The fall of asteroid 2024 XA_1 and the location of possible meteorites

Francesco Gianotto^{*a,b*}, Albino Carbognani^{*c*}, Marco Fenucci^{*a,d*}, Maxime Devogèle^{*a,b*}, Pablo Ramirez-Moreta^{e,f}, Marco Micheli^{a,b}, Raffaele Salerno^g, Toni Santana-Ros^{h,i}, Juan Luis Cano^{*i*}, Luca Conversi^{*a*}, Charlie Drury^{*a*}, Laura Faggioli^{*a,b*}, Dora Föhring^{*a,b*}, Reiner Kresken^{*j,k*}, Selina Machnitzky^{*a,l*}, Richard Moissl^{*a*}, Francisco Ocaña^{*e,f*}, Dario Oliviero^{*a,d*}, Eduardo Alonso-Peleato^{*a,m*}, Margherita Revellino^{*a,n*} and Regina Rudawska^{*o,p*} ^{*a*}ESA ESRIN / PDO / NEO Coordination Centre, Largo Galileo Galilei, 1, Frascati (RM) 00044, Italy ^{*b*}Starion Italia, Via di Grotte Portella, 28, Frascati (RM) 00044, Italy ^{*c*}INAF - Osservatorio di Astrofisica e Scienza dello Spazio, Via Gobetti, 93/3, Bologna 40129, Italy ^{*d*}Deimos Italia s.r.l., Via Alcide De Gasperi, 24, San Pietro Mosezzo (NO) 28060, Italy

^eESA ESAC / PDO Villafranca del Castillo, Bajo del Castillo, s/n, Madrid 28692, Spain

^fDeimos Space S.L.U., Ronda de Poniente, 19, Tres Cantos Madrid 28760, Spain

^gMeteo Expert, Via G. Marconi, 27, Milano 20054, Italy

^hDepartamento de Fisica, Ingeniería de Sistemas y Teoría de la Señal, Universidad de Alicante, Carr. de San Vicente del Raspeig, s/n, San Vicente del Raspeig, Alicante 03690, Spain

ⁱInstitut de Ciències del Cosmos (ICCUB), Universitat de Barcelona (IEEC-UB), Carrer de Martí i Franquès, 1, Barcelona 08028, Spain

^jESA ESOC / PDO, Robert-Bosch-Straße 5, Darmstadt 64293, Germany

⁴ kCGI Deutschland B.V. & Ko. KG, Rheinstrasse 95, Darmstadt 64295, Germany

¹University of Freiburg, Fahnenbergplatz, Freiburg im Breisgau 79085, Germany

^mAlia Space System Srl, Via San Giuseppe Calasanzio 15, Frascati (RM) 00044, Italy

ⁿTechnische Universiteit Delft, Mekelweg 5, CD Delft 2628, The Netherlands

^oESA ESTEC / PDO, Keplerlaan 1, AZ Noordwijk 2201, The Netherlands

^pStarion Netherlands, Schuttersveld 2, ZA, Leiden, 2316, The Netherlands

^aINAF - Osservatorio di Astrofisica e Scienza dello Spazio, Via Gobetti 93/3, Bologna 40129, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: minor planets, asteroids: individual: 2024 XA1 astrometry Meteorites, meteors, meteoroids

ABSTRACT

Asteroid 2024 XA₁ was discovered on 3 December 2024 at 05:54 UTC by the Bok telescope in Kitt Peak, Arizona, and impacted Earth about 10 hours later over a remote area of the Sakha Republic (Russia). The estimated size of the object was about one meter, and the atmospheric entry produced a bright fireball that was captured by a webcam and several eyewitnesses. The first impact alert was issued at 07:50 UTC by the Meerkat Asteroid Guard of the European Space Agency, which triggered subsequent follow-up observations that confirmed both the object to be real and the occurrence of the impact with Earth. Here we present the operations and results from the NEO Coordination Centre (NEOCC) upon the impact event. Because the entry likely dropped meteorites on the ground, we also estimate the possible strewn fields for future meteorite search campaigns.

1. Introduction

Several surveys dedicated to the discovery of new near-Earth asteroids (NEAs) – such as the Catalina Sky Survey (Fuls et al., 2023), the Panoramic Survey Telescope and Rapid Response System (Pan-STARRS, Denneau et al., 2013), and the Asteroid Terrestrial-impact Last Alert System (ATLAS, Tonry et al., 2018) – became operational in the last 20

ORCID(s): 0009-0005-1927-6757 (F. Gianotto); 0000-0002-0737-7068 (A. Carbognani); 0000-0002-7058-0413 (M. Fenucci);

0000-0002-6509-6360 (M. Devogèle); 0000-0001-5874-6057 (P. Ramirez-Moreta); 0000-0001-7895-8209 (M. Micheli);

0000-0002-0143-9440 (T. Santana-Ros); 0000-0002-2005-4255 (J.L. Cano); 0000-0002-6710-8476 (L. Conversi);

^{*}Corresponding author:

[🛸] marco.fenucci@ext.esa.int (M. Fenucci)

^{0009-0003-0296-3310 (}C. Drury); 0000-0002-5447-432X (L. Faggioli); 0000-0001-9259-2688 (D. Föhring); 0000-0002-9836-3285 (F. Ocaña)

Table 1

Near-Earth asteroids discovered before impact with Earth as of 1 January 2025. Entries are ordered by the impacting date,
and the size is estimated through the value of the absolute magnitude. The discovery Minor Planet Electronic Circular
(MPEC) issued by the MPC is given as a reference for each asteroid.

Designation	Meteorites	Impact date	UTC	Discoverer	Survey	Code	Size (m)
2008 TC ₃ ^a	Y	2008-10-07	02:46	Richard Kowalski	Mount Lemmon Survey	G96	3-4
2014 AA ^b	N	2014-01-02	02:33 (±1 h)	Richard Kowalski	Mount Lemmon Survey	G96	3
2018 LA ^c	Y	2018-06-02	16:44	Richard Kowalski	Mount Lemmon Survey	G96	3-4
2019 MO ^d	N	2018-06-22	21:25		ATLAS-MLO	T08	4-6
2022 EB ₅ ^e	Ν	2022-03-11	21:22	Krisztián Sárneczky	Konkoly Observatory	K88	2
2022 WJ_1^{f}	N	2022-11-19	08:27	David Rankin	Mount Lemmon Survey	G96	1
2023 CX ₁ ^g	Y	2023-02-13	02:59	Krisztián Sárneczky	Konkoly Observatory	K88	1
2024 BX ₁ ^h	Y	2024-01-21	00:32	Krisztián Sárneczky	Konkoly Observatory	K88	1
2024 RW ₁ ⁱ	N	2024-09-04	16:39	Jacqueline Fazekas	Mount Lemmon Survey	G96	1.5
2024 UQ ^j	Ν	2024-10-22	10:45	_	ATLAS-HKO, Haleakala	T05	1
2024 XA ₁ ^k	?	2024-12-03	16:15	V. F. Carvajal	Kitt Peak-Bok	V00	1

^a MPEC 2008-T72, https://minorplanetcenter.net/mpec/K08/K08T72.html

^b MPEC 2014-A02, https://minorplanetcenter.net/mpec/K14/K14A02.html

c MPEC 2018-L04, https://minorplanetcenter.net/mpec/K18/K18L04.html

^d MPEC 2019-M72, https://minorplanetcenter.net/mpec/K19/K19M72.html

e MPEC 2022-E178, https://minorplanetcenter.net/mpec/K22/K22EH8.html

^f MPEC 2022-W69, https://minorplanetcenter.net/mpec/K22/K22W69.html

^g MPEC 2023-C103, https://minorplanetcenter.net/mpec/K23/K23CA3.html

^h MPEC 2024-B76, https://minorplanetcenter.net/mpec/K24/K24B76.html

i MPEC 2024-R68, https://minorplanetcenter.net/mpec/K24/K24R68.html

/ MPEC 2024-U49, https://minorplanetcenter.net/mpec/K24/K24U49.html

^k MPEC 2024-X68, https://minorplanetcenter.net/mpec/K24/K24X68.html

years, and the number of known NEAs grew significantly. Thanks to these facilities, asteroid 2008 TC₃ was discovered 20 hours before impacting over Sudan (Jenniskens et al., 2009), and it was the first NEA discovered before impact ever. Because of the short time between discovery and impact, 2008 TC₃ has been called also an *imminent impactor*. Since then, the discovery of imminent impactors is an increasingly frequent event, as reported in Tab. 1. Other ground based surveys are currently under construction, such as the Vera Rubin Observatory (Ivezić et al., 2019) and the Flyeye telescope (Conversi et al., 2021; Föhring et al., 2024) by the European Space Agency (ESA), while the space based telescopes NEO Surveyor Mission (NEOSM, Mainzer et al., 2023) by NASA, and the NEO Mission in the Infrared (NEOMIR, Conversi et al., 2023) by ESA, are foreseen in the next decade. Thus, the rate of discovery of imminent impactor is deemed to increase in the future, especially because both the Flyeye telescope and NEOMIR are particularly designed for the discovery of these special objects, with the additional goal of increasing the warning time.

Along with surveys, the currently operational monitoring systems for imminent impactors – such as the Meerkat Asteroid Guard (Gianotto et al., 2023) by ESA, Scout¹ (Farnocchia et al., 2015) by NASA, and NEOScan² (Spoto et al., 2018; Del Vigna et al., 2021) by NEODyS – have also been proven to be efficient in identifying unconfirmed objects that could impact Earth shortly after discovery. These services are extremely important in encouraging the follow-up of these objects so that their orbit and possible impact location can be better determined.

The discovery of asteroids before impacting Earth is not only relevant for planetary defence purposes, but it offers a wider range of possibilities for studying their origin, if compared to fireball detections by satellite sensors (Devillepoix et al., 2019; Peña-Asensio et al., 2022). In fact, small NEAs of few meters in diameter generally produce a bright fireball during the atmospheric entry, while undergoing ablation and possibly multiple fragmentations. Some fragments may survive the airburst and experience the dark flight phase, finally reaching the ground and producing a meteorites fall. The area on the ground where meteorites fall is also called *strewn field*, which can be searched for in order to recover a part of the fragments. The recovery of meteorites allows to perform accurate laboratory studies, which give a better

¹https://cneos.jpl.nasa.gov/scout/

²https://newton.spacedys.com/neodys/NEOScan/

characterisation of the parent body. This was the case for the past impactors 2008 TC₃ (Jenniskens et al., 2009; Shaddad et al., 2010), 2018 LA (Jenniskens et al., 2021), 2023 CX₁ (Bischoff et al., 2023), and 2024 BX₁ (Bischoff et al., 2024), while possible strewn fields have been computed for 2022 WJ₁ (Carbognani et al., 2025; Kareta et al., 2024) although no meteorites have been recovered yet. In addition, the knowledge of an accurate heliocentric orbit of the NEA parent body and the physical properties of the associated meteorite may permit to reconstruct the dynamic history of the NEA, and possibly identify progenitors (Carbognani and Fenucci, 2023) or source regions (see e.g. Brož et al., 2024a,b; Marsset et al., 2024).

This paper is focused on asteroid 2024 XA_1 , a meter-sized object which impacted Earth on 3 December 2024 at 16:15 UTC over a remote area of the Sakha Republic (Russia) and was discovered only ten hours before impact. The impact alert was first announced by Meerkat, the imminent impactor warning service operated at the NEO Coordination Centre³ (NEOCC) by ESA. At the epoch of the alert, the asteroid was still on the NEO Confirmation Page⁴ (NEOCP) of the Minor Planet Center⁵ (MPC). As soon as a sufficient number of observations was available, a more accurate orbit and impact corridor were computed with the ESA Aegis Orbit Determination and Impact monitoring system (Fenucci et al., 2024). The impact point at 100 km altitude was used to compute the possible strewn fields even prior to the impact epoch, and it was performed by the Italian Istituto Nazionale di Astrofisica (INAF). The final ab initio strewn field (Carbognani et al., 2025) was determined with all the astrometric observations available at the MPC, and it is reported here for future meteorite search campaigns.

2. Methods

2.1. The Meerkat imminent impactor warning system

The Meerkat Asteroid Guard (Gianotto et al., 2023) is an automated system which scans the NEOCP in search of unconfirmed near-Earth objects (NEOs) that could fly-by or impact Earth. Typically, NEOCP objects have a very short observational arc, and classical methods of orbit determination (see, e.g. Milani and Gronchi, 2009) are usually not effective. Meerkat uses the systematic ranging technique (Farnocchia et al., 2015; Spoto et al., 2018) to compute the uncertainty region of an object. Orbits compatible with the given observations are then propagated for 30 days in the future to search for possible impacts with Earth.

Early alerts of close fly-bys and Earth impactors are of extreme importance in prioritizing and encouraging astrometric follow-up of unconfirmed NEOs. To this purpose, Meerkat broadcasts the results to a dedicated mailing list opened only to people actively involved in the NEO field, particularly to observers and researchers who promptly need access to potential impactors information⁶. To minimize the response time, Meerkat also makes direct phone calls to NEOCC astronomers so that they can quickly analyze the scenario and select the most suitable telescope from the NEOCC network⁷ to confirm the object, and possibly measure other properties (Devogèle et al., 2024).

Results obtained by Meerkat are presented to users in a visual manner, to easily understand the properties of the selected objects. A dashboard containing several pie charts give clear information about the orbit class and the impact threat (see Fig. 1 for an example). The first row of the dashboard presents an impact score, an impact time chart, and the size of the impactor computed from the absolute magnitude of the impacting orbital solutions. The second and the third rows give information about the NEO and comet classes, along with a class-dependent size estimate. The asteroid size is computed from the absolute magnitude *H* over the whole 95% confidence region obtained by the systematic ranging. On the other hand, the impactor size is obtained by taking only the size of the impacting solutions within the 95% confidence region. The conversion from absolute magnitude to diameter is performed through the formula $D = 1329 \text{ km} \times 10^{-H/5} / \sqrt{p_V}$ (Bowell et al., 1989; Pravec and Harris, 2007), where the albedo p_V is fixed to 0.14. The distinction between asteroid size and impactor size is important because impacting solutions of the systematic ranging generally tend to have larger absolute magnitudes, and hence smaller object sizes. Without this classification, mitigation and follow-up actions might be based on too large impactor size estimates. Finally, note that for the case of 2024 XA₁ presented in Fig. 1, the two sizes were the same because the impact probability with 8 observations already reached 100%.

³https://neo.ssa.esa.int/

⁴https://minorplanetcenter.net/iau/NEO/toconfirm_tabular.html

⁵https://minorplanetcenter.net/

⁶Request can be sent directly to the address neocc@esa.int.

⁷https://neo.ssa.esa.int/neocc-observing-facilities



COWEPC5 Dashboard: 8 obs, 1.61 h arc length

Figure 1: Typical view of the Meerkat Dashboard. This example is the one sent to subscribers in the first impact alert of 2024 XA_1 .

A station selector plot shows the detection probability as contour lines, depending on the epoch of observation and the size of the field of view. The detection probability values are not station-specific, but geocentric. The cumulative impact probability as a function of the time is also shown in a panel on the bottom of the figure. Figure 2 shows the plot for 2024 XA₁ computed with 8 observations.

Results of the systematic ranging are given in two plots, both in the plane of topocentric range and topocentric range rate. The left panel shows the contour lines of the weighted root-mean-square error of the astrometric residuals, while the right panel shows the contour lines of the absolute magnitude. The 95% confidence region of the orbit and the region of Earth impacting solutions are also shown in the plot. Figure 3 shows an example of the systematic ranging plot, obtained on 2024 XA₁ with 8 observations.

Scatter plots in the planes of geocentric (heliocentric) semi-major axis – eccentricity and semi-major axis – inclination are useful to understand the orbital elements of the object, and possibly make a guess on its nature. The plots in the geocentric elements come along with the background density of artificial satellites to easily identify if a solution given by the systematic ranging corresponds to a region densely populated with artificial satellites. The plots in the heliocentric elements are, on the other hand, useful to understand the possible source region of the object. Figure 4 shows the scatter plots produced by Meerkat for 2024 XA_1 , computed with 8 observations. Note that the object does not appear in the plots with geocentric elements, which indicate that with already 8 observations it was possible to exclude the possibility of an artificial object orbiting Earth. It was also possible to constrain the orbital elements to an Apollo-type orbit.

Finally, a map showing the impact positions on Earth is given along with the alert message (see Fig. 5 for an example).



Figure 2: Station selector plot for 2024 XA₁ computed with 8 observations, showing the contour lines of the detection probability. The bottom panel shows the cumulative impact probability as a function of the time.

2.2. Orbit determination and impact predictions

The NEOCC operates also the Aegis Orbit Determination and Impact Monitoring system (Fenucci et al., 2024). This automated system is designed to determine the orbits of asteroids already designated by the MPC and compute the impact probabilities with Earth in the next 100 years. The orbit determination implemented in Aegis is based on a least-square method, which is solved through an iterative differential corrections algorithm. Initial conditions for the differential corrections can be computed through the Gauss or Laplace initial orbit determination methods (see Milani and Gronchi, 2009, for an overview). The impact monitoring is based on the Line of Variations (LOV) method, which is a geometrical 1-dimensional sampling of the confidence region (Milani et al., 2005b). This method relies on the fact that the confidence region is usually stretched along a *weak direction*, over which the uncertainty is the largest. The LOV is sampled with a relatively small number of Virtual Asteroids (VAs), which are then propagated in the future to search for Virtual Impactors (VIs). The LOV method also allows to compute an impact probability for a given VI. More details about these methods can be found in, e.g., Milani et al. (2002, 2005a). When a VI with a sufficiently large impact probability is found, the impact corridor can be computed through a semi-analytical algorithm (Dimare et al., 2020). More details about the Aegis system can be found in Fenucci et al. (2024) and references therein.

In the case of imminent impactors, many observations may be available thanks to the prompt follow-up, and classical methods for orbit determination and impact monitoring are more accurate than the systematic ranging implemented in Meerkat. Therefore, we developed an automated pipeline to process NEOCP objects using the Aegis software. The pipeline is triggered when an impact probability larger than 10% is found by Meerkat, and the corresponding tracklet has more than 4 observations. Meerkat sends the tracklet to the Aegis system, and a preliminary orbit is computed using the Gauss method. This orbit is then used as a starting guess to fit a least-square orbit. After, impact monitoring based on the LOV method is performed to search for possible impacts in the next 30 days. Finally, the impact corridor at 100 km and 0 km altitudes are computed without taking into account atmospheric effects in the determination of the ground impact point. Since this pipeline is dedicated to unconfirmed objects, the results of the computations are not published on the NEOCC web portal, but they are sent to NEOCC staff by email.



COWEPC5 Systematic Ranging: 8 obs, 1.6 h arc length

First observation: 2024-12-03 05:55:17, Last observation: 2024-12-03 07:31:55, Number of observations: 8

Figure 3: Systematic ranging of 2024 XA₁ performed with 8 observations. The left plot shows the contour lines of the weighted root-mean-square (RMS) error of the astrometric residuals, in the plane of topocentric range ρ and topocentric range rate $\dot{\rho}$. The right plot shows the contour lines of the absolute magnitude, in the same plane (ρ , $\dot{\rho}$). The 95% confidence region of the orbit and the region of Earth impacting solutions are also shown in both panels, in green and red areas respectively.

2.3. Ab initio strewn field computation

In Carbognani et al. (2025), the authors computed the strewn field of three past impacted NEAs, namely 2008 TC₃, 2023 CX₁, and 2024 BX₁ (see Table 1), with uncertainties of about one kilometer across the strewn field, which is a distance which could be feasible to cover by walking. Here we use the same fall model, which we briefly summarize here. Starting from the entry point at 100 km above the Earth surface, the motion of the meteoroid entering the atmosphere is modeled by a force model which includes the Earth gravity and the drag force. The fall model considers only one main fragmentation, which happens when the aerodynamic pressure exceeds the mechanical strength S of the meteoroid. During fragmentation, we assume that the meteoroid disrupts into a set of smaller fragments with reasonable a-priori mass values. All the fragments are assigned the same initial velocity, without the addition of a lateral component. The points on the Earth surface where these fragments fall define the strewn field and, because the fall zone is computed only with data prior to impact, this computation is called *ab initio strewn field*.

The wind profile used to model the drag force during the fall of 2024 XA₁ was determined by Meteo Expert⁸, a private company which provides weather predictions computed with internally developed models. Meteo Expert provides paid services, and anyone can request data, but in this case, it was a scientific collaboration in agreement with the structure and without charges. All the available data from the surface to the troposphere and remote sensing measurements are integrated in the atmospheric prediction model. This way, the wind profile can be obtained near the dark flight starting point, rounding the time to the integer hours closest to the impacting epoch.

Some assumptions are also needed in order to use the described fall model. The strength S of the asteroid is generally unknown since it depends on its composition and internal structure. However, strength determines the height at which the fragmentation takes place, which could significantly influence the resulting strewn field. Therefore, we performed the computations with different strength values, namely S = 0.5, 1, 5 MPa. These values had already given good results in the analysis of the strewn fields of 2024 BX₁, 2023 CX₁ and 2008 TC₃ in Carbognani et al. (2025)

⁸https://www.meteo.expert/



COWEPC5 Scatter plot: 8 obs, 1.6 h arc length

First observation: 2024-12-03 05:55:17, Last observation: 2024-12-03 07:31:55, Number of observations: 8

Figure 4: Scatter plots of 2024 XA₁ computed by Meerkat with 8 observations. The top-left panel shows the density of artificial satellites in the plane of geocentric semi-major axis and geocetric eccentricity. Coloured crosses indicate the location of different type of artificial satellites, e.g. geosynchronous, global positioning system (GPS), Molniya, etc. The top-right panel shows the same density in the plane of geocentric semi-major axis and geocentric inclination. Geocentric solutions from systematic ranging would be plotted with black dots. The bottom-left plot shows the plane of semi-major axis and eccentricity of heliocentric orbits, divided into different color-coded orbital categories (such as Amors, Apollo Atens, etc). The black curve corresponds to the boundary of the NEO region, defined by a perihelion distance of 1.3 au. Finally, the bottom-right panel shows the location of the Hungaria and Phocaea regions in the plane of semi-major axis and inclination. The heliocentric orbital solutions computed for the unconfirmed object by the systematic ranging are showed with green dots in the plots of the second row.

and are in the strength range estimated from the observed fireballs (Borovička and Spurný, 2008), thus this approach is effective in determining at least one ab initio strewn field close to the real one.

Another critical parameter is the mass range of the fragments, which is also unknown. In our computations, we assumed a-priori fragment masses of 1, 0.3, 0.2, 0.1, 0.05, 0.02, 0.005, and 0.001 kg, which are typical values for meteorites originating from small meter-sized asteroids as 2024 XA_1 . It must be pointed out that, although it is reasonable to search for meteorites in the mass range 1 g - 1 kg, there is no guarantee that such fragments may be recovered. In fact, the fall event could have various outcomes, including the two rare extreme cases of complete disintegration – typical of cometary bodies –, or no fragmentation at all (Borovička and Spurný, 2008; Kenkmann et al., 2009).

Finally, the model assumes that the asteroid undergoes only one fragmentation event during atmospheric entry. However, results showed in (Carbognani et al., 2025) indicated that the presence of multiple fragmentations do not



Figure 5: Impact location computed by Meerkat and sent to subscribers in the first impact alert.

significantly affect the position of the final strewn field, provided that the impacting object underwent at least a main fragmentation.

3. Results

3.1. The first Meerkat impact warning

Asteroid 2024 XA₁ was discovered at 05:54 UTC on 3 December 2024 by V. F. Carvajal using the Bok telescope in Kitt Peak, Arizona (MPC code V00). A first tracklet containing only 4 observations upon discovery was posted on the NEOCP, and the object was provisionally designated as C0WEPC5. With this set of observations, all the imminent impactor warning systems reported a very small chance of impact with Earth, smaller than 1%. About an hour after discovery, a follow-up set of 4 observations was taken by the Steward Observatory in Mount Lemmon (MPC observatory code I52) and announced on the NEOCP at 07:46 UTC. At 07:50 UTC, Meerkat sent an impact alert on the mailing list, announcing that C0WEPC5 had a 100% impact probability with Earth between 15:38 UTC and 17:06 UTC. The estimated size of the object was 0.6 m. Figure 1 shows the Meerkat dashboard sent in the first alert. The first impact corridor computed by Meerkat (see Fig. 5, bottom panel) indicated a median impact location over the Sakha Republic (Russia) at coordinates Lat. 60.97° N Long. 119.99° E, with large uncertainties due to the small number of observations. Impact alerts confirming Meerkat's results were announced a few minutes later by NASA Scout and NEOScan by NEODyS. The Aegis pipeline described in Sec. 2.2 was triggered after Meerkat's computations, and it confirmed the impact with large uncertainties in the entry area.

3.2. Astrometric follow-up

During the first alert, Meerkat also successfully notified NEOCC astronomers by phone calls, and procedures to follow-up the object to obtain more observations started. At the time of the discovery trigger, the object was already

too low to observe from any of our facilities in the Chilean Andes. Our initial attempts to obtain follow-up were therefore directed to telescopes in the US South-West, but they were hampered by poor weather. We were, however, able to successfully schedule an observing block with one of the 0.35 m telescopes of the Las Cumbres Observatory in Haleakala, Hawaii (MPC code T03). After Hawaii, the best observability conditions crossed the empty space of the Northern Pacific Ocean, leaving few observational opportunities until becoming observable from Eastern Asia or Oceania. However, our network telescopes in Japan and Australia were also affected by poor weather, and therefore, we had to wait until about one hour before shadow entrance to successfully obtain images of the object with the 2.0 m Himalaya Chandra Telescope in India (MPC code N50). Unfortunately, the object had already been impacted by the time it got dark on any European telescope in our network, thus ending our observational coverage.

During the same hours, we were in contact with other independent observers worldwide, who had easier telescope access and were able to extract valuable astrometry from their datasets. The general follow-up observations from code G37 and F65, discussed below, were provided by external collaborators but actually measured and reported in near real-time by our team.

Before impact, eight other updates were posted on the NEOCP, and impact alerts continued to be triggered. The availability of more astrometry allowed the uncertainties in both the time of impact and the location to shrink significantly. The object entered the Earth's shadow 20 minutes before impact, and it was impossible to observe it anymore. A total of 40 observations were available before impact from 7 different observatories (MPC codes I41, V00, I52, H01, G37, F65, C94).

3.3. Impact event, heliocentric orbit, and impact location

The last Meerkat alert before impact, sent at 14:47 UTC, was obtained with 40 observations and predicted the impact at $16:14:53 \pm 9$ s UTC, with a few kilometers of uncertainty in the impact position. To follow the impact event and check the accuracy of the predictions, we identified a live webcam in Lensk (Sakha Republic, Russia) with a field of view pointing at the predicted entry direction. The fireball appeared in the webcam live streaming at the predicted time, and the entire video is available on YouTube⁹. Figure 6 shows a frame imaging the fireball.

Additional astrometry was posted on the NEOCP even after impact, and the Aegis pipeline continued to compute the impact corridor with the available data. Figure 7 shows the uncertainty of the impact location as a function of the observations available on the NEOCP and the time of computation. At the beginning, the uncertainty was of the order of 400 km, and it fell below 10 km when 33 observations were posted on the NEOCP. With the observations available at the time of impact, the uncertainty shrinks to about 1 km. The object was finally designated as 2024 XA_1 and announced in MPEC 2024-X68¹⁰, which was issued at 18:05 UTC of 3 December.

On 17 December, we downloaded all the observations available at the MPC from the MPC Explorer¹¹ service, using the new Astrometry Data Exchange Standard (ADES) format. We then accurately recomputed the heliocentric orbit of 2024 XA₁, using all the 79 available astrometric uncertainties reported by the observers. Table 2 reports the orbital elements on 1 October 2024¹². The final impact point at 100 km altitude had a 1- σ uncertainty of 220 m, slightly larger than the recent impactors 2023 CX₁ and 2024 BX₁.

3.4. Live strewn field and possible final strewn fields

The first preliminary computations of the *ab initio* strewn field began around 8 UTC on 3 Dec 2024, when it was clear that 2024 XA₁ would hit Earth. The impact site was not yet well defined (see Fig. 7), but taking as reference the median geographic coordinates of the possible entry points into the atmosphere at 100 km altitude, a provisional atmospheric profile was acquired from radio-sounding data taken at 00 UTC on 3 December from the Olekminsk station (Lat. 60.37° N, Long. 120.42° E)¹³. This made it possible to make a first estimate of the average geographic coordinates of the beginning of the dark flight of the 1 kg fragment as a function of the possible asteroid strength *S* of 0.5, 1 and 5 MPa, which worked well in the cases of the 2024 BX₁, 2023 CX₁, and 2008 TC₃. With this provisional data, Meteo Expert computed the first real atmospheric profile for 16 UTC, which made it possible to have the first reliable estimate of the possible strewn fields. This procedure was repeated a couple of times because the orbit was constantly updating, and at 15 UTC, about two hours before the fall, with 40 astrometric observations, we already had

⁹https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iKlGwZH9iOY&t

¹⁰https://minorplanetcenter.net/mpec/K24/K24X68.html

¹¹ https://data.minorplanetcenter.net/explorer/

 $^{^{12}}$ Note that in this case, the orbital elements at the middle epoch of observations would be significantly perturbed already by Earth's gravitational attraction.

¹³https://weather.uwyo.edu/upperair/europe.html

The fall of asteroid 2024 XA1 and the location of possible meteorites



Figure 6: Frame from a live webcam in Lensk (Sakha Republic, Russia) capturing the atmospheric entry of 2024 XA₁. The fireball can be seen at the top of the frame.

Table 2

Keplerian orbital elements of 2024 XA_1 on 1 October 2024. Errors refer to the 1- σ formal uncertainties.

Parameter	Value
Epoch	2024-10-01 00:00:00 UTC
Semi-major axis, a (au)	$1.68911 \pm 5.1 \times 10^{-5}$
Eccentricity, e	$0.49518 \pm 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$
Inclination, <i>i</i> (deg)	$0.10824 \pm 8.4 \times 10^{-6}$
Longitude of node, Ω (deg)	$72.26181 \pm 6.7 \times 10^{-5}$
Argument of perihelion, ω (deg)	$53.08039 \pm 9.0 \times 10^{-5}$
Mean anomaly, ℓ (deg)	$313.99293 \pm 9.1 \times 10^{-4}$

Table 3

Them mean trajectory parameters at 100 km altitude (WGS84 ellipsoid) from Earth surface of 2024 XA_1 given by heliocentric orbit with their 1- σ uncertainties. The speed is relative to the ground. The inclination is the angle that the speed vector forms with the ground. The azimuth, counted from north to east, gives the fireball's incoming direction.

Object	2024 XA ₁
Time (UTC)	2024-12-03 16:14:52.87 ± 0.070 s
Latitude (deg)	60.6285 ± 0.0007
East Longitude (deg)	119.0713 ± 0.002
Speed (km s^{-1})	15.5241 ± 0.0001
Inclination (deg)	50.6116 ± 0.001
Azimuth (deg)	214.9572 ± 0.003

a good estimate of the area of the possible strewn fields until arriving at the definitive result, using the full astrometric set of measures, that we show in Fig. 8. Compared to the final version, the preliminary strewn field is approximately 1.6 km to the east.

According to the model results, with an average strength of S = 0.5 MPa, the main fragmentation occurred at 40.6 km altitude, a value that drops to 35.6 km for 1 MPa and 23.7 km for S = 5 MPa. From the live webcam that captured the fireball, we know there are at least two flares, one of which was very intense towards the end of the fireball phase,



Figure 7: Uncertainty in the impact location as new observations were announced on the NEOCP. The lower x-axis corresponds to the computation time, while the upper x-axis indicates the corresponding number of observations used for the computation. The y-axis reports the 1- σ uncertainty of the impact location at 100 km altitude. The vertical red line denotes the time of impact. The solution with 79 observations was computed on 17 December, but it was reported on the day after the fall to keep the readability of the plot.

Table 4

The possible nominal strewn fields coordinates. The column Mass shows the mass of the hypothetical meteorite, while the columns with S show the possible mean asteroid's strength with lat N and long E coordinates in degrees of the possible meteorite. Based on the results obtained on 2024 BX₁, 2023 CX₁ and 2008 TC₃, the uncertainty on the position of the meteorites is of the order of 1 km (Carbognani et al., 2025).

Mass (g)	S = 0.5 MPa	S = 1 MPa	S = 5 MPa
1000	61.151	61.152	61.159
	119.828	119.828	119.837
300	61.136	61.137	61.147
	119.805	119.807	119.821
200	61.131	61.132	61.144
	119.797	119.799	119.815
100	61.123	61.125	61.138
	119.785	119.787	119.806
50	61.115	61.117	61.133
	119.773	119.776	119.798
20	61.105	61.108	61.127
	119.757	119.761	119.788
5	61.090	61.094	61.120
	119.733	119.739	119.776
1	61.073	61.080	61.114
	119.706	119.716	119.766

so the asteroid fragmented during the fall, see Fig. 6. For all three possible strength cases, the geographic coordinates of the starting point of the dark flight of the possible fragment with a final mass of 1 kg are Lat. 61.1° E, Long. 119.7° E. Due to the high inclination of the trajectory, the three possible strewn fields largely overlap, which is an advantage for ground research, see Fig. 8. The potential 0.5 MPa strewn field has a ground length of 10 km, a value that drops to 6 km for the 5 MPa one because the dispersion of the fragments began at a lower height. In either case, an eventual meteorite search campaign would occur within walking distance. The strewn fields are located about 13 km ahead of the theoretical impact point without considering the atmosphere, 37 km northeast of Kiliyer village, 89 km north of the city of Olekminsk, and 950 km east of Tunguska's epicentre (see Table 4). From Google Maps, the area appears to be covered by Taiga vegetation, so searching on the ground will not be easy.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we have reconstructed the last life hours of asteroid 2024 XA_1 , discovered at 05:54 UTC on 3 December 2024, from the Bok telescope at Kitt Peak Observatory, Arizona. At the time of discovery, the asteroid was of magnitude +20 and was about 420 000 km from Earth. The asteroid, provisionally designated COWEPC5, has been



Figure 8: The possible strewn fields of 2024 XA_1 with a mean strength of 0.5, 1 and 5 MPa. The circles are proportional to the mass of the possible meteorite. There can be no certainty that fragments of 2024 XA1 have reached the ground, but this area is where it is most likely to find something. The uncertainty about the location of possible fragments is on the order of 1 km.

included in the NEOCP on the MPC and confirmed by other observatories. At the discovery epoch, the probability of impact estimated by the rapid warning systems (Meerkat by ESA, Scout by NASA, and NEOScan by NEODyS) was of the order of 1%, while it suddenly increased to 100% as soon as the first follow-up observations were available, as notified by Meerkat at 07:50 UTC. Impact alerts confirming Meerkat's results were announced a few minutes later by NASA Scout and NEOScan by NEODyS. Initially, the impact point at 100 km altitude had a $1-\sigma$ uncertainty of the order of 400 km and shrunk to about 1 km with the observations available at the time of impact, about 16:15 UTC. With all the astrometric observations available from MPC, the final uncertainty is 220 m with the trajectory starting parameters.

We tried to answer the question of where the meteorites ended up. Near the area of the fall, there are no all-sky camera networks to triangulate the fireballs, and the fall was filmed by chance by a live webcam and by occasional witnesses with smartphones, so we compute a strewn field *ab initio*. Assuming an average strength of 0.5, 1 and 5 MPa for the asteroid and considering the local atmospheric profile computed ad hoc, we estimated the position of the possible theoretical strewn fields (see Table 4). In this case, the asteroid arrived with a fairly high inclination with respect to the Earth's surface (about 51°), so the possible strewn fields overlap, facilitating the search. Since the impact occurred in a remote area of the Sakha Republic (Russia) with difficult environmental conditions, we do not expect an immediate search. Still, we hope some fragments can be recovered in future search campaigns.

Acknowledgments

We thank the two anonymous referees for their time and constructive feedback, which have helped improve the quality of this paper.

References

- Bischoff, A., Patzek, M., Barrat, J.A., Berndt, J., Busemann, H., Degering, D., Di Rocco, T., Ek, M., Harries, D., Godinho, J.R.A., Heinlein, D., Kriele, A., Krietsch, D., Maden, C., Marchhart, O., Marshal, R.M., Martschini, M., Merchel, S., Möller, A., Pack, A., Raab, H., Reitze, M.P., Rendtel, I., Rüfenacht, M., Sachs, O., Schönbächler, M., Schuppisser, A., Weber, I., Wieser, A., Wimmer, K., 2024. Cosmic pears from the havelland (germany): Ribbeck, the twelfth recorded aubrite fall in history. Meteoritics & Planetary Science 1. URL: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/maps.14245, doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/maps.14245, arXiv:https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/maps.14245.
- Bischoff, A., Patzek, M., Di Rocco, T., Pack, A., Stojic, A., Berndt, J., Peters, S., 2023. Saint-Pierre-le-Viger (L5-6) from asteroid 2023 CX₁ recovered in the Normandy, France—220 years after the historic fall of L'Aigle (L6 breccia) in the neighborhood. MAPS 58, 1385–1398. doi:10.1111/maps.14074.
- Borovička, J., Spurný, P., 2008. The Carancas meteorite impact Encounter with a monolithic meteoroid. Astronomy and Astrophysics 485, L1–L4. doi:10.1051/0004-6361:200809905.
- Bowell, E., Hapke, B., Domingue, D., Lumme, K., Peltoniemi, J., Harris, A.W., 1989. Application of photometric models to asteroids., in: Binzel, R.P., Gehrels, T., Matthews, M.S. (Eds.), Asteroids II, pp. 524–556.
- Brož, M., Vernazza, P., Marsset, M., Binzel, R.P., DeMeo, F., Birlan, M., Colas, F., Anghel, S., Bouley, S., Blanpain, C., Gattacceca, J., Jeanne, S., Jorda, L., Lecubin, J., Malgoyre, A., Steinhausser, A., Vaubaillon, J., Zanda, B., 2024a. Source regions of carbonaceous meteorites and near-Earth objects. Astronomy and Astrophysics 689, A183. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/202450532, arXiv:2406.19727.
- Brož, M., Vernazza, P., Marsset, M., DeMeo, F.E., Binzel, R.P., Vokrouhlický, D., Nesvorný, D., 2024b. Young asteroid families as the primary source of meteorites. Nature 634, 566–571. doi:10.1038/s41586-024-08006-7, arXiv:2403.08552.
- Carbognani, A., Fenucci, M., 2023. Identifying parent bodies of meteorites among near-Earth asteroids. MNRAS 525, 1705–1725. doi:10.1093/mnras/stad2382, arXiv:2308.01931.
- Carbognani, A., Fenucci, M., Salerno, R., Micheli, M., 2025. Ab initio strewn field for small asteroids impacts. Icarus 425, 116345. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2024.116345, arXiv:2410.15823.
- Conversi, L., Koschny, D., Micheli, M., Kresken, R., Moreta, P.R., Kugel, U., Doelling, E., Cano, J.L., Cennamo, R., Faggioli, L., Foglietta, A., Moissl, R., Oliviero, D., Petrescu, E., Rudawska, R., 2021. Esa's NEO Coordination Centre Observational Network, in: 7th IAA Planetary Defense Conference, p. 22.
- Conversi, L., Licandro, J., Delbo, M., Fitzsimmons, A., Muinonen, K., Müller, T., Popescu, M., Tanga, P., Berthelsen, L., Föhring, D., Micheli, M., Moissl, R., 2023. NEOMIR: an NEO early-warning, space-based mission, in: 2nd NEO and Debris Detection Conference, p. 86.
- Del Vigna, A., Dimare, L., Bracali Cioci, D., 2021. The Manifold Of Variations: impact location of short-term impactors. Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy 133, 26. doi:10.1007/s10569-021-10024-w, arXiv:2102.11399.
- Denneau, L., Jedicke, R., Grav, T., Granvik, M., Kubica, J., Milani, A., Vereš, P., Wainscoat, R., Chang, D., Pierfederici, F., Kaiser, N., Chambers, K.C., Heasley, J.N., Magnier, E.A., Price, P.A., Myers, J., Kleyna, J., Hsieh, H., Farnocchia, D., Waters, C., Sweeney, W.H., Green, D., Bolin, B., Burgett, W.S., Morgan, J.S., Tonry, J.L., Hodapp, K.W., Chastel, S., Chesley, S., Fitzsimmons, A., Holman, M., Spahr, T., Tholen, D., Williams, G.V., Abe, S., Armstrong, J.D., Bressi, T.H., Holmes, R., Lister, T., McMillan, R.S., Micheli, M., Ryan, E.V., Ryan, W.H., Scotti, J.V., 2013. The Pan-STARRS Moving Object Processing System. PASP 125, 357. doi:10.1086/670337, arXiv:1302.7281.
- Devillepoix, H.A., Bland, P.A., Sansom, E.K., Towner, M.C., Cupák, M., Howie, R.M., Hartig, B.A., Jansen-Sturgeon, T., Cox, M.A., 2019. Observation of metre-scale impactors by the desert fireball network. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 483, 5166–5178.
- Devogèle, M., Buzzi, L., Micheli, M., Cano, J.L., Conversi, L., Jehin, E., Ferrais, M., Ocaña, F., Föhring, D., Drury, C., Benkhaldoun, Z., Jenniskens, P., 2024. Aperture photometry on asteroid trails: Detection of the fastest-rotating near-Earth object. Astronomy and Astrophysics 689, A211. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/202450263, arXiv:2404.04142.
- Dimare, L., Del Vigna, A., Bracali Cioci, D., Bernardi, F., 2020. Use of the semilinear method to predict the impact corridor on ground. Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy 132, 20. doi:10.1007/s10569-020-09959-3, arXiv:2007.05407.
- Farnocchia, D., Chesley, S.R., Micheli, M., 2015. Systematic ranging and late warning asteroid impacts. Icarus 258, 18–27. doi:10.1016/j. icarus.2015.05.032, arXiv:1504.00025.
- Fenucci, M., Faggioli, L., Gianotto, F., Bracali Cioci, D., Cano, J.L., Conversi, L., Devogèle, M., Di Girolamo, G., Drury, C., Föhring, D., Gisolfi, L., Kresken, R., Micheli, M., Moissl, R., Ocaña, F., Oliviero, D., Porru, A., Ramirez-Moreta, P., Rudawska, R., Bernardi, F., Bertolucci, A., Dimare, L., Guerra, F., Baldisserotto, V., Ceccaroni, M., Cennamo, R., Chessa, A., Del Vigna, A., Koschny, D., Teodorescu, A.M., Perozzi, E., 2024. The Aegis orbit determination and impact monitoring system and services of the ESA NEOCC web portal. Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy 136, 58. doi:10.1007/s10569-024-10225-z.
- Föhring, D., Conversi, L., Micheli, M., Dölling, E., Moreta, P.R., 2024. Site selection for the second Flyeye telescope: A simulation study for optimizing near-earth object discovery. Icarus 424, 116281. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2024.116281, arXiv:2409.02329.
- Fuls, C., Christensen, E., Fay, D., Fazekas, J., Gibbs, A., Grauer, A., Gray, B., Groller, H., Hogan, J., Kowalski, R., Larson, S., Leonard, G., Rankin, D., Seaman, R., Serrano, A., Shelly, F., Sosa, J., Wierzchos, K., 2023. Bridging Discoveries and Collaboration: Catalina Sky Survey's NEO Survey, Follow-up, and Community Projects, in: AAS/Division for Planetary Sciences Meeting Abstracts, p. 405.08.
- Gianotto, F., Frühauf, M., Cano, J.L., Conversi, L., Faggioli, L., Fenucci, M., Föhring, D., Koschny, D., Kresken, R., Micheli, M., Moissl, R., Oliviero, D., Porru, A., Ramirez Moreta, P., Rudawska, R., 2023. Meerkat Asteroid Guard – ESA's imminent impactor warning service, in: 2nd NEO and Debris Detection Conference, p. 49.
- Ivezić, Ž., Kahn, S.M., Tyson, J.A., Abel, B., Acosta, E., Allsman, R., Alonso, D., AlSayyad, Y., Anderson, S.F., Andrew, J., Angel, J.R.P., Angeli, G.Z., Ansari, R., Antilogus, P., Araujo, C., Armstrong, R., Arndt, K.T., Astier, P., Aubourg, É., Auza, N., Axelrod, T.S., Bard, D.J., Barr, J.D., Barrau, A., Bartlett, J.G., Bauer, A.E., Bauman, B.J., Baumont, S., Bechtol, E., Bechtol, K., Becker, A.C., Becla, J., Beldica, C., Bellavia, S., Bianco, F.B., Biswas, R., Blanc, G., Blazek, J., Blandford, R.D., Bloom, J.S., Bogart, J., Bond, T.W., Booth, M.T., Borgland, A.W., Borne, K., Bosch, J.F., Boutigny, D., Brackett, C.A., Bradshaw, A., Brandt, W.N., Brown, M.E., Bullock, J.S., Burchat, P., Burke, D.L., Cagnoli, G., Calabrese, D., Callahan, S., Callen, A.L., Carlin, J.L., Carlson, E.L., Chandrasekharan, S., Charles-Emerson, G., Chesley, S., Cheu, E.C., Chiang,

H.F., Chiang, J., Chirino, C., Chow, D., Ciardi, D.R., Claver, C.F., Cohen-Tanugi, J., Cockrum, J.J., Coles, R., Connolly, A.J., Cook, K.H., Cooray, A., Covey, K.R., Cribbs, C., Cui, W., Cutri, R., Daly, P.N., Daniel, S.F., Daruich, F., Daubard, G., Daues, G., Dawson, W., Delgado, F., Dellapenna, A., de Peyster, R., de Val-Borro, M., Digel, S.W., Doherty, P., Dubois, R., Dubois-Felsmann, G.P., Durech, J., Economou, F., Eifler, T., Eracleous, M., Emmons, B.L., Fausti Neto, A., Ferguson, H., Figueroa, E., Fisher-Levine, M., Focke, W., Foss, M.D., Frank, J., Freemon, M.D., Gangler, E., Gawiser, E., Geary, J.C., Gee, P., Geha, M., Gessner, C.J.B., Gibson, R.R., Gilmore, D.K., Glanzman, T., Glick, W., Goldina, T., Goldstein, D.A., Goodenow, I., Graham, M.L., Gressler, W.J., Gris, P., Guy, L.P., Guyonnet, A., Haller, G., Harris, R., Hascall, P.A., Haupt, J., Hernandez, F., Herrmann, S., Hileman, E., Hoblitt, J., Hodgson, J.A., Hogan, C., Howard, J.D., Huang, D., Huffer, M.E., Ingraham, P., Innes, W.R., Jacoby, S.H., Jain, B., Jammes, F., Jee, M.J., Jenness, T., Jernigan, G., Jevremović, D., Johns, K., Johnson, A.S., Johnson, M.W.G., Jones, R.L., Juramy-Gilles, C., Jurić, M., Kalirai, J.S., Kallivayalil, N.J., Kalmbach, B., Kantor, J.P., Karst, P., Kasliwal, M.M., Kelly, H., Kessler, R., Kinnison, V., Kirkby, D., Knox, L., Kotov, I.V., Krabbendam, V.L., Krughoff, K.S., Kubánek, P., Kuczewski, J., Kulkarni, S., Ku, J., Kurita, N.R., Lage, C.S., Lambert, R., Lange, T., Langton, J.B., Le Guillou, L., Levine, D., Liang, M., Lim, K.T., Lintott, C.J., Long, K.E., Lopez, M., Lotz, P.J., Lupton, R.H., Lust, N.B., MacArthur, L.A., Mahabal, A., Mandelbaum, R., Markiewicz, T.W., Marsh, D.S., Marshall, P.J., Marshall, S., May, M., McKercher, R., McQueen, M., Meyers, J., Migliore, M., Miller, M., Mills, D.J., Miraval, C., Moeyens, J., Moolekamp, F.E., Monet, D.G., Moniez, M., Monkewitz, S., Montgomery, C., Morrison, C.B., Mueller, F., Muller, G.P., Muñoz Arancibia, F., Neill, D.R., Newbry, S.P., Nief, J.Y., Nomerotski, A., Nordby, M., O'Connor, P., Oliver, J., Olivier, S.S., Olsen, K., O'Mullane, W., Ortiz, S., Osier, S., Owen, R.E., Pain, R., Palecek, P.E., Parejko, J.K., Parsons, J.B., Pease, N.M., Peterson, J.M., Peterson, J.R., Petravick, D.L., Libby Petrick, M.E., Petry, C.E., Pierfederici, F., Pietrowicz, S., Pike, R., Pinto, P.A., Plante, R., Plate, S., Plutchak, J.P., Price, P.A., Prouza, M., Radeka, V., Rajagopal, J., Rasmussen, A.P., Regnault, N., Reil, K.A., Reiss, D.J., Reuter, M.A., Ridgway, S.T., Riot, V.J., Ritz, S., Robinson, S., Roby, W., Roodman, A., Rosing, W., Roucelle, C., Rumore, M.R., Russo, S., Saha, A., Sassolas, B., Schalk, T.L., Schellart, P., Schindler, R.H., Schmidt, S., Schneider, D.P., Schneider, M.D., Schoening, W., Schumacher, G., Schwamb, M.E., Sebag, J., Selvy, B., Sembroski, G.H., Seppala, L.G., Serio, A., Serrano, E., Shaw, R.A., Shipsey, I., Sick, J., Silvestri, N., Slater, C.T., Smith, J.A., Smith, R.C., Sobhani, S., Soldahl, C., Storrie-Lombardi, L., Stover, E., Strauss, M.A., Street, R.A., Stubbs, C.W., Sullivan, I.S., Sweeney, D., Swinbank, J.D., Szalay, A., Takacs, P., Tether, S.A., Thaler, J.J., Thayer, J.G., Thomas, S., Thornton, A.J., Thukral, V., Tice, J., Trilling, D.E., Turri, M., Van Berg, R., Vanden Berk, D., Vetter, K., Virieux, F., Vucina, T., Wahl, W., Walkowicz, L., Walsh, B., Walter, C.W., Wang, D.L., Wang, S.Y., Warner, M., Wiecha, O., Willman, B., Winters, S.E., Wittman, D., Wolff, S.C., Wood-Vasey, W.M., Wu, X., Xin, B., Yoachim, P., Zhan, H., 2019. LSST: From Science Drivers to Reference Design and Anticipated Data Products. APJ 873, 111. doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab042c, arXiv:0805.2366.

- Jenniskens, P., Gabadirwe, M., Yin, Q.Z., Proyer, A., Moses, O., Kohout, T., Franchi, F., Gibson, R.L., Kowalski, R., Christensen, E.J., Gibbs, A.R., Heinze, A., Denneau, L., Farnocchia, D., Chodas, P.W., Gray, W., Micheli, M., Moskovitz, N., Onken, C.A., Wolf, C., Devillepoix, H.A.R., Ye, Q., Robertson, D.K., Brown, P., Lyytinen, E., Moilanen, J., Albers, J., Cooper, T., Assink, J., Evers, L., Lahtinen, P., Seitshiro, L., Laubenstein, M., Wantlo, N., Moleje, P., Maritinkole, J., Suhonen, H., Zolensky, M.E., Ashwal, L., Hiroi, T., Sears, D.W., Sehlke, A., Maturilli, A., Sanborn, M.E., Huyskens, M.H., Dey, S., Ziegler, K., Busemann, H., Riebe, M.E.I., Meier, M.M.M., Welten, K.C., Caffee, M.W., Zhou, Q., Li, Q.L., Li, X.H., Liu, Y., Tang, G.Q., McLain, H.L., Dworkin, J.P., Glavin, D.P., Schmitt-Kopplin, P., Sabbah, H., Joblin, C., Granvik, M., Mosarwa, B., Botepe, K., 2021. The impact and recovery of asteroid 2018 LA. MAPS 56, 844–893. doi:10.1111/maps.13653, arXiv:2105.05997.
- Jenniskens, P., Shaddad, M.H., Numan, D., Elsir, S., Kudoda, A.M., Zolensky, M.E., Le, L., Robinson, G.A., Friedrich, J.M., Rumble, D., Steele, A., Chesley, S.R., Fitzsimmons, A., Duddy, S., Hsieh, H.H., Ramsay, G., Brown, P.G., Edwards, W.N., Tagliaferri, E., Boslough, M.B., Spalding, R.E., Dantowitz, R., Kozubal, M., Pravec, P., Borovicka, J., Charvat, Z., Vaubaillon, J., Kuiper, J., Albers, J., Bishop, J.L., Mancinelli, R.L., Sandford, S.A., Milam, S.N., Nuevo, M., Worden, S.P., 2009. The impact and recovery of asteroid 2008 TC₃. Nature 458, 485–488. doi:10.1038/nature07920.
- Kareta, T., Vida, D., Micheli, M., Moskovitz, N., Wiegert, P., Brown, P.G., McCausland, P.J.A., Devillepoix, H.A.R., Malečić, B., Prtenjak, M.T., Šegon, D., Shafransky, B., Farnocchia, D., 2024. Telescope-to-Fireball Characterization of Earth Impactor 2022 WJ1. PSJ 5, 253. doi:10.3847/PSJ/ad8b22, arXiv:2411.14595.
- Kenkmann, T., Artemieva, N.A., Wünnemann, K., Poelchau, M.H., Elbeshausen, D., Núñez Del Prado, H., 2009. The Carancas meteorite impact crater, Peru: Geologic surveying and modeling of crater formation and atmospheric passage. MAPS 44, 985–1000. doi:10.1111/j.1945-5100. 2009.tb00783.x.
- Mainzer, A.K., Masiero, J.R., Abell, P.A., Bauer, J.M., Bottke, W., Buratti, B.J., Carey, S.J., Cotto-Figueroa, D., Cutri, R.M., Dahlen, D., Eisenhardt, P.R.M., Fernandez, Y.R., Furfaro, R., Grav, T., Hoffman, T.L., Kelley, M.S., Kim, Y., Kirkpatrick, J.D., Lawler, C.R., Lilly, E., Liu, X., Marocco, F., Marsh, K.A., Masci, F.J., McMurtry, C.W., Pourrahmani, M., Reinhart, L., Ressler, M.E., Satpathy, A., Schambeau, C.A., Sonnett, S., Spahr, T.B., Surace, J.A., Vaquero, M., Wright, E.L., Zengilowski, G.R., NEO Surveyor Mission Team, 2023. The Near-Earth Object Surveyor Mission. PSJ 4, 224. doi:10.3847/PSJ/ad0468, arXiv:2310.12918.
- Marsset, M., Vernazza, P., Brož, M., Thomas, C.A., DeMeo, F.E., Burt, B., Binzel, R.P., Reddy, V., McGraw, A., Avdellidou, C., Carry, B., Slivan, S., Polishook, D., 2024. The Massalia asteroid family as the origin of ordinary L chondrites. Nature 634, 561–565. doi:10.1038/ s41586-024-08007-6, arXiv:2403.08548.
- Milani, A., Chesley, S.R., Chodas, P.W., Valsecchi, G.B., 2002. Asteroid Close Approaches: Analysis and Potential Impact Detection, in: Bottke, Jr., W.F., Cellino, A., Paolicchi, P., Binzel, R.P. (Eds.), Asteroids III, pp. 55–69.
- Milani, A., Chesley, S.R., Sansaturio, M.E., Tommei, G., Valsecchi, G.B., 2005a. Nonlinear impact monitoring: line of variation searches for impactors. Icarus 173, 362–384. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2004.09.002.
- Milani, A., Gronchi, G.F., 2009. Theory of Orbit Determination. Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/CB09781139175371.
- Milani, A., Sansaturio, M.E., Tommei, G., Arratia, O., Chesley, S.R., 2005b. Multiple solutions for asteroid orbits: Computational procedure and applications. Astronomy and Astrophysics 431, 729–746. doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20041737.
- Peña-Asensio, E., Trigo-Rodríguez, J.M., Rimola, A., 2022. Orbital Characterization of Superbolides Observed from Space: Dynamical Association with Near-Earth Objects, Meteoroid Streams, and Identification of Hyperbolic Meteoroids. The Astronomical Journal 164, 76. doi:10.3847/ 1538-3881/ac75d2, arXiv:2206.03115.

- Pravec, P., Harris, A.W., 2007. Binary asteroid population. 1. Angular momentum content. Icarus 190, 250-259. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2007. 02.023.
- Shaddad, M.H., Jenniskens, P., Numan, D., Kudoda, A.M., Elsir, S., Riyad, I.F., Ali, A.E., Alameen, M., Alameen, N.M., Eid, O., Osman, A.T., Abubaker, M.I., Yousif, M., Chesley, S.R., Chodas, P.W., Albers, J., Edwards, W.N., Brown, P.G., Kuiper, J., Friedrich, J.M., 2010. The recovery of asteroid 2008 TC₃. Meteoritics & Planetary Science 45, 1557–1589. doi:10.1111/j.1945-5100.2010.01116.x.
- Spoto, F., Del Vigna, A., Milani, A., Tommei, G., Tanga, P., Mignard, F., Carry, B., Thuillot, W., David, P., 2018. Short arc orbit determination and imminent impactors in the Gaia era. Astronomy and Astrophysics 614, A27. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201732104, arXiv:1801.04004.
- Tonry, J.L., Denneau, L., Heinze, A.N., Stalder, B., Smith, K.W., Smartt, S.J., Stubbs, C.W., Weiland, H.J., Rest, A., 2018. ATLAS: A High-cadence All-sky Survey System. PASP 130, 064505. doi:10.1088/1538-3873/aabadf, arXiv:1802.00879.