song, Y., Sohl-Dickstein, J., Kingma, D. P., Kumar, A., Ermon, S., and Poole, B. Score-based generative modeling through stochastic differential equations, 2021. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.13456.
- Stark, H., Jing, B., Wang, C., Corso, G., Berger, B., Barzilay, R., and Jaakkola, T. Dirichlet flow matching with applications to dna sequence design, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.05841.
- Su, J., Ahmed, M., Lu, Y., Pan, S., Bo, W., and Liu, Y. Roformer: Enhanced transformer with rotary position embedding. Neurocomputing, 568:127063, 2024.
- Tong, A., Fatras, K., Malkin, N., Huguet, G., Zhang, Y., Rector-Brooks, J., Wolf, G., and Bengio, Y. Improving and generalizing flow-based generative models with minibatch optimal transport, 2024. URL https: //arxiv.org/abs/2302.00482.

- Touvron, H., Lavril, T., Izacard, G., Martinet, X., Lachaux, M.-A., Lacroix, T., Rozière, B., Goyal, N., Hambro, E., Azhar, F., et al. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971, 2023a.
- Touvron, H., Martin, L., Stone, K., Albert, P., Almahairi, A., Babaei, Y., Bashlykov, N., Batra, S., Bhargava, P., Bhosale, S., Bikel, D., Blecher, L., Ferrer, C. C., Chen, M., Cucurull, G., Esiobu, D., Fernandes, J., Fu, J., Fu, W., Fuller, B., Gao, C., Goswami, V., Goyal, N., Hartshorn, A., Hosseini, S., Hou, R., Inan, H., Kardas, M., Kerkez, V., Khabsa, M., Kloumann, I., Korenev, A., Koura, P. S., Lachaux, M.-A., Lavril, T., Lee, J., Liskovich, D., Lu, Y., Mao, Y., Martinet, X., Mihaylov, T., Mishra, P., Molybog, I., Nie, Y., Poulton, A., Reizenstein, J., Rungta, R., Saladi, K., Schelten, A., Silva, R., Smith, E. M., Subramanian, R., Tan, X. E., Tang, B., Taylor, R., Williams, A., Kuan, J. X., Xu, P., Yan, Z., Zarov, I., Zhang, Y., Fan, A., Kambadur, M., Narang, S., Rodriguez, A., Stojnic, R., Edunov, S., and Scialom, T. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models, 2023b. URL https://arxiv.org/ abs/2307.09288.
- Van Kampen, N. G. <u>Stochastic processes in physics and</u> chemistry, volume 1. Elsevier, 1992.
- van Kempen, M., Kim, S. S., Tumescheit, C., Mirdita, M., Lee, J., Gilchrist, C. L. M., Söding, J., and Steinegger, M. Fast and accurate protein structure search with foldseek. <u>Nature Biotechnology</u>, 42(2): 243–246, Feb 2024. ISSN 1546-1696. doi: 10.1038/ s41587-023-01773-0. URL https://doi.org/10. 1038/s41587-023-01773-0.
- Vaswani, A. Attention is all you need. <u>Advances in Neural</u> Information Processing Systems, 2017.
- Wu, R., Ding, F., Wang, R., Shen, R., Zhang, X., Luo, S., Su, C., Wu, Z., Xie, Q., Berger, B., Ma, J., and Peng, J. Highresolution de novo structure prediction from primary sequence. <u>bioRxiv</u>, 2022. doi: 10.1101/2022.07.21.500999. URL https://www.biorxiv.org/content/ early/2022/07/22/2022.07.21.500999.
- Xu, M., Geffner, T., Kreis, K., Nie, W., Xu, Y., Leskovec, J., Ermon, S., and Vahdat, A. Energy-based diffusion language models for text generation. <u>arXiv preprint</u> arXiv:2410.21357, 2024.
- Zheng, K., Chen, Y., Mao, H., Liu, M.-Y., Zhu, J., and Zhang, Q. Masked diffusion models are secretly timeagnostic masked models and exploit inaccurate categorical sampling. <u>arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.02908</u>, 2024.
- Zhu, Y., Lu, S., Zheng, L., Guo, J., Zhang, W., Wang, J., and Yu, Y. Texygen: A benchmarking platform for text generation models, 2018. URL https://arxiv. org/abs/1802.01886.

# A. Related Work

**Discrete Diffusion.** Diffusion models (Ho et al., 2020) generate data by learning a reverse (denoising) process to invert a fixed forward (noising) Markov chain. Austin et al. (2023) first extended such models to discrete data (D3PM) by defining uniform and absorbing diffusion kernels on finite state spaces. Subsequent work introduced improved parameterizations, such as data distribution ratio estimation (Lou et al., 2024), drawing parallels with score matching (Song et al., 2021). Despite their efficacy, these methods typically rely on a Markov chain, focusing on denoising from a single noisy state  $x_t$ . By contrast, our approach **breaks** the Markovian assumption and conditions on the entire future trajectory  $x_{t:T}$ , providing more robust denoising and broader generative capabilities.

**Discrete Flow Matching.** Flow matching (Lipman et al., 2023; Tong et al., 2024) learns a continuous transformation from noise to data via an ODE governed by a vector field. Recent extensions handle discrete data (Gat et al., 2024; Davis et al., 2024; Stark et al., 2024). While these methods circumvent explicit Markovian noising, they often require continuous flow formulations and specialized training objectives. In contrast, our **non-Markovian discrete diffusion** remains within the discrete diffusion paradigm, retains a straightforward variational objective, and integrates naturally with causal modeling.

**Autoregressive Models.** Autoregressive transformers (Vaswani, 2017; Chowdhery et al., 2023; Touvron et al., 2023a) remain a cornerstone in language processing, generating tokens sequentially given prior context. Such models excel at unidirectional left-to-right tasks but can be inflexible for intermediate edits or bidirectional generation. Our framework unifies causal (autoregressive) decoding with diffusion-based iterative denoising, thus benefiting from both paradigms—left-to-right token generation and multi-step refinements.

**Integrating Autoregression with Diffusion and Flow Matching.** Several works (Gu et al., 2024; He et al., 2024) try to combine diffusion or flow matching with causal transformers for improved generation. Specifically, DART (Gu et al., 2024) employs a non-Markovian trajectory to let a transformer model entire sequences of diffusion states. Our approach further refines this idea in two ways: (*i*) we focus on discrete non-Markovian diffusion with explicit multi-step conditioning, and (*ii*) we provide a direct path for adapting *pretrained* LLMs, thus combining the strengths of large-scale language model pretraining with the controllability of discrete diffusion.

**Non-Markovian Reverse Process in Physical System.** Using a Non-Markov reverse process to recover the distribution introduced by Makrovian forward process is not a new idea. In physics, many systems exhibit this property. *Langevin Dynamics:* Although the forward motion of a Brownian particle (with velocity and position) can be Markovian in the full state space, attempts to reverse the position-only dynamics often require the history of the system to account for friction or random kicks (Gardiner; Van Kampen, 1992). *Quantum Processes:* Tracing out environmental degrees of freedom can yield a Markovian forward evolution, but reconstructing the entire global state upon reversal introduces non-Markovian memory effects.

# **B. Background**

# **B.1.** Variants of Diffusion Process.

Different choices of the transition matrix  $\mathbf{Q}_t$  lead to different diffusion processes. Common examples include Absorbing Diffusion Process and Uniform Diffusion Process.

- Absorbing Diffusion: At each time point t, each token transitions to either itself or a special mask token with probability  $\beta_t$ . The process converges to a stationary distribution where all tokens are replaced by the mask token.
- Uniform Diffusion: At each time point t, each token transitions to itself or any other token with equal probability  $\beta_t/K$ , where K is the number of classes. The stationary distribution is uniform across all classes.

Previous work have indicated that the absorbing diffusion process consistently outperforms the uniform diffusion process in practice (Sahoo et al., 2024; Schiff et al., 2024; Austin et al., 2023), but it also suffers from the clear limitation. It cannot "re-mask" a token once it has been unmasked in the traditional Markovian reverse chain, potentially leading to less robust denoising in early timesteps.

# **C. Experiment Details**

# C.1. Evaluation Metrics

**Protein Evaluation Metrics** The predicted Local Distance Difference Test (pLDDT) score is calculated using the proteinfolding model OmegaFold (Wu et al., 2022). To evaluate the folded structures of the generated sequences, we employ FoldSeek (van Kempen et al., 2024) to search against the Protein Data Bank (PDB) (Berman et al., 2000) and compute three key metrics: Root Mean Square Deviation (RMSD), TM-score, and Homologous Probability (H-prob). RMSD measures the average atom-level deviation between structures, making it sensitive to local structural differences. In contrast, TM-score assesses global structural similarity between the generated proteins and their reference counterparts. H-prob quantifies the likelihood that the generated proteins are homologous to the reference proteins.

Following the methodology of EvoDiff (Alamdari et al., 2023), we fold the generated sequences using OmegaFold and subsequently unfold them using the protein inverse-folding model ESM-IF (Hsu et al., 2022). The self-consistency perplexity is then computed by comparing the original generated sequence with the sequence obtained after the folding-and-unfolding process.

**Text Evaluation Metrics** Generative perplexity is a widely used metric for evaluating the quality of text generated by a model. It is computed using a **separate**, pretrained causal language model, which calculates the perplexity of a given sentence. Intuitively, a lower generative perplexity indicates that the pretrained causal language model is more confident in predicting the next token based on the preceding context. This suggests that the generated sentence is more coherent, as the causal language model, trained on a large corpus, can reliably predict tokens given the context.

However, as noted in (Holtzman et al., 2020), language models may exhibit degenerate behavior, such as repetitive text generation, while still achieving low generative perplexity. To address this issue, we employ **guided generative perplexity**, where a natural language prompt is appended to the sequences being evaluated. This prompt guides the causal language model to assess the coherence of the generated sequence more effectively, mitigating the impact of degenerate behavior.

In particular, we used Does the following sentence make sense: as the prompt.

The self-BLEU score, introduced in (Zhu et al., 2018), is a metric for evaluating the diversity of a set of generated texts. It computes the BLEU score of each generated sequence against the remaining sequences and averages the results. A lower self-BLEU score indicates the generated texts are less similar to each other and hence greater diversity among the generated texts.

# C.2. Amazon Dataset Experiment

The original Amazon Polarity dataset contains labels 0 or 1, indicating whether a review is negative or positive, respectively. To enable conditional generation, we prepend the phrase this is a positive review or this is a negative review to positive and negative samples, respectively. This allows a standard causal language model, such as GPT-2, to condition its generation on the sentiment prompt. For evaluation, we use a fine-tuned DistilBERT classifier to measure sentiment accuracy.

# C.3. Learnable Parameter Count

Table 4. Comparison of the number of learnable parameters of benchmarked models					
Model	PARAMETER COUNT				
	ACYP DATASET	LM1B DATASET			
D3PM	92M	139M			
SEDD	92M	139M			
MDLM	92M	139M			
UDLM	92M	139M			
Discrete Flow Matching	92M	139M			
CaDDi	85M	131M			

Table 4. Comparison of the number of learnable parameters of benchmarked models



Figure 5. Ablation result on model generated protein quality over different number of diffusion time points.

# **D.** Ablation Study

We conduct an ablation study to investigate the impact of the number of diffusion time points on CaDDi's performance. Due to limited computational resources, we did abalation study on a subset of the AcyP protein dataset, as a result the pLDDT scores and scPerplexity appear better than the main results, while varying the number of diffusion time points. Our results demonstrate that increasing the number of diffusion time points significantly improves the generation quality of CaDDi.

# E. Generation Samples from CaDDi

# E.1. Protein ACYP



*Figure 6.* Folded generation samples using OmegaFold (Wu et al., 2022). ACYP (Acylphosphatase) is a protein family of small enzymes that hydrolyze acyl-phosphate bonds and share a similar structure. A higher pLDDT score indicates greater confidence in the structure prediction by the folding model.

### E.2. Amazon Polarity

#### this is a negative review:

#### title : were they afraid of newborns?

**content** : this book was terrible. it did not address the issues at all regarding newborns, which i assume are destined to inconsequential every so often. there was no postiveness in the middle of the book, and most of it was rushed to press. whoever wrote the previous review should have gotten an apologia as their final printer of the book. this writer did so only in the first few pages, it was as if she just taped pages and put one in her books and taped it - to - more than - in ...

#### this is a negative review:

## title : blechhhhh content

**content**: wow, isn't it nice to see such juvenile drivel such toole so popular on the net. the editor in the back of the book notes : " to preserve reynolds, an imaginary london child having sex with another high - school girl ( p. s. ) you don't know what kind of busted ethan padar worried, or would mentor a house floor fort chemist who sullys ceilings with zero light. the whole novel is lame, poorly written and awfully mediocre. the " plot " is transparent from the first page ...

### this is a negative review:

#### title : pile of 1 % crap

**content**: this movie was disaster. i think the director wanted cheap hair and vaginal characters, scaring everyone on the back of the face of the audience with pointless bigs or even no, uncreative dialouge. the ruined 30 minutes most of this movie, rushes in and out of the movie. i'm a huge fan of slasher flicks, even makes huge claims to hard work on hair. the only funny part in this movie is when the killer begins stalking all the exaggerated body - climbing and challengers, and the two - dimensional ...

#### this is a negative review:

#### title : what an insult to music lovers!

**content**: the true diva that deserves the wide spread islam and the lies of the young musicians who make this music allows for such glittery displays and excuses. she never needs to spend our high time singing this " exquisite in its gem ". the artist is just a shadow of her most famous but she has no talent. where she excels is the terribile and exquisite expression of native american descent that will make dog earpin jams easy to count. she has a good voice but she hasn't mastered it. oh, she needs to go ...

#### this is a negative review:

#### title : miserable album content

**content**: i owned this album and when it came out i loved it. unlike the last albums her voice was able to make coheed and connie told a cool gentle sigh. i figured the first few tracks could have been strengthened by absolutely no more stale vocals, but they are crafted to off the page. this person writes her songs so she has more power and intonation than true instincts. i like to listen to the song that she was destined to write. get the single years that are runaways. " only excuse me on the radio " is sweetly sung by anyone, ...

Figure 7. Sample generated using CaDDi, conditioned on a negative prompt. In each sample, the purple text indicates the prompt.

#### this is a positive review :

#### title : one for the head bob fans!

**content**: i've won stadium since the mid 70's. this double lp has all of the greatest hits on it! so why not split their support. this has soul in the covers ( not on the cover ). a hercules and a freddie and theatrics contribution is true, and paul petrie and leslie heralbull rock at the same time. even the stevie ray & carole or even stevie ray drivel adds some new comparatively to the cole porter music. a truly unique collection of a performers work, and the cd succeeds ...

#### this is a positive review :

title : jk rowling is a sci - fi crime novelist!

**content** : in harry's hell - called scream, jk rowling gets back the bullet to get to jk's responsibility. i liked this book so much. the storyline was great, the characters well drawn and great, and jk rowling made me care about what happened. this is the second book in the harry " hallie " tray fouls trilogy including a sister named calvin, who is young. lucy prince is young again following her sister and her intense ex - boyfriend michaelk bo ...

#### this is a positive review :

title : a great story and excellent special effects and overall worth the film

**content**: trust me, this is much better than the original. set up for heaven's gate and the framer though works well - it becomes predictable and starts going nowhere. the story becomes more developed later and really makes a good place for truman if you enter into the dsi world you're never supposed to forget. it's almost as engaging with this surprisingly heavy drama that's subtle why nothing happens after you have watched this one to get that your expectations and expectations sading down. i recommend definitely seeing this ...

#### this is a positive review:

### title : simply the best

**content**: i have been wanting to have a safe with my son my son. we used to play w / his cardboard toy box, but thought this was just a hazard. well, after true terror in my baby's swing, i hunted down the safe and amazon had the best price i could find. great product that was a huge relief from our efforts. my 7 month old loves to play with this at least 10 different times that i put him in the swing, i can see how i will get this useful for the one he has. i am so glad i ...

#### this is a positive review:

### title : a surprise!

**content** : this box is a prelude to the first golden age of horror crooning ( which correctly apes de croes as he tends to say a wayans juveniles below us ). and these are individual breakfast of murders. the writers of these crime / mysteries / new orleans exhibit that encompasses the catholic church in their b & w documents. best blurb of all those who would read about the errors they witness and don't believe. an interesting twist ending to the loose ends. hercule poirot is cast as a smart cop undercover. the two big funny g ...

Figure 8. Sample generated using CaDDi, conditioned on a positive prompt. In each sample, the purple text indicates the prompt.