

THE NULL CONDITION IN ELASTODYNAMICS LEADS TO NON-UNIQUENESS

SHUNKAI MAO AND PENG QU

ABSTRACT. We consider the Cauchy problem for the system of elastodynamic equations in two dimensions. Specifically, we focus on materials characterized by a null condition imposed on the quadratic part of the nonlinearity. We can construct non-zero weak solutions $u \in C^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2)$ that emanate from zero initial data. The proof relies on the convex integration scheme. By exploiting the characteristic double wave speeds of the equations, we construct a new class of building blocks. This work extends the application of convex integration techniques to hyperbolic systems with a null condition and reveals the rich solution structure in nonlinear elastodynamics.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Basic concepts and symbols in elasticity mechanics	2
1.2. Structure assumptions and the main result	4
1.3. Difficulties and strategies	5
1.4. Organization of the paper	7
2. Outline of the induction scheme	7
3. Mollification	10
4. Cutoffs	13
5. Defining a local inverse of divergence by solving the asymmetric divergence equation	13
5.1. Solving the symmetric divergence equation	15
5.2. Solving the asymmetric divergence equation	22
6. Some notations	25
7. Definition of the perturbation	28
7.1. Definition of building blocks	28
7.2. Definition of the perturbation	29
8. Estimates on the new Reynolds error and energy	49
8.1. Estimates for the inverse divergence operator	49
8.2. Definition of the new Reynolds error	51
8.3. Estimates on the new Reynolds error	52
8.4. Estimate on energy	59
9. Proof of the main theorem	61
9.1. Proof of Proposition 2.6	61
9.2. Proof of Theorem 1.7	63
Appendix A. Hölder spaces	63
Appendix B. Some technical lemmas	63
Appendix C. Estimate for nonautonomous linear differential systems	65
References	66

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the equations of motion for the displacement of an isotropic, homogeneous, hyperelastic material filling space $\mathbb{T}^2 = [-\pi, \pi]^2$. This corresponds to the Cauchy problem for the system of elastodynamic

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A02, 35D30, 35L05, 35L15, 35L72,

Key words and phrases. Convex integration, non-uniqueness, quasi-linear, elastodynamic equations, weak solution.

equations on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2$, with $T > 0$.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{tt}y - \operatorname{div} P = 0, & (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ y(0, x) = y_0(x), \quad \partial_t y(0, x) = y_1(x), & x \in \mathbb{T}^2, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $y : [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is a vector function which denotes the position after deformation, and P is the first Piola–Kirchhoff stress tensor.

1.1. Basic concepts and symbols in elasticity mechanics. The unknown variable in this problem is $y(t, x)$, which represents a C^1 deformation of the material evolving over time. Then, we denote the deformation gradient by $F = \nabla y$ and its determinant by $J = \det F$. The density ρ_0 in the reference configuration is taken to be 1. From the hyperelastic assumption of the material, we consider a stored energy function $\sigma = \sigma(F)$, which depends on F through the principal invariants of the (left) Cauchy–Green strain tensor $B = FF^\top$.

It's convenient for us to consider $u(t, x) = y(t, x) - x$ which denotes small displacements from the reference configuration and its gradient $G = F - \operatorname{Id} = \nabla u$. For two-dimensional elasticity mechanics, the stored-energy function $\sigma = \sigma(j_1, j_2)$ is a function of the principal values j_1 and j_2 of the matrix $C = B - \operatorname{Id} = FF^\top - \operatorname{Id} = G + G^\top + GG^\top$. In more detail, we consider a case with a simple stored-energy function:

$$\sigma(j_1, j_2) = \sum_{r=1}^2 \sigma_r j_r + \sum_{r=1}^2 \sum_{s=1}^2 \frac{\sigma_{rs}}{2} j_r j_s + \frac{\sigma_{111}}{6} j_1^3, \quad (1.2)$$

where σ_r , σ_{rs} , and σ_{111} are constants. Moreover, we consider the total mechanical energy function

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u|^2 + \sigma(j_1, j_2) \, dx. \quad (1.3)$$

We impose the condition $\sigma_1 = 0$, indicating that the reference configuration is a stress-free state. The Lamé constants $\lambda = 4(\sigma_{11} + \sigma_2)$ and $\mu = -2\sigma_2$ are assumed to satisfy $\mu > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$. This makes the linear part of the equation hyperbolic. We also assume $\sigma_{11}, \sigma_{22} > 0$, and $\sigma_{11}\sigma_{22} - \sigma_{12}^2 > 0$, indicating that the stored energy function $\sigma(j_1, j_2)$ is a convex function near the origin. Moreover, we consider the stored-energy function which satisfies part of the well-known null condition, see for instance [48]:

$$3\sigma_{11} + 2\sigma_{111} = 0, \quad \sigma^* := 2\sigma_{11} + 8\sigma_{12} + 2\sigma_2 + 4\sigma_{111} \neq 0. \quad (1.4)$$

Remark 1.1. For a general stored energy function $\bar{\sigma} = \bar{\sigma}(j_1, j_2)$, it is still possible to use the method provided in this paper to show the non-uniqueness results, but the process of the corresponding proofs would become more complex. Since we wish to elucidate the basic idea in the proof of our non-uniqueness results, we would focus on this simpler case under the assumption (1.2) in this paper. In fact, for a general $\bar{\sigma} = \bar{\sigma}(j_1, j_2)$, we could denote by $\bar{\sigma}_{r,0} = \frac{\partial \bar{\sigma}}{\partial j_r}(0, 0)$, $\bar{\sigma}_{rs,0} = \frac{\partial^2 \bar{\sigma}}{\partial j_r \partial j_s}(0, 0)$, and $\bar{\sigma}_{rsm,0} = \frac{\partial^3 \bar{\sigma}}{\partial j_r \partial j_s \partial j_m}(0, 0)$, and then, for sufficiently small ε such that $|G| < \varepsilon$, we have

$$\bar{\sigma}(j_1, j_2) = \sum_{r=1}^2 \bar{\sigma}_{r,0} j_r + \sum_{r=1}^2 \sum_{s=1}^2 \frac{\bar{\sigma}_{rs,0}}{2} j_r j_s + \sum_{r=1}^2 \sum_{s=1}^2 \sum_{m=1}^2 \frac{\bar{\sigma}_{rsm,0}}{6} j_r j_s j_m + o(|\varepsilon|^3).$$

For terms of third order and higher, the analysis in our proof is straightforward. Therefore, to simplify our proof, we use a simple stored energy function that reveals the core structure. This allows for a clearer understanding of the source of non-uniqueness.

The principal values of C can be expressed by G as:

$$j_1 = \operatorname{tr} C = 2 \operatorname{tr} G + \operatorname{tr}(GG^\top), \quad (1.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} j_2 &= \det(C) = \frac{1}{2} \left((\operatorname{tr} C)^2 - \operatorname{tr}(C^2) \right) \\ &= 2(\operatorname{tr} G)^2 + 2(\operatorname{tr} G) \operatorname{tr}(GG^\top) + \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{tr}(GG^\top))^2 - \operatorname{tr} \left(G^2 + GG^\top + 2G^2G^\top + \frac{1}{2}(GG^\top)^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

Then, we can represent the first Piola–Kirchhoff stress tensor P as:

$$P = \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial F} = \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial G} = \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial j_i} \frac{\partial j_i}{\partial G} = \left(\sigma_{11} j_1 + \sigma_{12} j_2 + \frac{\sigma_{111}}{2} j_1^2 \right) \frac{\partial j_1}{\partial G} + (\sigma_2 + \sigma_{12} j_1 + \sigma_{22} j_2) \frac{\partial j_2}{\partial G}, \quad (1.7)$$

where

$$\frac{\partial j_1}{\partial G} = 2(\text{Id} + G), \quad \frac{\partial j_2}{\partial G} = 2 \text{tr } C(\text{Id} + G) - 2C(\text{Id} + G).$$

We also consider the second Piola–Kirchhoff stress tensor $\Sigma_G = F^{-1}P$, which is a symmetric tensor. It could similarly be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_G = F^{-1}P &= \left(\sigma_{11}j_1 + \sigma_{12}j_2 + \frac{\sigma_{111}}{2}j_1^2 \right) F^{-1} \frac{\partial j_1}{\partial G} + (\sigma_2 + \sigma_{12}j_1 + \sigma_{22}j_2) F^{-1} \frac{\partial j_2}{\partial G} \\ &= 2 \underbrace{\left(\sigma_{11}j_1 + \sigma_{12}j_2 + \frac{\sigma_{111}}{2}j_1^2 \right)}_{\Lambda_1} \text{Id} + 2 \underbrace{(\sigma_2 + \sigma_{12}j_1 + \sigma_{22}j_2)}_{\Lambda_2} (\text{tr } C \text{Id} - D) \\ &= \Lambda_1 \text{Id} + \Lambda_2 (\text{tr } C \text{Id} - D). \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

where D is a symmetric matrix which is defined as

$$D = G + G^\top + G^\top G.$$

At this point, we can rewrite the original equation as:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{tt}u - \text{div}((\text{Id} + G)\Sigma_G) = 0, & (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad \partial_t u(0, x) = u_1(x), & x \in \mathbb{T}^2. \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

Remark 1.2. From (1.8), we know that the definition of Σ_G depends on G . The subscript G is used to distinguish the second Piola–Kirchhoff stresses corresponding to different G . For example, in the following text, we might use Σ_{G_q} and $\Sigma_{G_{q,i}}$, which represent the second Piola–Kirchhoff stresses corresponding to G_q and $G_{q,i}$, respectively. Here, we avoid using the notation $\Sigma(G)$ to prevent confusion with matrix multiplication.

We first introduce next the definition of a weak solution of this system.

Definition 1.3 (Weak Solution). By a weak solution of (1.9), defined on some interval $(0, T)$, we mean a function $u \in C([0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)) \cap C^1([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{T}^2))$, with $\partial_t u \in C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{T}^2))$, $\partial_{tt}u \in L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\mathbb{T}^2))$, $u(0, x) = u_0(x) \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$, and $\partial_t u(0, x) = u_1(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (-\partial_t u \cdot \partial_t \eta + (\text{Id} + G)\Sigma_G : \nabla \eta) dx dt = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} u_1(x) \cdot \eta(0, x) dx, \quad (1.10)$$

for any smooth function $\eta \in C_c^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2)$.

In this paper, we aim to demonstrate the non-uniqueness of weak solutions that belong to $C^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2)$ of elastodynamic equations as described in (1.9).

The wave-type equations with null condition have been widely studied by many researchers. Christodoulou [18] and Klainerman [32] have proved that, for three-dimensional case, if the nonlinear term satisfies certain null condition, then Cauchy problem of quasi-linear wave equations admits a unique global classical solution for small ε . For the two-dimensional case, Alinhac [2] proved almost global existence for quasi-linear wave equations satisfying the null condition. Zha [52] extended this work to the general case in a unified way.

There was also some important work [31, 36, 37, 48, 49, 50, 51] about elastodynamic equations. John [31] proved the almost global existence of elastic waves of finite amplitude arising from small initial disturbances. And then, Sideris revealed in the article [48, 49] that the null condition implies the global existence of nonlinear elastic waves in three space dimensions. It is worth noting that Lei [37] introduced the strong null condition and proved that for small initial displacements, system of incompressible isotropic Hookean elastodynamics in two space dimensions admits a unique global classical solution.

Research on low regularity solutions to systems of quasilinear wave equations has yielded a series of results, such as [3, 4, 35, 40, 47, 51, 53, 54]. From [40, 47, 51], we know that Cauchy problem of quasilinear wave equations is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $s > 3$, which is sharp in general. Zha–Hidano considered the Cauchy problem for systems of 3-D quasilinear wave equations satisfying the null condition with initial data of low regularity. In the radially symmetric case, they proved the global existence for every small data in $H^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with a low weight and applied their result to 3-D nonlinear elastic waves. An–Chen–Yin [3] generalized Lindblad’s classic results [40] on the scalar wave equation by showing that the Cauchy problem for 3D elastic waves (a physical system with multiple wave speeds) is ill-posed in $H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and further proved that the ill-posedness is caused by instantaneous shock formation.

Recently, An–Chen–Yu [4] proved a desired low-regularity local well-posedness result for the corresponding elastic wave equations for admissible harmonic elastic materials and H^{3+} is optimal for the "divergence-part".

We all know Cauchy problem (1.9) with small initial data can be essentially reduced to the Cauchy problem of a system of quasi-linear hyperbolic equations. From [19, 34], we know for systems with genuinely nonlinear characteristic families, we could construct different solutions in the absence of entropy admissibility condition. Chen–Vasseur–Yu [13] showed that a geometrical condition on 2×2 systems of conservation laws leads to non-uniqueness in the class of 1D continuous functions.

Our proof builds on the convex integration method starting from De Lellis–Székelyhidi [22, 24]. In their work [22, 23], they demonstrated non-uniqueness for incompressible Euler equations in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_t; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and showed that, for some bounded compactly supported initial data, none of the known canonical energy admissibility criteria singles out a unique weak solution. Following a series of significant advancements [7, 9, 10, 20, 24, 25, 28], the well-known Onsager's conjecture, which states that the exponent $\alpha = 1/3$ marks the threshold for conservation of energy for weak solutions in the class C^α for the incompressible Euler equations was resolved by Isett [30], see Buckmaster–De Lellis–Székelyhidi–Vicol [8] for the dissipative case. Recent years have seen significant progress regarding the non-uniqueness of entropy solutions for the compressible and incompressible Euler equations in Hölder spaces. Among them, De Lellis–Kwon [21] further advanced the field by discovering continuous entropy solutions of the incompressible Euler equations within the Hölder class $C^{\frac{1}{7}-}$ that satisfy the entropy inequality and strictly dissipate total kinetic energy. The case of the compressible Euler equations was subsequently explored by Giri–Kwon in [26]. This method has also led to important advancements in other fluid dynamics systems, such as the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations, the compressible Euler–Maxwell equations, and MHD equations, as seen in [5, 11, 12, 14, 38, 39, 41, 42, 43, 45, 46].

There were also a series of studies [1, 6, 15, 16, 17, 33] on the non-uniqueness of the weak solutions to the Riemann problem for compressible Euler equations. In [15], Chiodaroli–De Lellis–Kreml considered the isentropic compressible Euler system in 2 space dimensions with pressure law $p(\rho) = \rho^2$ and demonstrated the non-uniqueness of the weak solutions with classical Riemann data. Building on this framework and utilizing the method from [23], Chiodaroli–Kreml [16] proved the non-uniqueness of admissible weak solutions to the Riemann problem for isentropic Euler equations. Notably, in [6], Kreml investigated the non-uniqueness in the multidimensional model of Chaplygin gas, where it was shown that all three characteristic families of the system are linearly degenerate.

1.2. Structure assumptions and the main result. This paper is the first to apply the convex integration method to prove non-uniqueness for elastodynamic equations in Lagrangian coordinates. The elastodynamic equations studied here have a different mathematical structure from the Euler equations and the Navier–Stokes equations. Before we give the main result, we need to introduce an important weak null condition, which will be used in our paper.

Definition 1.4 (Weak null condition). *If the stored-energy function σ in (1.2) satisfies*

$$3\sigma_{11} + 2\sigma_{111} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^* = 2\sigma_{11} + 8\sigma_{12} + 2\sigma_2 + 4\sigma_{111} \neq 0. \quad (1.11)$$

then we say that σ satisfies the weak null condition.

Remark 1.5. *Note that Sideris in [48] gave the following type of null condition for the stored-energy function:*

$$\sigma_{11} = \sigma_{12}, \quad \text{and} \quad 3\sigma_{12} + 2\sigma_{111} = 0, \quad (1.12)$$

and (1.11) is weaker than (1.12) under the assumption $\lambda + \mu \neq 0$. In fact, if (1.12) holds, then we have

$$3\sigma_{11} + 2\sigma_{111} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^* = 2\sigma_{11} + 8\sigma_{12} + 2\sigma_2 + 4\sigma_{111} = 4\sigma_{11} + 2\sigma_2 = \lambda + \mu \neq 0.$$

The null condition is a structural condition in the study of nonlinear wave equations. It ensures that certain nonlinear terms in the wave equation decay sufficiently fast along the light cones (characteristic surfaces of the wave equation). This condition is crucial for proving the global existence of small solutions and preventing the formation of singularities in finite time.

To sum up, in this paper, all the structure assumptions we consider are given on the stored-energy function as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mu = -2\sigma_2 > 0, \quad \lambda = 4\sigma_{11} + 4\sigma_2 > 0, \quad \sigma_{11}\sigma_{22} - \sigma_{12}^2 > 0, \\ 3\sigma_{11} + 2\sigma_{111} = 0, \quad \sigma^* = 2\sigma_{11} + 8\sigma_{12} + 2\sigma_2 + 4\sigma_{111} \neq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

Remark 1.6. Based on our building block construction in Lemma 7, we can actually achieve the case where the parameter condition is weaker, namely $\mu = -2\sigma_2 > 0$, $\lambda + 2\mu = 4\sigma_{11} > 0$, $\lambda + \mu = 4\sigma_{11} + 2\sigma_2 \neq 0$, $\sigma^* = 2\sigma_{11} + 8\sigma_{12} + 2\sigma_2 + 4\sigma_{111} \neq 0$, and the null condition (1.4) holds.

Now we can present one main theorem that implies the non-uniqueness of weak solutions of (1.9).

Theorem 1.7. Assume that the stored-energy function σ in (1.2) satisfies (1.13), we can construct nontrivial weak solutions $u \in C^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2)$ to (1.9) that emanates from zero initial data.

1.3. Difficulties and strategies. In the study of the non-uniqueness of a series of fluid dynamics equations using the convex integration method, it is well known that for the Euler equations, non-uniqueness arises from the second-order nonlinearity in the convection term of the momentum equation. Similarly, the non-uniqueness of elastodynamics in Lagrangian coordinates studied in this paper naturally originates from the nonlinear structure of the equations. While, in this system of elastodynamics, the nonlinear structure is highly complex, incorporating second-order nonlinearities and higher-order nonlinearities.

In the convex integration method, our goal is to construct a sequence of approximate solutions u_q that satisfy the approximate system and converge to a weak solution u of (1.9). After adding the perturbation term $(\widetilde{u}_{q+1}, \widetilde{G}_{q+1} = \nabla \widetilde{u}_{q+1})$ to (u_q, G_q) and defining $(u_{q+1} = u_q + \widetilde{u}_{q+1}, G_{q+1} = G_q + \widetilde{G}_{q+1})$, the left-hand side of the equations (1.9) generates linear terms, second-order nonlinear terms, and higher-order nonlinear terms, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_{tt} \widetilde{u}_{q+1} - \operatorname{div} \left(\widetilde{G}_{q+1} \Sigma_{G_q} + (\operatorname{Id} + G_{q+1}) \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q+1} \right) \\ &= \underbrace{\partial_{tt} \widetilde{u}_{q+1} - \operatorname{div} \left(\widetilde{G}_{q+1} \Sigma_{G_q} + (\operatorname{Id} + G_q) \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q+1}^{(1)} \right)}_{\text{linear}} - \underbrace{\operatorname{div} \left(\widetilde{G}_{q+1} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q+1}^{(1)} + (\operatorname{Id} + G_q) \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q+1}^{(2)} \right)}_{\text{second order}} + \underbrace{\operatorname{div} \left(\widetilde{G}_{q+1} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q+1}^{(2)} + (\operatorname{Id} + G_{q+1}) \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q+1}^{(\geq 3)} \right)}_{\text{higher order}}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

where $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q+1} := \widetilde{\Sigma}_{G_{q+1}} - \widetilde{\Sigma}_{G_q} = \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q+1}^{(1)} + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q+1}^{(2)} + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q+1}^{(\geq 3)}$, and the superscript (i) indicates that the term is approximately of the form $G_q^k \widetilde{G}_{q+1}^i$ and has a magnitude approximately $\mathcal{O}(|G_q|^k |\widetilde{G}_{q+1}|^i)$.

Unlike the Euler equations, where the linear part takes the form of a transport equation, the linear part here takes a form of linear wave equation. The low-frequency components of the main part of second-order terms are still used to cancel out the Reynolds error. For the higher-order terms, it is easy to see that their magnitude is small (of amplitude smaller than $\delta_{q+1}^{\frac{3}{2}}$). However, if we directly absorb these higher-order terms into the error at the next iteration, they will no longer be a symmetric matrix. This breaks the iterative framework used in the Euler equations. Therefore, we need a new iterative framework and a redefined inverse of the divergence operator. Below, we will discuss the challenges posed by the above description in detail:

As shown in another of our works [44], if higher-order nonlinearities (third-order and above) are absent, it is possible to achieve non-uniqueness for C^α ($\alpha > 0$) continuous solutions, which is difficult to achieve for elastodynamic systems. This is primarily due to our treatment of the non-principal part of the second-order terms and third-order and higher nonlinear terms: perturbation terms similar to $G_q \widetilde{G}_{q+1}^2$ are directly absorbed into R_{q+1} . This absorption provides only a ε -level benefit, which limits our choice of δ_q in (2.3) that decays exponentially as $q \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, for the linear components satisfying the linear wave equation, we have specific estimates:

$$\|R_{linear}\|_0 \lesssim \frac{\delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\lambda_{q+1} \mu_q}.$$

For the right-hand side terms of the equation to be smaller than δ_{q+2} , the choice of λ_q becomes restricted. This limitation prevents us from achieving the non-uniqueness of weak solutions in C^α spaces with $\alpha > 0$.

The construction of the perturbation is also closely related to the form of the nonlinear terms. The nonlinear terms in (1.9) differ from those in the Euler equations. In (1.9), the nonlinear term $(\operatorname{Id} + G) \Sigma_G$ satisfies (1.13) and is not composed solely of second-order nonlinear terms. Higher-order nonlinear terms are also present. (1.13) guarantees a certain geometric structure so that by a corresponding geometric lemma (Lemma 2.2), we can use the low frequency part of $(\widetilde{G}_{q+1} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q+1}^{(1)})^{(m)} + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q+1}^{(2)}$ to eliminate the Reynolds error R_q , where the superscript (m) means that the term is the principal part, is approximately of the form \widetilde{G}_{q+1}^i and has a magnitude approximately $\mathcal{O}(|\widetilde{G}_{q+1}|^i)$. Moreover, it ensures that longitudinal waves are solutions to the equation when only second-order nonlinear terms are present, allowing us to handle low-high frequency terms. However, the linear combination of longitudinal waves in different directions is not a solution, which produces low-high frequency terms that cannot be eliminated and leads to poor estimates.

Therefore, we may only add perturbations in one direction at a time. Consequently, in the 2D case, at each step of the iteration, high-frequency waves need to be added to the approximate solution in three distinct directions (see Section 2). This process is based on the method first used by Luo and Xin in [42].

Our goal is to use the low-frequency principal part of $(\widetilde{G}_{q+1}\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q+1}^{(1)})^{(m)} + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q+1}^{(2)}$ to cancel R_q , thereby obtaining a smaller R_{q+1} . According to the geometric lemma, the quadratic low-frequency terms can only represent positive definite matrices. However, we can not guarantee that R_q is positive definite at each step. Unlike the incompressible Euler equations, we do not have a pressure term to absorb the residual low-frequency components that cannot be eliminated. In this paper, we have constructed a suitable sequence of temporal functions $c_q(t)$ (see (2.6) and (2.7)) in order to ensure that $c_q(t) - c_{q+1}(t) + R_q$ is a positive definite matrix in the support of R_q . Although c_q is only a continuous function over the entire interval $[0, T]$, we can ensure that our iterative scheme can proceed by making c_{q+1} constant on the support of u_q .

The choice of building blocks is also a challenging task. For the Euler equations, we typically aim to construct perturbations whose principle part satisfies the transport equation to obtain good estimates on the transport error. However, we find that the perturbations used in this paper need to approximately satisfy specific wave equations. Moreover, based on the truncation technique, at $(q + 1)$ th step, we can treat the u_q and its derivatives as constants in the truncation region, so that the equation to be satisfied by the perturbation can be reduced to a linear wave equation with constant coefficients (see Section 7). Based on this observation, we propose Lemma 7.1 to construct new building blocks which consists of a longitudinal wave of size $O(1)$ and a transverse wave of size $O(\varepsilon)$. Notably, we can construct such building blocks only if the wave speed difference $\lambda + \mu \neq 0$, highlighting the importance of the double wave speed property.

Directly absorbing these small part of second order terms and higher-order terms in (1.14) into the new Reynolds error at the next iteration would result in R_{q+1} no longer being a symmetric matrix. Consequently, a new iterative framework and a redefined divergence operator inverse are required. In [28], Isett and Oh show that, if U_l conserves both linear and angular momentum, expressed as:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} U_l(y) dy = \text{const}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (y_k U_l(y) - y_l U_k(y)) dy = \text{const}, \quad (1.15)$$

then one can formally represent U as divergence of some symmetric matrix R by solving the following underdetermined elliptic equation, known as the symmetric divergence equation:

$$\partial_{y_j} R_{lj} = U_l. \quad (1.16)$$

In this paper, we consider the equations of 2D elastodynamics in Lagrangian coordinates, presenting new corresponding conservation laws for momentum and angular momentum, which are expressed as:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho_0 U_l(t, x) dx = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\rho_0 y_j U_l(t, x) - \rho_0 y_l U_j(t, x)) dx = 0, \quad (1.17)$$

for some vector field $U = U(t, x) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$, where x and $y = y(t, x)$ denote the Lagrangian coordinates and the deformation with small displacements $u(t, x)$, respectively. Then, in Section 5, we could prove that under (1.17), there exists a symmetric matrix $\tilde{R}^{(u)}[U]$ such that

$$\text{div}(F\tilde{R}^{(u)}[U]) = \text{div}((\text{Id} + \nabla u)\tilde{R}^{(u)}[U]) = \rho_0 U.$$

That is, we can define a special local right inverse of the divergence operator. At this point, we could present the equation (2.1) satisfied by the approximate solution u_q . Moreover, since the matrices $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q+1}^{(2)}$ and $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q+1}^{(\geq 3)}$ are symmetric, $(\text{Id} + G_{q+1})(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q+1}^{(2)} - (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q+1}^{(2)})^{(m)})$ and the higher-order terms $(\text{Id} + G_{q+1})\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q+1}^{(\geq 3)}$ can be easily absorbed into the new error $(\text{Id} + G_{q+1})R_{q+1}$. Thus, we only need to focus on and handle the linear and main part of the quadratic terms.

In fact, since we use a local right inverse of the divergence, we need to decompose the new Reynolds error into a sum of parts with compact support in space, see (7.46). Moreover, to ensure that R_{q+1} can be made a symmetric matrix (see Section 7), we need to introduce a perturbation \widetilde{u}_{ac} such that the new Reynolds error, when decomposed into parts with spatial compact support, satisfies (1.17).

We also hope to apply a similar approach as presented in this paper to prove the non-uniqueness of the compressible elastodynamic equations in the Eulerian coordinate system. Due to the presence of the density term and the more complex relationship between the deformation gradient tensor and the velocity, we anticipate some difficulties will persist. Addressing these challenges will be the focus of our future work.

1.4. Organization of the paper. In Section 2, we outline the induction scheme for constructing the sequence of approximate solutions (u_q, c_q, R_q) and introduce a key proposition, Proposition 2.6, which plays a central role in the proofs of Theorem 1.7. Sections 3 and 4 describe the processes of mollification and the introduction of cutoffs, respectively. In Section 5, we define a local inverse of divergence by solving the asymmetric divergence equation. Next, we construct the perturbation \tilde{u}_{q+1} and provide the corresponding estimates. The new error R_{q+1} and its estimates are detailed in Sections 8. Finally, the proofs of Proposition 2.6 and Theorem 1.7 are presented in Section 9. The appendix includes proofs and statements of analytical results that support the arguments and propositions in the main text.

2. OUTLINE OF THE INDUCTION SCHEME

In this paper, we will construct a series of approximate solutions u_q which satisfy the following approximate system and converge to a weak solution u of (1.9). We first give the definition of the approximate solution.

Definition 2.1. *A tuple of smooth tensors (u, c, R) is an approximate solution tensor of the elastodynamic equations (1.9) as long as it solves the following system in the sense of distribution,*

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div}((\operatorname{Id} + G)\Sigma_G) = \operatorname{div}((\operatorname{Id} + G)(c + R)), \quad (2.1)$$

where $G = \nabla u$, Σ_G is defined in (1.8), c and the Reynolds error R is a 2×2 symmetric matrix.

We denote by $\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ the space of 2×2 matrices, whereas $\mathbb{S}^{2 \times 2}$ denote corresponding subspaces of symmetric matrices. Moreover, we use the notation $\|R\| = \max_{ij} |R_{ij}|$. In this paper, we will use the following geometric lemma, which is a two-dimensional version of the one proposed in [24].

Lemma 2.2 (Geometric Lemma). *We can choose a finite subset Λ_* of \mathbb{Z}^2 with three elements and the following property. There exist geometric constant $\tilde{c}_0 \leq |\sigma^*|$ and smooth functions*

$$\Gamma_f \in C^\infty(B_{\tilde{c}_0}(\operatorname{Id})), \quad f \in \Lambda_*,$$

such that for each $K \in B_{\tilde{c}_0}(\operatorname{Id})$, we have the identity

$$K = \sum_{i=1}^3 (\Gamma_{f_i}(K))^2 \left(\operatorname{Id} - \frac{f_i}{|f_i|} \otimes \frac{f_i}{|f_i|} \right), \quad \forall K \in B_{\tilde{c}_0}(\operatorname{Id}). \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. We could choose $\Lambda_* = \{f_i\}_{i=1}^3 = \{(4, \pm 3), (0, 5)\}$. Notice that

$$\operatorname{Id} - \frac{f_1}{|f_1|} \otimes \frac{f_1}{|f_1|} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{9}{25} & -\frac{12}{25} \\ -\frac{12}{25} & \frac{16}{25} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \operatorname{Id} - \frac{f_2}{|f_2|} \otimes \frac{f_2}{|f_2|} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{9}{25} & \frac{12}{25} \\ \frac{12}{25} & \frac{16}{25} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \operatorname{Id} - \frac{f_3}{|f_3|} \otimes \frac{f_3}{|f_3|} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\frac{25}{32} \left(\operatorname{Id} - \frac{f_1}{|f_1|} \otimes \frac{f_1}{|f_1|} \right) + \frac{25}{32} \left(\operatorname{Id} - \frac{f_2}{|f_2|} \otimes \frac{f_2}{|f_2|} \right) + \frac{7}{16} \left(\operatorname{Id} - \frac{f_3}{|f_3|} \otimes \frac{f_3}{|f_3|} \right) = \operatorname{Id}.$$

For $\tilde{c}_0 = \min\{\frac{1}{100}, |\sigma^*|\}$ and $K \in B_{\tilde{c}_0}(\operatorname{Id})$, we could represent K as

$$\begin{aligned} K &:= \sum_{i=1}^3 K^{<i>} \\ &= \left(\frac{25}{32} K_{22} - \frac{25}{24} K_{12} \right) \left(\operatorname{Id} - \frac{f_1}{|f_1|} \otimes \frac{f_1}{|f_1|} \right) + \left(\frac{25}{32} K_{22} + \frac{25}{24} K_{12} \right) \left(\operatorname{Id} - \frac{f_2}{|f_2|} \otimes \frac{f_2}{|f_2|} \right) + \left(K_{11} - \frac{9}{16} K_{22} \right) \left(\operatorname{Id} - \frac{f_3}{|f_3|} \otimes \frac{f_3}{|f_3|} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \Gamma_{f_i}^2(K) \left(\operatorname{Id} - \frac{f_i}{|f_i|} \otimes \frac{f_i}{|f_i|} \right). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.3. *Note that for a general symmetric matrix K , we still have:*

$$K = \left(\frac{25}{32} K_{22} - \frac{25}{24} K_{12} \right) \left(\operatorname{Id} - \frac{f_1}{|f_1|} \otimes \frac{f_1}{|f_1|} \right) + \left(\frac{25}{32} K_{22} + \frac{25}{24} K_{12} \right) \left(\operatorname{Id} - \frac{f_2}{|f_2|} \otimes \frac{f_2}{|f_2|} \right) + \left(K_{11} - \frac{9}{16} K_{22} \right) \left(\operatorname{Id} - \frac{f_3}{|f_3|} \otimes \frac{f_3}{|f_3|} \right).$$

At this point, such as in (2.6) below, one may not choose a suitable $\Gamma_{f_i}(K)$, but the above expression still holds. And we still use the notation $K := \sum_{i=1}^3 K^{<i>}$. Here, $K^{<i>}$ depends linearly on K .

For convenience, we introduce the following notation:

- $\mathcal{I}_\ell = \mathcal{I} + \ell$ is the concentric enlarged interval $(a - \ell, d + \ell)$ when $\mathcal{I} = [a, d]$. For a general $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, we define

$$\mathcal{I}_\ell := \{t' \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists t \in \mathcal{I}, \text{ such that } |t - t'| < \ell\}.$$

Similarly, we use the following notations for sets in \mathbb{T}^2

$$\Omega_\ell = \Omega + \ell := \{\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mid \exists x \in \Omega, \text{ such that } |\tilde{x} - x| < \ell\}.$$

$Q(x, r) := \{\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{T}^2 : |\tilde{x} - x|_\infty < r\}$ and $B(x, r) := \{\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{T}^2 : |\tilde{x} - x| < r\}$ denote the square and disk, respectively.

- Furthermore, for the sake of convenience, in what follows, we use the notation $A \lesssim_\kappa B$ to mean $A \leq CB$, where $C > 0$ may depend on some fixed constants or functions κ . Especially, if we use $A \lesssim_\sigma B$, we mean $C > 0$ may depend the coefficients of σ in (1.2). Moreover, we use the notation $A \lesssim_{N,r} B$ without pointing out the dependence of the implicit constant C , and $N, r \in \mathbb{N}$ can be chosen to have $N \leq N^*$, $r \leq r^*$ for some positive integers N^*, r^* . We will not repeatedly specify this.
- We use the notation $\sigma_{G_q} = \sigma(j_1|_{G=G_q}, j_2|_{G=G_q})$ where the notation $j_1|_{G=G_q}$ and $j_2|_{G=G_q}$ represents the terms obtained by replacing G with G_q in j_1 and j_2 , respectively.
- We use the following notation for cross product and curl operator in \mathbb{R}^2 :

$$a \times b = a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1, \quad \nabla \times a = \partial_1 a_2 - \partial_2 a_1,$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Next, we give some parameters to measure the frequency and amplitude of our approximate solutions,

$$\lambda_q = \lceil \varepsilon^{-b(q+3)^2/3} \rceil^3, \quad \lambda_{q,i} = \lambda_q^{1-\frac{i}{3}} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{i}{3}}, \quad \delta_q = \varepsilon^{2(q+3)/3}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 3, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\lceil x \rceil$ denotes the smallest integer $\tilde{n} \geq x$, $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ is a small parameter close to 0, and $b > 0$ is a positive parameter.

Due to smoothing and truncation, the domains of definition for perturbation $u_{q,i}$ would change at each step. Here, we introduce some parameters to describe the scale of smoothing and truncation:

$$\ell_{q,i} = (\lambda_{q,i} \lambda_{q,i+1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_q^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \quad \tau_{q,i} = (\lambda_{q,i} \lambda_{q,i+1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_q^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \quad \mu_{q,i} = (2[(\lambda_{q,i} \lambda_{q,i+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_q^{\frac{1}{4}} / 2])^{-1}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 2, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.4)$$

Let $\tau_{0,-1} = 10\tau_{0,0}$ and $\tau_{q,-1} = \tau_{q-1,2}$, $q \geq 1$, we consider the approximate solution $u_{q,i}$ at step q over the time interval $\mathcal{I}^{q,i-1} = [-\tau_{q,i-1}, T + \tau_{q,i-1}]$. And we use the following notation for the support of a function:

$$\text{supp}_t^{(q,i)} f = \overline{\{t \in \mathcal{I}^{q,i-1} \mid \exists x \text{ with } f(t, x) \neq 0\}}, \quad \text{supp}_x f = \overline{\{x \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mid f(x) \neq 0\}}.$$

In what follows, at the i -th substep of step q , for convenience, and where no confusion arises, we omit the superscript (q, i) . Moreover, we denote $\text{supp}_t(u, R) = \text{supp}_t u \cup \text{supp}_t R$.

Remark 2.4. *Our primary focus is on the properties of approximate solutions on $[0, T]$. Therefore, we only need to consider approximate solution $u_{q,i}$ in a small extension $\mathcal{I}^{q,i-1}$ around $[0, T]$.*

It is easy to find a smooth function $\theta^*(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ which satisfies that $\|\theta^*\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R})} = 1$ and

$$\theta^*(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t \in [1, \infty), \\ 0, & t \in (-\infty, 0]. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

Then, we will give two important continuous matrix-valued function $c_q(t)$ and $c_{q,i}(t)$, which will determine the behavior approximate solutions.

$$c_q(t) = \sigma^* \sum_{j=q}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^2 \tilde{e}_{j,k}(t) \text{Id}^{\langle k+1 \rangle}, \quad c_{q,i}(t) = c_{q+1}(t) + \sigma^* \sum_{k=i}^2 \tilde{e}_{q,k}(t) \text{Id}^{\langle k+1 \rangle}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 2, \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$\tilde{e}_{q,k}(t) = \begin{cases} \delta_{q+1}, & t \in (T/2 - T_{q,k} - \ell_{q,k}, T + \tau_{0,-1}), \\ \delta_{q+1} \theta^*(\lambda_q(t - (T/2 - T_{q,k} - \ell_{q,k} - \lambda_q^{-1}))), & t \in [T/2 - T_{q,k} - \ell_{q,k} - \lambda_q^{-1}, T/2 - T_{q,k} - \ell_{q,k}], \\ 0, & t \in [-\tau_{0,-1}, T/2 - T_{q,k} - \ell_{q,k} - \lambda_q^{-1}), \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

and $T_{q,k} := \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{i=0}^2 (3\tau_{j,i} + \ell_{j,i} + \lambda_j^{-1}) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (3\tau_{q,i} + \ell_{q,i} + \lambda_q^{-1})$.

At each step, we give a correction to u_q to make the error (c_q, R_q) get smaller and converge to zero (in C^0 space) as q goes to infinity. Moreover, we assume the following inductive estimates on (u_q, c_q, R_q) satisfying (2.1):

1. The support of u_q and R_q satisfies

$$\text{supp}_t(u_q, R_q) \subseteq \text{supp}_t(u_{q-1}, R_{q-1}) + \sum_{k=0}^2 (3\tau_{q-1,k} + \ell_{q-1,k} + \lambda_{q-1}^{-1}) \subseteq [T/2 - T_{q,0}, T + \tau_{q,-1}], \quad q \geq 1. \quad (2.8)$$

2. The estimates on u_q and R_q :

$$\|\partial_t^r u_q\|_N \leq 2\varepsilon - \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 0 \leq N + r \leq 1, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r u_q\|_N \leq \overline{M} \lambda_q^{N+r-1} \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 2 \leq N + r \leq 3, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r R_q\|_N \leq \tilde{c}_0^4 \lambda_q^{N+r} \delta_{q+1}, \quad 0 \leq N + r \leq 2, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.11)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_N = \|\cdot\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q-1}; C^N(\mathbb{T}^2))}$ and $\overline{M} > 1$ which depends on the coefficients of stored-energy function σ in (1.2).

Remark 2.5. In the following text, when a parameter depends on the function σ , it refers to properties related to the structure of the function σ (such as its coefficients), and not to the function value of σ .

Similar to [21, 26], we give the following inductive proposition.

Proposition 2.6 (Inductive proposition). *Let $\sigma = \sigma(j_1, j_2)$ be any stored-energy function defined as in (1.2) which satisfies (1.13). Then, there exists constants $b > 5$, $\overline{M} > 1$, $\tilde{c}_0 \leq \min\{\frac{1}{100}, |\sigma^*|\}$, and sufficiently small $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(b, \overline{M}) > 0$ such that the following property holds. Let $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$ and assume that (u_q, c_q, R_q) is a solution of (2.1) defined on the time interval $[-\tau_{q,-1}, T + \tau_{q,-1}]$ satisfying (2.8)–(2.11). Then, we can find a corrected solution $(u_{q+1}, c_{q+1}, R_{q+1})$ of (2.1), which is defined on the time interval $[-\tau_{q+1,-1}, T + \tau_{q+1,-1}]$, satisfies (2.8)–(2.11) for $q + 1$ and additionally*

$$\lambda_q \|u_{q+1} - u_q\|_{C^0([0, T]; C^0(\mathbb{T}^2))} + \sum_{1 \leq N+r \leq 3} \lambda_{q+1}^{1-N-r} \|\partial_t^r (u_{q+1} - u_q)\|_{C^0([0, T]; C^N(\mathbb{T}^2))} \leq \overline{M} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.12)$$

Moreover, the energy satisfies for $t \in [T/2 - T_{q,0}, T + \tau_{q+1,-1}]$,

$$3\pi^2 \sigma_{11} \delta_{q+1} \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u_{q+1}|^2 + \sigma_{G_{q+1}} \right) dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u_q|^2 + \sigma_{G_q} \right) dx \leq 120\pi^2 \sigma_{11} \delta_{q+1}. \quad (2.13)$$

In more detail, at each step of the iteration, we will add different high frequency waves to the approximate solution for three times. Let $u_{q,0} = u_q$ and $R_{q,0} = R_q$, if we add the correction $\tilde{u}_{q,i}$ (with frequency $\lambda_{q,i}$) to $u_{q,i-1} = u_q + \sum_{r=1}^{i-1} \tilde{u}_{q,r}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, the new stress error $R_{q,i}$ takes the form

$$R_{q,i} = \tilde{e}_{q,i}(t) \text{Id}^{<i+1>} + R_{q,i-1} - \sigma^* \left(\tilde{e}_{q,i-1}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \Gamma_{f_i} \left(\text{Id} + \frac{R_{q,i-1}}{\sigma^* \tilde{e}_{q,i-1}(t)} \right) \right)^2 \left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_i}{|f_i|} \otimes \frac{f_i}{|f_i|} \right) + \delta R_{q,i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $u_{q+1} = u_{q,3}$ and $R_{q+1} = R_{q,3}$ be the new approximate solution and the new Reynolds error at $q + 1$ step. Repeating this procedure, the Reynolds errors will converge to zero. Moreover, we assume the following inductive estimates on $(u_{q,i}, c_{q,i}, R_{q,i})$ satisfying (2.1):

1. The support of $u_{q,i}$ and $R_{q,i}$ satisfies

$$\text{supp}_t(u_{q,i}, R_{q,i}) \subseteq \text{supp}_t(u_{q,i-1}, R_{q,i-1}) + 3\tau_{q-1,i-1} + \ell_{q-1,i-1} + \lambda_q^{-1} \subseteq [T/2 - T_{q,i}, T + \tau_{q,i-1}], \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.14)$$

2. The estimates on $u_{q,i}$ and $R_{q,i}$:

$$\|\partial_t^r u_{q,i}\|_N \leq 2\varepsilon - (4 - i) \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 0 \leq N + r \leq 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.15)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r u_{q,i}\|_N \leq \overline{M} \lambda_{q,i}^{N+r-1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 2 \leq N + r \leq 3, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.16)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r \delta R_{q,i}\|_N \leq \tilde{c}_0^4 \lambda_{q,i}^{N+r} \delta_{q+2}, \quad 0 \leq N + r \leq 2, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r R_{q,i}\|_N \leq \frac{i+1}{4} \tilde{c}_0^4 \lambda_{q,i}^{N+r} \delta_{q+1}, \quad 0 \leq N + r \leq 2, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.18)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_N = \|\cdot\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q,i-1}; C^N(\mathbb{T}^2))}$.

3. $\tilde{e}_{q,i} = \tilde{e}_{q,i}(t)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}_t(u_{q,i}, R_{q,i}) + \ell_{q,i} &\subseteq \text{supp}_t \tilde{e}_{q,i} \subseteq \text{supp}_t(u_{q,i}, R_{q,i}) + \ell_{q,i} + \lambda_q^{-1}, & 1 \leq i \leq 3, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \tilde{e}_{q,i}(t) &= \delta_{q+1}, \quad \forall t \in \text{supp}_t(u_{q,i}, R_{q,i}) + \ell_{q,i}, & 1 \leq i \leq 3, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \|\tilde{e}_{q,i}(t)\|_{C_r^r} &\lesssim \lambda_q^r \delta_{q+1}, \quad r \geq 0, & 1 \leq i \leq 3, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

The proof of Theorem 1.7 relies on Proposition 2.6 which provides an iterative framework for constructing a sequence of approximate solutions to (1.1), all of which emanates from zero initial data. Specifically, it states that, given an initial tuple $(0, 0)$, we can generate a sequence of tuples (u_q, R_q) that satisfy (2.8)–(2.11), as well as (2.12)–(2.13) in Proposition 2.6 for all $q \geq 0$. Consequently, we can demonstrate that u_q converges to u , which is a weak solution of (1.1) in $C^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2)$ emanating from zero initial data.

3. MOLLIFICATION

To solve the loss of temporal and spatial derivatives, in this part, we will show the mollification process for $(u_{q,i}, c_{q,i}, R_{q,i})$ at the q -th step.

Following mollification method in [29, 46] and Definition 4.15 in [27], we give the following lemma without proof.

Lemma 3.1. *There exist smooth functions $\eta^t \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\text{supp } \eta^t \subset (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, $\text{supp } \eta \subset Q(0, \frac{1}{2})$, $\|\eta^t\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = \|\eta\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} = 1$, and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and multi-index α with $1 \leq |n| \leq 4$, $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 4$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta^t(\tau) d\tau = 1, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta^t(\tau) \tau^n d\tau = 0, \quad \forall n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta(x) dx = 1, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta(x) x^\alpha dx = 0, \quad \forall \alpha, 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 4. \quad (3.2)$$

Let $\ell > 0$ and define $\eta_\ell^t(t) = \frac{1}{\ell} \eta^t\left(\frac{t}{\ell}\right)$, $\eta_\ell(x) = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \eta\left(\frac{x}{\ell}\right)$. If f is a spatially periodic function on $\mathcal{I} \times \mathbb{T}^2 \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2$, $\eta_\ell * f$ is also a spatially periodic function on $\mathcal{I} \times \mathbb{T}^2$. Moreover, for any smooth functions $v \in C^\infty(\mathcal{I} + \ell; C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2))$, there exists a constant C such that for any $1 \leq N \leq 5$,

$$\|\partial_t^N (v * \eta_\ell^t)\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}, C^0(\mathbb{T}^2))} \leq C \ell^{-N} \|v\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I} + \ell, C^0(\mathbb{T}^2))}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\|v * \eta_\ell\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}, C^N(\mathbb{T}^2))} \leq C \ell^{-N} \|v\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}, C^0(\mathbb{T}^2))}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\|v - v * \eta_\ell^t\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}, C^0(\mathbb{T}^2))} \leq C \ell^N \|\partial_t^N v\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I} + \ell, C^0(\mathbb{T}^2))}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\|v - v * \eta_\ell\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}, C^0(\mathbb{T}^2))} \leq C \ell^N \|v\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}, C^N(\mathbb{T}^2))}. \quad (3.6)$$

Up to now, we could define the spatial and temporal convolution operators for functions f :

$$P_{\leq \ell^{-1}} f := \eta_\ell * f, \quad U_{\leq \ell^{-1}} f := \eta_\ell^t * f, \quad P_{> \ell^{-1}} f := f - P_{\leq \ell^{-1}} f, \quad U_{> \ell^{-1}} f := f - U_{\leq \ell^{-1}} f.$$

Remark 3.2. *If we set $\ell = 0$ when using $P_{\leq \ell^{-1}}$ or $U_{\leq \ell^{-1}}$, we mean $P_{\leq \ell^{-1}} f = f$ and $U_{\leq \ell^{-1}} f = f$.*

Next, we introduce the parameter if mollification length $\ell_{q,i}$, defined as in (2.4),

$$\ell_{q,i} = \left(\lambda_{q,i} \lambda_{q,i+1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_q^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 2, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (3.7)$$

and give the regularized terms as

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\ell,i} &= U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} u_{q,i}, & G_{\ell,i} &= U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} G_{q,i} = \nabla u_{\ell,i}, & R_{\ell,i} &= U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} R_{q,i}, & 0 \leq i \leq 2, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}, \\ C_{\ell,i} &= G_{\ell,i} + G_{\ell,i}^\top + G_{\ell,i} G_{\ell,i}^\top, & D_{\ell,i} &= G_{\ell,i} + G_{\ell,i}^\top + G_{\ell,i}^\top G_{\ell,i}, & & 0 \leq i \leq 2, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

which can be defined on $\mathcal{I}^{q,i} + 3\ell_{q,i} \subset \mathcal{I}^{q,i-1}$ by the selection of sufficiently small ε . Notice that $c_{q,i}$ is actually a constant matrix in $\text{supp}_t(u_{q,i}, R_{q,i}) + \ell_{q,i}$. Then, $u_{\ell,i}$ satisfies

$$\partial_t u_{\ell,i} - \text{div}((\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) \Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}}) = \text{div}((\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})(c_{q,i} + R_{\ell,i}) + R_{m,i}), \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$R_{m,i} := P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} ((\text{Id} + G_{q,i})(\Sigma_{G_{q,i}} + R_{q,i}) - (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})(\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i})). \quad (3.9)$$

The following estimates are direct conclusions.

Proposition 3.3. *For any $b > 1$, we can find $\varepsilon_1^* = \varepsilon_1^*(b, \overline{M}) > 0$ satisfying that if $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_1^*$, the following properties hold for $0 \leq i \leq 2$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$:*

1. The support of $u_{\ell,i}$, $R_{m,i}$, and $R_{\ell,i}$ satisfies

$$\text{supp}_t(u_{\ell,i}, R_{\ell,i}, R_{m,i}) \subseteq \text{supp}_t(u_{q,i}, R_{q,i}) + \ell_{q,i}. \quad (3.10)$$

2. The estimates on $u_{\ell,i}$ and $R_{\ell,i}$:

$$\|\partial_t^r u_{\ell,i}\|_N \leq 2\varepsilon, \quad N+r \leq 1, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r u_{\ell,i}\|_N \lesssim_{N,r} \ell_{q,i}^{2-N-r} \overline{M} \lambda_{q,i} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim_{N,r} \ell_{q,i}^{1-N-r} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad N+r \geq 2, \quad (3.12)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r R_{\ell,i}\|_N \leq \tilde{c}_0^4 \lambda_{q,i}^{N+r} \delta_{q+1}, \quad N+r \leq 2, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r R_{\ell,i}\|_N \lesssim_{N,r} \ell_{q,i}^{2-N-r} \tilde{c}_0^4 \lambda_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}, \quad N+r \geq 3, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r R_{m,i}\|_N \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \ell_{q,i}^{2-N-r} \overline{M}^2 \lambda_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q,i}, \quad N+r \geq 0, \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$\|\partial_t^r (u_{q,i} - u_{\ell,i})\|_N \lesssim \ell_{q,i}^{3-N-r} \overline{M} \lambda_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \ell_{q,i}^{-N-r} \frac{\overline{M}}{120} \lambda_{q,i}^{-1} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 0 \leq N+r \leq 3, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r (R_{q,i} - R_{\ell,i})\|_N \lesssim \ell_{q,i}^{2-N-r} \tilde{c}_0^4 \lambda_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}, \quad 0 \leq N+r \leq 2, \quad (3.17)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_N = \|\cdot\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}_{3\ell_{q,i}}^{q,i}; \mathbb{C}^N(\mathbb{T}^2))}$, and

$$\delta_{q,i} = \begin{cases} \delta_q, & i = 0, \\ \delta_{q+1}, & i = 1, 2. \end{cases} \quad (3.18)$$

3. The kinetic energy and the stored-energy satisfy

$$\|\partial_t^r (|\partial_t u_{q,i}|^2 - |\partial_t u_{\ell,i}|^2)\|_N \lesssim_{\overline{M}} \ell_{q,i}^{2-N-r} \lambda_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q,i}, \quad 0 \leq N+r \leq 2, \quad (3.19)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r (\sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} - \sigma_{G_{q,i}})\|_N \lesssim_{\sigma, \overline{M}} \ell_{q,i}^{2-N-r} \lambda_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q,i}, \quad 0 \leq N+r \leq 2. \quad (3.20)$$

Proof. Notice that for $b > 1$, we could find sufficiently small $\varepsilon_1^* = \varepsilon_1^*(b, \overline{M})$ such that for any $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_1^*$,

$$\lambda_q \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \lambda_{q,i} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \tau_{q,i} + 5\ell_{q,i} \leq \tau_{q,i-1}, \quad 120\overline{M}\lambda_{q,i} \leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1} = \tau_{q,i}^{-1} \approx \mu_{q,i}^{-1} \leq \lambda_{q,i+1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \lambda_{q,i+1}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 2. \quad (3.21)$$

By using (2.15)–(2.18), and the definition of $P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}}$ and $U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}}$, we obtain (3.11)–(3.14). Next, we could calculate

$$F - U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} F = F - P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} F + P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} F - U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} F = P_{> \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} F + U_{> \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} F,$$

and we could use Lemma 3.1 to obtain

$$\|P_{> \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} F\|_0 \lesssim \ell_{q,i}^j \|\nabla^j F\|_0, \quad \|U_{> \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} F\|_0 \lesssim \ell_{q,i}^j \|\partial_t^j F\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}_{q,i}^{q,i-1} \times \mathbb{T}^2)}, \quad (3.22)$$

for $\forall F \in C^j(\mathcal{I}_{q,i}^{q,i-1} \times \mathbb{T}^2)$, $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Combining them, we have for $N+r \leq k \leq 3$,

$$\|\partial_t^r (F - U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} F)\|_N \lesssim \ell_{q,i}^{k-N-r} \|F\|_{C^k(\mathcal{I}_{q,i}^{q,i-1} \times \mathbb{T}^2)}. \quad (3.23)$$

We can apply it to $u_{q,i}$ and $R_{q,i}$ to get (3.16) and (3.17).

Recall the definition of $R_{m,i}$ in (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{m,i} &= P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} ((\text{Id} + G_{q,i})(\Sigma_{G_{q,i}} + R_{q,i}) - (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})(\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i})) \\ &= P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} ((\text{Id} + G_{q,i})(\Sigma_{G_{q,i}} + R_{q,i})) - P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} (\text{Id} + G_{q,i}) P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} (\Sigma_{G_{q,i}} + R_{q,i}) \\ &\quad + (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})(P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} (\Sigma_{G_{q,i}}) - \Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}}). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{G_{q,i}} &= (2(\sigma_{11} + \sigma_2) \text{tr } C_{q,i} + (\sigma_{111} + 3\sigma_{12})(\text{tr } C_{q,i})^2 + \sigma_{22}(\text{tr } C_{q,i})^3) \text{Id} \\ &\quad - (\sigma_{12} \text{tr } C_{q,i}^2 + \sigma_{22} \text{tr } C_{q,i} \text{tr } C_{q,i}^2) \text{Id} - 2(\sigma_{12} \text{tr } C_{q,i} + \frac{\sigma_{22}}{2}((\text{tr } C_{q,i})^2 - \text{tr } C_{q,i}^2)) D_{q,i}, \\ \Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} &= (2(\sigma_{11} + \sigma_2) \text{tr } C_{\ell,i} + (\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{111} + 2\sigma_{12})(\text{tr } C_{\ell,i})^2 + \sigma_{22}(\text{tr } C_{\ell,i})^3) \text{Id} \\ &\quad - ((\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{111}) \text{tr } C_{\ell,i}^2 + \sigma_{22} \text{tr } C_{\ell,i} \text{tr } C_{\ell,i}^2) \text{Id} - 2(\sigma_{12} \text{tr } C_{\ell,i} + \frac{\sigma_{22}}{2}((\text{tr } C_{\ell,i})^2 - \text{tr } C_{\ell,i}^2)) D_{\ell,i}, \end{aligned}$$

it is easy to get

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_t^r \Sigma_{G_{q,i}}\|_N &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^6 \sum_{\sum_n r_n=r} \sum_{\sum_n N_n=N} \prod_{n=1}^k \|\partial_t^{r_n} G_{q,i}\|_{N_n} \lesssim \overline{M} \lambda_{q,i}^{N+r} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}}, & 1 \leq N+r \leq 2, \\ \|\partial_t^r \Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}}\|_N &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^6 \sum_{\sum_n r_n=r} \sum_{\sum_n N_n=N} \prod_{n=1}^k \|\partial_t^{r_n} G_{\ell,i}\|_{N_n} \lesssim \overline{M} \lambda_{q,i}^{N+r} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}}, & 1 \leq N+r \leq 2, \\ \|\partial_t^r \Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}}\|_N &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^6 \sum_{\sum_n r_n=r} \sum_{\sum_n N_n=N} \prod_{n=1}^k \|\partial_t^{r_n} G_{\ell,i}\|_{N_n} \lesssim \overline{M} \ell_{q,i}^{2-N-r} \lambda_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}}, & 3 \leq N+r.\end{aligned}$$

Next, we could use Lemma B.3 to get

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\| \partial_t^r \left(P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} ((\text{Id} + G_{q,i})(\Sigma_{G_{q,i}} + R_{q,i})) - P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} (\text{Id} + G_{q,i}) P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} (\Sigma_{G_{q,i}} + R_{q,i}) \right) \right\|_N \\ &\lesssim \ell_{q,i}^{2-N-r} \left(\|\partial_t G_{q,i}\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q,i-1}; C^0(\mathbb{T}^2))} \|\partial_t (\Sigma_{G_{q,i}} + R_{q,i})\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q,i-1}; C^0(\mathbb{T}^2))} + \|G_{q,i}\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q,i-1}; C^1(\mathbb{T}^2))} \|\Sigma_{G_{q,i}} + R_{q,i}\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q,i-1}; C^1(\mathbb{T}^2))} \right) \\ &\lesssim_{N,r} \ell_{q,i}^{2-N-r} \overline{M}^2 \lambda_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q,i}.\end{aligned}$$

So we only need to get estimate for $(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})(P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} (\Sigma_{G_{q,i}}) - \Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}})$. By using Lemma B.3, we could obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \partial_t^r (P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} \Sigma_{G_{q,i}} - \Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}}) \right\|_N &\lesssim_{\sigma, N, r} \ell_{q,i}^{2-N-r} \sum_{k=2}^6 \sum_{\substack{s_1+\dots+s_k=2 \\ s_n < 2, n=1, \dots, k}} \left(\prod_{n=1}^k \|G_{q,i}\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q,i-1}; C^{s_n}(\mathbb{T}^2))} + \prod_{n=1}^k \|\partial_t^{s_n} G_{q,i}\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q,i-1}; C^0(\mathbb{T}^2))} \right) \\ &\lesssim_{\sigma, N, r} \ell_{q,i}^{2-N-r} \overline{M}^2 \lambda_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q,i},\end{aligned}\tag{3.24}$$

where we have used (2.15) and (2.16).

Remark 3.4. Noticing that the linear terms similar to $P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} G_{q,i} - G_{\ell,i} = 0$ vanish in $P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} \Sigma_{G_{q,i}} - \Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}}$, the remaining terms are quadratic or higher-order terms of the form $P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} (G_{q,i}^k) - G_{\ell,i}^k$, $k \geq 2$.

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\| \partial_t^r \left((\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})(P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} (\Sigma_{G_{q,i}}) - \Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}}) \right) \right\|_N \\ &\lesssim \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \left\| \partial_t^{r_1} (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) \right\|_{N_1} \left\| \partial_t^{r_2} (P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} (\Sigma_{G_{q,i}}) - \Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}}) \right\|_{N_2} \lesssim_{\sigma, N, r} \ell_{q,i}^{2-N-r} \overline{M}^2 \lambda_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q,i}.\end{aligned}$$

To sum up, we have proved (3.15).

Notice that for any $t \notin \text{supp}_t(u_{q,i}, R_{q,i}) + \ell_{q,i}$, we have $u_{\ell,i} = u_{q,i} = 0$ and $R_{\ell,i} = R_{q,i} = 0$, and then $R_{m,i} = 0$. So the property of the support (3.10) can be clearly derived from it and Lemma 3.1.

Finally, we consider the kinetic energy and stored-energy. We could calculate

$$|\partial_t u_{q,i}|^2 - |\partial_t u_{\ell,i}|^2 = |\partial_t u_{q,i}|^2 - P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} |\partial_t u_{q,i}|^2 + P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} |\partial_t u_{q,i}|^2 - |\partial_t u_{\ell,i}|^2,$$

and then, by using (3.23) and Lemma B.3, we have for $0 \leq N+r \leq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\| \partial_t^r (|\partial_t u_{q,i}|^2 - |\partial_t u_{\ell,i}|^2) \right\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q,i}; C^N(\mathbb{T}^2))} \\ &\leq \left\| \partial_t^r (|\partial_t u_{q,i}|^2 - P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} |\partial_t u_{q,i}|^2) \right\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q,i}; C^N(\mathbb{T}^2))} + \left\| \partial_t^r (P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} |\partial_t u_{q,i}|^2 - |\partial_t u_{\ell,i}|^2) \right\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q,i}; C^N(\mathbb{T}^2))} \\ &\lesssim \ell_{q,i}^{2-N-r} \left\| |\partial_t u_{q,i}|^2 \right\|_{C^2(\mathcal{I}^{q,i-1} \times \mathbb{T}^2)} + \ell_{q,i}^{2-N-r} \left(\left\| \partial_t^2 u_{q,i} \right\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q,i-1}; C^0(\mathbb{T}^2))}^2 + \left\| \partial_t u_{q,i} \right\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q,i-1}; C^1(\mathbb{T}^2))}^2 \right) \\ &\lesssim_{\overline{M}, N, r} \ell_{q,i}^{2-N-r} \lambda_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q,i}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.25}$$

Recall (1.2) and use $\sigma_1 = 0$, we could calculate

$$\sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} - \sigma_{G_{q,i}} = \sigma_2 (j_2|_{G=G_{\ell,i}} - j_2|_{G=G_{q,i}}) + \sum_{r=1}^2 \sum_{s=1}^2 \frac{\sigma_{rs}}{2} ((j_r j_s)|_{G=G_{\ell,i}} - (j_r j_s)|_{G=G_{q,i}}) + \frac{\sigma_{111}}{6} (j_1^3|_{G=G_{\ell,i}} - j_1^3|_{G=G_{q,i}}).$$

Noting that $\sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} - \sigma_{G_{q,i}}$ consists of terms such as $(\text{tr } G_{\ell,i})^k - (\text{tr } G_{q,i})^k$, $\text{tr}(G_{\ell,i}^k) - \text{tr}(G_{q,i}^k)$ and other similar forms with $k \geq 2$, we could use similar way as (3.25) to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \partial_t^r ((\text{tr } G_{\ell,i})^k - (\text{tr } G_{q,i})^k) \right\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q,i}; C^N(\mathbb{T}^2))} \\ & \leq \left\| \partial_t^r (P_{\leq \ell^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell^{-1}} (\text{tr } G_{q,i})^k - (\text{tr } G_{q,i})^k) \right\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q,i}; C^N(\mathbb{T}^2))} + \left\| \partial_t^r ((\text{tr } G_{\ell,i})^k - P_{\leq \ell^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell^{-1}} (\text{tr } G_{q,i})^k) \right\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q,i}; C^N(\mathbb{T}^2))} \\ & \lesssim \ell_{q,i}^{2-N-r} \left\| (\text{tr } G_{q,i})^k \right\|_{C^2(\mathcal{I}^{q,i-1} \times \mathbb{T}^2)} + \ell_{q,i}^{2-N-r} \sum_{\substack{s_1 + \dots + s_k = 2 \\ s_n < 2, n=1, \dots, k}} \left(\prod_{n=1}^k \left\| (\text{tr } G_{q,i})^{s_n} \right\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q,i-1}; C^{s_n}(\mathbb{T}^2))} + \prod_{n=1}^k \left\| \partial_t^{s_n} ((\text{tr } G_{q,i})^k) \right\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q,i-1}; C^0(\mathbb{T}^2))} \right) \\ & \lesssim_{\overline{M}, N, r} \ell_{q,i}^{2-N-r} \lambda_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q,i}. \end{aligned}$$

And then, we have

$$\left\| \partial_t^r (\sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} - \sigma_{G_{q,i}}) \right\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q,i}; C^N(\mathbb{T}^2))} \lesssim_{\overline{M}, N, r} \ell_{q,i}^{2-N-r} \lambda_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q,i}. \quad (3.26)$$

This concludes the proof. \square

4. CUTOFFS

Here, we will give partitions of unity in space \mathbb{R}^2 and in time \mathbb{R} . We introduce some nonnegative smooth functions $\{\chi_v\}_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$ and $\{\theta_s\}_{s \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that

$$\sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \chi_v^2(x) = 1, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2; \quad \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \theta_s^2(t) = 1, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\chi_v(x) = \chi_0(x - 2\pi v)$ and χ_0 is a nonnegative smooth function supported in $Q(0, 9/8\pi)$ satisfying $\chi_0 = 1$ on $Q(0, 7/8\pi)$. Similarly, $\theta_s(t) = \theta_0(t - s)$ where $\theta_0 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies $\theta_0 = 1$ on $[1/8, 7/8]$ and $\theta_0 = 0$ on $(-1/8, 9/8)^c$.

And then, we give the cut-off parameters $\tau_{q,i}$ and $\mu_{q,i} \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ as (2.4),

$$\mu_{q,i}^{-1} = 2[(\lambda_{q,i} \lambda_{q,i+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{4}} / 2], \quad \tau_{q,i}^{-1} = (\lambda_{q,i} \lambda_{q,i+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 2, \quad (4.1)$$

and introduce the following notations

$$\mathcal{I} := \{(s, v) : (s, v) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^2\},$$

and for $I = (s, v) \in \mathcal{I}$,

$$[I] = [s] + \sum_{i=1}^2 2^i [v_i] + 1, \quad [j] = \begin{cases} 0, & j \text{ is odd,} \\ 1, & j \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

So far, we can define the cutoff functions as follows:

$$\chi_I(x) = \chi_v(\mu_{q,i}^{-1} x), \quad \theta_I(t) = \theta_s(\tau_{q,i}^{-1} t). \quad (4.3)$$

5. DEFINING A LOCAL INVERSE OF DIVERGENCE BY SOLVING THE ASYMMETRIC DIVERGENCE EQUATION

In order to directly absorb these small part of second order terms and higher-order terms in (1.14) into the new Reynolds error at the next iteration and make R_{q+1} be a symmetric matrix in each iteration, in this section, we will define a local right inverse of the divergence by solving the following asymmetric divergence equation:

$$\text{div}(F \tilde{R}^{(u)}[U]) = \text{div}((\text{Id} + \nabla u) \tilde{R}^{(u)}[U]) = \rho_0 U, \quad \tilde{R}^{(u)}[U] \in \mathbb{S}^{2 \times 2},$$

for some vector field $U = U(t, x) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfying the conservation laws for momentum and angular momentum as (1.17), small displacements $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$, and $\rho_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$.

In [28], Isett and Oh show the relationship between Euler–Reynolds flows and the conservation of linear and angular momentum in Eulerian coordinates. Under appropriate decay assumptions, the space of Euler–Reynolds flows on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ can also be viewed as the space of incompressible velocity fields that conserve both linear and angular momentum. Specifically, the usual laws of conservation of linear and angular momentum in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 2$, expressed as (1.15), can be proven for $U_I(y) = \partial_{y_j} R_{Ij}(y)$, under the assumption that $R_{Ij}(y) \in L_{t,y}^1$ is a symmetric matrix for each $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Conversely, if U_I conserves both linear and angular momentum, then one can formally represent U as divergence of some symmetric matrix by solving the symmetric divergence equation (1.16).

In this paper, we consider the equations of 2D elastic dynamics in Lagrangian coordinates, presenting new corresponding conservation laws for momentum and angular momentum as (1.17). However, in Eulerian coordinates, the corresponding conservation laws can be expressed as follows:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho U_l^*(t, y) dy = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho(y_j U_l^*(t, y) - y_l U_j^*(t, y)) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho_0(y_j U_l(t, x) - y_l U_j(t, x)) dx = 0, \quad (5.1)$$

where $\rho = ((\det(\nabla y))^{-1} \rho_0)(t, X(t, y))$, and $U^*(t, y) = U(t, X(t, y))$, with $X(t, y)$ being the inverse of $y = y(t, x)$. Then we could observe that ρU^* satisfies (1.15) in \mathbb{R}^2 . According to [28], there exists a symmetric matrix $R = R(y)$ such that

$$\partial_{y_j} R_{lj} = \rho U_l^*. \quad (5.2)$$

Remark 5.1. To avoid confusion, we denote the same function, $R(t, y)$ and $R(t, y(t, x))$, in different coordinates simply as R .

Moreover, if we use

$$\frac{\partial R_{lj}}{\partial y_j} = \frac{\partial R_{lj}}{\partial x_r} \frac{\partial x_r}{\partial y_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} \left(R_{lj} \frac{\partial x_r}{\partial y_j} \right) - R_{lj} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} \left(\frac{\partial x_r}{\partial y_j} \right),$$

and

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial F_{jr}} \frac{\partial F_{jr}}{\partial x_i} = J \frac{\partial x_r}{\partial y_j} \frac{\partial^2 y_j}{\partial x_r \partial x_i} = -J \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} \left(\frac{\partial x_r}{\partial y_j} \right) \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial x_i},$$

we could obtain

$$J \frac{\partial R_{lj}}{\partial y_j} = J \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} \left(R_{lj} \frac{\partial x_r}{\partial y_j} \right) - JR_{lj} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} \left(\frac{\partial x_r}{\partial y_j} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} \left(JR_{lj} \frac{\partial x_r}{\partial y_j} \right), \quad (5.3)$$

which means

$$\rho_0 U_l = J \rho U_l^* = J \partial_{y_j} R_{lj} = \partial_{x_j} (JR_{lj}) = \partial_{x_j} (F \underbrace{JF^{-1}RF^{-\top}}_{\tilde{R}^{(u)}[U]})_{lj}, \quad (5.4)$$

in the Lagrangian coordinates. Notice that (5.4) is an asymmetric divergence equation and $\tilde{R}^{(u)} = JF^{-1}RF^{-\top}$ is a symmetric matrix.

Naturally, we summarize the following principle: Given any vector field U and deformation $y = y(t, x)$ with small displacements u satisfying (1.17), we could find a symmetric matrix $\tilde{R}^{(u)}[U]$ such that

$$\operatorname{div}(F\tilde{R}^{(u)}[U]) = \rho_0 U. \quad (5.5)$$

Moreover, for any symmetric matrix K , we could calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \partial_{x_j} (FK)_{lj} dx &= 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} y_k \partial_{x_j} (FK)_{lj} - y_l \partial_{x_j} (FK)_{kj} dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \partial_{x_j} (y_k (F\tilde{R})_{lj} - y_l (F\tilde{R})_{kj}) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (F_{kj} (FK)_{lj} - F_{lj} (FK)_{kj}) dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} ((FKF^\top)_{lk} - (FKF^\top)_{kl}) dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In [28], due to the use of localized waves and a rearrangement of the error terms in the construction, Isett and Oh solved (1.16) with data that satisfies (1.15) while simultaneously remaining localized to a small length scale $\tilde{\rho}$. This smallness of support leads to a gain of a factor $\tilde{\rho}$ for the solution to (1.16), which is an estimate one expects from dimensional analysis:

$$\|R\|_{C^0} \lesssim \tilde{\rho} \|U\|_{C^0}.$$

The gain of this smallness parameter $\tilde{\rho}$ allows us to obtain a C^0 estimate for R . Moreover, in this paper, we will prove that for items like $U = a(t, x)e^{i\tilde{\lambda}(f \cdot x - ct)}$, with $f \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, $\tilde{\lambda} > 0$, and $c \in C(\mathbb{R})$, we could obtain

$$\|R\|_{C^0} \lesssim \tilde{\lambda}^{-1} \|a\|_{C^0}.$$

This differs from the convex integration framework by using a global inverse divergence operator on \mathbb{T}^d , such as [22, 27], where only a C^α estimate with $\alpha > 0$ is typically achieved, often resulting in

$$\|R\|_{C^0} \leq \|R\|_{C^\alpha} \lesssim \tilde{\lambda}^{-1+\alpha} \|a\|_{C^\alpha}.$$

Such a loss $\tilde{\lambda}^\alpha$ is unacceptable in the proof of this paper, due to the choice of the parameters λ_q and δ_q in (2.3).

Therefore, based on [28] and our analysis in Section 1.3, we will extend the conclusions and apply them to the equations of elastic dynamics. Given any vector field U satisfying (1.17), how can we find solution of (5.5). We divide it into two steps:

- 1. Find a symmetric matrix R in the Eulerian coordinates by solving the symmetric divergence equation (5.2).
- 2. Find a symmetric matrix $\tilde{R}^{(u)} = JF^{-1}RF^{-T}$ in the Lagrangian coordinates which solves the asymmetric divergence equation (5.5).

In the following, we present two lemmas to solve the asymmetric divergence equation (5.5). Throughout this section, we will consistently use the notation $G = \nabla u$ and $F = \text{Id} + G = \nabla y$.

5.1. Solving the symmetric divergence equation. In this subsection, we will use the method from [28] to solve the symmetric equation (5.2). For the sake of completeness, we provide the proof here. Specifically, for the elastodynamic equations studied in this paper, the estimation of material derivatives is not required, allowing for a suitable simplification.

The following is a main result regarding compactly supported solutions to the symmetric divergence equation on \mathbb{R}^d for any $d \geq 2$:

$$\text{div } R = U. \quad (5.6)$$

Lemma 5.2 (Compactly supported solutions to the symmetric divergence equation). *Let $L \geq 1$ be a positive integer, $\|\cdot\|_N = \|\cdot\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q,i}; \mathbb{C}^N(\mathbb{R}^d))}$, and $\|\cdot\|_{C_l} = \|\cdot\|_{C^l(\mathcal{I}^{q,i}; \mathbb{R}^d)}$. We consider a smooth vector field $U \in C^\infty(\mathcal{I}^{q,i} \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ that satisfies*

$$\text{supp}_y U(t, \cdot) \subseteq B(y_0(t), \tilde{\mu}), \quad t \in \mathcal{I}^{q,i}, \quad (5.7)$$

for some $y_0 = y_0(t) \in C^\infty(\mathcal{I}^{q,i}; \mathbb{R}^d)$, and has zero linear and angular momentum, i.e.,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} U_i(t, y) \, dy = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (y_j U_l - y_l U_j)(t, y) \, dy = 0, \quad (5.8)$$

for $j, l = 1, \dots, d$ and all t . Assume also that for $A, \tilde{\lambda} > 0$, the vector field U obeys the estimates for $r = 0, 1, 2$,

$$\|\partial_t^r U\|_N \leq A \tilde{\lambda}^{N+r}, \quad 0 \leq N+r \leq L. \quad (5.9)$$

Then there exists a solution $R_{lj}[U]$, with $R_{jl}[U] = R_{lj}[U]$, $\forall j, l$, to the symmetric divergence equation (5.6), depending linearly on U , with the following properties:

1. The support of $R_{lj}[U]$ stays in the ball $B(y_0(t), \tilde{\mu})$ for all $t \in \mathcal{I}^{q,i}$, i.e.,

$$\text{supp}_y R_{lj}[U](t, \cdot) \subseteq B(y_0(t), \tilde{\mu}), \quad t \in \mathcal{I}^{q,i}. \quad (5.10)$$

2. The time support of $R_{lj}[U]$ is contained within the time support of U , i.e.,

$$\text{supp}_t R_{lj}[U] \subseteq \text{supp}_t U. \quad (5.11)$$

3. There exists $C_L = C_L(L) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_{lj}[U]\|_N &\leq C_L A \tilde{\mu} (\tilde{\mu}^{-1} + \tilde{\lambda})^N, & 0 \leq N \leq L, \\ \|\partial_t R_{lj}[U]\|_N &\leq C_L A \tilde{\mu} (\tilde{\lambda} + \tilde{\mu}^{-1} \|\partial_t y_0\|_{C_0^0}) (\tilde{\mu}^{-1} + \tilde{\lambda})^N, & 0 \leq N \leq L-1, \\ \|\partial_t^2 R_{lj}[U]\|_N &\leq C_L A \tilde{\mu} (\tilde{\lambda}^2 + \tilde{\mu}^{-1} \tilde{\lambda} \|\partial_t y_0\|_{C_0^0} + \tilde{\mu}^{-1} \|\partial_t^2 y_0\|_{C_0^0} + \tilde{\mu}^{-2} \|\partial_t y_0\|_{C_0^0}^2) (\tilde{\mu}^{-1} + \tilde{\lambda})^N, & 0 \leq N \leq L-2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

Remark 5.3. Note that the second term in condition (5.8) can actually be written as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (y \times U)(t, y) \, dy = 0.$$

For convenience, in the following text, we will use the above equality to replace these different equations.

Remark 5.4. This theorem should be compared with Theorem 10.1 in [28]. The major difference is that, since we are considering the elastic dynamic equation, which is a quasilinear wave equation, we need the estimation of time derivatives instead of material derivatives.

5.1.1. *Derivation of the solution operator.* The purpose of this subsection is to give a derivation of the solution operator $R_{ij}[U]$ for (5.6) in Lemma 5.2. In the following part, for simplicity, we consider $U = U(t, y)$ as a smooth vector field supported on some ball, i.e., $\text{supp}_y U(t, \cdot) \subseteq B(y_0, \tilde{\mu})$. Moreover, we will omit the time variable and work entirely on \mathbb{R}^d . Especially, we could only consider the case of $y_0 = 0$.

First, we will use the Fourier transform to expand $\widehat{U}_l(\xi)$ in a Taylor series around the frequency origin $\xi = 0$ in Fourier space. We will then express the terms of this Taylor expansion as the divergence of a symmetric tensor, with some terms evaluated at $\xi = 0$. By translating the resulting formula back to physical space, we will derive a solution operator that retains the desired support property in physical space, despite a mild singularity at 0.

We first compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{U}_l(\xi) &= \widehat{U}_l(0) + \left(\int_0^1 \partial_k \widehat{U}_l(\sigma \xi) \, d\sigma \right) \xi_k \\
&= \widehat{U}_l(0) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^1 (\partial_k \widehat{U}_l + \partial_l \widehat{U}_k)(\sigma \xi) \, d\sigma \right) \xi_k + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^1 (\partial_k \widehat{U}_l - \partial_l \widehat{U}_k)(\sigma \xi) \, d\sigma \right) \xi_k \\
&= \widehat{U}_l(0) + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_k \widehat{U}_l - \partial_l \widehat{U}_k)(0) \xi_k + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^1 (\partial_k \widehat{U}_l + \partial_l \widehat{U}_k)(\sigma \xi) \, d\sigma \right) \xi_k \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^1 (1 - \sigma) (\partial_j \partial_k \widehat{U}_l - \partial_j \partial_l \widehat{U}_k)(\sigma \xi) \, d\sigma \right) \xi_j \xi_k \\
&= \widehat{U}_l(0) + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_k \widehat{U}_l - \partial_l \widehat{U}_k)(0) \xi_k + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^1 (\partial_k \widehat{U}_l + \partial_l \widehat{U}_k)(\sigma \xi) \, d\sigma \right) \xi_k \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^1 (1 - \sigma) \xi_k (\partial_j \partial_k \widehat{U}_l + \partial_l \partial_k \widehat{U}_j)(\sigma \xi) \, d\sigma \right) \xi_j - \left(\int_0^1 (1 - \sigma) \xi_k (\partial_l \partial_j \widehat{U}_k)(\sigma \xi) \, d\sigma \right) \xi_j.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the right-hand side is (formally) the Fourier transform of a divergence of a symmetric tensor. By using (5.7) and (5.8), we have

$$\widehat{U}_l(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} U_l(y) \, dy = 0, \quad \left(\frac{1}{i} \partial_k \widehat{U}_l - \frac{1}{i} \partial_l \widehat{U}_k \right)(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (y_l U_k - y_k U_l)(y) \, dy = 0, \quad (5.13)$$

and the following formula for $\widehat{U}_l(\xi)$ holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{U}_l(\xi) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^1 (\partial_j \widehat{U}_l + \partial_l \widehat{U}_j)(\sigma \xi) \, d\sigma \right) \xi_j + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^1 (1 - \sigma) \xi_k (\partial_j \partial_k \widehat{U}_l + \partial_l \partial_k \widehat{U}_j)(\sigma \xi) \, d\sigma \right) \xi_j \\
&\quad - \left(\int_0^1 (1 - \sigma) \xi_k (\partial_l \partial_j \widehat{U}_k)(\sigma \xi) \, d\sigma \right) \xi_j.
\end{aligned}$$

Up to now, we could define $r_{ij}[U] := r_{ij}^{(0)}[U] + r_{ij}^{(1)}[U] + r_{ij}^{(2)}[U]$, where

$$r_{ij}^{(0)}[U] = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{i} \partial_j \widehat{U}_l + \frac{1}{i} \partial_l \widehat{U}_j \right) (\sigma \xi) \, d\sigma \right) \right], \quad (5.14)$$

$$r_{ij}^{(1)}[U] = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^1 (1 - \sigma) (i \xi_k) (-\partial_j \partial_k \widehat{U}_l - \partial_l \partial_k \widehat{U}_j) (\sigma \xi) \, d\sigma \right) \right], \quad (5.15)$$

$$r_{ij}^{(2)}[U] = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[- \left(\int_0^1 (1 - \sigma) (i \xi_k) (-\partial_j \partial_l \widehat{U}_k) (\sigma \xi) \, d\sigma \right) \right]. \quad (5.16)$$

Computing the inverse Fourier transform, we could give the following formula

$$r_{ij}^{(0)}[U] = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{y_j}{\sigma} U_l \left(\frac{y}{\sigma} \right) + \frac{y_l}{\sigma} U_j \left(\frac{y}{\sigma} \right) \right) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma^d}, \quad (5.17)$$

$$r_{ij}^{(1)}[U] = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} \int_0^1 (1 - \sigma) \left(\frac{y_l y_k}{\sigma^2} U_l \left(\frac{y}{\sigma} \right) + \frac{y_l y_k}{\sigma^2} U_j \left(\frac{y}{\sigma} \right) \right) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma^d}, \quad (5.18)$$

$$r_{ij}^{(2)}[U] = -\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} \int_0^1 (1 - \sigma) \left(\frac{y_l y_j}{\sigma^2} U_k \left(\frac{y}{\sigma} \right) \right) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma^d}. \quad (5.19)$$

In fact, given a test function $\varphi \in C_c^\infty$, we could define

$$\langle r_{lj}^{(0)}[U], \varphi \rangle := - \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2} \int_\delta^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{y_j}{\sigma} U_l \left(\frac{y}{\sigma} \right) + \frac{y_l}{\sigma} U_j \left(\frac{y}{\sigma} \right) \right) \varphi(y) dy \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma^d}, \quad (5.20)$$

$$\langle r_{lj}^{(1)}[U], \varphi \rangle := - \frac{1}{2} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \int_\delta^1 (1 - \sigma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{y_j y_k}{\sigma^2} U_l \left(\frac{y}{\sigma} \right) + \frac{y_l y_k}{\sigma^2} U_j \left(\frac{y}{\sigma} \right) \right) \partial_k \varphi(y) dy \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma^d}, \quad (5.21)$$

$$\langle r_{lj}^{(2)}[U], \varphi \rangle := \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \int_\delta^1 (1 - \sigma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{y_l y_j}{\sigma^2} U_k \left(\frac{y}{\sigma} \right) \right) \partial_k \varphi(y) dy \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma^d}. \quad (5.22)$$

These are well-defined tempered distributions on \mathbb{R}^d , which satisfy

$$\left| \langle r_{lj}^{(0)}[U], \varphi \rangle \right| \leq C_{U, \tilde{\mu}} \|\varphi\|_{C_y^0}, \quad \left| \langle r_{lj}^{(1)}[U], \varphi \rangle \right| + \left| \langle r_{lj}^{(2)}[U], \varphi \rangle \right| \leq C_{U, \tilde{\mu}} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{C_y^0}.$$

Therefore, for $a = 0, 1, 2$, the values of $r_{lj}^{(a)}[U]$ at a point $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ are formally given as weighted integrals of U and ∇U along the ray emanating from y away from the origin. They satisfy the support property

$$\text{supp}_y r_{lj} \subseteq B(0, \tilde{\mu}), \quad (5.23)$$

and are smooth outside $\{y = 0\}$. Moreover, a straightforward computation with distributions shows that

$$\partial_j r_{lj}[U] = U_l - \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} U_l(y) dy \right) \delta_0 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (y_l U_j - y_j U_l)(y) dy \right) \partial_j \delta_0 = U_l. \quad (5.24)$$

Thus, under the assumption (5.7) and (5.8), we could achieve that $r_{lj}[U]$ is a distributional solution to (5.6) satisfying (5.23).

Unfortunately, $r_{lj}[U]$ as defined above appears to have a singularity at $y = 0$. To address this issue, we will employ the method described in [28] which exploits translation invariance of (5.6). Specifically, we will conjugate $r_{lj}[U]$ by translations and then take a smooth average of the resulting expressions.

Given $\tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we conjugate the operators $r_{lj}^{(0)}$, $r_{lj}^{(1)}$ and $r_{lj}^{(2)}$ by translation by \tilde{y} . Then we are led to the conjugated operator ${}^{(\tilde{y})}r_{lj} = {}^{(\tilde{y})}r_{lj}^{(0)} + {}^{(\tilde{y})}r_{lj}^{(1)} + {}^{(\tilde{y})}r_{lj}^{(2)}$, which is formally defined by

$${}^{(\tilde{y})}r_{lj}^{(0)}[U] = - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{(y - \tilde{y})_j}{\sigma} U_l \left(\frac{y - \tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) + \frac{(y - \tilde{y})_l}{\sigma} U_j \left(\frac{y - \tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma^d}, \quad (5.25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(\tilde{y})}r_{lj}^{(1)}[U] &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} \int_0^1 (1 - \sigma) \frac{(y - \tilde{y})_j (y - \tilde{y})_k}{\sigma^2} U_l \left(\frac{y - \tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma^d} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} \int_0^1 (1 - \sigma) \frac{(y - \tilde{y})_l (y - \tilde{y})_k}{\sigma^2} U_j \left(\frac{y - \tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma^d}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

$${}^{(\tilde{y})}r_{lj}^{(2)}[U] = - \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} \int_0^1 (1 - \sigma) \frac{(y - \tilde{y})_l (y - \tilde{y})_j}{\sigma^2} U_k \left(\frac{y - \tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma^d}. \quad (5.27)$$

These are to be interpreted as in (5.20)–(5.22) as distributions. The distribution ${}^{(\tilde{y})}r_{lj}[U]$ satisfies the desired support property (5.23) as long as $\tilde{y} \in B(0, \tilde{\mu})$. Motivated by this consideration, we could take a smooth function $\zeta(\tilde{y})$ which is supported in $B(0, \tilde{\mu})$ and satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta(\tilde{y}) d\tilde{y} = 1$. Up to now, we could define the solution operator ${}^{(\zeta)}\bar{R}_{lj}[U]$ by averaging ${}^{(\tilde{y})}r_{lj}[U]$ against ζ , i.e.,

$${}^{(\zeta)}\bar{R}_{lj}[U](y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} {}^{(\tilde{y})}r_{lj}(y) \zeta(\tilde{y}) d\tilde{y}. \quad (5.28)$$

From the discussion above, we see that ${}^{(\zeta)}\bar{R}_{lj}[U]$ inherits the desirable properties of $r_{lj}[U]$. Indeed, assuming (5.7) and (5.8), ${}^{(\zeta)}\bar{R}_{lj}[U]$ is a (distributional) solution to (5.6) satisfying the support property

$$\text{supp}_y {}^{(\zeta)}\bar{R}_{lj}[U] \subseteq B(0, \tilde{\mu}). \quad (5.29)$$

Thanks to averaging with respect to ζ , ${}^{(\zeta)}\bar{R}_{lj}[U]$ will moreover turn out to be smooth in the spatial variables provided U is smooth as well.

5.1.2. *Formula for $R_{lj}[U]$ and basic properties.* Let U be a vector field satisfying the hypotheses in Lemma 5.2. We shall define a function $\zeta = \zeta(y)$ satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta(y) dy = 1, \quad (5.30)$$

and the support property

$$\text{supp}_y \zeta \subseteq B(0, \tilde{\mu}). \quad (5.31)$$

Moreover, the following estimates hold for ζ :

$$\|\nabla_y^\beta \zeta\|_{C^0} \leq C_\beta \tilde{\mu}^{-d-|\beta|} \quad \text{for all } |\beta| \geq 0. \quad (5.32)$$

Let $\zeta^{y_0}(y) = \zeta(y - y_0)$, we are now ready to define the solution operator $R_{lj}[U]$. Let $R_{lj}[U] := R_{lj}^{(0)}[U] + R_{lj}^{(1)}[U] + R_{lj}^{(2)}[U]$, where

$$\begin{aligned} R_{lj}^{(0)}[U] &= -\frac{d}{2} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta^{y_0}(\tilde{y}) \frac{(y-\tilde{y})_j}{\sigma} U_l \left(t, \frac{y-\tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\tilde{y}}{\sigma^d} d\sigma \\ &\quad - \frac{d}{2} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta^{y_0}(\tilde{y}) \frac{(y-\tilde{y})_l}{\sigma} U_j \left(t, \frac{y-\tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\tilde{y}}{\sigma^d} d\sigma, \end{aligned} \quad (5.33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{lj}^{(1)}[U] &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_k \zeta^{y_0})(\tilde{y}) \frac{(y-\tilde{y})_j (y-\tilde{y})_k}{\sigma^2} U_l \left(t, \frac{y-\tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\tilde{y}}{\sigma^d} d\sigma \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_k \zeta^{y_0})(\tilde{y}) \frac{(y-\tilde{y})_l (y-\tilde{y})_k}{\sigma^2} U_j \left(t, \frac{y-\tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\tilde{y}}{\sigma^d} d\sigma, \end{aligned} \quad (5.34)$$

$$R_{lj}^{(2)}[U] = - \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_k \zeta^{y_0})(\tilde{y}) \frac{(y-\tilde{y})_j (y-\tilde{y})_l}{\sigma^2} U_k \left(t, \frac{y-\tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\tilde{y}}{\sigma^d} d\sigma. \quad (5.35)$$

The following Proposition summarizes the basic properties of the operator $R_{lj}[U]$.

Proposition 5.5. *Let U be a vector field on $I^{q,i} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying the hypotheses (5.7) and (5.8) of Lemma 5.2. Define $R_{lj}[U] := R_{lj}^{(0)}[U] + R_{lj}^{(1)}[U] + R_{lj}^{(2)}[U]$ by (5.33)–(5.35). Then $R_{lj}[U]$ possesses the following properties:*

1. $R_{lj}[U]$ is symmetric in j, l and depends linearly on U .
2. $R_{lj}[U]$ solves the symmetric divergence equation, i.e.,

$$\partial_j R_{lj}[U] = U_l.$$

3. $R_{lj}[U]$ has the support property

$$\text{supp}_y R_{lj}[U](t, \cdot) \subseteq B(y_0(t), \tilde{\mu}), \quad t \in I^{q,i}. \quad (5.36)$$

4. The time support of $R_{lj}[U]$ is contained within the time support of U , i.e.,

$$\text{supp}_t R_{lj}[U] \subseteq \text{supp}_t U. \quad (5.37)$$

5. The following differentiation formulae hold for $R_{lj}^{(a)}[U]$ ($a = 0, 1, 2$):

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^\alpha \nabla^\beta R_{lj}^{(0)}[U] &= -\frac{d}{2} \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} \sum_{r_1 + r_2 = r} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_t^{r_1} \nabla^{\beta_1} \zeta^{y_0})(\tilde{y}) \frac{(y-\tilde{y})_j}{\sigma} (\partial_t^{r_2} \nabla^{\beta_2} U_l) \left(t, \frac{y-\tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\tilde{y}}{\sigma^d} d\sigma \\ &\quad - \frac{d}{2} \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} \sum_{r_1 + r_2 = r} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_t^{r_1} \nabla^{\beta_1} \zeta^{y_0})(\tilde{y}) \frac{(y-\tilde{y})_l}{\sigma} (\partial_t^{r_2} \nabla^{\beta_2} U_j) \left(t, \frac{y-\tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\tilde{y}}{\sigma^d} d\sigma, \end{aligned} \quad (5.38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^\alpha \nabla^\beta R_{lj}^{(1)}[U] &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} \sum_{r_1 + r_2 = r} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_t^{r_1} \nabla^{\beta_1} \partial_k \zeta^{y_0})(\tilde{y}) \frac{(y-\tilde{y})_j (y-\tilde{y})_k}{\sigma^2} (\partial_t^{r_2} \nabla^{\beta_2} U_l) \left(t, \frac{y-\tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\tilde{y}}{\sigma^d} d\sigma \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} \sum_{r_1 + r_2 = r} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_t^{r_1} \nabla^{\beta_1} \partial_k \zeta^{y_0})(\tilde{y}) \frac{(y-\tilde{y})_l (y-\tilde{y})_k}{\sigma^2} (\partial_t^{r_2} \nabla^{\beta_2} U_j) \left(t, \frac{y-\tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\tilde{y}}{\sigma^d} d\sigma, \end{aligned} \quad (5.39)$$

$$\partial_t^\alpha \nabla^\beta R_{lj}^{(2)}[U] = - \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} \sum_{r_1 + r_2 = r} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_t^{r_1} \nabla^{\beta_1} \partial_k \zeta^{y_0})(\tilde{y}) \frac{(y-\tilde{y})_j (y-\tilde{y})_l}{\sigma^2} (\partial_t^{r_2} \nabla^{\beta_2} U_k) \left(t, \frac{y-\tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\tilde{y}}{\sigma^d} d\sigma. \quad (5.40)$$

where the summations are over all pairs of multi-indices such that $\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta$ and $0 \leq |\beta| + r \leq L$.

Proof. The symmetry in j and l , the linear dependence on U , and the support properties (5.36) and (5.37) are evident from the definitions (5.33)–(5.35). Next, we will prove the differentiation formulae (5.38)–(5.40).

To justify the various calculations to follow, such as differentiating under the integral sign, we will use the following lemma, the proof of which will be provided in Lemma 5.7:

Lemma 5.6. *Given $\tilde{\mu} > 0$, $y_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let $\tilde{\zeta}$ be a non-negative smooth function with $\text{supp}_y \tilde{\zeta} \subseteq B(y_1, \tilde{\mu})$ such that*

$$\|\tilde{\zeta}\|_{C_y^0} \leq \tilde{C}\tilde{\mu}^{-d}, \quad (5.41)$$

for some $\tilde{C} > 0$. Then for any $k \geq 0$ and $f \in L_y^\infty$ supported in $B(y_1, \tilde{\mu})$, we have

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \sigma \in [0, 1]} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{\zeta}(\tilde{y}) \left(\frac{|y - \tilde{y}|}{\sigma} \right)^k f \left(\frac{y - \tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\tilde{y}}{\sigma^d} \right| \leq C_k \tilde{C} \tilde{\mu}^k \|f\|_{L_x^\infty}, \quad (5.42)$$

for some constant $C_k > 0$.

In order to get (5.38), we should only prove the case $|\beta| = 1$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{y_m} R_{lj}^{(0)}[U](t, y) &= -\frac{d}{2} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_m \zeta^{y_0})(\tilde{y}) \frac{(y - \tilde{y})_j}{\sigma} U_l \left(t, \frac{y - \tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\tilde{y}}{\sigma^d} d\sigma \\ &\quad - \frac{d}{2} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta^{y_0}(\tilde{y}) \frac{(y - \tilde{y})_j}{\sigma} (\partial_m U_l) \left(t, \frac{y - \tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\tilde{y}}{\sigma^d} d\sigma \\ &\quad + (\text{Symmetric terms in } j, l). \end{aligned} \quad (5.43)$$

The case of $|\beta| > 1$ will follow from an induction argument, using similar ideas. To prove (5.43), we first proceed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_m} R_{lj}^{(0)}[U](t, y) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z_m} R_{lj}^{(0)}[U](t, y + z) \Big|_{z=0} \\ &= -\frac{d}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_m} \Big|_{z=0} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta^{y_0}(\tilde{y}) \frac{(y + z - \tilde{y})_j}{\sigma} U_l \left(t, \frac{y + z - \tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\tilde{y}}{\sigma^d} d\sigma \\ &\quad - \frac{d}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_m} \Big|_{z=0} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta^{y_0}(\tilde{y}) \frac{(y + z - \tilde{y})_l}{\sigma} U_j \left(t, \frac{y + z - \tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\tilde{y}}{\sigma^d} d\sigma \\ &= -\frac{d}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_m} \Big|_{z=0} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta^{y_0}(\bar{y} + z) \frac{(x - \bar{y})_j}{\sigma} U_l \left(t, \frac{y - \bar{y}}{\sigma} + \bar{y} + z \right) \frac{d\bar{y}}{\sigma^d} d\sigma \\ &\quad - \frac{d}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_m} \Big|_{z=0} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta^{y_0}(\bar{y} + z) \frac{(y - \bar{y})_l}{\sigma} U_j \left(t, \frac{y - \bar{y}}{\sigma} + \bar{y} + z \right) \frac{d\bar{y}}{\sigma^d} d\sigma, \end{aligned} \quad (5.44)$$

where we obtain the last equality by making the change of variable $\bar{y} = \tilde{y} - z$.

Next, we differentiate under the integral sign, which is justified by (5.31), (5.32), Lemma 5.6 and the smoothness of U , we get the desired formula (5.43). The time differentiation is straightforward, and thus we obtain (5.38). The proofs of (5.39) and (5.40) are similar and thus omitted.

Now, it only remains to prove that $R_{lj}[U]$ is a (distributional) solution to (5.6) under the assumptions (5.7) and (5.8). For this purpose, it suffices to show that

$$R_{lj}[U](t, y) = (\zeta^{y_0}(\cdot)) \bar{R}_{lj}[U(t, \cdot)](y).$$

To arrive at the formulae (5.33)–(5.35), we need to integrate by parts the derivative ∂_k on the outside of (5.26) and (5.27) after averaging against $\zeta^{y_0}(\tilde{y})$. More precisely, consider the expression

$$\bar{R}_{lj}^{(2)}[U](t, y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta^{y_0}(\tilde{y}) r_{lj}^{(2)}[U(t, \cdot)](y) d\tilde{y}.$$

Using (5.31), (5.32), Lemma 5.6 and the differentiation formulae that we established, it is not difficult to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{R}_{lj}^{(2)}[U](t, y) &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1-\sigma) \zeta^{y_0}(\tilde{y}) \frac{(y-\tilde{y})_l (y-\tilde{y})_j}{\sigma^2} U_k \left(t, \frac{y-\tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\tilde{y}}{\sigma^d} d\sigma \\
&= -\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1-\sigma) (\partial_k \zeta^{y_0})(\tilde{y}) \frac{(y-\tilde{y})_l (y-\tilde{y})_j}{\sigma^2} U_k \left(t, \frac{y-\tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\tilde{y}}{\sigma^d} d\sigma \\
&\quad - \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1-\sigma) \zeta^{y_0}(\tilde{y}) \frac{(y-\tilde{y})_l (y-\tilde{y})_j}{\sigma^2} (\partial_k U_k) \left(t, \frac{y-\tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\tilde{y}}{\sigma^d} d\sigma.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.45}$$

Moreover, we could use

$$(\partial_k U_k) \left(t, \frac{y-\tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) = -\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}_k} \left[U_k \left(t, \frac{y-\tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \right],$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{R}_{lj}^{(2)}[U](t, y) &= -\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_k \zeta^{y_0})(\tilde{y}) \frac{(y-\tilde{y})_l (y-\tilde{y})_j}{\sigma^2} U_k \left(t, \frac{y-\tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\tilde{y}}{\sigma^d} d\sigma \\
&\quad + \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta^{y_0}(\tilde{y}) \frac{(y-\tilde{y})_l}{\sigma} U_j \left(t, \frac{y-\tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\tilde{y}}{\sigma^d} d\sigma \\
&\quad + \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta^{y_0}(\tilde{y}) \frac{(y-\tilde{y})_j}{\sigma} U_l \left(t, \frac{y-\tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\tilde{y}}{\sigma^d} d\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{R}_{lj}^{(1)}[U](t, y) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta^{y_0}(\tilde{y})^{(\tilde{y})} r_{lj}^{(1)}[U(t, \cdot)](y) d\tilde{y} \\
&= \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_k \zeta^{y_0})(\tilde{y}) \frac{(y-\tilde{y})_j (y-\tilde{y})_k}{\sigma^2} U_l \left(t, \frac{y-\tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\tilde{y}}{\sigma^d} d\sigma \\
&\quad - \frac{d+1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta^{y_0}(\tilde{y}) \frac{(y-\tilde{y})_l}{\sigma} U_j \left(t, \frac{y-\tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\tilde{y}}{\sigma^d} d\sigma \\
&\quad + (\text{Symmetric terms in } j, l),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{R}_{lj}^{(0)}[U](t, y) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta^{y_0}(\tilde{y})^{(\tilde{y})} r_{lj}^{(0)}[U(t, \cdot)](y) d\tilde{y} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta^{y_0}(\tilde{y}) \frac{(y-\tilde{y})_l}{\sigma} U_j \left(t, \frac{y-\tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\tilde{y}}{\sigma^d} d\sigma \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta^{y_0}(\tilde{y}) \frac{(y-\tilde{y})_j}{\sigma} U_l \left(t, \frac{y-\tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\tilde{y}}{\sigma^d} d\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

It therefore follows that

$$(\zeta^{y_0(\cdot)}) \overline{R}_{lj}[U](t, y) = \overline{R}_{lj}^{(0)}[U](t, y) + \overline{R}_{lj}^{(1)}[U](t, y) + \overline{R}_{lj}^{(2)}[U](t, y) = R_{lj}[U](t, y). \quad \square$$

5.1.3. *Estimates for the solution operator and proof of Lemma 5.2.* In this subsection, we derive a key technical lemma (Lemma 5.7) that provides L^∞ estimates for the operator $R_{lj}[U]$ (Lemma 5.8). Finally, we apply the results obtained to prove Lemma 5.2.

Lemma 5.7. *Given $\tilde{\mu} > 0, y_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let $\tilde{\zeta}$ be a non-negative smooth function with $\text{supp}_y \tilde{\zeta} \subseteq B(y_1, \tilde{\mu})$ such that*

$$\|\tilde{\zeta}\|_{C_y^0} \leq \tilde{C} \tilde{\mu}^{-d}, \tag{5.46}$$

for some $\tilde{C} > 0$. Then the following statements hold:

1. For any $k \geq 0$ and $f \in L_x^\infty$ supported in $B(y_1, \tilde{\mu})$, we have

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \sigma \in [0, 1]} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{\zeta}(\tilde{y}) \left(\frac{|y-\tilde{y}|}{\sigma} \right)^k f \left(\frac{y-\tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\tilde{y}}{\sigma^d} \right| \leq C_k \tilde{C} \tilde{\mu}^k \|f\|_{L_y^\infty}. \tag{5.47}$$

2. Moreover for any $k \geq 0$ and $f \in L_y^\infty$ supported in $B(y_1, \tilde{\mu})$, we have

$$\left\| \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{\zeta}(\tilde{y}) \left(\frac{|y - \tilde{y}|}{\sigma} \right)^k f \left(\frac{y - \tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\tilde{y}}{\sigma^d} d\sigma \right\|_{L_y^\infty} \leq C_k \tilde{C} \tilde{\mu}^k \|f\|_{L_y^\infty}. \quad (5.48)$$

Proof. It is evident that (5.48) can be derived from (5.47). We start by reducing (5.47) to the case $k = 0$. Assuming that the case $k = 0$ holds for (5.47), we can then apply the triangle inequality,

$$\frac{|y - \tilde{y}|}{\sigma} \leq \left| \frac{y - \tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} - y_0 \right| + |\tilde{y} - y_0|.$$

Observe that within the integral, the first and second terms on the right-hand side are each $\leq \tilde{\mu}$, due to the support properties of f and $\tilde{\zeta}$, respectively. This implies

$$\left(\frac{|y - \tilde{y}|}{\sigma} \right)^k \leq 2^k \tilde{\mu}^k,$$

which implies the $k > 0$ case of (5.47) and (5.48), respectively.

Next, we could prove (5.49) in the case $k = 0$. We first calculate

$$\sup_y \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{\zeta}(\tilde{y}) f \left(\frac{y - \tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\tilde{y}}{\sigma^d} \right| \leq C \tilde{C} \sigma^{-d} \|f\|_{L_y^\infty}. \quad (5.49)$$

Note that this estimate becomes less effective as $\sigma \rightarrow 0$. However, by making the change of variables $z = \frac{y - \tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y}$, we have

$$\sup_y \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{\zeta}(\tilde{y}) f \left(\frac{y - \tilde{y}}{\sigma} + \tilde{y} \right) \frac{d\tilde{y}}{\sigma^d} \right| = \sup_y \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{\zeta} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \sigma} y - \frac{\sigma}{1 - \sigma} z \right) f(z) \frac{dz}{(1 - \sigma)^d} \right| \leq C \tilde{C} (1 - \sigma)^{-d} \|f\|_{L_y^\infty}. \quad (5.50)$$

Combining (5.49) and (5.50), we obtain (5.47). \square

As a consequence of the previous lemma and the differentiation formulae (5.38)–(5.40), we obtain the following 0 estimates for R_{ij} and the commutator between ∇^β and r_{ij} .

Lemma 5.8 (C^0 bounds for R_{ij}). *Let U be a smooth vector field on $\mathcal{I}^{q,i} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying the hypotheses (5.7) and (5.8) in Lemma 5.2. Define $R_{ij}[U] := R_{ij}^{(0)}[U] + R_{ij}^{(1)}[U] + R_{ij}^{(2)}[U]$ by (5.33)–(5.35). Then we have*

$$\|R_{ij}[U]\|_0 \leq C \tilde{\mu} \|U\|_0. \quad (5.51)$$

Lemma 5.9 (Commutator between ∇^β and R_{ij}). *Let U_1 and $R_{ij}[U]$ be as in the hypotheses of Lemma 5.8. Then for every multi-index β , we have*

$$\|[\nabla^\beta, R_{ij}][U]\|_0 \leq C_\beta \tilde{\mu} \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta, \beta_2 \neq \beta} \tilde{\mu}^{-|\beta_1|} \|\nabla^{\beta_2} U\|_0. \quad (5.52)$$

These lemmas follow directly from applying Lemma 5.7 to the differentiation formulae (5.38)–(5.40), taking into consideration the properties (5.31) and (5.32) of ζ^{y_0} .

Proof of Lemma 5.2. Considering Proposition 5.5 and Lemma 5.6–5.9, it remains to establish estimate (5.12). By using (5.38)–(5.40), and (5.48), we have for $r = 0, 1, 2$ and $0 \leq N + r \leq L$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_{ij}[U]\|_N &\lesssim_L \sum_{N_1 + N_2 = N} (\tilde{\mu} \|\zeta^{y_0}\|_{N_1} + \tilde{\mu}^2 \|\zeta^{y_0}\|_{N_1+1}) \|U\|_{N_2} \lesssim_L A \tilde{\mu} \sum_{m=0}^N \tilde{\mu}^{-N+m} \tilde{\lambda}^{(m)} \lesssim_L A \tilde{\mu} (\tilde{\mu}^{-1} + \tilde{\lambda})^N, \\ \|\partial_t R_{ij}[U]\|_N &\lesssim_L \sum_{N_1 + N_2 = N} (\tilde{\mu} \|\partial_t \zeta^{y_0}\|_{N_1} + \tilde{\mu}^2 \|\partial_t \zeta^{y_0}\|_{N_1+1}) \|U\|_{N_2} + \sum_{N_1 + N_2 = N} (\tilde{\mu} \|\zeta^{y_0}\|_{N_1} + \tilde{\mu}^2 \|\zeta^{y_0}\|_{N_1+1}) \|\partial_t U\|_{N_2} \\ &\lesssim_L \tilde{\mu} \sum_{N_1 + N_2 = N} \tilde{\mu}^{-N_1-1} \|\partial_t y_0\|_{C_t^0} A \tilde{\lambda}^{N_2} + \tilde{\mu} \sum_{N_1 + N_2 = N} \tilde{\mu}^{-N_1} A \tilde{\lambda}^{N_2+1} \\ &\lesssim_L A \tilde{\mu} (\tilde{\lambda} + \tilde{\mu}^{-1} \|\partial_t y_0\|_{C_t^0}) \sum_{m=0}^N \tilde{\mu}^{-N+m} \tilde{\lambda}^{(m)} \\ &\lesssim_L A \tilde{\mu} (\tilde{\lambda} + \tilde{\mu}^{-1} \|\partial_t y_0\|_{C_t^0}) (\tilde{\mu}^{-1} + \tilde{\lambda})^N, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_t^2 R_{ij}[U]\|_N &\lesssim_L \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2=2} (\tilde{\mu}\|\partial_t^{r_1}\zeta^{y_0}\|_{N_1} + \tilde{\mu}^2\|\partial_t^{r_1}\zeta^{y_0}\|_{M_1+1})\|\partial_t^{r_2}U\|_{N_2} \\
&\lesssim_L \tilde{\mu} \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \tilde{\mu}^{-N_1-1}\|\partial_t^2 y_0\|_{C_t^0} A\tilde{\lambda}^{N_2} + \tilde{\mu} \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \tilde{\mu}^{-N_1-2}\|\partial_t y_0\|_{C_t^0}^2 A\tilde{\lambda}^{N_2} \\
&\quad + \tilde{\mu} \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \tilde{\mu}^{-N_1-1}\|\partial_t y_0\|_{C_t^0} A\tilde{\lambda}^{N_2+1} + \tilde{\mu} \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \tilde{\mu}^{-N_1} A\tilde{\lambda}^{N_2+2} \\
&\lesssim_L A\tilde{\mu}(\tilde{\lambda}^2 + \tilde{\mu}^{-1}\tilde{\lambda}\|\partial_t y_0\|_{C_t^0} + \tilde{\mu}^{-1}\|\partial_t^2 y_0\|_{C_t^0} + \tilde{\mu}^{-2}\|\partial_t y_0\|_{C_t^0}^2) \sum_{m=0}^N \tilde{\mu}^{-N+m}\tilde{\lambda}^{(m)} \\
&\lesssim_L A\tilde{\mu}(\tilde{\lambda}^2 + \tilde{\mu}^{-1}\tilde{\lambda}\|\partial_t y_0\|_{C_t^0} + \tilde{\mu}^{-1}\|\partial_t^2 y_0\|_{C_t^0} + \tilde{\mu}^{-2}\|\partial_t y_0\|_{C_t^0}^2)(\tilde{\mu}^{-1} + \tilde{\lambda})^N,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$\partial_t \zeta^{y_0}(y) = -(\partial_t y_0 \cdot \nabla)\zeta^{y_0}, \quad \partial_t^2 \zeta^{y_0}(y) = -(\partial_t^2 y_0 \cdot \nabla)\zeta^{y_0} + (\partial_t y_0)^\top \nabla^2 \zeta^{y_0}(\partial_t y_0). \quad \square$$

5.2. Solving the asymmetric divergence equation. In this subsection, we will use the conclusion in Theorem 5.2 to solve the asymmetric equation (5.5). The following is a main result regarding compactly supported solutions to the asymmetric divergence equation on \mathbb{R}^d for any $d \geq 2$:

$$\operatorname{div}(F\tilde{R}^{(u)}) = U. \quad (5.53)$$

Proposition 5.10 (Compactly supported solutions to the asymmetric divergence equation). *Let $L \geq 1$ be a positive integer and $\|\cdot\|_N = \|\cdot\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q,i}; C^N(\mathbb{R}^d))}$. Assume also that*

$$A > 0, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_u > 0, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \ll 1,$$

for a smooth deformation function $y(t, x) = x + u(t, x) \in C^\infty(\mathcal{I}^{q,i} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ with $F = \nabla_x y$ and

$$\|u\|_0, \|\nabla u\|_0, \|\partial_t u\|_0 \leq \varepsilon < \frac{1}{100(d+1)}, \quad \|\det(\operatorname{Id} + \nabla u)\|_0 \leq 1 + (d+1)\varepsilon, \quad (5.54)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r u\|_N \leq \varepsilon \tilde{\lambda}_u^{N+r-1}, \quad N+r=2, \dots, L+2. \quad (5.55)$$

We consider a smooth vector field $U \in C^\infty(\mathcal{I}^{q,i} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ which satisfies

$$\operatorname{supp}_{t,x} U(t, \cdot) \subseteq B(x_0, \tilde{\mu}), \quad \forall t \in \mathcal{I}^{q,i}, \quad (5.56)$$

for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and has zero linear and angular momentum in Lagrangian coordinates, i.e., for $j, l = 1, \dots, d$ and all t ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} U_l(t, x) dx = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (y_j U_l - y_l U_j)(t, x) dx = 0. \quad (5.57)$$

Moreover, we assume that the vector field U obeys the estimates for some constant $\tilde{\lambda}_U > 0$ and $r = 0, 1, 2$,

$$\|\partial_t^r U\|_N \leq A \tilde{\lambda}_U^{N+r}, \quad 0 \leq N+r \leq L. \quad (5.58)$$

There exists a solution $\tilde{R}_{ij}^{(u)}[U]$ to the asymmetric divergence equation (5.53), depending linearly on U , with the following properties:

1. The support of $\tilde{R}_{ij}^{(u)}[U]$ stays in the ball $B(x_0, (1+5\varepsilon)\tilde{\mu})$ for all $t \in \mathcal{I}^{q,i}$, i.e.,

$$\operatorname{supp}_x \tilde{R}_{ij}^{(u)}[U](t, \cdot) \subseteq B(x_0, (1+5\varepsilon)\tilde{\mu}), \quad t \in \mathcal{I}^{q,i}. \quad (5.59)$$

2. The time support of $\tilde{R}_{ij}^{(u)}[U]$ is contained within the time support of U , i.e.,

$$\operatorname{supp}_t \tilde{R}_{ij}^{(u)}[U] \subseteq \operatorname{supp}_t U. \quad (5.60)$$

3. There exists constant $C_L^* = C_L^*(L)$ such that for $r = 0, 1, 2$,

$$\|\partial_t^r \tilde{R}_{ij}^{(u)}[U]\|_N \leq C_L^* A \tilde{\mu} \left(\max\{\varepsilon \tilde{\mu}^{-1}, \tilde{\lambda}_U, \tilde{\lambda}_u\} \right)^r \left(\max\{\tilde{\mu}^{-1}, \tilde{\lambda}_U, \tilde{\lambda}_u\} \right)^N, \quad 0 \leq N \leq L-r. \quad (5.61)$$

Remark 5.11. Similar to Remark 5.3, the second term in condition (5.57) can actually be written as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (y \times U)(t, x) dx = 0.$$

Proof. Note that ∇y is an invertible matrix for $(t, x) \in \mathcal{I}^{q,i} \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $X = X(t, y)$ be the inverse of y such that $y(t, X(t, \tilde{y})) = \tilde{y}$ and $X(t, y(t, \tilde{x})) = \tilde{x}$ for $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then, we could define $U^*(t, y) = U(t, X(t, y))$ and $\rho^*(t, y) = (\det(\nabla y))^{-1}(t, X(t, y))$.

Similar to (5.1), we could use (5.56) and (5.57) to obtain that $\rho^* U^*$ satisfies (5.8), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\rho^* U_l^*)(t, y) dy \stackrel{y=y(t,x)}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} U_l(t, x) dx &= 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\rho^* (y_j U_l^* - y_l U_j^*))(t, y) dy \stackrel{y=y(t,x)}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (y_j U_l - y_l U_j)(t, x) dx &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that for any $\tilde{x} \in \text{supp}_x U(t, \cdot) \subseteq B(x_0, \tilde{\mu})$, $t \in \mathcal{I}^{q,i}$, and $y_0 = y(t, x_0)$, we have

$$|y(t, \tilde{x}) - y_0| = |y(t, \tilde{x}) - y(t, x_0)| \leq |u(t, \tilde{x}) - u(t, x_0)| + |\tilde{x} - x_0| \leq (1 + \|\nabla u\|_0) |\tilde{x} - x_0| \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \tilde{\mu},$$

which means

$$\text{supp}_y U^*(t, \cdot) \subseteq B(y_0, (1 + \varepsilon) \tilde{\mu}). \quad (5.62)$$

Up to now, we could obtain that $\rho^* U^*$ satisfy (5.7) and (5.8) with parameter chosen by $\tilde{\lambda}$ and $(1 + \varepsilon) \tilde{\mu}$. And then, we could use Lemma 5.2 to obtain that $R[\rho^* U^*]$ satisfies (5.10), (5.12) and

$$\text{div}_y R[\rho^* U^*] = \rho^* U^*.$$

Similar to the calculation in (5.3) and (5.4), we could define

$$\tilde{R}^{(u)}[U](t, x) = JF^{-1}R[\rho^* U^*](t, y(t, x))F^{-\top}, \quad (5.63)$$

with $F = \nabla_x y$, $J = \det F$, which satisfies

$$\text{div}_x (F \tilde{R}^{(u)}[U]) = U.$$

Notice that

$$\|\nabla_y X\|_0 = \|(\nabla y)^{-1}\|_0 = \|(\text{Id} + \nabla u)^{-1}\|_0 = \|\text{Id} - \nabla u + \sum_{N=2}^{\infty} (-\nabla u)^N\|_0 \leq \|\text{Id} - \nabla u\|_0 + \frac{\|\nabla u\|_0^2}{1 - \|\nabla u\|_0} \leq 1 + 3\varepsilon.$$

Then, for any $\tilde{y} \in \text{supp}_y R[\rho^* U^*](t, \cdot) \subseteq B(y_0, (1 + \varepsilon) \tilde{\mu})$, we have

$$|X(t, \tilde{y}) - x_0| = |X(t, \tilde{y}) - X(t, y_0)| \leq \|\nabla_y X\|_0 |\tilde{y} - y_0| \leq \|F^{-1}\|_0 |\tilde{y} - y_0| \leq (1 + 3\varepsilon)(1 + \varepsilon) \tilde{\mu} \leq (1 + 5\varepsilon) \tilde{\mu}.$$

So we could obtain $\text{supp}_x \tilde{R}^{(u)}[U](t, \cdot) \subseteq B(x_0, (1 + 5\varepsilon) \tilde{\mu})$.

Next, we need to prove (5.61). We first give estimates on F and J . Notice that

$$\partial_t(F^{-1}) = -F^{-1} \partial_t F F^{-1}, \quad \partial_{x_i}(F^{-1}) = -F^{-1} \partial_{x_i} F F^{-1}, \quad (5.64)$$

we can obtain by induction on N and $r = 0, 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla F^{-1}\|_N &\lesssim \sum_{N_1+N_2+N_3=N} \|F^{-1}\|_{N_1} \|F^{-1}\|_{N_2} \|\nabla F\|_{N_3} \lesssim_L \varepsilon \tilde{\lambda}_u^{N+1}, & 0 \leq N \leq L, \\ \|\partial_t^{r+1} F^{-1}\|_N &\lesssim \sum_{N_1+N_2+N_3=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2+r_3=r} \|\partial_t^{r_1} F^{-1}\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} F^{-1}\|_{N_2} \|\partial_t^{1+r_3} F\|_{N_3} \lesssim_L \varepsilon \tilde{\lambda}_u^{N+r+1}, & 0 \leq N \leq L. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have for $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\partial_t J^m = m J^m \text{tr}(F^{-1} \partial_t F), \quad \partial_{x_i}(J^m) = m J^m \text{tr}(F^{-1} \partial_{x_i} F), \quad (5.65)$$

and for $r \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|J^m\|_0 &\leq (1 + (d+1)\varepsilon)^{|m|}, \\ \|\nabla J^m\|_N &\lesssim_m \sum_{N_1+N_2+N_3=N} \|J^m\|_{N_1} \|F^{-1}\|_{N_2} \|\nabla F\|_{N_3} \lesssim_{L,m} \varepsilon \tilde{\lambda}_u^{N+1}, & 0 \leq N \leq L, \\ \|\partial_t^{r+1} J^m\|_N &\lesssim_m \sum_{r_1+r_2+r_3=r} \sum_{N_1+N_2+N_3=N} \|\partial_t^{r_1} J^m\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} F^{-1}\|_{N_2} \|\partial_t^{1+r_3} F\|_{N_3} \lesssim_{L,m} \varepsilon \tilde{\lambda}_u^{N+r+1}, & 0 \leq N \leq L. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we could first consider estimates for $X(t, y)$ and calculate

$$\nabla_y X(t, y) = F^{-1}(t, X(t, y)),$$

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t X(t, y) &= -F^{-1} \partial_{ty}(t, X(t, y)), \\ \partial_t^2 X(t, y) &= -F^{-1}(\partial_{tt}y + 2(\partial_t F)F^{-1} \partial_{ty} + \nabla^2 y : (F^{-1} \partial_{ty}) \otimes (F^{-1} \partial_{ty}))(t, X(t, y)),\end{aligned}$$

and then for $1 \leq N \leq L$, by using Lemma B.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla_y X\|_0 &\leq \|F^{-1}\|_0 \leq 1 + 2\varepsilon, \quad \|\partial_t X\|_0 \leq \|F^{-1}\|_0 \|\partial_{ty}\|_0 \leq 2\varepsilon, \\ \|\partial_t^2 X\|_0 &\leq \|F^{-1}\|_0 (\|\partial_{tt}y\|_0 + \|\partial_t F\|_0 \|F^{-1}\|_0 \|\partial_{ty}\|_0 + \|\nabla^2 y\|_0 \|F^{-1} \partial_{ty}\|_0 \|F^{-1} \partial_{ty}\|_0) \leq_L \varepsilon \tilde{\lambda}_u, \\ \|\nabla_y X\|_N &\leq \|\nabla F^{-1}\|_0 \|\nabla_y X\|_{N-1} + \|\nabla F^{-1}\|_{N-1} \|\nabla_y X\|_0^N \\ &\leq \|\nabla F^{-1}\|_0 (\|\nabla F^{-1}\|_0 \|\nabla_y X\|_{N-2} + \|\nabla F^{-1}\|_{N-2} \|\nabla_y X\|_0^{N-1}) + \|\nabla F^{-1}\|_{N-1} \|\nabla_y X\|_0^N \\ &\leq \|\nabla F^{-1}\|_0^N \|\nabla_y X\|_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \|\nabla F^{-1}\|_0^{N-1-k} \|\nabla F^{-1}\|_k \|\nabla_y X\|_0^{k+1} \\ &\leq_L \varepsilon \tilde{\lambda}_u^N, \\ \|\partial_t X\|_N &\leq \|\nabla(F^{-1} \partial_{ty})\|_0 \|\nabla_y X\|_{N-1} + \|\nabla(F^{-1} \partial_{ty})\|_{N-1} \|\nabla_y X\|_0^N \leq_L \varepsilon \tilde{\lambda}_u^N, \\ \|\partial_t^2 X\|_N &\leq \|\nabla(F^{-1}(\partial_{tt}y + 2(\partial_t F)F^{-1} \partial_{ty} + \nabla^2 y : (F^{-1} \partial_{ty}) \otimes (F^{-1} \partial_{ty})))\|_0 \|\nabla_y X\|_{N-1} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla(F^{-1}(\partial_{tt}y + 2(\partial_t F)F^{-1} \partial_{ty} + \nabla^2 y : (F^{-1} \partial_{ty}) \otimes (F^{-1} \partial_{ty})))\|_{N-1} \|\nabla_y X\|_0^N \\ &\leq_L \varepsilon \tilde{\lambda}_u^{N+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, we consider the time derivative of $\rho^* U^*$

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t(\rho^* U^*(t, y)) &= \partial_t(J^{-1}U)(t, X(t, y)) + (\partial_t X \cdot \nabla)(J^{-1}U)(t, X(t, y)), \\ \partial_t^2(\rho^* U^*(t, y)) &= \partial_t^2(J^{-1}U)(t, X(t, y)) + 2(\partial_t X \cdot \nabla)\partial_t(J^{-1}U)(t, X(t, y)) + (\partial_t^2 X \cdot \nabla)(J^{-1}U)(t, X(t, y)) \\ &\quad + (\nabla^2(J^{-1}U) : (\partial_t X) \otimes (\partial_t X))(t, X(t, y)),\end{aligned}$$

and we could use Lemma B.1 to get

$$\begin{aligned}\|\rho^* U^*\|_0 &\leq \|J^{-1}\|_0 \|U\|_0 \leq \frac{101}{100}A, \\ \|\partial_t(\rho^* U^*)\|_0 &\leq \|\partial_t(J^{-1}U)\|_0 + \|\partial_t X\|_0 \|\nabla(J^{-1}U)\|_0 \leq A(\tilde{\lambda}_U + \varepsilon \tilde{\lambda}_u) \leq A(\max\{\tilde{\lambda}_U, \tilde{\lambda}_u\}), \\ \|\partial_t^2(\rho^* U^*)\|_0 &\leq \|\partial_t^2(J^{-1}U)\|_0 + \|\partial_t X\|_0 \|\nabla \partial_t(J^{-1}U)\|_0 + \|\partial_t^2 X\|_0 \|\nabla(J^{-1}U)\|_0 \\ &\quad + \|\nabla^2(J^{-1}U)\|_0 \|\partial_t X\|_0 \|\partial_t X\|_0 \\ &\leq A(\tilde{\lambda}_U^2 + \varepsilon \tilde{\lambda}_u^2) \leq A(\max\{\tilde{\lambda}_U, \tilde{\lambda}_u\})^2, \\ \|\rho^* U^*\|_N &\leq_L \|\nabla(J^{-1}U)\|_0 \|\nabla_y X\|_{N-1} + \|\nabla(J^{-1}U)\|_{N-1} \|\nabla_y X\|_0^N \leq_L A(\max\{\tilde{\lambda}_U, \tilde{\lambda}_u\})^N, \quad 0 \leq N \leq L, \\ \|\partial_t(\rho^* U^*)\|_N &\leq_L \|\nabla \partial_t(J^{-1}U)\|_0 \|\nabla_y X\|_{N-1} + \|\nabla \partial_t(J^{-1}U)\|_{N-1} \|\nabla_y X\|_0^N \\ &\quad + \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \|\partial_t X\|_{N_1} (\|\nabla(J^{-1}U)\|_0 \|\nabla_y X\|_{N_2-1} + \|\nabla(J^{-1}U)\|_{N_2-1} \|\nabla_y X\|_0^{N_2}) \\ &\leq_L A(\max\{\tilde{\lambda}_U, \tilde{\lambda}_u\})^{N+1}, \quad 0 \leq N \leq L-1, \\ \|\partial_t^2(\rho^* U^*)\|_N &\leq_L \|\nabla \partial_t^2(J^{-1}U)\|_0 \|\nabla_y X\|_{N-1} + \|\nabla \partial_t^2(J^{-1}U)\|_{N-1} \|\nabla_y X\|_0^N \\ &\quad + \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \|\partial_t X\|_{N_1} (\|\nabla \partial_t(J^{-1}U)\|_0 \|\nabla_y X\|_{N_2-1} + \|\nabla \partial_t(J^{-1}U)\|_{N_2-1} \|\nabla_y X\|_0^{N_2}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \|\partial_t^2 X\|_{N_1} (\|\nabla(J^{-1}U)\|_0 \|\nabla_y X\|_{N_2-1} + \|\nabla(J^{-1}U)\|_{N_2-1} \|\nabla_y X\|_0^{N_2}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \|\partial_t X\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t X\|_{N_2} (\|\nabla^3(J^{-1}U)\|_0 \|\nabla_y X\|_{N_3-1} + \|\nabla^3(J^{-1}U)\|_{N_3-1} \|\nabla_y X\|_0^{N_3}) \\ &\leq_L A(\max\{\tilde{\lambda}_U, \tilde{\lambda}_u\})^{N+2}, \quad 0 \leq N \leq L-2.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by using Lemma B.1 and (5.12) in Lemma 5.2, we could consider the estimates for derivative of $R_{lj}[\rho^* U^*](t, y(t, x))$. It is easy to obtain for $r = 0, 1, 2$,

$$\|\partial_t^r R_{lj}[\rho^* U^*]\|_N \lesssim_L A \tilde{\mu} \left(\max \{ \varepsilon \tilde{\mu}^{-1}, \tilde{\lambda}_U, \tilde{\lambda}_u \} \right)^r \left(\max \{ \tilde{\mu}^{-1}, \tilde{\lambda}_U, \tilde{\lambda}_u \} \right)^N, \quad 0 \leq N \leq L - r. \quad (5.66)$$

Next, we could calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(R_{lj}[\rho^* U^*](t, y(t, x))) &= (\partial_t + \partial_{ty} \cdot \nabla) R_{lj}[\rho^* U^*](t, y(t, x)), \\ \partial_t^2(R_{lj}[\rho^* U^*](t, y(t, x))) &= \partial_t^2 R_{lj}[\rho^* U^*](t, y(t, x)) + 2(\partial_{ty} \cdot \nabla) \partial_t R_{lj}[\rho^* U^*](t, y(t, x)) \\ &\quad + (\partial_{ty})^\top \nabla^2 R_{lj}[\rho^* U^*](\partial_{ty})(t, y(t, x)) + (\partial_t^2 y \cdot \nabla) R_{lj}[\rho^* U^*](t, y(t, x)), \end{aligned}$$

and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t(R_{lj}[\rho^* U^*](t, y(t, x)))\|_0 &\leq \|\partial_t R_{lj}[\rho^* U^*]\|_0 + \|\partial_{ty}\|_0 \|\nabla R_{lj}[\rho^* U^*]\|_0 \\ &\leq A \tilde{\mu} \max \{ \varepsilon \tilde{\mu}^{-1}, \tilde{\lambda}_U, \tilde{\lambda}_u \} + A \varepsilon \tilde{\mu} \max \{ \tilde{\mu}^{-1}, \tilde{\lambda}_U, \tilde{\lambda}_u \} \\ &\leq A \tilde{\mu} \max \{ \varepsilon \tilde{\mu}^{-1}, \tilde{\lambda}_U, \tilde{\lambda}_u \}, \\ \|\partial_t^2(R_{lj}[\rho^* U^*](t, y(t, x)))\|_0 &\leq \|\partial_t^2 R_{lj}[\rho^* U^*]\|_0 + \|\partial_{ty}\|_0 \|\nabla \partial_t R_{lj}[\rho^* U^*]\|_0 + \|\partial_{ty}\|_0 \|\nabla^2 R_{lj}[\rho^* U^*]\|_0 \|\partial_{ty}\|_0 \\ &\quad + \|\partial_t^2 y\|_0 \|\nabla R_{lj}[\rho^* U^*]\|_0 \\ &\leq A \tilde{\mu} \left(\max \{ \varepsilon \tilde{\mu}^{-1}, \tilde{\lambda}_U, \tilde{\lambda}_u \} \right)^2 + A \tilde{\mu} \varepsilon \max \{ \varepsilon \tilde{\mu}^{-1}, \tilde{\lambda}_U, \tilde{\lambda}_u \} \max \{ \tilde{\mu}^{-1}, \tilde{\lambda}_U, \tilde{\lambda}_u \} \\ &\quad + A \tilde{\mu} \varepsilon^2 \left(\max \{ \tilde{\mu}^{-1}, \tilde{\lambda}_U, \tilde{\lambda}_u \} \right)^2 + A \tilde{\mu} \varepsilon \tilde{\lambda}_u \max \{ \tilde{\mu}^{-1}, \tilde{\lambda}_U, \tilde{\lambda}_u \} \\ &\leq A \tilde{\mu} \left(\max \{ \varepsilon \tilde{\mu}^{-1}, \tilde{\lambda}_U, \tilde{\lambda}_u \} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma B.1 and (5.66), we have for $0 \leq r_1 + r_2 \leq 2$ and $1 \leq N + r_1 + r_2 \leq L$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t^{r_1} \nabla^{r_2} R_{lj}[\rho^* U^*](t, y(t, x))\|_N &\lesssim_L \|\partial_t^{r_1} \nabla^{r_2} R_{lj}[\rho^* U^*]\|_1 \|\nabla_{x,y}\|_{N-1} + \|\partial_t^{r_1} \nabla^{r_2} R_{lj}[\rho^* U^*]\|_N \|\nabla_{x,y}\|_0^N \\ &\lesssim_L A \tilde{\mu} \left(\max \{ \varepsilon \tilde{\mu}^{-1}, \tilde{\lambda}_U, \tilde{\lambda}_u \} \right)^{r_1} \left(\max \{ \tilde{\mu}^{-1}, \tilde{\lambda}_U, \tilde{\lambda}_u \} \right)^{N+r_2}, \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t(R_{lj}[\rho^* U^*](t, y(t, x)))\|_N &\lesssim_L \|\partial_t R_{lj}[\rho^* U^*](t, y(t, x))\|_N + \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \|\partial_{ty}\|_{N_1} \|\nabla R_{lj}[\rho^* U^*](t, y(t, x))\|_{N_2} \\ &\lesssim_L A \tilde{\mu} \left(\max \{ \varepsilon \tilde{\mu}^{-1}, \tilde{\lambda}_U, \tilde{\lambda}_u \} \right) \left(\max \{ \tilde{\mu}^{-1}, \tilde{\lambda}_U, \tilde{\lambda}_u \} \right)^N, \\ \|\partial_t^2(R_{lj}[\rho^* U^*](t, y(t, x)))\|_N &\lesssim_L \|\partial_t^2 R_{lj}[\rho^* U^*](t, y(t, x))\|_N + \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \|\partial_{ty}\|_{N_1} \|\nabla \partial_t R_{lj}[\rho^* U^*](t, y(t, x))\|_{N_2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{N_1+N_2+N_3=N} \|\partial_{ty}\|_{N_1} \|\nabla^2 R_{lj}[\rho^* U^*](t, y(t, x))\|_{N_2} \|\partial_{ty}\|_{N_3} \\ &\quad + \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \|\partial_t^2 y\|_{N_1} \|\nabla R_{lj}[\rho^* U^*](t, y(t, x))\|_{N_2} \\ &\lesssim_L A \tilde{\mu} \left(\max \{ \varepsilon \tilde{\mu}^{-1}, \tilde{\lambda}_U, \tilde{\lambda}_u \} \right)^2 \left(\max \{ \tilde{\mu}^{-1}, \tilde{\lambda}_U, \tilde{\lambda}_u \} \right)^N. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have the following estimates for $r = 0, 1, 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t^r \tilde{R}_{lj}^{(u)}[\rho^* U^*]\|_N &\lesssim \sum_{N_1+N_2+N_3+N_4=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2+r_3+r_4=r} \|\partial_t^{r_1} J\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} (F^{-1})\|_{N_2} \|\partial_t^{r_3} (R_{lj}[\rho^* U^*](t, y(t, x)))\|_{N_3} \|\partial_t^{r_4} (F^{-\top})\|_{C_{t,x}^{N_4}} \\ &\lesssim_L A \tilde{\mu} \left(\max \{ \varepsilon \tilde{\mu}^{-1}, \tilde{\lambda}_U, \tilde{\lambda}_u \} \right)^r \left(\max \{ \tilde{\mu}^{-1}, \tilde{\lambda}_U, \tilde{\lambda}_u \} \right)^N, \quad 0 \leq N \leq L - r. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

6. SOME NOTATIONS

Before defining the perturbation $(\tilde{u}_{q,i+1}, \tilde{G}_{q,i+1} = \nabla \tilde{u}_{q,i+1})$ and introducing the new Reynolds errors $R_{q,i+1}$, we first clarify some notations.

For terms like $\tilde{C}_{q,i+1}^{(i)}$, $\tilde{C}_{q,i+1}^{(i,m)}$, and $\tilde{C}_{q,i+1}^{(i,c)} = \tilde{C}_{q,i+1}^{(i)} - \tilde{C}_{q,i+1}^{(i,m)}$, the superscript (i) indicates that the term is of magnitude approximately $O(|G_{\ell,i}|^k |\tilde{G}_{q,i+1}|^i)$. Here, m denotes the main component, which is of magnitude approximately $O(|\tilde{G}_{q,i+1}|^i)$,

while c represents the term of magnitude approximately $\mathcal{O}(|G_{\ell,i}|^k |\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}|^i)$, with $k \geq 1$. For convenience, we use $\widetilde{C}_{q,i+1}^{(0)}$ to refer to $C_{\ell,i}$ itself. Similarly, this notation can be extended to other terms like \widetilde{D} , \widetilde{j} , and $\widetilde{\Lambda}$. Below, we will list a part of these notations.

1. The perturbation of $C_{\ell,i}$ and $D_{\ell,i}$:

$$\widetilde{C}_{q,i+1}^{(0)} = C_{\ell,i}, \quad \widetilde{D}_{q,i+1}^{(0)} = D_{\ell,i}, \quad (6.1)$$

$$\widetilde{C}_{q,i+1} = \underbrace{\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top + G_{\ell,i} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} G_{\ell,i}^\top}_{\widetilde{C}_{q,i+1}^{(1)}} + \underbrace{\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top}_{\widetilde{C}_{q,i+1}^{(2)} = \widetilde{C}_{q,i+1}^{(2),m}}, \quad (6.2)$$

$$\text{tr } \widetilde{C}_{q,i+1} = \underbrace{2 \text{tr } \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}}_{\text{tr } \widetilde{C}_{q,i+1}^{(1)}} + 2 \text{tr}(G_{\ell,i} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top) + \underbrace{\text{tr}(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top)}_{\text{tr } \widetilde{C}_{q,i+1}^{(2)} = \text{tr } \widetilde{C}_{q,i+1}^{(2),m}}, \quad (6.3)$$

$$\widetilde{D}_{q,i+1} = \underbrace{\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top + G_{\ell,i}^\top \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top G_{\ell,i}}_{\widetilde{D}_{q,i+1}^{(1)}} + \underbrace{\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}}_{\widetilde{D}_{q,i+1}^{(2)} = \widetilde{D}_{q,i+1}^{(2),m}}. \quad (6.4)$$

2. The perturbation of the principle values j_1 and j_2 of the matrix $C_{\ell,i}$:

$$\widetilde{j}_1^{(0)} = \text{tr } C_{\ell,i}, \quad \widetilde{j}_2^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}((\text{tr } C_{\ell,i})^2 - \text{tr } C_{\ell,i}^2), \quad (6.5)$$

$$\widetilde{j}_1 = \underbrace{2 \text{tr}(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1})}_{\widetilde{j}_1^{(1)}} + 2 \text{tr}(G_{\ell,i} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top) + \underbrace{\text{tr}(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top)}_{\widetilde{j}_1^{(2)} = \widetilde{j}_1^{(2),m}}, \quad (6.6)$$

$$\widetilde{j}_2 = \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^4 \sum_{r+s=k} \frac{1}{2} (\widetilde{j}_1^{(r)} \widetilde{j}_1^{(s)} - \text{tr}(\widetilde{C}_{q,i+1}^{(r)} \widetilde{C}_{q,i+1}^{(s)}))}_{\widetilde{j}_2^{(k)}}, \quad (6.7)$$

$$\widetilde{j}_2^{(2),m} = \frac{1}{2} (\widetilde{j}_1^{(1),m} \widetilde{j}_1^{(1),m} - \text{tr}(\widetilde{C}_{q,i+1}^{(1),m} \widetilde{C}_{q,i+1}^{(1),m})) = 2(\text{tr } \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1})^2 - \text{tr}(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^2). \quad (6.8)$$

Remark 6.1. We set $\widetilde{j}_1^{(i)} = 0$ for $i \geq 3$, and $\widetilde{j}_2^{(i)} = 0$ for $i \geq 5$.

3. The perturbation of coefficients Λ_1 and Λ_2 :

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_1^{(0)} = 2 \left(\sigma_{11} \widetilde{j}_1^{(0)} + \sigma_{12} \widetilde{j}_2^{(0)} + \frac{\sigma_{111}}{2} (\widetilde{j}_1^{(0)})^2 \right), \quad \widetilde{\Lambda}_2^{(0)} = 2 \left(\sigma_2 + \sigma_{12} \widetilde{j}_1^{(0)} + \sigma_{22} \widetilde{j}_2^{(0)} \right), \quad (6.9)$$

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 = 2\sigma_{11} \widetilde{j}_1 + 2\sigma_{12} \widetilde{j}_2 + 2\sigma_{111} \widetilde{j}_1^{(0)} \widetilde{j}_1 + \sigma_{111} \widetilde{j}_1^2 = \sum_{k=1}^4 \underbrace{\left(2\sigma_{11} \widetilde{j}_1^{(k)} + 2\sigma_{12} \widetilde{j}_2^{(k)} + \sum_{r+s=k} (\sigma_{111} \widetilde{j}_1^{(r)} \widetilde{j}_1^{(s)}) \right)}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1^{(k)}}, \quad (6.10)$$

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_2 = 2\sigma_{12} \widetilde{j}_1 + 2\sigma_{22} \widetilde{j}_2 = \sum_{k=1}^4 \underbrace{2\sigma_{12} \widetilde{j}_1^{(k)} + 2\sigma_{22} \widetilde{j}_2^{(k)}}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_2^{(k)}}, \quad (6.11)$$

and their main part

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_1^{(1),m} = 2\sigma_{11} \widetilde{j}_1^{(1),m} = 4\sigma_{11} \text{tr } \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}, \quad (6.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1^{(2),m} &= 2\sigma_{11} \widetilde{j}_1^{(2),m} + 2\sigma_{12} \widetilde{j}_2^{(2),m} + \sigma_{111} \widetilde{j}_1^{(1),m} \widetilde{j}_1^{(1),m} \\ &= 2\sigma_{11} \text{tr}(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top) + 2\sigma_{12} (2(\text{tr } \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1})^2 - \text{tr}(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^2)) + 4\sigma_{111} (\text{tr } \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1})^2, \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_2^{(1),m} = 2\sigma_{12}\widetilde{J}_1^{(i),m} = 4\sigma_{12} \operatorname{tr} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}, \quad (6.14)$$

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_2^{(2),m} = 2\sigma_{12}\widetilde{J}_1^{(2),m} + 2\sigma_{22}\widetilde{J}_2^{(2),m} = 2\sigma_{12} \operatorname{tr}(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top) + 2\sigma_{22}(2(\operatorname{tr} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1})^2 - \operatorname{tr}(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^2)). \quad (6.15)$$

4. The perturbation of the second Piola–Kirchhoff stress tensor $\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}}$:

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1} = \Sigma_{G_{q,i+1}} - \Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} = \sum_{k=1}^6 \left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1^{(k)} \operatorname{Id} + \sum_{r+s=k} \widetilde{\Lambda}_2^{(r)} (\operatorname{tr} \widetilde{C}_{q,i+1}^{(s)} \operatorname{Id} - \widetilde{D}_{q,i+1}^{(s)}) \right) = \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1)} + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(2)} + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(\geq 3)}, \quad (6.16)$$

where

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1)} = \widetilde{\Lambda}_1^{(1)} \operatorname{Id} + \widetilde{\Lambda}_2^{(1)} (\operatorname{tr} C_{\ell,i} \operatorname{Id} - D_{\ell,i}) + \Lambda_2^{(0)} (\operatorname{tr} \widetilde{C}_{q,i+1}^{(1)} \operatorname{Id} - \widetilde{D}_{q,i+1}^{(1)}), \quad (6.17)$$

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(2)} = \widetilde{\Lambda}_1^{(2)} \operatorname{Id} + \sum_{r+s=2} \widetilde{\Lambda}_2^{(r)} (\operatorname{tr} \widetilde{C}_{q,i+1}^{(s)} \operatorname{Id} - \widetilde{D}_{q,i+1}^{(s)}), \quad (6.18)$$

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(\geq 3)} = \sum_{k=3}^6 \left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1^{(k)} \operatorname{Id} + \sum_{r+s=k} \widetilde{\Lambda}_2^{(r)} (\operatorname{tr} \widetilde{C}_{q,i+1}^{(s)} \operatorname{Id} - \widetilde{D}_{q,i+1}^{(s)}) \right). \quad (6.19)$$

Remark 6.2. We set $\widetilde{\Lambda}_2^{(i)} = 0$ for $i \geq 5$.

For the sake of the estimates below, we provide the full form of $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1)}$ and $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(2)}$ here.

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1)} = & 4 \left((\sigma_{11} + (\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{111})\widetilde{J}_1^{(0)}) \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i})\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top - \sigma_{12} \operatorname{tr}(C_{\ell,i}(\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i})\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top) \right) \operatorname{Id} \\ & + 4 \left((\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{22}\widetilde{J}_1^{(0)}) \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i})\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top - \sigma_{22} \operatorname{tr}(C_{\ell,i}(\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i})\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top) \right) (\operatorname{tr} C_{\ell,i} \operatorname{Id} - D_{\ell,i}) \\ & + \widetilde{\Lambda}_2^{(0)} \left(2 \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i})\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top \operatorname{Id} - (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top + G_{\ell,i}^\top \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top G_{\ell,i}) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (6.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(2)} = & 2 \left((\sigma_{11} + (\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{111})\widetilde{J}_1^{(0)}) \operatorname{tr}(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top) - \sigma_{12} \operatorname{tr}(C_{\ell,i}\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top) \right) \operatorname{Id} \\ & + \left(4(\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{111})(\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i})\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top)^2 - \sigma_{12} \operatorname{tr}((\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top + G_{\ell,i}^\top \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top G_{\ell,i})^2) \right) \operatorname{Id} \\ & + \widetilde{\Lambda}_2^{(0)} (\operatorname{tr}(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top) \operatorname{Id} - \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}) \\ & + 4 \left((\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{22}\widetilde{J}_1^{(0)}) \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i})\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top - \sigma_{22} \operatorname{tr}(C_{\ell,i}(\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i})\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top) \right) \\ & \quad \cdot \left(2 \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i})\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top \operatorname{Id} - (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top + G_{\ell,i}^\top \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top G_{\ell,i}) \right) \\ & + 2 \left((\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{22}\widetilde{J}_1^{(0)}) \operatorname{tr}(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top) - \sigma_{22} \operatorname{tr}(C_{\ell,i}\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top) \right) (\operatorname{tr} C_{\ell,i} \operatorname{Id} - D_{\ell,i}) \\ & + \sigma_{22} \left(4(\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i})\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top)^2 - \operatorname{tr}((\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top + G_{\ell,i}^\top \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top G_{\ell,i})^2) \right) (\operatorname{tr} C_{\ell,i} \operatorname{Id} - D_{\ell,i}). \end{aligned} \quad (6.21)$$

Moreover, we could give main part of $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1)}$ and $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(2)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),m} = & \widetilde{\Lambda}_1^{(1),m} \operatorname{Id} + 2\sigma_2 (\operatorname{tr} \widetilde{C}_{q,i+1}^{(1),m} \operatorname{Id} - \widetilde{D}_{q,i+1}^{(1),m}) \\ = & 4\sigma_{11} \operatorname{tr} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} \operatorname{Id} + 2\sigma_2 (2 \operatorname{tr}(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}) \operatorname{Id} - \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} - \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top) \\ = & \lambda \operatorname{tr} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} \operatorname{Id} + \mu (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top), \end{aligned} \quad (6.22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(2),m} = & \widetilde{\Lambda}_1^{(2),m} \operatorname{Id} + \widetilde{\Lambda}_2^{(1),m} (\operatorname{tr} \widetilde{C}_{q,i+1}^{(1),m} \operatorname{Id} - \widetilde{D}_{q,i+1}^{(1),m}) + 2\sigma_2 (\operatorname{tr} \widetilde{C}_{q,i+1}^{(2),m} \operatorname{Id} - \widetilde{D}_{q,i+1}^{(2),m}) \\ = & (2\sigma_{11} \operatorname{tr}(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top) + 2\sigma_{12}(2(\operatorname{tr} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1})^2 - \operatorname{tr}(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^2)) + 4\sigma_{111}(\operatorname{tr} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1})^2) \operatorname{Id} \\ & + 4\sigma_{12} \operatorname{tr} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} (2 \operatorname{tr} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} \operatorname{Id} - \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} - \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top) \\ & + 2\sigma_2 (\operatorname{tr}(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top) \operatorname{Id} - \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}) \\ = & \left(2(\sigma_{11} - \sigma_{12} + \sigma_2) \operatorname{tr}(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top) + 4(3\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{111})(\operatorname{tr} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1})^2 - 2\sigma_{12} \operatorname{tr}(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^2) \right) \operatorname{Id} \\ & - 2\sigma_2 \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} - 4\sigma_{12} \operatorname{tr} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top). \end{aligned} \quad (6.23)$$

5. The perturbation of the stored-energy function $\sigma_{G_{\ell,i}}$:

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_{q,i+1} = \sigma_{G_{q,i+1}} - \sigma_{G_{\ell,i}}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^6 \left(\underbrace{\sigma_2 \tilde{J}_2^{(k)} + \sum_{r_1+r_2=k} \left(\frac{\sigma_{11}}{2} \tilde{J}_1^{(r_1)} \tilde{J}_1^{(r_2)} + \frac{\sigma_{22}}{2} \tilde{J}_2^{(r_1)} \tilde{J}_2^{(r_2)} + \sigma_{12} \tilde{J}_1^{(r_1)} \tilde{J}_2^{(r_2)} \right)}_{\tilde{\sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(k)}} + \frac{\sigma_{111}}{6} \sum_{r_1+r_2+r_3=k} \tilde{J}_1^{(r_1)} \tilde{J}_1^{(r_2)} \tilde{J}_1^{(r_3)} \right). \quad (6.24)$$

For the sake of the estimates below, we provide the full form of $\tilde{\sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1)}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(2)}$ here.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1)} &= \sigma_2 \tilde{J}_2^{(1)} + \sigma_{11} \tilde{J}_1^{(0)} \tilde{J}_1^{(1)} + \sigma_{22} \tilde{J}_2^{(0)} \tilde{J}_2^{(1)} + \frac{\sigma_{12}}{2} (\tilde{J}_1^{(0)} \tilde{J}_2^{(1)} + \tilde{J}_1^{(1)} \tilde{J}_2^{(0)}) + \frac{\sigma_{111}}{2} (\tilde{J}_1^{(0)})^2 \tilde{J}_1^{(1)}, \\ \tilde{\sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(2)} &= \sigma_2 \tilde{J}_2^{(2)} + \frac{\sigma_{11}}{2} (\tilde{J}_1^{(1)})^2 + \sigma_{11} \tilde{J}_1^{(0)} \tilde{J}_1^{(2)} + \frac{\sigma_{22}}{2} (\tilde{J}_2^{(1)})^2 + \sigma_{22} \tilde{J}_2^{(0)} \tilde{J}_2^{(2)} + \frac{\sigma_{12}}{2} \tilde{J}_1^{(1)} \tilde{J}_2^{(1)} + \frac{\sigma_{12}}{2} (\tilde{J}_1^{(0)} \tilde{J}_2^{(2)} + \tilde{J}_1^{(2)} \tilde{J}_2^{(0)}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\sigma_{111}}{2} (\tilde{J}_1^{(0)} (\tilde{J}_1^{(1)})^2 + (\tilde{J}_1^{(0)})^2 \tilde{J}_1^{(2)}). \end{aligned}$$

The main part of $\tilde{\sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(2)}$ can be written as

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(2),m} = \sigma_2 \tilde{J}_2^{(2),m} + \frac{\sigma_{11}}{2} (\tilde{J}_1^{(1),m})^2 = 2(\sigma_2 + \sigma_{11})(\text{tr}(\tilde{G}_{q,i+1}))^2 - \sigma_2 \text{tr}(\tilde{G}_{q,i+1} \tilde{G}_{q,i+1}^\top + \tilde{G}_{q,i+1}^2).$$

7. DEFINITION OF THE PERTURBATION

In this section, we first introduce the building blocks which will be used in the construction of the perturbation. Next, we give the construction of the perturbation. Based on it, we will give the estimates on the perturbation.

7.1. Definition of building blocks.

Lemma 7.1. *Given a positive integer $L \geq 1$, a vector $f \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and parameters λ^* and μ^* which satisfy $\mu^* > 0$, $\lambda^* + 2\mu^* > 0$, and $\lambda^* + \mu^* \neq 0$, we could find a nontrivial approximate solution to the equation*

$$\partial_t w - \mu^* \Delta w - (\lambda^* + \mu^*) \nabla(\text{div } w) + A(\nabla^2 w) = 0,$$

where $w : (-\infty, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2$ and $(A(\nabla^2 w))_k = A_{nr}^{km} \partial_{nr} w_m$, with $A_{nr}^{km} = A_{nr}^{km}(t) \in C^L(\mathbb{R})$.

Epecially, the approximate solution could have the following expression

$$w_{A,f} = (f + a_A(t) f^\perp) e^{i\xi_{A,f}}, \quad (7.1)$$

where $f^\perp = (-f_2, f_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ satisfies $f^\perp \perp f$, $|f^\perp| = |f|$, and $\xi_{A,f} = \tilde{\lambda}(f \cdot x - c_A(t))$. Moreover, $a_A(t)$ and $c_A(t)$ depends on coefficients A_{nr}^{km} and f .

Moreover, if the coefficients A_{nr}^{km} satisfy $\|A_{nr}^{km}\|_{C_t^0} \leq C\varepsilon$ for some constant $C > 0$, and $\|A_{nr}^{km}\|_{C_t^N} \leq \tilde{A} \tilde{\lambda}_A^N$, $1 \leq N \leq L$ for some constants $\tilde{A} > 0$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_A > 0$, Then, there exist $\varepsilon_2^(\lambda^*, \mu^*, C) > 0$ such that, for any $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_2^*$, we have the following estimates:*

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_A\|_{C_t^0} &\lesssim_{\lambda^*, \mu^*, C} \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{10}, & \|a_A\|_{C_t^N} &\lesssim_{\lambda^*, \mu^*, C} \tilde{A} \tilde{\lambda}_A^N, \quad 1 \leq N \leq L, \\ \|\partial_t c_A - (\lambda^* + 2\mu^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} |f| \|_{C_t^0} &\lesssim_{\lambda^*, \mu^*, C} \varepsilon |f|, & \|c_A\|_{C_t^N} &\lesssim_{\lambda^*, \mu^*, C} \tilde{A} \tilde{\lambda}_A^{N-1}, \quad 2 \leq N \leq L. \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

And $w_{A,f}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t w_{A,f} - \mu^* \Delta w_{A,f} - (\lambda^* + \mu^*) \nabla(\text{div } w_{A,f}) + A(\nabla^2 w_{A,f}) \\ &= \left(-i\tilde{\lambda} \partial_t c_A f + (\partial_t a_A - i\tilde{\lambda} \partial_t c_A a_A - i2\tilde{\lambda} \partial_t c_A \partial_t a_A) f^\perp \right) e^{i\xi_{A,f}}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.3)$$

Proof. For convenience, we denote $f^{(1)} = f$ and $f^{(2)} = f^\perp$, and define coefficients

$$\tilde{A}_{ij}^{pd} = A(f^{(i)}, f^{(j)}, f^{(d)}, f^{(p)}) = A_{nr}^{km} f_n^{(i)} f_r^{(j)} f_m^{(d)} f_k^{(p)} |f|^{-2}.$$

Next, we define $w_{A,1} := f^{(1)} e^{i\xi_{A,f}}$ and $w_{A,2} := a_A f^{(2)} e^{i\xi_{A,f}}$, where a_A and c_A will be chosen later. Noting

$$\begin{aligned} A(\nabla^2 w_{A,1}) &= \sum_{p=1}^2 (A_{nr}^{km} \partial_{nr} (w_{A,1})_m J_k^{(p)}) |f|^{-2} f^{(p)} = -\tilde{\lambda}^2 \sum_{p=1}^2 \tilde{A}_{11}^{p1} f^{(p)} e^{i\xi_{A,f}}, \\ A(\nabla^2 w_{A,2}) &= \sum_{p=1}^2 (A_{nr}^{km} \partial_{nr} (w_{A,2})_m J_k^{(p)}) |f|^{-2} f^{(p)} = -\tilde{\lambda}^2 a_A \sum_{p=1}^2 \tilde{A}_{11}^{p2} f^{(p)} e^{i\xi_{A,f}}, \end{aligned}$$

we could calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t w_{A,1} - \mu^* \Delta w_{A,1} - (\lambda^* + \mu^*) \nabla(\operatorname{div} w_{A,1}) + A(\nabla^2 w_{A,1}) \\
&= \left(\tilde{\lambda}^2 \left((\lambda^* + 2\mu^*) |f|^2 - (\partial_t c_A)^2 \right) f - \sum_{p=1}^2 \tilde{A}_{11}^{p1} f^{(p)} \right) - i \tilde{\lambda} \partial_t c_A f \Big) e^{i \tilde{\lambda} c_A t}, \\
& \partial_t w_{A,2} - \mu^* \Delta w_{A,2} - (\lambda^* + \mu^*) \nabla \operatorname{div} w_{A,2} + A(\nabla^2 w_{A,2}) \\
&= \left(\tilde{\lambda}^2 a_A \left((\mu^* |f|^2 - (\partial_t c_A)^2) f^{(2)} - \sum_{p=1}^2 \tilde{A}_{11}^{p2} f^{(p)} \right) + (\partial_t a_A - i \tilde{\lambda} \partial_t c_A a_A - i 2 \tilde{\lambda} \partial_t c_A \partial_t a_A) f^{(2)} \right) e^{i \tilde{\lambda} c_A t}.
\end{aligned}$$

So we need the following equation

$$\begin{cases} (\lambda^* + 2\mu^*) |f|^2 - (\partial_t c_A)^2 - \tilde{A}_{11}^{11} - a_A \tilde{A}_{11}^{12} = 0, \\ a_A (\mu^* |f|^2 - (\partial_t c_A)^2) - \tilde{A}_{11}^{21} - a_A \tilde{A}_{11}^{22} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (7.4)$$

It can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} (\lambda^* + 2\mu^*) |f|^2 - \tilde{A}_{11}^{11} - a_A \tilde{A}_{11}^{12} = (\partial_t c_A)^2, \\ |f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{12} a_A^2 + (|f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{11} - |f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{22} - (\lambda^* + \mu^*)) a_A = |f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{21}, \end{cases} \quad (7.5)$$

and we could choose a_A and c_A as

$$\begin{aligned}
a_A &= \begin{cases} \frac{2|f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{21}}{\left(|f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{11} - |f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{22} - (\lambda^* + \mu^*) \right) + \left(\left(|f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{11} - |f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{22} - (\lambda^* + \mu^*) \right)^2 + 4|f|^{-4} \tilde{A}_{11}^{12} \tilde{A}_{11}^{21} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, & \lambda^* + \mu^* < 0, \\ \frac{2|f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{21}}{\left(|f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{11} - |f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{22} - (\lambda^* + \mu^*) \right) - \left(\left(|f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{11} - |f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{22} - (\lambda^* + \mu^*) \right)^2 + 4|f|^{-4} \tilde{A}_{11}^{12} \tilde{A}_{11}^{21} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, & \lambda^* + \mu^* > 0, \end{cases} \\
c_A &= \int_0^t \left((\lambda^* + 2\mu^*) |f|^2 - \tilde{A}_{11}^{11} - a_A \tilde{A}_{11}^{12} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\
&= (\lambda^* + 2\mu^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} |f| t - \int_0^t \frac{\tilde{A}_{11}^{11} + a_A \tilde{A}_{11}^{12}}{\left((\lambda^* + 2\mu^*) |f|^2 - \tilde{A}_{11}^{11} - a_A \tilde{A}_{11}^{12} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (\lambda^* + 2\mu^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} |f|} d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $w_{A,f} = w_{A,1} + w_{A,2}$. For sufficiently small $\varepsilon_2(\lambda^*, \mu^*, C) > 0$, for any $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_2^*$, if the coefficients A_{nr}^{km} satisfy $\|A_{nr}^{km}\|_{C_t^0} \leq C\varepsilon$ for some constant $C > 0$, and $\|A_{nr}^{km}\|_{C_t^N} \leq \tilde{A} \tilde{\lambda}_A^N$, $1 \leq N \leq L$ for some constants $\tilde{A} > 0$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_A > 0$, we could easily obtain (7.2) and complete the proof. \square

Remark 7.2. Note that the construction of the building blocks here is based on the assumption that λ^* and μ^* are constants. In general, we take them as the Lamé constants λ and μ . In the case where they are functions of (t, x) , we can take the constants as $\lambda(t(I), x(I))$ and $\mu(t(I), x(I))$ for each truncated region, respectively. This is because our building blocks will be used in different truncated regions, and the regions are small enough so that the difference between $\lambda(t, x)$, $\mu(t, x)$ and $\lambda(t(I), x(I))$, $\mu(t(I), x(I))$ is sufficiently small.

Remark 7.3. Note that A_{nr}^{km} can be a time-independent constant. In this case, $\partial_t c_A$ can also be taken as a constant, and we could obtain a simpler version of the lemma.

7.2. Definition of the perturbation. Up to now, we are ready to construct the perturbations by using the building blocks defined before. For convenience, we denote $h^\nu = h(t, 2\pi\nu\mu_{q,i})$ for any function $h \in C^0(\mathcal{I}_{3\ell_{q,i}}^{q,i} \times \mathbb{T}^2)$. For the term $\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1)}$ mentioned in Section 6, for its components, we replace $G_{\ell,i}$, $C_{\ell,i}$, and $\tilde{G}_{q,i+1}$ with $G_{\ell,i}^\nu$, $C_{\ell,i}^\nu$, and ∇w , respectively, and denote it as $\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),\nu,w}$.

Notice that, for $t \in \operatorname{supp}_t \tilde{\varepsilon}_{q,i} + 3\tau_{q,i}$, we have $c_{q,i} = \tilde{\varepsilon}_{q,i} \operatorname{Id}^{<i+1>} + c_{q,i+1}$, and $c_{q,i+1}$ is a constant matrix, which leads to $c_{q,i} \in C^\infty(\operatorname{supp}_t \tilde{\varepsilon}_{q,i} + 3\tau_{q,i} \times \mathbb{T}^2)$. Then, for each $I = (s, \nu) \in \mathcal{I}$ with $s\tau_{q,i} \in \operatorname{supp}_t \tilde{\varepsilon}_{q,i} + \tau_{q,i}$, by using Lemma 7.1, we

could choose $\lambda^* = \lambda$, $\mu^* = \mu$, and define $(A_I)_{nr}^{sm}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
(A_I)_{nr}^{km} &= -(\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})_{nr} \delta_{km} + \lambda \delta_{kr} \delta_{mn} + \mu \delta_{km} \delta_{nr} + \mu \delta_{kn} \delta_{mr} \\
&\quad - 4(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})_{kr} \left((\sigma_{11} + (\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{111}) \widetilde{J}_1^{(0)}) (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})_{mn} - \sigma_{12} (C_{\ell,i} (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}))_{mn} \right) \\
&\quad - 4((\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) (\text{tr} C_{\ell,i} \text{Id} - D_{\ell,i}))_{kr} \left((\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{22} \widetilde{J}_1^{(0)}) (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})_{mn} - \sigma_{22} (C_{\ell,i} (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}))_{mn} \right) \\
&\quad - (\widetilde{\Lambda}_2^{(0)}) \left(2(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})_{mn} (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})_{kr} - ((\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}^\top))_{km} \delta_{nr} - (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})_{kn} (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})_{mr} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

Next, we define $(A_I^v)_{nr}^{km}$ which is obtained by replacing all $G_{\ell,i}$ and $C_{\ell,i}$ in $(A_I)_{nr}^{km}$ with $G_{\ell,i}^v$ and $C_{\ell,i}^v$, respectively. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(A_I^v)_{nr}^{km} \partial_n w_m \\
&= -(\nabla w (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})^v)_{kr} + (\lambda \text{tr}(\nabla w) \text{Id} + \mu \nabla w + \mu (\nabla w)^\top)_{kr} \\
&\quad - 4 \left((\sigma_{11} + (\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{111}) \widetilde{J}_1^{(0)})^v \text{tr}((\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^v (\nabla w)^\top) - \sigma_{12} \text{tr}((C_{\ell,i} (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^v (\nabla w)^\top)) \right) (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})_{kr}^v \\
&\quad - 4 \left((\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{22} \widetilde{J}_1^{(0)})^v \text{tr}((\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^v (\nabla w)^\top) - \sigma_{22} \text{tr}((C_{\ell,i} (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^v (\nabla w)^\top)) \right) ((\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) (\text{tr} C_{\ell,i} \text{Id} - D_{\ell,i}))_{kr}^v \\
&\quad - (\widetilde{\Lambda}_2^{(0)})^v \left(2 \text{tr}((\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^v (\nabla w)^\top) (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^v \text{Id} - ((\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}^\top))^v \nabla w - (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^v (\nabla w)^\top (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})_{kr}^v \right) \\
&= \left(-\nabla w (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})^v - (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^v \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),v,w} + \lambda \text{tr}(\nabla w) \text{Id} + \mu \nabla w + \mu (\nabla w)^\top \right)_{kr},
\end{aligned}$$

and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(A_I^v (\nabla^2 w))_k &= \partial_r ((A_I^v)_{nr}^{km} \partial_n w_m) \\
&= \left(-\text{div}(\nabla w (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})^v + (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^v \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),v,w} - (\lambda \text{tr}(\nabla w) \text{Id} + \mu \nabla w + \mu (\nabla w)^\top)) \right)_k.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\xi_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} = [I] (f_{i+1} \cdot x - c_{A_I^v})$ and

$$w_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} = (f_{i+1} + a_{A_I^v} f_{i+1}^\perp) e^{i \lambda_{q,i+1} \xi_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}}} = (i \lambda_{q,i+1} [I] |f_{i+1}|^2)^{-1} \text{div}((f_{i+1} + a_{A_I^v} f_{i+1}^\perp) \otimes f_{i+1} e^{i \lambda_{q,i+1} \xi_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}}}),$$

where $c_{A_I^v}$ and $a_{A_I^v}$ can be chosen by Lemma 7.1. Then, we could calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \partial_{tt} w_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} - \mu \Delta w_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla (\text{div} w_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}}) \\
&\quad - \text{div} \left(\nabla w_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})^v + (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})_{q,i+1}^{(1),v,w_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}}} - (\lambda + \mu) \text{tr}(\nabla w_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}}) \text{Id} - \mu \nabla w_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} \right) \\
&= \partial_{tt} w_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} - \text{div} \left(\nabla w_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})^v + (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})_{q,i+1}^{(1),v,w_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By using (2.19) and (3.13), we have $\|(\sigma^* \tilde{z}_{q,i})^{-1} R_{\ell,i}\|_0 < c_0$. Then, we could define the weight coefficient $d_{q,i+1}$ which depends on $R_{\ell,i}$ as

$$d_{q,i+1}(t, x) := \tilde{z}_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \Gamma_{f_{i+1}} (\text{Id} + (\sigma^* \tilde{z}_{q,i})^{-1} R_{\ell,i}). \tag{7.7}$$

For convenience, we use the following notations:

$$\Pi_I = e^{i \lambda_{q,i+1} \xi_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}}} - e^{-i \lambda_{q,i+1} \xi_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}}}, \quad \widetilde{\Pi}_I = e^{i \lambda_{q,i+1} \xi_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}}} + e^{-i \lambda_{q,i+1} \xi_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}}}, \tag{7.8}$$

$$\Pi_{I,J} = \Pi_I \Pi_J = e^{i \lambda_{q,i+1} (\xi_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} + \xi_{A_J, f_{i+1}})} + e^{-i \lambda_{q,i+1} (\xi_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} + \xi_{A_J, f_{i+1}})} - e^{i \lambda_{q,i+1} (\xi_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} - \xi_{A_J, f_{i+1}})} - e^{-i \lambda_{q,i+1} (\xi_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} - \xi_{A_J, f_{i+1}})}, \tag{7.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{I,J,K} &= \Pi_I \Pi_J \Pi_K = (e^{i \lambda_{q,i+1} \xi_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}}} - e^{-i \lambda_{q,i+1} \xi_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}}}) (e^{i \lambda_{q,i+1} \xi_{A_J, f_{i+1}}} - e^{-i \lambda_{q,i+1} \xi_{A_J, f_{i+1}}}) (e^{i \lambda_{q,i+1} \xi_{A_K, f_{i+1}}} - e^{-i \lambda_{q,i+1} \xi_{A_K, f_{i+1}}}) \\
&= e^{i \lambda_{q,i+1} (\xi_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} + \xi_{A_J, f_{i+1}} + \xi_{A_K, f_{i+1}})} + e^{i \lambda_{q,i+1} (\xi_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} - \xi_{A_J, f_{i+1}} - \xi_{A_K, f_{i+1}})} + e^{i \lambda_{q,i+1} (-\xi_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} + \xi_{A_J, f_{i+1}} - \xi_{A_K, f_{i+1}})} \\
&\quad + e^{i \lambda_{q,i+1} (-\xi_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} - \xi_{A_J, f_{i+1}} + \xi_{A_K, f_{i+1}})} - e^{i \lambda_{q,i+1} (\xi_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} + \xi_{A_J, f_{i+1}} - \xi_{A_K, f_{i+1}})} - e^{i \lambda_{q,i+1} (\xi_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} - \xi_{A_J, f_{i+1}} + \xi_{A_K, f_{i+1}})} \\
&\quad - e^{i \lambda_{q,i+1} (-\xi_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} + \xi_{A_J, f_{i+1}} + \xi_{A_K, f_{i+1}})} - e^{i \lambda_{q,i+1} (-\xi_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} - \xi_{A_J, f_{i+1}} - \xi_{A_K, f_{i+1}})},
\end{aligned} \tag{7.10}$$

where $I, J, K \in \mathcal{I}$. And we could divide the terms composed of products of Π_I and $\Pi_{I'}$ into low-frequency parts and high-frequency parts, for example $\Pi_I \Pi_{I'}$ can be written as

$$\Pi_I \Pi_{I'} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \Pi_I \Pi_{I'} dx}_{\mathbb{P}_{=0} \Pi_I \Pi_{I'}} + \underbrace{\Pi_I \Pi_{I'} - \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \Pi_I \Pi_{I'} dx}_{\mathbb{P}_{\geq \lambda_{q,i+1}} \Pi_I \Pi_{I'}}.$$

Then, we could first define $\widetilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}$ as

$$\widetilde{V}_{q,i+1,p} = \sum_I \widetilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^I = \sum_I \frac{\theta_I \chi_I d_{q,i+1} \widetilde{f}_{A_i^v} \otimes f_{i+1}}{i \sqrt{2} \lambda_{q,i+1}^2 [I]^2 |f_{i+1}|^4} \Pi_I = \sum_I \frac{V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof}}{i \lambda_{q,i+1}^2 [I]^2 |f_{i+1}|^2} \Pi_I, \quad (7.11)$$

where $\sum_I = \sum_s \sum_\nu$ and

$$\widetilde{f}_{A_i^v} = f_{i+1} + a_{A_i^v}(t) f_{i+1}^\perp, \quad \gamma_{q,i+1}^I = \frac{\theta_I \chi_I d_{q,i+1}}{\sqrt{2} |f_{i+1}|^2}, \quad V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} = \gamma_{q,i+1}^I \widetilde{f}_{A_i^v} \otimes f_{i+1}. \quad (7.12)$$

Next, we could define the principle part of the perturbation $\widetilde{u}_{q,i+1}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,p} &= \operatorname{div} \widetilde{V}_{q,i+1,p} = \sum_I \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}^I \\ &= \sum_I \frac{\theta_I \chi_I d_{q,i+1} \widetilde{f}_{A_i^v}}{\sqrt{2} \lambda_{q,i+1} [I] |f_{i+1}|^2} \widetilde{\Pi}_I + \sum_I \frac{(f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla)(\theta_I \chi_I d_{q,i+1}) \widetilde{f}_{A_i^v}}{i \sqrt{2} \lambda_{q,i+1}^2 [I]^2 |f_{i+1}|^4} \Pi_I \\ &= \sum_I \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1} [I]} (\gamma_{q,i+1}^I \widetilde{\Pi}_I + \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^I \Pi_I) \widetilde{f}_{A_i^v} \\ &= \sum_I \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1} [I]} (u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} e^{i \lambda_{q,i+1} \xi_{A_i^v, f_{i+1}}} + \bar{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} e^{-i \lambda_{q,i+1} \xi_{A_i^v, f_{i+1}}}) \widetilde{f}_{A_i^v}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.13)$$

$$= \sum_I \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1} [I]} (u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} e^{i \lambda_{q,i+1} \xi_{A_i^v, f_{i+1}}} + \bar{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} e^{-i \lambda_{q,i+1} \xi_{A_i^v, f_{i+1}}}) \widetilde{f}_{A_i^v}, \quad (7.14)$$

where

$$\gamma_{q,i+1,c}^I = \frac{(f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla) \gamma_{q,i+1}^I}{i \lambda_{q,i+1} [I] |f_{i+1}|^2}, \quad u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} = \gamma_{q,i+1}^I + \frac{(f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla) \gamma_{q,i+1}^I}{i \lambda_{q,i+1} [I] |f_{i+1}|^2}, \quad \bar{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} = \gamma_{q,i+1}^I - \frac{(f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla) \gamma_{q,i+1}^I}{i \lambda_{q,i+1} [I] |f_{i+1}|^2}. \quad (7.15)$$

Moreover, we could obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{supp} V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \cap \operatorname{supp} V_{q,i+1,p}^{J,cof} &= \emptyset, \\ \operatorname{supp} \gamma_{q,i+1}^{I,cof} \cap \operatorname{supp} \gamma_{q,i+1}^{J,cof} &= \operatorname{supp} \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^{I,cof} \cap \operatorname{supp} \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^{J,cof} = \operatorname{supp} \gamma_{q,i+1}^{I,cof} \cap \operatorname{supp} \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^{J,cof} = \emptyset, \\ \operatorname{supp} u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \cap \operatorname{supp} u_{q,i+1,p}^{J,cof} &= \operatorname{supp} \bar{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \cap \operatorname{supp} \bar{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{J,cof} = \operatorname{supp} u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \cap \operatorname{supp} \bar{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{J,cof} = \emptyset, \end{aligned} \quad (7.16)$$

if $1 < \|I - J\| := \max\{|s(I) - s(J)|, \|\nu(I) - \nu(J)\|\}$, where $\|\nu(I) - \nu(J)\| = \max_i \{|\nu_i(I) - \nu_i(J)|\}$. The main part of the perturbation can be also written as

$$\widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,p} = \sum_I \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1} [I]} (u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} w_{A_i^v, f_{i+1}} + \bar{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \bar{w}_{A_i^v, f_{i+1}}). \quad (7.17)$$

Then, we could calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,p} &= \sum_I \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}^I = \sum_I \nabla \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}^I \\ &= i \underbrace{\sum_I \gamma_{q,i+1}^I f_{i+1} \otimes f_{i+1} \Pi_I}_{\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m}} + i \underbrace{\sum_I \gamma_{q,i+1}^I a_{A_i^v}(t) f_{i+1}^\perp \otimes f_{i+1} \Pi_I}_{\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,s1}} + \underbrace{\sum_I \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1} [I]} (\widetilde{f}_{A_i^v} \otimes \nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^I \Pi_I + \widetilde{f}_{A_i^v} \otimes \gamma_{q,i+1,s}^I \widetilde{\Pi}_I)}_{\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,s2}}, \\ \partial_t \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,p} &= \sum_I \partial_t \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}^I \\ &= -i \underbrace{\sum_I (\lambda + 2\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}} |f_{i+1}| \gamma_{q,i+1}^I f_{i+1} \Pi_I}_{\widetilde{\partial}_t u_{q,i+1,m}} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ i \underbrace{\sum_I \left(((\lambda + 2\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}} |f_{i+1}| - \partial_t c_{A_i^v}) f_{i+1} - a_{A_i^v}(t) \partial_t c_{A_i^v} f_{i+1}^\perp \right) \gamma_{q,i+1}^I \Pi_I}_{\partial_t u_{q,i+1,s}} + \underbrace{\sum_I \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} (\partial_t (\gamma_{q,i+1,c}^I \tilde{f}_{A_i^v} \Pi_I) + \partial_t (\gamma_{q,i+1}^I \tilde{f}_{A_i^v}) \tilde{\Pi}_I)}_{\partial_t u_{q,i+1,s2}}.$$

where $\gamma_{q,i+1,s}^I := \nabla \gamma_{q,i+1}^I + i \lambda_{q,i+1}[I] \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^I f_{i+1}$.

For convenience, we use the following notations:

$$\tilde{u}_{q,i+1,k}^{(v)} = \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,k}^{(v)}, \quad \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,k}^{(v)} = \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,k}^{(v)}, \quad \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,k}^{(v)} = \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,k}^{(v)}, \quad \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,k}^{(1),(v)} = \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,k}^{(1),I}, \quad (7.18)$$

where the subscript $k = p, m, s, s1, s2$, and $\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,p}^{(1),I}$, $\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m}^{(1),I}$, $\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s}^{(1),I}$, $\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s1}^{(1),I}$, and $\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s2}^{(1),I}$ are obtained by replacing $\tilde{G}_{q,i+1}$ in $\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1)}$ mentioned in Section 6, with $\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}^{(1)}$, $\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,m}^{(1)}$, $\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,s}^{(1)}$, $\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,s1}^{(1)}$, and $\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,s2}^{(1)}$. Here, we give the specific form of them.

1. The specific form of $\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m}^{(1),(v)} = \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)} + \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m2}^{(1),(v)}$:

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m}^{(1),(v)} = \underbrace{\sum_{s:I=(s,v)} i \gamma_{q,i+1}^I \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m}^{(1),cofm} \Pi_I}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)}} + \underbrace{\sum_{s:I=(s,v)} i \gamma_{q,i+1}^I \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m}^{(1),cofc} \Pi_I}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m2}^{(1),(v)}}, \quad (7.19)$$

where

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m}^{(1),cofm} = 4 \left((\sigma_{11} + \sigma_2) |f_{i+1}|^2 \text{Id} - \sigma_2 (f_{i+1} \otimes f_{i+1}) \right), \quad (7.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m}^{(1),cofc} = & 4 \left(((2\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{111}) \tilde{J}_1^{(0)} + \sigma_{22} \tilde{J}_2^{(0)}) |f_{i+1}|^2 \text{Id} - (\sigma_{12} \tilde{J}_1^{(0)} + \sigma_{22} \tilde{J}_2^{(0)}) (f_{i+1} \otimes f_{i+1}) \right) \\ & + 4 \left(f_{i+1}^\top \left((\sigma_{11} + \sigma_2 + (2\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{111}) \tilde{J}_1^{(0)} + \sigma_{22} \tilde{J}_2^{(0)}) G_{\ell,i} - \sigma_{12} C_{\ell,i} (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) \right) f_{i+1} \right) \text{Id} \\ & + 4 \left(f_{i+1}^\top \left((\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{22} \tilde{J}_1^{(0)}) (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) - \sigma_{22} C_{\ell,i} (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) \right) f_{i+1} \right) (\text{tr } C_{\ell,i} \text{Id} - D_{\ell,i}) \\ & - 4 (\sigma_{12} \tilde{J}_1^{(0)} + \sigma_{22} \tilde{J}_2^{(0)}) (f_{i+1} \otimes f_{i+1}) - 2 (\sigma_2 + \sigma_{12} \tilde{J}_1^{(0)} + \sigma_{22} \tilde{J}_2^{(0)}) \left((G_{\ell,i}^\top f_{i+1}) \otimes f_{i+1} + f_{i+1} \otimes (G_{\ell,i}^\top f_{i+1}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (7.21)$$

Next, we denote $\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1)} = \sum_v \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)}$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m2}^{(1)} = \sum_v \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m2}^{(1),(v)}$.

2. The specific form of $\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s1}^{(1),(v)}$:

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s1}^{(1),(v)} = \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} i \gamma_{q,i+1}^I a_{A_i^v}(t) \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s1}^{(1),cof} \Pi_I, \quad (7.22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s1}^{(1),cof} = & 4 \left((f_{i+1}^\perp)^\top \left((\sigma_{11} + \sigma_2 + (2\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{111}) \tilde{J}_1^{(0)} + \sigma_{22} \tilde{J}_2^{(0)}) G_{\ell,i} - \sigma_{12} C_{\ell,i} (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) \right) f_{i+1} \right) \text{Id} \\ & + 4 \left((f_{i+1}^\perp)^\top \left((\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{22} \tilde{J}_1^{(0)}) G_{\ell,i} - \sigma_{22} C_{\ell,i} (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) \right) f_{i+1} \right) (\text{tr } C_{\ell,i} \text{Id} - D_{\ell,i}) \\ & - 2 (\sigma_2 + \sigma_{12} \tilde{J}_1^{(0)} + \sigma_{22} \tilde{J}_2^{(0)}) \left(((\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}^\top) f_{i+1}^\perp) \otimes f_{i+1} + f_{i+1} \otimes ((\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}^\top) f_{i+1}^\perp) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (7.23)$$

3. The specific form of $\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s2}^{(1),(v)}$:

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s2}^{(1),(v)} = \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} \left(\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s2}^{(1),I,cof1} \Pi_I + \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s2}^{(1),I,cof2} \tilde{\Pi}_I \right), \quad (7.24)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s2}^{(1),I,cof1} = & 4 \left(\tilde{f}_{A_i^v}^\top \left((\sigma_{11} + \sigma_2 + (2\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{111}) \tilde{J}_1^{(0)} + \sigma_{22} \tilde{J}_2^{(0)}) (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) - \sigma_{12} C_{\ell,i} (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) \right) \nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^I \right) \text{Id} \\ & + 4 \left(\tilde{f}_{A_i^v}^\top \left((\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{22} \tilde{J}_1^{(0)}) (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) - \sigma_{22} C_{\ell,i} (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) \right) \nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^I \right) (\text{tr } C_{\ell,i} \text{Id} - D_{\ell,i}) \\ & - 2 (\sigma_2 + \sigma_{12} \tilde{J}_1^{(0)} + \sigma_{22} \tilde{J}_2^{(0)}) \left(((\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}^\top) \tilde{f}_{A_i^v}) \otimes \nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^I + \nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^I \otimes ((\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}^\top) \tilde{f}_{A_i^v}) \right), \\ \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s2}^{(1),I,cof2} = & 4 \left(\tilde{f}_{A_i^v}^\top \left((\sigma_{11} + \sigma_2 + (2\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{111}) \tilde{J}_1^{(0)} + \sigma_{22} \tilde{J}_2^{(0)}) (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) - \sigma_{12} C_{\ell,i} (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) \right) \gamma_{q,i+1,s}^I \right) \text{Id} \\ & + 4 \left(\tilde{f}_{A_i^v}^\top \left((\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{22} \tilde{J}_1^{(0)}) (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) - \sigma_{22} C_{\ell,i} (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) \right) \gamma_{q,i+1,s}^I \right) (\text{tr } C_{\ell,i} \text{Id} - D_{\ell,i}) \\ & - 2 (\sigma_2 + \sigma_{12} \tilde{J}_1^{(0)} + \sigma_{22} \tilde{J}_2^{(0)}) \left(((\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}^\top) \tilde{f}_{A_i^v}) \otimes \gamma_{q,i+1,s}^I + \gamma_{q,i+1,s}^I \otimes ((\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}^\top) \tilde{f}_{A_i^v}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (7.25)$$

In order to ensure that the divergence of the new Reynolds errors, defined later in Section 8.2, satisfies (5.57) with $y = x + u_{\ell,i} + \tilde{u}_{q,i+1}$, we need two additional terms $\tilde{u}_{q,i+1,L}$ and $\tilde{u}_{q,i+1,M}$. Moreover, we provide two parameters to determine the range where angular momentum correction is required.

$$S_{q,i}^{\min} := \lfloor (\min \text{supp}_t \tilde{e}_{q,i}) / \tau_{q,i} \rfloor, \quad S_{q,i}^{\max} := \lceil T / \tau_{q,i} \rceil + 1. \quad (7.26)$$

Then, we could define a cutoff function $\theta_{q,i}^*(t) \in C^\infty(\mathcal{I}^{q,i})$ satisfies

$$\theta_{q,i}^* = \begin{cases} 1, & t \in (S_{q,i}^{\min} \tau_{q,i}, T + \tau_{q,i}], \\ \theta^*(\tau_{q,i}^{-1}(t - (S_{q,i}^{\min} \tau_{q,i} - \tau_{q,i}))), & t \in [S_{q,i}^{\min} \tau_{q,i} - \tau_{q,i}, S_{q,i}^{\min} \tau_{q,i}], \\ 0, & t \in [-\tau_{q,i}, S_{q,i}^{\min} \tau_{q,i} - \tau_{q,i}], \end{cases} \quad (7.27)$$

where θ^* is defined as (2.5), and

$$\|\theta_{q,i}^*\|_{C_r^r(\mathcal{I}^{q,i})} \lesssim_r \tau_{q,i}^{-r}. \quad (7.28)$$

Remark 7.4. In the proof of Proposition 2.6, we usually have

$$0 < \min \text{supp}_t \tilde{e}_{q,i} < T + \tau_{q,i}, \quad 0 < S_{q,i}^{\min} < S_{q,i}^{\max}. \quad (7.29)$$

We need another time-cutoff function: $\Theta_0 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies $\Theta_0 = 1$ on $[0, 1]$ and $\Theta_0 = 0$ on $(-1/4, 5/4)^c$. Then, we could give the following cutoff function

$$\Theta_{q,i}^{(S)} = \Theta_0(\tau_{q,i}^{-1}t - S), \quad S_{q,i}^{\min} \leq S \leq S_{q,i}^{\max}, \quad (7.30)$$

and $\Theta_S(t) := \Theta_{q,i}^{(S)}(\tau_{q,i}t)$.

In order to define $\tilde{u}_{q,i+1,L}$ and $\tilde{u}_{q,i+1,M}$, we first introduce a compactly supported smooth function $\psi, \Psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ which satisfies

$$\psi = \Delta \Psi, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \psi(x) dx = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \psi^2(x) dx = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{supp} \psi \in B\left(0, \frac{1}{16}\right). \quad (7.31)$$

In the following, for any smooth function $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$, we set

$$f_L^{(v)} = f\left(\frac{x}{\mu_{q,i}} - \frac{\pi}{2}e_1 - 2\pi v\right), \quad f_M^{(v)} = f\left(\frac{x}{\mu_{q,i}} - \frac{\pi}{2}e_2 - 2\pi v\right).$$

where $e_i, i = 1, 2$ denotes the standard unit vector.

And then, we define $\tilde{V}_{q,i+1,L}$ and $\tilde{V}_{q,i+1,M}$ as

$$\tilde{V}_{q,i+1,k} = \sum_v \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,k}^{(v)} = \sum_v g_k(t) \otimes \nabla \Psi_k^{(v)}, \quad k = L, M, \quad (7.32)$$

where $g_L(t) = \theta_{q,i}^*(t)(\varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}, g_{L,2}(t))^\top$ and $g_M(t) = \theta_{q,i}^*(t)(\varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}, g_{M,2}(t))^\top$ are smooth function of time t and

$$g_{L,2}(t) = \sum_{S=S_{q,i}^{\min}}^{S_{q,i}^{\max}} \Theta_{q,i}^{(S)}(t) g_{L,2}^{(S)}(\tau_{q,i}^{-1}t), \quad g_{M,2}(t) = \sum_{S=S_{q,i}^{\min}}^{S_{q,i}^{\max}} \Theta_{q,i}^{(S)}(t) g_{M,2}^{(S)}(\tau_{q,i}^{-1}t), \quad (7.33)$$

which will be defined later. Then, $\tilde{u}_{q,i+1,L}, \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,M}, \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,L}$, and $\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,M}$ can be defined as

$$\tilde{u}_{q,i+1,k} = \text{div} \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,k} = \sum_v \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,k}^{(v)} = \sum_v \mu_{q,i}^{-1} g_k(t) \psi_k^{(v)}, \quad k = L, M, \quad (7.34)$$

$$\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,k} = \nabla \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,k} = \sum_v \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,k}^{(v)} = \sum_v \mu_{q,i}^{-2} g_k(t) \otimes \nabla \psi_k^{(v)}, \quad k = L, M. \quad (7.35)$$

For convenience, we define the correction term as

$$\tilde{u}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(v)} = \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,L}^{(v)} + \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,M}^{(v)}, \quad \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(v)} = \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,L}^{(v)} + \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,M}^{(v)}, \quad \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(v)} = \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,L}^{(v)} + \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,M}^{(v)}, \quad (7.36)$$

$$\tilde{u}_{q,i+1,ac} = \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,L} + \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,M}, \quad \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,ac} = \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,L} + \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,M}, \quad \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac} = \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,L} + \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,M}. \quad (7.37)$$

Similarly, we could define $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,L}^{(1),(v)}$, $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,M}^{(1),(v)}$, and $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(1),(v)}$ which corresponds to the term $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1)}$ mentioned in Section 6. For its components, we replace $\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}$ with $\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,L}^{(v)}$, $\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,M}^{(v)}$, and $\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(v)}$ respectively. Then, we have

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(1),(v)} = \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,L}^{(1),(v)} + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,M}^{(1),(v)}, \quad \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1)} = \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,L}^{(1)} + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,M}^{(1)} = \sum_v \left(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,L}^{(1),(v)} + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,M}^{(1),(v)} \right), \quad (7.38)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,L}^{(1),(v)} &= 4\mu_{q,i}^{-2} \left(g_L^\top(t) \left((\sigma_{11} + \sigma_2 + (2\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{111})\widetilde{J}_1^{(0)} + \sigma_{22}\widetilde{J}_2^{(0)}) (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) - \sigma_{12}C_{\ell,i}(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) \right) \nabla\psi_L^{(v)} \right) \text{Id} \\ &\quad + 4\mu_{q,i}^{-2} \left(g_L^\top(t) \left((\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{22}\widetilde{J}_1^{(0)}) (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) - \sigma_{22}C_{\ell,i}(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) \right) \nabla\psi_L^{(v)} \right) (\text{tr } C_{\ell,i} \text{Id} - D_{\ell,i}) \\ &\quad - 2\mu_{q,i}^{-2} (\sigma_2 + \sigma_{12}\widetilde{J}_1^{(0)} + \sigma_{22}\widetilde{J}_2^{(0)}) \left(((\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}^\top)g_L(t)) \otimes \nabla\psi_L^{(v)} + \nabla\psi_L^{(v)} \otimes ((\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}^\top)g_M(t)) \right), \\ \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,M}^{(1),(v)} &= 4\mu_{q,i}^{-2} \left(g_M^\top(t) \left((\sigma_{11} + \sigma_2 + (2\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{111})\widetilde{J}_1^{(0)} + \sigma_{22}\widetilde{J}_2^{(0)}) (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) - \sigma_{12}C_{\ell,i}(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) \right) \nabla\psi_M^{(v)} \right) \text{Id} \\ &\quad + 4\mu_{q,i}^{-2} \left(g_M^\top(t) \left((\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{22}\widetilde{J}_1^{(0)}) (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) - \sigma_{22}C_{\ell,i}(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) \right) \nabla\psi_M^{(v)} \right) (\text{tr } C_{\ell,i} \text{Id} - D_{\ell,i}) \\ &\quad - 2\mu_{q,i}^{-2} (\sigma_2 + \sigma_{12}\widetilde{J}_1^{(0)} + \sigma_{22}\widetilde{J}_2^{(0)}) \left(((\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}^\top)g_M(t)) \otimes \nabla\psi_M^{(v)} + \nabla\psi_M^{(v)} \otimes ((\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}^\top)g_M(t)) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (7.39)$$

Notice that they satisfy these following properties:

1. The support of $\widetilde{V}_{q,i+1,L}$, $\widetilde{V}_{q,i+1,M}$, $\widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,L}$, $\widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,M}$, $\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,L}$, $\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,M}$, $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,L}^{(1)}$, and $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,M}^{(1)}$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp } \widetilde{V}_{q,i+1,L} \cap \text{supp } \widetilde{V}_{q,i+1,M} &= \emptyset, & \text{supp } \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,L} \cap \text{supp } \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,M} &= \emptyset, \\ \text{supp } \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,L} \cap \text{supp } \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,M} &= \emptyset, & \text{supp } \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,L}^{(1)} \cap \text{supp } \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,M}^{(1)} &= \emptyset. \end{aligned} \quad (7.40)$$

2. The support of $\widetilde{V}_{q,i+1,L}^{(v)}$, $\widetilde{V}_{q,i+1,M}^{(v)}$, $\widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,L}^{(v)}$, $\widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,M}^{(v)}$, $\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,L}^{(v)}$, $\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,M}^{(v)}$, $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,L}^{(1),(v)}$, and $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,M}^{(1),(v)}$ satisfy that for $I = (s, v) \in \mathcal{I}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}_x \widetilde{V}_{q,i+1,L}^{(v)}, \text{supp}_x \widetilde{V}_{q,i+1,M}^{(v)} &\subseteq \{x \mid \chi_I(x) = \chi_v(\mu_{q,i}^{-1}x) = 1\} \subseteq \text{supp}_x \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)}, \\ \text{supp}_x \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,L}^{(v)}, \text{supp}_x \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,M}^{(v)} &\subseteq \{x \mid \chi_I(x) = \chi_v(\mu_{q,i}^{-1}x) = 1\} \subseteq \text{supp}_x \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)}, \\ \text{supp}_x \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,L}^{(v)}, \text{supp}_x \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,M}^{(v)} &\subseteq \{x \mid \chi_I(x) = \chi_v(\mu_{q,i}^{-1}x) = 1\} \subseteq \text{supp}_x \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)}, \\ \text{supp}_x \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,L}^{(1),(v)}, \text{supp}_x \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,M}^{(1),(v)} &\subseteq \{x \mid \chi_I(x) = \chi_v(\mu_{q,i}^{-1}x) = 1\} \subseteq \text{supp}_x \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.41)$$

Remark 7.5. The above property enables us to construct $g_L(t)$ and $g_M(t)$ later, ensuring that condition (5.57) is satisfied with fewer cross terms, thereby making the construction more concise.

Then, we could give the decomposition of $\widetilde{V}_{q,i+1}$, $\widetilde{u}_{q,i+1}$, $\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}$, and $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),(v)}$:

$$\widetilde{V}_{q,i+1}^{(v)} = \widetilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} + \widetilde{V}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(v)}, \quad \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1}^{(v)} = \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} + \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(v)}, \quad \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^{(v)} = \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(v)}, \quad \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),(v)} = \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,p}^{(1),(v)} + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(1),(v)}.$$

Moreover, we could give the specific form of $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),(v)}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),(v)} &= \underbrace{\sum_{s:I=(s,v)} i\gamma_{q,i+1}^I \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m}^{(1),cofm} \Pi_I}_{\sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cofm} \Pi_I} + \underbrace{\sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \left(i\gamma_{q,i+1}^I (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m}^{(1),cofc} + a_{A_I^v}(t) \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s1}^{(1),cof}) + \frac{\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s2}^{(1),I,cof1}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} \right) \Pi_I}_{\sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof1} \Pi_I} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \frac{\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s2}^{(1),I,cof2}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} \widetilde{\Pi}_I}_{\sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof2} \widetilde{\Pi}_I} + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,L}^{(1),(v)} + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,M}^{(1),(v)}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.42)$$

Next, we will divide $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(2),m}$ into two parts $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,p}^{(2),m}$ and $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s}^{(2),m}$, and give the specific form of $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(2),m}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,p}^{(2),m} &:= \sum_v \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,p}^{(2),m,(v)} \\ &= \sum_v \sum_{\|v'-v\| \leq 1} \left(2(\sigma_{11} - \sigma_{12} + \sigma_2) \text{tr}(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m}^{(v)} (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m}^{(v')})^\top) + 4(3\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{111}) \text{tr} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m}^{(v)} \text{tr} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m}^{(v')} \right) \text{Id} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_v \sum_{\|v'-v\| \leq 1} \left(2\sigma_{12} \operatorname{tr}(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m}^{(v)} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m}^{(v')}) \right) \operatorname{Id} \\
& - \sum_v \sum_{\|v'-v\| \leq 1} \left(2\sigma_2 (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m}^{(v)})^\top \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m}^{(v')} + 4\sigma_{12} \operatorname{tr} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m}^{(v)} (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m}^{(v')} + (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m}^{(v')})^\top) \right) \\
& = - \sum_{\substack{s:l=(s,v) \\ s':l'=(s',v') \\ \|l'-l\| \leq 1}} \sum_{\|v'-v\| \leq 1} |f_{i+1}|^4 (\gamma_{q,i+1}^l \gamma_{q,i+1}^{l'}) \left(\sigma^* \operatorname{Id} - (2\sigma_2 + 8\sigma_{12}) \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \otimes \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \right) \Pi_{l,l'}, \tag{7.43}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s}^{(2),m} & = 2(\sigma_{11} - \sigma_{12} + \sigma_2) \operatorname{tr} \left(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,s} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac})^\top + (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,s} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}) (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1})^\top \right) \operatorname{Id} \\
& + 4(3\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{111}) \left(\operatorname{tr}(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m}) \operatorname{tr}(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,s} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}) + \operatorname{tr}(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,s} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}) \operatorname{tr}(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}) \right) \operatorname{Id} \\
& - 2\sigma_{12} \operatorname{tr} \left(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,s} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}) + (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,s} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}) \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} \right) \operatorname{Id} \\
& - 2\sigma_2 \left((\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m})^\top (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,s} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}) + (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,s} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac})^\top \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} \right) \\
& - 4\sigma_{12} \operatorname{tr} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,s} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac} + (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,s} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac})^\top) \\
& - 4\sigma_{12} \operatorname{tr} (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,s} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}) (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} + (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1})^\top). \tag{7.44}
\end{aligned}$$

Before we give the detailed construction of g_L and g_M , we need to calculate the new Reynolds error $R_{q,i+1}$ after adding perturbation $\widetilde{u}_{q,i+1} := \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,p} + \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,ac}$ to $u_{\ell,i}$. We could divide $\operatorname{div}((\operatorname{Id} + G_{q,i+1})R_{q,i+1})$ into three parts:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{div}((\operatorname{Id} + G_{q,i+1})R_{q,i+1}) \\
& = \underbrace{\partial_{tt}(\widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,p} + \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,L}) - \operatorname{div} \left(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i}) + (\operatorname{Id} + G_{q,i+1}) \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1)} - (\operatorname{Id} + G_{q,i+1}) \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1)} \right)}_{\operatorname{div}((\operatorname{Id} + G_{q,i+1})R_p)} \\
& + \underbrace{\operatorname{div} \left((\operatorname{Id} + G_{q,i+1}) (\widetilde{e}_{q,i} \operatorname{Id}^{<i+1>} + R_{\ell,i} - \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1)} - \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(2)} - \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(\geq 3)}) \right)}_{\operatorname{div}((\operatorname{Id} + G_{q,i+1})R_0) = \operatorname{div}((\operatorname{Id} + G_{q,i+1})R_{O1}) + \operatorname{div}((\operatorname{Id} + G_{q,i+1})R_{O2}) + \operatorname{div}((\operatorname{Id} + G_{q,i+1})R_{O3})} + \underbrace{\partial_{tt} \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,M} + \operatorname{div}(R_{m,i})}_{\operatorname{div}((\operatorname{Id} + G_{q,i+1})R_M)}, \tag{7.45}
\end{aligned}$$

The second term naturally has the form $\operatorname{div}((\operatorname{Id} + G_{q,i+1})R_0)$ for some symmetric matrix R_0 due to its special form, so we only need to consider the other terms. Our goal is to ensure that the other terms above each can be divided into different compactly supported parts which satisfy (5.57) individually. Noting the divergence form of the perturbation terms, the first integral condition of (5.57) is naturally satisfied, so we mainly focus on the second condition, which is the conservation of angular momentum. This is the reason for constructing $\widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,L}$ and $\widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,M}$.

We first consider the following decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{tt} \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,M} + \operatorname{div} R_{m,i} = \sum_v \left(\partial_{tt} \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,M}^{(v)} + \operatorname{div}(\chi_v^2(\mu_{q,i}^{-1}x)R_{m,i}) \right), \\
& \partial_{tt}(\widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,p} + \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,L}) - \operatorname{div} \left(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i}) + (\operatorname{Id} + G_{q,i+1}) (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1)} - \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1)}) \right) \\
& = \sum_v \left(\partial_{tt} \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} + \partial_{tt} \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,L}^{(v)} - \operatorname{div} \left(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}^{(v)} (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i}) + (\operatorname{Id} + G_{q,i+1}) (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),(v)} - \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)}) \right) \right), \\
& \operatorname{div} \left((\operatorname{Id} + G_{q,i+1}) (c_{q,i} + R_{\ell,i} - \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1)} - \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(2)} - \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(\geq 3)}) \right) \\
& = \sum_v \operatorname{div} \left((\operatorname{Id} + G_{q,i+1}) \left(\sigma^* \chi_v^2(\mu_{q,i}^{-1}x) d_{q,i+1}^2 \left(\operatorname{Id} - \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \otimes \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \right) - \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)} - \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,p}^{(2),m,(v)} \right) \right) \\
& + \operatorname{div} \left((\operatorname{Id} + G_{q,i+1}) \left(\widetilde{e}_{q,i} \operatorname{Id}^{<i+1>} + R_{\ell,i} - \sigma^* d_{q,i+1}^2 \left(\operatorname{Id} - \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \otimes \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \right) - \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s}^{(2),m} - \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(2),c} - \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(\geq 3)} \right) \right), \tag{7.46}
\end{aligned}$$

where each part has support contained within $\operatorname{supp} \chi_v(\mu_{q,i}^{-1}\cdot)$.

Remark 7.6. Note that there are two ways to decompose $\operatorname{div} R_{m,i}$: $\operatorname{div} R_{m,i} = \sum_v \operatorname{div}(\chi_v^2(\mu_{q,i}^{-1}x)R_{m,i})$ and $\operatorname{div} R_{m,i} = \sum_v \chi_v^2(\mu_{q,i}^{-1}x) \operatorname{div}(R_{m,i})$. These two decompositions lead to significant differences in the angular momentum estimates below. We choose the former for better local angular momentum estimation.

So we need to choose proper $\tilde{u}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(v)}$ which make the following integrals equal to zero for every v . Due to (7.31), (7.40), (7.41), and for any vector a and compactly supported matrix function K ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} a \times \operatorname{div} K dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (a_1 \partial_j K_{2j} - a_2 \partial_j K_{1j}) dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (K_{1j} \partial_j a_2 - K_{2j} \partial_j a_1) dx := \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (K(\nabla a)^\top)_{12-21} dx, \quad (7.47)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (y_{\ell,i} + \tilde{u}_{q,i+1}) \times \left(\partial_H \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,M}^{(v)} + \operatorname{div}(\chi_v^2(\mu_{q,i}^{-1}x)R_{m,i}) \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} y_{\ell,i} \times \operatorname{div}(\chi_v^2(\mu_{q,i}^{-1}x)R_{m,i}) dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \sum_{\|v'-v\| \leq 1} \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v')} \times \operatorname{div}(\chi_v^2(\mu_{q,i}^{-1}x)R_{m,i}) dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(v)} \times \operatorname{div} R_{m,i} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} y_{\ell,i} \times \partial_H(\operatorname{div}(\tilde{V}_{q,i+1,M}^{(v)})) dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \operatorname{div}(\tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)}) \times \partial_H \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,M}^{(v)} dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,M}^{(v)} \times \partial_H \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,M}^{(v)} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\chi_v^2(\mu_{q,i}^{-1}x)R_{m,i}(\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i}^\top))_{12-21} dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \sum_{\|v'-v\| \leq 1} \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v')} \times \operatorname{div}(\chi_v^2(\mu_{q,i}^{-1}x)R_{m,i}) dx \\ &\quad + \mu_{q,i}^{-1} \left(g_M(t) \times \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \psi_M^{(v)} \operatorname{div} R_{m,i} dx + g_L(t) \times \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \psi_L^{(v)} \operatorname{div} R_{m,i} dx \right) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\partial_H \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,M}^{(v)}(\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i}^\top))_{12-21} dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\partial_H \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,M}^{(v)}(\tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)})^\top)_{12-21} dx + g_M(t) \times \partial_H g_M(t), \\ 0 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (y_{\ell,i} + \tilde{u}_{q,i+1}) \times \left(\partial_H \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} + \partial_H \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,L}^{(v)} - \operatorname{div}(\tilde{G}_{q,i+1}^{(v)}(\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})) \right) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (y_{\ell,i} + \tilde{u}_{q,i+1}) \times \operatorname{div} \left((\operatorname{Id} + G_{q,i+1})(\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),(v)} - \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)}) \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (y_{\ell,i} + \sum_{\|v'-v\| \leq 1} \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v')} + \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(v)}) \times (\partial_H \operatorname{div}(\tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)})) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (y_{\ell,i} + \tilde{u}_{q,i+1}) \times \operatorname{div}(\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)}(\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (y_{\ell,i} + \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} + \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(v)}) \times \operatorname{div}(\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(v)}(\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} y_{\ell,i} \times \partial_H(\operatorname{div}(\tilde{V}_{q,i+1,L}^{(v)})) dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \operatorname{div}(\tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)}) \times \partial_H \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,L}^{(v)} dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,L}^{(v)} \times \partial_H \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,L}^{(v)} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\partial_H \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)}(\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i} + \sum_{\|v'-v\| \leq 1} \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v')})^\top)_{12-21} dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)}(\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})(\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i} + \sum_{\|v'-v\|=1} \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v')})^\top)_{12-21} dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(v)}(\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})(\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i}^\top))_{12-21} dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\partial_H \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)}(\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(v)})^\top)_{12-21} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\partial_H \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,L}^{(v)}(\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i}^\top))_{12-21} dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\partial_H \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,L}^{(v)}(\tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)})^\top)_{12-21} dx + g_L(t) \times \partial_H g_L(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $y_{\ell,i} = x + u_{\ell,i}$, and we have used that

$$\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)} = -4\sigma_{11} \sum_{\|v'-v\| \leq 1} \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{s':I'=(s',v')} \gamma_{q,i+1}^I \gamma_{q,i+1}^{I'} |f_{i+1}|^2 (f_{i+1} \otimes f_{i+1}) \Pi_{I,I'},$$

is a symmetric matrix.

We first consider the case $\theta_{q,i}^* \equiv 1$, $t \in [S_{q,i}^{\min} \tau_{q,i}, (S_{q,i}^{\max} + 1) \tau_{q,i}]$. Then, we can rewrite the above equations as

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\chi_v^2(\mu_{q,i}^{-1}x)R_{m,i}(\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i}^\top))_{12-21} dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \sum_{\|v'-v\| \leq 1} \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v')} \times \operatorname{div}(\chi_v^2(\mu_{q,i}^{-1}x)R_{m,i}) dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \varepsilon \mu_{q,i} \delta_{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\psi_M^{(v)} + \psi_L^{(v)}) (\operatorname{div} R_{m,i})_2 dx - \mu_{q,i}^{-1} \left(g_{M,2}(t) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \psi_M^{(v)} (\operatorname{div} R_{m,i})_1 dx + g_{L,2}(t) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \psi_L^{(v)} (\operatorname{div} R_{m,i})_1 dx \right) \\
& + \left(\varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1} - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} ((\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) \nabla \Psi_M^{(v)})_1 dx - \mu_{q,i}^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} \nabla \psi_M^{(v)})_1 dx \right) \partial_t g_{M,2}(t), \\
0 = & \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\partial_t \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} (\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i} + \sum_{\|v'-v\| \leq 1} \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v')})^\top)_{12-21} dx \\
& - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i}) (\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i} + \sum_{\|v'-v\|=1} \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v')})^\top)_{12-21} dx \\
& - \varepsilon \delta_{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} ((\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i}) \nabla (\psi_M^{(v)} + \psi_L^{(v)}))_2 dx - \varepsilon \delta_{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\partial_t \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} \nabla (\psi_M^{(v)} + \psi_L^{(v)}))_2 dx \\
& + \mu_{q,i}^{-2} g_{M,2}(t) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} ((\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i}) \nabla \psi_M^{(v)})_1 dx \\
& + \mu_{q,i}^{-2} g_{L,2}(t) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} ((\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i}) \nabla \psi_L^{(v)})_1 dx \\
& + \mu_{q,i}^{-2} g_{M,2}(t) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\partial_t \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} \nabla \psi_M^{(v)})_1 dx + \mu_{q,i}^{-2} g_{L,2}(t) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\partial_t \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} \nabla \psi_L^{(v)})_1 dx \\
& + \left(\varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1} - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} ((\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) \nabla \Psi_L^{(v)})_1 dx - \mu_{q,i}^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} \nabla \psi_L^{(v)})_1 dx \right) \partial_t g_{L,2}(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Actually, it's a linear ODE of $g_{L,2}$ and $g_{M,2}$. It can be rewritten as

$$H \partial_t U + BU = E, \quad (7.48)$$

where $U = (g_{L,2}(t), g_{M,2}(t))^\top$, $H = (H_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$, $B = (B_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$, $E = (E_i) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{11} &= \varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1} - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} ((\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) \nabla \Psi_L^{(v)})_1 dx - \mu_{q,i}^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} \nabla \psi_L^{(v)})_1 dx, \quad H_{12} = 0, \\
H_{22} &= \varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1} - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} ((\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) \nabla \Psi_M^{(v)})_1 dx - \mu_{q,i}^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} \nabla \psi_M^{(v)})_1 dx, \quad H_{21} = 0, \\
B_{11} &= \mu_{q,i}^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} ((\partial_t \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} + (\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})) \nabla \psi_L^{(v)})_1 dx, \quad B_{21} = -\mu_{q,i}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \psi_L^{(v)} (\operatorname{div} R_{m,i})_1 dx, \\
B_{12} &= \mu_{q,i}^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} ((\partial_t \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} + (\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})) \nabla \psi_M^{(v)})_1 dx, \quad B_{22} = -\mu_{q,i}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \psi_M^{(v)} (\operatorname{div} R_{m,i})_1 dx, \\
E_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\partial_t \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} (\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i} + \sum_{\|v'-v\| \leq 1} \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v')})^\top)_{12-21} dx \\
& - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i}) (\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i} + \sum_{\|v'-v\|=1} \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v')})^\top)_{12-21} dx \\
& - \varepsilon \delta_{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} ((\partial_t \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} + (\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})) \nabla (\psi_M^{(v)} + \psi_L^{(v)}))_2 dx \\
E_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\chi_v^2 (\mu_{q,i}^{-1} x) R_{m,i} (\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i}^\top))_{12-21} dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \sum_{\|v'-v\| \leq 1} \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v')} \times \operatorname{div} (\chi_v^2 (\mu_{q,i}^{-1} x) R_{m,i}) dx \\
& + \varepsilon \mu_{q,i} \delta_{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\psi_M^{(v)} + \psi_L^{(v)}) (\operatorname{div} R_{m,i})_2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 7.7. Even though all the functions here depend only on time t , for the sake of notational consistency and simplicity, we adopt the partial derivative symbol ∂_t to represent derivatives with respect to t instead of the standard derivative symbol $\frac{d}{dt}$. This convention not only simplifies the notation but also aligns with potential future analyses involving multiple variables.

Actually, from (2.19) and (7.27), we have

$$[-\tau_{q,i}, S_{q,i}^{\min} \tau_{q,i}] \cap \operatorname{supp}_t \tilde{e}_{q,i} = \emptyset.$$

and then, for $t \in [-\tau_{q,i}, S_{q,i}^{\min} \tau_{q,i}]$, we have $\tilde{e}_{q,i} = 0$, $\tilde{u}_{q,i+1,p} = u_{\ell,i} = 0$, $\tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p} = R_{m,i} = R_{\ell,i} = 0$, and $G_{\ell,i} = 0$, which leads to

$$H_{11} = H_{22} = \varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}, \quad H_{12} = H_{21} = B_{11} = B_{12} = B_{21} = B_{22} = E_1 = E_2 = 0. \quad (7.49)$$

Recall (7.33), for $S_{q,i}^{\min} \leq S \leq S_{q,i}^{\max}$, we need to define $g_{L,2}^{(S)}$ and $g_{M,2}^{(S)}$. In particular, the definitions of $g_{L,2}^{(S+1)}$ and $g_{M,2}^{(S+1)}$ depend on the definitions of $g_{L,2}^{(S)}$ and $g_{M,2}^{(S)}$. Here, we first choose $g_{L,2}^{(S_{q,i}^{\min})}$ and $g_{M,2}^{(S_{q,i}^{\min})}$ to satisfy $U^{(S_{q,i}^{\min})} = 0$, $t \in (-\infty, S_{q,i}^{\min} \tau_{q,i})$, and

$$\begin{cases} H \partial_{tt} U^{(S_{q,i}^{\min})} + B U^{(S_{q,i}^{\min})} = E, & t \in [S_{q,i}^{\min} \tau_{q,i}, (S_{q,i}^{\min} + 5/4) \tau_{q,i}], \\ U^{(S_{q,i}^{\min})}(S_{q,i}^{\min} \tau_{q,i}) = (0, 0)^\top, & \partial_t U^{(S_{q,i}^{\min})}(S_{q,i}^{\min} \tau_{q,i}) = (0, 0)^\top, \end{cases} \quad (7.50)$$

where $U^{(S_{q,i}^{\min})}(t) = (g_{L,2}^{(S_{q,i}^{\min})}(\tau_{q,i}^{-1}t), g_{M,2}^{(S_{q,i}^{\min})}(\tau_{q,i}^{-1}t))^\top$. By a change of variables, we can rewrite the above equation as

$$\begin{cases} \tau_{q,i}^{-2} \tilde{H} \partial_{tt} \tilde{U}^{(S_{q,i}^{\min})} + \tilde{B} \tilde{U}^{(S_{q,i}^{\min})} = \tilde{E}, & t \in [S_{q,i}^{\min}, S_{q,i}^{\min} + 5/4], \\ \tilde{U}^{(S_{q,i}^{\min})}(S_{q,i}^{\min}) = (0, 0)^\top, & \partial_t \tilde{U}^{(S_{q,i}^{\min})}(S_{q,i}^{\min}) = (0, 0)^\top, \end{cases} \quad (7.51)$$

where $\tilde{U}^{(S_{q,i}^{\min})}(t) = (g_{L,2}^{(S_{q,i}^{\min})}(t), g_{M,2}^{(S_{q,i}^{\min})}(t))^\top$, $\tilde{H}(t) = H(\tau_{q,i}t)$, $\tilde{B}(t) = B(\tau_{q,i}t)$, $\tilde{E}(t) = E(\tau_{q,i}t)$. Assuming that $g_{L,2}^{(S-1)}$ and $g_{M,2}^{(S-1)}$ have already been defined, we now choose $g_{L,2}^{(S)}$ and $g_{M,2}^{(S)}$ to satisfy for $S_{q,i}^{\min} + 1 \leq S \leq S_{q,i}^{\max}$, $\tilde{U}^{(S)} = 0$, $t \in (-\infty, S)$, and

$$\begin{cases} \tau_{q,i}^{-2} \tilde{H} \partial_{tt} (\tilde{U}^{(S)} + \Theta_{S-1} \tilde{U}^{(S-1)}) + \tilde{B} (\tilde{U}^{(S)} + \Theta_{S-1} \tilde{U}^{(S-1)}) = \tilde{E}, & t \in [S, S + 5/4], \\ \tilde{U}^{(S)}(S) = (0, 0)^\top, & \partial_t \tilde{U}^{(S)}(S) = (0, 0)^\top, \end{cases} \quad (7.52)$$

where $\tilde{U}^{(S)}(t) = (g_{L,2}^{(S)}(t), g_{M,2}^{(S)}(t))^\top$. Then, we could obtain

$$\tilde{H} \partial_{tt} \tilde{U}^{(S)} + \tau_{q,i}^2 \tilde{B} \tilde{U}^{(S)} = \begin{cases} \tau_{q,i}^2 \tilde{E} (1 - \Theta_{S-1}) - 2 \partial_t \Theta_{S-1} \tilde{H} \partial_t \tilde{U}^{(S-1)} - \partial_{tt} \Theta_{S-1} \tilde{H} \tilde{U}^{(S-1)}, & t \in [S, S + 1/4], \\ \tau_{q,i}^2 \tilde{E}, & t \in (S + 1/4, S + 5/4]. \end{cases}$$

To sum up, we could obtain

$$\tau_{q,i}^{-2} \tilde{H} \partial_{tt} \left(\sum_{S=S_{q,i}^{\min}}^{S=S_{q,i}^{\max}} \Theta_S \tilde{U}^{(S)} \right) + \tilde{B} \left(\sum_{S=S_{q,i}^{\min}}^{S=S_{q,i}^{\max}} \Theta_S \tilde{U}^{(S)} \right) = \tilde{E}, \quad t \in [S_{q,i}^{\min}, S_{q,i}^{\max} + 1],$$

which is equivalent to

$$H \partial_{tt} \left(\sum_{S=S_{q,i}^{\min}}^{S=S_{q,i}^{\max}} \Theta_{q,i}^{(S)} U^{(S)} \right) + B \left(\sum_{S=S_{q,i}^{\min}}^{S=S_{q,i}^{\max}} \Theta_{q,i}^{(S)} U^{(S)} \right) = E, \quad t \in [S_{q,i}^{\min} \tau_{q,i}, (S_{q,i}^{\max} + 1) \tau_{q,i}].$$

Moreover, $g_{L,2}(t) = \sum_{S=S_{q,i}^{\min}}^{S=S_{q,i}^{\max}} \Theta_{q,i}^{(S)} g_{L,2}^{(S)}$ and $g_{M,2}(t) = \sum_{S=S_{q,i}^{\min}}^{S=S_{q,i}^{\max}} \Theta_{q,i}^{(S)} g_{M,2}^{(S)}$ are zero for $t \in [-\tau_{q,i-1}, S_{q,i}^{\min} \tau_{q,i}]$. Then, we need to consider the angular momentum in the region

$$[S_{q,i}^{\min} \tau_{q,i} - \tau_{q,i}, S_{q,i}^{\min} \tau_{q,i}].$$

The equation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (x + \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,ac}) \times (\partial_{tt} \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(v)} + \operatorname{div}(\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(v)} c_{q,i})) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\partial_{tt} \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,M}^{(v)} + \partial_{tt} \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,L}^{(v)})_{12-21} dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} ((\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,L}^{(v)} + \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,M}^{(v)}) c_{q,i})_{12-21} dx + g_M(t) \times \partial_{tt} g_M(t) + g_L(t) \times \partial_{tt} g_L(t) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $c_{q,i} = c_{q,i+1}$ is a constant matrix in \mathbb{T}^2 for $t \in [S_{q,i}^{\min} \tau_{q,i} - \tau_{q,i}, S_{q,i}^{\min} \tau_{q,i}]$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\partial_{tt} \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,L}^{(v)})_{12-21} dx &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\partial_{tt} g_L(t) \otimes \nabla \Psi_L^{(v)})_{12-21} dx = 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\partial_{tt} \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,M}^{(v)})_{12-21} dx &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\partial_{tt} g_M(t) \otimes \nabla \Psi_M^{(v)})_{12-21} dx = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,L}^{(v)} c_{q,i})_{12-21} dx &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (g_L(t) \otimes c_{q,i} \nabla \psi_L^{(v)})_{12-21} dx = 0, \\
\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,M}^{(v)} c_{q,i})_{12-21} dx &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (g_L(t) \otimes c_{q,i} \nabla \psi_M^{(v)})_{12-21} dx = 0, \\
g_L(t) \times \partial_H g_L(t) &= \theta_{q,i}^* \partial_H \theta_{q,i}^* (\varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}, 0)^\top \times (\varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}, 0)^\top = 0, \\
g_M(t) \times \partial_H g_M(t) &= \theta_{q,i}^* \partial_H \theta_{q,i}^* (\varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}, 0)^\top \times (\varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}, 0)^\top = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

At this point, we have ensured that the right-hand error terms in (7.46) satisfy angular momentum conservation, i.e., (5.57), over the entire interval $\mathcal{I}^{q,i}$.

Finally, we will give the following estimates on the perturbation. The following estimates can be obtained directly from their definitions.

Proposition 7.8. *For any $b > 5$, we could find $\varepsilon_3^* = \varepsilon_3^*(b, \overline{M})$ such that for any $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_3^*$, the following properties hold:*

1. *The support of $\widetilde{V}_{q,i+1}$, $\widetilde{u}_{q,i+1}$, and $\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}$ satisfies:*

$$\text{supp}_t(\widetilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}, \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}, \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}) \subseteq \text{supp}_t \tilde{\rho}_{q,i} \subseteq \text{supp}_t(u_{q,i}, R_{q,i}) + \ell_{q,i} + \lambda_q^{-1}, \quad (7.53)$$

$$\text{supp}_t(\widetilde{V}_{q,i+1,ac}, \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,ac}, \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}) \subseteq \text{supp}_t \tilde{\rho}_{q,i} + 2\tau_{q,i} \subseteq \text{supp}_t(u_{q,i}, R_{q,i}) + 3\tau_{q,i} + \ell_{q,i} + \lambda_q^{-1}. \quad (7.54)$$

2. *We have the following estimates on these coefficients for $0 \leq i \leq 2$,*

$$\|\partial_t^r \gamma_{q,i+1}^l\|_N + \lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i} \|\partial_t^r \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^l\|_N \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \tau_{q,i}^{-r} \mu_{q,i}^{-N} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (7.55)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r V_{q,i+1,p}^{l,cof}\|_N + \|\partial_t^r u_{q,i+1,p}^{l,cof}\|_N \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \tau_{q,i}^{-r} \mu_{q,i}^{-N} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (7.56)$$

$$\varepsilon^{-1} \|\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m}^{(1),cofc}\|_0 + \|\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s1}^{(1),cof}\|_0 + \delta_{q+1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mu_{q,i} \left(\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i} \|\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s2}^{(1),I,cof1}\|_0 + \|\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s2}^{(1),I,cof2}\|_0 \right) \lesssim 1, \quad (7.57)$$

$$\varepsilon^{-1} \|\partial_t^r \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m}^{(1),cofc}\|_N + \|\partial_t^r \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s1}^{(1),cof}\|_N + \delta_{q+1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mu_{q,i} \left(\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i} \|\partial_t^r \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s2}^{(1),I,cof1}\|_N + \|\partial_t^r \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s2}^{(1),I,cof2}\|_N \right) \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \tau_{q,i}^{-r} \mu_{q,i}^{-N}, \quad (7.58)$$

$$\|\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),cofm}\|_0 + \varepsilon^{-1} \|\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),cof1}\|_0 + \lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i} \|\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),cof2}\|_0 \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (7.59)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),cofm}\|_N + \varepsilon^{-1} \|\partial_t^r \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),cof1}\|_N + \lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i} \|\partial_t^r \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),cof2}\|_N \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \tau_{q,i}^{-r} \mu_{q,i}^{-N} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (7.60)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_N = \|\cdot\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q,i}; \mathbb{C}^N(\mathbb{T}^2))}$.

3. *We have the following estimates on $\widetilde{V}_{q,i+1}$, $\widetilde{u}_{q,i+1}$, $\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}$, and $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}$, for $0 \leq i \leq 2$,*

$$\|\partial_t^r \widetilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}\|_N + \mu_{q,i} \|\partial_t^r (f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla) \widetilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}\|_N \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r-2} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (7.61)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}\|_N + \mu_{q,i} \|\partial_t^r (f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla) \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}\|_N \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r-1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (7.62)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r \widetilde{\partial}_t u_{q,i+1,m}\|_N + \varepsilon^{-1} \|\partial_t^r \widetilde{\partial}_t u_{q,i+1,s}\|_N \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r-1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (7.63)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}\|_N + \varepsilon^{-1} \|\partial_t^r \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,s}\|_N \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (7.64)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r (f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla) \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}\|_N + \varepsilon^{-1} \|\partial_t^r (f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla) \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,s}\|_N \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \mu_{q,i}^{-1} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (7.65)$$

$$\|\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)}\|_N + \varepsilon^{-1} \|\partial_t^r \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m2}^{(1),(v)}\|_N + \varepsilon^{-1} \|\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s1}^{(1),(v)}\|_N + \lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i} \|\partial_t^r \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s2}^{(1),(v)}\|_N \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (7.66)$$

$$\mu_{q,i}^{-2} \|\partial_t^r \widetilde{V}_{q,i+1,L}\|_N + \mu_{q,i}^{-1} \|\partial_t^r \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,L}\|_N + \|\partial_t^r \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,L}\|_N \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \mu_{q,i}^{-N-r} \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}, \quad (7.67)$$

$$\mu_{q,i}^{-2} \|\partial_t^r \widetilde{V}_{q,i+1,M}\|_N + \mu_{q,i}^{-1} \|\partial_t^r \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,M}\|_N + \|\partial_t^r \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,M}\|_N \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \mu_{q,i}^{-N-r} \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}, \quad (7.68)$$

$$\mu_{q,i}^{-2} \|\partial_t^r \widetilde{V}_{q,i+1,ac}\|_N + \mu_{q,i}^{-1} \|\partial_t^r \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,ac}\|_N + \|\partial_t^r \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}\|_N \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \mu_{q,i}^{-N-r} \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}, \quad (7.69)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,L}^{(1)}\|_N + \|\partial_t^r \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,M}^{(1)}\|_N + \|\partial_t^r \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(1)}\|_N \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \mu_{q,i}^{-N-r} \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}, \quad (7.70)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1}\|_N + \mu_{q,i} \|\partial_t^r (f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla) \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1}\|_N \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \lambda_{q,i}^{-1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (7.71)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}\|_N + \mu_{q,i} \|\partial_t^r (f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla) \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}\|_N \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (7.72)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_N = \|\cdot\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q,i}; \mathbb{C}^N(\mathbb{T}^2))}$.

Moreover, the implicit constants in (7.55)–(7.72) can be chosen to be independent of \overline{M} for $0 \leq N + r \leq 3$.

Proof. Notice that, for $t \in \text{supp}_t \tilde{e}_{q,i} + 3\tau_{q,i}$, we have $c_{q,i} = \tilde{e}_{q,i} \text{Id}^{<i+1>} + c_{q,i+1}$, and $c_{q,i+1}$ is a constant matrix, which leads to $c_{q,i} \in C^\infty((\text{supp}_t \tilde{e}_{q,i} + 3\tau_{q,i}) \times \mathbb{T}^2)$ and $\|c_{q,i}\|_{C_t^r(\text{supp}_t \tilde{e}_{q,i} + 3\tau_{q,i})} \lesssim_r \lambda_q^r \delta_{q+1}$. By the definition of $(A_I)_{nr}^{km}$ in (7.6), (2.19), and (3.11)–(3.14), we could know in each $I = (s, v) \in \mathcal{I}$ with $s\tau_{q,i} \in \text{supp}_t \tilde{e}_{q,i} + \tau_{q,i}$, and $r \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A_I^v)_{nr}^{km}\|_{C_t^0} &\lesssim_\sigma \|(\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})^v\|_{C_t^0} + \|(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^v\|_0 (\|G_{\ell,i}^v\|_{C_t^0} + \|C_{\ell,i}^v(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^v\|_{C_t^0}) \\ &\quad + \|(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^v(\text{tr } C_{\ell,i} - D_{\ell,i})^v\|_{C_t^0} (\|(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^v\|_{C_t^0} + \|C_{\ell,i}^v(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^v\|_{C_t^0}) \\ &\quad + \|(\tilde{\Lambda}_2^{(0)})^v - \sigma_2\|_{C_t^0} \|(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^v(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^v\|_{C_t^0} + \|(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^v(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^v - \text{Id}\|_{C_t^0} \\ &\lesssim_\sigma \mathcal{E}, \\ \|(A_I^v)_{nr}^{km}\|_{C_t^r} &\lesssim_\sigma \|(\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})^v\|_{C_t^r} + \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^v\|_{C_t^{r_1}} (\|G_{\ell,i}^v\|_{C_t^{r_2}} + \|C_{\ell,i}^v(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^v\|_{C_t^{r_2}}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^v(\text{tr } C_{\ell,i} - D_{\ell,i})^v\|_{C_t^{r_1}} (\|(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^v\|_{C_t^{r_2}} + \|C_{\ell,i}^v(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^v\|_{C_t^{r_2}}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|(\tilde{\Lambda}_2^{(0)})^v - \sigma_2\|_{C_t^{r_1}} \|(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^v(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^v\|_{C_t^{r_2}} + \|(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^v(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^v - \text{Id}\|_{C_t^r} \\ &\lesssim_{\sigma,r} \ell_{q,i}^{-r} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{C_t^r} = \|\cdot\|_{C^r(\text{supp}_t \tilde{e}_{q,i} + 3\tau_{q,i})}$ and the implicit constants does not depend on \overline{M} . By using Lemma 7.1, we could find ε_{31}^* such that for any $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_{31}^*$,

$$\|a_{A_I^v}\|_{C_t^0} \lesssim_\sigma \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{10}, \quad (7.73)$$

$$\|\partial_t c_{A_I^v} - (\lambda + 2\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}} |f_{i+1}\|_{C_t^0} \lesssim_\sigma \varepsilon |f_{i+1}|, \quad (7.74)$$

$$\|a_{A_I^v}\|_{C_t^r} \lesssim_{\sigma,r} \ell_{q,i}^{-r} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad r \geq 1, \quad (7.75)$$

$$\|c_{A_I^v}\|_{C_t^r} \lesssim_{\sigma,r} \ell_{q,i}^{1-r} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad r \geq 2. \quad (7.76)$$

Combining it with (4.1) and the definition of $\gamma_{q,i+1}$ and $\gamma_{q,i+1,c}$ in (7.12) and (7.15), we could easily obtain (7.55).

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t^r \gamma_{q,i+1}^I\|_{N} &\lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \sum_{N_1+N_2+N_3+N_4=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2+r_3+r_4=r} \|\partial_t^{r_1} \theta_I\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} \chi_I\|_{N_2} \|\partial_t^{r_3} d_{q,i+1}\|_{N_3} \|\partial_t^{r_4} \tilde{f}_{A_I^v}\|_{N_4} \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \tau_{q,i}^{-r} \mu_{q,i}^{-N} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|\partial_t^r \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^I\|_{N} &\lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-1} \|\partial_t^r \gamma_{q,i+1}^I\|_{N+1} \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} (\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i})^{-1} \tau_{q,i}^{-r} \mu_{q,i}^{-N} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

(7.56) immediately follows from it and their definition in (7.12) and (7.15). Moreover, (7.53) follows from (2.19), (3.10), (7.11), and (7.12). By (7.20)–(7.25), (7.42), (7.55), and (7.56), we could obtain (7.57)–(7.60).

Next, we consider the estimates for $\tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}$, $\tilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}$, $\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,p}^{(1)}$. It is easy to obtain for $0 \leq N + r \leq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t^r \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}\|_{N} &\lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-2} \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|\partial_t^{r_1} \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof}\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} \Pi_I\|_{N_2} \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r-2} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|\partial_t^r \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}\|_{N} &= \|\partial_t^r \text{div } \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}\|_{N} \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r-1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|\partial_t^r \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}\|_{N} &= \|\partial_t^r \nabla \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}\|_{N} \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|\partial_t^r \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,s1}\|_{N} &\lesssim \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|\partial_t^{r_1} (a_{A_I^v} \gamma_{q,i+1}^I)\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} \Pi_I\|_{N_2} \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|\partial_t^r \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,s2}\|_{N} &\lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-1} \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \left(\|\partial_t^{r_1} (\tilde{f}_{A_I^v} \otimes \nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,c})\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} \Pi_I\|_{N_2} + \|\partial_t^{r_1} (\tilde{f}_{A_I^v} \otimes \gamma_{q,i+1,s})\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} \tilde{\Pi}_I\|_{N_2} \right) \\ &\lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} (\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i})^{-1} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|\partial_t^r \tilde{\partial}_t u_{q,i+1,p}\|_{N} &\lesssim \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|\partial_t^{r_1} \gamma_{q,i+1}^I\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} \Pi_I\|_{N_2} \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_t^r \widetilde{\partial}_t u_{q,i+1,s1}\|_N &\lesssim \sum_{N_1+N_2+N_3=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2+r_3=r} \|\partial_t^{r_1} (\gamma_{q,i+1}^I ((\lambda + 2\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}} |f_{i+1}| - \partial_t c_{A_i^v}) f_{i+1} - a_{A_i^v} \partial_t c_{A_i^v} f_{i+1}^{\perp})\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} \Pi_I\|_{N_2} \\
&\lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\|\partial_t^r \widetilde{\partial}_t u_{q,i+1,s2}\|_N &\lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-1} \left(\sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r+1} \|\partial_t^{r_1} (\gamma_{q,i+1,c} \widetilde{f}_{A_i^v})\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} \Pi_I\|_{N_2} + \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|\partial_t^{r_1+1} (\gamma_{q,i+1} \widetilde{f}_{A_i^v})\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} \widetilde{\Pi}_I\|_{N_2} \right) \\
&\lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} (\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i})^{-1} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\|\partial_t^r \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m2}^{(1),(v)}\|_N &\lesssim \sum_{N_1+N_2+N_3=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2+r_3=r} \|\partial_t^{r_1} \gamma_{q,i+1}^I\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m}^{(1),cofc}\|_{N_2} \|\partial_t^{r_3} \Pi_I\|_{N_3} \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\|\partial_t^r \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s1}^{(1),(v)}\|_N &\lesssim \sum_{N_1+N_2+N_3=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2+r_3=r} \|\partial_t^{r_1} (\gamma_{q,i+1}^I a_{A_i^v})\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s1}^{(1),cofm}\|_{N_2} \|\partial_t^{r_3} \Pi_I\|_{N_3} \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\|\partial_t^r \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s2}^{(1),(v)}\|_N &\lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-1} \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \left(\|\partial_t^{r_1} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s1}^{(1),cof1}\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} \Pi_I\|_{N_2} + \|\partial_t^{r_1} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s1}^{(1),cof2}\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} \widetilde{\Pi}_I\|_{N_2} \right) \\
&\lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} (\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i})^{-1} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by using

$$(f_{i+1}^{\perp} \cdot \nabla) e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1} \xi_{A_i^v} \cdot f_{i+1}} = (f_{i+1}^{\perp} \cdot \nabla) e^{-i\lambda_{q,i+1} \xi_{A_i^v} \cdot f_{i+1}} = (f_{i+1}^{\perp} \cdot \nabla) \Pi_I = (f_{i+1}^{\perp} \cdot \nabla) \widetilde{\Pi}_I = 0,$$

we could obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_t^r (f_{i+1}^{\perp} \cdot \nabla) \widetilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}\|_N &\lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-2} \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|\partial_t^{r_1} (f_{i+1}^{\perp} \cdot \nabla) \widetilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof}\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} \Pi_I\|_{N_2} \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \mu_{q,i}^{-1} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r-2} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\|\partial_t^r (f_{i+1}^{\perp} \cdot \nabla) \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}\|_N &\lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-1} \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|\partial_t^{r_1} (f_{i+1}^{\perp} \cdot \nabla) \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof}\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1} \xi_{A_i^v} \cdot f_{i+1}}\|_{N_2} \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \mu_{q,i}^{-1} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r-1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\|\partial_t^r (f_{i+1}^{\perp} \cdot \nabla) \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}\|_N &\lesssim \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|\partial_t^{r_1} (f_{i+1}^{\perp} \cdot \nabla) \gamma_{q,i+1}^I\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} \Pi_I\|_{N_2} \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \mu_{q,i}^{-1} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\|\partial_t^r (f_{i+1}^{\perp} \cdot \nabla) \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,s1}\|_N &\lesssim \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|\partial_t^{r_1} (f_{i+1}^{\perp} \cdot \nabla) (a_{A_i^v} \gamma_{q,i+1}^I)\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} \Pi_I\|_{N_2} \lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \mu_{q,i}^{-1} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\|\partial_t^r (f_{i+1}^{\perp} \cdot \nabla) \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,s2}\|_N &\lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-1} \sum_{N_1+N_2+N_3=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2+r_3=r} \|\partial_t^{r_1} \widetilde{f}_{A_i^v}\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} (f_{i+1}^{\perp} \cdot \nabla) \nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,c}\|_{N_2} \|\partial_t^{r_3} \Pi_I\|_{N_3} \\
&\quad + \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-1} \sum_{N_1+N_2+N_3=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2+r_3=r} \|\partial_t^{r_1} \widetilde{f}_{A_i^v}\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} (f_{i+1}^{\perp} \cdot \nabla) \gamma_{q,i+1,s}\|_{N_2} \|\partial_t^{r_3} \widetilde{\Pi}_I\|_{N_3} \\
&\lesssim_{\sigma,N,r} \mu_{q,i}^{-1} (\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i})^{-1} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Up to now, we have proved (7.61)–(7.66).

In order to get estimates for $\widetilde{V}_{q,i+1,ac}$, $\widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,ac}$, and $\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}$, we need to get estimate for $g_{L,2}(t)$ and $g_{M,2}(t)$. Recall that

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{tt} \widetilde{U}^{(S_{q,i}^{min})} + \tau_{q,i}^2 \widetilde{H}^{-1} \widetilde{B} \widetilde{U}^{(S_{q,i}^{min})} = \tau_{q,i}^2 \widetilde{H}^{-1} \widetilde{E}, & t \in [S_{q,i}^{min}, 5/4 + S_{q,i}^{min}], \\ \widetilde{U}^{(S_{q,i}^{min})}(S_{q,i}^{min}) = (0, 0)^{\top}, & \partial_t \widetilde{U}^{(S_{q,i}^{min})}(S_{q,i}^{min}) = (0, 0)^{\top}, \end{cases}$$

where $\widetilde{U}^{(S_{q,i}^{min})}(t) = (g_{L,2}^{(S_{q,i}^{min})}(t), g_{M,2}^{(S_{q,i}^{min})}(t))^{\top}$, $\widetilde{H}(t) = H(\tau_{q,i}t)$, $\widetilde{B}(t) = B(\tau_{q,i}t)$, $\widetilde{E}(t) = E(\tau_{q,i}t)$, and

$$\partial_{tt} \widetilde{U}^{(S)} + \tau_{q,i}^2 \widetilde{H}^{-1} \widetilde{B} \widetilde{U}^{(S)} = \begin{cases} \tau_{q,i}^2 \widetilde{H}^{-1} \widetilde{E} (1 - \Theta_{S-1}) - 2\partial_t \Theta_{S-1} \partial_t \widetilde{U}^{(S-1)} - \partial_{tt} \Theta_{S-1} \widetilde{U}^{(S-1)}, & t \in [S, S + 1/4], \\ \tau_{q,i}^2 \widetilde{H}^{-1} \widetilde{E}, & t \in (S + 1/4, S + 5/4], \end{cases}$$

where $S_{q,i}^{min} + 1 \leq S \leq S_{q,i}^{max}$ and $\widetilde{U}^{(S)}(t) = (g_{L,2}^{(S)}(t), g_{M,2}^{(S)}(t))^{\top}$.

So we should first give estimates for $H(t)$, $B(t)$, $\tilde{H}(t)$, and $\tilde{B}(t)$. For $b > 5$, we could find $\varepsilon_{32}^*(b, \bar{M})$ such that for $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_{32}^*$,

$$\bar{M}\mu_{q,i}\lambda_{q,i} \leq \varepsilon^2\delta_{q+1}\delta_{q,i}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq \varepsilon^2\delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (7.77)$$

and we have the following estimates for $0 \leq r \leq 5$,

1. Estimates on $H(t)$ and $\tilde{H}(t)$.

We could first calculate

$$\begin{aligned} H_{11} &= \varepsilon\mu_{q,i}^2\delta_{q+1} - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} ((\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})\nabla\Psi_L^{(v)})_1 dx - \mu_{q,i}^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} \nabla\psi_L^{(v)})_1 dx \\ &= \varepsilon\mu_{q,i}^2\delta_{q+1} + \mu_{q,i} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\Psi_L^{(v)} \text{div} G_{\ell,i})_1 dx - \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{(V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \nabla\psi_L^{(v)} \Pi_I)_1}{i(\lambda_{q,i+1}\mu_{q,i}[I]|f_{i+1}|)^2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

and then, by using (3.21), (7.41), (7.77), and Lemma B.2, we have for $0 \leq r \leq 5$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{(V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \nabla\psi_L^{(v)} \Pi_I)_1}{i(\lambda_{q,i+1}\mu_{q,i}[I]|f_{i+1}|)^2} dx \right\|_{C_t^r} &\lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{r-2} \frac{\|V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \nabla\psi_L^{(v)}\|_{10}}{(\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]|f_{i+1}|)^{10}} \lesssim (\lambda_{q,i+1}\mu_{q,i})^{-10} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{r-2} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \frac{\varepsilon\mu_{q,i}^2\delta_{q+1}}{4}, \\ \left\| \mu_{q,i} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\Psi_L^{(v)} \text{div} G_{\ell,i})_1 dx \right\|_{C_t^r} &\lesssim \mu_{q,i}^3 \|\partial_t^r (\Psi_L^{(v)} \text{div} G_{\ell,i})\|_0 \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{3-r} \bar{M} \lambda_{q,i} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \frac{\varepsilon\mu_{q,i}^2\delta_{q+1}}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently small ε . It is easy to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_{11}\|_{C_t^r} &\geq \varepsilon\mu_{q,i}^2\delta_{q+1} - \left\| \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{(V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \nabla\psi_L^{(v)} \Pi_I)_1}{i(\lambda_{q,i+1}\mu_{q,i}[I]|f_{i+1}|)^2} dx \right\|_{C_t^r} - \left\| \mu_{q,i} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\Psi_L^{(v)} \text{div} G_{\ell,i})_1 dx \right\|_{C_t^r} \geq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\mu_{q,i}^2\delta_{q+1}, \\ \|H_{11}\|_{C_t^r} &\leq \varepsilon\mu_{q,i}^2\delta_{q+1} + \left\| \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{(V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \nabla\psi_L^{(v)} \Pi_I)_1}{i(\lambda_{q,i+1}\mu_{q,i}[I]|f_{i+1}|)^2} dx \right\|_{C_t^r} + \left\| \mu_{q,i} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\Psi_L^{(v)} \text{div} G_{\ell,i})_1 dx \right\|_{C_t^r} \leq \frac{3}{2} \varepsilon\mu_{q,i}^2\delta_{q+1}, \\ \|H_{11}\|_{C_t^r} &\leq \left\| \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{(V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \nabla\psi_L^{(v)} \Pi_I)_1}{i(\lambda_{q,i+1}\mu_{q,i}[I]|f_{i+1}|)^2} dx \right\|_{C_t^r} + \left\| \mu_{q,i} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\Psi_L^{(v)} \text{div} G_{\ell,i})_1 dx \right\|_{C_t^r} \leq \mu_{q,i+1}^{-r} \frac{\varepsilon\mu_{q,i}^2\delta_{q+1}}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we could obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\mu_{q,i}^2\delta_{q+1} \leq \|H_{22}\|_{C_t^r} \leq \frac{3}{2} \varepsilon\mu_{q,i}^2\delta_{q+1}, \quad \|H_{22}\|_{C_t^r} \leq \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \frac{\varepsilon\mu_{q,i}^2\delta_{q+1}}{2}.$$

Up to now, we could give the following estimates for $H(t)$ and $\tilde{H}(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|H\|_{C_t^0} &\leq \frac{3}{2} \varepsilon\mu_{q,i}^2\delta_{q+1}, \quad \|H\|_{C_t^r} \leq \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\mu_{q,i}^2\delta_{q+1}, & 0 \leq r \leq 5, \\ \|\tilde{H}\|_{C_t^0} &\leq \frac{3}{2} \varepsilon\mu_{q,i}^2\delta_{q+1}, \quad \|\tilde{H}\|_{C_t^r} \leq \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \tau_{q,i}^r \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\mu_{q,i}^2\delta_{q+1}, & 0 \leq r \leq 5, \\ \|H^{-1}\|_{C_t^0} &\leq 2\varepsilon^{-1} \mu_{q,i}^{-2} \delta_{q+1}^{-1}, \quad \|\tilde{H}^{-1}\|_{C_t^0} \leq 2\varepsilon^{-1} \mu_{q,i}^{-2} \delta_{q+1}^{-1}, & (7.78) \\ \|\partial_t H^{-1}\|_{C_t^r} &\leq \sum_{r_1+r_2+r_3=r} \|H^{-1}\|_{C_t^{r_1}} \|H^{-1}\|_{C_t^{r_2}} \|\partial_t H\|_{C_t^{r_3}} \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \varepsilon^{-1} \mu_{q,i}^{-2} \delta_{q+1}^{-1}, & 0 \leq r \leq 4, \\ \|\partial_t \tilde{H}^{-1}\|_{C_t^r} &\leq \sum_{r_1+r_2+r_3=r} \|\tilde{H}^{-1}\|_{C_t^{r_1}} \|\tilde{H}^{-1}\|_{C_t^{r_2}} \|\partial_t \tilde{H}\|_{C_t^{r_3}} \lesssim (\mu_{q,i}^{-1} \tau_{q,i})^r \varepsilon^{-1} \mu_{q,i}^{-2} \delta_{q+1}^{-1}, & 0 \leq r \leq 4, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\partial_t H^{-1} = -H^{-1} \partial_t H H^{-1}$.

2. Estimates on $B(t)$ and $\tilde{B}(t)$. We could first calculate

$$B_{11} = \mu_{q,i}^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} ((\partial_t \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} + (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})(\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})) \nabla\psi_L^{(v)})_1 dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{((\partial_{tt} V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \Pi_I + 2\partial_t V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \partial_t \Pi_I + V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \partial_t^2 \Pi_I) \nabla \psi_L^{(v)})_1}{i(\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i} [I] |f_{i+1}|)^2} dx \\
&\quad - \mu_{q,i} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\Psi_L^{(v)} \Delta \operatorname{div}((\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i})(\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})))_1 dx \\
B_{21} &= -\mu_{q,i}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \psi_L^{(v)} (\operatorname{div} R_{m,i})_1 dx = -\mu_{q,i} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \Psi_L^{(v)} \Delta (\operatorname{div} R_{m,i})_1 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

and then, by using (3.21), (7.41), (7.77), and Lemma B.2, we could similarly obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{((\partial_{tt} V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \Pi_I + 2\partial_t V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \partial_t \Pi_I + V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \partial_t^2 \Pi_I) \nabla \psi_L^{(v)})_1}{i(\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i} [I] |f_{i+1}|)^2} dx \right\|_{C'_r} \\
&\lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{r-2} \frac{\|\partial_{tt} V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \nabla \psi_L^{(v)}\|_{10}}{(\lambda_{q,i+1} [I] |f_{i+1}|)^{10}} + \lambda_{q,i+1}^{r-1} \frac{\|\partial_t (\partial_t c_{A'_r} V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof}) \nabla \psi_L^{(v)}\|_{10}}{(\lambda_{q,i+1} [I] |f_{i+1}|)^{10}} + \lambda_{q,i+1}^r \frac{\|(\partial_t c_{A'_r})^2 V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \nabla \psi_L^{(v)}\|_{10}}{(\lambda_{q,i+1} [I] |f_{i+1}|)^{10}} \\
&\lesssim (\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i})^{-10} \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \delta_{q+1}^2, \\
&\left\| \mu_{q,i} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\Psi_L^{(v)} \Delta \operatorname{div}((\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i})(\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})))_1 dx \right\|_{C'_r} \\
&\lesssim \mu_{q,i}^3 \|\partial_t^r (\Psi_L^{(v)} \Delta \operatorname{div}((\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i})(\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})))\|_0 \lesssim \sigma \mu_{q,i}^{2-r} \overline{M} \lambda_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \sigma \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \varepsilon^4 \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{3}{2}}, \\
&\left\| \mu_{q,i} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \Psi_L^{(v)} \Delta (\operatorname{div} R_{m,i})_1 dx \right\|_{C'_r} \\
&\lesssim \mu_{q,i}^3 \|\partial_t^r (\Psi_L^{(v)} \Delta (\operatorname{div} R_{m,i})_1)\|_0 \lesssim \sigma \mu_{q,i}^{2-r} \overline{M}^2 \lambda_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q,i} \lesssim \sigma \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \delta_{q+1}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently small ε . It is easy to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|B_{11}\|_{C'_r} &\leq \left\| \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{((\partial_{tt} V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \Pi_I + 2\partial_t V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \partial_t \Pi_I + V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \partial_t^2 \Pi_I) \nabla \psi_L^{(v)})_1}{i(\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i} [I] |f_{i+1}|)^2} dx \right\|_{C'_r} \\
&\quad + \left\| \mu_{q,i} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\Psi_L^{(v)} \Delta \operatorname{div}((\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i})(\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})))_1 dx \right\|_{C'_r} \\
&\lesssim \sigma \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \varepsilon^4 \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{3}{2}}, \\
\|B_{21}\|_{C'_r} &\leq \left\| \mu_{q,i} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \Psi_L^{(v)} \Delta (\operatorname{div} R_{m,i})_1 dx \right\|_{C'_r} \lesssim \sigma \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \varepsilon^4 \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{3}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we could obtain

$$\|B_{12}\|_{C'_r} \lesssim \sigma \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \varepsilon^4 \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad \|B_{22}\|_{C'_r} \lesssim \sigma \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \varepsilon^4 \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Up to now, we could give the following estimates for $B(t)$ and $\tilde{B}(t)$:

$$\|B\|_{C'_r} \lesssim \sigma \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \varepsilon^4 \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad \|\tilde{B}\|_{C'_r} \lesssim \sigma \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \tau_{q,i}^r \varepsilon^4 \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad 0 \leq r \leq 5. \quad (7.79)$$

3. Estimates on $E(t)$ and $\tilde{E}(t)$. We could first calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\partial_{tt} \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} (\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i} + \sum_{\|v'-v\| \leq 1} \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v')})^\top)_{12-21} dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i}) (\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i} + \sum_{\|v'-v\|=1} \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v')})^\top)_{12-21} dx \\
&\quad - \varepsilon \delta_{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} ((\partial_{tt} \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} + (\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i})(\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})) \nabla (\psi_M^{(v)} + \psi_L^{(v)}))_2 dx \\
&= \sum_{\|v'-v\| \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\partial_{tt} \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} (\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v')})^\top)_{12-21} dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{((\partial_{tt} V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \Pi_I + 2\partial_t V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \partial_t \Pi_I + V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \partial_t^2 \Pi_I)(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^\top)_{12-21}}{i(\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]|f_{i+1}|)^2} dx \\
& - \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{(V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} (\nabla \text{div}((\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})(\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})))^\top)_{12-21} \Pi_I}{i(\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]|f_{i+1}|)^2} dx \\
& - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i}) (\sum_{\|v'-v\|=1} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v')})^\top)_{12-21} dx \\
& - \varepsilon \delta_{q+1} \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{((\partial_{tt} V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \Pi_I + 2\partial_t V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \partial_t \Pi_I + V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \partial_t^2 \Pi_I) \nabla(\psi_M^{(v)} + \psi_L^{(v)}))_2}{i(\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]|f_{i+1}|)^2} dx \\
& - \varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\nabla \text{div}((\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})(\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})) \nabla(\Psi_M^{(v)} + \Psi_L^{(v)}))_2 dx, \\
E_2 & = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\chi_v^2(\mu_{q,i}^{-1}x) R_{m,i}(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}^\top))_{12-21} dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \sum_{\|v'-v\| \leq 1} \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v')} \times \text{div}(\chi_v^2(\mu_{q,i}^{-1}x) R_{m,i}) dx \\
& + \varepsilon \mu_{q,i} \delta_{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\psi_M^{(v)} + \psi_L^{(v)}) (\text{div} R_{m,i})_2 dx \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\chi_v^2(\mu_{q,i}^{-1}x) R_{m,i}(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}^\top))_{12-21} dx + \varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \nabla(\Psi_M^{(v)} + \Psi_L^{(v)}) \cdot \nabla(\text{div} R_{m,i})_2 dx \\
& - \sum_{\|v'-v\| \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\widetilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v')} (\nabla \text{div}(\chi_v^2(\mu_{q,i}^{-1}x) R_{m,i}))^\top)_{12-21} dx \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\chi_v^2(\mu_{q,i}^{-1}x) R_{m,i}(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}^\top))_{12-21} dx + \varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \nabla(\Psi_M^{(v)} + \Psi_L^{(v)}) \cdot \nabla(\text{div} R_{m,i})_2 dx \\
& - \sum_{\|v'-v\| \leq 1} \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{(V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} (\nabla \text{div}(\chi_v^2(\mu_{q,i}^{-1}x) R_{m,i}))^\top)_{12-21} \Pi_I}{i(\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]|f_{i+1}|)^2} dx,
\end{aligned}$$

and then, by using (3.21), (7.41), (7.77), and Lemma B.2, we could similarly obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{((\partial_{tt} V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \Pi_I + 2\partial_t V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \partial_t \Pi_I + V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \partial_t^2 \Pi_I)(\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^\top)_{12-21}}{i(\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]|f_{i+1}|)^2} dx \right\|_{C_t^r} \\
& \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^2 \lambda_{q,i+1}^r \left(\frac{\|\partial_{tt} V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^\top\|_{10}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^2 (\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]|f_{i+1}|)^{10}} + \frac{\|\partial_t (\partial_t c_{A_t^y} V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof}) (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^\top\|_{10}}{\lambda_{q,i+1} (\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]|f_{i+1}|)^{10}} + \frac{\|(\partial_t c_{A_t^y})^2 V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})^\top\|_{10}}{(\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]|f_{i+1}|)^{10}} \right) \\
& \lesssim (\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i})^{-10} \mu_{q,i}^2 \lambda_{q,i+1}^r \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \varepsilon^3 \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}^2, \\
& \left\| \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{(V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} (\nabla \text{div}((\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})(\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})))^\top)_{12-21} \Pi_I}{i(\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]|f_{i+1}|)^2} dx \right\|_{C_t^r} \\
& \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^2 \lambda_{q,i+1}^r \frac{\|V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} (\nabla \text{div}((\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})(\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})))^\top\|_{10}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^2 (\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]|f_{i+1}|)^{10}} \\
& \lesssim_{\sigma} (\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i})^{-10} \mu_{q,i}^2 \lambda_{q,i+1}^{r-2} \overline{M} \lambda_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \varepsilon^3 \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}^2, \\
& \left\| \varepsilon \delta_{q+1} \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{((\partial_{tt} V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \Pi_I + 2\partial_t V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \partial_t \Pi_I + V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \partial_t^2 \Pi_I) \nabla(\psi_M^{(v)} + \psi_L^{(v)}))_2}{i(\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]|f_{i+1}|)^2} dx \right\|_{C_t^r} \\
& \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^2 \lambda_{q,i+1}^r \varepsilon \delta_{q+1} \left(\frac{\|\partial_{tt} V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \nabla(\psi_M^{(v)} + \psi_L^{(v)})\|_{10}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^2 (\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]|f_{i+1}|)^{10}} + \frac{\|\partial_t (\partial_t c_{A_t^y} V_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof}) \nabla(\psi_M^{(v)} + \psi_L^{(v)})\|_{10}}{\lambda_{q,i+1} (\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]|f_{i+1}|)^{10}} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \mu_{q,i}^2 \lambda_{q,i+1}^r \varepsilon \delta_{q+1} \frac{\|(\partial_t c_{A_i^v})^2 V_{q,i+1,p}^{l,cof} \nabla(\psi_M^{(v)} + \psi_L^{(v)})\|_{10}}{(\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]|f_{i+1}|)^{10}} \\
& \lesssim (\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i})^{-10} \mu_{q,i}^2 \lambda_{q,i+1}^r \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{3}{2}} \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \varepsilon^3 \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}^2, \\
& \left\| \varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\nabla \operatorname{div}((\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i})(\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})) \nabla(\Psi_M^{(v)} + \Psi_L^{(v)}))_2 dx \right\|_{C_r'} \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^4 \delta_{q+1} \left\| \nabla \operatorname{div}((\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i})(\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})) \nabla(\Psi_M^{(v)} + \Psi_L^{(v)}) \right\|_{C_r'} \lesssim \varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^{4-r} \overline{M} \lambda_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{q+1} \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \varepsilon^3 \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}^2, \\
& \left\| \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\chi_v^2(\mu_{q,i}^{-1} x) R_{m,i} (\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i}^\top))_{12-21} dx \right\|_{C_r'} \\
& \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^2 \left\| \partial_t^r (\chi_v^2(\mu_{q,i}^{-1} x) R_{m,i} (\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i}^\top)) \right\|_0 \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{2-r} \ell_{q,i}^2 \overline{M}^2 \lambda_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q,i} \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \varepsilon^3 \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}^2, \\
& \left\| \varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \nabla(\Psi_M^{(v)} + \Psi_L^{(v)}) \cdot \nabla(\operatorname{div} R_{m,i})_2 dx \right\|_{C_r'} \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^4 \delta_{q+1} \left\| \partial_t^r (\nabla(\Psi_M^{(v)} + \Psi_L^{(v)}) \cdot \nabla(\operatorname{div} R_{m,i}))_2 \right\|_0 \lesssim \varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^{4-r} \delta_{q+1} \overline{M}^2 \lambda_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q,i} \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \varepsilon^3 \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}^2, \\
& \left\| \sum_{\|v'-v\| \leq 1} \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{(V_{q,i+1,p}^{l,cof} (\nabla \operatorname{div}(\chi_v^2(\mu_{q,i}^{-1} x) R_{m,i}))^\top)_{12-21} \Pi_I}{i(\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]|f_{i+1}|)^2} dx \right\|_{C_r'} \\
& \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^2 \lambda_{q,i+1}^r \frac{\|(V_{q,i+1,p}^{l,cof} \nabla \operatorname{div}(\chi_v^2(\mu_{q,i}^{-1} x) R_{m,i}))^\top\|_{10}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^2 (\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]|f_{i+1}|)^{10}} \\
& \lesssim (\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i})^{-10} \mu_{q,i}^2 \lambda_{q,i+1}^{r-2} \overline{M}^2 \lambda_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q,i} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \varepsilon^3 \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently small ε . Noting

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i}) (\sum_{\|v'-v\|=1} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v')})^\top)_{12-21} \\
& = - \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|v'-v\|=1}} \gamma_{q,i+1}^I \gamma_{q,i+1}^{I'} ((\tilde{f}_{A_i^v} \otimes f_{i+1}) (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i}) (f_{i+1} \otimes \tilde{f}_{A_{i'}^{v'}}))_{12-21} \Pi_{I,I'} \\
& + \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|v'-v\|=1}} \frac{i \gamma_{q,i+1}^{I'}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} ((\tilde{f}_{A_i^v} \otimes \nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^I) (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i}) (f_{i+1} \otimes \tilde{f}_{A_{i'}^{v'}}))_{12-21} \Pi_{I,I'} \\
& + \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|v'-v\|=1}} \frac{i \gamma_{q,i+1}^{I'}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} ((\tilde{f}_{A_i^v} \otimes \gamma_{q,i+1,s}^I) (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i}) (f_{i+1} \otimes \tilde{f}_{A_{i'}^{v'}}))_{12-21} \Pi_{I'} \widetilde{\Pi}_I \\
& + \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|v'-v\|=1}} \frac{i \gamma_{q,i+1}^I}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I']} ((\tilde{f}_{A_i^v} \otimes f_{i+1}) (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i}) (\nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^{I'} \otimes \tilde{f}_{A_{i'}^{v'}}))_{12-21} \Pi_{I,I'} \\
& + \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|v'-v\|=1}} \frac{i \gamma_{q,i+1}^I}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I']} ((\tilde{f}_{A_i^v} \otimes f_{i+1}) (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i}) (\gamma_{q,i+1,s}^{I'} \otimes \tilde{f}_{A_{i'}^{v'}}))_{12-21} \Pi_I \widetilde{\Pi}_{I'} \\
& + \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|v'-v\|=1}} \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^2 [I][I']} ((\tilde{f}_{A_i^v} \otimes \nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^I) (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i}) (\nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^{I'} \otimes \tilde{f}_{A_{i'}^{v'}}))_{12-21} \Pi_{I,I'} \\
& + \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|v'-v\|=1}} \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^2 [I][I']} ((\tilde{f}_{A_i^v} \otimes \nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^I) (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i}) (\gamma_{q,i+1,s}^{I'} \otimes \tilde{f}_{A_{i'}^{v'}}))_{12-21} \Pi_I \widetilde{\Pi}_{I'}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|v'-v\|=1}} \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^2 [I][I']} ((\tilde{f}_{A_i^v} \otimes \gamma_{q,i+1,s}^I)(\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})(\nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^{I'} \otimes \tilde{f}_{A_i^{v'}}))_{12-21} \tilde{\Pi}_I \Pi_{I'} \\
& + \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|v'-v\|=1}} \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^2 [I][I']} ((\tilde{f}_{A_i^v} \otimes \gamma_{q,i+1,s}^I)(\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i})(\gamma_{q,i+1,s}^{I'} \otimes \tilde{f}_{A_i^{v'}}))_{12-21} \tilde{\Pi}_I \tilde{\Pi}_{I'},
\end{aligned}$$

due to the definition of $[I]$ in (4.2) and (7.16), we should only consider $I = (s, v)$ and $I' = (s', v')$ with $\|I - I'\| \leq 1$, which can be divided into three cases:

1. $\|v - v'\| = 1$, which is equivalent to $|s' - s| \leq 1$ and $\|v - v'\| = 1$.

$$\| [I] - [I'] \| = \left| [s] + \sum_{i=1}^2 2^i [v_i] - [s'] - \sum_{i=1}^2 2^i [v'_i] \right| \geq \left| \sum_{i=1}^2 2^i [v_i] - \sum_{i=1}^2 2^i [v'_i] \right| - |[s] - [s']| \geq 1. \quad (7.80)$$

2. $\|v - v'\| = 0, |s' - s| = 1$.

$$\| [I] - [I'] \| = |[s] - [s']| = 1. \quad (7.81)$$

3. $\|I - I'\| = 0$.

$$[I] = [I']. \quad (7.82)$$

Then, if $\|I - I'\| = 1$, terms like $\Pi_I \Pi_{I'}$, $\Pi_I \tilde{\Pi}_{I'}$, and $\tilde{\Pi}_I \tilde{\Pi}_{I'}$ do not produce low-frequency components, and their frequencies satisfy $\gtrsim \lambda_{q,i+1}$ i.e. $\Pi_I \Pi_{I'} = \mathbb{P}_{\gtrsim \lambda_{q,i+1}} \Pi_I \Pi_{I'}$.

Remark 7.9. *The ones we commonly use are*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}_{=0}(\Pi_I \tilde{\Pi}_{I'}) &= \mathbb{P}_{=0}(\Pi_{I,I'}) = \mathbb{P}_{=0}(\tilde{\Pi}_I \tilde{\Pi}_{I'}) = 0, & \|I - I'\| &= 1, \\
\mathbb{P}_{=0}(\Pi_I \tilde{\Pi}_{I'}) &= 0, \quad \mathbb{P}_{=0}(\Pi_{I,I'}) = -2, \quad \mathbb{P}_{=0}(\tilde{\Pi}_I \tilde{\Pi}_{I'}) = 2, & I &= I'.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by using (3.21), (7.41), (7.77), and Lemma B.2, we could similarly obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)}(\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i}) \left(\sum_{\|v'-v\|=1} \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v')} \right)^\top)_{12-21} dx \right\|_{C_t^r} &\lesssim \sigma (\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i})^{-10} \lambda_{q,i+1}^r \varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1} \\
&\lesssim \sigma \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \varepsilon^3 \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Noting

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\|v'-v\| \leq 1} (\partial_H \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} (\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v')})^\top)_{12-21} \\
&= \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\|v'-v\| \leq 1} \frac{1}{i \lambda_{q,i+1}^2 [I]^2 |f_{i+1}|^2} (\partial_H (\gamma_{q,i+1}^I \Pi_I \tilde{f}_{A_i^v}) \otimes (\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v')} f_{i+1}))_{12-21} \\
&= \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|v'-v\| \leq 1}} \left(\frac{\gamma_{q,i+1}^{I'} \Pi_{I'}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^2 [I]^2} + \frac{f_{i+1} \cdot \gamma_{q,i+1,s}^{I'} \tilde{\Pi}_{I'}}{i \lambda_{q,i+1}^3 [I]^2 [I'] |f_{i+1}|^2} + \frac{f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^{I'} \Pi_{I'}}{i \lambda_{q,i+1}^3 [I]^2 [I'] |f_{i+1}|^2} \right) (\partial_H (\gamma_{q,i+1}^I \Pi_I \tilde{f}_{A_i^v}) \otimes \tilde{f}_{A_i^{v'}})_{12-21},
\end{aligned}$$

we focus exclusively on the case $I = I'$, which leads to the production of low-frequency components. The analysis for cases that do not produce low-frequency components is similar to the preceding calculations. We could calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} (\partial_H \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} (\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)})^\top)_{12-21} \\
&= \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \left(\frac{\gamma_{q,i+1}^I \Pi_I}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^2 [I]^2} + \frac{f_{i+1} \cdot \gamma_{q,i+1,s}^I \tilde{\Pi}_I}{i \lambda_{q,i+1}^3 [I]^3 |f_{i+1}|^2} + \frac{f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^I \Pi_I}{i \lambda_{q,i+1}^3 [I]^3 |f_{i+1}|^2} \right) (2 \partial_t (\gamma_{q,i+1}^I \Pi_I) \partial_t a_{A_i^v} + \gamma_{q,i+1}^I \Pi_I \partial_t^2 a_{A_i^v}) (f_{i+1}^\perp \otimes f_{i+1})_{12-21},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\gamma_{q,i+1}^I \Pi_I}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^2 [I]^2} + \frac{f_{i+1} \cdot \gamma_{q,i+1,s}^I \tilde{\Pi}_I}{i \lambda_{q,i+1}^3 [I]^3 |f_{i+1}|^2} + \frac{f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^I \Pi_I}{i \lambda_{q,i+1}^3 [I]^3 |f_{i+1}|^2} \right) (2 \partial_t (\gamma_{q,i+1}^I \Pi_I) \partial_t a_{A_i^v} + \gamma_{q,i+1}^I \Pi_I \partial_t^2 a_{A_i^v})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\frac{\gamma_{q,i+1}^l \Pi_I}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^2 [I]^2} + \frac{f_{i+1} \cdot \gamma_{q,i+1,s}^l \tilde{\Pi}_I}{i \lambda_{q,i+1}^3 [I]^3 |f_{i+1}|^2} + \frac{f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^l \Pi_I}{i \lambda_{q,i+1}^3 [I]^3 |f_{i+1}|^2} \right) (2 \partial_t \gamma_{q,i+1}^l \Pi_I \partial_t a_{A_i^v} + i 2 \lambda_{q,i+1} [I] \gamma_{q,i+1}^l \tilde{\Pi}_I \partial_t a_{A_i^v} + \gamma_{q,i+1}^l \Pi_I \partial_t^2 a_{A_i^v}) \\
&= \frac{4 f_{i+1} \cdot \gamma_{q,i+1,s}^l \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^l \partial_t a_{A_i^v}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^2 [I]^2 |f_{i+1}|^2} - \left(\frac{\gamma_{q,i+1}^l}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^2 [I]^2} + \frac{f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^l}{i \lambda_{q,i+1}^3 [I]^3 |f_{i+1}|^2} \right) (4 \partial_t \gamma_{q,i+1}^l \partial_t a_{A_i^v} + 2 \gamma_{q,i+1}^l \partial_t^2 a_{A_i^v}) \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\gamma_{q,i+1}^l}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^2 [I]^2} + \frac{f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^l}{i \lambda_{q,i+1}^3 [I]^3 |f_{i+1}|^2} \right) (2 \partial_t \gamma_{q,i+1}^l \partial_t a_{A_i^v} + \gamma_{q,i+1}^l \partial_t^2 a_{A_i^v}) (\Pi_I^2 + 2) + \frac{2 f_{i+1} \cdot \gamma_{q,i+1,s}^l \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^l \partial_t a_{A_i^v}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^2 [I]^2 |f_{i+1}|^2} (\tilde{\Pi}_I^2 - 2) \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{2 i \gamma_{q,i+1}^l}{\lambda_{q,i+1} [I]} + \frac{2 f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^l}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^2 [I]^2 |f_{i+1}|^2} \right) (\gamma_{q,i+1}^l \partial_t a_{A_i^v}) \Pi_I \tilde{\Pi}_I + \frac{f_{i+1} \cdot \gamma_{q,i+1,s}^l}{i \lambda_{q,i+1}^3 [I]^3 |f_{i+1}|^2} (2 \partial_t \gamma_{q,i+1}^l \Pi_I \partial_t a_{A_i^v} + \gamma_{q,i+1}^l \Pi_I \partial_t^2 a_{A_i^v}) \Pi_I \tilde{\Pi}_I.
\end{aligned}$$

Among these, $\Pi_I \tilde{\Pi}_I$, $(\tilde{\Pi}_I^2 - 2)$, and $(\Pi_I^2 + 2)$ do not contain low-frequency components. Therefore, the key lies in the estimation of the first term.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{4 f_{i+1} \cdot \gamma_{q,i+1,s}^l \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^l \partial_t a_{A_i^v}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^2 [I]^2 |f_{i+1}|^2} - \left(\frac{\gamma_{q,i+1}^l}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^2 [I]^2} + \frac{f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^l}{i \lambda_{q,i+1}^3 [I]^3 |f_{i+1}|^2} \right) (4 \partial_t \gamma_{q,i+1}^l \partial_t a_{A_i^v} + 2 \gamma_{q,i+1}^l \partial_t^2 a_{A_i^v}) dx \right\|_{C_t^r} \\
&\lesssim \mu_{q,i}^2 \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-2} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|\partial_t^{r_1} \gamma_{q,i+1,s}^l\|_0 \|\partial_t^{r_2} (\gamma_{q,i+1}^l \partial_t a_{A_i^v})\|_0 \\
&\quad + \mu_{q,i}^2 \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} (\lambda_{q,i+1}^{-2} \|\partial_t^{r_1} \gamma_{q,i+1}^l\|_0 + \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-3} \|\partial_t^{r_1} \nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^l\|_0) (\|\partial_t^{r_2} (\partial_t \gamma_{q,i+1}^l \partial_t a_{A_i^v})\|_0 + \|\partial_t^{r_2} (\gamma_{q,i+1}^l \partial_t^2 a_{A_i^v})\|_0) \\
&\lesssim \sigma \mu_{q,i}^2 \tau_{q,i}^{-r} (\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i})^{-2} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{q+1} \lesssim \sigma \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \varepsilon^3 \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

In summary, we obtain

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \sum_{\|v'-v\| \leq 1} (\partial_{tt} \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} (\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v')})^\top)_{12-21} dx \right\|_{C_t^r} \lesssim \sigma \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \varepsilon^3 \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}^2.$$

Then, we could get

$$\|E_1\|_{C_t^r} \lesssim \sigma \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \varepsilon^3 \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}^2, \quad \|E_2\|_{C_t^r} \lesssim \sigma \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \varepsilon^3 \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}^2.$$

Up to now, we could give the following estimates for $E(t)$ and $\tilde{E}(t)$:

$$\|E\|_{C_t^r} \lesssim \sigma \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \varepsilon^3 \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}^2, \quad \|\tilde{E}\|_{C_t^r} \lesssim \sigma \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \tau_{q,i}^r \varepsilon^2 \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}^3, \quad 0 \leq r \leq 5. \quad (7.83)$$

Next, we could give the estimates for $\tau_{q,i}^2 \tilde{H}^{-1} \tilde{B}$ and $\tau_{q,i}^2 \tilde{H}^{-1} \tilde{E}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\tau_{q,i}^2 \tilde{H}^{-1} \tilde{B}\|_{C_t^0} &\leq \tau_{q,i}^2 \|\tilde{H}^{-1}\|_{C_t^0} \|\tilde{B}\|_{C_t^0} \lesssim \sigma \tau_{q,i}^2 \varepsilon^3 \mu_{q,i}^{-2} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \sigma \varepsilon^3, \\
\|\tau_{q,i}^2 \tilde{H}^{-1} \tilde{E}\|_{C_t^0} &\leq \tau_{q,i}^2 \|\tilde{H}^{-1}\|_{C_t^0} \|\tilde{E}\|_{C_t^0} \lesssim \sigma \tau_{q,i}^2 \varepsilon^2 \delta_{q+1} \lesssim \sigma \varepsilon^2 \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}, \\
\|\tau_{q,i}^2 \tilde{H}^{-1} \tilde{B}\|_{C_t^r} &\lesssim \tau_{q,i}^2 \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|\tilde{H}^{-1}\|_{C_t^{r_1}} \|\tilde{B}\|_{C_t^{r_2}} \lesssim \sigma \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \tau_{q,i}^r \tau_{q,i}^2 \varepsilon^3 \mu_{q,i}^{-2} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \sigma \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \tau_{q,i}^r \varepsilon^3, \\
\|\tau_{q,i}^2 \tilde{H}^{-1} \tilde{E}\|_{C_t^r} &\lesssim \tau_{q,i}^2 \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|\tilde{H}^{-1}\|_{C_t^{r_1}} \|\tilde{E}\|_{C_t^{r_2}} \lesssim \sigma \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \tau_{q,i}^r \tau_{q,i}^2 \varepsilon^2 \delta_{q+1} \lesssim \sigma \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \tau_{q,i}^r \varepsilon^2 \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

We could calculate

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{tt} \tilde{U}^{(S_{q,i}^{min})} + \tau_{q,i}^2 \tilde{H}^{-1} \tilde{B} \partial_t^r \tilde{U}^{(S_{q,i}^{min})} = - \sum_{k=1}^r \binom{r}{k} (\partial_t^k (\tau_{q,i}^2 \tilde{H}^{-1} \tilde{B}) \partial_t^{r-k} \tilde{U}^{(S_{q,i}^{min})}) + \tau_{q,i}^2 \partial_t^r (\tilde{H}^{-1} \tilde{E}), & t \in [S_{q,i}^{min}, 5/4 + S_{q,i}^{min}], \\ \partial_t^r \tilde{U}^{(S_{q,i}^{min})} (S_{q,i}^{min}) = (0, 0)^\top, & \partial_t^{r+1} \tilde{U}^{(S_{q,i}^{min})} (S_{q,i}^{min}) = (0, 0)^\top, \end{cases}$$

and for $S_{q,i}^{min} + 1 \leq S \leq S_{q,i}^{max}$,

$$\partial_{tt} \partial_t^r \tilde{U}^{(S)} + \tau_{q,i}^2 \tilde{H}^{-1} \tilde{B} \partial_t^r \tilde{U}^{(S)}$$

$$= \begin{cases} - \sum_{k=1}^r \binom{r}{k} (\partial_t^k (\tau_{q,i}^2 \tilde{H}^{-1} \tilde{B}) \partial_t^{r-k} \tilde{U}^{(S)}) \\ + \partial_t^r (\tau_{q,i}^2 \tilde{H}^{-1} \tilde{E} (1 - \Theta_{S-1}) - 2 \partial_t \Theta_{S-1} \partial_t \tilde{U}^{(S-1)} - \partial_{tt} \Theta_{S-1} \tilde{U}^{(S-1)}), & t \in [S, S + 1/4], \\ - \sum_{k=1}^r \binom{r}{k} (\partial_t^k (\tau_{q,i}^2 \tilde{H}^{-1} \tilde{B}) \partial_t^{r-k} \tilde{U}^{(S)}) + \partial_t^r (\tau_{q,i}^2 \tilde{H}^{-1} \tilde{E}), & t \in (S + 1/4, S + 5/4]. \end{cases}$$

Next, by using (C.2) in Appendix C, we could obtain by induction

$$\|\partial_{tt} \tilde{U}^{(S_{q,i}^{min})}\|_{C_t^0} \lesssim \sigma \varepsilon^2 \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1} \leq \varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}, \quad (7.84)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_{tt} \tilde{U}^{(S_{q,i}^{min})}\|_{C_t^r} &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^r \|\tau_{q,i}^2 \tilde{H}^{-1} \tilde{B}\|_{C_t^k} \|\tilde{U}^{(S_{q,i}^{min})}\|_{C_t^{r-k}} + \|\tau_{q,i}^2 \partial_t^r (\tilde{H}^{-1} \tilde{E})\|_{C_t^r} \\ &\lesssim \sigma \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \tau_{q,i}^r \varepsilon^2 \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}, \end{aligned} \quad 1 \leq r \leq 5, \quad (7.85)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_{tt} \tilde{U}^{(S)}\|_{C_t^0} &\leq \|\tau_{q,i}^2 \tilde{H}^{-1} \tilde{E}\|_{C_t^0} + \|\partial_t \Theta_{S-1} \partial_t \tilde{U}^{(S-1)}\|_{C_t^0} + \|\partial_{tt} \Theta_{S-1} \tilde{U}^{(S-1)}\|_{C_t^0} \\ &\lesssim \sigma \varepsilon^2 \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1} \leq \varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.86)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_{tt} \tilde{U}^{(S)}\|_{C_t^r} &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^r \|\tau_{q,i}^2 \tilde{H}^{-1} \tilde{B}\|_{C_t^k} \|\tilde{U}^{(S)}\|_{C_t^{r-k}} + \|\tau_{q,i}^2 \tilde{H}^{-1} \tilde{E}\|_{C_t^r} \\ &\quad + \|\tau_{q,i}^2 \tilde{H}^{-1} \tilde{E} (1 - \Theta_{S-1})\|_{C_t^r} + \|\partial_t \Theta_{S-1} \partial_t \tilde{U}^{(S-1)}\|_{C_t^r} + \|\partial_{tt} \Theta_{S-1} \tilde{U}^{(S-1)}\|_{C_t^r} \\ &\lesssim \sigma \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \tau_{q,i}^r \varepsilon^2 \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}, \end{aligned} \quad 1 \leq r \leq 5, \quad (7.87)$$

for sufficiently small ε . And then, we have for $k = L, M$, and $r \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_k\|_{C_t^0} &\leq (\|g_{k,2}\|_{C_t^0} + \varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}) \|\theta_{q,i}^*\|_{C_t^0} \leq \varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}, \\ \|g_k\|_{C_t^r} &\lesssim \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|g_{k,2}(\tau_{q,i}^{-1} \cdot)\|_{C_t^{r_1}} \|\theta_{q,i}^*\|_{C_t^{r_2}} + \varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1} \|\theta_{q,i}^*\|_{C_t^r} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \tau_{q,i}^{-r_1} \|g_{k,2}\|_{C_t^{r_1}} \|\theta_{q,i}^*\|_{C_t^{r_2}} + \varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1} \|\theta_{q,i}^*\|_{C_t^r} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \tau_{q,i}^{-r_1} \|\sum_S \Theta_S \tilde{U}^{(S)}\|_{C_t^{r_1}} \|\theta_{q,i}^*\|_{C_t^{r_2}} + \varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1} \|\theta_{q,i}^*\|_{C_t^r} \\ &\lesssim \sigma \mu_{q,i}^{-r} \varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Up to now, we are ready to give estimates for $\tilde{V}_{q,i+1,ac}$, $\tilde{u}_{q,i+1,ac}$, $\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(1)}$:

$$\|\partial_t^r \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,k}\|_N \lesssim \|\partial_t^r g_k\|_{\text{oll}} \|\nabla \Psi_k^{(v)}\|_N \lesssim_{\sigma, N, r} \mu_{q,i}^{-N-r} \varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}, \quad k = L, M,$$

$$\|\partial_t^r \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,k}\|_N \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-1} \|\partial_t^r g_k\|_{\text{oll}} \|\psi_k^{(v)}\|_N \lesssim_{\sigma, N, r} \mu_{q,i}^{-N-r} \varepsilon \mu_{q,i} \delta_{q+1}, \quad k = L, M,$$

$$\|\partial_t^r \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,k}\|_N \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-2} \|\partial_t^r g_k\|_{\text{oll}} \|\nabla \psi_k^{(v)}\|_N \lesssim_{\sigma, N, r} \mu_{q,i}^{-N-r} \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}, \quad k = L, M,$$

$$\|\partial_t^r \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,ac}\|_N \leq \|\partial_t^r \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,L}\|_N + \|\partial_t^r \tilde{V}_{q,i+1,M}\|_N \lesssim_{\sigma, N, r} \mu_{q,i}^{-N-r} \varepsilon \mu_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1},$$

$$\|\partial_t^r \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,ac}\|_N \leq \|\partial_t^r \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,L}\|_N + \|\partial_t^r \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,M}\|_N \lesssim_{\sigma, N, r} \mu_{q,i}^{-N-r} \varepsilon \mu_{q,i} \delta_{q+1},$$

$$\|\partial_t^r \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}\|_N \leq \|\partial_t^r \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,L}\|_N + \|\partial_t^r \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,M}\|_N \lesssim_{\sigma, N, r} \mu_{q,i}^{-N-r} \varepsilon \delta_{q+1},$$

$$\|\partial_t^r \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,L}^{(1)}\|_N \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-2} \sum_{k=0}^6 \sum_{N_1+\dots+N_{k+1}=N} \sum_{r_1+\dots+r_{k+1}=r} \prod_{l=1}^k \|\partial_t^{r_l} G_{l,i}\|_{N_l} \|\partial_t^{r_{k+1}} g_L\|_{\text{oll}} \|\nabla \psi_L^{(v)}\|_{N_{k+1}} \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-N-r} \varepsilon \delta_{q+1},$$

$$\|\partial_t^r \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,M}^{(1)}\|_N \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-2} \sum_{k=0}^6 \sum_{N_1+\dots+N_{k+1}=N} \sum_{r_1+\dots+r_{k+1}=r} \prod_{l=1}^k \|\partial_t^{r_l} G_{l,i}\|_{N_l} \|\partial_t^{r_{k+1}} g_M\|_{\text{oll}} \|\nabla \psi_M^{(v)}\|_{N_{k+1}} \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-N-r} \varepsilon \delta_{q+1},$$

$$\|\partial_t^r \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(1)}\|_N \leq \|\partial_t^r \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,L}^{(1)}\|_N + \|\partial_t^r \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,M}^{(1)}\|_N \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-N-r} \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}.$$

Finally, from (2.19), (3.10), (7.27), (7.32), and (7.33), we could obtain (7.54). \square

8. ESTIMATES ON THE NEW REYNOLDS ERROR AND ENERGY

8.1. Estimates for the inverse divergence operator. Based on Proposition 5.10, we consider the general problem of finding compactly supported solutions to the asymmetric divergence equation for U which has the form $U = \sum_m a_m(t, x)e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_m} + U^{low}$, i.e.

$$\operatorname{div}(F_{q,i+1}R) = \operatorname{div}((\operatorname{Id} + G_{q,i+1})R) = U = \underbrace{\sum_m a_m(t, x)e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_m}}_{U^{high}} + U^{low}, \quad (8.1)$$

where \sum_m represents a finite sum, and $\operatorname{supp}_x a_m(t, \cdot) \cup \operatorname{supp}_x U^{low}(t, \cdot) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}_x \chi_\nu(\mu_{q,i}^{-1} \cdot) \subseteq B(2\pi\nu\mu_{q,i}, 2\pi\mu_{q,i})$, $\forall t \in \mathcal{I}^{q,i}$. We introduce the following lemma.

Proposition 8.1 (Compactly supported solutions to the asymmetric divergence equation with special U). *Consider a smooth vector field $U = a_m(t, x)e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_m} + U^{low}$ with $\xi_m : \mathcal{I}^{q,i} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ a smooth vector function satisfying $f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla \xi_m$ is a constant, $f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla \xi_m = 0$, $|f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla \xi_m| \geq 1$, and there exists $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that*

$$\operatorname{supp}_x a_m(t, \cdot) \cup \operatorname{supp}_x U^{low}(t, \cdot) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}_x \chi_\nu(\mu_{q,i}^{-1} \cdot) \subseteq B(2\pi\nu\mu_{q,i}, 2\pi\mu_{q,i}), \quad \forall t \in \mathcal{I}^{q,i}, \quad (8.2)$$

and has zero linear and angular momentum in Lagrangian coordinates, i.e., for $j, l = 1, \dots, d$ and all t ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} U_l(t, x) dx = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} ((y_{q,i+1})_j U_l - (y_{q,i+1})_l U_j)(t, x) dx = 0,$$

where $y_{q,i+1} = x + u_{q,i+1}$ denotes the deformation which is a smooth function.

Let $\|\cdot\|_N = \|\cdot\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q,i}; C^N(\mathbb{T}^2))}$ and assume the vector field $a(t, x)$ and U^{low} obeys the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t^r a_m\|_N &\lesssim \|a_m\|_0 \lambda_{q,i+1}^r \mu_{q,i}^{-N}, \quad N+r = 0, \dots, 4, \\ \|\partial_t^r U^{low}\|_N &\lesssim \|U^{low}\|_0 \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r}, \quad N+r = 0, \dots, 4. \end{aligned} \quad (8.3)$$

Then there exists a solution $\mathcal{R}^{(u_{q,i+1})}[U]$ to the asymmetric divergence equation (8.1), depending linearly on U , with the following properties:

1. The support of $\mathcal{R}^{(u_{q,i+1})}[U]$ stays in the ball $B(2\pi\nu\mu_{q,i}, 2(1+5\varepsilon)\pi\mu_{q,i})$ for all $t \in \mathcal{I}^{q,i}$, i.e.,

$$\operatorname{supp}_x \mathcal{R}^{(u_{q,i+1})}[U](t, \cdot) \subseteq B(2\pi\nu\mu_{q,i}, 2(1+5\varepsilon)\pi\mu_{q,i}), \quad \forall t \in \mathcal{I}^{q,i}. \quad (8.4)$$

2. The time support of $\mathcal{R}_j^{(u_{q,i+1})}[U]$ is contained within the time support of U , i.e.,

$$\operatorname{supp}_t \mathcal{R}_j^{(u_{q,i+1})}[U] \subseteq \operatorname{supp}_t U. \quad (8.5)$$

3. For $N+r = 0, 1, 2$,

$$\|\partial_t^r \mathcal{R}^{(u_{q,i+1})}[U]\|_N \lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} (\lambda_{q,i+1}^{-1} \|a_m\|_0 + \mu_{q,i} \|U^{low}\|_0). \quad (8.6)$$

Proof. For a vector $P \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we have the following decomposition

$$P = P_{f_{i+1}, \|f_{i+1}\|} + P_{f_{i+1}, \perp} \frac{f_{i+1}^\perp}{|f_{i+1}|} = \frac{P \cdot f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|^2} f_{i+1} + \frac{P \cdot f_{i+1}^\perp}{|f_{i+1}^\perp|^2} f_{i+1}^\perp.$$

Let $g_m = (\operatorname{Id} + G_{q,i+1})^{-1} a_m$, we could give the following decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} a_m e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_m} &= (\operatorname{Id} + G_{q,i+1}) g_m e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_m} \\ &= \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{(\operatorname{Id} + G_{q,i+1}) g_m \otimes f_{i+1}}{i\lambda_{q,i+1}(f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla \xi_m)} e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_m} \right) - (f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla) \left(\frac{(\operatorname{Id} + G_{q,i+1}) g_m}{i\lambda_{q,i+1}(f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla \xi_m)} \right) e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_m} \\ &= \operatorname{div} \left((\operatorname{Id} + G_{q,i+1}) \frac{(g_m)_{f_{i+1}, \|f_{i+1}\|} f_{i+1} \otimes f_{i+1} + (g_m)_{f_{i+1}, \perp} (f_{i+1}^\perp \otimes f_{i+1} + f_{i+1} \otimes f_{i+1}^\perp)}{i\lambda_{q,i+1}(f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla \xi_m)} e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_m} \right) \\ &\quad - \left((f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla) \left(\frac{a_m}{i\lambda_{q,i+1}(f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla \xi_m)} \right) + (f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla) \left(\frac{(g_m)_{f_{i+1}, \perp} (\operatorname{Id} + G_{q,i+1}) f_{i+1}}{i\lambda_{q,i+1}(f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla \xi_m)} \right) \right) e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_m}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $Q_{a_m} := (i\lambda_{q,i+1}(f \cdot \nabla \xi_m))^{-1} ((g_m)_{f_{i+1}, \|f_{i+1}\|} f_{i+1} \otimes f_{i+1} + (g_m)_{f_{i+1}, \perp} (f_{i+1}^\perp \otimes f_{i+1} + f_{i+1} \otimes f_{i+1}^\perp)) e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_m}$ is a symmetric matrix, we could obtain

$$\sum_m a_m e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_m} = \sum_m \operatorname{div} \left((\operatorname{Id} + G_{q,i+1}) Q_{a_m} \right) + \sum_m a_m^{(1)} e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_m},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_m^{(1)} &= - \left((f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla) \left(\frac{a_m}{i\lambda_{q,i+1}(f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla \xi_m)} \right) + (f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla) \left(\frac{(g_m)_{f_{i+1},\perp}(\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1})f_{i+1}}{i\lambda_{q,i+1}(f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla \xi_m)} \right) \right) \\ &= - \frac{(f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla)a_m + (f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla)((g_m)_{f_{i+1},\perp}(\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1}))f_{i+1}}{i\lambda_{q,i+1}(f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla \xi_m)}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} (f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla)(g_m)_{f_{i+1},\perp} &= (f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla) \left(\frac{(\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1})^{-1} a_m \cdot f_{i+1}^\perp}{|f_{i+1}^\perp|^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{(-(\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1})^{-1}(f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla)G_{q,i+1}(\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1})^{-1} a_m + (\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1})^{-1}(f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla)a_m) \cdot f_{i+1}^\perp}{|f_{i+1}^\perp|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and for $N + r \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t^r G_{q,i+1}\|_{N+1} &\lesssim \|\partial_t^r G_{\ell,i}\|_{N+1} + \|\partial_t^r \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}\|_{N+1} \\ &\lesssim \ell_{q,i}^{-N-r} \lambda_{q,i} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r+1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r+1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|\partial_t^r (f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla) G_{q,i+1}\|_N &\lesssim \|\partial_t^r (f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla) G_{\ell,i}\|_N + \|\partial_t^r (f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla) \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}\|_N \\ &\lesssim \ell_{q,i}^{-N-r} \lambda_{q,i} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \mu_{q,i}^{-1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-1} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

we could use similar way as (5.64) to obtain for $r \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla(\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1})^{-1}\|_N &\lesssim \sum_{N_1+N_2+N_3=N} \|(\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1})^{-1}\|_{N_1} \|(\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1})^{-1}\|_{N_2} \|\nabla G_{q,i+1}\|_{N_3} \lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|\partial_t^{r+1}(\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1})^{-1}\|_N &\lesssim \sum_{N_1+N_2+N_3=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2+r_3=r} \|\partial_t^{r_1}(\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1})^{-1}\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2}(\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1})^{-1}\|_{N_2} \|\partial_t^{r_3} G_{q,i+1}\|_{N_3} \\ &\lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r+1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|\partial_t^r (f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla)(\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1})^{-1}\|_N &\lesssim \sum_{N_1+N_2+N_3=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2+r_3=r} \|\partial_t^{r_1}(\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1})^{-1}\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2}(\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1})^{-1}\|_{N_2} \|\partial_t^{r_3}((f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla)G_{q,i+1})\|_{N_3} \\ &\lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-1} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(g_m)_{f_{i+1},\parallel}\|_0 + \|(g_m)_{f_{i+1},\perp}\|_0 &\lesssim \|(\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1})^{-1}\|_0 \|a_m\|_0 \lesssim \|a_m\|_0, \\ \|\partial_t^r (g_m)_{f_{i+1},\parallel}\|_N + \|\partial_t^r (g_m)_{f_{i+1},\perp}\|_N &\lesssim \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|\partial_t^{r_1}(\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1})^{-1}\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} a_m\|_{N_2} \lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|a_m\|_0, \quad N+r \geq 1, \\ \|(f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla)(g_m)_{f_{i+1},\perp}\|_0 &\lesssim \|(f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla)(\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1})^{-1}\|_0 \|a_m\|_0 + \|(\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1})^{-1}\|_0 \|(f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla)a_m\|_0 \\ &\lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|a_m\|_0 + \mu_{q,i}^{-1} \|a_m\|_0 \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-1} \|a_m\|_0, \\ \|\partial_t^r (f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla)(g_m)_{f_{i+1},\perp}\|_N &\lesssim \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|\partial_t^{r_1} (f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla)(\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1})^{-1}\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} a_m\|_{N_2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|\partial_t^{r_1}(\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1})^{-1}\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} (f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla)a_m\|_{N_2} \\ &\lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-1} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|a_m\|_0, \quad N+r \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then, Q_{a_m} and $a_m^{(1)}$ satisfies for $0 \leq N + r \leq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q_{a_m}\|_0 &\lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-1} (\|g_{f_{i+1},\parallel}\|_0 + \|(g_m)_{f_{i+1},\perp}\|_0) \|(f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla \xi_m)^{-1}\|_0 \lesssim \|a_m\|_0 (\lambda_{q,i+1} |\nabla \xi_m|)^{-1}, \\ \|\partial_t^r Q_{a_m}\|_N &\lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-1} \sum_{N_1+N_2+N_3=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2+r_3=r} (\|\partial_t^{r_1} (g_m)_{f_{i+1},\parallel}\|_{N_1} + \|\partial_t^{r_1} (g_m)_{f_{i+1},\perp}\|_{N_1}) \|\partial_t^{r_2} (f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla \xi_m)^{-1}\|_{N_2} \|\partial_t^{r_3} e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_m}\|_{N_3} \\ &\lesssim \|a_m\|_0 (\lambda_{q,i+1} |\nabla \xi_m|)^{N+r-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|a_m^{(1)}\|_0 &\leq (\lambda_{q,i+1}|\nabla\xi_m|)^{-1} \left(\|(f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla)a_m\|_0 + \|(f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla)(g_m)_{f_{i+1,\perp}}\|_0 \|\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1}\|_0 + \|(g_m)_{f_{i+1,\perp}}\|_0 \|(f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla)G_{q,i+1}\|_0 \right) \\
&\leq \|a_m\|_0 (\lambda_{q,i+1}\mu_{q,i}|\nabla\xi_m|)^{-1}, \\
\|\partial_t^r a_m^{(1)}\|_N &\leq (\lambda_{q,i+1}|\nabla\xi_m|)^{-1} \left(\|\partial_t^r (f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla)a_m\|_N + \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|\partial_t^{r_1} (f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla)(g_m)_{f_{i+1,\perp}}\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} (\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1})\|_{N_2} \right) \\
&\quad + (\lambda_{q,i+1}|\nabla\xi_m|)^{-1} \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|\partial_t^{r_1} (g_m)_{f_{i+1,\perp}}\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} (f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla)G_{q,i+1}\|_{N_2} \\
&\leq \|a_m\|_0 (\lambda_{q,i+1}\mu_{q,i}|\nabla\xi_m|)^{-1} (\lambda_{q,i+1}|\nabla\xi_m|)^{N+r}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we could define $\mathcal{R}^{(u_{q,i+1})}[U]$ as

$$\mathcal{R}^{(u_{q,i+1})}[U] := \sum_m \mathcal{Q}_{a_m} + \tilde{R}^{(u_{q,i+1})} \left[\sum_m a_m^{(1)} e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_m} + U^{low} \right]. \quad (8.7)$$

By using Proposition 5.10 with $u = u_{q,i+1}$, $\tilde{\lambda}_u = \lambda_{q,i+1}$, $\tilde{\lambda}_U = \lambda_{q,i+1}|\nabla\xi_m|$, $\tilde{\mu} = 2\pi\mu_{q,i}$, we could obtain (8.4), (8.5), and the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_t^r \mathcal{R}^{(u_{q,i+1})}[U]\|_N &\lesssim \sum_m \left(\|\partial_t^r \mathcal{Q}_{a_m}\|_N + \|\partial_t^r \tilde{R}^{(u_{q,i+1})}[a_m^{(1)} e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_m} + U^{low}]\|_N \right) \\
&\lesssim \sum_m \left(\|a_m\|_0 (\lambda_{q,i+1}|\nabla\xi_m|)^{N+r-1} + (\lambda_{q,i+1}|\nabla\xi_m|)^{N+r} \mu_{q,i} (\|a_m\|_0 (\lambda_{q,i+1}\mu_{q,i}|\nabla\xi_m|)^{-1} + \|U^{low}\|_0) \right) \\
&\lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \left(\lambda_{q,i+1}^{-1} \|a_m\|_0 + \mu_{q,i} \|U^{low}\|_0 \right), \quad N+r = 0, 1, 2. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 8.2. Note that $e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_m}$ in U^{high} can be replaced by high-frequency part of terms composed of products of Π_I and $\Pi_{I'}$, such as $\mathbb{P}_{\geq \lambda_{q,i+1}} \Pi_I \Pi_{I'}$. The method is similar, so we will not elaborate here. For simplicity, and where no confusion arises, we will rewrite the terms that need to be estimated in the form of high-frequency terms as in the lemma and denote their coefficients by $a_m[U]$. The estimate for the corresponding a_m then refers to rewriting the respective terms in the form of this lemma.

8.2. Definition of the new Reynolds error. In the previous section, we have constructed the perturbation. Here, we will add the perturbation $\tilde{u}_{q,i+1}$ to $u_{q,i}$, defining $u_{q,i+1} = u_{\ell,i} + \tilde{u}_{q,i+1}$, $G_{q,i+1} = G_{\ell,i} + \tilde{G}_{q,i+1}$. Based on the previous calculation (7.46) and the definition of $\mathcal{R}^{(u_{q,i+1})}$ in Section 8.1, we could define the new Reynolds error $R_{q,i+1}$ as

$$R_{q,i+1} = \tilde{e}_{q,i} \text{Id}^{<i+1>} + R_{\ell,i} - \sigma^* d_{q,i+1}^2 \left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \otimes \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \right) + \delta R_{q,i+1}, \quad (8.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
R_P := \sum_v \mathcal{R}^{(u_{q,i+1})} &\left[\partial_{tt} \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} + \partial_{tt} \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,L}^{(v)} - \text{div} \left(\tilde{G}_{q,i+1}^{(v)} (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i}) + (\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1}) \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),(v)} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \text{div} \left((\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1}) \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)} \right) \right], \quad (8.9)
\end{aligned}$$

$$R_M := \sum_v \mathcal{R}^{(u_{q,i+1})} \left[\partial_{tt} \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,M}^{(v)} + \text{div} (\chi_v^2 (\mu_{q,i}^{-1} x) R_{m,i}) \right], \quad (8.10)$$

$$R_{O1} := \sum_v \mathcal{R}^{(u_{q,i+1})} \left[\text{div} \left((\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1}) \left(\sigma^* \chi_v^2 (\mu_{q,i}^{-1} x) d_{q,i+1}^2 \left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \otimes \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \right) - \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)} - \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,p}^{(2),m,(v)} \right) \right) \right], \quad (8.11)$$

$$R_{O2} := -\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s}^{(2),m} - \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(2),c} - \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(\geq 3)}, \quad (8.12)$$

$$R_{O3} := \sigma^* \tilde{e}_{q,i} \text{Id}^{<i+1>} + R_{\ell,i} - \sigma^* d_{q,i+1}^2 \left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \otimes \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \right), \quad (8.13)$$

$$R_O := R_{O1} + R_{O2} + R_{O3}, \quad (8.14)$$

$$\delta R_{q,i+1} := R_P + R_M + R_{O1} + R_{O2}. \quad (8.15)$$

8.3. Estimates on the new Reynolds error. In this section, we will give the estimates on the new Reynolds stress R_{q+1} and its derivative. For convenience, if the implicit constants in the estimates in this section depend on σ and \bar{M} , we will not write it out. For the remaining sections, we set $\|\cdot\|_N = \|\cdot\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q,i}; \mathbb{C}^N(\mathbb{T}^2))}$

Proposition 8.3. *Let the parameters ε_1^* , ε_2^* , and ε_3^* be as in the statement of Proposition 3.3, Lemma 7.1, and Proposition 7.8. Then, for $b > 5$, we can find $\varepsilon_0^* = \varepsilon_0^*(b, \bar{M}) \leq \min\{\varepsilon_1^*, \varepsilon_2^*, \varepsilon_3^*\}$ such that for any $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0^*$, we have for $0 \leq i \leq 2$, and $N + r = 0, 1, 2$,*

$$\|\partial_t^r \delta R_{q,i+1}\|_N \leq C_{b,\sigma,\bar{M}} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \left(\varepsilon \delta_{q+1} + (\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i})^{-1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \leq \frac{1}{128} \tilde{c}_0^4 \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \delta_{q+2}, \quad (8.16)$$

where $C_{b,\sigma,\bar{M}}$ depends only upon b , σ , and \bar{M} in Proposition 2.6. Moreover, the support of $R_{q,i+1}$, $\delta R_{q,i+1}$ and R_{O3} satisfies

$$\text{supp}_t(R_{q,i+1}, \delta R_{q,i+1}, R_{O3}) \subseteq \text{supp}_t R_{q,i} + 3\tau_{q,i} + \ell_{q,i} + \lambda_q^{-1}. \quad (8.17)$$

We will consider (8.8) and estimate the separate terms R_P , R_M , and R_O . For the errors R_{O2} and R_{O3} , we use a direct estimate. For R_P , R_{O1} , and R_M , we use Proposition 8.1.

8.3.1. *Estimates on the principle error.* Recall

$$\begin{aligned} R_P := & \sum_v \mathcal{R}^{(u_{q,i+1})} \left[\partial_{tt} \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} + \partial_{tt} \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,L}^{(v)} - \text{div} \left(\tilde{G}_{q,i+1}^{(v)} (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i}) + (\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1}) \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),(v)} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \text{div} \left((\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1}) \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)} \right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

we could divide it into three parts:

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_{tt} \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} + \partial_{tt} \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,L}^{(v)} - \text{div} \left(\tilde{G}_{q,i+1}^{(v)} (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i}) + (\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1}) \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),(v)} - (\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1}) \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)} \right) \\ = & \underbrace{\partial_{tt} \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,L}^{(v)} - \text{div} \left(\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(v)} (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i}) + (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(1),(v)} \right)}_{\Xi_{ac}} \\ & + \underbrace{\partial_{tt} \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} - \text{div} \left(\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i}) + (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,p}^{(1),(v)} \right)}_{\Xi_{linear}} - \underbrace{\text{div} \left(\tilde{G}_{q,i+1} \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),(v)} - (\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1}) \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)} \right)}_{\Xi_{nonlinear}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the first term Ξ_{ac} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \partial_t^r \left(\partial_{tt} \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,L}^{(v)} - \text{div} \left(\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(v)} (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i}) + (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(1),(v)} \right) \right) \right\|_N \\ & \lesssim \left\| \partial_t^{r+2} \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,L}^{(v)} \right\|_N + \left\| \partial_t^r \text{div} \left(\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(v)} (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i}) + (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(1),(v)} \right) \right\|_N \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-N-r-1} \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (8.18)$$

The second part Ξ_{linear} can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_{linear} = & \left(\partial_{tt} \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} - \text{div} \left(\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,p}^{(v)} (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i}) + (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,p}^{(1),(v)} \right) \right)_k \\ = & \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} (u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \partial_{tt} w_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} + \bar{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \partial_{tt} \bar{w}_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}})_k \\ & - \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} ((u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \nabla \text{div} w_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} + \bar{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \nabla \text{div} \bar{w}_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}}))_k \\ & - \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \frac{\mu}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} ((u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \Delta w_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} + \bar{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \Delta \bar{w}_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}}))_k \\ & + \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \frac{(A_I^v)^{km}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} (u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \partial_{nr} (w_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}})_m + \bar{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \partial_{nr} (\bar{w}_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}})_m) \\ & + \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} (\partial_{tt} u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} w_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} + \partial_{tt} \bar{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \bar{w}_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} + 2\partial_{tt} u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \partial_t w_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} + 2\partial_{tt} \bar{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \partial_t \bar{w}_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}})_k \\ & - \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} (\text{div} w_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} \nabla u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} + \text{div} \bar{w}_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} \nabla \bar{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} + \nabla (w_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} \cdot \nabla u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} + \bar{w}_{A_I^v, f_{i+1}} \cdot \nabla \bar{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof}))_k \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \frac{\mu}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} (\nabla w_{A_i^v, f_{i+1}} \nabla u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof} + \nabla \bar{w}_{A_i^v, f_{i+1}} \nabla \bar{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof} + \Delta(u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof}) w_{A_i^v, f_{i+1}} + \Delta(\bar{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof}) \bar{w}_{A_i^v, f_{i+1}}) k \\
& + \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \frac{(A_I)_{nr}^{km} - (A_I^v)_{nr}^{km}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} (u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof} \partial_{nr} (w_{A_i^v, f_{i+1}})_m + \bar{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof} \partial_{nr} (\bar{w}_{A_i^v, f_{i+1}})_m) \\
& + \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} \partial_r ((A_I)_{nr}^{km}) \partial_n (u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof} (w_{A_i^v, f_{i+1}})_m + \bar{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof} (\bar{w}_{A_i^v, f_{i+1}})_m) \\
& + \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} (A_I)_{nr}^{km} (\partial_{nr} (u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof}) (w_{A_i^v, f_{i+1}})_m + \partial_{nr} (\bar{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof}) (\bar{w}_{A_i^v, f_{i+1}})_m) \\
& + \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} (A_I)_{nr}^{km} (\partial_n (u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof}) \partial_r (w_{A_i^v, f_{i+1}})_m + \partial_n (\bar{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof}) \partial_r (\bar{w}_{A_i^v, f_{i+1}})_m) \\
& + \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} (A_I)_{nr}^{km} (\partial_r (u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof}) \partial_n (w_{A_i^v, f_{i+1}})_m + \partial_r (\bar{u}_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof}) \partial_n (\bar{w}_{A_i^v, f_{i+1}})_m) \\
& = \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \left((W_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof, \parallel} f_{i+1} + W_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof, \perp} f_{i+1}^\perp) e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1} \xi_{A_i^v, f_{i+1}}} + (\bar{W}_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof, \parallel} f_{i+1} + \bar{W}_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof, \perp} f_{i+1}^\perp) e^{-i\lambda_{q,i+1} \xi_{A_i^v, f_{i+1}}} \right)_k,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof, \parallel} & = -i \left(u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof} \partial_{tt} c_{A_i^v} + 2\partial_t u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof} \partial_t c_{A_i^v} + (\lambda + \mu) ((f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof}) + (\tilde{f}_{A_i^v} \cdot \nabla u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof})) + \mu (f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof}) \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} \left(\partial_{tt} u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof} - (\lambda + \mu) |f_{i+1}|^{-2} (f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla) (\tilde{f}_{A_i^v} \cdot \nabla u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof}) - \mu \Delta u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof} \right), \\
& - \lambda_{q,i+1}[I] |f_{i+1}|^{-2} \left((A_I^v)_{nr}^{km} - (A_I)_{nr}^{km} \right) u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof} (f_{i+1})_n (f_{i+1})_r (\tilde{f}_{A_i^v})_m (f_{i+1})_k \\
& + i |f_{i+1}|^{-2} \left(\partial_r ((A_I)_{nr}^{km}) u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof} (f_{i+1})_n + (A_I)_{nr}^{km} (\partial_n (u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof}) (f_{i+1})_r + \partial_r (u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof}) (f_{i+1})_n) \right) (\tilde{f}_{A_i^v})_m (f_{i+1})_k \\
& + \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I] |f_{i+1}|^2} \left(\partial_r ((A_I)_{nr}^{km}) \partial_n (u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof}) + (A_I)_{nr}^{km} \partial_{nr} (u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof}) \right) (\tilde{f}_{A_i^v})_m (f_{i+1})_k \\
W_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof, \perp} & = -i \left(u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof} (a_{A_i^v} \partial_{tt} c_{A_i^v} + 2\partial_t a_{A_i^v} \partial_t c_{A_i^v}) + 2\partial_t u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof} a_{A_i^v} \partial_t c_{A_i^v} \right) \\
& - i \left((\lambda + \mu) (f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof}) + \mu (f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof}) a_{A_i^v} \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} \left(u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof} \partial_{tt} a_{A_i^v} + \partial_{tt} u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof} a_{A_i^v} + 2\partial_t u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof} \partial_t a_{A_i^v} \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} \left((\lambda + \mu) |f_{i+1}^\perp|^{-2} (f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla) (\tilde{f}_{A_i^v} \cdot \nabla u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof}) + \mu \Delta u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof} a_{A_i^v} \right) \\
& - \lambda_{q,i+1}[I] |f_{i+1}^\perp|^{-2} \left((A_I^v)_{nr}^{km} - (A_I)_{nr}^{km} \right) u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof} (f_{i+1})_n (f_{i+1})_r (\tilde{f}_{A_i^v})_m (f_{i+1}^\perp)_k \\
& + i |f_{i+1}^\perp|^{-2} \left(\partial_r ((A_I)_{nr}^{km}) u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof} (f_{i+1})_n + (A_I)_{nr}^{km} (\partial_n (u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof}) (f_{i+1})_r + \partial_r (u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof}) (f_{i+1})_n) \right) (\tilde{f}_{A_i^v})_m (f_{i+1}^\perp)_k \\
& + \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I] |f_{i+1}^\perp|^2} \left(\partial_r ((A_I)_{nr}^{km}) \partial_n (u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof}) + (A_I)_{nr}^{km} \partial_{nr} (u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof}) \right) (\tilde{f}_{A_i^v})_m (f_{i+1}^\perp)_k.
\end{aligned}$$

The following estimates immediately following from Proposition 7.8:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_t^r (W_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof, \parallel})\|_N & \lesssim \|\partial_t^r (u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof} \partial_{tt} c_{A_i^v})\|_N + \|\partial_t^r (\partial_t u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof} \partial_t c_{A_i^v})\|_N + \|\partial_t^r (f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof})\|_N + \|\partial_t^r (\tilde{f}_{A_i^v} \cdot \nabla u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof})\|_N \\
& + \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-1} \left(\|\partial_t^r (\partial_{tt} u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof})\|_N + \|\partial_t^r ((f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla) (\tilde{f}_{A_i^v} \cdot \nabla u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof}))\|_N + \|\partial_t^r (\Delta u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof})\|_N \right), \\
& + \lambda_{q,i+1} \|\partial_t^r (((A_I)_{nr}^{km} - (A_I)_{nr}^{km}) u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof} a_{A_i^v})\|_N \\
& + \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \left(\|\partial_t^{r_1} (\nabla ((A_I)_{nr}^{km}) u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof})\|_{N_1} + \|\partial_t^{r_1} ((A_I)_{nr}^{km} \nabla u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof})\|_{N_1} \right) \|\partial_t^{r_2} (\tilde{f}_{A_i^v})\|_{N_2} \\
& + \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-1} \left(\|\partial_t^{r_1} (\nabla ((A_I)_{nr}^{km}) \nabla u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof})\|_{N_1} + \|\partial_t^{r_1} ((A_I)_{nr}^{km} \nabla^2 (u_{q,i+1,p}^{I, cof}))\|_{N_1} \right) \|\partial_t^{r_2} (\tilde{f}_{A_i^v})\|_{N_2},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim \tau_{q,i}^{-r} \mu_{q,i}^{-N} \left(\mu_{q,i}^{-1} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mu_{q,i}^{-1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-1} (\tau_{q,i}^{-2} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mu_{q,i}^{-2} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \lambda_{q,i+1} (\mu_{q,i} \lambda_{q,i} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right) \\
&\quad + \tau_{q,i}^{-r} \mu_{q,i}^{-N} \left(\lambda_{q,i} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mu_{q,i}^{-1} \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-1} (\lambda_{q,i} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mu_{q,i}^{-1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mu_{q,i}^{-2} \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right) \\
&\lesssim \tau_{q,i}^{-r} \mu_{q,i}^{-N-1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\|\partial_t^r (W_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof,\perp})\|_N &\lesssim \|\partial_t^r (u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} a_{A_i^v} \partial_{tt} c_{A_i^v})\|_N + \|\partial_t^r (u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \partial_t a_{A_i^v} \partial_t c_{A_i^v})\|_N + \|\partial_t^r (\partial_t u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} a_{A_i^v} \partial_t c_{A_i^v})\|_N \\
&\quad + \|\partial_t^r (f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof})\|_N + \|\partial_t^r (f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} a_{A_i^v})\|_N \\
&\quad + \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-1} (\|\partial_t^r (u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \partial_{tt} a_{A_i^v})\|_N + \|\partial_t^r (\partial_t u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} \partial_t a_{A_i^v})\|_N + \|\partial_t^r (\partial_{tt} u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} a_{A_i^v})\|_N) \\
&\quad + \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-1} (\|\partial_t^r ((f_{i+1}^\perp \cdot \nabla) (\tilde{f}_{A_i^v} \cdot \nabla u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof}))\|_N + \|\partial_t^r (\Delta u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} a_{A_i^v})\|_N) \\
&\quad + \|\partial_t^r ((A_{I,nr}^{v,km} - (A_I)^{km}) u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} a_{A_i^v})\|_N \\
&\quad + \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} (\|\partial_t^{r_1} (\nabla ((A_I)^{km}) u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof})\|_{N_1} + \|\partial_t^{r_1} ((A_I)^{km} \nabla u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof})\|_{N_1}) \|\partial_t^{r_2} (\tilde{f}_{A_i^v})\|_{N_2} \\
&\quad + \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-1} (\|\partial_t^{r_1} (\nabla ((A_I)^{km}) \nabla u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof})\|_{N_1} + \|\partial_t^{r_1} ((A_I)^{km} \nabla^2 u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof})\|_{N_1}) \|\partial_t^{r_2} (\tilde{f}_{A_i^v})\|_{N_2} \\
&\lesssim \tau_{q,i}^{-r} \mu_{q,i}^{-N} \left(\mu_{q,i}^{-1} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mu_{q,i}^{-1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-1} (\tau_{q,i}^{-2} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mu_{q,i}^{-2} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \lambda_{q,i+1} (\mu_{q,i} \lambda_{q,i} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right) \\
&\quad + \tau_{q,i}^{-r} \mu_{q,i}^{-N} \left(\lambda_{q,i} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mu_{q,i}^{-1} \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-1} (\lambda_{q,i} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mu_{q,i}^{-1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mu_{q,i}^{-2} \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right) \\
&\lesssim \tau_{q,i}^{-r} \mu_{q,i}^{-N-1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(A_{I,nr}^{v,km} - (A_I)^{km})\|_0 &\lesssim \mu_{q,i} \|(A_I)^{km}\|_1 \lesssim \mu_{q,i} \lambda_{q,i} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\|((A_I)^{v,km} - (A_I)^{km}) u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} a_{A_i^v}\|_0 &\lesssim \|(A_I)^{v,km} - (A_I)^{km}\|_0 \|u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof}\|_0 \|a_{A_i^v}\|_0 \lesssim \mu_{q,i} \lambda_{q,i} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\|\partial_t^r ((A_I)^{v,km} - (A_I)^{km}) u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof} a_{A_i^v}\|_N &\lesssim \sum_{r_1+r_2+r_3=r} \sum_{N_1+N_2+N_3=N} \|\partial_t^{r_1} ((A_I)^{v,km} - (A_I)^{km})\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} (u_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof})\|_{N_2} \|\partial_t^{r_3} (a_{A_i^v})\|_{N_3} \\
&\lesssim \tau_{q,i}^{-r} \mu_{q,i}^{-N} \mu_{q,i} \lambda_{q,i} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\|\partial_t^r a_m[\Xi_{linear}]\|_N \lesssim \tau_{q,i}^{-r} \mu_{q,i}^{-N-1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (8.19)$$

Notice that

$$\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m}^{(1),(v)} = 4\sigma_{11} \chi_v^2 (\mu_{q,i}^{-1} x) d_{q,i+1}^2 \left(\frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \otimes \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \right) \quad (8.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\underbrace{- 4\sigma_{11} |f_{i+1}|^2 (f_{i+1} \otimes f_{i+1}) \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} ((\gamma_{q,i+1}^I)^2 (\Pi_{I,I} + 2) + \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|I'-I\|=1}} \gamma_{q,i+1}^{I'} \gamma_{q,i+1}^{I'} \Pi_{I,I'})}_{(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m}^{(1),(v)})^{low}} \\
&\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m}^{(1),(v)})^{high}} \quad (8.21)
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{q,i+1} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m}^{(1),(v)} &= (G_{\ell,i} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}) \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m}^{(1),(v)} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,p} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m}^{(1),(v)} \\
&= -4\sigma_{11} \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|I'-I\|\leq 1}} \gamma_{q,i+1}^{I'} \gamma_{q,i+1}^{I'} |f_{i+1}|^2 (((G_{\ell,i} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}) f_{i+1}) \otimes f_{i+1}) \Pi_{I,I'} \\
&\quad - 4\sigma_{11} \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|I'-I\|\leq 1}} \sum_{\substack{s'':I''=(s'',v'') \\ \|I''-I\|\leq 1}} \gamma_{q,i+1}^{I'} \gamma_{q,i+1}^{I''} \gamma_{q,i+1}^{I''} |f_{i+1}|^4 (\tilde{f}_{A_i^v}'' \otimes f_{i+1}) \Pi_{I,I',I''} \quad (8.22)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -4\sigma_{11} \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|I'-I\| \leq 1}} \sum_{\substack{s'':I''=(s'',v'') \\ \|I''-I\| \leq 1}} \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I'']} (\gamma_{q,i+1}^I \gamma_{q,i+1}^{I'} |f_{i+1}|^2 (\tilde{f}_{A''} \otimes f_{i+1}) \\
& \quad \cdot (f_{i+1} \cdot \gamma_{q,i+1,s}^{I''} \Pi_{I,I'} \tilde{\Pi}_{I''} + f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^{I''} \Pi_{I,I',I''})),
\end{aligned}$$

and then, we could define

$$\begin{aligned}
(G_{q,i+1} \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)})^{low} &= -4\sigma_{11} \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|I'-I\| \leq 1}} \gamma_{q,i+1}^I \gamma_{q,i+1}^{I'} |f_{i+1}|^2 (((G_{\ell,i} + \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}) f_{i+1}) \otimes f_{i+1}) \mathbb{P}_{=0} \Pi_{I,I'} \\
& -4\sigma_{11} \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|I'-I\| \leq 1}} \sum_{\substack{s'':I''=(s'',v'') \\ \|I''-I\| \leq 1}} \gamma_{q,i+1}^I \gamma_{q,i+1}^{I'} \gamma_{q,i+1}^{I''} |f_{i+1}|^4 (\tilde{f}_{A''} \otimes f_{i+1}) \mathbb{P}_{=0} \Pi_{I,I',I''} \\
& -4\sigma_{11} \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|I'-I\| \leq 1}} \sum_{\substack{s'':I''=(s'',v'') \\ \|I''-I\| \leq 1}} \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I'']} (\gamma_{q,i+1}^I \gamma_{q,i+1}^{I'} |f_{i+1}|^2 (\tilde{f}_{A''} \otimes f_{i+1}) \\
& \quad \cdot (f_{i+1} \cdot \gamma_{q,i+1,s}^{I''} \mathbb{P}_{=0}(\Pi_{I,I'} \tilde{\Pi}_{I''}) + f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^{I''} \mathbb{P}_{=0} \Pi_{I,I',I''})),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(G_{q,i+1} \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)})^{high} &= -4\sigma_{11} \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|I'-I\| \leq 1}} \gamma_{q,i+1}^I \gamma_{q,i+1}^{I'} |f_{i+1}|^2 (((G_{\ell,i} + \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}) f_{i+1}) \otimes f_{i+1}) \mathbb{P}_{\geq \lambda_{q,i+1}} \Pi_{I,I'} \\
& -4\sigma_{11} \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|I'-I\| \leq 1}} \sum_{\substack{s'':I''=(s'',v'') \\ \|I''-I\| \leq 1}} \gamma_{q,i+1}^I \gamma_{q,i+1}^{I'} \gamma_{q,i+1}^{I''} |f_{i+1}|^4 (\tilde{f}_{A''} \otimes f_{i+1}) \mathbb{P}_{\geq \lambda_{q,i+1}} \Pi_{I,I',I''} \\
& -4\sigma_{11} \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|I'-I\| \leq 1}} \sum_{\substack{s'':I''=(s'',v'') \\ \|I''-I\| \leq 1}} \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I'']} (\gamma_{q,i+1}^I \gamma_{q,i+1}^{I'} |f_{i+1}|^2 (\tilde{f}_{A''} \otimes f_{i+1}) \\
& \quad \cdot (f_{i+1} \cdot \gamma_{q,i+1,s}^{I''} \mathbb{P}_{\geq \lambda_{q,i+1}}(\Pi_{I,I'} \tilde{\Pi}_{I''}) + f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^{I''} \mathbb{P}_{\geq \lambda_{q,i+1}} \Pi_{I,I',I''})).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_t^r (G_{q,i+1} \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)})^{low}\|_N + \|\partial_t^r a_m [(G_{q,i+1} \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)})^{high}]\|_N \\
& \lesssim \sum_{r_1+r_2+r_3=r} \sum_{N_1+N_2+N_3=N} \|\partial_t^{r_1} \gamma_{q,i+1}^I\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} \gamma_{q,i+1}^{I'}\|_{N_2} \|\partial_t^{r_3} (G_{\ell,i} + \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac})\|_{N_3} \\
& \quad + \sum_{r_1+r_2+r_3=r} \sum_{N_1+N_2+N_3=N} \|\partial_t^{r_1} \gamma_{q,i+1}^I\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} \gamma_{q,i+1}^{I'}\|_{N_2} \|\partial_t^{r_3} \gamma_{q,i+1}^{I''}\|_{N_3} \\
& \quad + \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-1} \sum_{r_1+r_2+r_3=r} \sum_{N_1+N_2+N_3=N} \|\partial_t^{r_1} \gamma_{q,i+1}^I\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} \gamma_{q,i+1}^{I'}\|_{N_2} (\|\partial_t^{r_3} f_{i+1} \cdot \gamma_{q,i+1,s}^{I''}\|_{N_3} + \|\partial_t^{r_3} (f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla) \gamma_{q,i+1,c}^{I''}\|_{N_3}) \\
& \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-N-r} \left(\delta_{q+1} (\varepsilon + \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}) + \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{3}{2}} + (\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i})^{-1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{3}{2}} + (\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i})^{-2} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{3}{2}} \right) \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-N-r} \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.23}$$

Similarly, we could calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{G}_{q,i+1} \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),(v)} - \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)} &= (\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,s1} + \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,s2} + \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}) \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),(v)} \\
& \quad + \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} (\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m2}^{(1),(v)} + \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s1}^{(1),(v)} + \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s2}^{(1),(v)} + \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(1),(v)}),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,s1} + \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,s2} + \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}) \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),(v)} \\
&= \sum_{\|v'-v\| \leq 1} (\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,s1}^{(v')} + \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,s2}^{(v')} + \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(v')}) \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),(v)} \\
&= \sum_{s,I=(s,v)} \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(v)} ((\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof m} + \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof 1}) \Pi_I + \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof 2} \tilde{\Pi}_I) + \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(v)} \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(1),(v)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|I'-I\| \leq 1}} i\gamma'_{q,i+1} a_{A_{v'}}(t) f_{i+1}^\perp \otimes ((\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cofm} + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof1})) f_{i+1} \Pi_{I,I'} + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof2} f_{i+1} \widetilde{\Pi}_I \Pi_{I'}) \\
& + \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|I'-I\| \leq 1}} \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I']} \widetilde{f}_{A_{v'}} \otimes ((\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cofm} + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof1})) (\gamma'_{q,i+1,s} \widetilde{\Pi}_{I'} \Pi_I + \nabla \gamma'_{q,i+1,c} \Pi_{I',I'}) \\
& + \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|I'-I\| \leq 1}} \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I']} \widetilde{f}_{A_{v'}} \otimes (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof2} (\gamma'_{q,i+1,s} \widetilde{\Pi}_{I'} \widetilde{\Pi}_I + \nabla \gamma'_{q,i+1,c} \Pi_{I'} \widetilde{\Pi}_I)) \\
& + \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} i\gamma^I_{q,i+1} a_{A_I}(t) f_{i+1}^\perp \otimes (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(1),(v)} f_{i+1}) \Pi_I + \sum_{s,I=(s,v)} \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} \widetilde{f}_{A_I^v} \otimes (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(1),(v)} (\gamma^I_{q,i+1,s} \widetilde{\Pi}_I + \nabla \gamma^I_{q,i+1,c} \Pi_I)),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m2}^{(1),(v)} + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s1}^{(1),(v)} + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s2}^{(1),(v)} + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(1),(v)}) \\
& = \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|I'-I\| \leq 1}} i\gamma'_{q,i+1} f_{i+1} \otimes (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof1} f_{i+1}) \Pi_{I,I'} + \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|I'-I\| \leq 1}} i\gamma'_{q,i+1} f_{i+1} \otimes (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof2} f_{i+1}) \Pi_{I'} \widetilde{\Pi}_I \\
& + \sum_{s,I=(s,v)} i\gamma^I_{q,i+1} f_{i+1} \otimes (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(1),(v)} f_{i+1}) \Pi_I.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we could define

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),(v)} - \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)})^{low} \\
& := \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(v)} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(1),(v)} + \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|I'-I\| \leq 1}} i\gamma'_{q,i+1} a_{A_{v'}}(t) f_{i+1}^\perp \otimes ((\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cofm} + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof1})) f_{i+1} \mathbb{P}_{=0}(\Pi_{I,I'}) \\
& + \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|I'-I\| \leq 1}} \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I']} \widetilde{f}_{A_{v'}} \otimes ((\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cofm} + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof1})) \nabla \gamma'_{q,i+1,c} \mathbb{P}_{=0}(\Pi_{I',I}) \\
& + \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|I'-I\| \leq 1}} \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I']} \widetilde{f}_{A_{v'}} \otimes (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof2} \gamma'_{q,i+1,s}) \mathbb{P}_{=0}(\widetilde{\Pi}_{I'} \widetilde{\Pi}_I) \\
& + \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|I'-I\| \leq 1}} i\gamma'_{q,i+1} f_{i+1} \otimes (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof1} f_{i+1}) \mathbb{P}_{=0}(\Pi_{I',I}),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),(v)} - \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)})^{high} \\
& := \sum_{s,I=(s,v)} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(v)} ((\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cofm} + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof1}) \Pi_I + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof2} \widetilde{\Pi}_I) \\
& + \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|I'-I\| \leq 1}} i\gamma'_{q,i+1} a_{A_{v'}}(t) f_{i+1}^\perp \otimes ((\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cofm} + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof1})) f_{i+1} \mathbb{P}_{\geq \lambda_{q,i+1}}(\Pi_{I,I'}) + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof2} f_{i+1} \mathbb{P}_{\geq \lambda_{q,i+1}}(\widetilde{\Pi}_I \Pi_{I'}) \\
& + \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|I'-I\| \leq 1}} \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I']} \widetilde{f}_{A_{v'}} \otimes ((\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cofm} + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof1})) (\gamma'_{q,i+1,s} \mathbb{P}_{\geq \lambda_{q,i+1}}(\widetilde{\Pi}_{I'} \Pi_I) + \nabla \gamma'_{q,i+1,c} \mathbb{P}_{\geq \lambda_{q,i+1}}(\Pi_{I',I})) \\
& + \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|I'-I\| \leq 1}} \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I']} \widetilde{f}_{A_{v'}} \otimes (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof2} (\gamma'_{q,i+1,s} \mathbb{P}_{\geq \lambda_{q,i+1}}(\widetilde{\Pi}_{I'} \widetilde{\Pi}_I) + \nabla \gamma'_{q,i+1,c} \mathbb{P}_{\geq \lambda_{q,i+1}}(\Pi_{I'} \widetilde{\Pi}_I))) \\
& + \sum_{s,I=(s,v)} i\gamma^I_{q,i+1} a_{A_I}(t) f_{i+1}^\perp \otimes (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(1),(v)} f_{i+1}) \Pi_I + \sum_{s,I=(s,v)} \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} \widetilde{f}_{A_I^v} \otimes (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(1),(v)} (\gamma^I_{q,i+1,s} \widetilde{\Pi}_I + \nabla \gamma^I_{q,i+1,c} \Pi_I))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{s:J=(s,u)} \sum_{\substack{s':J'=(s',u') \\ \|I-I'\| \leq 1}} i\gamma'_{q,i+1} f_{i+1} \otimes ((\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cofm} + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof1}) f_{i+1}) \mathbb{P}_{\geq \lambda_{q,i+1}}(\Pi_{I',I}) \\
& + \sum_{s:J=(s,u)} \sum_{\substack{s':J'=(s',u') \\ \|I-I'\| \leq 1}} i\gamma'_{q,i+1} f_{i+1} \otimes (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof2} f_{i+1}) \mathbb{P}_{\geq \lambda_{q,i+1}}(\Pi_{I',\widetilde{\Pi}_I}) + \sum_{s:J=(s,u)} i\gamma^l_{q,i+1} f_{i+1} \otimes (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(1),(v)} f_{i+1}) \Pi_I.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we could give

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_t^r (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),(v)} - \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)})^{low}\|_N + \|\partial_t^r a_m [(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),(v)} - \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)})^{high}]\|_N \\
& \lesssim \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \|\partial_t^{r_1} (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(v)})\|_{N_1} (\|\partial_t^{r_2} (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cofm})\|_{N_2} + \|\partial_t^{r_2} (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof1})\|_{N_2} + \|\partial_t^{r_2} (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof2})\|_{N_2}) \\
& + \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \|\partial_t^{r_1} (a_{A_i^v} \gamma^l_{q,i+1})\|_{N_1} (\|\partial_t^{r_2} (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cofm})\|_{N_2} + \|\partial_t^{r_2} (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof1})\|_{N_2} + \|\partial_t^{r_2} (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof2})\|_{N_2}) \\
& + \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-1} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} (\|\partial_t^{r_1} (\gamma^l_{q,i+1,s})\|_{N_1} + \|\partial_t^{r_1} (\nabla \gamma^l_{q,i+1,c})\|_{N_1}) (\|\partial_t^{r_2} (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cofm})\|_{N_2} + \|\partial_t^{r_2} (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof1})\|_{N_2}) \\
& + \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-1} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} (\|\partial_t^{r_1} (\gamma^l_{q,i+1,s})\|_{N_1} + \|\partial_t^{r_1} (\nabla \gamma^l_{q,i+1,c})\|_{N_1}) \|\partial_t^{r_2} (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof2})\|_{N_2} \\
& + \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \|\partial_t^{r_1} (\gamma^l_{q,i+1})\|_{N_1} (\|\partial_t^{r_2} (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof1})\|_{N_2} + \|\partial_t^{r_2} (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),I,cof2})\|_{N_2} + \|\partial_t^{r_2} (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(1),(v)})\|_{N_2}) \\
& \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-N-r} \left(\varepsilon \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{3}{2}} + \varepsilon \delta_{q+1} + (\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i})^{-1} \delta_{q+1} + (\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i})^{-2} \delta_{q+1} + \varepsilon \delta_{q+1} \right) \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-N-r} \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.24}$$

By using Proposition 8.1 and (8.18)–(8.24), and choosing

$$\begin{aligned}
U_P^{high} & = \operatorname{div} \left(-(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),(v)} - \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)})^{high} + (G_{q,i+1} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)})^{high} \right) \\
& \quad + (W_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof,\parallel} f_{i+1} + W_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof,\perp} f_{i+1}^\perp) e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1} \xi_{A_i^v} f_{i+1}} + (\overline{W}_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof,\parallel} f_{i+1} + \overline{W}_{q,i+1,p}^{I,cof,\perp} f_{i+1}^\perp) e^{-i\lambda_{q,i+1} \xi_{A_i^v} f_{i+1}}, \\
U_P^{low} & = \operatorname{div} \left(-(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1),(v)} - \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)})^{low} + (G_{q,i+1} \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)})^{low} \right) \\
& \quad + \partial_{tt} \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,L}^{(v)} - \operatorname{div} \left(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(v)} (\Sigma_{G_{\ell,i}} + R_{\ell,i} + c_{q,i}) + (\operatorname{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,ac}^{(1),(v)} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

we could obtain that the principle error R_P satisfy

$$\|\partial_t^r R_P\|_N \lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} (\lambda_{q,i+1}^{-1} \|U_P^{high}\|_0 + \mu_{q,i} \|U_P^{low}\|_0) \lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} (\varepsilon \delta_{q+1} + (\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i})^{-1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}). \tag{8.25}$$

8.3.2. *Estimates on the mediation error.* Recall that

$$R_M = \sum_v \mathcal{R}^{(u_{q,i+1})} \left[\partial_{tt} \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,M}^{(v)} + \operatorname{div} (\chi_v^2 (\mu_{q,i}^{-1} x) R_{m,i}) \right],$$

we could calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_t^r (\partial_{tt} \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,M}^{(v)} + \operatorname{div} (\chi_v^2 (\mu_{q,i}^{-1} x) R_{m,i}))\|_N & \lesssim \|\partial_t^{r+2} \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,M}^{(v)}\|_N + \|\partial_t^r \operatorname{div} (\chi_v^2 (\mu_{q,i}^{-1} x) R_{m,i})\|_N \\
& \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-N-r-1} (\varepsilon \delta_{q+1} + \ell^2 \lambda_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}) \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-N-r-1} \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.26}$$

By using Proposition 8.1 and (8.26), and choosing

$$U_M^{low} = \partial_{tt} \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1,M}^{(v)} + \operatorname{div} (\chi_v^2 (\mu_{q,i}^{-1} x) R_{m,i}),$$

we could obtain the mediation error R_M satisfy

$$\|\partial_t^r R_M\|_N \lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \mu_{q,i} \|U_M^{low}\|_0 \lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}. \tag{8.27}$$

8.3.3. *Estimates on the oscillation error.* Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{O1} & = \sum_v \mathcal{R}^{(u_{q,i+1})} \left[\operatorname{div} \left((\operatorname{Id} + G_{q,i+1}) \left(\sigma^* \chi_v^2 (\mu_{q,i}^{-1} x) d_{q,i+1}^2 \left(\operatorname{Id} - \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \otimes \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \right) - \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)} - \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,p}^{(2),m,(v)} \right) \right) \right], \\
R_{O2} & = -\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s}^{(2),m} - \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,c}^{(2),c} - \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(\geq 3)},
\end{aligned}$$

From (7.43), we could define

$$\begin{aligned}
(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,p}^{(2),m,(v)})^{low} &= - \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|I'-I\| \leq 1}} |f_{i+1}|^4 (\gamma_{q,i+1}^I)^2 \left(\sigma^* \text{Id} - (2\sigma_2 + 8\sigma_{12}) \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \otimes \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \right) \mathbb{P}_{=0} \Pi_{I,I'} \\
&= \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} 2|f_{i+1}|^4 (\gamma_{q,i+1}^I)^2 \left(\sigma^* \text{Id} - (2\sigma_2 + 8\sigma_{12}) \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \otimes \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \right) \\
&= \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \theta_I^2 \chi_I^2 d_{q,i+1}^2 \left(\sigma^* \text{Id} - (2\sigma_2 + 8\sigma_{12}) \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \otimes \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \right) \\
&= \chi_v^2 (\mu_{q,i}^{-1} x) d_{q,i+1}^2 \left(\sigma^* \text{Id} - (2\sigma_2 + 8\sigma_{12}) \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \otimes \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,p}^{(2),m,(v)})^{high} + (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)})^{high} = - \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|I'-I\| \leq 1}} \sigma^* |f_{i+1}|^4 (\gamma_{q,i+1}^I \gamma_{q,i+1}^{I'}) \left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \otimes \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \right) \mathbb{P}_{\geq \lambda_{q,i+1}} \Pi_{I,I'}.$$

where we have used (1.13) and (8.21). Similarly, we could use (1.13), (7.43), and (8.20) to obtain

$$(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,p}^{(2),m,(v)})^{low} + (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)})^{low} = \sigma^* \chi_v^2 (\mu_{q,i}^{-1} x) d_{q,i+1}^2 \left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \otimes \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \right),$$

and then,

$$\sigma^* \chi_v^2 (\mu_{q,i}^{-1} x) d_{q,i+1}^2 \left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \otimes \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \right) - \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)} - \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,p}^{(2),m,(v)} = -(\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)})^{high} - (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,p}^{(2),m,(v)})^{high}.$$

Next, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1})((\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)})^{high} + (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,p}^{(2),m,(v)})^{high}) \\
&= (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})((\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)})^{high} + (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,p}^{(2),m,(v)})^{high}) + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}((\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)})^{high} + (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,p}^{(2),m,(v)})^{high}).
\end{aligned}$$

For the first term, after simple calculation, we have

$$|f_{i+1}|^2 ([I] \pm [I']) f_{i+1} = (f_{i+1} \cdot ([I] \pm [I']) f_{i+1}) f_{i+1}, \quad \text{if } \|I - I'\| \leq 1,$$

which means

$$\text{div} \left(\left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \otimes \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \right) \mathbb{P}_{\geq \lambda_{q,i+1}} \Pi_{I,I'} \right) = 0.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{div}((\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})((\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)})^{high} + (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,p}^{(2),m,(v)})^{high})) \\
&= - \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|I'-I\| \leq 1}} \text{div} \left(\sigma^* |f_{i+1}|^4 (\gamma_{q,i+1}^I \gamma_{q,i+1}^{I'}) (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}) \left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \otimes \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \right) \right) \mathbb{P}_{\geq \lambda_{q,i+1}} \Pi_{I,I'},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial_t^r a_m [\text{div}((\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i})((\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)})^{high} + (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,p}^{(2),m,(v)})^{high}))]\|_N \\
&\lesssim \|\partial_t^r ((\gamma_{q,i+1}^I \gamma_{q,i+1}^{I'}) (\text{Id} + G_{\ell,i}))\|_{N+1} \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-N-r-1} \delta_{q,i+1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.28}$$

For the second term, we could obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1}((\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)})^{high} + (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,p}^{(2),m,(v)})^{high}) \\
&= (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,s1} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,s2} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac})((\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)})^{high} + (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,p}^{(2),m,(v)})^{high}) \\
&= (\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,s2} + \widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac})((\widetilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)})^{high} + (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,p}^{(2),m,(v)})^{high})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= - \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|I''-I\| \leq 1}} \sum_{\substack{s'':I''=(s'',v'') \\ \|I''-I\| \leq 1}} \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I'']} \sigma^* |f_{i+1}|^4 (\gamma'_{q,i+1} \gamma''_{q,i+1}) \tilde{f}_{A''} \otimes \left(\left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \otimes \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \right) \nabla \gamma''_{q,i+1,c} \right) \Pi_{I''} \mathbb{P}_{\geq \lambda_{q,i+1}} \Pi_{I,I'} \\
&- \sum_{s:I=(s,v)} \sum_{\substack{s':I'=(s',v') \\ \|I''-I\| \leq 1}} \sum_{\substack{s'':I''=(s'',v'') \\ \|I''-I\| \leq 1}} \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I'']} \sigma^* |f_{i+1}|^4 (\gamma'_{q,i+1} \gamma''_{q,i+1}) \left(\left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \otimes \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \right) \gamma''_{q,i+1,s} \right) \tilde{\Pi}_{I''} \mathbb{P}_{\geq \lambda_{q,i+1}} \Pi_{I,I'},
\end{aligned}$$

which doesn't include term of low frequency and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial_t^r a_m [\text{div}(\tilde{G}_{q,i+1}((\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)})^{high} + (\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,p}^{(2),m,(v)})^{high}))]\|_N \\
&\lesssim \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|\partial_t^{r_1} (\gamma'_{q,i+1} \gamma''_{q,i+1})\|_{N_1} (\|\partial_t^{r_1} \nabla \gamma'_{q,i+1,c}\|_{N_1} + \|\partial_t^{r_2} \gamma_{q,i+1,s}\|_{N_2}) \lesssim \mu_{q,i}^{-N-r-1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{3}{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.29}$$

By using Proposition 8.1, (8.28), and (8.29), and choosing

$$U_{O1}^{high} = -\text{div}((\text{Id} + G_{q,i+1})((\tilde{G}_{q,i+1,m} \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,m1}^{(1),(v)})^{high} + (\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,p}^{(2),m,(v)})^{high})),$$

we could obtain the oscillation error R_{O1} satisfy

$$\|\partial_t^r R_{O1}\|_N \lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-1} \|U_{O1}^{high}\|_0 \lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} (\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i})^{-1} \delta_{q+1}. \tag{8.30}$$

As for R_{O2} , we could directly get

$$\|\partial_t^r \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s}^{(2),m}\|_N \lesssim \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|\partial_t^{r_1} \tilde{G}_{q,i+1}\|_{N_1} (\|\partial_t^{r_2} \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,s}\|_{N_2} + \|\partial_t^{r_2} \tilde{G}_{q,i+1,ac}\|_{N_2}) \lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}.$$

Moreover, due to the definition of $\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(2),c}$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(\geq 3)}$ in (6.19), (6.21), and (6.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_t^r \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(2),c}\|_N &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^6 \sum_{N_1+\dots+N_{k+2}=N} \sum_{r_1+\dots+r_{k+2}=r} \prod_{l=1}^k \|\partial_t^{r_l} G_{l,i}\|_{N_l} \|\partial_t^{r_{k+1}} \tilde{G}_{q,i+1}\|_{N_{k+1}} \|\partial_t^{r_{k+2}} \tilde{G}_{q,i+1}\|_{N_{k+2}} \lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}, \\
\|\partial_t^r \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(\geq 3)}\|_N &\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^6 \sum_{N_1+\dots+N_{k+3}=N} \sum_{r_1+\dots+r_{k+3}=r} \prod_{l=1}^k \|\partial_t^{r_l} G_{l,i}\|_{N_l} \|\partial_t^{r_{k+1}} \tilde{G}_{q,i+1}\|_{N_{k+1}} \|\partial_t^{r_{k+2}} \tilde{G}_{q,i+1}\|_{N_{k+2}} \|\partial_t^{r_{k+3}} \tilde{G}_{q,i+1}\|_{N_{k+3}} \lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{3}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Up to now, we could obtain the oscillation error R_{O2} satisfy

$$\|\partial_t^r R_{O2}\|_N \lesssim \|\partial_t^r \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1,s}^{(2),m}\|_N + \|\partial_t^r \tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(2),c}\|_N + \|\tilde{\Sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(\geq 3)}\|_N \lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}.$$

To sum up, we have

$$\|\partial_t^r \delta R_{q,i+1}\|_N \lesssim \|\partial_t^r R_L\|_N + \|\partial_t^r R_M\|_N + \|\partial_t^r R_{O1}\|_N + \|\partial_t^r R_{O2}\|_N \lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \left(\varepsilon \delta_{q+1} + (\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i})^{-1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right). \tag{8.31}$$

(8.17) follows immediately from (7.53), (7.54), and (8.5).

8.4. Estimate on energy. Here, we will give a proposition about the estimates on the total energy function.

Proposition 8.4. *The total energy function $\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u_{q,i+1}|^2 + \sigma_{G_{q,i+1}} dx$ satisfies the following estimate for $t \in \text{supp}_t \tilde{e}_{q,i}$*

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u_{q,i+1}|^2 + \sigma_{G_{q,i+1}} \right) - \left(\frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u_{q,i}|^2 + \sigma_{G_{q,i}} \right) - 4\sigma_{11} d_{q,i+1}^2 dx \right| \lesssim \sigma \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}. \tag{8.32}$$

Proof. By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u_{q,i+1}|^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u_{\ell,i}|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \partial_t u_{\ell,i} \cdot \tilde{u}_{q,i+1} dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}_{q,i+1}|^2 dx, \\
\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}_{q,i+1}|^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{2} |\tilde{\partial}_t \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,m}|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \tilde{\partial}_t \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,s} \cdot \tilde{\partial}_t \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,m} dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{2} |\tilde{\partial}_t \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,s}|^2 dx, \\
\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \sigma_{G_{q,i+1}} dx &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \sigma(j_1|_{G=G_{\ell,i}}, j_2|_{G=G_{\ell,i}}) dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \tilde{\sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1)} dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \tilde{\sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(2),m} dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \tilde{\sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(2),c} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Among them, we could calculate

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{2} |\partial_t \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,m}|^2 dx = - \sum_I \sum_{\|I''-I\| \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} 2\sigma_{11} |f_{i+1}|^4 \gamma'_{q,i+1} \gamma''_{q,i+1} \Pi_{I,I'} dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= - \sum_I \sum_{\|I'-I\| \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} 2\sigma_{11} |f_{i+1}|^4 \gamma'_{q,i+1} \gamma''_{q,i+1} \mathbb{P}_{\geq \lambda_{q,i+1}} \Pi_{I,I'} dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} 2\sigma_{11} d_{q,i+1}^2 dx, \\
\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \bar{\sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(2),m} dx &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} 2(\sigma_2 + \sigma_{11}) (\text{tr}(\bar{G}_{q,i+1}))^2 - \sigma_2 \text{tr}(\bar{G}_{q,i+1} \bar{G}_{q,i+1}^\top + \bar{G}_{q,i+1}^2) dx, \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} 4(\sigma_2 + \sigma_{11}) \text{tr}(\bar{G}_{q,i+1,m}) \text{tr}(\bar{G}_{q,i+1,s}) - 2\sigma_2 \text{tr}(\bar{G}_{q,i+1,m} \bar{G}_{q,i+1,s}^\top + \bar{G}_{q,i+1,m} \bar{G}_{q,i+1,s}) dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} 2(\sigma_2 + \sigma_{11}) (\text{tr}(\bar{G}_{q,i+1,s}))^2 - \sigma_2 \text{tr}(\bar{G}_{q,i+1,s} \bar{G}_{q,i+1,s}^\top + \bar{G}_{q,i+1,s}^2) dx \\
&\quad - \sum_I \sum_{\|I'-I\| \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} 2\sigma_{11} |f_{i+1}|^4 \gamma'_{q,i+1} \gamma''_{q,i+1} \mathbb{P}_{\geq \lambda_{q,i+1}} \Pi_{I,I'} dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} 2\sigma_{11} d_{q,i+1}^2 dx,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \partial_t u_{\ell,i} \cdot \partial_t \bar{u}_{q,i+1} dx &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} i \sum_I \tilde{f}_{A_i^v} \cdot \partial_t u_{\ell,i} \gamma'_{q,i+1} \Pi_I + \sum_I \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1} |I|} (\partial_t (\gamma'_{q,i+1,c} \tilde{f}_{A_i^v} \Pi_I) + \partial_t (\gamma'_{q,i+1,c} \tilde{f}_{A_i^v}) \bar{\Pi}_I) \cdot \partial_t u_{\ell,i} dx, \\
\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \bar{\sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1)} dx &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left(\sigma_2 \bar{j}_2^{(1)} + \sigma_{11} \bar{j}_1^{(0)} \bar{j}_1^{(1)} + \sigma_{22} \bar{j}_2^{(0)} \bar{j}_2^{(1)} + \frac{\sigma_{12}}{2} (\bar{j}_1^{(0)} \bar{j}_2^{(1)} + \bar{j}_1^{(1)} \bar{j}_2^{(0)}) + \frac{\sigma_{111}}{2} (\bar{j}_1^{(0)})^2 \bar{j}_1^{(1)} \right) dx
\end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma B.2, we could obtain

$$\left| \sum_I \sum_{\|I'-I\| \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} 2\sigma_{11} |f_{i+1}|^4 \gamma'_{q,i+1} \gamma''_{q,i+1} \mathbb{P}_{\geq \lambda_{q,i+1}} \Pi_{I,I'} dx \right| \lesssim \frac{\|\gamma'_{q,i+1} \gamma''_{q,i+1}\|_{10}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^{10}} \lesssim (\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i})^{-10} \delta_{q+1},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \partial_t u_{\ell,i} \cdot \partial_t \bar{u}_{q,i+1} dx \right| &\lesssim \frac{\|\gamma'_{q,i+1} \partial_t u_{\ell,i}\|_{10}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^{10}} + \frac{\lambda_{q,i+1} \|\gamma'_{q,i+1,c} \tilde{f}_{A_i^v}\|_{10} + \|\partial_t (\gamma'_{q,i+1,c} \tilde{f}_{A_i^v})\|_{10} + \|\partial_t (\gamma'_{q,i+1,c} \tilde{f}_{A_i^v})\|_{10}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^{11}} \\
&\lesssim (\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i})^{-10} \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \bar{\sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1)} dx \right| &\lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-10} \sum_{k=1}^6 \sum_{N_1+\dots+N_k+N_{k+1}=10} \prod_{l=1}^k \|\mathcal{G}_{\ell,i}\|_{N_l} \|\gamma'_{q,i+1}\|_{N_{k+1}} \lesssim (\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i})^{-10} \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we could directly obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \bar{\partial}_t u_{q,i+1,s} \cdot \bar{\partial}_t u_{q,i+1,m} dx \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{2} |\bar{\partial}_t u_{q,i+1,s}|^2 dx \right| \lesssim \|\bar{\partial}_t u_{q,i+1,s}\|_0 \|\bar{\partial}_t u_{q,i+1,m}\|_0 + \|\bar{\partial}_t u_{q,i+1,s}\|_0^2 \lesssim \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}, \\
&\left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} 4(\sigma_2 + \sigma_{11}) \text{tr}(\bar{G}_{q,i+1,m}) \text{tr}(\bar{G}_{q,i+1,s}) - 2\sigma_2 \text{tr}(\bar{G}_{q,i+1,m} \bar{G}_{q,i+1,s}^\top + \bar{G}_{q,i+1,m} \bar{G}_{q,i+1,s}) dx \right| \\
&\quad \lesssim \|\bar{G}_{q,i+1,s}\|_0 \|\bar{G}_{q,i+1,m}\|_0 \lesssim \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}, \\
&\left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} 2(\sigma_2 + \sigma_{11}) (\text{tr}(\bar{G}_{q,i+1,s}))^2 - \sigma_2 \text{tr}(\bar{G}_{q,i+1,s} \bar{G}_{q,i+1,s}^\top + \bar{G}_{q,i+1,s}^2) dx \right| \lesssim \|\bar{G}_{q,i+1,s}\|_0^2 \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \delta_{q+1}, \\
&\left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \bar{\sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(2),c} dx \right| \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^6 \sum_{N_1+\dots+N_k+N_{k+2}=3} \prod_{l=1}^k \|\mathcal{G}_{\ell,i}\|_{N_l} \|\bar{G}_{q,i+1}\|_{N_{k+1}} \|\bar{G}_{q,i+1}\|_{N_{k+2}} \lesssim \varepsilon \delta_{q+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Up to now, combing them with (3.19) and (3.20), we are ready to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u_{q,i+1}|^2 + \sigma_{G_{q,i+1}} dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u_{q,i}|^2 + \sigma_{G_{q,i}} dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} 4\sigma_{11} d_{q,i+1}^2 dx \right| \\
&\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{2} (|\partial_t u_{\ell,i}|^2 - |u_{q,i}|^2) dx \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \partial_t u_{\ell,i} \cdot \bar{u}_{q,i+1} dx \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \bar{\partial}_t u_{q,i+1,s} \cdot \bar{\partial}_t u_{q,i+1,m} dx \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{2} |\bar{\partial}_t u_{q,i+1,s}|^2 dx \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \sigma(j_1|_{G=G_{\ell,i}}, j_2|_{G=G_{\ell,i}}) - \sigma_{G_{q,i}} dx \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \bar{\sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(1)} dx \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \bar{\sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(2),c} dx \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{2} |\bar{\partial}_t u_{q,i+1,m}|^2 - 2\sigma_{11} d_{q,i+1}^2 dx \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \bar{\sigma}_{q,i+1}^{(2),m} - 2\sigma_{11} d_{q,i+1}^2 dx \right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim \sigma \varepsilon \delta_{q+1},$$

which completes the proof. \square

9. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

9.1. Proof of Proposition 2.6. Let ε_0^* be as in the statement of Proposition 8.3. Given an approximate solution (u_q, c_q, R_q) defined on $\mathcal{I}^{q-1} \times \mathbb{T}^2$, we could construct $\widetilde{u}_{q,i+1}$ as in Section 7, and define $u_{q,i+1} = u_{\ell,i} + \widetilde{u}_{q,i+1}$, $i = 0, 1, 2$, and $u_{q+1} = u_{q,3}$. This creates a new Reynolds stress R_{q+1} , which satisfies the estimates in Proposition 8.3. We need to prove (2.12)–(2.18), and confirm whether $(u_{q+1}, c_{q+1}, R_{q+1})$ satisfy (2.8)–(2.11) at the $(q+1)$ -th step. We denote the implicit constants in Proposition 7.8 for $0 \leq N+r \leq 3$, which does not depend on \overline{M} , by M_0 and set $\overline{M} = 60M_0$. Then, for sufficiently small $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0^*$, if we set $\|\cdot\|_N = \|\cdot\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q+1,-1}; C^N(\mathbb{T}^2))}$, by (2.9), (2.10), (3.16) and (7.71), we have for $N+r \leq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{q+1}\|_0 &\leq \|u_q\|_0 + \sum_{i=0}^2 \|u_{q,i} - u_{\ell,i}\|_0 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \|\widetilde{u}_{q,i}\|_0 \leq 2\varepsilon - \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2M_0 \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_q^{-1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2\varepsilon - \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|\nabla u_{q+1}\|_0 &\leq \|\nabla u_q\|_0 + \sum_{i=0}^2 \|\nabla(u_{q,i} - u_{\ell,i})\|_0 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{q,i}\|_0 \leq 2\varepsilon - \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2M_0 \sum_{i=1}^3 \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2\varepsilon - \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|\partial_t u_{q+1}\|_0 &\leq \|\partial_t u_q\|_0 + \sum_{i=0}^2 \|\partial_t(u_{q,i} - u_{\ell,i})\|_0 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \|\partial_t \widetilde{u}_{q,i}\|_0 \leq 2\varepsilon - \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2M_0 \sum_{i=1}^3 \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2\varepsilon - \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|\partial_t^r u_{q+1}\|_N &\leq \|\partial_t^r u_q\|_N + \sum_{i=0}^2 \|\partial_t^r(u_{q,i} - u_{\ell,i})\|_N + \sum_{i=1}^3 \|\partial_t^r \widetilde{u}_{q,i}\|_N \leq \overline{M} \lambda_q^{N+r-1} \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{1}{60} \overline{M} \lambda_{q,i}^{N+r-1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \overline{M} \lambda_{q+1}^{N+r-1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_q \|u_{q+1} - u_q\|_0 + \sum_{1 \leq N+r \leq 3} \lambda_{q+1}^{1-N-r} \|\partial_t^r(u_{q+1} - u_q)\|_N \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_q (\|\widetilde{u}_{q,i}\|_0 + \|u_{\ell,i-1} - u_{q,i-1}\|_0) + \sum_{1 \leq N+r \leq 3} \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_{q,i}^{1-N-r} (\|\partial_t^r \widetilde{u}_{q,i}\|_N + \|\partial_t^r(u_{\ell,i-1} - u_{q,i-1})\|_N) \\ &\leq 30M_0 \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \overline{M} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{9.1}$$

Similarly, it is easy to check (2.15)–(2.16) at the i -th substep. The estimates on R_{q+1} can be obtained from Proposition 8.3. We first need some calculation. From (2.2) and (8.8), we can get

$$\begin{aligned} R_{q,1} &= \sigma^* \tilde{e}_{q,0} \text{Id}^{<1>} + R_{\ell,0} - \sigma^* \tilde{e}_{q,0} \Gamma_{f_1}^2 (\text{Id} + (\sigma^* \tilde{e}_{q,0})^{-1} R_{\ell,0}) \left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_1}{|f_1|} \otimes \frac{f_1}{|f_1|} \right) + \delta R_{q,1} \\ &= \tilde{e}_{q,0} \text{Id}^{<1>} + R_{\ell,0} - (\sigma^* \tilde{e}_{q,0} \text{Id} + R_{\ell,0})^{<1>} + \delta R_{q,1} \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^3 R_{\ell,0}^{<k>} + \delta R_{q,1}, \\ R_{\ell,1} &= P_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} \left(\sum_{k=2}^3 R_{\ell,0}^{<k>} + \delta R_{q,1} \right) = \sum_{k=2}^3 (P_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} R_{\ell,0})^{<k>} + P_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} \delta R_{q,1}, \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} R_{q,2} &= \sigma^* \tilde{e}_{q,1} \text{Id}^{<2>} + R_{\ell,1} - \sigma^* \tilde{e}_{q,1} \Gamma_{f_2}^2 (\text{Id} + (\sigma^* \tilde{e}_{q,1})^{-1} R_{\ell,1}) \left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_2}{|f_2|} \otimes \frac{f_2}{|f_2|} \right) + \delta R_{q,2} \\ &= \sigma^* \tilde{e}_{q,1} \text{Id}^{<2>} + \sum_{k=2}^3 (P_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} R_{\ell,0})^{<k>} + P_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} \delta R_{q,1} \\ &\quad - (\sigma^* \tilde{e}_{q,1} \text{Id} + \sum_{k=2}^3 (P_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} R_{\ell,0})^{<k>} + P_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} \delta R_{q,1})^{<2>} + \delta R_{q,2} \\ &= (P_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} R_{\ell,0})^{<3>} + (P_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} \delta R_{q,1})^{<1>} + (P_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} \delta R_{q,1})^{<3>} + \delta R_{q,2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{q,3} &= \sigma^* \tilde{\epsilon}_{q,2} \text{Id}^{<3>} + R_{\ell,2} - \sigma^* \tilde{\epsilon}_{q,2} \Gamma_{f_3}^2 (\text{Id} + (\sigma^* \tilde{\epsilon}_{q,2})^{-1} R_{\ell,2}) \left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_3}{|f_3|} \otimes \frac{f_3}{|f_3|} \right) + \delta R_{q,3} \\
&= \sigma^* \tilde{\epsilon}_{q,2} \text{Id}^{<3>} + P_{\leq \ell_{q,2}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,2}^{-1}} \left((P_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} R_{\ell,0})^{<3>} + (P_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} \delta R_{q,1})^{<1>} + (P_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} \delta R_{q,1})^{<3>} + \delta R_{q,2} \right) \\
&\quad - \left(\sigma^* \tilde{\epsilon}_{q,2} \text{Id} + P_{\leq \ell_{q,2}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,2}^{-1}} \left((P_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} R_{\ell,0})^{<3>} + (P_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} \delta R_{q,1})^{<1>} + (P_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} \delta R_{q,1})^{<3>} + \delta R_{q,2} \right) \right)^{<3>} \\
&\quad + \delta R_{q,3} \\
&= (P_{\leq \ell_{q,2}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,2}^{-1}} P_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} \delta R_{q,1})^{<1>} + (P_{\leq \ell_{q,2}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,2}^{-1}} \delta R_{q,2})^{<1>} + (P_{\leq \ell_{q,2}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,2}^{-1}} \delta R_{q,2})^{<2>} + \delta R_{q,3},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used that for a symmetric matrix K , it holds $P_{\leq \ell^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell^{-1}} K^{<k>} = (P_{\leq \ell^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell^{-1}} K)^{<k>}$, and

$$(K^{<i>})^{<k>} = \begin{cases} K^{<i>}, & k = i, \\ 0, & k \neq i. \end{cases} \quad (9.2)$$

(2.17) follows from (8.16) by choosing $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0^*$. The proof is similar for (2.11) and (2.18). Here, we only give the following estimates for $R_{q+1} = R_{q,3}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_t^r R_{q+1}\|_N &\leq \|\partial_t^r (P_{\leq \ell_{q,2}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,2}^{-1}} P_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} \delta R_{q,1})^{<1>}\|_N + \|\partial_t^r (P_{\leq \ell_{q,2}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,2}^{-1}} \delta R_{q,2})^{<1>}\|_N \\
&\quad + \|\partial_t^r (P_{\leq \ell_{q,2}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,2}^{-1}} \delta R_{q,2})^{<2>}\|_N + \|\partial_t^r \delta R_{q,3}\|_N \\
&\leq 3 \|\partial_t^r P_{\leq \ell_{q,2}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,2}^{-1}} P_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,1}^{-1}} \delta R_{q,1}\|_N + 3 \|\partial_t^r P_{\leq \ell_{q,2}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,2}^{-1}} \delta R_{q,2}\|_N \\
&\quad + 3 \|\partial_t^r P_{\leq \ell_{q,2}^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell_{q,2}^{-1}} \delta R_{q,2}\|_N + 3 \|\partial_t^r \delta R_{q,3}\|_N \\
&\leq 12 \cdot \frac{1}{24} \lambda_{q+1}^{N+r} \tilde{c}_0^4 \delta_{q+2} \leq \lambda_{q+1}^{N+r} \tilde{c}_0^4 \delta_{q+2}.
\end{aligned} \quad (9.3)$$

Moreover, from (3.10), (7.53), (7.54), and (8.17), we could obtain (2.8) and (2.14).

Finally, we only need to prove (2.13). Noting that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} 4\sigma_{11} d_{q,i+1}^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} 4\sigma_{11} \text{tr} \left(d_{q,i+1}^2 \left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \otimes \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \right) \right) dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} 4\sigma_{11} \text{tr} ((\tilde{\epsilon}_{q,i} \text{Id} + (\sigma^*)^{-1} R_{\ell,i})^{<i+1>}) dx, \quad (9.4)$$

by using (2.2) and (3.13), we have for $t \in \text{supp}_t \{t | \tilde{\epsilon}_{q,i}(t) = \delta_{q+1}\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} 4\sigma_{11} d_{q,i+1}^2 dx &\leq 16\pi^2 \sigma_{11} (\delta_{q+1} + 4|\sigma^*|^{-1} \|R_{\ell,i}\|_0) \leq 32\pi^2 \sigma_{11} \delta_{q+1}, \\
\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} 4\sigma_{11} d_{q,i+1}^2 dx &\geq 16\pi^2 \sigma_{11} \left(\frac{1}{2} \delta_{q+1} - 4|\sigma^*|^{-1} \|R_{\ell,i}\|_0 \right) \geq 4\pi^2 \sigma_{11} \delta_{q+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

By using (8.32), for sufficiently small ε , we could obtain for $t \in \text{supp}_t \{t | \tilde{\epsilon}_{q,i}(t) = \delta_{q+1}\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u_{q,i+1}|^2 + \sigma_{G_{q,i+1}} dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u_{q,i}|^2 + \sigma_{G_{q,i}} dx &\geq \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} 4\sigma_{11} d_{q,i+1}^2 dx - C_\sigma \varepsilon \delta_{q+1} \geq \pi^2 \sigma_{11} \delta_{q+1}, \\
\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u_{q,i+1}|^2 + \sigma_{G_{q,i+1}} dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u_{q,i}|^2 + \sigma_{G_{q,i}} dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} 4\sigma_{11} d_{q,i+1}^2 dx + C_\sigma \varepsilon \delta_{q+1} \leq 40\pi^2 \sigma_{11} \delta_{q+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

And then, by

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u_{q+1}|^2 + \sigma_{G_{q+1}} dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u_q|^2 + \sigma_{G_q} dx = \sum_{i=0}^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u_{q,i+1}|^2 + \sigma_{G_{q,i+1}} dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u_{q,i}|^2 + \sigma_{G_{q,i}} dx \right),$$

we have for $t \in [T/2 - T_{q,0}, T + \tau_{q+1,-1}] \subseteq \{t | \tilde{\epsilon}_{q,i}(t) = \delta_{q+1}\}$,

$$3\pi^2 \sigma_{11} \delta_{q+1} \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u_{q+1}|^2 + \sigma_{G_{q+1}} dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u_q|^2 + \sigma_{G_q} dx \leq 120\pi^2 \sigma_{11} \delta_{q+1}.$$

9.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.7.** For convenience, we assume that $T \geq 20$ in this argument. We choose $b, \overline{M}, \tilde{c}_0$, and ε_1 based on Proposition 2.6. We choose $(u_0, c_0, R_0) \equiv (0, c_0(t), 0)$ on $\mathcal{I}^{0,-1} \times \mathbb{T}^2$ which solves (2.1) and satisfies (2.8)–(2.11).

We can apply Proposition 2.6 iteratively to produce a sequence of approximate solutions (u_q, c_q, R_q) , which solve (2.1) and satisfy (2.8)–(2.13).

First, we prove that u_q is a Cauchy sequence in $C^0([0, T]; C^1(\mathbb{T}^2))$. For any $q \leq q'$, we have

$$\|u_{q'} - u_q\|_{C^0([0, T]; C^1(\mathbb{T}^2))} \leq \sum_{l=1}^{q'-q} \|u_{q+l} - u_{q+l-1}\|_{C^0([0, T]; C^1(\mathbb{T}^2))} = \sum_{l=1}^{q'-q} \delta_{q+l}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Notice $\sum_{l=1}^{q'-q} \delta_{q+l}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ will converge to 0 as q goes to infinity. Thus, u_q converges to a limit $u \in C^0([0, T], C^1(\mathbb{T}^2))$. Similarly, the time regularity follows from (2.12) such that $u \in C^1([0, T], C^0(\mathbb{T}^2))$. Hence, $u \in C^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2)$. Moreover, $(\text{Id} + G_q)(c_q + R_q)$ converges to 0 in $C^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2)$, which means u is a weak solution of (1.9) defined in Definition 1.3. Moreover, by using (2.8), we have for sufficiently small ε and large b ,

$$\text{supp}_t u \subseteq [T/2 - \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^2 (3\tau_{q,k} + \ell_{q,k} + \lambda_q^{-1}), T] \subseteq [T/4, T].$$

From (2.13), we could obtain for $t \in [T/2, T] \subseteq [T/2 - T_{q,0}, T + \tau_{q+1,-1}]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u|^2 + \sigma_G \right) dx &= \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u_{q+1}|^2 + \sigma_{G_{q+1}} \right) dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u_q|^2 + \sigma_{G_q} \right) dx \right) \leq 120\pi^2 \sigma_{11} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \delta_{q+1}, \\ \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u|^2 + \sigma_G \right) dx &= \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u_{q+1}|^2 + \sigma_{G_{q+1}} \right) dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u_q|^2 + \sigma_{G_q} \right) dx \right) \geq 3\pi^2 \sigma_{11} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \delta_{q+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (9.5)$$

which guarantees that the solution is non-trivial. Thus, we have constructed a C^1 weak solution to (1.9) starting from zero initial data. This concludes the proof.

APPENDIX A. HÖLDER SPACES

In this section, we introduce the notations we would use for Hölder spaces. For some time interval $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{R}$, we denote the supremum norm as $\|f\|_0 = \|f\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}; C^0(\mathbb{T}^2))} := \sup_{(t,x) \in \mathcal{I} \times \mathbb{T}^2} |f(t, x)|$. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, a multi-index $k = (k_1, k_2, k_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, we denote the Hölder seminorms as

$$[f]_N = \max_{|k|=N} \|D^k f\|_0, \quad [f]_{N+\alpha} = \max_{|k|=N} \sup_t \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|D^k f(t, x) - D^k f(t, y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha},$$

where D^k are spatial derivatives. Then we can denote the Hölder norms as

$$\|f\|_N = \sum_{j=0}^{(m)} [f]_j, \quad \|f\|_{N+\alpha} = \|f\|_N + [f]_{N+\alpha}.$$

APPENDIX B. SOME TECHNICAL LEMMAS

In this section, we introduce some lemmas given in [8, 21, 26]. The proof for the following lemma can be found in [8, Appendix].

Lemma B.1. [8, Proposition A.1] *Suppose $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \Omega$ are smooth functions for some $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$. Then, for each $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^N (F \circ \Psi)\|_0 &\lesssim \|\nabla F\|_0 \|\nabla \Psi\|_{N-1} + \|\nabla F\|_{N-1} \|\Psi\|_0^{N-1} \|\Psi\|_N, \\ \|\nabla^N (F \circ \Psi)\|_0 &\lesssim \|\nabla F\|_0 \|\nabla \Psi\|_{N-1} + \|\nabla F\|_{N-1} \|\nabla \Psi\|_0^N, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where the implicit constants in the inequalities depends only on n, m , and N .

Lemma B.2. [8, Proposition C.2]. Let $N \geq 1$. Suppose that $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$ and $\xi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfies

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq |\nabla \xi| \leq C, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

for some constant $C > 1$. Then, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} a(x) e^{ik \cdot \xi} dx \right| \lesssim_{C,N} \frac{\|a\|_N + \|a\|_0 \|\nabla \xi\|_N}{|k|^N}. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Moreover, if there exist $x_0 \in \mathbb{T}^2$ and small parameter $\mu > 0$ such that $\text{supp } a(x) \subseteq B(x_0, \mu)$, we could obtain

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} a(x) e^{ik \cdot x} dx \right| \lesssim_{C,N} \mu^2 \frac{\|a\|_N}{|k|^N}. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Proof. We need only to prove (B.4). We can obtain this by a change of variables $z = (x - x_0)/\mu$.

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} a(x) e^{ik \cdot x} dx \right| = \mu^2 \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} a(x_0 + \mu z) e^{ik \cdot x_0} e^{i\mu k \cdot z} dz \right| \lesssim_{C,N} \mu^2 \frac{\|a(x_0 + \mu \cdot)\|_N + \|a(x_0 + \mu \cdot)\|_0 \|\text{Id}\|_N}{|\mu k|^N} \lesssim_{C,N} \mu^2 \frac{\|a\|_N}{|k|^N}. \quad \square$$

To obtain the commutator estimate, we present the following lemma in [21, 26, 43]. In the following lemmas, we use the notation \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{I}_ℓ to represent the interval $\mathcal{I} = [c, d]$, $\mathcal{I}_\ell = [c - \ell, d + \ell]$ and denote $\|\cdot\|_N = \|\cdot\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}; C^N(\mathbb{T}^2))}$.

Lemma B.3. Let f and g be in $C^\infty(\mathcal{I}_\ell \times \mathbb{T}^2)$. Then, for each $N, r \geq 0$, the following holds,

$$\|P_{\leq \ell^{-1}} f P_{\leq \ell^{-1}} g - P_{\leq \ell^{-1}}(fg)\|_N \lesssim_N \ell^{2-N} \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1, \quad (\text{B.5})$$

$$\|\partial_t^r (U_{\leq \ell^{-1}} f U_{\leq \ell^{-1}} g - U_{\leq \ell^{-1}}(fg))\|_0 \lesssim_r \ell^{2-r} \|\partial_t f\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}_\ell; C^0(\mathbb{T}^2))} \|\partial_t g\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}_\ell; C^0(\mathbb{T}^2))}. \quad (\text{B.6})$$

If we set $f_\ell = U_{\leq \ell^{-1}} P_{\leq \ell^{-1}} f$, $g_\ell = U_{\leq \ell^{-1}} P_{\leq \ell^{-1}} g$, and $(fg)_\ell = U_{\leq \ell^{-1}} P_{\leq \ell^{-1}}(fg)$, we have for each $N, r \geq 0$,

$$\|\partial_t^r (f_\ell g_\ell - (fg)_\ell)\|_N \lesssim_{N,r} \ell^{2-N-r} \|\partial_t f\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}_\ell; C^0(\mathbb{T}^2))} \|\partial_t g\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}_\ell; C^0(\mathbb{T}^2))} + \ell^{2-N-r} \|f\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}_\ell; C^1(\mathbb{T}^2))} \|g\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}_\ell; C^1(\mathbb{T}^2))}. \quad (\text{B.7})$$

For a more general case involving the product of multiple functions, the commutator estimate between the mollification operator and the product is given by:

Let $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{N_0}$ be in $C^\infty(\mathcal{I}_\ell \times \mathbb{T}^2)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for each $N, r \geq 0$, the following holds,

$$\left\| \prod_{n=1}^{N_0} (P_{\leq \ell^{-1}} f_n) - P_{\leq \ell^{-1}} \left(\prod_{n=1}^{N_0} f_n \right) \right\|_N \lesssim_N \ell^{2-N} \sum_{\substack{s_1 + \dots + s_{N_0} = 2 \\ s_n < 2, n=1, \dots, N_0}} \prod_{n=1}^{N_0} \|f_n\|_{s_n}, \quad (\text{B.8})$$

$$\left\| \partial_t^r \left(\prod_{n=1}^{N_0} (U_{\leq \ell^{-1}} f_n) - U_{\leq \ell^{-1}} \left(\prod_{n=1}^{N_0} f_n \right) \right) \right\|_0 \lesssim_r \ell^{2-r} \sum_{\substack{s_1 + \dots + s_{N_0} = 2 \\ s_n < 2, n=1, \dots, N_0}} \prod_{n=1}^{N_0} \|\partial_t^{s_n} f_n\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}_\ell; C^0(\mathbb{T}^2))}, \quad (\text{B.9})$$

$$\left\| \partial_t^r \left(\prod_{n=1}^{N_0} (P_{\leq \ell^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell^{-1}} f_n) - P_{\leq \ell^{-1}} U_{\leq \ell^{-1}} \left(\prod_{n=1}^{N_0} f_n \right) \right) \right\|_N \lesssim_{N,r} \ell^{2-N-r} \sum_{\substack{s_1 + \dots + s_{N_0} = 2 \\ s_n < 2, n=1, \dots, N_0}} \left(\prod_{n=1}^{N_0} \|f_n\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}_\ell; C^{s_n}(\mathbb{T}^2))} + \prod_{n=1}^{N_0} \|\partial_t^{s_n} f_n\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}_\ell; C^0(\mathbb{T}^2))} \right). \quad (\text{B.10})$$

Proof. Recall the definition of $P_{\leq \ell^{-1}}$ and $U_{\leq \ell^{-1}}$, we could calculate for $(t, x) \in \mathcal{I} \times \mathbb{T}^2$,

$$(P_{\leq \ell^{-1}} f P_{\leq \ell^{-1}} g - P_{\leq \ell^{-1}}(fg))(t, x) = (f - P_{\leq \ell^{-1}} f)(g - P_{\leq \ell^{-1}} g) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (f(t, x) - f(t, x - z))(g(t, x) - g(t, x - z)) \eta_\ell(z) dz,$$

Then, (B.5) follows from Lemma 3.1, $\text{supp } \eta_\ell \subseteq B(0, \ell)$, and

$$|f(t, x) - f(t, x - z)| \leq |z| \|f\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}_\ell; C^1(\mathbb{T}^2))}, \quad |g(t, x) - g(t, x - z)| \leq |z| \|g\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}_\ell; C^1(\mathbb{T}^2))}.$$

Similarly, we could calculate

$$(U_{\leq \ell^{-1}} f U_{\leq \ell^{-1}} g - U_{\leq \ell^{-1}}(fg))(t, x) = (f - U_{\leq \ell^{-1}} f)(g - U_{\leq \ell^{-1}} g) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(t, x) - f(t - \tau, x))(g(t, x) - g(t - \tau, x)) \eta'_\ell(\tau) d\tau,$$

and (B.6) follows from Lemma 3.1, $\text{supp } \eta_\ell^t \subseteq (-\ell, \ell)$, and

$$|f(t, x) - f(t - \tau, x)| \leq |\tau| \|\partial_t f\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}_\ell; C^0(\mathbb{T}^2))}, \quad |g(t, x) - g(t - \tau, x)| \leq |\tau| \|\partial_t g\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}_\ell; C^0(\mathbb{T}^2))}.$$

Next, we use (B.5) and (B.6) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_t^r (f_\ell g_\ell - (fg)_\ell)\|_N \\ & \leq \|\partial_t^r (f_\ell g_\ell - U_{\leq \ell-1} (P_{\leq \ell-1} f P_{\leq \ell-1} g))\|_N + \|\partial_t^r (U_{\leq \ell-1} (P_{\leq \ell-1} f P_{\leq \ell-1} g) - U_{\leq \ell-1} P_{\leq \ell-1} (fg))\|_N \\ & \lesssim_{N,r} \sum_{|\alpha_0|+|\alpha_1|=N} \|\partial_t^r ((U_{\leq \ell-1} \nabla^{\alpha_0} (P_{\leq \ell-1} f))(U_{\leq \ell-1} \nabla^{\alpha_1} (P_{\leq \ell-1} g)) - U_{\leq \ell-1} (\nabla^{\alpha_0} (P_{\leq \ell-1} f) \nabla^{\alpha_1} (P_{\leq \ell-1} g)))\|_0 \\ & \quad + \ell^{-r} \|P_{\leq \ell-1} f P_{\leq \ell-1} g - P_{\leq \ell-1} (fg)\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}_\ell; C^N(\mathbb{T}^2))} \\ & \lesssim_{N,r} \ell^{2-N-r} \|\partial_t f\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}_\ell; C^0(\mathbb{T}^2))} \|\partial_t g\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}_\ell; C^0(\mathbb{T}^2))} + \ell^{2-N-r} \|f\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}_\ell; C^1(\mathbb{T}^2))} \|g\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}_\ell; C^1(\mathbb{T}^2))}. \end{aligned}$$

For the case involving the product of multiple functions, we can use mathematical induction. The case of $N_0 = 2$ has been proved. Assume (B.8) holds for $N_0 = k$, we could calculate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \prod_{n=1}^{k+1} (P_{\leq \ell-1} f_n) - P_{\leq \ell-1} \left(\prod_{n=1}^{k+1} f_n \right) \right\|_N \\ & \leq \left\| \prod_{n=1}^{k+1} (P_{\leq \ell-1} f_n) - \prod_{n=1}^{k-1} (P_{\leq \ell-1} f_n) P_{\leq \ell-1} (f_k f_{k+1}) \right\|_N + \left\| \prod_{n=1}^{k-1} (P_{\leq \ell-1} f_n) P_{\leq \ell-1} (f_k f_{k+1}) - P_{\leq \ell-1} \left(\prod_{n=1}^{k+1} f_n \right) \right\|_N \\ & \lesssim_N \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \left\| \prod_{n=1}^{k-1} (P_{\leq \ell-1} f_n) \right\|_{N_1} \left\| (P_{\leq \ell-1} f_k) (P_{\leq \ell-1} f_{k+1}) - P_{\leq \ell-1} (f_k f_{k+1}) \right\|_{N_2} + \ell^{2-N} \sum_{\substack{s_1+\dots+s_k=2 \\ s_n < 2, n=1, \dots, k}} \prod_{n=1}^{k-1} \|f_n\|_{s_n} \|f_k f_{k+1}\|_{s_k} \\ & \lesssim_N \ell^{2-N} \sum_{\substack{s_1+\dots+s_{k+1}=2 \\ s_n < 2, n=1, \dots, k+1}} \prod_{n=1}^{k+1} \|f_n\|_{s_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we could obtain (B.9). By using (B.8) and (B.9), we could get (B.10).

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \partial_t^r \left(\prod_{n=1}^{k+1} (P_{\leq \ell-1} U_{\leq \ell-1} f_n) - P_{\leq \ell-1} U_{\leq \ell-1} \left(\prod_{n=1}^{k+1} f_n \right) \right) \right\|_N \\ & = \left\| \partial_t^r \left(\prod_{n=1}^{k+1} (P_{\leq \ell-1} U_{\leq \ell-1} f_n) - P_{\leq \ell-1} \left(\prod_{n=1}^{k+1} U_{\leq \ell-1} f_n \right) \right) \right\|_N + \left\| \partial_t^r \left(P_{\leq \ell-1} \left(\prod_{n=1}^{k+1} U_{\leq \ell-1} f_n \right) - P_{\leq \ell-1} U_{\leq \ell-1} \left(\prod_{n=1}^{k+1} f_n \right) \right) \right\|_N \\ & \lesssim_{N,r} \ell^{-r} \left\| \prod_{n=1}^{k+1} (P_{\leq \ell-1} U_{\leq \ell-1} f_n) - P_{\leq \ell-1} \left(\prod_{n=1}^{k+1} U_{\leq \ell-1} f_n \right) \right\|_N + \ell^{-N} \left\| \partial_t^r \left(\prod_{n=1}^{k+1} U_{\leq \ell-1} f_n - U_{\leq \ell-1} \left(\prod_{n=1}^{k+1} f_n \right) \right) \right\|_0 \\ & \lesssim_{N,r} \ell^{2-N-r} \sum_{\substack{s_1+\dots+s_{N_0}=2 \\ s_n < 2, n=1, \dots, N_0}} \left(\prod_{n=1}^{N_0} \|f_n\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}_\ell; C^{s_n}(\mathbb{T}^2))} + \prod_{n=1}^{N_0} \|\partial_t^{s_n} f_n\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}_\ell; C^0(\mathbb{T}^2))} \right). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

APPENDIX C. ESTIMATE FOR NONAUTONOMOUS LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS

Here, we give an estimate for nonautonomous linear differential systems given in [43]. If we consider the second order ordinary differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d^2 y}{dt^2} + a(t) \frac{dy}{dt} + b(t)y = R(t), \\ y(0) = 0, \quad \frac{dy}{dt}(0) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (\text{C.1})$$

we could get for $0 \leq t \leq T$, there exists $C(T, K) > 0$ such that

$$\|y\|_0, \left\| \frac{dy}{dt} \right\|_0 \leq C(T, K) \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |R(t)|,$$

and thus

$$\left\| \frac{d^2 y}{dt^2} \right\|_0 \leq C(T, K) \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |R(t)|, \quad (\text{C.2})$$

where $K = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \max \{|a(t)|, |b(t)|\}$. The proof can be found in [43].

Acknowledgment. P. Qu thanks the supports by NSFC grant No.12431007.

Conflict of interest. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Data availability statement. Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Al Baba, C. Klingenberg, O. Kreml, V. Mácha, and S. Markfelder. Nonuniqueness of admissible weak solution to the Riemann problem for the full Euler system in two dimensions. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 52(2):1729–1760, 2020.
- [2] S. Alinhac. The null condition for quasilinear wave equations in two space dimensions. I. *Invent. Math.*, 145(3):597–618, 2001.
- [3] X. An, H. Chen, and S. Yin. Low regularity ill-posedness for elastic waves driven by shock formation. *Amer. J. Math.*, 145(4):1111–1181, 2023.
- [4] X. An, H. Chen, and S. Yu. Low-regularity local well-posedness for the elastic wave system. arXiv:2411.15886, 2024.
- [5] R. Beekie, T. Buckmaster, and V. Vicol. Weak solutions of ideal MHD which do not conserve magnetic helicity. *Ann. PDE*, 6(1):Paper No. 1, 40pp, 2020.
- [6] J. Březina, O. Kreml, and V. Mácha. Non-uniqueness of delta shocks and contact discontinuities in the multi-dimensional model of Chaplygin gas. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, 28(2):Paper No. 13, 24, 2021.
- [7] T. Buckmaster. Onsager’s conjecture almost everywhere in time. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 333(3):1175–1198, 2015.
- [8] T. Buckmaster, C. De Lellis, L. Székelyhidi, Jr., and V. Vicol. Onsager’s conjecture for admissible weak solutions. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 72(2):229–274, 2019.
- [9] T. Buckmaster, C. De Lellis, P. Isett, and L. Székelyhidi, Jr.. Anomalous dissipation for 1/5-Hölder Euler flows. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 182(1):127–172, 2015.
- [10] T. Buckmaster, C. De Lellis, and L. Székelyhidi, Jr. Dissipative Euler flows with Onsager-critical spatial regularity. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 69(9):1613–1670, 2016.
- [11] T. Buckmaster and V. Vicol. Nonuniqueness of weak solutions to the Navier–Stokes equation. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 189(1):101–144, 2019.
- [12] K. Chen, and J. Liu. Weak solutions of the three-dimensional hypoviscous elastodynamics with finite kinetic energy *J. Differential Equations*, 339:637–665, 2022.
- [13] R. M. Chen, A. F. Vasseur, and C. Yu. Non-uniqueness for continuous solutions to 1D hyperbolic systems. arXiv:2407.02927v1, 2024.
- [14] A. Cheskidov and X. Luo. Sharp nonuniqueness for the Navier–Stokes equations. *Invent. Math.*, 229(3):987–1054, 2022.
- [15] E. Chiodaroli, C. De Lellis, and O. Kreml. Global ill-posedness of the isentropic system of gas dynamics. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 68(7):1157–1190, 2015.
- [16] E. Chiodaroli and O. Kreml. Non-uniqueness of admissible weak solutions to the Riemann problem for isentropic Euler equations. *Nonlinearity*, 31(4):1441–1460, 2018.
- [17] E. Chiodaroli, O. Kreml, V. Mácha, and S. Schwarzacher. Non-uniqueness of admissible weak solutions to the compressible Euler equations with smooth initial data. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 374(4):2269–2295, 2021.
- [18] D. Christodoulou. Global solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic equations for small initial data. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 39(2):267–282, 1986.
- [19] C. M. Dafermos. *Hyperbolic Conservation Laws in Continuum Physics*. Springer-Verlag, 2016.
- [20] S. Daneri and L. Székelyhidi, Jr. Non-uniqueness and h-principle for Hölder-continuous weak solutions of the Euler equations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 224(2):471–514, 2017.
- [21] C. De Lellis and H. Kwon. On nonuniqueness of Hölder continuous globally dissipative Euler flows. *Anal. PDE*, 15(8):2003–2059, 2022.
- [22] C. De Lellis and L. Székelyhidi, Jr.. The Euler equations as a differential inclusion. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 170(3):1417–1436, 2009.
- [23] C. De Lellis and L. Székelyhidi, Jr.. On admissibility criteria for weak solutions of the Euler equation. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 195(1):225–260, 2010.
- [24] C. De Lellis and L. Székelyhidi, Jr.. Dissipative continuous Euler flows. *Invent. Math.*, 193(2):377–407, 2013.
- [25] C. De Lellis and L. Székelyhidi, Jr.. Dissipative Euler flows and Onsager’s conjecture. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, 16(7):1467–1505, 2014.
- [26] V. Giri and H. Kwon. On non-uniqueness of continuous entropy solutions to the isentropic compressible Euler equations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 245(2):1213–1283, 2022.
- [27] V. Giri, H. Kwon, and M. Novack. A wavelet-inspired L^3 -based convex integration framework for the Euler equations. *Ann. PDE*, 10(2):Paper No. 19, 271pp., 2024.
- [28] P. Isett and S. Oh. On nonperiodic Euler flows with Hölder regularity. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 221(2):725–804, 2016.
- [29] P. Isett. *Hölder Continuous Euler Flows in Three Dimensions with Compact Support in Time*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2017.
- [30] P. Isett. A proof of Onsager’s conjecture. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 188(3):871–963, 2018.
- [31] F. John. Almost global existence of elastic waves of finite amplitude arising from small initial disturbances. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 41(5):615–666, 1988.
- [32] S. Klainerman. *The Null Condition and Global Existence to Nonlinear Wave Equations*. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.

- [33] C. Klingenberg, O. Kreml, and V. Mácha, and S. Markfelder. Shocks make the Riemann problem for the full Euler system in multiple space dimensions ill-posed. *Nonlinearity*, 33(12):6517–6540, 2020.
- [34] P. D. Lax. Hyperbolic systems of conservation laws. II. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 10:537–566, 1957.
- [35] Z. Lei and Y. Zhou. Global low regularity solutions of quasi-linear wave equations. *Adv. Differential Equations*, 13(1-2):55–104, 2008.
- [36] Z. Lei, C. T. Sideris, and Y. Zhou. Almost global existence for 2-D incompressible isotropic elastodynamics. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 367(11):8175–8197, 2015.
- [37] Z. Lei. Global well-posedness of incompressible elastodynamics in two dimensions. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 69(11):2072–2106, 2016.
- [38] Y. Li, P. Qu, Z. Zeng, and D. Zhang. Sharp non-uniqueness for the 3D hyperdissipative Navier–Stokes equations: above the Lions exponent. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 190:Paper No. 103602, 64 pp, 2024.
- [39] Y. Li, Z. Zeng, and D. Zhang. Non-uniqueness of weak solutions to 3D magnetohydrodynamic equations. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 165(9):232–285, 2022.
- [40] H. Lindblad. Counterexamples to local existence for quasilinear wave equations. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 5(5):605–622, 1998.
- [41] T. Luo and P. Qu. Non-uniqueness of weak solutions to 2D hypoviscous Navier–Stokes equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 269(4):2896–2919, 2020.
- [42] T. Luo and Z. Xin. Hölder continuous solutions to the three-dimensional Prandtl system. arXiv: 1804.04285, 2018.
- [43] S. Mao and P. Qu. Non-uniqueness for the compressible Euler-Maxwell equations. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*. 63(7), Paper No. 186, 84 pp., 2024.
- [44] S. Mao and P. Qu. Non-uniqueness for the nonlinear dynamical Lamé system, preprint .2024.
- [45] C. Miao and W. Ye. On the weak solutions for the MHD systems with controllable total energy and cross helicity. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 181:190–227, 2024.
- [46] M. Novack and V. Vicol. An intermittent Onsager theorem. *Invent. Math.*, 233(1):223–323, 2023.
- [47] H. Smith and D. Tataru. Sharp local well-posedness results for the nonlinear wave equation. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 162(1):291–366, 2005.
- [48] T. C. Sideris. The null condition and global existence of nonlinear elastic waves. *Invent. Math.*, 123(2):323–342, 1996.
- [49] T. C. Sideris. Nonresonance and global existence of prestressed nonlinear elastic waves. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 151(2):849–874, 2000.
- [50] T. C. Sideris and B. Thomases. Global existence for three-dimensional incompressible isotropic elastodynamics via the incompressible limit. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 58(6):750–788, 2005.
- [51] Q. Wang. A geometric approach for sharp local well-posedness of quasilinear wave equations. *Ann. PDE*, 3(1):Paper No. 12, 108 pp., 2017.
- [52] D. Zha. Global and almost global existence for general quasilinear wave equations in two space dimensions. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 123(9):270–299, 2019.
- [53] D. Zha and K. Hidano. Global solutions to systems of quasilinear wave equations with low regularity data and applications. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 142(9):146–183, 2020.
- [54] H. Zhang. Local well-posedness for incompressible neo-Hookean elastic equations in almost critical Sobolev spaces. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 63(3):Paper No. 66, 20, 2024.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, CHINA.
 Email address, Shunkai Mao: 21110180056@m.fudan.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES & SHANGHAI KEY LABORATORY FOR CONTEMPORARY APPLIED MATHEMATICS, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, CHINA.
 Email address, Peng Qu: pqu@fudan.edu.cn