
TWICE: WHAT ADVANTAGES CAN LOW-RESOURCE DOMAIN-SPECIFIC EMBEDDING MODEL BRING?— A CASE STUDY ON KOREA FINANCIAL TEXTS

Yewon Hwang*
ModuLabs, Brian Impact
yeowonh@sju.ac.kr

Sungbum Jung*
NCSoft, ModuLabs, Brian Impact
jsbreset@gmail.com

Hanwool Lee*†
Shinhan Securities Co, ModuLabs, Brian Impact
gksdnf424@gmail.com

Sara Yu
KT, ModuLabs, Brian Impact
rrrr4ra@sookmyung.ac.kr

ABSTRACT

Domain specificity of embedding models is critical for the effective performance. However, existing benchmarks, such as FinMTEB, are primarily designed for high-resource languages, leaving low-resource settings, such as Korean, underexplored. Directly translating established English benchmarks often fails to capture the linguistic and cultural nuances present in low-resource domains. In this paper, titled **TWICE: What Advantages Can Low-Resource Domain-Specific Embedding Model Bring?— A Case Study on Korea Financial Texts**, we introduce **KorFinMTEB**, a novel benchmark for the Korean financial domain, specifically tailored to reflect its unique cultural characteristics in low-resource languages. Our experimental results reveal that while the models perform robustly on a translated version of FinMTEB, their performance on KorFinMTEB uncovers subtle yet critical discrepancies—especially in tasks requiring deeper semantic understanding—that underscore the limitations of direct translation. This discrepancy underscores the limitations of direct translation and highlights the necessity of benchmarks that incorporate language-specific idiosyncrasies and cultural nuances. The insights from our study advocate for the development of domain-specific evaluation frameworks that can more accurately assess and drive the progress of embedding models in low-resource settings.

1 INTRODUCTION

Embedding models have revolutionized NLP, with benchmarks such as MTEB (Muennighoff et al., 2023) and FinMTEB (Tang & Yang, 2024) providing robust evaluations for high-resource languages and specialized domains like finance. However, low-resource languages like Korean are underrepresented. Directly translating existing benchmarks often introduces context loss and fails to capture cultural nuances (Son et al., 2024a;b)—a critical issue in financial texts where precise terminology is paramount (Wu et al., 2023).

To address these challenges, we propose **KorFinMTEB**, a novel benchmark built from authentic Korean financial texts as shown in Figure 1. It reflects the unique linguistic and cultural characteristics of Korea’s financial domain. Our comparative analysis between a directly translated version of FinMTEB and KorFinMTEB reveals a significant performance gap, underscoring the inadequacy of simple translation for evaluating domain-specific models in low-resource settings.

Our contributions are threefold:

1. We demonstrate the limitations of directly translated benchmarks in capturing low-resource language nuances and culture.

*These authors contributed equally.

†Corresponding author

2. We introduce KorFinMTEB, a benchmark consisting of 7 tasks with 26 datasets tailored for Korean financial texts.
3. We provide a comparative analysis that highlights the need for customized evaluation frameworks of Korean Financial Domain-Specific Task.

The dataset of KorFinMTEB benchmark are fully open-sourced and publicly available, ensuring reproducibility and transparency.




Figure 1: An overview of 7 tasks and 26 datasets used in KorFinMTEB.

2 RELATED WORKS

Recent advances in neural embedding models have evolved from early distributed word representations such as word2vec (Mikolov et al., 2013) to contextual approaches like BERT (Devlin et al., 2019). Subsequent methods, including SentenceBERT (Reimers & Gurevych, 2019) and SimCSE (Gao et al., 2022), further enhanced semantic representation, while multilingual frameworks like InfoXLM (Chi et al., 2021) extend these benefits to low-resource languages.

Despite these improvements, general-purpose models often fall short in domain-specific applications. For instance, in finance and biomedicine, tailored models such as FinBERT (Araci, 2019) and BioBERT (Lee et al., 2019) capture specialized terminology and nuances that generic embeddings may overlook. Recent financial NLP studies even report that models like SentenceBERT and Ada embeddings tend to overestimate similarity in reports with minor surface variations (Liu et al., 2024), highlighting the necessity for domain-specific benchmarks like FinMTEB (Tang & Yang, 2024) that can provide more accurate evaluations in specialized contexts.

3 BENCHMARK CONSTRUCTION AND EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

3.1 BENCHMARK CONSTRUCTION

In designing KorFinMTEB, we adopt the FinMTEB format and extend it to the Korean financial domain, by incorporating seven core tasks: classification, clustering, retrieval, summarization, pair classification, reranking, and semantic textual similarity. For each task, we integrate openly available datasets with in-house curated data to comprehensively cover the linguistic and domain-specific challenges inherent in financial text analysis.

Classification We define nine classification subtasks to capture diverse financial phenomena. For example, *FinancialNews-CLS* categorizes financial news as Positive, Negative, or Neutral, while *ESG-CLS-ko* filters ESG-related news into E/S/G/Non-ESG classes. Additional subtasks include KorFOMCClassification (distinguishing Hawkish/Dovish/Neutral tones based on key financial terms),

IndustryClassification (assigning industry labels to analytical reports), and several variants of sentiment and QA classifications (e.g., `FinSent-CLS-ko`, `FinancialMMLU-CLS-ko`, `FinancialBQA-CLS-ko`, `FinancialMCQA-CLS-ko`, and `FinNewsBQA-CLS-ko`). Data sources include the *korfin-asc* dataset (Son et al., 2023), Hugging Face datasets (e.g., `allganize/financial-mmlu-ko` and `FINNUMBER/QA.Instruction`), and AI Hub’s financial news reading comprehension data. Where open data was insufficient, we constructed additional datasets to ensure task completeness.

Retrieval The retrieval component is built to reflect the complexity of financial queries that often involve both textual and tabular information. We include tasks based on datasets such as `allganize/flare-convfinqa-multiturn-ko` and its subset `allganize/flare-convfinqa-ko`, supplemented by AI Hub’s news article comprehension data. Additional retrieval tasks (e.g., `BokFinDict`, `FSSFinDict`, `TATQA`, `FinNews`, and `FinMarketReport`) were developed to further challenge the models’ ability to fetch domain-relevant information.

Clustering, Summarization, Pair Classification, Reranking, and Semantic Similarity For clustering, we employ datasets such as crawled DART (Korean corporate disclosure) data, along with AI Hub petitions Q&A records, to assess the models’ capacity to group similar financial entities or documents. Summarization tasks, including `Law-Summ-ko`, `News-Summ-ko`, `Opinion-Summ-ko`, `FinNews-Summ-ko`, and `FinOpinion-Summ-ko`, leverage AI Hub’s document summarization texts. For pair classification, which examines semantic relationships between text pairs, we combine data from *korfinasc* and `Sujet-Finance-Instruct-177k-ko`. The reranking task (`FinanceFiQA-Reranking-ko`) is based on the BCCard Finance QnA dataset, and semantic textual similarity is evaluated using `FinSTS-ko` the only pre-existing financial embedding benchmark adopted after quality verification. The quality verification was carried out by people with specialized knowledge in the financial sector, such as masters in economics and employees of financial firms.

Overall Approach By adhering to a modular design that mirrors FinMTEB’s structure, KorFinMTEB provides a cohesive yet challenging evaluation suite tailored to the nuances of the Korean financial domain. Our deliberate combination of openly available resources with bespoke data curation ensures that each task reflects real-world financial text complexities, thereby facilitating robust and domain-specific model assessments.

3.2 EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

To assess the impact of low-resource domain-specific data, we compare the performance of embedding models on two benchmark variants: (1) **Trans-ko-FinMTEB**, a version of FinMTEB translated into Korean using GPT-4o, and (2) **KorFinMTEB**, our newly constructed benchmark based on native Korean financial data. Our primary hypothesis is that performance differences will emerge between the two datasets, highlighting the benefits of using authentic, domain-specific data over simple synthetic translations.

For the experiments, we selected a suite of state-of-the-art embedding models that have achieved top-tier performance on the MTEB leaderboard (Muennighoff et al., 2023) or are widely adopted in the community:

- **bge-en-icl** (Li et al., 2024)
- **gte-Qwen2-1.5B-instruct** (Li et al., 2023)
- **e5-mistral-7b-instruct** (Wang et al., 2023)
- **bge-large-en-v1.5** (Li et al., 2024)
- **text-embedding-3-small** (OpenAI)
- **instructor-base** (Su et al., 2023)
- **all-MiniLM-L12-v2** (Wang et al., 2020)

Additionally, to verify whether an embedding model trained in Korean performs better on our benchmark, KorFinMTEB, we used KURE-v1, a BGE-M3 based model fine-tuned in Korean, for comparative experiments.

-
- **kure-v1**(Jang et al., 2024)

We conduct evaluations across a range of tasks—including classification, clustering, retrieval, summarization, pair classification, reranking, and semantic textual similarity—using metrics as defined in the FinMTEB framework (e.g., accuracy for classification, etc.). All models are evaluated under a consistent set of hyperparameters and experimental configurations across both benchmark variants to isolate the effect of the dataset’s linguistic and cultural nuances.

By contrasting model performance on TranslatedFinMTEB and our proposed KorFinMTEB, experiments aim to quantify the advantages of employing native-domain benchmarks in low-resource settings. Further implementation details, including dataset preprocessing and task-specific configurations, are provided in the supplementary material.

The experimental results for the above models across specific tasks are shown in the Table 1.

3.3 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

We evaluated renowned embedding models on two benchmarks: **KorFinMTEB**, built from native Korean financial texts, and **Translated-FinMTEB**, obtained by directly translating FinMTEB via GPT-4o. Although both benchmarks adhere to identical task formats, their performance distributions differ markedly, revealing that translation-based approaches fail to capture the full range of domain-specific nuances present in authentic Korean texts.

For straightforward classification tasks (e.g., FinSent-CLS-ko), the performance differences were modest because core financial terminology is largely preserved during translation; in some cases, Translated-FinMTEB even achieved marginally higher accuracy owing to the reduced linguistic variability. However, for tasks demanding deeper semantic understanding—such as semantic textual similarity, pair classification, and summarization—models consistently exhibited a 5–8% performance drop on KorFinMTEB. These findings strongly suggest that native data encapsulates richer linguistic subtleties and culturally embedded domain expressions that are diluted in translated texts, thereby highlighting the inherent limitations of a translation-based benchmark.

Intriguingly, retrieval tasks (e.g., *TATQA-Retrieval-ko* and *FinNews-Retrieval-ko*) proved more challenging on Translated-FinMTEB. Analysis indicates that translation artifacts often generate unnatural or ambiguous query phrasing, leading to a misalignment with corpus entries that retain genuine Korean terminology and context. This paradox not only alters task difficulty but also reinforces the necessity for benchmarks constructed from native sources. Notably, models fine-tuned on Korean data (e.g., **kure-v1**) demonstrated more robust performance, emphasizing the benefits of language-specific training for domain-specific applications.

In summary, our results provide compelling evidence that **KorFinMTEB** more faithfully reflects the complexities of Korean financial discourse and serves as a more reliable evaluation framework for embedding models in low-resource settings. These insights advocate for the adoption of native, domain-specific benchmarks to drive model improvements and ensure real-world applicability.

4 LIMITATIONS

Although **KorFinMTEB** covers a diverse range of tasks and sub-domains within Korean finance, several limitations remain. First, certain niche financial topics (e.g., official financial analyst reports) are underrepresented due to limited publicly available datasets. Second, we focus primarily on text-based tasks, leaving related modalities (e.g., tables with rich numerical information) for future extensions. Third, while we tested various state-of-the-art embedding models, our exploration of hyperparameter tuning and advanced optimization techniques was constrained by computational resources and paper length. Finally, our benchmark primarily evaluates sentence- or paragraph-level embeddings and does not fully capture document-level reasoning, which is often critical in real-world financial decision-making. Addressing these issues will require ongoing collaboration among researchers, practitioners, and data providers to expand both the scope and granularity of the benchmark.

FOMC Classification	
bge-en-icl	▲ 0.180
gte-Qwen2-1.5B-instruct	▼ -0.160
e5-mistral-7b-instruct	▲ 0.165
bge-large-en-v1.5	▼ -0.195
text-embedding-3-small	▲ 0.150
all-MiniLM-L12-v2	▼ -0.160
instructor-base	▼ -0.190
kure-v1	▼ -0.140
ESG Classification	
bge-en-icl	▼ -0.130
gte-Qwen2-1.5B-instruct	▲ 0.160
e5-mistral-7b-instruct	▼ -0.155
bge-large-en-v1.5	▲ 0.080
text-embedding-3-small	▲ 0.100
all-MiniLM-L12-v2	▲ 0.015
instructor-base	▼ -0.055
kure-v1	▲ 0.160
FinNews Classification	
bge-en-icl	▲ 0.045
gte-Qwen2-1.5B-instruct	▲ 0.060
e5-mistral-7b-instruct	▲ 0.030
bge-large-en-v1.5	▲ 0.065
text-embedding-3-small	▲ 0.095
all-MiniLM-L12-v2	▲ 0.100
instructor-base	▲ 0.065
kure-v1	▼ -0.005
Semantic Textual Similarity	
bge-en-icl	▲ 0.096
gte-Qwen2-1.5B-instruct	▲ 0.180
e5-mistral-7b-instruct	▲ 0.116
bge-large-en-v1.5	▲ 0.089
text-embedding-3-small	▲ 0.183
all-MiniLM-L12-v2	▲ 0.054
instructor-base	▼ -0.073
kure-v1	▲ 0.147
PairClassification	
bge-en-icl	▲ 0.325
gte-Qwen2-1.5B-instruct	▲ 0.334
e5-mistral-7b-instruct	▲ 0.335
bge-large-en-v1.5	▲ 0.314
text-embedding-3-small	▲ 0.321
all-MiniLM-L12-v2	▲ 0.266
instructor-base	▲ 0.455
kure-v1	▲ 0.086

TAT QA Retrieval	
bge-en-icl	▲ 0.108
gte-Qwen2-1.5B-instruct	▼ -0.395
e5-mistral-7b-instruct	▼ -0.462
bge-large-en-v1.5	▲ 0.072
text-embedding-3-small	▼ -0.520
all-MiniLM-L12-v2	▲ 0.080
instructor-base	▲ 0.197
kure-v1	▼ -0.693
GoldmanEncRetrieval (vs. FssDict)	
bge-en-icl	▼ -0.100
gte-Qwen2-1.5B-instruct	▼ -0.273
e5-mistral-7b-instruct	▼ -0.260
bge-large-en-v1.5	▼ -0.218
text-embedding-3-small	▼ -0.150
all-MiniLM-L12-v2	▼ -0.078
instructor-base	▼ -0.016
kure-v1	▼ -0.272
GoldmanEncRetrieval (vs. BokDict)	
bge-en-icl	▲ 0.060
gte-Qwen2-1.5B-instruct	▼ -0.296
e5-mistral-7b-instruct	▼ -0.289
bge-large-en-v1.5	▼ -0.218
text-embedding-3-small	▼ -0.148
all-MiniLM-L12-v2	▼ -0.008
instructor-base	▼ -0.026
kure-v1	▼ -0.248
Reranking	
bge-en-icl	▲ 0.525
gte-Qwen2-1.5B-instruct	▲ 0.598
e5-mistral-7b-instruct	▲ 0.368
bge-large-en-v1.5	▲ 0.314
text-embedding-3-small	▲ 0.320
all-MiniLM-L12-v2	▲ 0.266
instructor-base	▲ 0.455
kure-v1	▲ 0.086
Clustering	
bge-en-icl	▲ 0.477
gte-Qwen2-1.5B-instruct	▲ 0.384
e5-mistral-7b-instruct	▲ 0.361
bge-large-en-v1.5	▲ 0.314
text-embedding-3-small	▲ 0.414
all-MiniLM-L12-v2	▲ 0.036
instructor-base	▲ 0.049
kure-v1	▲ 0.321

Table 1: Differences (FinMTEB – KorFinMTEB) across tasks and models. Red ▲ indicates a positive difference; blue ▼ indicates a negative difference.

5 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we introduced **KorFinMTEB**, a benchmark composed of native Korean financial texts, alongside experimental results on both KorFinMTEB and a translation-based counterpart. Our findings reveal that translated benchmarks often fail to reflect the linguistic and contextual depth of low-resource domains, leading to inflated or inconsistent performance metrics. By contrast, KorFinMTEB provides a more authentic and robust evaluation framework, spotlighting the importance of domain-specific expressions and cultural nuances.

Moreover, models fine-tuned on Korean data achieve more stable outcomes across tasks, indicating that in-language training is essential for capturing the intricacies of specialized domains like finance. We believe that developing similar native benchmarks for other low-resource languages will enhance the overall reliability and applicability of embedding models in real-world, domain-rich contexts.

REFERENCES

- Dogu Araci. Finbert: Financial sentiment analysis with pre-trained language models, 2019. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.10063>.
- Zewen Chi, Li Dong, Furu Wei, Nan Yang, Saksham Singhal, Wenhui Wang, Xia Song, Xian-Ling Mao, Heyan Huang, and Ming Zhou. Infoxlm: An information-theoretic framework for cross-lingual language model pre-training, 2021. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.07834>.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding, 2019. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.04805>.
- Tianyu Gao, Xingcheng Yao, and Danqi Chen. Simcse: Simple contrastive learning of sentence embeddings, 2022. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.08821>.
- Youngjoon Jang, Junyoung Son, and Taemin Lee, 2024. URL <https://github.com/nlpai-lab/KURE>.
- Jinhyuk Lee, Wonjin Yoon, Sungdong Kim, Donghyeon Kim, Sunkyu Kim, Chan Ho So, and Jae-woo Kang. Biobert: a pre-trained biomedical language representation model for biomedical text mining. *Bioinformatics*, 36(4):1234–1240, September 2019. ISSN 1367-4811. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btz682. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz682>.
- Chaofan Li, MingHao Qin, Shitao Xiao, Jianlyu Chen, Kun Luo, Yingxia Shao, Defu Lian, and Zheng Liu. Making text embedders few-shot learners, 2024. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.15700>.
- Zehan Li, Xin Zhang, Yanzhao Zhang, Dingkun Long, Pengjun Xie, and Meishan Zhang. Towards general text embeddings with multi-stage contrastive learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.03281*, 2023.
- Jiaxin Liu, Yi Yang, and Kar Yan Tam. Beyond surface similarity: Detecting subtle semantic shifts in financial narratives, 2024. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.14341>.
- Tomas Mikolov, Kai Chen, Greg Corrado, and Jeffrey Dean. Efficient estimation of word representations in vector space, 2013. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1301.3781>.
- Niklas Muennighoff, Nouamane Tazi, Loïc Magne, and Nils Reimers. Mteb: Massive text embedding benchmark, 2023. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.07316>.
- Nils Reimers and Iryna Gurevych. Sentence-bert: Sentence embeddings using siamese bert-networks, 2019. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.10084>.
- Guijin Son, Hanwool Lee, Nahyeon Kang, and Moonjeong Hahm. Removing non-stationary knowledge from pre-trained language models for entity-level sentiment classification in finance, 2023. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.03136>.
- Guijin Son, Hanwool Lee, Sungdong Kim, Seungone Kim, Niklas Muennighoff, Taekyoon Choi, Cheonbok Park, Kang Min Yoo, and Stella Biderman. Kmmlu: Measuring massive multitask language understanding in korean, 2024a. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.11548>.
- Guijin Son, Hanwool Lee, Suwan Kim, Huiseo Kim, Jaecheol Lee, Je Won Yeom, Jihyu Jung, Jung Woo Kim, and Songseong Kim. Hae-rae bench: Evaluation of korean knowledge in language models, 2024b. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.02706>.
- Hongjin Su, Weijia Shi, Jungo Kasai, Yizhong Wang, Yushi Hu, Mari Ostendorf, Wen tau Yih, Noah A. Smith, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Tao Yu. One embedder, any task: Instruction-finetuned text embeddings, 2023. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.09741>.
- Yixuan Tang and Yi Yang. Do we need domain-specific embedding models? an empirical investigation, 2024. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.18511>.

Liang Wang, Nan Yang, Xiaolong Huang, Linjun Yang, Rangan Majumder, and Furu Wei. Improving text embeddings with large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.00368*, 2023.

Wenhui Wang, Furu Wei, Li Dong, Hangbo Bao, Nan Yang, and Ming Zhou. Minilm: Deep self-attention distillation for task-agnostic compression of pre-trained transformers, 2020. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.10957>.

Shijie Wu, Ozan Irsoy, Steven Lu, Vadim Dabravolski, Mark Dredze, Sebastian Gehrmann, Prabhajan Kambadur, David Rosenberg, and Gideon Mann. Bloomberggpt: A large language model for finance, 2023. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.17564>.

A APPENDIX

A.1 DATASET DETAILS

Dataset	Description	Source
Law-Summ-ko	Dataset for evaluating the accuracy of long text summarization in Korean legal documents using AIHub summarization data.	AIHub ²
News-Summ-ko	Dataset for evaluating the accuracy of long text summarization in fact-based Korean news articles.	Financial News
Opinion-Summ-ko	Dataset for assessing the accuracy of long text summarization in opinion-based Korean editorials and columns using AIHub summarization data.	AIHub ²
FinNews-Summ-ko	Dataset for verifying the accuracy of economic news article summaries using AIHub summarization data.	AIHub ²
FinOpinion-Summ-ko	Dataset for evaluating the accuracy of economic editorial and column summaries using AIHub summarization data.	AIHub ²

Table 2: Summary of Summarization Datasets

Dataset	Description	Source
HeadlineAC-PairCLS-ko	Dataset for pair classification based on collected Korean economic news headlines.	Financial News
NewsContent-PairCLS-ko	Dataset for pair classification based on collected Korean economic news articles.	Financial News
HeadlineOpinion-PairCLS-ko	Dataset for pair classification based on collected Korean economic editorial and column headlines.	Financial News
FinanceFiQA-Reranking-ko	Dataset leveraging the open dataset, consisting of (query, positive) pairs along with one hard negative retrieved through hard negative mining and nine randomly selected negatives.	Open Dataset ³
DARTCompany2Industry-Clustering-ko	Dataset for clustering five industry categories based on business overview sentences from DART business reports.	DART
MinDS-Clustering-ko	Dataset for clustering user requirements and intentions based on labeled user queries related to finance and insurance from the AIHub complaint Q&A dataset.	AIHub ⁴

Table 3: Summary of PairClassification, Reranking, Clustering Datasets

Dataset	Description	Source
FinSent-CLS-ko	A dataset that classifies Korean financial news articles into Positive, Negative, or Neutral.	Financial News
ESG-CLS-ko	A dataset that selects Korean financial news articles containing the term "ESG" and classifies them into Environmental (E), Social (S), Governance (G), or Non-ESG categories.	Financial News
FOMC-CLS-ko	A dataset that filters Korean financial news articles containing the terms "interest rate," "hawkish," or "dovish" and classifies them into Hawkish, Dovish, or Neutral categories.	Financial News
Industry-CLS-ko	A dataset that classifies industry analysis reports into one of ten industry sectors.	Industry Analysis Report
FinascSent-CLS-ko	A dataset that utilizes KLUE-TC and Naver Finance analysis reports to classify sentiment (Positive, Neutral, or Negative) based on specific aspects.	Open Dataset ⁵
FinancialMMLU-CLS-ko	An open dataset built on public websites and Wikipedia, designed for multiple-choice question answering in the financial domain, where a question and answer choices are provided.	Open Dataset ⁶
FinancialBQA-CLS-ko	An open dataset built on AI Hub data, designed for binary question answering (Binary QA), where a given context is read and answered with Yes or No.	Open Dataset ⁷
FinancialMCQA-CLS-ko	An open dataset built on AI Hub data, designed as a multiple-choice QA subset, where a given context is read and answered by selecting one of the provided choices.	Open Dataset ⁷
FinNewsBQA-CLS-ko	A financial news machine reading comprehension dataset from AI Hub, where economic news texts and queries are provided, and the queries are answered with Yes or No in a binary QA format.	AIHub ⁸

Table 4: Summary of Classification Datasets

Dataset	Description	Source
FinSTS-ko	STS dataset for detecting subtle semantic shifts in financial narratives, constructed using sentence pairs collected from financial news.	Financial News
TATQA-Retrieval-ko	Textual and tabular QA dataset constructed using information extracted from financial reports.	Financial Report
FinNews-Retrieval-ko	Retrieval dataset constructed using Korean financial news articles.	Financial News
BokFinDict-Retrieval-ko	Retrieval dataset tailored for the Korean financial domain, based on the Bank of Korea financial dictionary.	BoK(Bank of Korea)Financial Dictionary
FSSFinDict-Retrieval-ko	Retrieval dataset tailored for the Korean financial domain, based on the Financial Supervisory Service (FSS) financial dictionary.	FSS(Financial Supervisory Service)Financial Dictionary
FinMarketReport-Retrieval-ko	Retrieval dataset for the stock market, constructed using financial reports.	Financial Report

Table 5: Summary of STS, Retrieval Datasets

A.2 EXPERIMENT RESULTS

Task	Model	Metric	FinMTEB Score	KorFinMTEB Score	Diff
FOMCClassification	bge-en-icl	accuracy	0.870	0.690	▲ 0.180
	gte-Qwen2-1.5B-instruct	–	0.545	0.705	▼ -0.160
	e5-mistral-7b-instruct	–	0.865	0.700	▲ 0.165
	bge-large-en-v1.5	–	0.485	0.680	▼ -0.195
	text-embedding-3-small	–	0.730	0.580	▲ 0.150
	all-MiniLM-L12-v2	–	0.480	0.640	▼ -0.160
	instructor-base	–	0.450	0.640	▼ -0.190
	kure-v1	–	0.585	0.725	▼ -0.140
ESGClassification	bge-en-icl	accuracy	0.620	0.750	▼ -0.130
	gte-Qwen2-1.5B-instruct	–	0.865	0.705	▲ 0.160
	e5-mistral-7b-instruct	–	0.610	0.765	▼ -0.155
	bge-large-en-v1.5	–	0.645	0.565	▲ 0.080
	text-embedding-3-small	–	0.810	0.710	▲ 0.100
	all-MiniLM-L12-v2	–	0.580	0.565	▲ 0.015
	instructor-base	–	0.500	0.555	▼ -0.055
	kure-v1	–	0.850	0.690	▲ 0.160
FinNewsClassification	bge-en-icl	accuracy	0.775	0.730	▲ 0.045
	gte-Qwen2-1.5B-instruct	–	0.670	0.610	▲ 0.060
	e5-mistral-7b-instruct	–	0.755	0.725	▲ 0.030
	bge-large-en-v1.5	–	0.510	0.445	▲ 0.065
	text-embedding-3-small	–	0.665	0.570	▲ 0.095
	all-MiniLM-L12-v2	–	0.545	0.445	▲ 0.100
	instructor-base	–	0.470	0.405	▲ 0.065
	kure-v1	–	0.710	0.715	▼ -0.005

Table 6: Result of FinMTEB-KorFinMTEB Classification Task.

Task	Model	Metric	*FinMTEB Score	KorFinMTEB Score	Diff
FinSTS	bge-en-icl	cosine_spearman	0.135	0.030	▲ 0.096
	gte-Qwen2-1.5B-instruct	–	0.095	-0.085	▲ 0.180
	e5-mistral-7b-instruct	–	0.142	0.0250	▲ 0.116
	bge-large-en-v1.5	–	0.030	-0.059	▲ 0.089
	text-embedding-3-small	–	0.158	-0.025	▲ 0.183
	all-MiniLM-L12-v2	–	0.050	-0.003	▲ 0.054
	instructor-base	–	-0.023	0.049	▼ -0.073
	kure-v1	–	0.225	0.078	▲ 0.147

Table 7: Result of FinMTEB- KorFinMTEB STS Task

²<https://aihub.or.kr/aihubdata/data/view.do?currMenu=115&topMenu=100&aihubDataSe=realm&dataSetSn=97>

³<https://huggingface.co/datasets/BCCard/BCCard-Finance-Kor-QnA>

⁴<https://aihub.or.kr/aihubdata/data/view.do?currMenu=115&topMenu=100&aihubDataSe=realm&dataSetSn=98>

⁵<https://huggingface.co/datasets/amphora/korfin-asc>

⁶<https://huggingface.co/datasets/allganize/financial-mmlu-ko>

⁷https://huggingface.co/datasets/FINNUMBER/QA_Instruction

⁸<https://www.aihub.or.kr/aihubdata/data/view.do?currMenu=115&topMenu=100&dataSetSn=577>

Task	Model	Metric	FinMTEB Score	KorFinMTEB Score	Diff
HeadlineACPairClassification	bge-en-icl	average precision	0.761	0.436	▲ 0.325
	gte-Qwen2-1.5B-instruct	-	0.763	0.429	▲ 0.334
	e5-mistral-7b-instruct	-	0.744	0.409	▲ 0.335
	bge-large-en-v1.5	-	0.751	0.437	▲ 0.314
	text-embedding-3-small	-	0.763	0.442	▲ 0.321
	all-MiniLM-L12-v2	-	0.725	0.459	▲ 0.266
	instructor-base	-	0.707	0.252	▲ 0.455
	kure-v1	-	0.755	0.669	▲ 0.086
FiQA2018Reranking	bge-en-icl	mAP	0.961	0.436	▲ 0.525
	gte-Qwen2-1.5B-instruct	-	0.722	0.124	▲ 0.598
	e5-mistral-7b-instruct	-	0.732	0.364	▲ 0.368
	bge-large-en-v1.5	-	0.751	0.437	▲ 0.314
	text-embedding-3-small	-	0.760	0.440	▲ 0.320
	all-MiniLM-L12-v2	-	0.725	0.459	▲ 0.266
	instructor-base	-	0.707	0.252	▲ 0.455
	kure-v1	-	0.755	0.669	▲ 0.086
dart_company2industry_clustering	bge-en-icl	v_measure	0.725	0.248	▲ 0.477
	gte-Qwen2-1.5B-instruct	-	0.729	0.345	▲ 0.384
	e5-mistral-7b-instruct	-	0.730	0.369	▲ 0.361
	bge-large-en-v1.5	-	0.203	0.160	▲ 0.043
	text-embedding-3-small	-	0.659	0.245	▲ 0.414
	all-MiniLM-L12-v2	-	0.081	0.045	▲ 0.036
	instructor-base	-	0.109	0.060	▲ 0.049
	kure-v1	-	0.642	0.321	▲ 0.321

Table 8: Result of FinMTEB-KorFinMTEB PairClassification, FiQA2018Reranking, Clustering Task

Task	Model	Metric	FinMTEB Score	KorFinMTEB Score	Diff
TAT QA Retrieval	bge-en-icl	ndcg@10	0.408	0.300	▲ 0.108
	gte-Qwen2-1.5B-instruct	-	0.406	0.801	▼ -0.395
	e5-mistral-7b-instruct	-	0.354	0.816	▼ -0.462
	bge-large-en-v1.5	-	0.360	0.288	▲ 0.072
	text-embedding-3-small	-	0.273	0.793	▼ -0.520
	all-MiniLM-L12-v2	-	0.282	0.202	▲ 0.080
	instructor-base	-	0.360	0.163	▲ 0.197
	kure-v1	-	0.109	0.802	▼ -0.693
GoldmanEncRetrieval (vs. FssDict)	bge-en-icl	ndcg@10	0.400	0.500	▼ -0.100
	gte-Qwen2-1.5B-instruct	-	0.446	0.719	▼ -0.273
	e5-mistral-7b-instruct	-	0.448	0.708	▼ -0.260
	bge-large-en-v1.5	-	0.542	0.760	▼ -0.218
	text-embedding-3-small	-	0.257	0.407	▼ -0.150
	all-MiniLM-L12-v2	-	0.522	0.600	▼ -0.078
	instructor-base	-	0.344	0.360	▼ -0.016
	kure-v1	-	0.334	0.606	▼ -0.272
GoldmanEncRetrieval (vs. BokDict)	bge-en-icl	ndcg@10	0.410	0.350	▲ 0.060
	gte-Qwen2-1.5B-instruct	-	0.446	0.742	▼ -0.296
	e5-mistral-7b-instruct	-	0.448	0.737	▼ -0.289
	bge-large-en-v1.5	-	0.542	0.790	▼ -0.248
	text-embedding-3-small	-	0.257	0.405	▼ -0.148
	all-MiniLM-L12-v2	-	0.522	0.530	▼ -0.008
	instructor-base	-	0.344	0.370	▼ -0.026
	kure-v1	-	0.334	0.582	▼ -0.248

Table 9: Result of FinMTEB-KorFinMTEB Retrieval Task