ON MONOTONICITY OF HEAT KERNELS: A NEW EXAMPLE AND COUNTEREXAMPLES

ALMUT BURCHARD AND ÁNGEL D. MARTÍNEZ

ABSTRACT. We discover a new, non-radial example of a manifold whose heat kernel decreases monotonically along all minimal geodesics. We also classify the flat tori with this monotonicity property. Furthermore, we show that for a generic metric on any smooth manifold the monotonicity property fails at large times. This answers a recent question of Alonso-Orán, Chamizo, Martínez, and Mas.

1. Introduction

The heat kernel on a manifold contains a wealth of local and global geometric information about the underlying space. It is of central importance for partial differential equations (describing diffusion of a unit of heat released from a point and diffusing through the manifold) and for probability (giving the transition densities for Brownian motion). Although the asymptotics of the heat kernel are well-understood both in the large or small time regimes, less is known about its geometric properties at fixed, positive times. The main purpose of this paper is to study monotonicity of this kernel along geodesics.

In order to fix ideas, let us recall that on Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n the heat kernel is given by

$$K_t(x,y) = (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}\right).$$

The fact that this kernel is a strictly decreasing function of the distance |x - y| implies many classical inequalities in Functional Analysis and Probability, such as the isoperimetric inequality, the Pólya-Szegő inequality for the Dirichlet energy [13, Lemma 7.17], the Faber-Krahn inequality for the fundamental frequency of a domain, as well as inequalities for Brownian motion and path integrals [14] (cf. [13, Chapter 3] or [3, Chapter 8]).

By definition, the heat kernel on a Riemannian manifold is the fundamental solution of the heat equation $\partial_t u = \Delta u$, where Δ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator. Explicit expressions for the heat kernel are available only in very few cases. On the sphere \mathbb{S}^n there are no formulas when $n \geq 2$, while for the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n there are exact formulas that become increasingly cumbersome in higher dimensions. Nevertheless, the heat kernels on these manifolds share the symmetry and monotonicity properties of the Euclidean one:

Theorem 1.1 (Radially decreasing heat kernels [7, 6, 2, 16, 1]). Let M be the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , the sphere \mathbb{S}^n , or the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n , with the standard uniform metric. For every time t > 0, the heat kernel $K_t(x, y)$ is a strictly decreasing function of the geodesic distance d(x, y).

A number of different proofs of this result can be found in the literature, going back to the work of Cheeger and Yau from the 1980s. It is a natural question to ask what can be said about monotonicity properties on other manifolds. In a recent paper, Alonso-Orán, Chamizo, Martínez, and Mas obtain an improvement in the case of rotationally symmetric manifolds about some point x, i.e. manifolds that admit global spherical coordinates $(\rho, \sigma) \in (0, D) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ such that the metric has the form $d\rho^2 + A(\rho)d\sigma^2$ for some function A. Here, the diameter D may be infinite or not. If the diameter is finite, their result implies the following.

Theorem 1.2 (Symmetric decrease about a point [1]). Let (M, g) be a compact smooth Riemannian manifold that is rotationally symmetric about some point $x \in M$. For every time t > 0 the heat kernel $K_t(x, y)$ is a strictly decreasing function of the geodesic distance d(x, y).

In particular, the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 extends to the real projective space \mathbb{RP}^n , endowed with the uniform metric. The proof given in [1] applies the parabolic maximum principle to radial solutions of the heat equation, expressed in normal coordinates about x. This also yields a new proof of Theorem 1.1. We refer the interested reader to [1] for a more detailed discussion and a complete bibliography on the topic.

As observed in [1], in the absence of symmetry K_t cannot be a function of the geodesic distance alone. On a general Riemannian manifold, the authors propose to consider instead the monotonicity of the heat kernel along geodesics, a much weaker property. They raise the question (we paraphrase freely) whether the heat kernel $K_t(x, \gamma(s))$ decreases along geodesics γ emanating from x? Can such a monotonicity property hold for all times t > 0, up to the cut locus of x? They observe that flat rectangular tori, and, more generally, any product of manifolds with geodesically decreasing heat kernels inherits this property from their factors. In this paper, we describe a new example of geodesic monotonicity, given by the flat torus associated with a regular triangular ('honeycomb') lattice in the plane. This torus appears as an extremal for numerous spectral and isoperimetric inequalities (see, for instance [4, 8, 11, 17, 10] for some recent results). It is distinguished by a six-fold reflection symmetry, which manifests itself in hexagonal fundamental domain of the lattice. We use the maximum principle to show that the heat kernel $K_t(x, y)$ decreases as y moves along any geodesic emanating from x until it meets the boundary of the fundamental hexagon centered at x.

However, in general the answer to the above question is negative. We present several results that indicate just how rare it is for a Riemannian metric to have a geodesically decreasing heat kernel. Among flat twodimensional tori, the only other examples are those associated with rectangular lattices (see Theorem 2.1). The heat kernel on a flat Klein bottle cannot be geodesically decreasing for large t. In an upcoming paper, we prove that on S^2 , the only Riemannian metrics with geodesically decreasing heat kernels [?] are the uniform metrics. On any smooth Riemannian manifold, geodesic monotonicity places narrow constraints on the multiplicity of eigenvalues of the Laplace-Beltrami operator, and on the corresponding spectral projections (Theorem 2.4). It is an open question what other examples may exist on surfaces of higher genus and in higher dimensions.

2. Main results

We need to fix some basic notions. Clearly, the monotonicity described above makes sense only for minimal geodesics, i.e., for geodesics whose length equals the distance between their endpoints.

Definition (Geodesic monotonicity). Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold. We say that a real-valued function F on M decreases geodesically about a point $x \in M$, if the function $F(\gamma(s))$ is non-increasing in s for every minimal geodesic $\gamma : [0, s_0] \to M$ with $\gamma(0) = x$. A function G on $M \times M$ decreases geodesically, if for each $x \in M$ the function $G(x, \cdot)$ decreases geodesically about x.

As concrete examples, we consider the heat kernel on flat tori of the form $M = \mathbb{R}^2/\Lambda$, where Λ is a planar lattice of rank two. It was shown in [1, final remarks] that geodesic monotonicity holds on the tori $a\mathbb{S}^1 \times b\mathbb{S}^1$, which correspond to the rectangular lattices spanned by $\{(2\pi a, 0), (0, 2\pi b)\}$. We will show that geodesic monotonicity also holds on the torus defined by a regular triangular lattice — a remarkable exceptional case, which is neither

rotationally symmetric nor a product space. It turns out that except for isometries and dilations, there are no other flat tori with geodesic monotonicity:

Theorem 2.1. Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a lattice of rank two, and let $K_t(x, y)$ be the heat kernel on the flat torus \mathbb{R}^2/Λ .

- (1) If Λ is a regular triangular lattice, then K_t is strictly geodesically decreasing for every t > 0.
- (2) Conversely, if $K_t(x, y)$ is geodesically decreasing for some sequence of times $t_k \to \infty$, then Λ is either a rectangular lattice, or a regular triangular lattice.

Continuing in that direction, we will see below that the heat kernel on any flat Klein bottle cannot be geodesically decreasing for large values of t. These examples suggest that geodesic monotonicity of the heat kernel constrains the topology of the manifold, as well as the metric. The remainder of the paper is dedicated to identifying some of the constraints.

From now on, we restrict the discussion to compact, connected Riemannian manifolds (M, g) whose metric is smooth (enough). We prove that for a general metric on any given manifold, geodesic monotonicity of the heat kernel cannot be expected at large times, not even in a small neighborhood of a typical point x (cf. the proof of Theorem 2.2).

We next introduce a basic technique that will be further developed below, and prove a simple result. The heat kernel on a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) can be decomposed using the natural notion of *harmonics*, namely, the eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator $-\Delta_g$. Its spectrum consists of a sequence of nonnegative eigenvalues

$$0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le \dots$$

repeated according to multiplicity. We take the eigenfunctions to be an orthonormal sequence $(\phi_j)_{j\geq 0}$ of real-valued functions in $L^2(M, d \operatorname{vol}_g)$. Since the Laplace-Beltrami operator is the infinitesimal generator of the heat semigroup, the heat kernel has the spectral expansion

(2.1)
$$K_t(x,y) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_j t} \phi_j(x) \phi_j(y) > 0.$$

As a consequence of general pointwise bounds satisfied by the eigenfunctions, combined with Weyl's asymptotic law for the eigenvalues, the series converges absolutely for all $x, y \in M$ and all t > 0 (see Section 5 for more details). **Theorem 2.2.** Let (M, g) be a compact connected Riemannian manifold such that the first eigenvalue of $-\Delta_g$ is simple. Then for all sufficiently large t, the heat kernel fails to be geodesically decreasing.

Proof. Let ϕ_1 be a normalized eigenfunction for λ_1 . Since ϕ_1 is continuous, non-constant, and integrates to zero over M, its range is an interval that contains 0 in its interior. Choose $x, y \in M$ with $0 < \phi_1(x) < \phi_1(y)$. Then

$$\phi_1(x)(\phi_1(y) - \phi_1(x)) > 0.$$

Using that the eigenfunction ϕ_0 associated with $\lambda_0 = 0$ is constant, and that $\lambda_2 > \lambda_1$, we obtain from the spectral expansion that

$$e^{\lambda_1 t} \left(K_t(x,y) - \phi_0^2 \right) \rightarrow \phi_1(x) \phi_1(y)$$

as $t \to \infty$, and correspondingly for $K_t(x, x)$. For t sufficiently large, it follows that

$$K_t(x,y) - K_t(x,x) \ge \frac{1}{2}e^{-\lambda_1 t}\phi_1(x)(\phi_1(y) - \phi_1(x)) > 0.$$

On a minimal geodesic γ with $\gamma(0) = x$ and $\gamma(1) = y$, this means that $K_t(x, \gamma(1)) > K_t(x, \gamma(0))$ which shows that $K_t(x, \gamma(s))$ is not monotone decreasing in s.

The hypothesis of Theorem 2.2 is not empty by a well-known result due to Uhlenbeck [19, Theorem 8] on the generic simplicity of eigenvalues. The result says that on any compact manifold M of dimension n > 1, the metrics g for which all eigenvalues of $-\Delta_g$ are simple form a residual subset of C^r for every $r \ge n + 4$.

Beyond Theorem 2.2, we find that monotonicity of the heat kernel implies conditions on the eigenspace associated with the principal eigenvalue, depending on its multiplicity. Indeed, if the first eigenvalue has multiplicity two we have the following rigidity result.

Theorem 2.3. Let (M, g) a compact connected Riemannian manifold such that the principal eigenvalue of $-\Delta_g$ has multiplicity two. If the heat kernel K_t is geodesically decreasing for some sequence of times $t_k \to \infty$, then, up to isometry, M is a product space $N \times \lambda_1^{-1/2} \mathbb{S}^1$, where N is a connected totally geodesic submanifold of codimension one in M.

In particular, among two-dimensional manifolds where λ_1 is a double eigenvalue, only flat tori of the form $a\mathbb{S}^1 \times b\mathbb{S}^1$ have geodesically decreasing heat kernels.

The proof of the theorem implies that the nodal sets of a principal eigenfunction on M has exactly two connected components, both isometric to N. By separation of variables, the heat kernel on M is the product of the heat kernels on N and $\lambda^{-1/2} \mathbb{S}^1$, and the spectrum of $-\Delta_g$ is the sum of the spectra for the factors. Moreover the heat kernel on (N, g) is geodesically decreasing, and the principal eigenvalue of $-\Delta_g$ on N exceeds λ_1 .

Let us mention that manifolds satisfying the conclusion of the theorem are quite rare. We refer to [15] for historical details and a proof that generic manifolds do not have nontrivial totally geodesic submanifolds.

The starting point for the proof of Theorem 2.3 is again the spectral expansion. If λ_1 has multiplicity m, then

(2.2)
$$e^{\lambda_1 t} (K_t(x,y) - \phi_0^2) \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^m \phi_j(x) \phi_j(y) =: P_{\lambda_1}(x,y)$$

uniformly for $x, y \in M$ (see Section 5). The sum on the right hand side is the integral kernel of the spectral projection associated with the principal eigenvalue. If K_t is geodesically decreasing for some sequence of times $t = t_k \to \infty$, then the spectral projection is geodesically decreasing as well. We will show in Lemma 5.2 that this monotonicity implies

(2.3)
$$\phi_1(x)^2 + \dots + \phi_m(x)^2 = \frac{m}{\operatorname{vol}(M)}$$

When m = 2, the joint level sets of the eigenfunctions ϕ_1, ϕ_2 form a foliation of M that we use to construct the claimed isometry (see equation 6.2).

In known cases where monotonicity holds, all non-trivial eigenvalues have large multiplicity. For instance, on the standard sphere the ℓ -th eigenspace consist of the spherical harmonics of order ℓ , whose dimension grows polynomially with ℓ . On the real projective space \mathbb{RP}^n the ℓ -th eigenspace consists of spherical harmonics of order 2ℓ . On tori $a\mathbb{S}^1 \times b\mathbb{S}^1$, the multiplicity of all nontrivial eigenvalues is even. This might be connected to the following necessary condition.

Theorem 2.4. Let (M, g) be a compact connected Riemannian manifold whose heat kernel is geodesically decreasing for some non-repeating positive sequence $(t_k)_{k\geq 1}$ of times that does not converge to zero. Then equation (2.3) holds whenever ϕ_1, \ldots, ϕ_m is an orthonormal basis for the eigenspace of some positive eigenvalue of $-\Delta_g$.

The conclusion of Theorem 2.4 implies that all positive eigenvalues of $-\Delta_g$ have multiplicity greater than one, as follows. If λ is a simple eigenvalue,

then the corresponding eigenfunction is constant by equation (2.3). Since the constants form the eigenspace for $\lambda_0 = 0$, the only simple eigenvalue of $-\Delta_g$ is the trivial one. Thus Theorem 2.4 implies Theorem 2.2.

However, under the hypothesis of Theorem 2.4, if λ is a double eigenvalue we do not recover the conclusion of Theorem 2.3 that M is *isometric* to a product. Instead, we only obtain a diffeomorphism between M and a mapping torus, see Proposition 6.3.

The paper is organized as follows. Sections 3 and 4 are devoted to flat surfaces and the proof of Theorem 2.1. In Section 5 we derive necessary conditions for geodesic monotonicity and prove Theorem 2.4. In Section 6, we discuss double eigenvalues in detail, and prove Theorem 2.3.

3. The new example

In this section, we establish monotonicity of the heat kernel on the flat torus \mathbb{R}^2/Λ , where Λ is the regular triangular lattice generated by $e_1 = (1,0)$ and $\zeta = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$, see Figure 1. The Voronoi cell of the origin

$$C := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |x| = \min_{\ell \in L} |x - \ell| \right\}$$

is a fundamental region for the translations in Λ . Every line segment in C emanating from the origin corresponds to a minimal geodesic in the torus; the boundary of C corresponds to the cut locus of the origin.

By symmetry, C is a regular hexagon, formed by the intersection of the strips $\{|x \cdot e_1| \leq \frac{1}{2}\}, \{|x \cdot \zeta| \leq \frac{1}{2}\}, \text{ and } \{|x \cdot \overline{\zeta}| \leq \frac{1}{2}\}$ where $\overline{\zeta} = (-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3})$. In reference to the tiling of the plane by translates of C, we call Λ the honeycomb lattice and \mathbb{R}^2/Λ the honeycomb torus. The honeycomb torus can also be obtained by identifying opposite sides of C.

Here is the main result of this section.

Proposition 3.1. The heat kernel on the honeycomb torus is geodesically decreasing for every t > 0.

We are grateful to an anonymous referee for pointing out a related result of Baernstein that affirms that for each t > 0 the heat kernel $K_t(x, y)$ attains its global minimum at any pair of points of maximal distance [4, Theorem 1]. Although our result seems stronger, Baernstein's proof already contains as a key step the reduction we do in the proof of Proposition 3.1 below. Starting from an explicit representation for the heat kernel on the torus, Baernstein combines a convexity argument with the maximum principle to show that certain directional derivatives are positive. This is in fact what we achieve from geometric considerations for the heat equation alone, without referring to special identities for the solution.

We follow Alonso-Orán, Chamizo, Mas, and Martínez [1] and directly apply the parabolic maximum principle to the heat kernel. We will need the strong form (cf. [9, Theorem 4 (ii) on p. 54]), paraphrased here for the convenience of the reader:

Strong maximum principle. Let v be a classical solution of the heat equation $\partial_t v = \Delta v$ on a cylinder $U \times (0,T)$, where U is a connected domain and T > 0. Assume that v is continuous on the closed cylinder $\overline{U} \times [0,T]$. If v attains its maximum at a point (x^*, t^*) with $x^* \in U$ and $t^* > 0$, then

$$v(x,t) = v(x^*,t^*)$$

for all $x \in \overline{U}$, $0 \le t \le t^*$.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Let Λ be the lattice generated by the basis $\{e_1, \zeta\}$. We need to show that $K_t(\gamma(0), \gamma(s))$ is nondecreasing along any minimal geodesic γ . By translation, we may assume that $\gamma(0) = (0, 0)$.

We consider $K_t((0,0), x)$ as a function on \mathbb{R}^2 that is Λ -periodic,

$$K_t((0,0), x + \ell) = K_t((0,0), x), \qquad (x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \ell \in \Lambda).$$

We approximate it by a smooth solution of the heat equation $\partial_t u = \Delta u$, with initial values $u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$ that are symmetric under all isometries of \mathbb{R}^2 mapping the honeycomb lattice Λ to itself. These symmetries are generated by the reflection symmetries of C, combined with the lattice translations. By uniqueness of the solution of this initial-value problem, $u(\cdot, t)$ shares these symmetries. We will prove that

$$(3.1) x \cdot \nabla_x K_t((0,0),x) < 0$$

for all $x \neq 0$ in the interior of C and all t > 0. In the proof, we first establish monotonicity, and then revisit the argument to obtain strict monotonicity.

Let $D = \{x \in C : 0 \leq \arg x \leq \frac{\pi}{6}\}$ be the small right-angled triangle shaded green in Figure 1. This is a fundamental region for the action of the symmetries of the lattice on the plane. Taking advantage of these symmetries, it suffices to consider $x \in D$.

As in [1, Section 2], we apply the maximum principle to certain directional derivatives of u. The partial derivatives $v_1 := \partial_{x_1} u$ and $v_2 := \partial_{x_2} u$ satisfy the heat equation on \mathbb{R}^2 . By symmetry of u, the function v_1 vanishes on the vertical edge of D and is reflection-symmetric across the horizontal edge.



FIGURE 1. Two fundamental domains for the standard honeycomb lattice. The parallelogram is spanned by the basis vectors $e_1 = (1,0)$ and $\zeta = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3})$. The boundary of the regular hexagon *C* corresponds to the cut locus of the origin in the honeycomb torus \mathbb{R}^2/Λ . The lattice is symmetric under reflection across the three lines that form the sides of *D*.

Similarly, v_2 vanishes on the horizontal edge and is reflection-symmetric across the vertical edge. On the diagonal edge that forms the hypotenuse of D, the spatial gradient $\nabla u = (v_1, v_2)^t$ is tangent to D due to the reflection symmetry of u. Therefore, on this edge, the partials are in the ratio $v_1 : v_2 = \sqrt{3} : 1$. Clearly, v_1 and v_2 vanish at the vertices of C and at the midpoints of edges, as well as the origin, all of which are critical points for u.

Our first claim is that if $\partial_{x_1} u_0 \leq 0$ and $\partial_{x_2} u_0 \leq 0$ then $v_1 \leq 0$ and $v_2 \leq 0$ on $D \times [0, \infty)$.

Suppose that v_1 attains its maximum over the compact set $D \times [0, T]$ at a certain point (x^*, t^*) . We will argue by contradiction that $t^* = 0$. If instead $t^* > 0$, then, by the strong maximum principle, x^* lies on the boundary of D. We know that it lies in the interior of some edge, because u has critical points at the origin and at the vertices of D. Let D' be the union of D and its reflection across the horizontal edge. Since v_1 is symmetric under this reflection and vanishes on the vertical edge, it attains its maximum on both diagonal edges of D'. Thus x^* lies on the diagonal edge of D that it shares with D'.

Conversely, if v_2 attains its maximum at a point (x^{**}, t^{**}) with $t^{**} > 0$, then by the same reasoning as for v_1 (but reflecting across the vertical edge of D) we see that x^{**} lies on the diagonal edge of D as well. By maximality of $v_1(x^*, t^*)$,

$$v_1(x^*, t^*) \geq v_1(x^{**}, t^{**}) = \sqrt{3}v_2(x^{**}, t^{**}),$$

where we have used the fixed ratio between v_1 and v_2 on the diagonal edge. On the other hand, by maximality of $v_2(x^{**}, t^{**})$,

$$v_1(x^*, t^*) = \sqrt{3}v_2(x^*, t^*) \le \sqrt{3}v_2(x^{**}, t^{**}).$$

We conclude that both inequalities hold with equality, and v_1 and v_2 simultaneously attain their maxima over $D \times [0, T]$ at (x^*, t^*) (and also at (x^{**}, t^{**})).

Let $\tau = (\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3}, \frac{1}{2})$ be the unit vector along the diagonal edge. Since both components of τ are positive, the directional derivative

$$v := \tau \cdot \nabla u = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3}v_1 + \frac{1}{2}v_2$$

also attains its maximum over $D \times [0, T]$ at (x^*, t^*) . Consider the quadrilateral D'' formed by the union of D and its reflection across the diagonal edge. Since v is symmetric under reflection at the diagonal edge of D this means that $v(x^*, t^*)$ is the maximum for v on $D'' \times [0, T]$. But x^* is an interior point for D'', contradicting the strong maximum principle.

This contradiction shows that necessarily $t^* = 0$.

As a consequence v_1, v_2 are nonnegative on D for all $t \ge 0$. Since the coordinates of any point $x \in D$ are nonnegative, this implies that $x \cdot \nabla u(x, t) \le 0$ on D, proving the first claim.

To conclude the proof of monotonicity we approximate $K_t((0,0), x)$ by the solution of the heat equation with initial data

$$u(x,0) = \sum_{\ell \in \Lambda} u_0(x+\ell),$$

where u_0 is a radially decreasing function of unit total mass that is supported on a small disk about the origin in the interior of C. Then $\partial_{x_1}u_0 \leq 0$ and $\partial_{x_2}u_0 \leq 0$ on D, and neither vanishes identically. Therefore our previous arguments apply, and the solution satisfies $x \cdot \nabla u(x,t) < 0$ for $x \neq 0$ in Dand all t > 0. We let the initial condition u_0 converge to a point measure to obtain the monotonicity property for the heat kernel.

For strict monotonicity, assume additionally that $\partial_{x_1} u_0$ and $\partial_{x_1} u_0$ do not vanish identically on D, i.e., v_1 and v_2 have non-trivial initial values. We already know that $v_1, v_2 \leq 0$ on D for all $t \geq 0$. We claim that for $t > 0, v_1$ vanishes on D only on the vertical edge and at x = 0, and v_2 vanishes only on the horizontal edge and at the upper vertex.

Suppose that $v_1(x^*, t^*) = 0$ for some $t^* > 0$. Since $v_1(\cdot, 0)$ is not identically zero, by the strong maximum principle x^* cannot lie in the interior of D. As in the proof of the first claim, by considering reflections across the horizontal and diagonal edges, we see that it cannot lie on the interior of those edges, either.

Therefore v_1 vanishes only on the vertical edge and at 0. In the same way we find that v_2 vanishes only on the horizontal edge and at the upper vertex. It follows that $x \cdot \nabla u(x,t) < 0$ for all t > 0 and all $x \in D$ except at its vertices.

To prove strict monotonicity for $K_t((0,0), x)$, we recall that

$$\partial_{x_1} K_t((0,0), x) \leq 0$$
 and $\partial_{x_2} K_t((0,0), x) \leq 0$

on D, for all t > 0. Moreover, the partial derivatives $\partial_{x_1} K_t((0,0), x)$ and $\partial_{x_2} K_t((0,0), x)$ cannot vanish identically on D, since for each t > 0 the heat kernel is a non-constant smooth function of x that is symmetric under reflection across the sides of D. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. By the semigroup property, $u(x,t) := K_{t+\varepsilon}((0,0), x)$ solves the heat equation. Since its initial values $K_{\varepsilon}((0,0), x)$ are non-increasing and non-constant in both variables on D, it follows that $x \cdot \nabla u(x,t) < 0$ for $t > \varepsilon$, for all $x \in D$ except the vertices. We finally take $\varepsilon \to 0$ to obtain equation (3.1) on D, and hence C, for all t > 0.

It is clear from the proof of Proposition 3.1 that for each t > 0, the heat kernel $K_t((0,0), x)$ has a maximum at x = 0, minima at the vertices of C, saddle points at the midpoints of the edges, and no other critical points on C. This corresponds to one local maximum, two local minima, and three saddle points on the honeycomb torus \mathbb{R}^2/Λ , We confirm that their indices add up to 1 - 3 + 2 = 0, the Euler characteristic of the torus.

4. Non-monotonicity on flat surfaces

4.1. Tori. In this section, we investigate geodesic monotonicity of the heat kernel on flat tori of the form \mathbb{R}^2/Λ , where Λ is a lattice of rank two, and prove Theorem 2.1. The heat kernels on such tori can be expressed in terms of the heat kernel on \mathbb{S}^1 , though their monotonicity properties are not obvious from the formulas (see, e.g. [4, p. 231]). We need some explicit information about the spectrum of the Laplacian on such surfaces, summarized below.

Let us recall that a planar lattice Λ is a discrete subgroup of \mathbb{R}^2 , which acts on itself by translation. The lattice has rank two, if it consists of all integer linear combinations of a pair of linearly independent vectors. Such a pair is called a *basis* for Λ . Since geodesic monotonicity is invariant under scaling, we assume without loss of generality that the length of the smallest element in the basis is normalized to one. Taking advantage of rigid rotations and a change of basis, we may also assume that the basis vectors are (1,0) and (-a,b), where $0 \leq a \leq \frac{1}{2}$, b > 0, and $a^2 + b^2 \geq 1$. With this choice of parameters, (1,0) minimizes the modulus among non-zero elements of Λ , and (-a,b) minimizers the modulus among elements linearly independent of (1,0). The rectangular lattices correspond to the parameter values a = 0, $b \geq 1$, and the standard honeycomb lattice corresponds to $a = \frac{1}{2}$, $b = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3}$.

The spectrum of the Laplacian on \mathbb{R}^2/Λ is conveniently expressed in terms of the *dual lattice* Λ' , consisting of all points $\ell \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\ell \cdot x$ is an integer for every $x \in \Lambda$. An orthogonal set of eigenfunctions is given by

$$\phi_{\ell}(x) = e^{2\pi i \ell \cdot x}, \qquad (\ell \in \Lambda').$$

Notice that these are orthogonal in L^2 but should be normalized by a factor $b^{-1/2}$, to compensate for the area spanned by the basis vectors. The corresponding eigenvalues are $\lambda_{\ell} = (2\pi |\ell|)^2$. Since $\pm \ell \neq 0$ give rise to the same eigenvalue, the multiplicity of every non-trivial eigenvalue is even, and on the corresponding eigenspace, any orthonormal basis satisfies equation (2.3).

The basis of Λ' dual to $\{(1,0), (-a,b)\}$ consists of the vectors $v_1 = (1, b^{-1}a)$ and $v_2 = (0, b^{-1})$. Here, v_2 minimizes the modulus among non-zero elements of Λ' , and v_1 minimizes the modulus among elements linearly independent of v_2 . Incidentally we have proved:

Lemma 4.1. Let Λ be the planar lattice generated by the basis vectors (1,0)and (-a,b). If $0 \le a \le 1$ and $a^2 + b^2 > 1$, then the principal eigenvalue of the Laplacian on \mathbb{R}^2/Λ is $\lambda_1 = (2\pi/b)^2$.

We group the flat tori according to their lattice symmetries as follows.

Lattices without special symmetries. If $0 < a \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $a^2 + b^2 > 1$, then the principal eigenvalue has multiplicity two. The corresponding eigenspace is spanned by $\cos(2\pi x_2/b)$ and $\sin(2\pi x_2/b)$.

Isosceles lattices. If $0 < a < \frac{1}{2}$ and $a^2 + b^2 = 1$, then both basis vectors have unit length. This means that Λ has additional symmetries, associated

with the rhombus spanned by the basis vectors; these symmetries manifest themselves as reflections on the torus \mathbb{R}^2/Λ . The multiplicity of the principal eigenvalue is four. The eigenspace is spanned by $\cos(2\pi x_2/b)$, $\sin(2\pi x_2/b)$, $\cos(2\pi (bx_1 + ax_2)/b)$, and $\sin(2\pi (bx_1 + ax_2)/b)$.

Rectangular and square lattices. For a = 0 and b > 1, the principal eigenvalue has multiplicity two, and the eigenspace is spanned by $\cos(2\pi x_2/b)$ and $\sin(2\pi x_2/b)$. For the square lattice, where a = 0 and b = 1, the multiplicity of the principal eigenvalue is four. The eigenspace is spanned by $\cos(2\pi x_1)$, $\sin(2\pi x_1)$, $\cos(2\pi x_2)$, and $\sin(2\pi x_2)$.

The honeycomb lattice. If $a = \frac{1}{2}$ and $b = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3}$, then the points closest to zero in Λ form a regular hexagon, and similarly for Λ' . The multiplicity of the principal eigenvalue is six, and the eigenspace is spanned by $\cos(2\pi x_2/b)$, $\sin(2\pi x_2/b)$, $\cos(2\pi (bx_1 \pm ax_2)/b)$, and $\sin(2\pi (bx_1 \pm ax_2)/b)$.

Remark. On the honeycomb torus, all non-trivial eigenvalues of $-\Delta$ have multiplicity divisible by six. The reason is that the dual lattice is symmetric under rotation by $\pi/3$, and the orbit of every non-zero point in the plane under this rotation has length six.

With this classification it is clear that for the proof of Part (2) of Theorem 2.1 we can focus on the first two cases. Although similar arguments apply, they need to be treated separately, as follows.

Proposition 4.2 (Lattices without special symmetries). Let Λ be the planar lattice generated by the basis vectors (1,0) and (-a,b). If $0 < a \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $a^2 + b^2 > 1$ then for large t the heat kernel on \mathbb{R}^2/Λ is not geodesically decreasing.

Proof. We will show that monotonicity fails along a certain minimal geodesic emanating from the origin. Let $\gamma(s) = (0, sb)$ for $0 \le s \le 1$, as in the Figure 2. Since the distance of $(0, \frac{b}{2})$ from any non-zero lattice point strictly exceeds $\frac{b}{2}$, the geodesic is minimal up to some $s^* > \frac{1}{2}$. Explicitly, the geodesic meets the cut locus at $(0, \frac{1}{2}(a^2 + b^2))$, which is equidistant to the origin and to (-a, b).

The spectral projection onto the eigenspace for λ_1 has integral kernel

$$P_{\lambda_1}(x,y) = \frac{2}{b} \cos(2\pi (x_2 - y_2)/b).$$

Since $P_{\lambda_1}((0,0), \gamma(s)) = 2b^{-1}\cos(2\pi s)$ increases for $\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq s^*$, geodesic monotonicity fails.



FIGURE 2. Fundamental parallelogram of a lattice Λ without special symmetries. The lattice basis is $\{(1,0), (-a,b)\}$, where $0 < a \leq \frac{1}{2}, b > 0$, and $a^2 + b^2 > 1$. The thin lines correspond to the cut locus of the origin in the torus \mathbb{R}^2/Λ . Geodesic monotonicity fails on the segment of the vertical geodesic emanating from (0,0) that lies inside the shaded triangle.

Proposition 4.3 (Isosceles lattices). Let Λ be the planar lattice generated by the basis vectors (1,0) and (-a,b). If $0 < a < \frac{1}{2}$ and $a^2 + b^2 = 1$, then for large t the heat kernel on \mathbb{R}^2/Λ is not geodesically decreasing.

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 4.2, we show that the projection $P_{\lambda_1}(x, y)$ violates monotonicity along some geodesic emanating from the origin, see Figure 3. By Lemma 4.1 the principal eigenvalue is $\lambda_1 = (2\pi/b)^2$, with multiplicity four as we are dealing with isosceles lattices according to our previous classification. The kernel of the projection onto the eigenspace of λ_1 simplifies to $P_{\lambda_1}(x, y) = P(x - y)$, where

$$P(x) = \frac{2}{b} \left(\cos(2\pi x_2/b) + \cos(2\pi (bx_1 + ax_2)/b) \right).$$

We will show that P increases on the final segment of a certain minimal geodesic emanating from the origin.

Denote the vertices of the fundamental parallelogram by O = (0, 0), A = (-a, b), B = (1 - a, b), and C = (1, 0), and let z^* be the center of the circle through O, A, and B. The three points form an isosceles triangle with an acute angle at the apex A. Hence z^* lies in the interior of the triangle, on the long diagonal that joints C to A. By construction, z^* lies on the cut locus of the origin, and the geodesic parametrized by $\gamma(s) = sz^*$ is minimal



FIGURE 3. Fundamental parallelogram of an isosceles lattice Λ with basis $\{(1,0), (-a,b)\}$, where $0 < a < \frac{1}{2}, b > 0$, and $a^2 + b^2 = 1$. In addition to translation along the basis vectors, the lattice is symmetric under reflection at the diagonals (dashed), both of which are longer than the sides. The thin lines inside the parallelogram correspond to the cut locus of the origin in \mathbb{R}^2/Λ . The point z^* is equidistant to O, A, and B. Geodesic monotonicity fails on the segment of the geodesic connecting O to z^* that lies inside the small shaded triangle.

for $0 \leq s \leq 1$. We claim that

(4.1)
$$\frac{d}{ds}P(\gamma(s))\Big|_{s=1} = z^* \cdot \nabla P(z^*) > 0.$$

It follows that P increases on a small segment of the geodesic shortly before it reaches z^* , which contradicts monotonicity.

To see the claim, parametrize the long diagonal as $\beta(s) = (1,0)+s\xi$, where $\xi = (-1-a, b)$ is the direction vector from C to A. Along the diagonal,

$$P(\beta(s)) = \frac{4}{b}\cos(2\pi s).$$

Since P is symmetric under reflection at the diagonal, $\nabla P(\beta(s))$ is tangent to β . Since $P(\beta(s))$ increases for $s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, the gradient is a positive multiple of ξ on that interval.

Let $\eta = (1 - a, b)$ be the direction vector for the short diagonal that joins O to B. Evidently, $A = \frac{1}{2}\xi + \frac{1}{2}\eta$, and $B = \eta$. Since z^* is in the convex hull of O, A and B we can write z^* in the basis (ξ, η) as $z^* = r\xi + t\eta$ for some $r, t \in (0, 1)$. Recalling that z^* lies on the segment of the long diagonal where ∇P is a positive multiple of ξ , we see that

$$z^* \cdot \nabla P(z^*) = (r\xi + t\eta) \cdot \nabla P(z^*) = r |\xi| |\nabla P(z^*)| > 0.$$

In the last step we have used that $\xi \cdot \eta = 0$ because the fundamental parallelogram forms a rhombus, whose diagonals are orthogonal.

4.2. Klein bottles. Let us consider the one-parameter family of flat Klein bottles given by

(4.2)
$$\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{R}^2 / \left\{ (x_1, x_2) \sim (1 + x_1, x_2) \sim (1 - x_1, b + x_2) \right\}.$$

A crucial difference between \mathcal{K} and a flat torus is that \mathcal{K} is symmetric under translation along the second coordinate axis, but not the first. Consider the orientable double cover of \mathcal{K} , defined by $\mathcal{T} = \mathbb{R}^2/\Lambda$, where Λ is the rectangular lattice with basis $\{(1,0), (0,2b)\}$. We view \mathcal{K} as the quotient of \mathcal{T} under the isometry $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto (1 - x_1, b + x_2)$.

A complete set of orthogonal eigenfunctions for \mathcal{K} is given by

(4.3)
$$\begin{cases} \cos(2\pi\ell_1 x_1)e^{\pi i\ell_2 x_2/b}, & (\ell_1 \ge 0, \ \ell_2 \text{ even}) \\ \sin(2\pi\ell_1 x_1)e^{\pi i\ell_2 x_2/b}, & (\ell_1 > 0, \ \ell_2 \text{ odd}). \end{cases}$$

Here, $\ell = (\ell_1, \ell_2)$ is a pair of integers, and as in the case of the tori, the eigenfunctions should be normalized by a factor of $(2/b)^{1/2}$. The principal eigenvalue is $\lambda_1 = \min\{(2\pi)^2, (2\pi/b)^2\}$, corresponding to $\ell = (1,0)$ or $(0, \pm 2)$. For b < 1, the principal eigenvalue is simple, with eigenfunction $\cos(2\pi x_1)$. For b > 1 the multiplicity is two, with eigenfunctions $\cos(2\pi x_2/b)$ and $\sin(2\pi x_2/b)$. At b = 1 the eigenvalues cross and the multiplicity is three.

Proposition 4.4. Let \mathcal{K} be a flat Klein bottle given by equation (4.2) for some b > 0. Then for sufficiently large t, the heat kernel on \mathcal{K} is not geodesically decreasing.

Proof. We distinguish three cases depending on the multiplicity of λ_1 . If b < 1, then the principal eigenvalue is simple and monotonicity fails by Theorem 2.2.

If b > 1, the principal eigenvalue has multiplicity two, with normalized eigenfunctions $(2/b)^{1/2} \cos(2\pi x_2/b)$ and $(2/b)^{1/2} \sin(2\pi x_2/b)$. The spectral projection is given by

$$P_{\lambda_1}(x,y) = \frac{2}{b}\cos(2\pi(x_2 - y_2)/b).$$

As in the proof of Proposition 4.2, we consider a vertical geodesic $\gamma(s) = (\xi, sb)$, where $0 < \xi < \frac{1}{2}$, see Figure 4. Since the distance from $(\xi, \frac{b}{2})$ to $(-\xi, b)$ in \mathcal{T} strictly exceeds $\frac{b}{2}$, the geodesic is minimal up to some $s^* > \frac{1}{2}$.



FIGURE 4. Fundamental rectangle for a flat Klein bottle of height b > 1. The left and right edges are identified to form a cylinder, and the top and bottom circles are glued by the orientation-reversing isometry $\psi(x) = -x$. Given $\xi \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, the thin lines correspond to the cut locus of $(\xi, 0)$ in the Klein bottle. Geodesic monotonicity fails on the segment of the vertical geodesic emanating from $(\xi, 0)$ that lies inside the shaded triangle.

Since $P_{\lambda_1}(\gamma(0), \gamma(s)) = (2/b) \cos(2\pi s)$ strictly increases for $\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq s^*$, geodesic monotonicity fails.

If b = 1, the principal eigenvalue has multiplicity three, with normalized eigenfunctions $\phi_1(x) = \sqrt{2}\cos(2\pi x_1)$. $\phi_2(x) = \sqrt{2}\cos(2\pi x_2)$, and $\phi_3(x) = \sqrt{2}\sin(2\pi x_2)$. The eigenfunctions ϕ_2 and ϕ_3 correspond to $(\ell_1, \ell_2) = (0, \pm 2)$, accounting for the extra factor of 2. The spectral projection is given by

$$P_{\lambda_1}(x,y) = 2\cos(2\pi x_1)\cos(2\pi y_1) + 2\cos(2\pi (x_2 - y_2)).$$

We consider again the vertical geodesic through $(\xi, 0)$, given by $\gamma(s) = (\xi, s)$. Since $P_{\lambda_1}(\gamma(0), \gamma(s)) = 2\cos^2(2\pi\xi) + 2\cos(2\pi s)$ strictly increases for $\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq s^*$, geodesic monotonicity fails also in this case.

Remark. Proposition 4.4 can be strengthened using the results of Section 5. Specifically, the conclusion of Theorem 2.4 fails for the eigenvalue $\lambda = (2\pi)^2$ on \mathcal{K} . Note that this is not the principal eigenvalue unless $b \leq 1$. If $b \geq 1$ is a half-integer, then $\lambda = (2\pi)^2$ has multiplicity three, corresponding to the spectral parameters $\ell = (1, 0)$ and $(0, \pm 2b)$. The corresponding eigenfunctions are (up to a normalization factor) $\cos(2\pi x_1)$, $\cos(2\pi x_2)$, and $\sin(2\pi x_2)$. Otherwise, λ is simple, corresponding to $\ell = (1, 0)$. In any case, equation (2.3) does not hold. By Theorem 2.4, the heat kernel on \mathcal{K} is

geodesically decreasing at most for a sequence of times that is either finite, or converges to zero.

It is an open question whether there exist any time t > 0 and any b > 0 for which the heat kernel on \mathcal{K} is geodesically decreasing. The same question can be asked about a torus that is neither rectangular nor a honeycomb torus.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By Proposition 3.1, the heat kernel on the honeycomb torus is geodesically decreasing for all t > 0, proving the first claim.

Conversely, suppose the heat kernel is geodesically decreasing on a flat torus \mathbb{R}^2/Λ for some sequence of times $t_k \to \infty$. As discussed at the beginning of the section, by a suitable dilation, rigid rotation, and change of basis it suffices to consider the case where Λ is spanned by (1,0) and (-a,b)for some parameters a, b with $0 \le a \le \frac{1}{2}$ and $a^2 + b^2 \ge 1$. Propositions 4.2 and 4.3 imply that the lattice is either rectangular (with $a = 0, b \ge 1$) or the honeycomb lattice $(a = \frac{1}{2}, b = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3})$

5. Necessary conditions

In this section, we study conditions on the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator $-\Delta_g$ that follow from monotonicity of the heat kernel. To ease notation, we will omit the metric g from the notation for geometric quantities such as the Riemannian metric, volume, and gradient, as well a the Laplace-Beltrami operator. We have already excluded the possibility of a simple principal eigenvalue in the introduction (cf. Theorem 2.2).

The following observation will play a crucial role.

Lemma 5.1. Let (M, g) be a connected Riemannian manifold, and let $G : M \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a symmetric function of class C^1 . If G is geodesically decreasing then G(x, x) is constant on M.

Remark. The differentiability hypothesis cannot be improved. Indeed, for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ the function $G(x, y) = -|x-y| + \epsilon(x+y)$ is geodesically decreasing, but $G(x, x) = 2\varepsilon x$ is not constant.

Proof. Given $x \in M$, let B be an open ball centered at x whose radius does not exceed the injectivity radius of M at x. Since x can be joined to any point $y \in B$ by a unique minimal geodesic, monotonicity implies that $G(x, x) \geq G(x, y)$. Since y was arbitrary, $G(x, \cdot)$ attains a local maximum

at y = x. By the first-derivative test, $\nabla_y G(x, y) \Big|_{y=x} = 0$. Since G is symmetric, the chain rule yields

$$\nabla_x G(x,x) = 2\nabla_y G(x,y)\Big|_{y=x} = 0\,,$$

which, by connectedness, implies that G(x, x) is constant on M.

We apply this to the spectral projection associated with the principal eigenvalue, as given by equation (2.2).

Lemma 5.2. Let (M, g) be a compact connected Riemannian manifold whose principal eigenvalue λ_1 has multiplicity m, and let ϕ_1, \ldots, ϕ_m be an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions for λ_1 . If the heat kernel on M decreases along geodesics for some sequence of times $t_k \to \infty$, then $\phi_1^2 + \cdots + \phi_m^2$ is constant on M.

Proof. By equation (2.2), the monotonicity of the heat kernel implies monotonicity of P_{λ_1} . Therefore, the claim follows from Lemma 5.1.

Since ϕ_1, \ldots, ϕ_m are orthonormal, the value of the constant in Lemma 5.2 is given by

(5.1)
$$c^2 := \phi_1^2 + \dots + \phi_m^2 = \frac{m}{\operatorname{vol}(M)}$$

We conclude from the lemma that

(5.2)
$$\Phi(x) := c^{-1} \left(\phi_1(x), \dots, \phi_m(x) \right)^t$$

defines a map from M to the unit sphere whose components are orthogonal eigenfunctions. At least in two dimensions, these conditions are reminiscent of the properties of λ_1 -extremal metrics [8].

Monotonicity also has consequences for the joint level sets of the principal eigenfunctions.

Lemma 5.3 (Convexity). Under the assumptions of Lemma 5.2, the set

$$M_u := \left\{ x \in M : \Phi(x) = u \right\}$$

is geodesically convex for each $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. In particular, M_u is connected. Furthermore, if M_u is a submanifold then it is totally geodesic.

Proof. Fix $u \in \mathbb{S}^{m-1}$ and $x, y \in M_u$. Let $\gamma(s)$ be a minimal geodesic in M starting from $\gamma(0) = x$ and ending at $\gamma(1) = y$. The function

$$f(s) := u \cdot \Phi(\gamma(s)), \qquad 0 \le s \le 1$$

has boundary values f(0) = f(1) = 1 since $\Phi(x) = \Phi(y) = u$ by assumption. By equation (5.2), we have that $f(s) = c^{-2}P_{\lambda_1}(x,\gamma(s))$ for some constant c > 0. Since this is nondecreasing by the monotonicity property of P_{λ_1} , it follows that $f(s) \equiv 1$, and hence $\Phi(\gamma(s)) = u$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$.

In the case where S is a submanifold, we need to show that any geodesic $\gamma(s)$ in M_u (with the induced metric) is a geodesic in M. Let $\rho > 0$ be smaller than the injectivity radius of M and of M_u . Consider the case where the diameter of γ in M_u (and hence in M) is less than ρ . Then the endpoints $x = \gamma(0)$ and $y = \gamma(1)$ are connected by a unique minimal geodesic $\eta(s)$ in M, with $\eta(0) = x$, $\eta(1) = y$. By geodesic convexity, $\eta(s) \in M_u$ for $0 \leq s \leq 1$, and hence $\eta = \gamma$. If γ has larger diameter, we cut it into finitely many segments of diameter less than ρ , each of which is a minimal geodesic in M.

The remainder of this section concerns higher eigenvalues. The spectral expansion in equation (2.1) is again the main tool. Let us briefly consider the convergence of the series. The eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator satisfy bounds of the form

$$\|\phi_j\|_{L^{\infty}} \le C(M,g)\lambda^{\alpha}$$

with a dimension-dependent exponent α . For $\alpha = \frac{n}{2}$ these can be obtained directly from Sobolev's inequalities; the sharp exponent $\alpha = \frac{n-1}{2}$ is due to Hörmander [12] (cf. Theorem 84 [5] or [18] for generalizations to L^p). On the other hand, Weyl's law implies that the eigenvalues grow as

$$\lambda_k \sim c(M,g)k^{\frac{2}{n}}$$

For any $\tau > 0$, since the series $\sum_k k^{(n-1)/n} e^{-tk^{2/n}}$ converges uniformly on $[\tau, \infty)$, the spectral expansion converges absolutely, uniformly for $x, y \in M$ and $t \geq \tau$. As a consequence, the heat kernel is analytic in t, and has the same regularity in x, y as the eigenfunctions.

In equation (2.1), the eigenvalues are repeated according to multiplicity, and different choices can be made for the basis of eigenfunctions. For the purpose of uniqueness, we find it useful to group the terms as

(5.3)
$$K_t(x,y) = \sum_{\lambda \ge 0} e^{-\lambda t} P_\lambda(x,y) ,$$

where every nontrivial eigenvalue in the series appears exactly once, and

(5.4)
$$P_{\lambda}(x,y) := \sum_{j:\lambda_j=\lambda} \phi_j(x)\phi_j(y)$$

is the integral kernel for the projection onto the eigenspace of λ . Since the eigenfunctions are orthonormal, we have that $\int_M P_\lambda(x, x) = \operatorname{tr} P_\lambda = m$.

By the same estimates, the truncated series $\sum_{\lambda'>\lambda} e^{(\lambda-\lambda')t} P_{\lambda'}(x,y)$ also converges absolutely, uniformly for $x, y \in M$ and $t \geq \tau$. Therefore we can represent the spectral projection as the limit

(5.5)
$$P_{\lambda}(x,y) = \lim_{t \to \infty} e^{\lambda t} \left(K_t(x,y) - \sum_{\lambda' < \lambda} e^{-\lambda' t} P_{\lambda'}(x,y) \right) \,.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Under the hypothesis that the heat kernel is geodesically decreasing for an infinite set of times $(t_k)_{k\geq 1}$ we want to show that equation (2.3) holds for every eigenvalue λ of $-\Delta$, that is, $P_{\lambda}(x, x) = m/\text{vol}(M)$, where m is the multiplicity of λ .

As discussed above, for each t > 0 and $x \in M$ the spectral expansion

$$S(t) = K_t(x, x) = \sum_{\lambda \ge 0} e^{-\lambda t} P_{\lambda}(x, x)$$

converges absolutely to an analytic function of t. Standard arguments guaranteeing uniqueness may be used. This is enough to determine each term in the series (cf. Theorem 70 [5]). Here we will only argue that $P_{\lambda}(x, x)$ is constant on M for each eigenvalue λ .

If that is not the case, let λ be the smallest eigenvalue for which the spectral projection depends on x. By hypothesis and Lemma 5.1 the function $S(t_k)$ is known to be independent of x for a non-repeating sequence of positive times (t_k) that does converge to zero. If the sequence is unbounded, then passing to a subsequence (again denoted by (t_k)) we may assume that $\lim t_k = \infty$. By equation (5.5),

$$P_{\lambda}(x,x) = \lim_{k \to \infty} e^{\lambda t_k} \left(K_{t_k}(x,x) - \sum_{\lambda' < \lambda} e^{-\lambda' t_k} P_{\lambda'}(x,x) \right) \,.$$

But by Lemma 5.1 the right hand side does not depend on x, a contradiction. We conclude that $P_{\lambda}(x, x)$ is constant on M for every $\lambda \geq 0$. The value of the constant is determined by integrating over M.

If, on the other hand, (t_k) is bounded, we may assume (by passing to a subsequence) that $\lim t_k = \tau$ for some $\tau > 0$. Since S(t) is analytic, it is uniquely determined by the values $S(t_k)$. Namely, its Taylor coefficients about about $t = \tau$ are determined recursively by

$$a_j = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{(j)!}{(t_k - \tau)^j} \left(S(t_k) - \sum_{0 \le i < j} a_i \frac{(t_k - \tau)^i}{i!} \right)$$

for $j \geq 0$. Since $S(t_k)$ does not depend on x, the same is true for the coefficients a_j . Therefore $S(t) = \sum_j a_k (t - \tau)^j$ is is constant in x for t in some neighborhood of τ . By unique continuation, S(t) is independent of x for all t > 0. This has strengthened our hypothesis significantly. As a consequence we are now in a position to apply equation (5.5), and conclude as in the first case that $P_{\lambda}(x, x)$ is constant on M for every $\lambda \geq 0$. \Box

For later use, we note that equation (2.3) implies a similar relation for the gradients of the eigenfunctions.

Lemma 5.4. Let ϕ_1, \ldots, ϕ_m be eigenfunctions of $-\Delta$ for the same eigenvalue λ . If $\sum_j \phi_j^2$ is constant on M, then $\sum_j |\nabla \phi_j|^2$ is constant.

Proof. For j = 1, ..., m, we have by the chain rule

$$|\nabla \phi_j|^2 = \operatorname{div} \left(\phi_j \nabla \phi_j\right) - \phi_j(\Delta \phi_j) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta + \lambda\right) \phi_j^2,$$

where we have used that ϕ_j is an eigenfunction for λ . If $\sum_j \phi_j^2 = c^2$ is constant on M, it follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} |\nabla \phi_j|^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta + \lambda\right) \sum_{j=1}^{m} \phi_j^2 = \lambda c^2 \,,$$

which is constant on M, as claimed.

6. The double eigenvalue case

Let (M, g) be a compact connected manifold, and $\lambda > 0$ an eigenvalue of the Laplace-Beltrami operator $-\Delta_g$. In this section, we consider the case where λ has multiplicity two. As in the previous section, we omit the metric g from the notation whenever there is no danger of confusion.

Let ϕ_1, ϕ_2 an orthonormal basis for the eigenspace of λ . By Lemma 5.2, $\phi_1^2 + \phi_2^2 = c^2$, where c is the constant from equation (5.1) with m = 2. For $x \in M$, we write in polar coordinates

(6.1)
$$\phi_1(x) + i\phi_2(x) = ce^{i\alpha(x)}$$
.

Although the argument $\alpha(x)$ is determined only up to an integer multiple, we will see that its gradient defines a smooth vector field on M. The flow of

this vector field will be used to construct a coordinate system for M. The level sets

(6.2)
$$M_{\theta} := \left\{ x \in M \mid \phi_1(x) + i\phi_2(x) = ce^{i\theta} \right\}$$

will play a key role in the construction of the coordinate system. Note that the nodal sets of ϕ_1, ϕ_2 can be written as disjoint unions

$$\{\phi_1 = 0\} = M_{\pi/2} \cup M_{-\pi/2}, \qquad \{\phi_2 = 0\} = M_0 \cup M_{-\pi}.$$

We digress now and record a couple of observations that will ease our later calculations. Let $U \subset M$ be an open connected subset where α is represented by a smooth function. By the chain rule

$$\nabla \phi_1 + i \nabla \phi_2 = i c e^{i \alpha} \nabla \alpha$$

that is, $\nabla \alpha = c^{-2}(\phi_1 \nabla \phi_2 - \phi_2 \nabla \phi_1)$ and $|\nabla \alpha|^2 = c^{-2}(|\nabla \phi_1|^2 + |\nabla \phi_2|^2)$ on U. By Lemma 5.4, $|\nabla \alpha| = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} > 0$. It follows that

(6.3)
$$V := \frac{\nabla \alpha}{|\nabla \alpha|} = c^{-2} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\phi_1 \nabla \phi_2 - \phi_2 \nabla \phi_1),$$

extends to a global vector field on M that provides a field of unit normals on each of the hypersurfaces M_{θ} . By the Implicit Function Theorem, these hypersurfaces are submanifolds of codimension one; since M is connected they are all diffeomorphic to M_0 .

Note that α is harmonic and V is divergence-free. Indeed, by the product rule

$$\operatorname{div} \left(\phi_1 \nabla \phi_2 - \phi_2 \nabla \phi_1\right) = \phi_1 \Delta \phi_2 - \phi_2 \Delta \phi_1 = 0,$$

where the eigenvalue equation was used in the last step.

Before we proceed any further, let us share a few observations. We will work on coordinate charts on M where the equation makes sense, the vector field is smooth (as a consequence of the eigenfunctions being smooth), and the argument $\alpha(x)$ is well-defined. This allows the use of Picard-Lindelöf Theorem to show existence and uniqueness for the initial-value problem

(6.4)
$$\frac{d}{ds}\eta(s) = V(\eta(s)), \qquad \eta(0) = x.$$

The flow $(\Psi_s)_{s\in\mathbb{R}}$ generated by V is defined by the property that for $x \in M$, the function $\eta(s) = \Psi_s(x)$ solves equation (6.4). The Picard-Lindelöf theorem guarantees that Ψ depends smoothly on both variables. We will freely use that the flow defines a group of diffeomorphisms on M, satisfying $\Psi_s \circ \Psi_t = \Psi_{s+t}$ for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

The following lemma shows that the integral curves of V are unit speed geodesics

Lemma 6.1. Let α and V be as in equations (6.1) and (6.3). Then the solutions of equation (6.4) are geodesics parametrized by arc length.

Proof. Let $U \subset M$ be a connected domain where α is well-defined. It suffices to consider, at any given $x \in U$, solutions $\eta(s)$ with $\eta(0) = x$, for $s \geq 0$ sufficiently small. Since V is a unit vector field, the solutions are parametrized by arc length. The Mean Value Inequality implies

$$|\alpha(\eta(s)) - \alpha(x)| \leq \left(\sup |\nabla \alpha| \right) |\eta(s) - x| \leq \lambda^{1/2} s \,,$$

where the last step uses that $|\nabla \alpha| = \lambda^{1/2}$ is constant, V is a unit vector field, and η is parametrized by arc length. On the other hand, since V is the unit vector field in the direction of $\nabla \alpha$, we have

$$\frac{d}{ds}\alpha(\eta(s)) = \left\langle V(\eta(s)), \nabla \alpha(\eta(s)) \right\rangle = |\nabla \alpha| = \lambda^{1/2}.$$

After integrating over s, we see that equality holds in the preceding inequality, and in particular $|\eta(s) - x| = s$ so long as $\eta(s)$ remains in U. This proves that η is a minimal geodesic.

We introduce the following notation. Given a diffeomorphism ψ on a manifold N, and b > 0, we refer to

(6.5)
$$M_{\psi,b} := N \times \mathbb{R} / \{ (x,h) \sim (\psi(x), h+b), \ x \in N, h \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

as a mapping torus. We are ready to construct coordinates on M.

Lemma 6.2. Let λ be a double eigenvalue of $-\Delta_g$ on a compact connected manifold (M, g), and let ϕ_1, ϕ_2 be a pair of orthonormal eigenfunctions for λ .

If $\phi_1^2 + \phi_2^2 = c^2$ is constant on M, then, up to isometry, $M = M_{\psi,b}$, where ψ is diffeomorphism of a submanifold N of codimension one, $b = 2\pi\lambda^{-1/2}$, and the metric g has the form

(6.6)
$$\langle v, v \rangle_g = \langle \dot{x}, \dot{x} \rangle_{g_h} + \dot{h}^2$$

for any tangent vector $v = (\dot{x}, \dot{h})$ at $(x, h) \in M_{\psi,b}$.

Remark. The metrics $(g_h)_{h\in\mathbb{R}}$ on N are compatible with the equivalence relation in equation (6.5); in particular ψ is an isometry from (N, g_h) to (N, g_{h+b}) for each $h \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover the volume element $\sqrt{\det g_h}$ does not depend on h.

Proof. Let the argument function, the level sets M_{θ} , and the unit vector field V be as in equations (6.1)-(6.3), and let $(\Psi_s)_{s\in\mathbb{R}}$ be the flow of V. Set $N := M_0$ and $\psi := \Psi_{-b}|_{M_0}$. Choose the argument function α to take values in $[0, 2\pi)$; it is smooth except for a jump of 2π across M_0 . Since $\alpha(\Psi_s(x)) = \lambda^{1/2}s \mod 2\pi$, we recognize b as the time of first return and ψ as the (inverse) Poincaré map for M_0 . Thus ψ defines a diffeomorphism of N.

Define $F: N \times \mathbb{R} \to M$ by

(6.7)
$$F(x,h) := \Psi_h(x).$$

We claim that F can be factored as the composition of the quotient map from $N \times \mathbb{R}$ to $M_{\psi,b}$ with a diffeomorphism $\overline{F} : M_{\psi,b} \to M$.

 \overline{F} is well-defined, since

$$F(\psi(x), h+b) = \Psi_{h+b}(\psi(x)) = \Psi_{h+b} \circ \Psi_{-b}(x) = \Psi_{h}(x) = F(x)$$

for all $x \in M_0$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}$. It is injective, since for $x, x' \in M_0$ and $h, h' \in [0, b)$ we have F(x, h) = F(x', h') if and only if h = h' and $\Psi_h(x) = \Psi_h(x')$, which forces x = x' because Ψ_h is invertible. It is also surjective, since for any point $x \in M$ taking $h := \lambda^{-1/2} \alpha(x)$ and $y := \Psi_{-h}(x) \in M_0$ yields $F(y, h) = \Psi_h \circ \Psi_{-h}(x) = x$. By construction, both \overline{F} and its inverse are smooth. This proves the first claim.

We pull back the metric g from M to $M_{\psi,b}$ through the diffeomorphism F. In the same way, we define a metric on the infinite cylinder $N \times \mathbb{R}$ by pulling back g through F. In a convenient abuse of notation, we denote the pulled-back metrics again by g. In this notation, F is the quotient map from $(N \times \mathbb{R}, g)$ to $(M_{\psi,b}, g)$. The argument function is $\alpha(x, h) = \lambda^{1/2}h$, and the flow acts by vertical translation, $\Psi_s(x, h) = (x, h + s)$.

Since V is a unit vector field, orthogonal to the horizontal hypersurfaces $N \times \{h\} = M_{\lambda^{-1/2}h}$, the metric satisfies equation (6.6). Furthermore, since V is divergence-free, the flow preserves the volume on M, that is, $\sqrt{\det g}(x,h) = \sqrt{\det g_h}(x)$ does not depend on h.

The lemma implies in particular that $\ell^2 \lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $-\Delta_g$ for each $\ell \geq 1$, with eigenfunctions $c \cos(\ell \lambda^{1/2} h)$ and $c \sin(\ell \lambda^{1/2} h)$. There are also implications for topological invariants, e.g., the fundamental group of M contains \mathbb{Z} as a factor, and its Euler characteristic vanishes.

In the special case of the principal eigenvalue $\lambda = \lambda_1$, much more can be said: the mapping torus becomes a product space (with the product metric), and N is connected as well as totally geodesic, see Theorem 2.3. The crucial ingredient is Lemma 5.3, which implies that the horizontal hypersurfaces $\{h = h_0\}$ are totally geodesic in $M_{\psi,b}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Assume that the heat kernel on (M, g) is geodesically decreasing, and the principal eigenvalue λ_1 of $-\Delta_g$ has multiplicity two. By Lemma 5.2, the eigenfunctions satisfy $\phi_1^2 + \phi_2^2 = c^2$ for some constant c > 0. By Lemma 6.2, the manifold is given by $(M_{\psi,b}, g)$, as described in equations (6.5) and (6.6). We claim that the metrics g_h are locally constant in h.

Given $x_0 \in N$ and $h_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, choose coordinates $\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{n-1})$ for Nin a connected neighborhood U of x_0 , and let I be a short open interval containing h_0 . In these coordinates $\alpha(\xi, h) = 2\pi h/b$ and $V(\xi, h) \equiv (0, 1)$. By Lemma 5.3, the horizontal hypersurfaces $\{h = h_0\}$ are totally geodesic. Since a geodesic is uniquely determined by its initial position and velocity, any geodesic that is tangent to the hypersurface $\{h = h_0\}$ initially remains in that hyperplane forever.

We will make use of one component of the variational equation for the energy functional associated with the length. The energy of a curve in $U \times I$ parametrized by $\gamma(s) = (\xi(s), h(s))$ is given by

$$E(\gamma) = \int \langle \dot{\xi}, \dot{\xi} \rangle_{g_h(\xi)} + \dot{h}^2 \, ds \, .$$

For clarity, we have suppressed the variable s in the notation. Minimizers for E among curves with fixed endpoints are length-minimizing geodesics, and the minimum value is the squared distance between the endpoints. Variations in the last coordinate about a minimizing curve, given by $h_{\epsilon} = h + \epsilon \eta$, yield the variational equation

$$\int \eta \,\partial_h \langle \dot{\xi}, \dot{\xi} \rangle_{g_h(\xi)} + 2\dot{\eta}\dot{h}\,ds = 0$$

which holds for all smooth (scalar-valued) test functions $\eta(s)$ of compact support.

For any geodesic that remains in a hyperplane $\{h = h_0\}$, the last term of the integrand vanishes. Since η is arbitrary, it follows that $\partial_h \langle \dot{x}, \dot{x} \rangle_{g_h(x)}$ vanishes identically along the geodesic. Since such a geodesic can emanate in any horizontal direction $(\dot{\xi}_0, 0)$ from any point $(\xi_0, h_0) \in U \times I$, we conclude by connectedness that the metric g_h does not depend on h. Thus $g = g_0 \otimes 1$ on $N \times \mathbb{R}$. By Pythagoras' identity, the distance function on $M_{\psi,b}$ satisfies

$$dist_{M_{\psi,b}} \left((x,h), (x',h') \right)^2 = \min \left\{ dist_N(x,x')^2 + |h-h'|^2, \\ dist_N(\psi(x),x')^2 + |h+b-h'|^2 \right\}$$

for $x, x' \in N$ and $0 \le h \le h' \le b$.

Since the metric is compatible with the equivalence relation in equation (6.5), the Poincaré map ψ is an isometry of (N, g_0) . It remains to prove that ψ is the identity. We proceed by contradiction.

Suppose that $\psi(x) \neq x$ for some $x \in N$. As in the proof of Proposition 4.2, we will show that the projection of the heat kernel onto the principal eigenspace violates monotonicity along the vertical geodesic parametrized by $\beta(s) = (x, sb)$. The geodesic meets the cut locus of (x, 0) at the first time s > 0 where $\beta(s)$ is equidistant to (x, 0) and $(\psi(x), b)$. Since

$$\operatorname{dist}_{M_{\psi,b}}\left((x,0),\beta(s)\right) \leq \frac{b}{2} < \operatorname{dist}_{M_{\psi,b}}\left((\psi(x),b),\beta(s)\right),$$

for any $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, it follows that the geodesic is minimal up to some $s^* > \frac{1}{2}$.

Recall that $\phi_1(x,h) = c\cos(2\pi h/b)$, $\phi_2(x,h) = c\sin(2\pi h/b)$ form an orthonormal basis for the principal eigenspace, where c is given by equation (5.1). The integral kernel of the spectral projection is given by

$$P_{\lambda_1}((\xi, h), (\xi', h')) = c^2 \cos(2\pi (h - h')/b).$$

Clearly, $P_{\lambda_1}((\xi, 0), \beta(s)) = c^2 \cos(2\pi s)$ increases along the geodesic for $\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq s^*$, contradicting monotonicity.

We return to the general case of a double eigenvalue $\lambda > \lambda_1$. Though Lemma 6.2 applies, we have less information about the metric than for $\lambda = \lambda_1$, and do not know if M is necessarily a product (as in the conclusion of Theorem 2.3). The following result shows that we may take the base space N in equation (6.5) to be connected, at the expense of enlarging b.

Proposition 6.3. Let (M, g) be a compact connected Riemannian manifold whose heat kernel is geodesically decreasing for a non-repeating sequence of positive times $(t_k)_{k\geq 1}$ that does not converge to zero (as in the hypothesis of Theorem 2.3). If λ is an eigenvalue of $-\Delta_g$ of multiplicity two, then the nodal set of any eigenfunction ϕ associated with λ has an even number of components.

If the number of components is 2k, let N' be one of them, and set $b' = 2\pi k \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. There exists a diffeomorphism ψ' of N' such that, up to isometry,

M is a mapping torus $N'_{\psi',b'}$ as in equation (6.5), and g satisfies equation (6.6).

Remark. For k = 1 we recover our previous result in Lemma 6.2.

Proof. By Lemma 6.2, we can identify M with $(M_{\psi,b}, g)$, given by equations (6.5) and (6.6), where N is a submanifold of codimension one, ψ is a diffeomorphism of N, and $b = 2\pi\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. In these coordinates, the eigenfunction is a constant multiple of $\sin(\lambda^{1/2}(h-h_0))$; by making a suitable translation on the infinite cylinder $N \times \mathbb{R}$ we may assume that $h_0 = 0$. The nodal set of ϕ is the disjoint union

$$\{\phi = 0\} = (N \times \{0\}) \cup (N \times \{\frac{b}{2}\}),\$$

with the metrics g_0 and $g_{b/2}$, respectively. The two pieces are interchanged by the diffeomorphism $\Psi_{b/2}$. If N has k components, then the nodal set has 2k components. Performing another translation by b/2, if necessary, we may assume that N' is a component of $N \times \{0\}$.

Since $\psi : N \to N$ is a diffeomorphism, it permutes the components of N. We claim that the permutation is a single cycle of length k. To see this, note that the component $N' \times \mathbb{R}$ of $N \times \mathbb{R}$ is mapped under the quotient map in equation (6.5) to a component of $M_{\psi,b}$. Since M is connected, the quotient map is surjective. By the pigeon-hole principle this means that each component of N appears exactly once among the the iterated images $\psi^{\ell}(N')$ for $\ell = 0, \ldots, k-1$, and $\psi^k N' = N'$. Setting $\psi' = \psi^k |_{N'}$ and inspecting the equivalence relation in equation (6.5), we conclude that $M_{\psi,b} = M_{\psi',b'}$, and that the metrics g_h on N' inherited from $N \times \mathbb{R}$ are compatible with the equivalence relation.

Proposition 6.3 implies that for each $\ell \geq 1$ the function $\phi(\ell h/k)$ is an eigenfunction of $-\Delta_g$, with eigenvalue $(\ell/k)^2 \lambda$. In particular, λ/k^2 is a candidate for the principal eigenvalue of $-\Delta_g$ on M.

Unfortunately we cannot use the proposition inductively to further decompose N', because we do not know whether the heat kernel on (N', g) is geodesically decreasing. However, there is one case where more can be said.

Corollary 6.4. Let (M, g) be a compact connected Riemannian manifold of dimension two such that the Laplace-Beltrami operator has a double eigenvalue λ . If the heat kernel on M is geodesically decreasing for some nonrepeating, positive sequence of times $(t_k)_{k\geq 1}$ that does not converge to zero then, up to isometry, M is a flat torus \mathbb{R}^2/Λ . If $\lim t_k = \infty$, then the lattice Λ is rectangular. *Proof.* By Proposition 6.3, M is a mapping torus given by equation (6.5), where N is a connected manifold of dimension one (hence, necessarily diffeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^1), equipped with a metric g of the form (6.6). By Lemma 6.2, the volume element $\sqrt{\det g_h}$ does not depend on h. Since N has dimension one, we can take $g_h = g_0$ equal to a uniform metric on \mathbb{S}^1 . Thus, the metric g on the infinite cylinder $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ is flat, and the isometry ψ is either a translation or a reflection.

If ψ is a reflection then M is a flat Klein bottle, up to dilation and isometry equal to the manifold \mathcal{K} in equation (4.2). But this is excluded by the remark after Proposition 4.4, Hence ψ is a translation, and M is a flat torus \mathbb{R}^2/Λ .

If, moreover, $\lim t_k = \infty$, then it follows from Theorem 2.1 that the lattice Λ is either rectangular, or regular triangular. Since the honeycomb torus has no eigenvalues of multiplicity two, M is a rectangular torus.

Remark. It is an open question whether positivity for a single t > 0 suffices to reach the conclusion of Corollary 6.4.

Acknowledgments. The second named author has been supported by a Fields Ontario Postdoctoral Fellowship financed by NSERC Discovery Grant 311685 and NSERC Grant RGPIN-2018-06487 and later by a María Zambrano Postdoctoral Fellowship.

References

- Alonso-Orán, D., Chamizo, F., Martínez, A. D.; Mas, A., *Pointwise monotonicity* of heat kernels, Revista Matemática Complutense Vol. 36, pp. 207-220 (2023). Also arXiv:1807.11072
- [2] Andersson, D., Estimates of the Spherical and Ultraspherical Heat Kernel. Master's thesis, Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden, 2013.
- [3] Baernstein, II, A., Drasin, D., and Laugesen, R., Symmetrization in analysis. Cambridge University Press, 2019.
- [4] Baernstein, II, A., A minimum problem for heat kernels of flat tori. In: Extremal Riemann surfaces, Contemp. Math. 201, pp. 227–243 (1997).
- [5] Canzani, Y., Analysis on manifolds via the Laplacian Notes of a topics course taught at Harvard University (Fall 2013). Available online from https://canzani.web.unc.edu.
- [6] Chavel, I., Eigenvalues in Riemannian geometry, Volume 115 of Pure and Applied Mathematics. Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, 1984.
- [7] Cheeger, J.; Yau, S. T., A lower bound for heat kernel, Comm. Pur. Appl. Math. 34, pp. 465–480, 1981.

- [8] El Soufi, A., and Ilias, S., Riemannian manifolds admitting isometric immersions by their first eigenfunctions, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 195 volume 1, pp 91-99 (2000).
- [9] Evans, L. C., *Partial Differential Equations*. American Mathematical Society. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Volume 19.
- [10] Faulhuber, M. and Steinerberger, S., An extremal property of the hexagonal lattice, J. Stat. Phys. 177, pp. 285-298, 2019.
- [11] Hales, T. C., The honeycomb conjecture, In: Discrete Comput. Geom. 25, Volume 1, pp. 1–22 (2001).
- [12] Hörmander, L., The spectral function of an elliptic operator, Acta Math., 88 (1968), pp. 341-370:
- [13] Lieb, E. H., and Loss, M., Analysis. American Mathematical Society. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Volume 13, 2001.
- [14] Luttinger, J. M., Generalized isoperimetric inequalities, Journal of Mathematical Physics 14, no. 5. pp. 586-593 (1973).
- [15] Murphy, T.; Wilhelm, F., Random manifolds have no totally geodesic submanifolds, Michigan Math. J. 68(2), pp. 323-335 (June 2019)
- [16] Nowak, A.; Sjögren, P.; Szarek, T. Z., Sharp estimates of the spherical heat kernel. Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, Vol. 129, pp. 23-33, 2019.
- [17] Osting, B., Marzuola, J., and Cherkaev, E., An isoperimetric inequality for an integral operator on flat tori, Illinois J. Math. 59, pp. 773-793 (2015).
- [18] Sogge, Ch. D., Concerning the L^p norm of spectral clusters for second-order elliptic operators on compact manifolds, Journal of Functional Analysis Volume 77, Issue 1, March 1988, pp. 123-138.
- [19] Uhlenbeck, K., Generic properties of eigenfunctions. American Journal of Mathematics, 98(4): pp. 1059–1078, 1976.

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, CANADA

 $Email \ address: \verb+almut@math.toronto.edu$

CUNEF UNIVERSIDAD, SPAIN

Email address: angeld.martinez@cunef.edu