VIETORIS-RIPS COMPLEXES OF TORUS GRIDS

HENRY ADAMS, ADENIKE YESIDE ADETOWUBO, HECTOR BARRIGA-ACOSTA, ZIQIN FENG, AND JOHN STERLING

ABSTRACT. We study the topology of Vietoris–Rips complexes of finite grids on the torus. Let $T_{n,n}$ be the grid of $n \times n$ points on the flat torus $S^1 \times S^1$, equipped with the l^1 metric. Let $\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n};k)$ be the Vietoris–Rips simplicial complex of this torus grid at scale $k \geq 0$. For $n \geq 7$ and small scales $2 \leq k \leq \frac{n-1}{3}$, the complex $\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n};k)$ is homotopy equivalent to the torus. For large scales $k \geq 2\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, the complex $\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n};k)$ is a simplex and hence contractible. Interesting topology arises over intermediate scales $\frac{n-1}{3} < k < 2\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. For example, we prove that $\operatorname{VR}(T_{2n,2n};2n-1) \cong S^{2n^2-1}$ for $n \geq 2$, that $\operatorname{VR}(T_{3n,3n};n) \simeq \bigvee^{6n^2-1}S^2$ for $n \geq 2$, and that $\operatorname{VR}(T_{3n-1,3n-1};n) \simeq \bigvee_{6n-3}S^2 \lor \bigvee_{6n-2}S^3$ for $n \geq 3$. Based on homology computations, we conjecture that $\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n};k)$ is homotopy equivalent to a 3-sphere for a countable family of (n,k) pairs, and we prove this for (n,k) = (7,4).

1. INTRODUCTION

The Vietoris–Rips complex originates in the work of Leopold Vietoris [40], a pioneer in the study of homology. In 1927, he introduced Vietoris–Rips complexes to construct a canonical simplicial complex on top of any metric space. Later, Elihayu Rips and Mikhail Gromov [27] used Vietoris–Rips complexes to study hyperbolic groups in geometric group theory. More recently, Vietoris–Rips complexes have been used in computational algebraic topology and topological data analysis to process point cloud data [11, 18, 17, 16, 44], based on the existence of fast algorithms to compute persistent homology [6, 45, 46]. The study of Vietoris–Rips complexes is finding connections to many areas of geometry and topology.

For any metric space X and a scale parameter $r \ge 0$, the Vietoris–Rips complex $\operatorname{VR}(X;r)$ is a simplicial complex on the vertex set X, which has as its simplices the finite subsets of X of diameter at most r. The Vietoris–Rips complex is an example of a clique complex because it is the maximal simplicial complex determined by its 1-skeleton. Part of the applicability of Vietoris–Rips complexes comes from the work of Hausmann [29] and Latschev [31]. Hausmann proved that if M is a Riemann manifold and the scale r is sufficiently small, then $\operatorname{VR}(M;r)$ is homotopy equivalent to M. Latschev also proved that if X is Gromov–Hausdorff close to M and r is in the correct range, then $\operatorname{VR}(X;r)$ remains homotopy equivalent to M.

Let us now focus attention on the *m*-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^m = (S^1)^m = S^1 \times \ldots \times S^1$. There are a variety of metrics that one can put on the torus, including the l^p metric for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. The most natural metric, in our opinion, is the l^2 metric; indeed, (\mathbb{T}^m, l^2) is the flat torus, a Riemannian manifold with constant curvature zero. Question 2 in Section 2 of [24] asks about the homotopy types of Vietoris–Rips complexes of the flat torus (\mathbb{T}^m, l^2) . To our knowledge, the only known result is that $VR((\mathbb{T}^m, l^2); r)$ is homotopy equivalent to the torus \mathbb{T}^m for all scale parameters r that are sufficiently small, by Hausmann's theorem [29].

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 55N31, 05E45, 05C69.

Key words and phrases. Vietoris–Rips simplicial complexes, homotopy types, homology, nerve lemma, l^1 metric, torus grid.

When the *m*-dimensional torus is equipped with the l^{∞} metric, and when each geodesic circle has unit circumference, it is known from [2, Proposition 10.2] that $VR((\mathbb{T}^m, l^{\infty}); r)$ is a product of odd-dimensional spheres, namely

$$\operatorname{VR}((\mathbb{T}^m, l^\infty); r) \simeq \underbrace{\operatorname{VR}(S^1; r) \times \ldots \times \operatorname{VR}(S^1; r)}_{m \text{ times}} \simeq \underbrace{S^{2k+1} \times \ldots \times S^{2k+1}}_{m \text{ times}}$$

for all $\frac{k}{2k+1} < r < \frac{k+1}{2k+3}$. An analogous formula is true if the different circular factors forming the *m*-dimensional torus have different circumferences; in this case the Vietoris–Rips complex of the *m*-torus with the l^{∞} metric could be homotopy equivalent to a product of odd-dimensional spheres of different dimensions.

In this paper, we instead consider the l^1 metric. Part of our motivation for considering the l^1 metric is the following. Though we think of the l^1 and l^{∞} metrics on the torus \mathbb{T}^2 as being less natural than the l^2 metric, if we can understand the inclusion map $\operatorname{VR}((\mathbb{T}^2, l^1); r) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{VR}((\mathbb{T}^2, l^{\infty}); r)$, then since this inclusion factors as

$$\mathrm{VR}((\mathbb{T}^2, l^1); r) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{VR}((\mathbb{T}^2, l^2); r) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{VR}((\mathbb{T}^2, l^\infty); r),$$

we can deduce properties of the intermediate space $\operatorname{VR}((\mathbb{T}^2, l^2); r)$. For example, if we can show that the inclusion map $\operatorname{VR}((\mathbb{T}^2, l^1); r) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{VR}((\mathbb{T}^2, l^\infty); r)$ is nonzero on the *k*-th homotopy group π_k , then it follows that $\pi_k(\operatorname{VR}((\mathbb{T}^2, l^\infty); r)) \neq 0$, and similarly for homology groups. A second motivation for considering the l^1 metric is that it is the natural (shortest path) metric to put on the grid graphs $T_{n,n}$, which approximate the torus \mathbb{T}^2 , as we describe in the next paragraph. In this paper, we also focus on the 2-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^2 = S^1 \times S^1$, as this case is already complicated and points to phenomena that we expect to appear again with the *m*-dimensional torus \mathbb{T}^m .

We study the homotopy types and homology groups of Vietoris–Rips complexes of a finite grid of points on the torus. Let $T_{n,n}$ be the $n \times n$ grid graph on a torus $T_{n,n} \subseteq S^1 \times S^1$. In other words, $T_{n,n}$ is the product of the cyclic graph C_n with itself. We consider the homotopy types and homology groups of its Vietoris–Rips complexes $\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n};k)$ at a growing scale parameter $k \geq 0$. Here, the input metric space into the Vietoris–Rips construction is the vertex set of the graph $T_{n,n}$, equipped with the l^1 metric. We think of the l^1 metric as a natural metric on the vertex set of the grid graph $T_{n,n}$; indeed, it is the same as the shortest path metric on the natural grid graph with $T_{n,n}$ as its vertex set. We note that as $n \to \infty$, the persistent homology of $\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n}; \frac{\bullet}{n})$ converges to the persistent homology of $\operatorname{VR}((\mathbb{T}^2, l^1); \bullet)$ by the stability of persistent homology [14], where (\mathbb{T}^2, l^1) is the l^1 metric product of two geodesic circles each of circumference one.

Table 1 shows known homotopy types and computed homology groups of the Vietoris– Rips complexes $\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n};k)$ of $n \times n$ grids on the torus. We write \mathbb{T}^2 in Table 1 to mean that the complex has homotopy type of the torus. We write $\beta_i = d$ as shorthand for $H_i(\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n};k);\mathbb{Z}/2) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2)^d$. The homology computations, performed using $\mathbb{Z}/2$ coefficients in Ripser [6], were carried out on Auburn University's Easley cluster.

Let us describe some initial examples in Table 1. At scale 0, we have that $VR(T_{n,n}; 0)$ is a collection of n^2 vertices, and therefore $VR(T_{n,n}; 0) \cong \bigvee^{n^2-1} S^0$.

By an Euler characteristic argument, any connected graph with v vertices and e edges is homotopy equivalent to $\vee^{e-v+1}S^1$. Since $\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n}; 1)$ has n^2 vertices and $2n^2$ edges, we get that

$$\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n};1) \simeq \vee^{e-v+1} S^1 \simeq \vee^{n^2+1} S^1 \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge 4.$$

For $k \geq 2$ and for n > 3k, i.e. when the scale parameter k is small enough compared to n, we prove in Theorem 5.9 that $VR(T_{n,n}; k)$ is homotopy equivalent to the torus \mathbb{T}^2 .

	k = 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
n = 3	$\vee^4 S^1$	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	$\vee^{17}S^1$	$\vee^9 S^3$	S^7	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	$\vee^{26}S^1$	$\bigvee^9 S^2$	$\vee^9 S^4$	*	*	*	*	*	*
6	$\vee^{37}S^1$	$\vee^{23}S^2$	3:1, 5:12	5:23, 8:2	S^{17}	*	*	*	*
7	$\vee^{50}S^1$	\mathbb{T}^2	3:1, 4:14	S^3	11:1	*	*	*	*
8	$\vee^{65}S^1$	\mathbb{T}^2	$\bigvee^{15} S^2 \vee \bigvee^{16} S^3$	3:1, 7:16 (12:0)	3:1 (12:0)	12:259	S^{31}	*	*
9	$\vee^{82}S^1$	\mathbb{T}^2	$\vee^{53}S^2$	3:1, 5:36 (9:0)	3:1(9:0)	7:31, 8:183 (9:0)	(6:0)	*	*
10	$\vee^{101}S^1$	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	3:21, 4:60	3:1, 9:20	4:39 (10:0)	(6:0)	(6:0)	S^{49}
11	$\vee^{122}S^1$	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	$\bigvee^{21} S^2 \vee \bigvee^{22} S^3$	3:1, 6:22	3:1 (10:0)	4:1, 5:4	(5:0)	(5:0)
12	$\vee^{145}S^1$	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	$\vee^{95}S^2$	3:1, 4:24, 5:120	3:1 (9:0)	3:1 (6:0)	(4:0)	(4:0)
13	$\vee^{170}S^1$	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	3:27, 4:26	3:1, 8:26	3:1 (6:0)	3:1(4:0)	(4:0)
14	$\vee^{197}S^1$	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	$\bigvee^{27} S^2 \vee \bigvee^{28} S^3$	3:1, 5:28, 6:84	3:1 (6:0)	3:1~(6:0)	4:1
15	$\vee^{226}S^1$	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	$\vee^{149}S^2$	3:1, 4:90	3:1(4:0)	3:1(4:0)	4:89
16	$\vee^{257}S^1$	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	3:35, 4:34 (6:0)	3:1, 6:64 (7:0)	3:1(6:0)	3:1 (4:0)
17	$\vee^{290}S^1$	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	$\bigvee^{33} S^2 \vee \bigvee^{34} S^3$	3:1, 4:34, 5:34	3:1 (6:0)	3:1 (4:0)
18	$\vee^{325}S^1$	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	$\vee^{215}S^2$	3:3, 4:2, 5:216	3:1(6:0)	3:1(4:0)
19	$\vee^{362}S^1$	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	3:39, 4:38 (5:0)	3:1(4:0)	3:1 (4:0)
20	$\vee^{401}S^1$	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	$\bigvee^{39} S^2 \vee \bigvee^{40} S^3$	3:1, 4:160 (5:0)	3:1(5:0)
21	$\vee^{442}S^1$	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	$\vee^{293}S^2$	3:3, 4:2, 5:294	3:1(5:0)
22	$\vee^{485}S^1$	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	3:47, 4:46 (5:0)	3:1, 4:44, 5:44
23	$\vee^{530}S^1$	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	$\bigvee^{45} S^2 \vee \bigvee^{46} S^3$	3:3, 4:2 (5:0)
24	$\vee^{577}S^1$	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	$\vee^{383}S^2$	3:3, 4:2, 5:384
25	$\vee^{626}S^1$	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	3:51, 4:50 (5:0)
26	$\vee^{677}S^1$	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	$\bigvee^{51} S^2 \vee \bigvee^{52} S^3$
27	$\vee^{730}S^1$	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	$\vee^{485}S^2$
28	$\vee^{785}S^1$	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2	\mathbb{T}^2

TABLE 1. Homotopy types of clique complexes of graph powers of the torus grid graphs $\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n};k)$. We write *i*:*d* as shorthand for $H_i(\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n};k);\mathbb{Z}/2)\cong(\mathbb{Z}/2)^d$. Cells that contain an entry of the form (i:0) have been computed up to the *i*-th homology group without yielding additional features. Cells not containing (i:0) have had the homology computed up to the greatest i within the cell. All computations were done using $\mathbb{Z}/2$ coefficients in Ripser. Each distinct colored diagonal corresponds to a major result discussed in the paper: the blue-highlighted diagonal is explained in Section 1, while the red and teal diagonals are explained in Section 5.

We note that $VR(T_{n,n}; k)$ is contractible if the scale parameter k is large enough:

$$\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n};k) \simeq * \quad \text{for } k \ge \begin{cases} n & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ n-1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Indeed, this follows since the diameter $diam(T_{n,n})$ is equal to n if n is even, and equal to n-1 if n is odd. Once k is large enough so that $k \geq \operatorname{diam}(T_{n,n})$, then any two vertices in $T_{n,n}$ are connected by an edge in the Vietoris-Rips complex, meaning that $VR(T_{n,n};k)$ is a complete simplex and hence contractible.

Interesting topology arises over intermediate scales $\frac{n-1}{3} < k < 2\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Here $2\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ is simply the function returning n if n is even, and returning n-1 if n is odd — i.e., the function returning the smallest even integer less than or equal to n. Two goals of our paper are (i) to describe the topology of $\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n};k)$ for $\frac{n-1}{3} < k < 2\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ as best we can, and (ii) to ask open questions about aspects of the topology in this regime that we have observed (say from homology computations). We hope this paper inspires future work studying the intricate topology that arises.

For *n* even we have a homeomorphism $\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n}; n-1) \cong S^{\frac{n^2}{2}-1}$; the entries in Table 1 that are examples of this are $\operatorname{VR}(T_{4,4}; 3) \cong S^7$, $\operatorname{VR}(T_{6,6}; 5) \cong S^{17}$, $\operatorname{VR}(T_{8,8}; 7) \cong S^{31}$,

	k = 10	11	12	13
n = 12	(4:0)	S^{71}	*	*
13	(4:0)	(4:0)	*	*
14	(4:0)	(4:0)	(4:0)	S^{97}
15	(4:0)	(4:0)	(4:0)	(4:0)
16	4:3	(4:0)	(4:0)	(4:0)
17	3:1(4:0)	4:1	(4:0)	(4:0)
18	3:1 (4:0)	3:1 (4:0)	(4:0)	(4:0)
19	3:1 (4:0)	3:1 (4:0)	4:1	
20	3:1(4:0)	3:1 (4:0)	4:159	
21	3:1(4:0)	3:1 (4:0)	3:1 (4:0)	
22	3:1 (4:0)	3:1 (4:0)	3:1 (4:0)	
23	3:1 (4:0)	3:1 (4:0)	3:1 (4:0)	
24	3:1(4:0)	3:1 (4:0)		
25	3:1, 4:250	3:1 (4:0)		
26	3:3, 4:2	3:1 (4:0)		
27	3:3, 4:2	3:1, 4:54		
28	3:59, 4:58	3:3, 4:2		

TABLE 2. A continuation of Table 1. Additional computational power is likely needed to find interesting topology in the blank entries.

and $\operatorname{VR}(T_{10,10}; 9) \cong S^{49}$. These homeomorphisms follow since the complex in question is isomorphic to the boundary of a cross-polytope. Indeed, if n is even, then $\operatorname{diam}(T_{n,n}) = n$, and so at scale $\operatorname{diam}(T_{n,n}) - 1 = n - 1$, each vertex in $\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n}; n-1)$ is connected to every other vertex besides its "antipodal pair". By Corollary 6.3, this means that $\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n}; k)$ is isomorphic to the boundary of a cross-polytope on n^2 vertices, hence homeomorphic to the boundary of a ball of dimension $\frac{n^2}{2}$, and hence homeomorphic to a sphere of dimension $\frac{n^2}{2} - 1$.

We prove that $\operatorname{VR}(T_{3n,3n};n) \simeq \bigvee^{6n^2-1}S^2$ for $n \geq 2$. These homotopy types arise as follows. The simplicial complex $\operatorname{VR}(T_{3n,3n};n)$ is obtained from a subcomplex that is homotopy equivalent to the torus (which we prove using the nerve lemma) by attaching $6n^2$ additional 2-simplices. Of these $6n^2$ additional disks, $3n^2$ are attached with boundary circle wrapping once around one circular factor of the torus $S^1 \times S^1$, and the remaining $3n^2$ are attached with boundary circle wrapping once around the other circular factor of the torus. This produces a space that is homotopy equivalent to the $(6n^2 - 1)$ -fold wedge sum of 2-spheres.

Using a similar proof technique, we also prove that $\operatorname{VR}(T_{3n-1,3n-1};n) \simeq \bigvee_{6n-3} S^2 \vee \bigvee_{6n-2} S^3$ for $n \geq 3$.

Based on homology computations, we conjecture that for $n \ge 3$ the reduced homology of VR $(T_{3n-2,3n-2}; n)$ is nontrivial only in dimensions 3 and 4.

In Section 7, we use homology computations with integer coefficients and the theorems of Hurewicz and Whitehead to prove that the homotopy equivalences $\operatorname{VR}(T_{5,5};3) \simeq \bigvee_4 S^9$ and $\operatorname{VR}(T_{7,7};4) \simeq S^3$. We conjecture that $\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n};k)$ is homotopy equivalent to a 3sphere for a countable family of (n,k) pairs; see Question 8.8. We conjecture that this 3-sphere is formed from the hollow torus $S^1 \times S^1$ by gluing in two solid tori (the space fills in as the scale increases), in order to obtain the 3-sphere as the standard genus-1 Heegard decomposition $S^3 = (S^1 \times D^2) \cup_{S^1 \times S^1} (D^2 \times S^1)$.

Even though Table 1 and [3, Table 1] are quite different, one may recognize a similarity between the homotopy types in one row and one column selected from each table:

4-th row of Table 1	4-th column of [3, Table 1]
$VR(T_{4,4};0) \simeq \vee^{15} S^0$	$\operatorname{VR}(Q_4; 0) \simeq \bigvee^{15} S^0$
$\operatorname{VR}(T_{4,4};1) \simeq \bigvee^{17} S^1$	$\operatorname{VR}(Q_4; 1) \simeq \bigvee^{17} S^1$
$\operatorname{VR}(T_{4,4};2) \simeq \bigvee^9 S^3$	$\operatorname{VR}(Q_4; 2) \simeq \bigvee^9 S^3$
$\operatorname{VR}(T_{4,4};3) \simeq S^7$	$\operatorname{VR}(Q_4;3) \simeq S^7$
$\operatorname{VR}(T_{4,4};k) \simeq * \text{ for } k \ge 4$	$\operatorname{VR}(Q_4;k) \simeq * \text{ for } k \ge 4$

Here Q_4 is the vertex set of the 4-dimensional hypercube with $2^4 = 16$ vertices, equipped with the Hamming metric, and the homotopy types of $\operatorname{VR}(Q_4; k)$ are proven for all kin [3]. This similarity is no coincidence. Indeed, we observe that the 4×4 torus grid graph $T_{4,4}$, which also has 16 vertices, is isomorphic to the 4-dimensional hypercube graph Q_4 . It follows immediately that $\operatorname{VR}(T_{4,4}; k) \cong \operatorname{VR}(Q_4; k)$ for all k, and in particular, $\operatorname{VR}(T_{4,4}; 2) \simeq \vee^9 S^3$ and $\operatorname{VR}(T_{4,4}; 3) \simeq S^7$.

More generally, let Q_n be the vertex set of the hypercube graph with 2^n vertices equipped with the shortest distance path metric, or equivalently, the set of all 2^n binary strings of length n equipped with the Hamming distance. The $\underbrace{4 \times \ldots \times 4}_{m \text{ times}}$ grid graph

on the *m*-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^m = (S^1)^m$ is isomorphic to the 2*m*-dimensional hypercube graph Q_{2m} , and so their Vietoris–Rips complexes coincide as well. So, determining the homotopy types of Vietoris–Rips complexes of grid graphs on the *m*-dimensional torus can be thought of as a generalization of the problem of determining the homotopy types of Vietoris–Rips complexes of (even-dimensional) hypercube graphs, which is a hard problem [3, 37, 5, 20, 21, 22].

We begin in Section 2 with a description of related work, before continuing with preliminaries and notation in Section 3. Section 4 describes the maximal simplices in VR(\mathbb{Z}^2 ; 2). In Section 5 we prove the homotopy types of the families VR($T_{3n,3n}$; n) $\simeq \vee^{6n^2-1}S^2$ for $n \geq 2$ and VR($T_{3n-1,3n-1}$; n) $\simeq \bigvee_{6n-3}S^2 \vee \bigvee_{6n-2}S^3$ for $n \geq 3$. Section 6 describes the connection to cross-polytopes, and Section 7 explains how certain homotopy types can be deduced from integral homology computations. We conclude in Section 8 with a list of open questions.

2. Related work

Hausmann's theorem. Hausmann's theorem [29] states that if M is a compact Riemannian manifold, then there is a positive scale r(M) > 0 such that if 0 < r < r(M), then the Vietoris–Rips complex VR(M;r) is homotopy equivalent to M. The value r(M)depends on the geometry of the manifold M, such as its curvature. This is extended by Latschev's theorem [31], which further implies that if X is sufficiently close to M in the Gromov–Hausdorff distance, then the homotopy equivalence $VR(X;r) \simeq M$ is maintained when scale r is sufficiently small compared to the curvature of M and sufficiently large compared to the density of X.

Vietoris–Rips complexes of products. Let $(X_1, \rho_1), (X_2, \rho_2), \ldots, (X_n, \rho_n)$ be metric spaces. For each $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ we define the l^p metric on the product $X = X_1 \times X_2 \times \ldots \times X_n$ by

$$d_{l^p}((x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\rho_i(x_i, y_i))^p\right)^{1/p}.$$

In the case $p = \infty$, we have

$$d_{l^{\infty}}((x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)) = \max_{5} \{\rho_i(x_i, y_i) \mid 1 \le i \le n\}.$$

By [2, Proposition 10.2], if we equip the product with the l^{∞} metric, then for any r > 0, we have a homotopy equivalence

$$\operatorname{VR}(X;r) \simeq \operatorname{VR}(X_1;r) \times \ldots \times \operatorname{VR}(X_n;r).$$

Little is known if we instead equip the product with the l^p metric for $p < \infty$. For example, if $I = \{0, 1\}$ is a two-point metric space with $d_I(0, 1) = 1$, then the Vietoris–Rips complexes are easy to understand: VR(I; r) is two points (the zero-dimensional sphere) for r < 1, and VR(I; r) is a (contractible) edge for $r \ge 1$. So, by [2, Proposition 10.2], VR $((I^n, l^\infty); r)$ is 2^n points for r < 1, and VR $(I^n; r)$ is contractible for $r \ge 1$. But, if instead $p = 1 < \infty$, then the product (I^n, l^1) is isometric to Q_n , the vertex set of the hypercube graph equipped with the shortest path metric. And, the Vietoris–Rips complexes of these metric spaces VR $((I^n, l^1); r) = VR(Q_n; r)$ are quite complicated; see [3, 37, 5, 20, 21, 22].

There are a several papers exploring Künneth formulae for persistent homology [35, 23, 12, 10, 39], though from this perspective the Vietoris–Rips complexes of l^{∞} products are again significantly simpler than Vietoris–Rips complexes of l^1 products.

Vietoris–Rips complexes of platonic solids. In [36], the homotopy types of Vietoris– Rips complexes of platonic solids are determined. In more detail, the vertex set of the platonic solid is equipped with the metric that arises from the shortest path metric in the graph that is the 1-skeleton of that platonic solid. Many of the higher-dimensional homotopy types that arise are boundaries of cross-polytopes, at a scale parameter one below the diameter of the vertex set. The most new interesting shape that arises is the Vietoris–Rips complex of the vertex set of the dodecahedron, at scale parameter two below the diameter, when it is homotopy equivalent to a 9-fold wedge of 3-spheres.

Vietoris-Rips complexes of grid graphs. Let \mathbb{Z}^n be the integer lattice in *n*-dimensional space, equipped with the l^1 metric. Zaremsky asked the question if for any *n*, there exists a scale parameter *r* sufficiently large such that the Vietoris–Rips complex VR($\mathbb{Z}^n; r$) is contractible. This result was recently proven by Virk in [41]; see also [43]. The case of n = 2 or n = 3 is simpler; see [32, 42]. In order to understand lattice grids in a torus, we need a different proof in the n = 2 case that provides a characterization of the maximal simplices of VR($\mathbb{Z}^2; r$), which we do in Section 4.

3. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce the definitions and notation got metric spaces, simplicial complexes, graph theory, and topology that we will need in the remainder of the paper.

3.1. Metric spaces. We denote the open ball of radius $r \ge 0$ centered at $x \in X$ by $B_X(x,r) = \{y \in X : d(x,y) < r\}$, and the closed ball by $B_X[x,r] = \{y \in X : d(x,y) \le r\}$. When the space X is clear, we may write these open and closed balls as B(x,r) and B[x,r]. We define the diameter of subset $A \subseteq X$ as diam $(A) = \sup_{x,y \in A} d(x,y)$. If diam(A) is not finite, then we set diam $(A) = \infty$.

The most frequent metric spaces we will encounter in this paper are the following. We equip the integer lattice \mathbb{Z}^2 with the l^1 metric, defined by d((x, y), (x', y') = |x - x'| + |y - y'|for all $(x, y), (x', y') \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. This is also referred to as the word metric on \mathbb{Z}^2 . We also equip \mathbb{R}^2 with the l^1 metric, defined in the same way for all $(x, y), (x', y') \in \mathbb{R}^2$. We let C_n denote the set $\{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$, equipped with the circular metric $d(i, i') = \min\{|i-i'|, n-|i-i'|\}$. We let $T_{n,n}$ denote the set $\{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\} \times \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$, equipped with the l^1 product metric, namely $d((i, j), (i', j')) = \min\{|i-i'|, n-|i-i'|\} + \min\{|j-j'|, n-|j-j'|\}$. The diameter of C_n is $\frac{n}{2}$ if n is even and $\frac{n-1}{2}$ if n is odd. It follows that the diameter diam $(T_{n,n})$ is equal to n if n is even, and equal to n-1 if n is odd. Note that the metric on C_n recovers the shortest path metric on the vertex set of a cycle graph, and that the metric on $T_{n,n}$ recovers the shortest path metric on the vertex set of a torus grid graph. For this reason, by an abuse of notation, we will also use the symbols C_n and $T_{n,n}$ to denote graphs in Section 3.5.

3.2. Simplicial complexes. A k-simplex is a k-dimensional polytope that is the convex hull of its k + 1 vertices. For example, a 0-dimensional simplex is a point, a 1-dimensional simplex is a line segment, a 2-dimensional simplex is a triangle, a 3-dimensional simplex is a tetrahedron, etc.

The convex hull of any nonempty subset of the k + 1 points that define an k-simplex is called a *face* of the simplex. A *simplicial complex* K is a set of simplices of an underlying vertex set that satisfies the following conditions: (1) every face of a simplex from K is also in K, and (2) the nonempty intersection of any two simplices in K is a face of both of them. A simplex $\sigma \in K$ is a *maximal simplex* or a *facet* in K if there is no simplex $\tau \in K$ with $\sigma \subsetneq \tau$. That is, a facet is a simplex that is not the face of any larger simplex.

An abstract simplicial complex K consists of a vertex set V and a collection of simplices, namely finite subsets of V, such that if $\sigma \in K$ and $\sigma' \subseteq \sigma$, then $\sigma' \in K$. We identify abstract simplicial complexes with their geometric realizations, described in the paragraph above.

3.3. Vietoris–Rips complexes. For a metric space (X, d) and $r \ge 0$, define the Vietoris-Rips complex, denoted as VR(X; r), as the simplicial complex satisfying:

- the underlying vertex set of VR(X; r) is X, and
- a finite set $\sigma \subseteq X$ is a simplex in VR(X; r) if and only if $diam(\sigma) \leq r$.

This is the closed Vietoris-Rips complex; the open Vietoris-Rips complex instead uses $\operatorname{diam}(\sigma) < r$.

3.4. Nerve complexes. We will sometimes understand the homotopy type of a space using nerve complexes and the nerve lemma, which we introduce next.

Given a finite collection of sets $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A}$ with each $U_{\alpha} \subseteq X$, the *nerve complex* of \mathcal{U} is the simplicial complex $N(\mathcal{U})$ whose vertex set is the index set A, and where a subset $\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_k\} \subseteq A$ spans a k-simplex in $N(\mathcal{U})$ if and only if $U_{\alpha_0} \cap U_{\alpha_1} \cap ... \cap U_{\alpha_k} \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 3.1 (Nerve Theorem). Let \mathcal{U} be a collection of open sets in a metric space X with $\cup \mathcal{U} = X$. If every nonempty intersection $\bigcap_{i=0}^{n} U_{\alpha_i}$ is contractible, then the nerve simplicial complex $N(\mathcal{U})$ is homotopy equivalent to X.

This version of the nerve theorem follows from [28, Corollary 4G.3] since every metric space is paracompact. We refer the reader to [8, 7] for other versions of the nerve theorem.

3.5. **Graphs.** A graph is a pair G = (V, E) consisting of a set of vertices, V, and a set of edges, E, where each edge is a pair of vertices. In some cases, we will use the notation G = (V(G), E(G)) to emphasize that the vertices and edges correspond to the graph G. The shortest path metric d_G on V(G) is the metric satisfying that $d_G(u, v)$ is the minimum length of a path of edges connecting u to v. If u and v are not connected by a path of edges, we say $d_G(u, v) = \infty$. The diameter of a finite connected graph G is defined as $\dim(G) = \max\{d_G(u, v) : u, v \in G\}.$

Given a graph G and a positive integer k, the graph power G^k is the graph with $V(G^k) = V(G)$, and with $(u, v) \in E(G^k)$ if and only if $d_G(u, v) \leq k$.

For an integer $n \ge 3$, we define the cycle graph $C_n = (V(C_n), E(C_n))$, where $V(C_n) = \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ and $E(C_n) = \{(i, i+1) : 0 \le i \le n-1\} \cup \{(n-1, 0)\}.$

Given graphs G = (V(G), E(G)) and H = (V(H), E(H)), define the *Cartesian product* (sometimes called *box product*) $G \square H$ as the graph such that: (i) $V(G \square H) = V(G) \times V(H)$, and (ii) $((u, u'), (v, v')) \in E(G \square H)$ if and only if either u = v and $(u', v') \in E(H)$, or u' = v' and $(u, v) \in E(G)$. The torus grid graph of n by m vertices is defined as $T_{n,m} = C_n \square C_m$.

The *clique complex* Cl(G) of a graph G is the simplicial complex with

- vertex set V(G), and
- a finite σ as a simplex if and only if σ induces a complete subgraph of G.

We can relate the clique complexes of graph powers to Vietoris-Rips complexes of graphs equipped with the shortest path metric. Indeed, for every $k \geq 0$, the clique complex $\operatorname{Cl}(G^k)$ is equal to the Vietoris-Rips complex $\operatorname{VR}((V(G), d_G); k)$. For ease of notation, we write $\operatorname{VR}((V(G), d_G); k)$ as $\operatorname{VR}(G; k)$. In particular, $\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n}; k)$ denotes the same simplicial complex whether $T_{n,n}$ is interpreted as the metric space defined in Section 3.1 or as the vertex set of the torus grid graph equipped with the shortest path metric.

3.6. Homology. Given a simplicial complex K, we define $\Delta_n(K)$ to be the free abelian group consisting of finite integral combinations of the oriented *n*-simplices in K. The boundary homomorphism $\partial_n : \Delta_n(K) \to \Delta_{n-1}(K)$ is a function that maps each oriented *n*-simplex to a linear combination of its (n-1)-dimensional faces, each with an appropriate sign to respect orientation, such that $\partial_{n-1} \circ \partial_n = 0$. The collection of all $\Delta_i(K)$ connected by boundary homomorphisms, ∂_i , form a *chain complex*

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+2}} \Delta_{n+1}(K) \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} \Delta_n(K) \xrightarrow{\partial_n} \Delta_{n-1}(K) \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \Delta_1(K) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \Delta_0(K) \to 0$$

where $\partial_i \circ \partial_{i+1} = 0$ for each *i*.

The *i*-th homology group (with \mathbb{Z} coefficients), $H_i(K)$, is defined to be the quotient group $\operatorname{Ker}\partial_i/\operatorname{Im}\partial_{i+1}$. Moreover, the *i*-th Betti number $\beta_i(K)$ is the rank of $H_i(K)$, i.e. $\beta_i(K) = \operatorname{rank}(H_i(K))$.

3.7. Connectivity. We say that a nonempty topological space Y is k-connected if the homotopy groups $\pi_i(Y)$ are trivial for all $i \leq k$.

4. The facets of $VR(\mathbb{Z}^2; k)$

Recall that we equip \mathbb{Z}^2 and \mathbb{R}^2 with the l^1 metric, given by

$$d((x, y), (x', y') = |x - x'| + |y - y'|.$$

In this section, we classify the facets of $VR(\mathbb{Z}^2; k)$ for any scale k, where \mathbb{Z}^2 is equipped with the l^1 metric. This classification will be needed for the proofs of Theorems 5.11 and 5.13 in the following section. As an easy consequence, we also recover the known result that $VR(\mathbb{Z}^2; k)$ is contractible for scales k greater than or equal to 2. We begin by introducing the required notation and key lemmas.

Since $\operatorname{VR}(\mathbb{Z}^2; k) = \operatorname{VR}(\mathbb{Z}^2; \lfloor k \rfloor)$, it suffices to restrict attention to integer values of k. Given a positive integer k, we say that a simplex $\sigma \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ is k-generated by a set of vertices $\hat{\sigma} \subseteq \sigma$ if $\sigma = \bigcap_{v \in \hat{\sigma}} B_{\mathbb{Z}^2}[v, k]$; call the set $\hat{\sigma}$ a generating set.

In the set \mathbb{Z}^2 , for $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $R_{n,m} = \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : 0 \le i \le n - 1, 0 \le j \le m - 1\}$ be the rectangle with n vertices on the base and m vertices on the height. Note that $R_{1,1}$ is simply a point, $R_{2,1}$ is two vertices with distance 1, and $R_{2,2}$ is the set of corners of a square. We define the following collections of simplices with vertex sets in \mathbb{Z}^2 up to isometry (translation and 90° rotation).

If k is even, let

- $\mathbb{Z}(\Box, k) = \{ \sigma \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2 : \sigma \text{ is a } \frac{k+2}{2} \text{-generated simplex and } \hat{\sigma} \cong R_{2,2} \}, \text{ and}$
- $\mathbb{Z}(\cdot, k) = \{ \sigma \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2 : \sigma \text{ is a } \frac{k}{2} \text{-generated simplex and } \hat{\sigma} \cong R_{1,1} \}.$

If k is odd, let

• $\mathbb{Z}(\longleftrightarrow, k) = \{ \sigma \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2 : \sigma \text{ is a } \frac{k+1}{2} \text{-generated simplex and } \hat{\sigma} \cong R_{2,1} \}.$

By $\hat{\sigma} \cong R_{n,m}$ we mean that $\hat{\sigma}$ is isometric to $R_{n,m}$. Observe that $\mathbb{Z}(\cdot, k)$ is simply the collection of all closed balls of radius $\frac{k}{2}$ centered at a vertex in \mathbb{Z}^2 .

Given a non-vertical line L in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 of the form y = mx + b, define

$$T_L = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : y > mx + b\}$$
 and $B_L = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : y < mx + b\}.$

That is, T_L and B_L are the top and bottom parts of \mathbb{Z}^2 split by the line L. We say that L is tangent to a set of vertices $\sigma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ if (1) L contains at least one point of σ , and (2) either $(\sigma \subseteq T_L \cup L \text{ and } \sigma \cap B_L = \emptyset)$ or $(\sigma \subseteq B_L \cup L \text{ and } \sigma \cap T_L = \emptyset)$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $k \ge 0$ be an integer and let $\sigma \in VR(\mathbb{Z}^2; k)$ be a maximal simplex. Then there is a $c \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with each coordinate an integer or a half integer so that $\sigma = B_{\mathbb{R}^2}[c, \frac{k}{2}] \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$.

Proof. Let σ be a facet in VR($\mathbb{Z}^2; k$). Now, let L_1, L_2 be lines in \mathbb{R}^2 of the form $y = x + k_1$, $y = x + k_2$ so that L_1 and L_2 are tangent to σ and $\sigma \subseteq (B_{L_1} \cup L_1) \cap (T_{L_2} \cup L_2)$. Note the lines L_1 and L_2 are parallel translations of the positive diagonal enclosing σ in a sandwich fashion. Also, let L_3, L_4 be lines in \mathbb{R}^2 of the form $y = -x + k_3, y = -x + k_4$ so that L_3 and L_4 are tangent to σ and $\sigma \subseteq (B_{L_3} \cup L_3) \cap (T_{L_4} \cup L_4)$. Similarly, the lines L_3 and L_4 are parallel translations of the negative diagonal enclosing σ . Note $k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let P denote the closed quadrilateral of points in \mathbb{R}^2 enclosed by the lines L_i for $1 \leq i \leq 4$. This implies $\sigma \subseteq P$.

We claim that $d(L_1, L_2) = d(L_3, L_4) = k$. On the one hand, if $d(L_1, L_2) > k$, then diam $(\sigma) > k$: pick points $v_1 \in L_1 \cap \sigma$ and $v_2 \in L_2 \cap \sigma$, which exist since the lines are tangent to σ . Because L_1 and L_2 are parallel, any pair $u \in L_1$ and $w \in L_2$ have distance > k by our assumption, so $d(v_1, v_2) > k$ which is a contradiction since $v_1, v_2 \in \sigma$. Similarly for L_3 and L_4 . So, we can assume that both $d(L_1, L_2), d(L_3, L_4) \leq k$. On the other hand, if $d(L_1, L_2) < k$, then σ is not maximal: consider the line $L' = L_1 + 1$ and consider the intersecting vertex $u \in L' \cap L_3$. Note that $d(u, v) \leq k$ for every $v \in \sigma$, contradicting that σ is maximal. We have proven the claim.

From the claim, note that P is a diamond: a quadrilateral sides of length k and all sides parallel to the diagonal or the negative diagonal. Let c be the center of mass (intersecting point of the diagonals) of P in \mathbb{R}^2 . Though c need not be in \mathbb{Z}^2 , each coordinate of c is either an integer or a half integer. We note $P = B_{\mathbb{R}^2}[c, \frac{k}{2}]$. It is clear that all pairs in $B_{\mathbb{R}^2}[c,\frac{k}{2}]$ are at distance at most k. Also, since $\sigma \subseteq P = B_{\mathbb{R}^2}[c,\frac{k}{2}]$ and σ is maximal, σ must coincide with $B_{\mathbb{R}^2}[c, \frac{k}{2}] \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$.

Proposition 4.2. Let $k \ge 0$ be an integer. The only facets in $VR(\mathbb{Z}^2; k)$ are:

- (1) elements from $\mathbb{Z}(\Box, k) \cup \mathbb{Z}(\cdot, k)$, if k is even,
- (2) elements from $\mathbb{Z}(\leftarrow, k)$, if k is odd.

That is, the facets of $\operatorname{VR}(\mathbb{Z}^2; k)$ are of the form $\sigma = B_{\mathbb{R}^2}[c, \frac{k}{2}] \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$ where

- c = (i, j) or $(i + \frac{1}{2}, j + \frac{1}{2})$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ if k is even, and $c = (i + \frac{1}{2}, j)$ or $(i, j + \frac{1}{2})$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ if k is odd.

Proof. Let σ be a facet in VR(\mathbb{Z}^2 ; k). Let L_i , for $1 \leq i \leq 4$, denote the tangent lines that enclose σ as in the proof of Lemma 4.1. That is, L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4 are of the form, $y = x + k_1$, $y = x + k_2$, $y = -x + k_3$, $y = -x + k_4$, respectively, so that they form a diamond with sides of length $k = d(L_1, L_2) = d(L_3, L_4)$. We consider the parity of k:

Case 1: k is even. If the top lines intersect at a point $L_1 \cap L_3 \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, then also $L_1 \cap L_4, L_2 \cap L_3, L_2 \cap L_4 \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Since k is even, the center of this rhomboid is in \mathbb{Z}^2 and it forms the generating set of σ . Hence, $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}(\cdot, k)$. Alternatively, if $L_1 \cap L_3 \notin \mathbb{Z}^2$, then since k is even, we have $L_1 \cap L_4, L_2 \cap L_3, L_2 \cap L_4 \notin \mathbb{Z}^2$. There are horizontal top and bottom segments, and vertical left and right segments (four of the "sides" of σ) each containing only two points of σ . Now, consider the two vertical lines passing through the top and

bottom vertices of σ , and the horizontal lines passing through the left and right vertices of σ . The intersection of these lines are the corners of a square which is the generating set of σ . Hence, $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}(\Box, k)$.

Case 2: k is odd. If $L_1 \cap L_3 \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, then also $L_2 \cap L_4 \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ (but $L_1 \cap L_4, L_2 \cap L_3 \notin \mathbb{Z}^2$ since k is odd). Consider the segment S from $L_1 \cap L_3$ to $L_2 \cap L_4$. As k is odd, the segment S contains two central points in \mathbb{Z}^2 , and these points are the generating set of σ . Hence $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}(\cdot, k)$. If $L_1 \cap L_3 \notin \mathbb{Z}^2$, then $L_1 \cap L_4$ and $L_2 \cap L_3$ are in \mathbb{Z}^2 . Symmetrically, the generating set of σ is the pair of central vertices in the horizontal segment from $L_1 \cap L_4$ to $L_2 \cap L_3$. Hence $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}(\cdot, k)$.

Corollary 4.3. Let $k \ge 0$ be an integer. Then $\sigma \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ is a simplex in $VR(\mathbb{Z}^2; k)$ if and only if $\bigcap_{v \in \sigma} B_{\mathbb{R}^2}(v, \frac{k+1}{2}) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. First we claim that for $c \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with each coordinate an integer or a half integer, we have $B_{\mathbb{R}^2}[c, \frac{k}{2}] \cap \mathbb{Z}^2 = B_{\mathbb{R}^2}(c, \frac{k+1}{2}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$. The \subseteq direction is clear. For the reverse direction, note if $z \in B_{\mathbb{R}^2}(c, \frac{k+1}{2}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$, then $d(z, c) < \frac{k+1}{2}$. Since z and c have integer or half-integer coordinates, and since k is an integer, this implies $d(z, c) \leq \frac{k}{2}$, and hence $z \in B_{\mathbb{R}^2}[c, \frac{k}{2}]$.

Hence if σ is a simplex in VR($\mathbb{Z}^2; k$), then by Proposition 4.2 and the claim above we have $\sigma = B_{\mathbb{R}^2}[c, \frac{k}{2}] \cap \mathbb{Z}^2 = B_{\mathbb{R}^2}(c, \frac{k+1}{2}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$, where c has each coordinate an integer or a half integer. Hence $c \in \bigcap_{v \in \sigma} B_{\mathbb{R}^2}(v, \frac{k+1}{2})$, so $\bigcap_{v \in \sigma} B_{\mathbb{R}^2}(v, \frac{k+1}{2}) \neq \emptyset$.

Next we claim that if the intersection $\bigcap_{v \in \sigma} B_{\mathbb{R}^2}(v, \frac{k+1}{2})$ is nonempty for $\sigma \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$, then that intersection contains some point c with each coordinate an integer or a half integer. The intersection of any open l^1 balls in \mathbb{R}^2 yields an intersection set equal to $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : k_2 < y - x < k_1, k_4 < y + x < k_3\}$. Write

$$\bigcap_{v \in \sigma} B_{\mathbb{R}^2}(v, \frac{k+1}{2}) = \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : k_2 < y - x < k_1, \ k_4 < y + x < k_3 \}.$$

Since the ball centers are in \mathbb{Z}^2 , it follows that each of k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 is an integer (if k is odd) or an integer plus $\frac{1}{2}$ (if k is even). Since this intersection set is nonempty, we have $k_1 - k_2 \ge 1$ and $k_3 - k_4 \ge 1$. It follows that the point $c = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $y - x = k_1 - \frac{1}{2}$ and $y + x = k_3 - \frac{1}{2}$, namely the point $c = (\frac{k_3 - k_1}{2}, \frac{k_1 + k_3 - 1}{2})$, is a point in $\bigcap_{v \in \sigma} B_{\mathbb{R}^2}(v, \frac{k+1}{2})$ with each coordinate an integer or a half integer.

Hence if $\bigcap_{v \in \sigma} B_{\mathbb{R}^2}(v, \frac{k+1}{2}) \neq \emptyset$, then there is some c in this intersection with each coordinate an integer or a half integer. Hence $\sigma \subseteq B_{\mathbb{R}^2}(c, \frac{k+1}{2})$. Since k is an integer and all coordinates are integers or half-integers, this implies $\sigma \subseteq B_{\mathbb{R}^2}[c, \frac{k}{2}]$. So diam $(\sigma) \leq k$ and $\sigma \in \mathrm{VR}(\mathbb{Z}^2; k)$.

This concludes the classification of the facets of $VR(\mathbb{Z}^2; k)$, which is the main point of this section. As a consequence, we can deduce the known result that $VR(\mathbb{Z}^2; k)$ is contractible for all $k \ge 2$ [43, 32, 42, 41].

Theorem 4.4. $VR(\mathbb{Z}^2; k)$ is contractible for every $k \geq 2$, where \mathbb{Z}^2 is equipped with the l^1 metric.

Proof. By Corollary 4.3, the VR(\mathbb{Z}^2 ; k) is isomorphic to the nerve complex $N(\mathcal{U})$, where \mathcal{U} is the collection of open balls $\mathcal{U} = \{B_{\mathbb{R}^2}(v, \frac{k+1}{2})\}_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$. Since $k \geq 2$, we have that \mathcal{U} is a cover of \mathbb{R}^2 . Since l^1 balls in \mathbb{R}^2 are convex, a finite intersection of such balls is convex, and hence either empty or contractible. Therefore, the nerve theorem (Theorem 3.1) gives a homotopy equivalence $|N(\mathcal{U})| \simeq \cup \mathcal{U} = \mathbb{R}^2$. So $\operatorname{VR}(\mathbb{Z}^2; k) \cong N(\mathcal{U}) \simeq \mathbb{R}^2$ is contractible.

5. Complexes $VR(T_{3k,3k};k)$ and $VR(T_{3k-1,3k-1};k)$

In this section, we discuss the homotopy type of the complexes $VR(T_{3k,3k};k)$ and $VR(T_{3k-1,3k-1};k)$. We'll prove that for $k \geq 2$, $VR(T_{3k,3k};k)$ is homotopy equivalent to

a $(6k^2 - 1)$ -fold wedge sum of 2-spheres, and for $k \ge 3$, $VR(T_{3k-1,3k-1};k)$ is homotopy equivalent to a wedge sum of 6k - 3 many S^2 's and 6k - 2 many S^3 's. In Section 5.1 we classify the facets in these simplicial complexes, before determining the homotopy types of the complexes in Section 5.2.

5.1. Maximal simplices. We denote $G_n = n\mathbb{Z} \times n\mathbb{Z}$ and its group action on the grid \mathbb{Z}^2 by $g \cdot (a, b) = (a + i, b + j)$ for $g = (i, j) \in G$. Recall that we equip \mathbb{Z}^2 with the l^1 metric. It is straightforward to verify that $T_{n,n}$ is isometric to \mathbb{Z}^2/G_n , equipped with the quotient metric, by the natural correspondence. For convenience, we use d to represent the metrics on \mathbb{Z}^2 and \mathbb{Z}^2/G_n . Let π_n be the quotient map from \mathbb{Z}^2 to \mathbb{Z}^2/G_n . For each $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, denote $([a], [b]) = \pi_n(a, b)$. If any distinct pair $(a', b') \neq (a'', b'')$ satisfies $(a', b'), (a'', b'') \in ([a], [b])$, then $d((a', b'), (a'', b'')) \geq n$. Notice that $d(([a], [b]), ([c], [d])) = \min\{d((a', b'), (c', d')) : (a', b') \in ([a], [b])$ and $(c', d') \in ([c], [d])\}$. Using these facts, the following result is straightforward to verify.

Lemma 5.1. Fix n > 2k. Suppose that $d(([a], [b]), ([c], [d])) \le k$. Then for any $(a', b') \in ([a], [b])$, there exists a unique $(c', d') \in ([c], [d])$ such that

$$d((a', b'), (c', d')) = d(([a], [b]), ([c], [d])) \le k.$$

Next we discuss the relations between the facets in $VR(\mathbb{Z}^2; k)$ and $VR(\pi_n(\mathbb{Z}^2); k)$.

Lemma 5.2. Let σ be a facet in the complex $VR(\mathbb{Z}^2; k)$. For n > 2k + 1, $\pi_n(\sigma)$ is a facet in $VR(\pi_n(\mathbb{Z}^2); k)$.

Proof. We let x be an element in \mathbb{Z}^2 and $[x] = \pi_n(x)$. Clearly $\pi_n(\sigma)$ is a simplex in $\operatorname{VR}(\pi_n(\mathbb{Z}^2);k)$. Suppose that $\pi_n(\sigma)$ is not maximal. Then pick $[y] \notin \pi_n(\sigma)$ such that $d([y], [x]) \leq k$ for all $x \in \sigma$. Then by Lemma 5.1, for each $x \in \sigma$, there is a unique $y_x \in [y]$ such that $d(x, y_x) \leq k$. If all of the y_x points are the same, then $\sigma \cup \{y_x\}$ is a simplex in $\operatorname{VR}(\mathbb{Z}^2;k)$, which contradicts with the maximality of σ . Otherwise by the shape of σ as in Proposition 4.2, there are x and x' in σ such that d(x, x') = 1 and $y_x \neq y_{x'}$. Then $d(y_x, y_{x'}) \leq d(y_x, x) + d(x, x') + d(x', y_{x'}) \leq 2k + 1$, which contradicts the fact that $d(y_x, y_{x'}) \geq n > 2k + 1$.

Lemma 5.3. Let x and y be elements in \mathbb{Z}^2 with $\pi_n(B_{\mathbb{Z}^2}[x,k] \cap B_{\mathbb{Z}^2}[y,k]) = \pi_n(B_{\mathbb{Z}^2}[x,k]) \cap \pi_n(B_{\mathbb{Z}^2}[y,k])$. Then any facet τ in $\operatorname{VR}(\pi_n(\mathbb{Z}^2);k)$ containing [x] and [y] is in the form of $\pi_n(\sigma)$ for a facet $\sigma \in \operatorname{VR}(\mathbb{Z}^2;k)$ if one of the following holds:

- (i) $2 \leq d(x, y) \leq k$ and $n \geq 3k 1$, or
- (ii) $1 \le d(x, y) \le k$ and $n \ge 3k$.

Proof. We will show that (i) implies the conclusion holds, and skip the other case (which is analogous). Fix a facet τ in VR($\pi_n(\mathbb{Z}^2)$; k) containing [x] and [y]. For simplicity, we denote $B_{\mathbb{Z}^2}[x,k]$ by B[x,k] for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $k \ge 0$. Notice that $\pi_n(B_{\mathbb{Z}^2}[x,k]) = B_{\mathbb{Z}^2/G_n}[[x],k]$ for each $x \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ by Lemma 5.1, since n > 2k. Therefore $\tau \subseteq \pi_n(B[x,k]) \cap \pi_n(B[y,k])$. For each $[z] \in \tau$, pick z_x by Lemma 5.1 to be the unique element in [z] such that $d(x, z_x) \le k$. Clearly $y_x = y$. Let $\sigma = \{x\} \cup \{z_x : [z] \in \tau \text{ and } z \neq x\}$. Clearly $\tau = \pi_n(\sigma)$. We claim that σ is a facet in VR(\mathbb{Z}^2 ; k).

There are two important observations about the integer grid \mathbb{Z}^2 with the l^1 -metric. By the triangle inequality, for any $z, z' \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, if $d(z, z') \leq 2k-2$, then $d(z, z'') \geq k+1$ for any $z'' \in \pi_n(z')$ with $z'' \neq z'$ since $d(z', z'') \geq n \geq 3k-1$. Also for any $z, z' \in B[x, k] \cap B[y, k]$, we have $d(z, z') \leq 2k-2$ by elementary geometry and the fact that $d(x, y) \geq 2$.

We now show that σ is a simplex in VR($\mathbb{Z}^2; k$). First we prove that $d(z_x, y) \leq d$ for any $z_x \in \sigma$. Suppose not. Then we can find a $[z] \in \tau$ such that there exist $z_y \in [z]$ with $d(z_y, y) = d([z], [y])$ but $z_y \neq x$. Then $d(z_y, x) + d(z_x, x) \geq d(z_y, z_x) = n$ implies that $d(z_y, x) \geq n - k \geq 2k - 1$. This means that $z_y \notin B[x, k]$; for a similar reason $z_x \notin B[y,k]$ and also for any other $z' \in [z]$, we have $z' \notin B[x,k] \cap B[y,k]$. Hence $[z] \notin \pi_n(B[x,k] \cap B[y,k])$ but $[z] \in \pi_n(B[x,k]) \cap \pi_n(B[y,k])$, which contradicts the assumption of the theorem. Hence $\sigma \subseteq B[x,k] \cap B[y,k]$. Next we show that $d(z_x, z'_x) \leq k$ for any $[z], [z'] \in \tau$. By the observations, $d(z_x, z'_x) \leq 2k - 2$. For any other element $z'' \in [z']$, we have $d(z_x, z'') \geq k + 1$. Notice that $d([z], [z']) \leq k$. Then, by Lemma 5.1, $d(z_x, z'_x) = d([z], [z'])$.

Lastly, we show that σ is maximal. Suppose not. There is a $z_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that $z_0 \notin \sigma$ but $d(z_0, z) \leq k$ for any $z \in \sigma$. Then $d([z_0], [z]) \leq k$ and $[z_0] \neq [z]$ for any $[z] \in \tau$ because $n \geq 3k - 1$. This contradicts the maximality of τ .

Adamaszek in [1] investigated the homotopy types of the clique complex of the graph power, $\operatorname{Cl}(C_n^k)$, where C_n is the cyclic graph. The complex $\operatorname{Cl}(C_n^k)$ is the Vietoris–Rips complex, $\operatorname{VR}(C_n; k)$, with C_n being equipped with the graph distance. Adamaszek proved that

$$\operatorname{VR}(C_n; k) \cong \begin{cases} \bigvee^{n-2k-1} S^{2\ell} & \text{if } k = \frac{\ell}{2\ell+1}n \\ S^{2\ell+1} & \text{if } \frac{\ell}{2\ell+1}n < k < \frac{\ell+1}{2\ell+3}n \end{cases}$$

Hence as a specific case $VR(C_{3k-1}; k)$ is homotopy equivalent to S^3 when $k \ge 3$.

For each simplicial complex K, we let M(K) denote the collection of facets in K. We list a couple of helpful properties about the subcomplexes of $\operatorname{VR}(C_n; k)$. Denote the vertex set of C_{3k-1} as $\{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}\}$ with $\{v_i, v_j\}$ being an edge for $|i - j| = 1 \mod 3k - 1$. We denote $\sigma_i = \{v_i, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_{i+k}\}$ (taking mod n when necessary) for each $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$; clearly each σ_i is a facet in $\operatorname{VR}(C_n; k)$. Let K be the complex with $M(K) = \{\sigma_i : i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Then K is homotopy equivalent to S^1 when n > 2k by the nerve lemma.

We list the maximal faces of $VR(C_n; k)$ when n > 3k, when n = 3k, and when n = 3k-1. Lemma 5.4. We have

$$M(\operatorname{VR}(C_n;k)) = \begin{cases} \{\sigma_i : i = 0, \dots, n-1\} & \text{for } n > 3k, \\ \{\sigma_i : i = 0, \dots, n-1\} \cup \{\sigma'_i : i = 0, \dots, n-1\} & \text{for } n = 3k, k \ge 2, \\ \{\sigma_i : i = 0, \dots, n-1\} \cup \{\sigma''_i : i = 0, \dots, n-1\} & \text{for } n = 3k-1, k \ge 3. \end{cases}$$

where $\sigma'_{i} = \{v_{i}, v_{i+k}, v_{i+2k}\}$ and $\sigma''_{i} = \{v_{i}, v_{i+k}, v_{i+2k-1}, v_{i+2k}\}$, with all indices taken modulo *n*.

Proof. When n > 3k, it is clear that the simplices of type σ_i are maximal. So, we need only to verify that there are no other facets.

Let $\sigma \in M(\operatorname{VR}(C_n; k))$. We define the arc of a vertex v_i in C_n as the set of k + 1 consecutive vertices starting at v_i , i.e., $\{v_i, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_{i+k}\}$. Fix a vertex $v_j \in \sigma$, and note that all vertices in σ must lie in $B_{C_n}[v_j, k]$. In this proof, for convenience, we use B[v, k] to denote $B_{C_n}[v, k]$ for any vertex $v \in C_n$ and $k \ge 0$. Let $v_t \in \sigma$ be such that $d(v_t, v_{j-k}) = \min\{d(v_i, v_{j-k}) : v_i \in \sigma\}$. We claim that every vertex in σ must be contained in the arc of v_t . To verify this, we have two cases to check: $v_t = v_j$ and $v_t \neq v_j$.

Suppose $v_t = v_j$. For the sake of contradiction, assume that σ contains a vertex v_s not in the arc of v_t . Then $v_s \in B[v_j, k]$ and $v_s \notin \{v_{j-k}, v_{j-k+1}, \ldots, v_{j-1}\}$ as v_t is the closest point in σ to v_{j-k} . Hence, $v_s \notin B[v_j, k]$ as $v_s \notin \{v_{j-k}, v_{j-k+1}, \ldots, v_{j-1}\} \cup \{v_j, v_{j+1}, \ldots, v_{j+k}\}$, contradicting that $v_s \in B[v_j, k]$. Hence, σ must be of the form σ_i .

Suppose $v_t \neq v_j$. It is easy to see that $v_t \in \{v_{j-k}, \ldots, v_{j-1}\}$ as otherwise $d(v_t, v_{j-k}) > d(v_j, v_{j-k}) = k$. Again assume that σ contains a vertex v_s not in the arc of v_t . Since v_t is the vertex in σ closest to v_{j-k} , then $v_s \in B[v_j, k] \setminus \{v_{j-k}, v_{j-k+1}, \ldots, v_{t+k}\}$. We claim that $d(v_t, v_s) > k$. Note that $d(v_s, v_t)$ is the minimum of the distances from v_s to v_t measured in the clockwise and counterclockwise directions. The counterclockwise distance passing



FIGURE 1. Visualization of n = 10 case where v_6 is the vertex in σ closest to $v_{j-k} = v_{8-3} = v_5$. The left diagram highlights $B[v_8, 3]$ in red, while the right diagram displays the arc of v_6 in blue.

through v_j is clearly greater than k since v_s is not in the arc of v_t . Moreover, the clockwise distance is greater than k as the distance between the endpoints of $B[v_j, k]$ is minimally k + 1. Thus, in either case $d(v_t, v_s) > k$, contradicting that $v_s \in \sigma$. Consequently, all $\sigma \in M(\operatorname{VR}(C_n; k))$ must be of σ_i type.



FIGURE 2. Continuation of the above case, illustrating the minimal distances in both the clockwise and counterclockwise directions from v_6 to vertices in $B[v_8, 3]$ that are not in the arc of v_6 . These vertices are highlighted with green outlines for clarity.

When n = 3k, there are two types of facets. Denote $\sigma'_i = \{v_i, v_{i+k}, v_{i+2k}\}$, with all indices taken modulo n. We claim $M(\operatorname{VR}(C_n; k)) = \{\sigma_i : i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1\} \cup \{\sigma'_i : i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$.

Once again, it is evident that each σ_i is maximal in this case.

We next show that each σ'_i is maximal. Let $\sigma'_i = \{v_i, v_{i+k}, v_{i+2k}\}$. Suppose that σ'_i is not maximal. Then it must be contained in a larger simplex, say τ . Let $v_j \in \tau \setminus \sigma'_i$. Then v_j is between some pair of vertices in σ'_i . Without loss of generality, suppose v_j is contained in the arc between v_i and v_{i+k} . It is clear that $d(v_j, v_{i+2k}) \ge k + 1$, contradicting that $\tau \in \operatorname{VR}(C_n; k)$. Hence, the simplices of the form σ'_i are maximal.

Therefore, it suffices to show that any facet not of the form σ_i must be of the σ'_i type. Let $\sigma \in M(\operatorname{VR}(C_n; k))$, and fix a vertex $v_j \in \sigma$. Next, choose $v_t \in \sigma$ such that $d(v_t, v_{j-k}) = \min\{d(v_i, v_{j-k}) : v_i \in \sigma\}$. We claim that if $d(v_t, v_j) < k$, then σ is of the form σ_i . Otherwise, if $d(v_t, v_j) = k$, σ is either of type σ'_i or σ_i .

For the first assertion, if $0 < d(v_t, v_j) < k$, the argument is identical to the n > 3k case. If instead $d(v_t, v_j) = 0$, then $\sigma \subseteq \{v_j, v_{j+1}, \ldots, v_{j+k}\}$, and since σ is maximal, σ must be of the form σ_i .

For the second assertion, suppose $d(v_t, v_j) = k$. We have the following two cases to check: $v_t = v_{j-k}$ or $v_t = v_{j+k}$.

If $v_t = v_{j+k}$, then $k = \min\{d(v_i, v_{j-k}) : v_i \in \sigma\}$. This forces σ to be of the form σ_i as $\sigma \subseteq \{v_j, v_{j+1}, \ldots, v_{j+k}\}$.

Suppose σ is not of the form σ_i , and let $v_s \in \sigma \setminus \{v_t, v_j\}$. If $v_t = v_{j-k}$, then we claim $v_s \in \{v_{j+1}, \ldots, v_{j+k}\}$. Suppose instead $v_s \in \{v_{j-k+1}, \ldots, v_{j-1}\}$. If k = 2, then $v_s = v_{j-1}$, which yields $\sigma = \{v_{j-2}, v_{j-1}, v_j\}$, a contradiction. If $k \ge 3$, then since σ is not of the form σ_i , simplex σ must contain a $v_i \in \{v_{j+1}, \ldots, v_{j+k}\}$. The only $v_i \in \{v_{j+1}, \ldots, v_{j+k}\}$ that is within k of both v_j and v_{j-k} is v_{j+k} . However, $d(v_s, v_{j+k}) > k$, a contradiction. Hence, $v_s \in \{v_{j+1}, \ldots, v_{j+k}\}$. Moreover, it is clear that $v_s = v_{j+k}$ as otherwise $d(v_{j-k}, v_s) > k$. Hence, σ is of type σ'_i .



FIGURE 3. Visualization of n = 9 case where v_7 corresponds to v_j and v_4 corresponds to v_{j-k} . The left diagram highlights $B[v_7, 3]$ in red, along with all potential $v_s \in \{v_{j+1}, \ldots, v_{j+k}\}$, which are highlighted in green. The right diagram illustrates that v_1 , which is v_{j+k} in this case, is the only vertex within k of v_4 .

When n = 3k - 1, there are two types of facets. Denote $\sigma_i'' = \{v_i, v_{i+k}, v_{i+2k-1}, v_{i+2k}\}$, with all indices taken modulo n. We claim $M(\operatorname{VR}(C_n; k)) = \{\sigma_i : i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1\} \cup \{\sigma_i'' : i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$.

The reasoning for this case follows a similar approach to that outlined in the n = 3k case. So, we only show that the simplices of type σ''_i are maximal.

Suppose that some σ''_i is not maximal. Then σ''_i must be contained in a larger simplex, say τ . Let $v_j \in \tau \setminus \sigma''_i$. Note that v_j must be on an arc between one of the following pairs: $\{v_i, v_{i+k}\}, \{v_{i+k}, v_{i+2k-1}\}, \text{ or } \{v_i, v_{i+2k}\}$. If v_j is on the arc between $\{v_{i+k}, v_{i+2k-1}\}$, then $d(v_j, v_i) \ge k+1$. Similarly, if v_j is between $\{v_i, v_{i+2k}\}$, then $d(v_j, v_{i+2k-1}) \ge k+1$. Finally, if v_j is a vertex on the arc between $\{v_i, v_{i+k}\}$, we argue that either $d(v_j, v_{i+2k}) > k$ or $d(v_j, v_{i+2k-1}) > k$.

Suppose that $d(v_j, v_{i+2k}) \leq k$. Since $d(v_i, v_{i+2k}) = k - 1$, $d(v_j, v_{i+2k}) = k$. Consequently, $d(v_j, v_{i+2k-1}) = k + 1$, which contradicts the assumption that $\tau \in VR(C_n; k)$. Therefore, the simplices of the form σ''_i are maximal in this case.

Also, for n = 3k - 1, we let L denote the simplicial complex with $M(L) = \{\sigma_i : i = 0, 1, \ldots, 3k - 2\} \cup \{\sigma'_0\}$. Then L is contractible.



FIGURE 4. Example facets in $VR(C_8; 3)$, $VR(C_9; 3)$, and $VR(C_{10}; 3)$

Then, we let $M_{n,k}$ denote the collection of maximal simplices in $VR(T_{n,n}; k)$ inherited from $VR(\mathbb{Z}^2; k)$, i.e.

 $M_{n,k} = \{\pi_n(\sigma) : \sigma \text{ is a facet in } \operatorname{VR}(\mathbb{Z}^2; k)\}.$

The following can be verified using the properties of the word metric d and the quotient map π_n .

Lemma 5.5. Fix different x = (a, b) and y = (a', b') in \mathbb{Z}^2 such that their distance is at most k. Then, $\pi_n(B_{\mathbb{Z}^2}[x,k] \cap B_{\mathbb{Z}^2}[y,k]) = \pi_n(B_{\mathbb{Z}^2}[x,k]) \cap \pi_n(B_{\mathbb{Z}^2}[y,k])$ if either of the following holds:

- (i) n > 3k.
- (ii) $n \ge 3k 1$, $a \ne a'$, and $b \ne b'$.

Proof. To begin, let $B[x,k] = B_{\mathbb{Z}^2}[x,k]$. In both case (i) and (ii), the containment $\pi_n(B[x,k] \cap B[y,k]) \subseteq \pi_n(B[x,k]) \cap \pi_n(B[y,k])$ can be shown as follows. Let $[z] \in \pi_n(B[x,k] \cap B[y,k])$. Then there exists some $z_i \in [z]$ with $z_i \in B[x,k] \cap B[x,k]$. Since $z_i \in B[x,k]$, then $[z] \in \pi_n(B[x,k])$. Similarly, $[z] \in \pi_n(B[y,k])$, so $\pi_n(B[x,k] \cap B[y,k]) \subseteq \pi_n(B[x,k]) \cap \pi_n(B[y,k])$. Below, we show the reverse containment for each case.

(i) Let $[z] \in \pi_n(B[x,k]) \cap \pi_n(B[y,k]) = B_{\mathbb{Z}^2/G_n}[[x],k] \cap B_{\mathbb{Z}^2/G_n}[[y],k]$. By Lemma 5.1, there exists unique elements $z_x, z_y \in [z]$ such that $d(z_x, x) \leq k$ and $d(z_y, y) \leq k$. We claim that $z_x = z_y$. If $z_x \neq z_y$, then, since z_x, z_y are distinct elements in [z], we have $d(z_x, z_y) \geq n > 3k$. However, by the triangle inequality, $d(z_x, z_y) \leq d(z_x, x) + d(x, y) + d(z_y, y) \leq 3k$, so we must have $z_x = z_y$. Therefore, $z_x = z_y \in B[x,k] \cap B[y,k]$, which yields $[z] \in \pi_n(B[x,k] \cap B[y,k])$, as desired.

(ii) Let $[z] \in \pi_n(B[x,k]) \cap \pi_n(B[y,k]) = B_{\mathbb{Z}^2/G_n}[[x],k] \cap B_{\mathbb{Z}^2/G_n}[[y],k]$. As in (i), there exists unique elements $z_x, z_y \in [z]$ such that $d(z_x, x) \leq k$ and $d(z_y, y) \leq k$. We claim that $z_x = z_y$. Suppose $z_x \neq z_y$. Then $d(z_x, z_y) \geq n \geq 3k - 1$, and $d(z_x, z_y) \leq d(z_x, x) + d(x, y) + d(z_y, y) \leq 3k$. So, either $d(z_x, z_y) = 3k - 1$ or $d(z_x, z_y) = 3k$. We first prove the $d(z_x, z_y) = 3k - 1$ case.

If $d(z_x, z_y) = 3k - 1$, then $n \leq d(z_x, z_y) = 3k - 1 \leq n$, so n = 3k - 1. Since $d(z_x, z_y) = 3k - 1$ and n = 3k - 1, we have $z_x = z_y \pm (n, 0)$ or $z_x = z_y \pm (0, n)$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $z_x = z_y + (n, 0)$, i.e., z_x is a horizontal translation of z_y by n.

Since $d(z_x, x) \leq k$ and $d(z_y, y) \leq k$, we have $z_x, z_y \in B[x, k] \cup B[y, k]$. We claim that the maximum distance between any two points on the same line in $B[x, k] \cup B[y, k]$ is 3k - 2. To show this, we will maximize the distance between two points that lie on the same horizontal line; the vertical case follows similarly.

First, the diameter of $B[x,k] \cup B[y,k]$ is 2k. To maximize the horizontal diameter of $B[x,k] \cup B[y,k]$ under the stipulation that $a \neq a'$, and $b \neq b'$, we let y = (a+(k-1),b+1). Clearly, B[y,k] extends the central horizontal line by k-2 units. Hence, the maximum



distance between two points that lie on the same horizontal line in $B[x, k] \cup B[y, k]$ is 2k + k - 2 = 3k - 2.



FIGURE 6. $B[x,k] \cup B[y,k]$ in k = 3 case with z_x and z_y

However, since $z_x, z_y \in B[x, k] \cup B[y, k]$ and since z_x, z_y differ by a horizontal translation of length 3k - 1, we have a contradiction. Hence, we must have $z_x = z_y$, which implies that $z_x = z_y \in B[x, k] \cap B[y, k]$. So, $[z] \in \pi_n(B[x, k] \cap B[y, k])$, as desired.

If $d(z_x, z_y) = 3k$, then $d(z_x, z_y) = 3k \ge n \ge 3k - 1$. Since $d(z_x, z_y)$ must be a multiple of n, then n = 3k. Repeating the argument above yields the desired result.

We let $N_{3k,k}$ be the collection of triangles in the quotient space, i.e.

$$N_{3k,k} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{([a],[b]),([a+k],[b]),([a+2k],[b])\} \\ \{([a],[b]),([a],[b+k]),([a],[b+2k])\} \end{array} : 0 \le a,b \le 3k-1 \right\}.$$

Lemma 5.6. For any $k \geq 2$, the collection of maximal simplices in $VR(T_{3k,3k};k)$ is $M_{3k,k} \cup N_{3k,k}$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.2, $M_{3k,k} \subseteq M(\operatorname{VR}(T_{3k,3k};k))$. Also, we have the containment $N_{3k,k} \subseteq M(\operatorname{VR}(T_{3k,3k};k))$.

Next we pick a facet τ in the complex VR $(T_{3k,3k}; k)$. We'll show that τ is in $M_{3k,k} \cup N_{3k,k}$. First we suppose that there exist [x] = ([a], [b]) and [y] = ([c], [d]) in τ such that $[a] \neq [c]$ and $[b] \neq [d]$. Without loss of generality, we assume that x = (a, b) and y = (c, d) and d(x, y) = d([x], [y]). Clearly $a \neq c$ and $b \neq d$ hence $0 \leq d(x, y) \leq k$. By Lemma 5.5, $\pi_n(B_{\mathbb{Z}^2}[x, k] \cap B_{\mathbb{Z}^2}[y, k]) = \pi_n(B_{\mathbb{Z}^2}[x, k]) \cap \pi_n(B_{\mathbb{Z}^2}[y, k])$. Then by Lemma 5.3, we have $\tau = \pi_n(\sigma)$ for some facet σ in VR $(\mathbb{Z}^2; k)$. Therefore $\tau \in M_{3k,k}$. Otherwise, all elements in τ have the same first coordinate or second coordinate. Without loss of generality we assume that the first coordinate of all elements in τ is [a]. Let L be the full subcomplex of $\operatorname{VR}(T_{3k,3k};k)$ whose vertices are the one with first coordinate being [a]. Note L is isomorphic to the clique complex, $\operatorname{Cl}(C_{3k}^k)$, where C_{3k} is the cyclic graph. There are two types of maximal simplices in $\operatorname{Cl}(C_{3k}^k)$ in which only the ones of the form $\{([a], [b]), ([a], [b+k]), ([a], [b+2k])\}$ for some $0 \leq b \leq 3k-1$ are maximal in $\operatorname{VR}(T_{3k,3k};k)$. Hence $\tau \in N_{3k,k}$.

We let $N_{3k-1,k}$ be the collection of tetrahedra in the quotient space, i.e.

$$N_{3k-1,k} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{([a],[b]),([a+k],[b]),([a+2k-1],[b]),([a+2k],[b])\} \\ \{([a],[b]),([a],[b+k]),([a],[b+2k-1]),([a],[b+2k])\} \end{array} : 0 \le a,b \le 3k-2 \end{array} \right\}$$

Similarly, we can prove the following.

Lemma 5.7. For any $k \geq 3$, the collection of facets in $VR(T_{3k-1,3k-1};k)$ is $M_{3k-1,k} \cup N_{3k-1,k}$.

Also, for n > 3k, the collection of facets in $VR(T_{n,n};k)$ is $M_{n,k}$.

5.2. Homotopy types. In Theorem 5.11 below we will prove that for any $k \ge 2$, we have the homotopy equivalence $\operatorname{VR}(T_{3k,3k};k) \simeq \bigvee_{6k^2-1} S^2$. The geometric flavor of our proof is as follows. Recall from Lemma 5.6 that the facets in $\operatorname{VR}(T_{3k,3k};k)$ is $M_{3k,k} \cup N_{3k,k}$. By Theorem 5.9, the subcomplex generated by $M_{3k,k}$ is homotopy equivalent to a torus. To this torus, we glue on the 6k triangles in $N_{3k,k}$ along their boundaries. Of these, 3k triangles have their boundaries wrapped once around the longitudinal circle, and the remaining 3k triangles have their boundaries wrapped once around the meridional circle. To understand the resulting homotopy type, we note (see Lemma 5.10) that gluing on only one longitudinal triangle and only one meridional triangle produces the 2-sphere. Then, gluing on each of the remaining 6k - 2 triangles adds an additional 2-sphere to the wedge summand, producing $\bigvee_{6k^2-1} S^2$ in total.

In order to complete the inductive format of this proof, we will need the following result describing the homotopy type of a complex by splitting it into two subcomplexes, with a proof in [26].

Lemma 5.8. Suppose a simplicial complex $K = K_1 \cup K_2$ satisfies that the inclusion maps $i_1 : K_1 \cap K_2 \to K_1$ and $i_2 : K_1 \cap K_2 \to K_2$ are both null-homotopic. Then

$$K \simeq K_1 \lor K_2 \lor \Sigma(K_1 \cap K_2).$$

Theorem 5.9. Assume that $k \geq 2$. For any n > 2k, let K be the complex with $M_{n,k}$ being the collection of maximal simplices. Then K is homotopy equivalent to the torus \mathbb{T}^2 .

Hence when $k \geq 2$ and n > 3k, $VR(T_{n,n}; k)$ is homotopy equivalent to the torus \mathbb{T}^2 .

This theorem therefore gives the torus homotopy types in Table 1 for n > 3k.

Proof. The topological space \mathbb{R}^2/G_n is homeomorphic to the torus \mathbb{T}^2 . Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 4.4, we define $\mathcal{U} = \{\pi_n(B_{\mathbb{R}^2}(x, \frac{k+1}{2})) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2/G_n : x \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}$, where here $\pi_n \colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2/G_n$. Since n > 2k and since $k \ge 2$, the intersection of any finite subcollection of \mathcal{U} is either empty or contractible by Lemma 5.5. Also, the nerve complex $N(\mathcal{U})$ is isomorphic to K. By the nerve theorem, $N(\mathcal{U})$ is homotopy equivalent to \mathbb{T}^2 , and hence so is K.

When n > 3k, the collection of facets in the complex $VR(T_{n,n}; k)$ is $M_{n,k}$ by Lemma 5.7; hence in this case $VR(T_{n,n}; k)$ is homotopy equivalent to \mathbb{T}^2 .

Lemma 5.10. Assume that $k \geq 2$. Let $\tau_1 = \{([0], [0]), ([k], [0]), ([2k], [0])\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{([0], [0]), ([0], [k]), ([0], [2k])\}$ and let K be the simplicial complex with $M(K) = M_{3k,k} \cup \{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$. Then K is homotopy equivalent to the sphere S^2 .

Proof. Let X be the cell complex obtained from \mathbb{R}^2/G_{3k} by gluing two disks c_1 and c_2 along the circles $(\mathbb{R} \times \{0\})/G_{3k}^1$ and and $(\{0\} \times \mathbb{R})/G_{3k}^2$, where $G_{3k}^1 = 3\mathbb{Z} \times \{0\}$ and $G_{3k}^2 = \{0\} \times 3\mathbb{Z}$. For convenience, let X_1 be the torus \mathbb{R}^2/G_{3k} and let X_2 be the image of c_1, c_2 under the gluing map; hence, $X = X_1 \cup X_2$. Since X_2 is contractible, X is homotopy equivalent to its quotient over X_2 which is a cell complex generated by one vertex and one disk; and hence it is homotopy equivalent to S^2 .

Let K_1 be the complex whose collection of facets is $M_{3k,k}$ and let K_2 be the complex whose collection of facets is $\{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$. Clearly the geometric realization of K_2 is homeomorphic to X_2 . By Theorem 5.9, K_1 is homotopy equivalent to X_1 because both are homotopy equivalent to \mathbb{T}^2 . Denote $L = K_1 \cap K_2$ and $A = X_1 \cap X_2$. Both L and A are homotopy equivalent to $S^1 \vee S^1$. Fix a homotopy equivalence g_1 from X_1 to K_1 and a homeomorphism g_2 from X_2 to K_2 such that the restrictions of these two maps are homeomorphisms from A to L. Therefore, the below diagram (where all i_1, \ldots, i_4 are inclusion maps) commutes.



Because $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ is homotopic to S^2 , by [9, 7.5.7] so is $K = K_1 \cup K_2$. This finishes the proof.

Theorem 5.11. For $k \geq 2$, we have the homotopy equivalence

$$\operatorname{VR}(T_{3k,3k};k) \simeq \bigvee_{6k^2 - 1} S^2.$$

Proof. There are $6k^2$ distinct 2-simplices in $N_{3k,k}$ and the intersection of each pair in $N_{3k,k}$ is at most a vertex. Let K be the complex whose facets are

$$M(K) = M_{3k,k} \cup \{\{([0], [0]), ([k], [0]), ([2k], [0])\}, \{([0], [0]), ([0], [k]), ([0], [2k])\}\}.$$

Then by Lemma 5.10, K is homotopy equivalent to a sphere. List the rest of the elements in $N_{3k,k}$ as $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{6k^2-2}$.

Let K_{ℓ} be the complex formed by including the additional simplices $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{\ell}$. Then we claim that the complex K_{ℓ} is homotopy equivalent to a $(\ell + 1)$ -fold wedge sum of S^2 's. This implies that $\operatorname{VR}(T_{3k,3k}; k)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\bigvee_{6k^2-1} S^2$.

The claim clearly holds for $\ell = 0$. Now we assume it holds for some $\ell < 6k^2 - 2$. Let $K_{\sigma_{\ell+1}}$ be the complex generated by the simplex $\sigma_{\ell+1}$. Hence, $K_{\ell+1} = K_{\ell} \cup K_{\sigma_{\ell+1}}$. Note that $K_{\sigma_{\ell+1}}$ is a complex formed by a 2-simplex whose boundary is in K_{ℓ} ; hence, $K_{\ell} \cap K_{\sigma_{\ell+1}}$ is homotopy equivalent to S^1 , and hence null-homotopic in both K_{ℓ} and $K_{\sigma_{\ell+1}}$. Then by Lemma 5.8, $K_{\ell+1} = K_{\ell} \cup K_{\sigma_{\ell+1}}$ is homotopy equivalent to $K_{\ell} \vee \Sigma S^1 = K_{\ell} \vee S^2$ since $K_{\sigma_{\ell+1}}$ is contractible. This induction finishes the proof of the claim.

This gives the homotopy types of the red diagonal in Table 1. We now prove the homotopy types of the teal diagonal.

In Theorem 5.13 below we prove that for any $k \geq 3$, we have the homotopy equivalence $\operatorname{VR}(T_{3k-1,3k-1};k) \simeq \bigvee_{6k-3} S^2 \vee \bigvee_{6k-2} S^3$. Recall from Lemma 5.7 that the facets in $\operatorname{VR}(T_{3k-1,3k-1};k)$ are $M_{3k-1,k} \cup N_{3k-1,k}$. By Theorem 5.9, the subcomplex generated by $M_{3k-1,k}$ is homotopy equivalent to a torus. To this torus, we glue on the remaining tetrahedra in $N_{3k-1,k}$, which as we show, have the effect of gluing on 3k - 1 3-spheres

attached along longitudinal circles, and 3k-1 3-spheres attached along meridional circles. The resulting homotopy type, as we show, will be $\bigvee_{6k-3} S^2 \vee \bigvee_{6k-2} S^3$.

We omit the proof of the following lemma, which is analogous to Lemma 5.10.

Lemma 5.12. Assume that $k \ge 3$. Let $\tau_1 = \{([0], [0]), ([k], [0]), ([2k - 1], [0]), ([2k], [0])\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{([0], [0]), ([0], [k]), ([0], [2k - 1]), ([0], [2k])\}$ and let K be the simplicial complex with $M(K) = M_{3k-1,k} \cup \{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$. Then K is homotopy equivalent to the sphere S^2 .

Theorem 5.13. For $k \geq 3$, we have the homotopy equivalence

$$\operatorname{VR}(T_{3k-1,3k-1};k) \simeq \bigvee_{6k-3} S^2 \lor \bigvee_{6k-2} S^3.$$

Also,

$$\operatorname{VR}(T_{5,5};2) \simeq \bigvee_{9} S^2.$$

Proof. For each $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, we let L_a^1 be the full subcomplex of $\operatorname{VR}(T_{3k-1,3k-1};k)$ with the vertices whose first entry is [a], and we let L_b^2 be full subcomplex of $\operatorname{VR}(T_{3k-1,3k-1};k)$ with all vertices whose second entry is [b]. Notice that both L_a^1 and L_b^2 are isomorphic to the Vietoris–Rips complex on the cyclic graph C_{3k-1} with scale k.

First fix $k \geq 3$. Then, by Adamaszek's result, both of L_a^1 and L_b^2 are homotopy equivalent to S^3 . Notice that the pairwise intersection of these subcomplexes contains at most one vertex. We let K be the simplicial complex whose collection of facets is $M_{3k-1,k} \cup \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$, where $\sigma_1 = \{([0], [0]), ([0], [k]), ([0], [2k - 1]), ([0], [2k])\}$ and $\sigma_2 = \{([0], [0]), ([k], [0]), ([2k - 1], 0), ([2k], [0])\}$. By Lemma 5.12, K is homotopy equivalent to S^2 .

Notice that

$$\operatorname{VR}(T_{3k-1,3k-1};k) = K \cup \bigcup_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} L^1_a \cup \bigcup_{b \in \mathbb{Z}} L^2_b.$$

If $a \neq 0$, then $K \cap L_a^1$ is the subcomplex whose facets are $\{\{([a], [b]), ([a], [b+2k-1]), ([a], [b+2k])\}, \{([a], [b+k]), ([a], [b+2k-1]), ([a], [b+2k])\}, \{([a], [b]), ([a], [b+k])\}\},$ which is homotopic to the circle S^1 . Therefore, $K \cup L_a^1$ is homotopy equivalent to $K \vee L_a^1 \vee \Sigma(S^1) \simeq S^2 \vee S^3 \vee S^2$ by Lemma 5.8. For $a = 0, K \cap L_a^1$ is contractible and hence $K \cup L_a^1$ is homotopy equivalent to $S^2 \vee S^3$. The same results hold for $K \cup L_b^2$. Then an inductive argument yields the first result in the theorem.

Now we consider the complex VR($T_{5,5}$; 2). The Vietoris-Rips complex of the cyclic graph C_5 with scale 2 is generated by a 5-simplex and hence contractible. Therefore, when k = 2, both L_a^1 and L_b^2 are contractible. Let L be the complex with vertex set $T_{5,5}$ and facets $M_{5,2}$. Similar as Lemma 5.6, the facet in VR($T_{5,5}$; 2) is either in $M_{5,2}$ or L_a^1 , L_b^2 for some a, or b. A similar approach as Lemma 5.10 shows that the complex $L \cup L_0^1 \cup L_0^2$ is homotopy equivalent to S^2 . And the intersection of each of the 8 remaining L_a^1 or L_b^2 with $L \cup L_0^1 \cup L_0^2$ is homotopy equivalent to S^1 . Hence by induction and Lemma 5.8 the complex VR($T_{5,5}$; 2) is homotopy equivalent to $\bigvee_9 S^2$.

6. Connection between torus grid graphs and cross-polytopes

In this section we explore the connection between the torus grid graphs and crosspolytopes as noted in Proposition 6.2. We state first some notation and results.

An *n*-dimensional cross-polytope is a ball in \mathbb{R}^n with the ℓ_1 norm, that is, it is a set of the form $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x - c\|_1 \leq \varepsilon\}$, for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and some $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$. All *n*-dimensional cross-polytopes are isomorphic equivalent, and hence we only focus on the case where $\varepsilon = 1$ and $c = \mathbf{0}$ (the origin of \mathbb{R}^n); we refer to this case as "the" *n*-dimensional cross-polytope. Equivalently, if $\{\hat{e}_k : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ is the standard basis in \mathbb{R}^n , then the *n*-dimensional

cross-polytope is the convex hull of the vertex set $\{\pm \hat{e}_k : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$. The boundary of the *n*-dimensional cross-polytope is the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||x||_1 = 1\}$, which is homeomorphic to the unit sphere S^{n-1} in \mathbb{R}^n .

Recall that the diameter of the torus grid graph $T_{n,n}$ is n if n is even, and n-1 if n is odd. For scale $r = \text{diam}(T_{n,n}) - 1$, the Vietoris–Rips complex $\text{VR}(T_{n,n}; r)$ will have all possible edges *except* that each vertex will not be connected to its "antipodes" (the vertices at distance r):

Lemma 6.1. Let n be a positive integer and $r = \text{diam}(T_{n,n}) - 1$. Then in the 1-skeleton of $\text{VR}(T_{n,n}; r)$, each vertex is connected to all but

- exactly one vertex, if n is even,
- exactly four vertices, if n is odd.

Proof. First note that in the cycle graph C_n , given a vertex u, there is exactly one antipode of u in C_n , if n is even, and exactly two antipodes of u in C_n is n is odd. This implies that given any vertex (u, u') in $T_{n,n}$ there is exactly one (four) other vertex (vertices) at distance diam $(T_{n,n})$ from (u, u') if n is even (odd).

The previous lemma motivates the following definition. We say that a graph is *antipode* if every vertex is connected to all but exactly one vertex. For example, the 1-skeleton of $\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n}; \operatorname{diam}(T_{n,n}) - 1)$ is an antipode graph when n is even. Note that from the definition, the size of the vertex set of an antipode graph must be even.

Antipode graphs are a special case of the *Turán* graphs T(m, l) (see [38]): a vertex set of size m is partitioned into l many subsets, with sizes as equal as possible, and then connecting two vertices by an edge if and only if they belong to different subsets. Hence, an antipode graph is isomorphic equivalent to T(2m, m) for some m.

We recall that the *clique complex* Cl(G) of a graph G is the simplicial complex with vertex set V(G), and with a finite subset σ as a simplex σ if and only if σ induces a complete subgraph of G.

Proposition 6.2. The 1-skeleton of an n-dimensional cross-polytope is an antipode graph, in fact of type T(2n, n). Conversely, the clique complex of an antipode graph of 2n vertices is toplogically homeomorphic to the boundary of the n-dimensional cross-polytope.

Proof. For the first part, since the *n*-dimensional cross-polytope is the convex hull of the vertex set $\{\pm \hat{e}_k \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid 1 \leq k \leq n\}$, this implies that in its 1-skeleton, each vertex \hat{e}_k is connected to all vertices except $-\hat{e}_k$.

Now we prove the second part. Note that the boundary of the cross-polytope is a simplicial complex that contains a subset σ of $\{\pm \hat{e}_k \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ as a simplex if and only if $\{\hat{e}_k, -\hat{e}_k\} \not\subseteq \sigma$ for all k. The same is true for the clique complex of an antipode graph with 2n vertices (equivalently, for the Turán graph T(2n, n)). Indeed, if we let $\{\pm v_1, \ldots, \pm v_n\}$ be the vertex set of an antipode graph with 2n vertices, where each vertex v_k is connected to all other vertices besides $-v_k$, then a subset σ of $\{\pm v_1, \ldots, \pm v_n\}$ is a simplex in the clique complex of the antipode graph if and only if $\{v_k, -v_k\} \not\subseteq \sigma$ for all k. So, we can obtain a homeomorphism from the boundary of the n-dimensional cross-polytope to the clique complex of an antipode graph with 2n vertices by mapping each vertex \hat{e}_k to v_k , and then extending linearly to simplices.

Corollary 6.3. Let n be even. Then $\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n}; \operatorname{diam}(T_{n,n}) - 1) \simeq S^{\frac{n^2}{2} - 1}$.

Proof. By Lemma 6.1, the 1-skeleton of $\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n}; \operatorname{diam}(T_{n,n}) - 1)$ is an antipode graph, indeed of type $T(n^2, \frac{n^2}{2})$. Also note that $\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n}; \operatorname{diam}(T_{n,n}) - 1)$ coincides with the clique complex of its 1-skeleton. Hence by Proposition 6.2, $\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n}; \operatorname{diam}(T_{n,n}) - 1)$ is homeomorphic to the boundary of the $\frac{n^2}{2}$ -dimensional cross-polytope, which is homeomorphic to $S^{\frac{n^2}{2}-1}$.

7. The homotopy types of $VR(T_{5,5};3)$ and $VR(T_{7,7};4)$

In this section, we use homology computations (with integer coefficients) and the Hurewicz and Whitehead theorems to determine the homotopy types $VR(T_{5,5};3) \simeq \bigvee_4 S^9$ and $VR(T_{7,7};4) \simeq S^3$.

The homology groups for $\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n};r)$ in Table 1 were computed with $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ coefficients, using Ripser software [6]. However, we also computed the homology groups for $\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n};r)$ with $r \leq 4$ with \mathbb{Z} coefficients, using Polymake software [25]. All of the homology groups were free in this range. So, while entry *i*:*d* in Table 1 is shorthand for $H_i(\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n};k);\mathbb{Z}/2) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2)^d$, it is moreover true that if $r \leq 4$, then entry *i*:*d* in Table 1 means $H_i(\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n};k);\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^d$ (and all other positive dimensions have trivial homology). In particular, Polymake computations show that the integral homology of $\operatorname{VR}(T_{5,5};3)$ is the same as that of $\bigvee_4 S^9$, and the integral homology of $\operatorname{VR}(T_{7,7};4)$ is the same as that of S^3 . We now show that these are homotopy equivalences.

Let Y be a simply connected CW complex such that the only nonzero reduced homology group is $\tilde{H}_n(Y) \cong \mathbb{Z}^a$. It follows from the Hurewicz and Whitehead theorems that we have a homotopy equivalence $Y \simeq \bigvee_a S^n$. This is given by Proposition 4C.1 of [28] and stated clearly in Theorem 1 of [13]; see Theorem 2 of [13] for an interesting generalization. Since we have used Polymake to determine the integral homology of VR($T_{5,5}$; 3) is the same as that of $\bigvee_4 S^9$, and the integral homology of VR($T_{7,7}$; 4) is the same as that of S^3 , it suffices to show that these Vietoris–Rips complexes are simply connected.

We prove simple connectedness using the appendix by Barmak in Farber's paper [19], which consolidates prior work [33, 34, 15, 30] lower bounding the connectivity of clique complexes of graphs. Recall that a nonempty topological space Y is k-connected if the homotopy groups $\pi_i(Y)$ are trivial for all $i \leq k$. Barmak proves that if a simplicial complex is (2k + 2)-conic (meaning every subcomplex with at most (2k + 2) vertices is contained in a simplicial cone), then the complex is k-connected [19, Appendix, Theorem 4]. If any 2k + 2 closed balls of radius r in the metric space X intersect, then each such intersection point is the apex of a simplicial cone in VR(X; r) containing any subcomplex on those ball centers, showing that VR(X; r) is (2k + 2)-conic and hence k-connected.¹ Taking k = 1 and $X = T_{n,n}$, we see that if any 4 closed balls in $T_{n,n}$ of radius r intersect, then VR $(T_{n,n}; r)$ is simply connected.

The graph $T_{5,5}$ has $5^2 = 25$ vertices, and each closed ball of radius r = 3 in $T_{5,5}$ contains 21 vertices. Therefore, the intersection of any 4 closed balls of radius 3 in $T_{5,5}$ contains at least 25 - 4(25 - 21) = 9 vertices, and is hence nonempty. This shows $VR(T_{5,5};3)$ is simply connected, and hence $VR(T_{5,5};3) \simeq \bigvee_4 S^9$.

The graph $T_{7,7}$ has $7^2 = 49$ vertices, and each closed ball of radius r = 4 in $T_{7,7}$ contains 37 vertices. Therefore, the intersection of any 4 closed balls of radius 4 in $T_{7,7}$ contains at least 49 - 4(49 - 37) = 1 vertex, and is hence nonempty. This shows $VR(T_{7,7}; 4)$ is simply connected, and hence $VR(T_{7,7}; 4) \simeq S^3$. We have proven the following theorem.

Theorem 7.1. There are homotopy equivalences $VR(T_{5,5};3) \simeq \bigvee_4 S^9$ and $VR(T_{7,7};4) \simeq S^3$.

8. CONCLUSION

We end with a list of open questions.

Question 8.1. The smallest complexes that we have not proven anything about (see Table 1) are of the form $VR(T_{n,n};3)$, i.e. the Vietoris—Rips complexes of $n \times n$ grids

¹See [4, Corollary 4.4] for the case using *open* Vietoris–Rips complexes with the diam(σ) < r convention (and hence open balls in X), instead of the *closed* Vietoris–Rips complexes with the diam(σ) ≤ r convention (and hence closed balls in X) as we use here.

on the torus at scale parameter 3, for n = 5, 6, 7. Homology computations show that $VR(T_{5,5}; 3)$ has $\beta_4 = 9$, that $VR(T_{6,6}; 3)$ has $\beta_3 = 1$ and $\beta_5 = 12$, and that $VR(T_{7,7}; 3)$ has $\beta_3 = 1$ and $\beta_4 = 14$. What are the homotopy types of these spaces, and in particular is $VR(T_{5,5}; 3)$ homotopy equivalent to a 9-fold wedge sum of 4-spheres?

Question 8.2. What are the homotopy types of $VR(T_{6,6}; 4)$, $VR(T_{7,7}; 4)$, $VR(T_{8,8}; 4)$, $VR(T_{9,9}; 4)$, and $VR(T_{10,10}; 4)$? In particular, is $VR(T_{7,7}; 4)$ homotopy equivalent to a 3-sphere?

Question 8.3. Is it possible to determine in what dimensions i the reduced homology groups $\tilde{H}_i(\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n};k))$ are nonzero?

Question 8.4. For $k \ge 3$, is it the case that $\hat{H}_i(\text{VR}(T_{3k-2,3k-2};k))$ is nonzero if and only if i = 3, 4?

For $k \geq 5$, is

$$\beta_3(\operatorname{VR}(T_{3k-2,3k-2};k)) = \begin{cases} 6k-3 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \\ 6k-1 & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

For $k \geq 5$, is

$$\beta_4(\operatorname{VR}(T_{3k-2,3k-2};k)) = \begin{cases} 6k-4 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \\ 6k-2 & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Question 8.5. Is it true that for $k \ge 7$, the complex VR $(T_{3k-3,3k-3}; k)$ has

$$\beta_3(\operatorname{VR}(T_{3k-3,3k-3};k)) = 3 \text{ and } \beta_4(\operatorname{VR}(T_{3k-3,3k-3};k)) = 2?$$

Question 8.6. Is it true that for $k \ge 2$, the complex VR $(T_{2k,2k}; k)$ is homotopy equivalent to the wedge sum of S^3 with a 4k-fold wedge sum of S^{2k-1} ?

Question 8.7. How can Theorem 7.1 be proven without relying on integral homology computations?

Question 8.8. We conjecture that $VR(T_{n,n}; k)$ is homotopy equivalent to a 3-sphere for a countable family of (n, k) pairs, definitely including (n, k) = (7, 4) (see Theorem 7.1), and potentially including a subset of

$$(n,k) \in \{(8,5), (9,5)\} \cup \{(11,6), (12,6)\}$$
$$\cup \{(n,7) \mid 12 \le n \le 15\}$$
$$\cup \{(n,8) \mid 13 \le n \le 19\}$$
$$\cup \{(n,9) \mid 16 \le n \le 21\}$$
$$\cup \{(n,10) \mid 17 \le n \le 24\}$$
$$\cup \{(n,11) \mid 18 \le n \le 26\}.$$

We conjecture that these complexes are homotopy equivalent to a 3-sphere S^3 that is formed from the hollow torus $S^1 \times S^1$ by gluing in two solid tori (as the scale increases), in order to obtain the 3-sphere as the standard genus-1 Heegard decomposition $S^3 = (S^1 \times D^2) \cup_{S^1 \times S^1} (D^2 \times S^1)$.

Question 8.9. For any n and for any $k \leq k'$, is the rank of the map $H_3(\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n};k)) \to H_3(\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n};k'))$ induced by inclusion equal to

$$\min\{\beta_3(\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n};k)),\beta_3(\operatorname{VR}(T_{n,n};k'))\}?$$

In other words, are the 3-dimensional persistent homology groups as large as they can be (given the 3-dimensional Betti numbers)?

Question 8.10. We note that $H_4(\operatorname{VR}(T_{10,10}; 4))$ has rank 60, $H_4(\operatorname{VR}(T_{10,10}; 5))$ has rank 0, and $H_4(\operatorname{VR}(T_{10,10}; 6))$ has rank 39. (As a result, the rank of the map $H_4(\operatorname{VR}(T_{10,10}; 4)) \rightarrow$ $H_4(\operatorname{VR}(T_{10,10}; 6))$ induced by inclusion is zero.) When is it possible for the rank of homology to be non-monotonic as the scale increases?

Question 8.11. Is it true that for $k \ge 0$, the complex $VR(T_{7+5k,7+5k}; 3+2k)$ has $\beta_4(VR(T_{7+5k,7+5k}; 3+2k)) = 14 + 10k?$ Tables 1 and 2 confirm this for $0 \le k \le 4$.

Question 8.12. Is it true that for $k \ge 3$, the complex VR $(T_{5k,5k}; 2k)$ has $\beta_3(VR(T_{5k,5k}; 2k)) = 1$ and $\beta_4(VR(T_{5k,5k}; 2k)) = 10k^2$?

Tables 1 and 2 confirm this for $3 \le k \le 5$.

Question 8.13. Is it true that $VR(T_{n,n};k)$ is not contractible for

 $k < \begin{cases} n & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ n-1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$

9. Acknowledgments

We thank Michał Adamaszek for help with an earlier version of Table 1, and Ziga Virk for an idea related to Theorem 5.13.

References

- Michał Adamaszek. Clique complexes and graph powers. Israel Journal of Mathematics, 196(1):295– 319, 2013.
- [2] Michał Adamaszek and Henry Adams. The Vietoris-Rips complexes of a circle. Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 290:1–40, 2017.
- [3] Michał Adamaszek and Henry Adams. On Vietoris-Rips complexes of hypercube graphs. Journal of Applied and Computational Topology, 6(2):177–192, 2022.
- [4] Henry Adams, Johnathan Bush, and Ziga Virk. The connectivity of Vietoris-Rips complexes of spheres. arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.15818, 2024.
- [5] Henry Adams and Žiga Virk. Lower bounds on the homology of Vietoris–Rips complexes of hypercube graphs. Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society, 47(3):72, 2024.
- [6] Ulrich Bauer. Ripser: efficient computation of Vietoris-Rips persistence barcodes. Journal of Applied and Computational Topology, pages 391–423, 2021.
- [7] Anders Björner. Topological methods. Handbook of Combinatorics, 2:1819–1872, 1995.
- [8] Karol Borsuk. On the imbedding of systems of compacta in simplicial complexes. Fundamenta Mathematicae, 35(1):217–234, 1948.
- [9] Ronald Brown. Topology and Groupoids. http://www.groupoids.org.uk/, Deganwy, United Kingdom, 2006.
- [10] Peter Bubenik and Nikola Milićević. Homological algebra for persistence modules. Foundations of Computational Mathematics, 21:1233–1278, 2021.
- [11] Gunnar Carlsson. Topology and data. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 46(2):255–308, 2009.
- [12] Gunnar Carlsson and Benjamin Filippenko. Persistent homology of the sum metric. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 224(5):106244, 2020.
- [13] Andrés Carnero Bravo and Omar Antolín Camarena. Homotopy type through homology groups. Boletín de la Sociedad Matemática Mexicana, 30(2):28, 2024.
- [14] Frédéric Chazal, Vin de Silva, and Steve Oudot. Persistence stability for geometric complexes. Geometriae Dedicata, 174:193–214, 2014.
- [15] Maria Chudnovsky. Systems of disjoint representatives. Master's thesis, 2000.
- [16] Tamal Krishna Dey and Yusu Wang. Computational topology for data analysis. Cambridge University Press, 2022.
- [17] Herbert Edelsbrunner. Persistent homology: Theory and practice. 2013.
- [18] Herbert Edelsbrunner and John L Harer. Computational Topology: An Introduction. American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2010.

- [19] Michael Farber. Large simplicial complexes: universality, randomness, and ampleness. Journal of Applied and Computational Topology, 8:1551–1574, 2023.
- [20] Ziqin Feng. Homotopy types of Vietoris-Rips complexes of hypercube graphs. Journal of Topology and Analysis, https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793525325500062, 2025.
- [21] Ziqin Feng and Naga Chandra Padmini Nukala. On Vietoris–Rips complexes of finite metric spaces with scale 2. arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.14664, 2023.
- [22] Ziqin Feng and Guanghui Wang. Exploring homological properties of independent complexes of Kneser graphs. arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.10566, 2024.
- [23] Hitesh Gakhar and Jose A Perea. Künneth formulae in persistent homology. arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.05656, 2019.
- [24] William Gasarch, Brittany Terese Fasy, and Bei Wang. Open problems in computational topology. ACM SIGACT News, 48(3):32–36, 2017.
- [25] Ewgenij Gawrilow and Michael Joswig. Polymake: A framework for analyzing convex polytopes. In Polytopes—combinatorics and computation (Oberwolfach, 1997), volume 29 of DMV Sem., pages 43–73. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2000.
- [26] S. Goyal, S. Shukla, and A. Singh. Topology of clique complexes of line graphs. Art Discrete Appl. Math., 5(2):Papaer No. 2.06, 12, 2022.
- [27] Mikhael Gromov. Hyperbolic groups. In Stephen M Gersten, editor, Essays in Group Theory. Springer, 1987.
- [28] Allen Hatcher. Algebraic Topology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [29] Jean-Claude Hausmann. On the Vietoris–Rips complexes and a cohomology theory for metric spaces. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 138:175–188, 1995.
- [30] Matthew Kahle. Topology of random clique complexes. Discrete Mathematics, 309(6):1658–1671, 2009.
- [31] Janko Latschev. Vietoris-Rips complexes of metric spaces near a closed Riemannian manifold. Archiv der Mathematik, 77(6):522-528, 2001.
- [32] Uzu Lim. Contractible Rips complex from non-hyperbolic group. MathOverflow. https://mathoverflow.net/q/373007.
- [33] Roy Meshulam. The clique complex and hypergraph matching. Combinatorica, 21(1):89–94, 2001.
- [34] Roy Meshulam. Domination numbers and homology. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A, 102(2):321–330, 2003.
- [35] Leonid Polterovich, Egor Shelukhin, and Vukašin Stojisavljević. Persistence modules with operators in Morse and Floer theory. *Moscow Mathematical Journal*, 17:757–786, 2017.
- [36] Nada Saleh, Thomas Titz Mite, and Stefan Witzel. Vietoris–Rips complexes of platonic solids. arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.14388, 2023.
- [37] Samir Shukla. On Vietoris–Rips complexes (with scale 3) of hypercube graphs. arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.02756, 2022.
- [38] Paul Turán. On the theory of graphs. In Colloquium Mathematicum, volume 1, pages 19–30, 1954.
- [39] Daniel Vargas-Rosario. Persistent Homology of Products and Gromov-Hausdorff Distances Between Hypercubes and Spheres. PhD thesis, Colorado State University, 2023.
- [40] Leopold Vietoris. Über den höheren Zusammenhang kompakter Räume und eine Klasse von zusammenhangstreuen Abbildungen. Mathematische Annalen, 97(1):454–472, 1927.
- [41] Ziga Virk. Contractibility of the Rips complexes of integer lattices via local domination. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 2024.
- [42] Qingsong Wang. Contractibility of Vietoris–Rips complexes of dense subsets in (\mathbb{R}^n, ℓ_1) via hyperconvex embeddings, 2024.
- [43] Matthew CB Zaremsky. Contractible Vietoris–Rips complexes of \mathbb{Z}^n . arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.11993, 2024.
- [44] Afra Zomorodian. Computational topology. Algorithms and theory of computation handbook, 2(3), 2009.
- [45] Afra Zomorodian. Fast construction of the Vietoris–Rips complex. Computers & Graphics, 34(3):263– 271, 2010.
- [46] Afra Zomorodian and Gunnar Carlsson. Computing persistent homology. Discrete & Computational Geometry, 33(2):249–274, 2005.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, GAINESVILLE, FL 32611, USA *Email address*: henry.adams@ufl.edu

School of Mathematical Sciences, Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang, Hebei, 050024, China

Email address: adetowubonikky@yahoo.com

I AM LEGACY, CHARLOTTE, NC, USA *Email address:* hector@iam-legacy.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, AUBURN UNIVERSITY, AUBURN, AL 36849, USA *Email address*: zzf0006@auburn.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, AUBURN UNIVERSITY, AUBURN, AL 36849, USA *Email address*: jss0122@auburn.edu