

Auto-Drafting Police Reports from Noisy ASR Outputs: A Trust-Centered LLM Approach

Param Kulkarni*
Axon
Scottsdale, AZ USA
pkulkarni@axon.com

Yingchi Liu
Axon
Scottsdale, AZ USA
yliu@axon.com

Hao-Ming Fu
Axon
Scottsdale, AZ USA
hfu@axon.com

Shaohua Yang
Axon
Scottsdale, AZ USA
syang@axon.com

Isuru Gunasekara
Axon
Scottsdale, AZ USA
igunasekara@axon.com

Matt Peloquin
Axon
Scottsdale, AZ USA
mpeloquin@axon.com

Noah Spitzer-Williams
Axon
Scottsdale, AZ USA
noahsw@axon.com

Xiaotian Zhou
Worcester Polytechnic Institute
Worcester, MA USA
xzhou8@wpi.edu

Xiaozhong Liu[†]
Worcester Polytechnic Institute
Worcester, MA USA
xliu14@wpi.edu

Zhengping Ji
Axon
Scottsdale, AZ USA
zji@axon.com

Yasser Ibrahim
Axon
Scottsdale, AZ USA
yibrahim@axon.com

ABSTRACT

Achieving a delicate balance between fostering trust in law enforcement and protecting the rights of both officers and civilians continues to emerge as a pressing research and product challenge in the world today. In the pursuit of fairness and transparency, this study presents an innovative AI-driven system designed to generate police report drafts from complex, noisy, and multi-role dialogue data. Our approach intelligently extracts key elements of law enforcement interactions and includes them in the draft, producing structured narratives that are not only high in quality but also reinforce accountability and procedural clarity. This framework holds the potential to transform the reporting process, ensuring greater oversight, consistency, and fairness in future policing practices. A demonstration video of our system can be accessed at <https://drive.google.com/file/d/1kBrSgGR8e3B5xPSblrchRGj-Y-kpCHNO/view?usp=sharing>

CCS CONCEPTS

• **Applied computing** → *Law*; • **Social and professional topics** → *Professional topics*.

*Corresponding author

†Corresponding author

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from [permissions@acm.org](https://permissions.acm.org).

The Web Conference 2025, May 11–16, 2025, Sydney, Australia

© 2025 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.

ACM ISBN XXX-X-XXXX-XXXX-X/XX/XX.

<https://doi.org/XXXXXXXX.XXXXXX>

KEYWORDS

Large Language Models, Bias Detection, Knowledge Graph, Policy Network, Multi-Agent Systems, Trust Frameworks

ACM Reference Format:

Param Kulkarni, Yingchi Liu, Hao-Ming Fu, Shaohua Yang, Isuru Gunasekara, Matt Peloquin, Noah Spitzer-Williams, Xiaotian Zhou, Xiaozhong Liu, Zhengping Ji, and Yasser Ibrahim. 2025. Auto-Drafting Police Reports from Noisy ASR Outputs: A Trust-Centered LLM Approach. In *Proceedings of The Web Conference 2025*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 4 pages. <https://doi.org/XXXXXXXX.XXXXXX>

1 INTRODUCTION

Over the past decade, U.S. law enforcement has faced substantial challenges, including instances where officers have overstepped their authority [4, 5]. Simultaneously, recent protests from police officers have shed light on their growing vulnerabilities—both from physical threats during law enforcement interactions and from social scrutiny by various organizations [1, 8]. Additionally, officers spend up to 40% of their time writing police reports, further exacerbating their workload and detracting from time spent on active policing [2]. These pressures underscore the urgent need to protect the rights of both suspects and officers, and to standardize police case reporting to ensure accountability and due process. Advancements in AI, particularly large language models (LLMs), present a transformative opportunity to address these challenges by standardizing the documentation of key elements in police reports and making the report writing process more efficient, enhancing both transparency and fairness in the pursuit of justice [6, 10].

However, building an LLM-enabled system for police report draft generation presents significant challenges, particularly when dealing with highly noisy automatic speech recognition (ASR) outputs

[3, 7]. These transcripts often contain various forms of distortion and errors, making it difficult to visually identify the critical elements needed for an accurate and reliable draft report. For example, background noise from vehicles, from other people speaking, chatter on the communication devices used by officers. In addition, multiple speakers speaking, especially simultaneously, can cause the transcription to contain potential errors where part of the speech from one speaker is assigned to another speaker. Traditional LLMs can struggle to filter out such interference, raising concerns about the trustworthiness and usefulness of the generated reports [9]. To overcome these hurdles, careful design approaches are needed to ensure clear instructions about draft quality are defined for the LLM and to measure the accuracy and integrity in the report drafts.

In this study, we introduce a novel system designed to automatically generate high-quality and trustworthy police report drafts by leveraging ASR devices like body worn cameras (BWC) worn on police officers' bodies. This system integrates an advanced LLM to produce detailed and reliable report drafts. Crucially, to safeguard transparency and to reduce automation bias, our system introduces various safeguards to make sure that a police officer will review and edit the draft before submitting it.

The proposed system's core functionality includes:

- **State-of-the-art LLM with a prompt designed to include safety features:** Capable of synthesizing comprehensive report drafts by efficiently processing noisy, multi-speaker dialogue.
- **ASR system and LLM Integration:** Enables seamless interaction between ASR devices and the LLM for draft generation during field operations.
- **Human-in-the-Loop Collaboration:** Facilitates iterative refinement of drafts through collaboration with officers to ensure accuracy, completeness, and contextual alignment.

This system represents a significant leap toward more transparent, fair, and efficient law enforcement practices by standardizing police reports and enhancing accountability without compromising individual privacy.

2 POLICE REPORT DRAFT GENERATION SYSTEM

In this paper, we present a system capable of generating high quality report drafts from noisy dialogue data extracted from police BWC ASR devices. The system captures essential details and events from dialogues involving multiple agents, such as several police officers, witnesses, people of interest, victims, and suspects. Due to the complexity of these interactions and limitations of the BWC device, the data may contain various errors, such as speaker attribution issues and ASR inaccuracies.

Our system addresses these challenges by intelligently processing noisy dialogues and producing coherent, accurate report drafts. Additionally, it incorporates a human-in-the-loop design, allowing for configurable report draft generation parameters. As illustrated in Figure 1, once the BWC footage is uploaded to the system, the officer can start the process of generating the draft. As the first step in human-in-the-loop design, the officer is asked to fill out several details about the incident. To ensure ethical use of LLMs in public safety, the system does not predict the incident type, the

severity of charge, and outcomes such as if an arrest was made. The police officers can specify key details such as the type of incident (e.g., "public disorder" or "sexual offense") and the severity of charges (e.g., "misdemeanor" or "felony"). These details do not play any role in generating the draft using the LLM. This done by design to ensure that the draft is only an accurate reflection of the evidence in the transcript and does not make conclusory statements about the suspect.

Followed by entering details about the incident, the officer can generate the draft as shown in Figure 1. As a second step in human-in-the-loop design, the draft is designed to contain several INSERT statements. These statements are used by the model when more information is needed beyond what is found in the transcript. This feature allows officers to easily insert important details, such as specific actions and observations that may not have been captured accurately by the ASR device. The system requires the officer to edit each of these statements before proceeding to the next step. The system will not let the officer proceed to the next step until all the INSERT statements are edited. This offers ample opportunity and incentive for officers to read the drafts and making edits beyond what is suggested by the model. As the final step in human-in-the-loop design, the officer is required to sign the edited draft with their full name before they can submit it. These several steps in our system design which require officer input before proceeding are designed to minimize automation bias and quality of police reports.

Our system, as shown in Figure 2, uses a highly secure digital evidence management service to store the video footage from the BWC device. The system is capable of interfacing with several generations of BWC devices. The audio from this evidence is then passed to our ASR service. The system is designed to work with several hosted ASR models. The output of the ASR engine is then passed to an LLM service capable of generating the draft which are then securely transferred to the customer's record management system. Draft generation is powered by a carefully designed prompt which includes detailed instructions about draft quality as well as safety requirements. Once the draft is generated, it is delivered to the officer for review, which then results in them editing and submitting the draft after going through the safeguards mentioned above. The system is designed to be flexible enough to interact with various types of language models and ASR systems. This provides flexibility in updating the system with models with the best performance on quality and safety metrics. This system is designed to significantly improve the efficiency of police report generation, while ensuring the final report is both trustworthy and comprehensive.

3 USABILITY EVALUATION WITH POLICE OFFICERS

To assess the practical effectiveness, reliability, and user-friendliness of our police report draft generation system, we conducted a usability evaluation involving active police officers. The primary objective of this evaluation was to ensure that the system meets the specific needs of law enforcement professionals, integrates seamlessly into their workflows, and produces accurate, actionable report drafts.

We found that the preliminary system already has a strong positive impact on officer's workflow, as indicated by several usability




Figure 1: System Screenshots: Report Draft Generation for Police Officers




Figure 2: Draft generation system overview

Average scores for each category	Overall	Completeness	Neutrality	Objectivity	Terminology	Coherence
Reports written with no system use	3.93	3.73	4.12	4.11	3.97	3.75
Reports written system assistance	4.06	3.83	4.13	4.12	4.20 (p=0.033)	4.05 (p=0.019)

Table 1: System effectiveness study

metrics and surveys of first-line police officers. Since the launch of the preliminary system in April 2024, 5,805 unique police report drafts have been generated by the system, with 64% of them utilized in making the final report. Furthermore, among the discarded drafts, 77.14% are due to “just testing the system” rather than other concerns, according to real time survey. On average, users have assigned a rating of 4.46 on a scale of 1 to 5 for drafts generated by this system, and claimed that the system helped save 21.93 minutes on writing per report, or 41.81% of a usual report writing time. These demonstrate that the system has been widely adopted by first-line officers, has created positive impact on the workflow, and received highly positive feedback from police officers.

In addition, for a systematic evaluation, we conducted an expert led double-blind study on 113 pairs of reports written with and without the assistance of the system. The 24 experts for this study were chosen based on their background in law enforcement equity and inclusion. The evaluation covers a wide range of aspects, including report completeness, neutrality, objectivity, terminology, and coherence. Results show that reports written with assistance of the system statistically significantly outperform those written without any assistance on aspects terminology (4.20 vs. 3.97) and coherence (4.05 vs. 3.75), while performing comparably on completeness, neutrality, and objectivity, as elaborated in Table 1.

4 CONCLUSION

In this work, we propose a human-in-the-loop system for generating trustworthy police case report drafts, which holds significant potential for enhancing the integrity and transparency of law enforcement processes. Currently being piloted with 326 police agencies, the initial feedback has been promising. Police officers are benefiting from this AI-driven innovation, as it streamlines and standardizes the report generation process, while also safeguarding the rights of suspects. This system represents a vital step forward in ensuring accountability and trust in law enforcement through more efficient and reliable documentation.

REFERENCES

- [1] Nicole L Asquith, Isabelle Bartkowiak-Théron, Nicole L Asquith, and Isabelle Bartkowiak-Théron. 2021. Vulnerability and Policing Practices. *Policing Practices and Vulnerable People* (2021), 3–16.
- [2] Trevor Bennett and Ruth Lupton. 1992. A national activity survey of police work. *The Howard Journal of Criminal Justice* 31, 3 (1992), 200–223.
- [3] Rahhal Errattahi, Asmaa El Hannani, and Hassan Ouahmane. 2018. Automatic speech recognition errors detection and correction: A review. *Procedia Computer Science* 128 (2018), 32–37.
- [4] Paul Joseph Figueroa. 2012. *Building community trust: Key strategies as perceived by law enforcement leaders*. Ph.D. Dissertation. University of La Verne.
- [5] Lorie A Fridell, Jon Maskaly, and Christopher M Donner. 2021. The relationship between organisational justice and police officer attitudes toward misconduct. *Policing and society* 31, 9 (2021), 1081–1099.
- [6] Ece Gumusel, Vincent Quirante Malic, Devan Ray Donaldson, Kevin Ashley, and Xiaozhong Liu. 2022. An annotation schema for the detection of social bias in legal text corpora. In *International Conference on Information*. Springer, 185–194.
- [7] JM Noyes and CR Frankish. 1994. Errors and error correction in automatic speech recognition systems. *Ergonomics* 37, 11 (1994), 1943–1957.
- [8] Viktor Soltes, Jozef Kubas, Andrej Velas, and David Michalik. 2021. Occupational safety of municipal police officers: Assessing the vulnerability and riskiness of police officers’ work. *International journal of environmental research and public health* 18, 11 (2021), 5605.
- [9] Yang Wu, Chenghao Wang, Ece Gumusel, and Xiaozhong Liu. 2024. Knowledge-Infused Legal Wisdom: Navigating LLM Consultation through the Lens of Diagnostics and Positive-Unlabeled Reinforcement Learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.03600* (2024).
- [10] Yiquan Wu, Siying Zhou, Yifei Liu, Weiming Lu, Xiaozhong Liu, Yating Zhang, Changlong Sun, Fei Wu, and Kun Kuang. 2023. Precedent-enhanced legal judgment prediction with llm and domain-model collaboration. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.09241* (2023).