

The density of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q}_p with a rational 3-torsion point or a rational 3-isogeny

Stevan Gajović, Lazar Radičević, Matteo Verzobio

Abstract

We determine the probability that a random Weierstrass equation with coefficients in the p -adic integers defines an elliptic curve with a non-trivial 3-torsion point, or with a degree 3 isogeny, defined over the field of p -adic numbers. We determine these densities by calculating the corresponding p -adic volume integrals and analyzing certain modular curves. Additionally, we explore the case of ℓ -torsion for $\ell > 3$.

1 Introduction

Let $p \geq 3$ be a prime number. In this paper, we determine the probability that a random Weierstrass equation $y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$, with coefficients in the p -adic integers \mathbb{Z}_p , defines an elliptic curve with a non-trivial 3-torsion point or with a degree 3 isogeny defined over the field \mathbb{Q}_p of p -adic numbers. More precisely, we consider the affine space $W = \mathbb{Z}_p^5$ of tuples $[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6]$ of coefficients, equipped with the Haar measure $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^5}$ normalized so that $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^5}(W) = 1$. Let $W_{\text{tors}} \subset W$ be the subspace of tuples for which the equation $y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$ defines an elliptic curve E over \mathbb{Q}_p with $\#(E(\mathbb{Q}_p)[3]) \geq 3$. Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $p \geq 3$. We have*

$$\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^5}(W_{\text{tors}}) = \begin{cases} \frac{p^2(3p^6+4p^2-4p+4)}{8(p^8+p^6+p^4+p^2+1)} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{p}{2(p^2+p+1)} & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{3}{26} & \text{if } p = 3. \end{cases}$$

We also compute the probability that a random Weierstrass equation defines an elliptic curve with a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational isogeny of degree 3. Let $W_{\text{iso}} \subset W$ be the subspace of tuples $[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6]$ of coefficients which define an elliptic curve with a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational 3-isogeny.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $p > 3$. We have*

$$\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^5}(W_{\text{iso}}) = \begin{cases} \frac{3p^4+3p^3+4p^2+4}{4(p^4+p^3+p^2+p+1)} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{p^4+p^3+2p^2+2}{2(p^4+p^3+p^2+p+1)} & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Intuitively, the Haar measure on \mathbb{Z}_p is the probability measure that captures the notion that the probability that a random integer is divisible by p should be $1/p$. An important strategy in the study of arithmetic of elliptic curves, and number theory more broadly, is to reduce an elliptic curve modulo a prime p . For example, let E/\mathbb{Q} be an elliptic curve with good reduction at $p \neq 3$, so that the reduction \tilde{E} is an elliptic

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11G07; Secondary 14H52, 11S80

Key words and phrases: Elliptic curves, torsion points, isogenies, p -adic fields, elliptic curves over local fields, p -adic integration.

curve over \mathbb{F}_p . Then any non-zero 3-torsion point of E defined over \mathbb{Q}_p reduces to a non-zero 3-torsion point of \tilde{E} defined over \mathbb{F}_p . By iterating this procedure for several primes p we obtain an efficient algorithm to constrain the size of the rational 3-torsion subgroup of E . When E does not have good reduction at p , the situation becomes more complicated, requiring the study of congruences modulo higher powers p . The p -adic numbers provide a nice way to package together information modulo various powers of p . Theorem 1.1 can be viewed as the determination of the total number of congruences that characterize elliptic curves with a non-trivial \mathbb{Q}_p -rational 3-torsion point.

While a priori the p -adic density of an arbitrary subset of \mathbb{Z}_p^5 does not need to be a rational number, note that the densities $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^5}(W_{\text{tors}})$ and $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^5}(W_{\text{iso}})$ are fixed rational functions of p (depending only on the class of $p \bmod 3$). This happens frequently in the study of densities of \mathbb{Q}_p -soluble equations for many other classes of equations. For example, in [7], Bhargava, Cremona, Fisher, and the first author show that the probability that a random degree n polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ has a rational zero is a rational function of p . Some other cases that were investigated are \mathbb{Q}_p -solubility of genus one curves of degree 2 and 3 [6], [5], $(2, 2)$ -forms [18], and cubic hypersurfaces [3], and in all these cases the corresponding probability was shown to be a fixed rational function of p .

We now explain the organization and the strategy of the paper. Throughout the paper, we exploit the fact that the modular curves $X_1(3)$ and $X(3)$ that parametrize elliptic curves with level-3 structure are isomorphic to the projective line \mathbb{P}^1 . This is essentially the classical theory of Tate and Hesse normal forms of elliptic curves. We review this theory in Section 3. We prove Theorem 1.1, in the case $p > 3$, in Section 4. The proof splits into cases according to the reduction type of the Weierstrass equations. For Weierstrass equations with good reduction, we count them by counting \mathbb{F}_p -points on $X_1(3)$ and $X(3)$. For Weierstrass equations with bad reduction, their 3-torsion subgroup can be determined solely from their Kodaira type. To compute the probability in this case, we rely on the results of Cremona and Sadek [11], which provide the probabilities of an elliptic curve having a given Kodaira type.

In Section 5 we prove Theorem 1.2 and give a second proof of Theorem 1.1. The main tool is the theory of p -adic integration with respect to Haar measure, and our proof is based on a p -adic change of variables formula, which allows us to compute p -adic volumes by computing integrals of p -adic absolute values of Jacobian determinants. The p -adic integral can be viewed as an extension of the \mathbb{F}_p -point count on the modular curve to the points of bad reduction, and allows us to treat the cases of good and bad reduction uniformly. The method is very flexible and can be used to compute p -adic densities of many sets of interest in arithmetic statistics, arising in a variety of situations (for example, it is also used in the work of Bhargava [4, Theorem 21] on counting quintic number fields and in the proof of Serre's mass formula [28] for totally ramified extensions of \mathbb{Q}_p).

Another natural quantity to consider is the probability that a Weierstrass equation defines an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q}_p with a non-trivial \mathbb{Q}_p -rational ℓ -torsion point, for general ℓ and p . We discuss the case $p = \ell$ in Section 6, proving Theorem 1.1 for $p = 3$. The main difference in the $p = \ell$ case is that the multiplication by p isogeny is not separable in characteristic p . In particular, while by Hensel's lemma an ℓ -torsion point in $\tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)[\ell]$ always lifts to an ℓ -torsion point in $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)[\ell]$ (if $\ell \neq p$), this is not true for p -torsion points. In fact, we show (Proposition 6.13) that the probability that a non-trivial p -torsion \mathbb{F}_p -point on an elliptic curve with good ordinary reduction lifts to a non-trivial p -torsion \mathbb{Q}_p -point is $1/p$. The main idea of the proof is to show that the Serre-Tate canonical lift of \tilde{E} is the unique lift modulo p^2 with this lifting property.

We study the case $p \neq \ell$ in Section 7.3. For $\ell = 2, 3, 4, 5$, the modular curve $X(\ell)$ that parametrizes elliptic curves with full level- ℓ structure is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 , and so we expect that Theorem 1.1 generalizes to these ℓ without any major changes. For $\ell > 5$, the curve $X(\ell)$ has positive genus, and the number of its \mathbb{F}_p -points is typically not a fixed polynomial of p . In Remark 7.5 we give a formula for the probability as a function of p and these \mathbb{F}_p -point counts, showing in particular that is a rational number. We do not expect that the probability is a fixed rational function of the variable p alone for any $\ell > 5$. We however have a simple asymptotic formula (Theorem 7.4) for this probability in the limit $p \rightarrow \infty$.

We remark that in the case $\ell = 2$, the probability that $E : y^2 = f(x)$ has a non-trivial \mathbb{Q}_p -rational 2-torsion point is the probability that $f(x)$ has a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational root. This probability can be readily derived from [7, Section 1.2.3], where the probability of a random cubic polynomial possessing a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational root

is computed. This is based on the observation that $f(x)$ is essentially the 2-division polynomial of E . This strategy however does not extend well to the case $\ell > 2$, since then the ℓ -division polynomials of elliptic curves form a very special family of degree $\ell^2 - 1$ polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ which are not well-suited for the recursive method of [7].

Recently the study of the asymptotic counts of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} with a \mathbb{Q} -rational non-trivial ℓ -torsion point has also attracted a lot of attention see [19], [12], [26], and [10] among others. In particular, [19, Theorem 1.1] provides an asymptotic formula for the number of elliptic curves of bounded height with a \mathbb{Q} -rational non-trivial 3-torsion point. An elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} with a \mathbb{Q} -rational non-trivial 3-torsion point must also have a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial 3-torsion point for all primes p , and in Section 7.2 we prove an upper bound for the number of elliptic curves by imposing local conditions at many primes p and sieving. However, this bound remains larger in order of magnitude than what one would expect based on the results of [19] and [12], and it would be interesting to improve it.

We did not analyze the case $p = 2$ in Theorem 1.1, nor the cases $p = 2, 3$ in Theorem 1.2. However, with the methods developed in this paper, in particular in Section 6, these cases should be straightforward to handle, albeit somewhat tedious. Since their inclusion would not add much to the mathematical content of this work, we have chosen to omit them.

Acknowledgements We thank John Cremona, Netan Dogra, Antonio Lerario, Damien Robert, and Jaap Top for helpful conversations and comments. The authors want to thank Mathematical Institute of Serbian Academy of Sciences, Charles University, ISTA, and University of Groningen for their hospitality when they collaborated. The first author was supported by the MPIM guest postdoctoral fellowship program, Czech Science Foundation GAČR, grant 21-00420M, Junior Fund grant for postdoctoral positions at Charles University, and Mathematical Institute of Serbian Academy of Sciences during various stages of this project. The second author was supported by the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, Mathematical Institute of Serbian Academy of Sciences and King's College London. While working on this paper the third author was supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Grant Agreement No. 101034413.

2 Preliminaries

We are going to recall some basic facts on elliptic curves that we are going to use in the paper. For more details and all the proofs, see [29]. In particular, for the properties of elliptic curves over local fields, we always refer to [29, Chapter 7].

When $p > 3$, the change of variables that reduces a long Weierstrass equation to a short one with $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$ is measure preserving (see Proposition 5.2) and so throughout the paper (except in the case $p = 3$) we will restrict attention to the Weierstrass equations of the form $y^2 = x^3 + a_4x + a_6$, where $a_4, a_6 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Let E be an elliptic curve defined over a field K . If ℓ is a prime and μ_ℓ , a primitive ℓ -th root of unity, is not in K , then $E(K)[\ell]$ cannot have order ℓ^2 . For all $n \geq 1$, we can find two polynomials $\theta_n(x, y)$ and $\psi_n(x, y)$, depending on the Weierstrass equation defining E , such that for non-zero $P \in E(K)$ with $nP \neq 0_E$ we have

$$x(nP) = \frac{\theta_n(x(P), y(P))}{\psi_n^2(x(P), y(P))}$$

and $\psi_n^2(x(P), y(P)) = 0$ if and only if $nP = 0_E$. In particular, if E is defined by the Weierstrass equation $y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$, then ψ_3 is the 3-division polynomial:

$$\psi_3(x, y) = 3x^4 + (a_1^2 + 4a_4)x^3 + 3(2a_4 + a_1a_3)x^2 + 3(a_3^2 + 4a_6)x + a_1^2a_6 + 4a_2a_6 - a_1a_3a_4 + a_2a_3^2 - a_4^2.$$

Let E be an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q}_p by a Weierstrass equation $y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$. All the isomorphisms from E to another Weierstrass equation are given by maps of the form $(x, y) \mapsto (u^2x + r, u^3y + u^2sx + t)$, where $u \in \mathbb{Q}_p^*$ and $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ which we call a change of variables. If E is in short Weierstrass form, that is defined by $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$, then the only change of variables preserving

this form is given by maps of the form $(x, y) \mapsto (u^2x, u^3y)$, that gives a map $E \rightarrow E_u$ with E_u defined by $y^2 = x^3 + Au^4x + Bu^6$. Notice in particular that E_u is defined over \mathbb{Q}_p if and only if $u^2 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ (unless $A = 0$ or $B = 0$).

For the definition of elliptic curves in minimal form, see [29, Section VII.1], For the definition and properties of good, additive, and multiplicative reduction, see [29, Section VII.5]. For the Kodaira symbols, we refer to [29, Table C.15.1]. We will often use Hensel's lemma, as stated in [29, Lemma IV.1.2], and see [22, Corollary 6.2.13] for the geometric version.

3 Moduli spaces of elliptic curves with level-3 structure

In this section, we review some well-known results on parametrizations of moduli spaces of Weierstrass equations that define elliptic curves with level-3 structure. Classically these results are stated in terms of modular curves, which parametrize elliptic curves with level 3-structure up to isomorphism. Note that a point on a modular curve only determines an elliptic curve up to isomorphism and does not specify a preferred Weierstrass equation for the curve. We restate these modular parametrizations in terms of a finer moduli space that parametrizes Weierstrass equations of elliptic curves, which allows us to treat j -invariants 0 and 1728 uniformly and simplifies our counting problem. Throughout this section we will assume k is a perfect field of characteristic $\neq 2, 3$.

3.1 Counting Weierstrass equations

We first observe that counting short Weierstrass equations is the same as counting elliptic curves with a regular differential. For definition and properties of differentials, see [29, Section III.5]. Consider pairs (E, ω) of an elliptic curve E/k and a regular differential 1-form ω on E . We say two pairs (E_1, ω_1) and (E_2, ω_2) are k -isomorphic if there is a k -isomorphism $\gamma : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ with $\gamma^*\omega_2 = \omega_1$.

Definition 3.1. Define $X_\omega(k)$ as the set of k -isomorphism classes (E, ω) .

Proposition 3.2. *There is a bijection between the set $\mathbb{A}_X^2 = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{A}^2 : 4a^3 + 27b^2 \neq 0\}$ and the set $X_\omega(k)$ given by the map $\phi : \mathbb{A}_X^2(k) \rightarrow X_\omega(k)$ defined as*

$$(a, b) \mapsto \left(E_{a,b} : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b, \frac{dx}{y} \right).$$

Proof. We check injectivity: If $(E_{a',b'}, \frac{dx'}{y'}) \cong (E_{a,b}, \frac{dx}{y})$, then there is a change of variables $(x', y') = \gamma(x, y) = (u^2x, u^3y)$ and then $a' = u^4a$ and $b' = u^6b$. We compute $\frac{dx}{y} = \gamma^*(\frac{dx'}{y'}) = u^{-1}\frac{dx'}{y'}$, so $u = 1$. We now check surjectivity. Given a pair $(E, \omega) \in X_\omega(k)$, choose a Weierstrass model $E_{a,b}$ for E . Since the space $H^0(E, \Omega_E)$ of global differentials on E is 1-dimensional by the Riemann-Roch theorem, we can achieve $\frac{dx}{y} = \omega$ by rescaling the pair (a, b) . \square

3.2 Elliptic curves with a marked 3-torsion point

We recall the well-known parametrization of elliptic curves with a marked k -rational non-trivial 3-torsion point.

Lemma 3.3. *Let E/k be an elliptic curve, $P \in E(k)[3]$ a non-trivial 3-torsion point. Then there is an isomorphism $i : E \rightarrow E'$ defined over k where E' is given by*

$$E' : y^2 + uxy + vy = x^3$$

with $i(P) = (0, 0)$. The pairs (u, v) are unique up to scaling by $s \in k^\times$: $(u, v) \mapsto (su, s^3v)$, and we have a bijection between pairs (u, v) up to this equivalence relation and isomorphism classes of pairs (E, P) .

Remark 3.4. The equation $y^2 + uxy + vy = x^3$ is known as the Tate normal form of an elliptic curve.

Proof. Suppose E is given by

$$E : y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6.$$

Choose coordinates so that $P = (0, 0)$ and $y = 0$ is the tangent line at P , so that $a_4 = a_6 = 0$. Then $\psi_3(0) = 0$, that implies that $a_2 = 0$. Any two different Weierstrass equations corresponding to (u_1, v_1) and (u_2, v_2) are related by a substitution $x' = (u')^2x + r'$, $y' = (u')^3y + (u')^2s'x + t'$, and since in both sets of coordinates $P = (0, 0)$ and $y = 0$ is the tangent line at P , we have $r' = s' = t' = 0$ and $(u_2, v_2) = (u'u_1, (u')^3v_1)$ and the claim follows. \square

We consider triples (E, ω, P) , where ω is a regular 1-form on E and we have $P \in E[3](k)$. Two triples (E_1, ω_1, P_1) and (E_2, ω_2, P_2) are k -isomorphic if there is an k -isomorphism $\gamma : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ with $\gamma^*\omega_2 = \omega_1$ and $\gamma(P_1) = P_2$.

Definition 3.5. Let $X_{\omega,1}(3)(k)$ denote the set of k -isomorphism classes (E, ω, P) , where ω is a regular 1-form on E/k and $P \in E[3](k) \neq 0_E$.

Let

$$\mathbb{A}_{X_1(3)}^2 = \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{A}^2 : (u^3 - 27v)v^3 \neq 0\}. \quad (1)$$

Notice that $(u^3 - 27v)v^3$ is the discriminant of the Weierstrass equation in Tate normal form.

Proposition 3.6. *There is a bijection $\phi_1 : \mathbb{A}_{X_1(3)}^2(k) \rightarrow X_{\omega,1}(3)(k)$ defined by*

$$(u, v) \mapsto \left(y^2 + uxy + vy = x^3, \frac{dx}{y + ux + v}, (0, 0) \right).$$

Proof. We observe that rescaling the pair $(u, v) \mapsto (su, s^3v)$ in Tate normal form rescales the differential $\omega \mapsto s^{-1}\omega$, and the result follows immediately from the proof of the previous lemma. \square

To count isogenies of degree 3 we will also need a twisted variant of $X_{\omega,1}(3)$. First, we recall a simple criterion for an elliptic curve to have a k -rational 3-isogeny.

Lemma 3.7. *An elliptic curve $E/k : y^2 = f(x)$ has a k -rational 3-isogeny if and only if it admits a Weierstrass equation of the form $y^2 = (x - \alpha)^3 + \lambda(u(x - \alpha) + v)^2$ where $\lambda \in k^*$ and $\alpha, u, v \in k$. The kernel of the 3-isogeny is $\{0_E, (\pm\alpha, \sqrt{\lambda}v)\}$.*

Proof. Suppose that E has a k -rational 3-isogeny with kernel generated by a point $P = (x(P), y(P))$. For all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$, we have $P^\sigma = \pm P$, so that we have $x(P) \in k$ and $y(P)$ is defined over a (at worst quadratic extension $k(\sqrt{\lambda})/k$, for some $\lambda \in k^*$ (if $y(P) \in k$, we put $\lambda = 1$). As the divisor $-3 \cdot P + 3 \cdot 0_E$ is principal, there exists a rational function on E which has a triple pole at 0_E , a triple zero at P and no other zeros or poles, which we can write as $y + Ax + B$, for some $A, B \in k(\sqrt{\lambda})$. We observe that $y - Ax - B$ has a triple zero at $-P$ and no other zeros, and hence we have $(y + Ax + B)(y - Ax - B) = (x - x(P))^3$, and so $y^2 = (x - x(P))^3 + (Ax + B)^2$. If $P \notin E(k)$, then P and $-P$ are Galois conjugate, so we must have $x(P), A/\sqrt{\lambda}, B/\sqrt{\lambda} \in k$, and our claim follows. Conversely, from the factorization

$$(y - \sqrt{\lambda}(u(x - \alpha) + v)) (y + \sqrt{\lambda}(u(x - \alpha) + v)) = (x - \alpha)^3$$

we see that the divisor of $(y - \sqrt{\lambda}(u(x - \alpha) + v))$ is $3 \cdot 0_E - 3 \cdot (\alpha, \sqrt{\lambda}v)$, and so $P = (\alpha, \sqrt{\lambda}v)$ is a 3-torsion point defined over $k(\sqrt{\lambda})$, and so generates a k -rational 3-isogeny. \square

Remark 3.8. When $\lambda = 1$, the above normal form can also be obtained by completing the square on the left-hand side of the Tate normal form of the elliptic curve.

Fix $\lambda \in k^* \setminus (k^*)^2$, and let $\psi : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ be the character of degree 2 associated with the quadratic field extension $k(\sqrt{\lambda})$, defined by $\psi(\sigma) = \frac{\sigma(\sqrt{\lambda})}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$ for $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$.

Consider triples (E, ω, P) , where ω is a regular 1-form on E and $P \in E[3](\bar{k})$ is such that we have $\sigma(P) = \psi(\sigma)P$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$. Equivalently, if ψ is non-trivial, $P \in E[3](k(\sqrt{\lambda}))$ and $P \notin E[3](k)$. Then $\{0_E, P, -P\}$ is a cyclic subgroup of E , defined over k , on which $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ acts through the character ψ . We say that two triples (E_1, ω_1, P_1) and (E_2, ω_2, P_2) are k -isomorphic if there is a k -isomorphism $\gamma : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ with $\gamma^*\omega_2 = \omega_1$ and $\gamma(P_1) = P_2$.

Definition 3.9. Let $X_{\omega, \psi}(3)(k)$ denote the set of k -isomorphism classes of (E, ω, P) where E/k is an elliptic curve, ω is a regular 1-form on E and $P \in E[3](\bar{k})$ is a non-zero point such that we have $\sigma(P) = \psi(\sigma)P$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$.

Define

$$\mathbb{A}_{X_\psi(3)}^2 = \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{A}^2 : 4\lambda^3 u^3 v^3 - 27\lambda^2 v^4 \neq 0\}.$$

Notice that $16(4\lambda^3 u^3 v^3 - 27\lambda^2 v^4)$ is the discriminant of the elliptic curve defined in the statement of Lemma 3.7.

Proposition 3.10. *There is a bijection $\phi_\psi : \mathbb{A}_{X_\psi(3)}^2(k) \rightarrow X_{\omega, \psi}(3)(k)$ defined by*

$$(u, v) \mapsto \left(y^2 = x^3 + \left(2\lambda uv - \frac{\lambda^2 u^4}{3} \right) x + \left(\lambda v^2 - \frac{2\lambda^2 v u^3}{3} + \frac{2\lambda^3 u^6}{27} \right), \frac{dx}{y}, \left(\frac{\lambda u^2}{3}, \sqrt{\lambda} v \right) \right).$$

Proof. The unique value of α for which the equation of Lemma 3.7 is a short Weierstrass equation is $\alpha = \frac{\lambda u^2}{3}$. The statement now follows from the proof of Lemma 3.7, putting $\alpha = \frac{\lambda u^2}{3}$. \square

3.3 Elliptic curves with full level-3 structure

Now, we describe the family of elliptic curves with $E[3]$ that has a certain Galois structure. Consider the $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -module $M := \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mu_3$ equipped with the alternating pairing $\Lambda^2 M \rightarrow \mu_3$ defined by $((a, \zeta_3^b), (c, \zeta_3^d)) = \zeta_3^{ad-bc}$, where ζ_3 is a primitive cube root of unity and $\mu_3 = \langle \zeta_3 \rangle$. We consider pairs (E, ϕ) , where E is an elliptic curve over k and $\phi : M \rightarrow E[3]$ is an isomorphism defined over k , which is compatible with the alternating pairing on M and the Weil pairing on $E[3]$ (notice in particular that E has a k -rational non-trivial 3-torsion point). We say that two pairs (E, ϕ) and (E', ϕ') are isomorphic if there is a k -isomorphism $\psi : E \rightarrow E'$ such that $\phi' := \psi \circ \phi$. Pairs (E, ϕ) are parametrized by an open subset of the modular curve $X(3) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, and a point $(u : v) \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)$ corresponds to the elliptic curve

$$C_{u,v} := u(x^3 + y^3 + z^3) - 3vxyz = 0$$

with $(0 : 1 : -1)$ as the identity element, and the isomorphism $\phi : M \rightarrow C_{u,v}[3]$ defined by $\phi(1, 0) = (1 : -1 : 0)$ and $\phi(0, \zeta_3) = (0 : \zeta_3 : -\zeta_3^2)$. This family of plane cubic curves is known as the Hesse pencil, see [27, Section 1.1] or [2].

As before, to count Weierstrass equations, we add the data of a regular differential ω on E to a pair (E, ϕ) and consider triples (E, ω, ϕ) . We define the notion of k -isomorphism of such triples in a similar way as before.

Definition 3.11. Define $X_\omega(3)(k)$ to be the set of k -isomorphism classes triples (E, ω, ϕ) where E/k is an elliptic curve, ω is a regular differential on E , and $\phi : M \rightarrow E[3]$ is an isomorphism defined over k , which is compatible with the alternating pairing on M and the Weil pairing on $E[3]$.

Let

$$\mathbb{A}_{X(3)}^2 = \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{A}^2 : u(u^3 - v^3) \neq 0\}. \quad (2)$$

Notice that $u(u^3 - v^3)$ is the discriminant of the elliptic curve $C_{u,v}$.

Proposition 3.12. *There is a bijection $\phi_2 : \mathbb{A}_{X(3)}^2(k) \rightarrow X_\omega(3)(k)$ defined by*

$$(u, v) \mapsto \left(u(x^3 + y^3 + z^3) - 3vxyz = 0, \frac{ydz - zdy}{3ux^2 - 3vyz}, \phi \right)$$

where the isomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mu_3 \rightarrow E[3]$ sends the generators $(0, \zeta_3)$ and $(1, 1)$ of $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mu_3$ to $\phi(0, \zeta_3) = (0 : \zeta_3, -\zeta_3)^2$ and $\phi(1, 1) = (1 : -1 : 0)$.

Proof. The differential $\frac{ydz - zdy}{3ux^2 - 3vyz}$ is a regular differential on $C_{u,v}$ by the adjunction formula applied to $C_{u,v} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ (see also [15, Lemma 5.21]), and so the map ϕ_2 is well-defined. Rescaling the pair (u, v) by a $\lambda \in k^*$ rescales $\frac{ydz - zdy}{3ux^2 - 3vyz}$ by λ^{-1} . The family $C_{u,v}$ is the universal elliptic curve with level-3 structure over the open modular curve $Y(3) \cong \{(u : v) \in \mathbb{P}^1 : u(u^3 - v^3) \neq 0\}$, see for example [27, Section 1.1] for a proof. Thus any pair (E, ϕ) arises from a pair $(u, v) \in \mathbb{A}_{X(3)}^2$ that is unique up to scaling, and fixing a differential ω on E pins down the scaling uniquely. \square

Corollary 3.13. *There is a bijection $\phi_2 : \mathbb{A}_{X(3)}^2(k) \rightarrow X_\omega(3)(k)$ defined by*

$$(u, v) \mapsto \left(y^2 = x^3 + \left(-\frac{27}{2}u^3v - \frac{27}{16}v^4 \right) x + \left(-\frac{27}{4}u^6 - \frac{135}{8}u^3v^3 + \frac{27}{32}v^6 \right), \frac{dx}{y}, \phi \right)$$

where the isomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mu_3 \rightarrow E[3]$ sends the generators $(1, 1)$ and $(0, \zeta_3)$ of $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mu_3$ to

$$\phi(1, 1) = \left(\frac{3}{4}(4u^2 + 4uv + v^2), -\frac{9}{2}(u^3 + u^2v + uv^2) \right)$$

and

$$\phi(0, \zeta_3) = \left(-\frac{9}{4}v^2, 3(2\zeta_3 + 1)(u^3 - v^3) \right).$$

Proof. We compute a Weierstrass equation for the curve $C_{u,v}$ by using Weil's formula for the Weierstrass equation of plane cubic, see [1, Theorem 3.1] and [17, Theorem 1.2] for a more general version. It is given by

$$E_{u,v} := y^2 = x^3 + \left(-\frac{27}{2}u^3v - \frac{27}{16}v^4 \right) x + \left(-\frac{27}{4}u^6 - \frac{135}{8}u^3v^3 + \frac{27}{32}v^6 \right).$$

For the explicit Weierstrass equation, see [27, Equation 1]. In fact, [17, Theorem 1.2] implies that we have an isomorphism of pairs (E, ω) :

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(y^2 = x^3 + \left(-\frac{27}{2}u^3v - \frac{27}{16}v^4 \right) x + \left(-\frac{27}{4}u^6 - \frac{135}{8}u^3v^3 + \frac{27}{32}v^6 \right), \frac{dx}{y} \right) \\ & \cong \left(u(x^3 + y^3 + z^3) - 3vxyz = 0, \frac{ydz - zdy}{3ux^2 - 3vyz} \right). \end{aligned}$$

A direct calculation shows that $P_1 = \phi(1, 1)$ and $P_2 = \phi(0, \zeta_3)$ are 3-torsion points with Weil pairing $e(P_1, P_2) = \zeta_3$, and that $\phi : \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times M \rightarrow E_{u,v}[3]$ is a Galois equivariant isomorphism. \square

We will also need a twisted form of this parametrization. Fix an elliptic curve $F/k : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ and consider the modular curve $X_F(3)$, the k -points of which parametrize elliptic curves E/k with an isomorphism $\phi : F[3] \rightarrow E[3]$ that respects the Weil pairing. The curve $X_F(3)$ is a twist of $X(3) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and is also isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 , since there is a k -rational point on $X_F(3)$ corresponding to the curve F itself. Theorem 13.2 of [16] gives the following explicit parametrization: a point $(u : v) \in X_F(3)(k)$ determines the elliptic curve

$$E : y^2 = x^3 + \mathbf{c}_4(u, v)x + \mathbf{c}_6(u, v)$$

and an isomorphism $\phi_{u,v} : F[3] \rightarrow E[3]$ of Galois modules. The coefficients $\mathbf{c}_4, \mathbf{c}_6$ are called *Hesse* polynomials, given by

$$\mathbf{c}_4 = -6912a^3v^4 - 288a^2u^2v^2 - 3456abuv^3 + au^4 - 62208b^2v^4 + 72bu^3v$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c}_6 := & -110592a^4uv^5 - 995328a^3bv^6 + 34560a^2bu^2v^4 - 16a^2u^5v \\ & - 497664ab^2uv^5 - 720abu^4v^2 - 5971968b^3v^6 - 17280b^2u^3v^3 + bu^6. \end{aligned}$$

We define $\mathbf{disc}(u, v) = -16(4\mathbf{c}_4^3 + 27\mathbf{c}_6^2)$. When $v = 0$, we obtain $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$. The isomorphism $\phi_{u,v}$ can also in principle be made explicit, see [16], but we do not go into more details since we do not need this explicit description.

Definition 3.14. Let $X_{\omega, F}(3)(k)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of triples (E, ω, ϕ) consisting of an elliptic curve E/k , a regular 1-form ω , and a symplectic isomorphism $\phi : E[3] \rightarrow F[3]$.

Let $\mathbb{A}_{X_F(3)}^2 = \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{A}^2 : \mathbf{disc}(u, v) \neq 0\}$. The following proposition then follows from [16, Theorem 13.2].

Proposition 3.15. *There is a bijection $\phi_F : \mathbb{A}_{X_F(3)}^2(k) \rightarrow X_{\omega, F}(3)(k)$ defined by*

$$(u, v) \mapsto \left(y^2 = x^3 + \mathbf{c}_4(u, v)x + \mathbf{c}_6(u, v), \frac{dx}{y}, \phi_{u,v} \right).$$

3.4 Covering maps

A point of the modular curve $X(3)$ is represented by an elliptic curve E with a marked pair of non-trivial 3-torsion points $P, Q \in E[3]$. By forgetting the point Q , we can view the (isomorphism class of) pair (E, P) as a point on the modular curve $X_1(3)$, and by forgetting the point P , we view (the isomorphism class of) curve E as a point on the modular curve $X(1)$. These maps can also be defined on the moduli spaces of Weierstrass equations defined above. We identify $X_{\omega}(3) = \mathbb{A}_{X(3)}^2, X_{\omega, 1}(3) = \mathbb{A}_{X_1(3)}^2$ and $X_{\omega} = \mathbb{A}_X^2$, thanks to Propositions 3.2, 3.6, 3.12.

Lemma 3.16. *The map $\pi_1 : \mathbb{A}_{X_1(3)}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_X^2$ corresponding to $(E, \omega, P) \mapsto (E, \omega)$ is given by:*

$$\pi_1(u, v) = \left(8uv - \frac{u^4}{3}, 16v^2 - \frac{8vu^3}{3} + \frac{2u^6}{27} \right).$$

The Jacobian determinant of $\pi_1(u, v)$ is $J(u, v) = 256v^2$. The map $\pi_2 : \mathbb{A}_{X(3)}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_X^2$ corresponding to $(E, \omega, \phi) \mapsto (E, \omega)$ is given by

$$\pi_2(u, v) = (-216u^3v - 27v^4, -432u^6 - 1080u^3v^3 + 54v^6).$$

The Jacobian determinant of this map at a point (u, v) is given by

$$J(u, v) = -559872u^2(u - v)^2(u^2 + uv + v^2)^2.$$

Proof. A straightforward calculation, which we carry out using the computer algebra system MAGMA [8]. For the map π_1 , we use formulas from [29, Section III.1] to convert an elliptic curve in Tate normal form (the one defined in Proposition 3.6) to short Weierstrass form, which gives the equations defining π_1 , from which we can directly compute the Jacobian determinant. We use the formula for the Weierstrass form of an elliptic curve in the Hesse normal form given in Corollary 3.13 to compute the map π_2 and its Jacobian determinant. \square

Lemma 3.17. *Let $(E, \omega) \in X_\omega(k)$ be defined over a perfect field k . There is a bijection between the set of k -rational points in the preimage $\pi_1^{-1}(E, \omega) \cap X_{\omega,1}(k)$ and the set $E[3](k) \setminus \{0_E\}$ of non-zero k -rational 3-torsion points of E , and a bijection between the set of k -rational points in the preimage $\pi_2^{-1}(E, \omega) \cap X_{\omega,E}(3)(k)$ and the set of k -rational isomorphisms $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mu_3 \rightarrow E[3]$.*

Proof. By definition, we have $\pi_1^{-1}(E, \omega) = \{(E, \omega, P) : P \in E[3](\bar{k}), P \neq 0_E\}$. If P_1, P_2 are distinct non-zero 3-torsion points, then the triples (E, ω, P_1) and (E, ω, P_2) are not isomorphic, since the only automorphism of E that fixes E and ω is the identity. The same argument applies to the map π_2 . As this bijection is compatible with the action of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$, the rationality statement follows. \square

These covering maps can also be defined for the twisted moduli spaces $X_{\omega,\psi}(3)$ and $X_{\omega,F}(3)$. We make explicit the case when $F : y^2 = x^3 + b$ has j -invariant zero.

Lemma 3.18. *Let $\psi : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ be the quadratic character associated with $k(\sqrt{\lambda})/k$. The map*

$$\pi_\psi : \mathbb{A}_{X_\psi(3)}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_X^2$$

corresponding to $\pi_1(E, \omega, P) = (E, \omega)$ is given by:

$$\pi_\psi(u, v) = \left(2\lambda uv - \frac{\lambda^2 u^4}{3}, \lambda v^2 - \frac{2\lambda^2 v u^3}{3} + \frac{2\lambda^3 u^6}{27} \right).$$

The Jacobian determinant of $\pi_\psi(u, v)$ is $J(u, v) = 4\lambda^2 v^2$.

Proof. Exactly the same as the first part of Lemma 3.16, using Proposition 3.10. \square

Lemma 3.19. *Let $F : y^2 = x^3 + b$ be an elliptic curve. The map $\pi_F : \mathbb{A}_{X_F(3)}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_X^2$ defined by $(E, \omega, \phi) \mapsto (E, \omega)$ is given by*

$$\pi_F(u, v) = (-2^8 \cdot 3^5 \cdot b^2 v^4 + 2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot b u^3 v, -2^{13} \cdot 3^6 \cdot b^3 v^6 - 2^7 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot b^2 u^3 v^3 + b u^6).$$

Proof. Similar to Lemma 3.16. Note that the formula for the map π_F is obtained by specializing the formulas of Proposition 3.15 to the case $a = 0$. \square

Finally, we also note that an analog of Lemma 3.17 is also true for these twisted parametrizations, and can be proven in the same way.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We assume $p \geq 5$ in this section. The case $p = 3$ is treated in Section 6.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We distinguish cases of good, split and non-split multiplicative, and additive reduction, and compute the probability in each separate case. These probabilities will be conditional to being in minimal form, which, by [11, Proposition 2.1] happens with probability $1 - p^{-10}$, so we need to multiply our results by $\frac{1}{1-p^{-10}}$. The theorem follows by adding the results from Propositions 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, and 4.6. \square

Lemma 4.1. *Let E/\mathbb{Q}_p be an elliptic curve in minimal form and $\ell \neq p$ a prime. Consider the associated filtration $E(\mathbb{Q}_p) \supset E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p) \supset E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, where $E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is the subgroup of points with non-singular reduction modulo p and $E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is the kernel of the reduction modulo p . There exists a non-zero $P \in E(\mathbb{Q}_p)[\ell]$ if and only if $\ell \mid [E(\mathbb{Q}_p) : E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)]$.*

Proof. Since $p \geq 3$, we have $E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ as a topological group by the theory of formal groups [29, Proposition VII.2.2]. Hence multiplication by ℓ induces an isomorphism $E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \xrightarrow{\cdot \ell} E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Thus, $E(\mathbb{Q}_p) \xrightarrow{\cdot \ell} E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is an injection if and only if $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \xrightarrow{\cdot \ell} E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is an injection, and the claim follows. \square

We now compute $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^5}(W_{\text{gr}})$, $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^5}(W_{\text{smr}})$, $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^5}(W_{\text{nsmr}})$, and $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^5}(W_{\text{ar}})$, where W_{gr} , W_{smr} , W_{nsmr} , and W_{ar} are subsets of $\mathbb{A}_{\Delta \neq 0}^5$ of those $[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6]$ for which $y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$ has good reduction, split multiplicative reduction, non-split multiplicative reduction, and additive reduction, respectively, where E is in minimal form, and has a non-trivial \mathbb{Q}_p -rational 3-torsion point.

4.1 Good reduction

Lemma 4.2. *Let $W_{3,p}$ be the number of short Weierstrass equations over \mathbb{F}_p with a \mathbb{F}_p -rational non-trivial 3-torsion point. If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then $W_{3,p} = \frac{3p^2-4p+1}{8}$. If $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then $W_{3,p} = \frac{(p-1)^2}{2}$.*

Proof. Suppose first that $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Then we cannot have $E[3](\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$, since $\zeta_3 \notin \mathbb{F}_p$. Thus if $3 \mid \#(E(\mathbb{F}_p))$, we have $E(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$. By Lemma 3.17 $\pi_1 : X_{\omega,1}(3)(\mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow X_{\omega}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is a 2-to-1 surjective map. Identifying $X_{\omega,1}(3) = \mathbb{A}_{X_1(3)}^2$, that is defined in Equation (1), we see that $\#(X_{\omega,1}(3)(\mathbb{F}_p)) = p^2 - p - p + 1 = (p-1)^2$, we conclude $W_{3,p} = (p-1)^2/2$.

Suppose that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ now. Then the field \mathbb{Q}_p contains every cube root of unity, and so $\mu_3 \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$ as a $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -module. We compute the number $W_{(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2,p}$ of short Weierstrass equations with $E[3](\mathbb{F}_p) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$ by counting \mathbb{F}_p -points on the moduli space $X_{\omega}(3)$. By Lemma 3.17, $\pi_2 : X_{\omega}(3)(\mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow X_{\omega}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is a 24-to-1 cover, since if $E[3](\mathbb{F}_p) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$, then symplectic isomorphisms $\phi : M \rightarrow E[3]$ can be identified with $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$, which has 24 elements.

Identifying $X_{\omega}(3)(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{A}_{X(3)}^2(\mathbb{F}_p)$, that is defined in Equation (2), we see that $\#(X_{\omega}(3)(\mathbb{F}_p)) = p^2 - 4p + 3$ and hence $W_{(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2,p} = (p^2 - 4p + 3)/24$. We next consider $\pi_1 : X_{\omega,1}(3)(\mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow X_{\omega}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Weierstrass equations with $E[3](\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ have preimages of size 2 under π_1 , while those with $E[3](\mathbb{F}_p) = (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$ have preimages of size 8. Since we know there are $(p^2 - 4p + 3)/24$ of the latter ones, we know that their preimage in $X_{\omega,1}(3)(\mathbb{F}_p)$ consists of $(p^2 - 4p + 3)/3$ points. This leaves $(p-1)^2 - (p^2 - 4p + 3)/3 = \frac{2p^2-2p}{3}$ points which map to Weierstrass equations with $E[3](\mathbb{F}_p) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$. We conclude that there are $\frac{p^2-p}{3}$ such equations, and in total

$$W_{3,p} = \frac{p^2-p}{3} + \frac{p^2-4p+3}{24} = \frac{3p^2-4p+1}{8}.$$

□

By Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, Proposition 5.2, and the fact that there are p^2 short Weierstrass equations in \mathbb{F}_p , we conclude the following.

Proposition 4.3. *We have*

$$\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^5}(W_{\text{gr}}) = \begin{cases} \frac{3p^2-4p+1}{8p^2} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{(p-1)^2}{2p^2} & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

4.2 Bad reduction

Define $\tilde{E}_{ns}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ as the reduction modulo p of the points in $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ that reduce to non-singular points modulo p and recall $\tilde{E}_{ns}(\mathbb{F}_p) \cong E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p)/E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

Proposition 4.4. *We have*

$$\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^5}(W_{\text{smr}}) = \begin{cases} \frac{p-1}{2p^2} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{p-1}{2p^2(p^2+p+1)} & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since E has split multiplicative reduction, $\tilde{E}_{ns}(\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$ is cyclic of order $p-1$. Thus, if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, by Lemma 4.1, there is a non-trivial 3-torsion point in $E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

If $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, by Lemma 4.1, there is a non-trivial 3-torsion point in $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ if and only if $3 \mid n$, where n is such that $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, i.e., n is equal to the valuation of the discriminant of $[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6]$ (or equivalently equal to the minus of the valuation of the j -invariant), see [29, Corollary C.15.2.1]. In this case, E has Kodaira symbol I_n . Thus, $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^5}(W_{\text{smr}})$ is the probability that $3 \mid n$, or equivalently the probability that the Kodaira symbol of $[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6]$ is I_{3k} for some $k \geq 1$, and that the reduction of E is split.

The problem is thus reduced to computing the probability that $[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6]$ has Kodaira symbol I_m and split reduction, for arbitrary $k \geq 1$. This quantity is computed in [11, Theorem 5.3] to be equal to $\frac{1}{2}(p-1)^2/p^{m+2}$; see also [11, p. 451] for this formula. We obtain the result by summing these values over all $m \geq 1$ for $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $3m$, for $m \geq 1$ for $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. \square

Proposition 4.5. *We have*

$$\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^5}(W_{\text{nsmr}}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{p-1}{2p^2} & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. In this case, $\#\tilde{E}_{\text{ns}}(\mathbb{F}_p) = p+1$ (see [29, Exercise 3.5.a.ii]), so for $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, by Lemma 4.1 there is a non-trivial 3-torsion point in $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Then $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^5}(W_{\text{nsmr}})$ is equal to the probability that $[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6]$ defines a curve with non-split multiplicative reduction, which is given in [11, Theorem 5.3].

If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, since $\#(E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p))$ has order that divides 4 (see [29, Corollary C.15.2.1]), by Lemma 4.1 there is no a non-trivial 3-torsion point in $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. \square

Proposition 4.6. *We have*

$$\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^5}(W_{\text{ar}}) = \frac{p-1}{2p^5} + \frac{p-1}{2p^8}.$$

Proof. Since $\#\tilde{E}_{\text{ns}}(\mathbb{F}_p) \mid p$, by Lemma 4.1 there is a non-trivial 3-torsion point in $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ if and only if $3 \mid [E(\mathbb{Q}_p) : E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p)]$. This happens if and only if the Tamagawa number c_p of E is 3, which happens only if the Kodaira symbol is IV or IV^* , and these probabilities are given in [11, Proposition 2.2 and the proof of Theorem 5.3]. See in particular [11, Page 453 and 456]. \square

5 Isogenies of degree 3

5.1 p -adic integration

Fix $p > 3$. Let $|\cdot|_p$ denote the p -adic absolute value on \mathbb{Q}_p , normalized so that $|p|_p = \frac{1}{p}$. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and let dx denote the Haar measure on \mathbb{Z}_p^r , normalized so that $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^r}(\mathbb{Z}_p^r) = 1$. Computing the p -adic density of a measurable subset $S \subset \mathbb{Z}_p^r$ amounts to computing the integral $\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^r} \mathbf{1}_S dx$ of its indicator function $\mathbf{1}_S$. The following theorem of Igusa provides a change of variables formula for these integrals. We will only use the result for polynomials.

Theorem 5.1 (Igusa). *Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_p^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^r$ given by $f(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_r(x))$, where $f_i(x)$ are power series in $\mathbb{Z}_p[[x_1, \dots, x_r]]$ that converge uniformly on \mathbb{Z}_p^r . Let $J(x) := \partial(f_1, \dots, f_r)/\partial(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ be the Jacobian determinant of f . Suppose that for $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^r$ we have $\partial(f_1, \dots, f_r)/\partial(x_1, \dots, x_r)|_a \neq 0$. Then there are open neighbourhoods U of a and V of $y = f(a)$ such that the restriction $f : U \rightarrow V$ is a bijection and*

$$dy = \partial(f_1, \dots, f_r)/\partial(x_1, \dots, x_r) dx,$$

where dy is the restriction of the Haar measure to V and x the restriction to U . For any integrable \mathbb{R} -valued function g on V , we have

$$\int_V g(y) dy = \int_U g(f(x)) |J(x)|_p dx.$$

Proof. See Proposition 7.4.1 of [21] for a proof and Chapter 2 of [21] for the definition of convergent power series and analytic functions in this context. \square

The importance of p -adic integrals for the problem can already be seen from the following proposition which allows us to look at different types of Weierstrass equations.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $p > 3$ be a prime, and P a property of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q}_p that does not depend on the choice of a Weierstrass equation. Let*

$$S_1 = \{[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6] \in \mathbb{Z}_p^5 : E: y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6 \text{ has property } P\};$$

$$S_2 = \{[a_2, a_4, a_6] \in \mathbb{Z}_p^3 : E: y^2 = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6 \text{ has property } P\};$$

$$S_3 = \{[a_4, a_6] \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2 : E: y^2 = x^3 + a_4x + a_6 \text{ has property } P\}.$$

Then $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^5}(S_1) = \mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^3}(S_2) = \mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(S_3)$. If $p = 3$, then $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^5}(S_1) = \mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^3}(S_2)$.

Proof. The change of variables that transforms a shape from S_3 to S_2 is given by $\psi_{a_2}: x \mapsto x - \frac{a_2}{3}$, which maps (a_4, a_6) to (a'_4, a'_6) and it is a bijection whose determinant of the Jacobian is 1, for any $a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Hence,

$$\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^3}(S_2) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^3} 1_{S_2} d\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^3} = \int_{a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \int_{(a'_4, a'_6) : (a_2, a'_4, a'_6) \in S_2} d\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2} d\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p} = \int_{a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \int_{(a_4, a_6) \in S_3} d\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2} d\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p} = \mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(S_3).$$

To finish the proof we show $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^5}(S_1) = \mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^3}(S_2)$ for $p \geq 3$. We use a change of variables $y \mapsto y - \frac{a_1x}{2} - \frac{a_3}{2}$, which maps (a_2, a_4, a_6) to (a'_2, a'_4, a'_6) , it is a bijection, and the determinant of the Jacobian of this transformation is 1, for any $a_1, a_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then, in the same way, we prove that $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^5}(S_1) = \mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^3}(S_2)$. \square

So, from now on, we see that we may consider only short Weierstrass equations to study the 3-torsion (or ℓ -torsion) points and similar questions.

Remark 5.3. We will mainly consider integrals of the form $\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^r} |f(x)|_p^s dx$, where $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a polynomial. Integrals of this type are known as Igusa zeta functions and there is extensive literature on their properties, see [13] and references cited therein.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $k, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and let $f(x, y) = g(x, y)h(x, y)$, where $h(x, y) = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} l_i(x, y)$, where $l_i(x, y)$ are linear forms in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x, y]$ with pairwise distinct roots modulo p , and $\tilde{g}(x, y) \neq 0$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_p[x, y]$ of degree $d > 1$. Let $f(x, y) = g(x, y)^k h(x, y)^m$. Then*

$$\int_{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2} |f(x, y)|_p dx dy = \frac{p^{(n+1)m+kd}(p^{m+2} - p^{m+1}n + pn - 1)}{(p^{(n+1)m+kd+2} - 1)(1 + p + \dots + p^m)}.$$

Proof. Since $\tilde{g}(x, y)$ is irreducible of degree $d > 1$, we have $p|g(x, y)$ if and only if $(x, y) \in (p\mathbb{Z}_p)^2$. Denote $I = \int_{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2} |f(x, y)|_p dx dy$. Then,

$$I = \int_{(x, y) \in (p\mathbb{Z}_p)^2} |f(x, y)|_p dx dy + \int_{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2 \setminus (p\mathbb{Z}_p)^2} |h(x, y)|_p^m dx dy.$$

The change of variables $(x, y) \mapsto (px, py)$ shows that

$$\int_{(x, y) \in (p\mathbb{Z}_p)^2} |f(x, y)|_p dx dy = p^{-(n+1)m-kd-2} I.$$

Let $(x_i : y_i)$ be a zero of $l_i(x, y)$, with x_i and y_i scaled so that $(x_i, y_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ and $(x_i, y_i) \notin (p\mathbb{Z}_p)^2$, for $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2 \setminus (p\mathbb{Z}_p)^2} |h(x, y)|_p^m dx dy \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \int_{\substack{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2 \setminus (p\mathbb{Z}_p)^2 \\ (x:y) \equiv (x_i:y_i) \pmod{p}}} |l_i(x, y)|_p^m dx dy \right) + \left(\int_{\substack{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2 \setminus (p\mathbb{Z}_p)^2 \\ (x:y) \not\equiv (x_i:y_i) \pmod{p} \text{ for all } i}} dx dy \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the assumption that $(x_i : y_i)$ are pairwise distinct modulo p . The second bracket is readily computed to be $(p-n)(p-1)$. We compute the sum in the first bracket term by term. Fix an $i \leq n+1$. We want to compute the integral

$$\int_{(x:y) \equiv (x_i:y_i) \pmod{p}} |l_i(x, y)|_p^m dx dy.$$

By the change of variables theorem, this integral is invariant under $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -substitutions of x and y . We are free to assume that $l_i(x, y) = x$ and the above integral reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\substack{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2 \\ (x:y) \equiv (0:1) \pmod{p}}} |x|_p^m dx dy &= \int_{x \in p\mathbb{Z}_p, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus p\mathbb{Z}_p} |x|_p^m dx dy \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \int_{x \in p\mathbb{Z}_p} |x|_p^m dx = \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^2 \frac{1}{p^{m+1}-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma now follows by a direct calculation. □

5.2 Probability for \mathbb{Q}_p -rational 3-torsion using p -adic integration

As a warm-up for computing probability \mathbb{Q}_p -rational 3-isogenies and as a sanity check on our previous calculations, we give a second proof of Theorem 1.1 using p -adic integration. We introduce some notation. As in Section 3, let $\mathbb{A}_X^2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \subset \mathbb{Q}_p^2$ be the space of pairs (a, b) such that $E_{a,b} : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ is an elliptic curve. We compute the Haar measure of the set

$$W_{\geq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}} = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{A}_X^2(\mathbb{Z}_p) : \#(E_{a,b}(\mathbb{Q}_p)[3]) \geq 3\}.$$

Let $W_{\geq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be the set of pairs $(a, b) \in \mathbb{A}_X^2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ such that $\#(E_{a,b}(\mathbb{Q}_p)[3]) \geq 3$, let $W_{\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mu_3}^{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be the set of pairs $(a, b) \in \mathbb{A}_X^2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ such that $E_{a,b}(\mathbb{Q}_p)[3] \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mu_3$ as a $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -module, and let $W_{\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be the set of pairs $(a, b) \in \mathbb{A}_X^2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ such that $E_{a,b}[3](\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$. Define $W_{\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mu_3}^{\mathbb{Q}_p} = W_{\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mu_3}^{\mathbb{Q}_p} \cap \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ and $W_{\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{Q}_p} = W_{\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{Q}_p} \cap \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ to be the subsets of integral pairs (a, b) .

There are two main cases, depending on p modulo 3. When $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mu_3 \cong (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$ as $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -module, since \mathbb{Q}_p contains each cube root of unity. Then $W_{\geq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}} = W_{\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}} \sqcup W_{\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mu_3}$.

If $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then $E_{a,b}[3] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$ is impossible for any $(a, b) \in \mathbb{A}_X^2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, so in this case we have $W_{\geq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}} = W_{\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}}$.

By Proposition 3.6, we have $W_{\geq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{Q}_p} = \pi_1(\mathbb{A}_{X_1(3)}^2(\mathbb{Q}_p))$, where

$$\pi_1 : \mathbb{A}_{X_1(3)}^2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_X^2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

is the map of Lemma 3.16 that forgets the 3-torsion point: $(E, \omega, P) \mapsto (E, \omega)$. The number of preimages a pair (E, ω) has under this map depends on whether $E[3](\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ or $E[3] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$, and so we define

$$\mathbb{A}_{X_1(3), \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}}^2(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \left\{ (a, b) \in \mathbb{A}_{X_1(3)}^2 : E_{a,b}[3](\mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

and

$$\mathbb{A}_{X_1(3), (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2}^2(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \left\{ (a, b) \in \mathbb{A}_{X_1(3)}^2 : E_{a,b}[3](\mathbb{Q}_p) = (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2 \right\}.$$

Lemma 5.5. *We have $W_{(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^i} = \pi_1(\mathbb{A}_{X_1(3), (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^i}^2(\mathbb{Z}_p))$, and the restriction $\pi_1 : \mathbb{A}_{X_1(3), (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^i}^2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow W_{(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^i}$ is a K_i -to-1 covering map, where $K_1 = 2$ and $K_2 = 8$.*

Proof. By definition we have $W_{\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{Q}_p} = \pi_1(\mathbb{A}_{X_1(3), \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}}^2(\mathbb{Q}_p))$ and $W_{(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2}^{\mathbb{Q}_p} = \pi_1(\mathbb{A}_{X_1(3), (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2}^2(\mathbb{Q}_p))$. By Lemma 3.17, $\pi_1 : \mathbb{A}_{X_1(3), (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^i}^2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow W_{(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^i}^{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a K_i -to-1 covering. Consider a Weierstrass equation $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ over \mathbb{Z}_p with a marked non-zero 3-torsion point $P := (x(P), y(P)) \in E[3](\mathbb{Q}_p)$. By the Nagell-Lutz Theorem (see [29, Theorem VIII.7.1]), we have $x(P), y(P) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Hence the procedure of Lemma 3.3 that puts $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ into Tate normal form $y^2 + uxy + vy = 0$ does not introduce any denominators, so we have $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Thus all preimages of $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ are defined over \mathbb{Z}_p . \square

Lemma 5.6. *We have $W_{\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mu_3} = \pi_2(\mathbb{A}_{X(3)}^2(\mathbb{Z}_p))$, and the restriction $\pi_2 : \mathbb{A}_{X(3)}^2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow W_{\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mu_3}$ is a K_p -to-1 covering map, where $K_p = 2$ if $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and $K_p = 24$ if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.*

Proof. If $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then \mathbb{Q}_p does not contain a cube root of unity, and $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \not\cong \mu_3$ as a $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -module. The group of \mathbb{Q}_p -rational symplectic automorphisms $M = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mu_3$ is generated by the involution $[-1]$ and isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \cong \mu_3$ as $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -module, and the group of symplectic \mathbb{Q}_p -automorphisms of M is $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ and has 24 elements. Lemma 3.17 then implies the statement of the lemma with \mathbb{Z}_p replaced by \mathbb{Q}_p . To finish the proof it suffices to show that if for some $(u, v) \in \mathbb{A}_{X(3)}^2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ we have $\pi_2(u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$, then we have $(u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$.

By Lemma 3.16 we have

$$\pi_2(u, v) = (c_4(u, v), c_6(u, v)) = (-216u^3v - 27v^4, -432u^6 - 1080u^3v^3 + 54v^6).$$

Suppose that $(u, v) \notin \mathbb{Z}_p^2$, then by clearing denominators we can find $(u', v') = (p^k u, p^k v)$ for $k > 0$ with $(u', v') \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ and $(u', v') \notin (p\mathbb{Z}_p)^2$. Thus,

$$\pi_2(u', v') = (p^{4k}(-216u^3v - 27v^4), p^{6k}(-432u^6 - 1080u^3v^3 + 54v^6)).$$

The resultants of $c_4(u, v)$ and $c_6(u, v)$, viewed as polynomials in u and v respectively, are $2^{12}3^{36}v^{24}$ and $-2^{16}3^{39}u^{24}$. Since we have $p|c_4(u', v')$ and $p|c_6(u', v')$ we see that $p|u'$ and $p|v'$, contradicting our assumption that $(u', v') \notin (p\mathbb{Z}_p)^2$. \square

Lemma 5.7. *The following hold.*

(i) *We have*

$$\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}^2(W_{\geq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{A}_{X_1(3)}^2(\mathbb{Z}_p)} |J(\pi_1)(u, v)|_p \, du \, dv - 3\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}^2(W_{(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2}).$$

(ii) *When $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, we have*

$$\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}^2(W_{(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2}) = \mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}^2(W_{\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mu_3}) = \frac{1}{24} \int_{\mathbb{A}_{X(3)}^2(\mathbb{Z}_p)} |J(\pi_2)(u, v)|_p \, du \, dv,$$

and so

$$\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}^2(W_{\geq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{A}_{X_1(3)}^2(\mathbb{Z}_p)} |J(\pi_1)(u, v)|_p \, du \, dv - \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{A}_{X(3)}^2(\mathbb{Z}_p)} |J(\pi_2)(u, v)|_p \, du \, dv.$$

(iii) When $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, we have $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(W_{(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2}) = 0$ and so

$$\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(W_{\geq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{A}_{X_1(3)}^2(\mathbb{Z}_p)} |J(\pi_1)(u, v)|_p \, du \, dv.$$

Proof. Similar to Lemma 4.2. We partition

$$\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(W_{\geq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}}) = \mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(W_{\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}}) + \mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(W_{(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2}).$$

Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. By Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 5.5, we have

$$\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(W_{\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{A}_{X_1(3), \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}}^2(\mathbb{Z}_p)} |J(\pi_1)(u, v)|_p \, du \, dv$$

and

$$\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(W_{(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2}) = \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{A}_{X_1(3), (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2}^2(\mathbb{Z}_p)} |J(\pi_1)(u, v)|_p \, du \, dv,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(W_{\geq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}}) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{A}_{X_1(3), \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}}^2(\mathbb{Z}_p)} |J(\pi_1)(u, v)|_p \, du \, dv + \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{A}_{X_1(3), (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2}^2(\mathbb{Z}_p)} |J(\pi_1)(u, v)|_p \, du \, dv \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{A}_{X_1(3)}^2(\mathbb{Z}_p)} |J(\pi_1)(u, v)|_p \, du \, dv - \frac{3}{8} \int_{\mathbb{A}_{X_1(3), (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2}^2(\mathbb{Z}_p)} |J(\pi_1)(u, v)|_p \, du \, dv \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{A}_{X_1(3)}^2(\mathbb{Z}_p)} |J(\pi_1)(u, v)|_p \, du \, dv - 3\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(W_{(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2}), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is obtained using

$$\mathbb{A}_{X_1(3)}^2(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathbb{A}_{X_1(3), \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}}^2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \sqcup \mathbb{A}_{X_1(3), (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2}^2(\mathbb{Z}_p).$$

By Lemma 5.6 and Theorem 5.1, we have

$$\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(W_{\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mu_3}) = \frac{1}{24} \int_{\mathbb{A}_{X(3)}^2(\mathbb{Z}_p)} |J(\pi_2)(u, v)|_p \, du \, dv,$$

and now (ii) follows. The case $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ is similar. □

Lemma 5.8. *We have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{A}_{X_1(3)}^2(\mathbb{Z}_p)} |J(\pi_1)(u, v)|_p \, du \, dv = \frac{p^2}{p^2 + p + 1}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.16, we have $J(\pi_1)(u, v) = 256v^2$. Notice that $\mathbb{Z}_p^2 \setminus \mathbb{A}_{X_1(3)}^2$ has measure zero. By Lemma 5.4,

$$\int_{\mathbb{A}_{X_1(3)}^2(\mathbb{Z}_p)} |J(\pi_1)(u, v)|_p \, du \, dv = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2} |v|_p^2 \, du \, dv = \frac{p^2}{p^2 + p + 1}.$$

□

Proposition 5.9. *If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then*

$$\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(W_{\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mu_3}) = \frac{1}{24} \frac{p^8(p^4 - 3p^3 + 3p - 1)}{(p^{10} - 1)(1 + p + p^2)}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.16 the Jacobian determinant of π_2 is given by

$$J(u, v) = -2^8 3^7 u^2 (u - v)^2 (u^2 + uv + v^2)^2.$$

By Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 5.6,

$$24\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(W_{\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mu_3}) = 24 \int_{W_{\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mu_3}} d\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(a, b) = \int_{\mathbb{A}_{X(3)}^2(\mathbb{Z}_p)} |J(u, v)|_p d\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(u, v).$$

The complement of $\mathbb{A}_{X(3)}^2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \subset \mathbb{Z}_p^2$, the set of pairs (u, v) that define singular Weierstrass equations, has measure zero, and so the above integral is equal to the integral over the entire \mathbb{Z}_p^2 . Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2} |J(u, v)|_p d\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2} |u^2(u - v)^2(u^2 + uv + v^2)^2|_p du dv.$$

Since $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, we have $u^2 + uv + v^2 = (u - \zeta_3 v)(u - \zeta_3^2 v)$ with $\zeta_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$. Now the result follows by Lemma 5.4, with $m = 2$, $n = 3$, $k = 0$, and $f = u(u - v)(u - \zeta_3 v)(u - \zeta_3^2 v)$. \square

Theorem 5.10. *When $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, we have*

$$\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(W_{\geq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}}) = \frac{3p^8 + 4p^4 - 4p^3 + 4p^2}{8(p^8 + p^6 + p^4 + p^2 + 1)},$$

and when $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, we have

$$\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(W_{\geq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{p^2}{p^2 + p + 1}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.7, Lemma 5.8, and Proposition 5.9,

$$\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(W_{\geq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{p^2}{p^2 + p + 1} - \frac{1}{8} \frac{p^8(p^4 - 3p^3 + 3p - 1)}{(p^{10} - 1)(1 + p + p^2)} = \frac{3p^8 + 4p^4 - 4p^3 + 4p^2}{8(p^8 + p^6 + p^4 + p^2 + 1)}.$$

Case $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ is obtained in the same way. \square

Remark 5.11. Notice that this agrees with Theorem 1.1.

5.3 Probability for \mathbb{Q}_p -rational 3-isogeny

Define

$$W_{\text{iso}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{A}_X^2(\mathbb{Q}_p) : E_{a,b}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \text{ admits a cyclic 3-isogeny defined over } \mathbb{Q}_p\}$$

and $W_{\text{iso}} = W_{\text{iso}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap \mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. In this section we compute the measure of W_{iso} , proving Theorem 1.2. Recall that we are assuming $p > 3$. By Lemma 3.7, for such an elliptic curve $E_{a,b}$, the kernel of a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational 3-isogeny $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$ consists of three points $0_E, P$ and $-P$, with P either defined over \mathbb{Q}_p or over a quadratic extension of \mathbb{Q}_p .

In Section 3.2, for a quadratic character ψ we have defined moduli spaces $X_{\omega, \psi}(3)$ parametrizing pairs (E, ω, P) where the point P is defined over the quadratic field associated with ψ , and in Proposition 3.10 we identified $X_{\omega, \psi}(3)$ with an open subset $\mathbb{A}_{X_{\psi}(3)}^2$ of the affine plane. Let $\pi_{\psi} : \mathbb{A}_{X_{\psi}(3)}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_X^2$ be the map $(E, \omega, P) \mapsto (E, \omega)$, which we explicitly defined in Lemma 3.18.

There are three different quadratic extensions $\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{\lambda})$ of \mathbb{Q}_p inside $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, obtained by taking $\lambda = \nu, p$ and νp , where $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ is a quadratic non-residue modulo p . Let χ_{ν}, χ_p and $\chi_{\nu p}$ be the corresponding quadratic characters of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Together with the case of a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial 3-torsion point, they determine the four types of 3-isogenies over \mathbb{Q}_p , and we have

$$W_{\text{iso}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \pi_1(\mathbb{A}_{X_{\chi_1}(3)}^2(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \cup \pi_{\chi_{\nu}}(\mathbb{A}_{X_{\chi_{\nu}}(3)}^2(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \cup \pi_{\chi_p}(\mathbb{A}_{X_{\chi_p}(3)}^2(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \cup \pi_{\chi_{\nu p}}(\mathbb{A}_{X_{\chi_{\nu p}}(3)}^2(\mathbb{Q}_p)). \quad (3)$$

Our strategy is to refine this description of $W_{\text{iso}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ to a description of the integral subset $W_{\text{iso}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, and compute the measure $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(W_{\text{iso}}(\mathbb{Z}_p))$ similarly as in the 3-torsion case, by computing integrals of Jacobian functions on a covering space of W_{iso} .

Lemma 5.12. *Suppose $E_{a,b} : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ is an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q}_p with at least two different 3-isogenies defined over \mathbb{Q}_p . Then:*

- (i) *If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then $E_{a,b}[3] = \chi \oplus \chi$, where χ is one of the four quadratic characters $1, \chi_\nu, \chi_p, \chi_{\nu p}$, and $E_{a,b}$ admits four different 3-isogenies, all with the same character χ . With $E_{a,b}[3] = \chi \oplus \chi$ we mean that $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ acts on the 2-dimension \mathbb{F}_3 -vector space $E_{a,b}[3]$ as $\chi \oplus \chi$.*
- (ii) *If $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then $E_{a,b}[3] = 1 \oplus \chi_\nu$, or $E_{a,b}[3] = \chi_\nu \oplus \chi_{\nu p}$, and $E_{a,b}$ admits exactly two different 3-isogenies defined over \mathbb{Q}_p .*

Proof. By assumption, we have $E_{a,b}[3] \cong \chi_1 \oplus \chi_2$, for two quadratic characters χ_1, χ_2 of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$. By the Galois equivariance of the Weil pairing, we have $\chi_1 \chi_2 = \chi_{3,\text{cyc}}$, where $\chi_{3,\text{cyc}}$ is the modulo 3 cyclotomic character (see [29, Proposition III.8.3]). The result follows by observing that $\chi_{3,\text{cyc}}$ is trivial if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $\chi_{3,\text{cyc}} = \chi_\nu$ is the unique unramified character if $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. \square

Define

$$\eta_i = \mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(\{[a, b] \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2 : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b \text{ admits exactly } i \text{ } \mathbb{Q}_p\text{-rational 3-isogenies}\})$$

for $i = 1, 2, 4$. We want to compute $\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_4$. We begin by computing η_2 and η_4 .

Consider the elliptic curve $E_b : y^2 = x^3 + b$ with $b = 16p^{3\delta}\nu^{3\varepsilon}$ and $\delta, \varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$, where $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ is a non-square as before. Let χ be the quadratic character associated with $\sqrt{\nu^\varepsilon p^\delta}$. The non-trivial 3-torsion points of E_b are of the form $(0, \pm 4p^\delta \nu^\varepsilon \sqrt{\nu^\varepsilon p^\delta})$ and $(-4\zeta_3^i p^\delta \nu^\varepsilon, \pm 4p^\delta \nu^\varepsilon \sqrt{\nu^\varepsilon p^\delta} \sqrt{-3})$ with $i = 0, 1, 2$. From this we see that we have the decomposition $E_b[3] = \chi \oplus \chi \cdot \chi_{3,\text{cycl}}$.

Define $W_b^{\mathbb{Q}_p} := \{(A, B) \in \mathbb{A}_X^2(\mathbb{Q}_p) : E_{A,B}[3] \cong E_b[3]\}$ and $W_b = W^{\mathbb{Q}_p}(b) \cap \mathbb{Z}_p^2$. The following lemma generalizes Lemma 5.6.

Lemma 5.13. *Let $b = 16p^{3\delta}\nu^{3\varepsilon}$ with $\delta, \varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$. Define a map $\Phi : \mathbb{Z}_p^2 \setminus \{\Delta_\Phi = 0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_X^2$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u, z) &= \pi_{E_b}(u, zp^{-\delta}) \\ &= (-2^{16}3^5 p^{2\delta} \nu^{6\varepsilon} z^4 + 2^7 3^2 p^{2\delta} \nu^{3\varepsilon} u^3 z, -2^{25} 3^6 p^{3\delta} \nu^{9\varepsilon} z^6 - 2^{15} 3^3 5 p^{3\delta} \nu^{6\varepsilon} u^3 z^3 + 2^4 p^{3\delta} \nu^{3\varepsilon} u^6), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta_\Phi(u, z)$ is the discriminant of the Weierstrass equation corresponding to $\Phi(u, z)$. Then, we have $W_b = \Phi(\mathbb{Z}_p^2 \setminus \{\Delta_\Phi = 0\})$, and the map Φ is a K_p -to-1 covering of W_b , where $K_p = 24$ for $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $K_p = 2$ for $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.19, we have $W_b^{\mathbb{Q}_p} = \pi_{E_b}(\mathbb{A}_{X_{E_b}(3)}^2(\mathbb{Q}_p))$, where the map $\pi_{E_b} : \mathbb{A}_{X_{E_b}(3)}^2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_X^2$ is defined by

$$\pi_{E_b}(u, v) = (-2^{16} 3^5 p^{6\delta} \nu^{6\varepsilon} v^4 + 2^7 3^2 p^{3\delta} \nu^{3\varepsilon} u^3 v, -2^{25} 3^6 p^{9\delta} \nu^{9\varepsilon} v^6 - 2^{15} 3^3 5 p^{6\delta} \nu^{6\varepsilon} u^3 v^3 + 2^4 p^{3\delta} \nu^{3\varepsilon} u^6).$$

Note that we have $\Phi(u, v) = \pi_{E_b}(u, zp^{-\delta}) = (p^{2\delta} \Phi_1(u, z), p^{3\delta} \Phi_2(u, z))$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1 &= -2^{16} 3^5 \nu^{6\varepsilon} z^4 + 2^7 3^2 \nu^{3\varepsilon} u^3 z, \\ \Phi_2 &= -2^{25} 3^6 \nu^{9\varepsilon} z^6 - 2^{15} 3^3 5 \nu^{6\varepsilon} u^3 z^3 + 2^4 \nu^{3\varepsilon} u^6. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that we have $\Phi(\mathbb{Q}_p^2) = \pi_{E_b}(\mathbb{Q}_p^2)$. Let $E_b[3] = \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2$. If $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$ since $\chi_1 \chi_2 = \chi_{3,\text{cycl}} \neq \text{Id}$. The only Galois invariant automorphism of $E_b[3]$ that preserves the Weil pairing is the multiplication by -1 , so $K_p = 2$. If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then $\chi_1 = \chi_2$ and so $K_p = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3) = 24$ by Lemma 3.17. We conclude that the map π_{E_b} is a K_p -to-1 covering of $W_b^{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ by the same argument as in Lemma 5.6.

To finish the proof, it suffices to prove that the set of points (u, z) in $W_b^{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ for which we have $\Phi(u, z) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ is equal to $\mathbb{Z}_p^2 \setminus \{\Delta_\Phi = 0\}$.

The resultant of Φ_1 and Φ_2 in u is $-2^{108}3^{39}\nu^{45\varepsilon}z^{24}$ and the one in z is $-2^{112}3^{39}\nu^{48\varepsilon}u^{24}$. Let $(u, z) \in \mathbb{Q}_p^2 \setminus \{\Delta_\Phi = 0\}$, and suppose that we have $\Phi(u, z) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$. If $v_p(u) > v_p(z)$, then $v_p(p^{2\delta}\Phi_1(u, z)) = 2\delta + 4v_p(z)$. As $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$, we have $v_p(z) \geq 0$. If $v_p(z) > v_p(u)$, a similar argument shows that $v_p(u) \geq 0$. In both cases we have $(u, z) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$, as desired.

Assume now $v_p(u) = v_p(z) = -k$. Suppose first that $k > 0$ holds. Clearing denominators, we write $u = u'p^{-k}$ and $z = z'p^{-k}$ with $v_p(u') = v_p(z') = 0$. We then have $0 \leq v_p(p^{2\delta}\Phi_1(u, z)) = 2\delta - 4k + v_p(\Phi_1(u', z'))$, and $0 \leq v_p(p^{3\delta}\Phi_2(u, z)) = 3\delta - 6k + v_p(\Phi_2(u', z'))$. Since $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ and $k \geq 1$, p divides both $\Phi_1(u', z')$ and $\Phi_2(u', z')$. So p must divide both resultants and then p divides u' and z' , but this is impossible. Thus we have $k \leq 0$ and $(u, z) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$. \square

Lemma 5.14. *If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then*

$$\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(W_b) = \mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(\Phi(\mathbb{Q}_p^2) \cap \mathbb{Z}_p^2) = \frac{p^{8-5\delta}(p-1)(p^4 - 3p^3 + 3p - 1)}{24(p^{10} - 1)(p^3 - 1)}.$$

If $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then

$$\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(W_b) = \mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(\Phi(\mathbb{Q}_p^2) \cap \mathbb{Z}_p^2) = \frac{p^{8-5\delta}(p-1)^2(p^3 + 1)}{2(p^{10} - 1)(p^3 - 1)}.$$

Proof. The Jacobian of Φ is directly computed to be

$$J(\Phi(u, z)) = -(48)^3\nu^{6\varepsilon}p^{5\delta}u^2((48\nu^\varepsilon z)^3 + u^3)^2.$$

The result now follows by applying Lemma 5.4 and using that Φ is a K_p -to-1 covering. \square

Lemma 5.15. *Assume $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Then $\eta_2 = 0$ and*

$$\eta_4 = \frac{p^3(p-1)(p^4 - 3p^3 + 3p - 1)(p^5 + 1)}{12(p^{10} - 1)(p^3 - 1)}.$$

Assume $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Then $\eta_4 = 0$ and

$$\eta_2 = \frac{p^3(p-1)^2(p^5 + 1)(p^3 + 1)}{2(p^{10} - 1)(p^3 - 1)}.$$

Proof. Assume $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Let $b = 16p^{3\delta}\nu^{3\varepsilon}$ for $\delta, \varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$. If $\delta = \varepsilon = 0$, then $E_b[3] = \chi_1 \oplus \chi_1$. If $\delta = 0$, $\varepsilon = 1$, then $E_b[3] = \chi_\nu \oplus \chi_\nu$. If $\delta = 1$, $\varepsilon = 0$, then $E_b[3] = \chi_p \oplus \chi_p$. If $\delta = \varepsilon = 1$, then $E_b[3] = \chi_{\nu p} \oplus \chi_{\nu p}$. So, by (3),

$$\eta_4 = \sum_{\delta, \varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}} \mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(W_{16p^{3\delta}\nu^{3\varepsilon}}).$$

The lemma now follows from Lemmas 5.12 and 5.14.

Assume $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Proceeding as in the previous case, $\eta_4 = 0$ and

$$\eta_2 = \sum_{\delta \in \{0, 1\}} \mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(W_{16p^{3\delta}}).$$

\square

Now, we need to compute η_1 .

Lemma 5.16. Fix $\lambda \in \{1, p, \nu, \nu p\}$. Let $\Psi_\lambda : \mathbb{Q}_p^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^2$ be defined by

$$\Psi_\lambda(u, v) = \left(2\lambda uv - \frac{\lambda^2 u^4}{3}, \lambda v^2 - \frac{2\lambda^2 v u^3}{3} + \frac{2\lambda^3 u^6}{27} \right).$$

An elliptic curve $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ admits a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational 3-isogeny with quadratic character $\sqrt{\lambda}$ if and only if (A, B) is in the image of Ψ_λ .

Proof. Follows from Lemma 3.18. □

Lemma 5.17. We have $\Psi_\lambda^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_p^2) \subset \mathbb{Z}_p^2$.

Proof. We need to show that if for $(u, v) \in \mathbb{Q}_p^2$ we have $\Psi_\lambda(u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, then $(u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$. Assume $v_p(v) > 3v_p(u) + v_p(\lambda)$. Then the valuation of the second coordinate is $3v_p(\lambda) + 6v_p(u) \geq 0$ and then $v_p(u) \geq 0$ (since $v_p(\lambda) \leq 1$). So, $v_p(v) \geq 0$ and both u and v are integers.

Assume $v_p(v) < 3v_p(u) + v_p(\lambda)$. Then the valuation of the second coordinate is $v_p(\lambda) + 2v_p(v) \geq 0$ and then $v_p(v) \geq 0$. So, $v_p(u) \geq 0$ and u and v are integers.

Assume $v_p(v) = 3v_p(u) + v_p(\lambda)$, put $v_p(u) = k$. If $k \geq 0$, then u and v are integers. It remains the case $k < 0$. Put $u' = up^{-k}$ and $v' = vp^{-3k}\lambda^{-1}$ and notice $v_p(u') = v_p(v') = 0$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_\lambda(u, v) &= \left(2\lambda uv - \frac{\lambda^2 u^4}{3}, \lambda v^2 - \frac{2\lambda^2 v u^3}{3} + \frac{2\lambda^3 u^6}{27} \right) \\ &= \left(\lambda^2 p^{4k} \left(2u'v' - \frac{(u')^4}{3} \right), \lambda^3 p^{6k} \left((v')^2 - \frac{2v'(u')^3}{3} + \frac{2(u')^6}{27} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Psi_\lambda(u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $k < 0$, we have that p divides both $(2u'v' - (u')^4/3)$ and $((v')^2 - 2v'(u')^3/3 + 2(u')^6/27)$. The resultant of the two polynomials in u' and v' is $-(v')^8/3^9$ and $-(u')^8/3^3$ and so p must divide u', v' , contradiction. So, if $k < 0$, $\Psi_\lambda(u, v)$ is not an integer. □

Lemma 5.18. Let η_λ be the measure of the image of $\Psi_\lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p^2)$. Then

$$2\eta_1 + 4\eta_2 + 8\eta_4 = \sum_{\lambda \in \{1, \nu, p, \nu p\}} \eta_\lambda.$$

Proof. The idea is to count how many times a Weierstrass equation appears in the decomposition (3), with the possibilities being 1, 2 and 4 based on the number of \mathbb{Q}_p -rational 3-isogenies. Assume first that $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. By Lemma 5.12, every elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q}_p has at most two different \mathbb{Q}_p -rational 3-isogenies, and $\eta_4 = 0$. Next, we show that the map $\Psi_\lambda : \mathbb{Z}_p^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ is 2-to-1 onto its image. Consider $(a, b) \in \Psi_\lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p^2)$ that defines an elliptic curve $E_{a,b}$. If $E_{a,b}$ has a unique \mathbb{Q}_p -rational 3-isogeny (corresponding to λ), then by Proposition 3.10 and an argument similar to the one used in Lemma 3.17, there are exactly two preimages $(u, v), (-u, -v)$ in \mathbb{Q}_p^2 , which are actually inside \mathbb{Z}_p^2 by Lemma 5.17. If $E_{a,b}$ has multiple \mathbb{Q}_p -rational 3-isogenies, then by Lemma 5.12 it has two distinct 3-isogenies, each of which and the same argument applied to each of the isogenies shows that each of them has two preimages in \mathbb{Z}_p^2 under Ψ_λ , and the lemma follows. The case $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ is exactly the same with the added possibility of 4 different \mathbb{Q}_p -rational 3-isogenies. □

Lemma 5.19. Let $\lambda \in \{1, \nu, p, \nu p\}$. We have $\eta_\lambda = |\lambda|_p^2 \frac{p^3 - p^2}{p^3 - 1}$ and

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \{1, \nu, p, \nu p\}} \eta_\lambda = \frac{2(p-1)(p^2+1)}{p^3-1}.$$

Proof. The Jacobian of Ψ_λ is $4v^2\lambda^2$ by Lemma 3.18 and so

$$\eta_\lambda = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2} |4v^2\lambda^2|_p \, du \, dv = |\lambda|_p^2 \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} |v|_p^2 \, dv = |\lambda|_p^2 \frac{p^3 - p^2}{p^3 - 1}.$$

The last equation follows from Lemma 5.4. So,

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \{1, \nu, p, \nu p\}} \eta_\lambda = \frac{p^3 - p^2}{p^3 - 1} \left(2 + \frac{2}{p^2} \right) = \frac{2(p-1)(p^2+1)}{p^3-1}.$$

□

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.2. By Lemma 5.12, $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(W_{\text{iso}}) = \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_4$. We computed η_2 , η_4 , and $2\eta_1 + 4\eta_2 + 8\eta_4$, whence we just need to combine these results.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Assume $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Then, by Lemma 5.18,

$$\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_4 = (\eta_1 + 2\eta_2 + 4\eta_4) - \eta_2 - 3\eta_4 = \frac{\sum_{\lambda \in \{1, \nu, p, \nu p\}} \eta_\lambda}{2} - \eta_2 - 3\eta_4 = \frac{\sum_{\lambda \in \{1, \nu, p, \nu p\}} \eta_\lambda}{2} - 3\eta_4.$$

By Lemmas 5.15 and 5.19, this is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(p-1)(p^2+1)}{p^3-1} - \frac{p^3(p-1)(p^4-3p^3+3p-1)(p^5+1)}{4(p^{10}-1)(p^3-1)} \\ &= \frac{3p^4+3p^3+4p^2+4}{4(p^4+p^3+p^2+p+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume now $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Then, by Lemmas 5.15 and 5.19, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_4 &= \frac{\sum_{\lambda \in \{1, \nu, p, \nu p\}} \eta_\lambda}{2} - \eta_2 \\ &= \frac{(p-1)(p^2+1)}{p^3-1} - \frac{p^3(p-1)^2(p^5+1)(p^3+1)}{2(p^{10}-1)(p^3-1)} \\ &= \frac{p^4+p^3+2p^2+2}{2(p^4+p^3+p^2+p+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

6 p -torsion over \mathbb{Q}_p

In Section 4, we assumed $p > 3$, so that the characteristic is different from the order of the torsion points we are searching for. Now, we want to count how many elliptic curves over \mathbb{Z}_p have a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial p -torsion point. We are going to make this computation explicit for $p = 3$. Let $p \geq 3$. Let E/\mathbb{Q}_p be defined by a Weierstrass equation with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_p . From [29, Proposition VII.2.2] and [29, Theorem .6.4], the map

$$\phi(P) = \log -\frac{x(P)}{y(P)}$$

from $E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ to $p\mathbb{Z}_p$ is an isomorphism (the group law on $p\mathbb{Z}_p$ is the addition). Notice that $v_p(x(P)/y(P)) > 0$ if $P \in E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $v_p(x(P)/y(P)) = -v_p(x(P))/2$. So, $\phi(P) \in p^2\mathbb{Z}_p$ if and only if $v_p(x(P)/y(P)) \geq 2$ and then $-v_p(x(P)) \geq 4$ (since $\phi(P) \equiv -\frac{x(P)}{y(P)} \pmod{p}$).

Lemma 6.1. *Let E/\mathbb{Q}_p be an elliptic curve defined by a Weierstrass equation in minimal form. There is a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial p -torsion point Q on E such that Q is non-singular in the reduction modulo p if and only if the following hold: there exists $(x_0, y_0) \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^2$ such that $\psi_p(x_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$, the pair (x_0, y_0) satisfies the Weierstrass equation modulo p^2 and (x_0, y_0) reduces to a non-singular point modulo p .*

Proof. If there is a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial p -torsion point Q , then we take the reduction modulo p^2 of the coordinates of Q and easily conclude.

Now, we prove the converse. Let $R \in E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be a lift of (x_0, y_0) , that exists since (x_0, y_0) is non-singular modulo p , and notice $R \notin E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ since R does not reduce to the identity modulo p . Hence, $\psi_p(x(R)) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$. We have

$$-v_p(x(pR)) = 2v_p(\psi_p(x(R))) - v_p(\theta_p(x(R))) = 2v_p(\psi_p(x(R))) \geq 4$$

since R reduces to a non-singular point (see [32, Theorem 1.1]) and then $\phi(pR) \in p^2\mathbb{Z}_p$ (recall θ_p is defined in Section 2). Let $P \in E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be such that $\phi(P) = \phi(pR)/p \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$, that exists since ϕ is an isomorphism. So,

$$\phi(p(P - R)) = p \frac{\phi(pR)}{p} - \phi(pR) = 0$$

and then $p(P - R) = 0_E$. Put $Q = P - R$ and notice $Q \neq 0_E$ since $P \in E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $R \notin E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. This is a non-trivial \mathbb{Q}_p -rational p -torsion point and its reduction is non-singular since P and R both reduce to a non-singular point. \square

Assume that E has split multiplicative reduction. Let $q \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ be such that the j -invariant of the Tate curve E_q is equal to the j -invariant of E , that exists by [29, Theorem C.14.1]. In particular, by [29, Theorem C.14.1.b], E and E_q are isomorphic over \mathbb{Q}_p . We have $v_p(q) = v_p(\Delta) = N > 0$ and $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}_p^*/q^{\mathbb{Z}}$ (see [29, Page 444 and Theorem C.14.1]). Moreover, $q_1 \equiv \Delta_1 \pmod{p^2}$, for $q = p^N q_1$ and $\Delta = p^N \Delta_1$. We are going to use the well-known fact that the equation $x^p - x_1 = 0$ with $x_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ has a solution in \mathbb{Q}_p if and only if it has a solution modulo p^2 .

Lemma 6.2. *Let E/\mathbb{Q}_p be an elliptic curve with split multiplicative reduction. There is a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial p -torsion point Q on E such that Q is singular modulo p if and only if p divides N and $x^p \equiv \Delta_1 \pmod{p^2}$ has a solution.*

Proof. Assume that there is a singular \mathbb{Q}_p -rational p -torsion point Q . Then $Q \notin E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $pQ \in E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Let $u \in \mathbb{Q}_p^*/q^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be representing Q under the isomorphism above. So, $u^p = q^a$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $Q \notin E_0$, we have $p \nmid a$. Hence, $p \mid v_p(q^a) = Na$ and so $p \mid N$. Let $u = p^{aN/p} u_1$ with $u_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ and then $u_1^p = u^p/p^{aN} = q_1^a$. Let $b, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $ab = 1 + pr$. So, $q_1 = u_1^{pb} q_1^{-pr}$ and then q_1 is a p -th power and we conclude that Δ_1 is a p -th power modulo p^2 .

Assume now that $p \mid N$. Let u_1 be a solution of the equation $u_1^p = q_1$, that exists since $x^p \equiv \Delta_1 \equiv q_1 \pmod{p^2}$ has a solution. Let $u = p^{N/p} u_1$ and we have $u^p = q$. The point corresponding to u in the isomorphism is the p -torsion point we were searching for. Indeed $u \notin E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ by [29, Theorem C.14.1.(c)] noticing that $p \mid u$ and u^p is the identity in $\mathbb{Q}_p^*/q^{\mathbb{Z}}$. \square

Lemma 6.3. *Let E/\mathbb{Q}_p be an elliptic curve with split multiplicative reduction. The density of elliptic curves such that $v_p(\Delta) = N$ and $x^p \equiv \Delta_1 \pmod{p^2}$ has a solution is $(p-1)^2/2p^{N+3}$.*

Proof. As explained in [11, proof of Proposition 2.5], after a translation $\Delta \equiv -b_2^3 a_6 \pmod{p^{N+2}}$ with $p \nmid b_2$ and $p^N \parallel a_6$. Let $a_6 = a'_6 p^N$ for $a'_6 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ and then $\Delta_1 \equiv -b_2^3 a'_6 \pmod{p^2}$. Once b_2 is fixed, the densities of $a'_6 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ such that $x^p \equiv \Delta_1 \pmod{p^2}$ has a solution is $1/p$. We conclude by [11, Proposition 2.5]. \square

Lemma 6.4. *Let E/\mathbb{Q}_p be an elliptic curve with non-split multiplicative reduction. There is no \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial p -torsion point Q on E such that Q is singular modulo p .*

Proof. As explained in the proof of Corollary C.15.2.1 [29], $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ has order at most 2 and then we cannot have a singular \mathbb{Q}_p -rational p -torsion point. \square

6.1 3-torsion over \mathbb{Q}_3

Now, we are going to assume $p = 3$ and prove Theorem 1.1 in this case.

Lemma 6.5. *Let $W_{\text{gr},3}$ be the set of triples $[a_2, a_4, a_6] \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ such that $y^2 = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$ is in minimal form and non-singular, and it has a non-zero non-singular \mathbb{Q}_3 -rational 3-torsion point. Then $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_3^2}(W_{\text{gr},3}) = 2/27$.*

Proof. Let $[\overline{a_2}, \overline{a_4}, \overline{a_6}]$ be the reduction modulo 9 of $[a_2, a_4, a_6]$. By Lemma 6.1, $y^2 = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$ is in $W_{\text{gr},3}$ if and only there exists $(x_0, y_0) \in (\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})^2$ such that $\psi_3(x_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$, the pair (x_0, y_0) satisfies the Weierstrass equation modulo 9, and the Weierstrass equation is non-singular modulo 3.

Let \tilde{E} be the reduction modulo 3. If \tilde{E} does not have any non-zero \mathbb{F}_3 -rational 3-torsion point, then E does not belong to $W_{\text{gr},3}$. Assume \tilde{E} has a non-zero \mathbb{F}_3 -rational 3-torsion point \tilde{P} . After a change of variables, we can assume that $x(\tilde{P}) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and so $4a_2a_6 \equiv a_4^2 \pmod{3}$ since $\psi_3(0) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Moreover, $3 \nmid a_6$ since otherwise the curve would be singular. The equation $\psi_3(x(\tilde{P}))$ is equal to $4a_2a_6 - a_4^2$ modulo 9 and so, once a_4 and a_6 are fixed, we can lift a_2 with probability $1/3$. Of the 27 Weierstrass equations $y^2 = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$ modulo 3, exactly 6 are non-singular and have a non-trivial \mathbb{F}_3 -rational 3-torsion point. So, $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_3^2}(W_{\text{gr},3}) = (6/27)/3 = 2/27$. \square

Lemma 6.6. *Let $W_{\text{add},3}$ (resp. $W_{\text{mult},3}$) be the set of triples $[a_2, a_4, a_6] \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ such that $y^2 = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$ is in minimal form and with additive reduction (resp. multiplicative reduction), and it has a non-zero non-singular \mathbb{Q}_p -rational 3-torsion point. Then, $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_3^2}(W_{\text{add},3}) = 1/27$ and $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_3^2}(W_{\text{mult},3}) = 0$.*

Proof. Similar to the previous one. The Weierstrass equations in minimal form and with additive reduction have measure $1/9$, they always have a non-singular 3-torsion point in \mathbb{F}_3 , and the probability of lifting such a point is $1/3$. Weierstrass equations with multiplicative reduction do not have a non-singular 3-torsion point in \mathbb{F}_3 . \square

Assume that E is of type IV. After a change of variables, E is defined by a minimal Weierstrass equation of the form $y^2 = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$ with $3 \mid a_2$, $3^2 \mid a_4$, $3^2 \mid a_6$, and $3^3 \nmid a_6$ (see [31, Section 7]). In the next lemma, we are going to assume this.

In the same way, if E is of type IV*, then E is defined, after a change of variables, by a minimal Weierstrass equation of the form $y^2 = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$ with $3^2 \mid a_2$, $3^3 \mid a_4$, $3^4 \mid a_6$, and $3^5 \nmid a_6$ (see again [31, Section 8]).

Lemma 6.7.

1. Assume that E is of type IV. There is a 3-torsion point $Q \in E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ such that Q reduces to a singular point $(0,0)$ modulo 3 if and only if $9 \mid a_2$ and $\frac{a_6}{3^2} \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.
2. Assume that E is of type IV*. There is a 3-torsion point $Q \in E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ such that Q reduces to a singular point $(0,0)$ modulo 3 if and only if $3^7 \mid a_2a_6 - a_4^2$ and $\frac{a_6}{3^4} \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.
3. The density of E with additive reduction having a non-trivial 3-torsion point $(x_0, y_0) \in E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ reducing to $(0,0)$ modulo p is $1/6$ for type IV and $1/6$ for type IV*.

Proof. We first prove (1). Assume that $(3x, 3y) \in E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is a 3-torsion point such that $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Write $a_2 = 3a'_2$, $a_4 = 3^2a'_4$, $a_6 = 3^2a'_6$, where $a'_2, a'_4 \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $a'_6 \in \mathbb{Z}_3^*$. Then $3^3 \mid (3x)^3 + 3a'_2(3x)^2 + 3^2a'_4(3x)$, so we have the congruence $y^2 \equiv a'_6 \pmod{3}$, and then $a'_6 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. By definition of ψ_3 ,

$$\psi_3(3x) = 3^5x^4 + 3^4 \cdot 4a'_2x^3 + 3^5 \cdot 2a'_4x^2 + 3^4 \cdot 4a'_6x + 3^3 \cdot 4a'_2a'_6 - 3^4 \cdot 4a'_4{}^2.$$

Since $\psi(3x) = 0$, we have $3^4 \mid 3^3 \cdot 4a'_2a'_6$, so $3 \mid a'_2$ and we are done.

Now, we prove the converse. Assume $a'_2 = 3a''_2$, for $a''_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $a'_6 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Then,

$$\frac{\psi_3(3x)}{3^4} = 3x^4 + 3 \cdot 4a''_2x^3 + 3 \cdot 2a'_4x^2 + 4a'_6x + 4a''_2a'_6 - 4a'_4{}^2,$$

which reduces to a linear polynomial modulo 3, hence has a solution $x \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ by Hensel's lemma. Moreover, $3^3 \mid (3x)^3 + 3a'_2(3x)^2 + 3^2a'_4(3x)$, so we have the congruence $y^2 \equiv a'_6 \pmod{3}$, that has a solution since $a'_6 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and we can lift it to $y \in \mathbb{Z}_3$. This gives the point we were searching for.

Now we prove (2). Assume that $(3x, 3y) \in E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is a 3-torsion point such that $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Write $a_2 = 3^2a'_2$, $a_4 = 3^3a'_4$, $a_6 = 3^4a'_6$, where $a'_2, a'_4 \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $a'_6 \in \mathbb{Z}_3^*$. If $3 \nmid x$, then

$$v_3((3x)^3 + 3^2a'_2(3x)^2 + 3^3a'_4(3x) + 3^4a'_6) = 3,$$

hence $y \notin \mathbb{Q}_3$. So, we have $3 \mid x$, and we may assume that $(3^2x, 3^2y) \in E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is a 3-torsion point (replacing (x, y) with $(3x, 3y)$). The equation of E divided by 3^4 gives $y^2 \equiv a'_6 \pmod{3}$, so again, we have $a'_6 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Moreover,

$$\psi_3(3^2x) = 3^9x^4 + 3^8 \cdot 4a'_2x^3 + 3^8 \cdot 2a'_4x^2 + 3^7 \cdot 4a'_6x + 3^6 \cdot 4a'_2a'_6 - 3^6 \cdot 4a'_4{}^2.$$

Since $\psi_3(3^2x) = 0$, we have $3 \mid a'_2a'_6 - a'_4{}^2$.

Now, we prove the converse. Assume $3 \mid a'_2a'_6 - a'_4{}^2$, so $\frac{\psi_3(3^2x)}{3^7}$ reduces to a linear polynomial modulo 3, hence, it has a root by Hensel's lemma. Once again we can find $y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $(3^2x, 3^2y)$ is the 3-torsion point we were searching for since $a'_6 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.

Finally for (3), the density (1) is clear, and the density in (2) follows from the fact that $3 \nmid a'_6$, so a'_2 is uniquely determined modulo 3 by a'_4 and a'_6 . □

Remark 6.8. One may wonder why in the case IV and IV^* we have to look at the equation modulo a higher power of 3 (compared to the case good reduction, where we were just looking at modulo p^2). This follows from the fact that for a singular point P , the valuation of $\gcd(\psi_3^2(x(P)), \theta_3(x(P)))$ is 6 or 12 (see [32, Table 1.1]) and so to see if $x(3P)^{-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ (that is, P is 3-torsion modulo 3) one has to check if $\psi_3(x(P))$ is 0 modulo 3^4 or 3^7 .

Finally, we prove Theorem 1.1 for $p = 3$.

Theorem 6.9. *The probability that E/\mathbb{Q}_3 has a \mathbb{Q}_3 -rational non-trivial 3-torsion point is $\frac{3}{26}$.*

Proof. The probability of having a good reduction point is $2/27 + 1/27$ by Lemmas 6.5 and 6.6. The probability that E is in minimal form and has additive reduction and a singular \mathbb{Q}_3 -rational 3-torsion point is $(3^{-5} + 3^{-8})/3$ by Lemma 6.7 and [11, Propostion 2.2]. Notice that we cannot have at the same time a singular and non-singular non-trivial \mathbb{Q}_3 -rational 3-torsion point because we cannot have full torsion. The probability that E is in minimal form, has split multiplicative reduction, and a singular \mathbb{Q}_3 -rational 3-torsion point is

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{2}{3^{3k+3}} = \frac{2}{3^6} \frac{3^3}{3^3 - 1} = \frac{2}{3^3(3^3 - 1)}$$

by Lemmas 6.2 and 6.3. If E has any other reduction type, there is no singular 3-torsion. In conclusion, we get

$$\frac{3^{10}}{3^{10} - 1} \left(\frac{2}{27} + \frac{1}{27} + \frac{1}{3^6} + \frac{1}{3^9} + \frac{2}{3^3(3^3 - 1)} \right) = \frac{3}{26}.$$

□

6.2 Good ordinary reduction

Let E/\mathbb{F}_p be an elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_p , $p \geq 3$, with ordinary reduction, so that $E(\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. The goal of this section is to compute the probability that E can be lifted to an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Z}_p with a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial p -torsion point.

Associated with E there is a canonical lifting of E to an elliptic curve E_{can} over \mathbb{Q}_p , which is uniquely characterized by the following properties: the modulo p reduction of E_{can} is E , and reduction modulo p

induces an isomorphism $\text{End}(E_{\text{can}}) \rightarrow \text{End}(E)$ of endomorphism rings. This was first observed by Deuring [14], and later generalized to abelian varieties by Lubin-Serre-Tate [23].

Remark 6.10. To define rigorously the "reduction modulo p " map $\text{End}(E_{\text{can}}) \rightarrow \text{End}(E)$, consider the Neron model \mathcal{E}/\mathbb{Z}_p of E_{can} , a smooth group scheme over \mathbb{Z}_p with generic fiber $E_{\text{can}}/\mathbb{Q}_p$. By the universal property of Neron models, we can extend any endomorphism of E_{can} uniquely to an endomorphism of \mathcal{E} . We have a canonical bijection $\text{End}(E_{\text{can}}) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{E})$, which we compose with the map $\text{End}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \text{End}(E)$ obtained by base change to special fiber.

Lemma 6.11. *If E/\mathbb{F}_p has a non-zero p -torsion point defined over \mathbb{F}_p , then the canonical lift E_{can} has a non-zero p -torsion point defined over \mathbb{Q}_p .*

Proof. Recall that the Verschiebung $V : E \rightarrow E$ is a degree p isogeny defined as the dual of the Frobenius $F : E \rightarrow E$, and that $F \circ V = V \circ F = [p]$ is the multiplication-by- p morphism. Since the smoothness of a map $\text{End}(E_{\text{can}}) \rightarrow \text{End}(E)$ is an isomorphism, both V and F lift to degree p -isogenies $V_{\text{can}} : E_{\text{can}} \rightarrow E_{\text{can}}$ and $F_{\text{can}} : E_{\text{can}} \rightarrow E_{\text{can}}$ that satisfy $F_{\text{can}} \circ V_{\text{can}} = V_{\text{can}} \circ F_{\text{can}} = [p]$. Let $\mathcal{V} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be the extension of V_{can} to an endomorphism of the Neron model \mathcal{E} of E_{can} . Then $\ker(\mathcal{V})$ is a scheme over \mathbb{Z}_p with special fiber $\ker(V)$ and generic fiber $\ker(V_{\text{can}})$. This implies that $\ker(\mathcal{V})$ is smooth (even étale) over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}_p$: consider the module of Kahler differentials $\Omega_{\ker(\mathcal{V})/\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}_p}$. Since $\ker(V_{\text{can}})/\text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\ker(V)/\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p$ are smooth of relative dimension 0, we have $\Omega_{\ker(\mathcal{V})/\mathbb{Z}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p = \Omega_{\ker(V_{\text{can}})/\mathbb{Q}_p} = 0$ and $\Omega_{\ker(\mathcal{V})/\mathbb{Z}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{F}_p = \Omega_{\ker(V)/\mathbb{F}_p} = 0$, and so by Nakayama's lemma $\Omega_{\ker(\mathcal{V})/\mathbb{Z}_p} = 0$ and $\ker(\mathcal{V})/\mathbb{Z}_p$ is smooth by [20, Proposition III.10.4] with $n = 0$. By Hensel's lemma [22, Corollary 6.2.13], every \mathbb{F}_p -rational point of $\ker(V)$ lift to a \mathbb{Z}_p -point of $\ker(\mathcal{V})$. By specializing to the generic fiber we deduce that $\ker(V_{\text{can}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, and so we also have $E_{\text{can}}[p](\mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. \square

We will need the following criterion of Serre for the field $\mathbb{Q}_p(E[p])$ to be tamely ramified. For a proof, see Theorem 2 of [24].

Theorem 6.12. *Let E/\mathbb{Q}_p be an elliptic curve with good ordinary reduction. Let $j_{\text{can}} \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ be the j -invariant of the canonical lift of the reduction of E modulo p . Then the extension $\mathbb{Q}_p(E[p])/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is tamely ramified if and only if $j \equiv j_{\text{can}} \pmod{p^{\mu+1}}$, where $\mu = 1$ if $j \not\equiv 0, 1728 \pmod{p}$, $\mu = 2$ if $j \equiv 1728 \pmod{p}$, and $\mu = 3$ if $j \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.*

Proposition 6.13. *Let $p \geq 5$. Let E/\mathbb{F}_p be an elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_p with an \mathbb{F}_p -rational non-trivial p -torsion point, defined by a fixed Weierstrass equations $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p$. The probability that a random lift of E to \mathbb{Q}_p has a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial p -torsion point is $1/p$, and the Weierstrass equation of any such lift is congruent modulo p^2 to a Weierstrass equation of the canonical lift. More precisely,*

$$\frac{\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(\{A, B \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2 : A \equiv a \pmod{p}, B \equiv b \pmod{p}, \#(E_{A,B}(\mathbb{Q}_p)[p]) = p\})}{\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(\{A, B \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2 : A \equiv a \pmod{p}, B \equiv b \pmod{p}\})} = \frac{1}{p}$$

where $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}$ is the Haar measure of \mathbb{Z}_p^2 . Moreover, the set

$$\{A, B \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2 : A \equiv a \pmod{p}, B \equiv b \pmod{p}, \#(E_{A,B}(\mathbb{Q}_p)[p]) = p\}$$

is defined by congruence conditions modulo p^2 .

Proof. Assume $j \not\equiv 0, 1728 \pmod{p}$. First, we show that E'/\mathbb{Q}_p is a lift of E/\mathbb{F}_p with a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial p -torsion point if and only if $j(E') \equiv j(E_{\text{can}}) \pmod{p^2}$. If E'/\mathbb{Q}_p is a lift of E/\mathbb{F}_p with a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial p -torsion point, then the p -torsion splits as a Galois module as $E[p] = \mu_p \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, where the factor μ_p corresponds to the kernel of reduction modulo p . Hence the field $\mathbb{Q}_p(E[p]) = \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_p)$ is tamely ramified, and $j(E') \equiv j(E_{\text{can}}) \pmod{p^2}$. If $j(E') \not\equiv j(E_{\text{can}}) \pmod{p^2}$, then $\mathbb{Q}_p(E[p])$ is not tamely ramified, in particular $\mathbb{Q}_p(E[p]) \neq \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_p)$, so there is no \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial p -torsion.

Let $E' : y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ and $E_{\text{can}} : y^2 = x^3 + Cx + D$. Notice that $A \equiv C \pmod{p}$ and $B \equiv D \pmod{p}$ since they reduce to the same Weierstrass equation modulo p . We have $j(E') \equiv j(E_{\text{can}}) \pmod{p^2}$

if and only if there exists $u \in \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$ such that $u^2C \equiv A \pmod{p^2}$ and $u^3D \equiv B \pmod{p^2}$. So having a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial p -torsion point depends only on the reduction modulo p^2 of the coefficients. The pairs $(\overline{A}, \overline{B}) \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^2$ such that $\overline{A} \equiv a \pmod{p}$ and $\overline{B} \equiv b \pmod{p}$ are p^2 . The pairs $(\overline{A}, \overline{B}) \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^2$ such that $\overline{A} \equiv a \pmod{p}$, $\overline{B} \equiv b \pmod{p}$, $u^2C \equiv A \pmod{p^2}$, and $u^3D \equiv B \pmod{p^2}$ for some $u \in \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$ are p (one for each $u \equiv 1 + pu_1 \pmod{p^2}$ for $u_1 \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$) and so we conclude that the probability of having a lift is $p/p^2 = 1/p$.

We cannot have $j \equiv 1728 \pmod{p}$, since then E has a non-trivial 2-torsion point over \mathbb{F}_p , so $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is even, contradicting $E(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

We are left with the case $j \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. In this case, since $\text{End}(E_{\text{can}}) \cong \text{End}(E)$ as rings, E_{can} has an automorphism of order 3, and so we have $j_{\text{can}} = 0$, and E_{can} is defined by an equation $y^2 = x^3 + D$. Proceeding as in the first case, E'/\mathbb{Q}_p is a lift of E/\mathbb{F}_p with a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial p -torsion point if and only if $j(E') \equiv j(E_{\text{can}}) \pmod{p^4}$. Moreover, $j(E') \equiv 0 \pmod{p^4}$ if and only if $\overline{A} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$. So, E has a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial p -torsion point if and only if $\overline{A} \equiv a \pmod{p}$, $\overline{B} \equiv b \pmod{p}$, $\overline{A} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$. We conclude as in the first case. \square

We are assuming that $p > 3$, but this proposition holds also for $p = 3$, as shown in the proof of Lemma 6.5.

Remark 6.14. We first noticed the probability $1/p$ in the good reduction case by considering the division polynomial ψ_p for fixed values of the prime p . By a linear change of variables, we may assume that $x = 0$ is the x -coordinate of a non-zero p -torsion point in $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$. We observed that the coefficient of x in ψ_p is divisible by exactly p , regardless of $a_2, a_4, a_6 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. We similarly see that the constant coefficient is divisible by p , and mod p^2 is given by a linear form l_p in $a_4, a_6 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, the coefficients of which depend only on the prime p . Combining these observations and Hensel's lemma, the root $x = 0$ of ψ_p modulo p lifts to a root of ψ_p in \mathbb{Z}_p if and only if the constant coefficient is divisible by p^2 , which happens with the probability $1/p$. However, we have not managed to find a direct proof of these properties of the division polynomial ψ_p for a general prime p , since it is very difficult to compute the coefficients of ψ_p directly.

Remark 6.15. Elliptic curves E/\mathbb{F}_p such that $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = p$ are called anomalous and are of interest in cryptography, and the probability obtained in Proposition 6.13 has been noticed before in this context. In [30] Smart describes a fast algorithm to solve the discrete logarithm problem for anomalous elliptic curves. The algorithm relies on randomly choosing a lift of \tilde{E}/\mathbb{F}_p with $\tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ to E/\mathbb{Q}_p , and returns an error precisely when the lift E has a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial p -torsion point. In this case, the algorithm restarts by randomly choosing a different lift. Smart asserts [30, pg 195], without proof, that this happens with probability $1/p$.

7 Complements

7.1 ℓ -torsion in families of twists

Let $j \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. We want to compute the probability that a Weierstrass equation with j -invariant equal to j has a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial ℓ -torsion point. This problem is in some sense in between the problem of finding ℓ -torsion and ℓ -isogenies. Indeed, as we will show in Corollary 7.2, the probability of having a non-trivial 3-torsion point in the family of Weierstrass equations with the same j -invariant depends only on how many \mathbb{Q}_p -rational 3-isogenies does an element of the family admit. Notice that this problem is quite easy over \mathbb{Q}_p due to the fact that $\mathbb{Q}_p^*/(\mathbb{Q}_p^*)^2$ has only 4 elements and so elliptic curves with the same j -invariant can be divided in 4 classes, and elements in the same class are isomorphic over \mathbb{Q}_p .

Lemma 7.1. *Let $\ell \neq p$ be two odd primes with $p \geq 5$. Let $j \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ and E be an elliptic curve with j -invariant equal to j . Let m be the cardinality of the \mathbb{Q}_p -rational roots of $\psi_\ell(x)$, the ℓ -division polynomials of E . Let $P_{j,\ell}$ be the probability that an elliptic curve with j -invariant j has a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial ℓ -torsion point.*

Then

$$P_{j,\ell} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m = 0, \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } m = \frac{\ell-1}{2}, \\ \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4}\delta_{(p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell})} & \text{if } m > \frac{\ell-1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

With $\delta_{(p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell})}$ we mean 1 if $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ holds and 0 otherwise.

Proof. We do the case $j \neq 0, 1728$, the other cases are similar. Let E be an elliptic curve with j -invariant equal to j and defined by the equation $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$. Any other elliptic curve with j -invariant equal to j is isomorphic over \mathbb{Q}_p to an elliptic curve E_u defined by the equation $y^2 = x^3 + au^2x + bu^3$ for $u \in \{1, \nu, p, \nu p\}$ where ν is a non-square in \mathbb{Z}_p^* . The isomorphism over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ from E_u to $E_{u'}$ is given by the map $(x, y) \rightarrow ((u/u')x, (u/u')\sqrt{u/u'}y)$. Notice in particular that $x(P)$ is \mathbb{Q}_p -rational if and only if it is \mathbb{Q}_p -rational after the twist. Assume that ψ_ℓ does not have any \mathbb{Q}_p -rational solution. Then every non-zero \mathbb{Q}_p -rational ℓ -torsion point of E is of the form $(x(P), y(P))$ for $x(P) \notin \mathbb{Q}_p$. Hence, each non-zero \mathbb{Q}_p -rational ℓ -torsion point of E_u is of the form $(ux(P), u\sqrt{u}y(P))$ for $x(P) \notin \mathbb{Q}_p$. Thus, E_u does not have a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial ℓ -torsion point for all u .

Assume now that $\psi_\ell(x(P)) = 0$ with $x(P) \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ for a point P . Then ψ_ℓ has at least $(\ell - 1)/2$ \mathbb{Q}_p -rational zeros (given by $x(nP)$ for $n = 1, \dots, (\ell - 1)/2$). Assume that there are exactly $(\ell - 1)/2$ \mathbb{Q}_p -rational zeros. Moreover, $y(P) \in \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{v})$ with $v \in \{1, \nu, p, \nu p\}$ and $y(iP) \in \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{v})$ for all i . In particular, since $y(iP)^2 = x(iP)^3 + ax(iP) + b$ and $x(iP) \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, then $y(iP)\sqrt{v} \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. So, P is a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial ℓ -torsion point for E_v and it is not \mathbb{Q}_p -rational for $u \neq v \in \{1, \nu, p, \nu p\}$. In this case, we can easily conclude that $P_{j,\ell} = 1/4$.

Assume now that ψ_ℓ has more than $(\ell - 1)/2$ zeros. Then $E[\ell] = \chi_u \oplus \chi_u \chi_{cycl,\ell}$ for $u \in \{1, \nu, p, \nu p\}$ and $\chi_{cycl,\ell}$ is the cyclotomic character associated with the ℓ -th root of unity. If $\chi_{cycl,\ell}$ is trivial (that is, $p \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$), then each non-trivial \mathbb{Q}_p -rational ℓ -torsion point in E is of the form $(x(P), y(P))$ with $x(P) \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $y(P) \in \sqrt{u}\mathbb{Q}_p$. So, E_u has full torsion and E_v does not have any \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial ℓ -torsion point for $v \neq u \in \{1, \nu, p, \nu p\}$. In this case, we conclude that $P_{j,\ell} = 1/4$. If $\chi_{cycl,\ell}$ is non-trivial (that is, $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$), then $E[\ell] = \chi_u \oplus \chi_{u\zeta_\ell}$ where ζ_ℓ is a primitive ℓ -th root of unit and notice that u and $u\zeta_\ell$ belong to different classes of $\mathbb{Q}_p^*/(\mathbb{Q}_p^*)^2$. Proceeding as before, we have that E_u and $E_{u\zeta_\ell}$ have a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial ℓ -torsion point and E_v does not have a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial ℓ -torsion point for the other two classes. In this case, $P_{j,\ell} = 1/2$. \square

Corollary 7.2. *Let $p \geq 5$. Let $j \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ and E be an elliptic curve with j -invariant equal to j . Let n be the cardinality of \mathbb{Q}_p -rational 3-isogenies of E . Then,*

$$P_{j,3} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } n = 1, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } n = 2, \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } n = 4. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Notice that if $x(P)$ is a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational root of ψ_3 for $P \in E[3](\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$, then the isogeny with kernel $\{P, 2P, 0_E\}$ is \mathbb{Q}_p -rational. Assume that $n = 0$. Then ψ_3 does not have any \mathbb{Q}_p -rational root, since otherwise E would have a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational isogeny. So, $P_{j,3} = 0$. If $n = 1$, then ψ_3 has exactly one \mathbb{Q}_p -rational root (given by $x(P)$ with P in the kernel of the isogeny). So, $P_{j,3} = 1/4$.

It remains the case $n > 1$. If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then $E[3] = \chi_u \oplus \chi_u$ for $u \in \{1, \nu, p, \nu p\}$, E admits 4 different \mathbb{Q}_p -rational isogenies and ψ_3 has 4 \mathbb{Q}_p -rational roots. Then, $n = 4$ and $P_{j,3} = 1/4$. If $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then $E[3] = \chi_u \oplus \chi_v$ for $\chi_u \neq \chi_v$ and E admits 2 different \mathbb{Q}_p -rational isogenies. So, $n = 2$ and $P_{j,3} = 1/2$. \square

7.2 A sieve argument

The goal of this section is to compare our result with [19, Theorem 1.1]. This is intended as a general remark rather than a precise or rigorous result, so we are going to skip some details. We are going to show that our

result can be used to bound the \mathbb{Q} -rational elliptic curves with a \mathbb{Q} -rational non-trivial 3-torsion point. By [19, Theorem 1.1] we have

$$\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{A, B \in \mathbb{Z} : |A| \leq J^2, |B| \leq J^3, y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B \text{ is an e.c., } E[3]\mathbb{Q} \neq \{0_E\}\}}{J^2} = c_2,$$

with c_2 a strictly positive constant. With our results, we can easily find an upper bound for this quantity, using the large sieve. Our result will agree with the aforementioned result, but it is weaker. Fix $J \geq 0$ and let $L = J^2$. Consider A, B in the box $[-J^2, J^2] \times [-J^3, J^3]$. If the elliptic curve $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ has a non-trivial \mathbb{Q}_p -rational 3-torsion point, then it has a non-trivial 3-torsion point modulo p for all $p < L$. Let

$$\delta_p = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{8} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

By [9, Lemma 3.1] and Proposition 4.3, the cardinality of elliptic curves with one \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial 3-torsion point can be bounded by

$$\frac{(J^2 + L)(J^3 + L)}{\sum_{n \leq L} \mu^2(n) \prod_{p|n} (\delta_p / (1 - \delta_p))} = O\left(\frac{J^5}{\sum_{n \leq L} \mu^2(n) \prod_{p|n} (\delta_p / (1 - \delta_p))}\right).$$

Moreover,

$$\sum_{n \leq L} \mu^2(n) \prod_{p|n} (\delta_p / (1 - \delta_p)) \geq \sum_{n \leq L} \mu^2(n) \prod_{p|n} \frac{3}{5} = \sum_{n \leq L} \mu^2(n) \left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^{\omega(n)} \approx L \log L^{-2/5}$$

where the last equality follows by Wirsing theorem. Hence,

$$\#\{A, B \in \mathbb{Z} : |A| \leq J^2, |B| \leq J^3, y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B \text{ is an e.c., } E[3](\mathbb{Q}) \neq \{1\}\} = O\left(J^3 \log J^{2/5}\right),$$

that agrees with the fact that the quantity above grows as J^2 . We have proven a bound on the number of Weierstrass equations inside the box $[-J^2, J^2] \times [J^3, J^3]$ which have a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial 3-torsion point for each prime $p \leq J^2$. Theorem 1.3.1 of [12] implies that if we take $L = \infty$, i.e. ask for a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial 3-torsion point over every \mathbb{Q}_p , then in fact there are $O(J^2)$ elliptic curves, i.e. \mathbb{Q} -rational 3-torsion is positive density inside of everywhere \mathbb{Q}_p -rational 3-torsion.

7.3 Asymptotic probability of \mathbb{Q}_p -rational ℓ -torsion

The goal of this section is to find an asymptotic result for \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial ℓ -torsion points in \mathbb{Q}_p as the prime $p \rightarrow \infty$. Let ℓ be an odd prime. Let E/\mathbb{F}_p be an elliptic curve for $p \neq \ell$. Then, Frob_p acts on $E[\ell]$, so we can see it as a matrix in $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$. We have $\det(\text{Frob}_p) \equiv p \pmod{\ell}$ and $\text{Tr}(\text{Frob}_p) \equiv p + 1 \pmod{\ell}$, if $\ell \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ since $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1 - \text{Tr}(\text{Frob}_p)$. Then, by the geometric version of Chebotarev density theorem, we have

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\#\{E/\mathbb{F}_p \text{ s.t. } \ell \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)\}/\sim}{\#\{E/\mathbb{F}_p\}/\sim} - \frac{\#\{M \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell) \mid \det(M) = p, \text{Tr}(M) = p + 1\}}{\#\{M \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell) \mid \det(M) = p\}} \right| = 0,$$

and so, fixing $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ coprime with ℓ ,

$$\lim_{\substack{p \rightarrow \infty \\ p \equiv s \pmod{\ell}}} \frac{\#\{E/\mathbb{F}_p \text{ s.t. } \ell \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)\}/\sim}{\#\{E/\mathbb{F}_p\}/\sim} = \frac{\#\{M \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell) \mid \det(M) = s, \text{Tr}(M) = s + 1\}}{\#\{M \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell) \mid \det(M) = s\}}.$$

Let $W_{\ell,p}$ be the subset of \mathbb{Z}_p^5 of those $[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6]$ for which $y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$ is an elliptic curve and has a non-trivial \mathbb{Q}_p -rational ℓ -torsion point. Since the measure of coefficients such that the Weierstrass equation reduces to a singular curve modulo p goes to 0 as p goes to infinity, we have

$$\lim_{\substack{p \rightarrow \infty \\ p \equiv s \pmod{\ell}}} \mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^5}(W_{\ell,p}) = \lim_{\substack{p \rightarrow \infty \\ p \equiv s \pmod{\ell}}} \frac{\#\{E/\mathbb{F}_p \text{ s.t. } \ell \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)\}/\sim}{\#\{E/\mathbb{F}_p\}/\sim} = \frac{\gamma_{s,s+1}}{\sum_{0 \leq t \leq \ell-1} \gamma_{s,t}} \quad (4)$$

where

$$\gamma_{s,t} = \#\{M \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell) \mid \det(M) = s, \text{Tr}(M) = t\}.$$

Notice that

$$\sum_{0 \leq t \leq \ell-1} \gamma_{s,t} = \#\{M \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell) \mid \det(M) = s\} = \#\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell) = \ell^3 - \ell.$$

Lemma 7.3. *Let (\cdot) be the Legendre symbol. Then*

$$\gamma_{s,t} = \ell^2 + \left(\frac{t^2 - 4s}{\ell}\right) \ell.$$

In particular,

$$\gamma_{s,s+1} = \begin{cases} \ell^2 & \text{if } s \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}, \\ \ell^2 + \ell & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$. Then we have to count $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_\ell$ such that $ad - bc = s$, $a + d = t$. If we fix b and c , we have two choices for a and d if $t^2 - 4bc - 4s$ is a non-zero square, one choice if it is zero, and zero choices if it is not a square. Assume that $t^2 - 4s$ is not a square. Then, if $bc = 0$, $t^2 - 4bc - 4s$ is not a square. If $4bc = t^2 - 4s$, then we have 1 choice for a and d . In this case, we have $\ell - 1$ choices. We have $(\ell - 1)/2$ choices for $bc \neq 0$ such that $t^2 - 4bc - 4s$ is a non-zero square. For all of them, we have two choices for a and d . Since we have $(\ell - 1)^2/2$ choices for b and c , we obtain $(\ell - 1)^2$ choices. Adding them to the previous case, we obtain in total $(\ell - 1)^2 + \ell - 1 = \ell^2 - \ell$. The other cases are similar.

If $s \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$, then $(s + 1)^2 - 4s \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}$ and then $\gamma_{s,s+1} = \ell^2$. If not, $(s + 1)^2 - 4s = (s - 1)^2$ is a non-zero square modulo ℓ and then $\gamma_{s,s+1} = \ell^2 + \ell$. \square

Theorem 7.4. *Let $\delta_{p \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}}$ be equal to 1 if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ and zero otherwise. Then,*

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^5}(W_{\ell,p}) = \frac{1}{\ell - 1} - \frac{\delta_{p \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}}}{\ell^2 - 1}.$$

Proof. By (4) and Lemma 7.3,

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p^5}(W_{\ell,p}) = \frac{\ell^2 + \ell - \ell \cdot \delta_{p \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}}}{\ell^3 - \ell}.$$

\square

Notice in particular that this agrees with Theorem 1.1.

Remark 7.5. We briefly describe an algorithm to compute the probability that an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q}_p has a non-trivial \mathbb{Q}_p -rational ℓ -torsion point for $p, \ell \geq 5$, $p \neq \ell$. The measure of Weierstrass equations with singular reduction modulo p and a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational ℓ -torsion point can be computed in the exact same way as in the case $\ell = 3$ treated in Section 4.2. To compute the measure of Weierstrass equations with non-singular reduction modulo p , we need to generalize the result of Lemma 4.2 to $\ell \geq 3$. To make this result explicit, we need to know the cardinality of \mathbb{Q}_p -rational points on the modular curves $X_\omega(\ell)(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and $X_{\omega,1}(\ell)(\mathbb{F}_p)$, which is not explicitly known in general. Following the notation of Section 3, we denote by $X_{\omega,1}(\ell)$ and $X_\omega(\ell)$ the cardinality of short Weierstrass equation in \mathbb{F}_p that admits a non-trivial \mathbb{F}_p -rational ℓ -torsion point, or full ℓ -torsion.

Assume $p \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$.

- If E has split multiplicative reduction, then $\ell \mid p-1 = \#\tilde{E}_{ns}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and then E always has a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial ℓ -torsion point. So the measure is given by the probability of having split multiplicative reduction, that is $(p-1)/2p^2$.
- If E has non-split multiplicative reduction, then $\ell \nmid p+1 = \#\tilde{E}_{ns}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and then E does not have a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial ℓ -torsion point. Recall that the multiplication by ℓ is an isomorphism over $E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ has order at most 4.
- If E has additive reduction, then $\ell \nmid p = \#\tilde{E}_{ns}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Moreover, ℓ does not divide $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and so E does not have a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial ℓ -torsion point.
- If E has good reduction, then there are $\#(X_\omega(\ell)(\mathbb{F}_p))/24$ Weierstrass equation in short forms with full torsion and $\#(X_{\omega,1}(\ell)(\mathbb{F}_p))/2 - \#(X_\omega(\ell)(\mathbb{F}_p))/6$ with $E(\mathbb{F}_p)[\ell] = (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$.

In conclusion,

$$W_{\text{tors},\ell} = \frac{p^{10}}{p^{10}-1} \left(\frac{\#(X_{\omega,1}(\ell)(\mathbb{F}_p))}{2p^2} - \frac{\#(X_\omega(\ell)(\mathbb{F}_p))}{8p^2} + \frac{p-1}{2p^2} \right).$$

Assume $p \not\equiv 1, -1 \pmod{\ell}$, so we can never have full torsion.

- If E has split multiplicative reduction, then $\ell \nmid p-1 = \#\tilde{E}_{ns}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. So, E has a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial ℓ -torsion point only if the reduction type is $I_{\ell k}$ for some k . The measure is then equal to $(p-1)^2/(2p^{\ell+2}(1-1/p^\ell))$.
- If E has non-split multiplicative reduction, then $\ell \nmid p+1 = \#\tilde{E}_{ns}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and then E does not have a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial ℓ -torsion point.
- If E has additive reduction, then $\ell \nmid p = \#\tilde{E}_{ns}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Moreover, ℓ does not divide $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and so E does not have a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational non-trivial ℓ -torsion point.
- If E has good reduction, then there are $\#(X_{\omega,1}(\ell)(\mathbb{F}_p))/2$ Weierstrass equations in short form with $E(\mathbb{F}_p)[\ell] = (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$.

In conclusion

$$W_{\text{tors},\ell} = \frac{p^{10}}{p^{10}-1} \left(\frac{\#(X_{\omega,1}(\ell)(\mathbb{F}_p))}{2p^2} + \frac{(p-1)^2}{2p^2(p^\ell-1)} \right).$$

Assume $p \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$. With a similar strategy, we can prove that

$$W_{\text{tors},\ell} = \frac{p^{10}}{p^{10}-1} \left(\frac{\#(X_{\omega,1}(\ell)(\mathbb{F}_p))}{2p^2} + \frac{(p-1)^2}{2p^2(p^\ell-1)} + \frac{p-1}{2p^2} \right).$$

In particular, these formulas imply that $W_{\text{tors},\ell}$ is a rational number, a fact that is not a priori obvious. We remark that using the results of Section 6 and [25, Theorem 1] to handle the case of additive reduction, the same strategy can also be used to prove a similar result in the case $\ell = p$ as well. We omit the details.

References

- [1] Sang Yook An, Seog Young Kim, David C Marshall, Susan H Marshall, William G McCallum, and Alexander R Perlis. Jacobians of genus one curves. *Journal of Number Theory*, 90(2):304–315, 2001.
- [2] Michela Artebani and Igor V Dolgachev. The Hesse pencil of plane cubic curves. *L'Enseignement Mathématique*, 55(3):235–273, 2009.
- [3] Lea Beneish and Christopher Keyes. How often does a cubic hypersurface have a rational point? *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.06584*, 2024.

- [4] Manjul Bhargava. Higher composition laws IV: The parametrization of quintic rings. *Annals of Mathematics*, 167:53–94, 2008.
- [5] Manjul Bhargava, John Cremona, and Tom Fisher. The proportion of plane cubic curves over \mathbb{Q} that everywhere locally have a point. *International Journal of Number Theory*, 12(04):1077–1092, 2016.
- [6] Manjul Bhargava, John Cremona, and Tom Fisher. The proportion of genus one curves over \mathbb{Q} defined by a binary quartic that everywhere locally have a point. *International Journal of Number Theory*, 17(04):903–923, 2021.
- [7] Manjul Bhargava, John Cremona, Tom Fisher, and Stevan Gajović. The density of polynomials of degree n over \mathbb{Z}_p having exactly r roots in \mathbb{Q}_p . *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 124(5):713–736, 2022.
- [8] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust. The Magma algebra system. I. The user language. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 24(3-4):235–265, 1997. Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993).
- [9] Tim Browning, Julian Lyczak, and Roman Sarapin. Local solubility for a family of quadrics over a split quadric surface. *Involve*, 16(2):331–342, 2023.
- [10] Peter Bruin and Filip Najman. Counting elliptic curves with prescribed level structures over number fields. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 105(4):2415–2435, 2022.
- [11] John Cremona and Mohammad Sadek. Local and global densities for Weierstrass models of elliptic curves. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 30(2):413–461, 2023.
- [12] John Cullinan, Meagan Kenney, and John Voight. On a probabilistic local-global principle for torsion on elliptic curves. *Journal de théorie des nombres de Bordeaux*, 34(1):41–90, 2022.
- [13] Jan Denef. Report on Igusa’s local zeta function. *Astérisque*, 201:203, 1990.
- [14] Max Deuring. Die Typen der Multiplikatorenringe elliptischer Funktionenkörper. In *Abhandlungen aus dem mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg*, volume 14 (1), pages 197–272. Springer Berlin/Heidelberg, 1941.
- [15] Tom Fisher. The invariants of a genus one curve. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 97(3):753–782, 2008.
- [16] Tom Fisher. The hessian of a genus one curve. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 104(3):613–648, 2012.
- [17] Tom Fisher. A formula for the Jacobian of a genus one curve of arbitrary degree. *Algebra & Number Theory*, 12:2123–2150, 2018.
- [18] Tom Fisher, Wei Ho, and Jennifer Park. Everywhere local solubility for hypersurfaces in products of projective spaces. *Research in Number Theory*, 7:1–27, 2021.
- [19] Robert Harron and Andrew Snowden. Counting elliptic curves with prescribed torsion. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2017(729):151–170, 2017.
- [20] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*, volume 52. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [21] Jun-ichi Igusa. *An introduction to the theory of local zeta functions*, volume 14. American Mathematical Soc., 2000.
- [22] Qing Liu. *Algebraic geometry and arithmetic curves*, volume 6. Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathe, 2002.
- [23] Jonathan Lubin, Jean-Pierre Serre, and John Tate. Elliptic curves and formal groups. In *Lecture notes prepared in connection with the seminars held at the Summer Institute on Algebraic Geometry, Whitney Estate, Woods Hole, Massachusetts*, volume 6, 1964.

- [24] Tetsuo Nakamura. A note on elliptic curves with ordinary reduction. *Archiv der Mathematik*, 60:440–445, 1993.
- [25] René Pannekoek. On p -torsion of p -adic elliptic curves with additive reduction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1211.5833*, 2012.
- [26] Maggie Pizzo, Carl Pomerance, and John Voight. Counting elliptic curves with an isogeny of degree three. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B*, 7:28–42, 2020.
- [27] Karl Rubin and Alice Silverberg. Families of elliptic curves with constant mod p representations. *Elliptic curves, modular forms, & Fermat’s last theorem (Hong Kong, 1993)*, 1:148–161, 1995.
- [28] Jean-Pierre Serre. Une formule de masse pour les extensions totalement ramifiées de degrés donnés d’un corps local. *CR Acad. Sc. Paris A*, 286:1031–1036, 1978.
- [29] Joseph H Silverman. *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, volume 106. Springer Science & Business Media, 2009.
- [30] Nigel P Smart. The discrete logarithm problem on elliptic curves of trace one. *J. Cryptology*, 12(3):193–196, 1999.
- [31] John Tate. Algorithm for determining the type of a singular fiber in an elliptic pencil. In *Modular Functions of One Variable IV: Proceedings of the International Summer School, University of Antwerp, RUCA, July 17–August 3, 1972*, pages 33–52. Springer, 2006.
- [32] Paul Voutier and Minoru Yabuta. The greatest common valuation of ϕ_n and ψ_n^2 at points on elliptic curves. *Journal of Number Theory*, 229:16–38, 2021.

Stevan Gajović, MPIM Bonn, Charles University Prague, Mathematical Institute MISANU

E-mail address: `stevangajovic@gmail.com`

Lazar Radičević, King’s College London, Mathematical Institute MISANU

E-mail address: `lazaradicevic@gmail.com`

Matteo Verzobio, IST Austria, Am Campus 1, 3400 Klosterneuburg, Austria

E-mail address: `matteo.verzobio@gmail.com`