# Globality Strikes Back: Rethinking the Global Knowledge of CLIP in Training-Free Open-Vocabulary Semantic Segmentation

Jingyun Wang Beihang University wangjingyun0730@gmail.com Cilin Yan Beihang University clyanhh@gmail.com Guoliang Kang \* Beihang University kgl.prml@gmail.com

# Abstract

Recent works modify CLIP to perform open-vocabulary semantic segmentation in a training-free manner (TF-OVSS). In CLIP, patch-wise image representations mainly encode the homogeneous image-level properties and thus are not discriminative enough, hindering its application to the dense prediction task. Previous works make image features more distinct across patches, through making each patch mainly attend to itself or the neighboring patches within a narrow local window. However, with their modifications, the ability of CLIP to aggregate global context information, which is known to be useful for distinguishing confusing categories, is largely weakened. In this paper, we propose a new method named GCLIP, which mines the beneficial global knowledge of CLIP to facilitate the TF-OVSS task. Firstly, we aim to equip the last-block attention with image-level properties while not introducing homogeneous attention patterns across patches. In GCLIP, we merge the attention from the global token emerging blocks with the Ouery-Ouery attention to realize this goal. Secondly, we aim to make the Value embeddings of the last-block attention module more distinct and semantically correlated. To realize this, we design a novel channel suppression strategy. As the representation of each patch is finally determined by the attention weights and the Value embeddings, our method can generate more discriminative patch-level image features while absorbing global context information. Extensive experiments on five standard benchmarks demonstrate that our method consistently outperforms previous state-of-the-arts.

# 1. Introduction

Semantic segmentation aims to assign a semantic label to each pixel within an image. With the rise of deep learning [5, 7, 8, 24, 25, 41], semantic segmentation performance has been dramatically improved, but still relies on close-set training covering a limited number of categories. In real world, there are a large number of open-vocabulary classes that are not seen during training and the closed-set semantic segmentation methods may not be able to make predictions for them. To deal with open-vocabulary semantic segmentation (OVSS) problem, many methods [3, 9, 14, 18, 23, 37–40] have been developed and exhibit superior generalization ability to unseen categories. However, most of OVSS methods still heavily rely on time-consuming training with large-scale image-caption pairs or class-agnostic masks, which hinders the application of OVSS methods in practice.

Recent works modify large-scale visual-language pretrained model CLIP [28] to perform OVSS in a trainingfree manner. Though CLIP demonstrates superior zero-shot performance for image classification task, it cannot be directly applied to OVSS as the patch-wise representation of CLIP tends to encode the homogeneous image-level properties and thus is not discriminative enough. Previous methods for TF-OVSS [17, 22, 31, 33, 43] mainly modify the attention mechanism in the final block of CLIP, which encourages each patch to primarily focus on itself or the neighboring patches within a narrow local window. Though image features are more distinct across patches, the CLIP's ability to aggregate global context information is significantly weakened, which is known to be useful in conventional semantic segmentation practice for distinguishing confusing categories. As a result, the segmentation performance of those works is largely constrained.

In this paper, we propose GCLIP to mine and emphasize the beneficial global knowledge of CLIP to facilitate the TF-OVSS task. Inspired by ClearCLIP [17], our method enhances the distinctness of patch-wise representations via altering the last-block Query-Key attention to Query-Query attention and discarding the last-block FFN and the residual outputs from other blocks. Beyond the distinctness enhancement, we make two simple yet effective modifications to the last-block attention and Value embeddings respectively to emphasize the beneficial global knowledge of CLIP. Firstly, we propose an Attention Map Fusion strategy (AMF) to reshape the last-block attention by emphasizing

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author



Figure 1. Experiments with CLIP ViT-B/16. (a) **Emergence of global tokens.** Global tokens (highlight stripes in Line 1) emerge from the attention map of block 6. Comparing attention maps from block 6 to block 10, we observe the attention pattern of global token aligns well with that of the [CLS] token (Line 2&3). (b) **Visualization.** Vanilla CLIP (Column 2) encodes homogeneous image-level properties but hinders patch-wise discrimination, resulting in smooth attention maps and noisy masks. ClearCLIP (Column 3) extracts distinct features across patches but weakens the capability of aggregating global context information, resulting in an incomplete mask for the target object. (c) **Channel Suppression.** We observe the entropy of weight norms decreases dramatically from block 7 in (3). With channel suppression in abnormal weight norm of FFN (pink in (4)), (1) we reduce the similarity between value embeddings of the final-attention module, and (2) enhance the semantic correlation.

the effect of global tokens. As shown in Figure 1 (a), we observe that *global tokens* exist in deeper blocks of CLIP. The term "global token" means a specific patch is important (*i.e.*, corresponding Query-Key attention weight is super high) for all the other patches. Interestingly, we find that the attention pattern of global token aligns well with that of [CLS] token, which indicates those global tokens may encode the image-level properties as [CLS] token. Based on such observations, we propose AMF to fuse the attention maps from early global-token emerging blocks with the final-block Query-Query attention.

Secondly, we propose a Channel Suppression (CS) strategy to make last-block Value embeddings more distinct and semantically correlated. We observe an interesting phenomenon exists in the weights of the second fullyconnected layer of FFN in a Transformer block (as illustrated in Figure 1 (c)). That is, the weight norm corresponding to some specific output channels becomes unexpectedly larger than the weight norm of other channels. This can be reflected by the entropy of those weight norms. As shown in Figure 1 (c)(3), the entropy of weight norms decreases dramatically from a certain block. Such an abnormal increase of specific-channel weight norm may homogeneously yield large activation of the same channel for different patch representations, which may do harm to the distinctness and semantic correlation among different Value embeddings. Thus, we propose to suppress abnormal weight norm of FFN to improve the distinctness and semantically correlation of Value embeddings. After the suppression, we observe the similarity between Value embeddings of the lastattention module and global tokens is reduced in (1) of Figure 1(c). Moreover, according to Figure 1 (c)(2), we observe the Value embeddings of patches within the same semantic mask become more similar (see "in-in" comparison) while those from different masks become more dissimilar (see "in-out" comparison). Since the representation of each patch is finally determined by the attention weights and the Value embeddings, we can finally generate more discriminative patch-level image features while also absorbing global context information.

We conduct extensive experiments on five standard semantic segmentation benchmarks, including PASCAL VOC [13], PASCAL Context [26], ADE20K [42], Cityscapes [10] and COCO Stuff [2]. Experiment results demonstrate that GCLIP consistently outperforms previous state-of-the-arts. Notably, on ADE20K, our method outper-

forms ClearCLIP [17] by 1.6% mIoU. Extensive ablation studies verify the effectiveness of each design in GCLIP.

In a nutshell, our contributions are summarized as

- We propose an Attention Map Fusion strategy (AMF) to reshape the last-block attention by emphasizing the effect of global tokens.
- We propose a Channel Suppression strategy (CS) to make last-block Value embeddings more distinct and semantically correlated.
- We conduct extensive experiments on various segmentation benchmarks under the training-free openvocabulary setting. Experiment results show that GCLIP outperforms previous state-of-the-arts.

## 2. Related Work

Pre-trained vision-language models Pre-trained visionlanguage models (VLMs) [6, 11, 19-21] have experienced rapid development, thanks to the abundant largescale image-text pairs accessible on the Internet. Recently, CLIP [28], ALIGN [16] and Slip [27] have made great progress on learning visual and textual representations jointly by using contrastive learning. Among these, CLIP trained on WIT-400M exhibits robust zero-shot capability for image classification task, due to its image-level alignment with text. However, directly applying CLIP to dense prediction tasks, such as object detection and semantic segmentation, results in suboptimal performance. A series of methods [3, 12, 34, 35, 38, 43] have successfully adapted CLIP for various downstream tasks and this paper specifically addresses the adaptation of CLIP for the task of training-free open-vocabulary semantic segmentation.

**Open-vocabulary** semantic segmentation Openvocabulary semantic segmentation (OVSS) refers to segmenting an image with arbitrary categories under the guidance of a textual description. Among these, fully supervised OVSS [9, 14, 18, 23, 39] methods still rely on high-quality pixel-level annotated masks. Usually, they generate mask proposals by an extra mask generator, e.g., Mask2Former [8], and further align the visual embeddings with the textual features. Most methods extract visual features by CLIP, while ODISE leverages the internal representations of pre-trained Diffusion models [30]. Methods for fully supervised OVSS usually train on a large-scale dataset equipped with fully annotated masks, like COCO Stuff [2], and directly perform zero-shot inference on other datasets that may contain unseen categories during the training process. There also exists a set of OVSS methods [29, 36-38], which mainly exploit large-scale image-caption pairs, such as CC12M [4] and YFCC [32], for training. For example, GroupViT [38] introduces grouping tokens into the vision transformer and conducts hierarchical clustering for segmentation. It finally obtains an image-level feature, which is then aligned with textual

features by contrastive learning loss.

Training-free open-vocabulary semantic segmentation Methods for TF-OVSS [17, 22, 31, 33, 43] adopt CLIP for OVSS without any training. Existing works explore to enhance the distinction across the patch-wise visual features from CLIP mainly by modifying the attention mechanism in its final block, which forces each patch to primarily focus on itself and the neighbors in a narrow local window. Among these, MaskCLIP [43] directly replace the Query-Key attention map with an identical matrix, while others [17, 22, 31, 33] employ a self-self attention mechanism. However, with their modifications, the ability of CLIP to aggregate global context information, which is known to be useful for distinguishing confusing categories, is weakened. Our proposed GCLIP in this paper belongs the category of TF-OVSS methods and we mainly compare with the methods under the same setting for fairness.

#### 3. Method

Overview In this work, we propose GCLIP, a new framework for Training-Free Open-Vocabulary Semantic Segmentation (TF-OVSS). The general framework of our method is illustrated in Figure 2. The textual input is a set of names for target categories with manually designed prompts, e.g., "a photo of a [CLS]", where [CLS] denotes a class name. Passing the textual input into the text encoder of CLIP, we obtain the text embeddings  $Z_{\text{text}}$ . Previous work ClearCLIP [17] for TF-OVSS enhances the distinction across patches but harms the capability of CLIP to exploit image-level global properties (Sec. 3.1). Based on ClearCLIP, we propose GCLIP with two simple yet effective modifications to the last-block attention and Value embeddings respectively to mine the beneficial global knowledge of CLIP and facilitate TF-OVSS. Firstly, we propose an Attention Map Fusion strategy (AMF) to reshape the last-block attention by emphasizing the effect of global tokens (Sec. 3.2). Secondly, we propose a Channel Suppression strategy (CS) to make last-block Value embeddings more distinct and semantically correlated (Sec. 3.3). We forward the visual input  $I \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times H \times W}$  through the visual encoder of GCLIP. Since the representation of each patch is finally determined by the attention weights and the Value embeddings, we can finally generate more discriminative patch-level image features Z<sub>GCLIP</sub> while also absorbing global context information. By comparing the similarity between  $Z_{GCLIP}$  and  $Z_{text}$ , we generate a logit map and further predict the segmentation mask by argmax operation on the logit map.

# 3.1. Baseline

In this paper, we adopt ClearCLIP [17] as our baseline model. ClearCLIP modifies the final block  $L_f$  of CLIP to enhance the distinctness of patch-wise representations for



Figure 2. Method Overview. (a) Overview. In this paper, we propose a new framework GCLIP consisting of Attention Map Fusion (AMF) and Channel Suppression (CS), for Training-Free Open-Vocabulary Semantic Segmentation. (b) Attention Map Fusion. We fuse the attentions of early global-token emerging blocks  $(L_g, L_{g+1}, \cdots)$  with the Query-Query attention of the last-block  $(L_f)$  to emphasize the effect of global knowledge. (c) Channel Suppression. We suppress the weight norm of the specific output channel  $\hat{d}$  of FFN by a re-nomalizing operation  $\varphi$  as depicted in Eq. (9).

TF-OVSS. In detail, ClearCLIP alters the last-block Query-Key attention to Query-Query attention, which enables each patch to mainly focus on itself. Besides, ClearCLIP discards the residual outputs from other blocks, as they introduce global characteristics that are homogeneous across patches and harm the patch-wise distinction. Additionally, since the removal of residual connection significantly changes the input to the last-block FFN, ClearCLIP further discards last-block FFN to mitigate the negative effect. As a result, ClearCLIP simply adopts the output of the last-block Query-Query attention module for inference:

$$Z_{\text{ClearCLIP}} = \operatorname{Proj}(A_f^{qq} \cdot v) \tag{1}$$

where v refers to Value embeddings and  $A_f^{qq}$  refers to the Query-Query attention map from the final block  $L_f$ . Proj refers to the output projection in the multi-head self-attention module.

Although ClearCLIP enhances the distinction of the image features across the patches, it significantly weakens the capability to aggregate image-level global context information which may provide a global view of the image and benefit distinguishing confusing categories in dense prediction task. For example, in Figure 1(b), due to insufficient global context information, ClearCLIP (Column 3) classifies some regions into false categories with similar appearances and results in incomplete segmentation masks.

#### **3.2. AMF: Exploit Image-Level Global Context**

In this section, we propose an Attention Map Fusion strategy (AMF) to reshape the last-block attention by emphasizing the effect of global tokens.

As shown in Figure 1(a), we visualize the attention maps between different patches and observe that *global tokens* exist in deeper blocks of CLIP. The term "global token" means a specific patch is important (*i.e.*, corresponding Query-Key attention weight is super high) for all the other patches. Such a global token can be reflected by a highlighted vertical line in the attention map. Interestingly, we find that the attention pattern of global tokens aligns well with that of the [CLS] token (see the last two rows of Figure 1(a)), which indicates those global tokens may encode the imagelevel properties as the [CLS] token.

Based on such observations, we propose AMF to fuse the attention maps from early global-token emerging blocks with the last-block Query-Query attention. Specifically, as shown in Figure 2(b), given a vanilla CLIP with totally f + 1 blocks, we first introduce G(i) to judge whether global tokens exist in block  $L_i(0 \le i < f)$ :

$$G(i) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \max(\prod_{j} \sigma \cdot A_{i,j}^{qk}) > 0\\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \qquad (2)$$

where  $A_i^{qk}$  denotes the Query-Key attention map of the *i*-



Figure 3. Weight Norms of the second fully connected layer in **FFNs.** Starting from block 5, we observe the weight norm corresponding to a specific output channel becomes unexpectedly larger than the weight norm of other channels.

th block. The  $\prod_j A_{i,j}^{qk}$  means the multiplication between attention vectors for different Queries. The  $\sigma = 100$  is set to prevent all the values from exceeding the computational precision limits. Then we identify the block  $L_g$  where global tokens initially emerge,

$$g = \arg\min\{i | G(i) = 1, 0 \le i < f\}.$$
 (3)

We further integrate the attention weight maps of emerging global tokens block  $L_g$  and its following l (l < f - g) blocks into the final Query-Query attention weight map  $A_f^{qq}$ to form a new attention map  $A_f$ ,

$$A_{f} = \text{AMF} \left( A_{g}^{qk}, ..., A_{g+l}^{qk}, A_{f}^{qq} \right)$$
$$= \frac{A_{g}^{qk} + ... + A_{g+l}^{qk} + A_{f}^{qq}}{l+2}.$$
 (4)

Consequently, with  $A_f$ , we not only enable each patch to interact with itself or the nearby patches for distinction but also allow it to aggregate image-level global properties from global tokens. Empirically, we find that fusing with attentions from the first and the second emerging blocks works the best, *i.e.*, l = 1.

Then our final attention output is presented as follows:

$$Z_{\text{GCLIP}} = \operatorname{Proj}(A_f \cdot v), \tag{5}$$

#### **3.3. CS: Enhance Semantic Correlation**

In this section, we propose a Channel Suppression (CS) strategy to make last-block Value embeddings more distinct and semantically correlated.

We observe an abnormal phenomenon exists in the weights of the second fully connected block of FFN in a Transformer block. As illustrated in Figure 1 (c)(3), the entropy of weight norms decreases dramatically from a certain block and the weight norm corresponding to a specific output channel becomes unexpectedly larger than the

weight norm of other channels in Figure 3. Such an abnormal increase of specific-channel weight norm may homogeneously yield large activation of the same channel for different patch representations, which may do harm to the distinctness and semantic correlation among different Value embeddings.

Therefore, we propose a Channel Suppression strategy (CS) to make the Value embeddings of the last-block attention module more distinct and semantically correlated as shown in Figure 2(c). Specifically, for the weight  $W \in \mathbb{R}^{D_{out} \times D_{in}}$  of the second fully connected layer of FFN in a Transformer block, we suppress the output channel  $\hat{d}$  which exhibits an extremely high weight norm.

Specifically, the abnormal channel  $\hat{d}$  can be represented as

$$N_d = ||W_d||_2,$$
 (6)

$$\hat{d} = \operatorname{argmax}_{d \in \{0, 1, \cdots, D_{out} - 1\}} \{N_d\}.$$
 (7)

where  $W_d \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times D_{in}}$ . Then, we average the norms of all the other channels as  $\overline{N}$ , *i.e.*,

$$\overline{N} = \frac{\sum_{i=0, i \neq \hat{d}}^{D_{out}-1}(N_i)}{D_{out}-1}.$$
(8)

We retain the weights of all the other output channels while re-normalizing the weight of channel  $\hat{d}$ :

$$\hat{W}_{\hat{d}} = \varphi(W_{\hat{d}}) = \frac{W_{\hat{d}}}{N_{\hat{d}}} \times \overline{N}.$$
(9)

Suppose an extreme decrease in the entropy of weight norms as shown in Figure 3 occurs at block s, we employ CS for each block  $L_i$  where  $s \le i \le f$ .

With the suppression, we observe the similarity between Value embeddings of the last-attention module and global tokens is reduced in (1) of Figure 1(c). Moreover, according to Figure 1 (c)(2), we observe the Value embeddings of patches within the same semantic mask become more similar (see "in-in" comparison) while those from different masks become more distinct (see "in-out" comparison). These results verify that CS enhances the patch-wise distinction and semantic correlation of the final Value embeddings.

#### 3.4. GCLIP for training-free OVSS

In GCLIP, both Attention Map Fusion (AMF) and Channel Suppression (CS) are employed. With AMF, we reshape the last-block attention by emphasizing the effect of global tokens. With CS, we enhance the distinctness and semantic correlation of last-block Value embeddings. As the patchwise visual representation is finally determined by the lastblock attention and the Value embeddings, it is expected that GCLIP can yield more discriminative patch-wise features for semantic segmentation.

# 4. Experiments

# 4.1. Setup

**Datasets** We conduct experiments mainly on five standard benchmarks for semantic segmentation, including PASCAL VOC 2012 [13], PASCAL Context [26], ADE20K [42], Cityscapes [10] and COCO Stuff [2]. PASCAL VOC 2012 (1,464/1,449 train/validation) contains 20 object classes, while PASCAL Context (4,998/5,105 train/validation) is an extension of PASCAL VOC 2010 and we treat 59 most common classes as foreground in our experiments. ADE20K (20,210/2,000 train/validation) is a segmentation dataset with various scenes and the 150 most common categories are considered. Cityscapes (2,975/500 train/validation) consists of various urban scene images of 19 categories from 50 different cities. COCO Stuff (118,287/5,000 train/validation) has 171 low-level thing and stuff categories excluding background class.

**Architecture** We use the text encoder of pre-trained CLIP [28] model to generate text embeddings and modify the image encoder of CLIP to extract visual features. For the image encoder, following general practice [17, 31, 33], we adopt ViT-B/16.

**Implementation details** Following previous works of training-free OVSS [17, 31, 33], we resize the input image and employ a sliding window inference strategy. For inference, we only utilize category names to generate text embeddings with the prompt templates provided by CLIP [28] and do not exploit further text expansions. To make a fair comparison, we do not apply any post-processing to our evaluation results. We employ mean intersection over union (mIoU) as the metric to evaluate our method.

#### 4.2. Comparison with previous state-of-the-arts

Baseline We mainly compare our method with three types of semantic segmentation methods: (1) Trainable methods for OVSS (T-OVSS), including GroupViT [38], CoCu [37], and TCL [3]; (2) Unsupervised CLIP-based methods for semantic segmentation (USS), including MaskCLIP+ [43], CLIP-S4 [15] and ReCLIP [34]; and (3) CLIP-based methods for training-free OVSS (TF-OVSS), including CLIP [28], MaskCLIP [43], CLIPSurgery [22], SCLIP [33], GEM [1], CLIPtrase [31] and ClearCLIP [17]. We directly cite the corresponding results from the original papers, except that † means the results are obtained by running the officially released source code and ‡ means the results are cited from ClearCLIP [17]. All the numbers reported are presented as percentages. Among these, T-OVSS methods rely on weak annotations like image-caption pairs to train the model, while USS methods rely on unlabeled images to train the model and cannot generalize to unseen classes. Instead, GCLIP can directly perform open-vocabulary segmentation without any training, which falls into the category of TF-OVSS. All the TF-OVSS methods are based on pre-trained CLIP with ViT-B/16 visual backbone.

Comparison The comparisons with previous state-of-theart methods on five benchmarks are demonstrated in Table 1. From Table 1, we have three observations: (1) Without training or fine-tuning CLIP, TF-OVSS methods, e.g., ClearCLIP, our GCLIP, etc., outperforms vanilla CLIP [28] remarkably, which demonstrates CLIP does encode beneficial knowledge for complex visual understanding tasks. (2) Our GCLIP even outperforms some typical T-OVSS and USS methods, showing that CLIP itself is potentially a good OVSS segmentor and our way of modifying CLIP to mine useful knowledge for segmentation is effective. (3) Our GCLIP outperforms previous state-of-the-art TF-OVSS methods obviously, achieving new state-of-the-arts on all the five benchmarks. For example, on PASCAL Context dataset, GCLIP outperforms SCLIP, GEM, CLIPtrase and ClearCLIP by 2.8%, 1.1%, 2.1% and 1.1% mIoU respectively; on ADE20K, GCLIP outperforms SCLIP, GEM, CLIPtrase and ClearCLIP by 2.2%, 2.6%, 1.3% and 1.6% mIoU. All these results verify the effectiveness of our method of introducing beneficial global knowledge to assist segmentation.

# 4.3. Qualitative Results

We visualize the segmentation results of GCLIP on PAS-CAL VOC and PASCAL Context in Figure 4. We observe that both ClearCLIP and our GCLIP yield much better masks than vanilla CLIP. But the masks generated by ClearCLIP are still incomplete. For example, when segmenting a cow (Green Mask), ClearCLIP misclassifies some regions of cow as horse (Pink Mask). Since ClearCLIP does not fully utilize the global knowledge of CLIP, it may fail to distinguish similar yet different categories. GCLIP avoids such confusion and yields more integral and accurate masks, through absorbing image-level global knowledge and enhancing the semantic correlation of Value embeddings.

#### 4.4. Ablation study

Effectiveness of each component in GCLIP As shown in Table 2, we verify the effectiveness of each component in GCLIP. Compared with our baseline ClearCLIP [17], the attention map fusion strategy (numbers with only "AMF") brings obvious performance improvement, *e.g.*, 1.4% mIoU on ADE20K, verifying the importance of introducing image-level global knowledge. While introducing the channel suppression strategy (numbers with only "CS") to enhance patch-wise semantic correlation globally, we also achieve obvious performance gain, *i.e.*, around 1% mIoU on ADE20K. Combining both components in GCLIP yields the best results.

Effect of l in attention map fusion (AMF) In AMF, we

Methods	Pub. & Year	Setting	PASCAL VOC	Context	ADE20K	Cityscapes	COCO Stuff
GroupViT <sup>‡</sup> [38]	CVPR'22		79.7	23.4	9.2	11.1	15.3
CoCu [37]	NeurIPS'24	T-OVSS	-	-	11.1	15.0	13.6
TCL [3]	CVPR'23		77.5	30.3	14.9	23.1	19.6
MaskCLIP+ <sup>†</sup> [43]	ECCV'22		70.0	31.1	12.2	25.2	19.5
CLIP-S4 [15]	CVPR'23	USS	72.0	33.6	-	-	-
ReCLIP [34]	CVPR'24		75.8	33.8	14.3	19.9	20.3
CLIP <sup>‡</sup> [28]	ICML'21		41.8	9.2	2.1	5.5	4.4
MaskCLIP <sup>†</sup> [43]	ECCV'22		49.5	21.7	9.5	19.8	13.6
CLIPSurgery [22]	Arxiv'23		-	-	-	31.4	21.9
GEM <sup>‡</sup> [1]	CVPR'24	TF-OVSS	79.9	35.9	15.7	30.8	23.7
SCLIP [33]	ECCV'24		80.4	34.2	16.1	32.2	22.4
CLIPtrase [31]	ECCV'24		81.2	34.9	17.0	-	24.1
ClearCLIP [17]	ECCV'24		80.9	35.9	16.7	30.0	23.9
GCLIP	Ours		81.3	37.0	18.3	32.8	24.7

Table 1. Comparison with trainable open-vocabulary semantic segmentation methods (T-OVSS), unsupervised CLIP-based semantic segmentation methods (USS), and training-free open-vocabulary semantic segmentation methods (TF-OVSS). Among these,  $^{\dagger}$  means the results are obtained by running the officially released source code and  $^{\ddagger}$  means the results are cited from ClearCLIP [17].



Figure 4. **Qualitative Results.** We visualize the segmentation results of GCLIP on PASCAL VOC and PASCAL Context. We observe that the masks generated by ClearCLIP usually fails to segment the integral target object because it may confuse without sufficient global context. GCLIP extracts discriminative patch-level image features through enhancing global context information and obviously outperforms both vanilla CLIP and ClearCLIP.

set l = 1 in our solution, which means we fuse the Query-Key attention map of the first and the second global-token emerging blocks with the final Query-Query attention. In order to validate the effect of l, we perform an ablation of the effect of l in AMF in Table 3. In this ablation, we do not include the CS strategy but simply test with AMF. The results show that l = 1 yields the best results on average.

**Comparison with fusing [CLS] attention in AMF** In GCLIP, we integrate the attention from the global tokens

emerging blocks into the Query-Query attention to equip the last-block attention with image-level global properties. There exists an alternative way to duplicate the attention map of the [CLS] token and combine it with the Query-Query attention. We then compare them in Table 5 and the results in this table is combined with CS strategy. We observe that our fusion way outperforms fusing [CLS] token. This may be because patch-wise attention in global token emerging blocks contain more diverse global attention pat-

Baseline	AMF	CS	VOC	Context	ADE	Stuff
$\checkmark$			80.9	35.9	16.7	23.9
$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		81.2	36.7	18.1	24.6
$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	80.5	36.1	17.6	24.1
$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	81.3	37.0	18.3	24.7

Table 2. Effectiveness of each component in GCLIP. Our baseline is ClearCLIP [17]. We use "AMF" and "CS" to represent attention map fusion in Sec. 3.2 and channel suppression in Sec. 3.3, respectively.

l	VOC	Context	ADE	Cityscapes	Stuff
0	80.9	36.3	18.0	32.0	24.4
1	81.2	36.7	18.1	32.9	24.6
2	81.5	36.7	17.9	32.0	24.6
3	81.2	36.4	17.7	30.5	24.5
4	81.3	36.5	17.7	30.4	24.5

Table 3. **Effect of block selection for attention map fusion.** According to the results on all benchmarks, we finally fuse the attention maps of the first and the second global-token emerging blocks with the final Query-Query attention map in GCLIP.

Block	Entropy	VOC	Context	ADE	Cityscapes	Stuff
$L_5$	0.96	80.4	36.5	17.9	32.2	24.4
$L_6$	0.93	80.6	36.5	18.0	32.3	24.4
$L_7$	0.53	81.3	37.0	18.3	32.8	24.7
$L_8$	0.28	80.9	37.0	18.3	33.0	24.7
$L_9$	0.09	81.2	36.7	18.2	32.9	24.7
$L_{10}$	0.12	81.3	36.7	18.1	32.8	24.6

Table 4. Effect of different blocks to perform channel suppression. The block ID means we perform CS from this block to the last block. Considering average performance on all benchmarks, we choose to perform CS from block 7 to last block in GCLIP.

Fusion	VOC	Context	ADE	Cityscapes	Stuff
[CLS] Atten.	80.3	35.4	16.6	27.0	24.2
Ours	81.3	37.0	18.3	32.8	24.7

Table 5. **Comparison with fusing [CLS] attention in AMF.** The "[CLS] Atten." means we replace the patch-wise attention of global-token emerging blocks in AF module with the attention of [CLS]. We duplicate [CLS] attention for each patch to fuse with last-block attention.

terns than duplicating [CLS] attention across patches, which may avoid homogeneous visual representations while absorbing global context information.

**Effect of different blocks to perform channel suppression (CS)** We employ CS from block 7 to the last block of CLIP in our solution, as we observe a noticeable decrease of the entropy of weight norms at block 7 (shown in Fig-

Tokens	VOC	Context	ADE	Cityscapes	Stuff
Random	44.3	36.5	29.6	53.1	23.1
Global	75.0	71.4	66.9	97.9	<b>66.4</b>

Table 6. **Global tokens encode image-level global knowledge.** We conduct an image classification experiments. We exploit the classification results with [CLS] token as ground truth to evaluate the classification accuracy of global tokens. We further provide classification accuracy with randomly-selected non-global tokens to make a comparison. Results indicate that global tokens align well with [CLS] token in terms of encoding image-level global knowledge.

ure 1(c)). To validate the effect of this choice, we perform an ablation to test the effect of different blocks to perform CS in Table 4. The results are combined with the AMF strategy and show that suppressing from block 7 yields the best result on average, which is consistent with the decreasing trend of entropy of weights norms from block 7.

Global tokens encode image-level global knowledge. We assert that the global tokens contain rich image-level global context. Such global context information may benefit image-level classification, similar to the effect of [CLS] token. In this ablation, we verify such claim by conducting image-level classification experiments. First, we utilize [CLS] token embedding as visual feature to perform zeroshot classification and obtain the predicted labels for each image. Then we use the labels predicted with [CLS] token as ground truth to evaluate the zero-shot classification results with global tokens. To make a comparison, we randomly select other tokens as visual feature to conduct the same empirical evaluation. As shown in Table 6, we observe that the prediction result of global tokens is highly consistent with that of the [CLS] token, which further validates global tokens encode rich image-level global context.

# 5. Conclusion

In this paper, we propose GCLIP for training-free openvocabulary semantic segmentation. We aim to mine and utilize the global knowledge of CLIP beneficial for semantic segmentation. We propose AMF to equip the last-block attention with image-level properties while not introducing homogeneous attention patterns across patches and Channel Suppression to make the Value embeddings of the last-block attention module more distinct and semantically correlated. Therefore, GCLIP can generate more discriminative patchlevel image features for TF-OVSS. Extensive experiments demonstrate that our method achieves superior segmentation performance compared with previous state-of-the-arts. We hope our work may inspire future research to investigate how to better utilize CLIP's knowledge for complex visual understanding tasks.

# References

- Walid Bousselham, Felix Petersen, Vittorio Ferrari, and Hilde Kuehne. Grounding everything: Emerging localization properties in vision-language transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 3828–3837, 2024.
- [2] Holger Caesar, Jasper Uijlings, and Vittorio Ferrari. Cocostuff: Thing and stuff classes in context. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 1209–1218, 2018.
- [3] Junbum Cha, Jonghwan Mun, and Byungseok Roh. Learning to generate text-grounded mask for open-world semantic segmentation from only image-text pairs. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 11165–11174, 2023.
- [4] Soravit Changpinyo, Piyush Sharma, Nan Ding, and Radu Soricut. Conceptual 12m: Pushing web-scale image-text pretraining to recognize long-tail visual concepts. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 3558–3568, 2021.
- [5] Liang-Chieh Chen, George Papandreou, Iasonas Kokkinos, Kevin Murphy, and Alan L Yuille. Deeplab: Semantic image segmentation with deep convolutional nets, atrous convolution, and fully connected crfs. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 40(4):834–848, 2018.
- [6] Yen-Chun Chen, Linjie Li, Licheng Yu, Ahmed El Kholy, Faisal Ahmed, Zhe Gan, Yu Cheng, and Jingjing Liu. Uniter: Universal image-text representation learning. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 104–120, 2020.
- [7] Bowen Cheng, Alex Schwing, and Alexander Kirillov. Perpixel classification is not all you need for semantic segmentation. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 34:17864–17875, 2021.
- [8] Bowen Cheng, Ishan Misra, Alexander G Schwing, Alexander Kirillov, and Rohit Girdhar. Masked-attention mask transformer for universal image segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1290–1299, 2022.
- [9] Seokju Cho, Heeseong Shin, Sunghwan Hong, Anurag Arnab, Paul Hongsuck Seo, and Seungryong Kim. Catseg: Cost aggregation for open-vocabulary semantic segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference* on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 4113– 4123, 2024.
- [10] Marius Cordts, Mohamed Omran, Sebastian Ramos, Timo Rehfeld, Markus Enzweiler, Rodrigo Benenson, Uwe Franke, Stefan Roth, and Bernt Schiele. The cityscapes dataset for semantic urban scene understanding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 3213–3223, 2016.
- [11] Karan Desai and Justin Johnson. Virtex: Learning visual representations from textual annotations. In *Proceedings of* the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pages 11162–11173, 2021.
- [12] Yu Du, Fangyun Wei, Zihe Zhang, Miaojing Shi, Yue Gao, and Guoqi Li. Learning to prompt for open-vocabulary object detection with vision-language model. In *Proceedings of*

the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 14084–14093, 2022.

- [13] Mark Everingham, Luc Van Gool, Christopher KI Williams, John Winn, and Andrew Zisserman. The pascal visual object classes (voc) challenge. *International journal of computer* vision, 88:303–338, 2010.
- [14] Golnaz Ghiasi, Xiuye Gu, Yin Cui, and Tsung-Yi Lin. Scaling open-vocabulary image segmentation with image-level labels. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 540–557. Springer, 2022.
- [15] Wenbin He, Suphanut Jamonnak, Liang Gou, and Liu Ren. Clip-s4: Language-guided self-supervised semantic segmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 11207–11216, 2023.
- [16] Chao Jia, Yinfei Yang, Ye Xia, Yi-Ting Chen, Zarana Parekh, Hieu Pham, Quoc Le, Yun-Hsuan Sung, Zhen Li, and Tom Duerig. Scaling up visual and vision-language representation learning with noisy text supervision. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 4904–4916. PMLR, 2021.
- [17] Mengcheng Lan, Chaofeng Chen, Yiping Ke, Xinjiang Wang, Litong Feng, and Wayne Zhang. Clearclip: Decomposing clip representations for dense vision-language inference. arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.12442, 2024.
- [18] Boyi Li, Kilian Q Weinberger, Serge Belongie, Vladlen Koltun, and Rene Ranftl. Language-driven semantic segmentation. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- [19] Gen Li, Nan Duan, Yuejian Fang, Ming Gong, and Daxin Jiang. Unicoder-vl: A universal encoder for vision and language by cross-modal pre-training. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, pages 11336– 11344, 2020.
- [20] Junnan Li, Ramprasaath Selvaraju, Akhilesh Gotmare, Shafiq Joty, Caiming Xiong, and Steven Chu Hong Hoi. Align before fuse: Vision and language representation learning with momentum distillation. Advances in neural information processing systems, 34:9694–9705, 2021.
- [21] Linjie Li, Yen-Chun Chen, Yu Cheng, Zhe Gan, Licheng Yu, and Jingjing Liu. Hero: Hierarchical encoder for video+ language omni-representation pre-training. In *Proceedings of* the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP), pages 2046–2065, 2020.
- [22] Yi Li, Hualiang Wang, Yiqun Duan, and Xiaomeng Li. Clip surgery for better explainability with enhancement in openvocabulary tasks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.05653*, 2023.
- [23] Feng Liang, Bichen Wu, Xiaoliang Dai, Kunpeng Li, Yinan Zhao, Hang Zhang, Peizhao Zhang, Peter Vajda, and Diana Marculescu. Open-vocabulary semantic segmentation with mask-adapted clip. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 7061–7070, 2023.
- [24] Chen Liang-Chieh, George Papandreou, Iasonas Kokkinos, Kevin Murphy, et al. Semantic image segmentation with deep convolutional nets and fully connected crfs. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2015.

- [25] Jonathan Long, Evan Shelhamer, and Trevor Darrell. Fully convolutional networks for semantic segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 3431–3440, 2015.
- [26] Roozbeh Mottaghi, Xianjie Chen, Xiaobai Liu, Nam-Gyu Cho, Seong-Whan Lee, Sanja Fidler, Raquel Urtasun, and Alan Yuille. The role of context for object detection and semantic segmentation in the wild. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 891–898, 2014.
- [27] Norman Mu, Alexander Kirillov, David Wagner, and Saining Xie. Slip: Self-supervision meets language-image pretraining. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 529–544. Springer, 2022.
- [28] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021.
- [29] Pengzhen Ren, Changlin Li, Hang Xu, Yi Zhu, Guangrun Wang, Jianzhuang Liu, Xiaojun Chang, and Xiaodan Liang. Viewco: Discovering text-supervised segmentation masks via multi-view semantic consistency. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- [30] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of* the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pages 10684–10695, 2022.
- [31] Tong Shao, Zhuotao Tian, Hang Zhao, and Jingyong Su. Explore the potential of clip for training-free open vocabulary semantic segmentation. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 139–156. Springer, 2025.
- [32] Bart Thomee, David A Shamma, Gerald Friedland, Benjamin Elizalde, Karl Ni, Douglas Poland, Damian Borth, and Li-Jia Li. Yfcc100m: The new data in multimedia research. *Communications of the ACM*, 59(2):64–73, 2016.
- [33] Feng Wang, Jieru Mei, and Alan Yuille. Sclip: Rethinking self-attention for dense vision-language inference. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 315–332. Springer, 2025.
- [34] Jingyun Wang and Guoliang Kang. Learn to rectify the bias of clip for unsupervised semantic segmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 4102–4112, 2024.
- [35] Zhenyu Wang, Yali Li, Xi Chen, Ser-Nam Lim, Antonio Torralba, Hengshuang Zhao, and Shengjin Wang. Detecting everything in the open world: Towards universal object detection. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.11749, 2023.
- [36] Ji-Jia Wu, Andy Chia-Hao Chang, Chieh-Yu Chuang, Chun-Pei Chen, Yu-Lun Liu, Min-Hung Chen, Hou-Ning Hu, Yung-Yu Chuang, and Yen-Yu Lin. Image-text codecomposition for text-supervised semantic segmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 26794–26803, 2024.
- [37] Yun Xing, Jian Kang, Aoran Xiao, Jiahao Nie, Ling Shao, and Shijian Lu. Rewrite caption semantics: Bridging seman-

tic gaps for language-supervised semantic segmentation. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 36, 2024.

- [38] Jiarui Xu, Shalini De Mello, Sifei Liu, Wonmin Byeon, Thomas Breuel, Jan Kautz, and Xiaolong Wang. Groupvit: Semantic segmentation emerges from text supervision. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 18134–18144, 2022.
- [39] Jiarui Xu, Sifei Liu, Arash Vahdat, Wonmin Byeon, Xiaolong Wang, and Shalini De Mello. Open-vocabulary panoptic segmentation with text-to-image diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vi*sion and Pattern Recognition, pages 2955–2966, 2023.
- [40] Muyang Yi, Quan Cui, Hao Wu, Cheng Yang, Osamu Yoshie, and Hongtao Lu. A simple framework for textsupervised semantic segmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), pages 7071–7080, 2023.
- [41] Hengshuang Zhao, Jianping Shi, Xiaojuan Qi, Xiaogang Wang, and Jiaya Jia. Pyramid scene parsing network. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pages 2881–2890, 2017.
- [42] Bolei Zhou, Hang Zhao, Xavier Puig, Sanja Fidler, Adela Barriuso, and Antonio Torralba. Scene parsing through ade20k dataset. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2017.
- [43] Chong Zhou, Chen Change Loy, and Bo Dai. Extract free dense labels from clip. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2022:* 17th European Conference, Tel Aviv, Israel, October 23–27, 2022, Proceedings, Part XXVIII, pages 696–712. Springer, 2022.