

# Integrating Spatiotemporal Vision Transformer into Digital Twins for High-Resolution Heat Stress Forecasting in Campus Environments

Wenjing Gong<sup>a</sup>, Xinyue Ye<sup>a,\*</sup>, Keshu Wu<sup>a</sup>, Suphanut Jamonnak<sup>a</sup>, Wenyu Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Yifan Yang<sup>b</sup>, Xiao Huang<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Department of Landscape Architecture and Urban Planning & Center for Geospatial Sciences, Applications and Technology, Texas A&M University, College Station, USA*

<sup>b</sup> *Department of Geography, Texas A&M University, College Station, USA*

<sup>c</sup> *Department of Environmental Sciences, Emory University, Atlanta, USA*

**Abstract:** Extreme heat events exacerbated by climate change pose significant challenges to urban resilience and planning. This study introduces a climate-responsive digital twin framework integrating the Spatiotemporal Vision Transformer (ST-ViT) model to enhance heat stress forecasting and decision-making. Using a Texas campus as a testbed, we synthesized high-resolution physical model simulations with spatial and meteorological data to develop fine-scale human thermal predictions. The ST-ViT-powered digital twin enables efficient, data-driven insights for planners, policymakers, and campus stakeholders, supporting targeted heat mitigation strategies and advancing climate-adaptive urban design.

**Keywords:** Digital twins, Heat waves, Outdoor heat exposure, Human thermal comfort, Spatiotemporal Vision Transformer, Campus

## 1. Introduction

Extreme heat events, intensified by climate change, pose growing health and socio-economic risks, particularly in urban and campus environments (Anderson and Bell 2011; Chu and Rotta Loria 2024; Georgescu, Broadbent, and Krayenhoff 2024; Zhao et al. 2018). Unlike other hazards, heat is invisible, exacerbating its impact on vulnerable populations and contributing to rising emergency visits and health issues such as cardiovascular and mental health disorders (Campbell et al. 2018; Mallen et al. 2020). The complexity of urban heat dynamics necessitates proactive, data-driven planning to enhance resilience and mitigate risks.

Campuses pose distinct heat exposure challenges due to their spatial structure, activity patterns, and population dynamics. Diverse microclimates, shaped by open spaces, greenery, and outdoor activity areas, interact with frequent movement between buildings, exposing students and staff to fluctuating thermal conditions (Jiang et al. 2024; Mallen et al. 2020). Compared to urban cores, campus shading and cooling infrastructure is often insufficient for extreme heat events (Göçer et al. 2019). These complexities highlight the need for tailored heat assessment models to inform campus-specific mitigation strategies and enhance climate resilience.

Digital twins offer a promising solution for mitigating extreme heat exposure on campuses by providing virtual replicas that simulate and manage the built environment (White et al. 2021; Ye et al. 2023). These data-driven platforms integrate real-time inputs, models, and simulations to assess environmental stressors and inform decision-making (Omran and Al-Obaidi 2024; Riaz, McAfee, and Gharbia 2023; Ricciardi and Callegari 2023; Ye et al. 2024). By enabling dynamic analysis of heat mitigation strategies, digital twins allow stakeholders to predict thermal conditions, evaluate interventions, and enhance climate resilience without physical implementation.

Despite their potential, climate digital twins face two key challenges. First, traditional physical climate models are computationally intensive and costly, limiting their feasibility for real-time predictions and integration into digital twins (Hu et al. 2023; Xiaojiang Li et al. 2024). Their high resource demands and slow simulation speeds hinder rapid decision-making and reduce accessibility, particularly in resource-constrained settings. Second, many existing digital twins primarily serve as static visualization tools, lacking predictive capabilities to simulate heatwave progression or the impact of mitigation strategies (P. Liu et al. 2023; Ramani et al. 2023). Without forward-looking modeling, these systems fail to support proactive decision-making, leaving stakeholders reliant on representations of past and present conditions rather than anticipating future risks.

Artificial intelligence (AI) models offer a promising solution to the limitations of traditional climate modeling by reducing computational demands while maintaining accuracy, enabling real-time, data-driven heat predictions within digital twins (Bibri et al. 2024; Ketzler et al. 2020; Lehtola et al. 2022). However, two key challenges remain. First, AI models relying solely on meteorological data often fail to capture the nonlinear and nonstationary dynamics of atmospheric processes, leading to potential prediction errors (Shi, Guo, and Zheng 2012; Zhu et al. 2024). Second, effective climate prediction requires integrating diverse climate and spatial datasets to model spatiotemporal dependencies critical for understanding localized heat variations (Zhu et al. 2024). Addressing these challenges is essential to enhancing AI-driven high-resolution climate forecasts and advancing their role in digital twin applications for climate resilience.

To overcome these challenges, this study presents a human-centric climate digital twin framework, using the Texas A&M University (TAMU) campus as a testbed. High-resolution 3D campus models, derived from LiDAR point clouds and land cover maps, alongside meteorological data, were integrated to represent urban geometry and localized climatic contexts. The Spatiotemporal Vision Transformer (ST-ViT) model incorporated multimodal data, including Universal Thermal Climate Index (UTCI) mappings simulated via physics-based methods, to capture fine-scale spatiotemporal dependencies and enable real-time, high-accuracy heat predictions. By combining physics-based simulations to retain atmospheric dynamics with a Transformer architecture that models long-range dependencies across space and time, the ST-ViT model enhances climate prediction precision and efficiency. Integrating the ST-ViT model into a digital twin platform, this study establishes a data-driven decision-support tool for heat resilience planning. The research makes three key contributions:

- We proposed a human-centric climate digital twin framework that integrated the ST-ViT model with real-time prediction capabilities and practical components to support informed decision-making and planning for campus heat resilience.
- We developed an advanced ST-ViT model that coupled output from physics-based simulations to preserve atmospheric dynamical physical characteristics and leverages self-attention mechanisms to provide a computationally efficient approach for precise predictions of human heat stress.
- We leveraged multimodal data to identify high-resolution campus hot spots across various heatwave periods and diurnal cycles, providing detailed insights to guide resource allocation, planning, and strategies for mitigating extreme heat impacts.

## 2. Literature review

### 2.1. Physical, AI, and hybrid models in urban climate prediction

In the field of urban climate modeling, two main approaches are currently predominant: physics-based climate models and data-driven AI models. The former includes established models such as ENVI-met, SOLWEIG, WRF (Weather Research and Forecasting), and Fluent (Back et al. 2023; Fan et al. 2024). These models rely on complex mathematical calculations and numerical simulations, offering high spatial resolution and detailed meteorological predictions, while often incurring high computational costs and time demands (Han et al. 2024; L. Zheng and Lu 2024). With the advancement of AI, an increasing number of researchers have turned to machine learning and deep learning models for urban climate predictions (Fujiwara et al. 2024; Jia et al. 2024). These AI models leverage their ability to capture nonlinear relationships between inputs and outputs while reducing computational resources and runtime. However, relying solely on meteorological data may cause AI models to fail in retaining the physical characteristics of atmospheric dynamics embedded in nonlinear and nonstationary climate data, potentially resulting in significant prediction errors (Shi, Guo, and Zheng 2012).

Hybrid models, which leverage external coupling by combining the outputs of one or more base models as features and integrating them with advanced models, have recently gained attention (Wu, Wang, and Zeng 2022; Zhu et al. 2024; Briegel, Wehrle, et al. 2023). This approach allows for the integration of strengths from multiple individual models, creating complementary advantages and optimizing the overall model structure. This potential offers a new perspective and methodology for achieving accurate and efficient urban climate predictions, as well as for building robust digital twin systems. For instance, Briegel et al. utilized SOLWEIG (Solar and LongWave Environmental Irradiance Geometry) model input and output data as the dataset for a U-Net model, achieving high-precision predictions of mean radiant temperature ( $T_{mrt}$ ) at a 1-meter scale (Briegel, Makansi, et al. 2023). However, this study did not account for the temporal dependencies in the data; temporal information was linearly transformed and then added to the compressed latent spatial representations. Another study introduced a framework that used building energy modeling and CFD (Computational Fluid Dynamics) simulation results as datasets for a graph attention

network to predict outdoor thermal comfort at an urban micro-scale (L. Zheng and Lu 2024). While this model focused on capturing the spatial interrelationships of urban features, it lacked considerations for the cooling effects of greenery and did not incorporate temporal dependencies, limiting its ability to predict thermal comfort across different time steps. Recently, Zhu et al. proposed a hybrid model combining WRF and temporal fusion transformers (TFT), where WRF outputs served as the prediction dataset for the TFT model (Zhu et al. 2024). This model achieved high predictive performance in forecasting urban air temperatures in central Guangzhou, China. However, the resolution was limited to 0.5 km, and air temperature alone does not fully represent human thermal comfort.

These studies collectively underscore the necessity and potential of developing computationally efficient and accurate frameworks to support urban climate modeling. Compared to traditional physics-based models, data-driven approaches provide a scalable and flexible foundation for building responsive, high-resolution digital twins in urban climate contexts. When coupled with outputs from physics-based models to form hybrid models, they gain additional advantages. However, despite these advantages, data-driven methods in urban climate modeling are still in the early stages of development (Yang et al. 2023), and research on spatiotemporal predictions of human thermal comfort at fine scales, particularly their integration into digital twins, remains limited.

## **2.2. Digital twins in urban climate and heat mitigation**

The digital twin has emerged as a transformative tool, integrating digital innovations with urban operations to support planning and climate analysis (Peldon et al. 2024; Xia et al. 2022). Studies highlight their effectiveness in visualizing thermal environments, forecasting climate conditions, and assessing intervention strategies (Cárdenas-León et al. 2024; T. Liu and Fan 2023). However, many digital twins primarily focus on real-world data visualization, integrating 3D city models, thermal imaging, and meteorological data to map microclimates and temperature variations (Ramani et al. 2023). While valuable for monitoring, their full potential for proactive heat mitigation planning remains unrealized due to the lack of predictive and decision-support capabilities.

Prediction and simulation are fundamental to digital twins, enabling dynamic climate forecasting and mitigation planning (Deren, Wenbo, and Zhenfeng 2021). For instance, a smart city digital twin framework incorporating the SARIMA time series model and crowd simulation demonstrated how digital twins can help urban officials identify, predict, and mitigate extreme heat exposure (Pan et al. 2024). However, statistical models often fail to capture complex nonlinear relationships and struggle to leverage spatial characteristics inherent in climate data. In urban climate modeling, AI-driven spatiotemporal predictions offer a promising approach to dynamically evaluate and forecast human thermal comfort within digital twins (Čulić et al. 2021; Karyono et al. 2024). For example, Liu et al. applied a GraphSAGE model with street-view imagery to predict outdoor thermal comfort, suggesting its integration into urban digital twins (P. Liu et al. 2023). While this method effectively captures spatial dependencies, it overlooks temporal

dynamics, which are essential for modeling seasonal, diurnal, and transient climate patterns necessary for accurate heat predictions.

Moreover, there is a notable lack of hybrid models that integrate physics-based simulations with AI-driven predictions within digital twin platforms. This gap limits the ability to preserve atmospheric dynamics and leverage the complementary strengths of both approaches, particularly in complex urban environments where high-resolution climate predictions are critical. University campuses, such as TAMU, represent thermal vulnerability hotspots, with dense populations, extensive outdoor activities, and diverse building configurations creating localized heat exposure patterns. Conventional models often fail to resolve these patterns at a fine scale, leading to gaps in accurately assessing campus heat risks. Additionally, many existing studies do not fully integrate their models into digital twin platforms or translate insights into actionable heat mitigation strategies. This is particularly consequential for campus environments, where real-time decision support for facility managers is essential to mitigating heat stress impacts. These challenges highlight the urgent need for AI-powered campus digital twins to bridge the gap between advanced modeling techniques and practical applications in urban climate resilience.<sup>3</sup> Data and methodology

### **3. Data and methodology**

#### **3.1. Study area and analytical framework**

The TAMU main campus in College Station, Texas, USA, spans about 6.13 km<sup>2</sup> and serves as the study area (Supplementary Fig. S1). With a subtropical climate of hot summers and mild winters, the region is projected to experience intensifying extreme heat, surpassing early 20th-century levels by 2036 (Texas 2036 2023). The campus's varied land cover and building layouts provide an ideal setting for analyzing extreme heat impacts on human heat stress and advancing digital twin development for informed decision-making.

This study presents a novel human-centric climate digital twin framework for urban heat stress monitoring and prediction, integrating data collection, physical simulation, spatiotemporal analysis, deep learning models, and digital twin application into a cohesive system (Fig. 1). It begins with the collection of spatial and meteorological data to establish the foundational data layer. Subsequently, through a physically-based human heat stress simulation module, we utilized the SOLWEIG model to calculate  $T_{mrt}$  and generated UTCI maps. The third module analyzes the spatiotemporal variation of heat stress, visualizing the dynamic changes in the thermal environment. The system further employs an ST-ViT model, combined with UTCI output from the physic-based approach, efficiently capturing complex spatiotemporal dependencies in multimodal data to deliver rapid thermal predictions. Finally, the framework leads to a digital twin application that transforms theoretical analysis into practical heat exposure response strategies, facilitating campus heat exposure overview, route planning, and advanced response predictions for more resilient urban thermal management solutions.




Fig. 1. Analytical framework.

### 3.2. Heat wave days calculation

To explore human outdoor heat stress under extreme conditions, the heat wave days were calculated to define the simulation period. They were identified based on 30 years (1991–2020) of air temperature data from a campus meteorological station (“ASOS” n.d.). The 98th percentile of daily maximum temperatures (38.33°C) served as the heat wave threshold (Z. Zheng, Zhao, and Oleson 2021). Periods in 2022 exceeding this threshold for at least three consecutive days were analyzed, with the longest event, July 6–13, lasting eight days, defined as the heat wave (Meehl and Tebaldi 2004). The simulation period included three days before and after the heat wave, spanning 14 days to assess heat exposure impacts.

### 3.3. Physically-based human heat stress simulation

#### 3.3.1. Physical simulation method of human heat stress

This study utilized the UTCI, a widely recognized index for quantifying human heat stress and assessing urban microclimate impacts on outdoor thermal comfort (Yang et al. 2023). UTCI calculations mainly rely on  $T_{mrt}$ , air temperature, relative humidity, and wind speed (Bröde et al. 2012), with  $T_{mrt}$  being the most critical input (Xiaojiang Li et al. 2024). We employed the physics-based SOLWEIG model to simulate hourly  $T_{mrt}$  at a 1-meter resolution across a 14-day heat wave period, covering day and night. The SOLWEIG model has been widely applied and validated globally for urban microclimate simulations (Hu et al. 2023; Chaowen and Fricker 2021). While SOLWEIG supports UTCI calculations only at specific points, a custom script was developed to generate UTCI maps for the entire study area.

### 3.3.2. Data for human heat stress measurement

This study utilized spatial and meteorological data to measure human heat stress. Spatial data included LiDAR point clouds, Digital Elevation Model (DEM), building footprints, and land cover data, all essential inputs for the SOLWEIG model. LiDAR and DEM data (1-meter resolution) were obtained from USGS (“USGS” n.d.), with the LiDAR processed using PointCNN (“Point Cloud Classification Using PointCNN” n.d.) to classify tree points and generate a tree height model. Building footprints from TAMU were combined with DEM and LiDAR data to create a calibrated building height model, while land cover data was also sourced from TAMU. Meteorological data included hourly air temperature, humidity, wind speed, and wind direction from an ASOS station on campus (“ASOS” n.d.) and hourly radiation data (GHI, DNI, DHI) from NREL’s NSRDB (“NSRDB” n.d.) for the heat wave period.

## 3.4. ST-ViT model for human heat stress forecast

### 3.4.1. Data and processing

This study developed the ST-ViT model to enable fine-scale spatiotemporal UTCI prediction within digital twin frameworks. Input features include four spatial datasets and seven temporal meteorological variables, which are also required inputs for the SOLWEIG model. To better capture the high-resolution spatiotemporal dynamics of human heat stress, historical UTCI map sequences were also included as input features. Notably, other variables necessary for SOLWEIG simulations, such as the Sky View Factor (SVF), which require additional processing based on the original spatial data, were excluded from the ST-ViT model to minimize the computational burden associated with intensive pre-processing.

Spatial and temporal data were normalized for training, with predicted UTCI maps denormalized for interpretability. Using 336 hourly time steps, the model predicted UTCI for the next 24 hours ( $T_{\text{out}} = 24$ ) based on the preceding 24 hours ( $T_{\text{in}} = 24$ ). Different sliding window steps were tested to evaluate the model's sensitivity to input configurations. The dataset was split chronologically (first 70% for training), with data augmentation (random cropping of  $64 \times 64$  subregions) applied to improve generalization and robustness (Dyk and Meng 2001; Maharana, Mondal, and Nemade 2022). Testing involved dividing the study area into overlapping  $64 \times 64$  subsets with 10-pixel overlaps, and merging predictions to calculate final evaluation metrics.

### 3.4.2. Model architecture

The ST-ViT model is a transformer-based, decoder-only architecture designed for efficient and precise spatiotemporal dependency modeling (N. Liu et al. 2021; Shim et al. 2023). Inputs, including spatial images and temporal meteorological features, were embedded into a shared hidden space through linear projection layers. The model employed three parallel attention mechanisms: spatial attention for local and global spatial relationships, and two temporal attention mechanisms for capturing long-term dependencies of human heat stress and meteorological features. After parallel processing, spatial-temporal features and temporal meteorological features

were fused through an additive mechanism. Temporal features were expanded to match the spatial resolution, and the unified representation was passed through a final linear projection layer, ensuring the preservation of spatial and temporal resolutions. Further details on the model architecture are provided in Supplementary Fig. S2.

### 3.4.3. Model implementations

We compared the ST-ViT model with two benchmark models, CNN+LSTM and U-Net+LSTM, commonly used for spatiotemporal prediction (Yin et al. 2023; Xinyu Li et al. 2023). All models were implemented in Python with PyTorch and trained on two NVIDIA A800 Tensor Core GPUs (80GB memory each). Mean Squared Error Loss (MSELoss) was used as the loss function with the Adam optimizer (learning rate=0.0001) for optimization (Kingma and Ba 2017). Early stopping was applied to prevent overfitting by monitoring validation loss. Model performance was evaluated using Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), Mean Absolute Error (MAE), and Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE), which are commonly used metrics in urban studies (Gong et al. 2023). The calculation formula is shown from Eq. (1) to Eq. (4). Additional details of the models can be found in Supplementary Table S1.

$$MSE = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (UTCI_i - \hat{UTCI}_i)^2 \quad (1)$$

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (UTCI_i - \hat{UTCI}_i)^2} \quad (2)$$

$$MAE = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m |UTCI_i - \hat{UTCI}_i| \quad (3)$$

$$MAPE = \frac{100\%}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left| \frac{UTCI_i - \hat{UTCI}_i}{UTCI_i} \right| \quad (4)$$

where  $m$  is the total number of pixels;  $\hat{UTCI}_i$  denotes the ST-ViT predicted UTCI for pixel  $i$ ; and  $UTCI_i$  denotes simulated UTCI based on SOLWEIG for pixel  $i$ .

## 3.5. Application: Interactive campus climate digital twin

### 3.5.1. Overview of platform architecture

The digital twin platform integrates high-resolution UTCI predictions from the ST-ViT model into an interactive web-based interface, transforming complex thermal data into actionable insights for campus heat mitigation. Through photorealistic 3D Tiles technology, the platform creates a detailed virtual representation of the campus environment, which is dynamically overlaid with UTCI predictions visualized as color heatmaps at a spatial resolution of 1 meter and hourly temporal granularity. This interactive visualization enables users to quickly identify critical heat exposure zones across the campus environment. Beyond basic visualization, the platform offers two advanced decision-support functions: Path Recommendation—generating optimized routes to minimize heat exposure, and Predictive Heatmap—utilizing the ST-ViT model to forecast future thermal conditions. This seamless integration of thermal modeling with intuitive visualization and practical features creates an effective tool for making informed thermal comfort choices in the campus environment.

### 3.5.2. Technologies and frameworks used

The interactive web-based digital twin platform integrates advanced technologies and frameworks to ensure scalability, usability, and accuracy in data processing, modeling, visualization, and user interaction. The backend architecture consists of two main components. First, a Python-based data preprocessing pipeline handles the computation of UTCI predictions using the ST-ViT model on tile-based imagery. This pipeline leverages specialized libraries like NumPy for numerical computations and GeoPandas for spatial data operations, enabling precise overlay of UTCI predictions onto campus geographical layers. Second, a Flask framework serves as a lightweight backend server, hosting the prediction endpoints and facilitating seamless integration between the ST-ViT model and the web interface. The frontend architecture was built on React JS for responsive user interactions across devices, utilizing Deck.GL (a powerful JavaScript library) to render photorealistic 3D tiles and dynamically overlay UTCI predictions as interactive heat maps onto the campus model.

### 3.5.3. Platform deployment

The interactive web-based campus digital twin platform is deployed on Amazon Web Services (AWS), leveraging scalable cloud infrastructure. AWS EC2 instances provide the core computational resources for prediction and visualization tasks. The backend services are containerized using Docker to ensure consistent performance across different environments and enable efficient resource management and automated scaling. This cloud-based deployment architecture not only ensures current platform reliability but also supports future scalability through the seamless integration of additional data sources and features, ultimately providing a robust foundation for decisions aimed at mitigating extreme heat impacts and enhancing climate resilience.

## 4. Results

This section began with a spatiotemporal analysis of human heat stress simulated using physical climate models. A prediction model database was then constructed based on these results and input into the ST-ViT model for UTCI forecasting. The ST-ViT model's performance was validated against physical model results, achieving accurate and rapid predictions. Finally, the model was integrated into the climate digital twin platform to support risk warnings and planning for human heat stress under extreme heat conditions.

### 4.1. Spatiotemporal variation of human heat stress

Fig. 2 shows the spatial distribution of hourly mean UTCI during different heatwave periods and diurnal times. During the daytime, the southern campus exhibited higher UTCI values, exceeding 42°C in heatwave periods, due to large impervious parking lots with high heat absorption and minimal shading. In contrast, areas with tree canopies, building shadows, and water consistently recorded UTCI values about 5°C lower, emphasizing the cooling effects of natural

and built shade. At night, UTCI values were higher near tree canopies and buildings—about 2°C above open areas—due to reduced airflow and trapped heat. The distribution of human heat stress categories across the campus based on pixel proportion is illustrated in Supplementary Fig. S3. During all heatwave periods, no campus areas experienced "no thermal stress" in the daytime, indicating widespread discomfort. Before the heatwave, much of the campus faced strong or very strong heat stress. During the heatwave, extreme heat stress became prevalent, while nighttime stress levels also increased. Post-heat wave, thermal conditions improved, with no extreme heat stress in the daytime and a rise in moderate heat stress and no thermal stress at night, indicating partial recovery. The temporal and diurnal variations in hourly UTCI during different heat wave periods are shown in Supplementary Fig. S4, while Supplementary Fig. S5 depicts the mean UTCI fluctuations across various land cover types.




Fig. 2. Spatial distribution of hourly mean UTCI during different heatwave periods and diurnal times.

## 4.2. Prediction model performance

### 4.2.1. Model comparisons

We evaluated the performance of deep learning models across various time step lengths and selected the one with the highest predictive accuracy for further analysis (results for the ST-ViT model are provided in Supplementary Table S2). Supplementary Table S3 compares the

overall performance, where the ST-ViT model outperformed the baseline models (CNN+LSTM and U-Net+LSTM) across all metrics, achieving the lowest RMSE (2.163°C), MAE (1.770°C), and MAPE (5.811%). These results demonstrate the ST-ViT model's superiority in UTCI prediction. Additionally, the ST-ViT model exhibited real-time capability, predicting a 1200×1200 pixel image in about 7.2 seconds—16 times faster than the QGIS UMEP SOLWEIG-based approach, and 680 times faster when SOLWEIG preprocessing is considered (e.g., SVF calculation) on the same computer. These findings highlight its computational efficiency and potential for seamless integration into digital twin platforms for rapid human heat stress predictions.

#### 4.2.2. Spatiotemporal prediction effectiveness of the ST-ViT model

Fig. 3(a-p) illustrates the diurnal distribution of UTCI across typical campus areas on July 15th at four intervals (05:00, 11:00, 17:00, and 23:00), comparing ST-ViT predictions (a-d) with SOLWEIG simulations (e-h) and their differences (i-p). Both methods effectively captured the influence of urban morphology on UTCI, including building and tree shadows, as well as thermal comfort variations across different land cover types. Nighttime predictions (05:00 and 23:00) showed strong agreement between the two models. However, discrepancies were more pronounced during the daytime (11:00 and 17:00), with ST-ViT overestimating UTCI in areas with dense vegetation and building shadows. This highlights potential inadequacies in the ST-ViT model's ability to capture localized cooling effects and its incomplete representation of shadow and emissivity complexities in the input data. Additionally, the ST-ViT model tended to smooth daytime UTCI predictions, particularly for extreme values, resulting in narrower distribution ranges and more concentrated peak values. This characteristic likely reflects the model's predisposition to capture global trends over precise local microclimatic extremes. This finding aligns with a previous German study that identified systematic biases in U-Net models when predicting extreme values (Briegel, Makansi, et al. 2023).

Fig. 3(q-r) quantifies ST-ViT prediction errors across land cover types, revealing significant temporal dependence and distinct diurnal cycles. The MAE was generally higher during the daytime, peaking around noon and lowest during the early morning (2:00-6:00). Among land cover types, tree-covered areas exhibited the largest errors and most significant fluctuations, with median MAE around 2.0 and peak values of approximately 3.8 during 12:00-14:00. In contrast, water surfaces consistently showed the smallest errors throughout the diurnal cycle, indicating better model performance in predicting water body thermal environments. Paved surfaces and grass followed the average MAE pattern throughout the day, aligning with the overall temporal trends.




Fig. 3. Diurnal distribution of UTCI predictions from ST-ViT (a-d), SOLWEIG-based simulations (e-h), and their differences (i-p) across typical campus areas ( $500 \times 500$  m) on July 15th, 2022; UTCI prediction errors (MAE) of ST-ViT model (q) during daytime and nighttime, and (r) hourly variation across land cover types.

### 4.3. Dynamic digital twin platform for effective response

#### 4.3.1. User interface

The dynamic digital twin platform provides an interactive web-based interface that integrates comprehensive analytical and operational capabilities to address urban thermal comfort challenges. The user interface features four core modules. First, the Time of Day Controller and Data Summary View (Fig. 4A) enables users to adjust the temporal granularity (e.g., hourly or daily) for analyzing dynamic UTCI changes, helping understand thermal comfort patterns for resilient planning. Second, the Photorealistic 3D Tiles Map (Fig. 4B) visualizes the spatial distribution of UTCI at a high resolution of 1 meter, using color-coded heatmaps to display thermal hotspots. Third, the Region Selection Function (Fig. 4C) allows users to select specific areas for ST-ViT-powered UTCI simulations, enabling tailored analyses for specific user-defined scenarios. Lastly, the Path Recommendation Module (Fig. 4D) generates optimized walking routes with average UTCI values based on user-defined origin and destination. Together, these modules create a highly interactive interface that supports decision-making for urban thermal comfort management.




Fig. 4. Digital twin platform interface: (A) Time of Day Controller and data summary view: allows users to adjust hour granularity along with detailed data summary; (B) Photorealistic 3D Tiles map: visualize UTCI in color heatmap per 1-meter; (C) Function: Select the region for UTCI forecasting powered by ST-ViT model; and (D) Function: path recommendation based on user's OD selection.

### 4.3.2. Key technological components

The back-end operation flow of the platform, illustrated in Fig. 5, relies on three core technological components: high-resolution visualization, integrated prediction models, and path recommendation algorithms. For visualization, the platform leverages 3D tiled maps combined with multi-layer data integration to dynamically display thermal comfort distributions. Heatmaps, using color coding at a 1-meter resolution, allow users to detect spatial disparities in thermal comfort, while the interface supports interactive functions such as panning, zooming, and overlaying additional layers. These features enable users to identify problem areas and assess the potential impact of various mitigation strategies effectively.




Fig. 5. Back end of digital twin platform.

The second component is the integration of advanced prediction models. The platform incorporates pre-trained ST-ViT to predict and simulate dynamic UTCI conditions. The workflow for model integration includes multi-source data preprocessing, model inference, and post-processing for visual output. Input data, such as weather parameters, urban morphology, and vegetation indices, are harmonized in terms of spatial and temporal resolution before being fed into the ST-ViT model. The predictions are then processed into user-friendly visual outputs, such as heat maps and simulation results. This seamless integration of deep learning models enhances computational efficiency while enabling spatiotemporal forecasting of thermal conditions up to 24 hours in advance, equipping users with predictive insights to better plan for and mitigate heat stress impacts in dynamic urban environments.

Path recommendation is another key feature of the platform, leveraging grid-based algorithms to optimize walking routes for improved thermal comfort. The grid structure is defined as  $G = (V, E)$ , where  $V$  represents a set of nodes (grid cells) and  $E$  represents a set of edges (connections between adjacent grid cells). A walking trajectory  $P$  is defined as an ordered node sequence traversing the grid:  $P = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$ , where  $v_1$  is the origin ( $V_i$ ) and  $v_n$  is the destination ( $V_j$ ). The average UTCI for path  $P$  is calculated by aggregating the node-specific thermal comfort weights along the trajectory. The formula is:

$$\text{Avg UTCI}(P) = \frac{1}{|P|} \sum_{k=1}^{|P|} W(v_k) \quad (5)$$

where  $|P| = n$ : Total number of nodes in path  $P$ ;  $W(v_k)$ : UTCI value of the node  $v_k \in V$ .

The platform leverages algorithms such as Dijkstra, A\*, and multi-criteria optimization to generate alternative routes. These algorithms consider both the shortest distance and thermal comfort along the route, allowing users to adjust the weight of each criterion to suit their preferences. This feature provides users with actionable insights for choosing convenient and comfortable walking paths.

## 5. Discussion

### 5.1. Advancing campus heat resilience through digital twins

As extreme heat events become more frequent and intense, rapidly assessing human thermal comfort with limited resources is critical, particularly in vulnerable environments like campuses. To address this urgent challenge, our study introduced an innovative climate digital twin framework that enables rapid predictions of human heat stress under extreme conditions, providing actionable insights for informed decision-making. Digital twin platforms leverage prediction and simulation to support real-time assessments and strategy development (Deren, Wenbo, and Zhenfeng 2021). In climate modeling, AI-based approaches have emerged as powerful tools, efficiently capturing spatiotemporal dynamics and nonlinear relationships to deliver accurate and faster predictions with reduced computational demands compared to physics-based simulations (Wu, Wang, and Zeng 2022; Tu et al. 2021).

In this study, we developed the ST-ViT model, a novel deep-learning approach for rapidly predicting campus thermal comfort. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first application of a Transformer architecture for micro-scale modeling of human heat stress at a 1-meter resolution on an hourly basis. Integrated into a decision-support digital twin platform, the ST-ViT model enhances practical usability by effectively modeling UTCI and closely approximating the complexities of physical climate models, even with unseen data. By balancing computational efficiency, and model complexity, and incorporating physics-based outputs, it preserves atmospheric dynamics while improving prediction reliability and accuracy. With substantial improvements in computational speed over physics-based methods, the ST-ViT model ensures real-time performance and offers a practical, scalable solution for high-resolution UTCI mapping within digital twin systems requiring rapid updates and interactive capabilities. The integrated digital twin platform holds significant potential for informing campus climate adaptation planning, providing valuable insights to support data-driven decision-making and enhance climate resilience strategies.

### 5.2. Policy implications

The spatiotemporal variations of UTCI under extreme heat conditions reveal that most areas of the campus experience strong human heat stress or higher risk levels during the daytime,

especially during heatwave periods. This poses significant health threats to vulnerable groups on campus, underscoring the urgent need for targeted interventions to mitigate heat stress. The findings emphasize the critical role of land cover types in shaping campus thermal conditions. Paved areas, especially large impervious surfaces like parking lots, consistently exhibit the highest levels of heat stress during the day, highlighting the need for urban heat mitigation strategies such as reflective coatings, permeable pavement materials, or shading solutions. In contrast, tree-covered areas and water bodies provide substantial cooling benefits, reinforcing the importance of integrating green and blue infrastructure into campus planning. Policies prioritizing increased tree canopy coverage, strategically located water features, and the preservation of existing vegetation can significantly enhance the thermal resilience of campuses.

The digital twin platform developed in this study provides a valuable decision-support tool for campus stakeholders by delivering timely information on heat stress and mitigation strategies. For instance, its route recommendation functionality helps reduce heat exposure during outdoor mobility, offering practical solutions to enhance safety and comfort. A distinctive feature of the proposed digital twin framework is its two-way flow of information, which not only visualizes real-world conditions but also integrates predictive analytics to generate actionable strategies for improvement. By leveraging precise and efficient spatiotemporal predictions, stakeholders gain advanced insights into campus-wide heat exposure, supporting emergency planning by identifying high-risk zones during extreme heat events and enabling targeted outreach and resource allocation for vulnerable groups. This approach addresses immediate heat stress challenges while laying the groundwork for sustainable, climate-resilient campus environments capable of adapting to future climate extremes.

This study demonstrates how an AI-based approach can effectively replace computationally intensive physics-based climate models while maintaining comparable accuracy, marking a significant advance in campus climate management. This efficiency translates into tangible operational advantages: campus facility managers can now perform rapid scenario analyses for different heat mitigation strategies, evaluate multiple adaptation options simultaneously, and respond more agilely to emerging heat risks. Moreover, the reduced computational overhead makes it financially feasible for universities to maintain and regularly update their climate prediction systems, ensuring sustained monitoring of campus thermal conditions without incurring prohibitive operational costs. This framework not only validates the potential of AI-driven solutions in urban climate modeling but also presents a cost-effective pathway for other institutions to develop similar capabilities, potentially democratizing access to sophisticated climate resilience tools across the higher education sector.

### **5.3. Limitations and outlook**

There are some limitations to this study. First, this study focuses on evaluating the performance of the ST-ViT model as a potential alternative to physics-based models, rather than reassessing the SOLWEIG model, which has already been extensively validated in various urban environments, as mentioned in Section 3.3. While our results demonstrate the ST-ViT model's

capability to replicate key features of SOLWEIG, a comprehensive accuracy assessment requiring additional monitoring data will be addressed in future research. Second, future studies should consider incorporating longer time series data to enable extended temporal predictions. Improvements in model performance can be achieved through hyperparameter optimizations and architectural enhancements, as well as the inclusion of more detailed spatial and meteorological predictors (Zhu et al. 2024). However, the overall results also depend on the performance of the underlying SOLWEIG model. Third, while the ST-ViT model shows promise for the TAMU campus, the model's stability for larger regions remains to be investigated and will require extensive validation for application in areas with differing local conditions. Finally, gathering stakeholder feedback on the created digital twin platform, including scenario-based planning, is a crucial avenue for further study (Dutta et al. 2025; White et al. 2021; Wolf et al. 2022). Stakeholders can use the platform to evaluate campus strategies, such as increasing vegetation or adding shading structures, by simulating their effectiveness in reducing heat stress. This feedback will support iterative development and facilitate evidence-based decision-making.

## 6. Conclusion

Extreme heat poses significant risks to public health and urban environments, particularly in complex and vulnerable settings like university campuses. Addressing these challenges requires innovative approaches that support sustainable campus planning and urban climate adaptation. In this study, we proposed an innovative climate digital twin framework that coupled physics-based simulations to construct a comprehensive database and developed the ST-ViT model for fine-scale spatiotemporal prediction of human heat stress. By incorporating the ST-ViT model into the digital twin framework, this research bridged the gap between advanced AI modeling and practical urban climate management. It demonstrated the potential of combining high-resolution predictive models with decision-support systems to equip campus stakeholders with effective tools to address the growing challenges of extreme heat events in complex urban settings. This approach provided a pathway for enhancing urban resilience planning and sustainability in the face of intensifying climate extremes. The key findings of this study are as follows.

First, most areas on campus experienced very high levels of heat stress under extreme conditions, with paved surfaces exhibiting the highest thermal burden. In contrast, tree canopies and water bodies provided substantial cooling benefits, highlighting the need for sustainable design strategies that enhance thermal comfort while fostering heat resilience.

Second, the ST-ViT model showed its ability to integrate multimodal data and achieve fine-scale spatiotemporal predictions of the UTCI. It outperformed baseline models in accuracy, achieving an MAE of 1.77°C and a computational efficiency 16 times greater than physics-based models (excluding preprocessing time). These capabilities enable real-time predictions and seamless integration into digital twin platforms, offering a scalable and efficient tool for climate-responsive planning.

Third, the digital twin platform further equips stakeholders with actionable insights for proactive heat stress planning and management. Its features, such as thermal comfort predictions

and route recommendations, enhance safety and mobility under extreme heat conditions, providing practical solutions to mitigate risks and improve campus heat resilience.

## Acknowledgments

We greatly appreciate the helpful comments and suggestions from the editor and anonymous reviewers. The research was supported by National Science Foundation (NSF) under grant CMMI-2430700 and CNS-2401860, NASA under 80NSSC22KM0052, and Texas A&M University Internal Funding. The funders had no role in the study design, data collection, analysis, or preparation of this article.

## References

- Anderson, G. Brooke, and Michelle L. Bell. 2011. “Heat Waves in the United States: Mortality Risk during Heat Waves and Effect Modification by Heat Wave Characteristics in 43 U.S. Communities.” *Environmental Health Perspectives*, February.
- “ASOS.” n.d. AWOS Network. Accessed August 30, 2024.  
<https://mesonet.agron.iastate.edu/ASOS/>.
- Back, Yannick, Prashant Kumar, Peter M. Bach, Wolfgang Rauch, and Manfred Kleidorfer. 2023. “Integrating CFD-GIS Modelling to Refine Urban Heat and Thermal Comfort Assessment.” *Science of The Total Environment* 858 (February): 159729.
- Bibri, Simon Elias, Jeffrey Huang, Senthil Kumar Jagatheesaperumal, and John Krogstie. 2024. “The Synergistic Interplay of Artificial Intelligence and Digital Twin in Environmentally Planning Sustainable Smart Cities: A Comprehensive Systematic Review.” *Environmental Science and Ecotechnology* 20 (July): 100433.
- Briegel, Ferdinand, Osama Makansi, Thomas Brox, Andreas Matzarakis, and Andreas Christen. 2023. “Modelling Long-Term Thermal Comfort Conditions in Urban Environments Using a Deep Convolutional Encoder-Decoder as a Computational Shortcut.” *Urban Climate* 47 (January): 101359.
- Briegel, Ferdinand, Jonas Wehrle, Dirk Schindler, and Andreas Christen. 2023. “High-Resolution Multi-Scaling of Outdoor Human Thermal Comfort and Its Intra-Urban Variability Based on Machine Learning.”
- Bröde, Peter, Dusan Fiala, Krzysztof Błażejczyk, Ingvar Holmér, Gerd Jendritzky, Bernhard Kampmann, Birger Tinz, and George Havenith. 2012. “Deriving the Operational Procedure for the Universal Thermal Climate Index (UTCI).” *International Journal of Biometeorology* 56 (3): 481–94.
- Campbell, Sharon, Tomas A. Remenyi, Christopher J. White, and Fay H. Johnston. 2018. “Heatwave and Health Impact Research: A Global Review.” *Health & Place* 53 (September): 210–18.
- Cárdenas-León, Iván, Rodrigoandrés Morales-Ortega, Mila Koeva, Funda Atún, and Karin Pfeffer. 2024. “Digital Twin-Based Framework for Heat Stress Calculation.” *ISPRS*

- Annals of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences X-4*–2024 (October): 67–74.
- Chaowen, Yao, and Pia Fricker. 2021. “How to Cool Down Dense Urban Environments? A Discussion on Site-Specific Urban Mitigating Strategies.” *Journal of Digital Landscape Architecture* 2021 (6): 101–11.
- Chu, Zhonghao, and Alessandro F. Rotta Loria. 2024. “Modeling Underground Climate Change across a City Based on Data about a Building Block.” *Sustainable Cities and Society* 114 (November): 105775.
- Čulić, Ana, Sandro Nižetić, Petar Šolić, Toni Perković, and Velimir Čongradac. 2021. “Smart Monitoring Technologies for Personal Thermal Comfort: A Review.” *Journal of Cleaner Production* 312 (August): 127685.
- Deren, Li, Yu Wenbo, and Shao Zhenfeng. 2021. “Smart City Based on Digital Twins.” *Computational Urban Science* 1 (1): 4.
- Dutta, Maya, Pablo Herreros-Cantis, Timon McPhearson, Ahmed Mustafa, Matthew I. Palmer, Mika Tosca, Jennifer Ventrella, and Elizabeth M. Cook. 2025. “New York City 2100: Environmental Justice Implications of Future Scenarios for Addressing Extreme Heat.” *Landscape and Urban Planning* 254 (February): 105249.
- Dyk, David A. van, and Xiao-Li Meng. 2001. “The Art of Data Augmentation.” *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, March.
- Fan, Chengliang, Binwei Zou, Jianjun Li, Mo Wang, Yundan Liao, and Xiaoqing Zhou. 2024. “Exploring the Relationship between Air Temperature and Urban Morphology Factors Using Machine Learning under Local Climate Zones.” *Case Studies in Thermal Engineering* 55 (March): 104151.
- Fujiwara, Kunihiko, Maxim Khomiakov, Winston Yap, Marcel Ignatius, and Filip Biljecki. 2024. “Microclimate Vision: Multimodal Prediction of Climatic Parameters Using Street-Level and Satellite Imagery.” *Sustainable Cities and Society* 114 (November): 105733.
- Georgescu, Matei, Ashley M. Broadbent, and E. Scott Krayenhoff. 2024. “Quantifying the Decrease in Heat Exposure through Adaptation and Mitigation in Twenty-First-Century US Cities.” *Nature Cities* 1 (1): 42–50.
- Göçer, Özgür, Kenan Göçer, Barış Özcan, Mujesira Bakovic, and M. Furkan Kıracı. 2019. “Pedestrian Tracking in Outdoor Spaces of a Suburban University Campus for the Investigation of Occupancy Patterns.” *Sustainable Cities and Society* 45 (February): 131–42.
- Gong, Wenjing, Xiaoran Huang, Marcus White, and Nano Langenheim. 2023. “Walkability Perceptions and Gender Differences in Urban Fringe New Towns: A Case Study of Shanghai.” *Land* 12 (7): 1339.
- Han, Jintong, Adrian Chong, Joie Lim, Savitha Ramasamy, Nyuk Hien Wong, and Filip Biljecki. 2024. “Microclimate Spatio-Temporal Prediction Using Deep Learning and Land Use Data.” *Building and Environment* 253 (April): 111358.

- Hu, Jia, Yuyu Zhou, Yingbao Yang, Gang Chen, Wei Chen, and Mohamad Hejazi. 2023. “Multi-City Assessments of Human Exposure to Extreme Heat during Heat Waves in the United States.” *Remote Sensing of Environment* 295 (September): 113700.
- Jia, Siqi, Yuhong Wang, Nyuk Hien Wong, Chun Liang Tan, Shisheng Chen, Qihao Weng, and Cheuk Ming Mak. 2024. “Estimation of Mean Radiant Temperature across Diverse Outdoor Spaces: A Comparative Study of Different Modeling Approaches.” *Energy and Buildings* 310 (May): 114068.
- Jiang, Yuan, Congzhe Liu, Chenjie Wen, and Yuelin Long. 2024. “Study of Summer Microclimate and PM2.5 Concentration in Campus Plant Communities.” *Scientific Reports* 14 (1): 3311.
- Karyono, Kanisius, Badr M. Abdullah, Alison Cotgrave, Ana Bras, and Jeff Cullen. 2024. “Field Studies of the Artificial Intelligence Model for Defining Indoor Thermal Comfort to Acknowledge the Adaptive Aspect.” *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence* 133 (July): 108381.
- Ketzler, Bernd, Vasilis Naserentin, Fabio Latino, Christopher Zangelidis, Liane Thuvander, and Anders Logg. 2020. “Digital Twins for Cities: A State of the Art Review.” *Built Environment* 46 (4): 547–73.
- Kingma, Diederik P., and Jimmy Ba. 2017. “Adam: A Method for Stochastic Optimization.” arXiv.
- Lehtola, Ville V., Mila Koeva, Sander Oude Elberink, Paulo Raposo, Juho-Pekka Virtanen, Faridaddin Vahdatikhaki, and Simone Borsci. 2022. “Digital Twin of a City: Review of Technology Serving City Needs.” *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation* 114 (November): 102915.
- Li, Xiaojiang, Guoqing Wang, Benjamin Zaitchik, Angel Hsu, and T. C. Chakraborty. 2024. “Sensitivity and Vulnerability to Summer Heat Extremes in Major Cities of the United States.” *Environmental Research Letters* 19 (9): 094039.
- Li, Xinyu, Yang Xu, Xiaohu Zhang, Wenzhong Shi, Yang Yue, and Qingquan Li. 2023. “Improving Short-Term Bike Sharing Demand Forecast through an Irregular Convolutional Neural Network.” *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies* 147 (February): 103984.
- Liu, Nayu, Xian Sun, Hongfeng Yu, Wenkai Zhang, and Guangluan Xu. 2021. “D-MmT: A Concise Decoder-Only Multi-Modal Transformer for Abstractive Summarization in Videos.” *Neurocomputing* 456 (October): 179–89.
- Liu, Pengyuan, Tianhong Zhao, Junjie Luo, Binyu Lei, Mario Frei, Clayton Miller, and Filip Biljecki. 2023. “Towards Human-Centric Digital Twins: Leveraging Computer Vision and Graph Models to Predict Outdoor Comfort.” *Sustainable Cities and Society* 93 (June): 104480.
- Liu, Tong, and Chao Fan. 2023. “A Digital Twin Framework to Simulate Urban Microclimates,” November, 617–22.

- Maharana, Kiran, Surajit Mondal, and Bhushankumar Nemade. 2022. “A Review: Data Pre-Processing and Data Augmentation Techniques.” *Global Transitions Proceedings*, International Conference on Intelligent Engineering Approach(ICIEA-2022), 3 (1): 91–99.
- Mallen, Evan, Joshua Bakin, Brian Stone, Ramachandra Sivakumar, and Kevin Lanza. 2020. “Thermal Impacts of Built and Vegetated Environments on Local Microclimates in an Urban University Campus.” *Urban Climate* 32 (June): 100640.
- Meehl, Gerald A., and Claudia Tebaldi. 2004. “More Intense, More Frequent, and Longer Lasting Heat Waves in the 21st Century.” *Science*, August.
- “NSRDB.” n.d. Accessed August 30, 2024. <https://nsrdb.nrel.gov/>.
- Omran, Hossein, and Karam M. Al-Obaidi. 2024. “Application of Digital Twin Technology for Urban Heat Island Mitigation: Review and Conceptual Framework.” *Smart and Sustainable Built Environment* ahead-of-print (ahead-of-print).
- Pan, Xiyu, Dimitris Mavrokapnidis, Hoang T. Ly, Neda Mohammadi, and John E. Taylor. 2024. “Assessing and Forecasting Collective Urban Heat Exposure with Smart City Digital Twins.” *Scientific Reports* 14 (1): 9653.
- Peldon, Dechen, Saeed Banihashemi, Khuong LeNguyen, and Sybil Derrible. 2024. “Navigating Urban Complexity: The Transformative Role of Digital Twins in Smart City Development.” *Sustainable Cities and Society* 111 (September): 105583.
- “Point Cloud Classification Using PointCNN.” n.d. ArcGIS API for Python. Accessed August 30, 2024. <https://developers.arcgis.com/python/guide/point-cloud-segmentation-using-pointcnn/>.
- Ramani, Vasantha, Marcel Ignatius, Joie Lim, Filip Biljecki, and Clayton Miller. 2023. “A Dynamic Urban Digital Twin Integrating Longitudinal Thermal Imagery for Microclimate Studies.” In *Proceedings of the 10th ACM International Conference on Systems for Energy-Efficient Buildings, Cities, and Transportation*, 421–28. BuildSys '23. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery.
- Riaz, Khurram, Marion McAfee, and Salem S. Gharbia. 2023. “Management of Climate Resilience: Exploring the Potential of Digital Twin Technology, 3D City Modelling, and Early Warning Systems.” *Sensors* 23 (5): 2659.
- Ricciardi, Guglielmo, and Guido Callegari. 2023. “Digital Twins for Climate-Neutral and Resilient Cities. State of the Art and Future Development as Tools to Support Urban Decision-Making.” In *Technological Imagination in the Green and Digital Transition*, edited by Eugenio Arbizzani, Eliana Cangelli, Carola Clemente, Fabrizio Cumo, Francesca Giofrè, Anna Maria Giovenale, Massimo Palme, and Spartaco Paris, 617–26. Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Shi, Jing, Jinmei Guo, and Songtao Zheng. 2012. “Evaluation of Hybrid Forecasting Approaches for Wind Speed and Power Generation Time Series.” *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 16 (5): 3471–80.

- Shim, Jae-hun, Hyunwoo Yu, Kyeongbo Kong, and Suk-Ju Kang. 2023. “FeedFormer: Revisiting Transformer Decoder for Efficient Semantic Segmentation.” *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence* 37 (2): 2263–71.
- Texas 2036. 2023. “Extreme Heat Remains on Track to Become Texas’ New Normal.” *Texas 2036* (blog). December 12, 2023. <https://texas2036.org/posts/extreme-heat-remains-on-track-to-become-texas-new-normal/>.
- Tu, Tongbi, Kei Ishida, Ali Ercan, Masato Kiyama, Motoki Amagasaki, and Tongtiegang Zhao. 2021. “Hybrid Precipitation Downscaling over Coastal Watersheds in Japan Using WRF and CNN.” *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies* 37 (October): 100921.
- “USGS.” n.d. Accessed August 30, 2024. <https://apps.nationalmap.gov/downloader/#/>.
- White, Gary, Anna Zink, Lara Codecá, and Siobhán Clarke. 2021. “A Digital Twin Smart City for Citizen Feedback.” *Cities* 110 (March): 103064.
- Wolf, Kristina, Richard J. Dawson, Jon P. Mills, Phil Blythe, and Jeremy Morley. 2022. “Towards a Digital Twin for Supporting Multi-Agency Incident Management in a Smart City.” *Scientific Reports* 12 (1): 16221.
- Wu, Binrong, Lin Wang, and Yu-Rong Zeng. 2022. “Interpretable Wind Speed Prediction with Multivariate Time Series and Temporal Fusion Transformers.” *Energy* 252 (August): 123990.
- Xia, Haishan, Zishuo Liu, Maria Efremochkina, Xiaotong Liu, and Chunxiang Lin. 2022. “Study on City Digital Twin Technologies for Sustainable Smart City Design: A Review and Bibliometric Analysis of Geographic Information System and Building Information Modeling Integration.” *Sustainable Cities and Society* 84 (September): 104009.
- Yang, Senwen, Liangzhu (Leon) Wang, Ted Stathopoulos, and Ahmed Moustafa Marey. 2023. “Urban Microclimate and Its Impact on Built Environment – A Review.” *Building and Environment* 238 (June): 110334.
- Ye, Xinyue, Jiabin Du, Yu Han, Galen Newman, David Retchless, Lei Zou, Youngjib Ham, and Zhenhang Cai. 2023. “Developing Human-Centered Urban Digital Twins for Community Infrastructure Resilience: A Research Agenda.” *Journal of Planning Literature* 38 (2): 187–99.
- Ye, Xinyue, Suphanut Jamonnak, Shannon Van Zandt, Galen Newman, and Patrick Suermann. 2024. “Developing Campus Digital Twin Using Interactive Visual Analytics Approach.” *Frontiers of Urban and Rural Planning* 2 (1): 9.
- Yin, Lirong, Lei Wang, Tingqiao Li, Siyu Lu, Jiawei Tian, Zhengtong Yin, Xiaolu Li, and Wenfeng Zheng. 2023. “U-Net-LSTM: Time Series-Enhanced Lake Boundary Prediction Model.” *Land* 12 (10): 1859.
- Zhao, Hongbo, Hao Zhang, Changhong Miao, Xinyue Ye, and Min Min. 2018. “Linking Heat Source–Sink Landscape Patterns with Analysis of Urban Heat Islands: Study on the Fast-Growing Zhengzhou City in Central China.” *Remote Sensing* 10 (8): 1268.

- Zheng, Lang, and Weisheng Lu. 2024. "Urban Micro-Scale Street Thermal Comfort Prediction Using a 'Graph Attention Network' Model." *Building and Environment* 262 (August): 111780.
- Zheng, Zhonghua, Lei Zhao, and Keith W. Oleson. 2021. "Large Model Structural Uncertainty in Global Projections of Urban Heat Waves." *Nature Communications* 12 (1): 3736.
- Zhu, Hao-Cheng, Chen Ren, Junqi Wang, Zhuangbo Feng, Fariborz Haghighat, and Shi-Jie Cao. 2024. "Fast Prediction of Spatial Temperature Distributions in Urban Areas with WRF and Temporal Fusion Transformers." *Sustainable Cities and Society* 103 (April): 105249.

# Integrating Spatiotemporal Vision Transformer into Digital Twins for High-Resolution Heat Stress Forecasting in Campus Environments

## Supplemental Material



Fig. S1. Study area. (a) Texas is located in the southern US. (b) TAMU, located in Brazos County, is in the eastern part of Texas. (c) Map of TAMU main campus. (d) 3D model of TAMU main campus.




Fig. S2. Overall diagram of the ST-ViT model. The architecture employs three parallel attention streams to independently capture different dependencies in the input data: (1) The Spatial Multi-Head Attention applies attention across the spatial dimensions ( $H \times W$ ) for each time step ( $T_{in}$ ). This mechanism captures local and global spatial relationships, such as interactions between buildings and trees within a single time step. The query ( $Q$ ), key ( $K$ ), and value ( $V$ ) matrices are defined as:  $Q, K, V \in \mathbb{R}^{(H \cdot W) \times d_{attn}}$ , where  $H \times W$  represents the flattened spatial grid, and  $d_{attn}$  is the attention feature dimension. (2) The Temporal Multi-Head Attention focuses on temporal

dependencies across time steps ( $T_{in}$ ) for each spatial location. This mechanism captures temporal trends and long-term dependencies of human heat stress conditions. For this stream, the  $Q$ ,  $K$ , and  $V$  matrices are defined as:  $Q, K, V \in \mathbb{R}^{T_{in} \times d_{attn}}$ , where  $T_{in}$  is the number of historical time steps, and  $d_{attn}$  is the attention feature dimension. (3) Another Temporal Multi-Head Attention independently processes temporal meteorological features, modeling inter-variable correlations (e.g., air temperature and wind speed) over time. The attention operates similarly to the Temporal Multi-Head Attention stream but exclusively focuses on meteorological data. Each attention layer employs multi-head self-attention mechanisms to capture complex dependencies, while feed-forward networks, layer normalization, and residual connections ensure stable training and effective propagation of features. After parallel processing, the spatial-temporal features and temporal features are fused using an additive mechanism. Temporal features are expanded to match the spatial resolution before being combined with the spatial-temporal features, resulting in a unified representation. The final output is produced through a linear projection layer, which transforms the fused hidden representation into the desired output channels while preserving the spatial and temporal resolution of the task.




Fig. S3. Hourly human heat stress category distribution based on pixel proportion on campus.




Fig. S4. (a) Heat map changes of hourly mean UTCI; (b) Hourly UTCI trends in different heatwave periods; (c) Hourly mean UTCI variation by different heatwave periods and diurnal times.




Fig. S5. Mean daily (a) and hourly (b-d) variations of UTCI across land cover types.

Table S1. Deep learning model parameters.

Model	Model parameters
CNN+LSTM	batch_size=16, kernel_size=3, hidden_channels=64, num_layer=2, ReLU, num_epochs=200, patience=10, min_delta=0.0005
U-Net+LSTM	batch_size=16, kernel_size=3, hidden_channels=64, num_layer=2, ReLU, num_epochs=200, patience=10, min_delta=0.0005
ST-ViT	batch_size=10, hidden_dim=12, num_heads=2, num_layers=1, ReLU, num_epochs=200, patience=10, min_delta=0.0005

Table S2. Evaluation of the ST-ViT model at different time steps.

Time step	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
RMSE (°C)	2.301	2.225	2.170	2.163	2.180	2.202	2.250	2.305
MAE (°C)	1.850	1.801	1.784	1.770	1.793	1.812	1.857	1.892
MAPE (%)	6.052	5.903	5.833	5.811	5.854	5.910	6.035	6.106

Table S3. The overall accuracy of the deep learning models.

Model	RMSE (°C)	MAE (°C)	MAPE (%)
CNN+LSTM	3.696	2.552	6.568
U-Net+LSTM	2.870	2.453	6.257
ST-ViT	2.163	1.770	5.811