

Vertical Federated Continual Learning via Evolving Prototype Knowledge

Shuo Wang¹, Keke Gai¹, Jing Yu², Liehuang Zhu¹, Qi Wu³

¹Beijing Institute of Technology

²Minzu University of China

³School of Computer Science, The University of Adelaide

{3220215214, gaikeke, liehuangz}@bit.edu.cn, jing.yu@muc.edu.cn, qi.wu01@adelaide.edu.au

Abstract

Vertical Federated Learning (VFL) has garnered significant attention as a privacy-preserving machine learning framework for sample-aligned feature federation. However, traditional VFL approaches do not address the challenges of class and feature continual learning, resulting in catastrophic forgetting of knowledge from previous tasks. To address the above challenge, we propose a novel vertical federated continual learning method, named Vertical Federated Continual Learning via Evolving Prototype Knowledge (V-LETO), which primarily facilitates the transfer of knowledge from previous tasks through the evolution of prototypes. Specifically, we propose an evolving prototype knowledge method, enabling the global model to retain both previous and current task knowledge. Furthermore, we introduce a model optimization technique that mitigates the forgetting of previous task knowledge by restricting updates to specific parameters of the local model, thereby enhancing overall performance. Extensive experiments conducted in both CIL and FIL settings demonstrate that our method, V-LETO, outperforms the other state-of-the-art methods. For example, our method outperforms the state-of-the-art method by 10.39% and 35.15% for CIL and FIL tasks, respectively. Our code is available at <https://anonymous.4open.science/t/V-LETO-0108/README.md>.

1 Introduction

Vertical Federated Learning (VFL) is a type of *Federated Learning* (FL) that provides multi-party collaborative computing in which datasets of different parties have overlapping samples without overlapping feature spaces [Liu *et al.*, 2024; Castiglia *et al.*, 2022; Wang *et al.*, 2023], being explored in various privacy-sensitive application scenarios, e.g., financial services [Liu *et al.*, 2023] and healthcare [Sakib and Das, 2024]. In real-world applications, as users’ local data increase, achieving *Vertical Federated Continuous Learning* (VFCL) is an expected development direction, which are generally facilitated by the integration of *Class Incremental Learning* (CIL) and *Feature Incremental Learning* (FIL). As




Figure 1: Illustration of the classes and features incremental learning in VFL. Task2 adds a new class “Type III” for credit card marketing, and Task3 adds a new feature “X5”. The model performance in Task1, Task2, and Task3 increases in sequence.

shown in Figure 1(a)(b), take the credit card scenario for example [Feng Qiang, 2022], the training sample only contains user data for “Type I” and “Type II” credit cards in Task 1, while Task 2 further includes user data for “Type III” credit card. Therefore, after completing Task 2, the model should be able to predict all three types, Type I-III. This presents a case of CIL, i.e., the ability of the model to learn new classes over time [Lebichot *et al.*, 2024; Ma *et al.*, 2022; Casado *et al.*, 2023]. Another case is that the Internet platform can utilize the new consumption feature “X5” deriving from tracking the monthly consumption frequency of each user, so that the credit card marketing model derived from Task 2 can be optimized. This presents a case of FIL that involves incorporating new features to enhance model performance [Ni *et al.*, 2024], refer to Task 3 in Figure 1(c).

However, existing VFCL supportive technologies still encounter a variety of technical challenges. To be specific, on one hand, most existing methods fail to address class *Catastrophic Forgetting* (CF) of previous task knowledge in the local model of the passive party in VFL. Previous studies in CIL have mostly tried following strategies, including regularization, *Dynamic Network Expansion* (DNE), and replay. Among them, regularization methods adjust the learning al-

gorithm to limit changes to key weights, preserving essential knowledge [Chen *et al.*, 2021; Yu *et al.*, 2024]. Both regularization and DNE methods adjust or expand parts of the model to mitigate catastrophic forgetting of previous classes [Luo *et al.*, 2023]. However, the passive party only has a local model and lacks the full model (local and server models) to extract local data embeddings in the VFL scenario. In addition, the replay technique mitigate class catastrophic forgetting by reconstructing previous task datasets using class labels [Li *et al.*, 2024], but each passive party only has partial feature sets without class labels in VFL, making this technique ineffective for addressing class catastrophic forgetting.

On the other hand, the local model loses feature knowledge from previous tasks, causing a feature incrementality issue. Prior studies have explored FIL in the activity recognition tasks [Hu *et al.*, 2019; Ni *et al.*, 2024]. For example, FIRF [Hu *et al.*, 2019] is a typical method that incorporates nodes corresponding to newly introduced features to improve decision tree accuracy, but this method is limited to feature augmentation in simple data structures based on tree models and cannot be applied to complex data structures, e.g., neural network models, in VFL. Some other work tried regularization across the entire model to prevent forgetting of previous features [Ni *et al.*, 2024; Hou *et al.*, 2023]. However, models in VFL generally is divided into local and server models. Passive parties update local models in terms of reverse gradients received from the server, so that passive parties only receive gradients for the current task and are unable to access gradients from previous task when the active party applies regularization to prevent forgetting of previous features.

To address the aforementioned challenges, we propose a novel VFCL framework, named Vertical Federated Continual Learning via Evolving Prototype Knowledge (V-LETO), to achieve the enhanced learning for both local and server models, including class and feature augmentation. To addressing the loss of prior knowledge in the global model, we propose a evolving prototype knowledge method to transfer knowledge from previous tasks. Additionally, the forgetting of prior knowledge in the local model is mitigated by constraining its updates. Specifically, VFCL consists of three modules: To address the issue of the passive party lacking complete features for prototype construction, we propose a *prototype generation* module to preserve prior task knowledge. The server aggregates global embeddings, derived from the passive party’s local embeddings and labels, to build class prototypes. We also propose a *prototype evolving module* to mitigate catastrophic forgetting of prior knowledge, which evolves prototypes that integrate both previous and current task knowledge. Meanwhile, we propose a *model optimization module* to optimize both global and local models.

This paper’s contributions are as follows: (1) We propose a novel VFCL method to address an underexplored issue in VFL, which is critical in many real-world applications. To the best of our knowledge, this may be the first to attempt the simultaneous implementation of CIL and FIL in the VFL. (2) We propose V-LETO as a framework for implementing CIL and FIL within VFL. To address catastrophic forgetting of prior task knowledge, we propose a global model optimization method based on evolving prototypes, which combines

both prior and current task knowledge. Additionally, we propose a method that constrains local model updates, mitigating the catastrophic forgetting of previous task knowledge in the local model. (3) We conduct extensive experiments on four datasets to evaluate the performance of the V-LETO, demonstrating its superiority over several state-of-the-art methods. Our method outperforms the baseline method by 10.39% and 35.15% for CIL and FIL tasks, respectively. We visualized the evolving prototypes and conducted ablation and hyperparameter analysis to further evaluate our method.

2 Related Works

Our work is closely related to *Federated Continual Learning* (FCL) methods that can be classified into two categories.

Parameter decomposition-based FCL. Most existing methods retain previous task knowledge while adopting the model to new tasks [Yang *et al.*, 2024]. For example, TagFed [Wang *et al.*, 2024b] decomposes the entire model into a series of labeled independent models to optimize each client’s task; FedWeIT [Yoon *et al.*, 2021] mitigates temporal catastrophic forgetting by dividing the global model parameters into sparse task-specific parameters through parameter sparsification; Cross-FCL [Zhang *et al.*, 2022b] utilizes a parameter-decomposition-based FCL model that retains previously acquired knowledge while learning new tasks. We find that most methods highly rely on complete client models, so that it brings the communication overhead issue and makes them unsuitable for VFCL.

Prototype fusion-based FCL. Most existing methods primarily rely on clients locally constructing class prototypes, with the server facilitating inter-class knowledge transfer based on these prototypes. Knowledge distillation [Ma *et al.*, 2022] is used to propagate the enhanced prototypes from the server across clients. For example, Pass [Zhu *et al.*, 2021] is a prototype-based technique designed for enhancing prototypes within the feature space to maintain decision boundaries for prior tasks. FedSpace [Shenaj *et al.*, 2023] argued that prototype distillation, representation loss, fractal pre-training, and modified aggregation could be used for improving global model performance. FedProK [Gao *et al.*, 2024] has explored prototype features as a knowledge representation mechanism and utilized prototype fusion for spatial-temporal knowledge transfer. FedCBC [Yu *et al.*, 2024] employ the global model as a teacher model to perform knowledge distillation on the local model to improve model learning across clients. However, the above existing prototype fusion-based methods assume that each client has access to complete sample labels and models, suitable only for Horizontal FCL.

Our investigation finds that the catastrophic forgetting issue in VFCL has been rarely addressed by prior work. The technical difficulties derive from the fact that clients only have partial features and models, and lack access to labels (except the active party), hindering to generate prototypes and update local models independently. In this paper we focus on this issue, i.e., solving the issue of class and feature catastrophic forgetting in VFCL.




Figure 2: The framework overview of V-LETO. V-LETO consists two entities: *the active party* with partial features and labels, and *the passive party* with partial features. V-LETO consists of three modules: *Prototype Generation* module use local labels in conjunction with global embeddings to generate class-specific prototypes. *Prototype Evolving* module uses the outputs from PG module and evolves the prototype knowledge from previous tasks for both CIL and FIL. *Model Optimization* module optimizes the model by integrating knowledge from both previous and current tasks.

3 Problem Definition

Consider a typical VFL setting [Romanini *et al.*, 2021; Liu *et al.*, 2024], assume that there exists one active party l_1 collaborating with $K - 1$ passive parties to tackle an image classification problem. For a given training task t , each passive party l_k holds a subset of features x_{ik}^t of the aligned sample data i and the local model \mathcal{B}_k^t . The active party possesses the label Y_i^t of sample data i and the server model \mathcal{T}^t . We assume that the active party l_1 and all passive parties l_k have aligned training dataset samples as existing works that are obtained from the privacy set intersection [Luo *et al.*, 2021b; Zhang *et al.*, 2022a]. The objective of VFL is to collaboratively train the model between the active and passive parties to minimize the loss function, defined by Equation (1).

$$\min \ell(\mathcal{T}^t; \{\mathcal{B}_k^t\}_{k=2}^K; D) \triangleq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{T}^t(\{E_{ik}^t\}_{k=2}^K); Y_i^t), \quad (1)$$

where $D = (X^t, Y^t)$ denotes training datasets; E_{ik}^t denotes the local embedding of l_k th passive party; $E_{ik}^t = \mathcal{B}_k^t(x_{ik}^t)$; $X = \{\mathbf{x}_i\}_{i=1}^N$ and $\mathbf{x}_k = \bigcup_{i=1}^N \{x_{ik}^t\}$; N denotes the total number of data samples; K represents the total number of clients participating in training; $\{x_{ik}^t\}$ denotes some local features owned by the l_k th client; \mathcal{L} denotes the loss function.

VFCL trains a model via iterative communications between active and passive parties on a series of tasks $\{p_t | t \in 1, 2, \dots, T\}$, in which data from previous tasks (p_1 - p_{t-1} tasks) will become unavailable for training when a new task (p_t task) arrives. A typical goal of VFCL is to train a model that minimizes the loss across both current tasks (p_t) and previous tasks (p_1, \dots, p_{t-1}). That is to say, the objective is to minimize losses on all local tasks up to task p_t through iterative active-passive communication.

4 Methodology

As shown in Figure 2, V-LETO consists of three major modules, including *Prototype Generation* (PG), *Prototype Evolving* (PE), and *Model Optimization* (MO). Specifically, PG module use local labels in conjunction with global embeddings to generate class-specific prototypes, which effectively

capture and represent the complete knowledge associated with each class. PE module uses the outputs from PG module and evolves the prototype knowledge from previous tasks for both CIL and FIL. Finally, MO module optimizes the model by integrating knowledge from both previous and current tasks. To mitigate the forgetting of prior task knowledge, we lock the local model parameters essential for preserving knowledge from earlier tasks during updates, thus minimizing previous task knowledge loss. We execute the above modules in sequence and repeat multiple times until the overall model performance is improved.

4.1 Prototype Generation

A prototype is generated by a PG module, which addresses the issue caused by fact that passive participants only have partial sample features and lack labels in VFCL, making prototype construction dramatically difficult. In this work, we consider the prototype a prototype belonging to a certain class. The mechanism of PG module is that an active party aggregates local embeddings from all passive parties to obtain global embeddings, so that the knowledge of all classes are encompassed. We use global embeddings to generate prototypes for each class for obtaining the corresponding class prototypes. Specifically, an active party firstly obtains all passive parties' local embeddings E_{ik}^t , where i denotes the sample, k denotes the passive party l_k , and t denotes the t th task. An active party, for instance, obtains all feature embeddings E_i^t of the sample i in the t th task by aggregating local embeddings E_{ik}^t from passive parties, expressed by $E_i^t = \sum_{k=2}^K E_{ik}^t$. In addition, an active party has the label information Y_i^t for each sample i and can identify the sample's class c . Consequently, the active party aggregates E_i^t to obtain the prototypes for each class c , as shown in Equation (2).

$$\mu_c^t = \frac{1}{|D_c|} \sum_{c=1}^{|D_c|} E_{i,c}^t, \quad (2)$$

where $|D_c|$ represents the number of samples of class c . We obtain the class c prototype μ_c^t in the t th task by Equation (2).

4.2 Prototype Evolving

PE module is designed to reduce the catastrophic forgetting of previous task knowledge. The module facilitates the evolution of prototypes and stores the prototypes in an evolving global prototype list for task-level knowledge transfer. There are two modules in PE, which are *Class Evolving* (CE) and *Feature Evolving* (FE) modules.

Class Evolving Module. CE mainly implements prototype evolving in accordance with the distance estimation of the old class prototype. We address catastrophic forgetting of previous task classes by fitting a previous class p that does not appear in the current task, i.e., $p \notin \mathcal{C}^t$, but $p \in \mathcal{L}^g$. We estimate the difference in learning ability between the previous task and current task by Equation (4) and compute the prototype of the pseudo-prior class knowledge augmenting according to the distance difference. We add the inter-class distance to the prototype (μ_p^g) of the previous class p in the prototype \mathcal{L} to obtain the approximate previous class $\hat{\mu}_p$, shown in Equation (3).

$$\hat{\mu}_p^t = \mu_p^g + \gamma \frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}^t|} \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}^t} \text{dis}(\mu_c^{t-1}, \mu_c^t), \quad (3)$$

where γ denotes the hyper-parameters used as weighting factors for the knowledge distance, μ_c^{t-1} and μ_c^t of the new class c at the $(t-1)$ th task and the t th task, respectively. dis denote the pair-wise relation with cosine similarity by Equation (4).

$$\text{dis}(\mu_c^{t-1}, \mu_c^t) = \frac{\mu_c^{t-1} \cdot \mu_c^t}{\|\mu_c^{t-1}\|_2 \times \|\mu_c^t\|_2}. \quad (4)$$

Feature Evolving Module. FE aggregates global prototype with current task's prototype to achieve cross-task feature knowledge transfer. For an FIL task t , current class c 's prototype (μ_c^t) only contains current sample feature knowledge not previous knowledge, even though VFL require all feature knowledge for model training. We save the class prototype list \mathcal{L}^g of previous tasks during the training process so that the feature knowledge of previous tasks can be obtained from \mathcal{L}^g . A prototype aggregation mechanism is developed to weight current and previous task knowledge, as expressed by Equation (5).

$$\bar{\mu}_c^t = \begin{cases} \mu_c^t, & c \notin \mathcal{L}^g \\ \beta \mu_c^t + (1 - \beta) \mu_c^g, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where β denotes the hyper-parameters used as weighting factors for the respective prototype. We evolve a global prototype list \mathcal{L}^g using $\bar{\mu}_c^t$. When class c belongs to global prototype list \mathcal{L}^g , we exchange $\bar{\mu}_c^t$ for μ_c^g (e.g. $\mu_c^g = \mu_c^t$); otherwise, we insert class c and prototype $\bar{\mu}_c^t$ into \mathcal{L}^g . We reserve the global prototype list \mathcal{L}^g in local memory. $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{L}^g$ contains all classes c of the current task with corresponding prototypes.

4.3 Model Optimization

This module is designed to optimize the global model and local models by using the knowledge of both previous and current task knowledge. Two components include *Global Model Optimization* (GMO) and *Local Model Optimization* (LMO). **Global Model Optimization.** For the current task t , we use the global embedding obtained from the current task data to

obtain the cross-entropy loss function $\mathcal{L}_{CE} = \mathcal{T}^t(E_i^t, Y_i^t)$. For CIL and FIL tasks, we generate vectors $\bar{\mu}_c^t$ and $\hat{\mu}_p^t$ with the same batch size based on the enhanced class prototype $\hat{\mu}_p^t$ and the enhanced feature prototype $\bar{\mu}_c^t$. Then, we calculate the prediction value and its label based on the constructed prototype vector to obtain the loss \mathcal{L}_A and \mathcal{L}_F , from $\mathcal{L}_A = \sum_n \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{T}^t(\hat{\mu}_p^t[n], Y_p[n]))$ and $\mathcal{L}_F = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{T}^t(\bar{\mu}_c^t[n], Y_c[n]))$. We train and optimize model parameters for obtaining the following loss, refer to Equation (6).

$$\mathcal{L} = \lambda_{CE} \mathcal{L}_{CE} + \lambda_A \mathcal{L}_A + \lambda_F \mathcal{L}_F, \quad (6)$$

where λ_F and λ_A hyperparameters are weighting factors for the respective losses. We use the loss \mathcal{L} and stochastic gradient descent (SGD) [Malinovskiy *et al.*, 2020] to backpropagate and update the server model parameters. The server sent the loss \mathcal{L} to the passive party.

Local Model Optimization. The passive party computes the gradient value $g = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial E_k}$ and updates the local model using the loss value \mathcal{L} provided by the active party. However, the gradient g contains only the knowledge of the current task and lacks information from previous tasks. To enable the passive party to retain prior knowledge during model training, we have adopted a method that involves fixing the parameters critical to previous tasks, thereby preserving those model parameters that are particularly significant to the prior tasks. Specifically, we estimate the importance of each parameter to the previous tasks by calculating the Fisher Information Matrix (FIM) [Yang *et al.*, 2023], expressed by Equation (7).

$$\mathcal{F}_{ki} \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\nabla_{\theta_k} \mathcal{L}(x_i, y_i))^2, \quad (7)$$

where \mathcal{F}_{ki} denote the FIM of \mathcal{B} with the previous tasks, k denote the k th passive party, i denote the number of samples.

In addition, we set a threshold κ by calculating the mean and standard deviation of FIM to select model parameters.

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{F}_{ki} - \delta \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\mathcal{F}_{ki} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{F}_{ki})^2}, \quad (8)$$

where $\delta = k_0 + \alpha \cdot \log(t+1)$ is a hyperparameter; a larger δ value increases the emphasis on previous tasks.

We select the relatively important model parameters for previous tasks based on a defined threshold κ . The important parameters are then fixed to prevent interference from new tasks, thus preserving the foundational model's retention of knowledge from prior tasks and mitigating forgetting.

$$\mathcal{B}_{ki}^t = \begin{cases} \mathcal{B}_{ki}^{t-1}, & \mathcal{F}_{ki} \geq \kappa \\ \text{Update } \mathcal{B}_{ki}^{t-1}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

5 Experiments

Datasets. We construct one using four datasets widely used for the VFL [Das and Patterson, 2021; Wang *et al.*, 2024a], which are MNIST [Baldominos *et al.*, 2019], FashionMNIST [Xiao *et al.*, 2017], CIFAR-10 [Abouelnaga *et al.*, 2016] and CINIC10 [Luo *et al.*, 2021a] We partitioned image dataset features vertically into N parties for N participants in VFL,

Datasets	Methods	Models Testing Accuracy (%)								
		Class Incremental Learning				Feature Incremental Learning				
		Task1	Task2	Task3	Task4	AVG	Task1	Task2(↑)	Task3(↑)	Task4(↑)
MNIST	Standalone	99.15	94.82	96.66	93.00	95.90	32.11	78.28	79.33	34.82
	Pyvertical	99.21	61.37	45.42	36.63	60.65	32.01	68.61(↑ 36.60)	77.54(↑ 8.93)	67.87(↓ 9.67)
	Pass + VFL	72.69	74.57	69.73	68.02	71.25	21.97	52.50(↑ 30.52)	55.83(↑ 3.33)	63.27(↑ 7.44)
	FedSpace + VFL	74.70	76.50	70.80	67.99	72.50	21.98	53.77 (↑ 31.79)	67.24 (↑ 13.47)	32.30 (↓ 34.94)
	FedProK + VFL	74.69	75.52	75.72	69.95	73.97	21.04	21.95(↑ 0.91)	45.02(↑ 23.07)	44.37(↓ 0.65)
	V-LETO (Our)	99.09	94.52	84.14	71.04	87.19	27.67	93.33(↑ 65.66)	95.61(↑ 2.83)	96.79(↑ 1.18)
FMNIST	Standalone	95.54	86.96	81.83	90.66	88.00	60.32	73.55	74.01	61.63
	Pyvertical	96.15	57.10	43.01	37.43	58.42	60.60	64.57(↑ 3.97)	68.21(↑ 3.64)	67.91(↓ 0.30)
	Pass + VFL	65.66	65.56	61.24	58.48	63.48	50.11	62.54(↑ 12.43)	67.38(↑ 4.84)	66.30(↑ 1.08)
	FedSpace+ VFL	67.66	67.58	63.26	61.42	64.98	50.34	59.07(↑ 8.73)	58.55(↓ 0.52)	40.52(↓ 18.03)
	FedProK + VFL	74.69	65.52	63.72	59.95	73.97	50.67	53.13(↑ 2.46)	21.63(↓ 31.5)	26.18(↓ 4.55)
	V-LETO (Our)	94.38	85.71	64.04	69.13	76.14	60.93	88.68(↑ 27.78)	95.06(↑ 6.38)	97.39(↑ 2.33)
CIFAR10	Standalone	78.73	55.41	44.55	55.66	58.58	53.40	59.72	60.90	52.43
	Pyvertical	69.66	25.92	20.37	24.49	35.11	52.19	60.43(↑ 8.24)	61.82(↑ 1.39)	63.09 (↑ 1.27)
	Pass + VFL	51.43	45.96	31.95	28.71	39.51	50.88	67.67(↑ 16.79)	71.69(↓ 4.02)	65.36(↓ 6.33)
	FedSpace+ VFL	51.45	46.06	31.99	28.81	39.57	50.40	51.43(↑ 1.30)	58.78(↑ 7.35)	49.72(↓ 9.06)
	FedProK + VFL	51.45	44.30	32.18	30.51	39.61	50.21	46.64(↓ 3.57)	54.10(↑ 7.46)	47.24(↓ 6.86)
	V-LETO (Our)	74.04	54.29	42.86	33.86	52.26	53.31	85.12(↑ 31.81)	87.81(↑ 2.69)	88.34(↑ 0.53)
CINIC10	Standalone	80.08	60.00	68.35	84.37	71.20	43.61	50.86	50.84	44.07
	Pyvertical	79.84	38.35	36.20	33.75	47.53	43.36	51.68(↑ 8.32)	53.95(↑ 2.27)	51.60(↓ 2.35)
	Pass + VFL	58.59	47.08	31.05	30.75	41.86	36.35	-	-	-
	FedSpace + VFL	61.32	44.58	28.98	28.50	40.35	46.57	-	-	-
	FedProK + VFL	78.90	41.66	29.92	26.72	44.30	44.86	42.89(↓ 1.97)	41.55(↓ 1.34)	39.51(↓ 2.04)
	V-LETO (Our)	79.62	54.50	40.65	34.37	52.28	41.75	82.93(↑ 40.81)	83.44(↑ 0.51)	84.97(↑ 1.35)

Table 1: Comparison of V-LETO methods with baseline methods on four datasets and the number of class incremental learning tasks and feature incremental learning tasks. In CIL, the bold text represents the highest test accuracy, excluding the Standalone method. “AVG” represents the average test accuracy across the four tasks. In FIL, “↑” indicates the improvement in model accuracy from the previous task to the current task. “-” denotes that the model has not converged due to the complexity of the CINIC10 dataset.

the same as existing work [Qiu *et al.*, 2024]. We adopted a deeper CNN model with three convolutional layers and three fully connected layers for all the datasets.

Baselines. To the best of our knowledge, most existing schemes focused on the HFCL and very rare work has addressed VFCL, such that the evaluation methods were varied due to different training mechanisms for these two types of FCL. We modified state-of-the-art methods designed for HFCL to adapt them for VFCL, using these modified methods as baselines to evaluate the performance of our proposed approach, V-LETO. The baselines implemented in our evaluations included Standalone, PyVertical [Romanini *et al.*, 2021], Pass [Zhu *et al.*, 2021] + VFL, FedSpace [Shenaj *et al.*, 2023] + VFL, and FedProK [Gao *et al.*, 2024] + VFL, all of which were selected as representative VFL methods and state-of-the-art HFCL methods. To ensure a fair and clear evaluation of our method, we compared the CIL and FIL performance of V-LETO with those of the baseline methods. Additionally, we employed independent model training for distinct tasks and a fall-feature model training as the baseline method to evaluate the effectiveness of our approach.

Implementation Details. Evaluations were performed in

Python on PyTorch, using a server with an NVIDIA GeForce RTX 3090 GPU and CUDA 12.5. The number of total tasks was configured to 4 and each task contains a set of new classes or features; the number of passive participants was configured to 4 and each participant holds a subset of features. We adopted a *Stochastic Gradient Descent* (SGD) as the optimizer of modal training with a learning rate of $1e-3$. The hyperparameters β , λ_F , and λ_A were all set to 0.5; k_0 was set to 15; α was set to 3. To achieve a fair comparison, all experiments were conducted under the same configuration to evaluate the performance of different methods.

5.1 Comparison with State-of-the-Art Methods

Class Incremental Learning. As shown in Table 1, our approach demonstrates superior model performance in CIL. The Standalone method represents the accuracy achieved by training each task separately, without applying continual learning, thus yielding relatively high accuracy. However, as the number of tasks increases, baseline models gradually decline in accuracy due to class forgetting. After training on four tasks, our approach performs substantial accuracy gains. For example, on the CIFAR10 dataset, V-LETO outperforms the best




Figure 3: t-SNE visualization of the global embedding and prototypes produced by CIL (a)(b)(c)(d) and FIL (e)(f)(g)(h) in V-LETO on FMNIST datasets. Colored circles represent global embeddings, and black squares represent prototypes of samples from different classes.

\mathcal{L}_{CE}	\mathcal{L}_A	LMO	Training task	Testing task	task1		task2		task3			task4					
					task1	task1	task2	task(12)	task1	task2	task3	task(123)	task1	task2	task3	task4	task(1234)
×	✓	✓	V-LETO w/o \mathcal{L}_{CE}		93.82	63.54	13.59	41.87	93.20	61.95	16.01	57.50	78.59	75.53	39.92	0.00	47.57
✓	×	✓	V-LETO w/o \mathcal{L}_A		93.82	41.64	85.62	57.96	0.00	42.26	85.40	44.21	0.00	0.00	46.40	91.87	36.25
✓	✓	×	V-LETO w/o LMO		93.90	43.12	91.32	62.61	0.00	47.42	92.74	42.03	0.00	0.00	47.65	95.93	37.96
✓	✓	✓	V-LETO (Ours)		94.38	83.73	83.92	85.71	48.82	62.18	81.17	64.04	46.50	45.70	64.37	86.87	69.13

Table 2: Ablation study of CIL in V-LETO on FMNIST datasets, “w/o” denotes “without”. We evaluate the test accuracy of the current training task, previous tasks, and all current tasks. For example, when training task 2, we evaluate the previous task task1, the current task task, and all current tasks task(12), where task(12) means using the data of task1 and task2 to evaluate the performance of the current model.

\mathcal{L}_{CE}	\mathcal{L}_F	LMO	Settings	task1	task2	task3	task4
×	✓	✓	V-LETO w/o \mathcal{L}_{CE}	60.90	79.99	89.97	90.01
✓	×	✓	V-LETO w/o \mathcal{L}_F	61.01	74.83	71.94	60.70
✓	✓	×	V-LETO w/o LMO	60.17	60.26	64.32	43.10
✓	✓	✓	V-LETO (Ours)	60.93	88.68	95.06	97.39

Table 3: Ablation study of FIL task in the FMNIST datasets.

baseline by 10.39%. Additionally, the average accuracy improvement reaches 32.27%, effectively mitigating the impact of class forgetting on model performance.

Feature Incremental Learning. Table 1 presents comparisons of model test accuracy in FIL tasks. Arrows represent the accuracy improvement from the previous task to the current task. It depicts that V-LETO continuously improve model accuracy when the number of FIL tasks increases, greatly superior to baseline methods. For example, V-LETO achieves a 35.15% improvement in model test accuracy in task4 on CIFAR10 dataset compared to the State-of-Art methods. It implies that the State-of-Art methods suffer from feature forgetting and can hardly use features from previous tasks, while V-LETO has been evidenced that it can effectively utilize fea-

tures from previous tasks to optimize model parameters and improve performance.

5.2 Effectiveness of Prototype Evolving

We apply t-SNE [Cai and Ma, 2022] to visualize the samples in the FMNIST dataset. Figures 3(a)-(d) show visualizations of the global embeddings for different tasks in CIL and FIL, where small colored points represent the global embeddings of various classes, and the large points with black borders represent the corresponding class prototypes. From Figure 3, we observe that as the number of CIL tasks increases, each task includes both the global embeddings of the current task and those generated based on the class prototypes of previous tasks. This indicates that V-LETO can maintain the performance of both current and prior tasks simultaneously. Figures 3 (e)-(h) show that as FIL tasks increase (from task 1 to task 4), the number of features grows, and samples of the same class become more tightly clustered, indicating improved model performance. Therefore, V-LETO effectively addresses both class and feature catastrophic forgetting while efficiently managing both CIL and FIL tasks.

$\lambda_{CE} \lambda_A$	Training task	task1			task2			task3			task4			
	Testing task	task1	task1(↑)	task2(↓)	task(12)	task1(↑)	task2(↑)	task3(↓)	task(123)	task1(↑)	task2(↑)	task3(↑)	task4(↓)	task(1234)
0.8 0.2	V-LETO	94.41	84.37	84.78	84.92	40.10	59.07	84.90	62.67	37.98	31.05	59.80	91.59	57.18
0.7 0.3	V-LETO	94.37	83.51	84.35	85.19	42.21	59.51	84.10	62.80	38.89	33.05	60.64	91.05	58.14
0.5 0.5	V-LETO	94.38	83.73	83.92	85.71	48.82	62.18	81.17	64.04	46.50	45.70	64.37	86.87	69.13
0.3 0.7	V-LETO	94.41	84.39	81.84	84.10	58.71	62.19	75.06	66.37	45.70	43.22	62.13	85.27	61.03
0.2 0.8	V-LETO	94.41	84.77	80.43	83.39	71.45	63.07	68.65	69.86	51.02	47.58	63.59	81.50	62.18

Table 4: The impact of hyperparameters λ_{CE} and λ_A on CIL model performance in the FMNIST datasets

k_0	α	Training task	task1			task2			task3			task4			
		Testing task	task1	task1	task2	task(12)	task1	task2	task3	task(123)	task1	task2	task3	task4	task(1234)
5	1	V-LETO	94.39	83.12	83.98	84.96	47.11	61.07	81.52	63.79	41.13	38.07	62.99	89.61	59.77
10	2	V-LETO	94.43	83.35	83.82	84.89	47.36	61.40	81.21	63.88	41.02	38.26	63.30	89.43	59.85
15	3	V-LETO	94.38	83.73	83.92	85.71	48.82	62.18	81.17	64.04	46.50	45.70	64.37	86.87	69.13
20	4	V-LETO	94.39	83.52	83.18	84.87	46.97	60.86	81.09	63.71	40.88	37.91	62.81	89.57	59.68
25	5	V-LETO	94.39	83.54	83.20	84.90	46.93	60.82	81.15	63.75	40.88	37.97	63.05	89.82	59.76

Table 5: The impact of hyperparameters k_0 and α on CIL model performance in the FMNIST datasets




Figure 4: The impact of hyperparameters (λ_{CE} , λ_A) on FIL task in the FMNIST datasets

5.3 Ablation Study

For CIL in V-LETO, Table 2 depicts that the performance of the current training task significantly decreases in V-LETO w/o \mathcal{L}_{CE} , indicating that \mathcal{L}_{CE} successfully facilitates learning for the current task. The performance of previous tasks drops significantly in V-LETO w/o \mathcal{L}_A and V-LETO w/o LMO, indicating that \mathcal{L}_A and LMO effectively mitigate the class catastrophic forgetting problem and enable CIL through class prototype evolution. In addition, for FIL in V-LETO, Table 3 depicts that V-LETO w/o \mathcal{L}_F and V-LETO w/o LMO do not exhibit improved model performance as feature tasks increase, suggesting that \mathcal{L}_F and LMO facilitate feature

knowledge transfer from previous tasks, thereby enhancing model performance.

5.4 Hyper-parameter Analysis

We evaluated the core hyperparameters in V-LETO, including the current task update rate (λ_{CE}), catastrophic forgetting mitigation parameters (λ_A , λ_F), and local model update parameters (k_0 , α). The results of the hyperparameter analysis are presented in Tables 4, 5 and Figure 4. Table 4 depicts that the accuracy of previous tasks continuously increases while the accuracy of the current task declines, as λ_{CE} decreases and λ_A increases. Table 5 shows that the importance of old task parameters in the local model increases as k_0 and α increase, with optimal model performance achieved when $k_0 = 15$ and $\alpha = 5$. Figure 4 exhibits that FIL task model performance is optimized when $\lambda_{CE} = 0.5$ and $\lambda_F = 0.5$. These findings demonstrate that hyperparameter optimization is crucial for achieving optimal model performance.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a novel method, Vertical Federated Continual Learning via Evolving Prototype Knowledge, which mitigates the issue of catastrophic forgetting and enhances model performance by evolving prototype knowledge across tasks. We propose a prototype generation method within VFL and leverage a global prototype table to enable knowledge transfer between tasks. To address catastrophic forgetting of prior task knowledge, we propose an evolving prototype module based on the PG module. This module integrates prototype knowledge from both previous and current tasks to construct global prototypes, thereby optimizing the global model. Additionally, we propose a MO module that restricts updates to specific parameters of the local model to mitigate catastrophic forgetting of prior task knowledge. Extensive experiments demonstrate that our method, V-LETO, outperforms current state-of-the-art methods.

References

- [Abouelnaga *et al.*, 2016] Yehya Abouelnaga, Ola S Ali, Hager Rady, and Mohamed Moustafa. Cifar-10: Knn-based ensemble of classifiers. In *2016 International Conference on Computational Science and Computational Intelligence*, pages 1192–1195, Las Vegas, NV, USA, 2016.
- [Baldominos *et al.*, 2019] Alejandro Baldominos, Yago Saez, and Pedro Isasi. A survey of handwritten character recognition with mnist and emnist. *Applied Sciences*, 9(15):3169, 2019.
- [Cai and Ma, 2022] T Tony Cai and Rong Ma. Theoretical foundations of t-sne for visualizing high-dimensional clustered data. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 23(301):1–54, 2022.
- [Casado *et al.*, 2023] Fernando E Casado, Dylan Lema, Roberto Iglesias, Carlos V Regueiro, and Senén Barro. Ensemble and continual federated learning for classification tasks. *Machine Learning*, 112(9):3413–3453, 2023.
- [Castiglia *et al.*, 2022] Timothy J Castiglia, Anirban Das, Shiqiang Wang, and Stacy Patterson. Compressed-VFL: Communication-efficient learning with vertically partitioned data. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 2738–2766, Baltimore, Maryland, USA, 2022.
- [Chen *et al.*, 2021] Pei-Hung Chen, Wei Wei, Cho-Jui Hsieh, and Bo Dai. Overcoming catastrophic forgetting by bayesian generative regularization. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 1760–1770, Virtual Event, 2021.
- [Das and Patterson, 2021] Anirban Das and Stacy Patterson. Multi-tier federated learning for vertically partitioned data. In *ICASSP 2021-2021 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing*, pages 3100–3104, Toronto, ON, Canada, 2021.
- [Feng Qiang, 2022] et al. Feng Qiang, Boyan Wei. White paper on the application of federated learning technology in finance, 3 2022. <http://www.hbbill.com/uploadFiles/16/548/058/54/>
- [Gao *et al.*, 2024] Xin Gao, Xin Yang, Hao Yu, Yan Kang, and Tianrui Li. Fedprok: Trustworthy federated class-incremental learning via prototypical feature knowledge transfer. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 4205–4214, Seattle, WA, USA, 2024.
- [Hou *et al.*, 2023] Chenping Hou, Shilin Gu, Chao Xu, and Yuhua Qian. Incremental learning for simultaneous augmentation of feature and class. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 45(12):14789–14806, 2023.
- [Hu *et al.*, 2019] Chunyu Hu, Yiqiang Chen, Xiaohui Peng, Han Yu, Chenlong Gao, and Lisha Hu. A novel feature incremental learning method for sensor-based activity recognition. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 31(6):1038–1050, 2019.
- [Lebichot *et al.*, 2024] Bertrand Lebichot, Wissam Sibli, Gian Marco Paldino, Y-A Le Borgne, Frédéric Oblé, and Gianluca Bontempi. Assessment of catastrophic forgetting in continual credit card fraud detection. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 249(99):123445, 2024.
- [Li *et al.*, 2024] Yichen Li, Qunwei Li, Haozhao Wang, Ruixuan Li, Wenliang Zhong, and Guannan Zhang. Towards efficient replay in federated incremental learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 12820–12829, Seattle, WA, USA, 2024.
- [Liu *et al.*, 2023] Zhili Liu, Heyang Sun, Jinliang Song, Bin Zhang, Yuhang Yan, Bingbing Qiu, Lihang Jiang, and Jingjing Li. Vertical federated learning architecture for power company and financial company and electricity pricing model considering user credit evaluation. In *2023 3rd International Conference on Consumer Electronics and Computer Engineering (ICCECE)*, pages 820–826, Guangzhou, China, 2023.
- [Liu *et al.*, 2024] Yang Liu, Yan Kang, Tianyuan Zou, Yanhong Pu, Yuanqin He, Xiaozhou Ye, Ye Ouyang, Ya-Qin Zhang, and Qiang Yang. Vertical federated learning: Concepts, advances, and challenges. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 36(7):3615 – 3634, 2024.
- [Luo *et al.*, 2021a] Mi Luo, Fei Chen, Dapeng Hu, Yifan Zhang, Jian Liang, and Jiashi Feng. No fear of heterogeneity: Classifier calibration for federated learning with non-iid data. In M. Ranzato, A. Beygelzimer, Y. Dauphin, P.S. Liang, and J. Wortman Vaughan, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 34, pages 5972–5984, 2021.
- [Luo *et al.*, 2021b] Xinjian Luo, Yuncheng Wu, Xiaokui Xiao, and Beng Chin Ooi. Feature inference attack on model predictions in vertical federated learning. In *2021 IEEE 37th International Conference on Data Engineering*, pages 181–192, Chania, Greece, 2021.
- [Luo *et al.*, 2023] Kangyang Luo, Xiang Li, Yunshi Lan, and Ming Gao. Gradma: A gradient-memory-based accelerated federated learning with alleviated catastrophic forgetting. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 3708–3717, Seattle, WA, USA, 2023.
- [Ma *et al.*, 2022] Yuhang Ma, Zhongle Xie, Jue Wang, Ke Chen, and Lidan Shou. Continual federated learning based on knowledge distillation. In *Proceedings of the Thirty-First International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 2182–2188, Vienna, Austria, 2022.
- [Malinovskiy *et al.*, 2020] Grigory Malinovskiy, Dmitry Kovalev, Elnur Gasanov, Laurent Condat, and Peter Richtarik. From local sgd to local fixed-point methods for federated learning. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 6692–6701, Virtual Event, 2020.
- [Ni *et al.*, 2024] Haotian Ni, Shilin Gu, Ruidong Fan, and Chenping Hou. Feature incremental learning with causality. *Pattern Recognition*, 146(99):110033, 2024.

- [Qiu *et al.*, 2024] Pengyu Qiu, Yuwen Pu, Yongchao Liu, Wenyan Liu, Yun Yue, Xiaowei Zhu, Lichun Li, Jinbao Li, and Shouling Ji. Integer is enough: When vertical federated learning meets rounding. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 14704–14712, Vancouver, Canada, 2024.
- [Romanini *et al.*, 2021] Daniele Romanini, Adam James Hall, Pavlos Papadopoulos, Tom Titcombe, Abbas Ismail, Tudor Cebere, Robert Sandmann, Robin Roehm, and Michael A Hoeh. Pyvertical: A vertical federated learning framework for multi-headed splitnn. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.00489*, PP(99):1–9, 2021.
- [Sakib and Das, 2024] Shahnewaz Karim Sakib and Anindya Bijoy Das. Explainable vertical federated learning for healthcare: Ensuring privacy and optimal accuracy. In *2024 IEEE International Conference on Big Data*, pages 5068–5077, Washington, DC, USA, 2024.
- [Shenaj *et al.*, 2023] Donald Shenaj, Marco Toldo, Alberto Rigon, and Pietro Zanuttigh. Asynchronous federated continual learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5055–5063, Vancouver, BC, Canada, 2023.
- [Wang *et al.*, 2023] Shuo Wang, Keke Gai, Jing Yu, and Liehuang Zhu. Bdvfl: Blockchain-based decentralized vertical federated learning. In *2023 IEEE International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM)*, pages 628–637, Shanghai, China, 2023.
- [Wang *et al.*, 2024a] Ganyu Wang, Bin Gu, Qingsong Zhang, Xiang Li, Boyu Wang, and Charles X Ling. A unified solution for privacy and communication efficiency in vertical federated learning. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 36: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2023*, pages 1–12, New Orleans, LA, USA, 2024.
- [Wang *et al.*, 2024b] Qiang Wang, Bingyan Liu, and Yawen Li. Traceable federated continual learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 12872–12881, Seattle, WA, USA, 2024.
- [Xiao *et al.*, 2017] Han Xiao, Kashif Rasul, and Roland Vollgraf. Fashion-mnist: a novel image dataset for benchmarking machine learning algorithms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.07747*, PP(99):1, 2017.
- [Yang *et al.*, 2023] Xiyuan Yang, Wenke Huang, and Mang Ye. Dynamic personalized federated learning with adaptive differential privacy. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 72181–72192, New Orleans, LA, USA, 2023.
- [Yang *et al.*, 2024] Xin Yang, Hao Yu, Xin Gao, Hao Wang, Junbo Zhang, and Tianrui Li. Federated continual learning via knowledge fusion: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 38(8):3832–3850, 2024.
- [Yoon *et al.*, 2021] Jaehong Yoon, Wonyong Jeong, Giwoong Lee, Eunho Yang, and Sung Ju Hwang. Federated continual learning with weighted inter-client transfer. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 12073–12086, Virtual Event, 2021.
- [Yu *et al.*, 2024] Hao Yu, Xin Yang, Xin Gao, Yihui Feng, Hao Wang, Yan Kang, and Tianrui Li. Overcoming spatial-temporal catastrophic forgetting for federated class-incremental learning. In *ACM Multimedia 2024*, pages 1–9, Melbourne, Australia, 2024.
- [Zhang *et al.*, 2022a] Jie Zhang, Song Guo, Zhihao Qu, Deze Zeng, Haozhao Wang, Qifeng Liu, and Albert Y Zomaya. Adaptive vertical federated learning on unbalanced features. *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, 33(12):4006–4018, 2022.
- [Zhang *et al.*, 2022b] Zhouyangzi Zhang, Bin Guo, Wen Sun, Yan Liu, and Zhiwen Yu. Cross-FCL: Toward a cross-edge federated continual learning framework in mobile edge computing systems. *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, 23(1):313–326, 2022.
- [Zhu *et al.*, 2021] Fei Zhu, Xu-Yao Zhang, Chuang Wang, Fei Yin, and Cheng-Lin Liu. Prototype augmentation and self-supervision for incremental learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5871–5880, Virtual, 2021.