

Existence and Convergence of Least-Energy Solutions Involving the Logarithmic Schrödinger Operator

Huyuan Chen, Rui Chen and Bobo Hua

Abstract

In this paper, we establish the first existence result for solutions to the critical semilinear equation involving the logarithmic Schrödinger operator with subcritical logarithmic nonlinearities. Additionally, we present the first existence result for least-energy solutions to the Brezis-Nirenberg type problem for the fractional pseudo-relativistic Schrödinger operator with subcritical and critical nonlinearities. Specifically, we demonstrate that the least-energy solutions of the fractional pseudo-relativistic Schrödinger equation converge, up to a subsequence, to a nontrivial least-energy solution of the limiting problem involving the logarithmic Schrödinger operator. Furthermore, we provide regularity result for solutions to the logarithmic Schrödinger equation with sublinear nonlinearities. Our approach relies on uniform positive bounds for elements in the Nehari manifold, least-energy solutions, the Mountain-pass structure, the Palais-Smale condition, variational methods and asymptotic expansion.

Keywords: Logarithmic Schrödinger operator, Fractional pseudo-relativistic Schrödinger operator, Brezis-Nirenberg problem, Variational method

1 Introduction and Main Results

In this paper, we aim to study the existence, uniqueness, and regularity of solutions to the critical semilinear equation involving the logarithmic Schrödinger operator with sublinear and subcritical logarithmic nonlinearities, i.e.

$$\begin{cases} (I - \Delta)^{\ln} u = \lambda u + ku \ln |u| & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $k < \frac{4}{N}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and Ω is an open bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^N with Lipschitz boundary. Here, $(I - \Delta)^{\ln}$ refers to the logarithmic Schrödinger operator, which has the Fourier symbol $\ln(1 + |\xi|^2)$.

In recent years, there has been considerable interest in boundary value problems involving both linear and nonlinear nonlocal integro-differential operators, particularly the fractional Laplacian with the Fourier symbol $|\xi|^{2s}$, as seen in [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. The logarithmic Laplacian with the symbol $\ln |\xi|^2$ emerged in the

first-order term of the Taylor expansion of the fractional Laplacian (see (1.9)), naturally sparking interest in its study. In 2019, the explicit integral expression for the logarithmic Laplacian was computed, and a maximum principle was established in both weak and strong forms [6]. The existence of solutions to boundary value problems corresponding to the logarithmic Laplacian was first explored in [7]. In [8], authors investigated the optimal boundary regularity of solutions to Dirichlet problems involving the logarithmic Laplacian and presented a Hopf-type lemma. In [9], a classification of positive solutions for the critical semilinear problem involving the logarithmic Laplacian was given, showing that the equation

$$(-\Delta)^{\ln} u = ku \ln u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N$$

has no positive solutions for $k \in (0, \infty) \setminus \{\frac{4}{N}\}$.

Another important class of operators in the theory of nonlocal differential equations is the fractional power of the pseudo-relativistic operator $(m^2 - \Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, which plays a significant role in quantum mechanics, particularly in the description of the Schrödinger Hamiltonian. In this paper, we study a generalization of the operator $(I - \Delta)^s$, which we refer to as the fractional pseudo-relativistic Schrödinger operator. We recall that $(-\Delta + m^2)^s - m^{2s}$ is known as the $2s$ -stable relativistic process, and $(I - \Delta)^s$ serves as a relativistic correction that captures long-range spatial interactions, reflecting the impact of nonlocality on the dynamics [10, 11].

At first glance, one might suppose that $(-\Delta)^s$ and $(I - \Delta)^s$ can be treated similarly. However, there are significant differences: $(I - \Delta)^s$ induces a norm in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, whereas $(-\Delta)^s$ does not. In particular, $(-\Delta)^s$ is $2s$ -homogeneous under dilations, meaning that $(-\Delta)^s u_\lambda(x) = \lambda^{2s} (-\Delta)^s u(\lambda x)$, $u_\lambda(x) := u(\lambda x)$, which is crucial for proving the Pohozaev identity, a property that does not hold for $(I - \Delta)^s$. While results for the fractional Laplacian are well-established, those for $(I - \Delta)^s$ are still relatively scarce.

Our study focuses on the logarithmic Schrödinger operator with the symbol $\ln(1 + |\xi|^2)$, which shares similarities with the logarithmic Laplacian, particularly as it appears in the first-order term of the Taylor expansion of the fractional pseudo-relativistic Schrödinger operator (see (1.2)). However, a key distinction is that the logarithmic Schrödinger operator is positive definite, which allows for stronger results in certain aspects. For instance, Feulefack proved that the logarithmic Schrödinger operator satisfies the maximum principle [12, Theorem 6.1], whereas specific conditions must be met for the maximum principle to hold for the logarithmic Laplacian [6, Proposition 4.1].

While the logarithmic Schrödinger operator has been extensively studied in the literature from probabilistic and potential theoretic perspectives, see [13, 14, 15, 16]), there has been no study on the existence of solutions to equations involving the logarithmic Schrödinger operator with logarithmic nonlinearities to date.

The logarithmic Schrödinger operator $(I - \Delta)^{\ln}$ has been introduced in [12] in a Taylor expansion with respect to the parameter s of the operator $(I - \Delta)^s$ near zero, i.e. for $u \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\alpha > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$

$$(I - \Delta)^s u(x) = u(x) + s(I - \Delta)^{\ln} u(x) + o(s) \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow 0^+ \quad (1.2)$$

where the logarithmic Schrödinger operator $(I - \Delta)^{\ln}$ appears as the first-order term in (1.2) and $(I - \Delta)^s$ could be represented via hypersingular integral, see [17, page 548] (also see [18])

$$(I - \Delta)^s u(x) = u(x) + d_{N,s} p.v. \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u(x) - u(x+y)}{|y|^{N+2s}} \omega_s(|y|) dy, \quad (1.3)$$

where $d_{N,s} = \frac{\pi^{-\frac{N}{2}} 4^s}{-\Gamma(-s)}$ is a normalization constant and the function ω_s is given by

$$\omega_s(|y|) = 2^{1-\frac{N+2s}{2}} |y|^{\frac{N+2s}{2}} K_{\frac{N+2s}{2}}(|y|) = \int_0^\infty t^{-1+\frac{N+2s}{2}} e^{-t-\frac{|y|^2}{4t}} dt. \quad (1.4)$$

Here the function K_v is the modified Bessel function of the second kind with index $v > 0$ and it is given by the expression

$$K_v(r) = \frac{(\pi/2)^{\frac{1}{2}} r^v e^{-r}}{\Gamma(\frac{2v+1}{2})} \int_0^\infty e^{-rt} t^{v-\frac{1}{2}} (1+t/2)^{v-\frac{1}{2}} dt.$$

The normalization constant $d_{N,s}$ in (1.3) is chosen such that the operator $(I - \Delta)^s$ with fourier symbol $(1 + |\xi|^2)^s$.

Following [12, Theorem 1.1], the logarithmic Schrödinger operator $(I - \Delta)^{\ln}$ can be evaluated as

$$(I - \Delta)^{\ln} u(x) := \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0^+} [(I - \Delta)^s u](x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u(x) - u(x+y)) J(y) dy,$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where $d_N := \pi^{-\frac{N}{2}} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{d_{N,s}}{s}$ and

$$J(y) = d_N \frac{\omega(|y|)}{|y|^N}, \quad \omega(|y|) := 2^{1-\frac{N}{2}} |y|^{\frac{N}{2}} K_{\frac{N}{2}}(|y|). \quad (1.5)$$

The first motivation to study problem (1.1) comes from the fact that $(I - \Delta)^{\ln}$ appears as a first-order expansion term of $(I - \Delta)^s$. A natural question is to explore the limit of solutions $\{u_s\}$ of the following problem (1.6) as $s \rightarrow 0^+$.

$$\begin{cases} (I - \Delta)^s u = \tau_s u + |u|^{p_s-2} u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

where

$$s \in (0, 1), N > 2s, \tau_s < \lambda_{1,s}^\omega \text{ when } 2 < p_s < 2_s^* := \frac{2N}{N-2s}; \quad (1.7)$$

and

$$s \in (0, 1), N \geq 4s, 1 < \tau_s < \lambda_{1,s}^\omega \text{ when } p_s = 2_s^*. \quad (1.8)$$

$\lambda_{1,s}^\omega$ is defined in (2.6) and is greater than 1, see (2.8).

If $p \in C^1([0, \frac{N}{4}])$, combining

$$|u|^{p_s-2} u = u + sp'(0) u \ln |u| + o(s) \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow 0^+$$

and

$$(I - \Delta)^s u(x) = u(x) + s(I - \Delta)^{\ln} u(x) + o(s) \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow 0^+$$

we can observe that the limit of solutions $\{u_s\}$ in (1.6) is related to problem (1.1).

Thus, a direct approach is to consider the limit of the nontrivial solutions of problem (1.6) to prove the existence to problem (1.1). In fact, this can be done, as shown in Theorem 1.4. However, we will independently present the first existence result for nontrivial least-energy solution to problem (1.1) without relying on limits.

Theorem 1.1. Let $N \geq 1$, and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded open Lipschitz set. Then:

(i) For every $k \in (0, \frac{4}{N})$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the problem (1.1) has a Nehari least-energy solution $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\ln}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ and

$$J_{\ln}(u) = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}} J_{\ln}(v) = \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_{\ln}(\sigma(t)) > 0,$$

where $\mathcal{T} := \{\sigma \in C^0([0,1], \mathcal{H}_0^{\ln}(\Omega)) : \sigma(0) = 0, \sigma(1) \neq 0, J_{\ln}(\sigma(1)) \leq 0\}$. Furthermore, all least-energy solutions of (1.1) do not change sign in Ω .

(ii) For every $k < 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the equation (1.1) has a global least-energy solution $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\ln}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$. Moreover, the global least-energy solutions of (1.1) do not change sign in Ω .

In particular, the solution in (ii) is unique (up to a sign).

Here, J_{\ln} is defined in (2.4) and \mathcal{N} is defined in (2.5).

In fact, for $k = 0$, solutions of equation (1.1) correspond to the eigenfunctions of the following equation [12]:

$$\begin{cases} (I - \Delta)^{\ln} u = \lambda u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega. \end{cases}$$

For $k \in (0, \frac{4}{N})$, we employ the Ekeland variational method, a consistent lower bound for the elements in the Nehari manifold \mathcal{N} (see Lemma 2.17) and the Palais-Smale condition to prove the existence.

For $k < 0$, the proof is based on the coercivity, boundedness below and lower semicontinuity of J_{\ln} . Uniqueness is established using convexity by paths.

It is worth noting that $\frac{4}{N}$ is critical, corresponding to the critical exponent in the logarithmic Schrödinger equation with logarithmic nonlinearity. When $k = \frac{4}{N}$, using Pitt's inequality (2.11), we see that the growth rate of the logarithmic nonlinearity in (1.1) matches that of the principal term. Therefore, it is not possible to deduce that the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded based solely on the boundedness of the functional $J_{\ln}(u_n)$, as shown in Proposition 3.4.

In [7], Alberto Saldana studied logarithmic Laplacian, analyzing small order asymptotic behavior in nonlinear fractional problems. They provided the first existence result for solutions with logarithmic nonlinear terms in the logarithmic Laplacian framework for $k \in (0, \frac{4}{N})$. However, they did not address whether a solution exists for $k \geq \frac{4}{N}$. The existence of solutions for the critical logarithmic Schrödinger equation with $k \geq \frac{4}{N}$ also remains an open question.

For compactly supported Dini continuous functions $u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the logarithmic Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{\ln}$ has the integro-differential formula defined in [6]

$$(-\Delta)^{\ln} u(x) = c_N \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\varepsilon(x)} \frac{u(x)1_{B_1(x)}(y) - u(y)}{|x - y|^N} dy + \rho_N u(x)$$

with the constants $c_N := \frac{\Gamma(N/2)}{\pi^{N/2}}$ and $\rho_N = 2 \ln 2 + \psi\left(\frac{N}{2}\right) - \gamma$, where $\psi = \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}$ is the Digamma function, $\gamma = -\Gamma'(1)$ is the Euler Mascheroni constant. It was demonstrated in [6] that for $s = 0$, the following holds for $u \in C_c^3(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = u(x) + s(-\Delta)^{\ln} u(x) + o(s) \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow 0^+. \quad (1.9)$$

where

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = c_{N,s} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\varepsilon(0)} \frac{u(x) - u(x+y)}{|y|^{N+2s}} dy, \quad (1.10)$$

where $c_{N,s} = 2^{2s} \pi^{-\frac{N}{2}} s \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N+2s}{2})}{\Gamma(1-s)}$ and Γ is the Gamma function, see e.g. [20].

After obtaining the existence of solutions, the next important issue is the regularity of the solutions. When $k < 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we present the following result:

Proposition 1.2. If $k < 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then the solution u of (1.1) satisfies $u \in C(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, and there exists a constant $C = C(N, \Omega) > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{x,y \in B_{\frac{r}{4}}(0)} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{L(|x - y|)^{-\beta}} \leq CL(r)^\beta \|u\|_\infty + CL(r)^{\beta-1} \|f\|_{L^\infty}, r > 0$$

and

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

where $L(r) = \int_r^{+\infty} \frac{w(s)}{s} ds$, $f = \lambda u + ku \ln |u|$, $\beta \in (0, 1)$.

To further explore the relationship between the solutions $\{u_s\}$ of problem (1.6) and (1.1) as $s \rightarrow 0^+$, we first give the existence of nontrivial least-energy solutions to problem (1.6).

Theorem 1.3. Let $N \geq 1$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be bounded Lipschitz domain. The problem (1.6) has a Nehari least-energy nonnegative solution $u \in \mathcal{H}_\omega^s(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ and

$$J_{\omega,s}(u) = \inf_{\mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}} J_{\omega,s} = \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_\omega^s} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_{\omega,s}(\sigma(t)) > 0, \quad (1.11)$$

where $\mathcal{T}_\omega^s := \{\sigma \in C^0([0,1], \mathcal{H}_\omega^s(\Omega)) : \sigma(0) = 0, \sigma(1) \neq 0, J_{\omega,s}(\sigma(1)) \leq 0\}$.

Here $J_{\omega,s}$ is defined in (2.1) and $\mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}$ is defined in (2.2).

Note that in problem (1.6), τ_s can be less than or equal to 0 when $2 < p_s < 2_s^*$, whereas in problems (1.14) and (1.15), λ must be greater than 0. This is because $\tau_s > 0$ is crucial for showing that the solution is nontrivial in the critical case. However, we obtain a uniform positive lower bound for elements in the Nehari manifold combined with Ekeland's variational method to prove this result. In the critical case i.e. $p_s = 2_s^*$, we need $\tau_s \in (1, \lambda_{1,s}^\omega)$ to show that u is nontrivial. This is different from the critical fractional Laplacian equation.

Alberto Saldana proved a similar result without the term $\tau_s u$ for the fractional Laplacian [7], considering only the subcritical case. Equation (1.11) shows that (1.6) also has a mountain pass structure and u is a Nehari least-energy solution.

The key to proving the subcritical case was obtaining the mountain pass structure and a consistent lower bound for elements in the Nehari manifold $\mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}$ (see Lemma 2.15 and Proposition 3.3), and then applying Ekeland's variational method to obtain a convergent sequence of functionals $J_{\omega,s}(u_n)$ and $J'_{\omega,s}(u_n)$, where $u_n \in \mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}$ is important. It is more difficult to prove that the solution is nontrivial in the critical case due to the lack of compactness. We prove this by contradiction, using the mountain pass structure (Lemma 3.1), the geometry of the functional $J_{\omega,s}$, and [3, Theorem 4] to derive a contradiction.

So far, L^∞ bounds, as well as the uniqueness or multiplicity properties of solutions are not known for logarithmic Laplacian problems in the superlinear regime ($k > 0$). These problems also remain open for logarithmic Schrödinger operator.

Finally, we consider the limit of the solutions $\{u_s\}$ to problem (1.6) as $s \rightarrow 0^+$. We prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.4. Let $N \geq 1$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded open Lipschitz set. Let $(s_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, s_0]$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_k = 0$, where $s_0 < \min\{1, \frac{N}{4}\}$. Let $u_{s_k} \in \mathcal{H}_w^{s_k}(\Omega)$ be least-energy solutions of problem (1.6) where $p_s := p(s) \in C^1([0, s_0])$,

$$2 < p(s) < 2_s^* := \frac{2N}{N-2s}, s \in (0, s_0), p'(0) \notin \left\{0, \frac{4}{N}\right\}, \quad (1.12)$$

and

$$\tau_s := \tau(s) \in C^1([0, s_0]), \tau(s) \in (-\infty, \lambda_{1,s}^\omega), \tau_s = o(s), s \rightarrow 0^+, \quad (1.13)$$

then there is a least-energy solution $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying problem (1.1) with $\lambda = 0, k = p'(0)$ such that passing to a subsequence, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_{s_k} = u$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s_k} J_{\omega,s_k}(u_{s_k}) = J(u) = \frac{p'(0)}{4} \|u\|_2^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{s_k}\|_{\omega,s_k} = \|u\|_2.$$

There exist functions $p(s)$ and $\tau(s)$ that satisfy the above conditions, such as

$$p(s) = 2\lambda + (1-\lambda)2_s^*, \quad \lambda \in (0, 1), \quad \tau(s) = s^\alpha, \quad \alpha > 1.$$

In fact, the above assumptions imply that $p'(0) \in (0, \frac{4}{N})$. The condition $p'(0) \neq 0$ is crucial, as shown in Lemma 2.15 and Lemma 2.16. On the other hand, in the critical case where $p'(0) = \frac{4}{N}$, we cannot apply Pitt's inequality, and the condition $p'(0) \frac{N}{4} < 1$ is vital in the proof of Theorem 1.4. Moreover, the assumption $p_s < 2_s^*$ is important to demonstrate that u is nontrivial. The main idea of the proof is to use the expansion

$$(I - \Delta)^s \varphi = \varphi + s(I - \Delta)^{\text{ln}} \varphi + o(s) \quad \text{in} \quad L^\infty(\Omega),$$

and the expansion of $|t|^{p_s-2} t$.

It is worth mentioning that the nontrivial solution to problem (1.1) is also obtained through the limit process. However, when proving that this solution is the Nehari least-energy solution, the existence of the solution to problem (1.1) is used.

Another motivation for studying problems (1.1) and (1.6) comes from the Brezis-Nirenberg problem. In 1983, Brezis and Nirenberg made significant progress in studying positive solutions for nonlinear elliptic equations involving the critical Sobolev exponent of the Laplace operator, which is known as the Brezis-Nirenberg problem. They considered the following critical equation:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u - \lambda u = |u|^{2^*-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.14)$$

where $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$. They [21] proved that:

- (1) For $n \geq 4$, problem (1.14) has a positive solution if $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1(-\Delta))$.
- (2) For $n = 3$, there exists a constant $\lambda_* \in (0, \lambda_1(-\Delta))$ such that for any $\lambda \in (\lambda_*, \lambda_1(-\Delta))$, problem (1.14) has a positive solution.
- (3) In the case when Ω is a ball, for $n = 3$, problem (1.14) has a positive solution if and only if $\lambda \in \left(\frac{\lambda_1(-\Delta)}{4}, \lambda_1(-\Delta)\right)$.

Here, $\lambda_1(-\Delta)$ is the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions.

Later, Servadei and Valdinoci studied this problem in the framework of the fractional Laplacian, extending the existence results of the Brezis-Nirenberg problem to the fractional Laplacian [1, 3], which triggered a series of subsequent research [2, 5, 22]. They considered the following fractional critical equation:

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u - \lambda u = |u|^{2^*-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.15)$$

where $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2s}$ and $s \in (0, 1)$. They proved that:

- (1) For $N \geq 4s$, $\lambda > 0$ is not an eigenvalue of $(-\Delta)^s$ with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary data, and then problem (1.15) admits a nontrivial weak solution.
- (2) For $2s < N < 4s$, there exists $\lambda_s > 0$ such that for any $\lambda > \lambda_s$ (different from the eigenvalues of $(-\Delta)^s$), problem (1.15) admits a nontrivial weak solution.

There are relatively few results for the fractional pseudo-relativistic Schrödinger operator $(I - \Delta)^s$. We provide the first existence result of least-energy solutions to the Brezis-Nirenberg type problem (1.6) for subcritical and critical exponents. By [26, Theorem 2], we know that the best Sobolev constant for the fractional pseudo-relativistic Schrödinger operator in Theorem 2.1 is not attained, whereas the extremal function exists for the fractional Laplacian, which is crucial for the critical fractional Laplacian equation [3].

Lastly, we provide some comments to analyze subtle problems in this paper.

Remark 1.5. (i) The nonlinearity term $u \ln |u|$ does not belong to $L^p(\Omega)$, but Pitt's inequality compensates for this shortcoming, which is very important in many aspects, such as ensuring that $J_{\text{ln}} \in C^1$ (Lemma 2.11) and proving that J_{ln} satisfies the Palais-Smale condition at level c (Proposition 3.5).

(ii) To prove that $J_{\ln} \in C^1$, more technical analysis is required. For the reader's convenience, we provide detailed analysis (Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6).

(iii) Note that $t^2 \ln t^2$ is bounded in $(0, M)$, where M is a positive constant, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \ln t = -\infty$. These are important (such as Lemma 2.17, Lemma 3.2).

(iv) If we take the nonlinearity term $u \ln(1 + u^2)$, although $t \ln(1 + t)$ is bounded in $(0, M)$ where M is a positive constant, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \ln(1 + t) = 0 \neq -\infty$, so many of the conclusions here no longer hold true (such as Lemma 3.2).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we establish some preliminaries. We begin by introducing essential definitions and notations, followed by a crucial Pitt's inequality and convergence properties to demonstrate that $J_{\ln} \in C^1$. Additionally, we present the Brezis-Lieb type lemma and derive uniform bounds for elements in the Nehari manifold and least-energy solutions, which play a significant role in the subsequent proof. In Section 3, we establish the Mountain-Pass structure for problems (1.6) and (1.1), the Palais-Smale condition for (1.1), the geometry of the functional $J_{\omega, s}$, and then we prove Theorem 1.3, Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.2. In Section 4, we prove the main result, Theorem 1.4.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce the necessary definitions, notations and results that will be used in the subsequent discussion.

2.1 Definitions and Notations

Firstly, in order to settle the corresponding functional analytic framework to consider the existence of solution in problem (1.6), we introduce (see [17, 23])

$$H_{\omega}^s(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \omega_s(|x - y|) dx dy < +\infty \right\}$$

with corresponding norm given by

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{H_{\omega}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} &= \left(\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + \frac{d_{N,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \omega_s(|x - y|) dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 + |\xi|^2)^s |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the function ω_s is given by (1.4).

The natural Hilbert space associated to problem (1.6) is

$$\mathcal{H}_w^s(\Omega) := \{ u \in H_{\omega}^s(\mathbb{R}^N) : u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega \},$$

We say that $u \in \mathcal{H}_w^s(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of problem (1.6) if

$$\mathcal{E}_{\omega, s}(u, \varphi) = \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p_s-2} u \varphi dx + \tau_s \int_{\Omega} u \varphi dx \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_w^s(\Omega),$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_{\omega,s}(u, \varphi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 + |\xi|^2)^s \mathcal{F}(\varphi)(\xi) \overline{\mathcal{F}(u)}(\xi) d\xi$$

is a scalar product in Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\omega^s(\Omega)$ with norm $\|u\|_{\omega,s} := \mathcal{E}_{\omega,s}(u, u)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Note that for the fractional Laplacian we have $\mathcal{E}_s(u, u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 d\xi$, so in fact $\mathcal{H}_\omega^s(\Omega) = \mathcal{H}_0^s(\Omega)$, where

$$\mathcal{H}_0^s(\Omega) := \{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) : u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega\},$$

and $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the usual fractional Sobolev space.

The energy functional associated to problem (1.6) is given by

$$J_{\omega,s} : \mathcal{H}_\omega^s(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad J_{\omega,s}(u) := \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{\omega,s}^2 - \frac{\|u\|_{p_s}^{p_s}}{p_s} - \frac{1}{2} \tau_s \|u\|_2^2. \quad (2.1)$$

It is easy to calculate that for $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\omega^s(\Omega)$,

$$\langle J'_{\omega,s}(u), \varphi \rangle = \mathcal{E}_{\omega,s}(u, \varphi) - \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p_s-2} u \varphi dx - \tau_s \int_{\Omega} u \varphi dx.$$

Note that all nontrivial solutions of problem (1.6) belong to the set

$$\mathcal{N}_{\omega,s} := \{u \in \mathcal{H}_\omega^s(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} : \|u\|_{\omega,s}^2 = \|u\|_{p_s}^{p_s} + \tau_s \|u\|_2^2\}. \quad (2.2)$$

We call solution $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}$ is Nehari least-energy solution of (1.6) if

$$J_{\omega,s}(u) = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}} J_{\omega,s}(v).$$

Next, we introduce the following space to consider the existence of solutions in problem (1.1) :

$$H^{\text{ln}}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : \mathcal{E}_\omega(u, u) < \infty\}$$

the bilinear form considered here is given by

$$\mathcal{E}_\omega(u, v) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y)) J(x - y) dx dy.$$

where with J as in (1.5). According to [12, Lemma 2.3], $H^{\text{ln}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a Hilbert space endowed with the scalar product

$$\langle u, v \rangle \rightarrow \langle u, v \rangle_{H^{\text{ln}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \langle u, v \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \mathcal{E}_\omega(u, u),$$

where $\langle u, v \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x)v(x) dx$ with corresponding norm

$$\|u\|_{H^{\text{ln}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \left(\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + \mathcal{E}_\omega(u, u) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Here and the following we identify the space $L^p(\Omega)$ with the space of functions $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $u \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$. We denote by $\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$ the completion of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^{\text{ln}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$. By [12, Lemma 2.3], we have for bounded Ω with Lipschitz boundary that the space $\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$ can be identified

by

$$\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega) = \{u \in H^{\text{ln}}(\mathbb{R}^N) : u \equiv 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega\}.$$

and it is a Hilbert space endowed with the scalar product $\mathcal{E}_\omega(v, w)$ and the corresponding norm $\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)} = \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_\omega(u, u)}$.

By [12, Lemma 2.3], the embedding $\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ is compact.

According to [12, Theorem 1.1], it holds that

$$\mathcal{E}_\omega(u, u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \ln(1 + |\xi|^2) |\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \quad \text{for all } u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega),$$

where \widehat{u} denotes the Fourier transform of u given by

$$\widehat{u}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} u(x) dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Moreover, for $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, we have that $(I - \Delta)^{\text{ln}} \varphi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and

$$\mathcal{E}_\omega(u, \varphi) = \int_{\Omega} u (I - \Delta)^{\text{ln}} \varphi dx, \quad u \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega).$$

Hence, we say that $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of (1.1) if

$$\mathcal{E}_\omega(u, \varphi) = \lambda \int_{\Omega} \varphi u dx + k \int_{\Omega} \varphi u \ln |u| dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega). \quad (2.3)$$

The following Lemma 2.3 ensures (2.3) is well-defined.

The energy functional associated to (1.1) is given by $J_{\text{ln}} : \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where

$$J_{\text{ln}}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E}_\omega(u, u) - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx + \frac{k}{4} \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx - \frac{k}{4} \int_{\Omega} u^2 \ln u^2 dx. \quad (2.4)$$

By Lemma 2.3, we know that J_{ln} is well defined in $\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$. Moreover, we show in Lemma 2.11 that J_{ln} is of class C^1 in $\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$.

All nontrivial solutions of (1.1) belong to the set

$$\mathcal{N} := \left\{ u \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} : \mathcal{E}_\omega(u, u) = \lambda \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx + k \int_{\Omega} u^2 \ln |u| dx \right\}. \quad (2.5)$$

A solution $u \in \mathcal{N}$ is a Nehari or global least-energy solution of (1.1) if

$$J_{\text{ln}}(u) = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}} J_{\text{ln}}(v) \text{ or } J_{\text{ln}}(u) = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)} J_{\text{ln}}(v).$$

Lastly, we introduce the eigenvalue of operators. Recall the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of $(I - \Delta)^s$ in Ω by [12]

$$\lambda_{1,s}^\omega(\Omega) = \inf_{u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\omega,s}(u, u)}{\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2} = \inf_{\substack{u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega) \\ \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}=1}} \mathcal{E}_{\omega,s}(u, u) > 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Noticing that $(1 + |\xi|^2)^s \geq |\xi|^{2s}$ for $s \in (0, 1)$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we have via the Fourier transform of the functional $\mathcal{E}_{\omega,s}(\cdot, \cdot)$ for $(I - \Delta)^s$ and $\mathcal{E}_s(\cdot, \cdot)$ for the

fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$ that

$$\lambda_{k,s}^\omega(\Omega) = \mathcal{E}_{\omega,s}(\psi_{k,s}, \psi_{k,s}) \geq \mathcal{E}_s(\psi_{k,s}, \psi_{k,s}) \geq \inf_{\substack{v \in C_c^2(\Omega) \\ \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}=1}} \mathcal{E}_s(v, v) = \lambda_{1,s}(\Omega),$$

where $\psi_{k,s}$ is a L^2 -normalized eigenfunction of $(I-\Delta)^s$ corresponding to $\lambda_{k,s}^\omega(\Omega)$ and $\lambda_{1,s}(\Omega)$ is the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$. By [12, Lemma 4.3] we have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \lambda_{k,s}^\omega = 1, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.7)$$

In fact, $\lambda_{k,s}^\omega \geq \lambda_{1,s}^\omega > 1, s \in (0, 1)$. According to (2.6), this can be obtained from the following formula

$$\lambda_{1,s}^\omega = 1 + \frac{d_{N,s}}{2} \inf_{u \in \mathcal{H}_\omega^s(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(u(x)-u(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \omega_s(|x-y|) dx dy}{\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2} > 1. \quad (2.8)$$

2.2 Fractional Schrödinger Sobolev Inequality and Pitt's Inequality

Firstly, we derive the sharp fractional pseudo-relativistic Schrödinger Sobolev inequality by utilizing the fractional Sobolev inequality.

Theorem 2.1. Let $N \geq 1, s \in (0, \frac{N}{2})$ and $2_s^* := \frac{2N}{N-2s}$. Then

$$\|u\|_{2_s^*}^2 \leq \kappa_{N,s} \|u\|_{\omega,s}^2 \quad \text{for all } u \in H_\omega^s(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where

$$\kappa_{N,s} = 2^{-2s} \pi^{-s} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N-2s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{N+2s}{2})} \left(\frac{\Gamma(N)}{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})} \right)^{\frac{2s}{N}}. \quad (2.9)$$

Proof: By [25, Theorem 1.1], we obtain that $\|u\|_{2_s^*}^2 \leq \kappa_{N,s} \|u\|_s^2$ for all $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By [26, Section 5] we see that

$$\inf_{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} |\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}}} = \inf_{u \in H_\omega^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 + |\xi|^2)^s |\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}}},$$

so the desired inequality holds. \square

Observe that the best Sobolev constant $\kappa_{N,s}$ is well as $s \rightarrow 0^+$ and

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \kappa_{N,s} = 1, \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \kappa_{N,s}^{\frac{1}{s}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\frac{\Gamma(N)}{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})} \right)^{\frac{2}{N}} e^{-2\psi(\frac{N}{2})} \quad (2.10)$$

where $\psi = \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}$ is the digamma function.

Next, we must mention a crucial inequality, known as Pitt's inequality [24], which was first proposed by Beckner in 1995. In that work, Pitt's inequality was proved for the Schwarz function. However, Alberto Saldana, in 2022, extended this result to the space $\mathbb{H}(\Omega)$ [7, Proposition 2.8]. In fact, this inequality also holds for $\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$, as shown in Proposition 2.2.

Proposition 2.2. For every $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$, we have

$$\frac{4}{N} \int_{\Omega} u^2 \ln |u| dx \leq \mathcal{E}_{\omega}(u, u) + \frac{4}{N} \|u\|_2^2 \ln \|u\|_2 + a_N \|u\|_2^2, \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$a_N := \frac{2}{N} \ln \left(\frac{\Gamma(N)}{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})} \right) - \ln(4\pi) - 2\psi \left(\frac{N}{2} \right).$$

and ψ is the digamma function.

Proof: Let $u \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus (0)$ and $f(s) = \|u\|_{2_s}^2$, it is easy to see that $f(0) = \|u\|_2^2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f'(0) &= \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \exp \left\{ \frac{2}{2_s^*} \ln \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2_s^*} dx \right\} \\ &= \|u\|_2^2 \left\{ -\frac{4}{N} \ln \|u\|_2 + \frac{4}{N} \|u\|_2^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 \ln |u| dx \right\} \\ &= \frac{4}{N} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 \ln |u| dx - \|u\|_2^2 \ln \|u\|_2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.10) we deduce that $\kappa_{N,s} = 1 + sa_N + o(s)$ as $s \rightarrow 0^+$ where

$$\begin{aligned} a_N &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\kappa_{N,s} - 1}{s} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{d}{ds} \kappa_{N,s} \\ &= \frac{2}{N} \ln \left(\frac{\Gamma(N)}{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})} \right) - \ln(4\pi) - 2\psi \left(\frac{N}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by [12, Lemma 4.2], we have that

$$\|u\|_{\omega,s}^2 = \|u\|_2^2 + s\mathcal{E}_{\omega}(u, u) + o(s), \quad s \rightarrow 0^+.$$

Thus, by Theorem 2.1 we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u\|_2^2 + s \frac{4}{N} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 \ln |u| dx - \|u\|_2^2 \ln \|u\|_2 \right) + o(s) \\ &\leq (1 + sa_N) \{ \|u\|_2^2 + s\mathcal{E}_{\omega}(u, u) \} + o(s). \end{aligned}$$

Let $s \rightarrow 0^+$, which yields that

$$\frac{4}{N} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 \ln |u| dx - \|u\|_2^2 \ln \|u\|_2 \right) \leq \mathcal{E}_{\omega}(u, u) + a_N \|u\|_2^2.$$

For $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$, there exists $\{u_n\} \subset C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$. By [12, Lemma 2.3], $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. Therefore, it suffices to show that, up to a subsequence

$$\int_{\Omega} u^2 \ln |u| dx \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^2 \ln |u_n| dx,$$

which is already proven in Lemma 2.7. \square

2.3 Convergence Properties

To guarantee (2.3) is well-defined, we give the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. For $u, \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$, $\varphi u \ln |u| \in L^1(\Omega)$.

Proof: $\forall M > 1$, it is easily to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi u \ln |u|| dx &= \int_{\Omega \cap \{x: |u| \leq M \text{ or } |\varphi| \leq M\}} |\varphi u \ln |u|| dx + \\ &\quad \int_{\Omega \cap \{x: |u| > M \text{ and } |\varphi| > M\}} |\varphi u \ln |u|| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $x \ln x$ is bounded in $(0, M]$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega \cap \{x: |u| \leq M \text{ or } |\varphi| \leq M\}} |\varphi u \ln |u|| dx \leq C.$$

Set $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega \cap \{x : |u| > M, |\varphi| > M\}$, then $\tilde{\Omega} = \tilde{\Omega}_1 \cup \tilde{\Omega}_2$ where

$$\tilde{\Omega}_1 = \Omega \cap \{x : |u| > M, |\varphi| > M\} \cap \{x : |u| \leq |\varphi|\}$$

and

$$\tilde{\Omega}_2 = \Omega \cap \{x : |u| > M, |\varphi| > M\} \cap \{x : |u| > |\varphi|\}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} |\varphi u \ln |u|| dx &= \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_1} |\varphi u \ln |u|| dx + \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_2} |\varphi u \ln |u|| dx \\ &\leq \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_1} |u| \sqrt{\ln |u|} |\varphi| \sqrt{\ln |\varphi|} dx + \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_2} u^2 \ln |u| dx. \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}} |\varphi u \ln |u|| dx \leq \left(\int_{\tilde{\Omega}_1} u^2 \ln |u| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\tilde{\Omega}_1} \varphi^2 \ln |\varphi| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_2} u^2 \ln |u| dx.$$

By (2.11) we obtain that

$$\int_{\{x: |u| > M\}} u^2 \ln |u| dx < +\infty, \text{ for } u \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega).$$

Note that $\tilde{\Omega}_i \subset \{x : |u| > M\} \cap \{x : |\varphi| > M\}$, so $\int_{\tilde{\Omega}} |\varphi u \ln |u|| dx < +\infty$. \square

Next we give some technical lemmas to prove $J \in C^1$.

Lemma 2.4. If $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$, then for any subsequence $\{w_n\}$ of $\{u_n\}$, there exists a subsequence $\{v_n\}$ of $\{w_n\}$ and $v \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$ such that

$$v_n(x) \rightarrow u(x) \text{ a.e.}; |v_n(x)| \leq v(x), |u(x)| \leq v(x).$$

Proof: Since $\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ is compact, going if necessary to a subsequence, $w_n \rightarrow u$ a.e. in Ω and there exists subsequence $\{v_n\}$ of $\{w_n\}$ satisfying

$$\|v_{j+1} - v_j\| \leq 2^{-j}, j \geq 1.$$

Define $v(x) = |v_1(x)| + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |v_{j+1}(x) - v_j(x)|$, it is clear that $|v_n(x)| \leq v(x)$, so $|u(x)| \leq v(x)$ and $v \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$. \square

Lemma 2.5. If $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ in $\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$, $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$, then we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi_n u \ln |u| dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \varphi u \ln |u| dx, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof: It suffices to prove that for any subsequence $\{\tilde{\varphi}_n\}$ of $\{\varphi_n\}$, there exists a subsequence $\{\psi_n\}$ of $\{\tilde{\varphi}_n\}$ such that the following limit relation holds

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi_n u \ln |u| dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \varphi u \ln |u| dx, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By Lemma 2.4, there exists $\{\psi_n\}$ of $\{\tilde{\varphi}_n\}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\psi_n(x) \rightarrow \varphi(x) \text{ a.e.}; \quad |\psi_n(x)| \leq \psi(x), \quad |\varphi(x)| \leq \psi(x).$$

Since $|\psi_n - \varphi| |u| \ln |u| \leq 2\psi u \ln |u| \in L^1(\Omega)$, we can apply the dominated convergence theorem to obtain the desired result. \square

Lemma 2.6. If $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$, $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$, then we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi u_n \ln |u_n| dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \psi u \ln |u| dx, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof: It suffices to prove that for any subsequence $\{w_n\}$ of $\{u_n\}$, there exists a subsequence $\{v_n\}$ of $\{w_n\}$ such that the following limit relation holds

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi v_n \ln |v_n| dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \psi u \ln |u| dx, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By Lemma 2.4, there exists a subsequence $\{v_n\}$ of $\{w_n\}$ and $v \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$ such that

$$v_n(x) \rightarrow u(x) \text{ a.e.}; \quad |v_n(x)| \leq v(x), \quad |u(x)| \leq v(x).$$

Since $|\psi v_n \ln |v_n| - \psi u \ln |u|| \leq |\psi| (|u| \ln |u| + v \ln v + C) \in L^1(\Omega)$, where C is a positive constant. We can apply the dominated convergence theorem to obtain the desired result. \square

Similarly, we give the following Lemma, whose proof is similar to Lemma 2.6.

Lemma 2.7. If $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$, then we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u_n^2 \ln u_n^2 dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} u^2 \ln u^2 dx, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

It is noted that Lemma 2.4 also holds for all $L^p(\Omega)$, $p > 1$. In particular, for $p = 2$, by combining the proof of Lemma 2.6, we can derive the following Lemma, we omit specific proof details here.

Lemma 2.8. If $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, $\{\eta_n\} \subset [0, s_0)$ satisfying $\eta_n \rightarrow \eta$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where s_0 is defined in Lemma 1.4. Then we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi u_n |u_n|^{\eta_n} \ln |u_n| dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \psi u |u|^{\eta} \ln |u| dx, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The following lemma establishes a connection between the $\|\cdot\|_{\omega,s}$ and $\|\cdot\|$.

Lemma 2.9. Let $0 < t < s < 1$ and $u \in \mathcal{H}_{\omega}^s(\Omega)$, the following inequality holds:

$$\left| \|u\|_{\omega,t}^2 - \|u\|_2^2 - t\|u\|^2 \right| \leq \frac{t^2}{s-t} \|u\|_{\omega,s}^2.$$

Proof: Note that

$$\left| \|u\|_{\omega,s}^2 - \|u\|_2^2 - t\|u\|^2 \right| = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[(1 + |\xi|^2)^t - 1 - t \ln(1 + |\xi|^2) \right] |\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

Set $g(t) = (1 + |\xi|^2)^t$, then $g(0) = 1$ and

$$g'(t) = (1 + |\xi|^2)^t \ln(1 + |\xi|^2), \quad g''(t) = (1 + |\xi|^2)^t \ln^2(1 + |\xi|^2)$$

So

$$\left| (1 + |\xi|^2)^t - 1 - t \ln(1 + |\xi|^2) \right| = |g(t) - g(0) - tg'(0)|.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (1 + |\xi|^2)^t - 1 - t \ln(1 + |\xi|^2) \right| &= \left| \int_0^t g''(\tau) (t - \tau) d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \ln^2(1 + |\xi|^2) \int_0^t (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\tau} |t - \tau| d\tau \\ &\leq t^2 \ln^2(1 + |\xi|^2) \int_0^1 (1 + |\xi|^2)^{t\tau} |1 - \tau| d\tau \\ &\leq t^2 \ln^2(1 + |\xi|^2) (1 + |\xi|^2)^t \end{aligned}$$

Set $f(r) = \frac{1}{s-t} r^{s-t} - \ln r$, $r > 1$ then

$$f'(r) = \frac{1}{r} (r^{s-t} - 1) > 0, \quad r > 1.$$

Thus,

$$\left| (1 + |\xi|^2)^t - 1 - t \ln(1 + |\xi|^2) \right| \leq \frac{t^2}{s-t} (1 + |\xi|^2)^s,$$

then

$$\left| \|u\|_{\omega,s}^2 - \|u\|_2^2 - t\|u\|^2 \right| \leq \frac{t^2}{s-t} \|u\|_{\omega,s}^2.$$

□

Lemma 2.10. For $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\ln}(\Omega)$, we have $|u| \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\ln}(\Omega)$ and

$$\mathcal{E}_{\omega}(|u|, |u|) \leq \mathcal{E}_{\omega}(u, u).$$

Moreover, equality holds iff u does not change sign.

Proof: This is directly obtained from the expression of $\mathcal{E}_\omega(u, u)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_\omega(u, v) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x) - u(y)|^2 J(x - y) \, dx dy \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} ||u|(x) - |u|(y)|^2 J(x - y) \, dx dy.\end{aligned}$$

So equality holds iff $|u(x) - u(y)| = ||u|(x) - |u|(y)|$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ a.e. Thus, equality holds iff u does not change sign. \square

2.4 Differentiability of Energy Functional

We start to show the differentiability of J_{ln} .

Lemma 2.11. J_{ln} is of class C^1 in $\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$ and

$$\langle J'_{\text{ln}}(u), \varphi \rangle = \mathcal{E}_\omega(u, \varphi) - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \varphi u \, dx - k \int_{\Omega} \varphi u \ln |u| \, dx.$$

In particular, $J'_{\text{ln}}(u) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$ and $J'_{\text{ln}} : \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$ is also continuous.

Proof: For $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$, it is not hard to see that

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{J_{\text{ln}}(u + t\varphi) - J_{\text{ln}}(u)}{t} &= \mathcal{E}_\omega(u, \varphi) - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \varphi u \, dx + \frac{k}{2} \int_{\Omega} \varphi u \, dx \\ &\quad - \frac{k}{4} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u + t\varphi)^2 \ln(u + t\varphi)^2 - u^2 \ln u^2}{t} \, dx.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\left| \frac{\partial (u + t\varphi)^2 \ln(u + t\varphi)^2}{\partial t} \right| \leq 2(|u| + |\varphi|) |\varphi| \ln(|u| + |\varphi|)^2 + 2(|u| + |\varphi|) |\varphi| \in L^1,$$

Then by the dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u + t\varphi)^2 \ln(u + t\varphi)^2 - u^2 \ln u^2}{t} \, dx = 2 \int_{\Omega} \varphi u \ln u^2 \, dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} \varphi u \, dx.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\langle J'_{\text{ln}}(u), \varphi \rangle = \mathcal{E}_\omega(u, \varphi) - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \varphi u \, dx - k \int_{\Omega} \varphi u \ln |u| \, dx.$$

By lemma 2.5 and lemma 2.6 we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle J'_{\text{ln}}(u), \psi_n \rangle - \langle J'_{\text{ln}}(u), \psi \rangle| = 0 \quad \text{as } \psi_n \rightarrow \psi \text{ in } \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle J'_{\text{ln}}(u_n), \psi \rangle - \langle J'_{\text{ln}}(u), \psi \rangle| = 0 \quad \text{as } u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega).$$

Thus, we complete the above proof. \square

2.5 The Brezis-Lieb Type Lemma

The following Brezis-Lieb type lemma for $u^2 \ln u^2$ is important.

Lemma 2.12. Let $\{u_n\}$ be uniform bounded sequence in $\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N . Then $u^2 \ln u^2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [u_n^2 \ln u_n^2 - |u_n - u|^2 \ln |u_n - u|^2] dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 \ln u^2 dx. \quad (2.12)$$

To prove lemma 2.12, we need the following Brezis-Lieb's lemma, see Theorem 2 and example (b) in [27].

Lemma 2.13. Suppose that $j : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous, convex function with $j(0) = 0$ and let $f_n = f + g_n$ be a sequence of measurable functions from $\mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

- (i) $g_n \rightarrow 0$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N .
- (ii) $j(Mf)$ is in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every real M .
- (iii) There exists some fixed $k > 1$ such that $\{j(kg_n) - kj(g_n)\}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |j(f + g_n) - j(g_n) - j(f)| dx = 0.$$

Proof of Lemma 2.12:

Define

$$F(s) = \begin{cases} -s^2 \ln s^2, & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq e^{-2}, \\ 4s^2, & \text{if } s \geq e^{-2}, \end{cases} \quad \text{and } G(s) = s^2 \ln s^2 + F(s).$$

Obviously, F, G are continuous nonnegative, convex, increasing functions on $(0, +\infty)$ with $F(0) = 0, G(0) = 0$ and there exist $C > 0$ such that

$$\max\{|F(s)|, |G(s)|\} \leq C(1 + s^2 \ln s^2). \quad (2.13)$$

Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$, by (2.11) we deduce that $\{G(|u_n|)\}$ and $\{F(|u_n|)\}$ are bounded in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. According to Fatou's lemma, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(|u|) dx \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(|u_n|) dx, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(|u|) dx \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(|u_n|) dx.$$

Thus,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 \ln u^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(|u|) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(|u|) dx < +\infty.$$

This implies that $u^2 \ln u^2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Since $s^2 \ln s^2 = G(s) - F(s)$, it is enough to apply the Brezis-Lieb's lemma 2.13 to the functions F and G .

Note that by (2.13) that F, G satisfying (ii) of Lemma 2.13. Since $\{F(|u_n|)\}, \{G(|u_n|)\}$ are bounded in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, it follows by (2.13) again that Lemma 2.13 (iii) hold for F, G with $k = 2$. Note that $G(s) = G(|s|), F(s) = F(|s|)$, so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |F(|u_n|) - F(|u_n - u|) - F(|u|)| dx = 0;$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G(|u_n|) - G(|u_n - u|) - G(|u|)| dx = 0.$$

So the desired equality (2.12) follows.

Lemma 2.14. Let $\{u_n\}$ be uniform bounded sequence in $\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N , then up to a subsequence, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{x,y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x-y|^N} \omega(|x-y|) dx dy \\ &= \iint_{x,y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u(x) - u_n(y) + u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^N} \omega(|x-y|) dx dy + \\ & \iint_{x,y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^N} \omega(|x-y|) dx dy + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: The proof is a direct corollary of [27, Theorem 1]. \square

2.6 Uniform Bounds for Elements in the Nehari Manifold

Next we give some uniform estimates for every $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}$. In particular, we show in Proposition 2.23 that all least-energy solutions of (1.6) are uniformly bounded.

Lemma 2.15. Let p_s, τ_s are defined in (1.7) (1.8) satisfying (1.12) (1.13) when $2 < p_s < 2_s^*$. Then there exists a constant $C_1 = C_1(p, N, \Omega) > 0, C_2 = C_2(p, N, \Omega) > 0$ such that $\|u\|_{p_s} \geq C_1, \|u\|_{\omega,s} \geq C_2$ for all $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}$ and $s \in (0, s_0]$.

Proof: Let $G_s : \mathcal{H}_\omega^s(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$G_s(u) = \|u\|_{\omega,s}^2 - \|u\|_{p_s}^{p_s} - \tau_s \|u\|_2^2.$$

Firstly we consider $\tau_s \in (0, \lambda_{1,s}^\omega)$, by (2.6) and Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_s(u) &\geq \|u\|_{\omega,s}^2 - \|u\|_{p_s}^{p_s} - \frac{\tau_s}{\lambda_{1,s}^\omega} \|u\|_{\omega,s}^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\kappa_{N,s}} \left(1 - \frac{\tau_s}{\lambda_{1,s}^\omega}\right) \|u\|_{2_s^*}^2 - \|u\|_{p_s}^{p_s} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\kappa_{N,s}} \left(1 - \frac{\tau_s}{\lambda_{1,s}^\omega}\right) |\Omega|^{\frac{2(p_s-2_s^*)}{2_s^* p_s}} \|u\|_{p_s}^2 - \|u\|_{p_s}^{p_s} \\ &= \|u\|_{p_s}^2 \left(\frac{1}{\kappa_{N,s}} \left(1 - \frac{\tau_s}{\lambda_{1,s}^\omega}\right) |\Omega|^{\frac{2(p_s-2_s^*)}{2_s^* p_s}} - \|u\|_{p_s}^{p_s-2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $g(t, s) := \frac{1}{\kappa_{N,s}} \left(1 - \frac{\tau_s}{\lambda_{1,s}^\omega}\right) |\Omega|^{\frac{2(p_s-2_s^*)}{2_s^* p_s}} - t^{p_s-2}$ where $\kappa_{N,s}$ is given in (2.9). Then

$$g(t, s) > 0 \quad \text{if} \quad t < \left\{ \frac{1}{\kappa_{N,s}} \left(1 - \frac{\tau_s}{\lambda_{1,s}^\omega}\right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_s-2}} \left(|\Omega|^{\frac{2(p_s-2_s^*)}{p_s 2_s^* (p_s-2)}} \right).$$

Note that

$$\frac{2_s^* - p_s}{2_s^*(2 - p_s)} = -\frac{4}{(N - 2s)2_s^* \int_0^1 p'(\tau s) d\tau} + \frac{1}{2_s^*} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{Np'(0)}, s \rightarrow 0,$$

therefore

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} |\Omega|^{\frac{2(p_s - 2_s^*)}{p_s 2_s^*(p_s - 2)}} = |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{Np'(0)}} > 0.$$

Furthermore, by (2.10),

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \kappa_{N,s}^{\frac{1}{p_s - 2}} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left(\kappa_{N,s}^{\frac{1}{s}} \right)^{\frac{s}{p_s - 2}} = \left(\frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\frac{\Gamma(N)}{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})} \right)^{\frac{2}{N}} e^{-2\psi(\frac{N}{2})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'(0)}} > 0.$$

For $\tau_s \in (0, \lambda_{1,s}^\omega)$, by (2.7) we yield

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left(1 - \frac{\tau_s}{\lambda_{1,s}^\omega} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_s - 2}} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{p_s - 2} \ln \left(1 - \frac{\tau_s}{\lambda_{1,s}^\omega} \right) \right\} = 1.$$

As a consequence, there is $C_1 = C_1(p, N, \Omega) > 0$ such that $G_s(u) > 0$ if $\|u\|_{p_s} \in (0, C_1)$, and then $\|u\|_{p_s} \geq C_1$ for all $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}$ and $s \in (0, s_0]$. Note that

$$\|u\|_{p_s}^{p_s} \leq |\Omega|^{\frac{2_s^* - p_s}{2_s^*}} \|u\|_{2_s^*}^{p_s} \leq |\Omega|^{\frac{2_s^* - p_s}{2_s^*}} \kappa_{N,s}^{\frac{p_s}{2}} \|u\|_{\omega,s}^{p_s},$$

thus

$$\|u\|_{\omega,s} \geq \|u\|_{p_s} |\Omega|^{\frac{p_s - 2_s^*}{2_s^* p_s}} \kappa_{N,s}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \geq C_1 |\Omega|^{\frac{p_s - 2_s^*}{2_s^* p_s}} \kappa_{N,s}^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since

$$0 \geq \frac{p_s - 2_s^*}{2_s^* p_s} \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{2_s^*}{p_s} \right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{2_s^*}{2} \right) = \frac{s}{2s - N} \geq -\frac{1}{2}, s \in (0, s_0],$$

there exists $C_2 = C_2(p, N, \Omega) > 0$ such that $\|u\|_{\omega,s} > C_2$.

For $\tau_s \leq 0$, the proof process is exactly the same as $\tau_s \in (0, \lambda_{1,s}^\omega)$. \square

Note that the above result holds uniformly for any $s \in (0, s_0]$. In particular, for fixed $2 < p \leq 2_s^*$, $\tau < \lambda_{1,s}^\omega$, the above result also holds.

Lemma 2.16. For $u \in \mathcal{H}_\omega^s(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$, let p_s, τ_s are defined in (1.7) (1.8) satisfying (1.12) (1.13) when $2 < p_s < 2_s^*$. Define

$$t_u^s = \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\omega,s}(u, u) - \tau_s \|u\|_2^2}{\|u\|_{p_s}^{p_s}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_s - 2}} \quad (2.14)$$

and let $\alpha_u(\eta) := J_{\omega,s}(\eta u)$. Then, $\alpha_u'(\eta) > 0$ for $0 < \eta < t_u^s$ and $\alpha_u'(\eta) < 0$ for $\eta > t_u^s$. In particular, $\eta \mapsto J_{\omega,s}(\eta u)$ achieves its unique maximum at $\eta = t_u^s$, $t_u^s u \in \mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}$ and

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} t_u^s = t_u^0 = \exp \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{E}_\omega(u, u) - p'(0) \int_\Omega |u|^2 \ln |u| dx}{p'(0) \|u\|_2^2} \right\} > 0.$$

In particular, $\sup_{s \in [0, s_0]} t_u^s < \infty$.

Proof: By a direct computation.

$$\alpha'_u(\eta) = \eta (\mathcal{E}_{\omega, s}(u, u) - \tau_s \|u\|_2^2 - \eta^{p_s-2} \|u\|_{p_s}^{p_s}).$$

By Lemma 2.9, we obtain that $\|u\|_{\omega, s}^2 = \|u\|_2^2 + s\mathcal{E}_\omega(u, u) + o(s)$, $s \rightarrow 0^+$. On the other hand, $\|u\|_{p_s}^{p_s} = \|u\|_2^2 + sp'(0) \int_\Omega |u|^2 \ln |u| dx + o(s)$. Let $a^{-1} = \|u\|_2^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} t_u^s &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{1 + as\mathcal{E}_\omega(u, u) - \tau_s + o(s)}{1 + sap'(0) \int_\Omega |u|^2 \ln |u| dx + o(s)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_s-2}} \\ &= \left(\frac{\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} (1 + as\mathcal{E}_\omega(u, u) - \tau_s + o(s))^{\frac{1}{s}}}{\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} (1 + sap'(0) \int_\Omega |u|^2 \ln |u| dx + o(s))^{\frac{1}{s}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'(0)}} \\ &= \left(\frac{\exp \left\{ \frac{a\mathcal{E}_\omega(u, u) - \tau'(0)}{1 - \tau(0)} \right\}}{\exp \left\{ ap'(0) \int_\Omega |u|^2 \ln |u| dx \right\}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'(0)}} \\ &= \exp \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{E}_\omega(u, u) - p'(0) \int_\Omega |u|^2 \ln |u| dx}{p'(0) \|u\|_2^2} \right\} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the map $s \mapsto t_u^s$ has a continuous extension on $[0, s_0]$. Hence $\sup_{s \in [0, s_0]} t_u^s < \infty$. \square

Next we give the version of Lemma 2.15 and Lemma 2.16 for the logarithmic Schrödinger operator in $\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$. We first prove that functions in \mathcal{N} are uniformly far from the origin. In the following we always suppose $k \in (0, \frac{4}{N})$.

Lemma 2.17. There exists $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that $\|u\|_2 \geq C_1, \|u\| \geq C_2, \forall u \in \mathcal{N}$.

Proof: Let $k = \frac{4}{N}\eta$ for some $\eta \in (0, 1)$. For $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$, we take

$$G(u) = \mathcal{E}_\omega(u, u) - \lambda \int_\Omega u^2 dx - \frac{2}{N}\eta \int_\Omega u^2 \ln u^2 dx.$$

By (2.11), we have

$$G(u) \geq (1 - \eta) \mathcal{E}_\omega(u, u) - \left(\frac{2}{N} \ln \|u\|_2^2 + a_N + \frac{\lambda}{\eta} \right) \eta \|u\|_2^2.$$

By [12, Theorem 1.3], we have

$$\lambda_1 := \min \{ \mathcal{E}_\omega(u, u) : u \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega), \|u\|_2 = 1 \} > 0.$$

Thus,

$$G(u) \geq \left(\frac{1 - \eta}{\eta} \lambda_1 - \frac{2}{N} \ln \|u\|_2^2 - a_N - \frac{\lambda}{\eta} \right) \eta \|u\|_2^2 > 0$$

if $\|u\|_2 < \exp \left\{ \frac{1 - \eta}{4\eta} N \lambda_1 - \frac{N}{4} a_N - \frac{\lambda N}{4\eta} \right\} := C_1 > 0$.

Hence, for $u \in \mathcal{N}, \|u\|_2 \geq C_1$. By the Poincaré inequality in [12, Lemma 2.3], there exists $C > 0$ such that $\|u\| \geq C \|u\|_2 \geq C_2 > 0$. \square

Lemma 2.18. For $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\ln}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$, define

$$t_u^0 = \exp\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_\omega(u, u) - \lambda \int_\Omega w^2 dx - k \int_\Omega u^2 \ln |u| dx}{k \|u\|_2^2}\right)$$

and let $\alpha_u(s) := J(su)$. Then, $\alpha'_u(s) > 0$ for $0 < s < t_u^0$ and $\alpha'_u(s) < 0$ for $s > t_u^0$. In particular, $s \mapsto J_{\ln}(su)$ achieves its unique maximum at $s = t_u^0$ and $t_u^0 u \in \mathcal{N}$.

Proof: Note that

$$\alpha'_u(s) = \left(\mathcal{E}_\omega(u, u) - \lambda \int_\Omega u^2 dx - \frac{k}{2} \int_\Omega u^2 \ln |su|^2 dx \right) s.$$

The claim now follows by a direct computation. \square

Lemma 2.19. $\mathcal{N} \cap C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ is dense in \mathcal{N} .

Proof: For any $v \in \mathcal{N}$, since $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_0^{\ln}(\Omega)$, there exists $\{v_n\} \subset C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $\mathcal{H}_0^{\ln}(\Omega)$.

By Lemma 2.18 we obtain that $t_{v_n}^0 v_n \in \mathcal{N}$ and passing to a subsequence we have $t_{v_n}^0 \rightarrow 1$. Thus we complete the proof. \square

2.7 Uniform bounds for all Nehari least-energy solutions

Next we show all Nehari least-energy solutions of (1.6) is uniform bounded in $\mathcal{H}_0^{\ln}(\Omega)$, then we can take convergent subsequence in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Lemma 2.20. Let $u \in \mathcal{H}_\omega^s(\Omega)$ for some $s \in (0, 1)$, then $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\ln}(\Omega)$ and

$$\mathcal{E}_\omega(u, u) \leq \frac{1}{s} \|u\|_{\omega, s}^2.$$

Proof: Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_\omega(u, u) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \ln(1 + |\xi|^2) |\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \ln(1 + |\xi|^2)^s |\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq \frac{1}{s} \|u\|_{\omega, s}^2. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Thus if there exists $s_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that $\|u\|_{\omega, s} \leq C$, $s \in (s_0, 1)$, we yield that $\|u\| \leq \frac{1}{s_0} C$. So for s far away from zero, we can control the boundedness of $\mathcal{E}_\omega(u, u)$ through the uniform boundedness of $\|u\|_{\omega, s}$. So we need the boundedness of $\mathcal{E}_\omega(u, u)$ for s near zero. To prove this, we first present an ‘‘intermediate’’ logarithmic-type Sobolev inequality.

Lemma 2.21. Let $s \in (0, s_0]$ and $v \in \mathcal{H}_\omega^s(\Omega)$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \frac{4N}{(N-2s\tau)^2} \int_\Omega |v|^{2s\tau} \ln |v| dx d\tau \\ & \leq \int_0^1 k'(s\tau) \|v\|_{\omega, s\tau}^{2s\tau} d\tau + \int_0^1 k(s\tau) \frac{2N}{(N-2s\tau)^2} \|v\|_{\omega, s\tau}^{2s\tau} \ln \|v\|_{\omega, s\tau}^2 d\tau \\ & \quad \int_0^1 k(s\tau) \frac{N}{N-2s\tau} \|v\|_{\omega, s\tau}^{2s\tau-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1+|\xi|^2)^{s\tau} |\widehat{v}(\xi)|^2 \ln(1+|\xi|^2) d\xi d\tau \end{aligned}$$

where $k(s) := \kappa_{N,s}^{\frac{2^*}{2}}$. Moreover, if $\|v\|_{\omega, s}^2 \leq C$ for every $s \in (0, s_0]$ where C is a positive constant, then there exists $C_1 = C_1(C, \Omega) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \frac{4N}{(N-2s\tau)^2} \int_{\Omega \cap \{|v| \geq 1\}} |v|^{2s\tau} \ln |v| dx d\tau \\ & \leq C_1 + \int_0^1 k(s\tau) \frac{N}{N-2s\tau} \|v\|_{\omega, s\tau}^{2s\tau-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1+|\xi|^2)^{s\tau} |\widehat{v}(\xi)|^2 \ln(1+|\xi|^2) d\xi d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: By Theorem 2.1, we obtain $\|v\|_{2_s^*}^{2^*} \leq \kappa_{N,s}^{\frac{2^*}{2}} \|v\|_{\omega, s}^{2^*}$. Set

$$H(s) = k(s) \|v\|_{\omega, s}^{2^*} - \|v\|_{2_s^*}^{2^*}, \quad k(s) := \kappa_{N,s}^{\frac{2^*}{2}},$$

then $H(s) \geq 0$ for $s \in (0, s_0]$ and $H \in C^1(0, s_0]$ with $H(0) = 0$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} H'(s) &= k'(s) \|v\|_{\omega, s}^{2^*} - \frac{4N}{(N-2s)^2} \int_\Omega |v|^{2s} \ln |v| dx + \\ & \quad k(s) \frac{2N}{(N-2s)^2} \|v\|_{\omega, s}^{2^*} \ln \|v\|_{\omega, s}^2 + \\ & \quad k(s) \frac{N}{N-2s} \|v\|_{\omega, s}^{2^*-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1+|\xi|^2)^s |\widehat{v}(\xi)|^2 \ln(1+|\xi|^2) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_0^1 H'(s\tau) d\tau = \frac{1}{s} (H(s) - H(0)) \geq 0$, we obtain the desired identity.

If $\|v\|_{\omega, s}^2 \leq C$ for every $s \in (0, s_0]$, since $k(s) \in C^1(0, s_0]$, then there is $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^1 k'(s\tau) \|v\|_{\omega, s\tau}^{2s\tau} d\tau + \int_0^1 k(s\tau) \frac{2N}{(N-2s\tau)^2} \|v\|_{\omega, s\tau}^{2s\tau} \ln \|v\|_{\omega, s\tau}^2 d\tau \leq C_2.$$

Thus, we complete the proof. \square

Lemma 2.22. Let $v_s \in \mathcal{N}_{\omega, s}$ be such that $\|v_s\|_{\omega, s}^2 \leq C_0, s \in (0, s_0]$ where $C_0 > 0$ is a constant that does not depend on s . p_s and τ_s are defined in (1.12)(1.13). Then there is $C = C(C_0, \Omega) > 0$ such that

$$\|v_s\|^2 = \mathcal{E}_\omega(v, v) < C, s \in (0, s_0].$$

Proof: By Taylor expansion we obtain that

$$\mathcal{G} := \frac{\|v_s\|_{\omega,s}^2 - \|v_s\|_2^2}{s} = \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{s\tau} \ln(1 + |\xi|^2) |\widehat{v}_s(\xi)|^2 d\xi d\tau. \quad (2.15)$$

Since $v_s \in \mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}$, by Taylor expansion we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G} &= \frac{\|v_s\|_{p_s}^{p_s} + \tau_s \|v_s\|_2^2 - \|v_s\|_2^2}{s} = \frac{\|v_s\|_{p_s}^{p_s} - \|v_s\|_2^2}{s} + \frac{\tau_s}{s} \|v_s\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \int_0^1 p'(s\tau) \int_{\{|v_s| \geq 1\}} |v_s|^{2^*_{s\tau}} \ln |v_s| dx d\tau + \frac{\tau_s}{s} \|v_s\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\|v_s\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{1,s}^\omega} \|v_s\|_{\omega,s}^2$, $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{1,s}^\omega = 1$ and $\tau_s = o(s)$, there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{G} \leq \int_0^1 p'(s\tau) \int_{\{|v_s| \geq 1\}} |v_s|^{2^*_{s\tau}} \ln |v_s| dx d\tau + C_1.$$

Since $p'(0) < \frac{4}{N}$, there exists $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and $\tilde{s}_0 \in (0, s_0)$ satisfying

$$p'(s\tau) \leq \delta \frac{4N}{(N - 2s\tau)^2}, \quad s \in (0, \tilde{s}_0), \tau \in (0, 1).$$

By Lemma 2.21, there is $C_2 = C_2(C_0, \Omega) > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{G} \leq \int_0^1 \delta k(s\tau) \frac{N}{N - 2s\tau} \|v_s\|_{\omega, s\tau}^{2^*_{s\tau} - 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{s\tau} |\widehat{v}_s(\xi)|^2 \ln(1 + |\xi|^2) d\xi d\tau + C_2.$$

For $\tau \in (0, 1)$ and $\sigma \in (0, \tilde{s}_0]$, let

$$\varphi_\sigma(\tau) := 1 - \delta k(\sigma\tau) \frac{N}{N - 2\sigma\tau} \|v_s\|_{\omega, \sigma\tau}^{2^*_{\sigma\tau} - 2},$$

where $k(s)$ is given in Lemma 2.21. Note that $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and $k(\sigma\tau) \rightarrow 1$ as $\sigma \rightarrow 0^+$. Thus there is $s_1 \in (0, \tilde{s}_0)$ such that for $s \in (0, s_1)$,

$$\eta := \min_{\tau \in (0, 1)} \varphi_s(\tau) \in (0, 1).$$

By (2.15) we obtain

$$\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_s(\tau) (1 + |\xi|^2)^{s\tau} |\widehat{v}_s(\xi)|^2 \ln(1 + |\xi|^2) d\xi d\tau \leq C_2.$$

Thus there exists $C = C(C_0, \Omega) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_\omega(v_s, v_s) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \ln(1 + |\xi|^2) |\widehat{v}_s(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\eta} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_s(\tau) (1 + |\xi|^2)^{s\tau} \ln(1 + |\xi|^2) |\widehat{v}_s(\xi)|^2 d\xi d\tau \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

For $s \in [s_1, s_0)$, the result follows from Lemmas 2.20. \square

Proposition 2.23. Let $u_s \in \mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}$ be least-energy solutions of (1.6), p_s and τ_s

are defined in (1.12)(1.13). There is $C = C(\Omega) > 0$ such that

$$\|u_s\|^2 = \mathcal{E}_\omega(u_s, u_s) < C, \text{ for all } s \in (0, s_0].$$

Proof: Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$, note that

$$J_{\omega,s}(u_s) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_s}\right) \|u_s\|_{p_s}^{p_s}$$

By Lemma 2.16 we obtain

$$\|u_s\|_{p_s}^{p_s} = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}} \|v\|_{p_s}^{p_s} \leq (t_\varphi^s)^2 \|\varphi\|_{p_s}^{p_s} \leq \sup_{s \in (0, s_0]} (t_\varphi^s)^2 \|\varphi\|_{p_s}^{p_s} := C_0.$$

Since

$$\|u_s\|_{\omega,s}^2 = \|u_s\|_{p_s}^{p_s} + \tau_s \|u_s\|_2^2, \|u_s\|_2^2 \leq \|u_s\|_{p_s}^2 (m(\Omega))^{1-\frac{2}{p_s}} \leq C_1.$$

Finally, the desired result follows from Lemma 2.22. \square

3 Existence of a least-energy solution

In this section, we first establish the Mountain-Pass structure for problems (1.6) and (1.1). Using this structure, we demonstrate the uniform boundedness of the sequence $\{u_n\}$ through the functional sequence. Furthermore, we prove that the functional J_{In} satisfies the Palais-Smale condition at the level c . With these results in hand, we proceed to prove Theorem 1.3, Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.2.

3.1 The Mountain Pass structure and PS condition

Lemma 3.1. It holds that

$$\inf_{\mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}} J_{\omega,s} = \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_\omega^s} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_{\omega,s}(\sigma(t)) := c_s^\omega > 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\mathcal{T}_\omega^s := \{\sigma \in C^0([0,1], \mathcal{H}_\omega^s(\Omega)) : \sigma(0) = 0, \sigma(1) \neq 0, J_{\omega,s}(\sigma(1)) < 0\}$.

Proof: For every $v \in \mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}$, there exists $r_v > t_v^s > 0$ such that $J_{\omega,s}(r_v v) < 0$. Set $\sigma_v(t) := tr_v v \in \mathcal{T}_\omega^s$. By lemma 2.16 we obtain $\max_{t \in [0,1]} J_{\omega,s}(\sigma_v(t)) = J_{\omega,s}(t_v^s v)$. Note that for $v \in \mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}$, we have $t_v^s = 1$, so

$$\inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_\omega^s} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_{\omega,s}(\sigma(t)) \leq \inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_{\omega,s}(\sigma_v(t)) = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}} J_{\omega,s}(v).$$

On the other hand, let $\Gamma : \mathcal{H}_\omega^s(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$\Gamma(v) := \exp(\tau_s \|v\|_2^2 + \|v\|_{p_s}^{p_s} - \mathcal{E}_{\omega,s}(v, v))$$

so Γ is continuous at $v = 0$. Note that $\Gamma(v) = 1$ iff $v \in \mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}$. Furthermore, if $v \neq 0$ and $J_{\omega,s}(v) \leq 0$, then $\Gamma(v) > 1$. Since for every $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_\omega^s$, $\Gamma(\sigma(0)) = 0$, $\Gamma(\sigma(1)) > 1$, there exists $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\Gamma(\sigma(t_0)) = 1$, so $\sigma(t_0) \in \mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}$.

This yields that

$$\max_{t \in [0,1]} J_{\omega,s}(\sigma(t)) \geq J_{\omega,s}(\sigma(t_0)) \geq \inf_{\mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}} J_{\omega,s},$$

Therefore,

$$\inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_{\omega}^s} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_{\omega,s}(\sigma(t)) \geq \inf_{\mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}} J_{\omega,s}.$$

It is obvious that $c_{\omega}^s \geq 0$, suppose $c_{\omega}^s = 0$, then there exists a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}$ such that $J_{\omega,s}(u_n) \rightarrow 0$. Note that,

$$J_{\omega,s}(u_n) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_s} \right) \|u_n\|_{p_s}^{p_s} \rightarrow 0,$$

so $\|u_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, thus $\|u_n\|_{\omega,s} \rightarrow 0$, this is inconsistent with Lemma 2.15. \square

Lemma 3.2. It holds that

$$\inf_{\mathcal{N}} J_{\text{In}} = \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_{\text{In}}(\sigma(t)) := c > 0,$$

where $\mathcal{T} := \{\sigma \in C^0([0,1], \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{In}}(\Omega)) : \sigma(0) = 0, \sigma(1) \neq 0, J_{\text{In}}(\sigma(1)) < 0\}$.

Proof: For every $v \in \mathcal{N}$, there exists $r_v > t_v^0 > 0$ such that $J_{\text{In}}(r_v v) < 0$. Set $\sigma_v(t) := tr_v v \in \mathcal{T}$. By lemma 2.18 we obtain $\max_{t \in [0,1]} J_{\text{In}}(\sigma_v(t)) = J_{\text{In}}(v)$, so

$$\inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_{\text{In}}(\sigma(t)) \leq \inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_{\text{In}}(\sigma_v(t)) = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}} J_{\text{In}}(v).$$

On the other hand, let $\Gamma : \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{In}}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$\Gamma(v) := \begin{cases} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda \int_{\Omega} v^2 dx + \frac{k}{2} \int_{\Omega} v^2 \ln v^2 dx - \mathcal{E}_{\omega}(v,v)}{\|v\|_2^2}\right), & \text{if } v \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } v = 0. \end{cases}$$

By (2.11), we have

$$\frac{\lambda \int_{\Omega} v^2 dx + \frac{k}{2} \int_{\Omega} v^2 \ln v^2 dx - \mathcal{E}_{\omega}(v,v)}{\|v\|_2^2} \leq \frac{4}{N} \ln \|v\|_2 + a_N + \lambda,$$

so Γ is continuous at $v = 0$. Note that $\Gamma(v) = 1$ iff $v \in \mathcal{N}$. Furthermore, if $v \neq 0$ and $J_{\text{In}}(v) \leq 0$, then $\Gamma(v) > 1$. Since for every $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$, $\Gamma(\sigma(0)) = 0, \Gamma(\sigma(1)) > 1$, there exists $t_0 \in (0,1)$ such that $\Gamma(\sigma(t_0)) = 1$, so $\sigma(t_0) \in \mathcal{N}$. This yields that

$$\max_{t \in [0,1]} J_{\text{In}}(\sigma(t)) \geq J_{\text{In}}(\sigma(t_0)) \geq \inf_{\mathcal{N}} J_{\text{In}},$$

Therefore,

$$\inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_{\text{In}}(\sigma(t)) \geq \inf_{\mathcal{N}} J_{\text{In}}.$$

It is obvious that $c \geq 0$, suppose $c = 0$, then there exists a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}$ such that $J_{\text{In}}(u_n) \rightarrow 0$. By lemma 2.17 we obtain $\|u_n\|_2 \geq C_1 > 0$. However,

$$J_{\text{In}}(u_n) = \frac{k}{4} \|u_n\|_2^2 \geq \frac{k}{4} C_1 > 0,$$

which is a contradiction, thus we have $c > 0$. \square

Proposition 3.3. If $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}$ and $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} J_{\omega,s}(u_n) \leq M$ for some $M > 0$, then $\{u_n\}$ is uniformly bounded in $\mathcal{H}_{\omega}^s(\Omega)$.

Proof: Since $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}$ and $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} J_{\omega,s}(u_n) \leq M$,

$$J_{\omega,s}(u_n) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_s} \right) \|u_n\|_{p_s}^{p_s} \leq M.$$

By (2.6), for $\tau_s \in (0, \lambda_{1,s}^{\omega})$ we obtain

$$J_{\omega,s}(u_n) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|u_n\|_{\omega,s}^2 - \frac{1}{p_s} \|u_n\|_{p_s}^{p_s} - \frac{\tau_s}{2\lambda_{1,s}^{\omega}} \|u_n\|_{\omega,s}^2$$

Thus we get that

$$M \geq J_{\omega,s}(u_n) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\tau_s}{\lambda_{1,s}^{\omega}} \right) \|u_n\|_{\omega,s}^2 - \frac{1}{p_s} \|u_n\|_{p_s}^{p_s},$$

For $\tau_s \leq 0$, we have

$$M \geq J_{\omega,s}(u_n) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|u_n\|_{\omega,s}^2 - \frac{1}{p_s} \|u_n\|_{p_s}^{p_s}.$$

Thus we complete the proof. \square

Proposition 3.4. If $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}$ and $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} J_{\ln}(u_n) \leq M$ for some $M > 0$, then $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{H}_0^{\ln}(\Omega)$.

Proof: Since $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}$ and $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} J_{\ln}(u_n) \leq M$

$$J_{\ln}(u_n) = \frac{k}{4} \|u_n\|_2^2 \leq M.$$

By (2.11), we obtain that

$$J_{\ln}(u_n) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{kN}{8} \right) \mathcal{E}_{\omega}(u_n, u_n) + \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{kN}{8} a_N \right) \|u_n\|_2^2 - \frac{k}{4} \ln(\|u_n\|_2^2) \|u_n\|_2^2.$$

There exists positive constant C such that

$$\left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{kN}{8} a_N \right) \|u_n\|_2^2 - \frac{k}{4} \ln(\|u_n\|_2^2) \|u_n\|_2^2 \leq C,$$

since $k \in (0, \frac{4}{N})$, $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{H}_0^{\ln}(\Omega)$. \square

Proposition 3.5. If $k \in (0, \frac{4}{N})$, then J_{\ln} satisfies Palais-Smale condition at level

$$c = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}} J_{\ln}(v)$$

where c is defined in Lemma 3.2.

Proof: Since $J_{\ln}(u_n) \rightarrow c, J'_{\ln}(u_n) \rightarrow 0$, by lemma 3.4 $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{H}_0^{\ln}(\Omega)$. Hence up to a subsequence, suppose $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $\mathcal{H}_0^{\ln}(\Omega)$, $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, $u_n \rightarrow u$ a.e. in Ω .

For any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, we have

$$\langle J'_{\text{In}}(u_n), \varphi \rangle = \mathcal{E}_\omega(u_n, \varphi) - \lambda \int_\Omega u_n \varphi dx - k \int_\Omega \varphi u_n \ln |u_n| dx \rightarrow 0.$$

As $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{In}}(\Omega)$, so $\mathcal{E}_\omega(u_n, \varphi) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_\omega(u, \varphi)$, using Lemma 2.8, we have

$$\langle J'_{\text{In}}(u), \varphi \rangle = 0, \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega).$$

By [12, Lemma 2.3], $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{In}}(\Omega)$, so for $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{In}}(\Omega)$, there exists $\varphi_n \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ in $\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{In}}(\Omega)$, by Lemma 2.5 then

$$\langle J'_{\text{In}}(u), \varphi \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle J'_{\text{In}}(u), \varphi_n \rangle = 0.$$

According to the definition of weak solution, we know that u is a weak solution of (1.1). Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded, by Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 2.5

$$J_{\text{In}}(u_n) - \frac{1}{2} \langle J'_{\text{In}}(u_n), u_n \rangle = \frac{k}{4} \int_\Omega u_n^2 dx \rightarrow c > 0.$$

As $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, so $u \neq 0$, i.e. u is a nontrivial solution and $u \in \mathcal{N}$. Note that $J_{\text{In}}(u) = \frac{k}{4} \int_\Omega u^2 dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k}{4} \int_\Omega u_n^2 dx = c$.

By lemma 2.12 and lemma 2.14, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\text{In}}(u_n) &= \frac{d_N}{4} \iint_{x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u(x) - u_n(y) + u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^N} \omega(|x - y|) dx dy \\ &\quad + \frac{d_N}{4} \iint_{x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^N} \omega(|x - y|) dx dy - \frac{1}{2} \lambda \int_\Omega u^2 dx + \frac{k}{4} \int_\Omega u^2 dx \\ &\quad - \frac{k}{4} \int_\Omega u^2 \ln u^2 dx - \frac{k}{4} \int_\Omega (u_n - u)^2 \ln (u_n - u)^2 dx + o(1) \\ &= J_{\text{In}}(u) + \frac{1}{2} \|u_n - u\|^2 - \frac{k}{4} \int_\Omega (u_n - u)^2 \ln (u_n - u)^2 dx + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|u_n - u\|^2 - \frac{k}{2} \int_\Omega (u_n - u)^2 \ln (u_n - u)^2 dx \rightarrow 0.$$

Up to a subsequence, suppose $\|u_n - u\|^2 \rightarrow L \geq 0$, then

$$\int_\Omega (u_n - u)^2 \ln (u_n - u)^2 dx \rightarrow \frac{2}{k} L.$$

By Pitt's inequality, we obtain that $(\frac{4}{Nk} - 1) L \leq 0$, then $L \leq 0$, so $L = 0$. \square

3.2 Geometry of the functional $J_{\omega, s}$

In this section, we give two lemmas of geometric feature of $J_{\omega, s}$ to prove that the solution of problem (1.6) is nontrivial when $p_s = 2_s^*$.

Lemma 3.6. There exists $e \in \mathcal{H}_\omega^s(\Omega)$ such that $J_{\omega, s}(e) < 0$.

Proof: Choose $u \in \mathcal{H}_\omega^s(\Omega)$ such that $\|u\|_{\omega,s} = 1$. Let $t > 0$, we see that

$$J_{\omega,s}(tu) = \frac{t^2}{2} \|u_n\|_{\omega,s}^2 - \frac{t^{p_s}}{p_s} \|u\|_{p_s}^{p_s} - \frac{\tau_s}{2} t^2 \|u_n\|_2^2.$$

Since $\lambda_{1,s}^\omega \|u\|_2^2 \leq \|u\|_{\omega,s}^2 = 1$,

$$J_{\omega,s}(tu) \leq \frac{1}{2} t^2 - \frac{t^{p_s}}{p_s} \|u\|_{p_s}^{p_s} + \frac{1}{2} t^2 \frac{|\tau_s|}{\lambda_{1,s}^\omega}.$$

Note that $p_s > 2$, passing to the limit as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ we get that $J_{\omega,s}(tu) \rightarrow -\infty$, so taking $e = tu$ with t sufficiently large. \square

Lemma 3.7. For $p_s = 2_s^*$ and $u \in \mathcal{H}_\omega^s(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ the following relation holds true:

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} J_{\omega,s}(tu) = \frac{s}{N} \hat{\kappa}_{N,s}^{\frac{N}{2_s^*}}(u),$$

where

$$\hat{\kappa}_{N,s}(u) = \frac{\|u\|_{\omega,s}^2 - \tau_s \|u\|_2^2}{\|u\|_{2_s^*}^2}.$$

Proof: By Lemma 2.16 we obtain that $\sup_{t \geq 0} J_{\omega,s}(tu) = J_{\omega,s}(t_u^s u)$. By directly calculation we have

$$J_{\omega,s}(t_u^s u) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_s^*} \right) \|t_u^s u\|_{2_s^*}^{2_s^*} = \frac{s}{N} (t_u^s)^{2_s^*} \|u\|_{2_s^*}^{2_s^*} = \frac{s}{N} \hat{\kappa}_{N,s}^{\frac{N}{2_s^*}}(u)$$

since $t_u^s u \in \mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}$ by Lemma 2.16. \square

3.3 The proof of main result

We are ready to show Theorem 1.3, Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.3:

Let $\varphi : \mathcal{H}_\omega^s(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$\varphi(u) = \|u\|_{\omega,s}^2 - \|u\|_{p_s}^{p_s} - \tau_s \|u\|_2^2,$$

then $\mathcal{N}_{\omega,s} = \varphi^{-1}(0)$ and for $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}$

$$\langle \varphi'(u), u \rangle = 2\mathcal{E}_{\omega,s}(u, u) - 2\tau_s \int_\Omega u^2 dx - p_s \int_\Omega |u|^{p_s} dx = (2 - p_s) \|u\|_{p_s}^{p_s} < 0,$$

thus $\varphi'(u) \neq 0$, $J_{\omega,s}(u) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_s} \right) \|u\|_{p_s}^{p_s} > 0$, $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}$. Moreover, $J_{\omega,s}$ is Fréchet differentiable and $\varphi \in C^1$, so by Ekeland's variational principle [28, Theorem 3.1] (case of one constraint), there are $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}$, $\{\xi_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$0 \leq J_{\omega,s}(u_n) - \inf_{\mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}} J_{\omega,s} \leq \frac{1}{n^2}, \quad \|J'_{\omega,s}(u_n) - \xi_n \varphi'(u_n)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega^s, \mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{\|u_n\|_{\omega,s}} (\langle J'_{\omega,s}(u_n), u_n \rangle - \xi_n \langle \varphi'(u_n), u_n \rangle) = \xi_n (p_s - 2) \frac{\|u_n\|_{p_s}^{p_s}}{\|u_n\|_{\omega,s}^2} \rightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 2.15 and Proposition 3.3, there exists $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that $\frac{\|u_n\|_{p_s}^{p_s}}{\|u_n\|_{\omega,s}^2} \geq \frac{C_1^{p_s}}{C_2} > 0$, so $\xi_n \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $\|J'_{\omega,s}(u_n)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega^s, \mathbb{R})} \rightarrow 0$.

Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{H}_\omega^s(\Omega)$, up to a subsequence, there is $u \in \mathcal{H}_\omega^s(\Omega)$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $\mathcal{H}_\omega^s(\Omega)$, $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^q(\Omega)$, $q \in (1, 2_s^*)$ and $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ a.e. in Ω .

For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\omega^s(\Omega)$, we have $\mathcal{E}_{\omega,s}(u_n, \varphi) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\omega,s}(u, \varphi)$. Silimilarly, by Lemma 2.4 we obtain that there is $v \in L^{p_s-1}(\Omega)$ such that $|u_n(x)| \leq v(x), x \in \Omega$. By the dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p_s-2} u_n \varphi dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p_s-2} u \varphi dx \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leftarrow \langle J'_{\omega,s}(u_n), \varphi \rangle &= \mathcal{E}_{\omega,s}(u_n, \varphi) - \tau_s \int_{\Omega} u_n \varphi dx - \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p_s-2} u_n \varphi dx \\ &= \mathcal{E}_{\omega,s}(u, \varphi) - \tau_s \int_{\Omega} u \varphi dx - \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p_s-2} u \varphi dx, \end{aligned}$$

that is u is a solution of (1.6). Next we show that u is a nontrivial solution.

Suppose, by contradiction, that $u \equiv 0$. Since $\|J'_{\omega,s}(u_n)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega^s, \mathbb{R})} \rightarrow 0$ and $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{H}_\omega^s(\Omega)$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leftarrow \langle J'_{\omega,s}(u_n), u_n \rangle &= \mathcal{E}_{\omega,s}(u_n, u_n) - \tau_s \int_{\Omega} u_n^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p_s} dx \\ &= \mathcal{E}_{\omega,s}(u_n, u_n) - \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p_s} dx. \end{aligned}$$

If $p_s \in (2, 2_s^*)$, then $\|u_n\|_{p_s} \rightarrow 0$, which contradicts Lemma 2.15. If $p_s = 2_s^*$, then there exists $L \geq 0$ such that up to a subsequence we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{\omega,s}(u_n, u_n) \rightarrow L, \quad \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{2_s^*} dx \rightarrow L, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $J_{\omega,s}(u_n) \rightarrow c_\omega^s$ and

$$J_{\omega,s}(u_n) = \frac{1}{2} \|u_n\|_{\omega,s}^2 - \frac{1}{2_s^*} \|u_n\|_{2_s^*}^{2_s^*} - \frac{1}{2} \tau_s \|u_n\|_2^2,$$

we obtain that $c_\omega^s = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_s^*}\right) L = \frac{s}{N} L$. By Theorem 2.1, we see that $L \kappa_{N,s} \geq L^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}}$, thus $c_\omega^s \geq \frac{s}{N} \kappa_{N,s}^{-\frac{N}{2_s^*}}$. Next we show that $c_\omega^s < \frac{s}{N} \kappa_{N,s}^{-\frac{N}{2_s^*}}$.

Define

$$S_{s,\lambda}(v) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|v(x)-v(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} dx dy - \lambda \|v\|_2^2}{\|v\|_{2_s^*}^2}$$

and

$$S_s = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy}{\|v\|_{2_s^*}^2}$$

By (1.10) we see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy = 2c_{N,s}^{-1} \|v\|_s^2,$$

thus

$$S_{s,\lambda}(v) = \frac{2c_{N,s}^{-1} \|v\|_s^2 - \lambda \|v\|_2^2}{\|v\|_{2_s^*}^2}, S_s = 2c_{N,s}^{-1} \kappa_{N,s}^{-1}.$$

By [3, Theorem 4] we obtain that there exists $u \in \mathcal{H}_\omega^s(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$S_{s,\lambda}(u) < S_s, N \geq 4s, \lambda > 0.$$

Since $N \geq 4s, \tau_s > 1$ when $p_s = 2_s^*$, for $\lambda = \frac{2(\tau_s - 1)}{c_{N,s}} > 0$, there exists $u_\lambda \in \mathcal{H}_\omega^s(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $S_{s,\lambda}(u_\lambda) < S_s$, thus we get that

$$\frac{\|u_\lambda\|_s^2 - (\tau_s - 1) \|u_\lambda\|_2^2}{\|u_\lambda\|_{2_s^*}^2} < \kappa_{N,s}^{-1},$$

By Lemma 3.6 there exists $t_{u_\lambda} > 0$ such $J_{\omega,s}(t_{u_\lambda} u_\lambda) < 0$. Take $\sigma(s) = st_{u_\lambda} u_\lambda$, then by the definition of c_s^ω in (3.1) we obtain that

$$c_s^\omega \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} J_{\omega,s}(tu_\lambda) = \frac{s}{N} \hat{\kappa}_{N,s}^{\frac{N}{2s}}(u_\lambda).$$

Note that

$$\hat{\kappa}_{N,s}(u_\lambda) \leq \frac{\|u_\lambda\|_s^2 - (\tau_s - 1) \|u_\lambda\|_2^2}{\|u_\lambda\|_{2_s^*}^2} < \kappa_{N,s}^{-1},$$

so $c_s^\omega < \frac{s}{N} \kappa_{N,s}^{-\frac{N}{2s}}$, which leads to a contradiction.

Note that passing to a subsequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{\mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}} J_{\omega,s} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{\omega,s}(u_n) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_s}\right) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{p_s}^{p_s} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_s}\right) \|u\|_{p_s}^{p_s} = J_{\omega,s}(u) \geq \inf_{\mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}} J_{\omega,s}, \end{aligned}$$

so u is a Nehari least-energy solution of (1.6).

Finally, let $t_{|u|}^s$ be given by (2.14), then $t_{|u|}^s |u| \in \mathcal{N}_{\omega,s}$. Since $t_{|u|}^s \leq 1$,

$$J_{\omega,s}(u) \leq J_{\omega,s}(t_{|u|}^s |u|) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_s}\right) (t_{|u|}^s)^{p_s} \|u\|_{p_s}^{p_s} \leq J_{\omega,s}(u),$$

which yields that $t_{|u|}^s = 1$ and $|u|$ is a nehari least-energy solution of (1.6).

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (1):

Let $\psi : \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$\psi(u) = \|u\|^2 - \lambda \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx - k \int_{\Omega} u^2 \ln |u| dx,$$

then $\mathcal{N} = \psi^{-1}(0)$ and for $u \in \mathcal{N}$

$$\langle \psi'(u), u \rangle = 2\|u\|^2 - (2\lambda + k) \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx - 2k \int_{\Omega} u^2 \ln |u| dx = -k\|u\|_2^2 < 0,$$

thus $\psi'(u) \neq 0$, $J_{\text{ln}}(u) = \frac{k}{4}\|u\|_2^2 > 0$, $u \in \mathcal{N}$. Moreover, J_{ln} is Fréchet differentiable and $\psi \in C^1$, so by Ekeland's variational principle [28, Theorem 3.1] (case of one constraint), there are $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}$, $\{\xi_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$0 \leq J_{\text{ln}}(u_n) - \inf_{\mathcal{N}} J_{\text{ln}} \leq \frac{1}{n^2}, \quad \|J'_{\text{ln}}(u_n) - \xi_n \psi'(u_n)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}, \mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{\|u_n\|} (\langle J'_{\text{ln}}(u_n), u_n \rangle - \xi_n \langle \psi'(u_n), u_n \rangle) = k \xi_n \frac{\|u_n\|_2^2}{\|u_n\|} \rightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 2.17 and Proposition 3.4, there exists $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that $\frac{\|u_n\|_2^2}{\|u_n\|} \geq \frac{C_1}{C_2} > 0$, so $\xi_n \rightarrow 0$, $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $\|J'_{\text{ln}}(u_n)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}, \mathbb{R})} \rightarrow 0$.

By Proposition 3.5 there is a subsequence $\{u_{n_k}\}$ such that $u_{n_k} \rightarrow u$ in $\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$. Thus $J_{\text{ln}}(u) = c > 0$, so u is a nontrivial Nehari least-energy solution.

Finally we argue that u does not change sign. By Lemma 2.10, $|u| \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\omega}(|u|, |u|) \leq \mathcal{E}_{\omega}(u, u)$. Furthermore, the equality holds if and only if u does not change sign. Let $t_{|u|}^0$ be given by lemma 2.18 with $w = |u|$, then $t_{|u|}^0 |u| \in \mathcal{N}$, combining with $u \in \mathcal{N}$, then

$$\mathcal{E}_{\omega}(u, u) = \lambda \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx + \frac{k}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 \ln u^2 dx$$

and

$$\left(t_{|u|}^0\right)^2 \mathcal{E}_{\omega}(|u|, |u|) = \lambda \left(t_{|u|}^0\right)^2 \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx + \frac{k}{2} \left(t_{|u|}^0\right)^2 \int_{\Omega} u^2 \ln \left(t_{|u|}^0 u\right)^2 dx.$$

Thus by $\mathcal{E}_{\omega}(|u|, |u|) \leq \mathcal{E}_{\omega}(u, u)$, we obtain that $|t_{|u|}^0| \leq 1$. So

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\text{ln}}(u) &\leq J_{\text{ln}}\left(t_{|u|}^0 u\right) = \frac{k}{4} \left(t_{|u|}^0\right)^2 \|u\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \frac{k}{4} \|u\|_2^2 = J_{\text{ln}}(u). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $t_{|u|}^0 = 1$, so u does not change sign in Ω by Lemma 2.10.

Next we consider $k \in (-\infty, 0)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 3.8. $\lim_{\substack{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty \\ u \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)}} J_{\text{ln}}(u) = \infty.$

Proof: Note that

$$J_{\ln}(u) = \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx + \frac{k}{4} \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx - \frac{k}{4} \int_{\Omega} u^2 \ln u^2 dx.$$

Therefore, there exists $C = C(N, \Omega, \lambda) > 0$ such that

$$J_{\ln}(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - C\|u\|_2^2 - \frac{k}{4} \int_{\Omega} u^2 \ln u^2 dx.$$

Let $\tilde{\Omega} = \{x \in \Omega : \ln u^2(x) > -\frac{4C}{k}\}$, then

$$-\frac{k}{4} \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} u^2 \ln u^2 dx \geq C \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} u^2 dx,$$

Thus,

$$J_{\ln}(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - C \int_{\Omega \setminus \tilde{\Omega}} u^2 dx - \frac{k}{4} \int_{\Omega \setminus \tilde{\Omega}} u^2 \ln u^2 dx,$$

since $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^2 \ln t = 0$, there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$J_{\ln}(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - C_1,$$

which yields the result. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (2):

There is a minimizing sequence $\{u_n\}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{\ln}(u_n) = \inf_{\mathcal{H}_0^{\ln}(\Omega)} J_{\ln} := \tilde{c}.$$

By Lemma 3.8, $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{H}_0^{\ln}(\Omega)$, then up to a subsequence,

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u_0 \text{ in } \mathcal{H}_0^{\ln}(\Omega), u_n \rightarrow u_0 \text{ in } L^2(\Omega), u_n \rightarrow u_0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega.$$

In particular, $\|u_0\|^2 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|^2$. By Fatou's lemma we deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega} u_0^2 \ln u_0^2 dx \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_n^2 \ln u_n^2 dx.$$

Thus we have $J_{\ln}(u_0) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{\ln}(u_n) = \tilde{c}$, so u_0 is a global least energy solution. To see that u_0 is nontrivial, let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\ln}(u_0) &\leq \tilde{c} \leq J_{\ln}(t\varphi) \\ &= \frac{t^2}{2} \left(\mathcal{E}_\omega(\varphi, \varphi) - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^2 dx + \frac{k}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^2 dx - \frac{k}{2} \int_{\Omega} \varphi^2 \ln t^2 \varphi^2 dx \right) < 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $t > 0$ is sufficiently small, so $u_0 \neq 0$.

By Lemma 2.10, $\mathcal{E}_\omega(|u_0|, |u_0|) \leq \mathcal{E}_\omega(u_0, u_0)$, since u_0 is a global minimizer, this yields that $\mathcal{E}_\omega(|u_0|, |u_0|) = \mathcal{E}_\omega(u_0, u_0)$, so u_0 does not change sign.

Finally, we show the uniqueness (up to a sign) of global least energy solution.

Otherwise, there are two nontrivial solutions u, v such that $u^2 = v^2$. Set

$$\sigma(t, u, v) := [(1-t)u^2 + tv^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}, t \in [0, 1].$$

It is not difficult to show that the function

$$t \rightarrow J_{\text{In}}(\sigma(t, u, v))$$

is strictly convex in $[0, 1]$, similar to the proof [30, Theorem 6]. Since a strictly convex function cannot have two global minimizers, we obtain the uniqueness of least-energy solutions.

Proof of Proposition 1.2:

We prove it with the δ -decomposition of the nonlocal operators as described in [31, Theorem 3.1].

Take $\delta \in (0, 1)$, let $J_\delta := 1_{B_\delta} J$ and $K_\delta := J - J_\delta$. Note that for $u, v \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{In}}(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_\omega(u, v) &= \mathcal{E}_\omega^\delta(u, v) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y)) K_\delta(x - y) dx dy \\ &= \mathcal{E}_\omega^\delta(u, v) + \kappa_\delta \langle u, v \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} - \langle K_\delta * u, v \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(u, v) \mapsto \mathcal{E}_\omega^\delta(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y)) J_\delta(x - y) dx dy$$

and the constant κ_δ is $\kappa_\delta = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K_\delta(z) dz$.

By [12, (1.9)] $K_\delta \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$\kappa_\delta > C_0 \int_{B_1 \setminus B_\delta} \frac{1}{|z|^N} dz = -C_0 |S^{N-1}| \ln \delta \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0.$$

Next, let $c > 0$ be constant to be chosen later. Consider the function $w_c = (u - c)^+ : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then $w_c \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{In}}(\Omega)$ by Lemma 2.10. Moreover, for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (u(x) - u(y))(w_c(x) - w_c(y)) \\ &= ([u(x) - c] - [u(y) - c])(w_c(x) - w_c(y)) \\ &= [u(x) - c]w_c(x) + [u(y) - c]w_c(y) - [u(x) - c]w_c(y) - w_c(x)[u(y) - c] \\ &= w_c^2(x) + w_c^2(y) - 2w_c(x)w_c(y) + [u(x) - c]^- w_c(y) + w_c(x)[u(y) - c]^- \\ &\geq w_c^2(x) + w_c^2(y) - 2w_c(x)w_c(y) = (w_c(x) - w_c(y))^2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_\omega^\delta(w_c, w_c) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (w_c(x) - w_c(y))^2 J_\delta(x - y) dx dy \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u(x) - u(y)) (w_c(x) - w_c(y)) J_\delta(x - y) dx dy \\
&= \mathcal{E}_\omega(u, w_c) - \kappa_\delta \langle u, w_c \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} + \langle K_\delta * u, w_c \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
&\leq (\lambda - \kappa_\delta) \langle u, w_c \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|K_\delta * u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \langle 1, w_c \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} + k \int_\Omega u w_c \ln |u| dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\kappa_\delta \rightarrow +\infty$, so we fix $\delta > 0$ such that $\lambda - \kappa_\delta < -1$. Moreover, note that for sufficiently large c we have $u(x)w_c(x) \geq cw_c(x)$, $uw_c \ln |u| \geq (c \ln c) w_c(x)$ for $x \in \Omega$, we conclude that

$$\mathcal{E}_\omega^\delta(w_c, w_c) \leq \int_\Omega \left(\|K_\delta * u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} - c + kc \ln |c| \right) w_c dx$$

By [12, (1.9)] there is a constant $C = C(N, \delta) > 0$ such that

$$\|K_\delta * u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{E}_\omega^\delta(w_c, w_c) \leq \int_\Omega \left(C \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} - c + kc \ln |c| \right) w_c dx$$

By taking $c > C \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ we obtain $\mathcal{E}_\omega^\delta(w_c, w_c) = 0$. So $w_c = 0$ in Ω , thus $u(x) \leq C \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}$, replacing the above argument by $-u$ we obtain that

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

It is not difficult to verify that $K(x, y) := d_N |y|^{-N} w(|y|)$ satisfying condition (K_0) and (A_1) in [32]. Thus, by carefully examining the proof process, we find that the regularity result [32, Theorem 3] of Kassmann and Mimica also holds for operator Lu where

$$Lu := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}} (u(x+y) - u(x)) J(y) dy.$$

So by standard approximation argument we obtain that $u \in C(\Omega)$ and

$$\sup_{x, y \in B_{\frac{r}{4}}(0)} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{L(|x - y|)^{-\beta}} \leq CL(r)^\beta \|u\|_\infty + CL(r)^{\beta-1} \|f\|_{L^\infty}, r > 0$$

where $L(r) = \int_r^{+\infty} \frac{w(s)}{s} ds$, $f = \lambda u + ku \ln |u|$, $\beta \in (0, 1)$.

4 Convergence of solutions

Finally, we show that the least-energy solutions of the fractional Schrödinger operator $(I - \Delta)^s$ converge, up to a subsequence, to a nontrivial least-energy

solution of the limiting problem associated with the logarithmic Schrödinger operator.

Proof of Theorem 1.4:

Let $(s_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, s_0]$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_k = 0$, let $u_{s_k} \in \mathcal{H}_w^{s_k}(\Omega)$ be a least-energy solution of (1.6). The existence of such sequence is given by Theorem 1.3. By Proposition 2.23 $\{u_{s_k}\}$ is uniformly bounded in $\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$. So passing to a subsequence, there is $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$ such that

$$u_{s_k} \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega), \quad u_{s_k} \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^2(\Omega), \quad u_{s_k} \rightarrow u \text{ a.e. as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Set $f(s) = |t|^{p_s-2}t$, then $f'(s) = p'(s) t|t|^{p_s-2} \ln |t|$ and

$$f(s) = f(0) + s \int_0^1 f'(s\xi) d\xi.$$

Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, by the Parseval identity we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} u_{s_k} (I - \Delta)^{s_k} \varphi dx &= \mathcal{E}_{\omega, s}(u_{s_k}, \varphi) = \int_{\Omega} (|u_{s_k}|^{p_{s_k}-2} u_{s_k} + \tau_{s_k} u_{s_k}) \varphi dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(u_{s_k} + \tau_{s_k} u_{s_k} + s_k \int_0^1 p'(s_k \xi) |u_{s_k}|^{p(s_k \xi)-2} u_{s_k} \ln |u_{s_k}| d\xi \right) \varphi dx. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $(I - \Delta)^{s_k} \varphi = \varphi + s_k (I - \Delta)^{\text{ln}} \varphi + o(s_k)$ in $L^\infty(\Omega)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} u_{s_k} (I - \Delta)^{\text{ln}} \varphi dx + o(1) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 p'(s_k \xi) \varphi |u_{s_k}|^{p(s_k \xi)-2} u_{s_k} \ln |u_{s_k}| d\xi dx + \int_{\Omega} \tau_{s_k} u_{s_k} \varphi dx \end{aligned}$$

By the dominated convergence theorem and Lemma 2.8 we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 p'(s_k \xi) \varphi |u_{s_k}|^{p(s_k \xi)-2} u_{s_k} \ln |u_{s_k}| d\xi dx = p'(0) \int_{\Omega} \varphi u \ln |u| dx.$$

Therefore, by $\tau_{s_k} \rightarrow 0$, we conclude that

$$\mathcal{E}_{\omega}(u, \varphi) = p'(0) \int_{\Omega} \varphi u \ln |u| dx, \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega).$$

Then by Lemma 2.5 and $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{ln}}(\Omega)$, we obtain that u is a solution of (1.1) with $\lambda = 0, k = p'(0)$.

Next we show that u is nontrivial. Let

$$\lambda_k = \frac{p(s_k) - 2}{2_s^* - 2} \in (0, 1)$$

then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_k = \frac{s_k \int_0^1 p'(s_k \xi) d\xi}{s_k \frac{4}{N-2s_k}} = p'(0) \frac{N}{4} \in (0, 1).$$

By Lemma 2.15, there exists $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &< \|u_{s_k}\|_{\omega, s_k}^2 = \int_{\Omega} |u_{s_k}|^{p_{s_k}} dx + \tau_{s_k} \int_{\Omega} u_{s_k}^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |u_{s_k}|^{2(1-\lambda_k)} |u_{s_k}|^{\lambda_k 2_{s_k}^*} dx + \tau_{s_k} \int_{\Omega} u_{s_k}^2 dx \\ &\leq \|u_{s_k}\|_2^{2(1-\lambda_k)} \|u_{s_k}\|_{2_{s_k}^*}^{2_{s_k}^* \lambda_k} + \tau_{s_k} \|u_{s_k}\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|u_{s_k}\|_{2_{s_k}^*} \leq \kappa_{N, s_k}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_{s_k}\|_{\omega, s_k}$, by Lemma 2.23 and (2.10), there exists $C_2 > 0$ such that $\|u_{s_k}\|_{2_{s_k}^*}^{2_{s_k}^* \lambda_k} \leq C_2$. Thus we obtain

$$C_1 < C_2 \|u_{s_k}\|_2^{2(1-\lambda_k)} + o(s_k),$$

so

$$\|u\|_2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{s_k}\|_2 \geq \left(\frac{C_1}{C_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2(1-\frac{N}{4}p'(0))}} > 0,$$

which yields that $u \neq 0$, so $u \in \mathcal{N}$.

Next we show that u is a Nehari least-energy solution of the limiting problem. Note that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s_k} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_{s_k}} \right) = \frac{p'(0)}{4}, J_{\omega, s_k}(u_{s_k}) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_{s_k}} \right) \|u_{s_k}\|_{p_{s_k}}^{p_{s_k}},$$

Let $c_k := \frac{1}{s_k} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_{s_k}} \right) \|u_{s_k}\|_{p_{s_k}}^{p_{s_k}}$, by Proposition 2.23, so passing to a subsequence, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} c_k = c_0$.

By Fatou's Lemma, we get that

$$c \leq \frac{p'(0)}{4} \|u\|_2^2 \leq \frac{p'(0)}{4} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |u_{s_k}|^{p_{s_k}} dx = c_0.$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 1.1 with $k = p'(0)$ there is $v \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $J(v) = c$. By Lemma 2.19, we can take sequence $\{v_n\} \subset C_c^\infty(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{N}$ such that $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$. By Lemma 2.16 and $v_n \in \mathcal{N}$ we obtain that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k^n = 1, \text{ for every } n; \text{ where } t_k^n = \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\omega, s_k}(v_n, v_n) - \tau_{s_k} \|v_n\|_2^2}{\|v_n\|_{p_{s_k}}^{p_{s_k}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_{s_k} - 2}}.$$

Note that $t_k^n v_n \in \mathcal{N}_{\omega, s}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} c_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s_k} J_{\omega, s_k}(u_{s_k}) \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s_k} J_{\omega, s_k}(t_k^n v_n) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s_k} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_{s_k}} \right) \|t_k^n v_n\|_{p_{s_k}}^{p_{s_k}} = \frac{p'(0)}{4} \|v_n\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

So $\frac{p'(0)}{4} \|v\|_2^2 = c \geq c_0$. Thus $J_{\text{in}}(u) = \frac{p'(0)}{4} \|u\|_2^2 = c$, which completes the proof.

References

- [1] R.Servadei and E.Valdinoci, Variational methods for non-local operators of elliptic type, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 33(5), 2105–2137 (2013).
- [2] Y. Guo, B. Li, A. Pistoia, and S. Yan, The fractional Brezis-Nirenberg problems on lower dimensions, *J. Differential Equations* 286, 284–331 (2021).
- [3] R.Servadei and E.Valdinoci, The Brezis-Nirenberg result for the fractional Laplacian, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 367(1), 67–102 (2015).
- [4] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, A Brezis-Nirenberg result for non-local critical equations in low dimension, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* 12(6), 2445–2464 (2013).
- [5] N. De Nitti and T. König, Critical functions and blow-up asymptotics for the fractional Brezis–Nirenberg problem in low dimension, *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* 62(4), 114 (2023).
- [6] H.Chen and T.Weth, The Dirichlet Problem for the logarithmic Laplacian, *Comm. Part. Diff. Eq.* 44(11), 1100–1139 (2019).
- [7] V. Hernández-Santamará and A. Saldaña, Small order asymptotics for non-linear fractional problems, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 61(3), 92 (2022).
- [8] V. Hernández-Santamará , L.F. López Ríos and A. Saldaña, Optimal boundary regularity and a hopf-type lemma for dirichlet problems involving the logarithmic laplacian, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 45(1), 1-36 (2024).
- [9] H. Chen and F. Zhou, On positive solutions of critical semilinear equations involving the Logarithmic Laplacian, *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.04797* (2024).
- [10] X. Zhang, C. Wei, Y. Liu, and M. Luo, Fractional corresponding operator in quantum mechanics and applications: A uniform fractional schrödinger equation in form and fractional quantization methods, *Anna. Phys.* 350, 124-136 (2014).
- [11] S.Umarov, Introduction to fractional and pseudo-differential equations with singular symbols, *Springer*, (2015).
- [12] P.A.Feulefack, The logarithmic schrödinger operator and associated dirichlet problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 517(2), 126656 (2023).
- [13] L.Beghin, Geometric stable processes and related fractional differential equations, *Electron. Commun. Probab.* 19, 1-14 (2014).
- [14] A.Mimica and P.Kim, Green function estimates for subordinate brownian motions: stable and beyond, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 366(8), 4383–4422 (2014).
- [15] R.Song and Z.Vondraček, Potential theory of subordinate killed brownian motion in a domain, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* 125, 578–592 (2003).

- [16] R.Song and Z.Vondraček, Potential theory of special subordinators and subordinate killed stable processes, *J. Theor. Probab.* 19(4), 817–847 (2006).
- [17] A. A. Kilbas, O. Marichev, and S. G. Samko, Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications, Yverdon-les-Bains, Switzerland: Gordon and Breach Science, (1993).
- [18] MM. Fall and V. Felli, Sharp essential self-adjointness of relativistic schrödinger operators with a singular potential, *J. Funct. Anal.* 267(6), 1851–1877 (2014).
- [19] M.Willem, Minimax theorems, *Springer Science & Business Media*, (2012).
- [20] E.D.Nezza, G.Palatucci and E.Valdinoci, Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces, *Bull. Sci. Math.* 136(5), 521-573 (2012).
- [21] H.Brézis and L.Nirenberg, Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical sobolev exponents, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 36(4), 437–477 (1983).
- [22] A.Ortega and E.Colorado, The brezis–nirenberg problem for the fractional laplacian with mixed dirichlet–neumann boundary conditions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 473(2), 1002–1025 (2019).
- [23] E.M.Stein, Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions, *Princeton University Press*, (2016).
- [24] W.Beckner, Pitt’s inequality and the uncertainty principle, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 123(6), 1897–1905 (1995).
- [25] A.Cotsiolis and NK.Tavoularis, Best constants for sobolev inequalities for higher order fractional derivatives, 295(1), 225–236 (2004).
- [26] H. Bueno, A. Medeiros and G. A. Pereira, Pohozaev-type identities for a pseudo-relativistic Schrodinger operator and applications, *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations* 67(10), 24812506 (2022).
- [27] H.Brézis and E.Lieb, A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 88(3), 486–490 (1983).
- [28] I.Ekeland, On the variational principle, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 47(2), 324–353 (1974).
- [29] M.Clapp and L.Lopez Rios, Entire nodal solutions to the pure critical exponent problem for the p-laplacian, *J. Differential Equations* 265(3), 891–905 (2018).
- [30] F.Angeles and A.Saldana, Small order limit of fractional dirichlet sublinear-type problems, *Frac. Calc. Appl. Anal.* 26(4), 1594–1631 (2023).
- [31] S.Jarohs, P.A.Feulefack and T.Weth, Small order asymptotics of the dirichlet eigenvalue problem for the fractional laplacian, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 28(2), 18 (2022).

- [32] M.Kassmann and A.Mimica, Intrinsic scaling properties for nonlocal operators, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* 19(4), 983–1011 (2017).

HUYUAN CHEN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JIANGXI NORMAL UNIVERSITY, NANCHANG 330022, CHINA

Email address: `chenhuyuan@yeah.net`

RUI CHEN: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200433, CHINA

Email address: `23110180004@m.fudan.edu.cn`

BOBO HUA: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, LMNS, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200433, CHINA; SHANGHAI CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200438, CHINA

Email address: `bobohua@fudan.edu.cn`