CONTROL ON HILBERT SPACES AND MEAN FIELD CONTROL: THE COMMON NOISE CASE

ALAIN BENSOUSSAN

INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR DECISION AND RISK ANALYSIS NAVEEN JINDAL SCHOOL OF MANAGEMENT, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT DALLAS axb046100@utdallas.edu

P. JAMESON GRABER

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BAYLOR UNIVERSITY

Jameson_Graber@baylor.edu

SHEUNG CHI PHILLIP YAM

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS, THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG scpyam@sta.cuhk.edu.hk

ABSTRACT. The objective of this paper is to provide an equivalent of the theory developed in P. Cardaliaguet, F. Delarue, J.M. Lasry, P.L. Lions [10], following the approach of control on Hilbert spaces introduced by the authors in [4]. We include the common noise in this paper, so the alternative is now complete. Since we consider a control problem, our theory applies only to Mean field control and not to mean field games. The assumptions are adapted to guarantee a unique optimal control, so they insure that the cost functional is strictly convex and coercive.

1. INTRODUCTION

A mean field control problem is a control problem for a dynamic system whose state is a probability measure on \mathbb{R}^n . The evolution is described by a McKean-Vlasov equation. If one does not assume that the probability measures have densities, the natural functional space for the state of the system is the Wasserstein space of probability measures on \mathbb{R}^n . Since it is a metric space and not a vector space, the classical methods of control theory are difficult to apply. One way to circumvent this difficulty, is to use the lifting idea of P.L. Lions, introduced in his lectures at College de France, see R. Carmona, F. Delarue [12] and P. Cardialaguet et al. [10] for full details. To a probability measure, one associates a random variable whose probability is the

Alain Bensoussan gratefully acknowledges the support of the National Science Foundation through grant DMS-2204795. Jameson Graber gratefully acknowledge the support of the National Science Foundation through grant DMS-2045027. Phillip Yam acknowledges the financial support from HKGRF-14301321 with the project title "General Theory for Infinite Dimensional Stochastic Control: Mean Field and Some Classical Problems", and HKGRF-14300123 with the project title "Well-posedness of Some Poisson-driven Mean Field Learning Models and their Applications". He also thanks the University of Texas at Dallas for the kind invitation to be a Visiting Professor in the Naveen Jindal School of Management during his sabbatical leave. The work described in this article was supported by a grant from the Germany/Hong Kong Joint Research Scheme sponsored by the Research Grants Council of Hong Kong and the German Academic Exchange Service of Germany (Reference No. G-CUHK411/23).

initial probability measure. Assuming that the random variable is square integrable, we have a convenient Hilbert space associated to the Wasserstein space.

One way to implement this approach in mean field control is to derive first an optimality principle of Dynamic Progamming in the Wasserstein space, to translate it into the Hilbert space of square-integrable random variables and use a viscosity theory argument, to finally obtain a Bellman equation in the Hilbert space. Viscosity theory applies indeed to Bellman equations in Hilbert spaces. This is the approach used in Pham and Wei [26]. The theory of viscosity solutions for Bellman equations on the Wasserstein space has been a subject of active research in recent years. Without giving an exhaustive list of works, we refer the reader to [8, 14, 13, 17, 16] and works cited therein. The present article deals mainly with smooth, classical solutions rather than viscosity solutions.

Our approach in [3], for two of the authors, then in [6] is different. We reformulate the control problem in the Wasserstein space into a control problem in the Hilbert space and solve it up to the Bellman equation, without using the Wasserstein space. When sufficient smoothness is available, viscosity theory is not needed. In [3], we assume there is no local noise, nor common noise, which means that randomness of the dynamics originates only from the initial condition. In this case, the control problem reduces to a deterministic control problem in the Hilbert space. In fact, we can take a general Hilbert space. The approach works remarkably well. We have obtained the Bellman equation and the Master equation and solved them completely. The fact that the Hilbert space is a space of square integrable random variables plays only a role at the level of interpretation, when we want to check that we have solved the original problem.

In [6], we have a local noise. So there are two types of noises, the initial condition, and the Wiener process which models the local noise. This leads to a difficulty. Even though the initial randomness is independent of the Wiener process, it is not true at any positive time. Indeed, the state of the system depends on both the initial condition, and of the local noise. We have still used the Hilbert space of random variables (and not a general Hilbert space), keeping track of the two noises. The approach works, but we find difficulties at the level of interpretation. Indeed, there is not a full equivalence of concepts of derivatives (at second order) in the Hilbert space of square-integrable random variables and in the space of probability measures. In [4], we have introduced a different approach, which overcomes the difficulty. We extend the initial condition by taking a random variable depending on a parameter. To this parameter we associate the initial probability measure, but we do not consider the parameter as a random variable. The payoff to optimize extends the original payoff, by incorporating the parameter dependent random variable and reduces to it when the random variable is simply the identity, which associates to the parameter, the parameter itself. The big advantage of the extended control problem is that it is a control problem in a Hilbert space. The Hilbert space is not the Hilbert space of square integrable random variables, but control theory in Hilbert spaces can be fully applied. Since it is an extension of the original control problem, we recover it as a particular case. The master equation is the gradient of the classical master equation, but we can recover the classical one, without much difficulties. The control problem we solve is new. It is not just a reformulation of the initial problem.

In this paper, we extend our previous work, [4] to cover the situation of the book [10], so we can see that our approach, by itself does not carry any specific limitation. We have also included the common noise, so the alternative is now complete. Whereas in [10] the master equation is studied via a system of partial differential equations, our approach uses entirely the control theory for stochastic McKean-Vlasov type equations. The monotonicity condition we require–see Equation (4.19)–is no longer of Lasry-Lions type as in [10], but it is more closely related to displacement monotonicity, cf. [20, 19]. In contrast to [20, 19], in this work we build solutions to the master equation by developing a complete theory of classical solutions to a Hamilton-Jacobi equation on the Hilbert space introduced below. The results are comparable, but the technique is quite distinct. Although the results presented here apply only to mean field type control problems (or to potential mean field games), one can use similar techniques to obtain solutions to master equations for mean field games that are not potential [7].

2. FORMALISM

2.1. WASSERSTEIN SPACE. We consider the space of probability measures on \mathbb{R}^n , with second moment, namely $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^2 dm(x) < +\infty$, if *m* is a probability measure on \mathbb{R}^n . We call $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ this space. A metric can be defined on $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. A convenient way to define the metric is to associate to *m* a random variable X_m in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^n)$, where $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is an atomless probability space, whose probability law $\mathcal{L}X_m = m$. Then the metric is defined by

(2.1)
$$W_2^2(m,m') = \inf \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left(|X_m - X_{m'}|^2 \right) : \mathcal{L}X_m = m, \ \mathcal{L}X_{m'} = m' \right\}.$$

The infimum is attained, so we can find $\hat{X}_m, \hat{X}_{m'}$ such that

(2.2)
$$W_2^2(m,m') = \mathbb{E}\left(|\hat{X}_m - \hat{X}_{m'}|^2\right).$$

A family m_k converges to m in $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if it converges in the sense of the weak convergence and (2.3) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^2 dm_k(x) \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^2 dm(x).$

We refer to Carmona-Delarue [12] for details.

2.2. FUNCTIONALS. Consider a functional F(m) on $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Continuity is clearly defined by the metric. For the concept of derivative in $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we use the concept of functional derivative. The functional derivative of F(m) at m is a function on $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n$, $m, x \mapsto \frac{dF}{d\nu}(m)(x)$ such that $m \times x \mapsto \frac{dF}{d\nu}(m)(x)$ continuous, satisfying

(2.4)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{dF}{d\nu}(m)(x) \right|^2 dm(x) \le c(m)$$

and

(2.5)
$$\frac{F(m+\epsilon(m'-m))-F(m)}{\epsilon} \to \int \frac{dF}{d\nu}(m)(x)(dm'(x)-dm(x)), \text{ as } \epsilon \to 0,$$

for any $m' \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Note that the definition (2.5) implies that

(2.6)
$$\frac{d}{d\theta}F(m+\theta(m'-m)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{dF}{d\nu}(m+\theta(m'-m))(x)(dm'(x)-dm(x)),$$

and

(2.7)
$$F(m') - F(m) = \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{dF}{d\nu} (m + \theta(m' - m))(x) (dm'(x) - dm(x)) d\theta.$$

Of course $\frac{dF}{d\nu}(m)(x)$ is just a notation. We have not written $\frac{dF}{dm}(m)(x)$ to make the difference between the notation ν and the argument m. Also we prefer the notation $\frac{dF}{d\nu}(m)(x)$ to $\frac{\delta F}{\delta m}(m)(x)$ used in R. Carmona and F. Delarue [12], because there is no risk of confusion and it works pretty much like an ordinary Gâteaux derivative. We turn to the second derivative. If $\frac{d}{d\theta}F(m + \theta(m' - m))$ is continuously differentiable in θ , with the formula

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} F(m+\theta(m'-m)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{d^2 F}{d\nu^2} (m+\theta(m'-m))(x,x^1) (dm'(x) - dm(x)) (dm'(x^1) - dm(x^1)),$$
where $m = x^{-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{k} \frac{d^2 F}{d\mu^k} (m)(x,x^1)$ is continuous and satisfies

where $m, x, x^1 \mapsto \frac{d F}{d\nu^2}(m)(x, x^1)$ is continuous and satisfies

(2.9)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{d^2 F}{d\nu^2}(m)(x, x^1) \right|^2 dm(x) dm(x^1) \le c(m).$$

then the function $\frac{d^2 F}{d\nu^2}(m)(x,x^1)$ is called the second-order functional derivative. Moreover, we have the formula

$$F(m') - F(m) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{dF}{d\nu}(m)(x)(dm'(x) - dm(x)) + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \theta \frac{d^2F}{d\nu^2}(m + \lambda\theta(m' - m))(x, x^1)(dm'(x) - dm(x))(dm'(x^1) - dm(x^1))d\lambda d\theta.$$

Formulas (2.5), (2.6) do not change if we had a constant to $\frac{dF}{d\nu}(m)(x)$. It is customary to assume the normalisation $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{dF}{d\nu}(m)(x)dm(x) = 0$. Similarly, in formulas (2.8), (2.9), we can replace $\frac{d^2F}{d\nu^2}(m)(x,x^1)$ with $\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d^2F}{d\nu^2}(m)(x,x^1) + \frac{d^2F}{d\nu^2}(m)(x^1,x)\right)$ with no change of value. So we may assume that the function $(x,x^1) \mapsto \frac{d^2F}{d\nu^2}(m)(x,x^1)$ is symmetric. Also we can add to a symmetric $\frac{d^2F}{d\nu^2}(m)(x,x^1)$ a function of the form $\varphi(x) + \psi(x^1)$. We can neglect such a contribution. We also have the property

(2.11)
$$\frac{\frac{d}{d\nu}F(m+\epsilon(m'-m))(x)-\frac{dF}{d\nu}(m)(x)}{\epsilon} \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{d^2F}{d\nu^2}(m)(x,x^1)(dm'(x^1)-dm(x^1)),$$

for any x. If the limit function $\frac{d^2 F}{d\nu^2}(m)(x,x^1)$ is not symmetric, we keep it but the real one is the symmetric expression $\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d^2 F}{d\nu^2}(m)(x,x^1) + \frac{d^2 F}{d\nu^2}(m)(x^1,x)\right)$. This is for convenience and will be used below. In the

sequel, we shall make use of the formulas

(2.12)
$$\begin{cases} \frac{dF}{d\nu}(m)(x) = \frac{dF}{d\nu}(m)(0) + \int_0^1 D\frac{dF}{d\nu}(m)(\theta x) \cdot x \, d\theta, \\ \frac{d^2F}{d\nu^2}(m)(x, x^1) = \frac{d^2F}{d\nu^2}(m)(x, 0) + \frac{d^2F}{d\nu^2}(m)(0, x^1) - \frac{d^2F}{d\nu^2}(m)(0, 0) \\ + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 DD_1 \frac{d^2F}{d\nu^2}(m)(\lambda x, \mu x^1) x^1 \cdot x \, d\lambda d\mu, \end{cases}$$

which allows to recover the first- and second-order functional derivatives, knowing the first and second gradient. If the matrix $DD_1 \frac{d^2 F}{d\nu^2}(m)(x,x^1)$ is symmetric in x, x^1 , then this formula gives a symmetric function in x, x^1 .

2.3. HILBERT SPACE. We consider an atomless probability space, $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. Later on, we shall need this space to be sufficiently large. Our working Hilbert space will be $\mathcal{H}_m = L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P}; L^2_m(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))$. An element of \mathcal{H}_m will be denoted by Z_x , in which for each x we have an element of $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^n)$. However, we shall also omit x, as we omit ω for random variables. We denote the norm

(2.13)
$$||Z||^{2} = ||Z||^{2}_{\mathcal{H}_{m}} := \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |Z_{x}|^{2} dm(x)\right),$$

and the corresponding inner product $\langle Z, Y \rangle$.

We then consider the pushforward probability on \mathbb{R}^n , $Z \# \mathbb{P} \otimes m \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since there is no interest in keeping \mathbb{P} in the notation, and since we shall use Z and m as arguments, we prefer the notation $Z \otimes m$. So we write

(2.14)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(\xi) dZ \otimes m(\xi) = \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(Z_x) dm(x)\right).$$

If $Z_x = z_x$ a deterministic function, then $z \in L^2_m(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$. Since m belongs to $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the identity $J_x = x$ belongs to \mathcal{H}_m and $J \otimes m = m$. Let $m \mapsto F(m)$ be a functional on $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the map $Z \mapsto F(Z \otimes m)$ becomes a functional on \mathcal{H}_m . It is continuous as soon as F is continuous on $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is Gâteaux differentiable if $F((Z + \epsilon Y) \otimes m) - F(Z \otimes m) \to (D - F(Z \otimes m) \times V)$ as $\epsilon \to 0 \forall V \in \mathcal{H}$

(2.15)
$$\frac{F((Z_{\cdot} + \epsilon Y_{\cdot}) \otimes m) - F(Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)}{\epsilon} \to \langle D_X F(Z_{\cdot} \otimes m), Y \rangle \text{ as } \epsilon \to 0, \forall Y \in \mathcal{H}_m,$$

and $D_X F(Z, \otimes m)$ is $\sigma(Z)$ -measurable. If F(m) has a functional derivative $\frac{d}{d\nu}F(m)(x)$ which is continuous in both arguments and satisfies a growth condition:

(2.16)
$$\left| D\frac{d}{d\nu}F(m)(x) \right| \le c(m)(1+|x|),$$

with c(m) is bounded on bounded sets then one can check the formula:

(2.17)
$$D_X F(Z_{\cdot} \otimes m) = D \frac{d}{d\nu} F(Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)(Z_x)$$

Note that

(2.18) $\langle D_X F(Z, \otimes m), Y_{\cdot} \rangle = 0$, if Y_x is independent of Z. and $\mathbb{E}(Y_x) = 0$.

In particular taking $Z_{\cdot} = J_{\cdot}$, $D_X F(m)$ is simply a deterministic function of x, $(D_X F(m))_x$ with values in \mathbb{R}^n . If we can show that this function is the gradient of a continuous function of m, then we necessarily have:

(2.19)
$$(D_X F(m))_x = D \frac{d}{d\nu} F(m)(x)$$

and we can recover $\frac{d}{d\nu}F(m)(x)$ by the first relation (2.12).

The second-order Gâteaux differential of $F(Z \otimes m)$ in \mathcal{H}_m is a linear operator from \mathcal{H}_m to \mathcal{H}_m denoted by

$$D_X^2 F(Z_{\cdot} \otimes m), \text{ such that } D_X^2 F(Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)(Y_{\cdot}) \text{ is } \sigma(Z_{\cdot}, Y_{\cdot}) \text{-measurable for all } Y_{\cdot} \in \mathcal{H}_m \text{ and}$$

$$(2.20) \qquad \frac{\langle D_X F((Z_{\cdot} + \epsilon Y_{\cdot}) \otimes m) - D_X F(Z_{\cdot} \otimes m), Y_{\cdot} \rangle}{\epsilon} \to \langle D_X^2 F(Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)(Y_{\cdot}), Y_{\cdot} \rangle, \text{ as } \epsilon \to 0.$$

The linear operator $D_X^2 F(Z \otimes m)$ is necessarily self-adjoint. As a consequence of (2.18), we have:

(2.21)
$$\left\langle D_X^2 F(Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)(Y_{\cdot}), Y_{\cdot} \right\rangle = \left\langle D^2 \frac{dF}{d\nu} (Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)(Z_{\cdot}) Y_{\cdot}, Y_{\cdot} \right\rangle,$$

if $Y_{\cdot}(\omega)$ is independent of $Z_{\cdot}(\omega)$ and $\mathbb{E}(Y_{\cdot}) = 0$. Indeed,

$$\frac{\langle D_X F((Z.+\epsilon Y.) \otimes m) - D_X F(Z. \otimes m), Y. \rangle}{\epsilon} = \frac{\left\langle D\frac{dF}{d\nu}((Z.+\epsilon Y.) \otimes m)(Z.+\epsilon Y.) - D\frac{dF}{d\nu}((Z.+\epsilon Y.) \otimes m)(Z.), Y. \right\rangle}{\epsilon} + \left\langle \frac{F((Z.+\epsilon Y.) \otimes m) - F(Z. \otimes m)}{\epsilon}(Z.), Y. \right\rangle.$$

The second term tends to 0, according to (2.18). The first term is equal to:

$$\left\langle \int_0^1 D^2 \frac{dF}{d\nu} ((Z_{\cdot} + \epsilon Y_{\cdot}) \otimes m) (Z_{\cdot} + \theta \epsilon Y_{\cdot}) Y_{\cdot}, Y_{\cdot} d\theta \right\rangle \to \left\langle D^2 \frac{dF}{d\nu} (Z_{\cdot} \otimes m) (Z_{\cdot}) Y_{\cdot}, Y_{\cdot} \right\rangle$$

In general, we have the formula:

$$(2.22) \quad D_X^2 F(Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)(Y_{\cdot}) = D^2 \frac{dF}{d\nu} (Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)(Z_x) Y_x + \mathbb{E}^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} DD_1 \frac{d^2 F}{d\nu^2} (Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)(Z_x, Z_{x^1}^1) Y_{x^1}^1 dm(x^1) \right),$$

where $Z_{x^1}^1, Y_{x^1}^1$ are independent copies of Z_x, Y_x , and the expectation \mathbb{E}^1 affects only this independent copy. We can recover the second-order functional derivative $\frac{d^2 F}{d\nu^2}(m)(x, x^1)$ from the second-order Gâteaux differential $D_X^2 F(Z, \otimes m)(Y)$ by taking Z = J and Y as a deterministic function y_x in $L_m^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then (2.22) becomes

(2.23)
$$(D_X^2 F(m)(y_{\cdot}))_x = D^2 \frac{dF}{d\nu}(m)(x)y_x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} DD_1 \frac{d^2F}{d\nu^2}(m)(x,x^1)y_{x^1}dm(x^1),$$

which defines $DD_1 \frac{d^2 F}{d\nu^2}(m)(x,x^1)$ as the kernel of a linear operator in $L^2_m(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R}^n)$. The regularity of the kernel depends on the regularity of the function $(D^2_X F(m)(y_{\cdot}))_x$. Once we have the function $DD_1 \frac{d^2 F}{d\nu^2}(m)(x,x^1)$, formula (2.12) allows us to obtain the second-order functional derivative.

The second-order Gâteaux differential allows to write the Taylor expansion:

$$(2.24) \quad F((Z_{\cdot}+Y_{\cdot})\otimes m) = F(Z_{\cdot}\otimes m) + \langle D_XF(Z_{\cdot}\otimes m), Y_{\cdot}\rangle + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \lambda \langle D_X^2F((Z_{\cdot}+\lambda\mu Y_{\cdot})\otimes m)(Y_{\cdot}), Y_{\cdot}\rangle d\lambda d\mu.$$

2.4. CONDITIONAL PROBABILITY MEASURE. As an element of $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $Z \otimes m$ is deterministic. We are now going to introduce random probability measures by considering a sub σ -algebra \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} and introducing $\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{B}}$, the conditional probability on Ω given \mathcal{B} . We define the random probability measure $(Z \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}}$ by the formula

(2.25)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(\xi) d(Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}}(\xi) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{B}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(Z_x) dm(x) \right).$$

We can see that $(Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}}$ is the pushforward of $\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{B}} \otimes m$ by the map $(\omega, x) \mapsto Z_{x}(\omega)$. $(Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}}$ is a random variable with values in $\mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ which is \mathcal{B} -measurable. We introduce the possibility of composition. Consider two random fields $Y_{x}(\omega)$ and $Z_{z}(\omega)$ and two sub σ -algebras \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{B} . We have first the conditional probability measure $(Y \otimes m)^{\mathcal{C}}$. We can next consider $(Z \otimes (Y \otimes m)^{\mathcal{C}})^{\mathcal{B}}$. We then have $(2.26) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(\xi) d\left(Z_{\cdot} \otimes (Y_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{C}}\right)^{\mathcal{B}}(\xi) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(Z_z) d(Y_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{C}}(z)\right) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}^{\mathcal{C}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(Z_{\tilde{Y}_{\tilde{x}}}) dm(\tilde{x})\right)\right),$ where $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}^{\mathcal{C}}$ operates only on $\tilde{Y}_{\tilde{x}}$.

Remark 2.1. We have

(2.27)
$$\mathbb{E}\left((Z_{\cdot}\otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}}\right) = Z_{\cdot}\otimes m$$

2.5. FUNCTIONALS OF CONDITIONAL PROBABILITY MEASURES. Let $m \mapsto F(m)$ be a

functional on $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We assume the following properties:

(2.28)
$$m \mapsto F(m), \text{ continuous, } |F(m)| \le C \left(1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^2 dm(x)\right).$$

The functional F(m) has a functional derivative $\frac{dF}{d\nu}(m)(x)$ satisfying:

(2.29)
$$\begin{cases} (x,m) \mapsto \frac{dF}{d\nu}(m)(x), D\frac{dF}{d\nu}(m)(x) \text{ continuous,} \\ \left| \frac{dF}{d\nu}(m)(x) \right| \le C(1+|x|^2), \quad \left\| D\frac{dF}{d\nu}(m)(x) \right\| \le C(1+|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \left\| D\frac{dF}{d\nu}(m)(x_1) - D\frac{dF}{d\nu}(m)(x_2) \right\| \le C|x_1 - x_2|. \end{cases}$$

Let \mathcal{B} be a sub σ -algebra of \mathcal{A} . We define a functional on \mathcal{H}_m as follows:

(2.30)
$$Z_{\cdot} \mapsto \mathbb{E}\left(F\left((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}}\right)\right).$$

It generalizes the functional $Z \mapsto F(Z \otimes m)$ introduced in our previous work [4]. We can state the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. With the assumptions (2.28), (2.29) the functional $Z_{\cdot} \mapsto \mathbb{E}\left(F((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})\right)$ is continuously Gâteaux differentiable on \mathcal{H}_m , with the formula

$$D_X \mathbb{E}\left(F((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})\right) = D\frac{dF}{d\nu}((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})(Z_{\cdot}) \in \mathcal{H}_m$$
$$\left\|D_X \mathbb{E}\left(F((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})\right)\right\| \le C(1+||Z||).$$

Proof. We have

$$(2.31) \qquad \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left[F\left(\left((Z_{\cdot} + \epsilon Y_{\cdot}) \otimes m \right)^{\mathcal{B}} \right) - F\left((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}} \right) \right] \\ = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{0}^{1} \left[\frac{dF}{d\nu} \left((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}} + \theta \left(((Z_{\cdot} + \epsilon Y_{\cdot}) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}} - (Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}} \right) \right) (x) \\ \left(d \left((Z_{\cdot} + \epsilon Y_{\cdot}) \otimes m \right)^{\mathcal{B}} (x) - d(Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}} (x) \right) \right] d\theta \\ = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{0}^{1} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{B}} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{dF}{d\nu} \left((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}} + \theta \left(((Z_{\cdot} + \epsilon Y_{\cdot}) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}} - (Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}} \right) \right) (Z_{x} + \epsilon Y_{x}) dm(x) \\ - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{dF}{d\nu} \left((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}} + \theta \left(((Z_{\cdot} + \epsilon Y_{\cdot}) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}} - (Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}} \right) \right) (Z_{x}) dm(x) \right] d\theta \\ \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{B}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} D \frac{dF}{d\nu} ((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}}) (Z_{x}) \cdot Y_{x} dm(x) \right), \text{ a.s..}$$

We then conclude that

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} \left[\mathbb{E} \left(F \left(((Z_{\cdot} + \epsilon Y_{\cdot}) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}} \right) \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}} \right) \right) \right] \to \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D \frac{dF}{d\nu} \left((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}} \right) (Z_x) \cdot Y_x dm(x) \right),$$

which proves formula (2.31). We have also

$$\left\| D_X \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}} \right) \right) \right\| = \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| D \frac{dF}{d\nu} ((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}}) (Z_x) \right|^2 dm(x) \right)} \le C \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |Z_x|^2) dm(x) \right)} = C(1 + ||Z||).$$

The function $Z_{\cdot} \mapsto D_X \mathbb{E} \left(F((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}}) \right)$ is continuous. This completes the proof. \Box

Remark 2.3. $D_X \mathbb{E}\left(F((Z, \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})\right)$ is a notation for the Gâteaux derivative of $\mathbb{E}\left(F((Z, \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})\right)$. There is no interchange of the symbols D_X and expectation \mathbb{E} . Formula (2.31) shows that $D_X \mathbb{E}\left(F((Z, \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})\right)$ is a random variable $\mathcal{B} \cup \sigma(X)$ measurable.

If Y is independent of Z and \mathcal{B} and $\mathbb{E}(Y_x) = 0$, we have

(2.33)
$$\left\langle D_X \mathbb{E}\left(F((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})\right), Y_{\cdot}\right\rangle = 0.$$

As mentioned earlier, the fact that $Z_{\cdot} \in \mathcal{H}_m$ is fine, when m does not change and is just a parameter (a reference probability). However it complicates the study of the map $m \mapsto F(Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)$ since Z_{\cdot} is coupled with m. To decouple, we may assume the following stronger assumption on Z_{\cdot} , namely $\frac{Z_x}{(1+|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^n))$, which means

(2.34)
$$\mathbb{E}(|Z_x|^2) \le c(1+|x|^2)$$

where c is a constant. Then $Z \in \mathcal{H}_m$ for any m, and Z and m can be considered as two separate arguments in $\mathbb{E}\left(F((Z \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})\right)$. So we can consider the functional $m \mapsto \mathbb{E}\left(F((Z \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})\right)$ and look at its functional derivative. We denote it $\frac{\partial}{\partial m}\mathbb{E}\left(F((Z \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})\right)$. It is indeed a partial derivative. In fact, we see easily that (2.35) $\frac{\partial}{\partial m}\mathbb{E}\left(F\left((Z \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}}\right)\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{dF}{du}\left((Z \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}}\right)(Z_x)\right).$

2.6. SECOND-ORDER GÂTEAUX DERIVATIVE IN THE HILBERT SPACE. We next make further assumptions:

(2.36)
$$(m,x) \mapsto D^2 \frac{dF}{d\nu}(m)(x) \text{ continuous, } \left| D^2 \frac{dF}{d\nu}(m)(x) \right| \le C,$$

(2.37)
$$(m, x, x^1) \mapsto DD_1 \frac{d^2}{d\nu^2} F(m)(x, x^1) \text{ continuous, } \left| DD_1 \frac{d^2}{d\nu^2} F(m)(x, x^1) \right| \le C,$$

where D is the gradient with respect to the first argument x and D_1 is the gradient with respect to the second argument x^1 .

We say that the functional $Z. \mapsto \mathbb{E}\left(F((Z.\otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})\right)$ has a second-order Gâteaux derivative in \mathcal{H}_m , denoted $D_X^2 \mathbb{E}\left(F((Z.\otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})\right) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_m; \mathcal{H}_m)$, if (2.38) $\frac{\left\langle D_X \mathbb{E}\left(F(((Z.+\epsilon Y.)\otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})\right) - D_X \mathbb{E}\left(F((Z.\otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})\right), Y.\right\rangle}{\epsilon} \rightarrow \left\langle D_X^2 \mathbb{E}\left(F\left((Z.\otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}}\right)\right)(Y.), Y.\right\rangle$,

as $\epsilon \to 0$, for all $Y \in \mathcal{H}_m$. We then state the following result.

Proposition 2.4. We make the assumptions of Proposition 2.2 and (2.36), (2.37). Then the functional $Z_{\cdot} \mapsto \mathbb{E}\left(F((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})\right)$ has a second-order Gâteaux derivative in \mathcal{H}_m , given by the formula:

$$(2.39) D_X^2 \mathbb{E}\left(F\left((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}}\right)\right)(Y_{\cdot}) = D^2 \frac{dF}{d\nu}\left((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}}\right)(Z_{\cdot})Y_{\cdot} + \mathbb{E}^{1\mathcal{B}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} DD_1 \frac{d^2}{d\nu^2} F\left((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}}\right)(Z_{\cdot}, Z_{x^1}^1)Y_{x^1}^1 dm(x^1)\right),$$

where for x, x^1 given Z_x, Y_x and $Z_{x^1}^1, Y_{x^1}^1$ are conditionally to \mathcal{B} independent copies.

Proof. We consider

$$(2.40) \qquad \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[D \frac{dF}{d\nu} \left(((Z_{\cdot} + \epsilon Y_{\cdot}) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}} \right) (Z_x + \epsilon Y_x) - D \frac{dF}{d\nu} ((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}}) (Z_x) \right] \cdot Y_x dm(x) \right) \\ = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[D \frac{dF}{d\nu} \left(((Z_{\cdot} + \epsilon Y_{\cdot}) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}} \right) (Z_x + \epsilon Y_x) - D \frac{dF}{d\nu} \left(((Z_{\cdot} + \epsilon Y_{\cdot}) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}} \right) (Z_x) \right] \cdot Y_x dm(x) \right) \\ + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{B}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[D \frac{dF}{d\nu} \left(((Z_{\cdot} + \epsilon Y_{\cdot}) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}} \right) (Z_x) - D \frac{dF}{d\nu} ((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}}) (Z_x) \right] \cdot Y_x dm(x) \right) \right).$$

Thanks to the assumptions, we can obtain the following limit as $\epsilon \to 0$:

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D^2 \frac{dF}{d\nu}((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})(Z_x)Y_x \cdot Y_x dm(x)\right) \\ + \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{E}^{1\mathcal{B}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} DD_1 \frac{d^2}{d\nu^2}F((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})(Z_x, Z_{x^1}^1)Y_{x^1}^1 \cdot Y_x dm(x^1)\right) dm(x)\right)\right),$$

and from the definition (2.39), this expression is equal to $\langle D_X^2 \mathbb{E} \left(F((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}}) \right) (Y_{\cdot}), Y_{\cdot} \rangle$. Using the symmetry

(2.41)
$$DD_1 \frac{d^2}{d\nu^2} F(m)(x, x^1) = D_1 D \frac{d^2}{d\nu^2} F(m)(x, x^1) = D_1 D \frac{d^2}{d\nu^2} F(m)(x^1, x),$$

the operator $D_X^2 \mathbb{E}\left(F((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})\right)(Y_{\cdot})$ is self-adjoint. The matrix $D^2 \frac{dF}{d\nu}((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})(Z_{\cdot})$ and the second term $\mathbb{E}^{1\mathcal{B}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} DD_1 \frac{d^2}{d\nu^2} F((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})(Z_{\cdot}, Z^1_{x^1}) Y^1_{x^1} dm(x^1)\right)$ are measurable with respect to $\mathcal{B} \cup \sigma(Z_{\cdot})$.

Remark 2.5. If Y and Z are independent, conditionally to \mathcal{B} and $\mathbb{E}(Y_x) = 0$, then we have $D_X^2 \mathbb{E}\left(F((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})\right)(Y_{\cdot}) = D^2 \frac{dF}{d\mu}((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})(Z_{\cdot})Y_{\cdot}.$ (2.42)

Proposition 2.6. With the assumptions of Proposition 2.4 we have the estimate

 $\left\| D_X^2 \mathbb{E}\left(F((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}}) \right)(Y_{\cdot}) \right\| \le C ||Y_{\cdot}||.$ (2.43)

The function $Z \mapsto D^2_X \mathbb{E}\left(F((Z \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})\right)(Y)$ is continuous from \mathcal{H}_m to \mathcal{H}_m , for fixed Y. We have also the continuity property:

(2.44)
$$\left\langle D_X^2 \mathbb{E}\left(F((Z_{\cdot}^k \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})\right)(Y^k), Y^k\right\rangle - \left\langle D_X^2 \mathbb{E}\left(F((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})\right)(Y_{\cdot}^k), Y^k\right\rangle \to 0,$$

if $Z^k_{\cdot} \to Z_{\cdot}$ in \mathcal{H}_m and $||Y^k_{\cdot}\mathbb{1}_{|Y^k| \ge M}|| \le c(M)$, with $c(M) \to 0$, as $M \to +\infty$.

Proof. We use

$$\left\| D_X^2 \mathbb{E} \left(F((Z_{\cdot}^k \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}}) \right) (Y_{\cdot}) - D_X^2 \mathbb{E} \left(F((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}}) \right) (Y_{\cdot}) \right\|$$

(2.45)

$$\leq \left\| \left(D^2 \frac{dF}{d\nu} ((Z^k_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})(Z^k_{\cdot}) - D^2 \frac{dF}{d\nu} ((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})(Z_{\cdot}) \right) Y_{\cdot} \right\| \\ + \left\| \mathbb{E}^{1\mathcal{B}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(DD_1 \frac{d^2}{d\nu^2} F((Z^k_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})(Z^k_{\cdot}, Z^{1k}_{x^1}) - DD_1 \frac{d^2}{d\nu^2} F((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})(Z_{\cdot}, Z^{1}_{x^1}) \right) Y^1_{x^1} dm(x^1) \right) \right\|.$$
Then

]

$$\left\| \left(D^2 \frac{dF}{d\nu} ((Z^k_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})(Z^k_{\cdot}) - D^2 \frac{dF}{d\nu} ((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})(Z_{\cdot}) \right) Y_{\cdot} \right\|^2$$
$$= \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \left(D^2 \frac{dF}{d\nu} ((Z^k_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})(Z^k_x) - D^2 \frac{dF}{d\nu} ((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})(Z_x) \right) Y_x \right|^2 dm(x) \right) \to 0,$$

from the assumptions and standard Lebesgue integration theory. Similarly,

$$\begin{split} & \left\| \mathbb{E}^{1\mathcal{B}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(DD_{1} \frac{d^{2}}{d\nu^{2}} F((Z_{\cdot}^{k} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})(Z_{\cdot}^{k}, Z_{x^{1}}^{1k}) - DD_{1} \frac{d^{2}}{d\nu^{2}} F((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})(Z_{\cdot}, Z_{x^{1}}^{1}) \right) Y_{x^{1}}^{1} dm(x^{1}) \right) \right\|^{2} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left\| \mathbb{E}^{1\mathcal{B}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(DD_{1} \frac{d^{2}}{d\nu^{2}} F((Z_{\cdot}^{k} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})(Z_{\cdot}^{k}, Z_{x^{1}}^{1k}) - DD_{1} \frac{d^{2}}{d\nu^{2}} F((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})(Z_{\cdot}, Z_{x^{1}}^{1}) \right) Y_{x^{1}}^{1} dm(x^{1}) \right) \right\|^{2} dm(x) \right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left(\left\| \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{B}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathbb{E}^{1\mathcal{B}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left| DD_{1} \frac{d^{2}}{d\nu^{2}} F((Z_{\cdot}^{k} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})(Z_{\cdot}^{k}, Z_{x^{1}}^{1k}) - DD_{1} \frac{d^{2}}{d\nu^{2}} F((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})(Z_{\cdot}, Z_{x^{1}}^{1}) \right) \right\|^{2} |Y_{x^{1}}^{1}|^{2} dm(x^{1}) dm(x) \right) \right) \\ &\to 0, \end{split}$$

from the assumptions and Lebesgue integration theory. Also, (2.44) will follow from

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| D^2 \frac{dF}{d\nu} ((Z^k_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})(Z^k_x) - D^2 \frac{dF}{d\nu} ((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})(Z_x) \right|^2 dm(x) \right) \to 0,$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\left| \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{B}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{E}^{1\mathcal{B}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| DD_1 \frac{d^2}{d\nu^2} F((Z^k_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})(Z^k_x, Z^{1k}_{x^1}) - DD_1 \frac{d^2}{d\nu^2} F((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})(Z_x, Z^{1}_{x^1}) \right|^2 dm(x^1) \right) dm(x) \right) \right) \to 0,$$

as well as the fact that we can replace Y^k with $Y^k \mathbb{1}_{|Y^k| \leq M}$, which is uniformly bounded. Finally, (2.43) is an easy consequence of the second parts of (2.36), (2.37). This concludes the proof.

By collecting results, we can write the second-order Taylor formula: (2.46)

$$\mathbb{E}\left(F(((Z_{\cdot}+Y_{\cdot})\otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})\right) - \mathbb{E}\left(F((Z_{\cdot}\otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})\right) = \left\langle D_{X}\mathbb{E}\left(F((Z_{\cdot}\otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})\right), Y_{\cdot}\right\rangle + \int_{0}^{1}\int_{0}^{1}\lambda\left\langle D_{X}^{2}\mathbb{E}\left(F(((Z_{\cdot}+\lambda\mu Y_{\cdot})\otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})\right)(Y_{\cdot}), Y_{\cdot}\right\rangle d\lambda d\mu.$$

3. STOCHASTIC CALCULUS

3.1. **PRELIMINARIES.** As said before the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ will be sufficiently large to contain two independent standard Wiener processes in \mathbb{R}^n , denoted by w(t) and b(t), respectively, and for any t, additional random variables independent of the filtration \mathcal{F}_t , which is the family of σ -algebras $\mathcal{F}_t^s =$ $\sigma(w(\tau) - w(t), b(\tau) - b(t), t \leq \tau \leq s). \text{ We also set } \mathcal{B}_t^s = \sigma(b(\tau) - b(t), t \leq \tau \leq s). \text{ Let } X_x \text{ be in } \mathcal{H}_m,$ 10 independent of \mathcal{F}_t . We define $\mathcal{F}_{X,t}^s := \sigma(X_{\cdot}) \cup \mathcal{F}_t^s$ and $\mathcal{F}_{X,t}$ the filtration generated by the σ -algebras $\mathcal{F}_{X,t}^s$. We denote by $L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{X,t}}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m)$ the subspace of $L^2(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m)$ adapted to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_{X,t}$. An element of $L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{X,t}}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m)$ can be written as $X_{\xi t}(s)\Big|_{\xi=X_r}$, where for any ξ in \mathbb{R}^n , the process $X_{\xi t}(\cdot)$ is adapted to \mathcal{F}_t , and

$$\int_{t}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(\mathbb{E}\left(|X_{\xi t}(s)|^{2}\right)\Big|_{\xi=X_{x}}\right) dm(x)\right) ds = \int_{t}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |X_{X_{x}t}(s)|^{2} dm(x)\right) ds$$

because of the independence of X_x and \mathcal{F}_t . Similarly, we have:

(3.1)
$$(X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s} = (X_{\cdot t}(s) \otimes (X_{\cdot} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_t^s}$$

This follows from the relation

(3.2)
$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{B}^s_t}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\varphi(X_{X_xt}(s))dm(x)\right) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{B}^s_t}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\varphi(X_{\eta t}(s))d(X_{\cdot}\otimes m)(\eta)\right),$$

for any test function φ continuous and bounded on \mathbb{R}^n . The relation (3.2) is a consequence of the independence of X_x and \mathcal{B}_t^s .

Remark 3.1. The random variable $X_{X_xt}(s)$ is independent of \mathcal{B}_s^T , if s < T. Therefore,

(3.3)
$$(X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s} = (X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^T}.$$

3.2. ITÔ PROCESS. Let $u_{X,t}(\cdot) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{X,t}}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m)$. To simplify, we assume that

(3.4)
$$u_{X,t}(\cdot) \in C^0_{\mathcal{F}_{X,t}}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m), \ ||u_{X,t}(s)|| \le C(1+||X||).$$

We then focus on processes $X_{X,t}(s)$ of the form

(3.5)
$$X_{X_xt}(s) = X_x + \int_t^s u_{X_xt}(\tau)d\tau + \sigma(w(s) - w(t)) + \beta(b(s) - b(t)),$$

where σ is a matrix $n \times n$ and β is simply a constant. We call $X_{X_xt}(s)$ an Itô process. For the differential calculus we are going to use, we could consider much more general Itô processes, but the form (3.5) will be sufficient for the control problem we are interested in. We consider a functional F(m, s) on $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \times (0, T)$. As a function of m, it will satisfy all the assumptions (2.28), (2.29), (2.36), (2.37), but because of the argument s, we have to restate them:

(3.6)
$$\left((m,s)\mapsto F(m,s), \text{ continuous, } |F(m,s)| \le C\left(1+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^2 dm(x)\right);\right)$$

(3.7)
$$s \mapsto F(m,s) \text{ is differentiable a.e., } \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial s}(m,s) \right| \le C \left(1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^2 dm(x) \right);$$

(3.8)
$$\begin{cases} (x,m,s) \mapsto \frac{dF}{d\nu}(m,s)(x), D\frac{dF}{d\nu}(m,s)(x) \text{ continuous;} \\ \left|\frac{dF}{d\nu}(m,s)(x)\right| \le C(1+|x|^2), \ \left|D\frac{dF}{d\nu}(m,s)(x)\right| \le C(1+|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}; \\ \left|D^2\frac{dF}{d\nu}(m,s)(x)\right| \le C; \end{cases}$$

(3.10)
$$\left(\left| DD_1 \frac{d^2}{d\nu^2} F(m,s)(x,x^1) \right| \le C. \right.$$

With the assumptions (3.6) to (3.10), we can assert from Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 2.4 that the function $(Z, s) \mapsto \mathbb{E}\left(F((Z, \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}}, s)\right)$ is twice Gâteaux differentiable and a.e. differentiable in s with the

properties

$$(3.11) \begin{cases} \left\| \mathbb{E} \left(F((Z, \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}}, s) \right) \right\| \leq C(1 + ||Z||^{2}); \\ \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathbb{E} \left(F((Z, \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}}, s) \right) \right\| \leq C(1 + ||Z||^{2}) \text{ a.e. } s; \\ \left\| D_{X} \mathbb{E} \left(F((Z, \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}}, s) \right) \right\| \leq C(1 + ||Z||); \\ (Z, s) \mapsto \mathbb{E} \left(F((Z, \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}}, s) \right) \text{ continuous;} \\ (Z, s) \mapsto D_{X} \mathbb{E} \left(F((Z, \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}}, s) \right), D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F((Z, \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}}, s) \right) (Y_{\cdot}), \text{ continuous, for fixed } Y_{\cdot}. \end{cases}$$

We then consider the function $s \mapsto \mathbb{E} \left(F((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, s) \right) \text{ and study its differentiability.}$

3.3. **DIFFERENTIABILITY.** We state the following result:

Theorem 3.2. We assume (3.4), (3.11), (3.12), (3.13). Then the function $s \mapsto \mathbb{E}\left(F((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, s)\right)$ is a.e. differentiable on (t,T) and we have the formula (Itô's formula):

$$\frac{d}{ds}\mathbb{E}\left(F((X_{X.t}(s)\otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}},s)\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\mathbb{E}\left(F((X_{X.t}(s)\otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}},s)\right) + \left\langle D_{X}\mathbb{E}\left(F((X_{X.t}(s)\otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}},s)\right), u_{X.t}(s)\right\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\left\langle D_{X}^{2}\mathbb{E}\left(F((X_{X.t}(s)\otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}},s)\right)(\sigma N_{s}), \sigma N_{s}\right\rangle + \frac{\beta^{2}}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle D_{X}^{2}\mathbb{E}\left(F((X_{X.t}(s)\otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}},s)\right)(e^{j}), e^{j}\right\rangle, a.e. \ s \in (0,T),$$

where N_s is an independent Gaussian with values in \mathbb{R}^n with mean 0 and a unit variance; N_s is independent of the σ -algebra $\mathcal{F}^s_{X,t}$, and e^j 's are the coordinate vectors of \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. We can write

(3.15)

$$X_{X_{xt}}(s+\epsilon) = X_{X_{xt}}(s) + \int_{s}^{s+\epsilon} u_{X_{xt}}(\tau)d\tau + \sigma(w(s+\epsilon) - w(s)) + \beta(b(s+\epsilon) - b(s)) = X_{X_{xt}}(s) + \widetilde{X}_{X_{xt}}(s).$$
We can use the second-order Taylor formula (2.46) to write

We can use the second-order Taylor formula (2.46) to write

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} \left[\mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X,t}(s+\epsilon) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s+\epsilon}}, s+\epsilon \right) \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, s+\epsilon \right) \right) \right] \\
= \left\langle D_{X} \mathbb{E} \left(F ((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, s+\epsilon) \right), \frac{\widetilde{X}_{Xxt}(s)}{\epsilon} \right\rangle \\
+ \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \lambda \left\langle D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F (((X_{X,t}(s) + \lambda \mu \widetilde{X}_{Xxt}(s)) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, s+\epsilon) \right) \left(\frac{\widetilde{X}_{Xxt}(s)}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \right), \frac{\widetilde{X}_{Xxt}(s)}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \right\rangle d\lambda d\mu.$$
(3.16)

Since $D_X \mathbb{E}\left(F((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}^s_t}, s + \epsilon)\right)$ is \mathcal{F}^s_{Xt} -measurable,

$$\left\langle D_X \mathbb{E}\left(F((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}^s_t}, s+\epsilon) \right), \frac{\widetilde{X}_{Xxt}(s)}{\epsilon} \right\rangle = \left\langle D_X \mathbb{E}\left(F((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}^s_t}, s+\epsilon) \right), \frac{\int_s^{s+\epsilon} u_{Xxt}(\tau) d\tau}{\epsilon} \right\rangle.$$

Therefore,

$$(3.17) \left\langle D_X \mathbb{E}\left(F((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}^s_t}, s+\epsilon)\right), \frac{\widetilde{X}_{Xxt}(s)}{\epsilon} \right\rangle - \left\langle D_X \mathbb{E}\left(F((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}^s_t}, s+\epsilon)\right), u_{Xxt}(s) \right\rangle \to 0.$$

Next, since

$$\left\|\frac{\widetilde{X}_{X_xt}(s)}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} - \frac{\sigma(w(s+\epsilon) - w(s))}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} - \frac{\beta(b(s+\epsilon) - b(s))}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\right\| \le C\sqrt{\epsilon},$$

we can write

$$(3.18) \qquad \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \lambda \left\langle D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F(((X_{X.t}(s) + \lambda \mu \widetilde{X}_{Xxt}(s)) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, s + \epsilon) \right) \left(\frac{\widetilde{X}_{Xxt}(s)}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \right), \frac{\widetilde{X}_{Xxt}(s)}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \right\rangle d\lambda d\mu \\ - \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \lambda \left\langle D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F\left(((X_{X.t}(s) + \lambda \mu \widetilde{X}_{X.t}(s)) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, s + \epsilon \right) \right) (B(\epsilon)), B(\epsilon) \right\rangle d\lambda d\mu \to 0,$$
where

where

$$B(\epsilon) := \frac{\sigma(w(s+\epsilon) - w(s)) + \beta(b(s+\epsilon) - b(s))}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}.$$

The next step is to observe that

$$(3.19) \qquad \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \lambda \Big\langle D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left(\left((X_{X,t}(s) + \lambda \mu \widetilde{X}_{X,t}(s)) \otimes m \right)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, s + \epsilon \right) \right) (B(\epsilon)), B(\epsilon) \Big\rangle d\lambda d\mu - \frac{1}{2} \Big\langle D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, s + \epsilon \right) \right) (B(\epsilon)), B(\epsilon) \Big\rangle \to 0.$$
Consider a term like

Consider a term like

$$I_{\epsilon} = \mathbb{E}\bigg[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \bigg[\bigg(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \lambda D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E}\left(F\left(\left((X_{X.t}(s) + \lambda \mu \widetilde{X}_{X.t}(s)\right) \otimes m\right)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, s + \epsilon\right)\bigg) d\lambda d\mu - D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E}F\left(\left((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, s + \epsilon\right)\right) \bigg) (\sigma e^{j}) \cdot \sigma e^{j} \frac{(w_{j}(s + \epsilon) - w_{j}(s))^{2}}{\epsilon} \bigg] dm(x) \bigg].$$

Using (3.13) and the fact that $\frac{(w_j(s+\epsilon)-w_j(s))^2}{\epsilon}$ has a bounded L^2 norm, we deduce that $I_\epsilon \to 0$; indeed, by (3.13),

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{\epsilon}| &\leq C \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left| \left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \lambda D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} F(((X_{X,t}(s) + \lambda \mu \widetilde{X}_{Xxt}(s)) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, s + \epsilon) d\lambda d\mu \right. \\ &\left. - D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} F((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, s + \epsilon) \right) (\sigma e^{j}) \left| \frac{(w_{j}(s + \epsilon) - w_{j}(s))^{2}}{\epsilon} dm(x) \right. \\ &\leq C \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left| \left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \lambda D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} F\left(((X_{X,t}(s) + \lambda \mu \widetilde{X}_{Xxt}(s)) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, s + \epsilon \right) d\lambda d\mu \right. \\ &\left. - D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} F\left((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, s + \epsilon \right) \right) (\sigma e^{j}) \right|^{2} dm(x) \to 0, \end{aligned}$$

It remains to check that (3.20)

$$\left\langle D_X^2 \mathbb{E} \left(F((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s}, s + \epsilon) \right) (B(\epsilon)), B(\epsilon) \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle D_X^2 \mathbb{E} \left(F\left((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s}, s + \epsilon \right) \right) (\sigma N_s), \sigma N_s \right\rangle + \frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\langle D_X^2 \mathbb{E} \left(F\left((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s}, s + \epsilon \right) \right) (e^j), e^j \right\rangle,$$
using Remark 2.5 and the explicit formula (2.39)

using Remark 2.5 and the explicit formula (2.39).

Collecting results, we can assert that

(3.21)

$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \lambda \left\langle D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left(\left((X_{X.t}(s) + \lambda \mu \widetilde{X}_{Xxt}(s)) \otimes m \right)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, s + \epsilon \right) \right) \left(\frac{\widetilde{X}_{Xxt}(s)}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \right), \frac{\widetilde{X}_{Xxt}(s)}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \right\rangle d\lambda d\mu \\ - \frac{1}{2} \left\langle D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, s + \epsilon \right) \right) (\sigma N_{s}), \sigma N_{s} \right\rangle + \frac{\beta^{2}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left\langle D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, s + \epsilon \right) \right) (e^{j}), e^{j} \right\rangle \to 0.$$
 So

(3.22)

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} \left[\mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X.t}(s+\epsilon) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^{s+\epsilon}}, s+\epsilon \right) \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s}, s+\epsilon \right) \right) \right] - \left\langle D_X \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s}, s+\epsilon \right) \right), u_{Xxt}(s) \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \left\langle D_X^2 \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s}, s+\epsilon \right) \right) (\sigma N_s), \sigma N_s \right\rangle - \frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\langle D_X^2 \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s}, s+\epsilon \right) \right) (e^j), e^j \right\rangle \to 0.$$

From the continuity in s, (3.13), we then get:

$$(3.23) \qquad \frac{1}{\epsilon} \Big[\mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X,t}(s+\epsilon) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^{s+\epsilon}}, s+\epsilon \right) \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(F ((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s}, s+\epsilon) \right) \Big] \\ \rightarrow \left\langle D_X \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s}, s \right) \right), u_{X_x t}(s) \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle D_X^2 \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s}, s \right) \right) (\sigma N_s), \sigma N_s \right\rangle \\ + \frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\langle D_X^2 \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s}, s \right) \right) (e^j), e^j \right\rangle.$$

From the partial differentiability in s, see the second part of (3.8), we finally obtain: (3.24)

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} \left[\mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X.t}(s+\epsilon) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s+\epsilon}}, s+\epsilon \right) \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, s \right) \right) \right] \\ \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, s \right) \right) + \left\langle D_{X} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, s \right) \right), u_{Xxt}(s) \right\rangle \\ + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, s \right) \right) (\sigma N_{s}), \sigma N_{s} \right\rangle + \frac{\beta^{2}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left\langle D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, s \right) \right) (e^{j}), e^{j} \right\rangle, \text{ a.e. } s \\ \text{ which completes the proof of (3.14).}$$

which completes the proof of (3.14).

4. CONTROL PROBLEM

4.1. SETTING OF THE PROBLEM. The space of controls is the Hilbert space $L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{Xt}}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m)$. A $\tau \leq s$), $\forall s$. The state, denoted $X_{X,t}(s)$, associated with a control $v_{X,t}(\cdot)$, is defined by:

(4.1)
$$X_{X_xt}(s) := X_x + \int_t^s v_{X_xt}(\tau) d\tau + \sigma(w(s) - w(t)) + \beta(b(s) - b(t)), \ s > t.$$

We want to minimize the functional

(4.2)
$$J_{X.t}(v_{X.t}(\cdot)) = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} l(X_{Xxt}(s), v_{Xxt}(s))dm(x) + F((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s})\right]ds\right] \\ + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(X_{Xxt}(T))dm(x) + F_T((X_{X.t}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^T})\right].$$

This problem is completely equivalent to the following: for a family of processes $v_{\eta t}(s)$ in $L^2_{\mathcal{F}_t}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m)$, for any fixed $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define the state $X_{\eta}(s)$ by

(4.3)
$$X_{\eta t}(s) := \eta + \int_{t}^{s} v_{\eta t}(\tau) d\tau + \sigma(w(s) - w(t)) + \beta(b(s) - b(t)), \ s > t.$$

We want to minimize the functional

$$(4.4) J_{X.\otimes m,t}(v_{\cdot,t}(\cdot)) = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} l(X_{\eta\,t}(s), v_{\eta\,t}(s))d(X_{\cdot}\otimes m)(\eta) + F((X_{\cdot,t}(s)\otimes (X_{\cdot}\otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_t^s})\right]ds\right] \\ + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(X_{\eta\,t}(T))d(X_{\cdot}\otimes m)(\eta) + F_T((X_{\cdot,t}(T)\otimes (X_{\cdot}\otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_t^T})\right].$$

For fixed X., the functional $v_{X,t}(\cdot) \to J_{X,t}(v_{X,t}(\cdot))$ is defined on the Hilbert space $L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{X,t}}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m)$, a sub Hilbert space of $L^2(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m)$. The functional $J_{X,\otimes m,t}(v_{\cdot,t}(\cdot))$ is defined on $L^2_{\mathcal{F}_t}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_{X,\otimes m})$. We shall make precise the assumptions in the next section.

4.2. **ASSUMPTIONS.** We assume that

$$|l(x,v)| \le c_l(1+|x|^2+|v|^2), |l_x(x,v)|, |l_v(x,v)| \le c_l(1+|x|^2+|v|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

(4.5)
$$|l_{xx}(x,v)|, |l_{xv}(x,v)|, |l_{vv}(x,v)| \le c_l,$$

(4.6)
$$|h(x)| \le c_h (1+|x|^2), \ |h_x(x)| \le c_h (1+|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ |h_{xx}(x)| \le c_h,$$

$$(4.7) label{eq:lass} l_{xx}(x,v), l_{xv}(x,v), l_{vv}(x,v), h_{xx}(x) \text{ continuous},$$

(4.8)
$$l_{xx}(x,v)\xi \cdot \xi + 2l_{xv}(x,v)\eta \cdot \xi + l_{vv}(x,v)\eta \cdot \eta \ge \lambda |\eta|^2 - c_l'|\xi|^2, \, \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

(4.9)
$$h_{xx}\xi \cdot \xi \ge -c_h'|\xi|^2,$$

(4.10)
$$m \mapsto F(m), F_T(m)$$
 continuous

$$|F(m)| \le c \left(1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^2 dm(x) \right), \quad |F_T(m)| \le c_T \left(1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^2 dm(x) \right),$$

(4.11)
$$(x,m) \mapsto \frac{d}{d\nu} F(m)(x), \quad \frac{d}{d\nu} F_T(m)(x) \text{ continuous,} \\ \left| \frac{d}{d\nu} F(m)(x) \right| \le c(1+|x|^2), \quad \left| \frac{d}{d\nu} F_T(m)(x) \right| \le c_T(1+|x|^2),$$

(4.12)
$$(x,m) \mapsto D\frac{d}{d\nu}F(m)(x), \quad D\frac{d}{d\nu}F_T(m)(x) \text{ continuous,} \\ \left| D\frac{d}{d\nu}F(m)(x) \right| \le c(1+|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \left| D\frac{d}{d\nu}F_T(m)(x) \right| \le c_T(1+|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

(4.13)
$$(x,m) \mapsto D^2 \frac{d}{d\nu} F(m)(x), \quad D^2 \frac{d}{d\nu} F_T(m)(x) \text{ continuous},$$

$$\left| D^2 \frac{d}{d\nu} F(m)(x) \right| \le c, \quad \left| D^2 \frac{d}{d\nu} F_T(m)(x) \right| \le c_T,$$

$$d^2 F$$

$$d^2 F_T$$

$$(4.14) \qquad (x, x^{1}, m) \mapsto DD_{1} \frac{d}{d\nu^{2}} F(m)(x, x^{1}), \qquad DD_{1} \frac{d}{d\nu^{2}} F(m)(x, x^{1}) \text{ continuous}, \left| D_{1} \frac{d^{2}F}{d\nu^{2}}(m)(x, x^{1}) \right| \leq c(1 + |x|), \qquad \left| D\frac{d^{2}F}{d\nu^{2}}(m)(x, x^{1}) \right| \leq c(1 + |x^{1}|), \\ \left| DD_{1} \frac{d^{2}F}{d\nu^{2}}(m)(x, x^{1}) \right| \leq c, \qquad \left| DD_{1} \frac{d^{2}F_{T}}{d\nu^{2}}(m)(x, x^{1}) \right| \leq c_{T}, \\ (4.15) \qquad D^{2} \frac{d}{d\nu} F(m)(x) \geq -c'I, \qquad D^{2} \frac{d}{d\nu} F_{T}(m)(x) \geq -c'_{T}I, \end{cases}$$

(4.16)
$$DD_1 \frac{d^2 F}{d\nu^2}(m)(x, x^1) \ge -c' I, \quad DD_1 \frac{d^2 F_T}{d\nu^2}(m)(x, x^1) \ge -c'_T I.$$

Note that, with the above assumptions on F(m) and $F_T(m)$ the functions Z. $\mapsto \mathbb{E}\left(F((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})\right), \mathbb{E}\left(F_T((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}})\right)$ are Gâteaux differentiable and Propositions 2.2, 2.4, 2.6 apply.

Conditions (4.15) and (4.16) are the crucial monotonicity assumptions. When the constant c' (resp. c'_T) is zero, they imply that F (resp. F_T) satisfies the displacement monotonicity assumption of Gangbo, et al. [20]. This is in contrast to the more widely used Lasry-Lions monotonicity condition, as in [10]. See also [22] for a comparison of monotonicity conditions. We also refer to our recent work [5] for a discussion on β -monotonicity for the forward-backward system associated with MFGs, which can include the displacement monotonicity condition [1, 23] and the small mean field effect condition [7] for MFGs. Most of the remaining assumptions are essentially regularity requirements on the data, although the convexity assumption (4.8) also plays a crucial role in the well-posedness of the control problem and the resulting regularity of the value function.

4.3. **DIFFERENTIABILITY OF** $v_{Xt}(\cdot) \mapsto J_{Xt}(v_{Xt}(\cdot))$. Considering the map $v_{X.t}(\cdot) \mapsto J_{X.t}(v_{X.t}(\cdot))$ as a functional on the Hilbert space $L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{X.t}}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m)$, we get the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. Under the assumptions (4.5), (4.6), (4.10), (4.11), (4.12), the functional $J_{X,t}(v_{X,t}(\cdot))$ has a Gâteaux derivative, given by

(4.17)
$$D_v J_{X,t}(v_{X,t}(\cdot))(s) = l_v (X_{X,t}(s), v_{X,t}(s)) + Z_{X,t}(s),$$

with $Z_{X.t}(\cdot) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{X.t}}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m)$, solution of the BSDE (Backward Stochastic Differential Equation) (4.18)

$$\begin{cases} -dZ_{X,t}(s) = \left(l_x(X_{X,t}(s), v_{X,t}(s)) + D_X \mathbb{E}\left(F((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s})\right)\right) ds - \sum_{j=1}^n r_{X,t}^j(s) dw_j(s) - \sum_{j=1}^n \rho_{X,t}^j(s) db_j(s), \\ Z_{X,t}(T) = h_x(X_{X,t}(T)) + D_X \mathbb{E}\left(F_T((X_{X,t}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^T})\right), \\ where \ r_{X,t}^j(s), \rho_{X,t}^j(s) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{X,t}}(t, T; \mathcal{H}_m), \ j = 1, \cdots, n. \end{cases}$$

The proof can be found in Appendix A.

4.4. **CONVEXITY.** We next state the following proposition:

Proposition 4.2. We assume (4.5), (4.6), (4.7), (4.8), (4.9), (4.10), (4.11), (4.12), (4.13), (4.14), (4.15), (4.16) and

(4.19)
$$\lambda - T(c'_T + c'_h) - (c' + c'_l)\frac{T^2}{2} > 0,$$

then the functional $J_{X,t}(v_{X,t}(\cdot))$ is strictly convex. It is coercive, i.e. $J_{X,t}(v_{X,t}(\cdot)) \to +\infty$, as $\int_t^T ||v_{X,t}(s)||^2 ds \to +\infty$. Consequently, there exists one and only one minimum of $J_{X,t}(v_{X,t}(\cdot))$.

The proof can be found in Appendix A.

4.5. NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITION OF OPTIMALITY. According to Proposition 4.2, there exists one and only one optimal control $u_{X,t}(s)$. It must satisfy the necessary and sufficient condition $D_v J_{X,t}(u_{X,t}(\cdot))(s) = 0$. Calling $X_{X,t}(s)$ the optimal state and $Z_{X,t}(s)$, $r_{X,t}^j(s)$, $\rho_{X,t}^j(s)$ the solution of the BSDE (4.18), then the set $X_{X,t}(s)$, $Z_{X,t}(s)$, $u_{X,t}(s)$, $r_{X,t}^j(s)$, $\rho_{X,t}^j(s)$ is the unique solution of the system

$$\begin{cases} (4.20) \\ X_{X_xt}(s) = X_x + \int_t^s u_{X_xt}(\tau)d\tau + \sigma(w(s) - w(t)) + \beta(b(s) - b(t)); \\ - dZ_{X.t}(s) = \left(l_x(X_{X.t}(s), u_{X.t}(s)) + D_X \mathbb{E}\left(F((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s})\right)\right) ds - \sum_{j=1}^n r_{X.t}^j(s)dw_j(s) - \sum_{j=1}^n \rho_{X.t}^j(s)db_j(s); \\ Z_{X.t}(T) = h_x(X_{X.t}(T)) + D_X \mathbb{E}\left(F_T((X_{X.t}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^T})\right); \\ l_v(X_{X.t}(s), u_{X.t}(s)) + Z_{X.t}(s) = 0. \end{cases}$$

We can equivalently express the necessary and sufficient optimality conditions as follows: there exists a unique $u_{\eta t}(\cdot) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_t}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m)$, which satisfies the condition

$$(4.21) \qquad \int_{t}^{T} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left[l_{v}(X_{\eta t}(s), u_{\eta t}(s)) + \int_{s}^{T} \left(l_{x}(X_{\eta t}(\tau), u_{\eta t}(\tau)) + D \frac{dF}{d\nu}((X_{\cdot, t}(\tau) \otimes (X_{\cdot} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}})(X_{\eta t}(\tau)) \right) d\tau + h_{x}(X_{\eta t}(T)) + D \frac{dF_{T}}{d\nu}((X_{\cdot, t}(T) \otimes (X_{\cdot} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}})(X_{\eta t}(T)) \right] \cdot \tilde{v}_{\eta t}(s) d(X_{\cdot} \otimes m)(\eta) \right) ds = 0$$

with

(4.22)
$$X_{\eta t}(s) = \eta + \int_{t}^{s} u_{\eta t}(\tau) d\tau + \sigma(w(s) - w(t)) + \beta(b(s) - b(t)),$$

for any $\tilde{v}_{\eta t}(\cdot) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_t}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m)$. The advantage of this statement is that the process $Z_{\eta t}(s)$ does not appear explicitly in the condition. We have the important property:

Proposition 4.3. We have

(4.23)

$$u_{X.t}(s) = u_{X_{X.t}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}(s), \qquad X_{X.t}(s) = X_{X_{X.t}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}(s),$$
$$r_{X.t}^j(s) = r_{X_{X.t}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}^j(s), \quad \rho_{X.t}^j(s) = \rho_{X_{X.t}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}^j(s), \ \forall s > t+\epsilon.$$

The proof can be found in Appendix A.

Remark 4.4. We may enlarge the subspace of $L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{X,t}}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m)$ of controls against which $u_{Xt}(\cdot)$ is optimal. Consider a σ -algebra

$$\mathcal{X}_x = \sigma(X_x, X_x^1, \cdots, X_x^j, \cdots), X_x^j$$
 independent of \mathcal{F}_t .

If we change the space of controls from $L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{X,t}}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m)$ to $L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{X,t}}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m)$, it is straightforward to verify that the system of equations (4.20) satisfies the necessary conditions for optimality of the control problem with augmented σ -algebras. By uniqueness, these equations provide the solution to the necessary conditions. Consequently, the optimal control remains unchanged. 4.6. VALUE FUNCTION. We can express the value function

(4.24)
$$V(X \otimes m, t) = \int_{t}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} l(X_{X.t}(s), u_{X.t}(s)) dm(x) ds + F((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}})\right] ds$$
$$+ \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h(X_{X.t}(T)) dm(x) + F_{T}((X_{X.t}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}})\right].$$

This quantity depends only on the probability measure $X \otimes m$ and t. It can be written as follows:

$$(4.25) V(X \otimes m, t) = \int_{t}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} l(X_{\xi t}(s), u_{\xi t}(s))d(X \otimes m)(\xi) + F((X_{\cdot, t}(s) \otimes (X_{\cdot} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}})\right] ds \\ + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h(X_{\xi t}(T))d(X_{\cdot} \otimes m)(\xi) + F_{T}((X_{\cdot, t}(T) \otimes (X_{\cdot} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}})\right].$$

4.7. **OPTIMALITY PRINCIPLE.** The optimality principle is key to writing the Bellman equation. It is expressed as follows:

$$V(X \otimes m, t) = \int_{t}^{t+\epsilon} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} l(X_{X_{x}t}(s), u_{X_{x}t}(s)) dm(x) + F((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}) \right] ds$$

+ $\mathbb{E} \left[V((X_{X.t}(t+\epsilon) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{t+\epsilon}}, t+\epsilon) \right]$
= $\int_{t}^{t+\epsilon} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} l(X_{\eta t}(s), u_{\eta t}(s)) d(X, \otimes m)(\eta) + F((X_{\cdot,t}(s) \otimes (X, \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}) \right] ds$
+ $\mathbb{E} \left[V((X_{\cdot,t}(t+\epsilon) \otimes (X, \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{t+\epsilon}}, t+\epsilon) \right].$

This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 4.3. We have indeed (4.27)

$$\begin{split} &\int_{t+\epsilon}^{T} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} l(X_{\eta t}(s), u_{\eta t}(s)) d(X_{,} \otimes m)(\eta) + F((X_{,t}(s) \otimes (X_{,} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}) \right] ds \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h(X_{\eta t}(T)) d(X_{,} \otimes m)(\eta) + F_{T}((X_{,t}(T) \otimes (X_{,} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}}) \right] \\ &= \int_{t+\epsilon}^{T} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} l(X_{\eta,t+\epsilon}(s), u_{\eta,t+\epsilon}(s)) d((X_{,t}(t+\epsilon) \otimes (X_{,} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{t+\epsilon}})(\eta) \right. \\ &+ F((X_{,t+\epsilon}(s) \otimes (X_{,t}(t+\epsilon) \otimes (X_{,} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{t+\epsilon}})^{\mathcal{B}_{t+\epsilon}}) \right] ds \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h(X_{\eta,t+\epsilon}(T)) d((X_{,t}(t+\epsilon) \otimes (X_{,} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{t+\epsilon}})(\eta) + F_{T}((X_{,t+\epsilon}(T) \otimes (X_{,t}(t+\epsilon) \otimes (X_{,} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{t+\epsilon}})^{\mathcal{B}_{t+\epsilon}^{T}}) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[V((X_{,t}(t+\epsilon) \otimes (X_{,} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{t+\epsilon}}, t+\epsilon) \right]. \end{split}$$

5. PROPERTIES OF THE VALUE FUNCTION

5.1. **BOUNDS.** We begin with the following proposition:

Proposition 5.1. We make the assumptions of Proposition 4.2. We have

(5.1)
$$||X_{X,t}(s)||, ||Z_{X,t}(s)||, ||u_{X,t}(s)|| \le C_T(1+||X||), \forall s \in (t,T),$$

(5.2)
$$\int_{t}^{T} \left\| r_{X,t}^{j}(s) \right\|^{2} ds, \quad \int_{t}^{T} \left\| \rho_{X,t}^{j}(s) \right\|^{2} ds \leq C_{T} (1 + ||X||^{2}),$$

(5.3)
$$|V(X \otimes m, t)| \le C_T (1 + ||X||^2),$$

where C_T is a constant depending only on the constants of the problem and T. It is independent of X., m, and t < s < T.

The proof can be found in Appendix B.

5.2. **REGULARITY IN** X. We study now the regularity of $V(X \otimes m, t)$ with respect to X. This functional is defined of the closed subspace of \mathcal{H}_m of random fields X_x independent of \mathcal{F}_t . We have:

Proposition 5.2. We make the assumptions of Proposition 4.2. Then the functional $X \to V(X \otimes m, t)$ is Gâteaux differentiable and

 $(5.4) D_X V(X \otimes m, t) = Z_{X,t}(t).$

Also, we have the Lipschitz property:

(5.5)
$$||D_X V(X^1 \otimes m, t) - D_X V(X^2 \otimes m, t)|| \le C_T ||X^1 - X^2||,$$

for all $X^1, X^2 \in \mathcal{H}_m$, independent of \mathcal{F}_t .

The proof can be found in Appendix B.

5.3. **REGULARITY IN TIME.** We state the following proposition:

Proposition 5.3. We make the assumptions of Proposition 4.2. We have the inequalities: for $X = X_{xt}$ independent of W_t ,

(5.6) $|V(X \otimes m, t+\epsilon) - V(X \otimes m, t)| \le C_T \epsilon (1+||X||^2),$

(5.7) $||D_X V(X \otimes m, t+\epsilon) - D_X V(X \otimes m, t)|| \le C_T \epsilon ||X|| + C_T \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}.$

The proof can be found in Appendix B.

6. FUNCTIONAL DERIVATIVE OF V(m, t)

6.1. THE CASE X = J. When X = J, meaning $J_x = x$, we have $J \otimes m = m$. The processes $u_{Jt}(s)$, $X_{Jt}(s)$, $Z_{Jt}(s)$, $r_{Jt}^j(s)$, and $\rho_{Jt}^j(s)$ will be denoted by $u_{xmt}(s)$, $X_{xmt}(s)$, $Z_{xmt}(s)$, $r_{xmt}^j(s)$, $\rho_{xmt}^j(s)$ to emphasize the dependence in m. The system (4.20) becomes:

(6.1)
$$\begin{cases} X_{xmt}(s) = x + \int_{t}^{s} u_{xmt}(\tau) d\tau + \sigma(w(s) - w(t)) + \beta(b(s) - b(t)), \\ - dZ_{xmt}(s) = \left(l_{x}(X_{xmt}(s), u_{xmt}(s)) + D\frac{d}{d\nu} F((X_{\cdot mt}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}})(X_{xmt}(s)) \right) ds \\ - \sum_{j=1}^{n} r_{xmt}^{j}(s) dw_{j}(s) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \rho_{xmt}^{j}(s) db_{j}(s), \\ Z_{xmt}(T) = h_{x}(X_{xmt}(T)) + D\frac{d}{d\nu} F_{T}((X_{\cdot mt}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}})(X_{xmt}(T)), \\ l_{v}(X_{xmt}(s), u_{xmt}(s)) + Z_{xmt}(s) = 0. \end{cases}$$

This is the system of necessary and sufficient conditions of optimality of the control problem

(6.2)
$$\begin{cases} X_{xt}(s) = x + \int_t^s v_{xt}(\tau) d\tau + \sigma(w(s) - w(t)) + \beta(b(s) - b(t)), \\ J_{mt}(v_{\cdot,t}(\cdot)) = \int_t^T \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} l(X_{xt}(s), v_{xt}(s)) dm(x)\right) ds + \int_t^T \mathbb{E}\left(F((X_{\cdot,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s})\right) ds \\ + \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(X_{xt}(T)) dm(x)\right) + \mathbb{E}\left(F_T((X_{\cdot,t}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^T})\right), \end{cases}$$

with $v_{\cdot,t}(\cdot) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_t}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m)$. The optimal control is $u_{xmt}(s)$ and the optimal trajectory is $X_{xmt}(s)$. We can then check the estimates (under the same conditions as the general problem, see Proposition 4.2):

(6.4)
$$\mathbb{E}\left(|u_{xmt}(s)|^{2}\right), \ \mathbb{E}\left(|X_{xmt}(s)|^{2}\right), \ \mathbb{E}\left(|Z_{xmt}(s)|^{2}\right) \leq C_{T}(1+|x|^{2}),$$
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t}^{T} |r_{xmt}^{j}(s)|^{2} ds, \ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t}^{T} |\rho_{xmt}^{j}(s)|^{2} ds \leq C_{T}(1+|x|^{2}).$$

This means that the optimal control $u_{xmt}(s)$ belongs to the space of processes $v_{xt}(\cdot)$ such that $x \mapsto \frac{v_{xt}(\cdot)}{(1+|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; L^{\infty}_{\mathcal{F}_t}(t, T; L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^n)))$, which is a subspace of $L^2_{\mathcal{F}_t}(t, T; \mathcal{H}_m)$ for any m. We denote $V(m, t) = V(J \otimes m, t)$, given by the formula

(6.5)
$$V(m,t) = \int_{t}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} l(X_{xmt}(s), u_{xmt}(s)) dm(x)\right) ds + \int_{t}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left(F((X_{\cdot mt}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}})\right) ds + \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h(X_{xmt}(T)) dm(x)\right) + \mathbb{E}\left(F_{T}((X_{\cdot mt}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}})\right),$$

and

$$(6.6) D_X V(m,t) = Z_{xmt}(t).$$

There is another way to see the system (6.1). We use the lifting technique and the formulation of the distance of Wasserstein metric given by (2.2). To the measures m and m', we associate random variables \widehat{X}_m and $\widehat{X}_{m'}$, independent of \mathcal{F}_t , such that $\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{X}_m} = m$, $\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{X}_{m'}} = m'$, and

(6.7)
$$W_2^2(m,m') = \mathbb{E}\left(|X_m - X_{m'}|^2\right).$$

We then consider the analog of (6.1) as follows:

$$(6.8) \begin{cases} X_{\widehat{X}_{mt}}(s) = \widehat{X}_{m} + \int_{t}^{s} u_{\widehat{X}_{mt}}(\tau) d\tau + \sigma(w(s) - w(t)) + \beta(b(s) - b(t)), \\ - dZ_{\widehat{X}_{mt}}(s) = \left(l_{x}(X_{\widehat{X}_{mt}}(s), u_{\widehat{X}_{mt}}(s)) + D\frac{d}{d\nu}F((\mathcal{L}_{X_{\widehat{X}_{mt}}(s)})^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}})(X_{\widehat{X}_{mt}}(s))\right) ds \\ - \sum_{j=1}^{n} r_{\widehat{X}_{mt}}^{j}(s) dw_{j}(s) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \rho_{\widehat{X}_{mt}}^{j}(s) db_{j}(s), \\ Z_{\widehat{X}_{mt}}(T) = h_{x}(X_{\widehat{X}_{mt}}(T)) + D\frac{d}{d\nu}F_{T}((\mathcal{L}_{X_{\widehat{X}_{mt}}(T)})^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}})(X_{\widehat{X}_{mt}}(T)), \\ l_{v}(X_{\widehat{X}_{mt}}(s), u_{\widehat{X}_{mt}}(s)) + Z_{\widehat{X}_{mt}}(s) = 0. \end{cases}$$

This system is identical to (4.20), in which we have replaced X_x with \hat{X}_m . The interest of this formulation is that

(6.9)
$$\mathcal{L}_{X_{\widehat{X}_{mt}}(s)} = X_{\cdot mt}(s) \otimes m$$

Therefore we can write

(6.10)
$$V(m,t) = V(\widehat{X}_m,t),$$

with

(6.11)
$$V(\widehat{X}_m, t) = \int_t^T \mathbb{E}\left(l(X_{\widehat{X}_m t}(s), u_{\widehat{X}_m t}(s))\right) ds + \int_t^T \mathbb{E}\left(F((\mathcal{L}_{X_{\widehat{X}_m t}(s)})^{\mathcal{B}_t^s})\right) ds + \mathbb{E}\left(h(X_{\widehat{X}_m t}(T))\right) + \mathbb{E}\left(F_T((\mathcal{L}_{X_{\widehat{X}_m t}(T)})^{\mathcal{B}_t^T})\right).$$

As for (5.4) we have

(6.12)
$$D_X V(\hat{X}_m, t) = Z_{\hat{X}_m t}(t), \quad \mathbb{E}\left(|Z_{\hat{X}_m t}(t)|^2\right) \le C_T^2 (1 + \mathbb{E}(|\hat{X}_m|^2)).$$

Using

$$V(\widehat{X}_{m'},t) - V(\widehat{X}_m,t) = \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}\left(D_X V(\widehat{X}_m + \lambda(\widehat{X}_{m'} - \widehat{X}_m),t) \cdot (\widehat{X}_{m'} - \widehat{X}_m)\right) d\lambda$$

and the estimate (6.12), we can write:

$$|V(\hat{X}_{m'},t) - V(\hat{X}_{m},t)| \le C_T \left(1 + \mathbb{E}\left(|\hat{X}_{m}|^2\right) + \mathbb{E}\left(|\hat{X}_{m'} - \hat{X}_{m}|^2\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\mathbb{E}\left(|\hat{X}_{m} - \hat{X}_{m'}|^2\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which means

(6.14)

(6.13)
$$|V(m',t) - V(m,t)| \le C_T \left(1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^2 dm(x) + W_2^2(m,m') \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} W_2(m,m').$$

We have also, by (B.19),

$$\mathbb{E}\left(|X_{\widehat{X}_{m't}}(s) - X_{\widehat{X}_{mt}}(s)|^{2}\right) \leq C_{T}\mathbb{E}\left(|\widehat{X}_{m} - \widehat{X}_{m'}|^{2}\right),$$
$$\mathbb{E}\left(|u_{\widehat{X}_{m't}}(s) - u_{\widehat{X}_{mt}}(s)|^{2}\right) \leq C_{T}\mathbb{E}\left(|\widehat{X}_{m} - \widehat{X}_{m'}|^{2}\right).$$

6.2. FUNCTIONAL DERIVATIVE. We can then state the following:

Proposition 6.1. We assume (4.5), (4.6), (4.7), (4.8), (4.9), (4.10), (4.11), (4.12), (4.13), (4.14), (4.15), (4.16). We also assume (4.19). Then the value function V(m,t) has a functional derivative $\frac{d}{d\nu}V(m,t)(x)$, given by:

$$(6.15) \qquad \frac{d}{d\nu}V(m,t)(x) = \int_t^T \mathbb{E}\left(l(X_{xmt}(s), u_{xmt}(s))\right) ds + \int_t^T \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{dF}{d\nu}((X_{\cdot mt}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s})(X_{xmt}(s))\right) ds$$

(6.16)
$$+ \mathbb{E}\left(h(X_{xmt}(T))\right) + \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{dF_T}{d\nu}((X_{mt}(T)\otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^T})(X_{xmt}(T))\right),$$

and

(6.17)
$$D\frac{d}{d\nu}V(m,t)(x) = Z_{xmt}(t).$$

We can also write

(6.18)
$$\frac{d}{d\nu}V(m,t)(x) = \int_0^1 Z_{\theta x,mt}(t) \cdot xd\theta + C$$

The proof can be found in Appendix B.

More generally, we can write

(6.19)
$$Z_{xmt}(s) = D\frac{dV}{d\nu}((X_{.mt}(s)\otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s})(X_{xmt}(s)) = D_X \mathbb{E}\left(V((X_{.mt}(s)\otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s})\right).$$

Turning to the system (4.20), we can write

(6.20)
$$Z_{X,t}(s) = D_X \mathbb{E}\left(V((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s})\right).$$

We can then derive that the optimal control $u_{Xt}(s)$ is obtained by a feedback. Indeed, introduce the standard notation in optimal control:

(6.21) Lagrangian
$$L(x, v, p) = l(x, v) + v \cdot p$$

defined on $(\mathbb{R}^n)^3$, then from assumption (4.8), the function $v \mapsto L(x, v, p)$ is strictly convex and $\to +\infty$, as $|v| \to +\infty$. So it has a unique minimum u(x, p). The function $(x, p) \mapsto u(x, p)$ is C^1 , with formulas

(6.22)
$$u_x(x,p) = -(l_{vv}(x,u))^{-1}l_{vx}(x,u), \ u_p(x,p) = -(l_{vv}(x,u))^{-1}$$

and estimates

$$|u_x(x,p)| \le \frac{c_l}{\lambda}, \ |u_p(x,p)| \le \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

Next, we introduce the Hamiltonian:

(6.23)
$$H(x,p) = \inf_{v} L(x,v,p) = l(x,u(x,p)) + p \cdot u(x,p)$$

which is also C^1 , with formulas

(6.24)
$$H_x(x,p) = l_x(x,u(x,p)), \ H_p(x,p) = u(x,p).$$

Therefore, we can write the feedback rule:

(6.25)
$$u_{Xt}(s) = H_p\left(X_{Xt}(s), D_X \mathbb{E}\left(V((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s})\right)\right).$$

7. SECOND-ORDER DIFFERENTIABILITY

7.1. **DERIVATIVE OF** $D^2 \frac{d}{d\nu} V(m,t)(x)$.

Proposition 7.1. We make the assumptions of Proposition 6.1. Then the processes $X_{xmt}(s)$, $u_{xmt}(s)$, $Z_{zmt}(s)$, $r_{xmt}^{j}(s)$, $\rho_{xmt}^{j}(s)$ are continuously differentiable in x, in the sense $\int_{t}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left(\left|\frac{X_{x+\epsilon y,mt}(s) - X_{xmt}(s)}{\epsilon} - \mathcal{X}_{xmt}(s)y\right|^{2}\right) ds \to 0$

and similar definition for the other processes. The gradients denoted $\mathcal{X}_{xmt}(s)$, $\mathcal{U}_{xmt}(s)$, $\mathcal{Z}_{zmt}(s)$, $\mathcal{R}^{j}_{xmt}(s)$,

 $\Theta_{xmt}^{j}(s)$ are the unique solution of the system:

(7.1)
$$\mathcal{X}_{xmt}(s) = I + \int_{t} \mathcal{U}_{xmt}(\tau) d\tau,$$

$$(7.2) \begin{cases} l_{vx}(X_{xmt}(s), u_{xmt}(s))\mathcal{X}_{xmt}(s) + l_{vv}(X_{xmt}(s), u_{xmt}(s))\mathcal{U}_{xmt}(s) + \mathcal{Z}_{xmt}(s) = 0, \\ -d\mathcal{Z}_{xmt}(s) = \left[\left(l_{xx}(X_{xmt}(s), u_{xmt}(s)) + D^2 \frac{dF}{d\nu} ((X_{\cdot mt}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s}) (X_{xmt}(s)) \right) \mathcal{X}_{xmt}(s) + l_{xv}(X_{xmt}(s), u_{xmt}(s))\mathcal{U}_{xmt}(s) \right] ds - \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{R}_{xmt}^j(s) dw_j(s) - \sum_{i=1}^n \Theta_{xmt}^j(s) db_j(s), \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_{xmt}(T) = \left(h_{xx}(X_{xmt}(T)) + D^2 \frac{dF_T}{d\nu}((X_{mt}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^T})(X_{xmt}(T))\right) \mathcal{X}_{xmt}(T),$$

(7.4)
$$\int D^2 \frac{d}{d\nu} V(m,t)(x) = \mathcal{Z}_{xmt}(t).$$

The proof can be found in Appendix C.

Let $y \in \mathbb{R}$. We consider a linear quadratic control problem: the control is in $L^2_{\mathcal{F}_t}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m)$. For $\mathcal{V}_t(\cdot) \in$ $L^2_{\mathcal{F}_t}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m)$, the state $\mathcal{X}_{yt}(\cdot)$ is defined by

(7.5)
$$\mathcal{X}_{yt}(s) := y + \int_t^s \mathcal{V}_t(\tau) d\tau,$$

and the payoff is

$$\mathcal{J}_{xymt}(\mathcal{V}_{t}(\cdot)) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t}^{T} \mathbb{E} \bigg[\left(l_{xx}(X_{xmt}(s), u_{xmt}(s)) + D^{2} \frac{d}{d\nu} F((X_{xmt}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}})(X_{xmt}(s)) \right) \mathcal{X}_{yt}(s) \cdot \mathcal{X}_{yt}(s) + 2 l_{xv}(X_{xmt}(s), u_{xmt}(s)) \mathcal{V}_{t}(s) \cdot \mathcal{X}_{yt}(s) + l_{vv}(Y_{xmt}(s), u_{xmt}(s)) \mathcal{V}_{t}(s) \cdot \mathcal{V}_{t}(s) \bigg] ds (7.6) \qquad + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(h_{xx}(X_{xmt}(T)) + D^{2} \frac{d}{d\nu} F_{T}((X_{xmt}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}})(X_{xmt}(T)) \right) \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{X}t}(T) \cdot \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{X}t}(T) \bigg] .$$

The optimal control is $\mathcal{U}_{xmt}(s)y$ and the optimal state $\mathcal{X}_{xmt}(s)y$.

Proposition 7.2. We make the assumptions of Proposition 6.1. The function $(x, m, t) \mapsto D^2 \frac{d}{du} V(m, t)(x)$ satisfies

(7.7)
$$\left| D^2 \frac{d}{d\nu} V(m,t)(x) \right| \le C_T$$

The proof can be found in Appendix C.

7.2. EXISTENCE OF THE SECOND DERIVATIVE OF THE VALUE FUNCTION. We state the following important proposition:

Proposition 7.3. We make the assumptions of Proposition 6.1. The value function $V(X \otimes m, t)$ has a second-order Gâteaux derivative in $X \in \mathcal{H}_m$ independent of \mathcal{F}_t , denoted $D_X^2 V(X \otimes m, t) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_m; \mathcal{H}_m)$ and

(7.8)
$$||D_X^2 V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t)(\mathcal{X}_{\cdot})|| \le C_T ||\mathcal{X}||,$$

where C_T is a constant not depending on X, t, and m. In addition, we have the following continuity property: let $t_k \downarrow t$ and X_k, \mathcal{X}_k independent of \mathcal{F}_{t_k} converge to X_i, \mathcal{X}_i in \mathcal{H}_m , then

(7.9)
$$D_X^2 V(X_k \otimes m, t_k)(\mathcal{X}_k) \to D_X^2 V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t)(\mathcal{X}_{\cdot}) \text{ in } \mathcal{H}_m$$

The limits X, \mathcal{X} are independent of \mathcal{F}_t . We can give an explicit formula for the second-order Gâteaux derivative. Let $u_{Xt}(s)$, $X_{Xt}(s)$, $Z_{Xt}(s)$, $r_{Xt}^{j}(s)$, $\rho_{Xt}^{j}(s)$ be the solution of the system (4.20). We define $\mathcal{U}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s)$, $\mathcal{X}_{X.\mathcal{X}t}(s), \mathcal{Z}_{X.\mathcal{X}t}(s), \mathcal{R}^{j}_{X.\mathcal{X}t}(s), \Theta^{j}_{X.\mathcal{X}t}(s)$ to be the unique solution of the system:

$$(7.10)$$

$$\begin{cases}
\mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s) = \mathcal{X} + \int_{t}^{s} \mathcal{U}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(\tau) d\tau, \\
l_{vx}(X_{Xt}(s), u_{Xt}(s)) \mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s) + l_{vv}(X_{X,t}(s), u_{X,t}(s)) \mathcal{U}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s) + \mathcal{Z}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s) = 0, \\
- d\mathcal{Z}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s) = \left[l_{xx}(X_{X,t}(s), u_{X,t}(s)) \mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s) + l_{xv}(X_{Xt}(s), u_{Xt}(s)) \mathcal{U}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s) + D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}) \right) (\mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s)) \right] ds - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{R}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{j}(s) dw_{j}(s) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \Theta_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{j}(s) db_{j}(s), \\
\mathcal{Z}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(T) = h_{xx}(X_{X,t}(T)) \mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(T) + D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F_{T}((X_{X,t}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}}) \right) (\mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(T)).
\end{cases}$$

The random variables $\mathcal{U}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s)$, $\mathcal{X}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s)$, $\mathcal{Z}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s)$, $\mathcal{R}^{j}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s)$, $\Theta^{j}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s)$ are $\mathcal{F}^{s}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t} = \sigma(X_{\cdot},\mathcal{X}_{\cdot}) \cup \mathcal{F}^{s}_{t}$ measurable. They belong to $L^{2}_{\mathcal{F}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_{m})$, where $\mathcal{F}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}$ is the filtration generated by the σ -algebras $\mathcal{F}^{s}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}$. Then

(7.11)
$$D_X^2 V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t)(\mathcal{X}_{\cdot}) = \mathcal{Z}_{X_{\cdot} \mathcal{X}_{\cdot} t}(t).$$

The proof can be found in Appendix C.

7.3. CASE WHEN \mathcal{X} IS INDEPENDENT OF X. In this section, we consider the characterization of $D_X^2 V(X \otimes m, t)(\mathcal{X})$ when \mathcal{X} is independent of X. It is assumed that \mathcal{X} is independent of the filtration \mathcal{F}_t . Therefore, \mathcal{X} is also independent of the filtration \mathcal{F}_{Xt} . We then have the following proposition:

Proposition 7.4. We make the assumptions of Proposition 7.3 and \mathcal{X} independent of the filtration \mathcal{F}_{Xt} , with $\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{X}) = 0$. Then the system (7.10) becomes (7.12) $\mathcal{X}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s) = \mathcal{X} + \int_{t}^{s} \mathcal{U}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(\tau) d\tau$, (7.13) $l_{vx}(X_{Xt}(s), u_{Xt}(s)) \mathcal{X}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s) + l_{vv}(X_{Xt}(s), u_{Xt}(s)) \mathcal{U}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s) + \mathcal{Z}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s) = 0$, $-d\mathcal{Z}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s) = \left[\left(l_{xx}(X_{Xt}(s), u_{Xt}(s)) + D^2 \frac{dF}{d\nu} ((X_{Xt}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}^s_t}) (X_{Xt}(s)) \right) \mathcal{X}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s) + l_{xv}(X_{Xt}(s), u_{Xt}(s)) \mathcal{U}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s) \right] ds - \sum_{j=1}^n \mathcal{R}^j_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s) dw_j(s) - \sum_{j=1}^n \Theta^j_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s) db_j(s)$,

(7.15)
$$\mathcal{Z}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(T) = \left(h_{xx}(X_{Xt}(T)) + D^2 \frac{dF_T}{d\nu}((X_{Xt}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^T})(X_{Xt}(T))\right) \mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(T).$$

Proof. It is sufficient to show that

(7.16)
$$D^2 \frac{dF}{d\nu} ((X_{Xt}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s}) \mathcal{X}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(s) = D_X^2 \mathbb{E} \left(F((X_{Xt}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s}) \right) (\mathcal{X}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(s))$$

From formula (2.39), we have

since \mathbb{E}

(7.17)
$$\mathbb{E}^{1\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} DD_{1} \frac{d^{2}F}{d\nu^{2}} ((X_{Xt}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}) (X_{Xt}(s), X_{X^{1}t}^{1}(s)) \mathcal{X}_{X^{1}\chi^{1}t}^{1}(s) dm(x^{1})\right) = 0$$

since χ^1 is independent of the filtration \mathcal{F}_{X^1t} and $\mathcal{X}^1_{X^1\chi^1t}(s)$ can be written as $\mathcal{X}^1_{X^1t}(s)(\chi^1)$, where $\mathcal{X}^1_{X^1t}(s)(\cdot) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{X^1t}}(t,T;\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_m;\mathcal{H}_m))$. So χ^1 is independent of $X^1_{X^1t}(\cdot)$ and $\mathcal{X}^1_{X^1t}(\cdot)(\cdot)$. Therefore, the left hand side of (7.17) is

$$\mathbb{E}^{1\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} DD_{1} \frac{d^{2}F}{d\nu^{2}} ((X_{Xt}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}})(X_{Xt}(s), X_{X^{1}t}^{1}(s))\mathcal{X}_{X^{1}t}^{1}(s)(\mathbb{E}^{1}(\chi^{1}))dm(x^{1})\right) = 0$$

$$\square$$

7.4. SECOND-ORDER FUNCTIONAL DERIVATIVE OF THE VALUE FUNCTION. We want to compute the second-order functional derivative of the value function $\frac{d^2}{d\nu^2}V(m,t)(x,x^1)$. We know that it is sufficient to obtain the second gradient $D_1D_2\frac{d^2}{d\nu^2}V(m,t)(x,x^1)$. We can use the formula (2.23). If $x \mapsto y_x$ is in $L^2_m(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R}^n)$ then we can write

(7.18)
$$D_X^2 V(m,t)(y_{\cdot}) = D^2 \frac{d}{d\nu} V(m,t)(x) y_x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} DD_1 \frac{d^2}{d\nu^2} V(m,t)(x,x^1) y_{x^1} dm(x^1) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} DD_1 \frac{d^2}{d\nu^2} V(m,t)(x,x^1) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} DD_1 \frac{d^2}{d\nu^2} V(m,t)(x,t) d$$

Consider $\mathcal{U}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s)$, $\mathcal{X}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s)$, $\mathcal{Z}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s)$, $\mathcal{R}^{j}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s)$, $\Theta^{j}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s)$ with $X_{\cdot} = J_{\cdot}, \mathcal{X}_{\cdot} = y_{\cdot}$. Since they represent the value of random linear operators from $L^{2}_{m}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ into itself, we shall represent them with kernels denoted $u_{mt}(x, x^{1}, s)$, $X_{mt}(x, x^{1}, s)$, $Z_{mt}(x, x^{1}, s)$, $r^{j}_{mt}(x, x^{1}, s)$, $\rho^{j}_{mt}(x, x^{1}, s)$. In particular,

(7.19)
$$(D_X^2 V(m,t)(y_{\cdot}))_x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Z_{mt}(x,x^1,t) y_{x^1} dm(x^1).$$

We need to introduce the identity operator on $L^2_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$, represented formally by the kernel $I_m(x, x^1)$, so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} I_m(x, x^1) y_{x^1} dm(x^1) = y_x.$$

Formally $I_m(x, x^1) = \delta(x - x^1)$. The system (7.10) yields

$$\begin{cases} X_{mk}(\mathcal{I}(20)^{1}, s) = I_{m}(x, x^{1}) + \int_{t}^{s} u_{mt}(x, x^{1}, \tau) d\tau, \\ l_{vx}((\mathcal{X},21)(s), u_{xmt}(s))X_{mt}(x, x^{1}, s) + l_{vv}(X_{xmt}(s), u_{xmt}(s))u_{mt}(x, x^{1}, s) + Z_{mt}(x, x^{1}, s) = 0, \\ -d\mathcal{U}_{n2}(\mathcal{U}), x^{1}, s) = \left[l_{xx}(X_{xmt}(s), u_{xmt}(s))X_{mt}(x, x^{1}, s) + l_{xv}(X_{xmt}(s), u_{xmt}(s))u_{mt}(x, x^{1}, s) + D^{2}\frac{dF}{d\nu}((X_{.mt}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}})(X_{xmt}(s))X_{mt}(x, x^{1}, s) + L^{1\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} DD_{1}\frac{d^{2}F}{d\nu^{2}}((X_{.mt}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}})(X_{xmt}(s), X_{\eta mt}^{1}(s))X_{mt}^{1}(\eta, x^{1}, s)dm(\eta)\right)\right] ds \\ -\sum_{j=1}^{n} r_{mt}^{j}(x, x^{1}, s)dw_{j}(s) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \rho_{mt}^{j}(x, x^{1}, s)db_{j}(s), \\ Z_{mt}(x, x^{1}, T) = h_{xx}(X_{xmt}(T), u_{xmt}(T))X_{mt}(x, x^{1}, T) \\ + D^{2}\frac{dF}{d\nu}((X_{.mt}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}})(X_{xmt}(T))X_{mt}(x, x^{1}, T) \\ + \mathbb{E}^{1\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} DD_{1}\frac{d^{2}F_{T}}{d\nu^{2}}((X_{.mt}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}})(X_{xmt}(T), X_{\eta mt}^{1}(T))X_{mt}^{1}(\eta, x^{1}, T)dm(\eta)\right). \end{cases}$$

From (7.11), (7.18), and (7.19), we can write

(7.23)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Z_{mt}(x, x^1, t) y_{x^1} dm(x^1) = D^2 \frac{d}{d\nu} V(m, t)(x) y_x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} DD_1 \frac{d^2}{d\nu^2} V(m, t)(x, x^1) y_{x^1} dm(x^1) = \mathcal{Z}_{xmt}(t) y_x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} DD_1 \frac{d^2}{d\nu^2} V(m, t)(x, x^1) y_{x^1} dm(x^1).$$

So we obtain the formula:

(7.24)
$$Z_{mt}(x, x^1, t) = \mathcal{Z}_{xmt}(t)I_m(x, x^1) + DD_1 \frac{d^2}{d\nu^2} V(m, t)(x, x^1).$$

We introduce, more generally,

(7.25)

$$\overline{X}_{mt}(x, x^{1}, s) := X_{mt}(x, x^{1}, s) - \mathcal{X}_{xmt}(s)I_{m}(x, x^{1}), \\
\overline{u}_{mt}(x, x^{1}, s) := u_{mt}(x, x^{1}, s) - \mathcal{U}_{xmt}(s)I_{m}(x, x^{1}), \\
\overline{Z}_{mt}(x, x^{1}, s) := Z_{mt}(x, x^{1}, s) - \mathcal{Z}_{xmt}(s)I_{m}(x, x^{1}), \\
\overline{r}_{mt}^{j}(x, x^{1}, s) := r_{mt}^{j}(x, x^{1}, s) - \mathcal{R}_{xmt}^{j}(s)I_{m}(x, x^{1}), \\
\overline{\rho}_{mt}^{j}(x, x^{1}, s) := \rho_{mt}^{j}(x, x^{1}, s) - \Theta_{xmt}^{j}(s)I_{m}(x, x^{1}).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Combining (7.20), (7.21), (7.22) with (7.1), (7.2), (7.3) we obtain the system:} \\ \left[\overline{\mathcal{X}}_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{x}(x, x^{1}, s) = \int_{t}^{s} \overline{u}_{mt}(x, x^{1}, \tau) d\tau, \\ (\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{x}^{*}_{x}^{*}_{x}^{*}_{x})_{xmt}(s), u_{xmt}(s)) \overline{\mathcal{X}}_{mt}(x, x^{1}, s) + l_{vv}(X_{xmt}(s), u_{xmt}(s)) \overline{u}_{mt}(x, x^{1}, s) + \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{mt}(x, x^{1}, s) = 0, \\ (7d\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{pnt}(x, x^{1}, s) = \left[l_{xx}(X_{xmt}(s), u_{xmt}(s)) \overline{\mathcal{X}}_{mt}(x, x^{1}, s) + l_{xv}(X_{xmt}(s), u_{xmt}(s)) \overline{u}_{mt}(x, x^{1}, s) + D^{2} \frac{dF}{d\nu}((X_{mt}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}})(X_{xmt}(s)) \overline{\mathcal{X}}_{mt}(x, x^{1}, s) \\ &+ D^{2} \frac{dF}{d\nu}((X_{mt}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}})(X_{xmt}(s)) \overline{\mathcal{X}}_{mt}(s), \overline{\mathcal{X}}_{mt}^{1}(s)) \overline{\mathcal{X}}_{mt}^{1}(\eta, x^{1}, s) dm(\eta) \right) \\ &+ \mathbb{E}^{1B_{t}^{s}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} DD_{1} \frac{d^{2}F}{d\nu^{2}}((X_{mt}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}})(X_{xmt}(s), X_{nmt}^{1}(s)) \right) \right] ds \\ &- \sum_{j=1}^{n} \overline{r}_{mt}^{j}(x, x^{1}, s) dw_{j}(s) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \overline{\rho}_{mt}^{j}(x, x^{1}, s) db_{j}(s), \\ \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{mt}(x, x^{1}, T) = h_{xx}(X_{xmt}(T), u_{xmt}(T)) \overline{\mathcal{X}}_{mt}(x, x^{1}, T) \\ &+ D^{2} \frac{dF_{T}}{d\nu}((X_{mt}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}})(X_{xmt}(T)) \overline{\mathcal{X}}_{mt}(x, x^{1}, T) \\ &+ \mathbb{E}^{1B_{t}^{s}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} DD_{1} \frac{d^{2}F_{T}}{d\nu^{2}}((X_{mt}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}})(X_{xmt}(T), X_{nmt}^{1}(T)) \overline{\mathcal{X}}_{mt}^{1}(\eta, x^{1}, T) dm(\eta) \right) \\ (7.29) &+ \mathbb{E}^{1B_{t}^{T}} \left(DD_{1} \frac{d^{2}F_{T}}{d\nu^{2}}((X_{mt}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}})(X_{xmt}(T), X_{n}^{1}_{mt}(T)) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and we have

(7.30)

$$\overline{Z}_{mt}(x,x^1,t) = D_1 D_2 \frac{d^2}{d\nu^2} V(m,t)(x,x^1).$$

Using the estimate (7.8) and the relation (7.18) we can state

(7.31)
$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} DD_1 \frac{d^2}{d\nu^2} V(m,t)(x,x^1) y_x \cdot y_{x^1} dm(x) dm(x^1) \right| \le C_T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y_x|^2 dm(x).$$
Since u_r is arbitrary, we can state

Since y_x is arbitrary, we can state

(7.32)
$$\left| DD_1 \frac{d^2}{d\nu^2} V(m,t)(x,x^1) \right| \le C_T$$

A rigorous proof can be obtained by using the system (7.26), (7.27), (7.28), (7.29), and proceeding as in the proof of Proposition 7.2. As a consequence the function $(x,m) \mapsto D \frac{d}{d\nu} V(m,t)$ is continuous. From (5.7) we also know that the function $t \mapsto D \frac{d}{d\nu} V(m, t)$ is continuous.

7.5. BELLMAN EQUATION. We can now state the following:

Theorem 7.5. We make the assumptions of Proposition 6.1, the value function $V(X \otimes m, t)$ is solution of the Bellman equation:

$$(7.33) \qquad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial \hat{V}}{\partial t} (X \otimes m, t) + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H(X_{\cdot}, D_X V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t)) \, dm(x) \right) + F(X_{\cdot} \otimes m) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\langle D_X^2 V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t) (\sigma N_t), \sigma N_t \right\rangle + \frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\langle D_X^2 V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t) (e^j), e^j \right\rangle = 0, \ a.e. \ t; \\ V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m, T) = \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(X_x) dm(x) \right) + F_T(X_{\cdot} \otimes m), \end{cases}$$

where N_t is a standard Gaussian variable in \mathbb{R}^n independent of X. Among functions which satisfy the regularity properties of the value function, it is the only one solution.

The proof can be found in Appendix C.

7.6. BELLMAN EQUATION AT X = J. When $X = J_{\cdot}$, we have

$$D_X V(m,t) = D \frac{d}{d\nu} V(m,t)(x),$$

$$D_X^2 V(m,t)(Y) = D^2 \frac{d}{d\nu} V(m,t)(x) Y_x + \mathbb{E}^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D D_1 \frac{d^2}{d\nu^2} V(m,t)(x,x^1) Y_{x^1}^1 dm(x^1) \right).$$

Therefore,

$$D_X^2 V(m,t)(\sigma N_t) = D^2 \frac{d}{d\nu} V(m,t)(x) \sigma N_t,$$

$$D_X^2 V(m,t)(e^j) = D^2 \frac{d}{d\nu} V(m,t)(x) e^j + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} DD_1 \frac{d^2}{d\nu^2} V(m,t)(x,x^1) e^j dm(x^1),$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\langle D_X^2 V(m,t)(\sigma N_t), \sigma N_t \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \operatorname{tr} \left(D^2 \frac{d}{d\nu} V(m,t)(x) \sigma \sigma^* \right) dm(x),$$

$$\frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\langle D_X^2 V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m,t)(e^j), e^j \right\rangle = \frac{\beta^2}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Delta \frac{d}{d\nu} V(m,t)(x) dm(x) + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_j D_{1j} \frac{d^2}{d\nu^2} V(m,t)(x,x^1) dm(x) dm(x^1) \right)$$
The Bellman equation reads:

$$(7.34) \begin{cases} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}(m,t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} H\left(x, D\frac{d}{d\nu}V(m,t)(x)\right) dm(x) + F(m) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \operatorname{tr}\left(D^{2}\frac{d}{d\nu}V(m,t)(x)\sigma\sigma^{*}\right) dm(x) \\ + \frac{\beta^{2}}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Delta \frac{d}{d\nu}V(m,t)(x)dm(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} D_{j}D_{1j}\frac{d^{2}}{d\nu^{2}}V(m,t)(x,x^{1})dm(x)dm(x^{1})\right) = 0, \\ V(m,T) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h(x)dm(x) + F_{T}(m). \end{cases}$$

8. THE MASTER EQUATION

8.1. **OBTAINING THE MASTER EQUATION.** The Bellman equation (7.33) links the value function $V(X \otimes m, t)$ and its first- and second-order gradients $D_X V(X \otimes m, t)$, $D_X^2 V(X \otimes m, t)$, as well as the time derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}V(X \otimes m, t)$. It is a partial differential equation defined on $\mathcal{H}_m \times (0, T)$. Recall that $(X, t) \mapsto D_X V(X \otimes m, t)$ takes $\mathcal{H}_m \times (0, T)$ into itself, with $D_X V(X \otimes m, t)$ being $\sigma(X)$ -measurable. Similarly, the mapping $(X, t) \mapsto D_X^2 V(X \otimes m, t)$ takes $\mathcal{H}_m \times (0, T)$ into $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_m, \mathcal{H}_m)$, where $D_X^2 V(X \otimes m, t)(Y) \in \mathcal{H}_m$ is $\sigma(X, Y)$ -measurable.

It is well-known that with the Bellman equation, there exists an equation for the gradient of the value function that does not include the value function itself. This equation is derived by taking the gradient of the Bellman equation. Rather than being a scalar equation, it forms a system of equations. This feature also applies to the Bellman equation (7.33). By taking the gradient in X. formally we obtain:

$$\begin{cases} 8.1) \\ \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} D_X V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t) + H_x(X_{\cdot}, D_X V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t)) + D_X^2 V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t) (H_p(X_{\cdot}, D_X V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t))) + D_X F(X_{\cdot} \otimes m) \\ + \frac{1}{2} D_X \left\langle D_X^2 V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t) (\sigma N_t), \sigma N_t \right\rangle + \frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n D_X \left\langle D_X^2 V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t) (e^j), e^j \right\rangle = 0, \text{ a.e. } t, \\ D_X V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m, T) = h_x(X_x) + D_X F_T(X_{\cdot} \otimes m), \end{cases}$$

where N_t is a standard Gaussian random variable independent of X.

8.2. DEVELOPED FORMULAS. The key function in the following formulas is

(8.2)
$$U(x,m,t) = \frac{d}{d\nu}V(m,t)(x).$$

We then consider the functional derivative of U(x,m,t), namely

(8.3)
$$\frac{d}{d\nu}U(x,m,t)(x^{1}) = \frac{d^{2}}{d\nu^{2}}V(m,t)(x,x^{1}),$$

and also the second-order functional derivative:

(8.4)
$$\frac{d^2}{d\nu^2}U(x,m,t)(x^1,x^2) = \frac{d^3}{d\nu^3}V(m,t)(x,x^1,x^2).$$

We shall use also the notation D for the gradient in x, D_1 for the gradient with respect to x^1 and D_2 for the gradient with respect to x^2 . The variables x, x^1, x^2 may not be listed in that order. Let the reader not confuse D_2 with D^2 . According to formula (2.22) we thus have: (8.5)

$$\begin{split} \left\langle D_X^2 V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t)(Y_{\cdot}), Y_{\cdot} \right\rangle &= \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D^2 U(X_x, X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t) Y_x \cdot Y_x dm(x) \right) \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{E}^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D D_1 \frac{d}{d\nu} U(X_x, X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t) (X_{x^1}^1) Y_{x^1}^1 \cdot Y_x dm(x^1) \right) dm(x) \right), \end{split}$$

where $X_{x^1}^1, Y_{x^1}^1$ is an independent copy of X_x, Y_x .

We first take $Y_{\cdot} = \sigma N_t$, to obtain, since N_t is independent of X_{\cdot} ,

(8.6)
$$\left\langle D_X^2 V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t)(\sigma N_t), \sigma N_t \right\rangle = \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \operatorname{tr}\left(D^2 U(X_x, X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t)\sigma\sigma^* \right) dm(x) \right)$$

and also

(8.7)

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\langle D_X^2 V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t)(e_j), e_j \right\rangle &= \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Delta U(X_x, X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t) dm(x) \right) \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{E}^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{\substack{j=1\\29}}^n D_j D_{1j} \frac{d}{d\nu} U(X_x, X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t)(X_{x^1}^1) dm(x^1) \right) dm(x) \right). \end{split}$$

8.3. FURTHER COMPUTATIONS. We can then compute

$$\left\langle D_X \left(\left\langle D_X^2 V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t)(\sigma N_t), \sigma N_t \right\rangle \right), Z_{\cdot} \right\rangle = \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D\left(\operatorname{tr}(D^2 U(X_x, X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t)\sigma\sigma^*) \right) \cdot Z_x dm(x) \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{E}^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(D_1^2 \frac{d}{d\nu} U(X_{x^1}^1, X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t)(X_x)\sigma\sigma^* \right) \right) \cdot Z_x dm_1(x) \right) dm(x) \right).$$
(8.8)

Thus,

$$D_X\Big(\left\langle D_X^2 V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t)(\sigma N_t), \sigma N_t \right\rangle\Big) = D\left(\operatorname{tr}(D^2 U(X_x, X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t)\sigma\sigma^*)\right) \\ + \mathbb{E}^1\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(D_1^2 \frac{d}{d\nu} U(X_x, X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t)(X_{x^1}^1)\sigma\sigma^*\right)\right) dm(x^1)\right).$$

Similarly,

$$\left\langle D_X \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \left\langle D_X^2 V(X, \otimes m, t)(e_j), e_j \right\rangle \right), Z_{\cdot} \right\rangle = \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D(\Delta U(X_x, X, \otimes m, t)) \cdot Z_x dm(x) \right) \\ + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{E}^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D\left(\Delta_1 \frac{d}{d\nu} U(X_x, X, \otimes m, t)(X_{x^1}^1) \right) \cdot Z_x dm(x^1) \right) dm(x) \right) \\ + 2\mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{E}^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D\left(\sum_{j=1}^n D_j D_{1j} \frac{d}{d\nu} U(X_x, X, \otimes m, t)(X_{x^1}^1) \right) \cdot Z_x dm(x^1) \right) dm(x) \right)$$

$$(8.10)$$

(8.10)

$$+ \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{E}^1\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{E}^2\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D\left(\sum_{j=1}^n D_{1j} D_{2j} \frac{d^2}{d\nu^2} U(X_{x^1}^1, X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t)(X_{x^2}^2, X_x)\right) \cdot Z_x dm(x^2)\right) dm(x^1)\right) dm(x)\right).$$
efore,

There

$$D_X\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \left\langle D_X^2 V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t)(e_j), e_j \right\rangle \right) = D(\Delta U(X_x, X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t))$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D\left(\Delta_1 \frac{d}{d\nu} U(X_x, X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t)(X_{x^1}^1) \right) dm(x^1) \right)$$

$$+ 2\mathbb{E}^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D\left(\sum_{j=1}^n D_j D_{1j} \frac{d}{d\nu} U(X_x, X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t)(X_{x^1}^1) \right) dm(x^1) \right)$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{E}^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D\left(\sum_{j=1}^n D_{1j} D_{2j} \frac{d^2}{d\nu^2} U(X_{x^1}^1, X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t)(X_{x^2}^2, X_x) \right) dm(x^2) \right) dm(x^1) \right).$$
(8.11)

8.4. **MASTER EQUATION.** Going back to (8.1) we first note, with formula (8.5),

$$D_X^2 V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t) (H_p(X_{\cdot}, D_X V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t))) = D^2 U(X_x, X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t) H_p(X_x, DU(X_x, X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t)) + \mathbb{E}^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D\left(D_1 \frac{d}{d\nu} U(X_x, X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t) (X_{x^1}^1) \right) H_p(X_{x^1}^1, DU(X_{x^1}^1, X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t)) dm(x^1) \right).$$
(8.12)

Collecting results, the master equation (8.1) yields:

$$\begin{cases} (8.13) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} DU(X_x, X. \otimes m, t) + DH(X_x, DU(X_x, X. \otimes m, t)) \\ + D^2 U(X_x, X. \otimes m, t) H_p(X_x, DU(X_x, X. \otimes m, t)) + D\frac{dF}{d\nu}(X. \otimes m)(X_x) \\ + \mathbb{E}^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D\left(D_1 \frac{d}{d\nu} U(X_x, X. \otimes m, t)(X_{x^1}^1) \right) H_p(X_{x^1}^1, DU(X_{x^1}^1, X. \otimes m, t)) dm(x^1) \right) \\ + \frac{1}{2} D\left(\operatorname{tr}(D^2 U(X_x, X. \otimes m, t)\sigma\sigma^*) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(D_1^2 \frac{d}{d\nu} U(X_x, X. \otimes m, t)(X_{x^1}^1)\sigma\sigma^* \right) \right) dm(x^1) \right) \\ + \frac{\beta^2}{2} D(\Delta U(X_x, X. \otimes m, t)) + \frac{\beta^2}{2} \mathbb{E}^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D\left(\Delta_1 \frac{d}{d\nu} U(X_x, X. \otimes m, t)(X_{x^1}^1) \right) dm(x^1) \right) \\ + \beta^2 \mathbb{E}^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D\left(\sum_{j=1}^n D_j D_{1j} \frac{d}{d\nu} U(X_x, X. \otimes m, t)(X_{x^1}^1) \right) dm(x^1) \right) \\ + \frac{\beta^2}{2} \mathbb{E}^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{E}^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D\left(\sum_{j=1}^n D_{1j} D_{2j} \frac{d^2}{d\nu^2} U(X_{x^1}^1, X. \otimes m, t)(X_{x^2}^2, X_x) \right) dm(x^2) \right) dm(x^1) \right) = 0, \\ DU(X_x, X. \otimes m, T) = Dh(X_x) + D\frac{dF_T}{d\nu} (X. \otimes m)(X_x). \end{cases}$$

8.5. **THE CASE**
$$X. = J.$$
 In the case $X. = J.$, we obtain:

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}DU(x, m, t) + DH(x, DU(x, m, t)) + D^{2}U(x, m, t)H_{p}(x, DU(x, m, t)) + D\frac{dF}{d\nu}(m)(x) \\
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} D\left(D_{1}\frac{d}{d\nu}U(x, m, t)(x^{1})\right)H_{p}(x^{1}, DU(x^{1}, m, t))dm(x^{1}) \\
+ \frac{1}{2}D\left(\operatorname{tr}(D^{2}U(x, m, t)\sigma\sigma^{*})\right) + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} D\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(D_{1}^{2}\frac{d}{d\nu}U(x, m, t)(x^{1})\sigma\sigma^{*}\right)\right)dm(x^{1}) \\
+ \frac{\beta^{2}}{2}D(\Delta U(x, m, t)) + \frac{\beta^{2}}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} D\left(\Delta_{1}\frac{d}{d\nu}U(x, m, t)(x^{1})\right)dm(x^{1}) \\
+ \beta^{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} D\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}D_{j}D_{1j}\frac{d}{d\nu}U(x, m, t)(x^{1})\right)dm(x^{1}) \\
+ \frac{\beta^{2}}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} D\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}D_{1j}D_{2j}\frac{d^{2}}{d\nu^{2}}U(x^{1}, m, t)(x^{2}, x)\right)dm(x^{2})dm(x^{1}) = 0,\\
DU(x, m, T) = Dh(x) + D\frac{dF_{T}}{d\nu}(m)(x).
\end{cases}$$

We recognize that (8.14) is the gradient of a scalar equation, which is the equation for
$$U(x, m, t)$$
, i.e.

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}U(x, m, t) + H(x, DU(x, m, t)) + \frac{dF}{d\nu}(m)(x) \\
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} D_{1}\frac{d}{d\nu}U(x, m, t)(x^{1})H_{p}(x^{1}, DU(x^{1}, m, t))dm(x^{1}) \\
+ \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(D^{2}U(x, m, t)\sigma\sigma^{*}) + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(\mathrm{tr}\left(D_{1}^{2}\frac{d}{d\nu}U(x, m, t)(x^{1})\sigma\sigma^{*}\right)\right)dm(x^{1}) \\
+ \frac{\beta^{2}}{2}\Delta U(x, m, t) + \frac{\beta^{2}}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Delta_{1}\frac{d}{d\nu}U(x, m, t)(x^{1})dm(x^{1}) \\
+ \beta^{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} D_{j}D_{1j}\frac{d}{d\nu}U(x, m, t)(x^{1})\right)dm(x^{1}) \\
+ \frac{\beta^{2}}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} D_{1j}D_{2j}\frac{d^{2}}{d\nu^{2}}U(x, m, t)(x^{1}, x^{2})\right)dm(x^{2})dm(x^{1}) = 0,
\end{cases}$$
(8.15)

Equation (8.15) is commonly called the Master equation.

8.6. **JUSTIFICATION.** The above calculations are justified if the functions $X_{\cdot} \mapsto \langle D_X^2 V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t)(\sigma N_t), \sigma N_t \rangle$ and $X_{\cdot} \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^n \langle D_X^2 V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t)(e_j), e_j \rangle$ have a Gâteaux differential. We need additional regularity assumptions. We assume:

(8.16)
$$l_{xxx}(x,v), l_{xxv}(x,v), l_{xvv}(x,v), l_{vvv}(x,v)$$
 continuous and bounded,

(8.17)
$$(x,m) \mapsto D^3 \frac{dF'}{d\nu}(m)(x)$$
, continuous and bounded,

(8.18)
$$(x,m) \mapsto D^2 D_1 \frac{d^2 F}{d\nu^2}(m)(x,x^1), D D_1^2 \frac{d^2 F}{d\nu^2}(m)(x,x^1), \text{ continuous and bounded},$$

(8.19)
$$(x,m) \mapsto DD_1D_2 \frac{d^3F}{d\nu^3}(m)(x,x^1,x^2)$$
, continuous and bounded.

We then have:

Proposition 8.1. We make the assumptions 7.5 and (8.16), (8.17), (8.18), (8.19). Then the functions $X_{\cdot} \mapsto \langle D_X^2 V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t)(\sigma N_t), \sigma N_t \rangle$ and $X_{\cdot} \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^n \langle D_X^2 V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t)(e_j), e_j \rangle$ have a Gâteaux differential.

The proof can be found in Appendix D.

A.1. **PROOF OF LEMMA 4.1.** Consider a control $v_{X,t}(s) + \theta \tilde{v}_{X,t}(s)$, the corresponding state is $X_{X,t}(s) + \theta \tilde{v}_{X,t}(s)$ $\theta \int_t^s \tilde{v}_{X,t}(\tau) d\tau$. We first check that

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{d\theta} J_{X.t}(v_{Xt}(\cdot) + \theta \tilde{v}_{X.t}(\cdot)) \Big|_{\theta=0} &= \int_{t}^{T} \langle l_{v}(X_{X.t}(s), v_{X.t}(s)), \tilde{v}_{X.t}(s) \rangle ds \\ &+ \int_{t}^{T} \left\langle l_{x}(X_{X.t}(s), v_{X.t}(s)) + D_{X} \mathbb{E} \left(F((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}) \right), \int_{t}^{s} \tilde{v}_{X.t}(\tau) d\tau \right\rangle ds \\ &+ \left\langle h_{x}(X_{X.t}(T)) + D_{X} \mathbb{E} \left(F_{T}((X_{X.t}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}}) \right), \int_{t}^{T} \tilde{v}_{X.t}(\tau) d\tau \right\rangle . \end{split}$$
 Then

Then

$$\begin{split} &\int_{t}^{T} \left\langle l_{x}(X_{X.t}(s), v_{X.t}(s)) + D_{X} \mathbb{E} \left(F((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}) \right), \int_{t}^{s} \widetilde{v}_{X.t}(\tau) d\tau \right\rangle ds \\ &+ \left\langle h_{x}(X_{X.t}(T)) + D_{X} \mathbb{E} \left(F_{T}((X_{X.t}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}}) \right), \int_{t}^{s} \widetilde{v}_{X.t}(\tau) d\tau \right\rangle \\ &= \int_{t}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathbb{E} \left[\left\langle l_{x}(X_{\eta t}(s), v_{\eta t}(s)) + D \frac{dF}{d\nu}((X_{\cdot,t}(s) \otimes (X_{\cdot} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}})(X_{\eta t}(s)), \int_{t}^{s} \widetilde{v}_{\eta t}(\tau) d\tau \right\rangle \right] d(X_{\cdot} \otimes m)(\eta) ds \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathbb{E} \left[\left\langle h_{x}(X_{\eta t}(T)) + D \frac{dF_{T}}{d\nu}((X_{\cdot,t}(T) \otimes (X_{\cdot} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}})(X_{\eta t}(T)), \int_{t}^{T} \widetilde{v}_{\eta t}(\tau) d\tau \right\rangle \right] d(X_{\cdot} \otimes m)(\eta) . \end{split}$$
Define $\Gamma_{\eta t}$ by

(A.2)

$$\Gamma_{\eta t} := \int_{t}^{T} \left(l_{x}(X_{\eta t}(s), v_{\eta t}(s)) + D \frac{dF}{d\nu} \left((X_{\cdot, t}(s) \otimes (X_{\cdot} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}} \right) (X_{\eta t}(s)) \right) ds$$

$$+ h_{x}(X_{\eta t}(T)) + D \frac{dF_{T}}{d\nu} \left((X_{\cdot, t}(T) \otimes (X_{\cdot} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}} \right) (X_{\eta t}(T)).$$

 $\Gamma_{\eta t}$ is \mathcal{F}_t^T -measurable. By the martingale representation theorem we can write

(A.3)
$$\mathbb{E}(\Gamma_{\eta t}|\mathcal{F}_t^s) = \mathbb{E}(\Gamma_{\eta t}) + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_t^s r_{\eta t}^j(\tau) dw^j(\tau) + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_t^s \rho_{\eta t}^j(\tau) db^j(\tau).$$

Define

(A.4)
$$Z_{\eta t}(s) := \mathbb{E}(\Gamma_{\eta t} | \mathcal{F}_{t}^{s}) - \int_{t}^{s} \left(l_{x}(X_{\eta t}(\tau), v_{\eta t}(\tau)) + D \frac{dF}{d\nu} \left((X_{\cdot, t}(\tau) \otimes (X_{\cdot} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{\tau}} \right) (X_{\eta t}(\tau)) \right) d\tau.$$

Then Z $_{t}(s)$ is unique solution of the backward SDE:

Then $Z_{\eta t}(s)$ is unique solution of the backward SDE:

$$\begin{cases} (A.5) \\ -dZ_{\eta t}(s) = \left(l_x(X_{\eta t}(s), v_{\eta t}(s)) + D\frac{dF}{d\nu} \left((X_{\cdot, t}(s) \otimes (X_{\cdot} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_t^s} \right) (X_{\eta t}(s)) \right) ds - \sum_{j=1}^n r_{\eta t}^j(s) dw^j(s) - \sum_{j=1}^n \rho_{\eta t}^j(s) db^j(s), \\ Z_{\eta t}(T) = h_x(X_{\eta t}(T)) + D\frac{dF_T}{d\nu} \left((X_{\cdot, t}(T) \otimes (X_{\cdot} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_t^T} \right) (X_{\eta t}(T)), \\ \text{and} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (A.6) \\ & \int_{t}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(l_{x}(X_{\eta t}(s), v_{\eta t}(s)) + D \frac{dF}{d\nu} ((X_{\cdot, t}(s) \otimes (X_{\cdot} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}})(X_{\eta t}(s)) \right) \cdot \int_{t}^{s} \widetilde{v}_{\eta t}(\tau) d\tau \right] d(X_{\cdot} \otimes m)(\eta) ds \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(h_{x}(X_{\eta t}(T)) + D \frac{dF_{T}}{d\nu} \left((X_{\cdot, t}(T) \otimes (X_{\cdot} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}} \right) (X_{\eta t}(T)) \right) \cdot \int_{t}^{T} \widetilde{v}_{\eta t}(\tau) d\tau \right] d(X_{\cdot} \otimes m)(\eta) \\ & = \int_{t}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathbb{E}\left(Z_{\eta t}(s) \cdot \widetilde{v}_{\eta t}(s) \right) d(X_{\cdot} \otimes m)(\eta) ds = \int_{t}^{T} \langle Z_{X.t}(s), \widetilde{v}_{X.t}(s) \rangle ds. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{d}{d\theta}J_{X,t}(v_{X,t}(\cdot)+\theta\tilde{v}_{X,t}(\cdot))\Big|_{\theta=0} = \int_t^T \langle l_v(X_{X,t}(s),v_{X,t}(s)) + Z_{X,t}(s),\tilde{v}_{X,t}(s)\rangle ds,$$

which implies the result (4.17).

A.2. **PROOF OF PROPOSITION 4.2.** We take two controls $v_{X,t}^1$, $v_{X,t}^2$. We are going to check that

(A.7)

$$\int_{t}^{T} \left\langle D_{v} J_{X.t}(v_{X.t}^{1}(\cdot))(s) - D_{v} J_{X.t}(v_{X.t}^{2}(\cdot))(s), v_{X.t}^{1}(s) - v_{X.t}^{2}(s) \right\rangle ds$$

$$\geq \left(\lambda - T(c_{T}' + c_{h}') - \frac{(c_{T}' + c_{l}')}{2}T^{2}\right) \int_{t}^{T} \left\| v_{X.t}^{1}(s) - v_{X.t}^{2}(s) \right\|^{2} ds,$$

and from the assumption (4.19) the result will follow immediateley. To simplify notation, we set $v^{1}(s) =$ $v_{X.t}^1(s), \ v^2(s) = v_{X.t}^2(s)$ and

$$X^{1}(s) = X_{X.t}(s; v_{X.t}^{1}(\cdot)), \ X^{2}(s) = X_{X.t}(s; v_{X.t}^{2}(\cdot)),$$

and $Z^{1}(s), Z^{2}(s)$ for the corresponding solutions of (4.18).

From formula (4.17) we have

$$\int_{t}^{T} \left\langle D_{v} J_{X.t}(v_{X.t}^{1}(\cdot))(s) - D_{v} J_{X.t}(v_{X.t}^{2}(\cdot))(s), v_{X.t}^{1}(s) - v_{X.t}^{2}(s) \right\rangle ds$$

$$= \int_{t}^{T} \left\langle l_{v}(X^{1}(s), v^{1}(s)) - l_{v}(X^{2}(s), v^{2}(s)), v^{1}(s) - v^{2}(s) \right\rangle ds + \int_{t}^{T} \left\langle Z^{1}(s) - Z^{2}(s), v^{1}(s) - v^{2}(s) \right\rangle ds.$$
It since $v^{1}(s) = v^{2}(s) = \frac{d}{d} (X^{1}(s) - X^{2}(s))$ and $X^{1}(t) = X^{2}(t) = 0$, we have

Next, since $v^1(s) - v^2(s) = \frac{a}{ds}(X^1(s) - X^2(s))$ and $X^1(t) - X^2(t) = 0$, we have

$$\int_{t}^{T} \left\langle Z^{1}(s) - Z^{2}(s), v^{1}(s) - v^{2}(s) \right\rangle ds$$

= $\left\langle Z^{1}(T) - Z^{2}(T), X^{1}(T) - X^{2}(T) \right\rangle$
+ $\int_{t}^{T} \left\langle l_{x}(X^{1}(s), v^{1}(s)) - l_{x}(X^{2}(s), v^{2}(s)) + D_{X}\mathbb{E}\left(F((X^{1}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}})\right) - D_{X}\mathbb{E}\left(F((X^{2}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}})\right), X^{1}(s) - X^{2}(s) \right\rangle ds.$

Therefore, using the assumptions (4.8), (4.9), (4.15), (4.16) we obtain

$$\int_{t}^{T} \left\langle D_{v} J_{X.t}(v^{1}(\cdot))(s) - D_{v} J_{X.t}(v^{2}(\cdot))(s), v^{1}(s) - v^{2}(s) \right\rangle ds$$

$$\geq \lambda \int_{t}^{T} \left\| v^{1}(s) - v^{2}(s) \right\|^{2} ds - (c_{l}' + c') \int_{t}^{T} \left\| X^{1}(s) - X^{2}(s) \right\|^{2} ds - (c_{T}' + c_{h}') \left\| X^{1}(T) - X^{2}(T) \right\|^{2}.$$
we have:

We next use

$$X^{1}(s) - X^{2}(s) = \int_{t}^{s} (v^{1}(\tau) - v^{2}(\tau)) d\tau,$$

hence

$$\left\|X^{1}(T) - X^{2}(T)\right\|^{2} \leq T \int_{t}^{T} \left\|v^{1}(s) - v^{2}(s)\right\|^{2} ds,$$
$$\int_{t}^{T} \left\|X^{1}(s) - X^{2}(s)\right\|^{2} ds \leq \frac{T^{2}}{2} \int_{t}^{T} \left\|v^{1}(s) - v^{2}(s)\right\|^{2} ds.$$

Collecting results, we obtain easily (A.7) and the convexity is proven. From (A.7), it also follows that \overline{T}

(A.8)
$$\int_{t}^{T} \langle D_{v} J_{X.t}(v_{X.t}(\cdot))(s) - D_{v} J_{X.t}(0)(s), v_{X.t}(s) \rangle \, ds \ge c_0 \int_{t}^{T} \|v_{X.t}(s)\|^2 \, ds.$$

$$34$$

But

$$J_{X.t}(v_{X.t}(\cdot)) - J_{X.t}(0) = \int_0^1 \int_t^T \langle D_v J_{X.t}(\theta v_{X.t}(\cdot))(s), v_{X.t}(s) \rangle \, ds d\theta,$$

and combining with (A.8) we obtain

$$J_{X.t}(v_{X.t}(\cdot)) - J_{X.t}(0) \ge \int_t^T \langle D_v J_{X.t}(0)(s), v_{X.t}(s) \rangle ds + \frac{c_0}{2} \int_t^T ||v_{X.t}(s)||^2 ds,$$

which implies the coercivity, and the existence and uniqueness of a minimum of $J_{Xt}(v_{Xt}(\cdot))$. This completes the proof.

A.3. **PROOF OF PROPOSITION 4.3.** We begin by writing the equivalent of (4.21) for a problem where the initial conditions X_x, t are replaced with $X_{X_xt}(t+\epsilon), t+\epsilon$, conditioning with respect to $\mathcal{B}_t^{t+\epsilon}$. The optimal control is $u_{X_{X,t}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}(s)$ and the optimal state is $X_{X_{X,t}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}(s)$ defined by:

(A.9) $X_{X_{X,t}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}(s) = X_{X,t}(t+\epsilon) + \int_{t+\epsilon}^{s} u_{X_{X,t}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}(\tau) d\tau + \sigma(w(s) - w(t+\epsilon)) + \beta(b(s) - b(t+\epsilon)).$ Also $X_{\cdot} \otimes m$ must be replaced with $(X_{\cdot,t}(t+\epsilon) \otimes (X_{\cdot} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{t+\epsilon}}.$

The condition is then the following:

$$\int_{t+\epsilon}^{T} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left[l_{v}(X_{\eta,t+\epsilon}(s), u_{\eta,t+\epsilon}(s)) + \int_{s}^{T} \left(l_{x}(X_{\eta,t+\epsilon}(\tau), u_{\eta,t+\epsilon}(\tau)) + D \frac{dF}{d\nu} \left(\left(X_{\cdot,t+\epsilon}(\tau) \otimes (X_{\cdot,t}(t+\epsilon) \otimes (X_{\cdot} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{t+\epsilon}} \right)^{\mathcal{B}_{t+\epsilon}^{T}} \right) (X_{\eta,t+\epsilon}(\tau)) \right) d\tau + h_{x}(X_{\eta,t+\epsilon}(T))$$

$$+ D \frac{dF_{T}}{d\nu} \left(\left(X_{\cdot,t+\epsilon}(T) \otimes (X_{\cdot,t}(t+\epsilon) \otimes (X_{\cdot} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{t+\epsilon}} \right)^{\mathcal{B}_{t+\epsilon}^{T}} \right) (X_{\eta,t+\epsilon}(T)) \right]$$

$$(A.10) \qquad \quad \cdot \widetilde{v}_{\eta,t+\epsilon}(s) d \left((X_{\cdot,t}(t+\epsilon) \otimes (X_{\cdot} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{t+\epsilon}} \right) (\eta) \right) ds = 0.$$

By the independence of $\mathcal{B}_t^{t+\epsilon}$ and $X_{\eta,t+\epsilon}(s), u_{\eta,t+\epsilon}(s)$ this can be written as follows:

$$\begin{split} \int_{t+\epsilon}^{T} \mathbb{E} \bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \bigg[l_{\nu}(X_{X_{\eta t}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}(s), u_{X_{\eta t}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}(s)) + \int_{s}^{T} \bigg(l_{x}(X_{X_{\eta t}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}(\tau), u_{X_{\eta t}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}(\tau)) \\ &+ D \frac{dF}{d\nu} \bigg(\Big(X_{\cdot,t+\epsilon}(\tau) \otimes (X_{\cdot,t}(t+\epsilon) \otimes (X_{\cdot} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{t+\epsilon}} \Big)^{\mathcal{B}_{t+\epsilon}^{\tau}} \bigg) (X_{X_{\eta t}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}(\tau)) \bigg) d\tau + h_{x}(X_{X_{\eta t}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}(T)) \\ &+ D \frac{dF_{T}}{d\nu} \left(\Big(X_{\cdot,t+\epsilon}(T) \otimes (X_{\cdot,t}(t+\epsilon) \otimes (X_{\cdot} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{t+\epsilon}} \Big)^{\mathcal{B}_{t+\epsilon}^{T}} \bigg) (X_{X_{\eta t}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}(T)) \bigg] \end{split}$$

(A.11)

$$\cdot \widetilde{v}_{X_{\eta t}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}(s)d(X_{\cdot}\otimes m)(\eta)\bigg)ds=0.$$

If we consider the control $u_{X,t}(s)$, for which the corresponding state is $X_{X,t}(s)$, we first check

(A.12)
$$\left(X_{\cdot,t+\epsilon}(s) \otimes (X_{\cdot,t}(t+\epsilon) \otimes (X_{\cdot} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{t+\epsilon}} \right)^{\mathcal{B}_{t+\epsilon}^{s}} = (X_{\cdot,t}(s) \otimes (X_{\cdot} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, \quad \forall s > t+\epsilon.$$

Indeed for a continuous bounded test function $\varphi(\xi)$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(\xi) d\left(X_{\cdot,t+\epsilon}(s) \otimes (X_{\cdot,t}(t+\epsilon) \otimes (X_{\cdot} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_t^{t+\epsilon}}\right)^{\mathcal{B}_t^{s}_{t+\epsilon}}(\xi)$$
$$= \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{B}_{t+\epsilon}^s} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(X_{\eta,t+\epsilon}(s)) d(X_{\cdot,t}(t+\epsilon) \otimes (X_{\cdot} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_t^{t+\epsilon}}(\eta)\right)$$
$$= \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{B}_{t+\epsilon}^s} \left[\mathbb{E}^{1\mathcal{B}_t^{t+\epsilon}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(X_{X_{\zeta_t}^1(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}(s)) d(X_{\cdot} \otimes m)(\zeta)\right)\right],$$

where, given $\mathcal{B}_t^{t+\epsilon}$, $X_{\zeta t}^1(t+\epsilon)$ is an independent copy of $X_{\zeta t}(t+\epsilon)$ and the conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}^{1\mathcal{B}_t^{t+\epsilon}}$ is taken with respect to this copy. We can then interchange the conditional expectations to simplify it to

$$\mathbb{E}^{1\mathcal{B}_{t}^{t+\epsilon}}\left[\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{B}_{t+\epsilon}^{s}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\varphi(X_{X_{\zeta t}^{1}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}(s))d(X_{\cdot}\otimes m)(\zeta)\right)\right]$$

and from the independence of $X_{\xi,t+\epsilon}(s)$ and $X_{\zeta t}(t+\epsilon)$ for fixed ξ, ζ , we finally can write the integral in question as

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{B}^s_t}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\varphi(X_{\zeta t}(s))d(X_{\cdot}\otimes m)(\zeta)\right),$$

which proves (A.12). But then (A.11) becomes:

$$\int_{t+\epsilon}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left[l_{v}(X_{\eta t}(s), u_{\eta t}(s)) + \int_{s}^{T} \left(l_{x}(X_{\eta t}(\tau), u_{\eta t}(\tau)) + D \frac{dF}{d\nu} \left((X_{\cdot, t}(\tau) \otimes (X_{\cdot} \otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{\tau}} \right) (X_{\eta t}(\tau)) \right) d\tau$$
(A.13)

$$+h_x(X_{\eta t}(T))+D\frac{dF_T}{d\nu}\left((X_{\cdot,t}(T)\otimes(X_{\cdot}\otimes m))^{\mathcal{B}_t^T}\right)(X_{\eta t}(T))\right]\cdot\widetilde{v}_{X_{\eta t}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}(s)d(X_{\cdot}\otimes m)(\eta)\right)ds=0,$$

 $\epsilon;$

and this is true, by taking in (4.21):

$$\widetilde{v}_{\eta t}(s) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t < s < t + \\\\ \widetilde{v}_{X_{\eta t}(t+\epsilon), t+\epsilon}(s), & \text{if } s > t+\epsilon. \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof.

APPENDIX B. PROOFS FROM SECTION 5

B.1. **PROOF OF PROPOSITION 5.1.** To simplify notation, we omit the indices X.t in $X_{X.t}(s), Z_{X.t}(s), u_{X.t}(s)$.

We have

$$\frac{d}{ds}\langle Z(s), X(s) - \sigma(w(s) - w(t)) - \beta(b(s) - b(t)) \rangle$$

$$= \langle Z(s), u(s) \rangle - \left\langle l_x(X(s), u(s)) + D_X \mathbb{E}\left(F((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}^s_t})\right), X(s) - \sigma(w(s) - w(t)) - \beta(b(s) - b(t)) \right\rangle.$$

Integrating between t and T, we obtain

$$\langle X, Z(t) \rangle = \int_{t}^{T} \langle l_{v}(X(s), u(s)), u(s) \rangle ds$$

$$+ \int_{t}^{T} \left\langle l_{x}(X(s), u(s)) + D_{X} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}} \right) \right), X(s) - \sigma(w(s) - w(t)) - \beta(b(s) - b(t)) \right\rangle ds$$

$$(7.4)$$

(B.1)

$$+\left\langle h_x(X(T)) + D_X \mathbb{E}\left(F\left((X_{X,t}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^T}\right)\right), X(T) - \sigma(w(T) - w(t)) - \beta(b(T) - b(t)\right\rangle.$$
³⁶

We also have

(B.2)

$$\langle X, Z(t) \rangle = \left\langle X, \int_{t}^{T} \left(l_{x}(X(s), u(s)) + D_{X} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}} \right) \right) \right) ds \right\rangle$$

$$+ \left\langle X, h_{x}(X(T)) + D_{X} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X,t}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}} \right) \right) \right\rangle.$$

Therefore,

$$0 = \int_{t}^{T} \langle l_{v}(X(s), u(s)), u(s) \rangle ds$$

+
$$\int_{t}^{T} \left\langle l_{x}(X(s), u(s)) + D_{X} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}} \right) \right), X(s) - X - \sigma(w(s) - w(t)) - \beta(b(s) - b(t)) \right\rangle ds$$

(B.3)

$$+\left\langle h_x(X(T)) + D_X \mathbb{E}\left(F_T\left((X_{X,t}(T)\otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^T}\right)\right), X(T) - X - \sigma(w(T) - w(t)) - \beta(b(T) - b(t))\right\rangle.$$

From the assumptions (4.5), (4.6), (4.8), (4.9), (4.11), (4.12), (4.13), (4.15), (4.16) we obtain, after easy majorations

(B.4)
$$0 \ge \left(\lambda - (c_l' + c')\frac{T^2}{2} - (c_h' + c_T')T\right) \int_t^T ||u(s)||^2 ds - C_T (1 + ||X_{\cdot}||) \left(\int_t^T ||u(s)||^2 ds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thanks to (4.19) we obtain

anks to (4.19), we obtain

(B.5)
$$\int_{t}^{T} ||u(s)||^{2} ds \leq C_{T} (1 + ||X.||^{2})$$

therefore

(B.6)
$$\sup_{s \in (t,T)} ||X(s)|| \le C_T (1 + ||X||)$$

From (4.18) we can check that

$$||Z(s)||^{2} = 2 \int_{s}^{T} \left\langle Z(\tau), l_{x}(X(\tau), u(\tau)) + D_{X} \mathbb{E} \left(F\left((X_{X.t}(\tau) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{\tau}} \right) \right) \right\rangle d\tau$$

(B.7)
$$+ \left\| h_{x}(X(T)) + D_{X} \mathbb{E} \left(F_{T}\left((X_{X.t}(\tau) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{\tau}} \right) \right) \right\|^{2} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{s}^{T} ||r^{j}(\tau)||^{2} d\tau - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{s}^{T} ||\rho^{j}(\tau)||^{2} d\tau,$$

from which it follows immediately that

(B.8)
$$\begin{cases} \sup_{s \in (t,T)} ||Z(s)|| \le C_T (1+||X||), \\ \sum_{j=1}^n \int_s^T ||r^j(\tau)||^2 d\tau + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_s^T ||\rho^j(\tau)||^2 d\tau \le C_T (1+||X||^2). \end{cases}$$

From (4.20) and the assumptions (4.5), (4.8) it follows also that

(B.9)
$$\sup_{s \in (t,T)} ||u(s)|| \le C_T (1 + ||X||)$$

which completes the proof.

B.2. **PROOF OF PROPOSITION 5.2.** Let $X_{\cdot}^1, X_{\cdot}^2 \in \mathcal{H}_m$, independent of \mathcal{W}_t . We consider the systems of necessary and sufficient conditions (4.20) corresponding to the initial conditions $X_{\cdot} = X_{\cdot}^{1}$ and $X_{\cdot} = X_{\cdot}^{2}$, respectively. To simplify notation, we denote by $u^1, X^1, Z^1, r^{j,1}, \rho^{j,1}$ and $u^2, X^2, Z^2, r^{j,2}, \rho^{j,2}$ the related processes u, X, Z, r^j, ρ^j .

According to Remark 4.4, we can use a common space of controls for the two problems with initial conditions X^1_{\cdot} or X^2_{\cdot} , namely $L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{X^1X^2t}}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m)$. Therefore, we may consider using the control $u^2(s)$ with the initial condition X^1 . This is suboptimal, and the corresponding trajectory is $X^2(s) + X^1 - X^2$. The suboptimality allows to write the inequality:

$$\begin{split} V(X_{\cdot}^{1} \otimes m, t) &- V(X_{\cdot}^{2} \otimes m, t) \\ &\leq \int_{t}^{T} \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(l(X^{2}(s) + X_{\cdot}^{1} - X_{\cdot}^{2}, u^{2}(s)) - l(X^{2}(s), u^{2}(s)) \right) dm(x) \right) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left(F \left(\left((X_{X_{\cdot}^{2}t}(s) + X_{\cdot}^{1} - X_{\cdot}^{2} \right) \otimes m \right)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}} \right) \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X_{\cdot}^{2}t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}} \right) \right) \right] ds \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(h(X^{2}(T) + X_{\cdot}^{1} - X_{\cdot}^{2}) - h(X^{2}(T)) \right) dm(x) \right) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left(F_{T} \left(\left((X_{X_{\cdot}^{2}t}(T) + X_{\cdot}^{1} - X_{\cdot}^{2} \right) \otimes m \right)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}} \right) \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X_{\cdot}^{2}t}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}} \right) \right) \\ &= \int_{t}^{T} \left\langle l_{x}(X^{2}(s), u^{2}(s)) + D_{X} \mathbb{E} \left(F((X_{X_{\cdot}^{2}t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}) \right) \right) X_{\cdot}^{1} - X_{\cdot}^{2} \right\rangle ds \\ &\quad + \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{t}^{T} \lambda \left[\left\langle l_{xx}(X^{2}(s) + \lambda \mu(X_{\cdot}^{1} - X_{\cdot}^{2}), u^{2}(s))(X_{\cdot}^{1} - X_{\cdot}^{2}), X_{\cdot}^{1} - X_{\cdot}^{2} \right\rangle \right] ds d\lambda d\mu \\ &\quad + \left\langle h_{x}(X^{2}(T)) + D_{X} \mathbb{E} \left(F_{T} \left((X_{X_{\cdot}^{2}t}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T} \right) \right) , X_{\cdot}^{1} - X_{\cdot}^{2} \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \lambda \left[\left\langle h_{xx}(X^{2}(T) + \lambda \mu(X_{\cdot}^{1} - X_{\cdot}^{2}))(X_{\cdot}^{1} - X_{\cdot}^{2} \right\rangle, X_{\cdot}^{1} - X_{\cdot}^{2} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

(B.10)

$$+\left\langle D_X^2 \mathbb{E}\left(F_T\left(\left((X_{X_{\cdot}^2 t}(T) + \lambda \mu (X_{\cdot}^1 - X_{\cdot}^2)) \otimes m\right)^{\mathcal{B}_t^T}\right)\right)(X_{\cdot}^1 - X_{\cdot}^2), X_{\cdot}^1 - X_{\cdot}^2\right\rangle\right] d\lambda d\mu,$$

and from the assumptions (4.5), (4.6), (4.13), (4.14) we have

(B.11)
$$V(X^1_{\cdot} \otimes m, t) - V(X^2_{\cdot} \otimes m, t) \leq \left\langle Z^2(t), X^1_{\cdot} - X^2_{\cdot} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2}(c_h + c_T + (c_l + c)T) \left\| X^1_{\cdot} - X^2_{\cdot} \right\|^2.$$
By changing the role of X^1 and X^2_{\cdot} we get

By changing the role of X_{\cdot}^{\perp} and X_{\cdot}^{\perp} , we get

(B.12)

$$V(X^{1} \otimes m, t) - V(X^{2} \otimes m, t) \ge \left\langle Z^{2}(t), X^{1} - X^{2} \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} (c_{h} + c_{T} + (c_{l} + c)T) \left\| X^{1} - X^{2} \right\|^{2} + \left\langle Z^{1}(t) - Z^{2}(t), X^{1} - X^{2} \right\rangle.$$
Next

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{ds} \left\langle X^{1}(s) - X^{2}(s), Z^{1}(s) - Z^{2}(s) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle u^{1}(s) - u^{2}(s), l_{v}(X^{1}(s), u^{1}(s)) - l_{v}(X^{2}(s), u^{2}(s)) \right\rangle \\ &- \left\langle X^{1}(s) - X^{2}(s), l_{x}(X^{1}(s), u^{1}(s)) - l_{x}(X^{2}(s), u^{2}(s)) \right\rangle \\ &+ \left\langle D_{X} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X^{1}:t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}^{s}_{t}} \right) \right) - D_{X} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X^{2}:t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}^{s}_{t}} \right) \right), X^{1}(s) - X^{2}(s) \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating between t and T and using (4.8), (4.9), (4.15), (4.16) it follows that

(B.13)
$$\left\langle Z^{1}(t) - Z^{2}(t), X^{1} - X^{2} \right\rangle \geq \lambda \int_{t}^{T} \left\| u^{1}(s) - u^{2}(s) \right\|^{2} ds - (c_{l}' + c') \int_{t}^{T} \left\| X^{1}(s) - X^{2}(s) \right\|^{2} ds - (c_{h}' + c_{T}') \left\| X^{1}(T) - X^{2}(T) \right\|^{2}.$$

But

$$X^{1}(s) - X^{2}(s) = X^{1} - X^{2} + \int_{t}^{s} (u^{1}(\tau) - u^{2}(\tau)) d\tau,$$

from which we get

$$\begin{split} \int_{t}^{T} \left\| X^{1}(s) - X^{2}(s) \right\|^{2} ds &\leq (1+\epsilon) \frac{T^{2}}{2} \int_{t}^{T} \left\| u^{1}(s) - u^{2}(s) \right\|^{2} ds + T \left(1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right) \left\| X^{1} - X^{2} \right\|^{2} ds \\ & \left\| X^{1}(T) - X^{2}(T) \right\|^{2} \leq (1+\epsilon) T \int_{t}^{T} \left\| u^{1}(s) - u^{2}(s) \right\|^{2} ds + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right) \left\| X^{1} - X^{2} \right\|^{2} ds \\ & \text{ the estimates} \end{split}$$

so we obtain the estimate:

(B.14)
$$\left\langle Z^{1}(t) - Z^{2}(t), X^{1}_{\cdot} - X^{2}_{\cdot} \right\rangle \geq \lambda - T(1+\epsilon) \left((c'_{l} + c') \frac{T}{2} + (c'_{h} + c'_{T}) \right) \int_{t}^{T} \left\| u^{1}(s) - u^{2}(s) \right\|^{2} ds \\ - \left(1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right) \left((c'_{l} + c')T + c'_{h} + c'_{T} \right) \left\| X^{1} - X^{2} \right\|^{2} .$$

From the assumption (4.19) and choosing ϵ sufficiently small, the first term in the right-hand side is positive. Combined with (B.12) and taking account of (B.11) it follows that

(B.15)
$$|V(X^1 \otimes m, t) - V(X^2 \otimes m, t) - \langle Z^2(t), X^1 - X^2 \rangle| \le C_T ||X^1 - X^2||^2$$

This proves immediately the result (5.4). We have also proved the following estimate:

(B.16)
$$\alpha \int_{t}^{T} \left\| u^{1}(s) - u^{2}(s) \right\|^{2} ds \leq \left\langle Z^{1}(t) - Z^{2}(t), X^{1}_{\cdot} - X^{2}_{\cdot} \right\rangle + \beta \left\| X^{1}_{\cdot} - X^{2}_{\cdot} \right\|^{2},$$

for convenient constants $\alpha,\beta.$ Next, we also have

$$\begin{split} &\left\langle Z^{1}(t) - Z^{2}(t), X^{1}_{\cdot} - X^{2}_{\cdot} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \int_{t}^{T} \left[l_{x}(X^{1}(s), u^{1}(s)) - l_{x}(X^{2}(s), u^{2}(s)) + D_{X} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X^{1}_{\cdot}t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}^{s}_{t}} \right) \right) - D_{X} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X^{2}_{\cdot}t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}^{s}_{t}} \right) \right) \right] ds \\ &+ h_{x}(X^{1}(T)) - h_{x}(X^{2}(T)) + D_{X} \mathbb{E} \left(F_{T} \left((X_{X^{1}_{\cdot}t}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}^{T}_{t}} \right) \right) - D_{X} \mathbb{E} \left(F_{T} \left((X_{X^{2}_{\cdot}t}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}^{T}_{t}} \right) \right), X^{1}_{\cdot} - X^{2}_{\cdot} \right\rangle \end{split}$$

$$\leq \left\| X_{\cdot}^{1} - X_{\cdot}^{2} \right\| \left[(c_{l} + c) \int_{t}^{T} \left\| X^{1}(s) - X^{2}(s) \right\| ds + (c_{h} + c_{T}) \left\| X^{1}(T) - X^{2}(T) \right\| \right],$$
and

$$\int_{t}^{T} \left\| X^{1}(s) - X^{2}(s) \right\| ds \leq \left\| X^{1} - X^{2} \right\| + \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{T^{3}}\sqrt{\int_{t}^{T} \|u^{1}(s) - u^{2}(s)\|^{2}} ds,$$
$$\left\| X^{1}(T) - X^{2}(T) \right\| \leq \left\| X^{1} - X^{2} \right\| + \sqrt{T}\sqrt{\int_{t}^{T} \|u^{1}(s) - u^{2}(s)\|^{2}} ds.$$

Using these estimates in (B.17), combined with (B.16), we obtain

(B.18)
$$\int_{t}^{T} \left\| u^{1}(s) - u^{2}(s) \right\|^{2} ds \leq C_{T} \left\| X_{\cdot}^{1} - X_{\cdot}^{2} \right\|^{2},$$

which implies

(B.19)

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|X^{1}(s) - X^{2}(s)\right\| &\leq C_{T} \left\|X_{\cdot}^{1} - X_{\cdot}^{2}\right\|, \\ \left\|Z^{1}(s) - Z^{2}(s)\right\| &\leq C_{T} \left\|X_{\cdot}^{1} - X_{\cdot}^{2}\right\|, \\ \left\|u^{1}(s) - u^{2}(s)\right\| &\leq C_{T} \left\|X_{\cdot}^{1} - X_{\cdot}^{2}\right\|, \\ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t}^{T} \left\|r_{X^{1}t}^{j}(s) - r_{X^{2}t}^{j}(s)\right\|^{2} ds + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t}^{T} \left\|\rho_{X^{1}t}^{j}(s) - \rho_{X^{2}t}^{j}(s)\right\|^{2} ds \leq C_{T} \left\|X_{\cdot}^{1} - X_{\cdot}^{2}\right\|^{2}, \end{aligned}$$
and thus (5.5) has been proven, which completes the proof

and thus (5.5) has been proven, which completes the proof.

B.3. **PROOF OF PROPOSITION 5.3.** We begin with (5.6). We have, from the optimality principle (4.26),

(B.20)

$$V(X \otimes m, t) - V(X \otimes m, t + \epsilon) = \int_{t}^{t+\epsilon} \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} l(X_{X.t}(s), u_{X.t}(s)) dm(x) \right) + F \left((Y_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}} \right) \right] ds + \mathbb{E} \left(V \left((X_{X.t}(t+\epsilon) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{t+\epsilon}}, t+\epsilon \right) \right) - V(X \otimes m, t+\epsilon).$$

Since

(B.21)
$$\left| \int_{t}^{t+\epsilon} \left[\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} l(X_{X.t}(s), u_{X.t}(s)) dm(x) \right) + F(Y_{X.t}(s) \otimes m) \right] ds \right| \le C_{T} \epsilon (1 + ||X.||^{2}),$$
 it suffices to prove

it suffices to prove

(B.22)
$$\left| \mathbb{E}\left(V\left((X_{X,t}(t+\epsilon) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^{t+\epsilon}}, t+\epsilon \right) \right) - V(X \otimes m, t+\epsilon) \right| \le C_T \epsilon (1+||X_{\cdot}||^2).$$

But

$$\mathbb{E}\left(V\left((X_{X,t}(t+\epsilon)\otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{t+\epsilon}},t+\epsilon\right)\right)-V(X\otimes m,t+\epsilon)$$

$$=\int_{t+\epsilon}^{T}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(l\left(X_{X_{xt}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}(s),u_{X_{xt}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}(s)\right)-l\left(X_{X_{x},t+\epsilon}(s),u_{X_{x},t+\epsilon}(s)\right)\right)dm(x)$$

$$+F\left((X_{X_{x,t}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}(s)\otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}\right)-F\left((X_{X,t+\epsilon}(s)\otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}\right)\right]ds$$

(B.23)

$$+ \mathbb{E} \bigg[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \bigg(h(X_{X_{x,t}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}(T)) - h(X_{X_x,t+\epsilon}(T)) \bigg) dm(x) \\ + F_T \bigg((X_{X_{x,t}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^T} \bigg) - F_T \bigg((X_{X_{\cdot},t+\epsilon}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^T} \bigg) \bigg].$$

Note that we have used the fact $(X_{X,t+\epsilon}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}^s_t} = (X_{X,t+\epsilon}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}^s_{t+\epsilon}}$ and the same with s = T.

Using Taylor expansion and making use of assumptions (4.5), (4.6), (4.13), (4.14), we can check that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathbb{E} \left(V \left((X_{X,t}(t+\epsilon) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{t+\epsilon}}, t+\epsilon \right) \right) - V(X \otimes m, t+\epsilon) \\ & - \int_{t+\epsilon}^{T} \left\langle l_{v}(X_{X,t+\epsilon}(s), u_{X,t+\epsilon}(s)), u_{X_{X,t}(t+\epsilon), t+\epsilon}(s) - u_{X,t+\epsilon}(s) \right\rangle ds \\ & - \int_{t+\epsilon}^{T} \left\langle l_{x}(X_{X,t+\epsilon}(s), u_{X,t+\epsilon}(s)) + D_{X} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X,t+\epsilon}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t+\epsilon}^{s}} \right) \right), X_{X_{X,t}(t+\epsilon), t+\epsilon}(s) - X_{X,t+\epsilon}(s) \right\rangle ds \\ & - \left\langle h_{x}(X_{X,t+\epsilon}(T)) + D_{X} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X,t+\epsilon}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t+\epsilon}^{T}} \right) \right), X_{X_{X,t}(t+\epsilon), t+\epsilon}(T) - X_{X,t+\epsilon}(T) \right\rangle \right| \end{aligned}$$

(B.24)

$$\leq C_T \sup_{t < s < T} \left\| X_{X_{X,t}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}(s) - X_{X,t+\epsilon}(s) \right\|^2.$$

Since $X_{X_{X,t}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}(s), X_{X,t+\epsilon}(s)$ are the optimal states corresponding to different initial conditions $X_{X,t}(t+\epsilon)$ and X_{t} at initial time $t+\epsilon$, we can use (B.19) to see that

(B.25)
$$\sup_{t < s < T} \left\| X_{X_{x,t}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}(s) - X_{X_{x,t}+\epsilon}(s) \right\|^2 \le C_T \left\| X_{X,t}(t+\epsilon) - X_{x,t} \right\|^2 \le C_T \epsilon (1 + ||X_{x,t}||^2).$$

Next,

(B.26)
$$X_{X_{X,t}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}(s) - X_{X,t+\epsilon}(s) = X_{X,t}(t+\epsilon) - X_{\cdot} + \int_{t+\epsilon}^{s} \widetilde{u}_{\mathcal{F}_{X,t}^{t+\epsilon}}(\tau) d\tau,$$

where we have denoted $\widetilde{u}_{\mathcal{F}_{X,t}^{t+\epsilon}}(\tau) = u_{X_{X,t}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}(\tau) - u_{X,t+\epsilon}(\tau)$. From the optimality of $u_{X,t+\epsilon}(s)$ from the problem with initial condition X. at $t + \epsilon$, we can write, by (4.21).

$$\int_{t+\epsilon}^{T} \left\langle l_{v}(X_{X,t+\epsilon}(s), u_{X,t+\epsilon}(s)), \widetilde{u}_{\mathcal{F}_{X,t}^{t+\epsilon}}(s) \right\rangle ds$$
(B.27)
$$+ \int_{t+\epsilon}^{T} \left\langle l_{x}(X_{X,t+\epsilon}(s), u_{X,t+\epsilon}(s)) + D_{X}\mathbb{E}\left(F\left((X_{X,t+\epsilon}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t+\epsilon}^{s}}\right)\right), \int_{t+\epsilon}^{s} \widetilde{u}_{\mathcal{F}_{X,t}^{t+\epsilon}}(\tau) d\tau \right\rangle ds$$

$$+ \left\langle h_{x}(X_{X,t+\epsilon}(T) + D_{X}\mathbb{E}\left(F\left((X_{X,t+\epsilon}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t+\epsilon}^{T}}\right)\right), \int_{t+\epsilon}^{T} \widetilde{u}_{\mathcal{F}_{X,t}^{t+\epsilon}}(\tau) d\tau \right\rangle = 0.$$

In addition,

$$\left\langle \int_{t+\epsilon}^{T} \left(l_x(X_{X,t+\epsilon}(s), u_{X,t+\epsilon}(s)) + D_X \mathbb{E}\left(F\left((X_{X,t+\epsilon}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}^s_{t+\epsilon}} \right) \right) \right) ds + h_x(X_{X,t+\epsilon}(T)) \right\rangle$$

(B.28)
$$+ D_X \mathbb{E}\left(F\left((X_{X,t+\epsilon}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t+\epsilon}^T}\right)\right), \sigma(w(t+\epsilon) - w(t)) + \beta(b(t+\epsilon) - b(t))\right) = 0.$$

Thanks to (B.27), (B.28) the inequality (B.24) reduces to

$$\left| \mathbb{E} \left(V \left((X_{X,t}(t+\epsilon) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{t+\epsilon}}, t+\epsilon \right) \right) - V(X \otimes m, t+\epsilon) \right|$$

$$(B.29) \quad - \left\langle \int_{t+\epsilon}^{T} \left(l_{x}(X_{X,t+\epsilon}(s), u_{X,t+\epsilon}(s)) + D_{X} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X,t+\epsilon}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t+\epsilon}^{s}} \right) \right) \right) ds$$

$$(B.29) \quad + h_{\epsilon} \left(Y_{t+\epsilon}(T) \right) + D_{\epsilon} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((Y_{t+\epsilon}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t+\epsilon}^{T}} \right) \right) - \int_{t+\epsilon}^{t+\epsilon} u_{t+\epsilon}(s) ds$$

$$+h_x(X_{X,t+\epsilon}(T)) + D_X \mathbb{E}\left(F\left((X_{X,t+\epsilon}(T)\otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t+\epsilon}^T}\right)\right), \int_t^{t+\epsilon} u_{X,t}(\tau)d\tau \right) \leq C_T \epsilon (1+||X||^2),$$

from which (B.22) follows immediately. We have thus proved (5.6). We turn to (5.7), which is equivalent to (B.30) $||Z_{X,t+\epsilon}(t+\epsilon) - Z_{Xt}(t)|| \le C_T \left(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} + \epsilon ||X||\right).$ From the BSDE (4.20) we can write

$$Z_{X,t}(t) = \mathbb{E}[Z_{X,t}(t+\epsilon)|\sigma(X)] + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{t+\epsilon} \left(l_x(X_{X,t}(s), u_{X,t}(s)) + D_X \mathbb{E}\left(F\left((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s}\right)\right)\right) ds \left|\sigma(X)\right],$$

and

$$Z_{X,t+\epsilon}(t+\epsilon) - Z_{X,t}(t) = Z_{X,t+\epsilon}(t+\epsilon) - \mathbb{E}[Z_{X,t}(t+\epsilon)|\sigma(X)] \\ - \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{t+\epsilon} \left(l_x(X_{X,t}(s), u_{X,t}(s)) + D_X \mathbb{E}\left(F\left((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s}\right)\right)\right) ds \bigg| \sigma(X)\right].$$
Therefore

Therefore,

$$||Z_{X,t+\epsilon}(t+\epsilon) - Z_{X,t}(t)|| \le C_T \epsilon (1+||X_{\cdot}||) + ||Z_{X,t+\epsilon}(t+\epsilon) - Z_{X,t}(t+\epsilon)||.$$

Noting that $Z_{X,t}(t+\epsilon) = Z_{X_{X,t}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}(t+\epsilon)$ we can write from Proposition 5.2:

$$||Z_{X_{X,t}(t+\epsilon),t+\epsilon}(t+\epsilon) - Z_{X,t+\epsilon}(t+\epsilon)|| \le C_T ||X_{X,t}(t+\epsilon) - X_{\epsilon}||$$

from which it follows that

$$|Z_{X,t+\epsilon}(t+\epsilon) - Z_{X,t}(t)|| \le C_T \epsilon (1+||X,||) + C_T ||X_{X,t}(t+\epsilon) - X_{\epsilon}||,$$

and the result (5.7) is obtained immediately.

B.4. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 6.1.

Proof. Since

we first need to show that the right hand side is a gradient. For that purpose, we introduce an ordinary stochastic control parametrized by the trajectory $X_{xmt}(\cdot)$ through the conditional probabilities $(X_{mt}(s) \otimes$ $m)^{\mathcal{B}^s_t}.$ We take controls in $L^2_{\mathcal{F}_t}(t,T;\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the state is defined by

(B.32)
$$x_{xt}(s) = x + \int_t^s v(\tau)d\tau + \sigma(w(s) - w(t)) + \beta(b(s) - b(t)).$$

The payoff to minimize is given by

(B.33)
$$K_{xmt}(v(\cdot)) = \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{t}^{T} l(x_{xt}(s), v(s))ds\right) + \int_{t}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{dF}{d\nu}\left((X_{\cdot mt}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}\right)(x_{xt}(s))\right)ds + \mathbb{E}(h(x_{xt}(T))) + \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{dF_{T}}{d\nu}\left((X_{\cdot mt}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}}\right)(x_{xt}(T))\right).$$

If we write the necessary conditions of optimality, it is easy to check that the optimal control coincides with $u_{xmt}(s)$ and the optimal state is $X_{xmt}(s)$. So

(B.34)
$$\inf_{v(\cdot)} K_{xmt}(v(\cdot)) = K_{xmt}(u_{xmt}(\cdot)),$$

which is the right-hand side of (6.15). We set $\Psi(x, m, t) = K_{xmt}(u_{xmt}(\cdot))$. So we need to prove that

(B.35)
$$Z_{xmt}(t) = D\Psi(x, m, t).$$

This will be a consequence of the estimate

(B.36)
$$|\Psi(x_1, m, t) - \Psi(x_2, m, t) - Z_{x_2mt}(t) \cdot (x_1 - x_2)| \le C_T |x_1 - x_2|^2.$$

The proof has similarities with that of Proposition 5.2, although it is simpler. To simplify notation, we set

$$u^{1}(s) = u_{x_{1}mt}(s), \ u^{2}(s) = u_{x_{2}mt}(s), \ X^{1}(s) = X_{x_{1}mt}(s), \ X^{2}(s) = X_{x_{2}mt}(s).$$

If we use the control $u^2(s)$ with initial condition x_1 , to get the state $X^2(s) + x_1 - x_2$, it is suboptimal, so we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} (B.37) \qquad \Psi(x_{1},m,t) - \Psi(x_{2},m,t) \\ &\leq \int_{t}^{T} \left[\mathbb{E} \left(l(X^{2}(s) + x_{1} - x_{2},u^{2}(s)) - l(X^{2}(s),u^{2}(s)) \right) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{dF}{d\nu} \left((X_{\cdot mt}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}} \right) (X^{2}(s) + x_{1} - x_{2}) - \frac{dF}{d\nu} \left((X_{\cdot mt}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}} \right) (X^{2}(s)) \right) \right] ds \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left(h(X^{2}(T) + x_{1} - x_{2}) - h(X^{2}(T)) \right) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{dF}{d\nu} \left((X_{\cdot mt}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}} \right) (X^{2}(T) + x_{1} - x_{2}) - \frac{dF}{d\nu} \left((X_{\cdot mt}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}} \right) (X^{2}(T)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using Taylor expansion and making use of the assumptions, we obtain the estimate:

(B.38)
$$\Psi(x_1, m, t) - \Psi(x_2, m, t) \le Z_{x_2mt}(t) \cdot (x_1 - x_2) + C|x_1 - x_2|^2.$$

By interchanging x_1 and x_2 and rearranging we have also

(B.39)
$$\Psi(x_1, m, t) - \Psi(x_2, m, t) \ge Z_{x_2mt}(t) \cdot (x_1 - x_2) + (Z_{x_1mt}(t) - Z_{x_2mt}(t))(x_1 - x_2) - C|x_1 - x_2|^2.$$

The result will follow from the estimate:

(B.40)
$$(Z_{x_1mt}(t) - Z_{x_2mt}(t))(x_1 - x_2) \ge -C_T |x_1 - x_2|^2.$$

To obtain (B.40), observe that

$$\begin{split} (Z^{1}(t) - Z^{2}(t)) \cdot (x_{1} - x_{2}) \\ &= \int_{t}^{T} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \Big[\Big(l_{v}(X^{1}(s), u^{1}(s)) - l_{v}(X^{2}(s), u^{2}(s)) \Big) \cdot (u^{1}(s) - u^{2}(s)) \\ &\quad + \Big(l_{x}(X^{1}(s), u^{1}(s)) - l_{x}(X^{2}(s), u^{2}(s)) \Big) + \frac{dF}{d\nu} ((X_{\cdot mt}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}) (X^{1}(s)) \\ &\quad - \frac{dF}{d\nu} ((X_{\cdot mt}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}) (X^{2}(s)) \Big) \cdot (X^{1}(s) - X^{2}(s)) \Big] \right\} ds \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \Big(h_{x}(X^{1}(T)) - h_{x}(X^{2}(T)) + \frac{dF_{T}}{d\nu} ((X_{\cdot mt}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}}) (X^{1}(T)) \\ &\quad - \frac{dF_{T}}{d\nu} ((X_{\cdot mt}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}}) (X^{2}(T)) \cdot (X^{1}(T) - X^{2}(T)) \Big) \\ &\quad + \lambda \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{t}^{T} |u^{1}(s) - u^{2}(s)|^{2} ds \right) - (c_{l}' + c') \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{t}^{T} |X^{1}(s) - X^{2}(s)|^{2} ds \right) - (c_{h}' + c_{T}') \mathbb{E} \left(|X^{1}(T) - X^{2}(T)|^{2} \right). \end{split}$$
Since

S

$$X^{1}(s) - X^{2}(s) = x_{1} - x_{2} + \int_{t}^{s} (u^{1}(\tau) - u^{2}(\tau))d\tau,$$

it is straightforward to complete the calculations, and thanks to (4.19) we obtain (B.40) and then (B.36). So $\Psi(x, m, t)$ is Lipschitz in x. Let us check that it is Lipschitz in m. We give the main results but skip the details. The key point is to establish the following formula:

$$\begin{split} \Psi(x,m',t) &- \Psi(x,m,t) \\ = \mathbb{E} \bigg(\int_{t}^{T} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \bigg[\theta l_{xx} \Big(\delta_{(\theta\mu)} X_{x\hat{\chi}_{mt}}(s), \delta_{(\theta\mu)} u_{x\hat{\chi}_{mt}}(s) \Big) \Big(X_{x\hat{\chi}_{m't}}(s) - X_{x\hat{\chi}_{mt}}(s) \Big) \cdot \Big(X_{x\hat{\chi}_{m't}}(s) - X_{x\hat{\chi}_{mt}}(s) \Big) \\ &+ 2\theta l_{xv} \Big(\delta_{(\theta\mu)} X_{x\hat{\chi}_{mt}}(s), \delta_{(\theta\mu)} u_{x\hat{\chi}_{mt}}(s) \Big) \Big(u_{x\hat{\chi}_{m't}}(s) - u_{x\hat{\chi}_{mt}}(s) \Big) \cdot \Big(X_{x\hat{\chi}_{m't}}(s) - X_{x\hat{\chi}_{mt}}(s) \Big) \\ &+ \theta l_{vv} \Big(\delta_{(\theta\mu)} X_{x\hat{\chi}_{mt}}(s), \delta_{(\theta\mu)} u_{x\hat{\chi}_{mt}}(s) \Big) \Big(u_{x\hat{\chi}_{m't}}(s) - u_{x\hat{\chi}_{mt}}(s) \Big) \cdot \Big(u_{x\hat{\chi}_{m't}}(s) - u_{x\hat{\chi}_{mt}}(s) \Big) \\ &+ \theta D^{2} \frac{dF}{d\nu} \Big((\mathcal{L}_{\hat{\chi}_{mt}}(s))^{B_{t}^{s}} \Big) \Big(\delta_{(\theta\mu)} X_{x\hat{\chi}_{mt}}(s) \Big) \Big(X_{x\hat{\chi}_{m't}}(s) - X_{x\hat{\chi}_{mt}}(s) \Big) \cdot \Big(X_{x\hat{\chi}_{m't}}(s) - X_{x\hat{\chi}_{mt}}(s) \Big) \Big] d\theta d\mu ds \Big) \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \bigg(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \bigg[\theta h_{xx} \Big(\delta_{(\theta\mu)} X_{x\hat{\chi}_{mt}}(T) \Big) \Big(X_{x\hat{\chi}_{m't}}(T) - X_{x\hat{\chi}_{mt}}(T) \Big) \cdot \Big(X_{x\hat{\chi}_{m't}}(T) - X_{x\hat{\chi}_{mt}}(T) \Big) \\ &+ \theta D^{2} \frac{dF_{T}}{d\nu} \Big((\mathcal{L}_{\hat{\chi}_{mt}}(T))^{B_{t}^{T}} \Big) \Big(\delta_{(\theta\mu)} X_{x\hat{\chi}_{mt}}(T) \Big) \Big(X_{x\hat{\chi}_{m't}}(T) - X_{x\hat{\chi}_{mt}}(T) \Big) \cdot \Big(X_{x\hat{\chi}_{m't}}(T) - X_{x\hat{\chi}_{mt}}(T) \Big) \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \bigg(\int_{t}^{T} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \bigg[\mathbb{E}^{1B_{t}^{s}} \bigg(D_{1} \frac{d^{2}F}{d\nu^{2}} \Big(\delta_{(\theta)} \mathcal{L}_{\hat{\chi}_{mt}}(s) \Big) \Big(X_{x\hat{\chi}_{m't}}(s), \delta_{(\mu)} X_{\hat{\chi}_{mt}^{1}}(s) \Big) \cdot \Big(X_{\hat{\chi}_{mt}^{1}}(s) - X_{\hat{\chi}_{mt}^{1}}(s) \Big) \bigg) \bigg] d\theta d\mu ds \bigg) \\ (B.41) \end{split}$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\int_{0}^{1}\left[\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{1}\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}}\left(D_{1}\frac{d^{2}F_{T}}{d\nu^{2}}\left(\delta_{(\theta)}\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{X}_{mt}}(T)\right)\left(X_{x\widehat{X}_{m't}}(T),\delta_{(\mu)}X_{\widehat{X}_{mt}^{1}}^{1}(T)\right)\cdot\left(X_{\widehat{X}_{m't}^{1}}^{1}(T)-X_{\widehat{X}_{mt}^{1}}^{1}(T)\right)\right)\right]d\theta d\mu\right),$$
where

W

(B.42)

(B.43)

$$\begin{split} \delta_{(\theta\mu)} X_{x\widehat{X}_{mt}}(s) &:= X_{x\widehat{X}_{mt}}(s) + \theta\mu \Big(X_{x\widehat{X}_{m't}}(s) - X_{x\widehat{X}_{mt}}(s) \Big), \\ \delta_{(\theta\mu)} u_{x\widehat{X}_{mt}}(s) &:= u_{x\widehat{X}_{mt}}(s) + \theta\mu \Big(u_{x\widehat{X}_{m't}}(s) - u_{x\widehat{X}_{mt}}(s) \Big), \\ \delta_{(\theta)} \mathcal{L}_{\widehat{X}_{mt}}(s) &:= (\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{X}_{mt}}(s))^{\mathcal{B}^s_t} + \theta \Big((\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{X}_{m't}}(s))^{\mathcal{B}^s_t} - (\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{X}_{mt}}(s))^{\mathcal{B}^s_t} \Big), \\ \delta_{(\mu)} X^1_{\widehat{X}^1_{mt}}(s) &:= X^1_{\widehat{X}^1_{mt}}(s) + \mu \Big(X^1_{\widehat{X}^1,t}(s) - X^1_{\widehat{X}^1_{mt}}(s) \Big). \end{split}$$

Interchanging m with m' and adding up, we obtain, using the assumptions of Section 4.2,

$$\begin{split} 0 \geq \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{t}^{T} \left(\lambda \left| u_{x \widehat{X}_{m't}}(s) - u_{x \widehat{X}_{mt}}(s) \right|^{2} - (c_{l}' + c') \left| X_{x \widehat{X}_{m't}}(s) - X_{x \widehat{X}_{mt}}(s) \right|^{2} \right) ds \right) \\ &- (c_{h}' + c_{T}') \mathbb{E} \left(\left| X_{x \widehat{X}_{m't}}(T) - X_{x \widehat{X}_{mt}}(T) \right|^{2} \right) \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{t}^{T} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \mathbb{E}^{1\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}} \left(DD_{1} \frac{d^{2}F}{d\nu^{2}} \left(\delta_{(\theta)}\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{X}_{mt}}(s) \right) \left(\delta_{(\nu)} X_{x \widehat{X}_{mt}}(s), \delta_{(\mu)} X_{\widehat{X}_{m}^{1}}^{1}(s) \right) \right. \\ &\left(X_{x \widehat{X}_{m't}}(s) - X_{x \widehat{X}_{mt}}(s) \right) \cdot \left(X_{\widehat{X}_{mt}^{1}}^{1}(s) - X_{\widehat{X}_{mt}^{1}}^{1}(s) \right) \right) d\theta d\mu d\nu ds \right) \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \mathbb{E}^{1\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}} \left(DD_{1} \frac{d^{2}F}{d\nu^{2}} \left(\delta_{(\theta)}\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{X}_{mt}}(T) \right) \left(\delta_{(\nu)} X_{x \widehat{X}_{mt}}(T), \delta_{(\mu)} X_{\widehat{X}_{mt}^{1}}^{1}(T) \right) \right. \\ & \left(X_{x \widehat{X}_{m't}}(T) - X_{x \widehat{X}_{mt}}(T) \right) \cdot \left(X_{\widehat{X}_{mt}^{1}}^{1}(T) - X_{\widehat{X}_{mt}^{1}}^{1}(T) \right) \right) d\theta d\mu d\nu d\nu \right). \end{split}$$

Using

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{t}^{T}\left|X_{x\widehat{X}_{m't}}(s)-X_{x\widehat{X}_{mt}}(s)\right|^{2}ds\right) &\leq \frac{(T-t)^{2}}{2}\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{t}^{T}\left|u_{x\widehat{X}_{m't}}(s)-u_{x\widehat{X}_{mt}}(s)\right|^{2}ds\right),\\ \mathbb{E}\left(\left|X_{x\widehat{X}_{m't}}(T)-X_{x\widehat{X}_{mt}}(T)\right|^{2}\right) &\leq (T-t)\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{t}^{T}\left|u_{x\widehat{X}_{m't}}(s)-u_{x\widehat{X}_{mt}}(s)\right|^{2}ds\right), \end{split}$$

we deduce after simple estimates

(B.44)
$$\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{t}^{T} \left|u_{x\widehat{X}_{m'}t}(s) - u_{x\widehat{X}_{m}t}(s)\right|^{2} ds\right) \leq C_{T}\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\widehat{X}_{m'} - \widehat{X}_{m}\right|^{2}\right) = C_{T}W_{2}^{2}(m,m'),$$

(B.45)
$$\sup \mathbb{E}\left(\left|X_{x\widehat{X}_{m'}t}(s) - X_{x\widehat{X}_{m}t}(s)\right|^{2}\right), \quad \sup \mathbb{E}\left(\left|u_{x\widehat{X}_{m'}t}(s) - u_{x\widehat{X}_{m}t}(s)\right|^{2}\right) \leq C_{T}W_{2}^{2}(m,m'), \text{ and}$$

(B.46)
$$\sup_{s \in (t,T)} \mathbb{E}\left(\left|X_{x\widehat{X}_{m'}t}(s)\right|^{2}\right) \leq C_{T}(1+W_{2}^{2}(m,\delta)).$$

Collecting estimates, we can assert that

(B.47)
$$|\Psi(x,m',t) - \Psi(x,m,t)| \le C_T W_2^2(m,m') + K_T(m) W_2(m,m'),$$

which completes the proof.

APPENDIX C. PROOFS FROM SECTION 7

C.1. **PROOF OF PROPOSITION 7.1.** The control problem (7.5), (7.6) is linear quadratic. One can check that it is strictly convex and has a unique minimum. The optimal control is linear in y and can be written $\mathcal{U}_{xmt}(s)y$, where $\mathcal{U}_{xmt}(s)$ is defined by (7.1), (7.2), (7.3). Define

(C.1)
$$u_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(s) = \frac{u_{x+\epsilon y,mt}(s) - u_{xmt}(s)}{\varepsilon}, X_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(s) = \frac{X_{x+\epsilon y,mt}(s) - X_{xmt}(s)}{\varepsilon}, \\ Z_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(s) = \frac{Z_{x+\epsilon y,mt,t}(s) - Z_{xmt}(s)}{\epsilon}, r_{xymt}^{j,\epsilon}(s) = \frac{r_{x+\epsilon y,mt}^{j}(s) - r_{xmt}^{j}(s)}{\epsilon}, \\ \rho_{xymt}^{j,\epsilon}(s) = \frac{\rho_{x+\epsilon y,mt}^{j}(s) - \rho_{xmt}^{j}(s)}{\epsilon},$$

then we have the relations:

$$(C.2) X_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(s) = y + \int_{t}^{s} u_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(\tau) d\tau, \\ \int_{0}^{1} \left[l_{vx} \left(X_{xmt}(s) + \theta \epsilon X_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(s), u_{xmt}(s) + \theta \epsilon u_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(s) \right) X_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(s) \right] d\theta + Z_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(s) \\ (C.3) + l_{vv} \left(X_{xmt}(s) + \theta \epsilon X_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(s), u_{xmt}(s) + \theta \epsilon u_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(s) \right) u_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(s) \right] d\theta + Z_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(s) = 0, \\ - dZ_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(s)) = \int_{0}^{1} \left[l_{xx} \left(X_{xmt}(s) + \theta \epsilon X_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(s), u_{xmt}(s) + \theta \epsilon u_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(s) \right) X_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(s) \right] d\theta \\ + l_{xv} \left(X_{xmt}(s) + \theta \epsilon X_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(s), u_{xmt}(s) + \theta \epsilon u_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(s) \right) u_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(s) \right] d\theta \\ + \int_{0}^{1} D^{2} \frac{d}{d\nu} F \left((X_{\cdot mt}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{\epsilon}} \right) \left(X_{xmt}(s) + \theta \epsilon X_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(s) \right) X_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(s) d\theta \\ - \sum_{j} r_{xymt}^{j,\epsilon}(s) dw_{j}(s) - \sum_{j} \rho_{xymt}^{j,\epsilon}(s) db_{j}(s), \\ 45$$

(C.5)

$$Z_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(T) = \int_{0}^{1} h_{xx} \Big(X_{xmt}(T) + \theta \epsilon X_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(T) \Big) X_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(T) d\theta$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{1} D^{2} \frac{d}{d\nu} F \Big((X_{.mt}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}} \Big) \Big(X_{xmt}(T) + \theta \epsilon X_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(T) \Big) X_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(T) d\theta.$$

By techniques already used, we can check that $u_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(s)$, $X_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(s)$, $Z_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(s)$ are bounded in $L_{\mathcal{F}_{t}}^{\infty}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_{m})$ and $r_{xymt}^{j,\epsilon}(s), \rho_{xymt}^{j,\epsilon}(s)$ are bounded in $L^2_{\mathcal{F}_t}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m)$.

We consider then subsequences of $u_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(s), X_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(s), Z_{xymt}^{\epsilon}(s), r_{xymt}^{j,\epsilon}(s), \rho_{xymt}^{j,\epsilon}(s)$ which converge weakly in $L^2_{\mathcal{F}_t}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m)$ to $\mathcal{U}_{xymt}(s), \mathcal{X}_{xymt}(s), \mathcal{Z}_{xymt}(s), \mathcal{R}^j_{xymt}(s), \Theta^j_{xymt}(s)$. It follows easily that the limit is $\mathcal{U}_{xmt}(s)y$, $\mathcal{X}_{xmt}(s)y, \mathcal{Z}_{xmt}(s)y, \mathcal{R}_{xmt}^{j}(s)y, \Theta_{xmt}^{j}(s)y$. It is possible to show that the convergence is strong using standard techniques. This completes the proof.

C.2. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 7.2. By calculations already done, we can check the relation (C.6)

$$y \cdot \mathcal{Z}_{xmt}(t)y = \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{t}^{T} \left\{ \mathcal{U}_{xmt}(s)y \cdot l_{vv}(X_{xmt}(s), u_{xmt}(s))\mathcal{U}_{xmt}(s)y + 2\mathcal{U}_{xmt}(s)y \cdot l_{vx}(X_{xmt}(s), u_{xmt}(s))\mathcal{X}_{xmt}(s)y + 2\mathcal{U}_{xmt}(s)y \cdot l_{vx}(X_{xmt}(s), u_{xmt}(s))\mathcal{X}_{xmt}(s)y + \mathcal{X}_{xmt}(s)y \cdot \left(l_{xx}(X_{xmt}(s), u_{xmt}(s))\right)\mathcal{X}_{xmt}(s)y\right)ds\right) + D^{2}\frac{d}{d\nu}F\left((X_{\cdot mt}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}\right)(X_{xmt}(s))\right)\mathcal{X}_{xmt}(T)y\right)\mathcal{X}_{xmt}(T)y\right)$$

Also,
$$\mathcal{Z}_{xmt}(t)y = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{T}\left(\left(l_{xx}(X_{xmt}(s), u_{xmt}(s)) + D^{2}\frac{d}{d\nu}F\left((X_{\cdot mt}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}\right)(X_{xmt}(s))\right)\mathcal{X}_{xmt}(s)y + l_{xv}(X_{xmt}(s), u_{xmt}(s))\mathcal{U}_{xmt}(s)y\right)ds + \left(h_{xx}(X_{xmt}(t)) + D^{2}\frac{d}{d\nu}F\left((X_{\cdot mt}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}}\right)(X_{xmt}(T))\right)\mathcal{X}_{xmt}(T)y\right].$$

1

$$\mathcal{Z}_{xmt}(t)y = \mathbb{E}\bigg[\int_{t}^{T} \bigg(\left(l_{xx}(X_{xmt}(s), u_{xmt}(s)) + D^{2}\frac{d}{d\nu}F\left((X_{\cdot mt}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}} \right) (X_{xmt}(s)) \right) \mathcal{X}_{xmt}(s)y$$

$$(C.7) \qquad \qquad + l_{xv}(X_{xmt}(s), u_{xmt}(s))\mathcal{U}_{xmt}(s)y) \bigg) ds$$

$$+ \bigg(h_{xx}(X_{xmt}(T)) + D^{2}\frac{d}{d\nu}F\left((X_{\cdot mt}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}} \right) (X_{xmt}(T)) \bigg) \mathcal{X}_{xmt}(T)y \bigg].$$
Even the second state of a shareholder of the second state of the

From the assumptions and estimates already done, we deduce $\mathbb{E}\left(\int_t^1 |\mathcal{U}_{xmt}(s)y|^2 ds\right) \leq C_T |y|^2$. It easily follows $|\mathcal{Z}_{xmt}(t)y| \leq C_T$. This concludes the proof.

C.3. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 7.3. We connect the system (7.10) to a control problem. The space of controls is $L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m)$ where $\mathcal{F}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}$ is the filtration generated by the σ -algebras $\mathcal{F}^s_{X,\mathcal{X},t}$. If $\mathcal{V}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s)$ is a control, the state is defined by

(C.8)
$$\mathcal{X}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s) = \mathcal{X} + \int_{t}^{s} \mathcal{V}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(\tau) d\tau,$$

and the payoff is

$$\mathcal{J}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(\mathcal{V}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(\cdot)) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t}^{T} \left[\left\langle l_{xx}(X_{X.t}(s), u_{X.t}(s)) \mathcal{X}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s) + D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}} \right) \right) (\mathcal{X}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s)), \mathcal{X}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s) \right) \right. \\ \left. + 2 \left\langle l_{xv}(X_{X.t}(s), u_{X.t}(s)) \mathcal{V}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s), \mathcal{X}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s) \right\rangle + \left\langle l_{vv}(X_{X.t}(s), u_{X.t}(s)) \mathcal{V}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s), \mathcal{V}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s) \right\rangle \right] ds \\ \left(C.9 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle h_{xx}(X_{X.t}(T)) \mathcal{X}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(T) + D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F_{T} \left((X_{X.t}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}} \right) \right) (\mathcal{X}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(T)), \mathcal{X}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(T) \right\rangle.$$

Thanks to the assumption (4.19) this is a linear quadratic convex problem, which has a unique optimal control. The system (7.10) has a unique solution and the optimal control is
$$\mathcal{U}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s)$$
. The optimal state is $\mathcal{X}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s)$. Moreover, one has

$$\inf_{\mathcal{V}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(\cdot)} \mathcal{J}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(\mathcal{V}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(\cdot)) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{Z}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(t), \mathcal{X} \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{t}^{T} \left[\left\langle l_{xx}(X_{X,t}(s), u_{X,t}(s)) \mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s) + D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}} \right) \right) (\mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s)), \mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s) \right\rangle \right.$$

$$\left. + 2 \left\langle l_{xv}(X_{X,t}(s), u_{X,t}(s)) \mathcal{U}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(\tau), \mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s) \right\rangle + \left\langle l_{vv}(X_{X,t}(s), u_{X,t}(s)) \mathcal{U}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s), \mathcal{U}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s) \right\rangle \right] ds$$

$$\left. + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle h_{xx}(X_{X,t}(T)) \mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(T) + D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F_{T} \left((X_{X,t}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}} \right) \right) (\mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(T)), \mathcal{X}_{X\mathcal{X},t}(T) \right\rangle,$$

where in $(C.10) \mathcal{U}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(s)$, $\mathcal{Y}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(s)$, $\mathcal{Z}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(s)$ is the solution of (7.10). Thanks to (4.19) we can check, by estimates similar to those already done, that

(C.11)
$$||\mathcal{U}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s)||, ||\mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s)||, ||\mathcal{Z}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s)|| \le C_T ||\mathcal{X}||.$$

Now $\mathcal{X} \mapsto \mathcal{U}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s), \mathcal{X}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s), \mathcal{Z}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s)$ are linear. Indeed, taking an initial condition $\alpha \mathcal{X}_1 + \beta \mathcal{X}_2$, one can use the σ -algebras $\mathcal{F}^s_{X.\mathcal{X}_1.\mathcal{X}_2.t}$ and the linearity follows easily. Therefore the maps $\mathcal{X} \mapsto \mathcal{U}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s), \mathcal{X}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s), \mathcal{Z}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s)$ belong to $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_m, \mathcal{H}_m)$. Define

$$(C.12) \qquad u_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}^{\epsilon}(s) := \frac{u_{X+\epsilon\mathcal{X},t}(s) - u_{Xt}(s)}{\varepsilon}, X_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}^{\epsilon}(s) := \frac{X_{X+\epsilon\mathcal{X},t}(s) - X_{Xt}(s)}{\varepsilon}, \\ Z_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}^{\epsilon}(s) := \frac{Z_{X+\epsilon\mathcal{X},t}(s) - Z_{Xt}(s)}{\epsilon}, r_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}^{j,\epsilon}(s) := \frac{r_{X+\epsilon\mathcal{X},t}^{j}(s) - r_{Xt}^{j}(s)}{\epsilon}, \\ \rho_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}^{j,\epsilon}(s) := \frac{\rho_{X+\epsilon\mathcal{X},t}^{j}(s) - \rho_{Xt}^{j}(s)}{\epsilon}, \end{cases}$$

then we have the relations:

(C.13)
$$X_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}^{\epsilon}(s) = \mathcal{X} + \int_{t}^{s} u_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}^{\epsilon}(\tau) d\tau,$$
$$\int_{0}^{1} \left[l_{vx} \left(X_{X.t}(s) + \theta \epsilon X_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}^{\epsilon}(s), u_{X.t}(s) + \theta \epsilon u_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}^{\epsilon}(s) \right) X_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}^{\epsilon}(s) + l_{vv} \left(X_{X.t}(s) + \theta \epsilon X_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}^{\epsilon}(s), u_{X.t}(s) + \theta \epsilon u_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}^{\epsilon}(s) \right) u_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}^{\epsilon}(s) \right] d\theta + Z_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}^{\epsilon}(s) = 0,$$

$$-dZ_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s) = \int_{0}^{1} \left[l_{xx}(X_{X,t}(s) + \theta \epsilon X_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s), u_{X,t}(s) + \theta \epsilon u_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s)) X_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s) + l_{xv}(X_{X,t}(s) + \theta \epsilon X_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s), u_{X,t}(s) + \theta \epsilon u_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s)) u_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s) \right] d\theta$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{1} D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left(\left((X_{X,t}(s) + \theta \epsilon X_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s)) \otimes m \right)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}} \right) \right) (X_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s)) d\theta$$

$$- \sum_{j} r_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{j,\epsilon}(s) dw_{j}(s) - \sum_{j} \rho_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{j,\epsilon}(s) db_{j}(s),$$

$$Z_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(T) = \int_{0}^{1} h_{xx}(X_{X,t}(T) + \theta \epsilon X_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(T)) X_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(T) d\theta$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{1} D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F_{T} \left(\left((X_{X,t}(T) + \theta \epsilon X_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(T)) \otimes m \right)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}} \right) \right) (X_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(T)) d\theta.$$

By techniques already used, we can check that $u_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s), X_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s), Z_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s)$ are bounded in $L_{\mathcal{F}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}}^{\infty}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m)$ and $r_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{j,\epsilon}(s), \rho_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{j,\epsilon}(s)$ are bounded in $L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m)$.

We consider then subsequences of $u_{X,\mathcal{X}t}^{\epsilon}(s), X_{X,\mathcal{X}t}^{\epsilon}(s), Z_{X,\mathcal{X}t}^{\epsilon}(s), r_{X,\mathcal{X}t}^{j,\epsilon}(s), \rho_{X,\mathcal{X}t}^{j,\epsilon}(s)$ which converge weakly in $L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m)$ to $\mathcal{U}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s), \mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s), \mathcal{Z}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s), \mathcal{R}^j_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s), \Theta^j_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s)$. It follows immediately that $\mathcal{X}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s) = \mathcal{X} + \int_t^s \mathcal{U}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(\tau) d\tau.$ (C.16)

Define

$$L_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s) := \int_{0}^{1} \left[l_{vx} \Big(X_{X,t}(s) + \theta \epsilon X_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s), u_{X,t}(s) + \theta \epsilon u_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s) \Big) X_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s) + l_{vv} \Big(X_{X,t}(s) + \theta \epsilon X_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s), u_{X,t}(s) + \theta \epsilon u_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s) \Big) u_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s) \right] d\theta.$$

We want to show that

(C.17)
$$L_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s) \to L_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s) = l_{vx}(X_{X,t}(s), u_{X,t}(s))\mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s) + l_{vv}(X_{X,t}(s), u_{X,t}(s))\mathcal{U}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s)$$

in $L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m)$ weakly as $\epsilon \to 0$. Let us take $\Gamma_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s)$ in $L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m)$. We have:

$$\int_{t}^{T} \langle L_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s), \Gamma_{X\mathcal{X}t}(s) \rangle ds$$

$$= \int_{t}^{T} \left\langle X_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s), \int_{0}^{1} l_{xv} \left(X_{Xt}(s) + \theta \epsilon X_{X\mathcal{X}t}^{\epsilon}(s), u_{Xt}(s) + \theta \epsilon u_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s) \right) \Gamma_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s) d\theta \right\rangle ds$$

$$+ \int_{t}^{T} \left\langle u_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s), \int_{0}^{1} l_{vv} \left(X_{Xt}(s) + \theta \epsilon X_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s), u_{Xt}(s) + \theta \epsilon u_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s) \right) \Gamma_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s) d\theta \right\rangle ds.$$

$$= \left\langle u_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s), \int_{0}^{1} l_{vv} \left(X_{Xt}(s) + \theta \epsilon X_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s), u_{Xt}(s) + \theta \epsilon u_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s) \right) \Gamma_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s) d\theta \right\rangle ds.$$

Since $X_{Xt}(\cdot) + \theta \epsilon X_{X,X,t}^{\epsilon}(\cdot) \to X_{Xt}(\cdot), u_{Xt}(\cdot) + \theta \epsilon u_{X,X,t}^{\epsilon}(\cdot) \to u_{Xt}(\cdot)$ in $L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{XXt}}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m)$, and l_{xx} is continuous and bounded by assumptions (4.5), (4.7), it follows by classical Lebesgue integral theory that

$$\int_{0}^{1} l_{xv} \Big(X_{Xt}(s) + \theta \epsilon X_{X.\mathcal{X}t}^{\epsilon}(s), u_{Xt}(s) + \theta \epsilon u_{X.\mathcal{X}t}^{\epsilon}(s) \Big) \Gamma_{X.\mathcal{X}t}(s) d\theta \rightarrow l_{xv} \Big(X_{Xt}(s), u_{Xt}(s) \Big) \Gamma_{X.\mathcal{X}t}(s) \text{ in } L^{2}_{\mathcal{F}_{X\mathcal{X}t}}(t, T; \mathcal{H}_{m}),$$

and a similar argument applies to $\int_{0}^{1} l_{vv} (X_{Xt}(s) + \theta \epsilon X_{X.\mathcal{X}t}^{\epsilon}(s), u_{Xt}(s) + \theta \epsilon u_{X.\mathcal{X}t}^{\epsilon}(s)) \Gamma_{X.\mathcal{X}t}(s) d\theta.$ Therefore

$$\int_{t}^{T} \left\langle L_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(s), \Gamma_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s) \right\rangle ds \to \int_{t}^{T} \left\langle \mathcal{X}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(s), l_{xv}(X_{Xt}(s), u_{Xt}(s)) \Gamma_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s) \right\rangle ds + \int_{t}^{T} \left\langle \mathcal{U}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s), l_{vv}(X_{Xt}(s), u_{Xt}(s)) \Gamma_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s) \right\rangle ds.$$

Transposing the matrices l_{xv} and l_{vv} we obtain

$$\int_{t}^{T} \left\langle L_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}^{\epsilon}(s), \Gamma_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s) \right\rangle ds \to \int_{t}^{T} \left\langle L_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s), \Gamma_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s) \right\rangle ds,$$
⁴⁸

and since $\Gamma_{XXt}(s)$ is arbitrary, the result (C.17) follows. So (C.14) yields:

(C.18)
$$l_{vx}(X_{Xt}(s), u_{Xt}(s)) \mathcal{X}_{XXt}(s) + l_{vv}(X_{Xt}(s), u_{Xt}(s)) \mathcal{U}_{X, \mathcal{X}, t}(s) + \mathcal{Z}_{X, \mathcal{X}, t}(s) = 0.$$

A similar argument implies:

(C.19)
$$\int_{0}^{1} \left[l_{xx} \Big(X_{Xt}(s) + \theta \epsilon X_{XXt}^{\epsilon}(s), u_{Xt}(s) + \theta \epsilon u_{X.X.t}^{\epsilon}(s) \Big) X_{X.X.t}^{\epsilon}(s) + l_{xv} \Big(X_{Xt}(s) + \theta \epsilon X_{X.X.t}^{\epsilon}(s), u_{Xt}(s) + \theta \epsilon u_{X.X.t}^{\epsilon}(s) \Big) u_{X.X.t}^{\epsilon}(s) \right] d\theta$$
$$\rightarrow l_{xx} \Big(X_{Xt}(s), u_{Xt}(s) \Big) \mathcal{X}_{X.X.t}(s) + l_{xv} \Big(X_{Xt}(s), u_{Xt}(s) \Big) \mathcal{U}_{X.X.t}(s) \quad \text{in } L^{2}_{\mathcal{F}_{XXt}}(t, T; \mathcal{H}_{m}) \text{ weakly.}$$

Now, the property (3.13) holds true, so we can also state that

(C.20)
$$\int_{0}^{1} D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left(\left((X_{Xt}(s) + \theta \epsilon X_{X.\mathcal{X}t}^{\epsilon}(s)) \otimes m \right)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}} \right) \right) (X_{X.\mathcal{X}t}^{\epsilon}(s)) d\theta \rightarrow D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}} \right) \right) (\mathcal{X}_{X.\mathcal{X}t}(s)) \text{ in } L^{2}_{\mathcal{F}_{X\mathcal{X}t}}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_{m}) \text{ weakly.}$$

Also,

$$\int_{0}^{1} h_{xx}(X_{Xt}(T) + \theta \epsilon X_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}^{\epsilon}(T)) X_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}^{\epsilon}(T) d\theta + \int_{0}^{1} D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F_{T} \left(\left((X_{Xt}(T) + \theta \epsilon X_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}^{\epsilon}(T)) \otimes m \right)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}} \right) \right) (X_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}^{\epsilon}(T)) d\theta$$
(C.21)

$$\to h_{xx}(X_{Xt}(T))\mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(T) + D_X^2 \mathbb{E}\left(F_T\left((X_{X,t}(T)\otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^T}\right)\right)(\mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(T))$$

weakly in the subspace of \mathcal{H}_m of $\mathcal{F}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^T$ -measurable variable. From relation (C.15), by conditioning and letting $\epsilon \to 0$ we obtain

$$(C.22) \qquad \mathcal{Z}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(s) = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{s}^{T} \left(l_{xx}(X_{Xt}(\tau), u_{Xt}(\tau))\mathcal{X}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(\tau) + l_{xv}(X_{Xt}(\tau), u_{Xt}(\tau))\mathcal{U}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(\tau) + D_{X}^{2}\mathbb{E}\left(F\left((X_{X.t}(\tau)\otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{\tau}}\right)\right)(\mathcal{X}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(\tau))\right)d\tau + h_{xx}(X_{Xt}(T))\mathcal{X}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(T) + D_{X}^{2}\mathbb{E}\left(F_{T}\left((X_{X.t}(T)\otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}}\right)\right)(\mathcal{X}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(T))\Big|\mathcal{F}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}^{s}\right].$$

This implies that $\mathcal{Z}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s)$ is an Itô process, and satisfies

(C.23)
$$\begin{cases} -d\mathcal{Z}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s) = \left[l_{xx}(X_{Xt}(s), u_{Xt}(s))\mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s) + l_{xv}(X_{Xt}(s), u_{Xt}(s))\mathcal{U}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s) + D_X^2 \mathbb{E}\left(F\left((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s}\right)\right)(\mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s))\right] ds \\ -\sum_{j=1}^n \mathcal{R}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^j(s) dw_j(s) - \sum_{j=1}^n \Theta_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^j(s) db_j(s), \\ \mathcal{Z}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(T) = h_{xx}(X_{Xt}(T))\mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(T) + D_X^2 \mathbb{E}\left(F_T\left((X_{X,t}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^T}\right)\right)(\mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(T)) \\ \end{cases}$$

We can also check that $\mathcal{R}^{j}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s), \Theta^{j}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s)$ are the weak limits of $r^{j,\epsilon}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s), \rho^{j,\epsilon}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s)$.

Since we have also

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \mathcal{X}, Z_{X.\mathcal{X}:t}^{\epsilon}(t) \right\rangle = & \left\langle \mathcal{X}, \int_{0}^{1} \left[l_{xx} \left(X_{Xt}(s) + \theta \epsilon X_{X.\mathcal{X}:t}^{\epsilon}(s), u_{Xt}(s) + \theta \epsilon u_{X.\mathcal{X}:t}^{\epsilon}(s) \right) X_{X.\mathcal{X}:t}^{\epsilon}(s) \right. \\ & \left. + l_{xv} \left(X_{Xt}(s) + \theta \epsilon X_{X.\mathcal{X}:t}^{\epsilon}(s), u_{Xt}(s) + \theta \epsilon u_{X.\mathcal{X}:t}^{\epsilon}(s) \right) u_{X.\mathcal{X}:t}^{\epsilon}(s) \right] d\theta \\ & \left. + \int_{0}^{1} D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left(\left((X_{Xt}(s) + \theta \epsilon X_{X.\mathcal{X}:t}^{\epsilon}(s)) \otimes m \right)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}} \right) \right) (X_{X.\mathcal{X}:t}^{\epsilon}(s)) d\theta \right\rangle, \end{split}$$

we can state that

(C.24)
$$\left\langle \mathcal{X}, Z_{X,\mathcal{X},t}^{\epsilon}(t) \right\rangle \to \left\langle \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Z}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(t) \right\rangle.$$

Since $Z_{Xt}(t) = D_X V(X \otimes m, t)$, from the definition of the second derivative (see (2.38)), we obtain: (C.25) $D_X^2 V(X \otimes m, t)(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{Z}_{X,\mathcal{X}t}(t).$

We then proceed to prove the continuity property (7.9). By definition,

$$\begin{array}{l} (C.26) \quad \mathcal{X}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}_{k}t_{k}}(s) = \mathcal{X}_{k} + \int_{t_{k}} \mathcal{U}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}_{k}t_{k}}(\tau)d\tau, \ s > t_{k}, \\ (C.27) \quad -d \quad \mathcal{Z}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}_{k}t_{k}}(s) = \left[l_{xx} \Big(X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(s), u_{X_{k}t_{k}}(s) \Big) \mathcal{X}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}_{k}t_{k}}(s) + l_{xv} \Big(X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(s), u_{X_{k}t_{k}}(s) \Big) \mathcal{U}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}_{k}t_{k}}(s) \\ & \quad + D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t_{k}}^{*}} \right) \right) (\mathcal{X}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}_{k}t_{k}}(s)) \right] ds \\ & \quad - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{R}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}_{k}t_{k}}^{j}(s) dw_{j}(s) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \Theta_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}_{k}t_{k}}^{j}(s) db_{j}(s), \\ \mathcal{Z}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}_{k}t_{k}}(T) = h_{xx} (X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(T)) \mathcal{X}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}_{k}t_{k}}(T) + D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F_{T} \left((X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t_{k}}^{T}} \right) \right) (\mathcal{X}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}_{k}t_{k}}(s) = 0, \\ \text{and} \\ (C.28) \quad l_{vx} \Big(X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(s), u_{X_{k}t_{k}}(s) \Big) \mathcal{X}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}_{k}t_{k}}(s) + l_{vv} \Big(X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(s), u_{X_{k}t_{k}}(s) \Big) \mathcal{U}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}_{k}t_{k}}(s) = 0, \\ \text{and} \\ (C.29) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{c} X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(s) = X_{k} + \int_{t_{k}}^{s} u_{X_{k}t_{k}}(\tau) d\tau + \sigma(w(s) - w(t_{k})) + \beta(b(s) - b(t_{k})), \\ -dZ_{X_{k}t_{k}}(s) = \left(l_{x}(X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(s), u_{X_{k}t_{k}}(s) \right) + D_{X}\mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t_{k}}^{*}} \right) \right) \right) ds \\ - \sum_{j=1}^{n} r_{X_{k}t_{k}}(s) dw_{j}(s) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \rho_{X_{k}t_{k}}^{j}(s) db_{j}(s), \\ Z_{X_{k}t_{k}}(T) = h_{x}(X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(T)) + D_{X}\mathbb{E} \left(F_{T} \left((Y_{X_{k}t_{k}}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t_{k}}^{T}} \right) \right) \right), \end{aligned} \right\}$$

(C.31)
$$\left(l_v \Big(X_{X_k t_k}(s), u_{X_k t_k}(s) \Big) + Z_{X_k t_k}(s) = 0. \right)$$

We need to prove that

(C.32)
$$\mathcal{Z}_{X_k \mathcal{X}_k t_k}(t_k) \to \mathcal{Z}_{X \mathcal{X} t}(t)$$

We fix s > t. We can assume that $s > t_k$. Since, from (C.11),

(C.33)
$$||\mathcal{Z}_{X_k \mathcal{X}_k t_k}(t_k) - \mathcal{Z}_{X_k \mathcal{X}_t t_k}(t_k)|| \le C_T ||\mathcal{X}_k - \mathcal{X}||,$$

it is sufficient to prove that

(C.34)
$$\mathcal{Z}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(t_k) \to \mathcal{Z}_{X \mathcal{X} t}(t).$$

So we have to consider the system:

(C.38)

$$(C.35) \begin{cases} \mathcal{Y}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(s) = \mathcal{X} + \int_{t_{k}}^{s} \mathcal{U}_{X\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(\tau)d\tau, \ s > t_{k}, \\ -d \ \mathcal{Z}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(s) = \left[l_{xx} \left(X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(s), u_{X_{k}t_{k}}(s) \right) \mathcal{X}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(s) + l_{xv} \left(X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(s), u_{X_{k}t_{k}}(s) \right) \mathcal{U}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(s) \\ + D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(s) \otimes m)^{B_{t_{k}}} \right) \right) (\mathcal{X}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(s)) \right] ds \\ (C.36) \\ (C.36) \\ \mathcal{Z}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(T) = h_{xx} (X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(T)) \mathcal{X}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(T) + D_{X}^{2} F_{T} \left((X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t_{k}}} \right) (\mathcal{X}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(T)), \\ (C.36) \\ \mathcal{Z}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(T) = h_{xx} (X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(T)) \mathcal{X}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(T) + D_{X}^{2} F_{T} \left((X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t_{k}}} \right) (\mathcal{X}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(T)), \\ (C.36) \\ \mathcal{Z}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(T) = h_{xx} (\mathcal{X}_{X_{k}t_{k}}(T)) \mathcal{X}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(T) + D_{X}^{2} F_{T} \left((X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t_{k}}} \right) (\mathcal{X}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(T)), \\ (C.36) \\ \mathcal{Z}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(T) = h_{xx} (\mathcal{X}_{X_{k}t_{k}}(T)) \mathcal{X}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(T) + D_{X}^{2} F_{T} \left((X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t_{k}}} \right) (\mathcal{X}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(T)), \\ (C.36) \\ \mathcal{Z}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(T) = h_{xx} (\mathcal{X}_{X_{k}t_{k}}(T)) \mathcal{Z}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(T) + D_{X}^{2} F_{T} \left((X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t_{k}}} \right) (\mathcal{X}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(T)), \\ (C.36) \\ \mathcal{Z}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(T) = h_{xx} (\mathcal{Z}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(T) + D_{X}^{2} F_{T} \left((X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t_{k}}} \right) (\mathcal{Z}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(T)), \\ (C.36) \\ \mathcal{Z}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(T) = h_{xx} (\mathcal{Z}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(T) + D_{X}^{2} F_{T} \left((X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t_{k}}} \right) (\mathcal{Z}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(T)) = h_{xx} (\mathcal{Z}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(T) + D_{X}^{2} F_{T} \left((X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t_{k}}} \right) (\mathcal{Z}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(T)) = h_{xx} (\mathcal{Z}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(T) + D_{X}^{2} F_{T} \left((X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t_{k}}} \right) (\mathcal{Z}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(T)) = h_{xx} (\mathcal{Z}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(T) + D_{X}^{2} F_{T} \left((X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t_{k}}} \right) (\mathcal{Z}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(T) + D_{X}^{2} F_{T} \left((X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(T)$$

 $(C.37) \left(l_{vx} \left(X_{X_k t_k}(s), u_{X_k t_k}(s) \right) \mathcal{X}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(s) + l_{vv} \left(X_{X_k t_k}(s), u_{X_k t_k}(s) \right) \mathcal{U}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(s) + \mathcal{Z}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(s) = 0.$ From the proof of Proposition 5.3, we obtain

$$||X_{X_k t_k}(s) - X_{Xt}(s)|| \le ||X_{X_k t_k}(s) - X_{Xt_k}(s)|| + ||X_{Xt_k}(s) - X_{Xt}(s)||$$
$$\le C_T ||X_k - X|| + C_T ||X|| (t_k - t) + C_T (t_k - t)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and similar estimates for $||Z_{X_k t_k}(s) - Z_{Xt}(s)||$ and $||u_{X_k t_k}(s) - u_{Xt}(s)||$. We next introduce the σ -algebras (C.39) $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^s_{X\mathcal{X}t} = \bigcup_{\{j|t_j < s\}} \mathcal{F}^s_{X_j\mathcal{X}t_j} \cup \mathcal{F}^{t_j}_t.$

Note that X is $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{XXt}^s$ -measurable, so $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{XXt}^s$ is an extension of \mathcal{F}_{XXt}^s . To define the processes $\mathcal{X}_{X_kXt_k}(s)$, $\mathcal{U}_{X_kXt_k}(s)$, $\mathcal{Z}_{X_kXt_k}(s)$ for $t < s < t_k$, we set

(C.40)
$$\mathcal{X}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(s) = \mathcal{X}, \ \mathcal{U}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(s) = 0, \ \mathcal{Z}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(s) = \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{Z}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(t_k) | \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{X \mathcal{X} t}^s], \ t < s < t_k$$

To simplify notation, we shall denote $\mathcal{X}^k(s) = \mathcal{X}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(s)$, $\mathcal{U}^k(s) = \mathcal{U}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(s)$, $\mathcal{Z}^k(s) = \mathcal{Z}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(s)$. We first see that $\mathcal{X}^k(s)$, $\mathcal{U}^k(s)$ and $\mathcal{Z}^k(s)$ remain bounded in $L^{\infty}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{X \mathcal{X} t}}(t, T; \mathcal{H}_m)$. We pick a subsequence of $\mathcal{X}^k(s), \mathcal{U}^k(s), \mathcal{Z}^k(s)$, which converges weakly to $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}(\cdot), \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}(\cdot), \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\cdot)$ in $L^2_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{X \mathcal{X} t}}(t, T; \mathcal{H}_m)$. Then

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}(s) = \mathcal{X} + \int_t^s \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}(\tau) d\tau.$$

As for the proof of (C.17)-(C.21) we have

$$l_{xx}\Big(X_{X_kt_k}(s), u_{X_kt_k}(s)\Big)\mathcal{X}_{X_k\mathcal{X}t_k}(s) + l_{xv}\Big(X_{X_kt_k}(s), u_{X_kt_k}(s)\Big)\mathcal{U}_{X_k\mathcal{X}t_k}(s) + D_X^2 \mathbb{E}\left(F\left((X_{X_kt_k}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t_k}^s}\right)\right)(\mathcal{X}_{X_k\mathcal{X}t_k}(s)) \rightarrow l_{xx}\Big(X_{Xt}(s), u_{Xt}(s)\Big)\tilde{\mathcal{X}}(s) + l_{xv}\Big(X_{Xt}(s), u_{Xt}(s)\Big)\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(s) + D_X^2 \mathbb{E}\left(F\left((X_{Xt}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s}\right)\right)(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}(s))$$

weakly in $L^2_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{Y,Y_4}}(t,T;\mathcal{H}_m)$, and likewise for similar terms. We have used here the property

$$(X_{X_k t_k}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}^s_{t_k}} = (X_{X_k t_k}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}^s_t}$$

since $\mathcal{B}_t^s = \mathcal{B}_{t_k}^s \cup \mathcal{B}_t^{t_k}$ and $X_{X_k t_k}(s)$ is independent of $\mathcal{B}_t^{t_k}$.

Necessarily $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}(s) = \mathcal{X}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(s), \ \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}(s) = \mathcal{U}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(s), \ \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(s) = \mathcal{Z}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(s).$ We need next to prove the strong convergence. We first check the relation that $I_k = \int_{t_k}^{T} \left[\left\langle l_{xx} \Big(X_{X_k t_k}(s), u_{X_k t_k}(s) \Big) \mathcal{X}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(s), \mathcal{X}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(s) \right\rangle + 2 \left\langle l_{xv} \Big(X_{X_k t_k}(s), u_{X_k t_k}(s) \Big) \mathcal{U}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(s), \mathcal{X}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(s) \right\rangle \right. \\ \left. + \left\langle l_{vv} \Big(X_{X_k t_k}(s), u_{X_k t_k}(s) \Big) \mathcal{U}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(s), \mathcal{U}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(s) \right\rangle + \left\langle D_X^2 \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X_k t_k}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t_k}^s} \right) \right) (\mathcal{X}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(s)), \mathcal{X}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(s) \right\rangle \right] ds \\ \left. + \left\langle h_{xx} (X_{X_k t_k}(T)) \mathcal{X}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(T) + D_X^2 \mathbb{E} \left(F_T \left((X_{X_k t_k}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t_k}^T} \right) \right) (\mathcal{X}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(T)), \mathcal{X}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(T) \right\rangle \\ = \left\langle \mathcal{Z}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(t_k), \mathcal{X} \right\rangle$

converges to

$$\begin{split} I &= \left\langle \mathcal{Z}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(t), \mathcal{X} \right\rangle \\ &= \int_{t}^{T} \left[\left\langle l_{xx} \Big(X_{Xt}(s), u_{Xt}(s) \Big) \mathcal{X}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(s), \mathcal{X}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(s) \right\rangle + 2 \left\langle l_{xv} \Big(X_{Xt}(s), u_{Xt}(s) \Big) \mathcal{U}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(s), \mathcal{X}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(s) \right\rangle \right. \\ &+ \left\langle l_{vv} \Big(X_{Xt}(s), u_{Xt}(s) \Big) \mathcal{U}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(s), \mathcal{U}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(s) \right\rangle + \left\langle D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{Xt}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}} \right) \right) (\mathcal{X}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(s)), \mathcal{X}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(s) \right\rangle \right] ds \\ &+ \left\langle h_{xx} (X_{Xt}(T)) \mathcal{X}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(T) + D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F_{T} \left((X_{Xt}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}} \right) \right) (\mathcal{X}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(T)), \mathcal{X}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(T) \right\rangle. \end{split}$$
From this convergence and weak convergence, we obtain immediately

From this convergence and weak convergence, we obtain immediately $aT \begin{bmatrix} r \\ r \end{bmatrix}$

$$J_{k} = \int_{t_{k}}^{T} \left[\left\langle l_{xx} \left(X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(s), u_{X_{k}t_{k}}(s) \right) \left(\mathcal{X}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(s) - \mathcal{X}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(s) \right), \mathcal{X}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(s) - \mathcal{X}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(s) \right\rangle \right. \\ \left. + 2 \left\langle l_{xv} \left(X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(s), u_{X_{k}t_{k}}(s) \right) \left(\mathcal{U}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(s) - \mathcal{U}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(s) \right), \mathcal{X}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(s) - \mathcal{X}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(s) \right\rangle \right. \\ \left. + \left\langle l_{vv} \left(X_{Xt}(s), u_{Xt}(s) \right) \left(\mathcal{U}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(s) - \mathcal{U}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(s) \right), \mathcal{U}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(s) - \mathcal{U}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(s) \right\rangle \right. \\ \left. + \left\langle D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left(\left(X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(s) \otimes m \right)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}} \right) \right) \left(\mathcal{X}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(s) - \mathcal{X}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(s) \right), \mathcal{X}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(s) - \mathcal{X}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(s) \right\rangle \right] ds \\ \left. + \left\langle D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F_{T} \left(\left(X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(T) - \mathcal{X}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(T) \right) \right) \right) \\ \left. + D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F_{T} \left(\left(X_{X_{k}t_{k}}(T) \otimes m \right)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}} \right) \right) \cdot \left(\mathcal{X}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(T) - \mathcal{X}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(T) \right), \mathcal{X}_{X_{k}\mathcal{X}t_{k}}(T) - \mathcal{X}_{X\mathcal{X}t}(T) \right\rangle \right\}$$

 $\rightarrow 0.$

But

(C.42)
$$J_k \ge \lambda \int_{t_k}^T \|\mathcal{U}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(s) - \mathcal{U}_{X \mathcal{X} t}(s)\|^2 ds - (c'_l + c') \int_{t_k}^T ||\mathcal{X}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(s) - \mathcal{X}_{X \mathcal{X} t}(s)||^2 ds - (c'_h + c'_T)||\mathcal{X}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(T) - \mathcal{X}_{X \mathcal{X} t}(T)||^2,$$

and

(C.43)

$$\begin{aligned} ||\mathcal{X}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(T) - \mathcal{X}_{X \mathcal{X} t}(T)||^2 &\leq (1+\epsilon)T \int_{t_k}^T ||\mathcal{U}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(s) - \mathcal{U}_{X \mathcal{X} t}(s)||^2 ds \\ &+ \left(1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) (t_k - t)^2 \sup_s ||\mathcal{U}_{X \mathcal{X} t}(s)||^2, \\ \int_{t_k}^T ||\mathcal{X}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(s) - \mathcal{X}_{X \mathcal{X} t}(s)||^2 ds &\leq (1+\epsilon) \frac{T^2}{2} \int_{t_k}^T ||\mathcal{U}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(s) - \mathcal{U}_{X \mathcal{X} t}(s)||^2 ds \\ &+ \left(1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) (t_k - t)^2 \sup_s ||\mathcal{U}_{X \mathcal{X} t}(s)||^2. \end{aligned}$$

From the assumption (4.19), since $t_k \downarrow t$ and $J_k \to 0$, we obtain $\int_{t_k}^T ||\mathcal{U}_{X_k \mathcal{X} t_k}(s) - \mathcal{U}_{X \mathcal{X} t}(s)||^2 ds \to 0$. It is then easy to get (C.32). This concludes the proof of Proposition 7.3.

C.4. **PROOF OF THEOREM 7.5.** From the optimality principle we can write

$$0 = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{s}^{s+\epsilon} \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} l \left(X_{X,t}(\tau), u_{X,t}(\tau) \right) dm(x) \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X,t}(\tau) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{\tau}} \right) \right) \right] d\tau \\ + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left[\mathbb{E} \left(V \left((X_{X,t}(s+\epsilon) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s+\epsilon}}, t+\epsilon \right) \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(V \left((X_{Xt}(s) \otimes m, s)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, s \right) \right) \right].$$

From the continuity of functions $s \mapsto X_{X,t}(s), u_{X,t}(s)$, the first term converges to $\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} l(X_{X,t}(s), u_{X,t}(s))dm(x)\right) + \mathbb{E}\left(F((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}^s_t})\right)$. Therefore we have (C.44) $\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} l\left(X_{X,t}(s), u_{X,t}(s)\right)dm(x)\right) + \mathbb{E}\left(F\left((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}^s_t}\right)\right) + \frac{d}{ds}\mathbb{E}\left(V\left((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}^s_t}, s\right)\right) = 0.$

But we have proven that the function $X \mapsto \mathbb{E}\left(V((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s}, s)\right)$ satisfies all the conditions for the applicability of Theorem 3.2. So

$$(C.45) \frac{d}{ds} \mathbb{E} \left(V \left((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, s \right) \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathbb{E} \left(V \left((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, s \right) \right) + \left\langle D_{X} \mathbb{E} \left(V \left((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, s \right) \right), u_{X.t}(s) \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left\langle D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(V \left((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, s \right) \right) (\sigma N_{s}^{j}), \sigma N_{s}^{j} \right\rangle + \frac{\beta^{2}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left\langle D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(V \left((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}}, s \right) \right) (e^{j}), e^{j} \right\rangle, \text{ a.e. } s \in (0, T),$$

where N_s^j are scalar standard Gaussian independent of \mathcal{F}_{Xt}^s . Recall that $D_X \mathbb{E}\left(V((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s}, s)\right) = Z_{X,t}(s)$ and

$$\left\langle Z_{X.t}(s), u_{X.t}(s) \right\rangle = \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Z_{X.t}(s) \cdot u_{X.t}(s) dm(x) \right).$$

Since

$$l_v(X_{\cdot,t}(s), u_{X\cdot t}(s)) + Z_{X\cdot t}(s) = 0,$$

we can write

$$(C.46) \\ \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} l\left(X_{X.t}(s), u_{X.t}(s)\right) dm(x)\right) + \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Z_{X.t}(s) \cdot u_{X.t}(s) dm(x)\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H\left(X_{X.t}(s), Z_{X.t}(s)\right) dm(x)\right).$$

Therefore (C.44) and (C.45) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathbf{C}.47) \\ & \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathbb{E} \left(V \left((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s}, s \right) \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H \left(X_{X.t}(s), Z_{X.t}(s) \right) dm(x) \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(V \left((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s}, s \right) \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle D_X^2 \mathbb{E} \left(V \left((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s}, s \right) \right) (\sigma N_s), \sigma N_s \right\rangle + \frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\langle D_X^2 \mathbb{E} \left(V \left((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s}, s \right) \right) (e^j), e^j \right\rangle = 0, \text{a.e.}. \end{aligned}$$
Also,

(C.48)
$$\mathbb{E}\left(V\left((X_{X,t}(T)\otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}},T\right)\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}h(X_{X,t}(T))dm(x)\right) + \mathbb{E}\left(F_{T}\left((X_{X,t}(T)\otimes m,T)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}}\right)\right).$$

Taking s = t in (C.47) and t = T in (C.48), and recalling that $Z_{X,t}(t) = D_X V(X, \otimes m, t)$, we obtain (7.33). Now if a functional $V(X \otimes m, t)$ satisfies the regularity properties of the value function, then it satisfies also (C.47) and (C.48). Then (C.44) holds and integrating with respect to s, between t and T, we obtain $V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t) = J_{X_{\cdot}t}(u_{X_{\cdot}t}(\cdot)).$ (C.49)

Since the right-hand side is the value function and uniquely defined, the solution is necessarily unique. Note that X. must be independent of \mathcal{F}_t . But given X., we can always construct the Wiener process, so that this condition is satisfied. This concludes the proof.

APPENDIX D. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 8.1

Considering Proposition 7.3, we can enlarge the space of controls as follows: Let \mathcal{B}_x be a family of σ -algebras independent of the filtration \mathcal{F}_t and X, which is the initial condition of the system (4.20) be \mathcal{B} -measurable. Let also \mathcal{X} be also \mathcal{B} -measurable. We consider the control problem

(D.1)
$$\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}}(s) = \mathcal{X} + \int_{t}^{s} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}(\tau) d\tau$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(D.2)} \\ \mathcal{J}_{X.\mathcal{B}.t}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}.}(\cdot)) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{t}^{T} \left[\left\langle l_{xx} \Big(X_{X.t}(s), u_{X.t}(s) \Big) \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}.}(s) + D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X.t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{s}} \right) \right) (\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}.}(s)), \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}.}(s) \right) \right. \\ &+ 2 \left\langle l_{xv} \Big(X_{X.t}(s), u_{X.t}(s) \Big) \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}.}(s), \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}.}(s) \Big\rangle + \left\langle l_{vv} \Big(X_{X.t}(s), u_{X.t}(s) \Big) \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}.}(s), \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}.}(s) \right\rangle \right] ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left\langle h_{xx} (X_{X.t}(T)) \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}.}(T) + D_{X}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left(F_{T} \left((X_{X.t}(T) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_{t}^{T}} \right) \right) (\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}.}(T)), \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}.}(T) \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$
Then we have

I nen we nave

(D.3)
$$\inf_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}.}(\cdot)} \mathcal{J}_{X.\mathcal{B}.t}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}.}(\cdot)) = \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \mathcal{Z}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(t), \mathcal{X}_{\cdot} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left\langle D_X^2 V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t)(\mathcal{X}_{\cdot}), \mathcal{X}_{\cdot} \right\rangle = \mathcal{J}_{X.\mathcal{B}.t}(\mathcal{U}_{X.\mathcal{X}.t}(\cdot)).$$

From this formula and the uniqueness of the point of minimum, we can give a formula for $\langle D_X \langle D_X^2 V(X) \rangle$ $(m, t)(\mathcal{X}), \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{Z}$ where \mathcal{Z} is also \mathcal{B} -measurable and independent of \mathcal{F}_t . This formula can be applied to \mathcal{X} . σN_t and e^j , respectively. This formula will be valid thanks to the assumptions (8.16), (8.17), (8.18), (8.19). This provides the justification needed to obtain the master equation (8.1). Denoting by $D_{X,\mathcal{J}_{X,\mathcal{B},t}}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B},\cdot}(\cdot))$ the Gâteaux differential of $\mathcal{J}_{X.\mathcal{B}.t}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}.}(\cdot))$ with respect to X., when $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}.}(\cdot)$ is fixed, then we have

(D.4)
$$\frac{1}{2} \left\langle D_X \left\langle D_X^2 V(X_{\cdot} \otimes m, t)(\mathcal{X}_{\cdot}), \mathcal{X}_{\cdot} \right\rangle, \mathcal{Z}_{\cdot} \right\rangle = D_{X_{\cdot}} \mathcal{J}_{X_{\cdot}\mathcal{B}_{\cdot}t}(\mathcal{U}_{X_{\cdot}\mathcal{X}_{\cdot}t}(\cdot)).$$

We get the very long formula: 1 / 1

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \left\langle D_X \left\langle D_X^2 V(X, \otimes m, t)(\mathcal{X}), \mathcal{X} \right\rangle, \mathcal{Z} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \left[\left\langle \left(l_{xxx} \left(X_{X,t}(s), u_{X,t}(s) \right) \mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{Z},t}(s) \right) \mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s), \mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s) \right\rangle \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \left(D_X^3 \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s} \right) \right) (\mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{Z},t}(s)) \right) (\mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s)), \mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s) \right\rangle \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \left(l_{xxv} (X_{X,t}(s), u_{X,t}(s)) \mathcal{U}_{X,\mathcal{Z},t}(s) \right) \mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s), \mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s) \right\rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \left(l_{xvv} (X_{X,t}(s), u_{X,t}(s)) \mathcal{U}_{X,\mathcal{Z},t}(s) \right) \mathcal{U}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s), \mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s) \right\rangle \\ &+ \left\langle \left(l_{xvv} (X_{X,t}(s), u_{X,t}(s)) \mathcal{U}_{X,\mathcal{Z},t}(s) \right) \mathcal{U}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s), \mathcal{U}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s) \right\rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \left(l_{vvv} (X_{X,t}(s), u_{X,t}(s)) \mathcal{U}_{X,\mathcal{Z},t}(s) \right) \mathcal{U}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s), \mathcal{U}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(s) \right\rangle \right] ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \left(l_{vvv} (X_{X,t}(s), u_{X,t}(s)) \mathcal{U}_{X,\mathcal{Z},t}(T) \right) \mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(T) \right\rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \left(D_X^3 \mathbb{E} \left(F_T \left((X_X,t(T)) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^T} \right) \right) (\mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{Z},t}(T)) \right) (\mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(T)), \mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{X},t}(T) \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This formula is not easy to read. One must keep in mind that $D_X^3 \mathbb{E}\left(F((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s})\right) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_m; \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_m; \mathcal{H}_m)),$ hence $\left(D_X^3 \mathbb{E}\left(F((X_{X,t}(s) \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}_t^s})\right)(\mathcal{X}_{X,\mathcal{Z},t}(s))\right) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_m;\mathcal{H}_m)$. The interpretation of the other terms is similar. More specifically, we can express

$$\left\langle D_X^3 \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}} \right) \right) (\mathcal{Z}_{\cdot})(Y_{\cdot}), Y_{\cdot} \right\rangle = \left\langle D_X \left\langle D_X^2 \mathbb{E} \left(F \left((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}} \right) \right) (Y_{\cdot}), Y_{\cdot} \right\rangle, \mathcal{Z}_{\cdot} \right\rangle$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(D^3 \frac{dF}{d\nu} \left((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}} \right) (Z_x) \mathcal{Z}_x \right) Y_x \cdot Y_x dm(x) \right)$$

$$+ \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{B}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{E}^{1\mathcal{B}_{\cdot}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(D_1^2 \frac{d^2 F}{d\nu^2} \left((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}} \right) (Z_x, Z_{x^1}^{1}) \mathcal{Z}_x^{1} \right) Y_x \cdot Y_x dm(x^1) \right) dm(x) \right) \right)$$

$$+ \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{B}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{E}^{1\mathcal{B}_{\cdot}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(D^2 D_1 \frac{d^2 F}{d\nu^2} \left((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}} \right) (Z_x, Z_{x^1}^{1}) \mathcal{Z}_x^{1} \right) Y_{x^1}^{1} \cdot Y_x dm(x^1) \right) dm(x) \right) \right)$$

$$+ \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{B}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{E}^{1\mathcal{B}_{\cdot}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(DD_1^2 \frac{d^2 F}{d\nu^2} \left((Z_{\cdot} \otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}} \right) (Z_x, Z_{x^1}^{1}) \mathcal{Z}_{x^1}^{1} \right) Y_{x^1}^{1} \cdot Y_x dm(x^1) \right) dm(x) \right) \right)$$

$$(D.6)$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathbb{E}^{1\mathcal{B}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathbb{E}^{2\mathcal{B}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(DD_{1}D_{2}\frac{d^{3}F}{d\nu^{3}}\left((Z_{\cdot}\otimes m)^{\mathcal{B}}\right)(Z_{x}, Z_{x^{1}}^{1}, Z_{x^{2}}^{2})\mathcal{Z}_{x^{2}}^{2}\right)Y_{x^{1}}^{1} \cdot Y_{x}dm(x^{2})\right)dm(x^{1})\right)dm(x)\right)\right).$$
Thanks to (D.6) and assumptions (8.16) (8.17) (8.18) (8.19) we can see that formula (D.5) is valid. This

Thanks to (D.6) and assumptions (8.16), (8.17), (8.18), (8.19), we can see that formula (D.5) is valid. This completes the proof.

References

[1] Ahuja, S., Ren, W. and Yang, T. W. (2019) Forward-backward stochastic differential equations with monotone functionals and mean field games with common noise, Stochastic Process. Appl., 129(10), 3859–3892.

- [2] Bensoussan, A., Frehse, J., and Yam, S. C. P. (2016). On the interpretation of the master equation. Stochastic Processes and Applications, 127(7), 2093–2137.
- [3] Bensoussan, A., and Yam, S. C. P. (2018). Control problem on space of random variables and master equation. ESAIM: Control, Optimization and Calculus of Variations, 25, Art. 10.
- [4] Bensoussan, A., Graber, P. J., and Yam, S. C. P. (2024). Control on Hilbert spaces and application to some mean field type control problems. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 34(4), 4085–4136.
- [5] Bensoussan, A., Huang, Z., Tang, S. and Yam, S. C. P. (2024) On mean field monotonicity conditions from control theoretical perspective. arXiv preprint arXiv: 2412.05189.
- [6] Bensoussan, A., Graber, P. J., and Yam, S. C. P. (2019). Stochastic control on space of random variables. arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.12602.
- [7] Bensoussan, A., Tai, H. M., Wong, T. K., and Yam, S. C. P. (2024). A control theoretical approach to mean field games and associated master equations. arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.01639.
- [8] Bertucci, C. (2024). Stochastic optimal transport and Hamilton?Jacobi?Bellman equations on the set of probability measures. Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré C.
- [9] Buckdahn, R., Li, J., Peng, S., and Rainer, C. (2019). Mean field stochastic differential equations and associated PDEs. The Annals of Probability, 45(2), 824–878.
- [10] Cardaliaguet, P., Delarue, F., Lasry, J.-M., and Lions, P.-L. (2019). The master equation and the convergence problem in mean field games. Annals of Mathematical Studies, 201.
- [11] Carmona, R., and Delarue, F. (2015). Forward-backward stochastic differential equations and controlled McKean-Vlasov dynamics. The Annals of Probability, 43(5), 2647–2700.
- [12] Carmona, R., and Delarue, F. (2017). Probabilistic theory of mean field games with applications. Springer.
- [13] Cheung, H., Tai, H. M., and Qiu, J. (2025). Viscosity solutions of a class of second order Hamilton?Jacobi?Bellman equations in the Wasserstein space. Applied Mathematics & Optimization, 91(1), 23.
- [14] Cosso, A., et al. (2024). Master Bellman equation in the Wasserstein space: Uniqueness of viscosity solutions. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 377(1), 31–83.
- [15] Cosso, A., and Pham, H. (2019). Zero-sum stochastic differential games of generalized McKean-Vlasov type. Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, 129, 180–212.
- [16] Daudin, S., Jackson, J., and Seeger, B. (2025). Well-posedness of Hamilton–Jacobi equations in the Wasserstein space: Nonconvex Hamiltonians and common noise. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 50(1–2), 1–52.
- [17] Daudin, S., and Seeger, B. (2024). A comparison principle for semilinear Hamilton–Jacobi–Bellman equations in the Wasserstein space. Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations, 63(4), 1–36.
- [18] Djete, M. F., Possamai, D., and Tan, X. (2022). McKean–Vlasov optimal control: The dynamic programming principle. The Annals of Probability, 50(2), 791–833.
- [19] Gangbo, W., and Mészáros, A. R. (2022). Global well-posedness of master equations for deterministic displacement convex potential mean field games. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 75(12), 2685–2801.
- [20] Gangbo, W., Mészáros, A. R., Mou, C., and Zhang, J. (2022). Mean field games master equations with nonseparable Hamiltonians and displacement monotonicity. *The Annals of Probability*, **50**(6), 2178–2217.
- [21] Gangbo, W., and Święch, A. (2015). Existence of a solution to an equation arising from the theory of mean field games. Journal of Differential Equations, 259, 6573–6643.

- [22] Graber, P. J., and MészÃjros, A. R. (2023). On monotonicity conditions for mean field games. Journal of Functional Analysis, 285(9), 110095.
- [23] Huang, Z. and Tang, S. (2022) Mean field games with common noises and conditional distribution dependent FBSDEs. Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B, 43(4), 523–548.
- [24] Lions, P.-L. (2014). Seminar at CollÚge de France, November 14.
- [25] Mou, C., and Zhang, J. (2019). Weak solutions of mean-field game master equation. arXiv preprint, March.
- [26] Pham, H., and Wei, X. (2017). Dynamic programming for optimal control of stochastic McKean–Vlasov dynamics. SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, 15(2), 1069–1101.