

NON-UNIQUENESS FOR THE NONLINEAR DYNAMICAL LAMÉ SYSTEM

SHUNKAI MAO AND PENG QU

ABSTRACT. We consider the Cauchy problem for the nonlinear dynamical Lamé system with double wave speeds in a d -dimensional ($d = 2, 3$) periodic domain. Moreover, the equations can be transformed into a linearly degenerate hyperbolic system. We could construct infinitely many continuous solutions in $C^{1,\alpha}$ emanating from the same small initial data for $\alpha < \frac{1}{60}$. The proof relies on the convex integration scheme. We construct a new class of building blocks with compression structure by using the double wave speeds characteristic of the equations.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Main results	4
1.2. Organization of the paper	4
2. Outline of the induction scheme	4
3. Construction of the starting tuple and the perturbation	7
3.1. Construction of the starting tuple	7
3.2. Mollification	7
3.3. Cutoffs	8
3.4. Definition of building blocks	9
3.5. Definition of the perturbation	10
4. Definition of the new Reynolds error	12
4.1. Definition of the new Reynolds error	12
5. Estimates on the new Reynolds error	13
5.1. Estimates on the linear error	14
5.2. Estimates on the mediation error	15
5.3. Estimates on the oscillation error	15
6. Proof of the main theorem	16
6.1. Proof of Proposition 2.3	16
6.2. Proof of Proposition 2.4	17
6.3. Proof of Theorem 1.3	18
Appendix A. Hölder spaces	19
Appendix B. Conservation law form of nonlinear equations	19
Appendix C. Inverse divergence operator	22
Appendix D. Some technical lemmas	22
Appendix E. Estimates for nonlinear equations	23
References	24

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the Cauchy problem for the nonlinear dynamical Lamé system on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d$, $T > 0$, $\mathbb{T} = [-\pi, \pi]$, $d = 2, 3$,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{tt}u - \mu\Delta u - (\lambda + \mu)\nabla \operatorname{div} u + \operatorname{div}(\operatorname{tr}(\nabla u(\nabla u)^\top)) \operatorname{Id} - (\nabla u)^\top \nabla u = 0, & (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad \partial_t u(0, x) = u_1(x), & x \in \mathbb{T}^d, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A02, 35D30, 35L05, 35L15, 35L72,

Key words and phrases. Convex integration, non-uniqueness, quasi-linear wave equations, linearly degenerate, weak solution.

where $u : [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is a vector function, λ and μ are constants satisfying $\mu > 0, \lambda + \mu > 0$.

Similar to [10, 22], we introduce next the definition of a weak solution of this system.

Definition 1.1 (Weak Solution). *By a weak solution of (1.1), defined on some interval $(0, T)$, we mean a function $u \in C([0, T]; H^2(\mathbb{T}^d)) \cap C^1([0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{T}^d))$, with $\partial_t u \in C([0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{T}^d))$, $\partial_{tt} u \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}^d))$, $u(0, x) = u_0(x) \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$, and $\partial_t u(0, x) = u_1(x) \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (-\partial_t u \cdot \partial_t \eta + \mu \nabla u : \nabla \eta + (\lambda + \mu) \operatorname{div} u \operatorname{div} \eta - (\operatorname{tr}(\nabla u (\nabla u)^\top) \operatorname{Id} - (\nabla u)^\top \nabla u) : \nabla \eta) dx dt \\ = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} u_1(x) \cdot \eta(0, x) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

for any smooth function $\eta \in C_0^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$.

Moreover, we consider weak solutions which have Hölder derivatives in space, for instance,

$$|\partial_t u(t, x) - \partial_t u(t, y)| + |\nabla u(t, x) - \nabla u(t, y)| \leq C|x - y|^\beta, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{T}^3, \forall t \in [0, T], \quad (1.3)$$

for some constant C which is independent of t . Here $\beta \in (0, 1)$ is the Hölder index. In this paper, we aim to demonstrate the non-uniqueness of weak solutions that belong to $C^{1,\alpha}([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d)$ for some $\alpha > 0$, for the nonlinear dynamical Lamé system as described in (1.1).

Remark 1.2. *Similar to the study [13] on the non-uniqueness of weak solutions in $C^{\frac{1}{3}-}([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d)$ of the Euler equations, here we also consider weak solutions in Hölder spaces.*

As for the general wave equations in n dimensions,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{tt} u - \Delta u = F(u, \nabla u, \nabla^2 u), & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ u(0, x) = \varepsilon \psi(x) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), & \partial_t u(0, x) = \varepsilon \eta(x) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where ε is a small parameter, $\tilde{\Lambda} = (u, \nabla u, \nabla^2 u)$, and $F(\tilde{\Lambda}) = O(|\tilde{\Lambda}|^{1+\alpha})$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. In [47], Li–Zhou gave the lower bound estimate of the life-span $\tilde{T}(\varepsilon)$ of the classical solution to (1.4),

$$\tilde{T}(\varepsilon) \geq \begin{cases} be(\varepsilon) \text{ (in [46])}, & n = 2, \alpha = 1, \\ b\varepsilon^{-2} \text{ (in [43, 44, 50])}, & n = 3, \alpha = 1, \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

where $e(\varepsilon)$ is defined by $\varepsilon^2 e^2(\varepsilon) \ln(1 + e(\varepsilon)) = 1$. Moreover, if the nonlinear term F doesn't depend on u explicitly: $F = F(\nabla u, \nabla^2 u)$, the result can be improved into

$$\tilde{T}(\varepsilon) \geq \begin{cases} b\varepsilon^{-2} \text{ (in [46])}, & n = 2, \alpha = 1, \\ e^{a\varepsilon^{-1}} \text{ (in [45, 50])}, & n = 3, \alpha = 1. \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

The nonlinear term in (1.1) can be shown to satisfy the null condition, a concept first introduced by Klainerman. In the three-dimensional case, Christodoulou [21] and Klainerman [37] proved that when F satisfies the null condition, the Cauchy problem (1.4) admits a unique global classical solution for sufficiently small ε . For the two-dimensional case, Alinhac [6] demonstrated almost global existence for quasi-linear wave equations under the null condition. Later, Zha [67] extended this work to a broader class of systems using a unified methodology.

On the other hand, for nonlinear wave equations with small initial data that do not satisfy the null condition, extensive studies have focused on finite-time blowup phenomena. Notable contributions in this area include works such as [3, 4, 5, 7, 35, 60, 61].

It can be observed that (1.1) describes a system of quasi-linear wave equations with double wave speeds, a characteristic that closely resembles elastodynamics. Significant progress has been made in the study of elastodynamics, as highlighted in works such as [36, 41, 42, 62, 63, 64, 65]. John [36] demonstrated the almost global existence of elastic waves with finite amplitude originating from small initial perturbations. Later, Sideris [62, 63] proved that the null condition guarantees the global existence of nonlinear elastic waves in three spatial dimensions. Additionally, Lei [42] introduced the concept of the strong null condition and established that systems of incompressible isotropic Hookean elastodynamics in two dimensions admit a unique global classical solution for sufficiently small initial displacements.

Significant progress has been made in the study of low-regularity solutions to systems of quasilinear wave equations, as reflected in works such as [2, 40, 51, 59, 65, 68, 69]. It has been established in [51, 59, 65] that the

Cauchy problem for quasilinear wave equations is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for $s > 3$, which is generally considered sharp. Zha–Hidano studied the Cauchy problem for 3D quasilinear wave systems satisfying the null condition with low-regularity initial data. In the radially symmetric case, they demonstrated the global existence for small data in $H^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with a low weight and applied their results to 3D nonlinear elastic waves. An–Chen–Yin [2] extended Lindblad’s classical results [51] on the scalar wave equation by showing that the Cauchy problem for 3D elastic waves, a physical system with multiple wave speeds, is ill-posed in $H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ due to instantaneous shock formation.

The Cauchy problem (1.4) with small initial data can essentially be reduced to the Cauchy problem for a system of quasi-linear hyperbolic equations. According to [23, 39], for systems with genuinely nonlinear characteristic families, different solutions can be constructed in the absence of an entropy admissibility condition. In this work, we present a constructive proof of non-uniqueness for a specific class of linearly degenerate systems.

In particular, there have been several significant studies on the Lamé system, such as: Belishev–Lisiecka [9] deal with the issue of boundary approximate controllability and related unique continuation property for a system of dynamic elasticity governed by the Lamé model. Ma–Mesquita–Seminario–Huertas [54] prove an existence result for Lamé systems with a damping-delay component and a nonlinear forcing. Wang–Freitas–Feng–Ramos [66] investigated the global attractors and synchronization phenomenon of a coupled critical Lamé system defined on a smooth bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with nonlinear damping and nonlinear forces of critical growth. In [33], Guesmia–Harkat focused on the asymptotic behaviour of the solution as t and the state variable domain become very large. Different rates of convergence are established according to the growth of the domain.

Our proof builds upon the convex integration method, originally developed by De Lellis and Székelyhidi [26, 28]. In their foundational works [26, 27], they established non-uniqueness for incompressible Euler equations in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_t; \mathbb{R}^n)$, showing that for certain bounded, compactly supported initial data, no canonical energy admissibility criterion uniquely selects a weak solution. Building on these ideas, a series of remarkable developments [12, 14, 15, 24, 28, 29] culminated in the resolution of Onsager’s conjecture. This conjecture posited that the exponent $\alpha = 1/3$ marks the threshold for energy conservation in weak solutions within the Hölder space C^α for the incompressible Euler equations. Isett [34] resolved the conjecture, and Buckmaster–De Lellis–Székelyhidi–Vicol [13] extended the result to the dissipative case.

Recent advancements have further deepened our understanding of the non-uniqueness of entropy solutions for both compressible and incompressible Euler equations in Hölder spaces. Notably, De Lellis–Kwon [25] discovered continuous entropy solutions of the incompressible Euler equations in the Hölder class $C^{\frac{1}{3}-}$ that satisfy the entropy inequality and strictly dissipate total kinetic energy. The case of the compressible Euler equations was subsequently explored by Giri–Kwon in [30]. This approach has also been applied to other fluid dynamics systems, including the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations, the compressible Euler–Maxwell equations, and magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) equations, as demonstrated in works such as [8, 16, 17, 48, 49, 52, 53, 56, 57, 58].

There have also been a series of studies [1, 11, 18, 19, 20, 38] on the non-uniqueness of weak solutions to the Riemann problem for various types of Euler equations. In [18], Chiodaroli–De Lellis–Kreml examined the isentropic compressible Euler system in two spatial dimensions with the pressure law $p(\rho) = \rho^2$ and demonstrated the non-uniqueness of weak solutions for classical Riemann data. Building on this framework and leveraging the method from [27], Chiodaroli–Kreml [19] proved the non-uniqueness of admissible weak solutions to the Riemann problem for isentropic Euler equations. Notably, in [11], Kreml investigated the non-uniqueness in the multi-dimensional model of Chaplygin gas, showing that all three characteristic families of the system are linearly degenerate.

This paper is the first to apply the convex integration method to prove non-uniqueness for the nonlinear dynamical Lamé system. The system studied here exhibits a different mathematical structure compared to the Euler equations, particularly due to the characteristic feature of double wave speeds, which is utilized to construct the corresponding building blocks.

In this paper, we construct non-unique solutions in $C^{1, \frac{1}{30}-}([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^3)$ and $C^{1, \frac{1}{30}-}([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2)$ for the Cauchy problem (1.1). The proof for the three-dimensional case is slightly more intricate than for the two-dimensional case, so we focus primarily on the three-dimensional case here. In fact, since the solution for the two-dimensional case can be regarded as a special case of the three-dimensional solution, the three-dimensional result serves as a corollary of the two-dimensional one.

1.1. Main results. Next, we will introduce the main result of this paper. We present two main theorems that imply the non-uniqueness of weak solutions of (1.1) in the Hölder class.

Theorem 1.3. *If $\mu > 0$, and $\lambda + \mu > 0$, for any $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{60}$, we can find infinitely many distinct weak solutions $u \in C^{1,\alpha}([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^3)$ to the Cauchy problem (1.1) emanating from the same small initial data.*

Theorem 1.4. *If $\mu > 0$, and $\lambda + \mu > 0$, for any $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{30}$, we can find infinitely many distinct weak solutions $u \in C^{1,\alpha}([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2)$ to the Cauchy problem (1.1) emanating from the same small initial data.*

In this paper, we adapt the convex integration scheme to the quasi-linear wave equations (1.1). Below, we will introduce the proof process and highlight the differences from the compressible and incompressible Euler equations.

For quasi-linear wave equations, we consider the weak solutions of (1.1) emanating from small initial data, with the magnitude of the initial data $\|u\|_1$ controlled by a small parameter ε . During the proof process, we would find that ε depends on the difference of double wave speeds $\lambda + \mu$. In the convex integration scheme, we will construct a series of approximate solutions which converge to the weak solution of (1.1). A crucial step in this process is the construction of the perturbation. This step is also where the differences between the quasi-linear wave equations and the Euler equations arise.

For the Euler equations, we typically aim to construct perturbations that approximately satisfy the transport equation to obtain good estimates on the transport error. However, after some simple calculations, we find that the perturbations used in this paper need to approximately satisfy specific wave equations. Moreover, based on the truncation technique, at $q + 1$ step, we can treat the u_q from the previous step and its derivatives as constants in the truncated region, so that the equation to be satisfied by the perturbation can be reduced to a wave equation with constant coefficients (see Section 3.5). Based on this observation, we propose Lemma 3.4 to construct new building blocks which consists of a longitudinal wave of size $O(1)$ and a transverse wave of size $O(\varepsilon)$. Notably, we can construct such building blocks only if the wave speed difference $\lambda + \mu > 0$, highlighting the importance of the double wave speed property.

The construction of the perturbation is also closely related to the form of the nonlinear terms. The nonlinear terms in (1.1) differ from those in the Euler equations. In (1.1), the nonlinear term $\text{div}(\text{tr}(\nabla u(\nabla u)^\top) \text{Id} - (\nabla u)^\top \nabla u)$ guarantees that the equation satisfies the null condition and that the corresponding hyperbolic system is linearly degenerate. Moreover, it guarantees a certain geometric structure so that by (2.2), we can use the low frequency part of $\text{tr}(\nabla \tilde{u}_{q,i+1}(\nabla \tilde{u}_{q,i+1})^\top) \text{Id} - (\nabla \tilde{u}_{q,i+1})^\top \nabla \tilde{u}_{q,i+1}$ to eliminate the Reynolds error R_q . It is also due to this form that waves in different directions produce low-high frequency terms that cannot be eliminated and are poor in estimation. So we can only add perturbation in one direction each time. Then, for the 3D case, at each step of the iteration, we need to add different high frequency waves to the approximate solution for six times (see Section 2). This method was used by Luo and Xin in [53].

1.2. Organization of the paper. In Section 2, we first present the outline of the induction scheme for constructing the approximate solution sequence (u_q, c_q, R_q) and introduce two main propositions, Propositions 2.3 and 2.4, which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.3. Next, in Section 3, we construct the starting tuple u_0 and the perturbation $\tilde{u}_{q,i}$, which consists of five parts. The new error R_{q+1} and the corresponding estimates are given in Sections 4 and 5. Finally, the proofs of Propositions 2.3, 2.4, and Theorem 1.3 are detailed in Section 6. The appendix provides proofs or statements of analytical facts used in the proofs of the propositions in the paper.

2. OUTLINE OF THE INDUCTION SCHEME

In this paper, we will construct a series of approximate solutions u_q which satisfy the following approximate system and converge to a weak solution u of (1.1).

Definition 2.1. *A tuple of smooth tensors (u, c, R) is an approximation solution tensor of equations (1.1) as long as it solves the following system in the sense of distribution,*

$$\partial_t u - \mu \Delta u - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \text{div} u + \text{div}(\text{tr}(\nabla u(\nabla u)^\top) \text{Id} - (\nabla u)^\top \nabla u) = \text{div}(R - c \text{Id}), \quad (2.1)$$

where the Reynolds error R is a 3×3 symmetric matrix.

We denote by $\mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ the space of 3×3 matrices, whereas $\mathbb{S}^{3 \times 3}$ denotes corresponding subspace of symmetric matrices. Moreover, we use the notation $\|R\| = \max_{ij} |R_{ij}|$ and introduce the following geometric lemma proposed in [28].

Lemma 2.2 (Geometric Lemma). *For every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we can choose $\bar{\lambda} > 1$ with the following property. There exist pairwise disjoint subsets*

$$\Lambda_j \subset \{f \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid |f| = \bar{\lambda}\}, \quad j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\},$$

and smooth functions

$$\Gamma_f^{(j)} \in C^\infty(B_{r_0}(\text{Id})), \quad j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}, f \in \Lambda_j,$$

such that

(a) $f \in \Lambda_j$ implies $-f \in \Lambda_j$ and $\Gamma_f^{(j)} = \Gamma_{-f}^{(j)}$, then we could divide Λ_j into two parts Λ_j^+ and Λ_j^- which satisfy

$$\Lambda_j = \Lambda_j^+ \cup \Lambda_j^-, \quad \Lambda_j^+ \cap \Lambda_j^- = \emptyset,$$

(b) for each $K \in B_{r_0}(\text{Id})$, we have the identity

$$K = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{f \in \Lambda_j} (\Gamma_f^{(j)}(K))^2 \left(\text{Id} - \frac{f}{|f|} \otimes \frac{f}{|f|} \right) = \sum_{f \in \Lambda_j^+} (\Gamma_f^{(j)}(K))^2 \left(\text{Id} - \frac{f}{|f|} \otimes \frac{f}{|f|} \right), \quad \forall K \in B_{r_0}(\text{Id}).$$

In this paper, we use the following specific formula. With $\bar{\lambda} = \sqrt{2} > 1$, we choose

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &= \{(0, 1, \pm 1), (0, -1, \pm 1), (1, 0, \pm 1), (-1, 0, \pm 1), (1, \pm 1, 0), (-1, \pm 1, 0)\}, \\ \Lambda^+ &= \{f_i\}_{i=1}^6 = \{(0, 1, \pm 1), (1, 0, \pm 1), (1, \pm 1, 0)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\sum_{i=1}^6 \frac{1}{4} \left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_i}{|f_i|} \otimes \frac{f_i}{|f_i|} \right) = \text{Id},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Id} - \frac{f_1}{|f_1|} \otimes \frac{f_1}{|f_1|} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, & \text{Id} - \frac{f_3}{|f_3|} \otimes \frac{f_3}{|f_3|} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, & \text{Id} - \frac{f_5}{|f_5|} \otimes \frac{f_5}{|f_5|} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \text{Id} - \frac{f_2}{|f_2|} \otimes \frac{f_2}{|f_2|} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, & \text{Id} - \frac{f_4}{|f_4|} \otimes \frac{f_4}{|f_4|} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, & \text{Id} - \frac{f_6}{|f_6|} \otimes \frac{f_6}{|f_6|} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

For $r_0 = \frac{1}{18}$ and $K \in B_{\frac{1}{18}}(\text{Id})$, we could represent K as

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\frac{3K_{ii} + \sum_{j,k=1}^3 |\varepsilon_{ijk}| (2K_{jk} - K_{jj})}{4} \right) \left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_{2i}}{|f_{2i}|} \otimes \frac{f_{2i}}{|f_{2i}|} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\frac{3K_{ii} - \sum_{j,k=1}^3 |\varepsilon_{ijk}| (2K_{jk} + K_{jj})}{4} \right) \left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_{2i-1}}{|f_{2i-1}|} \otimes \frac{f_{2i-1}}{|f_{2i-1}|} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^6 \Gamma_{f_i}^2(K) \left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_i}{|f_i|} \otimes \frac{f_i}{|f_i|} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Moreover, for each symmetric tensor $R \in \mathbb{S}^{3 \times 3}$, we have

$$\frac{c}{r_0} \text{Id} - R = \sum_{i=1}^6 \left(\left(\frac{c}{r_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma_{f_i} \left(\text{Id} - \frac{r_0}{c} R \right) \right)^2 \left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_i}{|f_i|} \otimes \frac{f_i}{|f_i|} \right), \quad \text{with } c > \|R\|.$$

For convenience, we introduce the following notation:

- $I + \sigma$ is the concentric enlarged interval $(a - \sigma, b + \sigma)$ when $I = [a, b]$.
- Furthermore, for the sake of convenience, in what follows, we use the notation $A \lesssim_\kappa B$ to mean $A \leq CB$, where $C > 0$ may depend on some fixed constants or functions κ . Especially, we use the notation $A \lesssim_{N,r} B$ without pointing out the dependence of the implicit constant C , and $N, r \in \mathbb{N}$ can be chosen to have $N \leq N^*$, $r \leq r^*$ for some positive integers N^*, r^* . We will not repeatedly specify this.

Next, we give some parameters to measure the size of our approximate solutions,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_q &= 2^{6\lceil b^q \log_2 a \rceil}, \quad \lambda_{q,i} = \lambda_q^{1-\frac{i}{6}} \lambda_{q+1}^{\frac{i}{6}}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 6, \\ \delta_q &= \lambda_q^{-2\beta}, \quad c_q = \sum_{j=q+1}^{\infty} \delta_j,\end{aligned}\tag{2.3}$$

where $a > 0$ is a large parameter and $b > 1$, $\beta > 0$. Due to truncation and smoothing, the domains of definition for the approximate solutions $u_{q,i}$ change at each step, here we choose it at step q as $\mathcal{I}^{q,i-1} = [-\tau_{q,i-1}, T + \tau_{q,i-1}]$, where $\tau_{q,-1} = \tau_{q-1,5}$ and

$$\tau_{q,i} = \left(\lambda_{q,i} \lambda_{q,i+1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_q^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \quad q \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 5.$$

At each step, we give a correction to make the error R_q get smaller which converge to zero (in Hölder space) as q goes to infinity. We assume the following inductive estimates on (u_q, R_q) satisfying (2.1).

$$\|u_q\|_0, \|u_q\|_1, \|\partial_t u_q\|_0 \leq \varepsilon - \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|\partial_t^r u_q\|_N \leq M \lambda_q^{N+r-1} \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 2 \leq N+r \leq 3,\tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\|\partial_t^r R_q\|_N \leq \lambda_q^{N+r-2\gamma} \delta_{q+1}, \quad 0 \leq N+r \leq 2,\tag{2.5}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_N = \|\cdot\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q,-1}; C^N(\mathbb{T}^3))}$, $\gamma = \gamma(\beta) = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{1}{24}(1+12\beta) - \sqrt{\frac{\beta-6\beta^2}{6}} \right) < \frac{1}{36}$, $\varepsilon \ll 1$, and $M = M(\lambda, \mu) > 1$.

Similar to [25, 30], we give two inductive propositions.

Proposition 2.3 (Inductive proposition). *For any constants $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{60})$, $\mu > 0$, and $\lambda + \mu > 0$, there exist constants $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(\lambda, \mu) > 0$, $0 < \gamma(\beta) < 1/36$, $\bar{b}(\beta) > 1$, $M = M(\lambda, \mu) > 1$, and $a_0^* = a_0^*(\beta, \bar{b}, \gamma, M) > 0$ such that the following property holds. Let $c_q = \sum_{j=q+1}^{\infty} \delta_j$ and $b = \bar{b}$, for any $a > a_0^*$ and $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$, assume that (u_q, c_q, R_q) is a solution of (2.1) defined on the time interval $[-\tau_{q,-1}, T + \tau_{q,-1}]$ satisfying (2.4) and (2.5). Then, we can find a corrected approximation solution $(u_{q+1}, c_{q+1}, R_{q+1})$ which is defined on the time interval $[-\tau_{q+1,-1}, T + \tau_{q+1,-1}]$, satisfies (2.4)–(2.5) for $q+1$ and additionally*

$$\sum_{0 \leq N+r \leq 3} \lambda_{q+1}^{1-N-r} \|\partial_t^r (u_{q+1} - u_q)\|_{C^0([0,T]; C^N(\mathbb{T}^3))} \leq M \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}.\tag{2.6}$$

Proposition 2.4 (Bifurcating inductive proposition). *Let the constants λ, μ , parameters $\varepsilon_1, \beta, M, \bar{b}, \gamma$, and a_0^* , and the tuple (u_q, c_q, R_q) be given as in the statement of Proposition 2.3. For any time interval $\mathcal{I} \subset (0, T)$ which satisfies $|\mathcal{I}| \geq 3\tau_{q,-1}$, we can produce two different tuples $(u_{q+1}, c_{q+1}, R_{q+1})$ and $(\bar{u}_{q+1}, c_{q+1}, \bar{R}_{q+1})$ which share the same initial data, satisfy the same conclusions of Proposition 2.3 and additionally*

$$\|\bar{u}_{q+1} - u_{q+1}\|_{C^0([0,T]; L^2(\mathbb{T}^3))} \geq (16\lambda_{q+1})^{-1} \pi^{\frac{3}{2}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{supp}_t(\bar{u}_{q+1} - u_{q+1}) \subset \mathcal{I}.\tag{2.7}$$

Furthermore, if we are given two tuples (u_q, c_q, R_q) and $(\bar{u}_q, c_q, \bar{R}_q)$ satisfying (2.4)–(2.5), there exists some interval $\mathcal{J} \subset (0, T)$ satisfies

$$\text{supp}_t(u_q - \bar{u}_q, R_q - \bar{R}_q) \subset \mathcal{J},\tag{2.8}$$

and we can exhibit two different tuples $(u_{q+1}, c_{q+1}, R_{q+1})$ and $(\bar{u}_{q+1}, c_{q+1}, \bar{R}_{q+1})$ satisfying the same conclusions of Proposition 2.3. Moreover, the support of their difference satisfies

$$\text{supp}_t(u_{q+1} - \bar{u}_{q+1}, R_{q+1} - \bar{R}_{q+1}) \subset \mathcal{J} + \left(\lambda_q \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{-1}.\tag{2.9}$$

In more detail, at each step of the iteration, we will add different high frequency waves to the approximate solution for six times. Let $u_{q,0} = u_q$ and $R_{q,0} = R_q$, if we add the correction $\tilde{u}_{q,i}$ (with frequency $\lambda_{q,i}$) to $u_{q,i-1} = u_q + \sum_{r=1}^{i-1} \tilde{u}_{q,r}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 6$, the new stress error $R_{q,i}$ takes the form

$$R_{q,i} = R_{q,i-1} + (\delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma_{f_i} (\text{Id} - \delta_{q+1}^{-1} R_q))^2 \left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_i}{|f_i|} \otimes \frac{f_i}{|f_i|} \right) + \delta R_{q,i}, \quad i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 6\},$$

where $\delta R_{q,i}$ is a smaller correction. Let $u_{q+1} = u_{q,6}$ and $R_{q+1} = R_{q,6} - \delta_{q+1} \text{Id}$ be the new approximate solution and the new Reynolds error at $q + 1$ step. Repeating this procedure, the Reynolds errors will converge to zero. Moreover, we assume the following inductive estimates on $(u_{q,i}, R_{q,i})$ satisfying (2.1).

$$\|u_{q,i}\|_0, \|u_{q,i}\|_1, \|\partial_t u_{q,i}\|_0 \leq \varepsilon - (7-i)\delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|\partial_t^r u_{q,i}\|_N \leq M\lambda_{q,i}^{N+r-1}\delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 2 \leq N+r \leq 3, \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$\|\partial_t^r \delta R_{q,i}\|_N \leq \lambda_{q,i}^{N+r-2\gamma}\delta_{q+2}, \quad 0 \leq N+r \leq 2, \quad (2.11)$$

where $1 \leq i \leq 6$ and $\|\cdot\|_N = \|\cdot\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q,i-1}; C^N(\mathbb{T}^3))}$.

The proof for Theorem 1.3 relies on the above two important propositions. Proposition 2.3 provides an iterative framework for constructing a sequence of approximate solutions to (1.1). Specifically, it states that given an initial tuple (u_0, c_0, R_0) , we can generate a sequence of tuples (u_q, c_q, R_q) that satisfy (2.4), (2.5), and (2.6) for all $q \geq 0$. Consequently, we can demonstrate that u_q converges to u , which is a weak solution of (1.1) in $C^{1,\alpha}([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^3)$, with $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{60}$. Furthermore, Proposition 2.4 facilitates the construction of different sequences of tuples that converge to distinct solutions, thereby enabling the construction of infinitely many solutions.

3. CONSTRUCTION OF THE STARTING TUPLE AND THE PERTURBATION

3.1. Construction of the starting tuple. We will first introduce the construction of the starting tuple. Let

$$u_0 = \frac{\varepsilon \delta_1^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\lambda_0^2 |f_1|^2} f_1 \left(e^{i\lambda_0(f_1 \cdot x - (\lambda+2\mu)\frac{1}{2}|f_1|t)} + e^{-i\lambda_0(f_1 \cdot x - (\lambda+2\mu)\frac{1}{2}|f_1|t)} \right),$$

which satisfies

$$\partial_{tt} u_0 - \mu \Delta u_0 - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} u_0 + \operatorname{div}(\operatorname{tr}(\nabla u_0 (\nabla u_0)^\top) \text{Id} - (\nabla u_0)^\top \nabla u_0) = 0.$$

So we can choose the Reynolds error $R_0 = 0$. It is straightforward to show that (u_0, c_0, R_0) satisfies (2.4) and (2.5).

3.2. Mollification. To solve the loss of temporal and spatial derivatives, in this part, we will show the mollification process for $(u_{q,i}, R_{q,i})$ at the q th step.

First, we introduce some notation in Fourier analysis described in [30] and [32]. We can define the Fourier transform and its inverse of a function f in Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ as

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx, \quad \check{f}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi.$$

Moreover, the Fourier transform can be extended to linear functionals in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$ which is the dual space of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Following [25, 30], we can multiply the Fourier transform of f by a smooth cut-off function, apply the inverse Fourier transform, and obtain a smooth function which is the standard convention for Littlewood-Paley operators. Let $\phi(\xi)$ be a radial smooth function such that $\operatorname{supp} \phi(\xi) \subset B(0, 2)$ and $\phi \equiv 1$ on $\overline{B(0, 1)}$. Then, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and distribution f on \mathbb{R}^3 , we can define

$$\widehat{P_{\leq 2^j} f}(\xi) := \phi\left(\frac{\xi}{2^j}\right) \hat{f}(\xi), \quad \widehat{P_{> 2^j} f}(\xi) := \left(1 - \phi\left(\frac{\xi}{2^j}\right)\right) \hat{f}(\xi), \quad \widehat{P_{2^j} f}(\xi) := \left(\phi\left(\frac{\xi}{2^j}\right) - \phi\left(\frac{\xi}{2^{j-1}}\right)\right) \hat{f}(\xi).$$

For a given number a , we define $P_{\leq a} = P_{\leq 2^J}$ and $P_{> a} f = f - P_{\leq a} f$, where $J = \lfloor \log_2 a \rfloor$ is the largest integer which satisfies $2^J \leq a$. For $\ell > 0$, if f is a spatially periodic function on $[c, d] \times \mathbb{T}^3$, $P_{\leq \ell^{-1}} f$ can be written as the space convolution of f with kernel $\check{\phi}_\ell(\cdot) := 2^{3J} \check{\phi}(2^J \cdot)$, where $J = \lfloor -\log_2 \ell \rfloor$ is the largest integer which satisfies $2^J \leq \ell^{-1}$, and it is also a spatially periodic function on $[c, d] \times \mathbb{T}^3$. More details can be found in [25, 30, 32]. Finally, we present an important inequality, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |y|^k |\check{\phi}_\ell(y)| dy = 2^{-kJ} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |y|^k |\check{\phi}(y)| dy \lesssim_k \ell^k. \quad (3.1)$$

We can also define the mollification in time. Following mollification method in [58] and Definition 4.15 in [31], we could define $\phi^\ell \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ which satisfies $\operatorname{supp} \phi^\ell \subset (-1, 1)$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi^\ell(\tau) d\tau = 1, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi^\ell(\tau) \tau^n d\tau = 0, \quad \forall n = 1, 2, \dots, n_0 + 3, \quad (3.2)$$

where $n_0 = \lfloor \frac{12b(1+2\gamma)}{b-1+6\beta} \rfloor$. Let $\phi_\delta^\ell(\tau) = \delta^{-1} \phi^\ell(\delta^{-1} \tau)$, then we define $U_{\leq \ell^{-1}} f = \phi_\delta^\ell * f$ and $U_{> \ell^{-1}} f = f - U_{\leq \ell^{-1}} f$.

Remark 3.1. Here, b and γ is actually a function of β . So we could consider n_0 as a function of β .

Remark 3.2. If we set $\ell = 0$ when using $P_{\leq \ell^{-1}}$ or $U_{\leq \ell^{-1}}$, we mean $P_{\leq \ell^{-1}}f = f$ and $U_{\leq \ell^{-1}}f = f$.

Next, we introduce the parameter $\ell_{q,i}$, defined by

$$\ell_{q,i} = \left(\lambda_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{-1} \delta_q^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 5, \quad (3.3)$$

and give the regularized terms as

$$u_{\ell,i} = U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} u_{q,i}, \quad R_{\ell} = U_{\leq \ell_{q,0}^{-1}} P_{\leq \ell_{q,0}^{-1}} R_q, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 5,$$

which can be defined on $\mathcal{I}^{q,i} + 3\ell_{q,i} \subset \mathcal{I}^{q,i-1}$ by the selection of sufficiently large a . The following estimates are direct conclusions.

Proposition 3.3. For any $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{6}$ and $b > 1 + 6\beta$, we can find $a_1^* = a_1^*(\beta, b, \gamma, M) > 0$ satisfying that if $a > a_1^*$, the following properties hold,

$$\|\partial_t^r u_{\ell,i}\|_N \lesssim \varepsilon, \quad N+r \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 5, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r u_{\ell,i}\|_N \lesssim_{N,r} \ell_{q,i}^{2-N-r} M \lambda_{q,i} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad N+r \geq 2, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 5, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r R_{\ell}\|_N \lesssim_{N,r} \ell_{q,0}^{1-N-r} \lambda_q^{1-2\gamma} \delta_{q+1}, \quad N+r \geq 1, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r (u_{q,i} - u_{\ell,i})\|_N \lesssim_{N,r} \ell_{q,i}^{3-N-r} M \lambda_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 0 \leq N+r \leq 3, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 5, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r (R_q - R_{\ell})\|_N \lesssim_{N,r} \ell_{q,0}^{2-N-r} \lambda_q^{2-2\gamma} \delta_{q+1}, \quad 0 \leq N+r \leq 2, \quad (3.8)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_N = \|\cdot\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}_{3\ell_{q,i}}^{q,i}; C^N(\mathbb{T}^3))}$, and

$$\delta_{q,i} = \begin{cases} \delta_q, & i = 0, \\ \delta_{q+1}, & i = 1, \dots, 5. \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. Notice that for $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{6}$ and $b > 1 + 6\beta$, we could find $a_1^* = a_1^*(\beta, b, \gamma, M)$ such that for any $a > a_1^*$,

$$\lambda_q \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \lambda_{q,1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \tau_{q,i} + 3\ell_{q,i} \leq \tau_{q,i-1}, \quad \ell_{q,i} M \lambda_{q,i} \leq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_q^{-2\gamma} < r_0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 5. \quad (3.10)$$

By using (2.10), (2.11), and the definition of $P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}}$ and $U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}}$, we obtain (3.4)–(3.6). Next, we calculate

$$F - U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} F = F - P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} F + P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} F - U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} F = P_{> \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} F + U_{> \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} F,$$

and use Bernstein's inequality and $|f(t-\tau) - f(t) - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \partial_t^i f(t)(-\tau)^i| \lesssim \ell^j \|\partial_t^j f\|_{C^0([t-\ell, t+\ell])}$ for $|\tau| \leq \ell$ to get

$$\|P_{> \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} F\|_{C^0} \lesssim \ell_{q,i}^j \|\nabla^j F\|_{C^0}, \quad \|U_{> \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} F\|_{C^0} \lesssim \ell_{q,i}^j \|\partial_t^j F\|_{C^0}, \quad (3.11)$$

for $\forall F \in C^j(\mathcal{I}^{q,i-1} \times \mathbb{T}^3)$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n_0 + 3$. Combining them, we have for $N+r \leq \bar{N}$,

$$\|\partial_t^r (F - U_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} P_{\leq \ell_{q,i}^{-1}} F)\|_N \lesssim \ell_{q,i}^{\bar{N}-N-r} \sum_{N_1+N_2=\bar{N}} \|\partial_t^{N_1} \nabla^{N_2} F\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q,i-1} \times \mathbb{T}^3)}.$$

We can apply it to $u_{q,i}$ and $R_{q,i}$, with $\bar{N} = 3$ and $\bar{N} = 2$, to get (3.7)–(3.8). \square

3.3. Cutoffs. Here, we will give partitions of unity in space \mathbb{R}^3 and in time \mathbb{R} . We introduce some nonnegative smooth functions $\{\chi_v\}_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^3}$ and $\{\theta_s\}_{s \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that

$$\sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \chi_v^2(x) = 1, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \theta_s^2(t) = 1, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\chi_v(x) = \chi_0(x - 2\pi v)$ and χ_0 is a nonnegative smooth function supported in $Q(0, 5/4\pi)$ satisfying $\chi_0 = 1$ on $\overline{Q(0, 3/4\pi)}$, and $Q(x, r)$ denotes the cube $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |y-x|_{\infty} < r\}$. Similarly, $\theta_s(t) = \theta_0(t-s)$ where $\theta_0 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies $\theta_0 = 1$ on $[1/4, 3/4]$ and $\theta_0 = 0$ on $(-1/4, 5/4)^c$. And then, we give the cut-off parameters $\tau_{q,i}$ and $\mu_{q,i}$ with $\tau_{q,i}^{-1} > 0$ and $\mu_{q,i}^{-1} \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+$, which are given by

$$\mu_{q,i}^{-1} = 2\lceil (\lambda_{q,i} \lambda_{q,i+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_q^{\frac{1}{4}} / 2 \rceil, \quad \tau_{q,i}^{-1} = (\lambda_{q,i} \lambda_{q,i+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_q^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 5. \quad (3.12)$$

Next, we introduce the following notations

$$\mathcal{I} := \{(s, \nu) : (s, \nu) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^3\},$$

and for $I = (s, \nu) \in \mathcal{I}$,

$$[I] = [s] + \sum_{i=1}^3 2^i [\nu_i] + 1, \quad [j] = \begin{cases} 0, & j \text{ is odd,} \\ 1, & j \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

So far, we can define the cutoff functions as follows:

$$\chi_I(x) = \chi_\nu(\mu_{q_i}^{-1}x), \quad \theta_I(t) = \theta_s(\tau_{q_i}^{-1}t). \quad (3.14)$$

3.4. Definition of building blocks. In this part, we give the building blocks which will be used in the construction of the perturbation.

Lemma 3.4. *Given $f \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ and $\mu, \lambda + \mu > 0$, we could find a nontrivial planar wave solution to the equation*

$$\partial_t w_{A,f} - \mu \Delta w_{A,f} - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla(\operatorname{div} w_{A,f}) + A(\nabla^2 w_{A,f}) = 0,$$

where $w_{A,f} : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ and $(A(\nabla^2 w))_p = A_{nr}^{pm} \partial_{nr} w_m$ with constants A_{nr}^{pm} .

Especially, the solution could have the following expression

$$w_{A,f} = (f + a_{A,2} f^\perp + a_{A,3} \frac{f}{|f|} \times f^\perp) e^{i\xi_{A,f}}, \quad (3.15)$$

where $f^\perp \in \mathbb{Q}^3$ and satisfies $f^\perp \perp f$, $|f^\perp| = |f|$, $\xi_{A,f} = f \cdot x - ((\lambda + 2\mu)|f|^2 - c_A)^{\frac{1}{2}}t$, and c_A is a constant depending on coefficients A_{nr}^{pm} and $|f|$. Moreover, there exists $\varepsilon_0(\lambda, \mu, C) > 0$ such that, for any $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, if $|A_{nr}^{pm}|_0 \leq C\varepsilon$, we have

$$\|a_{A,2}\|_0 + \|a_{A,3}\|_0 \lesssim_{\lambda, \mu, C} \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{10}, \quad |c_A| \lesssim C \varepsilon |f|^2. \quad (3.16)$$

Proof. For convenience, we denote by $f^{(i)} = f, f^\perp, \frac{f}{|f|} \times f^\perp$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$, and $\tilde{A}_{ij}^{cs} = A(f^{(i)}, f^{(j)}, f^{(s)}, f^{(r)}) = A_{nr}^{pm} f_n^{(i)} f_r^{(j)} f_m^{(s)} f_p^{(r)} |f|^{-2}$. Next, we define $w_{A,1} := f e^{i\xi_{A,f}}$, where c_A will be chosen later. Moreover, we have

$$\partial_t w_{A,1} - \mu \Delta w_{A,1} - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla(\operatorname{div} w_{A,1}) = c_A w_{A,1}.$$

Notice that $A(\nabla^2 w_{A,1}) = \sum_{r=1}^3 (A_{nr}^{pm} \partial_{nr} (w_{A,1})_m f_p^{(r)}) |f|^{-2} f^{(r)} = -\sum_{r=1}^3 \tilde{A}_{11}^{r1} f^{(r)} e^{i\xi_{A,f}}$, we could calculate

$$\partial_t w_{A,1} - \mu \Delta w_{A,1} - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla(\operatorname{div} w_{A,1}) + A(\nabla^2 w_{A,1}) = \left(c_A f^{(1)} - \sum_{r=1}^3 \tilde{A}_{11}^{r1} f^{(r)} \right) e^{i\xi_{A,f}}.$$

In order to cancel the items on the right hand side, we add $w_{A,2} + w_{A,3}$ to $w_{A,1}$, which is given by

$$w_{A,2} + w_{A,3} := (a_{A,2} f^{(2)} + a_{A,3} f^{(3)}) e^{i\xi_{A,f}}.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t (w_{A,2} + w_{A,3}) - \mu \Delta (w_{A,2} + w_{A,3}) - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla(\operatorname{div} (w_{A,2} + w_{A,3})) + A(\nabla^2 (w_{A,2} + w_{A,3})) \\ &= (c_A - (\lambda + \mu)|f|^2)(a_{A,2} f^{(2)} + a_{A,3} f^{(3)}) e^{i\xi_{A,f}} - \sum_{r=1}^3 (a_{A,2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{r2} f^{(r)} + a_{A,3} \tilde{A}_{11}^{r3} f^{(r)}) e^{i\xi_{A,f}}. \end{aligned}$$

So we need the following equalities

$$\begin{cases} a_{A,1} c_A - \sum_{r=1}^3 a_{A,r} \tilde{A}_{11}^{r1} = 0, \\ a_{A,2} (c_A - (\lambda + \mu)|f|^2) - \sum_{r=1}^3 a_{A,r} \tilde{A}_{11}^{r2} = 0, \\ a_{A,3} (c_A - (\lambda + \mu)|f|^2) - \sum_{r=1}^3 a_{A,r} \tilde{A}_{11}^{r3} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.17)$$

where $a_{A,1} = 1$. It can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} a_{A,2} \left(- \sum_{r=1}^3 a_{A,r} |f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{1r} + |f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{22} + (\lambda + \mu) \right) + a_{A,3} |f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{23} = -|f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{21}, \\ a_{A,2} |f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{32} + a_{A,3} \left(- \sum_{r=1}^3 a_{A,r} |f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{1r} + |f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{33} + (\lambda + \mu) \right) = -|f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{31}. \end{cases} \quad (3.18)$$

Let $w_{A,f} = \sum_{r=1}^3 w_{A,r}$. For sufficiently small $\varepsilon_0(\lambda, \mu, C) > 0$, we have for any $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, $|A_{nr}^{pm}|_0 \leq C\varepsilon$. Consequently, $|\tilde{A}_{ij}^{rs}| \leq C\varepsilon|f|^2$. By using Lemma E.1 and choosing parameters as $A_1 = E_2 = -|f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{12}$, $A_2 = E_1 = -|f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{13}$, $B_1 = |f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{32}$, $B_2 = |f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{23}$, $C_1 = -|f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{11} + |f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{22} + (\lambda + \mu)$, $C_2 = -|f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{11} + |f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{33} + (\lambda + \mu)$, $D_1 = -|f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{21}$, $D_2 = -|f|^{-2} \tilde{A}_{11}^{31}$, and $c = \lambda + \mu$, we could get the solution $a_{A,2} = a_1, a_{A,3} = a_2$ which satisfy (3.16) and complete the proof. \square

3.5. Definition of the perturbation. Up to now, we are ready to construct the perturbations by using the building blocks defined before. For each $I = (s, v) \in \mathcal{I}$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} (A_I)_{nr}^{pm} &= 2\delta_{rp} \partial_n(u_{\ell,i})_m (s\tau_{q,i}, 2\pi\nu\mu_{q,i}) - \delta_{rp} \partial_n(u_{\ell,i})_m (s\tau_{q,i}, 2\pi\nu\mu_{q,i}) - \delta_{nj} \delta_{rj} \partial_p(u_{\ell,i})_m (s\tau_{q,i}, 2\pi\nu\mu_{q,i}) \\ &= \delta_{rp} \partial_n(u_{\ell,i})_m (s\tau_{q,i}, 2\pi\nu\mu_{q,i}) - \delta_{nj} \delta_{rj} \partial_p(u_{\ell,i})_m (s\tau_{q,i}, 2\pi\nu\mu_{q,i}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

For convenience, we denote $h^{s,v} = h(s\tau_{q,i}, 2\pi\nu\mu_{q,i})$ for any function $h \in C^0(\mathcal{I}_{3\ell_{q,i}}^{q,i} \times \mathbb{T}^3)$. Notice that $(\partial_j u_{\ell,i})_k^{s,v}$ is a constant on $\text{supp } \theta_I \times \text{supp } \chi_I = (s\tau_{q,i} - \frac{1}{4}\tau_{q,i}, s\tau_{q,i} + \frac{5}{4}\tau_{q,i}) \times \mathcal{Q}(2\pi\nu\mu_{q,i}, \frac{5}{4}\pi\nu\mu_{q,i})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (A_I(\nabla^2 w))_p &= (A_I)_{nr}^{pm} \partial_{nr} w_m = 2\partial_{jp} w_k (\partial_j u_{\ell,i})_k^{s,v} - \partial_{jp} w_k (\partial_j u_{\ell,i})_k^{s,v} - (\partial_p u_{\ell,i})_k^{s,v} \partial_{jj} w_k \\ &= \left(\text{div}(\text{tr}(\nabla w (\nabla u_{\ell,i}^{s,v})^\top) + \nabla u_{\ell,i}^{s,v} (\nabla w)^\top) \text{Id} - (\nabla w)^\top \nabla u_{\ell,i}^{s,v} - (\nabla u_{\ell,i}^{s,v})^\top \nabla w \right)_p. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.5. We use the notation $\nabla u_{\ell,i}^{s,v}$ instead of $(\nabla u_{\ell,i})^{s,v}$ for simplicity.

Let $\xi_{A_I, f_{i+1}} = [I] \left(f_{i+1} \cdot x - ((\lambda + 2\mu)|f_{i+1}|^2 - c_{A_I})^{\frac{1}{2}} t \right)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} w_{A_I, f_{i+1}} &= (f_{i+1} + a_{A_I,2} f_{i+1}^{(2)} + a_{A_I,3} f_{i+1}^{(3)}) e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1} \xi_{A_I, f_{i+1}}} \\ &= (i\lambda_{q,i+1} [I] |f_{i+1}|^2)^{-1} \text{div}((f_{i+1} + a_{A_I,2} f_{i+1}^{(2)} + a_{A_I,3} f_{i+1}^{(3)}) \otimes f_{i+1} e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1} \xi_{A_I, f_{i+1}}}), \end{aligned}$$

where c_{A_I} , $a_{A_I,2}$ and $a_{A_I,3}$ can be chosen by Lemma 3.4. Then, we could calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{tt} w_{A_I, f_{i+1}} - \mu \Delta w_{A_I, f_{i+1}} - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla(\text{div } w_{A_I, f_{i+1}}) \\ + \text{div}(\text{tr}(2\nabla u_{\ell,i}^{s,v} (\nabla w_{A_I, f_{i+1}})^\top) \text{Id} - (\nabla w_{A_I, f_{i+1}})^\top \nabla u_{\ell,i}^{s,v} - (\nabla u_{\ell,i}^{s,v})^\top \nabla w_{A_I, f_{i+1}}) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

By using (2.5) and (3.10), we have $\|\delta_{q+1}^{-1} R_\ell\|_0 < \lambda_q^{-2\gamma} \leq r_0$. Then, we could define the weight coefficient $d_{q,i+1}$ which depends on R_ℓ and I as

$$d_{q,i+1}(t, x) := \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma_{f_{i+1}}(\text{Id} - \delta_{q+1}^{-1} R_\ell).$$

We first define the main part of the perturbation $\tilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,p} &= \sum_I \frac{\theta_I \chi_I d_{q,i+1} \tilde{f}_{A_I}}{\sqrt{2} \lambda_{q,i+1} [I] \|\tilde{f}_{A_I}\| |f_{i+1}|} (e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1} \xi_{A_I, f_{i+1}}} + e^{-i\lambda_{q,i+1} \xi_{A_I, f_{i+1}}}) \\ &= \sum_I \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1} [I]} u_{q,i+1,I} (e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1} \xi_{A_I, f_{i+1}}} + e^{-i\lambda_{q,i+1} \xi_{A_I, f_{i+1}}}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

where $\sum_I = \sum_s \sum_v$ and

$$\tilde{f}_{A_I} = f_{i+1} + a_{A_I,2} f_{i+1}^{(2)} + a_{A_I,3} f_{i+1}^{(3)}, \quad \gamma_{q,i+1,I} = \frac{\theta_I \chi_I d_{q,i+1}}{\sqrt{2} \|\tilde{f}_{A_I}\| |f_{i+1}|}, \quad u_{q,i+1,I} = \gamma_{q,i+1,I} \tilde{f}_{A_I}. \quad (3.22)$$

Moreover, $\text{supp } u_{q,i+1,I} \cap \text{supp } u_{q,i+1,J} = \emptyset$, if $1 < \|I - J\| := \max\{|s(I) - s(J)|, \max_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \{|v_i(I) - v_i(J)|\}\}$. The main part of the perturbation can be also written as

$$\tilde{u}_{q,i+1,p} = \sum_I \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1} [I]} \gamma_{q,i+1,I} (w_{A_I, f_{i+1}} + \bar{w}_{A_I, f_{i+1}}).$$

If we add the perturbation $\tilde{u}_{q,i+1}$ to $u_{q,i}$, defining $u_{q,i+1} = u_{q,i} + \tilde{u}_{q,i+1}$, we want to find $R_{q,i+1}$ such that $\partial_{tt}u_{q,i+1} - \mu\Delta u_{q,i+1} - (\lambda + \mu)\nabla \operatorname{div} u_{q,i+1} + \operatorname{div}(\operatorname{tr}(\nabla u_{q,i+1}(\nabla u_{q,i+1})^\top) \operatorname{Id} - (\nabla u_{q,i+1})^\top \nabla u_{q,i+1}) = \operatorname{div}(R_{q,i+1} - c_q \operatorname{Id})$.

Integrating the left-hand side of the equations with respect to x , we could get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\partial_{tt}u_{q,i+1} - \mu\Delta u_{q,i+1} - (\lambda + \mu)\nabla \operatorname{div} u_{q,i+1} + \operatorname{div}(\operatorname{tr}(\nabla u_{q,i+1}(\nabla u_{q,i+1})^\top) \operatorname{Id} - (\nabla u_{q,i+1})^\top \nabla u_{q,i+1}) \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \operatorname{div}(R_q - c_q \operatorname{Id}) dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (\partial_{tt}u_{q,i+1} - \partial_{tt}u_{q,i}) dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \partial_{tt}\tilde{u}_{q,i+1} dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So we need to add a time correction term $\tilde{u}_{q,i+1,t} = g(t)$ which is a function of t and satisfies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \partial_{tt}\tilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}(t, x) + \partial_{tt}g(t) dx = 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{I}_{3\ell_{q,i}}^{q,i}. \quad (3.23)$$

Here, we can simply take

$$\tilde{u}_{q,i+1,t} = g(t) = - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}(t, x) dx. \quad (3.24)$$

So we could define the perturbation

$$\tilde{u}_{q,i+1} = \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,p} + \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,t}. \quad (3.25)$$

Remark 3.6. Here, we have two methods to make $\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \partial_{tt}\tilde{u}_{q,i+1} dx = 0$. One method, similar to constructing perturbations in the Euler equations, is to construct a perturbation in divergence form or curl form. The second method, as shown here, is to add a perturbation that depends only on time. The advantage of the second method is that it does not affect the form of $\nabla\tilde{u}_{q,i+1}$.

Moreover, we could calculate

$$\nabla\tilde{u}_{q,i+1} = i \underbrace{\sum_I u_{q,i+1,I} \otimes f_{i+1}(e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I f_{i+1}}} - e^{-i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I f_{i+1}}})}_{\tilde{w}_{q,i+1,p}} + \underbrace{\sum_I \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} \nabla u_{q,i+1,I}(e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I f_{i+1}}} + e^{-i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I f_{i+1}}})}_{\tilde{w}_{q,i+1,c}}.$$

Finally, we will give the following estimates on the perturbation.

Proposition 3.7. There exists $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(\lambda, \mu)$ such that for any $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$, we have the following estimates:

$$\|\partial_t^r u_{q,i+1,t}\|_N + \|\partial_t^r \gamma_{q,i+1,t}\|_N \lesssim_{\lambda,\mu,N,r} \tau_{q,i}^{-r} \mu_{q,i}^{-N} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 0 \leq N + r, \quad (3.26)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r w_{A_I, f_{i+1}}\|_N \lesssim_{\lambda,\mu} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r}, \quad 0 \leq N + r \leq 3, \quad (3.27)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,t}\|_N \lesssim_{\lambda,\mu,\mu_0} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{r-2} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 0 \leq N + r \leq 3, \quad (3.28)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}\|_N + \|\partial_t^r \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,t}\|_N \lesssim_{\lambda,\mu,\mu_0} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r-1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 0 \leq N + r \leq 3, \quad (3.29)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r \tilde{w}_{q,i+1,p}\|_N \lesssim_{\lambda,\mu} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 0 \leq N + r \leq 3, \quad (3.30)$$

$$\|\partial_t^r \tilde{w}_{q,i+1,c}\|_N \lesssim_{\lambda,\mu} (\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i})^{-1} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 0 \leq N + r \leq 3, \quad (3.31)$$

where $0 \leq i \leq 5$ and $\|\cdot\|_N = \|\cdot\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}_{3\ell_{q,i}}^{q,i}; C^N(\mathbb{T}^3))}$. Moreover, the implicit constants in (3.26)–(3.31) can be chosen to be independent of M .

Proof. By the definition of A_I in (3.19), we could know $(A_I)_{nr}^{pm}$ are constants in each $I = (s, v) \in \mathcal{I}$ and

$$|(A_I)_{nr}^{pm}| \lesssim \|\nabla u_{\ell,i}\|_0 \lesssim \varepsilon, \quad (3.32)$$

where the implicit constants does not depend on M . By using Lemma E.1 and Lemma 3.4, we could find $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(\lambda, \mu)$ such that for any $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_1(\lambda, \mu)$, the building block $w_{A_I, f_{i+1}}$ satisfies

$$\|a_{A_I,2}\|_0 + \|a_{A_I,3}\|_0 \lesssim_{\lambda,\mu} \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{10}, \quad |c_{A_I}| \lesssim \varepsilon |f|^2. \quad (3.33)$$

By using (3.12), (3.22), (3.32), and (3.33), we could easily obtain (3.26).

To get (3.28), by using $\nabla \xi_{A_l, f_{i+1}} = [l]f_{i+1}$, $\text{supp } u_{q,i+1,l} \cap \text{supp } u_{q,i+1,J} = \emptyset$ for $\|l - J\| > 1$, (3.21), and Lemma D.2, we could obtain for $0 \leq r \leq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t^r \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,l}\|_N &= \left\| \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \partial_t^r \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,p}(\cdot, x) dx \right\|_{C^0(\mathbb{T}_{3\ell_{q,i}}^{q,i})} \\ &\lesssim_{\lambda, \mu, n_0} \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}} \sum_l \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \left(\frac{\lambda_{q,i+1}^{r_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} u_{q,i+1,l}\|_{n_0+1} + \lambda_{q,i+1}^{r_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} u_{q,i+1,l}\|_0 \|\nabla \xi_{A_l, f_{i+1}}\|_N}{(\lambda_{q,i+1}|f|)^{n_0+1}} \right) \\ &\lesssim_{\lambda, \mu, n_0} (\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i})^{-(n_0+1)} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{r-1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{r-2} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality comes from (5.1). (3.27), (3.29), (3.30), and (3.31) are immediate consequence. Moreover, the implicit constants in (3.26)–(3.31) can be chosen to be independent of M , because $\mu_{q,i}$, $\tau_{q,i}$ and the implicit constants in (3.32) and (3.33) are independent of M . \square

4. DEFINITION OF THE NEW REYNOLDS ERROR

In the previous section, we have constructed the perturbation. Here, we will add the perturbation $\tilde{u}_{q,i+1}$ to $u_{q,i}$, defining $u_{q,i+1} = u_{q,i} + \tilde{u}_{q,i+1}$, then we could define the new Reynolds error $R_{q,i+1}$.

4.1. Definition of the new Reynolds error. We will use the inverse divergence operator \mathcal{R} to define the new error $R_{q,i+1}$. More details about the inverse divergence operator can be found in Appendix C. $\text{div}(R_{q,i+1})$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{div}(R_{q,i+1}) \\ &= \underbrace{\partial_t \tilde{u}_{q,i+1} - \mu \Delta \tilde{u}_{q,i+1} - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \text{div} \tilde{u}_{q,i+1} + \text{div}(\text{tr}(2 \nabla u_{\ell,i} (\nabla \tilde{u}_{q,i+1})^\top) \text{Id} - (\nabla \tilde{u}_{q,i+1})^\top \nabla u_{\ell,i} - (\nabla u_{\ell,i})^\top \nabla \tilde{u}_{q,i+1})}_{\text{div } R_L = \text{div } R_{L1} + \text{div } R_{L2}} \\ &+ \underbrace{\text{div}(2 \text{tr}(\nabla(u_{q,i} - u_{\ell,i}) (\nabla \tilde{u}_{q,i+1})^\top) \text{Id} - (\nabla \tilde{u}_{q,i+1})^\top \nabla(u_{q,i} - u_{\ell,i}) - (\nabla(u_{q,i} - u_{\ell,i}))^\top \nabla \tilde{u}_{q,i+1})}_{\text{div } R_M} \\ &+ \underbrace{\text{div}\left(\text{tr}(\nabla \tilde{u}_{q,i+1} (\nabla \tilde{u}_{q,i+1})^\top) \text{Id} - (\nabla \tilde{u}_{q,i+1})^\top \nabla \tilde{u}_{q,i+1} - (\delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma_{f_{i+1}} (\text{Id} - \delta_{q+1}^{-1} R_\ell))^2 \left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \otimes \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|}\right)\right)}_{\text{div } R_O = \text{div } R_{O1} + \text{div } R_{O2}} \\ &+ \text{div}\left(R_{q,i} + (\delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma_{f_{i+1}} (\text{Id} - \delta_{q+1}^{-1} R_\ell))^2 \left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \otimes \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|}\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

and then we define the new Reynolds error

$$R_{q,i+1} = R_{q,i} + (\delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma_{f_{i+1}} (\text{Id} - \delta_{q+1}^{-1} R_\ell))^2 \left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \otimes \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|}\right) + \delta R_{q,i+1}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\delta R_{q,i+1} = R_L + R_M + R_O, \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$R_M = \text{tr}(2 \nabla(u_{q,i} - u_{\ell,i}) (\nabla \tilde{u}_{q,i+1})^\top) \text{Id} - (\nabla \tilde{u}_{q,i+1})^\top \nabla(u_{q,i} - u_{\ell,i}) - (\nabla(u_{q,i} - u_{\ell,i}))^\top \nabla \tilde{u}_{q,i+1}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{O1} &= \mathcal{R} \text{div}\left(\text{tr}(\tilde{w}_{q,i+1,p} (\tilde{w}_{q,i+1,p})^\top) \text{Id} - (\tilde{w}_{q,i+1,p})^\top \tilde{w}_{q,i+1,p} - (\delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma_{f_{i+1}} (\text{Id} - \delta_{q+1}^{-1} R_\ell))^2 \left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \otimes \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|}\right)\right) \\ &= \mathcal{R} \text{div}\left(\text{tr}(\tilde{w}_{q,i+1,p} (\tilde{w}_{q,i+1,p})^\top) \text{Id} - (\tilde{w}_{q,i+1,p})^\top \tilde{w}_{q,i+1,p} - 2|f_{i+1}|^2 \sum_l |u_{q,i+1,l}|^2 \left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \otimes \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|}\right)\right), \quad (4.4) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{O2} &= \text{tr}(\tilde{w}_{q,i+1,p} (\tilde{w}_{q,i+1,c})^\top + \tilde{w}_{q,i+1,c} (\tilde{w}_{q,i+1,p})^\top + \tilde{w}_{q,i+1,c} (\tilde{w}_{q,i+1,c})^\top) \text{Id} \\ &\quad - (\tilde{w}_{q,i+1,c})^\top \tilde{w}_{q,i+1,p} - (\tilde{w}_{q,i+1,p})^\top \tilde{w}_{q,i+1,c} - (\tilde{w}_{q,i+1,c})^\top \tilde{w}_{q,i+1,c}, \quad (4.5) \end{aligned}$$

$$R_O := R_{O1} + R_{O2}. \quad (4.6)$$

The definition of R_L is a little different. Notice that

$$\text{div } R_L = \partial_t \tilde{u}_{q,i+1} - \mu \Delta \tilde{u}_{q,i+1} - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \text{div} \tilde{u}_{q,i+1} + \text{div}(\text{tr}(2 \nabla u_{\ell,i} (\nabla \tilde{u}_{q,i+1})^\top) \text{Id} - (\nabla \tilde{u}_{q,i+1})^\top \nabla u_{\ell,i} - (\nabla u_{\ell,i})^\top \nabla \tilde{u}_{q,i+1}).$$

By using (3.20), we could calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{tt}\tilde{u}_{q,i+1,p} - \mu\Delta\tilde{u}_{q,i+1,p} - (\lambda + \mu)\nabla \operatorname{div} \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,p} \\
&= \sum_I \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} \left((\partial_{tt}\gamma_{q,i+1,I} - \mu\Delta\gamma_{q,i+1,I})(w_{A_I,f_{i+1}} + \bar{w}_{A_I,f_{i+1}}) - (\lambda + \mu)\nabla((w_{A_I,f_{i+1}} + \bar{w}_{A_I,f_{i+1}}) \cdot \nabla\gamma_{q,i+1,I}) \right) \\
&+ \sum_I \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} \left(2\partial_t\gamma_{q,i+1,I}\partial_t(w_{A_I,f_{i+1}} + \bar{w}_{A_I,f_{i+1}}) - 2\mu\nabla(w_{A_I,f_{i+1}} + \bar{w}_{A_I,f_{i+1}})\nabla\gamma_{q,i+1,I} \right) \\
&- \sum_I \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} \left(\operatorname{div}(w_{A_I,f_{i+1}} + \bar{w}_{A_I,f_{i+1}})\nabla\gamma_{q,i+1,I} \right) - \sum_I \frac{\gamma_{q,i+1,I}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} \operatorname{div}(\operatorname{tr}(2\nabla u_{\ell,i}^{s,v}(\nabla(w_{A_I,f_{i+1}} + \bar{w}_{A_I,f_{i+1}}))^\top) \operatorname{Id}) \\
&+ \sum_I \frac{\gamma_{q,i+1,I}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} \operatorname{div}((\nabla(w_{A_I,f_{i+1}} + \bar{w}_{A_I,f_{i+1}}))^\top \nabla u_{\ell,i}^{s,v} + (\nabla u_{\ell,i}^{s,v})^\top \nabla(w_{A_I,f_{i+1}} + \bar{w}_{A_I,f_{i+1}})).
\end{aligned}$$

Then we could divide the linear error R_L into two parts:

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{L1} &:= \mathcal{R} \left(\sum_I \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} \left((\partial_{tt}\gamma_{q,i+1,I} - \mu\Delta\gamma_{q,i+1,I})(w_{A_I,f_{i+1}} + \bar{w}_{A_I,f_{i+1}}) \right. \right. \\
&+ \sum_I \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} \left(2\partial_t\gamma_{q,i+1,I}\partial_t(w_{A_I,f_{i+1}} + \bar{w}_{A_I,f_{i+1}}) - 2\mu\nabla(w_{A_I,f_{i+1}} + \bar{w}_{A_I,f_{i+1}})\nabla\gamma_{q,i+1,I} \right) \\
&- \sum_I \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} \left(\nabla((w_{A_I,f_{i+1}} + \bar{w}_{A_I,f_{i+1}}) \cdot \nabla\gamma_{q,i+1,I}) + \operatorname{div}(w_{A_I,f_{i+1}} + \bar{w}_{A_I,f_{i+1}})\nabla\gamma_{q,i+1,I} \right) \\
&+ \sum_I \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} \operatorname{tr}(2\nabla u_{\ell,i}^{s,v}(\nabla(w_{A_I,f_{i+1}} + \bar{w}_{A_I,f_{i+1}}))^\top) \nabla\gamma_{q,i+1,I} \\
&\left. - \sum_I \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} \left((\nabla(w_{A_I,f_{i+1}} + \bar{w}_{A_I,f_{i+1}}))^\top \nabla u_{\ell,i}^{s,v} + (\nabla u_{\ell,i}^{s,v})^\top \nabla(w_{A_I,f_{i+1}} + \bar{w}_{A_I,f_{i+1}}) \right) \nabla\gamma_{q,i+1,I} + \partial_{tt}\tilde{u}_{q,i+1,t} \right), \tag{4.7}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{L2} &:= \operatorname{tr}(2\nabla u_{\ell,i}(\nabla\tilde{u}_{q,i+1})^\top) \operatorname{Id} - (\nabla\tilde{u}_{q,i+1})^\top \nabla u_{\ell,i} - (\nabla u_{\ell,i})^\top \nabla\tilde{u}_{q,i+1} \\
&- \sum_I \frac{\gamma_{q,i+1,I}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} \operatorname{tr}(2\nabla u_{\ell,i}^{s,v}(\nabla(w_{A_I,f_{i+1}} + \bar{w}_{A_I,f_{i+1}}))^\top) \operatorname{Id} \\
&+ \sum_I \frac{\gamma_{q,i+1,I}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} (\nabla(w_{A_I,f_{i+1}} + \bar{w}_{A_I,f_{i+1}}))^\top \nabla u_{\ell,i}^{s,v} + (\nabla u_{\ell,i}^{s,v})^\top \nabla(w_{A_I,f_{i+1}} + \bar{w}_{A_I,f_{i+1}}), \tag{4.8}
\end{aligned}$$

$$R_L := R_{L1} + R_{L2}. \tag{4.9}$$

5. ESTIMATES ON THE NEW REYNOLDS ERROR

In this section, we will give the estimates on the new Reynolds stress R_{q+1} and its derivative. For convenience, if the implicit constants in the estimates in this section depend on λ , μ , and M , we will not write it out. For the remaining sections, we set $\|\cdot\|_N = \|\cdot\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q,i}; C^N(\mathbb{T}^3))}$ and fix $n_0 = \lceil \frac{12b(1+2\gamma)}{b-1+6\beta} \rceil$ so that

$$(\lambda_{q,i+1}\mu_{q,i})^{-n_0}\mu_{q,i}^{-2\gamma} \lesssim (\lambda_{q,i+1}\mu_{q,i})^{-n_0}\lambda_{q,i+1}^{2\gamma} \lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-1}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 5. \tag{5.1}$$

Proposition 5.1. *For any $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{60}$, let the parameters a_1^* and ε_1 be as in the statement of Propositions 3.3 and 3.7. Then, if $\bar{b}(\beta) = \frac{1+12\beta-36\gamma}{48\beta} > 1 + 6\beta$ and $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$, we can find $a_0^* = a_0^*(\beta, b, \gamma, M) \geq a_1^*$ such that for $b = \bar{b}$ and any $a > a_0^*$, we have for $0 \leq i \leq 5$,*

$$\|\partial_t^r \delta R_{q,i+1}\|_N \leq C_{\lambda,\mu,M} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r+\gamma} \cdot \lambda_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_q^{\frac{1}{4}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{12} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r-2\gamma} \delta_{q+2}, \quad 0 \leq N+r \leq 2, \tag{5.2}$$

$$\|\partial_t^r (R_q - R_\ell)\|_N \lesssim \ell_{q,0}^{2-N-r} \lambda_q^{2-2\gamma} \delta_{q+1} \leq \frac{1}{12} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r-2\gamma} \delta_{q+2}, \quad 0 \leq N+r \leq 2, \tag{5.3}$$

where $C_{\lambda,\mu,M}$ depends only upon λ , μ , and M in Propositions 2.3 and 2.4.

We will consider (4.2) and estimate the separate terms R_L , R_M , and R_O . For the errors R_{L2} , R_{O2} , and R_M , we use a direct estimate. For R_{L1} and R_{O1} , we use (D.4) in Lemma D.2. Remark that

$$\ell_{q,i}^2 \lambda_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} + (\lambda_{q,i+1}\mu_{q,i})^{-1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} + (\tau_{q,i} + \mu_{q,i}) \lambda_{q,i} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \lambda_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_q^{\frac{1}{4}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 5. \tag{5.4}$$

5.1. **Estimates on the linear error.** Recalling the definition of R_{L1} , we could calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{L1} = & \mathcal{R} \left(\sum_I \left(\frac{\partial_t u_{q,i+1,I} - \mu \Delta u_{q,i+1,I} - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} u_{q,i+1,I}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} \right) (e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I, f_{i+1}}} + e^{-i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I, f_{i+1}}}) \right. \\
& - \sum_I 2i \left(\partial_t u_{q,i+1,I} ((\lambda + 2\mu)|f_{i+1}|^2 - c_{A_I})^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mu(f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla) u_{q,i+1,I} \right) (e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I, f_{i+1}}} - e^{-i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I, f_{i+1}}}) \\
& - \sum_I (\lambda + \mu)i \left(\operatorname{div} u_{q,i+1,I} f_{i+1} + \nabla(f_{i+1} \cdot u_{q,i+1,I}) \right) (e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I, f_{i+1}}} - e^{-i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I, f_{i+1}}}) \\
& + \sum_I 2i(\tilde{f}_{A_I} \cdot (\nabla u_{\ell,i}^{s,v} f_{i+1})) \nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,I} (e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I, f_{i+1}}} - e^{-i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I, f_{i+1}}}) \\
& \left. - \sum_I i(f_{i+1} \otimes ((\nabla u_{\ell,i}^{s,v})^\top \tilde{f}_{A_I}) + ((\nabla u_{\ell,i}^{s,v})^\top f_{A_I}) \otimes f_{i+1}) \nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,I} (e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I, f_{i+1}}} - e^{-i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I, f_{i+1}}}) + \partial_t \tilde{u}_{q,i+1,I} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and then, by using $\nabla \xi_{A_I, f_{i+1}} = [I]f_{i+1}$, $\operatorname{supp} u_{q,i+1,I} \cap \operatorname{supp} u_{q,i+1,J} = \emptyset$ for $\|I - J\| > 1$, and Lemma D.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_t^r R_{L1}\|_N & \leq \|\partial_t^r R_{L1}\|_{N+\gamma} \lesssim \sum_I \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \left(\frac{\|\partial_t u_{q,i+1,I}\|_0 + \|u_{q,i+1,I}\|_2}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^{2-\gamma}} + \frac{\|\partial_t u_{q,i+1,I}\|_{n_0+\gamma} + \|u_{q,i+1,I}\|_{n_0+2+\gamma}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^{n_0+1-\gamma}} \right) \\
& + \sum_I \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \left(\frac{\|\partial_t u_{q,i+1,I}\|_0 + \|u_{q,i+1,I}\|_1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^{1-\gamma}} + \frac{\|\partial_t u_{q,i+1,I}\|_{n_0+\gamma} + \|u_{q,i+1,I}\|_{n_0+1+\gamma}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^{n_0-\gamma}} \right) \\
& + \sum_I \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \left(\frac{\|\nabla u_{\ell,i}^{s,v}\|_0 \|\nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,I}\|_0}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^{1-\gamma}} + \frac{\|\nabla u_{\ell,i}^{s,v}\|_0 \|\nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,I}\|_{n_0+\gamma}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^{n_0-\gamma}} \right) \\
& \lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \left(\frac{\delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^{2-\gamma} \mu_{q,i}^2} + \frac{\delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^{n_0+1-\gamma} \mu_{q,i}^{n_0+2+\gamma}} + \frac{\delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^{1-\gamma} \mu_{q,i}} + \frac{\delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^{n_0-\gamma} \mu_{q,i}^{n_0+1+\gamma}} \right) \\
& \lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \frac{\delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^{1-\gamma} \mu_{q,i}} \lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r+\gamma} \lambda_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the other term R_{L2} defined in (4.8), we rewrite it as

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{L2} = & \sum_I \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} \operatorname{tr}(2\nabla u_{\ell,i}((w_{A_I, f_{i+1}} + \bar{w}_{A_I, f_{i+1}}) \otimes \nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,I})^\top) \operatorname{Id} \\
& - \sum_I \frac{1}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} ((w_{A_I, f_{i+1}} + \bar{w}_{A_I, f_{i+1}}) \otimes \nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,I})^\top \nabla u_{\ell,i} + (\nabla u_{\ell,i})^\top ((w_{A_I, f_{i+1}} + \bar{w}_{A_I, f_{i+1}}) \otimes \nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,I}) \\
& + \sum_I \frac{\gamma_{q,i+1,I}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} \operatorname{tr}(2(\nabla u_{\ell,i} - \nabla u_{\ell,i}^{s,v})(\nabla(w_{A_I, f_{i+1}} + \bar{w}_{A_I, f_{i+1}}))^\top) \operatorname{Id} \\
& - \sum_I \frac{\gamma_{q,i+1,I}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}[I]} (\nabla(w_{A_I, f_{i+1}} + \bar{w}_{A_I, f_{i+1}}))^\top (\nabla u_{\ell,i} - \nabla u_{\ell,i}^{s,v}) + (\nabla u_{\ell,i} - \nabla u_{\ell,i}^{s,v})^\top \nabla(w_{A_I, f_{i+1}} + \bar{w}_{A_I, f_{i+1}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that, for $(t, x) \in \operatorname{supp} \theta_I(t) \chi_I(x)$, $I = (s, v) \in \mathcal{I}$, we have $|t - s\tau_{q,i}| \lesssim \tau_{q,i}$, $|x - v\mu_{q,i}| \lesssim \mu_{q,i}$, and then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_t^r (\nabla u_{\ell,i}(t, x) - \nabla u_{\ell,i}^{s,v})\|_N & \leq \|\partial_t^r (\nabla u_{\ell,i}(t, x) - \nabla u_{\ell,i}(s\tau_{q,i}, x))\|_N + \|\partial_t^r (\nabla u_{\ell,i}(s\tau_{q,i}, x) - \nabla u_{\ell,i}(s\tau_{q,i}, v\mu_{q,i}))\|_N \\
& \lesssim \|\partial_t^{r+1} u_{\ell,i}\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}_{3\ell_{q,i}}^{q,i}; C^{N+1}(\mathbb{T}^3))} |t - s\tau_{q,i}| + \|\partial_t^r u_{\ell,i}\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}_{3\ell_{q,i}}^{q,i}; C^{N+2}(\mathbb{T}^3))} |x - v\mu_{q,i}| \\
& \lesssim \ell_{q,i}^{-N-r} \lambda_{q,i} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\tau_{q,i} + \mu_{q,i}), \quad N+r \geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.4)–(3.5). So we could obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_t^r R_{L2}\|_N & \lesssim \sum_{N_1+N_2+N_3=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2+r_3=r} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-1} \|\partial_t^{r_1} (\nabla u_{\ell,i}(t, x))\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} w_{A_I, f_{i+1}}\|_{N_2} \|\partial_t^{r_3} \nabla \gamma_{q,i+1,I}\|_{N_3} \\
& + \sum_{N_1+N_2+N_3=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2+r_3=r} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-1} \|\partial_t^{r_1} (\nabla u_{\ell,i}(t, x) - \nabla u_{\ell,i}^{s,v})\|_{N_1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} (\nabla w_{A_I, f_{i+1}})\|_{N_2} \|\partial_t^{r_3} \gamma_{q,i+1,I}\|_{N_3}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \left(\frac{\delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i}} + (\tau_{q,i} + \mu_{q,i}) \lambda_{q,i} \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\
&\lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \lambda_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_q^{\frac{1}{4}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

5.2. **Estimates on the mediation error.** Recall that

$$R_M = \text{tr}(2\nabla(u_{q,i} - u_{\ell,i})(\nabla \tilde{u}_{q,i+1})^\top) \text{Id} - (\nabla \tilde{u}_{q,i+1})^\top \nabla(u_{q,i} - u_{\ell,i}) - (\nabla(u_{q,i} - u_{\ell,i}))^\top \nabla \tilde{u}_{q,i+1}.$$

By using (3.7), (3.7), and (3.29), we have

$$\|\partial_t^r R_M\|_N \lesssim \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|\partial_t^{r_1}(u_{q,i} - u_{\ell,i})\|_{N_1+1} \|\partial_t^{r_2} \tilde{u}_{q,i+1}\|_{N_2+1} \lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \ell_{q,i}^2 \lambda_{q,i}^2 \delta_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \lambda_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_q^{\frac{1}{4}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

5.3. **Estimates on the oscillation error.** After simple calculation, we have

$$|f_{i+1}|^2([I] \pm [J])f_{i+1} = (f_{i+1} \cdot ([I] \pm [J])f_{i+1})f_{i+1}, \quad \text{if } \|I - J\| \leq 1.$$

Combining it with $\text{supp } u_{q,i+1,I} \cap \text{supp } u_{q,i+1,J} = \emptyset$, for $\|I - J\| > 1$, we could obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{div} \left(\text{tr}(\tilde{w}_{q,i+1,p}(\tilde{w}_{q,i+1,p})^\top) \text{Id} - (\tilde{w}_{q,i+1,p})^\top \tilde{w}_{q,i+1,p} - 2|f_{i+1}|^2 \sum_I |u_{q,i+1,I}|^2 \left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \otimes \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \right) \right) \\
&= \text{div} \left(\sum_I \sum_J |f_{i+1}|^2 u_{q,i+1,I} \cdot u_{q,i+1,J} \left(e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}(\xi_{A_I f_{i+1}} - \xi_{A_J f_{i+1}})} + e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}(-\xi_{A_I f_{i+1}} + \xi_{A_J f_{i+1}})} \right) \text{Id} \right) \\
&\quad - \text{div} \left(\sum_I \sum_J |f_{i+1}|^2 u_{q,i+1,I} \cdot u_{q,i+1,J} \left(e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}(\xi_{A_I f_{i+1}} + \xi_{A_J f_{i+1}})} + e^{-i\lambda_{q,i+1}(\xi_{A_I f_{i+1}} + \xi_{A_J f_{i+1}})} \right) \text{Id} \right) \\
&\quad - \text{div} \left(\sum_I \sum_J u_{q,i+1,I} \cdot u_{q,i+1,J} \left(e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}(\xi_{A_I f_{i+1}} - \xi_{A_J f_{i+1}})} + e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}(-\xi_{A_I f_{i+1}} + \xi_{A_J f_{i+1}})} \right) f_{i+1} \otimes f_{i+1} \right) \\
&\quad + \text{div} \left(\sum_I \sum_J u_{q,i+1,I} \cdot u_{q,i+1,J} \left(e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}(\xi_{A_I f_{i+1}} + \xi_{A_J f_{i+1}})} + e^{-i\lambda_{q,i+1}(\xi_{A_I f_{i+1}} + \xi_{A_J f_{i+1}})} \right) f_{i+1} \otimes f_{i+1} \right) \\
&\quad - \text{div} \left(\sum_I 2|f_{i+1}|^2 |u_{q,i+1,I}|^2 \left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \otimes \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \right) \right) \\
&= \sum_{\|I-J\|=1} U_{I,J} \left(e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}(\xi_{A_I f_{i+1}} - \xi_{A_J f_{i+1}})} + e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}(-\xi_{A_I f_{i+1}} + \xi_{A_J f_{i+1}})} - e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}(\xi_{A_I f_{i+1}} + \xi_{A_J f_{i+1}})} - e^{-i\lambda_{q,i+1}(\xi_{A_I f_{i+1}} + \xi_{A_J f_{i+1}})} \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{I=J} \text{div} \left(\sum_I |f_{i+1}|^2 |u_{q,i+1,I}|^2 \left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \otimes \frac{f_{i+1}}{|f_{i+1}|} \right) \left(e^{i2\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I f_{i+1}}} + e^{-i2\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I f_{i+1}}} \right) \right) \\
&= \sum_{\|I-J\|=1} U_{I,J} \left(e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}(\xi_{A_I f_{i+1}} - \xi_{A_J f_{i+1}})} + e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}(-\xi_{A_I f_{i+1}} + \xi_{A_J f_{i+1}})} - e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}(\xi_{A_I f_{i+1}} + \xi_{A_J f_{i+1}})} - e^{-i\lambda_{q,i+1}(\xi_{A_I f_{i+1}} + \xi_{A_J f_{i+1}})} \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_I U_{I,I} \left(e^{i2\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I f_{i+1}}} + e^{-i2\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I f_{i+1}}} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $U_{I,J} = |f_{i+1}|^2 \nabla(u_{q,i+1,I} \cdot u_{q,i+1,J}) - (f_{i+1} \cdot \nabla)(u_{q,i+1,I} \cdot u_{q,i+1,J})f_{i+1}$. Then, we could use $\nabla \xi_{A_I f_{i+1}} = [I]f_{i+1}$, and Lemma D.2 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_t^r R_O\|_{N+\gamma} &\lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \sum_{\|I-J\| \leq 1} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \frac{\|u_{q,i+1,I}\|_1 \|u_{q,i+1,J}\|_0 + \|u_{q,i+1,J}\|_1 \|u_{q,i+1,I}\|_0}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^{1-\gamma}} \\
&\quad + \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \sum_{\|I-J\| \leq 1} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \sum_{N_1+N_2=n_0+1} \frac{\|u_{q,i+1,I}\|_{N_1+1+\gamma} \|u_{q,i+1,J}\|_{N_2+\gamma} + \|u_{q,i+1,J}\|_{N_1+1+\gamma} \|u_{q,i+1,I}\|_{N_2+\gamma}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^{n_0-\gamma}} \\
&\lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \left(\frac{\delta_{q+1}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^{1-\gamma} \mu_{q,i}} + \frac{\delta_{q+1}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^{n_0-\gamma} \mu_{q,i}^{n_0+1+2\gamma}} \right) \lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \frac{\delta_{q+1}}{\lambda_{q,i+1}^{1-\gamma} \mu_{q,i}} \lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r+\gamma} \lambda_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_q^{\frac{1}{4}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combine it with

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t^r R_{O2}\|_N &\lesssim \sum_{N_1+N_2=N} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \left(\|\nabla \partial_t^{r_1} \tilde{w}_{q,i+1,p}\|_{N_1} \|\nabla \partial_t^{r_2} \tilde{w}_{q,i+1,c}\|_{N_2} + \|\nabla \partial_t^{r_1} \tilde{w}_{q,i+1,c}\|_{N_1} \|\nabla \partial_t^{r_2} \tilde{w}_{q,i+1,p}\|_{N_2} \right) \\ &\lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r} \left(\frac{\delta_{q+1}}{\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i}} + \frac{\delta_{q+1}}{(\lambda_{q,i+1} \mu_{q,i})^2} \right) \lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r+\gamma} \lambda_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{q+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.30) and (3.31), we could get

$$\|\partial_t^r R_O\|_N \leq \|\partial_t^r R_{O1}\|_N + \|\partial_t^r R_{O2}\|_N \lesssim \lambda_{q,i+1}^{N+r+\gamma} \lambda_{q,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_{q,i+1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{q+1}.$$

To sum up, we have (5.2). (5.3) can be deduced from (3.8).

6. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

6.1. Proof of Proposition 2.3. For any $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{60}$, let the parameters ε_1 , $\bar{b}(\beta) = \frac{1+12\beta-36\gamma}{48\beta}$, and a_0^* be as in the statement of Proposition 5.1. For any $a > a_0^*$ and $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$, given an approximate solution (u_q, c_q, R_q) defined on $\mathcal{I}^{q,-1} \times \mathbb{T}^3$, we have constructed a perturbation $\tilde{u}_q = \sum_{i=1}^6 \tilde{u}_{q,i}$ and will apply it to u_q . This creates a new Reynolds stress R_{q+1} , which satisfies the estimates in Proposition 5.1. We now need to confirm whether $(u_{q+1}, c_{q+1}, R_{q+1})$ satisfy (2.4)–(2.5) at the $q+1$ step.

First, we denote the implicit constants in (3.29), which does not depend on M , by M_0 and set $M = 120M_0$. If we set $\|\cdot\|_N = \|\cdot\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I}^{q,-1}; C^N(\mathbb{T}^3))}$, we have

$$\sum_{N+r \leq 3} \lambda_{q+1}^{1-N-r} \|\partial_t^r (u_{q+1} - u_q)\|_N \leq \sum_{N+r \leq 3} \sum_{i=1}^6 \lambda_{q,i}^{1-N-r} \|\partial_t^r \tilde{u}_{q,i}\|_N \leq 60M_0 \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq M \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (6.1)$$

Moreover, we could get for sufficient large a and for $2 \leq N+r \leq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{q+1}\|_0 &\leq \|u_q\|_0 + \sum_{i=1}^6 \|\tilde{u}_{q,i}\|_0 \leq \varepsilon - \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}} + M_0 \sum_{i=1}^6 \lambda_{q,i}^{-1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \varepsilon - \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|\nabla u_{q+1}\|_0 &\leq \|\nabla u_q\|_0 + \sum_{i=1}^6 \|\nabla \tilde{u}_{q,i}\|_0 \leq \varepsilon - \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}} + M_0 \sum_{i=1}^6 \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \varepsilon - \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|\partial_t u_{q+1}\|_0 &\leq \|\partial_t u_q\|_0 + \sum_{i=1}^6 \|\partial_t \tilde{u}_{q,i}\|_0 \leq \varepsilon - \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}} + M_0 \sum_{i=1}^6 \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \varepsilon - \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|\partial_t^r u_{q+1}\|_N &\leq \|\partial_t^r u_q\|_N + \sum_{i=1}^6 \|\partial_t^r \tilde{u}_{q,i}\|_N \leq M \lambda_q^{N+r-1} \delta_q^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{i=1}^6 \frac{1}{120} M \lambda_{q,i}^{N+r-1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq M \lambda_{q+1}^{N+r-1} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The estimates on R_{q+1} can be obtained from Proposition 5.1. Let the new Reynolds error be

$$\begin{aligned} R_{q+1} &= R_{q,6} - \delta_{q+1} \text{Id} \\ &= R_q - \delta_{q+1} \text{Id} + \sum_{i=1}^6 (\delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma_{f_i} (\text{Id} - \delta_{q+1}^{-1} R_\ell))^2 \left(\text{Id} - \frac{f_i}{|f_i|} \otimes \frac{f_i}{|f_i|} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^6 \delta R_{q,i} \\ &= R_q - R_\ell + \sum_{i=1}^6 \delta R_{q,i}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we could use (5.2) and (5.3) to obtain for $0 \leq N+r \leq 2$

$$\|\partial_t^r R_{q+1}\|_N \leq \|\partial_t^r (R_q - R_\ell)\|_N + \sum_{i=1}^6 \|\partial_t^r \delta R_{q,i}\|_N \leq \lambda_{q+1}^{N+r-2\gamma} \delta_{q+2}.$$

6.2. Proof of Proposition 2.4. Similar to [25, 30], we consider a given time interval $\mathcal{I} \subset (0, T)$ with $|\mathcal{I}| \geq 3\tau_{q,-1}$, within which we can always find s_0 such that $\text{supp}(\theta_{s_0}(\tau_{q,5}^{-1}\cdot)) \subset \mathcal{I}$. If $I = (s_0, \nu) \in \mathcal{J}$, we replace Γ_{f_6} in $\tilde{u}_{q,6}$ with $\tilde{\Gamma}_{f_6} = -\Gamma_{f_6}$, which will make $\tilde{\gamma}_{q,6,I} = -\gamma_{q,6,I}$. We denote the new perturbation by $\tilde{u}_{q,6,\text{new}}$. As for the other tuples, we do not change $\gamma_{q,6,I}$. Note that $\tilde{\Gamma}_{f_6}^2 = \Gamma_{f_6}^2$, this allows us to use the same formula (2.2), and the replacement does not change the estimates on $\tilde{\Gamma}_{f_6}$. Therefore, the estimates on the new perturbation $\tilde{u}_{q,6,\text{new}}$ are the same as those on $\tilde{u}_{q,6}$. Up to now, we could construct the new corrected approximate solution $(\bar{u}_{q+1}, c_{q+1}, \bar{R}_{q+1})$ that satisfies (2.4)–(2.5) at the $q+1$ step, where $\bar{u}_{q+1} = u_q + \sum_{i=1}^5 \tilde{u}_{q,i} + \tilde{u}_{q,6,\text{new}}$. By the construction, the correction $\tilde{u}_{q,6,\text{new}}$ differs from $\tilde{u}_{q,6}$ on the support of $\theta_{s_0}(\tau_{q,5}^{-1}\cdot)$. Therefore, $\text{supp}_t(\bar{u}_{q+1} - u_{q+1}) = \text{supp}_t(\tilde{u}_{q,6} - \tilde{u}_{q,6,\text{new}}) \subset \mathcal{I}$. Recall the definition of $\tilde{u}_{q,6}$,

$$\tilde{u}_{q,6} = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{J}: s_I = s_0} \left(\frac{\theta_I(t)\chi_I(x)d_{q,6}\tilde{f}_{A_I}}{\sqrt{2}\lambda_{q+1}[I]\|\tilde{f}_{A_I}\|f_6} (e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I}f_6} + e^{-i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I}f_6}) - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \frac{\theta_I(t)\chi_I(x)d_{q,6}\tilde{f}_{A_I}}{\sqrt{2}\lambda_{q+1}[I]\|\tilde{f}_{A_I}\|f_6} (e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I}f_6} + e^{-i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I}f_6}) dx \right),$$

and $\tilde{u}_{q,6,\text{new}} = -\tilde{u}_{q,6}$, we could obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |\tilde{u}_{q,6,\text{new}} - \tilde{u}_{q,6}|^2 \\ &= \sum_{I \in \mathcal{J}: s_I = s_0} \left(\frac{2\theta_I^2(t)\chi_I^2(x)d_{q,6}^2}{\lambda_{q+1}^2[I]^2|f_6|^2} (e^{i2\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I}f_6} + e^{-i2\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I}f_6} + 2) + 2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \frac{\theta_I(t)\chi_I(x)d_{q,6}\tilde{f}_{A_I}}{\lambda_{q+1}[I]\|\tilde{f}_{A_I}\|f_6} (e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I}f_6} + e^{-i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I}f_6}) dx \right)^2 \right) \\ & - \sum_{I \in \mathcal{J}: s_I = s_0} \frac{4\theta_I(t)\chi_I(x)d_{q,6}\tilde{f}_{A_I}}{\lambda_{q+1}[I]\|\tilde{f}_{A_I}\|f_6} (e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I}f_6} + e^{-i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I}f_6}) \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \frac{\theta_I(t)\chi_I(x)d_{q,6}\tilde{f}_{A_I}}{\lambda_{q+1}[I]\|\tilde{f}_{A_I}\|f_6} (e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I}f_6} + e^{-i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I}f_6}) dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{q,6}^2 &= \delta_{q+1}\Gamma_6^2(\text{Id} - \delta_{q+1}^{-1}R_\ell) \\ &= \frac{\delta_{q+1}}{4}(3(\text{Id} - \delta_{q+1}^{-1}R_\ell)_{33} + 4(\text{Id} - \delta_{q+1}^{-1}R_\ell)_{12} - (\text{Id} - \delta_{q+1}^{-1}R_\ell)_{11} - (\text{Id} - \delta_{q+1}^{-1}R_\ell)_{22}) \\ &= \frac{\delta_{q+1}}{4}(1 - 3(\delta_{q+1}^{-1}R_\ell)_{33} - 4(\delta_{q+1}^{-1}R_\ell)_{12} + (\delta_{q+1}^{-1}R_\ell)_{11} + (\delta_{q+1}^{-1}R_\ell)_{22}) \\ &\geq \frac{\delta_{q+1}}{4}(1 - 9r_0) \geq \frac{\delta_{q+1}}{8}, \end{aligned}$$

we could use Lemma D.2 to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{I \in \mathcal{J}: s_I = s_0} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \frac{2\theta_I^2(t)\chi_I^2(x)d_{q,6}^2}{\lambda_{q+1}^2[I]^2|f_6|^2} (e^{i2\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I}f_6} + e^{-i2\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I}f_6}) dx \\ & \lesssim_{n_0} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{J}: s_I = s_0} \frac{2}{\lambda_{q+1}^2[I]^2|f_6|^2} \cdot \frac{\|\theta_I^2(t)\chi_I^2(x)d_{q,6}^2\|_0 + \|\theta_I^2(t)\chi_I^2(x)d_{q,6}^2\|_{n_0}}{(\lambda_{q+1}|f_6|)^{n_0}} \\ & \lesssim_{n_0} \frac{\delta_{q+1}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{n_0+2}\mu_{q,5}^{n_0}} \lesssim_{n_0} \frac{\delta_{q+1}}{\lambda_{q+1}^3} \leq \frac{\pi^3\delta_{q+1}}{256\lambda_{q+1}^2|f_6|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{I \in \mathcal{J}: s_I = s_0} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \frac{\theta_I(t)\chi_I(x)d_{q,6}\tilde{f}_{A_I}}{\lambda_{q+1}[I]\|\tilde{f}_{A_I}\|f_6} (e^{i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I}f_6} + e^{-i\lambda_{q,i+1}\xi_{A_I}f_6}) dx \\ & \lesssim_{n_0} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{J}: s_I = s_0} \frac{1}{\lambda_{q+1}[I]|f_6|} \cdot \frac{\|\theta_I(t)\chi_I(x)d_{q,6}\|_0 + \|\theta_I(t)\chi_I(x)d_{q,6}\|_{n_0}}{(\lambda_{q+1}|f_6|)^{n_0}} \\ & \lesssim_{n_0} \frac{\delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\lambda_{q+1}^{n_0+1}\mu_{q,5}^{n_0}} \lesssim_{n_0} \frac{\delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\lambda_{q+1}^2} \leq \frac{\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}\delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{16\sqrt{2}\lambda_{q+1}|f_6|}, \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large a . Then, for $t \in \text{supp } \theta_{s_0}(\tau_{q,5}^{-1})$ and satisfy $\theta_I(t) = \theta_{s_0}(\tau_{q,5}^{-1}t) = 1$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\tilde{u}_{q,6,\text{new}} - \tilde{u}_{q,6}|^2 dx &= \sum_{I \in \mathcal{J}: s_I = s_0} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \frac{4\theta_I^2(t)\chi_I^2(x)d_{q,6}^2}{\lambda_{q+1}^2 [I]^2 |f_6|^2} dx + \sum_{I \in \mathcal{J}: s_I = s_0} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \frac{2\theta_I^2(t)\chi_I^2(x)d_{q,6}^2}{\lambda_{q+1}^2 [I]^2 |f_6|^2} (e^{i2\lambda_{q+1}\xi_{A_I, f_6}} + e^{-i2\lambda_{q+1}\xi_{A_I, f_6}}) dx \\ &\quad - 2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \frac{\theta_I(t)\chi_I(x)d_{q,6}\tilde{f}_{A_I}}{\lambda_{q+1} [I] |\tilde{f}_{A_I}| |f_6|} (e^{i\lambda_{q+1}\xi_{A_I, f_6}} + e^{-i\lambda_{q+1}\xi_{A_I, f_6}}) dx \right)^2 \\ &\geq \frac{\pi^3 \delta_{q+1}}{64\lambda_{q+1}^2 |f_6|^2} - \frac{\pi^3 \delta_{q+1}}{128\lambda_{q+1}^2 |f_6|^2} = \frac{\pi^3 \delta_{q+1}}{256\lambda_{q+1}^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $[I] \leq 16$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\|\bar{u}_{q+1} - u_{q+1}\|_{C^0([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{T}^3))} = \|\tilde{u}_{q,6,\text{new}} - \tilde{u}_{q,6}\|_{C^0([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{T}^3))} \geq \frac{\pi^{\frac{3}{2}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{16\lambda_{q+1}}.$$

Assume we are given an approximate solutions (u_q, c_q, R_q) that satisfies (2.4)–(2.5) and

$$\text{supp}_t(\bar{u}_q - u_q, \bar{R}_q - R_q) \subset \mathcal{J},$$

for some time interval \mathcal{J} . We can then construct the regularized Reynolds errors R_ℓ and \bar{R}_ℓ . Notice that they differ only in $\mathcal{J} + \ell_{q,0} \subset \mathcal{J} + (\lambda_q \delta_q^{\frac{1}{4}})^{-1}$. As a result, $\tilde{u}_{q,6,\text{new}}$ differs from $\tilde{u}_{q,6}$ in $\mathcal{J} + (\lambda_q \delta_q^{\frac{1}{4}})^{-1}$, and we can obtain different corrected approximate solutions $(\bar{u}_{q+1}, c_{q+1}, \bar{R}_{q+1})$ and $(u_{q+1}, c_{q+1}, R_{q+1})$ satisfying

$$\text{supp}_t(\bar{u}_{q+1} - u_{q+1}, \bar{R}_{q+1} - R_{q+1}) \subset \mathcal{J} + (\lambda_q \delta_q^{\frac{1}{4}})^{-1}.$$

6.3. Proof of Theorem 1.3. For convenience, we assume that $T \geq 20$ in this argument. We fix $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{60}$ and $\beta \in (\alpha, \frac{1}{60})$. We choose ε, b , and a based on Proposition 2.3. In Section 3.1, we constructed an initial approximate solution (u_0, c_0, R_0) which solves (2.1) on $\mathcal{I}^{-1} \times \mathbb{T}^3$ and satisfies (2.4) and (2.5).

We can apply Proposition 2.3 iteratively to produce a sequence of approximate solutions (u_q, c_q, R_q) , which solve (2.1) and satisfy (2.4)–(2.6).

First, we prove that u_q is a Cauchy sequence in $C^0([0, T]; C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^3))$. For any $q \leq q'$, we have

$$\|u_{q'} - u_q\|_{C^0([0,T];C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^3))} \leq \sum_{l=1}^{q'-q} \|u_{q+l} - u_{q+l-1}\|_{C^0([0,T];C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^3))} \leq \sum_{l=1}^{q'-q} \|u_{q+l} - u_{q+l-1}\|_1^{1-\alpha} \|u_{q+l} - u_{q+l-1}\|_2^\alpha = \sum_{l=1}^{q'-q} \lambda_{q+l}^{\alpha-\beta}.$$

Notice $\sum_{l=1}^{q'-q} \lambda_{q+l}^{\alpha-\beta}$ will converge to 0 as q goes to infinity. Thus, u_q converges to a limit $u \in C^0([0, T], C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^3))$. Similarly, the time regularity follows from (2.6) such that $u \in C^{1,\alpha}([0, T], C^0(\mathbb{T}^3))$. Hence, $u \in C^{1,\alpha'}([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^3)$, for $\alpha' < \alpha < \beta < \frac{1}{60}$. Moreover, c_q and R_q converge to 0 in $C^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^3)$.

Next, we construct two distinct tuples by using Proposition 2.4. At the \bar{q} -th step, we produce two distinct tuples (u_q, c_q, R_q) and $(\bar{u}_q, c_q, \bar{R}_q)$ which satisfy Proposition 2.4, and we have

$$\|\bar{u}_{\bar{q}} - u_{\bar{q}}\|_{C^0([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{T}^3))} \geq \frac{\pi^{\frac{3}{2}} \delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{16\lambda_{q+1}}, \quad \text{supp}_t(u_{\bar{q}} - \bar{u}_{\bar{q}}) \subset \mathcal{I},$$

with $\mathcal{I} = (10, 10 + 3\tau_{\bar{q},-1})$.

Next, we apply Proposition 2.3 iteratively to build a new sequence $(\bar{u}_q, c_q, \bar{R}_q)$ which satisfies (2.4), (2.5), and (2.6). This new sequence also converges to a solution \bar{u} to the quasi-linear wave equations, and $\bar{u} \in C^{1,\alpha'}([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^3)$. Moreover, \bar{u}_q shares initial data with u_q for all q , because for any $q \geq \bar{q}$ and for a large enough, we have

$$\text{supp}_t(u_q - \bar{u}_q) \subset \mathcal{I} + \sum_{q=\bar{q}}^{\infty} (\lambda_q \delta_q^{\frac{1}{4}})^{-1} \subset [9, T],$$

and thus the two solutions \bar{u} and u have the same initial data. However, \bar{u} differs from u because

$$\|u - \bar{u}\|_{C^0([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{T}^3))} \geq \|u_{\bar{q}} - \bar{u}_{\bar{q}}\|_{C^0([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{T}^3))} - \sum_{q=\bar{q}}^{\infty} \|u_{q+1} - u_q - (\bar{u}_{q+1} - \bar{u}_q)\|_{C^0([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{T}^3))}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \|u_{\bar{q}} - \bar{u}_{\bar{q}}\|_{C^0([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{T}^3))} - (2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{q=\bar{q}}^{\infty} (\|u_{q+1} - u_q\|_0 + \|\bar{u}_{q+1} - \bar{u}_q\|_0) \\
&\geq \frac{\pi^{\frac{3}{2}} \delta_{\bar{q}}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{16\lambda_{\bar{q}}} - 2(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}} M \sum_{q=\bar{q}}^{\infty} \frac{\delta_{q+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\lambda_{q+1}} > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

if we choose a large enough.

By changing the choice of time interval \mathcal{I} and the choice of \bar{q} , we can generate infinitely many solutions in a similar way.

APPENDIX A. HÖLDER SPACES

In this section, we introduce the notations we would use for Hölder spaces. For some time interval $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{R}$, we denote the supremum norm as $\|f\|_0 = \|f\|_{C^0(\mathcal{I};C^0(\mathbb{T}^3))} := \sup_{(t,x) \in \mathcal{I} \times \mathbb{T}^3} |f(t,x)|$. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, a multi-index $k = (k_1, k_2, k_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, we denote the Hölder seminorms as

$$[f]_N = \max_{|k|=N} \|D^k f\|_0, \quad [f]_{N+\alpha} = \max_{|k|=N} \sup_{t, x \neq y} \frac{|D^k f(t,x) - D^k f(t,y)|}{|x-y|^\alpha},$$

where D^k are spatial derivatives. Then we can denote the Hölder norms as

$$\|f\|_N = \sum_{j=0}^m [f]_j, \quad \|f\|_{N+\alpha} = \|f\|_N + [f]_{N+\alpha}.$$

APPENDIX B. CONSERVATION LAW FORM OF NONLINEAR EQUATIONS

Obviously, when the derivative of u is small enough, the equations (1.1) can be transformed into quasilinear hyperbolic systems. In this section, we study the structure of the quasilinear wave equations (1.1) in 2D and 3D. We denote $\Omega_\varepsilon = \{u \in C^1 \mid \|\nabla u\|_0 + \|\partial_t u\|_0 \leq \varepsilon\}$, and we will discuss the solution u whose derivatives $\|\nabla u\|_0$ and $\|\partial_t u\|_0$ are small enough, i.e., $u \in \Omega_\varepsilon$ for sufficiently small ε .

We first calculate the 2-dimensional case. Let $U = (\partial_1 u_1, \partial_2 u_1, \partial_1 u_2, \partial_2 u_2, \partial_t u_1, \partial_t u_2)^\top$, then the equations (1.1) can be written as:

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=1}^2 A_j(U) \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_j} = 0, \tag{B.1}$$

where

$$A_j(U) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_j \\ B_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2,$$

and

$$E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda - 2\mu & 2\partial_2 u_1 & 0 & -\lambda - \mu + 2\partial_2 u_2 \\ -\partial_2 u_1 & -\partial_1 u_1 & -\mu - \partial_2 u_2 & -\partial_1 u_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_2 u_1 & -\mu - \partial_1 u_1 & -\partial_2 u_2 & -\partial_1 u_2 \\ -\lambda - \mu + 2\partial_1 u_1 & 0 & 2\partial_1 u_2 & -\lambda - 2\mu \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{S}^1$, denote

$$A(U, \xi) = \sum_{j=1}^2 A_j(U) \xi_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sum_{j=1}^2 \xi_j E_j \\ \sum_{j=1}^2 \xi_j B_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, we calculate the corresponding eigenvalues $\{\tilde{\lambda}_i\}_{i=1}^6$ and eigenvectors $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^6$. It is easy to get

$$\tilde{\lambda}_i \text{Id} - A(U, \xi) := \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\lambda}_i \text{Id}_4 & -\sum_{j=1}^2 \xi_j E_j \\ -\sum_{j=1}^2 \xi_j B_j & \tilde{\lambda}_i \text{Id}_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and then

$$|\tilde{\lambda}_i \text{Id}_6 - A(U, \xi)| = \tilde{\lambda}_i^2 |\tilde{\lambda}_i^2 \text{Id}_2 - C| \\ = \tilde{\lambda}_i^2 \left(\tilde{\lambda}_i^2 - \frac{c_{11} + c_{22}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(c_{11} - c_{22})^2 + 4c_{12}c_{21}} \right) \left(\tilde{\lambda}_i^2 - \frac{c_{11} + c_{22}}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(c_{11} - c_{22})^2 + 4c_{12}c_{21}} \right),$$

where

$$C := \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \xi_i \xi_j B_i E_j = (c_{ij})_{i,j=1}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} (\lambda + 2\mu)\xi_1^2 - \partial_2 u_1 \xi_1 \xi_2 + (\mu + \partial_1 u_1)\xi_2^2 & (\lambda + \mu - \partial_2 u_2)\xi_1 \xi_2 + \partial_1 u_2 \xi_2^2 \\ \partial_2 u_1 \xi_1^2 + (\lambda + \mu - \partial_1 u_1)\xi_1 \xi_2 & (\mu + \partial_2 u_2)\xi_1^2 - \partial_1 u_2 \xi_1 \xi_2 + (\lambda + 2\mu)\xi_2^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So 6 real eigenvalues of $A(U, \xi)$ can be represented as

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{1,2} = 0, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_{3,4} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{c_{11} + c_{22} - \sqrt{(c_{11} - c_{22})^2 + 4c_{12}c_{21}}}{2}}, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_{5,6} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{c_{11} + c_{22} + \sqrt{(c_{11} - c_{22})^2 + 4c_{12}c_{21}}}{2}}.$$

where $\tilde{\lambda}_{3,4}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_{5,6}$ are the square root of the eigenvalue of C . It is obvious to get that, the eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalue 0 are linearly independent, and

$$\nabla \tilde{\lambda}_i(U, \xi) \cdot r_i(U, \xi) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad \forall u \in \Omega_\varepsilon, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{S}^1,$$

For $3 \leq i \leq 6$, the corresponding right eigenvectors can be chosen as

$$r_i(U, \xi) = \left(-\frac{c_{12}\xi_1}{\tilde{\lambda}_i(\tilde{\lambda}_i^2 - c_{11})}, -\frac{c_{12}\xi_2}{\tilde{\lambda}_i(\tilde{\lambda}_i^2 - c_{11})}, -\frac{\xi_1}{\tilde{\lambda}_i}, -\frac{\xi_2}{\tilde{\lambda}_i}, \frac{c_{12}}{\tilde{\lambda}_i^2 - c_{11}}, 1 \right)^\top,$$

and then

$$\nabla \tilde{\lambda}_{3,4} = \frac{1}{2\tilde{\lambda}_{3,4}} \left(-\frac{1}{2}(\nabla c_{11} + \nabla c_{22}) - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{(c_{11} - c_{22})^2 + 4c_{12}c_{21}}} (2(c_{11} - c_{22})(\nabla c_{11} - \nabla c_{22}) + 4c_{21}\nabla c_{12} + 4c_{12}\nabla c_{21}) \right) \\ = \frac{1}{2\tilde{\lambda}_{3,4}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\xi_2^2 \\ \xi_1 \xi_2 \\ \xi_1 \xi_2 \\ -\xi_1^2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{(c_{11} - c_{22})^2 + 4c_{12}c_{21}}} \begin{pmatrix} 2(c_{11} - c_{22})\xi_2^2 - 4c_{12}\xi_1 \xi_2 \\ -2(c_{11} - c_{22})\xi_1 \xi_2 + 4c_{12}\xi_1^2 \\ 2(c_{11} - c_{22})\xi_1 \xi_2 + 4c_{21}\xi_2^2 \\ -2(c_{11} - c_{22})\xi_1^2 - 4c_{21}\xi_1 \xi_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

$$\nabla \tilde{\lambda}_{5,6} = \frac{1}{2\tilde{\lambda}_{5,6}} \left(-\frac{1}{2}(\nabla c_{11} + \nabla c_{22}) + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{(c_{11} - c_{22})^2 + 4c_{12}c_{21}}} (2(c_{11} - c_{22})(\nabla c_{11} - \nabla c_{22}) + 4c_{21}\nabla c_{12} + 4c_{12}\nabla c_{21}) \right) \\ = \frac{1}{2\tilde{\lambda}_{5,6}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\xi_2^2 \\ \xi_1 \xi_2 \\ \xi_1 \xi_2 \\ -\xi_1^2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{(c_{11} - c_{22})^2 + 4c_{12}c_{21}}} \begin{pmatrix} 2(c_{11} - c_{22})\xi_2^2 - 4c_{12}\xi_1 \xi_2 \\ -2(c_{11} - c_{22})\xi_1 \xi_2 + 4c_{12}\xi_1^2 \\ 2(c_{11} - c_{22})\xi_1 \xi_2 + 4c_{21}\xi_2^2 \\ -2(c_{11} - c_{22})\xi_1^2 - 4c_{21}\xi_1 \xi_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

where

$$\nabla c_{11} = (\xi_2^2, -\xi_1 \xi_2, 0, 0, 0, 0)^\top, \quad \nabla c_{22} = (0, 0, -\xi_1 \xi_2, \xi_1^2, 0, 0)^\top, \\ \nabla c_{21} = (-\xi_1 \xi_2, \xi_1^2, 0, 0, 0, 0)^\top, \quad \nabla c_{12} = (0, 0, \xi_2^2, -\xi_1 \xi_2, 0, 0)^\top.$$

Then, we could obtain

$$\nabla \tilde{\lambda}_i(U, \xi) \cdot r_i(U, \xi) = 0, \quad 3 \leq i \leq 6, \quad \forall u \in \Omega_\varepsilon, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{S}^1.$$

To sum up, we have

$$\nabla \tilde{\lambda}_i(U, \xi) \cdot r_i(U, \xi) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 6, \quad \forall u \in \Omega_\varepsilon, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{S}^1.$$

We conclude that all characteristic families of the system (B.1) are linearly degenerate in the sense of Majda [55].

Next, we consider about the case for three dimensions. We use similar symbols without causing confusion. Similarly, we have

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=1}^3 A_j(U) \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_j} = 0, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where $U = (\partial_1 u_1, \partial_2 u_1, \partial_3 u_1, \partial_1 u_2, \partial_2 u_2, \partial_3 u_2, \partial_1 u_3, \partial_2 u_3, \partial_3 u_3, \partial_t u_1, \partial_t u_2, \partial_t u_3)^\top$ and

$$A_j(U) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_j \\ B_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 3,$$

and

$$E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda - 2\mu & 2\partial_2 u_1 & 2\partial_3 u_1 & 0 & -\lambda - \mu + 2\partial_2 u_2 & 2\partial_3 u_2 & 0 & 2\partial_2 u_3 & -\lambda - \mu + 2\partial_3 u_3 \\ -\partial_2 u_1 & -\partial_1 u_1 & 0 & -\partial_2 u_2 & -\mu - \partial_1 u_2 & 0 & -\partial_2 u_3 & -\partial_1 u_2 & 0 \\ -\partial_3 u_1 & 0 & -\partial_1 u_1 & -\partial_3 u_2 & -\mu & -\partial_1 u_2 & -\partial_3 u_3 & 0 & -\partial_1 u_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_2 u_1 & -\mu - \partial_1 u_1 & 0 & -\partial_2 u_2 & -\partial_1 u_2 & 0 & -\partial_2 u_3 & -\partial_1 u_3 & 0 \\ -\lambda - \mu + 2\partial_1 u_1 & 0 & 2\partial_3 u_1 & 2\partial_1 u_2 & -\lambda - 2\mu & 2\partial_3 u_2 & 2\partial_1 u_3 & 0 & -\lambda - \mu + 2\partial_3 u_3 \\ 0 & -\partial_3 u_1 & -\partial_2 u_1 & 0 & -\partial_3 u_2 & -\partial_2 u_1 & 0 & -\mu - \partial_3 u_3 & -\partial_2 u_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_3 u_1 & 0 & -\mu - \partial_1 u_1 & -\partial_3 u_2 & 0 & -\partial_1 u_2 & -\partial_3 u_3 & 0 & -\partial_1 u_3 \\ 0 & -\partial_3 u_1 & -\partial_2 u_1 & 0 & -\partial_3 u_2 & -\mu - \partial_2 u_2 & 0 & -\partial_3 u_3 & -\partial_2 u_3 \\ -\lambda - \mu + 2\partial_1 u_1 & 2\partial_2 u_1 & 0 & 2\partial_1 u_2 & -\lambda - \mu + 2\partial_2 u_2 & 0 & 2\partial_1 u_3 & 2\partial_2 u_3 & -\lambda - 2\mu \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \in \mathbb{S}^2$, denote

$$A(U, \xi) = \sum_{j=1}^3 A_j(U) \xi_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sum_{j=1}^3 \xi_j E_j \\ \sum_{j=1}^3 \xi_j B_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, we calculate the corresponding eigenvalues $\{\tilde{\lambda}_i\}_{i=1}^{12}$ and eigenvectors $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^{12}$. It is easy to get

$$\tilde{\lambda}_i \text{Id} - A(U, \xi) := \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\lambda}_i \text{Id}_9 & -\sum_{j=1}^3 \xi_j E_j \\ -\sum_{j=1}^3 \xi_j B_j & \tilde{\lambda}_i \text{Id}_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

and then

$$|\tilde{\lambda}_i \text{Id}_{12} - A(U, \xi)| = \tilde{\lambda}_i^6 |\tilde{\lambda}_i^6 \text{Id}_2 - C|,$$

where

$$C = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \xi_i \xi_j B_i E_j = (c_{ij})_{i,j=1}^3.$$

Then we know 12 real eigenvalues of $A(U, \xi)$ satisfy that $\tilde{\lambda}_{1,2,3,4,5,6} = 0$, and $\tilde{\lambda}_{7,8}$, $\tilde{\lambda}_{9,10}$, and $\tilde{\lambda}_{11,12}$ are the square root of the corresponding eigenvalue of C . It is obvious to get that, for the eigenvalue 0, there are six linearly independent eigenvectors, and

$$\nabla \tilde{\lambda}_i \cdot r_i = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 6, \quad \forall u \in \Omega_\varepsilon, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{S}^2.$$

For $7 \leq i \leq 12$, by doing the similar calculation as before, we can get

$$\nabla \tilde{\lambda}_i(U, \xi) \cdot r_i(U, \xi) = 0, \quad 7 \leq i \leq 12, \quad \forall u \in \Omega_\varepsilon, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{S}^2.$$

To sum up, we have

$$\nabla \tilde{\lambda}_i(U, \xi) \cdot r_i(U, \xi) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 12, \quad \forall u \in \Omega_\varepsilon, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{S}^2.$$

We conclude that all characteristic families of the system (B.2) are linearly degenerate in the sense of Majda [55].

APPENDIX C. INVERSE DIVERGENCE OPERATOR

In this part, we introduce the inverse divergence operators which is originally defined in [28].

Definition C.1 (Leray projection). *Let $v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3; \mathbb{R}^3)$ be a smooth vector field. Let*

$$Qv := \nabla \psi + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} v, \quad (\text{C.1})$$

where $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)$ is the solution of $\Delta \psi = \operatorname{div} v$, with $\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \psi = 0$. Furthermore, let $\mathcal{P} = I - Q$ be the Leray projection onto divergence-free fields with zero average.

Definition C.2 (Inverse divergence). *Let $v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3; \mathbb{R}^3)$ be a smooth vector field. We can define $\mathcal{R}v$ to be the matrix-valued periodic function*

$$\mathcal{R}v := \frac{1}{4}(\nabla \mathcal{P}u + (\nabla \mathcal{P}u)^\top) + \frac{3}{4}(\nabla u + (\nabla u)^\top) - \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{div} u) \operatorname{Id}, \quad (\text{C.2})$$

where $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3; \mathbb{R}^3)$ is the solution of $\Delta u = v - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} v$, with $\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} u = 0$.

Proposition C.3. *For any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a constant $C(N, \alpha)$ with the following properties. For the operator \mathcal{R} defined above, we have for $v \in C^{N+\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^3; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $A \in C^{N+\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^3; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})$*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{R}v\|_{N+1+\alpha} &\leq C(N, \alpha) \|v\|_{N+\alpha}, \\ \|\mathcal{R}(\operatorname{div} A)\|_{N+\alpha} &\leq C(N, \alpha) \|A\|_{N+\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.3})$$

Proof. By the standard Schauder estimates, for any $\phi, \psi : \mathbb{T}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \phi = f, \\ \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \phi = 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} \Delta \psi = \operatorname{div} F, \\ \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \psi = 0, \end{cases}$$

we have $\|\phi\|_{N+2+\alpha} \leq C(N, \alpha) \|f\|_{N+\alpha}$, $\|\psi\|_{N+1+\alpha} \leq C(N, \alpha) \|F\|_{N+\alpha}$, which yields (C.3). \square

APPENDIX D. SOME TECHNICAL LEMMAS

In this section, we introduce some lemmas given in [13, 25, 30]. The proof for the following two lemmas can be found in [13, Appendix].

Lemma D.1. [13, Proposition A.1] *Suppose $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \Omega$ are smooth functions for some $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$. Then, for each $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^N(F \circ \Psi)\|_0 &\lesssim \|\nabla F\|_0 \|\nabla \Psi\|_{N-1} + \|\nabla F\|_{N-1} \|\Psi\|_0^{N-1} \|\Psi\|_N, \\ \|\nabla^N(F \circ \Psi)\|_0 &\lesssim \|\nabla F\|_0 \|\nabla \Psi\|_{N-1} + \|\nabla F\|_{N-1} \|\nabla \Psi\|_0^N, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.1})$$

where the implicit constants in the inequalities depends only on n, m , and N .

Lemma D.2. [13, Proposition C.2]. *Let $N \geq 1$. Suppose that $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)$ and $\xi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3; \mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfies*

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq |\nabla \xi| \leq C, \quad (\text{D.2})$$

for some constant $C > 1$. Then, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} a(x) e^{ik \cdot \xi} dx \right| \lesssim_{C,N} \frac{\|a\|_N + \|a\|_0 \|\nabla \xi\|_N}{|k|^{N-1}}, \quad (\text{D.3})$$

and for the operator \mathcal{R} defined in Definition C.2, we have

$$\|\mathcal{R}(a(x) e^{ik \cdot \xi})\|_\alpha \lesssim_{C,N,\alpha} \frac{\|a\|_0}{|k|^{1-\alpha}} + \frac{\|a\|_{N+\alpha} + \|a\|_0 \|\xi\|_{N+\alpha}}{|k|^{N-\alpha}}. \quad (\text{D.4})$$

In this section, we introduce a lemma which gives the solutions of a system of polynomial equations and will be used in the construction of the perturbation. To maintain the completeness of the article, we will use the Newton iteration method to provide the proof.

Lemma E.1. *Consider the following equations*

$$\begin{cases} A_1 a_1^2 + E_1 a_1 a_2 + C_1 a_1 + B_2 a_2 = D_1, \\ A_2 a_2^2 + E_2 a_1 a_2 + C_2 a_2 + B_1 a_1 = D_2, \end{cases} \quad (\text{E.1})$$

where A_i, B_i, C_i, D_i and E_i are constants. If there exist positive constants \tilde{C} , c , and ε such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 (|A_i| + |B_i| + |D_i| + |E_i| + |C_i - c|) \leq \tilde{C}\varepsilon,$$

we could find $\varepsilon_0(\tilde{C}, c) > 0$ such that, for any $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, there exists an solution (a_1, a_2) of equation E.1 such that

$$|a_1| + |a_2| \leq C\varepsilon,$$

for some constant $C(\tilde{C}, c) > 0$.

Proof. If $\varepsilon < \min(\frac{c}{1000}, \frac{c}{1000\tilde{C}}, \frac{c}{1000\tilde{C}^2}, \frac{c^2}{512\tilde{C}}, \frac{1}{2})$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 (|A_i| + |B_i| + |D_i| + |E_i|) \leq \frac{c}{100}, \quad \frac{c}{2} \leq |C_1| \leq 2c, \quad \frac{c}{2} \leq |C_2| \leq 2c.$$

Let $a_{1,1} = D_1/C_1$ and $a_{2,1} = D_2/C_2$, we have

$$\begin{cases} A_1 a_{1,1}^2 + E_1 a_{1,1} a_{2,1} + C_1 a_{1,1} + B_2 a_{2,1} = D_1 + \epsilon_{1,1}, \\ A_2 a_{2,1}^2 + E_2 a_{1,1} a_{2,1} + C_2 a_{2,1} + B_1 a_{1,1} = D_2 + \epsilon_{2,1}, \end{cases} \quad (\text{E.2})$$

where $\epsilon_{1,1} = (A_1 D_1^2)/C_1^2 + (E_1 D_1 D_2)/(C_1 C_2) + (B_2 D_2)/C_2$ and $\epsilon_{1,2} = (A_2 D_2^2)/C_2^2 + (E_2 D_1 D_2)/(C_1 C_2) + (B_1 D_1)/C_1$. So we have the following estimates

$$|a_{1,1}| + |a_{2,1}| \leq \frac{4\tilde{C}\varepsilon}{c} \leq \frac{1}{100}, \quad |\epsilon_{1,1}| + |\epsilon_{2,1}| \leq \frac{8(\tilde{C}\varepsilon)^2}{c} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Assume $a_{1,n}$ and $a_{2,n}$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} A_1 a_{1,n}^2 + E_1 a_{1,n} a_{2,n} + C_1 a_{1,n} + B_2 a_{2,n} = D_1 + \epsilon_{1,n}, \\ A_2 a_{2,n}^2 + E_2 a_{1,n} a_{2,n} + C_2 a_{2,n} + B_1 a_{1,n} = D_2 + \epsilon_{2,n}, \end{cases} \quad (\text{E.3})$$

and

$$|a_{1,n}| + |a_{2,n}| \leq 1. \quad (\text{E.4})$$

Then we could add a correction $\tilde{a}_{1,n}$ and $\tilde{a}_{2,n}$ which is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} (C_1 + 2A_1 a_{1,n} + E_1 a_{2,n})\tilde{a}_{1,n} + (B_2 + E_1 a_{1,n})\tilde{a}_{2,n} = -\epsilon_{1,n}, \\ (B_1 + E_2 a_{2,n})\tilde{a}_{1,n} + (C_2 + 2A_2 a_{2,n} + E_2 a_{1,n})\tilde{a}_{2,n} = -\epsilon_{2,n}. \end{cases} \quad (\text{E.5})$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}_{1,n} &= -\frac{\epsilon_{1,n}(C_2 + 2A_2 a_{2,n} + E_2 a_{1,n}) - \epsilon_{2,n}(B_2 + E_1 a_{1,n})}{(C_1 + 2A_1 a_{1,n} + E_1 a_{2,n})(C_2 + 2A_2 a_{2,n} + E_2 a_{1,n}) - (B_1 + E_2 a_{2,n})(B_2 + E_1 a_{1,n})}, \\ \tilde{a}_{2,n} &= -\frac{\epsilon_{2,n}(C_1 + 2A_1 a_{1,n} + E_1 a_{2,n}) - \epsilon_{1,n}(B_1 + E_2 a_{2,n})}{(C_2 + 2A_2 a_{2,n} + E_2 a_{1,n})(C_1 + 2A_1 a_{1,n} + E_1 a_{2,n}) - (B_1 + E_2 a_{2,n})(B_2 + E_1 a_{1,n})}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|\tilde{a}_{1,n}| + |\tilde{a}_{2,n}| \leq \frac{16c}{c^2} (|\epsilon_{1,n}| + |\epsilon_{2,n}|) \leq \frac{16}{c} (|\epsilon_{1,n}| + |\epsilon_{2,n}|).$$

So the new items $a_{1,n+1} = a_{1,n} + \tilde{a}_{1,n}$ and $a_{2,n+1} = a_{2,n} + \tilde{a}_{2,n}$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} A_1 a_{1,n+1}^2 + E_1 a_{1,n+1} a_{2,n+1} + C_1 a_{1,n+1} + B_2 a_{2,n+1} = D_1 + \epsilon_{1,n+1}, \\ A_2 a_{2,n+1}^2 + E_2 a_{1,n+1} a_{2,n+1} + C_2 a_{2,n+1} + B_1 a_{1,n+1} = D_2 + \epsilon_{2,n+1}, \end{cases} \quad (\text{E.6})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} |\epsilon_{1,n+1}| &= |A_1 \tilde{a}_{1,n}^2 + E_1 \tilde{a}_{1,n} \tilde{a}_{2,n}| \leq \frac{256\tilde{C}\varepsilon}{c^2} (|\epsilon_{1,n}| + |\epsilon_{2,n}|)^2 < \frac{(|\epsilon_{1,n}| + |\epsilon_{2,n}|)^2}{2}, \\ |\epsilon_{2,n+1}| &= |A_2 \tilde{a}_{2,n}^2 + E_2 \tilde{a}_{1,n} \tilde{a}_{2,n}| \leq \frac{256\tilde{C}\varepsilon}{c^2} (|\epsilon_{1,n}| + |\epsilon_{2,n}|)^2 < \frac{(|\epsilon_{1,n}| + |\epsilon_{2,n}|)^2}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.7})$$

By repeating this process, we can get a sequence $(a_{1,n} = a_{1,1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \tilde{a}_{1,i}, a_{2,n} = a_{2,1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \tilde{a}_{2,i}, \epsilon_{1,n}, \epsilon_{2,n})$ which satisfies the following estimates

$$|\epsilon_{1,n}| + |\epsilon_{2,n}| < \varepsilon^{2^{n-1}}, \quad |a_{1,n}| + |a_{2,n}| \leq \frac{4\tilde{C}\varepsilon}{c} + \frac{16}{c} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{2^{i-1}} \leq C(\tilde{C}, c)\varepsilon \leq 1.$$

Let $a_1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{1,n}, a_2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{2,n}$, we complete the proof. \square

Conflict of interest. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Data availability statement. Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Al Baba, C. Klingenberg, O. Kreml, V. Mácha, and S. Markfelder. Nonuniqueness of admissible weak solution to the Riemann problem for the full Euler system in two dimensions. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 52(2):1729–1760, 2020.
- [2] X. An, H. Chen, and S. Yin. Low regularity ill-posedness for elastic waves driven by shock formation. *Amer. J. Math.*, 145(4):1111–1181, 2023.
- [3] S. Alinhac. Blowup of small data solutions for a quasilinear wave equation in two space dimensions. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 149(1):97–127, 1999.
- [4] S. Alinhac. Blowup of small data solutions for a class of quasilinear wave equations in two space dimensions. II. *Acta Math.*, 182(1):1–23, 1999.
- [5] S. Alinhac. Rank 2 singular solutions for quasilinear wave equations. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, 2000(18):955–984, 2000.
- [6] S. Alinhac. The null condition for quasilinear wave equations in two space dimensions. I. *Invent. Math.*, 145(3):597–618, 2001.
- [7] S. Alinhac. The null condition for quasilinear wave equations in two space dimensions. II. *Amer. J. Math.*, 123(6):1071–1101, 2001.
- [8] R. Beekie, T. Buckmaster, and V. Vicol. Weak solutions of ideal MHD which do not conserve magnetic helicity. *Ann. PDE*, 6(1):Paper No. 1, 40pp, 2020.
- [9] M. I. Belishev and I. Lasiecka. The dynamical Lamé system: regularity of solutions, boundary controllability and boundary data continuation, *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.*, 8:143–167, 2002.
- [10] L. Bociu. Local and global wellposedness of weak solutions for the wave equation with nonlinear boundary and interior sources of supercritical exponents and damping. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 71(12):e560–e575, 2009.
- [11] J. Březina, O. Kreml, and V. Mácha. Non-uniqueness of delta shocks and contact discontinuities in the multi-dimensional model of Chaplygin gas. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, 28(2):Paper No. 13, 24, 2021.
- [12] T. Buckmaster. Onsager’s conjecture almost everywhere in time. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 333(3):1175–1198, 2015.
- [13] T. Buckmaster, C. De Lellis, L. Székelyhidi, Jr., and V. Vicol. Onsager’s conjecture for admissible weak solutions. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 72(2):229–274, 2019.
- [14] T. Buckmaster, C. De Lellis, P. Isett, and L. Székelyhidi, Jr.. Anomalous dissipation for 1/5-Hölder Euler flows. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 182(1):127–172, 2015.
- [15] T. Buckmaster, C. De Lellis, and L. Székelyhidi, Jr. Dissipative Euler flows with Onsager-critical spatial regularity. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 69(9):1613–1670, 2016.
- [16] T. Buckmaster and V. Vicol. Nonuniqueness of weak solutions to the Navier–Stokes equation. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 189(1):101–144, 2019.
- [17] A. Cheskidov and X. Luo. Sharp nonuniqueness for the Navier–Stokes equations. *Invent. Math.*, 229(3):987–1054, 2022.
- [18] E. Chiodaroli, C. De Lellis, and O. Kreml. Global ill-posedness of the isentropic system of gas dynamics. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 68(7):1157–1190, 2015.
- [19] E. Chiodaroli and O. Kreml. Non-uniqueness of admissible weak solutions to the Riemann problem for isentropic Euler equations. *Nonlinearity*, 31(4):1441–1460, 2018.
- [20] E. Chiodaroli, O. Kreml, V. Mácha, and S. Schwarzacher. Non-uniqueness of admissible weak solutions to the compressible Euler equations with smooth initial data. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 374(4):2269–2295, 2021.
- [21] D. Christodoulou. Global solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic equations for small initial data. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 39(2):267–282, 1986.

- [22] T. Cieślak, B. Muha, and S. Trifunović. Global weak solutions in nonlinear 3D thermoelasticity. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations.*, 63(1):Paper No. 26, 36 pp, 2024.
- [23] C. M. Dafermos. *Hyperbolic Conservation Laws in Continuum Physics*. Springer-Verlag, 2016.
- [24] S. Daneri and L. Székelyhidi, Jr. Non-uniqueness and h-principle for Hölder-continuous weak solutions of the Euler equations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 224(2):471–514, 2017.
- [25] C. De Lellis and H. Kwon. On nonuniqueness of Hölder continuous globally dissipative Euler flows. *Anal. PDE*, 15(8):2003–2059, 2022.
- [26] C. De Lellis and L. Székelyhidi, Jr. The Euler equations as a differential inclusion. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 170(3):1417–1436, 2009.
- [27] C. De Lellis and L. Székelyhidi, Jr. On admissibility criteria for weak solutions of the Euler equation. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 195(1):225–260, 2010.
- [28] C. De Lellis and L. Székelyhidi, Jr. Dissipative continuous Euler flows. *Invent. Math.*, 193(2):377–407, 2013.
- [29] C. De Lellis and L. Székelyhidi, Jr. Dissipative Euler flows and Onsager’s conjecture. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, 16(7):1467–1505, 2014.
- [30] V. Giri and H. Kwon. On non-uniqueness of continuous entropy solutions to the isentropic compressible Euler equations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 245(2):1213–1283, 2022.
- [31] V. Giri, H. Kwon, and M. Novack. A wavelet-inspired L^3 -based convex integration framework for the Euler equations. *Ann. PDE*, 10(2):Paper No. 19, 271, 2024.
- [32] L. Grafakos. *Classical Fourier Analysis*. Springer, New York, 2014.
- [33] S. Guesmia and S. Harkat Lamé’s system in large size domains: existence, asymptotic behaviour and explicit solution. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 536(2):Paper No. 128223, 29 pp, 2024.
- [34] P. Isett. A proof of Onsager’s conjecture. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 188(3):871–963, 2018.
- [35] F. John. Blow-up for quasilinear wave equations in three space dimensions. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 34(1):29–51, 1981.
- [36] F. John. Almost global existence of elastic waves of finite amplitude arising from small initial disturbances. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 41(5):615–666, 1988.
- [37] S. Klainerman. *The Null Condition and Global Existence to Nonlinear Wave Equations*. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.
- [38] C. Klingenberg, O. Kreml, and V. Mácha, and S. Markfelder. Shocks make the Riemann problem for the full Euler system in multiple space dimensions ill-posed. *Nonlinearity*, 33(12):6517–6540, 2020.
- [39] P. D. Lax. Hyperbolic systems of conservation laws. II. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 10:537–566, 1957.
- [40] Z. Lei and Y. Zhou. Global low regularity solutions of quasi-linear wave equations. *Adv. Differential Equations*, 13(1-2):55–104, 2008.
- [41] Z. Lei, C. T. Sideris, and Y. Zhou. Almost global existence for 2-D incompressible isotropic elastodynamics. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 367(11):8175–8197, 2015.
- [42] Z. Lei. Global well-posedness of incompressible elastodynamics in two dimensions. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 69(11):2072–2106, 2016.
- [43] T. Li and X. Yu. Durée de vie des solutions régulières pour les équations des ondes non linéaires. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 309(7):469–472, 1989.
- [44] T. Li and X. Yu. Life-span of classical solutions to fully nonlinear wave equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 16(6-7):909–940, 1991.
- [45] T. Li and Y. Zhou. Life-span of classical solutions to fully nonlinear wave equations. II. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 19(9):833–853, 1992.
- [46] T. Li and Y. Zhou. Life-span of classical solutions to nonlinear wave equations in two space dimensions. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 73(3):223–249, 1994.
- [47] T. Li and Y. Zhou. *Nonlinear Wave Equations*. Shanghai Science and Technical Publishers, Shanghai; Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2017.
- [48] Y. Li, P. Qu, Z. Zeng, and D. Zhang. Sharp non-uniqueness for the 3D hyperdissipative Navier–Stokes equations: above the Lions exponent. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 190:Paper No. 103602, 64 pp, 2024.
- [49] Y. Li, Z. Zeng, and D. Zhang. Non-uniqueness of weak solutions to 3D magnetohydrodynamic equations. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 165(9):232–285, 2022.
- [50] H. Lindblad. On the lifespan of solutions of nonlinear wave equations with small initial data. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 43(4):445–472, 1990.
- [51] H. Lindblad. Counterexamples to local existence for quasilinear wave equations. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 5(5):605–622, 1998.
- [52] T. Luo and P. Qu. Non-uniqueness of weak solutions to 2D hypoviscous Navier–Stokes equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 269(4):2896–2919, 2020.
- [53] T. Luo and Z. Xin. Hölder continuous solutions to the three-dimensional Prandtl system. arXiv: 1804.04285, 2018.
- [54] T. F. Ma, J. G. Mesquita and P. N. Seminario-Huertas. Smooth dynamics of weakly damped Lamé systems with delay. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 53(4):3759–3771, 2021.
- [55] A. Majda. *Systems of Conservation Laws in Several Space Variables*. PWN, Warsaw, 1984.
- [56] S. Mao and P. Qu. Non-uniqueness for the compressible Euler-Maxwell equations. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 63(7): Paper No. 186, 84 pp, 2024.
- [57] C. Miao and W. Ye. On the weak solutions for the MHD systems with controllable total energy and cross helicity. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 181:190–227, 2024.
- [58] M. Novack and V. Vicol. An intermittent Onsager theorem. *Invent. Math.*, 233(1):223–323, 2023.
- [59] H. Smith and D. Tataru. Sharp local well-posedness results for the nonlinear wave equation. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 162(1):291–366, 2005.
- [60] T. C. Sideris. Formation of singularities in solutions to nonlinear hyperbolic equations. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 86(4):369–381, 1984.
- [61] T. C. Sideris. Formation of singularities in three-dimensional compressible fluids. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 101(4):475–485, 1985.
- [62] T. C. Sideris. The null condition and global existence of nonlinear elastic waves. *Invent. Math.*, 123(2):323–342, 1996.
- [63] T. C. Sideris. Nonresonance and global existence of prestressed nonlinear elastic waves. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 151(2):849–874, 2000.
- [64] T. C. Sideris and B. Thomases. Global existence for three-dimensional incompressible isotropic elastodynamics via the incompressible limit. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 58(6):750–788, 2005.

- [65] Q. Wang. A geometric approach for sharp local well-posedness of quasilinear wave equations. *Ann. PDE*, 3(1):Paper No. 12, 108pp., 2017.
- [66] R. Wang, M.M. Freitas, B. Feng, and A.J.A. Ramos. Global attractors and synchronization of coupled critical Lamé systems with nonlinear damping. *J. Differential Equations*, 359:476–513, 2023.
- [67] D. Zha. Global and almost global existence for general quasilinear wave equations in two space dimensions. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 123(9):270–299, 2019.
- [68] D. Zha and K. Hidano. Global solutions to systems of quasilinear wave equations with low regularity data and applications. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 142(9):146–183, 2020.
- [69] H. Zhang. Local well-posedness for incompressible neo-Hookean elastic equations in almost critical Sobolev spaces. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 63(3):Paper No. 66, 20, 2024.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, CHINA.
Email address, Shunkai Mao: 21110180056@m.fudan.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES & SHANGHAI KEY LABORATORY FOR CONTEMPORARY APPLIED MATHEMATICS, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, CHINA.
Email address, Peng Qu: pqu@fudan.edu.cn