

Persistent bundles over configuration spaces and obstructions for regular embeddings

Shiquan Ren

Abstract. We construct persistent bundles over configuration spaces of hard spheres and use the characteristic classes of these persistent bundles to give obstructions for embedding problems. The configuration spaces of k -hard spheres $\text{Conf}_k(X, r)$, $r \geq 0$, give a Σ_k -equivariant filtration of the configuration space of k -points $\text{Conf}_k(X)$. The filtered covering map from $\text{Conf}_k(X, -)$ to $\text{Conf}_k(X, -)/\Sigma_k$ gives a canonical persistent bundle $\xi(X, k, -)$. We use the Stiefel-Whitney class of $\xi(X, k, -)$, which is in the mod 2 persistent cohomology ring of $\text{Conf}_k(X, -)/\Sigma_k$, to give obstructions for (k, r) -regular embeddings and use the Chern class of $\xi(X, k, -) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, which is in the integral persistent cohomology ring of $\text{Conf}_k(X, -)/\Sigma_k$, to give obstructions for complex (k, r) -regular embeddings.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 55R80, 55R91; Secondary 53A70, 53C40.

Keywords and Phrases. configuration spaces, persistent homology, characteristic classes, obstructions for embeddings

1 Introduction

Configuration spaces attract attention in various areas of mathematics. For instance, the configuration spaces of hard spheres (for example, [1, 2, 8]) is a geometric model of the sphere packing problem and have applications in statistical mechanics (for example, [26]); the topology of configuration spaces has intrinsic connections with iterated loop spaces (for example, [11, 16, 30]) and braid groups (for example, [11, 12]); etc.

Let X be a CW-complex. Let k be a positive integer. The configuration space $\text{Conf}_k(X)$ is the subspace of the Cartesian product X^k consisting of the points (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) such that $x_i \neq x_j$ if $i \neq j$. The symmetric group Σ_k on k -letters acts on $\text{Conf}_k(X)$ from the left by

$$\sigma(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = (x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k)}), \quad \sigma \in \Sigma_k. \quad (1.1)$$

This induces a covering map from $\text{Conf}_k(X)$ to $\text{Conf}_k(X)/\Sigma_k$. The associated vector bundle of this covering map is

$$\xi(X, k) : \mathbb{R}^k \longrightarrow \text{Conf}_k(X) \times_{\Sigma_k} \mathbb{R}^k \longrightarrow \text{Conf}_k(X)/\Sigma_k \quad (1.2)$$

where Σ_k acts on \mathbb{R}^k from the right by

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)\sigma = (a_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, a_{\sigma^{-1}(2)}, \dots, a_{\sigma^{-1}(k)}), \quad \sigma \in \Sigma_k. \quad (1.3)$$

The complexification of $\xi(X, k)$ is a complex vector bundle

$$\xi(X, k) \otimes \mathbb{C} : \mathbb{C}^k \longrightarrow \text{Conf}_k(X) \times_{\Sigma_k} \mathbb{C}^k \longrightarrow \text{Conf}_k(X)/\Sigma_k. \quad (1.4)$$

Let \mathbb{F} be the real numbers \mathbb{R} or the complex numbers \mathbb{C} . We write (1.2) and (1.4) uniformly as $\xi(X, k; \mathbb{F})$ where $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ gives (1.2) and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ gives (1.4).

¹The research is partially supported by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (grant no. 2022M721023).

The bundles $\xi(X, k; \mathbb{F})$ have various applications in topology and geometry and have been extensively studied since 1970's (for example, [4, 5, 6, 13, 14, 15, 16, 32]). Among these applications, the regular embedding problem (cf. [4, 5, 10, 15, 21, 22, 23, 25]) is interesting and attracts attention during the last half century.

The regular embedding problem is initially studied by K. Borsuk [7] in 1957 and later developed by F.R. Cohen and D. Handel [15] in 1978, M.E. Chisholm [10] in 1979, D. Handel and J. Segal [23] in 1980, D. Handel [22] in 1996, P. Blagojević, W. Lück and G. Ziegler [4] in 2016, P. Blagojević, F.R. Cohen, W. Lück and G. Ziegler [5] in 2016, etc. A map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^N$ is called (*real or complex*) k -regular if for any distinct k points in X , their images are linearly independent in \mathbb{F}^N . When $k \geq 2$, such a map is an embedding. By the Haar-Kolmogorov-Rubinstein Theorem (cf. [19] and [31, pp. 237-242]), one of the motivations to study k -regular maps is the Čebyšev approximation.

The existence problems of k -regular maps as well as their obstructions are investigated. For example, D. Handel studied obstructions for 3-regular maps [20] in 1979 and studied some existence and non-existence problems of k -regular maps [21] in 1980.

The bundles $\xi(X, k; \mathbb{F})$ can be applied to give obstructions for the existence of k -regular maps. Some obstructions using the Stiefel-Whitney classes of $\xi(X, k)$ for the existence of k -regular maps was proved by F. Cohen and D. Handel [15], restated in [28, Lemma 4.2]. Some obstructions using the Chern classes of $\xi(X, k; \mathbb{C})$ for the existence of complex k -regular maps was proved by P. Blagojević, F. Cohen, W. Lück and G. Ziegler [5], restated in [28, Lemma 4.3].

In this paper, we apply the method of persistent homology to the bundles over configuration spaces and the regular embedding problem. We give a filtration of $\text{Conf}_k(X)$ by the configuration spaces of hard spheres and construct a family of pull-back bundles of $\xi(X, k; \mathbb{F})$. As the persistent version of $\xi(X, k; \mathbb{F})$, we define the persistent bundles $\boldsymbol{\xi}(X, k, -; \mathbb{F})$ (cf. eq. (4.6)). We generalize the k -regularity and define the (k, r) -regular embeddings for any $r \geq 0$. We equip a metric d on X and call a map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^N$ to be (k, r) -regular if for any k points in X whose pairwise distances are greater than $2r$, their images are linearly independent (cf. Definition 21). We prove some obstructions for the existence of the (k, r) -regular maps by using the characteristic classes of $\boldsymbol{\xi}(X, k, -; \mathbb{F})$, which are elements in the persistent cohomology rings.

Let (X, d) be a metric space. For any positive integer k and any nonnegative number r , the *ordered configuration space of hard spheres of radius r* , denoted by $\text{Conf}_k(X, r)$, is the subspace of X^k consisting of the points (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) whose coordinates satisfy $d(x_i, x_j) > 2r$ for any $i \neq j$. In particular, the space $\text{Conf}_k(X, 0)$ is $\text{Conf}_k(X)$. The symmetric group Σ_k acts on $\text{Conf}_k(X, r)$ freely and properly discontinuously. The orbit space $\text{Conf}_k(X, r)/\Sigma_k$ is called the *unordered configuration space of hard spheres of radius r* . The family $\text{Conf}_k(X, r)$ for all $r \geq 0$, denoted by $\text{Conf}_k(X, -)$, gives a filtration of $\text{Conf}_k(X)$. The Σ_k -action on $\text{Conf}_k(X)$ is equivariant with respect to the filtration thus it induces a filtered orbit space $\text{Conf}_k(X, -)/\Sigma_k$ and a covering map of filtered spaces from $\text{Conf}_k(X, -)$ to $\text{Conf}_k(X, -)/\Sigma_k$.

Let $\text{Conf}_\bullet(X, -)$ be the collection of $\text{Conf}_k(X, -)$ and let $\text{Conf}_\bullet(X, -)/\Sigma_\bullet$ be the collection of $\text{Conf}_k(X, -)/\Sigma_k$ for $k \geq 1$. A persistent double complex for $\text{Conf}_\bullet(X, -)$ will be constructed in Theorem 4.5. In particular, if we let X be a Riemannian manifold M , then the differential forms on $\text{Conf}_\bullet(M, -)$ will give a persistent double complex. The Σ_\bullet -invariant forms on $\text{Conf}_\bullet(M, -)$, or alternatively the differential forms on $\text{Conf}_\bullet(M, -)/\Sigma_k$, will give a persistent double complex as well (cf. Subsection 4.1). Moreover, if we let X be a graph G and let d be the canonical geodesic distance of G , then $\text{Conf}_\bullet(V_G, -)/\Sigma_\bullet$ will give a filtration of the independence complex of G (cf. Subsection 4.2).

Embed the discrete fibre of the covering map from $\text{Conf}_k(X, -)$ to $\text{Conf}_k(X, -)/\Sigma_k$ into \mathbb{F}^k as the unit vectors of the k axes. We obtain a persistent vector bundle $\boldsymbol{\xi}(X, k, -; \mathbb{F})$ (cf. Definition 4 and Theorem 4.2) over the filtered space $\text{Conf}_k(X, -)/\Sigma_k$. The Stiefel-Whitney class of $\boldsymbol{\xi}(X, k, -; \mathbb{R})$ is a persistent cohomology class in the persistent cohomology ring $H^*(\text{Conf}_k(X, -)/\Sigma_k; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and the Chern class of $\boldsymbol{\xi}(X, k, -; \mathbb{C})$ is a persistent cohomology class in the persistent cohomology ring $H^*(\text{Conf}_k(X, -)/\Sigma_k; \mathbb{Z})$. The next theorem will be proved in Theorem 6.2 and Theorem 6.4.

Theorem 1.1 (Main Result). (1) *If $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is a (k, r) -regular map, then*

$$N \geq \sup\{t(k) \mid \bar{w}_{t(k)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}(X, k, - + r; \mathbb{R})) \neq 0\} + k; \quad (1.5)$$

(2) *If $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ is a complex (k, r) -regular map, then*

$$N \geq \sup\{t(k) \mid \bar{c}_{t(k)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}(X, k, - + r; \mathbb{C})) \neq 0\} + k. \quad (1.6)$$

If X can be embedded in a finite dimensional Euclidean space, then by [4, 5], it can be k -regularly embedded in finite dimensional Euclidean spaces hence can be (k, r) -regularly embedded in finite dimensional Euclidean spaces for any $r \geq 0$. In this case, the supremum in both (1.5) and (1.6) is maximum. For example, by the Whitney embedding theorem, any smooth manifold M can be (k, r) -regularly embedded in finite dimensional Euclidean spaces. Moreover, since any graph G can be embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 , G can be (k, r) -regularly embedded in finite dimensional Euclidean spaces. Consequently, for both manifolds M and graphs G , the supremum in both (1.5) and (1.6) is maximum.

Let (X, d) and (X', d') be metric spaces such that $X \subseteq X'$. Then d is inherited from d' if and only if $d(x, y) = d'(x, y)$ for any $x, y \in X$. In particular, if we let X' be a Riemannian manifold M' and let X be a Riemannian submanifold $M \subseteq M'$, then we call M a *strong totally geodesic submanifold* of M' if $d_M(p, q) = d_{M'}(p, q)$ for any $p, q \in M$, where d_M and $d_{M'}$ are the geodesic distances of M and M' respectively. The next theorem is a consequence of Theorem 1.1 and will be proved in Subsection 6.1.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 6.7). *Let M' be a Riemannian manifold. Let M run over all strong totally geodesic submanifolds of M' .*

(1) *If $f : M' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is a (k, r) -regular map, then*

$$N \geq \max\{t(k) \mid \bar{w}_{t(k)}(\xi(M, k, - + r; \mathbb{R})) \neq 0\} + k;$$

(2) *If $f : M' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ is a complex (k, r) -regular map, then*

$$N \geq \max\{t(k) \mid \bar{c}_{t(k)}(\xi(M, k, - + r; \mathbb{C})) \neq 0\} + k.$$

Let G' be a graph and let G be a subgraph of G' . Let $|G|$ and $|G'|$ be the geometric realizations of G and G' respectively, i.e. the 1-dimensional CW-complexes consisting of the vertices and the edges of G and G' . Then $|G|$ is a subcomplex of $|G'|$.

Let $w' : E_{G'} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ be a weight on the edges of G' . Then w' induces a metric $d_{w'}$ on $|G'|$. The restriction of w' on E_G gives a weight w on the edges of G . This induces a metric d_w on $|G|$. Denote $|G|_w = (|G|, d_w)$ and $|G'|_{w'} = (|G'|, d_{w'})$. As metric spaces, $|G|_w$ is a subspace of $|G'|_{w'}$. The next theorem is a consequence of Theorem 1.1 and will be proved in Subsection 6.2.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 6.9). *Let (G', w') be a graph G' with a weight w' as above.*

(1) *If $f : |G'|_{w'} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is a (k, r) -regular map, then*

$$N \geq \max\{t(k) \mid \bar{w}_{t(k)}(\xi(|G|_w, k, - + r; \mathbb{R})) \neq 0\} + k;$$

(2) *If $f : |G'|_{w'} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ is a complex (k, r) -regular map, then*

$$N \geq \max\{t(k) \mid \bar{c}_{t(k)}(\xi(|G|_w, k, - + r; \mathbb{C})) \neq 0\} + k.$$

Here in both (1) and (2), G runs over all the subgraphs of G' satisfying

$$d_w(x, y) = d_{w'}(x, y)$$

for any $x, y \in |G|_w$ where $w = w'|_G$.

In addition of Theorem 1.3, if the weight w' is integer-valued, then with the help of the construction of the independence complex by configuration spaces (cf. Subsection 4.2), we can derive the followings from Theorem 1.3 (1) and (2) respectively (cf. Theorem 6.11).

(1)' *If $f : |G'|_{w'} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is a (k, r) -regular map, then*

$$N \geq \max\{t(k) \mid \bar{w}_{t(k)}(\xi(V_{\text{sd}(G; w)}, k, - + r; \mathbb{R})) \neq 0\} + k;$$

(2)' *If $f : |G'|_{w'} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ is a complex (k, r) -regular map, then*

$$N \geq \max\{t(k) \mid \bar{c}_{t(k)}(\xi(V_{\text{sd}(G; w)}, k, - + r; \mathbb{C})) \neq 0\} + k.$$

Here w is the restriction of w' on G and $\text{sd}(G; w)$ is the subdivision of G with respect to w such that any edge $e \in E_G$ is divided into $w(e)$ edges.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 and Section 3, we introduce the notions of persistent bundles over persistent complexes and persistent manifolds as applications of the persistent homology theory, in preparation for later sections. In Section 4, we construct persistent complexes and persistent vector bundles for the configuration spaces of hard spheres. In Section 5, we use the persistent bundles over configuration spaces to give obstructions for strong totally geodesic embeddings. In Section 6, we use the characteristic classes of the persistent bundles to give obstructions for the (k, r) -regular embeddings and prove Theorem 1.1 - 1.3.

2 Persistent fibre bundles

In this section, we introduce the definitions of persistent covering maps (cf. Definition 4 (1)), persistent vector bundles (cf. Definition 4 (2)) and persistent characteristic classes (cf. Definition 7 and Definition 8) which will be used in later sections. All the maps are assumed continuous.

Definition 1. A *persistent CW-complex* \mathbf{A} is a family of CW-complexes $A(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ together with a family of maps $\alpha(t_1, t_2) : A(t_1) \rightarrow A(t_2)$ for $t_1 \leq t_2$ such that

- (1) $\alpha(t, t)$ is the identity map for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (2) $\alpha(t_1, t_3) = \alpha(t_2, t_3) \circ \alpha(t_1, t_2)$ for any $t_1 \leq t_2 \leq t_3$.

Definition 2. The *persistent cohomology ring* $H^*(\mathbf{A})$ of a persistent CW-complex \mathbf{A} is the family of cohomology rings $H^*(A(t))$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ together with the family of induced homomorphisms $\alpha(t_1, t_2)^* : H^*(A(t_2)) \rightarrow H^*(A(t_1))$ for $t_1 \leq t_2$.

The persistent cohomology ring is an analog of the persistent homology (cf. [33]) with extra consideration of cup products. For the persistent cohomology ring $H^*(\mathbf{A})$ of a persistent CW-complex \mathbf{A} , it follows from Definition 1 and Definition 2 that

- (1) $\alpha(t, t)^*$ is the identity map for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (2) $\alpha(t_1, t_3)^* = \alpha(t_1, t_2)^* \circ \alpha(t_2, t_3)^*$ for any $t_1 \leq t_2 \leq t_3$.

Let $\mathbf{A} = \{A(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $\mathbf{B} = \{B(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be two persistent CW-complexes with maps $\alpha(t_1, t_2) : A(t_1) \rightarrow A(t_2)$ and $\beta(t_1, t_2) : B(t_1) \rightarrow B(t_2)$ respectively for any $t_1 \leq t_2$.

Definition 3. A *persistent map* $f : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ is a family of maps $f(t) : A(t) \rightarrow B(t)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A(t_1) & \xrightarrow{\alpha(t_1, t_2)} & A(t_2) \\ f(t_1) \downarrow & & \downarrow f(t_2) \\ B(t_1) & \xrightarrow{\beta(t_1, t_2)} & B(t_2) \end{array} \quad (2.1)$$

commutes for any $t_1 \leq t_2$.

Let $f : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ be a persistent map. Applying the cohomology functor to f , we have an induced persistent homomorphism

$$f^* : H^*(\mathbf{B}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathbf{A})$$

of persistent cohomology rings such that $f(t)^* : H^*(B(t)) \rightarrow H^*(A(t))$ is a homomorphism of cohomology rings for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(A(t_1)) & \xleftarrow{\alpha(t_1, t_2)^*} & H^*(A(t_2)) \\ f(t_1)^* \uparrow & & \uparrow f(t_2)^* \\ H^*(B(t_1)) & \xleftarrow{\beta(t_1, t_2)^*} & H^*(B(t_2)) \end{array}$$

commutes for any $t_1 \leq t_2$.

Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . For any positive integer k , let $O(\mathbb{F}^k)$ be the orthogonal group of the Euclidean space \mathbb{F}^k .

Definition 4. We call $f : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ a *persistent fibre bundle* if $f(t) : A(t) \rightarrow B(t)$ is a fibre bundle for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and the diagram (2.1) is a pull-back for any $t_1 \leq t_2$. In particular, we call $f : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$

- (1) a *persistent covering map* if $f(t) : A(t) \rightarrow B(t)$ is a covering map for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (2) a *persistent vector bundle* if $f(t) : A(t) \rightarrow B(t)$ is a vector bundle for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (3) a *persistent $O(\mathbb{F}^k)$ -bundle* if $f(t) : A(t) \rightarrow B(t)$ is a $O(\mathbb{F}^k)$ -bundle for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ be a persistent fibre bundle. Then the family of fibre bundles $F(t) \rightarrow A(t) \xrightarrow{f(t)} B(t)$ has a uniform fibre $F(t) = F$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. For any $t_1 \leq t_2$, since the diagram (2.1) is a pull-back, we have that $F(t_1) \rightarrow A(t_1) \xrightarrow{f(t_1)} B(t_1)$ is a pull-back fibre bundle of $F(t_2) \rightarrow A(t_2) \xrightarrow{f(t_2)} B(t_2)$. Hence $F(t_1) = F(t_2)$. \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let $f : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ be a persistent covering map. Then the family of covering maps $f(t) : A(t) \rightarrow B(t)$ has a constant sheet for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. For any $t_1 \leq t_2$, by Lemma 2.1, the discrete fibres $F(t_1)$ and $F(t_2)$ are homeomorphic. Hence they have the same cardinality. \square

Definition 5. Two persistent fibre bundles $f : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ and $f' : \mathbf{A}' \rightarrow \mathbf{B}'$ are *isomorphic* if

- (1) the fibre bundles $f(t) : A(t) \rightarrow B(t)$ and $f'(t) : A'(t) \rightarrow B'(t)$ are isomorphic through a homeomorphism $\varphi(t) : B(t) \rightarrow B'(t)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (2) the diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B(t_1) & \xrightarrow{\beta(t_1, t_2)} & B(t_2) \\ \varphi(t_1) \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi(t_2) \\ B'(t_1) & \xrightarrow{\beta'(t_1, t_2)} & B'(t_2) \end{array}$$

for any $t_1 \leq t_2$.

By Lemma 2.1, we can denote a persistent fibre bundle $f : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ as

$$\xi : F \rightarrow \mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{B}$$

consisting of a family of fibre bundles $\xi(t) : F \rightarrow A(t) \xrightarrow{f(t)} B(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and a family of pull-backs of fibre bundles $\beta(t_1, t_2)^* : \xi(t_2) \rightarrow \xi(t_1)$ for $t_1 \leq t_2$ such that (1) and (2) after Definition 2 are satisfied by substituting $\alpha(-, -)^*$ with $\beta(-, -)^*$.

Definition 6. Let $\xi : \mathbb{F}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{E}(\xi) \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{B}$ and $\eta : \mathbb{F}^l \rightarrow \mathbf{E}(\eta) \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{B}$ be two persistent vector bundles over the same persistent CW-complex \mathbf{B} .

- (1) Their *persistent Whitney sum* $\xi \oplus \eta$ is the persistent vector bundle over \mathbf{B} consisting of the family of vector bundles

$$\xi(t) \oplus \eta(t) : \mathbb{F}^k \oplus \mathbb{F}^l \rightarrow E(\xi(t) \oplus \eta(t)) \rightarrow B(t)$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ together with the family of pull-backs of vector bundles

$$\beta(t_1, t_2)^* : \xi(t_2) \oplus \eta(t_2) \rightarrow \xi(t_1) \oplus \eta(t_1)$$

such that $\beta(t_1, t_2)^*(\xi(t_2) \oplus \eta(t_2)) = \beta(t_1, t_2)^*(\xi(t_2)) \oplus \beta(t_1, t_2)^*(\eta(t_2))$ for $t_1 \leq t_2$;

- (2) Their *persistent tensor product* $\xi \otimes \eta$ is the persistent vector bundle over \mathbf{B} consisting of the family of vector bundles

$$\xi(t) \otimes \eta(t) : \mathbb{F}^k \otimes \mathbb{F}^l \longrightarrow E(\xi(t) \otimes \eta(t)) \longrightarrow B(t)$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ together with the family of pull-backs of vector bundles

$$\beta(t_1, t_2)^* : \xi(t_2) \otimes \eta(t_2) \longrightarrow \xi(t_1) \otimes \eta(t_1)$$

such that $\beta(t_1, t_2)^*(\xi(t_2) \otimes \eta(t_2)) = \beta(t_1, t_2)^*(\xi(t_2)) \otimes \beta(t_1, t_2)^*(\eta(t_2))$ for $t_1 \leq t_2$.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $\xi : \mathbb{F}^k \longrightarrow \mathbf{E}(\xi) \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{B}$ be a persistent $O(\mathbb{F}^k)$ -bundle and $\eta : \mathbb{F}^l \longrightarrow \mathbf{E}(\eta) \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{B}$ be a persistent $O(\mathbb{F}^l)$ -bundle. Then their persistent Whitney sum $\xi \oplus \eta$ is a persistent $O(\mathbb{F}^{k+l})$ -bundle and their persistent tensor product $\xi \otimes \eta$ is a persistent $O(\mathbb{F}^{kl})$ -bundle.*

Proof. For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the Whitney sum $\xi(t) \oplus \eta(t)$ is a $O(\mathbb{F}^{k+l})$ -bundle. Thus the persistent vector bundle $\xi \oplus \eta$ is a persistent $O(\mathbb{F}^{k+l})$ -bundle. For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the tensor product $\xi(t) \otimes \eta(t)$ is a $O(\mathbb{F}^{kl})$ -bundle. Thus the persistent vector bundle $\xi \otimes \eta$ is a persistent $O(\mathbb{F}^{kl})$ -bundle. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\xi : \mathbb{F}^k \longrightarrow \mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{B}$ be a persistent $O(\mathbb{F}^k)$ -bundle.*

- (1) *Suppose $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$. Take the persistent cohomology ring $H^*(\mathbf{B}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_2 . Then*

$$\beta(t_1, t_2)^*(w(\xi(t_2))) = w(\xi(t_1)) \tag{2.2}$$

for any $t_1 \leq t_2$, where $w(-)$ is the total Stiefel-Whitney class;

- (2) *Suppose $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$. Take the persistent cohomology ring $H^*(\mathbf{B}; \mathbb{Z})$ with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} . Then*

$$\beta(t_1, t_2)^*(c(\xi(t_2))) = c(\xi(t_1)) \tag{2.3}$$

for any $t_1 \leq t_2$, where $c(-)$ is the total Chern class.

Proof. Let $t_1 \leq t_2$. Then $\xi(t_1) = \beta(t_1, t_2)^*(\xi(t_2))$ is the pull-back of $O(\mathbb{F}^k)$ -bundles. (1) Suppose $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$. By the functoriality of the Stiefel-Whitney class, we obtain (2.2). (2) Suppose $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$. By the functoriality of the Chern class, we obtain (2.3). \square

The next definition follows from Lemma 2.4 (1).

Definition 7. Let $\xi : \mathbb{R}^k \longrightarrow \mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{B}$ be a persistent $O(\mathbb{R}^k)$ -bundle. We define the *persistent Stiefel-Whitney class*

$$w(\xi) = 1 + w_1(\xi) + \cdots + w_k(\xi)$$

to be the family of Stiefel Whitney classes

$$w(\xi(t)) = 1 + w_1(\xi(t)) + \cdots + w_k(\xi(t))$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that (2.2) is satisfied for $t_1 \leq t_2$.

Corollary 2.5. *Let $\xi : \mathbb{R}^k \longrightarrow \mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{B}$ and $\xi' : \mathbb{R}^k \longrightarrow \mathbf{A}' \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{B}'$ be two persistent $O(\mathbb{R}^k)$ -bundles. If ξ and ξ' are isomorphic, then $w(\xi) = w(\xi')$.*

Proof. The corollary follows from Definition 5 and Definition 7. \square

Corollary 2.6. *Let $\xi : \mathbb{R}^k \longrightarrow \mathbf{E}(\xi) \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{B}$ be a persistent $O(\mathbb{R}^k)$ -bundle and $\eta : \mathbb{R}^l \longrightarrow \mathbf{E}(\eta) \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{B}$ be a persistent $O(\mathbb{R}^l)$ -bundle. Then*

$$w(\xi \oplus \eta) = w(\xi)w(\eta) \tag{2.4}$$

where the righthand side of (2.4) is the cup-product in the mod 2 persistent cohomology of \mathbf{B} .

Proof. Take the persistent cohomology ring $H^*(\mathbf{B}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ of \mathbf{B} with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_2 . We have $w(\xi(t) \oplus \eta(t)) = w(\xi(t))w(\eta(t))$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. With the help of Corollary 2.3, we obtain (2.4). \square

The next definition follows from Lemma 2.4 (2).

Definition 8. Let $\xi : \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{B}$ be a persistent $O(\mathbb{C}^k)$ -bundle. We define the *persistent Chern class*

$$c(\xi) = 1 + c_1(\xi) + \cdots + c_k(\xi)$$

to be the family of Chern classes

$$c(\xi(t)) = 1 + c_1(\xi(t)) + \cdots + c_k(\xi(t))$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that (2.3) is satisfied for $t_1 \leq t_2$.

Corollary 2.7. Let $\xi : \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{B}$ and $\xi' : \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{A}' \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{B}'$ be two persistent $O(\mathbb{C}^k)$ -bundles. If ξ and ξ' are isomorphic, then $c(\xi) = c(\xi')$.

Proof. The corollary follows from Definition 5 and Definition 8. \square

Corollary 2.8. Let $\xi : \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{E}(\xi) \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{B}$ be a persistent $O(\mathbb{C}^k)$ -bundle and $\eta : \mathbb{C}^l \rightarrow \mathbf{E}(\eta) \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{B}$ be a persistent $O(\mathbb{C}^l)$ -bundle. Then

$$c(\xi \oplus \eta) = c(\xi)c(\eta) \tag{2.5}$$

where the righthand side of (2.5) is the cup-product in the integral persistent cohomology of \mathbf{B} .

Proof. Take the persistent cohomology ring $H^*(\mathbf{B}; \mathbb{Z})$ of \mathbf{B} with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} . We have $c(\xi(t) \oplus \eta(t)) = c(\xi(t))c(\eta(t))$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. With the help of Corollary 2.3, we obtain (2.5). \square

3 Persistent complexes and persistent manifolds

In this section, we construct associated persistent chain complexes (cf. Definition 11) for persistent Δ -sets (cf. Definition 10) and construct associated persistent double complexes (cf. Definition 14) for persistent bi- Δ -sets (cf. Definition 12). Then we construct persistent double complexes for persistent Δ -CW-complexes (cf. Definition 16) and persistent Δ -manifolds (cf. Definition 18).

3.1 Persistent Δ -sets and persistent chain complexes

Definition 9. (cf. [18, Chap. I]) A Δ -set is a sequence of sets $S_\bullet = (S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with face maps $\partial_n^i : S_n \rightarrow S_{n-1}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, satisfying the Δ -identity

$$\partial_{n-1}^i \partial_n^j = \partial_{n-1}^{j-1} \partial_n^i \tag{3.1}$$

for any $0 \leq i < j \leq n$.

Definition 10. A *persistent Δ -set* $S_\bullet = \{S_\bullet(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a family of Δ -sets $S_\bullet(t)$ whose face maps are $\partial_n^i(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ together with a family of maps

$$\alpha_\bullet(t_1, t_2) : S_\bullet(t_1) \rightarrow S_\bullet(t_2) \tag{3.2}$$

for $t_1 \leq t_2$ such that Definition 1 (1) and (2) are satisfied and $\alpha_{n-1}(t_1, t_2) \circ \partial_n^i(t_1) = \partial_n^i(t_2) \circ \alpha_n(t_1, t_2)$, i.e. the diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_n(t_1) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_n(t_1, t_2)} & S_n(t_2) \\ \partial_n^i(t_1) \downarrow & & \downarrow \partial_n^i(t_2) \\ S_{n-1}(t_1) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n-1}(t_1, t_2)} & S_{n-1}(t_2) \end{array} \tag{3.3}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, any $1 \leq i \leq n$ and any $t_1 \leq t_2$.

A *chain complex* $C_\bullet = (C_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ consists of a sequence of abelian groups C_n together with a sequence of homomorphisms $\partial_n : C_n \rightarrow C_{n-1}$ such that $\partial_n \partial_{n+1} = 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (cf. [24, p. 106]). A *chain map* $\varphi : C_\bullet \rightarrow C'_\bullet$ from a chain complex C_\bullet to a chain complex C'_\bullet is a sequence of homomorphisms $\varphi_n : C_n \rightarrow C'_n$ such that $\partial_n \circ \varphi_n = \varphi_{n-1} \circ \partial_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (cf. [24, p. 111]). The following definition is a continuous version of [33, Definition 3.1].

Definition 11. A *persistent chain complex* $\mathbf{C}_\bullet = \{C_\bullet(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a family of chain complexes $C_\bullet(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ together with a family of chain maps $\varphi(t_1, t_2) : C_\bullet(t_1) \rightarrow C_\bullet(t_2)$ for $t_1 \leq t_2$ such that

- (1) $\varphi(t, t)$ is the identity map for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (2) $\varphi(t_1, t_3) = \varphi(t_2, t_3) \circ \varphi(t_1, t_2)$ for any $t_1 \leq t_2 \leq t_3$.

Let $\mathbf{S}_\bullet = \{S_\bullet(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a persistent Δ -set. Let R be a commutative ring with unit. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let $C(S_n(t))$ be the free R -module generated by all the elements in $S_n(t)$. Let

$$\partial_n^i(t)_\# : C(S_n(t)) \rightarrow C(S_{n-1}(t))$$

be the induced homomorphism of R -modules for any $0 \leq i \leq n$. Let

$$\partial_n(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \partial_n^i(t)_\#. \quad (3.4)$$

Let

$$\alpha_\bullet(t_1, t_2)_\# : C(S_\bullet(t_1)) \rightarrow C(S_\bullet(t_2)) \quad (3.5)$$

be the homomorphism of R -modules induced from (3.2).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathbf{S}_\bullet = \{S_\bullet(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a persistent Δ -set. Then we have an associated persistent chain complex*

$$C(\mathbf{S}_\bullet) = \{C(S_\bullet(t)) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\} \quad (3.6)$$

with the persistent boundary map $\partial_\bullet = \{\partial_\bullet(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Proof. It follows from (3.1) and (3.4) that $\partial_{n-1}(t) \circ \partial_n(t) = 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence $C(S_\bullet(t))$ is a chain complex for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By (3.3),

$$\alpha_{n-1}(t_1, t_2)_\# \circ \partial_n^i(t_1)_\# = \partial_n^i(t_2)_\# \circ \alpha_n(t_1, t_2)_\# \quad (3.7)$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, any $0 \leq i \leq n$ and any $t_1 \leq t_2$. It follows from (3.4) and (3.7) that

$$\alpha_{n-1}(t_1, t_2)_\# \circ \partial_n(t_1) = \partial_n(t_2) \circ \alpha_n(t_1, t_2)_\#$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $t_1 \leq t_2$. Hence (3.5) is a chain map for any $t_1 \leq t_2$. It follows from Definition 1 (1) and (2) respectively that Definition 11 (1) and (2) are satisfied for (3.5). Therefore, (3.6) is a persistent chain complex. \square

Definition 12. (cf. [18, Chap. IV]) A *bi- Δ -set* is a bigraded sequence of sets $S_{\bullet, \bullet} = (S_{p,q})_{p,q \in \mathbb{N}}$ with face maps $\partial_{p,q}^i : S_{p,q} \rightarrow S_{p-1,q}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, p$, and $d_{p,q}^j : S_{p,q} \rightarrow S_{p,q-1}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, q$, satisfying the Δ -identities

$$\partial_{p-1,q}^i \partial_{p,q}^j = \partial_{p-1,q}^{j-1} \partial_{p,q}^i, \quad (3.8)$$

$$d_{p,q-1}^k d_{p,q}^l = d_{p,q-1}^{l-1} d_{p,q}^k \quad (3.9)$$

for any $0 \leq i < j \leq p$ and any $0 \leq k < l \leq q$ such that the diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_{p,q} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{p,q}^i} & S_{p-1,q} \\ d_{p,q}^k \downarrow & & \downarrow d_{p-1,q}^k \\ S_{p,q-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{p,q-1}^i} & S_{p-1,q-1} \end{array} \quad (3.10)$$

for any $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, any $0 \leq i \leq p$ and any $0 \leq k \leq q$.

Definition 13. A *persistent bi- Δ -set* $\mathbf{S}_{\bullet,\bullet} = \{S_{\bullet,\bullet}(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a family of bi- Δ -sets $S_{\bullet,\bullet}(t)$ whose face maps are $\partial_{p,q}^i(t)$ and $d_{p,q}^k(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ together with a family of maps

$$\alpha(t_1, t_2) : S_{\bullet,\bullet}(t_1) \longrightarrow S_{\bullet,\bullet}(t_2) \quad (3.11)$$

such that Definition 1 (1) and (2) are satisfied and

$$\alpha_{p-1,q}(t_1, t_2) \circ \partial_{p,q}^i(t_1) = \partial_{p,q}^i(t_2) \circ \alpha_{p,q}(t_1, t_2), \quad (3.12)$$

$$\alpha_{p,q-1}(t_1, t_2) \circ d_{p,q}^k(t_1) = d_{p,q}^k(t_2) \circ \alpha_{p,q}(t_1, t_2) \quad (3.13)$$

for any $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, any $0 \leq i \leq p$, any $0 \leq k \leq q$ and any $t_1 \leq t_2$.

The (persistent) double complex is a generalization of the (persistent) chain complex. A *double complex* $C_{\bullet,\bullet} = (C_{p,q})_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z}}$ consists of a bigraded family of abelian groups $C_{p,q}$ together with two families of homomorphisms $\partial : C_{p,q} \longrightarrow C_{p,q-1}$ and $d : C_{p,q} \longrightarrow C_{p-1,q}$ for any $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\partial^2 = d^2 = 0$ and $\partial \circ d = d \circ \partial$. A *morphism* $\varphi : C_{\bullet,\bullet} \longrightarrow C'_{\bullet,\bullet}$ from a double complex $C_{\bullet,\bullet}$ to a double complex $C'_{\bullet,\bullet}$ is a bigraded family of homomorphisms $\varphi_{p,q} : C_{p,q} \longrightarrow C'_{p,q}$ such that $\partial \circ \varphi_{p,q} = \varphi_{p-1,q} \circ \partial$ and $d \circ \varphi_{p,q} = \varphi_{p,q-1} \circ d$ for any $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 14. A *persistent double complex* $\mathbf{C}_{\bullet,\bullet} = \{C_{\bullet,\bullet}(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a family of double complexes $C_{\bullet,\bullet}(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ together with a family of morphisms $\varphi(t_1, t_2) : C_{\bullet,\bullet}(t_1) \longrightarrow C_{\bullet,\bullet}(t_2)$ for $t_1 \leq t_2$ such that Definition 11 (1) and (2) are satisfied.

Let $\mathbf{S}_{\bullet,\bullet} = \{S_{\bullet,\bullet}(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a persistent bi- Δ -set. For any $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let $C(S_{p,q}(t))$ be the free R -module generated by all the elements in $S_{p,q}(t)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{p,q}^i(t)_{\#} &: C(S_{p,q}(t)) \longrightarrow C(S_{p-1,q}(t)), \\ d_{p,q}^k(t)_{\#} &: C(S_{p,q}(t)) \longrightarrow C(S_{p,q-1}(t)) \end{aligned}$$

be the induced homomorphisms of R -modules for any $0 \leq i \leq p$ and any $0 \leq k \leq q$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{p,q}(t) &= \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \partial_{p,q}^i(t)_{\#}, \\ d_{p,q}(t) &= \sum_{k=0}^q (-1)^k d_{p,q}^k(t)_{\#}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\alpha(t_1, t_2)_{\#} : C(S_{\bullet,\bullet}(t_1)) \longrightarrow C(S_{\bullet,\bullet}(t_2)) \quad (3.14)$$

be the homomorphism of R -modules induced by (3.11). The next lemma is a generalization of Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mathbf{S}_{\bullet,\bullet} = \{S_{\bullet,\bullet}(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a persistent bi- Δ -set. Then we have an associated persistent double complex*

$$C(\mathbf{S}_{\bullet,\bullet}) = \{C(S_{\bullet,\bullet}(t)) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\} \quad (3.15)$$

with the persistent boundary maps $\partial_{p,q} = \{\partial_{p,q}(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $d_{p,q} = \{d_{p,q}(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Proof. It follows from (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10) respectively that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{p,q}(t)^2 &= 0, & d_{p,q}(t)^2 &= 0, \\ \partial_{p,q-1}(t) \circ d_{p,q}(t) &= d_{p-1,q}(t) \circ \partial_{p,q}(t) \end{aligned}$$

for any $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. It follows from (3.12) and (3.13) respectively that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{p,q}(t_2) \circ \alpha_{p,q}(t_1, t_2)_{\#} &= \alpha_{p-1,q}(t_1, t_2)_{\#} \circ \partial_{p,q}(t_1), \\ d_{p,q}(t_2) \circ \alpha_{p,q}(t_1, t_2)_{\#} &= \alpha_{p,q-1}(t_1, t_2)_{\#} \circ d_{p,q}(t_1) \end{aligned}$$

for any $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $t_1 \leq t_2$. Hence (3.14) is a morphism of double complexes for any $t_1 \leq t_2$. It follows from Definition 1 (1) and (2) respectively that Definition 11 (1) and (2) are satisfied for (3.14). Therefore, (3.15) is a persistent double complex. \square

3.2 Persistent Δ -CW-complexes

Definition 15.² A Δ -CW-complex is a Δ -set $\mathbf{A}_\bullet = (A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ where all the sets A_n are CW-complexes and all the face maps $\partial_n^i : A_n \rightarrow A_{n-1}$ are cellular.

Definition 16. A *persistent Δ -CW-complex* is a family of Δ -CW-complexes

$$\mathbf{A}_\bullet = \{A_\bullet(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

where $A_\bullet(t)$ is a Δ -CW-complex with face maps $\partial_n^i(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, together with a family of cellular maps

$$\alpha_n(t_1, t_2) : A_n(t_1) \rightarrow A_n(t_2) \quad (3.16)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t_1 \leq t_2$ such that the identities in Definition 1 (1), (2) and the commutative diagram (3.3) are satisfied for any $0 \leq i \leq n$.

Let $\mathbf{A}_\bullet = \{A_\bullet(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a persistent Δ -CW-complex, where $A_\bullet(t) = (A_n(t))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Δ -CW-complex with face maps

$$\partial_n^i(t) : A_n(t) \rightarrow A_{n-1}(t)$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $(C_\bullet(A_n(t)), d(t))$ be the cellular chain complex with $C_m(A_n(t))$ the free R -module spanned by the m -cells of $A_n(t)$ and the boundary maps

$$d_m(t) : C_m(A_n(t)) \rightarrow C_{m-1}(A_n(t))$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (cf. [24, p. 139 and p. 153]). The next proposition is a topological version of Lemma 3.2.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\mathbf{A}_\bullet = \{A_\bullet(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a persistent Δ -CW-complex. Then we have a persistent double complex*

$$(C_\bullet(\mathbf{A}_\bullet), \partial, \mathbf{d}) = \{(C_\bullet(A_\bullet(t)), \partial(t), d(t)) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\} \quad (3.17)$$

where $\partial(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \partial_n^i(t)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have an induced chain map

$$\partial_n^i(t) : (C_\bullet(A_n(t)), d(t)) \rightarrow (C_\bullet(A_{n-1}(t)), d(t)).$$

By an analog of Lemma 3.2 or [29, Lemma 3.1], we have a persistent chain map

$$\partial_n^i : (C_\bullet(\mathbf{A}_n), \mathbf{d}) \rightarrow (C_\bullet(\mathbf{A}_{n-1}), \mathbf{d})$$

where $\partial_n^i = \{\partial_n^i(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$, and consequently a persistent chain map

$$\partial = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \partial_n^i : (C_\bullet(\mathbf{A}_n), \mathbf{d}) \rightarrow (C_\bullet(\mathbf{A}_{n-1}), \mathbf{d})$$

such that $\mathbf{d}^2 = 0$, $\partial^2 = 0$ and $\partial \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{d} \partial$. Thus (3.17) is a persistent double complex. \square

The next corollary follows from Definition 15, Definition 16 and Proposition 3.3.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $\mathbf{A}_\bullet = \{A_\bullet(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a persistent Δ -CW-complex. Then we have a persistent chain complex*

$$(H^*(\mathbf{A}_\bullet), \partial) = \{(H^*(A_\bullet(t)), \partial(t)) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\} \quad (3.18)$$

where $H^*(\mathbf{A}_n)$ is the persistent cohomology ring of \mathbf{A}_n for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

²The notion of Δ -CW-complexes here is different from the notion of Δ -complexes in [24, p. 103].

Proof. Apply the Hom functor and the cohomology functor subsequently to the persistent chain complex

$$(C_\bullet(\mathbf{A}_n), \mathbf{d}) = \{(C_\bullet(A_n(t)), d(t)) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The persistent double complex (3.17) induces the persistent chain complex (3.18) where $H^*(\mathbf{A}_n)$ is the persistent cohomology module of \mathbf{A}_n for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Definition 15, we have the cup product

$$\smile: H^p(A_n(t)) \times H^q(A_n(t)) \longrightarrow H^{p+q}(A_n(t)) \quad (3.19)$$

which makes $H^*(A_n(t))$ to be a cohomology ring for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By Definition 16, for any $t_1 \leq t_2$,

$$\alpha_n(t_1, t_2)^*: H^*(A_n(t_2)) \longrightarrow H^*(A_n(t_1))$$

is a homomorphism of cohomology rings induced by (3.16). That is, the diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^p(A_n(t_2)) \times H^q(A_n(t_2)) & \xrightarrow{\smile} & H^{p+q}(A_n(t_2)) \\ \alpha_n(t_1, t_2)^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_n(t_1, t_2)^* \\ H^p(A_n(t_1)) \times H^q(A_n(t_1)) & \xrightarrow{\smile} & H^{p+q}(A_n(t_1)). \end{array} \quad (3.20)$$

Therefore, $H^*(\mathbf{A}_n)$ in (3.18) is a persistent cohomology ring. \square

3.3 Persistent Δ -manifolds

Definition 17. [29, Definition 1 (2), Section 3.1] A Δ -manifold is a Δ -set $M_\bullet = (M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ where all the sets M_n are smooth manifolds and all the face maps $\partial_n^i: A_n \longrightarrow A_{n-1}$ are smooth.

Definition 18. A *persistent Δ -manifold* is a family of Δ -manifolds

$$\mathbf{M}_\bullet = \{M_\bullet(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

where $M_\bullet(t)$ is a Δ -manifold with face maps $\partial_n^i(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, together with a family of maps

$$\alpha_n(t_1, t_2): M_n(t_1) \longrightarrow M_n(t_2) \quad (3.21)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t_1 \leq t_2$ such that the identities in Definition 1 (1), (2) and the commutative diagram (3.3) are satisfied for any $0 \leq i \leq n$.

Let $\mathbf{M}_\bullet = \{M_\bullet(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a persistent Δ -manifold, where $M_\bullet(t) = (M_n(t))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Δ -manifold for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with face maps $\partial_n^i(t): M_n(t) \longrightarrow M_{n-1}(t)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $0 \leq i \leq n$. Let $(\Omega^\bullet(M_n(t)), d(t))$ be the de-Rham cochain complex with $\Omega^m(M_n(t))$ the real vector space spanned by the m -forms on $M_n(t)$ and the coboundary maps

$$d^m(t): \Omega^m(M_n(t)) \longrightarrow \Omega^{m+1}(M_n(t))$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We have the exterior product of differential forms

$$\wedge: \Omega^p(M_n(t)) \times \Omega^q(M_n(t)) \longrightarrow \Omega^{p+q}(M_n(t)). \quad (3.22)$$

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\mathbf{M}_\bullet = \{M_\bullet(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a persistent Δ -manifold. Then we have a persistent double complex*

$$(\Omega^\bullet(\mathbf{M}_\bullet), \partial, \mathbf{d}) = \{(\Omega^\bullet(M_\bullet(t)), \partial(t), d(t)) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\} \quad (3.23)$$

with a persistent exterior product ³

$$\wedge: \Omega^p(\mathbf{M}_n) \times \Omega^q(\mathbf{M}_n) \longrightarrow \Omega^{p+q}(\mathbf{M}_n) \quad (3.24)$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ where $\partial(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \partial_n^i(t)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

³A persistent exterior product (3.24) is a family of exterior products (3.22) for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $t_1 \leq t_2$,

$$\alpha_n(t_1, t_2)^\# : \Omega^\bullet(M_n(t_2)) \longrightarrow \Omega^\bullet(M_n(t_1))$$

is a homomorphism of algebras induced by (3.21).

Proof. By an analog of Lemma 3.2 or [29, Lemma 3.1], (3.23) is a persistent double complex. For any $t_1 \leq t_2$, the diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^p(M_n(t_2)) \times H^q(M_n(t_2)) & \xrightarrow{\wedge} & \Omega^{p+q}(M_n(t_2)) \\ \alpha_n(t_1, t_2)^\# \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_n(t_1, t_2)^\# \\ \Omega^p(M_n(t_1)) \times \Omega^q(M_n(t_1)) & \xrightarrow{\wedge} & \Omega^{p+q}(M_n(t_1)) \end{array} \quad (3.25)$$

where $\alpha_n(t_1, t_2)^\#$ is induced by (3.21). Thus (3.24) is a persistent exterior product. \square

Corollary 3.6. *Let $\mathbf{M}_\bullet = \{M_\bullet(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a persistent Δ -manifold. Then we have a persistent chain complex*

$$(H^*(\mathbf{M}_\bullet), \partial) = \{(H^*(M_\bullet(t)), \partial(t)) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\} \quad (3.26)$$

where $H^*(\mathbf{M}_n)$ is the persistent cohomology ring of \mathbf{M}_n for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The corollary is an analog of Corollary 3.4. In particular, if we take $H^*(\mathbf{M}_n)$ as the de-Rham cohomology with coefficients in \mathbb{R} , then the proof follows from Proposition 3.5. \square

4 Persistent bundles over configuration spaces

In this section, we study the persistent covering maps from ordered configuration spaces to unordered configuration spaces as well as the associated persistent vector bundles. We study the configuration spaces of Riemannian manifolds in Subsection 4.1 and study the configuration spaces of graphs in Subsection 4.2.

Let (X, d) be a metric space where $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is a distance. Let k be a positive integer. Let $r \geq 0$. The k -th ordered configuration space of hard spheres of radius r of X is

$$\text{Conf}_k(X, r) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in X^k \mid d(x_i, x_j) > 2r \text{ for } i \neq j\} \quad (4.1)$$

where X^k is the k -fold Cartesian product of X . In particular, let $r = 0$ in (4.1). The k -th ordered configuration space of X is

$$\text{Conf}_k(X) = \text{Conf}_k(X, 0) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in X^k \mid x_i \neq x_j \text{ for } i \neq j\}, \quad (4.2)$$

which only depends on the topology of X and does not depend on the choice of the metric d that is compatible with the topology. Let Σ_k be the k -th symmetric group. Let Σ_k act on X^k by permuting the coordinates from the left by (1.1). Then $\text{Conf}_k(X)$ is an open subspace of X^k consisting of the points with trivial isotropy groups. We have a Σ_k -invariant filtration

$$\text{Conf}_k(X, -) = \{\text{Conf}_k(X, r) \mid r \geq 0\} \quad (4.3)$$

of $\text{Conf}_k(X)$ such that

- (1) $\text{Conf}_k(X, r)$ is Σ_k -invariant for any $r \geq 0$;
- (2) $\text{Conf}_k(X, r_1) \subseteq \text{Conf}_k(X, r_2)$ for any $r_2 \leq r_1$;
- (3) if $d(x, y) < +\infty$ for any $x, y \in X$, then $\bigcap_{r \geq 0} \text{Conf}_k(X, r) = \emptyset$.

Let 2^X be the power set of X whose elements are subsets of X . The k -th unordered configuration space of hard spheres of radius r of X is the Σ_k -orbit space

$$\text{Conf}_k(X, r)/\Sigma_k = \{\{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \in 2^X \mid d(x_i, x_j) > 2r \text{ for } i \neq j\}$$

and the k -th unordered configuration space of X is

$$\text{Conf}_k(X)/\Sigma_k = \text{Conf}_k(X, 0)/\Sigma_k = \{\{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \in 2^X \mid x_i \neq x_j \text{ for } i \neq j\}.$$

Lemma 4.1. *For any positive integer k , we have a persistent covering map*

$$\pi(k, -) : \text{Conf}_k(X, -) \rightarrow \text{Conf}_k(X, -)/\Sigma_k.$$

Proof. Let k be any positive integer. Let $r \geq 0$. Then we have a $k!$ -sheeted covering map

$$\pi(k, r) : \text{Conf}_k(X, r) \longrightarrow \text{Conf}_k(X, r)/\Sigma_k. \quad (4.4)$$

In particular, let $r = 0$. We have a $k!$ -sheeted covering map

$$\pi(k) = \pi(k, 0) : \text{Conf}_k(X) \longrightarrow \text{Conf}_k(X)/\Sigma_k.$$

The Σ_k -invariant filtration (4.3) of $\text{Conf}_k(X)$ induces a filtration

$$\text{Conf}_k(X, -)/\Sigma_k = \{\text{Conf}_k(X, r)/\Sigma_k \mid r \geq 0\} \quad (4.5)$$

of $\text{Conf}_k(X)/\Sigma_k$ such that for any $r_2 \leq r_1$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Conf}_k(X, r_1) & \longrightarrow & \text{Conf}_k(X, r_2) \\ \pi(k, r_1) \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi(k, r_2) \\ \text{Conf}_k(X, r_1)/\Sigma_k & \longrightarrow & \text{Conf}_k(X, r_2)/\Sigma_k \end{array}$$

is a pull-back. Here the horizontal maps are canonical inclusions. Consequently, we have a persistent covering map

$$\pi(k, -) : \text{Conf}_k(X, -) \longrightarrow \text{Conf}_k(X, -)/\Sigma_k$$

given by

$$\pi(k, -) = \{\pi(k, r) \mid r \geq 0\}$$

such that $\pi(k, r)$ is the restriction of $\pi(k)$ to $\text{Conf}_k(X, r)$ for any $r \geq 0$. \square

Since X is a CW-complex, X^k is a CW-complex. It follows that both $\text{Conf}_k(X, r)$ and $\text{Conf}_k(X, r)/\Sigma_k$ are CW-complexes. Let Σ_k act on \mathbb{F}^k by permuting the coordinates from the right by (1.3). Associated to the covering map $\pi(k, r)$ in (4.4), we have a $O(\mathbb{F}^k)$ -bundle

$$\xi(X, k, r; \mathbb{F}) : \mathbb{F}^k \longrightarrow \text{Conf}_k(X, r) \times_{\Sigma_k} \mathbb{F}^k \longrightarrow \text{Conf}_k(X, r)/\Sigma_k.$$

Consider the $(k-1)$ -dimensional subspace

$$W = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{F}^k \mid \sum_{i=1}^k x_i = 0 \right\}$$

of \mathbb{F}^k . Since W is Σ_k -invariant, we have a $O(\mathbb{F}^{k-1})$ -bundle

$$\zeta(X, k, r; \mathbb{F}) : W \longrightarrow \text{Conf}_k(X, r) \times_{\Sigma_k} W \longrightarrow \text{Conf}_k(X, r)/\Sigma_k.$$

Theorem 4.2. *For any positive integer k , we have a persistent $O(\mathbb{F}^k)$ -bundle*

$$\xi(X, k, -; \mathbb{F}) = \{\xi(X, k, r; \mathbb{F}) \mid r \geq 0\} \quad (4.6)$$

and a persistent $O(\mathbb{F}^{k-1})$ -bundle

$$\zeta(X, k, -; \mathbb{F}) = \{\zeta(X, k, r; \mathbb{F}) \mid r \geq 0\} \quad (4.7)$$

over $\text{Conf}_k(X, -)/\Sigma_k$ such that

$$\xi(X, k, -; \mathbb{F}) \cong \zeta(X, k, -; \mathbb{F}) \oplus \epsilon(X, k, -; \mathbb{F}) \quad (4.8)$$

where the righthand side of (4.8) is the persistent Whitney sum of (4.7) and the persistent trivial \mathbb{F} -line bundle

$$\epsilon(X, k, -; \mathbb{F}) = \{\epsilon(X, k, r; \mathbb{F}) \mid r \geq 0\} \quad (4.9)$$

over $\text{Conf}_k(X, -)/\Sigma_k$.

Proof. Let k be any positive integer. Let $r \geq 0$. Then we have a Whitney sum of vector bundles

$$\xi(X, k, r; \mathbb{F}) \cong \zeta(X, k, r; \mathbb{F}) \oplus \epsilon(X, k, r; \mathbb{F}) \quad (4.10)$$

where $\epsilon(X, k, r; \mathbb{F})$ is the trivial \mathbb{F} -line bundle over $\text{Conf}_k(X, r)/\Sigma_k$. Let $r_2 \leq r_1$. With the help of Lemma 4.1, we have pull-backs of vector bundles

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(X, k, r_1; \mathbb{F}) &= \iota_{r_1, r_2}^*(\xi(X, k, r_2; \mathbb{F})), \\ \zeta(X, k, r_1; \mathbb{F}) &= \iota_{r_1, r_2}^*(\zeta(X, k, r_2; \mathbb{F})), \\ \epsilon(X, k, r_1; \mathbb{F}) &= \iota_{r_1, r_2}^*(\epsilon(X, k, r_2; \mathbb{F})) \end{aligned}$$

where $\iota_{r_1, r_2} : \text{Conf}_k(X, r_1)/\Sigma_k \rightarrow \text{Conf}_k(X, r_2)/\Sigma_k$ is the canonical inclusion. Consequently, we obtain the persistent vector bundles (4.6), (4.7) and (4.9) such that (4.8) is satisfied. \square

Corollary 4.3. *For any positive integer k ,*

$$w(\xi(X, k, -; \mathbb{R})) = w(\zeta(X, k, -; \mathbb{R})). \quad (4.11)$$

Proof. Take the persistent cohomology ring

$$H^*(\text{Conf}_k(X, -)/\Sigma_k; \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

of $\text{Conf}_k(X, -)/\Sigma_k$ with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_2 . By (2.4) and (4.8),

$$\begin{aligned} w(\xi(X, k, -; \mathbb{R})) &= w(\zeta(X, k, -; \mathbb{R}) \oplus \epsilon(X, k, -; \mathbb{R})) \\ &= w(\zeta(X, k, -; \mathbb{R}))w(\epsilon(X, k, -; \mathbb{R})) \\ &= w(\zeta(X, k, -; \mathbb{R})). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain (4.11). \square

Corollary 4.4. *For any positive integer k ,*

$$c(\xi(X, k, -; \mathbb{C})) = c(\zeta(X, k, -; \mathbb{C})). \quad (4.12)$$

Proof. Take the persistent cohomology ring

$$H^*(\text{Conf}_k(X, -)/\Sigma_k; \mathbb{Z})$$

of $\text{Conf}_k(X, -)/\Sigma_k$ with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} . By (2.5) and (4.8),

$$\begin{aligned} c(\xi(X, k, -; \mathbb{C})) &= c(\zeta(X, k, -; \mathbb{C}) \oplus \epsilon(X, k, -; \mathbb{C})) \\ &= c(\zeta(X, k, -; \mathbb{C}))c(\epsilon(X, k, -; \mathbb{C})) \\ &= c(\zeta(X, k, -; \mathbb{C})). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain (4.12). \square

We have a persistent Δ -CW-complex

$$\text{Conf}_\bullet(X, -) = (\text{Conf}_k(X, -))_{k \geq 1} = \{\text{Conf}_\bullet(X, r) \mid r \geq 0\} \quad (4.13)$$

with face maps

$$\partial_k^i(r) : \text{Conf}_k(X, r) \rightarrow \text{Conf}_{k-1}(X, r) \quad (4.14)$$

for any $r \geq 0$, any positive integer k and any $0 \leq i \leq k$ given by

$$\partial_k^i(r) : (x_1, \dots, x_k) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_k).$$

The next theorem follows from Proposition 3.3 and Corollary 3.4.

Theorem 4.5. *We have a persistent double complex*

$$(C_\bullet(\text{Conf}_\bullet(X, -)), \partial, \mathbf{d}) \quad (4.15)$$

and a persistent chain complex

$$(H^*(\text{Conf}_\bullet(X, -)), \partial) \quad (4.16)$$

where $H^*(\text{Conf}_k(X, -))$ is the persistent cohomology ring of $\text{Conf}_k(X, -)$ for any $k \geq 1$. Here $\partial(r) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \partial_k^i(r)$ and $d(r)$ is the cellular boundary map of $\text{Conf}_\bullet(X, r)$ for any $r \geq 0$.

Proof. We have a persistent Δ -CW-complex (4.13). By the Cellular Approximation Theorem, we can choose appropriate cell structures of $\text{Conf}_\bullet(X, r)$ such that all the boundary maps (4.14) are cellular. The diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Conf}_k(X, r_1) & \xrightarrow{\partial_k^i(r_1)} & \text{Conf}_{k-1}(X, r_1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Conf}_k(X, r_2) & \xrightarrow{\partial_k^i(r_2)} & \text{Conf}_{k-1}(X, r_2) \end{array}$$

for any $r_2 \leq r_1$, where the vertical maps are canonical inclusions. By Proposition 3.3, we obtain the persistent double complex (4.15). By Corollary 3.4, we obtain the persistent chain complex (4.16). \square

Consider the Σ_k -action on $\text{Conf}_k(X, -)$ for any $k \geq 1$. This induces a Σ_k -action on the persistent cohomology ring $H^*(\text{Conf}_k(X, -))$. The next corollary follows from Theorem 4.5.

Corollary 4.6. *The persistent chain complex (4.16) has a Σ_\bullet -action such that the diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(\text{Conf}_k(X, r_2)) & \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} & H^*(\text{Conf}_k(X, r_2)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^*(\text{Conf}_k(X, r_1)) & \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} & H^*(\text{Conf}_k(X, r_1)) \end{array} \quad (4.17)$$

for any $r_1 \geq r_2 \geq 0$, any positive integer k and any $\sigma \in \Sigma_k$. Here the vertical maps are induced by canonical inclusions of $\text{Conf}_k(X, r_1)$ into $\text{Conf}_k(X, r_2)$.

Proof. The diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Conf}_k(X, r_1) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \text{Conf}_k(X, r_1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Conf}_k(X, r_2) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \text{Conf}_k(X, r_2) \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are canonical inclusions of $\text{Conf}_k(X, r_1)$ into $\text{Conf}_k(X, r_2)$. Taking the cohomology rings, we obtain the commutative diagram (4.17). \square

We have the following observations.

- (1) If X and X' are homeomorphic, then $\text{Conf}_k(X)$ and $\text{Conf}_k(X')$ are homeomorphic thus the vector bundles $\xi(X, k, 0; \mathbb{F})$ and $\xi(X', k, 0; \mathbb{F})$ are isomorphic;
- (2) If (X, d) and (X', d) are isometric, then $\text{Conf}_k(X, -)$ and $\text{Conf}_k(X', -)$ are isometric thus the persistent vector bundles $\xi(X, k, -; \mathbb{F})$ and $\xi(X', k, -; \mathbb{F})$ are isomorphic (cf. Definition 5).

4.1 Configuration spaces of Riemannian manifolds

Let M be a Riemannian manifold. Let $d : M \times M \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ be the distance on M such that

$$d(p, q) = \inf_{\substack{\gamma: [0,1] \rightarrow M \\ \gamma(0)=p \text{ and } \gamma(1)=q}} \text{length}(\gamma) \quad (4.18)$$

with

$$\text{length}(\gamma) = \int_0^1 |\gamma'(t)| dt$$

if there exists a path γ from p to q and $d(p, q) = +\infty$ otherwise. If M is complete, then by the Hopf-Rinow Theorem (cf. [27, p. 138]), for any $p, q \in M$ with $d(p, q) < +\infty$, there exists a geodesic γ on M such that $\gamma(0) = p$, $\gamma(1) = q$ and $\text{length}(\gamma) = d(p, q)$.

Let $r \geq 0$. The k -th ordered configuration space of M of hard spheres with radius r is the Riemannian submanifold

$$\text{Conf}_k(M, r) = \left\{ (p_1, \dots, p_k) \in \prod_k M \mid d_M(p_i, p_j) > 2r \text{ for any } i \neq j \right\} \quad (4.19)$$

of the product manifold $\prod_k M$. Let g be the Riemannian metric on M . Then (4.18) is induced from g . The k -fold product metric g^k is a Riemannian metric on $\prod_k M$ hence it induces a Riemannian metric $g^k|_{\text{Conf}_k(M, r)}$ on $\text{Conf}_k(M, r)$.

Lemma 4.7. *For any Riemannian manifold M , the symmetric group Σ_k acts on $\text{Conf}_k(M, r)$ isometrically. That is, for any $\sigma \in \Sigma_k$, the induced pull-back*

$$\sigma^* : (T^*\text{Conf}_k(M, r))^{\otimes 2} \longrightarrow (T^*\text{Conf}_k(M, r))^{\otimes 2}$$

of covariant tensor fields on $\text{Conf}_k(M, r)$ satisfies

$$\sigma^*(g^k|_{\text{Conf}_k(M, r)}) = g^k|_{\text{Conf}_k(M, r)}. \quad (4.20)$$

Proof. Take any smooth vector fields $(V_1, \dots, V_k), (U_1, \dots, U_k) \in \Gamma(T\text{Conf}_k(M, r))$ on the k -th ordered configuration space (4.19) of hard spheres with radius r where $V_i, U_i \in \Gamma(TM)$ are smooth vector fields on M for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^*(g^k)((V_1, \dots, V_k), (U_1, \dots, U_k)) &= g^k(\sigma_*(V_1, \dots, V_k), \sigma_*(U_1, \dots, U_k)) \\ &= g^k((V_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, V_{\sigma(k)}), (U_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, U_{\sigma(k)})) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^k g(V_{\sigma(i)}, U_{\sigma(i)}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^k g(V_i, U_i) \\ &= g^k((V_1, \dots, V_k), (U_1, \dots, U_k)). \end{aligned}$$

Here σ_* is the tangent map of σ , which is the permutation induced by σ on the coordinates of the tangent vector fields. We obtain (4.20). \square

The next corollary follows from Lemma 4.7.

Corollary 4.8. *For any Riemannian manifold M , the Σ_k -action on $\text{Conf}_k(M, r)$ induces an orbit manifold*

$$\text{Conf}_k(M, r)/\Sigma_k = \left\{ \{p_1, \dots, p_k\} \mid d_M(p_i, p_j) > 2r \text{ for any } i \neq j \right\} \quad (4.21)$$

with the Riemannian metric $(g^k|_{\text{Conf}_k(M, r)})/\Sigma_k$ such that

$$g^k|_{\text{Conf}_k(M, r)} = \pi(k, r)^*((g^k|_{\text{Conf}_k(M, r)})/\Sigma_k). \quad (4.22)$$

Proof. Let $\{p_1, \dots, p_k\} \in \text{Conf}_k(M, r)/\Sigma_k$. Take tangent vectors

$$X_{\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}}, Y_{\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}} \in T_{\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}}(\text{Conf}_k(M, r)/\Sigma_k).$$

Define

$$((g^k|_{\text{Conf}_k(M, r)})/\Sigma_k)(X_{\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}}, Y_{\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}}) = g^k(\tilde{X}_{(p_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, p_{\sigma(k)})}, \tilde{Y}_{(p_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, p_{\sigma(k)})}) \quad (4.23)$$

where $\sigma \in \Sigma_k$, $(p_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, p_{\sigma(k)}) \in \text{Conf}_k(M, r)$ and

$$\tilde{X}_{(p_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, p_{\sigma(k)})}, \tilde{Y}_{(p_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, p_{\sigma(k)})} \in T_{(p_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, p_{\sigma(k)})} \text{Conf}_k(M, r)$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(k, r)(p_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, p_{\sigma(k)}) &= \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}, \\ \pi(k, r)_*(\tilde{X}_{(p_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, p_{\sigma(k)})}) &= X_{\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}}, \\ \pi(k, r)_*(\tilde{Y}_{(p_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, p_{\sigma(k)})}) &= Y_{\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.7, (4.23) does not depend on the choice of σ . Hence (4.23) is well-defined. Consequently, we obtain (4.22) from (4.23). \square

By Corollary 4.8, the k -th unordered configuration space (4.21) of M of hard spheres with radius r is a Riemannian manifold. The next corollary follows from Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.2.

Corollary 4.9. *For any Riemannian manifold M , we have a persistent covering map of Riemannian manifolds*

$$\pi(k, -) : \text{Conf}_k(M, -) \longrightarrow \text{Conf}_k(M, -)/\Sigma_k, \quad (4.24)$$

which is locally an isometry, and persistent vector bundles

$$\xi(M, k, -; \mathbb{F}) \cong \zeta(M, k, -; \mathbb{F}) \oplus \epsilon(M, k, -; \mathbb{F}). \quad (4.25)$$

Proof. For any $r \geq 0$, by Corollary 4.8, we have an isometric $k!$ -sheeted covering map

$$\pi(k, r) : \text{Conf}_k(M, r) \longrightarrow \text{Conf}_k(M, r)/\Sigma_k$$

such that the Riemannian metric on $\text{Conf}_k(M, r)$ is the pull-back of the Riemannian metric on $\text{Conf}_k(M, r)/\Sigma_k$. Let X be M in Lemma 4.1. We have the persistent covering map (4.24). Let X be M in Proposition 4.2. We have the persistent vector bundles and the persistent Whitney sum (4.25). \square

The next corollary follows from Theorem 4.5.

Corollary 4.10. *For any Riemannian manifold M , we have a persistent double complex*

$$(\Omega^\bullet(\text{Conf}_\bullet(M, -)), \partial, \mathbf{d}), \quad (4.26)$$

where $\Omega^\bullet(-)$ is the CDGA of differential forms, and a persistent chain complex

$$(H^*(\text{Conf}_\bullet(M, -)), \partial), \quad (4.27)$$

where $H^*(-)$ is the de-Rham persistent cohomology algebra.

Proof. Let X be M in Theorem 4.5. For any $r \geq 0$, let $d(r)$ be the exterior derivative of $\Omega^\bullet(\text{Conf}_\bullet(M, r))$. Then (4.15) implies (4.26) and (4.16) implies (4.27). \square

The next corollary follows from Corollary 4.6.

Corollary 4.11. *For any Riemannian manifold M , the persistent chain complex (4.27) of de-Rham persistent cohomology has a Σ_\bullet -action such that the diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(\text{Conf}_k(M, r_2)) & \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} & H^*(\text{Conf}_k(M, r_2)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^*(\text{Conf}_k(M, r_1)) & \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} & H^*(\text{Conf}_k(M, r_1)) \end{array} \quad (4.28)$$

for any $r_1 \geq r_2 \geq 0$ and any $\sigma \in \Sigma_k$. Moreover, the de-Rham persistent cohomology algebra

$$H^*(\text{Conf}_k(M, -)/\Sigma_k) \quad (4.29)$$

is the Σ_k -invariant sub-algebra of $H^*(\text{Conf}_k(M, -))$.

Proof. Let X be M in Corollary 4.6. Then (4.17) implies (4.28). Moreover, the persistent covering map (4.24) induces a persistent pull-back of differential forms

$$\pi(k, -)^* : \Omega^\bullet(\text{Conf}_k(M, -)/\Sigma_k) \longrightarrow \Omega^\bullet(\text{Conf}_k(M, -)), \quad (4.30)$$

which is a persistent chain map of persistent chain complexes with respect to the exterior derivative. It follows that (4.30) is injective and the image of (4.30) is the Σ_k -invariant forms. Applying the de-Rham persistent cohomology functor to (4.30), we have a homomorphism of persistent cohomology algebra

$$\pi(k, -)^* : H^*(\text{Conf}_k(M, -)/\Sigma_k) \longrightarrow H^*(\text{Conf}_k(M, -)). \quad (4.31)$$

Therefore, (4.29) is the Σ_k -invariant sub-algebra of $H^*(\text{Conf}_k(M, -))$. \square

4.2 Configuration spaces of graphs

Let V_G be a finite or countable discrete set. The elements in V_G are *vertices*. Let V_G^2/\mathbb{Z}_2 be the symmetric product of V_G such that $(u, v) \sim (v, u)$ for any $u, v \in V_G$. Let E_G be a subset of V_G^2/\mathbb{Z}_2 . The elements in E_G are *edges*. Then $G = (V_G, E_G)$ is a graph. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A *path* of length n in G is a sequence $v_0 v_1 \cdots v_n$ such that $v_i \in V_G$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $(v_{j-1}, v_j) \in E_G$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. For any $u, v \in V_G$ such that $u \neq v$, let $d_G(u, v)$ be the minimum length of the paths connecting u and v . If there does not exist any path in G connecting u and v , then we let $d_G(u, v)$ be $+\infty$. Then (V_G, d_G) is a metric space.

Let k be a positive integer. The k -th ordered configuration space of V_G of hard spheres with radius $n/2$ is the k -uniform hyperdigraph (for example, refer to [9, Subsection 3.1] for hyperdigraphs) whose elements are (ordered) k -sequences of vertices

$$\text{Conf}_k(V_G, \frac{n}{2}) = \left\{ (v_1, \dots, v_k) \in \prod_k V_G \mid d_G(v_i, v_j) > n \text{ for any } i \neq j \right\}. \quad (4.32)$$

The k -th unordered configuration space of V_G of hard spheres with radius $n/2$ is the k -uniform hypergraph (for example, refer to [3] for hypergraphs) whose elements are (unordered) k -hyperedges of vertices

$$\text{Conf}_k(V_G, \frac{n}{2})/\Sigma_k = \left\{ \{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \mid d_G(v_i, v_j) > n \text{ for any } i \neq j \right\}. \quad (4.33)$$

Note that $\text{Conf}_k(V_G, 0)$ is the complete k -uniform hyperdigraph on V_G and $\text{Conf}_k(V_G, 0)/\Sigma_k$ is the complete k -uniform hypergraph on V_G , both of which do not depend on the choices of E_G . Hence without loss of generality, we may assume $n \geq 1$ in (4.32) and (4.33). The family of hyperdigraphs

$$\text{Conf}_k(V_G, -) = \left\{ \text{Conf}_k(V_G, \frac{n}{2}) \mid n = 1, 2, \dots \right\} \quad (4.34)$$

is a Σ_k -invariant filtration of $\text{Conf}_k(V_G, 1/2)$ such that

$$\text{Conf}_k(V_G, \frac{n}{2}) \supseteq \text{Conf}_k(V_G, \frac{n'}{2})$$

for any $n \leq n'$. The family of hypergraphs

$$\text{Conf}_k(V_G, -)/\Sigma_k = \left\{ \text{Conf}_k(V_G, \frac{n}{2})/\Sigma_k \mid n = 1, 2, \dots \right\} \quad (4.35)$$

is a filtration of $\text{Conf}_k(V_G, 1/2)/\Sigma_k$ induced from (4.34).

An *independent set* $S \subseteq V_G$ of G is a set of vertices such that $d_G(u, v) > 1$ for any $u, v \in S$ with $u \neq v$. The *independence complex* $\text{Ind}(G)$ of G is the simplicial complex with vertices in V_G and with simplices the finite independent sets of G (for example, refer to [17] for independence complexes). We observe that $\text{Conf}_k(V_G, 1/2)/\Sigma_k$ is the collection of all the $(k-1)$ -simplices (i.e. k -hyperedges) in $\text{Ind}(G)$. Hence

$$\text{Ind}(G) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{Conf}_k(V_G, \frac{1}{2})/\Sigma_k$$

and

$$\text{sk}^{l-1}(\text{Ind}(G)) = \bigcup_{k=1}^l \text{Conf}_k(V_G, \frac{1}{2})/\Sigma_k$$

for any $l = 1, 2, \dots$, where $\text{sk}^{l-1}(\text{Ind}(G))$ is the $(l-1)$ -skeleton of $\text{Ind}(G)$. In general, for any $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we consider the simplicial complex

$$\text{Ind}(G, \frac{n}{2}) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{Conf}_k(V_G, \frac{n}{2})/\Sigma_k$$

where $\text{Conf}_k(V_G, n/2)/\Sigma_k$ is the collection of all the $(k-1)$ -simplices in $\text{Ind}(G, n/2)$, i.e.

$$\text{sk}^{l-1}(\text{Ind}(G, \frac{n}{2})) = \bigcup_{k=1}^l \text{Conf}_k(V_G, \frac{n}{2})/\Sigma_k$$

for any $l = 1, 2, \dots$. Then

$$\text{Ind}(G) = \text{Ind}(G, \frac{1}{2}).$$

By (4.35), the family

$$\text{Ind}(G, -) = \{\text{Ind}(G, \frac{n}{2}) \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

is a filtration of $\text{Ind}(G)$ such that $\text{Ind}(G, n'/2)$ is a simplicial sub-complex of $\text{Ind}(G, n/2)$ for any $n \leq n'$ and

$$\text{sk}^{l-1}(\text{Ind}(G, \frac{n}{2})) = \text{Ind}(G, \frac{n}{2}) \cap \text{sk}^{l-1}(\text{Ind}(G))$$

for any $l = 1, 2, \dots$. It follows that

$$\text{sk}^{l-1}(\text{Ind}(G, -)) = \left\{ \text{sk}^{l-1}(\text{Ind}(G, \frac{n}{2})) \mid n = 1, 2, \dots \right\}$$

is a filtration of $\text{sk}^{l-1}(\text{Ind}(G))$. The next corollary follows from Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.2.

Corollary 4.12. *For any graph G , we have a persistent covering map*

$$\pi(k, -) : \text{Conf}_k(V_G, -) \longrightarrow \text{Conf}_k(V_G, -)/\Sigma_k \quad (4.36)$$

and persistent vector bundles

$$\xi(V_G, k, -; \mathbb{F}) \cong \zeta(V_G, k, -; \mathbb{F}) \oplus \epsilon(V_G, k, -; \mathbb{F}). \quad (4.37)$$

Here the persistent vector bundles in (4.37) are over the persistent CW-complex

$$\text{sk}^{k-1}(\text{Ind}(G, -)) \setminus \text{sk}^{k-2}(\text{Ind}(G, -)).$$

Proof. For any $n = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\text{Conf}_k(V_G, \frac{n}{2})/\Sigma_k = \text{sk}^{k-1}(\text{Ind}(G, \frac{n}{2})) \setminus \text{sk}^{k-2}(\text{Ind}(G, \frac{n}{2})).$$

For any $n_1 \leq n_2$, the canonical inclusion

$$\iota_{n_2, n_1} : \text{Ind}(G, \frac{n_2}{2}) \longrightarrow \text{Ind}(G, \frac{n_1}{2})$$

induces the canonical inclusion

$$\iota_{n_2, n_1} : \text{Conf}_k(V_G, \frac{n_2}{2})/\Sigma_k \longrightarrow \text{Conf}_k(V_G, \frac{n_1}{2})/\Sigma_k.$$

Hence

$$\text{Conf}_k(V_G, -)/\Sigma_k = \text{sk}^{k-1}(\text{Ind}(G, -)) \setminus \text{sk}^{k-2}(\text{Ind}(G, -)) \quad (4.38)$$

is a persistent CW-complex. Let X be V_G in Lemma 4.1. We obtain the persistent covering map (4.36). Let X be V_G in Proposition 4.2. We obtain the persistent vector bundles and the persistent Whitney sum (4.37). \square

The next corollary follows from Theorem 4.5 and Corollary 4.6.

Corollary 4.13. *For any graph G , we have a persistent chain complex*

$$(C_{\bullet}(\text{Conf}_{\bullet}(V_G, -)), \partial) \quad (4.39)$$

with a Σ_{\bullet} -action such that the diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(\text{Conf}_k(V_G, \frac{n_2}{2})) & \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} & H^*(\text{Conf}_k(V_G, \frac{n_2}{2})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^*(\text{Conf}_k(V_G, \frac{n_1}{2})) & \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} & H^*(\text{Conf}_k(V_G, \frac{n_1}{2})) \end{array} \quad (4.40)$$

for any $n_1 \geq n_2$, any positive integer k and any $\sigma \in \Sigma_k$.

Proof. It follows from the persistent covering map

$$\pi(k, -) : \text{Conf}_k(V_G, -) \longrightarrow \text{Conf}_k(V_G, -)/\Sigma_k$$

and (4.38) that $\text{Conf}_k(V_G, -)$ is a persistent CW-complex. Let X be V_G in Theorem 4.5. Then \mathbf{d} is trivial. The persistent double complex (4.15) as well as the persistent chain complex (4.16) reduces to the persistent chain complex (4.39). Let X be V_G in Corollary 4.6. The commutative diagram (4.17) gives (4.40). \square

5 Obstructions for strong totally geodesic embeddings

In this section, we apply the persistent bundles over configuration spaces constructed in Section 4 to give obstructions for totally geodesic embeddings. In Subsection 5.1, we define the strong totally geodesic embeddings of Riemannian manifolds (cf. Definition 19) and give obstructions for strong totally geodesic embeddings of Riemannian manifolds in Theorem 5.8. In Subsection 5.2, we define the geodesic embeddings of graphs (cf. Definition 20) and give obstructions for strong totally geodesic embeddings of graphs in Theorem 5.10.

5.1 Obstructions for strong totally geodesic embeddings of Riemannian manifolds

Let M' be a Riemannian manifold. A Riemannian submanifold $M \subseteq M'$ is called *totally geodesic* if every geodesic of M is also a geodesic of M' (cf. [27, p. 104, Proposition 13]). We give a condition stronger than the totally geodesic condition in the next definition.

Definition 19. We call a Riemannian submanifold $M \subseteq M'$ *strong totally geodesic* if for any $p, q \in M$, every geodesic of M' connecting p and q is also a geodesic of M .

Example 5.1. Let $m_1 < m_2$ be positive integers. The unit sphere S^{m_1} is a totally geodesic submanifold of the unit sphere S^{m_2} . However, S^{m_1} is not a strong totally geodesic submanifold of S^{m_2} since any pair of antipodal points in S^{m_1} can be connected by the semi-circles of any great circles in S^{m_2} .

Lemma 5.2. Let M' be a complete Riemannian manifold. Then any strong totally geodesic submanifold $M \subseteq M'$ is totally geodesic.

Proof. Let $M \subseteq M'$ be a strong totally geodesic submanifold. Let γ be a geodesic of M . It suffices to prove γ is a geodesic of M' . Otherwise, by the completeness of M' and the Hopf-Rinow Theorem (cf. [27, p. 138]), we can choose $p, q \in \gamma$ and a geodesic γ' of M' from p to q such that

$$\text{length}(\gamma') < \text{length}(\gamma_{p,q}). \quad (5.1)$$

Here $\gamma_{p,q}$ is the shortest geodesic of M from p to q , i.e. $\gamma_{p,q}$ is the segment of γ from p to q . Since M is a strong totally geodesic submanifold of M' , by Definition 19, γ' is a geodesic of M from p to q . This contradicts with (5.1) and that $\gamma_{p,q}$ is the shortest geodesic of M from p to q . \square

Corollary 5.3. *Let M' be a complete Riemannian manifold. Then a Riemannian submanifold $M \subseteq M'$ is strong totally geodesic iff for any $p, q \in M$ and any curve γ in M' from p to q ,*

$$\gamma \text{ is a geodesic of } M \iff \gamma \text{ is a geodesic of } M'. \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. The corollary follows from Definition 19 and Lemma 5.2. \square

Lemma 5.4. *Let M' be a Riemannian manifold. Let M be a Riemannian submanifold of M' that does not contain any pair of conjugate points of M' . If $M \subseteq M'$ is totally geodesic, then $M \subseteq M'$ is strong totally geodesic.*

Proof. Suppose $M \subseteq M'$ is totally geodesic. Let $p, q \in M$. For any geodesic $\gamma_{p,q}$ of M from p to q , it is also a geodesic of M' . Since M does not contain any pair of conjugate points of M' , p and q are not conjugate in M' . Thus for any geodesic $\gamma_{p,q}$ of M from p to q , it is the unique geodesic of M' from p to q . By Definition 19, $M \subseteq M'$ is strong totally geodesic. \square

Lemma 5.5. *Let M' be a Riemannian manifold. Let M be a Riemannian submanifold of M' . Then*

$$d_M(p, q) \geq d_{M'}(p, q) \quad (5.3)$$

for any $p, q \in M$. Moreover,

- (1) if $M \subseteq M'$ is strong totally geodesic, then the equality of (5.3) holds for any $p, q \in M$;
- (2) If the equality of (5.3) holds for any $p, q \in M$, then $M \subseteq M'$ is totally geodesic;
- (3) If M does not contain any pair of conjugate points of M' and the equality of (5.3) holds for any $p, q \in M$, then $M \subseteq M'$ is strong totally geodesic.

Proof. Let $M \subseteq M'$ be a Riemannian submanifold. Then for any $p, q \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_M(p, q) &= \inf_{\substack{\gamma: [0,1] \rightarrow M \\ \gamma(0)=p \text{ and } \gamma(1)=q}} \text{length}(\gamma) \\ &\geq \inf_{\substack{\gamma': [0,1] \rightarrow M' \\ \gamma'(0)=p \text{ and } \gamma'(1)=q}} \text{length}(\gamma') \\ &= d_{M'}(p, q). \end{aligned}$$

(1) Suppose $M \subseteq M'$ is strong totally geodesic. Let $p, q \in M$. Then by Definition 19, any geodesic γ' of M' in from p to q must be a geodesic γ of M . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} d_{M'}(p, q) &= \inf_{\substack{\gamma': [0,1] \rightarrow M' \text{ is a geodesic of } M' \\ \gamma'(0)=p \text{ and } \gamma'(1)=q}} \text{length}(\gamma') \\ &\geq \inf_{\substack{\gamma: [0,1] \rightarrow M \text{ is a geodesic of } M \\ \gamma(0)=p \text{ and } \gamma(1)=q}} \text{length}(\gamma) \\ &= d_M(p, q). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the equality of (5.3).

(2) Suppose the equality of (5.3) holds for any $p, q \in M$. To prove (2), we suppose to the contrary that $M \subseteq M'$ is not totally geodesic. Then we can choose $p, q \in M$ and a geodesic $\gamma_{p,q}$ of M from p to q such that $\gamma_{p,q}$ is not a geodesic of M' . Without loss of generality, we can choose p and q properly such that $\gamma_{p,q}$ is shortest in M . It follows that

$$d_{M'}(p, q) < \text{length}(\gamma_{p,q}) = d_M(p, q),$$

which contradicts with our assumption. Thus $M \subseteq M'$ is totally geodesic.

(3) Suppose for any $p, q \in M$, p and q are not conjugate in M' and the equality of (5.3) is satisfied. By (2), $M \subseteq M'$ is totally geodesic. By Lemma 5.4, $M \subseteq M'$ is strong totally geodesic. \square

Corollary 5.6. *Let M' be a complete Riemannian manifold. Let M be a Riemannian submanifold of M' that does not contain any pair of conjugate points of M' . Then the followings are equivalent*

- (1) $M \subseteq M'$ is totally geodesic;

(2) $M \subseteq M'$ is strong totally geodesic;

(3) $d_M(p, q) = d_{M'}(p, q)$ for any $p, q \in M$.

Proof. (2) \implies (1): Lemma 5.2. (1) \implies (2): Lemma 5.4. (2) \implies (3): Lemma 5.5 (1). (3) \implies (2): Lemma 5.5 (3). \square

The next lemma follows from Lemma 5.5 (1).

Lemma 5.7. *Let $\varphi : M \rightarrow M'$ be a strong totally geodesic embedding of Riemannian manifolds. Then we have an induced pull-back of persistent covering maps*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Conf}_k(M, -) & \xrightarrow{\text{Conf}(\varphi, -)} & \text{Conf}_k(M', -) \\ \pi(k, -) \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi(k, -) \\ \text{Conf}_k(M, -)/\Sigma_k & \xrightarrow{\text{Conf}(\varphi, -)/\Sigma_k} & \text{Conf}_k(M', -)/\Sigma_k \end{array} \quad (5.4)$$

for any positive integer k .

Proof. By Lemma 5.5 (1), for any positive integer k and any $r \geq 0$, φ induces a Σ_k -equivariant embedding

$$\text{Conf}_k(\varphi, r) : \text{Conf}_k(M, r) \rightarrow \text{Conf}_k(M', r)$$

such that the diagrams commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Conf}_k(M, r_2) & \longrightarrow & \text{Conf}_k(M, r_1) \\ \text{Conf}_k(\varphi, r_2) \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Conf}_k(\varphi, r_1) \\ \text{Conf}_k(M', r_2) & \longrightarrow & \text{Conf}_k(M', r_1), \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are canonical inclusions, for any $r_2 \geq r_1 \geq 0$. Hence we have a filtered Σ_k -equivariant map

$$\text{Conf}_k(\varphi, -) : \text{Conf}_k(M, -) \rightarrow \text{Conf}_k(M', -)$$

which induces a pull-back of persistent covering maps by the commutative diagram (5.4). \square

Theorem 5.8. *Let $\varphi : M \rightarrow M'$ be a strong totally geodesic embedding of Riemannian manifolds. Then we have induced a pull-back of persistent vector bundles*

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}(M, k, -, \mathbb{F}) = (\text{Conf}(\varphi, -)/\Sigma_k)^* \boldsymbol{\xi}(M', k, -, \mathbb{F}). \quad (5.5)$$

Consequently, we have the pull-back persistent Stiefel-Whitney class

$$w(\boldsymbol{\xi}(M, k, -, \mathbb{R})) = (\text{Conf}(\varphi, -)/\Sigma_k)^* w(\boldsymbol{\xi}(M', k, -, \mathbb{R})) \quad (5.6)$$

and the pull-back persistent Chern class

$$c(\boldsymbol{\xi}(M, k, -, \mathbb{C})) = (\text{Conf}(\varphi, -)/\Sigma_k)^* c(\boldsymbol{\xi}(M', k, -, \mathbb{C})). \quad (5.7)$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.7, the pull-back (5.4) of persistent covering maps induces a pull-back (5.5) of the associated persistent vector bundles.

Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ in (5.5). Take the persistent cohomology ring with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_2 . With the help of Definition 7, we obtain (5.6).

Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ in (5.5). Take the persistent cohomology ring with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} . With the help of Definition 8, we obtain (5.7). \square

5.2 Obstructions for strong totally geodesic embeddings of graphs

A graph $G = (V_G, E_G)$ is a *subgraph* of G' if $V_G \subseteq V_{G'}$ and $E_G \subseteq E_{G'}$. Let G be a subgraph of G' . Then for any $u, v \in V_G$, by an analog of Lemma 5.5,

$$d_G(u, v) \geq d_{G'}(u, v). \quad (5.8)$$

The next definition is inspired by Corollary 5.6.

Definition 20. We say that G is a *strong totally geodesic subgraph* of G' if the equality in (5.8) is satisfied for any $u, v \in V_G$.

Let G and G' be two graphs. A *morphism* $\varphi : G \rightarrow G'$ is a map $\varphi : V_G \rightarrow V_{G'}$ such that either $\varphi(u) = \varphi(v)$ or $\{\varphi(u), \varphi(v)\} \in E_{G'}$ for any $\{u, v\} \in E_G$. Let $\varphi : G \rightarrow G'$ be a morphism. We say that φ is a *strong totally geodesic embedding* if $\varphi : V_G \rightarrow V_{G'}$ is injective and the image $\varphi(G) = (\varphi(V_G), \varphi(E_G))$ is a strong totally geodesic subgraph of G' . In this case, if we identify G and $\varphi(G)$, then G is a strong totally geodesic subgraph of G' .

Lemma 5.9. *Let $\varphi : G \rightarrow G'$ be a strong totally geodesic embedding of graphs. Then we have an induced pull-back of persistent covering maps*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Conf}_k(V_G, -) & \xrightarrow{\text{Conf}(\varphi, -)} & \text{Conf}_k(V_{G'}, -) \\ \pi(k, -) \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi(k, -) \\ \text{Conf}_k(V_G, -)/\Sigma_k & \xrightarrow{\text{Conf}(\varphi, -)/\Sigma_k} & \text{Conf}_k(V_{G'}, -)/\Sigma_k \end{array} \quad (5.9)$$

for any positive integer k .

Proof. By Definition 20, for any positive integer k and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, φ induces a Σ_k -equivariant embedding

$$\text{Conf}_k(\varphi, \frac{n}{2}) : \text{Conf}_k(V_G, \frac{n}{2}) \rightarrow \text{Conf}_k(V_{G'}, \frac{n}{2})$$

such that the diagrams commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Conf}_k(V_G, \frac{n_2}{2}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Conf}_k(V_G, \frac{n_1}{2}) \\ \text{Conf}_k(\varphi, \frac{n_2}{2}) \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Conf}_k(\varphi, \frac{n_1}{2}) \\ \text{Conf}_k(V_{G'}, \frac{n_2}{2}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Conf}_k(V_{G'}, \frac{n_1}{2}) \end{array}$$

for any nonnegative integers $n_2 \geq n_1$ where the horizontal maps are canonical inclusions. Analogous with Lemma 5.7, we have a commutative diagram (5.9) which is a pull-back of persistent covering maps. \square

Theorem 5.10. *Let $\varphi : G \rightarrow G'$ be a strong totally geodesic embedding of graphs. Then we have induced a pull-back of persistent vector bundles*

$$\xi(V_G, k, -, \mathbb{F}) = (\text{Conf}(\varphi, -)/\Sigma_k)^* \xi(V_{G'}, k, -, \mathbb{F}). \quad (5.10)$$

Consequently, we have the pull-back persistent Stiefel-Whitney class

$$w(\xi(V_G, k, -, \mathbb{R})) = (\text{Conf}(\varphi, -)/\Sigma_k)^* w(\xi(V_{G'}, k, -, \mathbb{R})) \quad (5.11)$$

and the pull-back persistent Chern class

$$c(\xi(V_G, k, -, \mathbb{C})) = (\text{Conf}(\varphi, -)/\Sigma_k)^* c(\xi(V_{G'}, k, -, \mathbb{C})). \quad (5.12)$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.7, the pull-back (5.9) of persistent covering maps induces a pull-back (5.10) of the associated persistent vector bundles.

Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ in (5.10). Take the persistent cohomology ring with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_2 . With the help of Definition 7 and Corollary 4.12, we obtain (5.11).

Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ in (5.10). Take the persistent cohomology ring with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} . With the help of Definition 8 and Corollary 4.12, we obtain (5.12). \square

6 Obstructions for regular embeddings

In this section, we apply the persistent bundles over configuration spaces constructed in Section 4 to give obstructions for regular embeddings in real and complex Euclidean spaces. We use the Stiefel-Whitney class of the persistent bundle to give obstructions for regular embeddings in \mathbb{R}^N in Theorem 6.2 and use the Chern class of the persistent bundle to give obstructions for regular embeddings in \mathbb{C}^N in Theorem 6.4. With the help of Section 5, we apply Theorem 6.2 and Theorem 6.4 to give obstructions for regular embeddings of complete Riemannian manifolds in Theorem 6.7 in Subsection 6.1 and give obstructions for regular embeddings of graphs in Theorem 6.9 and Theorem 6.11 in Subsection 6.2.

Let (X, d) be a metric space where X is a CW-complex and $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is a metric on X . The next definition is a generalization of the regular embeddings in [4, 5, 7, 10, 15, 20, 21, 22, 23, 28].

Definition 21. We call a map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^N$ to be (k, r) -regular if for any distinct k -points $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$ such that $d(x_i, x_j) > 2r$ for any $i \neq j$, their images $f(x_1), \dots, f(x_k)$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{F} . We call a $(k, 0)$ -regular map $f : V_G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^N$ simply a k -regular map.

The following observations are direct.

- (1) A (k, r) -regular map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^N$ is (k', r') -regular for any $k' \leq k$ and any $r' \geq r$;
- (2) A (k, r) -regular map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^N$ is injective for $k \geq 2$;
- (3) A k -regular map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^N$ sends any k distinct points in X to a linearly independent k vectors in \mathbb{F}^N ;
- (4) Let $Y \subseteq X$. If $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^N$ is a k -regular map, then the restriction of f induces a k -regular map $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^N$;
- (5) Let $Y \subseteq X$ such that $d_Y(x, y) = d_X(x, y)$ for any $x, y \in Y$. If $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^N$ is a (k, r) -regular map, then the restriction of f induces a (k, r) -regular map $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^N$.

Consider the persistent CW-complex

$$\text{Conf}_k(X, - + r) = \{\text{Conf}_k(X, r' + r) \mid r' \geq 0\}$$

with the canonical persistent Σ_k -action. We have an orbit persistent CW-complex

$$\text{Conf}_k(X, - + r)/\Sigma_k = \{\text{Conf}_k(X, r' + r)/\Sigma_k \mid r' \geq 0\}.$$

We have a persistent vector bundle

$$\xi(X, k, - + r; \mathbb{F}) = \{\xi(X, k, r' + r; \mathbb{F}) \mid r' \geq 0\}$$

over $\text{Conf}_k(X, - + r)/\Sigma_k$.

Let $G_k(\mathbb{F}^N)$ and $G_k(\mathbb{F}^\infty)$ be the Grassmannian manifolds consisting of all the k -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}^N and all the k -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}^∞ respectively. The next lemma is a generalization of [28, Proposition 4.1].

Lemma 6.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^N$ be a (k, r) -regular map. Then for each positive integer k , we have an induced persistent map*

$$f : \text{Conf}_k(X, - + r)/\Sigma_k \rightarrow G_k(\mathbb{F}^N)$$

such that the composition

$$\text{Conf}_k(X, - + r)/\Sigma_k \xrightarrow{f} G_k(\mathbb{F}^N) \xrightarrow{\iota} G_k(\mathbb{F}^\infty) \quad (6.1)$$

is the classifying map of the persistent vector bundle $\xi(M, k, - + r; \mathbb{F})$.

Proof. Let $r' \geq 0$. Let $V_k(\mathbb{F}^N)$ and $V_k(\mathbb{F}^\infty)$ be the Stiefel manifolds consisting of all the k -frames in \mathbb{F}^N and all the k -frames in \mathbb{F}^∞ respectively. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Conf}_k(X, r' + r) & \longrightarrow & V_k(\mathbb{F}^N) & \longrightarrow & V_k(\mathbb{F}^\infty) \\ \pi_k \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Conf}_k(X, r' + r)/\Sigma_k & \xrightarrow{f} & G_k(\mathbb{F}^N) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & G_k(\mathbb{F}^\infty) \end{array}$$

where the first vertical map is the projection modulo the Σ_k -action on the coordinates and the second and the third vertical maps are the projections sending a k -frame to the vector space spanned by the k -frame. Let $E_k(\mathbb{F}^N)$ be the manifold consisting of $(l, v) \in G_k(\mathbb{F}^N) \times \mathbb{F}^k$ such that l is a k -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{F}^N and $v \in l$. Let $E_k(\mathbb{F}^\infty)$ be the manifold consisting of $(l', v') \in G_k(\mathbb{F}^\infty) \times \mathbb{F}^k$ such that l' is a k -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{F}^∞ and $v' \in l'$. Then the following diagram commutes and each square is a pull-back

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Conf}_k(X, r' + r) \times_{\Sigma_k} \mathbb{F}^k & \longrightarrow & E_k(\mathbb{F}^N) & \longrightarrow & E_k(\mathbb{F}^\infty) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Conf}_k(X, r' + r)/\Sigma_k & \xrightarrow{f} & G_k(\mathbb{F}^N) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & G_k(\mathbb{F}^\infty). \end{array}$$

Thus $\iota \circ f$ is a pull-back of vector bundles and is a classifying map of $\xi(X, k, r' + r; \mathbb{F})$ as a $O(\mathbb{F}^k)$ -bundle. Let $r'_1 \geq r'_2 \geq 0$. The diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Conf}_k(X, r'_1 + r)/\Sigma_k & \xrightarrow{f} & G_k(\mathbb{F}^N) \\ \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \text{Conf}_k(X, r'_2 + r)/\Sigma_k & \xrightarrow{f} & G_k(\mathbb{F}^N) \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrow on the left is the canonical inclusion. Therefore, $\iota \circ f$ is a pull-back of persistent vector bundles and is a classifying map of the persistent vector bundle $\xi(X, k, - + r; \mathbb{F})$. \square

Let R be a commutative ring with unit. Apply the functor of persistent cohomology rings to (6.1). We obtain induced persistent homomorphisms of persistent cohomology rings such that the diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(G_k(\mathbb{F}^\infty); R) & \xrightarrow{\iota^*} & H^*(G_k(\mathbb{F}^N); R) \\ & \searrow^{(\iota \circ f)^*} & \downarrow f^* \\ & & H^*(\text{Conf}_k(X, - + r)/\Sigma_k; R). \end{array} \quad (6.2)$$

The next theorem follows from (6.2) with $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ be a (k, r) -regular map. If*

$$\bar{w}_{t(k)}(\xi(X, k, - + r; \mathbb{R})) \neq 0 \quad (6.3)$$

for some $t(k) \geq 1$, then

$$N \geq t(k) + k. \quad (6.4)$$

Proof. Suppose $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is a (k, r) -regular map. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_2$ in (6.2). Then the induced map

$$\iota^* : H^*(G_k(\mathbb{R}^\infty); \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^*(G_k(\mathbb{R}^N); \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

is an epimorphism

$$\iota^* : \mathbb{Z}_2[w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k] \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k]}{(\bar{w}_{N-k+1}, \bar{w}_{N-k+2}, \dots, \bar{w}_N)}, \quad (6.5)$$

where w_i is the i -th universal Stiefel-Whitney class with $|w_i| = i$, \bar{w}_j is the j -th degree term in the expansion of $(1 + w_1 + \dots + w_k)^{-1}$ and

$$(\bar{w}_{N-k+1}, \bar{w}_{N-k+2}, \dots, \bar{w}_N)$$

is the ideal generated by $\bar{w}_{N-k+1}, \bar{w}_{N-k+2}, \dots, \bar{w}_N$.

By substituting (6.5) in (6.2), we have homomorphisms of persistent cohomology algebras such that the diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}_2[w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k] & \xrightarrow{\iota^*} & \mathbb{Z}_2[w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k]/(\bar{w}_{N-k+1}, \bar{w}_{N-k+2}, \dots, \bar{w}_N) \\ & \searrow^{(\iota \circ f)^*} & \downarrow f^* \\ & & H^*(\text{Conf}_k(X, - + r)/\Sigma_k; \mathbb{Z}_2). \end{array}$$

It follows that

$$(\iota \circ f)^* \bar{w}_{N-k+1} = (\iota \circ f)^* \bar{w}_{N-k+2} = \cdots = (\iota \circ f)^* \bar{w}_N = 0 \quad (6.6)$$

and

$$(\iota \circ f)^* \bar{w}_{t(k)} = \bar{w}_{t(k)}(\xi(X, k, - + r; \mathbb{R})) \neq 0. \quad (6.7)$$

It follows from (6.6) and (6.7) that either $t(k) \leq N - k$ or $t(k) \geq N + 1$. Suppose $t(k) \geq N + 1$. For any $M \geq N$, there exists a (k, r) -regular map $i \circ f$ from X into \mathbb{R}^M where i is a linear embedding of \mathbb{R}^N into \mathbb{R}^M . Hence by applying the above argument, either $t(k) \leq M - k$ or $t(k) \geq M + 1$. Let $M = N + 1, N + 2, \dots$ subsequently. We obtain $t(k) \geq N + 2, N + 3, \dots$ which contradicts that $t(k)$ is finite. Therefore, we have (6.4). \square

The next corollary follows from Theorem 6.2.

Corollary 6.3. *Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ be a (k, r) -regular map. Then*

$$N \geq \sup_{r' \geq r} t(r', k) + k \quad (6.8)$$

where for each $r' \geq r$,

$$t(r', k) = \sup\{t \mid \bar{w}_t(\xi(X, k, r' + r; \mathbb{R})) \neq 0\}. \quad (6.9)$$

Proof. To get rid of the persistence in Theorem 6.2, we note that (6.3) is equivalent to the condition that there exists $r' \geq 0$ such that

$$\bar{w}_{t(k)}(\xi(X, k, r' + r; \mathbb{R})) \neq 0.$$

Consequently, by Theorem 6.2, we obtain (6.8). \square

The next theorem follows from (6.2) with $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 6.4. *Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ be a complex (k, r) -regular map. If*

$$\bar{c}_{t(k)}(\xi(X, k, - + r; \mathbb{C})) \neq 0 \quad (6.10)$$

for some $t(k) \geq 1$, then

$$N \geq t(k) + k. \quad (6.11)$$

Proof. Suppose $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ is a complex (k, r) -regular map. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$ in (6.2). Then the induced map

$$\iota^* : H^*(G_k(\mathbb{C}^\infty); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(G_k(\mathbb{C}^N); \mathbb{Z})$$

is an epimorphism

$$\iota^* : \mathbb{Z}[c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k] \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{Z}[c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k]}{(\bar{c}_{N-k+1}, \bar{c}_{N-k+2}, \dots, \bar{c}_N)}, \quad (6.12)$$

where c_i is the i -th universal Chern class with $|c_i| = 2i$, \bar{c}_j is the j -th degree term in the expansion of $(1 + c_1 + \cdots + c_k)^{-1}$ and

$$(\bar{c}_{N-k+1}, \bar{c}_{N-k+2}, \dots, \bar{c}_N)$$

is the ideal generated by $\bar{c}_{N-k+1}, \bar{c}_{N-k+2}, \dots, \bar{c}_N$.

By substituting (6.12) in (6.2), we have homomorphisms of persistent cohomology rings such that the diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}[c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k] & \xrightarrow{\iota^*} & \mathbb{Z}[c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k] / (\bar{c}_{N-k+1}, \bar{c}_{N-k+2}, \dots, \bar{c}_N) \\ & \searrow (\iota \circ f)^* & \downarrow f^* \\ & & H^*(\text{Conf}_k(X, - + r) / \Sigma_k; \mathbb{Z}). \end{array}$$

It follows that

$$(\iota \circ f)^* \bar{c}_{N-k+1} = (\iota \circ f)^* \bar{c}_{N-k+2} = \cdots = (\iota \circ f)^* \bar{c}_N = 0 \quad (6.13)$$

and

$$(\iota \circ f)^* \bar{c}_{t(k)} = \bar{c}_{t(k)}(\xi(X, k, - + r; \mathbb{C})) \neq 0. \quad (6.14)$$

By an analog of the proof of Theorem 6.2, (6.11) follows from (6.13) and (6.14). \square

The next corollary follows from Theorem 6.4.

Corollary 6.5. *Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ be a complex (k, r) -regular map. Then*

$$N \geq \sup_{r' \geq r} t(r', k) + k \quad (6.15)$$

where for each $r' \geq r$,

$$t(r', k) = \sup\{t \mid \bar{c}_t(\xi(X, k, r' + r; \mathbb{C})) \neq 0\}. \quad (6.16)$$

Proof. To get rid of the persistence in Theorem 6.4, we note that (6.10) is equivalent to the condition that there exists $r' \geq 0$ such that

$$\bar{c}_{t(k)}(\xi(X, k, r' + r; \mathbb{C})) \neq 0.$$

Consequently, by Theorem 6.4, we obtain (6.15). \square

6.1 Obstructions for regular embeddings of Riemannian manifolds

Lemma 6.6. *Let M' be a Riemannian manifold. Let M be a strong totally geodesic submanifold of M' . If $f : M' \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^N$ is a (k, r) -regular map, then the restriction of f to M induces a (k, r) -regular map $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^N$.*

Proof. The lemma follows from Lemma 5.5 (1) and the observation (5) of Definition 21. \square

Theorem 6.2 and Theorem 6.4 can be applied to (real and complex) (k, r) -regular embedding problems of Riemannian manifolds where the distance is given by (4.18).

Theorem 6.7. *Let M' be a Riemannian manifold.*

(1) *Let $f : M' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ be a (k, r) -regular map. Then*

$$N \geq \sup\{t(k) \mid \bar{w}_{t(k)}(\xi(M, k, - + r; \mathbb{R})) \neq 0\} + k \quad (6.17)$$

where M runs over all strong totally geodesic submanifolds of M' ;

(2) *Let $f : M' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ be a complex (k, r) -regular map. Then*

$$N \geq \sup\{t(k) \mid \bar{c}_{t(k)}(\xi(M, k, - + r; \mathbb{C})) \neq 0\} + k \quad (6.18)$$

where M runs over all strong totally geodesic submanifolds of M' .

Proof. Let $M \subseteq M'$ be a strong totally geodesic submanifold.

(1) By Lemma 6.6, we have a (k, r) -regular map $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$. By Theorem 6.2, we obtain (6.17).

(2) By Lemma 6.6, we have a complex (k, r) -regular map $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$. By Theorem 6.4, we obtain (6.18). \square

6.2 Obstructions for regular embeddings of graphs

Let $G = (V_G, E_G)$ be a graph. A *non-vanishing weight* on G is a function $w : E_G \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ assigning each edge with a positive number. Identify any edge $e \in E_G$ with a 1-cell consisting of an open segment of length $w(e)$. Then we have a 1-dimensional CW-complex $|G|_w$, which is homeomorphic to the geometric realization $|G|$ of G , with a metric $d_w : |G|_w \times |G|_w \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ such that for any $x, y \in |G|_w$, $d_w(x, y)$ is the infimum of the lengths of paths in $|G|_w$ connecting x and y and $d_w(x, y) = +\infty$ if x and y belong to different path components of $|G|_w$.

Let G and G' be graphs. Let w and w' be non-vanishing weights on G and G' respectively. Suppose G is a subgraph of G' and w is the restriction of w' on G . Then for any $x, y \in |G|_w$,

$$d_w(x, y) \geq d_{w'}(x, y). \quad (6.19)$$

The next definition is a weighted version of Definition 20.

Definition 22. We say that (G, w) is a *strong totally geodesic weighted subgraph* of (G', w') if the equality in (5.8) is satisfied for any $x, y \in |G|_w$.

In particular, if we let w' to be the unit weight with constant value 1 on any edges of G' , then $(G, 1)$ is a strong totally geodesic weighted subgraph of $(G', 1)$ iff G is a strong totally geodesic subgraph of G' .

Lemma 6.8. *Let (G, w) be a strong totally geodesic weighted subgraph of (G', w') . If $f : |G'|_{w'} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^N$ is a (k, r) -regular map, then the restriction of f on $|G|_w$ induces a (k, r) -regular map $f : |G|_w \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^N$, where G is equipped with the weight $w = w'|_G$.*

Proof. The lemma follows from Definition 22 and the observation (5) of Definition 21. \square

Theorem 6.2 and Theorem 6.4 can be applied to (real or complex) (k, r) -regular embedding problems of weighted graphs with the distance given in the first paragraph of this subsection.

Theorem 6.9. *Let G' be a graph with a non-vanishing weight w' .*

(1) *Let $f : |G'|_{w'} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ be a (k, r) -regular map. Then*

$$N \geq \max\{t(k) \mid \bar{w}_{t(k)}(\xi(|G|_w, k, - + r; \mathbb{R})) \neq 0\} + k; \quad (6.20)$$

(2) *Let $f : |G'|_{w'} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ be a complex (k, r) -regular map. Then*

$$N \geq \max\{t(k) \mid \bar{c}_{t(k)}(\xi(|G|_w, k, - + r; \mathbb{C})) \neq 0\} + k. \quad (6.21)$$

Here in both (6.20) and (6.21), (G, w) runs over all strong totally geodesic weighted subgraphs of (G', w') such that $w = w'|_G$.

Proof. Let (G, w) be a strong totally geodesic weighted subgraph of (G', w') such that $w = w'|_G$.

(1) By Lemma 6.8, we have a (k, r) -regular map $f : |G|_w \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$. By Theorem 6.2, we obtain (6.20).

(2) By Lemma 6.8, we have a complex (k, r) -regular map $f : |G|_w \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$. By Theorem 6.4, we obtain (6.21). \square

Now we consider integer-valued weights on graphs.

Lemma 6.10. *Let $w : E_G \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots\}$ be a integer-valued non-vanishing weight on G . Let $\text{sd}(G; w)$ be the subdivision of G adding $w(e) - 1$ vertices to each $e \in E_G$ dividing e equally into $w(e)$ edges. Then $|\text{sd}(G; w)|_1$ is isometrically homeomorphic to $|G|_w$. Moreover,*

$$\xi(V_{\text{sd}(G; w)}, k, -; \mathbb{F}) = j^* \xi(|G|_w, k, -; \mathbb{F}) \quad (6.22)$$

where

$$j : \text{Conf}_k(V_{\text{sd}(G; w)}, -) / \Sigma_k \rightarrow \text{Conf}_k(|G|_w, -) / \Sigma_k \quad (6.23)$$

is an embedding induced by the canonical inclusion of the 0-skeleton

$$V_{\text{sd}(G; w)} \subseteq |\text{sd}(G; w)|_1 \cong |G|_w.$$

Proof. Recall that V_G is equipped with the metric d_G introduced in Subsection 4.2. On the other hand, $|G|_w$ is equipped with the metric d_w and $|\text{sd}(G; w)|_1$ is equipped with the metric d_1 where 1 is the constant weight assigning each edge in $\text{sd}(G; w)$ with the constant 1. The identity map is an isometry

$$\text{id} : (|G|_w, d_w) \xrightarrow{\cong} (|\text{sd}(G; w)|_1, d_1). \quad (6.24)$$

The canonical inclusion of the 0-skeleton is an isometric embedding

$$(V_{\text{sd}(G; w)}, d_{\text{sd}(G; w)}) \longrightarrow (|\text{sd}(G; w)|_1, d_1). \quad (6.25)$$

It follows from (6.24) and (6.25) that (6.23) is a map of persistent CW-complexes induced by (6.25). Consequently, (6.23) induces a pull-back (6.22) of persistent vector bundles. \square

The next theorem follows from Theorem 6.9 and Lemma 6.10.

Theorem 6.11. *Let G' be a graph with an integer-valued non-vanishing weight w' .*

(1) *Let $f : |G'|_{w'} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ be a (k, r) -regular map. Then*

$$N \geq \max\{t(k) \mid \bar{w}_{t(k)}(\xi(V_{\text{sd}(G; w)}, k, - + r; \mathbb{R})) \neq 0\} + k; \quad (6.26)$$

(2) *Let $f : |G'| \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ be a complex (k, r) -regular map. Then*

$$N \geq \max\{t(k) \mid \bar{c}_{t(k)}(\xi(V_{\text{sd}(G; w)}, k, - + r; \mathbb{C})) \neq 0\} + k. \quad (6.27)$$

Here in both (6.26) and (6.27), (G, w) runs over all strong totally geodesic weighted subgraphs of (G', w') such that $w = w'|_G$.

Proof. By (6.22),

$$\bar{w}_{t(k)}(\xi(V_{\text{sd}(G; w)}, k, - + r; \mathbb{R})) \neq 0 \implies \bar{w}_{t(k)}(\xi(|G|_w, k, - + r; \mathbb{R})) \neq 0.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \max\{t(k) \mid \bar{w}_{t(k)}(\xi(|G|_w, k, - + r; \mathbb{R})) \neq 0\} \\ & \geq \max\{t(k) \mid \bar{w}_{t(k)}(\xi(V_{\text{sd}(G; w)}, k, - + r; \mathbb{R})) \neq 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.28)$$

Therefore, (6.26) follows from (6.20) and (6.28). Similarly, by (6.22),

$$\bar{c}_{t(k)}(\xi(V_{\text{sd}(G; w)}, k, - + r; \mathbb{C})) \neq 0 \implies \bar{c}_{t(k)}(\xi(|G|_w, k, - + r; \mathbb{C})) \neq 0.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \max\{t(k) \mid \bar{c}_{t(k)}(\xi(|G|_w, k, - + r; \mathbb{C})) \neq 0\} \\ & \geq \max\{t(k) \mid \bar{c}_{t(k)}(\xi(V_{\text{sd}(G; w)}, k, - + r; \mathbb{C})) \neq 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.29)$$

Therefore, (6.27) follows from (6.21) and (6.29). \square

Acknowledgement

The author would like to express his deep gratitude to the referee for the careful reading of the manuscript.

References

- [1] H. Alpert, M. Kahle and R. MacPherson, *Configuration spaces of disks in an infinite strip*. J. Appl. Comput. Topol. **5** (2021), 357-390.
- [2] Y. Baryshnikov, P. Bubenik and M. Kahle, *Min-type Morse theory for configuration spaces of hard spheres*. Int. Math. Res. Notices **9** (2014), 2577-2592.
- [3] C. Berge, *Graphs and hypergraphs*. North-Holland, New York, 1976.

- [4] P. Blagojević, W. Lück and G. Ziegler, *On highly regular embeddings*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **368**(4) (2016), 2891-2912.
- [5] P. Blagojević, F. Cohen, W. Lück and G. Ziegler, *On complex highly regular embeddings and the extended Vassiliev conjecture*. Int. Math. Res. Not. **2016**(20) (2016), 6151-6199.
- [6] C.-F. Bödigheimer, F. Cohen and L. Taylor, *On the homology of configuration spaces*. Topology **28** (1989), 111-123.
- [7] K. Borsuk, *On the k -independent subsets of the Euclidean space and of the Hilbert space*. Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Cl. III. **5** (1957), 351-356.
- [8] G. Carlsson, J. Gorham, M. Kahle and J. Mason, *Computational topology for configuration spaces of hard disks*. Phys. Review E **85** (2012), 011303.
- [9] D. Chen, J. Liu, J. Wu and G.-W. Wei, *Persistent hyperdigraph homology and persistent hyperdigraph Laplacians*. Found. Data Sci. **5** (2023), 558-588.
- [10] M.E. Chisholm, *k -regular mappings of 2^n -dimensional euclidean space*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1979), 187-190.
- [11] F. R. Cohen, *Braid orientations and bundles with flat connections*. Invent. Math. **46** (1978), 99-110.
- [12] F. R. Cohen, *Introduction to configuration spaces and their applications*. In *Braids*, 183- 261. Lecture Notes Series Vol. 19, National University of Singapore. World Scientific Publisher, 2010.
- [13] F.R. Cohen, R.L. Cohen, N.J. Kuhn and J.L. Neisendorfer, *Bundles over configuration spaces*. Pacific J. Math. **104** (1983), 47-54.
- [14] F.R. Cohen, R.L. Cohen, B. Mann and R.J. Milgram, *Divisors and configurations on a surface*. Contemp. Math. **96** (1989), 103-108.
- [15] F. Cohen and D. Handel, *k -regular embeddings of the plane*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 201-204.
- [16] F.R. Cohen, M.E. Mahowald and R.J. Milgram, *The stable decomposition for the double loop space of a sphere*. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **32** (1978), 225-228.
- [17] R. Ehrenborg and G. Hetyei, *The topology of the independence complex*. Eur. J. Comb. **27** (2006), 906-923.
- [18] P. G. Goerss and J. F. Jardine, *Simplicial homotopy theory*. Birkhauser, Basel, 2009.
- [19] D. Handel, *Approximation theory in the space of sections of a vector bundle*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **256** (1979), 383-394.
- [20] D. Handel, *Obstructions to 3-regular embeddings*. Hoston J. Math. **5** (1979), 339-343.
- [21] D. Handel, *Some existence and nonexistence theorems for k -regular maps*. Fund. Math. **109** (1980), 229-233.
- [22] D. Handel, *$2k$ -regular maps on smooth manifolds*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), 1609-1613.
- [23] D. Handel and J. Segal, *On k -regular embeddings of spaces in Euclidean space*. Fund. Math. **106** (1980), 231-237.
- [24] A. Hatcher, *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge University Press, UK, 2001.
- [25] J. Jelisiejew and H. Keneshlou, *On the construction of k -regular maps to Grassmannians via algebras of socle dimension two*. Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, Cl. Sci. (5) **25** (2024), 1763-1798.
- [26] H. Löwen, *Fun with hard spheres*. In *Statistical physics and spatial statistics (Wuppertal, 1999)*, 295-331. Lecture Notes in Physics 554, Springer, Berlin, 2000.

- [27] B. O'Neill, *Semi-Riemannian geometry with applications to relativity*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 103. Academic Press, 1983.
- [28] S. Ren, *Regular maps on Cartesian products and disjoint unions of manifolds*. Topol. Appl. **234** (2018), 46-68.
- [29] S. Ren, *Double complexes for configuration spaces and hypergraphs on manifolds*. arXiv 2411.16545, v2.
- [30] P. Salvatore, *Configuration spaces on the sphere and higher loop spaces*. Math. Z. **248** (2004), 527-540.
- [31] I. Singer, *Best approximation in normed linear spaces by elements of linear subspaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1970.
- [32] S.W. Yang, *Order of the canonical vector bundle on $C_n(k)/\Sigma_k$* . Illinois J. Math. **25** (1981), 136-146.
- [33] A. Zomorodian and G. Carlsson, *Computing persistent homology*. Discrete Comput. Geom. **33** (2005), 249-274.

Shiquan Ren

Address: School of Mathematics and Statistics, Henan University, Kaifeng 475004, China.

e-mail: renshiquan@henu.edu.cn