

---

# Señorita-2M: A High-Quality Instruction-based Dataset for General Video Editing by Video Specialists

---

Bojia Zi\*<sup>1</sup> Penghui Ruan\*<sup>2</sup> Marco Chen<sup>3</sup> Xianbiao Qi†<sup>4</sup> Shaozhe Hao<sup>5</sup> Shihao Zhao<sup>5</sup> Youze Huang<sup>6</sup>  
Bin Liang<sup>1</sup> Rong Xiao<sup>4</sup> Kam-Fai Wong<sup>1</sup>

## Abstract

Recent advancements in video generation have spurred the development of video editing techniques, which can be divided into inversion-based and end-to-end methods. However, current video editing methods still suffer from several challenges. Inversion-based methods, though training-free and flexible, are time-consuming during inference, struggle with fine-grained editing instructions, and produce artifacts and jitter. On the other hand, end-to-end methods, which rely on edited video pairs for training, offer faster inference speeds but often produce poor editing results due to a lack of high-quality training video pairs. In this paper, to close the gap in end-to-end methods, we introduce Señorita-2M, a high-quality video editing dataset. Señorita-2M consists of approximately 2 millions of video editing pairs. It is built by crafting four high-quality, specialized video editing models, each crafted and trained by our team to achieve state-of-the-art editing results. We also propose a filtering pipeline to eliminate poorly edited video pairs. Furthermore, we explore common video editing architectures to identify the most effective structure based on current pre-trained generative model. Extensive experiments show that our dataset can help to yield remarkably high-quality video editing results. More details are available at <https://senorita.github.io>.

## 1. Introduction

In recent years, diffusion-based generative techniques have made significant strides (OpenAI, 2024; ?; Keling, 2024; Gen-3, 2024; Chen et al., 2024a; Xing et al., 2025; Yang et al., 2024; Kong et al., 2025; Blattmann et al., 2023). Models like Stable Diffusion (Blattmann et al., 2023; Guo et al., 2023b) and Kolores (Team, 2024), which use the UNet (Ronneberger et al., 2015) architecture, have achieved excellent text-to-image generation results. More recently, Pixart (Chen et al., 2023) and Flux (Black Forest Labs, 2024) have employed the DiT (Peebles & Xie, 2023) architecture to create powerful text-to-image models. Meanwhile, video generation has also advanced rapidly. Open-source models like VideoCrafter (Chen et al., 2024b) and AnimateDiff (Guo et al., 2023b) have garnered attention for their impressive visual effects. Other models, such as CogVideoX (Yang et al., 2024) and HunyuanVideo (Kong et al., 2025), with more parameters and training data, have surpassed previous models in motion consistency and visual quality. Additionally, closed-source models like SORA (OpenAI, 2024), Kling (Keling, 2024), and Gen3 (Gen-3, 2024) have captivated users with their exceptional performance in video production. Simultaneously, editing techniques have also progressed significantly. Image editing has been widely studied and has yielded excellent results, but video editing, studied more recently, still requires further development to achieve satisfactory outcomes.

Image editing can be categorized into two types: Inversion-based methods (Gal et al., 2022; Kawar et al., 2023; Parmar et al., 2023; Tumanyan et al., 2023) and End-to-end methods (Sheynin et al., 2024; Geng et al., 2024; Wei et al., 2024; Zhao et al., 2024a; Hui et al., 2024; Brooks et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2024a). Inversion-based methods rely on converting the image to latent and then edited by a prompt. In contrast, end-to-end methods are trained on image editing datasets, often yielding more pleasing results. Among these end-to-end methods, the quality and number of training pairs play an important role for their effectiveness. InstructPix2Pix (Brooks et al., 2023) uses data from a diffusion model for training, enabling diffusion to edit images. MagicBrush (Zhang et al., 2024a) introduces manually anno-

---

\*Equal contribution <sup>1</sup>The Chinese University of Hong Kong  
<sup>2</sup>The Hong Kong Polytechnic University <sup>3</sup>Tsinghua University  
<sup>4</sup>IntelliFusion Inc. <sup>5</sup>The University of Hong Kong <sup>6</sup>University of Electronic Science and Technology of China. Correspondence to: Xianbiao Qi <qixianbiao@gmail.com>.

*Proceedings of the 42<sup>nd</sup> International Conference on Machine Learning*, Vancouver, Canada. PMLR 267, 2025. Copyright 2025 by the author(s).

*Remove the girl.*

*Swap the tiger for cat.*

*Add a hat on girl's head.*

*Make it anime style.*

*Make it watercolor style.*

*Add a rainbow.*

Figure 1. The visual results given by editing models trained on our Señorita-2M. Best viewed with Acrobat Reader. Click the images to play the animation clips.

tated editing data, enhancing the capabilities of the diffusion model. EmuEdit (Sheynin et al., 2024) surpasses previous methods by using smaller biases and higher-quality data for training. UltraEdit (Zhao et al., 2024a) constructs a large-scale dataset using an inpainting model and Inversion methods. Omni-Edit (Wei et al., 2024) improved UltraEdit by employing more experts to generate higher-quality datasets, resulting in better-performing models.

The field of video editing differs significantly from image editing, with most video editing techniques being inversion-based, while only a few belong to the latter category. Inversion-based methods typically require long editing durations and often result in frame inconsistencies in the edited videos. As a result, end-to-end methods have gained increasing popularity and attention. InsV2V (Cheng et al., 2024) trains an editing model using generated video pairs, while Revideo (Mou et al., 2024) utilizes motion and content to control the generated video output. Propgen (Liu et al., 2024b) supervises model training with inexpensive video masks, and ViVid-10M (Hu et al., 2024) provides region editing data from both images and videos, training an inpainting model. *However, these methods suffer from poor performance due to the shortage of high-quality instruction-based editing dataset.*

To address the issue of data shortage, we build a dataset by using high-quality video editing experts. Specially, we trained four high-quality video editing experts using CogVideoX (Yang et al., 2024): a global stylizer, a local stylizer, an inpainting model, and a remover. These experts, along with other specialized models, are used to

construct a large-scale dataset of high-quality video editing samples. Additionally, we designed a filtering pipeline that effectively removes failed video samples. We also utilized a large language model to convert video editing prompts, achieving clear and effective instructions. As a result, our dataset, **Señorita-2M**, contains approximately 2 million high-quality video editing pairs. Furthermore, we trained multiple video editors based on different video editing architectures using this dataset to evaluate the effectiveness of various editing frameworks, ultimately achieving impressive editing capabilities.

Our main contributions can be summarized into three folders:

- We introduce **Señorita-2M**, the first truly large-scale instruction-based video editing dataset. Existing datasets either focus on local edits (i.e., RACCooN and VIVID-10M) or are synthetically generated (i.e., InsV2V). In contrast, our dataset comprises two million video pairs, with the original data sourced from the Internet.
- To build **Señorita-2M** dataset, we craft four expert models with each model specializing in a particular editing task, i.e., global stylizer, local stylizer, object remover and object swap. Each expert achieves state-of-the-art performance in its own task.
- Experiments have shown that our dataset can help to train a high-quality video editing model. The resulting model demonstrates high visual quality, strong frame

consistency and text alignment. Our dataset and models will be open-sourced upon acceptance.

## 2. Related Works

### 2.1. Image and Video Editing

**Image Editing.** Recent image editing methods have emerged, such as DDIM inversion, which edits by converting images to latent space and adding prompts to regenerate them. Research has focused on reducing discretization errors in the inversion process (Huberman-Spiegelglas et al., 2024; Lu et al., 2022; Wallace et al., 2023). SDEdit (Meng et al., 2021) introduces noise to images and denoises them according to a target text. Prompt-to-Prompt (Hertz et al., 2022) modifies attention maps during diffusion steps. Null-Text Inversion (Mokady et al., 2023) adjusts textual embeddings for classifier-free guidance. Recent supervised methods, including InstructP2P (Brooks et al., 2023), HIVE (Zhang et al., 2024b), and MagicBrush (Zhang et al., 2024a), integrate well-crafted instructions within end-to-end frameworks.

**Video Editing.** Video editing has gained great attention from the public (Qi et al., 2023; Liu et al., 2024a). Tune-A-Video (Wu et al., 2023) fine-tunes diffusion models on specific videos to generate edited videos based on target prompts. Methods like Pix2Video (Ceylan et al., 2023) and TokenFlow (Geyer et al., 2023) focus on consistency across frames by using attention across frames or editing key frames. AnyV2V (Ku et al., 2024) generates edited videos by injecting features, guided by the first frame. New models like Gen3 (Gen-3, 2024) and SORA (OpenAI, 2024) perform style transfer through adding noise and regenerating by target prompts. In contrast, few video editing approaches use supervised methods. InsV2V (Cheng et al., 2024) trains on video pairs, while EVE (Singer et al., 2025) uses an SDS loss (Poole et al., 2022) for distillation. RACCooN (Yoon et al., 2024) and VIVID-10M (Hu et al., 2024) use inpainting models and video annotations to produce local editing models. Similarly, Propgen (Liu et al., 2024b) is used for local editing, applies segmentation models to propagate edits across frames.

### 2.2. Image and Video Editing Datasets

Image editing datasets often rely on synthetic data. Instruct-Pix2Pix (Brooks et al., 2023) introduced CLIP-score-based prompt-to-prompt filtering to build large-scale datasets. MagicBrush (Zhang et al., 2024a) improves data quality with human annotations from DALLE-2 (Ramesh et al., 2022), while HQ-Edit (Hui et al., 2024) uses DALLE-3 (Betker et al., 2023) for high-quality edited pairs. Emu-Edit (Sheynin et al., 2024) expanded its dataset to 10 million image pairs, combining free-form and local editing.

UltraEdit (Zhao et al., 2024a) contributed 4 million samples with LLM-generated instructions, blending creativity with human input. Omni-Edit (Wei et al., 2024) diversified editing capabilities using multiple expert models and multimodal frameworks for quality control.

In contrast, only a few video editing datasets exist. RACCooN (Yoon et al., 2024) and VIVID-10M use inpainting models for video annotation. InsV2V (Cheng et al., 2024) builds its dataset with pairs of generated original and target videos, though the data quality was insufficient for strong performance.

## 3. Methodology

This section outlines the construction methods of four video experts: global stylizer, local stylizer, text-guided video inpainter, and object remover. Besides, we also introduce the pipeline for building our Señorita-2M, which includes data collection, the inference processes for local and global video pairs, and the filtering pipeline. More details are shown in Appendix B, C and D.

### 3.1. The Construction of Video Experts

#### 3.1.1. THE TRAINING DATA FOR VIDEO EXPERTS

We use Webvid-10M (Bain et al., 2021) dataset for training. CogVLM2 (Hong et al., 2024) generates captions, each with around 50 words, and recognizes objects in the videos. These objects are segmented and tracked using Grounded-SAM2 (Liu et al., 2023a; Ravi et al., 2024).

#### 3.1.2. THE DESIGN AND TRAINING FOR VIDEO EXPERTS

**Global Stylizer.** The current video generation models struggle to understand the style prompt. Thus, the controlnet built on these generation models cannot perform the stylization according to the text prompt. To improve, we first edit the initial frame with an image ControlNet (ControlNet-SD1.5 (Zhang et al., 2023a)) and then guide the video ControlNet to complete the remaining frames. The video ControlNet uses multiple control conditions to get robust style transfer results (Zhao et al., 2024b), including Canny, HED, and Depth, each transformed into latent space via 3D-VAE. More details are in the Appendix B.2.

**Local Stylizer.** Inspired by the inpainting methods, such as AVID (Zhang et al., 2023b), we trained a local stylizer using both inpainting and ControlNet. The model uses three control conditions, same as the global stylizer, inputted into the ControlNet branch. Besides, the mask conditions are fed into the main branch. The pretrained model used is CogVideoX-2B. More details are in the Appendix B.3.

**Text-guided Video Inpainter.** Existing methods like




Figure 2. Top: The data construction pipeline of the Señorita-2M dataset. Bottom: The filtering pipeline of Señorita-2M. Further details are provided in the Appendix C.3.

Table 1. Comparison between Señorita-2M, InsV2V, VIVID-10M. InsV2V uses VideoP2P (Liu et al., 2023b) to create their dataset. Señorita-2M uses videos downloaded from Pexels (pex), produced by editing experts and vision experts.

Datasets	Sources	Editing Types	Experts	Frames	Resolution	Real Videos	Edited Pairs	OpenSource
VIVID-10M	Panda-70M	Local	1	30	1280 × 720	73,737	1.5M	✗
InsV2V	Synthesis	Free-Form	1	16	256 × 256	0	0.06M	✓
Señorita-2M	Crawled from Internet	Local+Global	6	33 - 64	336 × 592 - 1120 × 1984	388,909	2M	✓

AVID (Zhang et al., 2023b) and COCOCO (Zi et al., 2024) suffer from outdated models, causing artifacts. Besides, the VIVID-10M has not been opensourced. Therefore, we trained an inpainter based on CogVideoX-5B-I2V, guided by a first frame edited with Flux-Fill (Black Forest Labs, 2024). The inpainter was trained with four types of masks to avoid overfitting, including random and precise shapes. More details are in the Appendix B.4.

**Video Remover.** Current video inpainters like Propinater (Zhou et al., 2023) generate blur when removing objects, which highly reduces its usability. Thus, we trained a powerful video remover based on CogVideoX-2B, using a novel mask selection strategy. 90% of masks are randomly sampled from unrelated videos with positive instructions, while 10% precisely cover objects with negative instructions. After training, classifier-free guidance is used with both types of instructions. This results in content generation unrelated to the mask shape. More details are in the Appendix B.4.

### 3.2. The Construction of Señorita-2M

Here, we introduce the data source and two types editing tasks, including both local editing and global editing tasks. The comparison between different datasets are provided in Table 1. The visual results of our dataset is provided in Figure 3, while statistical analysis of our dataset is in Figure 4.

#### 3.2.1. THE DATA SOURCE IN SEÑORITA-2M

We crawled videos from Pexels (pex), a video-sharing website with high-resolution and high quality videos, by authenticated APIs. The total number of videos in this part is around 390,000. We use the BLIP-2 (Li et al., 2023) to caption the videos, in order to carter to the length restriction of CLIP. Besides, the mask regions and their corresponding phrases are obtained by CogVLM2(Hong et al., 2024) and Grounded-SAM2(Liu et al., 2023a; Ravi et al., 2024).




Figure 3. Visualization of our Señorita-2M. Best viewed with Acrobat Reader. Click the images to play the animation clips.

### 3.2.2. LOCAL EDIT

Local editing includes 6 tasks: object swap, local style transfer, object addition, object removal, inpainting, and outpainting. More details can be found in the Appendix C.4.

**Object Swap.** Object swap uses FLUX-Fill and our trained inpainter. To begin with, the LLaMA-3 (Dubey et al., 2024) suggests a replacement object, which is then swapped in the first frame by FLUX-Fill. The inpainter generates the remaining frames guided by the first. Finally, the LLM generates instructions referring to both the old and new objects.

**Local Style Transfer.** We construct a prompt by asking the LLM to add descriptive adjectives to the object name. This prompt is fed into the local stylizer to modify the masked region, and the LLM converts it into the final instruction.

**Object Removal.** Our remover model is used for object removal. Positive and negative instructions are generated with “Remove” or “Generate” added before the object name. We use classifier-free guidance technique to use the both positive and negative instruction for inference of our re-

mover. The LLM then generates the instruction, referring the inference instructions.

**Object Addition.** The object addition task is the reverse of object removal, where the source and target videos are swapped. LLM assists in rewriting and enhancing the instructions.

**Video Inpainting and Outpainting.** For inpainting, a region is removed from the first frame and replaced with zeros. The masked region’s position is shifted over time. Instructions are generated by adding “inpaint” before the caption. Outpainting is similar but uses a black background, with instructions prefixed by “outpaint”.

### 3.2.3. GLOBAL EDIT

Our global edit involves three key components: 1. Style transfer 2. Object grounding 3. Conditional generation. More details are shown in the Appendix C.3.

**Style Transfer.** We began by combining style prompts provided by Midjourney (Midjourney, 2024) with BLIP-2 captions to generate the prompts with style information,




Figure 4. Overview of Señorita-2M dataset: a statistical analysis.

which were then input into ControlNet-SD1.5-HED for style transfer on the first video frame. We integrated the edited first frame with control conditions, including canny, depth, and hed, and processed it through our global stylizer to generate the rest frames. Finally, an LLM was used to convert the style prompts into actionable instructions for further content optimization.

**Object Grounding.** We provide video pairs for object grounding to help the video editor accurately localize relevant regions according to instructions. Areas unrelated to the prompt are marked in black, while prompt-related instances are highlighted in distinct colors. Initial instructions are created by prepending words like “Detect” or “Ground” before the object name, and an LLM is then used to refine these instructions.

**Conditional Generation.** This component consists of 10 tasks aimed at supporting video-to-video translation, including: Deblur, Canny-to-Video, Depth-to-Video, Depth Detection, Hed-to-Video, Hed Detection, Upscaling, FakeScribble-to-Video, FakeScribble Detection, and Colorization.

### 3.2.4. DATA SELECTION

We proposed a filtering pipeline to select proper edited videos. First, we apply quality filtering to recognize successful edits, followed by removal of poorly aligned text. Finally, we exclude videos with subtle or no changes.

**Quality filtering.** We trained classifiers to filter out failure cases. First, we manually annotated a dataset to classify failed and successful samples. The classifier training began by extracting features using a frozen CLIP vision encoder from 17 frames per video. These features were then classified with MLP classifiers. To enhance robustness, we ensembled classifiers trained with various strategies. Different thresholds were applied for different tasks.

**Removing poor text-alignment videos.** We found that some generated content misaligned with the text prompt. To address this, we compared edited samples with their corresponding text prompts using CLIP. For object swapping and local stylization, we compared the inpainting prompt with the edited video, and for stylization, we compared the style prompt with the edited video. Since object removal lacks a suitable prompt, no comparison was made. In practice, different thresholds are used for different tasks.

**Removing subtle video pairs.** Some video pairs show subtle edits or regenerate content similar to the original. These could cause overfitting during training. To filter these out, we used CLIP’s vision encoder to extract features and compare the original and edited videos, excluding pairs with a similarity score above a set threshold.

## 4. Experiments

This section provides the construction details of the dataset, training details of the editing models and the experimental results and analysis. More information can be found in the Appendix B.

### 4.1. Construction of Dataset

The dataset construction pipeline involves four steps: First, videos are collected and annotated. Next, editing experts are applied to the videos. Instructions are then generated using LLMs, followed by a filtering pipeline to remove failure cases.

**Data preparation.** The original videos are resized to 336×592 or 592×336 according to their aspect ratio. The brief descriptions obtained by BLIP-2, 810K masks and corresponding phrases are prepared for inference.

**Inference of experts.** The inference was performed using Nvidia 4090 GPUs. The Local Stylizer was configured with

Table 2. Comparison with previous methods. The best results are **boldfaced**.

Methods	Ewarp( $10^{-3}$ ) ( $\downarrow$ )	CLIPScore ( $\uparrow$ )	Temporal Consistency ( $\uparrow$ )	User Preference ( $\uparrow$ )
Tokenflow	16.31	0.2637	0.9752	6.74%
Flatten	16.31	0.2461	0.9690	5.95%
AnyV2V	20.48	0.2723	0.9709	19.40%
InsV2V	16.50	0.1675	0.9727	14.68%
Ours	<b>9.42</b>	<b>0.2895</b>	<b>0.9775</b>	<b>53.17%</b>

a classifier-free guidance (CFG) of 6 and a resolution of  $336 \times 592$ , processing 33 frames. The Global Stylizer was set to a resolution of  $256 \times 496$  and then resized to  $336 \times 592$ , also handling 33 frames. The Inpainter, using a CFG of 6 and a resolution of  $336 \times 592$ , processed 33 frames as well. The Remover was set with a CFG of 2 and a resolution of  $336 \times 592$ . Additionally, depth estimators, HED, Canny detectors, and other computer vision techniques were employed to generate video pairs, all at a resolution of  $1120 \times 1984$ .

**Instruction generation.** Instructions are generated by LLMs (Dubey et al., 2024), transforming source and target object names or editing prompts into clear instructions.

**Determining the source and target videos.** In the Object Swap task, the edited video serves as the source, and the original as the target. The Object Addition task follows a similar approach. For Object Removal, local and global stylization, the edited video is the target.

**Filtering pipeline.** We first apply a quality filter to remove the failure cases with the threshold of 0.6. Then, we use CLIP to compare the similarity and remove those with low similarity. The object removal and local stylization use the threshold of 0.22. While the global stylization and object addition use threshold of 0.2. Finally, CLIP is used to compare the difference between the original and edited videos, removing those with value higher than 0.95. More details can be found in the Appendix D.

## 4.2. Training Details of Editing Model

We use CogVideoX-5B-I2V (Yang et al., 2024) as the base model and integrate it with ControlNet to leverage the edited first frame to guide the editing process. The batch size of the editing model’s training is 32, the learning rate is  $1e-5$ , and weight decay is  $1e-4$ . We train model for 2 epoch. We sample 33 frames of the videos to train with a resolution of  $336 \times 592$  in first stage. Different from the first stage, we use higher resolution of  $448 \times 768$  and batch size of 16 in stage two, finetuning with 1 epoch to help model edit high resolution.

## 4.3. Experimental Results

We compared the editing model trained on our dataset with previous editing methods to demonstrate the effectiveness of our dataset. Additionally, we conducted an ablation study to show that our editing dataset significantly aids in training an effective editor. Furthermore, we conducted experiments by training 6 models with different architectures to understand the impact of architectures on editing.

### 4.3.1. QUANTITATIVE COMPARISON

For model evaluation, we utilize the DAVIS dataset (Pont-Tuset et al., 2017) with randomly generated editing prompts. We evaluate the stability of the edited videos using Ewarp and Temporal Consistency, while the CLIPScore is used to assess the text-video alignment. To evaluate Ewarp, we use a resolution of  $336 \times 592$ . CLIP is used to extract features from each frame, and we compute the similarity between adjacent frames for Temporal Consistency.

Based on the provided experimental results in Table 2, our method outperforms all others across several metrics. Specifically, in terms of Ewarp, our method achieves the lowest value at 9.42, significantly outperforming Tokenflow, Flatten, InsV2V, and AnyV2V, which have higher Ewarp values. In terms of CLIPScore, our method also leads with a score of 0.2895, surpassing the highest score from AnyV2V, which is 0.2723. Furthermore, our method excels in Temporal Consistency with a score of 0.9775, higher than the other approaches.

To further demonstrate the effectiveness of our dataset, we compare our results with InsV2V, as both methods require video pairs to train editors, while the other editing methods are zero-shot. In terms of Ewarp, InsV2V achieves a value of 16.50, significantly worse than our 9.42. In CLIPScore, InsV2V scores 0.1675, which is notably lower than our 0.2895. Additionally, in Temporal Consistency, InsV2V scores 0.9727, whereas our method leads with 0.9775. These comparisons highlight that our approach consistently outperforms InsV2V across all metrics, demonstrating the superior quality and effectiveness of our dataset in achieving better editing performance.

## Señorita-2M: A High-Quality Instruction-based Dataset for General Video Editing by Video Specialists

Table 3. The results of the ablation study are presented. All three models are fine-tuned based on CogVideoX-5B. **Temp-Cons** is the abbreviated form of Temporal Consistency. The best results are **boldfaced**.

Methods	Dataset	Training Samples	Epochs	Ewarp( $10^{-3}$ ) ( $\downarrow$ )	CLIPScore ( $\uparrow$ )	Temp-Cons ( $\uparrow$ )
Ablation-1	InsV2V	60K	8	8.51	0.2366	0.9712
Ablation-2	Señorita-2M	60K	8	8.44	0.2596	0.9783
Ablation-3	Señorita-2M	120K	4	<b>7.95</b>	<b>0.2641</b>	<b>0.9785</b>

Table 4. Exploration of different editing architectures. *Ins-Edit* refers to the InstructPix2Pix architecture, *Control-Edit* denotes the ControlNet architecture for video editing. \* indicates the use of the Omni-Edit dataset for enhancement. *FF-* are first-frame guided editing models. The best results are **boldfaced**.

Methods	Ewarp( $10^{-3}$ ) ( $\downarrow$ )	CLIPScore ( $\uparrow$ )	Temporal Consistency ( $\uparrow$ )	User Preference ( $\uparrow$ )
Ins-Edit	13.18	0.2648	0.9797	3.87%
Control-Edit	12.81	0.2882	0.9769	14.40%
Ins-Edit*	13.83	0.2789	0.9784	8.86%
Control-Edit*	10.46	0.2866	<b>0.9802</b>	23.26%
FF-Ins-Edit	<b>8.44</b>	0.2861	0.9783	12.46%
FF-Control-Edit	9.42	<b>0.2895</b>	0.9775	<b>37.12%</b>

### 4.3.2. QUALITATIVE RESULTS

We conducted a user study to determine which video users preferred. The sequence of videos in the questionnaire was randomly shuffled to ensure fairness. As shown in Table 2, our method significantly outperforms all previous approaches, achieving an impressive user preference score of 53.17%, which is notably higher than the next best score of 19.40%. This highlights the superior appeal and relevance of our method in meeting user needs. Moreover, the results showcase the effectiveness of our datasets, solidifying their superiority over existing alternatives. The Figure 5 in Appendix A provides the visual comparison results.

### 4.3.3. ABLATION STUDY

The ablation study in Table 3 demonstrates that utilizing samples from the Señorita-2M dataset enhances the model’s performance. Compared to experiments using videos from InsV2V dataset, the experiment with Señorita-2M, conducted over the same number of epochs, yields superior results. Specifically, the CLIPScore improves from 0.2366 to 0.2596, and Temporal Consistency increases from 0.9712 to 0.9783. Additionally, increasing the number of training samples from 60K to 120K, sampled from the Señorita-2M dataset, leads to further improvements. The CLIPScore rises to 0.2641, while Temporal Consistency reaches 0.9785, and Ewarp decreases from 8.44 to 7.95. These changes suggest better text alignment and reduced warping errors. Overall, these results indicate that a larger and more diverse dataset significantly enhances the model’s ability to learn a broader range of editing capabilities, improving both consistency and text alignment.

### 4.3.4. DIFFERENT EDITING ARCHITECTURES

We explore different editing architectures by training 6 instruction-based models with various architectures. We draw on two widely used image editing architectures, InstructPix2Pix (Brooks et al., 2023) and ControlNet (Zhang et al., 2023a). Specifically, InstructPix2Pix concatenates conditions and input latents, outputting predicted noise, while ControlNet uses a control branch for editing conditions and a main branch for input latents. We also investigate strategies with and without first frame guidance. Additionally, we enhance the models by incorporating the Omni-Edit dataset (Wei et al., 2024).

We compare different video editing architectures, such as InstructPix2Pix, ControlNet, and their enhanced and first-frame guided versions. The results are shown in Table 4. Control-Edit with the Omni-Edit dataset achieves the highest temporal consistency at 0.9802 and a user preference of 23.26%. The first-frame guided models show notable improvements, with FF-Control-Edit achieving the highest user preference at 37.12%. FF-Ins-Edit has the lowest error warp at 8.44. Without dataset enhancement, Ins-Edit and Control-Edit show similar results in user preference, with Control-Edit slightly ahead at 14.40% compared to 3.87%. These results indicate that first-frame guidance and dataset enhancements significantly boost the performance of video editing models.

## 5. Conclusion

In this paper, we trained a set of advanced video editing models and integrated them with various computer vision experts to create a high-quality, instruction-based video editing dataset. This dataset includes 18 distinct video editing tasks, comprising approximately 2 million video pairs in



various resolutions and frame lengths. To ensure best video quality, we applied a cascade of multiple filtering algorithms. Additionally, we employed a large language model to transform prompts and object names into precise editing instructions. To validate the dataset’s effectiveness, we trained four editing models using four widely adopted editing architectures. Experimental results demonstrate that our dataset can effectively produce high-quality video editing models, achieving notable improvements in visual quality, frame consistency, and text alignment.

## Impact Statement

In this paper, we propose a dataset conducted for training general video editing models. The real videos in the dataset are legally sourced from Pexels.com using their authenticated APIs. The dataset itself does not pose any harm to the community. However, models trained on this dataset is capable of editing videos. Fortunately, this risk could be reduced by deepfake detection methods.

## Bibliography

- <https://www.pexels.com/>. URL <https://www.pexels.com/>.
- <https://www.pika.art/>. URL <https://www.pika.art/>.
- Bain, M., Nagrani, A., Varol, G., and Zisserman, A. Frozen in time: A joint video and image encoder for end-to-end retrieval. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 1728–1738, 2021.
- Betker, J., Goh, G., Jing, L., Brooks, T., Wang, J., Li, L., Ouyang, L., Zhuang, J., Lee, J., Guo, Y., et al. Improving image generation with better captions. *Computer Science*. <https://cdn.openai.com/papers/dall-e-3.pdf>, 2(3):8, 2023.
- Black Forest Labs. Black forest labs. <https://github.com/black-forest-labs/flux/>, 2024.
- Blattmann, A., Rombach, R., Ling, H., Dockhorn, T., Kim, S. W., Fidler, S., and Kreis, K. Align your latents: High-resolution video synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 22563–22575, 2023.
- Brooks, T., Holynski, A., and Efros, A. A. Instructpix2pix: Learning to follow image editing instructions. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 18392–18402, 2023.
- Ceylan, D., Huang, C.-H. P., and Mitra, N. J. Pix2video: Video editing using image diffusion. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 23206–23217, 2023.
- Chen, H., Zhang, Y., Cun, X., Xia, M., Wang, X., Weng, C., and Shan, Y. Videocrafter2: Overcoming data limitations for high-quality video diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2024a.
- Chen, H., Zhang, Y., Cun, X., Xia, M., Wang, X., Weng, C., and Shan, Y. Videocrafter2: Overcoming data limitations for high-quality video diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.09047*, 2024b.
- Chen, J., Yu, J., Ge, C., Yao, L., Xie, E., Wu, Y., Wang, Z., Kwok, J., Luo, P., Lu, H., et al. Pixart-alpha: Fast training of diffusion transformer for photorealistic text-to-image synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.00426*, 2023.
- Cheng, J., Xiao, T., and He, T. Consistent video-to-video transfer using synthetic dataset. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2024. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=IoKRezZMxF>.
- Dubey, A., Jauhri, A., Pandey, A., Kadian, A., Al-Dahle, A., Letman, A., Mathur, A., Schelten, A., Yang, A., Fan, A., et al. The llama 3 herd of models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783*, 2024.
- Gal, R., Alaluf, Y., Atzmon, Y., Patashnik, O., Bermano, A. H., Chechik, G., and Cohen-Or, D. An image is worth one word: Personalizing text-to-image generation using textual inversion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.01618*, 2022.
- Gen-3. Introducing gen-3 alpha: A new frontier for video generation. <https://runwayml.com/research/introducing-gen-3-alpha/>, 2024.
- Geng, Z., Yang, B., Hang, T., Li, C., Gu, S., Zhang, T., Bao, J., Zhang, Z., Li, H., Hu, H., et al. Instructdiffusion: A generalist modeling interface for vision tasks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 12709–12720, 2024.
- Geyer, M., Bar-Tal, O., Bagon, S., and Dekel, T. Tokenflow: Consistent diffusion features for consistent video editing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.10373*, 2023.
- Guo, Y., Yang, C., Rao, A., Agrawala, M., Lin, D., and Dai, B. Sparsectrl: Adding sparse controls to text-to-video diffusion models, 2023a.
- Guo, Y., Yang, C., Rao, A., Wang, Y., Qiao, Y., Lin, D., and Dai, B. Animatediff: Animate your personalized text-to-image diffusion models without specific tuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.04725*, 2023b.
- Hertz, A., Mokady, R., Tenenbaum, J., Aberman, K., Pritch, Y., and Cohen-Or, D. Prompt-to-prompt image editing with cross attention control. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.01626*, 2022.

- Hong, W., Wang, W., Ding, M., Yu, W., Lv, Q., Wang, Y., Cheng, Y., Huang, S., Ji, J., Xue, Z., et al. Cogvlm2: Visual language models for image and video understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.16500*, 2024.
- Hu, J., Zhong, T., Wang, X., Jiang, B., Tian, X., Yang, F., Wan, P., and Zhang, D. Vivid-10m: A dataset and baseline for versatile and interactive video local editing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.15260*, 2024.
- Huberman-Spiegelglas, I., Kulikov, V., and Michaeli, T. An edit friendly ddpn noise space: Inversion and manipulations. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 12469–12478, 2024.
- Hui, M., Yang, S., Zhao, B., Shi, Y., Wang, H., Wang, P., Zhou, Y., and Xie, C. Hq-edit: A high-quality dataset for instruction-based image editing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.09990*, 2024.
- Kawar, B., Zada, S., Lang, O., Tov, O., Chang, H., Dekel, T., Mosseri, I., and Irani, M. Imagic: Text-based real image editing with diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 6007–6017, 2023.
- Keling. Kling video model. <https://kling.kuaishou.com/en>, 2024.
- Kong, W., Tian, Q., Zhang, Z., Min, R., Dai, Z., Zhou, J., Xiong, J., Li, X., Wu, B., Zhang, J., Wu, K., Lin, Q., Yuan, J., Long, Y., Wang, A., Wang, A., Li, C., Huang, D., Yang, F., Tan, H., Wang, H., Song, J., Bai, J., Wu, J., Xue, J., Wang, J., Wang, K., Liu, M., Li, P., Li, S., Wang, W., Yu, W., Deng, X., Li, Y., Chen, Y., Cui, Y., Peng, Y., Yu, Z., He, Z., Xu, Z., Zhou, Z., Xu, Z., Tao, Y., Lu, Q., Liu, S., Zhou, D., Wang, H., Yang, Y., Wang, D., Liu, Y., Jiang, J., and Zhong, C. Hunyuanvideo: A systematic framework for large video generative models, 2025. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.03603>.
- Ku, M., Wei, C., Ren, W., Yang, H., and Chen, W. Anyv2v: A plug-and-play framework for any video-to-video editing tasks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.14468*, 2024.
- Lee, M., Cho, S., Shin, C., Lee, J., Yang, S., and Lee, S. Video diffusion models are strong video inpainter. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.11402*, 2024.
- Li, J., Li, D., Savarese, S., and Hoi, S. Blip-2: Bootstrapping language-image pre-training with frozen image encoders and large language models. In *International conference on machine learning*, pp. 19730–19742. PMLR, 2023.
- Liu, C., Li, R., Zhang, K., Lan, Y., and Liu, D. Stablev2v: Stabilizing shape consistency in video-to-video editing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.11045*, 2024a.
- Liu, S., Zeng, Z., Ren, T., Li, F., Zhang, H., Yang, J., Li, C., Yang, J., Su, H., Zhu, J., et al. Grounding dino: Marrying dino with grounded pre-training for open-set object detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.05499*, 2023a.
- Liu, S., Zhang, Y., Li, W., Lin, Z., and Jia, J. Video-p2p: Video editing with cross-attention control. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.04761*, 2023b.
- Liu, S., Wang, T., Wang, J.-H., Liu, Q., Zhang, Z., Lee, J.-Y., Li, Y., Yu, B., Lin, Z., Kim, S. Y., et al. Generative video propagation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.19761*, 2024b.
- Loshchilov, I. and Hutter, F. Decoupled weight decay regularization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.05101*, 2017.
- Lu, C., Zhou, Y., Bao, F., Chen, J., Li, C., and Zhu, J. Dpm-solver++: Fast solver for guided sampling of diffusion probabilistic models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.01095*, 2022.
- Meng, C., He, Y., Song, Y., Song, J., Wu, J., Zhu, J.-Y., and Ermon, S. Sdedit: Guided image synthesis and editing with stochastic differential equations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.01073*, 2021.
- Midjourney. Midjourney. <https://www.midjourney.com/>, 2024.
- Mokady, R., Hertz, A., Aberman, K., Pritch, Y., and Cohen-Or, D. Null-text inversion for editing real images using guided diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 6038–6047, 2023.
- Mou, C., Cao, M., Wang, X., Zhang, Z., Shan, Y., and Zhang, J. Revideo: Remake a video with motion and content control. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.13865*, 2024.
- OpenAI. Sora: Creating video from text. <https://openai.com/index/sora/>, 2024.
- Parmar, G., Kumar Singh, K., Zhang, R., Li, Y., Lu, J., and Zhu, J.-Y. Zero-shot image-to-image translation. In *ACM SIGGRAPH 2023 Conference Proceedings*, pp. 1–11, 2023.
- Peebles, W. and Xie, S. Scalable diffusion models with transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 4195–4205, 2023.
- Pont-Tuset, J., Perazzi, F., Caelles, S., Arbeláez, P., Sorkine-Hornung, A., and Van Gool, L. The 2017 davis challenge on video object segmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.00675*, 2017.

- Poole, B., Jain, A., Barron, J. T., and Mildenhall, B. Dreamfusion: Text-to-3d using 2d diffusion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.14988*, 2022.
- Qi, C., Cun, X., Zhang, Y., Lei, C., Wang, X., Shan, Y., and Chen, Q. Fatezero: Fusing attentions for zero-shot text-based video editing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.09535*, 2023.
- Radford, A., Kim, J. W., Hallacy, C., Ramesh, A., Goh, G., Agarwal, S., Sastry, G., Askell, A., Mishkin, P., Clark, J., et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pp. 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021.
- Ramesh, A., Pavlov, M., Goh, G., Gray, S., Voss, C., Radford, A., Chen, M., and Sutskever, I. Zero-shot text-to-image generation. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 8821–8831. PMLR, 2021.
- Ramesh, A., Dhariwal, P., Nichol, A., Chu, C., and Chen, M. Hierarchical text-conditional image generation with clip latents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.06125*, 1(2):3, 2022.
- Ravi, N., Gabeur, V., Hu, Y.-T., Hu, R., Ryali, C., Ma, T., Khedr, H., Rädle, R., Rolland, C., Gustafson, L., Mintun, E., Pan, J., Alwala, K. V., Carion, N., Wu, C.-Y., Girshick, R., Dollár, P., and Feichtenhofer, C. Sam 2: Segment anything in images and videos. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.00714*, 2024. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.00714>.
- Ronneberger, O., Fischer, P., and Brox, T. U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. In *Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention—MICCAI 2015: 18th International Conference, Munich, Germany, October 5-9, 2015, Proceedings, Part III 18*, pp. 234–241. Springer, 2015.
- Sheynin, S., Polyak, A., Singer, U., Kirstain, Y., Zohar, A., Ashual, O., Parikh, D., and Taigman, Y. Emu edit: Precise image editing via recognition and generation tasks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 8871–8879, 2024.
- Singer, U., Zohar, A., Kirstain, Y., Sheynin, S., Polyak, A., Parikh, D., and Taigman, Y. Video editing via factorized diffusion distillation. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 450–466. Springer, 2025.
- Team, K. Kolors: Effective training of diffusion model for photorealistic text-to-image synthesis. *arXiv preprint*, 2024.
- Tumanyan, N., Geyer, M., Bagon, S., and Dekel, T. Plug-and-play diffusion features for text-driven image-to-image translation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 1921–1930, 2023.
- Wallace, B., Gokul, A., and Naik, N. Edict: Exact diffusion inversion via coupled transformations. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 22532–22541, 2023.
- Wei, C., Xiong, Z., Ren, W., Du, X., Zhang, G., and Chen, W. Omniedit: Building image editing generalist models through specialist supervision. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.07199*, 2024.
- Wu, J. Z., Ge, Y., Wang, X., Lei, S. W., Gu, Y., Shi, Y., Hsu, W., Shan, Y., Qie, X., and Shou, M. Z. Tune-a-video: One-shot tuning of image diffusion models for text-to-video generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 7623–7633, 2023.
- Xing, J., Xia, M., Zhang, Y., Chen, H., Yu, W., Liu, H., Liu, G., Wang, X., Shan, Y., and Wong, T.-T. Dynamicrafter: Animating open-domain images with video diffusion priors. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, 2025.
- Yang, Z., Teng, J., Zheng, W., Ding, M., Huang, S., Xu, J., Yang, Y., Zhang, X., Gu, X., Feng, G., Yin, D., Hong, W., Wang, W., Cheng, Y., Zhang, Y., Liu, T., Xu, B., Dong, Y., and Tang, J. Cogvideox: Text-to-video diffusion models with an expert transformer. 2024.
- Yoon, J., Yu, S., and Bansal, M. Raccoon: Remove, add, and change video content with auto-generated narratives, 2024. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.18406>.
- Zhang, K., Mo, L., Chen, W., Sun, H., and Su, Y. Magicbrush: A manually annotated dataset for instruction-guided image editing. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024a.
- Zhang, L., Rao, A., and Agrawala, M. Adding conditional control to text-to-image diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 3836–3847, 2023a.
- Zhang, S., Yang, X., Feng, Y., Qin, C., Chen, C.-C., Yu, N., Chen, Z., Wang, H., Savarese, S., Ermon, S., et al. Hive: Harnessing human feedback for instructional visual editing. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 9026–9036, 2024b.
- Zhang, Z., Wu, B., Wang, X., Luo, Y., Zhang, L., Zhao, Y., Vajda, P., Metaxas, D., and Yu, L. Avid: Any-length video inpainting with diffusion model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.03816*, 2023b.
- Zhao, H., Ma, X., Chen, L., Si, S., Wu, R., An, K., Yu, P., Zhang, M., Li, Q., and Chang, B. Ultraedit: Instruction-based fine-grained image editing at scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.05282*, 2024a.

Zhao, S., Chen, D., Chen, Y.-C., Bao, J., Hao, S., Yuan, L., and Wong, K.-Y. K. Uni-controlnet: All-in-one control to text-to-image diffusion models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024b.

Zhou, S., Li, C., Chan, K. C., and Loy, C. C. Propainter: Improving propagation and transformer for video inpainting. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2023.

Zi, B., Zhao, S., Qi, X., Wang, J., Shi, Y., Chen, Q., Liang, B., Wong, K.-F., and Zhang, L. Cococo: Improving text-guided video inpainting for better consistency, controllability and compatibility. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.12035*, 2024.

## A. Qualitative Comparison of Video Editing Models




Figure 5. Editing results compared between different editing methods.

## B. The Design of Video Editing Experts

### B.1. The Construction of Expert Training Dataset

As shown in Figure 6, we built a well-annotated dataset based on WebVid-10M (Bain et al., 2021) to train our expert models. We use the CogVLM2 (Hong et al., 2024) to recognize objects in the video. The detected object names are separated by




Figure 6. The construction pipeline of annotated training dataset for experts training.

commas and used as input prompts for Grounded-SAM2 (Liu et al., 2023a; Ravi et al., 2024). This process generates phrase names and corresponding object mask sequences within the video. These annotations are used to train the inpainter, remover, and local stylizer models. Moreover, We use canny, hed and depth detector to get control conditions to train our global stylizer and local stylizer. For inpainter training, we also leverage detailed video captions. Specifically, we use CogVLM2 to generate detailed, descriptive captions for each video. All data annotation processes are conducted on Nvidia 4090 GPUs. The prompts used for object recognition and video captioning are provided as followings:

The Prompts for Video Captioning and Object Recognition

Video Captioning: Please give the descriptions of this video. The answer should be more than 20 words but less than 60 words. The answer is:

Object Recognition: What objects are in this video? Please list them by using comma to separate different words. Give me answers briefly. Do not give detailed descriptions, years or numbers. Give object names. The answer is:

B.2. The Construction of Global Stylizer

Table 5. Quantitative Comparison on Global Stylization. The best results are **boldfaced**.

Methods	Ewarp( $10^{-3}$ )(↓)	CLIPScore (↑)	Temp-Cons (↑)
Tokenflow	19.99	0.3125	0.9752
Flatten	11.18	0.3127	0.9759
InsV2V	9.61	0.2864	0.9736
AnyV2V	34.94	0.2928	0.9687
Our Expert	<b>9.02</b>	<b>0.3145</b>	<b>0.9781</b>

We find that two powerful video generation models, i.e., CogVideoX (Yang et al., 2024) and HunyuanVideo (Kong et al., 2025), lack sufficient ability to generate videos that accurately follow style information. This limitation prevents us from applying techniques such as ControlNet to repaint a video effectively. To address this, we shift our focus to image-based ControlNet to leverage the strong stylization capabilities of these models, enhancing the stylization of video generation. Specifically, we first apply an image ControlNet to process the first frame, then use a video ControlNet to propagate the style across the remaining frames. Since video generation models inherently maintain temporal consistency between frames, the style applied to the first frame can be effectively transferred to the rest of the video.

We utilize the architecture of ControlNet for DiT and integrate it with CogVideoX-5B-I2V to process subsequent frames, maintaining the video’s structure consistently propagate the style from the first frame. Specifically, we inject first frame




Figure 7. Top: The training pipeline of our global stylizer. Bottom: The data construction pipeline for Señorita-2M using our global stylizer.

features from the control branch (ControlNet) into the main branch (base model) through zero convolution. The control branch consists of  $N$  layers, while the main branch has  $M$  layers, with  $M$  being a multiple of  $N$ . We ensure that once the first  $N$  layers of the main branch have been added with hidden states, the  $K$ -th layer of the main branch receives the  $K\%N$ -th hidden state from the control branch. This process is repeated until all DiT blocks in the main branch have received the control hidden states.

For the input to the DiT block in the transformer, we use two types of patch embedders. In the main branch, the patch embedder processes the input video condition. The control branch uses two patch embedders: the main patch embedder and the control patch embedder, to receive and process the control condition. The output embeddings of both embedders are combined and fed into the model. As shown in Figure 7, we pad the first frame along the frame dimension and concatenate it with the original latent representation along the channel dimension (32 channels) as input to the main branch. In the control branch, we concatenate the HED, Canny, and depth latents representations along the channel dimension (48 channels).

For training, the parameters of the main branch are initialized using CogVideoX-5B-I2V, and the control branch is copied from the main branch, except for the control patch embedder, which is zero-initialized. We select the first 6 DiT blocks from the main branch to serve as the control branch. We trained our global stylizer on our expert dataset for 1 epoch with a batch size of 8, learning rate of  $1e-5$ , and weight decay of  $1e-4$ . We freeze some training layers to reduce the training cost and keep generalization. Specifically, the norm and FFN layers in the backbone were frozen, while the first DiT block in the control branch was trained. Only the first DiT block, patch embedder, and attention layer in the control branch were trained. We train our global stylizer in two phases. In Phase 1, we train the global stylizer on videos with a resolution of  $256 \times 448 \times 33$ . Additionally, we incorporate a 10% null prompt during training to enable classifier-free guidance. In Phase 2, we finetuned the model from Phase 1, increasing the spatial resolution to  $448 \times 896$ .

*Figure 8. The visual results of our global stylization. The video on the left depicts the original video, while the video on the right displays the edited videos. Best viewed with Acrobat Reader. Click the images to play the animation clips.*

During inference, we append the required style prompt to the end of the video description, creating a new combined prompt. The first frame is generated by ControlNet-SD1.5, which is then fed into the model along with the prompt and control condition. We use the classifier-free guidance of 4. The model processes a video within 2 minutes on an Nvidia RTX 4090, at a resolution of  $336 \times 592$ , producing 33 frames.

Table 5 shows that our expert model outperforms all baselines, achieving the lowest Ewarp (9.02), highest CLIPScore (0.3145), and best Temporal Consistency (0.9781). While InsV2V performs well in Ewarp, it lags in text alignment. AnyV2V exhibits the highest distortion (Ewarp 34.94), indicating poor stylization. These results highlight our expert model’s superior balance of visual quality, text alignment, and temporal smoothness.

### **B.3. The Construction of Local Stylizer**

Inspired by SparseControl (Guo et al., 2023a), CoCoCo (Zi et al., 2024), and AVID (Zhang et al., 2023b), we trained a local stylizer by combining both inpainting and ControlNet, enabling appearance modification, stylization, and texture manipulation in specific regions of videos, while keeping the original background unchanged.

We use the same controlnet architecture as in our global stylizer B.2. The difference between two models mainly lies in the






Figure 9. Top: The training pipeline of our local stylizer. Bottom: The data construction pipeline for Señorita-2M using our local stylizer.

 Table 6. Quantitative Comparison on Local Stylization. The best results are **boldfaced**.

Methods	Ewarp( $10^{-3}$ ) ( $\downarrow$ )	CLIPScore ( $\uparrow$ )	Temp-Cons ( $\uparrow$ )	PSNR ( $\uparrow$ )	SSIM ( $\uparrow$ )	LPIPS ( $\downarrow$ )	MSE ( $\downarrow$ )
Tokenflow	16.60	0.2876	0.9810	18.79	0.8555	0.1483	987.90
Flatten	17.18	0.2923	0.9751	18.64	0.8605	0.1463	1068.95
InsV2V	7.40	0.2830	0.9783	20.81	0.9091	0.0985	829.83
AnyV2V	15.77	0.2920	0.9759	19.60	0.8884	0.1207	835.39
Our Expert	<b>6.50</b>	<b>0.2944</b>	<b>0.9828</b>	<b>28.29</b>	<b>0.9843</b>	<b>0.0346</b>	<b>108.25</b>

base model and input condition. For our local stylizer, we utilize the CogVideoX-2B model as the base. As shown in Figure 9, the main branch takes the original video latents, masked video latents, and mask latents as input (48 channels). To mitigate the inflated channel dimension, we initialize our patch embedder using the first 16 channels from CogVideoX-2B, while the remaining 32 channels are zero-initialized. Similarly, the patch embedder for control branch are also zero-initialized.

Our control branch consists of 6 DiT blocks copied from main branch. For training data, we use the mask and phrases in the training dataset. We then combine the phrase with some pronouns randomly, to compose them as a sentence for training. We trained our local stylizer for 1 epoch, with a batch size of 32, AdamW optimizer (Loshchilov & Hutter, 2017), a learning rate of  $1e-5$ , and a weight decay of  $1e-4$ . The training videos consist of 33 frames at a resolution of  $336 \times 592$ . Similarly, to preserve generalization ability and accelerate training, we freeze the FFN layers except for the first DiT block.

For inference, we use a classifier-free guidance scale of 6. The inference process completes within 1 minute on an Nvidia RTX 4090 for a video with a resolution of  $336 \times 592 \times 33$ . We prepend the sentence prefix “It’s” to the detected object phrase and pronouns to form a complete prompt. For example, when we want to paint the house in the video to yellow, we should use the prompt: “It’s a yellow house.”

Table 6 presents a quantitative comparison of local stylization methods. Our expert model achieves the lowest Ewarp (6.50), indicating minimal warping artifacts, and the highest CLIPScore (0.2944), ensuring strong text alignment. It also attains the best Temporal Consistency (0.9828), preserving coherence across frames. For background preservation, our model

Figure 10. The visual results of our local stylizer. The video on the left depicts the original video, while the video on the right displays the edited videos. *Best viewed with Acrobat Reader. Click the images to play the animation clips.*

outperforms all baselines with the highest PSNR (28.29) and SSIM (0.9843), signifying better structural similarity to the original background. The lowest LPIPS (0.0346) and MSE (108.25) further confirm minimal distortion. While InsV2V performs competitively, it falls short in CLIPScore and background fidelity. These results highlight our model’s effectiveness in maintaining both stylization quality and background consistency.

#### B.4. The Construction of Text-Guided Video Inpainter

Table 7. Quantitative Comparison on Object Swap. The best results are **boldfaced**.

Methods	Ewarp( $10^{-3}$ ) (↓)	CLIPScore (↑)	Temp-Cons (↑)	PSNR (↑)	SSIM (↑)	LPIPS (↓)	MSE (↓)
Tokenflow	17.21	0.3028	0.9752	18.70	0.8569	0.1447	995.91
Flatten	17.91	0.2223	0.9744	18.80	0.8572	0.1350	1090.39
InsV2V	<b>8.80</b>	0.2733	0.9722	21.57	0.9204	0.0787	642.44
AnyV2V	13.49	0.2870	0.9741	19.78	0.8903	0.1197	777.86
Our Expert	12.06	<b>0.3186</b>	<b>0.9782</b>	<b>25.59</b>	<b>0.9620</b>	<b>0.04</b>	<b>265.15</b>




Figure 11. Top: The training pipeline of our inpainter. Bottom: The data construction pipeline for Señorita-2M using our inpainter.

Although many studies have explored text-guided video inpainting, such as AVID (Zhang et al., 2023b) and COCOCO (Zhang et al., 2023b), most of these methods rely on outdated video foundation models, such as AnimateDiff (Guo et al., 2023b). Consequently, the generated videos often exhibit noticeable artifacts and inconsistencies. Recently, Hu et al. (2024) proposed the VIVID model, which trains an inpainter based on CogVideoX-5B-I2V. Unfortunately, their inpainter has not been open-sourced. Similar with the methods proposed by Hu et al. (2024), we use the first-frame edited by a stable and well-performed image editor Flux-Fill to guide the inpainting process.

The difference between VIVID and our inpainter lies in the following aspects. For training mask selection, we employ masks with random positions and shapes. We observed that the model tends to overfit to specific mask shapes during inpainting. To mitigate this, we generate masks with either random shapes or rectangles with varying aspect ratios in the first frame and periodically shift their locations in the subsequent frames. For both types of masks, we use video captions as prompts. Additionally, we introduce object-covering masks to enhance the model’s learning capacity. These masks are categorized into two types: (1) precise masks detected by Grounded-SAM2 (Liu et al., 2023a; Ravi et al., 2024) and (2) rectangular masks expanded from these precise masks. These masks are paired with structured prompts, which consist of pronouns and detected phrases, for training. Further details are provided in Figure 11. Another key difference is in patch embedder initialization. Specifically, we initialize the first 16 channels of the patch embedder using parameters from the original patch embedders, while the remaining channels are zero-initialized.

For training, we set the first frame of the mask sequence to zeros to utilize the guidance of the edited image. The inpainter is

*Figure 12.* The visual results of our inpainter. The video on the left depicts the original video, while the video on the right displays the edited videos. *Best viewed with Acrobat Reader. Click the images to play the animation clips.*

initialized with the parameters of CogVideoX-5B-I2V. Unlike global stylizer methods, our inpainter does not require a control branch, allowing for a larger batch size. We trained for 1 epoch on our expert dataset with AdamW optimizer (Loshchilov & Hutter, 2017), batch size of 16 and a learning rate of  $1e-5$ . The resolution used during training was  $336 \times 592$ , and the number of frames was 33, the stride is 2. We freeze all FFN layers except for the first DiT block.

During inference, we input the prepared prompts, dilated precise masks, and videos to generate the inpainted video. The first frame is edited by Flux-Fill with a new object name. The new object name are generated by LLM. We use the classifier-free guidance of 6. The inference process can be finished on an Nvidia RTX 4090 GPU within 2 minutes, 33 frames and resolution of  $336 \times 592$ .

Table 7 compares different methods for object swap. Our expert model achieves the highest CLIPScore (0.3186) and

Temporal Consistency (0.9782), indicating strong text alignment and frame coherence. Although InsV2V has the lowest Ewarp (8.80), suggesting minimal warping artifacts, it underperforms in CLIPScore, indicating poor alignment with text instructions. This discrepancy arises because InsV2V often fails to follow the given text instructions and does not successfully swap the object. As a result, many failure cases closely resemble the original video, leading to a lower warping error but also a lower CLIPScore.

For background preservation, our model outperforms all baselines, achieving the highest PSNR (25.59) and SSIM (0.9620), ensuring superior structural similarity. The lowest LPIPS (0.04) and MSE (265.15) further indicate minimal distortion. While AnyV2V and Tokenflow show competitive results, they fall short in maintaining both object swap fidelity and background consistency. These results demonstrate that our model effectively balances object replacement accuracy with background preservation.




Figure 13. The framework of our remover and sub-dataset construction pipeline.

### B.5. The Construction of Remover

Traditional video inpainter, such as Propainter (Zhou et al., 2023), uses optical flow to guide the completion. However, these methods show weaker performance than diffusion model (Lee et al., 2024). Inpainters, such as CoCoCo (Zi et al., 2024), AVID (Zhang et al., 2023b) are designed to add objects. Recently, a new inpainting method, namely VIVID (Hu et al., 2024) are designed to add, modify and remove video objects. We fully explored the CoCoCo and found it performs bad on object

Table 8. Quantitative Comparison of Object Removal. To assess the performance of object removal, we calculate the CLIP similarity between the removal instruction and the edited video, denoted as **relevance**. A **lower** relevance score indicates **better** removal performance. The best results are **blodfaced**.

Methods	Ewarp( $10^{-3}$ ) (↓)	Relevance (↓)	Temp-Cons (↑)	PSNR (↑)	SSIM (↑)	LPIPS (↓)	MSE (↓)
Tokenflow	16.34	0.1597	0.9786	18.38	0.8395	0.1639	1095.06
Flatten	11.18	0.2194	0.9759	18.87	0.8367	0.1529	1088.33
InsV2V	6.67	0.2134	0.9747	22.27	0.9187	0.0648	563.17
AnyV2V	13.14	0.1774	0.9765	19.80	0.8825	0.1290	800.56
Propainter	4.93	0.1685	0.9862	<b>36.87</b>	<b>0.9978</b>	<b>0.0081</b>	<b>16.37</b>
Our Expert	<b>4.21</b>	<b>0.1554</b>	<b>0.9864</b>	29.16	0.9863	0.031	89.62

Figure 14. The visual results of our object remover. The video on the left depicts the original video, while the video on the right displays the edited videos. *Best viewed with Acrobat Reader. Click the images to play the animation clips.*

removal, since it has a high percentage to generate the object in the masked region, similar to the mask shape. To overcome this drawback, we design a training paradigm to break the correlation between generated content and mask shape.

As shown in Figure 13, our remover is trained by assuming that the input video contains objects from unrelated videos. The

model is provided with an arbitrary mask from another video and learns to remove the assumed object while generating the object in the input video. Specifically, we randomly sample a mask and phrase from other video and used this mask to remove regions from the given video. We take 90% unrelated masks with instruction “*Remove {object name}*”, and 10% masks corresponding to the input videos with instruction “*Generate {object name}*”. This can be viewed as we use mask and the generate instruction corresponding to the input video as negative condition. During inference, the classifier-free guidance will steer the generation away from the negative condition, thus achieving the object removal.

We train the remover on our expert dataset for 1 epoch with AdamW optimizer, a batch size of 32, a learning rate of  $1e-5$ , and a weight decay of  $1e-4$ . For data sampling, we selected 90% of the samples as task-irrelevant masks and 10% as task-relevant masks. The video was sampled at 33 frames with a stride of 2, and the resolution was set to  $336 \times 592$ . Our Remover is built upon the CogVideoX-2B model and initialized with its pre-trained parameters. Similarly, to preserve generalization ability and accelerate training, we freeze the FFN layers except for the first DiT block.

During inference, we use classifier-free guidance scale of 2, the positive prompt is “*Remove {object name}*”, while the negative prompt is “*Generate {object name}*”. The frame number is 33 and the resolution of  $336 \times 592$ . The removal process can be finished within 1 minute on an Nvidia RTX 4090 GPU.

Table 8 compares different methods for object removal. Our expert model achieves the lowest Ewarp (4.21) and Relevance (0.1554), indicating minimal warping artifacts and strong removal effectiveness. Additionally, it attains the highest Temporal Consistency (0.9864), ensuring smooth and stable object removal across frames.

While Propainter achieves exceptionally high PSNR (36.87) and SSIM (0.9978) with the lowest LPIPS (0.0081) and MSE (16.37), this is primarily because it does not alter background pixels. However, its object removal performance is poor, as the removed regions appear significantly blurry, which can be observed in qualitative examples 15. In contrast, our model effectively balances object removal with background preservation, maintaining both strong visual quality and semantic alignment.

## C. Construction of Señorita-2M Dataset

### C.1. Source Data Collection

We selected videos from Pexels (pex), a website that legally permits downloading and editing of videos. The dataset consists of a total of 388,909 videos. The resolution of these videos primarily ranges from 720p to 4K, with most videos containing more than 500 frames.

### C.2. Data Annotation

We use BLIP-2-opt-2B (Li et al., 2023) to generate video captions while adhering to the length restrictions of CLIP (Ramesh et al., 2021). For object recognition, we utilize CogVLM-video-llama3-chat (Hong et al., 2024) with INT8 precision for efficient inference on Nvidia RTX 4090 GPUs. We set the maximum token length to 120 and use six frames per video. The videos and detected object names are then fed into Grounded-SAM2. Specifically, we employ the SWinB\_CogCoor model from Grounding-DINO (Liu et al., 2023a) and the SAM2\_hiera\_tiny model from SAM2 (Ravi et al., 2024). As a result, the dataset contains approximately 800,000 mask sequences.

### C.3. Construction of Global Editing Video Pairs

Our global edit comprises several key components. First part is style transfer, we use 290 types of style prompt advised by Midjourney (Midjourney, 2024). To further enhance the object localization ability of the diffusion, we use the videos and masks given by Grounded-SAM2 (Liu et al., 2023a; Ravi et al., 2024) to compose video pairs. Besides, we also use the other control conditions (hed, depth, canny, etc.) and videos to make video pairs.

#### C.3.1. STYLE TRANSFER

As shown in Figure 7, we use ControlNet-SD1.5 to edit the first frame. Specifically, we append the style prompt to the captions to compose the new prompt. This prompt then is used for the style transfer for the first frame. After getting the first edited frame, we use this frame along with hed, depth, canny conditions and the new prompt to craft the rest frames. To accelerate inference, we reduce the resolution from  $336 \times 592$  to  $256 \times 448$ , with  $\times 2$  times inference cost reduce.




Figure 15. Editing results compared between different editing methods.



After inference, we upscale the frame to  $336 \times 592$ . To get the instructions, we ask LLM (Dubey et al., 2024) to generate instructions, by giving some examples. We use the original videos as the source videos, while the edited videos as the target videos, along with the instructions to build a (source, target, instruction) video editing triplet.

### C.3.2. OBJECT GROUNDING.

We provide video pairs for object grounding, detected by Grounded-SAM2, to help the video editor accurately identify relevant regions in the video based on specific instructions. All areas unrelated to the input prompt are masked in black, while different object instances corresponding to the prompt are highlighted in distinct colors. To construct the initial instruction, we prepend words such as "Detect" or "Ground" before the object name. Finally, we use a LLM to refine and enhance these instructions. The resolution of the videos is  $1120 \times 1984$ , with 64 frames per sequence.

### C.3.3. CONDITIONAL GENERATION.

This section comprises 10 tasks designed to aid video editors in video-to-video translation: Deblur, Canny-to-Video, Depth-to-Video, Depth Detection, Hed-to-Video, Hed Detection, Upscaling, FakeScribble-to-Video, FakeScribble Detection, and Colorization. For the deblur task, Gaussian blur is applied to create blurred source videos, with the original videos serving as target videos. Similarly, the tasks of Canny-to-Video, Depth-to-Video, Hed-to-Video, FakeScribble-to-Video, and Colorization use Canny, depth, hed, fake scribble, and grayscale videos as sources, while original videos are the targets. Conversely, the tasks of Depth Detection, Hed Detection, and FakeScribble Detection use controllable video conditions as target videos. The resolution of these tasks is  $1120 \times 1984$ , 64 frames.

## C.4. Construction of Local Stylization

### C.4.1. LOCAL STYLE TRANSFER

As shown in Figure 9, we use LLM (Dubey et al., 2024) to modify the appearance, color, or style of detected phrases and convert them into prompts. These prompts, along with the three masked control conditions and the masked video, are used for object stylization. We use 33 frames and  $336 \times 592$  as the input resolution. For the instruction construction, we also use the LLM to transform the prompt to instruction, by showing some transfer examples to it. We use the original videos as the source videos, while the edited videos as the target videos, along with the instructions to build a (source, target, instruction) video editing triplet.

### C.4.2. OBJECT SWAP

We use LLM (Dubey et al., 2024) to find a new object that has similar shape. With the new object, we use the Flux-Fill to edit first frame. With the guidance of the first frame, we use our inpainter to generate the rest frames. The original videos are used to serve as the target videos, while the edited videos are used for source videos. We use LLM to generate the instructions by using both original and swapped object name. The prompts for instruction construction are shown in C.4.4.

### C.4.3. OBJECT REMOVAL AND ADDITION

We use object remover to remove the object from the videos. By taking both positive instructions and negative instructions with CFG of 2, we thus remove the object from the videos. The input frame number is 33, the resolution is  $336 \times 592$ . For the object removal, the original videos are used as source videos, while the edited videos are used as the target videos. For the object addition, the edited videos are used as source videos, while the original videos are used as the target videos. We use LLM to generate instructions for two tasks.

### C.4.4. VIDEO INPAINTING AND OUTPAINTING

We constructed approximately 60,000 video pairs to enhance the model’s capabilities in video inpainting and outpainting. The masked regions are set to black, with pixel values of zero, while the unmasked regions remain unchanged. Both the video inpainting and outpainting processes have a resolution of  $1280 \times 1984$ , with 64 frames.

### The Prompts for Instruction Construction

The prompt used for Global Stylization:

*Help me find the instruction of <input>. Don't give useless information, such as "There be". For example, <input> is "sci-fi futurism, sleek spaceships, glowing cities, alien landscapes, advanced technology, cinematic visuals", the answer is "make it sci-fi futurism.". <input> is "warframe, warframe style, video game art style, the art created in warframe style", the answer is "make it chick warframe style.". Don't give me descriptions. Please give me answer directly. Now, the <input> is "{style\_prompt}", the answer is:*

The prompt used for Local Stylization:

*Help me find the instruction of <input>. Don't give useless information, such as "There be". For example, <input> is "bird -> yellow bird", the answer is "make the bird yellow.". <input> is "chick -> green chick", the answer is "make the chick green.". <input> is "fox -> brown and furry fox", the answer is "make the fox brown and furry". The <input> is "pigeons -> gray pigeons", the answer is "make pigeons gray.". Don't give me descriptions. Please give me answer directly. Now, the <input> is "{object\_name}" -> "{text\_prompt}", the answer is:*

The prompt used for Object Removal:

*Help me enhance the <input>. Don't give useless information, such as "There be". For example, <input> is "Remove dog", the answer is "Delete the dog.". <input> is "Remove dog", the answer is "Remove the dog from this video.". <input> is "Remove dog", the answer is "Discard the dog from videos.". <input> is "Remove dog", the answer is "Eliminate the dog.". Don't give me descriptions. Please give me answer directly. Now, the <input> is "Remove a {object\_name}", the answer is:*

The prompt used for Object Addition:

*Help me enhance the <input>. Don't give useless information, such as "There be". For example, <input> is "Add dog", the answer is "Insert a dog.". <input> is "Add dog", the answer is "Place a dog.". <input> is "Add dog", the answer is "Add a dog to this video.". I will give you a negative instruction, <input> is "Add dog", the answer is "Install a helicopter pad.". (That's wrong) Don't give me descriptions. Please give me answer directly. Now, the <input> is "Add a {object\_name}", the answer is:*

The prompt used for Object Swap:

*Help me rewrite the <input>. Don't change its meaning. Don't give useless information, such as "There be". For example, <input> is "replace cat with dog", the answer is "turn cat into dog.". <input> is "turn cat into dog", the answer is "change the cat to dog". <input> is "replace cat with dog", the answer is "Let there be a dog in the place of the cat.". Don't give me descriptions. Please give me answer directly. Now, the <input> is "Turn {target\_name} into {object\_name}", the answer is:*

## D. Data Selection and Cleaning

We propose a comprehensive filtering pipeline to select high-quality, successfully edited videos. The process begins with a quality filter to identify successful edits. Next, videos with poor text alignment are detected and removed based on text-video similarity. Finally, videos that remain unchanged or show only minor modifications are excluded by comparing the original and edited versions using CLIP (Radford et al., 2021).

### D.1. Quality Filtering

Due to the existence of corrupted and failure cases in the generated samples, we train a quality classifier and propose a pipeline to filter out these failed samples.

**Construction of training and validation set.** We selected 5,000 edited videos and manually annotated approximately 1,000 of them as failed samples, while the remaining videos were labeled as successful samples. Additionally, we constructed a validation set consisting of 120 successful samples and 60 failed samples.

**The training details of quality classifier.** We employed the vision encoder of CLIP, specifically ViT-Huge (Radford et al., 2021), to extract video features. Frame-wise features were extracted from 17 frames per video. We utilized both the

CLS token and the pooler output as two distinct feature representations. Based on these features, we trained two separate classifiers and subsequently combined them into an ensemble model to enhance classification performance. Each classifier comprises three MLP layers with ReLU activation functions applied between layers.

**The inference of quality classifier.** We apply a threshold of 0.6 to the classifiers, retaining samples with confidence scores above this threshold. Notably, for the object addition task, we set a slightly lower threshold, as the target videos correspond to the original videos and are not influenced by the quality of the edited outputs.

## D.2. Filtering Poor Text-alignment Videos

Although some edited videos exhibit good visual quality, the content in the edited regions may be unrelated to the text prompt. Retaining these videos compromises dataset quality and hinders the effective training of video editors. To address this issue, we utilize CLIP to measure the similarity between edited videos and their corresponding text prompts.

We apply different similarity thresholds for different tasks. For global stylization, we compare the style prompt with the edited video. Since the prompt used in this comparison lacks detailed information, we set a lower threshold of 0.2. Additionally, for object swap and local stylization, edits are applied within a masked region, whereas text-video similarity is computed across the entire video, leading to a lower similarity score. To account for this discrepancy, we set thresholds of 0.2 and 0.22, respectively. For object removal, we rely on instructions rather than descriptive prompts. As a result, we do not apply similarity-based filtering for this task.

## D.3. Filtering Unchanged Video Pairs

After applying the aforementioned filtering methodologies, we retained videos with high text alignment and superior visual quality. However, some edited videos may contain only subtle modifications compared to the original footage. This issue arises due to factors such as small edited regions or the generation of visually similar content within masked areas.

To address this, we utilize the CLIP vision encoder to extract features and conduct a frame-by-frame comparison between the original and edited videos. Video pairs with a similarity score exceeding 0.95 are subsequently removed.

## E. Style Prompts

### Style Prompts

Michelangelo, Michelangelo style, Renaissance style, the art created by Michelangelo  
 Monet, Monet style, Impressionist style, the art created by Monet  
 Paul Cézanne, Cézanne style, Post-Impressionist style, the art created by Paul Cézanne  
 Mark Rothko, Rothko style, Abstract Expressionist style, the art created by Mark Rothko  
 Paul Klee, Klee style, Abstract style, Bauhaus style, the art created by Paul Klee  
 Picasso, Picasso style, Cubist style, the art created by Picasso  
 Piet Mondrian, Mondrian style, De Stijl style, the art created by Piet Mondrian  
 Pierre-Auguste Renoir, Renoir style, Impressionist style, the art created by Pierre-Auguste Renoir  
 Rembrandt, Rembrandt style, Baroque style, the art created by Rembrandt  
 René Magritte, Magritte style, Surrealist style, the art created by René Magritte  
 Roy Lichtenstein, Lichtenstein style, Pop Art style, the art created by Roy Lichtenstein  
 Salvador Dalí, Dalí style, Surrealist style, the art created by Salvador Dalí  
 Sandro Botticelli, Botticelli style, Early Renaissance style, the art created by Sandro Botticelli  
 Takashi Murakami, Murakami style, Superflat style, the art created by Takashi Murakami  
 Van Gogh, Van Gogh style, Post-Impressionist style, the oil painting style, the oil painting created by Van Gogh  
 Wassily Kandinsky, Kandinsky style, Abstract style, Bauhaus style, the art created by Wassily Kandinsky  
 Mat Collishaw, Collishaw style, Contemporary Art style, the art created by Mat Collishaw  
 Yayoi Kusama, Kusama style, Contemporary Art style, Pop Art style, the art created by Yayoi Kusama  
 Igor Morski, Morski style, Surrealist style, Fantasy Art style, the art created by Igor Morski  
 Shinkai Makoto, Shinkai style, Anime style, Cinematic style, the art created by Shinkai Makoto  
 Pixar, Pixar style, 3D Animation style, CGI style, the animation created by Pixar  
 Kyoto Animation, Kyoto Animation style, Anime style, the animation created by Kyoto Animation  
 Jerry Pinkney, Pinkney style, Illustration style, Children's Books style, the illustrations created by Jerry Pinkney  
 Hayao Miyazaki, Miyazaki style, Anime style, Ghibli style, the animation created by Hayao Miyazaki  
 Beatrix Potter, Potter style, Illustration style, Children's Books style, the illustrations created by Beatrix Potter  
 Jon Klassen, Klassen style, Children's Books style, Illustration style, the illustrations created by Jon Klassen  
 Kay Sage, Sage style, Surrealist style, the art created by Kay Sage  
 Jeffrey Catherine Jones, Jones style, Fantasy Art style, Illustration style, the art created by Jeffrey Catherine Jones  
 Yaacov Agam, Agam style, Kinetic Art style, Op Art style, the art created by Yaacov Agam  
 David Hockney, Hockney style, Pop Art style, Contemporary Art style, the art created by David Hockney  
 Victor Moscoso, Moscoso style, Psychedelic Art style, Graphic Art style, the art created by Victor Moscoso  
 Raphaelite, Pre-Raphaelite Brotherhood style, the art created by Raphaelite  
 Stefan Koid, Koid style, Contemporary Art style, the art created by Stefan Koid  
 Sui Ishida, Ishida style, Manga style, the art created by Sui Ishida  
 Swoon, Swoon style, Street Art style, Contemporary Art style, the art created by Swoon  
 Tasha Tudor, Tudor style, Illustration style, Children's Books style, the illustrations created by Tasha Tudor  
 Tintoretto, Tintoretto style, Mannerism style, Late Renaissance style, the art created by Tintoretto  
 Theodore Robinson, Robinson style, Impressionist style, the art created by Theodore Robinson  
 Titian, Titian style, Renaissance style, the art created by Titian  
 WLOP, WLOP style, Digital Art style, Fantasy Art style, the art created by WLOP  
 Yanjun Cheng, Cheng style, Contemporary Art style, the art created by Yanjun Cheng  
 Yoji Shinkawa, Shinkawa style, Video Game Art style, Concept Art style, the art created by Yoji Shinkawa  
 Alena Aenami, Aenami style, Digital Art style, the art created by Alena Aenami  
 Anton Fadeev, Fadeev style, Concept Art style, Digital Art style, the art created by Anton Fadeev  
 Charlie Bowater, Bowater style, Concept Art style, Digital Art style, the art created by Charlie Bowater  
 Cory Loftis, Loftis style, Concept Art style, Digital Art style, the art created by Cory Loftis  
 Fenghua Zhong, Zhong style, Digital Art style, Illustration style, the art created by Fenghua Zhong  
 Greg Rutkowski, Rutkowski style, Digital Painting style, Fantasy Art style, the art created by Greg Rutkowski

Style Prompts

Anton Pieck, Pieck style, Illustration style, Fairy Tale Art style, the art created by Anton Pieck  
 Carl Barks, Barks style, Comic Book Art style, the art created by Carl Barks  
 Alphonse Mucha, Mucha style, Art Nouveau style, the art created by Alphonse Mucha  
 Andy Warhol, Warhol style, Pop Art style, the art created by Andy Warhol  
 Banksy, Banksy style, Street Art style, Contemporary Art style, the art created by Banksy  
 Francisco de Goya, Goya style, Romanticism style, the art created by Francisco de Goya  
 Caravaggio, Caravaggio style, Baroque style, the art created by Caravaggio  
 Diego Rivera, Rivera style, Muralism style, the art created by Diego Rivera  
 Marc Chagall, Chagall style, Modern Art style, Surrealist style, the art created by Marc Chagall  
 Edgar Degas, Degas style, Impressionist style, the art created by Edgar Degas  
 Eugène Delacroix, Delacroix style, Romanticism style, the art created by Eugène Delacroix  
 Francis Bacon, Bacon style, Expressionist style, Modern Art style, the art created by Francis Bacon  
 Frida Kahlo, Kahlo style, Surrealist style, Modern Art style, the art created by Frida Kahlo  
 Gerald Brom, Brom style, Dark Fantasy Art style, the art created by Gerald Brom  
 Gustav Klimt, Klimt style, Symbolist style, Art Nouveau style, the art created by Gustav Klimt  
 Henri Matisse, Matisse style, Fauvist style, the art created by Henri Matisse  
 J.M.W. Turner, Turner style, Romanticism style, the art created by J.M.W. Turner  
 Jack Kirby, Kirby style, Comic Book Art style, the art created by Jack Kirby  
 Jackson Pollock, Pollock style, Abstract Expressionist style, the art created by Jackson Pollock  
 Johannes Vermeer, Vermeer style, Baroque style, the art created by Johannes Vermeer  
 Jean-Michel Basquiat, Basquiat style, Neo-Expressionist style, the art created by Jean-Michel Basquiat  
 Marcel Duchamp, Duchamp style, Dada style, the art created by Marcel Duchamp  
 Traditional Chinese Ink Painting, Chinese Art style, the art created in Traditional Chinese Ink Painting style  
 Japanese Ukiyo-e, Ukiyo-e style, Japanese Art style, the art created in Japanese Ukiyo-e style  
 Japanese comics/manga, Manga style, the art created in Japanese comics/manga style  
 Stock illustration style, Illustration style, the illustrations created in Stock illustration style  
 CGSociety, CGSociety style, Digital Art style, CGI style, the art created by CGSociety  
 DreamWorks Pictures, DreamWorks style, 3D Animation style, CGI style, the animation created by DreamWorks Pictures  
 Fashion, Fashion Illustration style, Runway Art style, the art created in Fashion style  
 Poster of Japanese graphic design, Japanese Graphic Design style, the art created in Poster of Japanese graphic design style  
 90s video game, Retro Game Art style, the art created in 90s video game style  
 French art, Various Styles (Impressionism, Romanticism, etc.), the art created in French art style  
 Bauhaus, Bauhaus style, Modernist Art style, the art created in Bauhaus style  
 Anime, Anime style, Japanese Animation style, the art created in Anime style  
 Pixel Art, Pixel Art style, Digital Art style, Retro Art style, the art created in Pixel Art style  
 Vintage, Vintage style, Retro Art style, the art created in Vintage style  
 Pulp Noir, Pulp Noir style, Pulp Art style, Noir Art style, the art created in Pulp Noir style  
 Country style, Folk Art style, the art created in Country style  
 Abstract, Abstract style, Abstract Art style, the art created in Abstract style  
 Risograph, Risograph style, Printmaking style, Graphic Art style, the art created in Risograph style  
 Graphic, Graphic style, Graphic Design style, the art created in Graphic style  
 Ink render, Ink render style, Ink Art style, the art created in Ink render style  
 Ethnic Art, Ethnic Art style, Folk Art style, the art created in Ethnic Art style  
 Retro dark vintage, Retro dark vintage style, Gothic Art style, Dark Art style, the art created in Retro dark vintage style  
 Traditional Chinese Ink Painting style, Chinese Art style, the art created in Traditional Chinese Ink Painting style  
 Steampunk, Steampunk style, Steampunk Art style, the art created in Steampunk style  
 Film photography, Film photography style, Photography style, the photographs taken in Film photography style  
 Concept art, Concept art style, Conceptual Art style, the art created in Concept art style

Style Prompts

Gothic gloomy, Gothic gloomy style, Gothic Art style, the art created in Gothic gloomy style  
 Realism, Realism style, Realist Art style, the art created in Realism style  
 Black and white, Black and white style, Monochrome Art style, the art created in Black and white style  
 Unity Creations, Unity Creations style, Digital Art style, CGI style, the art created by Unity Creations  
 Baroque, Baroque style, Baroque Art style, the art created in Baroque style  
 Impressionism, Impressionist style, the art created in Impressionism style  
 Art Nouveau, Art Nouveau style, the art created in Art Nouveau style Rococo, Rococo style, Rococo Art style, the art created in Rococo style  
 Adrian Donohue, Donohue style, Photography style, the photographs taken by Adrian Donohue  
 Adrian Tomine, Tomine style, Comic Art style, Illustration style, the art created by Adrian Tomine  
 Akihiko Yoshida, Yoshida style, Video Game Art style, Concept Art style, the art created by Akihiko Yoshida  
 Akira Toriyama, Toriyama style, Manga style, Anime style, the art created by Akira Toriyama  
 Cai Guo-Qiang, Cai style, Contemporary Art style, the art created by Cai Guo-Qiang  
 Drew Struzan, Struzan style, Poster Art style, Illustration style, the art created by Drew Struzan  
 Hans Arp, Arp style, Dada style, Abstract Art style, the art created by Hans Arp  
 Ilya Kuvshinov, Kuvshinov style, Manga style, Anime style, the art created by Ilya Kuvshinov  
 James Jean, Jean style, Illustration style, Fine Art style, the art created by James Jean  
 Jasmine Becket-Griffith, Becket-Griffith style, Pop Surrealism style, the art created by Jasmine Becket-Griffith  
 Jean Giraud, Giraud style, Comic Art style, Illustration style, the art created by Jean Giraud  
 Partial anatomy, Anatomical Art style, the art created in Partial anatomy style  
 Color ink on paper, Ink Art style, the art created with color ink on paper  
 Doodle, Doodle style, Illustration style, Sketch Art style, the art created in Doodle style  
 Voynich manuscript, Manuscript Art style, Historical Art style, the art created in Voynich manuscript style  
 Book page, Book page style, Illustration style, Typography Art style, the art created in Book page style  
 Realistic, Realism style, the art created in Realistic style  
 3D, 3D Art style, CGI style, the art created in 3D style  
 Sophisticated, Fine Art style, the art created in Sophisticated style  
 Photoreal, Photorealism style, the art created in Photoreal style  
 Character concept art, Character concept art style, Concept Art style, the art created in Character concept art style  
 Renaissance, Renaissance style, Renaissance Art style, the art created in Renaissance style  
 Fauvism, Fauvist style, the art created in Fauvism style  
 Cubism, Cubist style, the art created in Cubism style  
 Abstract Art, Abstract Art style, the art created in Abstract Art style  
 Surrealism, Surrealist style, the art created in Surrealism style  
 Op Art / Optical Art, Optical Art style, the art created in Op Art / Optical Art style  
 Victorian, Victorian style, Victorian Art style, the art created in Victorian style  
 Futuristic, Futuristic style, Sci-Fi Art style, the art created in Futuristic style  
 Minimalist, Minimalist style, the art created in Minimalist style  
 Brutalist, Brutalist style, the art created in Brutalist style  
 Constructivist, Constructivist style, the art created in Constructivist style  
 BOTW, BOTW style, Video Game Art style (Breath of the Wild), the art created in BOTW style  
 Warframe, Warframe style, Video Game Art style, the art created in Warframe style  
 Pokémon, Pokémon style, Anime style, Video Game Art style, the art created in Pokémon style  
 APEX, APEX style, Video Game Art style, the art created in APEX style  
 The Elder Scrolls, Elder Scrolls style, Video Game Art style, the art created in The Elder Scrolls style  
 From Software, From Software style, Video Game Art style, the art created by From Software  
 Detroit: Become Human, Detroit: Become Human style, Video Game Art style, the art created in Detroit: Become Human style  
 AFK Arena, AFK Arena style, Video Game Art style, the art created in AFK Arena style  
 Hong SoonSang, SoonSang style, Animation style, Concept Art style, the art created by Hong SoonSang

Style Prompts

CookieRun Kingdom, CookieRun Kingdom style, Video Game Art style, the art created in CookieRun Kingdom style

League of Legends, League of Legends style, Video Game Art style, the art created in League of Legends style

Jojo's Bizarre Adventure, Jojo's Bizarre Adventure style, Manga style, Anime style, the art created in Jojo's Bizarre Adventure style

Makoto Shinkai, Shinkai style, Anime style, Cinematic style, the art created by Makoto Shinkai

Poster of Japanese graphic design, Japanese Graphic Design style, the art created in Poster of Japanese graphic design style

90s video game, Retro Game Art style, the art created in 90s video game style

French art, Various Styles (Impressionism, Romanticism, etc.), the art created in French art style

Bauhaus, Bauhaus style, Modernist Art style, the art created in Bauhaus style

Anime, Anime style, Japanese Animation style, the art created in Anime style

Pixel Art, Pixel Art style, Digital Art style, Retro Art style, the art created in Pixel Art style

Vintage, Vintage style, Retro Art style, the art created in Vintage style

Pulp Noir, Pulp Noir style, Pulp Art style, Noir Art style, the art created in Pulp Noir style

Country style, Folk Art style, the art created in Country style

Abstract, Abstract style, Abstract Art style, the art created in Abstract style

Risograph, Risograph style, Printmaking style, Graphic Art style, the art created in Risograph style

Graphic, Graphic style, Graphic Design style, the art created in Graphic style

Ink render, Ink render style, Ink Art style, the art created in Ink render style

Ethnic Art, Ethnic Art style, Folk Art style, the art created in Ethnic Art style

Retro dark vintage, Retro dark vintage style, Gothic Art style, Dark Art style, the art created in Retro dark vintage style

Traditional Chinese Ink Painting style, Chinese Art style, the art created in Traditional Chinese Ink Painting style

Steampunk, Steampunk style, Steampunk Art style, the art created in Steampunk style

Film photography, Film photography style, Photography style, the photographs taken in Film photography style

Concept art, Concept art style, Conceptual Art style, the art created in Concept art style

Montage, Montage style, Collage Art style, the art created in Montage style

Full details, Full details style, Realism style, Hyperrealism style, the art created in Full details style

Gothic gloomy, Gothic gloomy style, Gothic Art style, the art created in Gothic gloomy style

Realism, Realism style, Realist Art style, the art created in Realism style

Black and white, Black and white style, Monochrome Art style, the art created in Black and white style

Unity Creations, Unity Creations style, Digital Art style, CGI style, the art created by Unity Creations

Baroque, Baroque style, Baroque Art style, the art created in Baroque style

Impressionism, Impressionist style, the art created in Impressionism style

Art Nouveau, Art Nouveau style, the art created in Art Nouveau style

Rococo, Rococo style, Rococo Art style, the art created in Rococo style

Adrian Donohue, Donohue style, Photography style, the photographs taken by Adrian Donohue

Adrian Tomine, Tomine style, Comic Art style, Illustration style, the art created by Adrian Tomine

Akihiko Yoshida, Yoshida style, Video Game Art style, Concept Art style, the art created by Akihiko Yoshida

Akira Toriyama, Toriyama style, Manga style, Anime style, the art created by Akira Toriyama

Cai Guo-Qiang, Cai style, Contemporary Art style, the art created by Cai Guo-Qiang

Drew Struzan, Struzan style, Poster Art style, Illustration style, the art created by Drew Struzan

Hans Arp, Arp style, Dada style, Abstract Art style, the art created by Hans Arp

Ilya Kuvshinov, Kuvshinov style, Manga style, Anime style, the art created by Ilya Kuvshinov

James Jean, Jean style, Illustration style, Fine Art style, the art created by James Jean

Jasmine Becket-Griffith, Becket-Griffith style, Pop Surrealism style, the art created by Jasmine Becket-Griffith

Jean Giraud, Giraud style, Comic Art style, Illustration style, the art created by Jean Giraud

Partial anatomy, Anatomical Art style, the art created in Partial anatomy style

Color ink on paper, Ink Art style, the art created with color ink on paper

Doodle, Doodle style, Illustration style, Sketch Art style, the art created in Doodle style

Voynich manuscript, Manuscript Art style, Historical Art style, the art created in Voynich manuscript style

Style Prompts

Book page, Book page style, Illustration style, Typography Art style, the art created in Book page style  
 Realistic, Realism style, the art created in Realistic style  
 3D, 3D Art style, CGI style, the art created in 3D style  
 Sophisticated, Fine Art style, the art created in Sophisticated style  
 Photoreal, Photorealism style, the art created in Photoreal style  
 Character concept art, Character concept art style, Concept Art style, the art created in Character concept art style  
 Renaissance, Renaissance style, Renaissance Art style, the art created in Renaissance style  
 Fauvism, Fauvist style, the art created in Fauvism style  
 Cubism, Cubist style, the art created in Cubism style  
 Abstract Art, Abstract Art style, the art created in Abstract Art style Surrealism, Surrealist style, the art created in Surrealism style  
 Op Art / Optical Art, Optical Art style, the art created in Op Art / Optical Art style  
 Futuristic, Futuristic style, Sci-Fi Art style, the art created in Futuristic style  
 Minimalist, Minimalist style, the art created in Minimalist style  
 Brutalist, Brutalist style, the art created in Brutalist style  
 Constructivist, Constructivist style, the art created in Constructivist style  
 BOTW, BOTW style, Video Game Art style (Breath of the Wild), the art created in BOTW style  
 Warframe, Warframe style, Video Game Art style, the art created in Warframe style  
 Pokémon, Pokémon style, Anime style, Video Game Art style, the art created in Pokémon style  
 APEX, APEX style, Video Game Art style, the art created in APEX style  
 The Elder Scrolls, Elder Scrolls style, Video Game Art style, the art created in The Elder Scrolls style  
 From Software, From Software style, Video Game Art style, the art created by From Software  
 Detroit: Become Human, Detroit: Become Human style, Video Game Art style, the art created in Detroit: Become Human style  
 AFK Arena, AFK Arena style, Video Game Art style, the art created in AFK Arena style  
 CookieRun Kingdom, CookieRun Kingdom style, Video Game Art style, the art created in CookieRun Kingdom style  
 League of Legends, League of Legends style, Video Game Art style, the art created in League of Legends style  
 Jojo's Bizarre Adventure, Jojo's Bizarre Adventure style, Manga style, Anime style, the art created in Jojo's Bizarre Adventure style  
 Makoto Shinkai, Shinkai style, Anime style, Cinematic style, the art created by Makoto Shinkai  
 Soejima Shigenori, Shigenori style, Video Game Art style, the art created by Soejima Shigenori  
 Yamada Akihiro, Akihiro style, Manga style, Anime style, the art created by Yamada Akihiro  
 Munashichi, Munashichi style, Concept Art style, Digital Art style, the art created by Munashichi  
 Watercolor Children's Illustration, Watercolor Children's Illustration style, Watercolor Art style, Children's Books style, the art created in Watercolor Children's Illustration style  
 Ghibli Studio, Ghibli style, Anime style, the animation created by Ghibli Studio  
 Stained Glass Window, Stained Glass style, the art created in Stained Glass Window style  
 Ink Illustration, Ink Illustration style, Ink Art style, the art created in Ink Illustration style  
 Miyazaki Hayao Style, Miyazaki style, Anime style, Ghibli style, the animation created in Miyazaki Hayao style  
 Vincent van Gogh, Van Gogh style, Post-Impressionist style, the oil painting style, the oil painting created by Van Gogh  
 Leonardo da Vinci, Da Vinci style, Renaissance style, the art created by Leonardo da Vinci  
 Manga, Manga style, the art created in Manga style  
 Pointillism, Pointillist style, the art created in Pointillism style  
 Claude Monet, Monet style, Impressionist style, the art created by Claude Monet  
 Johannes Itten, Itten style, Bauhaus style, the art created by Johannes Itten  
 John Harris, Harris style, Sci-Fi Art style, Illustration style, the art created by John Harris  
 Jon Klassen, Klassen style, Children's Books style, Illustration style, the art created by Jon Klassen  
 Junji Ito, Ito style, Horror Manga style, the art created by Junji Ito Koe no Katachi, Koe no Katachi style, Anime style, Manga style, the art created in Koe no Katachi style



## Style Prompts

Osamu Tezuka, Tezuka style, Manga style, Anime style, the art created by Osamu Tezuka  
 Rob Gonsalves, Gonsalves style, Surrealist style, Magic Realism style, the art created by Rob Gonsalves  
 Sol LeWitt, LeWitt style, Minimalist style, Conceptual Art style, the art created by Sol LeWitt  
 Yusuke Murata, Murata style, Manga style, Anime style, the art created by Yusuke Murata  
 Antonio Mora, Mora style, Surrealist style, Photo Manipulation style, the art created by Antonio Mora  
 Yoji Shinkawa, Shinkawa style, Video Game Art style, Concept Art style, the art created by Yoji Shinkawa  
 National Geographic, National Geographic style, Photography style, the photographs taken for National Geographic  
 Hyperrealism, Hyperrealism style, the art created in Hyperrealism style Cinematic, Cinematic style, Cinematic Art style, the art created in Cinematic style  
 Architectural Sketching, Architectural Sketching style, Architecture Art style, the art created in Architectural Sketching style  
 Clear Facial Features, Clear Facial Features style, Portrait Art style, the art created with Clear Facial Features  
 Interior Design, Interior Design style, Interior Art style, the art created in Interior Design style  
 Weapon Design, Weapon Design style, Concept Art style, the art created in Weapon Design style  
 Subsurface Scattering, Subsurface Scattering style, Digital Art style, CGI style, the art created with Subsurface Scattering  
 Game Scene Graph, Game Scene Graph style, Video Game Art style, the art created in Game Scene Graph style  
 Cyberpunk style, neon-lit dystopian cityscape, futuristic skyscrapers, dark rain-soaked streets, glowing holograms, cybernetic characters, high-tech and gritty, rebellion theme, vibrant colors, immersive detail  
 Ultra-detailed photorealistic image, realistic lighting and textures, high-resolution, cinematic quality  
 Cyberpunk style, neon-lit cityscape, futuristic tech, dark atmosphere, glowing holograms, high-tech low-life  
 Epic fantasy scene, magical landscapes, mythical creatures, intricate details, vibrant colors, ethereal lighting  
 Anime-style illustration, vibrant colors, dynamic poses, sharp line art, expressive characters, 2D aesthetics  
 Concept art, highly detailed environments, creative landscapes, futuristic design, cinematic lighting, imaginative visuals  
 Watercolor painting, soft textures, pastel colors, flowing brushstrokes, dreamy and artistic  
 Steampunk aesthetic, Victorian-era technology, brass and gears, intricate machinery, retro-futuristic design  
 Abstract art, vibrant colors, geometric patterns, fluid forms, modern artistic expression, minimalistic or chaotic  
 Noir style, black-and-white, dramatic shadows, moody atmosphere, vintage detective aesthetics  
 Pixel art, retro 8-bit style, vibrant blocky colors, low-resolution, game-like visuals, nostalgic charm  
 Professional studio portrait, dramatic lighting, high detail, shallow depth of field, realistic skin textures  
 Isometric perspective, detailed environments, vibrant colors, 3D-inspired flat design, intricate details  
 Baroque art, elaborate and ornate details, dramatic compositions, rich textures, classical European aesthetics  
 Dark fantasy setting, eerie atmosphere, mystical creatures, gothic architecture, muted tones, ominous lighting  
 Low poly 3D art, simplified geometric shapes, bright pastel colors, minimalist style, game aesthetic  
 Impressionist painting, soft brushstrokes, vivid colors, natural light, artistic and emotional style  
 Sci-fi futurism, sleek spaceships, glowing cities, alien landscapes, advanced technology, cinematic visuals  
 Pop art style, bold colors, comic book aesthetics, stylized patterns, retro 1960s look  
 Vaporwave style, retro-futuristic design, pastel neon colors, 1980s aesthetics, surreal landscapes  
 Surrealist art, dreamlike scenes, unexpected juxtapositions, imaginative landscapes, abstract and symbolic  
 Medieval-inspired style, illuminated manuscripts, intricate patterns, historical scenes, muted tones  
 Graffiti art, vibrant spray paint textures, urban street style, bold typography, dynamic and expressive  
 Art Nouveau style, flowing organic lines, floral motifs, intricate patterns, pastel and earthy tones  
 Cinematic lighting, moody atmosphere, dramatic shadows, high contrast, film-like quality  
 Minimalist design, clean and simple lines, muted colors, open space, modern and abstract  
 Retro-futurism, 1950s sci-fi style, sleek spaceships, vintage design, bold colors, nostalgic aesthetics  
 Fantasy map style, hand-drawn cartography, intricate details, parchment textures, medieval aesthetic  
 Glitch art, pixelated visuals, distorted and fragmented images, neon and dark tones, digital chaos  
 Nature photography, high detail, realistic textures, vibrant landscapes, soft natural light

Style Prompts

Full details, Full details style, Realism style, Hyperrealism style, the art created in Full details style  
Chibi-style characters, exaggerated cute proportions, vibrant colors, anime-inspired, playful and adorable  
Dennis Stock, Stock style, Photography style, the photographs taken by Dennis Stock  
Michal Lisowski, Lisowski style, Digital Art style, Illustration style, the art created by Michal Lisowski  
Paul Lehr, Lehr style, Science Fiction Art style, Illustration style, the art created by Paul Lehr  
Ross Tran, Tran style, Digital Art style, Concept Art style, the art created by Ross Tran  
Montage, Montage style, Collage Art style, the art created in Montage style