

SAITO'S THEOREM REVISITED AND APPLICATION TO FREE PENCILS OF HYPERSURFACES

ROBERTA DI GENNARO AND ROSA MARIA MIRÓ-ROIG

ABSTRACT. A hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is said to be free if its associated sheaf T_X of vector fields tangent to X is a free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ -module. So far few examples of free hypersurfaces are known. In this short note, we reinterpret Saito's criterion of freeness in terms of multiple eigenschemes (ME) and as application we construct huge families of new examples of free reduced hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^n . All of them are union of hypersurfaces in a suitable pencil.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we investigate the freeness of reduced hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^n with $n \geq 3$. The study of freeness of divisors is a classical topic introduced by Saito for reduced divisors [10] and studied by Terao for hyperplane arrangements [12]. A reduced hypersurface $S = V(f)$ in \mathbb{P}^n is said to be free if its module of logarithmic derivations is free, i.e., the direct sum of line bundles. This notion turns out to be very interesting, as free hypersurfaces tend to be quite special and often exhibit unique properties. Actually, few examples of free hypersurfaces are known. In the plane there is a quite extended literature on free curves, but for $n > 2$ there are few examples. We recall here [2] (where binomial free divisors are characterized and some other examples are given); [4] (where isolated cases and countable families of free (rational) surfaces in \mathbb{P}^3 and some examples in \mathbb{P}^n , $n \geq 3$ related to the discriminant of binary forms are given) and [5] (where some examples of free plane arrangements and surfaces are given).

Our idea comes from [3] where the authors use eigenschemes and pencils of curves, combined with an interpretation of Saito's criterion. Here we extend this approach to \mathbb{P}^n , $n \geq 3$ by defining Multiple Eigenschemes of closed subschemes and relating them to freeness of hypersurfaces. Finally, we give some applications.

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank J. Vallès for useful discussions on this subject. Indeed, our results are inspired in [13] and [3].

2. PRELIMINARIES

This section contains the basic definitions and results on jacobian ideals associated to reduced singular hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^n as well as on the module of logarithmic derivations. Moreover, we recall the notion of eigenscheme and we introduce ME-schemes (Multiple Eigenschemes) as a natural generalization of eigenpoints. This section lays the groundwork for the results in the later sections.

Notation. From now on, we fix the polynomial ring $R = \mathbf{k}[x_0, \dots, x_n] = \bigoplus_d R_d$ over an algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} of characteristic zero and we denote by $S : f = 0$ a reduced hypersurface of degree d in the n -dimensional projective space $\mathbb{P}^n = \text{Proj}(R)$ defined by

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14C21, 14J70; Secondary 14H20 14J60.

Key words and phrases. free divisor, hypersurface pencil, eigenschemes.

Miró-Roig was partially supported by the grant PID2020-113674GB-I00.

an homogeneous polynomial $f \in R_d$. As usual, we denote by ∂_{x_i} , $i = 0, \dots, n$ the partial derivatives with respect to x_i . Let $Der(R) = \{a_0\partial_{x_0} + a_1\partial_{x_1} + \dots + a_n\partial_{x_n} \mid a_i \in R\}$ be the free R -module of \mathbf{k} -derivations of R .

2.1. The module of logarithmic derivations. As usual, for any reduced hypersurface $S : f = 0$ defined by a homogeneous polynomial f of degree d , we define the *module of tangent derivations* (also called *the module of logarithmic derivations*) $Der(f)$ as the graded R -module

$$Der(f) = \{\delta \in Der(R) \mid \delta(f) \in \langle f \rangle\}.$$

The so-called Euler derivation $\delta_E = x_0\partial_{x_0} + \dots + x_n\partial_{x_n}$ belongs to $Der(f)$ and we have the factorization

$$Der(f) = R\delta_E \oplus Der_0(f)$$

where $Der_0(f) = \{\delta \in Der(f) \mid \delta(f) = 0\}$. Let $\nabla_f = (\partial_{x_0}f, \partial_{x_1}f, \dots, \partial_{x_n}f)$ be the vector of partial derivatives and J_f the Jacobian ideal (generated by these partial derivatives); i.e. $J_f = \langle \partial_{x_0}f, \partial_{x_1}f, \dots, \partial_{x_n}f \rangle \subset R$.

Then $Der_0(f)$ is isomorphic to the kernel of the Jacobian map

$$\nabla_f : R^{n+1} \longrightarrow R(d-1)$$

i.e. $Der_0(f)$ is identified with the R -module of all Jacobian relations for f , i.e.,

$$Der_0(f) \cong Syz(J_f) := \left\{ (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) \in R^{n+1} \mid a_0 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0} + \dots + a_n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} = 0 \right\}.$$

We will denote by $Syz(J_f)_t$ the homogeneous part of degree t of the graded R -module $Syz(J_f)$; $Syz(J_f)_t$ is a \mathbf{k} -vector space of finite dimension. The minimal degree of a Jacobian syzygy for f is the integer $mdr(f)$ defined to be the smallest integer r such that there is a nontrivial relation $a_0 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0} + a_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} + \dots + a_n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} = 0$ among the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$, $i = 0, \dots, n$, of f with coefficients $a_i \in R_r$. Therefore, we have:

$$mdr(f) = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid Syz(J_f)_n \neq 0\}.$$

It is well known that $mdr(f) = 0$ (i.e. the $n+1$ partial derivatives $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$, $i = 0, \dots, n$ are linearly dependent) if and only if S is a union of hyperplanes passing through one point $p \in \mathbb{P}^n$.

Definition 2.1. Let $S : f = 0$ be a reduced hypersurface of degree d in \mathbb{P}^n . We say that S is *free* if the graded R -module $Der_0(f)$ of all Jacobian relations for f is a free R -module, i.e., $Der_0(f) = R(-d_1) \oplus R(-d_2) \oplus \dots \oplus R(-d_n)$ with $d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_n = d-1$. In this case S is said to be free with exponents (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n) .

Actually, few examples of free hypersurfaces are known. Below we give some of them

Example 2.2. (1) The surface $S : f = x^6z + y^7 + x^5yt + x^4y^3 = 0$ is free with exponents $(1, 2, 3)$ [4]. Indeed, the Jacobian ideal $J_f = \langle 6x^5z + 5x^4yt + 4x^3y^3, 7y^6 + x^5t + 3x^4y^2, x^6, x^5y \rangle$ of f has a resolution of the following type:

$$0 \longrightarrow R(-3) \oplus R(-2) \oplus R(-1) \longrightarrow R^4 \longrightarrow J_f(6) \longrightarrow 0.$$

(2) For any $d \geq 10$, the surface $S : f = x^{d-1}z + y^d + x^{d-2}yt + x^{d-5}y^5 = 0$ is free with exponents $(1, 4, d-6)$ [4]. Indeed, the Jacobian ideal J_f of f has a resolution of the following type:

$$0 \longrightarrow R(-d+6) \oplus R(-4) \oplus R(-1) \longrightarrow R^4 \longrightarrow J_f(d-1) \longrightarrow 0.$$


For $d = 9$, the surface $S : f = x^{d-1}z + y^d + x^{d-2}yt + x^{d-5}y^5 = 0$ is not free. Indeed, its Jacobian ideal J_f has a minimal free R -resolution of the following type:

$$0 \longrightarrow R(-5) \longrightarrow R(-4)^3 \oplus R(-1) \longrightarrow R^4 \longrightarrow J_f(8) \longrightarrow 0.$$

- (3) The surface $S : f = y^2z^2 - 4xz^3 - 4y^3t + 18xyzt - 27x^2t^2 = 0$ is free with exponents $(1, 1, 1)$ [4]. Indeed, the Jacobian ideal J_f of f has a resolution of the following type:

$$0 \longrightarrow R(-1)^3 \longrightarrow R^4 \longrightarrow J_f(3) \longrightarrow 0.$$

- (4) Starting from the triangle $T : xyz = 0$, the curve $C : f = xyzc_1c_2 = 0$ with c_1, c_2 two general conics through the vertices of T is free of exponent $(2, 4)$ (see also [11, Example 2.1]).



Indeed, its Jacobian ideal J_f has a resolution of the following type:

$$0 \longrightarrow R(-4) \oplus R(-2) \longrightarrow R^3 \longrightarrow J_f(6) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Actually, in [3, Proposition 4.1] it is proved that by adding conics $c_i = a_ic_1 + b_ic_2$ in the pencil of c_1, c_2 , the freeness is preserved (with exponent $(2, 2k)$ if we add $k - 2$ conics, but by adding c_3, \dots, c_k general conic through the vertices of T ($k \geq 5$), we loose the freeness. Indeed in the pencil case with $f = xyzc_1c_2\prod_{i=1,2,3}(a_ic_1 + b_ic_2)$ the Jacobian ideal J_f has a minimal free R -resolution of the following type:

$$0 \longrightarrow R(-10) \oplus R(-2) \longrightarrow R^3 \longrightarrow J_f(12) \longrightarrow 0.$$


in the net case $f = xyzc_1c_2c_3c_4c_5$ the Jacobian ideal J_f has a minimal free R -resolution of the following type:

$$0 \longrightarrow R^2(-8) \longrightarrow R^4(-6) \longrightarrow R^3 \longrightarrow J_f(12) \longrightarrow 0.$$

- (5) The following example shows how it works the classical and useful tool “addition-deletion” [12].

The plane arrangement $xyzt \prod_{i=1}^s (a_ix + b_iy) \prod_{j=1}^r (c_jz + d_jt)$ is free with exponents $(1, s + 1, r + 1)$.

In fact starting from the arrangement $xyzt$ that is free with exponents $(1, 1, 1)$, if we restrict to a plane $ax + by$ we get a triangle of lines that is free with exponent $(1, 1)$, so $xyzt(ax + by)$ is free with exponents $(1, 1, 2)$. Inductively, adding planes of the same shape $a_ix + b_iy$, the restricted line arrangement is always the triangle and so after s steps $\mathcal{A}_s = xyzt \prod_{i=1}^s (a_ix + b_iy)$ is free with exponents $(1, 1, 1 + s)$. Now we have to add planes $c_iz + d_it$ to \mathcal{A}_s . The restriction of \mathcal{A}_s to $c_iz + d_it$ is the line arrangement consisting of the line $z = 0 = t$ and $s + 2$ lines through a point meeting the first line in $s + 2$ distinct points. This line arrangement is free with exponents $(1, s + 1)$. So the union of \mathcal{A}_s with the plane is free $(1, s + 1, 2)$ and inductively $xyzt \prod_{i=1}^s (a_ix + b_iy) \prod_{j=1}^r (c_jz + d_jt)$ is free with exponents $(1, s + 1, r + 1)$.

FIGURE 1. The restricted line arrangement in the plane $x - y = 0$

Remark 2.3. Other known examples are pencils of curves in the plane, whose study is related to the knowledge of a “canonical” derivation introduced by Vallès in [13]. In order to generalize it in higher dimension, we consider a family generated by n hypersurfaces f_1, \dots, f_n in \mathbb{P}^n and the derivation defined as

$$\delta_{f_1, \dots, f_n} = \begin{vmatrix} \partial_{x_0} & \partial_{x_1} & \cdots & \partial_{x_n} \\ \partial_{x_0} f_1 & \partial_{x_1} f_1 & \cdots & \partial_{x_n} f_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \partial_{x_0} f_n & \partial_{x_1} f_n & \cdots & \partial_{x_n} f_n \end{vmatrix}.$$

Of course $\delta_{f_1, \dots, f_n}(f_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ so $\delta_{f_1, \dots, f_n} \in \text{Der}_0(f)$ for each $f \in \langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$. It is obvious that $\delta_{f_1, \dots, f_n} = 0$ if ∇_{f_i} are linearly dependent. For example, in \mathbb{P}^3 , $\delta_{x, y, x+y} = 0$, but the coordinate planes x, y, z give the derivation $\delta_{x, y, z} = \partial_t \neq 0$. So, it is natural to look for geometric conditions in order to assure that $\delta_{f_1, \dots, f_n} \neq 0$. We note that the dimension of the base locus of the family defined by f, g, h is not a strong condition in this sense. For example, letting $f = x^2 - yz, g = x^2 - yt, h = x^2 - zt$, we get $\delta_{f, g, h} = yzt\partial_x + xy(-y + z + t)\partial_y + xz(y - z + t)\partial_z + xt(y + z - t)\partial_t$ the base locus is a zero dimensional scheme of degree 8; instead letting $f = x^2 - yz, g = y^2 - xz, h = z^2 - xy$, we get $\delta_{f, g, h} = (x^3 + y^3 + z^3 - 3xyz)\partial_t$ and the base locus is a cubic curve.

It is a longstanding problem in singularities to determine whether a hypersurface is free. A hypersurface $S : f = 0$ in \mathbb{P}^n is free if the Jacobian ideal J_f of f is saturated and defines an arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay subscheme of codimension two. Cohen-Macaulay ideals of codimension 2 are completely described by the so-called Hilbert-Burch theorem [6]: if $I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle \subset R$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ideal of codimension two, then I is defined by the maximal minors of the $(m+1) \times m$ matrix of the first syzygies of the ideal I . As Saito’s criterion says (see also Section 3) combining this with Euler’s formula for a homogeneous polynomial we obtain that a free hypersurface $S : f = 0$ in \mathbb{P}^n has a very constrained structure: $f = \det(M)$ for a $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix M , with one row consisting of the $n+1$ variables, and the remaining n rows the minimal first syzygies on J_f .

2.2. Eigenschemes and ME-schemes in \mathbb{P}^n . There are different notions of eigenvectors and eigenvalues for tensors as introduced independently in [8] and [9]. Here we focus our

attention on the algebraic-geometric point of view and we also introduce the notion of multiple eigenscheme (ME-scheme, for short) as a natural generalization of the concept of eigenscheme. To this end, we choose a basis for \mathbf{k}^{n+1} and we identify a partial symmetric tensor T with a $(n+1)$ -uple of homogeneous polynomials of degree $d-1$. We describe the eigenpoint of a tensor T (respectively, the ME-scheme of r tensors T_1, \dots, T_r) algebraically by the vanishing of the minors of a homogeneous matrix M_T (respectively, M_{T_1, \dots, T_r}). More precisely, we have:

Definition 2.4. Let $T_i = (g_0^i, g_1^i, \dots, g_n^i) \in (\text{Sym}^{d_i-1} \mathbf{k}^{n+1})^{\oplus(n+1)}$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, be r partially symmetric tensors. The *eigenscheme* of T_i is the closed subscheme $E(T_i) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ defined by the 2×2 minors of the homogeneous matrix

$$(2.1) \quad M_{T_i} = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ g_0^i & g_1^i & \cdots & g_n^i \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 1, \dots, r.$$

The *Multiple Eigenscheme* (ME-scheme for short) of T_1, \dots, T_r is the closed subscheme $E(T_1, \dots, T_r) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ defined by the $(r+1) \times (r+1)$ minors of the homogeneous matrix

$$(2.2) \quad M_{T_1, \dots, T_r} = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ g_0^1 & g_1^1 & \cdots & g_n^1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ g_0^r & g_1^r & \cdots & g_n^r \end{pmatrix}.$$

If T_1, \dots, T_r are general, then $E(T_i)$ is a 0-dimensional scheme (see, for instance, [1]) and $E(T_1, \dots, T_r)$ is a $(r-1)$ -dimensional scheme. Moreover, by the Hochster-Eagon Theorem [7], $R/I(E(T_i))$ and $R/I(E(T_1, \dots, T_r))$ are Cohen-Macaulay rings and, hence, the ideals $I(E(T_i))$ and $I(E(T_1, \dots, T_r))$ are saturated. Therefore, $E(T_i)$ and $E(T_1, \dots, T_r)$ are standard determinantal schemes. When the tensor T_i is symmetric, i.e., there is a homogeneous polynomial f_i such that $g_j^i = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}$ for $j = 0, \dots, n$, we denote its eigenscheme by $E(f_i)$ and the corresponding ME-scheme by $E(f_1, \dots, f_r)$.

Set theoretically $E(T_i)$ is the union of the base locus and the fixed points of the rational map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^n \cdots &\longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n \\ x &\mapsto g^i(x) := (g_0^i(x), g_1^i(x), \dots, g_n^i(x)). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the eigenpoints $E(f_i)$ are the base locus and the fixed points of polar map

$$\nabla f_i = (\partial_{x_0} f_i, \partial_{x_1} f_i, \dots, \partial_{x_n} f_i) : \mathbb{P}^n \cdots \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$$

of f_i . On the other hand, $E(T_1, \dots, T_r)$ is the closure of the union of points $x \in \mathbb{P}^n$ such that x lies in the linear space determined by $g^1(x), \dots, g^r(x)$.

Lemma 2.5. Fix integers $d_1, \dots, d_{n-1} \geq 2$ and $n-1$ general partially symmetric tensors $T_i = (g_0^i, g_1^i, \dots, g_n^i) \in (\text{Sym}^{d_i-1} \mathbf{k}^{n+1})^{\oplus(n+1)}$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. It holds:

- (1) $E(T_i)$ is a reduced 0-dimensional scheme in \mathbb{P}^n of length

$$\frac{(d_i - 1)^{n+1} - 1}{d_i - 2}.$$

- (2) The homogeneous ideal $I(E(T_i)) \subset R$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, has a minimal free R -resolution

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n-1} R(-(j+1)d_i - n + 2j + 1) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \\ &R(-1 - d_i)^{\binom{n+1}{3}} \oplus R(1 - 2d_i)^{\binom{n+1}{3}} \longrightarrow R(-d_i)^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \longrightarrow I(E(T_i)) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

(3) $E(T_1, \dots, T_{n-1})$ is a reduced codimension 2 subscheme in \mathbb{P}^n of degree

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{s=1}^{n-1} (2 - d_s - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d_j) \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d_j \right)^2 - \frac{n+1}{2} \left(-1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d_j \right)^2.$$

(4) The homogeneous ideal $I(E(T_1, \dots, T_{n-1})) \subset R$ has a minimal free R -resolution of the following type:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow R \left(- \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d_j \right) \bigoplus \bigoplus_{s=1}^{n-1} R \left(2 - d_s - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d_j \right) &\longrightarrow R \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d_j \right)^{n+1} \\ &\longrightarrow I(E(T_1, \dots, T_{n-1})) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $E(T_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, and $E(T_1, \dots, T_{n-1})$ are standard determinantal scheme of codimension n and 2, respectively, their minimal free resolution is given by the Eagon-Northcott complex (see [6, Theorem A2.10]) and the length of these schemes can be computed directly using the resolutions. \square

Example 2.6. We consider the Fermat cubic surface $f_1 = x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + t^3 \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z, t]$ and the Clebsch cubic surface $f_2 = x^2y + y^2z + z^2t + t^2x \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z, t]$. The eigenscheme $E(f_1)$ is the 0-dimensional subscheme of \mathbb{P}^3 of length 15 defined by the maximal minors of

$$M_{f_1} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y & z & t \\ x^2 & y^2 & z^2 & t^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, $E(f_1) = \{(1, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 0, 1), (1, 1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 1, 0), (0, 1, 0, 1), (0, 0, 1, 1), (1, 1, 1, 0), (1, 1, 0, 1), (1, 0, 1, 1), (0, 1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 1, 1)\}$.

The eigenscheme $E(f_2)$ is the 0-dimensional subscheme of \mathbb{P}^3 of length 15 defined by the maximal minors of

$$M_{f_2} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y & z & t \\ 2xy + t^2 & x^2 + 2yz & y^2 + 2zt & z^2 + 2tx \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, $E(f_2) = \{(1, 0, 0, 0)\}$.

The ME-scheme $E(f_1, f_2)$ is the curve in \mathbb{P}^3 defined by the maximal minors of

$$M_{f_1, f_2} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y & z & t \\ x^2 & y^2 & z^2 & t^2 \\ 2xy + t^2 & x^2 + 2yz & y^2 + 2zt & z^2 + 2tx \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} I(E(f_1, f_2)) = & \langle x^2y^3 - xy^4 - x^4z + 2xy^3z + x^3z^2 - 2x^2yz^2 - 2xy^2z^2 + 2xyz^3 + 2x^2yzt - 2xy^2zt + y^2zt^2 - yz^2t^2, \\ & x^2yz^2 - xy^2z^2 - x^4t + 2x^3yt - 2x^2y^2t + 2xy^3t - 2x^2yzt + x^3t^2 - 2xy^2t^2 + 2xyz^2t + y^2t^3 - yt^4, \\ & x^2z^3 - xz^4 - x^2y^2t + 2x^3zt - 2x^2z^2t + 2xyz^2t + xy^2t^2 - 2x^2zt^2 - 2xyz^2t + 2xzt^3 + z^2t^3 - zt^4, \\ & y^2z^3 - yz^4 - y^4t + 2xy^2zt + x^2z^2t - 2xyz^2t + 2yz^3t + y^3t^2 - x^2zt^2 - 2y^2zt^2 - 2yz^2t^2 + 2yzt^3 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and $E(f_1, f_2)$ is an arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay curve in \mathbb{P}^3 of degree 17 and arithmetic genus 34 which contains the eigenschemes $E(f_1)$ and $E(f_2)$.

3. SAITO'S THEOREM REVISITED

Let us start this section with Saito's criterion as it was stated originally (See [10]). Saito's criterion is a determinantal characterization of free divisors. Indeed, we have:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $S = V(f) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a reduced hypersurface of degree d . S is free if and only if there exist n derivations $\delta_i = g_0^i \partial_{x_0} + g_1^i \partial_{x_1} + \cdots + g_n^i \partial_{x_n} \in \text{Der}(f)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, such that*

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ g_0^1 & g_1^1 & \cdots & g_n^1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ g_0^n & g_1^n & \cdots & g_n^n \end{pmatrix} = cf \quad \text{with} \quad c \in \mathbf{k}^*$$

In [3, Theorem 2.5] we reformulate Saito's criterion in terms of eigenschemes and we get nice applications about the freeness of pencils of curves [3, Theorem 3.6]. In this section we generalize this result and we translate Saito's criterion in terms of ME-schemes. To this end the following technical lemma will be very useful:

Lemma 3.2. *Fix integers $d_1, \dots, d_{n-1} \geq 2$ and let $T_i = (g_0^i, g_1^i, \dots, g_n^i) \in (\text{Sym}^{d_i} \mathbf{k}^{n+1})^{\oplus n+1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ be $n-1$ partially symmetric tensors. Let $S = V(f) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a hypersurface of degree $d \geq d_1 + \cdots + d_{n-1} - 1$. S contains $E(T_1, \dots, T_{n-1})$ if and only if there exists a n -th partially symmetric tensor $T = (g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n) \in (\text{Sym}^{d-d_1-\cdots-d_{n-1}+1} \mathbf{k}^{n+1})^{\oplus n+1}$ such that*

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ g_0^1 & g_1^1 & \cdots & g_n^1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ g_0^{n-1} & g_1^{n-1} & \cdots & g_n^{n-1} \\ g_0 & g_1 & \cdots & g_n \end{pmatrix} = cf \quad \text{with} \quad c \in \mathbf{k}^*$$

Proof. We consider the matrix $M_{T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}}$ associated to the tensors T_1, \dots, T_{n-1} . We denote by h_i the determinant of the submatrix of $M_{T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}}$ obtained deleting the i -th column of $M_{T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}}$. The hypersurface S contains $E(T_1, \dots, T_{n-1})$ if and only if $(f) = I(S) \subset I(E(T_1, \dots, T_{n-1})) = \langle h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{n+1} \rangle$ if and only if there exist homogeneous polynomials $g_i \in R_{d-d_1-\cdots-d_{n-1}+1}$ such that $f = h_1 g_0 + h_2 g_1 + \cdots + h_{n+1} g_n$ or, equivalently,

$$f = \det \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ g_0^1 & g_1^1 & \cdots & g_n^1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ g_0^{n-1} & g_1^{n-1} & \cdots & g_n^{n-1} \\ g_0 & g_1 & \cdots & g_n \end{pmatrix}$$

which proves what we want. \square

From now on given a non-zero irreducible derivation $\delta = P_0 \partial_{x_0} + P_1 \partial_{x_1} + \cdots + P_n \partial_{x_n}$ of degree s we consider the graded R -module of forms $f \in R$ with δ as a tangent derivation, i.e.

$$K(\delta) := \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} K(\delta)_r = \{f \in R \mid \delta(f) \in \langle f \rangle\};$$

and we call it the *kernel* of the derivation δ . Notice that $F \in K(\delta)$ if and only if $\delta \in \text{Der}(F)$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $S = V(f) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a reduced hypersurface of degree d . Let $\delta_i = g_0^i \partial_{x_0} + g_1^i \partial_{x_1} + \cdots + g_n^i \partial_{x_n}$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, be $n-1$ non-zero irreducible minimal generators of $\text{Der}(S)$ of degree $d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_{n-1}$. Assume that $E(T_1, \dots, T_{n-1})$ has codimension*

2. Then, S is free with exponents $(d_1, \dots, d_{n-1}, d - d_1 - \dots - d_{n-1} - 1)$ if and only if S contains the ME-scheme $E(T_1, \dots, T_{n-1})$ associated to the partially symmetric tensors $T_i = (g_0^i, g_1^i, \dots, g_n^i) \in (\text{Sym}^{d_i-1} \mathbf{k}^{n+1})^{\oplus n+1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

Proof. Arguing as in [3, Theorem 2.5], we start assuming that S is free with exponents $(d_1, \dots, d_{n-1}, d - \sum_i d_i - 1)$, we can choose as base $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n-1}, \delta$ for suitable $\delta = g_0 \partial_{x_0} + \dots + g_n \partial_{x_n}$ of degree $d - \sum_i d_i - 1$. Saito's criterion says us that

$$(3.1) \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ g_0^1 & g_1^1 & \cdots & g_n^1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ g_0^{n-1} & g_1^{n-1} & \cdots & g_n^{n-1} \\ g_0 & g_1 & \cdots & g_n \end{pmatrix} = cf$$

with $c \in \mathbf{k}^*$ and this gives the thesis by Proposition 3.3. Conversely, S contains the ME-scheme if and only if there exists a tensor $T = (g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n) \in (\text{Sym}^{d-1} \mathbf{k}^{n+1})^{\oplus n+1}$, such that (3.1) holds and we can also assume $c = 1$. T defines a derivation $\delta := g_0 \partial_{x_0} + \dots + g_n \partial_{x_n}$. It is enough to prove that $\delta \in \text{Der}(S)$. If $\delta \notin \text{Der}(S)$, then there exists an irreducible factor g of f that does not divide $\delta(f)$. Arguing as in [3], let M be the matrix in (3.1) whose determinant is cf and CoM the matrix of cofactors of M and for any entry m_{ij} , let m'_{ij} be its cofactor. We get that g divides each generator of $I(E(T_1, \dots, T_n))$, so the ME-scheme contains the hypersurface $V(g)$, this contradicts the codimension 2. \square

We are now ready to establish the revisited Saito's criterion for freeness.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $S_i : f_i = 0$, $i = 1, 2$, be two reduced hypersurfaces of degree $d \geq 1$ in \mathbb{P}^n without common components. Assume that there exist $n-1$ partially symmetric tensors $T_i = (g_0^i, g_1^i, \dots, g_n^i) \in (\text{Sym}^{d_i-1} \mathbf{k}^{n+1})^{\oplus n+1}$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, such that $\delta_i = g_0^i \partial_{x_0} + g_1^i \partial_{x_1} + \dots + g_n^i \partial_{x_n}$ are part of a minimal system of generators of both $\text{Der}_0(f_1)$ and $\text{Der}_0(f_2)$. If $S : f_1 f_2 = 0$ is a free hypersurface with exponents $(d_1, \dots, d_{n-1}, 2d - d_1 - \dots - d_{n-1} - 1)$ then $S_k : f_1 f_2 \prod_{j=1}^k (\alpha_j f_1 + \beta_j f_2) = 0$ is a free surface with exponents $(d_1, \dots, d_{n-1}, (k+2)d - d_1 - \dots - d_{n-1} + 1)$.*

Proof. Since $S : f_1 f_2 = 0$ is free, by Proposition 3.3, we know that S contains the ME-scheme $E(T_1, \dots, T_{n-1})$ associated to the partially symmetric tensors T_1, \dots, T_{n-1} .

On the other hand,

$$\delta_i = g_0^i \partial_{x_0} + g_1^i \partial_{x_1} + \dots + g_n^i \partial_{x_n} \in \text{Der}_0(f_1) \cap \text{Der}_0(f_2) \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1$$

Therefore, $\delta_i \in \text{Der}_0(f_1 f_2 \prod_{j=1}^k (\alpha_j f_1 + \beta_j f_2))$ and, applying again Proposition 3.3, we get that the surface S_k is free with exponents $(d_1 - 1, \dots, d_{n-1} - 1, (k+2)d - d_1 - \dots - d_{n-1} + n - 2)$ if and only if S_k contains $E(T_1, \dots, T_{n-1})$. The result now follows from the observation that $E(T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}) \subset S = V(f_1 f_2) \subset S_k = V(f_1 f_2 \prod_{j=1}^k (\alpha_j f_1 + \beta_j f_2))$. \square

4. APPLICATIONS

Much of the early work on freeness focused on hyperplane arrangements and use as a key tool the so-called deletion-restriction operation [12] or the composition formula [2] which, in particular, gives us the following result: if $f \in \mathbf{k}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ defines a free hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^n and $g \in \mathbf{k}[y_0, \dots, y_m]$ defines a free hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^m then fg and $fg(f+g)$ define free hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^{n+m+1} .

In this section, we will use the geometry of arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay hypersurfaces naturally associated to partially symmetric tensors to gain some knowledge about

the freeness of pencils of hypersurfaces. In fact, as application of the Saito's revisited freeness criterion (see Theorem 3.4) we will be able to construct free hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^n , $n \geq 3$, of arbitrarily high degree. Let us start with a toy example

Example 4.1. In this example, we will construct free surfaces in \mathbb{P}^3 all of them are union of surfaces in a suitable pencil of cubics. Take $f_1 = xyz + xzt$ and $f_2 = xyt + yzt$. The pencil of cubic surfaces $S_k : f_1 f_2 \prod_{j=1}^k (a_j f_1 + b_j f_2) = 0$ in \mathbb{P}^3 is free with exponents $(2, 2, 3k + 1)$. Indeed, $Q_1 = (2x^2 + xz, -xy + yz, -2z^2 - xz, -xt + zt)$ and $Q_2 = (xy - xt, -2y^2 - yt, yz - zt, yt + 2t^2)$ are two minimal syzygies of degree 2 of the Jacobian ideal of f_1 as well as the Jacobian ideal of f_2 . Moreover, $f_1 f_2$ is a free surface in \mathbb{P}^3 with exponents $(1, 2, 2)$. Therefore, applying Theorem 3.4 we conclude that $f_1 f_2 \prod_{k=3}^r (a_k f_1 + b_k f_2)$ with $a_k, b_k \in \mathbf{k} \setminus \{0\}$ is free with exponents $(2, 2, 1 + 3(k - 2))$.

Our goal is to generalize this last example. To this end, let us start fixing some notation. Write $n = 2m + \epsilon$ with $\epsilon = 0, 1$. We consider the hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{A} : x_0 \cdots x_n = 0$ of the $n + 1$ coordinate hyperplanes in \mathbb{P}^n . \mathcal{A} is a free arrangement with exponents $(1, \dots, 1)$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)^n.$$

The Jacobian ideal of \mathcal{A} is

$$J = \langle x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n, x_0 x_2 \cdots x_n, \dots, x_0 x_1 \cdots x_{n-1} \rangle$$

and the singular locus consists of the $\binom{n+1}{2}$ codimension two faces of the hypertetrahedron. Set

$$h_i = x_0 \cdots \widehat{x_i} \cdots x_n, \quad i = 0, \dots, n.$$

We consider the hypersurfaces S_1 and S_2 of degree n defined by

$$f_1 = \sum_{i=m+1}^n h_i \quad \text{and} \quad f_2 = \sum_{i=0}^m h_i$$

and we denote by

$$\mathcal{P} := \{S_{a,b} = aS_1 + bS_2\}_{a,b \in \mathbf{k}}$$

the pencil of hypersurfaces of degree n determined by S_1 and S_2 .

Theorem 4.2. *With the above notation, we have*

- (i) $S_1 S_2$ is free with exponents $(1, 2, \dots, 2)$
- (ii) For any $k \geq 3$ and for generic hypersurfaces S_{a_i, b_i} , $3 \leq i \leq k$, in the pencil \mathcal{P} , the hypersurface

$$S_1 S_2 \prod_{i=3}^k S_{a_i, b_i}$$

is free with exponents $(2, \dots, 2, n(k - 2) + 1)$.

Proof. We will assume that $n = 2m$; analogous argument works for $n = 2m + 1$ as the reader can check. We consider the m partial symmetric tensors $R_i = (R_i^0, R_i^1, \dots, R_i^n) \in (\text{Sym}^2 \mathbf{k}^{m+1})^{\oplus n+1}$ with $1 \leq i \leq m$ and the $m - 1$ partial symmetric tensors $S_j = (S_j^0, S_j^1, \dots, S_j^n) \in (\text{Sym}^2 \mathbf{k}^{m+1})^{\oplus n+1}$ with $1 \leq j \leq m - 1$ defined as follows:

$$R_i = (x_0(x_{i-1} - x_m), \dots, x_{i-1}(-(n-1)x_{i-1} - x_m), \dots, x_m(x_{i-1} + (n-1)x_m), \\ x_{m+1}(x_{i-1} - x_m), \dots, x_n(x_{i-1} - x_m)) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m;$$

and

$$S_j = (x_0(x_{m+j} - x_n), \dots, x_m(x_{m+j} - x_n),$$

$x_{m+1}(x_{m+j}-x_n), \dots, x_{m+j}(-(n-1)x_{m+j}-x_n), \dots, x_n(+(n-1)x_n+x_{m+j}))$ for $j = 1, \dots, m-1$.

We easily check that for any integers $0 \leq t \leq n$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $0 \leq j \leq m-1$ it holds:

$$\delta_i = \sum_{s=0}^n R_i^s \partial_{x_s} \in \text{Der}_0(f_1) \cap \text{Der}_0(f_2)$$

and

$$\eta_j = \sum_{s=0}^n S_j^s \partial_{x_s} \in \bigcap_{t \leq m} \text{Der}_0(h_t) \cap \text{Der}_0(f_1) \subset \text{Der}_0(f_1) \cap \text{Der}_0(f_2)$$

(i) We first observe that f_1 and f_2 are two reduced hypersurfaces of degree n without common factors and $\deg(f_1 f_2) = 2n \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \deg R_i + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \deg S_j = 2n - 2$. Moreover, a straightforward computation shows that δ_i and η_j are part of a minimal system of generators of $\text{Der}_0(f_1 f_2)$ and hence we conclude applying Theorem 3.4.

(ii) Arguing as above we get again that δ_i and η_j are part of a minimal system of generators of $\text{Der}_0(f_1 f_2 \prod_{i=1}^k (a_i f_1 + b_i f_2))$. Therefore, we can apply Theorem 3.4 we get what we want. \square


Example 4.3. Now we consider again the arrangement \mathcal{A} of the 4 coordinate planes in \mathbb{P}^3 . As already noted, it is free, with 3 linear syzygies, hence

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)^3.$$

The Jacobian ideal of \mathcal{A} is obviously

$$\langle xyz, xyw, xzw, yzw \rangle$$

and the corresponding singular locus consists of the six edges of tetrahedron.



Picking a generic cubic C_1 in this web and adding it to \mathcal{A} we obtain an arrangement $\mathcal{A} \cup C_1$. A computation shows it has quasi-homogeneous singularities, and

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A} \cup C_1} \simeq \mathcal{O}(-2)^3.$$

If we add a second generic cubic C_2 from the web to this arrangement, we obtain an arrangement $\mathcal{A} \cup C_1 \cup C_2$ which is now singular along a complete intersection curve of degree 9, consisting of the 6 singular lines of \mathcal{A} , and a twisted cubic; the fact that a twisted cubic is a component follows using liaison. Now there are two directions to go. If we continue to add cubics from the pencil of cubics defined by C_1 and C_2 , and call \mathcal{C}_k the resulting arrangement of the four coordinate planes and the k cubics C_1, \dots, C_k , then we compute that for all k ,

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}_k} \simeq \mathcal{O}(-2)^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}(-3k+1).$$

On the other hand, we can continue to add cubics from the original web. Denote such an arrangement, where k cubics from the web have been added, as \mathcal{C}'_k . We find that

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}'_1} & \simeq \mathcal{O}(-2)^3. \\ \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}'_2} & \simeq \mathcal{O}(-2)^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}(-5). \\ \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}'_3} & \simeq \mathcal{O}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-5)^2. \\ \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}'_4} & \simeq \mathcal{O}(-5)^3. \\ \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}'_5} & \simeq \mathcal{O}(-6)^3. \\ \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}'_k} & \text{is not free if } k \geq 6. \end{array}$$

The above example generalizes to \mathbb{P}^n . Indeed, we consider the arrangement \mathcal{A} of the $n + 1$ coordinate hyperplanes in \mathbb{P}^n . \mathcal{A} is free with exponents $(1, \dots, 1)$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)^n.$$

The Jacobian ideal of \mathcal{A} is

$$J = \langle x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n, x_0 x_2 \cdots x_n, \dots, x_0 x_1 \cdots x_{n-1} \rangle$$

and the singular locus consists of the $\binom{n+1}{2}$ codimension two faces of the hypertetrahedron. Let S_1 be a hypersurface of degree n defined by a general form $f_1 \in J$ and add it to \mathcal{A} . We get a free arrangement $\mathcal{A} \cup C_1$ with

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A} \cup S_1} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-2)^n.$$

Add a second hypersurface S_2 of degree n defined by a general form $f_2 \in J$ and add it to \mathcal{A} . We have

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A} \cup S_1 \cup S_2} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-2)^{n-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-2-n).$$

More general, we add hypersurfaces from the pencil defined by S_1 and S_2 . The arrangement $\mathcal{C}_k : x_0 \cdots x_n \prod_{i=1}^k (\alpha_i f_1 + \beta_i f_2)$ is free with exponents $(2, \dots, 2, 2 + n(k-1))$. Therefore, we have:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}_k} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-2)^{n-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-2 - n(k-1)).$$

Remark 4.4. As highlighted in [3, Theorem 5.2] and Example 4.3, if, instead of adding hypersurfaces from the pencil \mathcal{P} , we add hypersurfaces from the linear system J the result is no longer true when the number of hypersurfaces is at least 5. These are only examples, but we computed, by Macaulay2, several situations of this type in several dimensions and the behavior is always the same. We are investigating them theoretically.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Abo, *On the discriminant locus of a rank $n - 1$ vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^{n-1}* , Portugaliae Mathematica **77** (2020), 299–343.
- [2] R.O. Buchweitz and A. Conca, *New free divisors from old*, Journal of Commutative Algebra **5** (2013), 17–47.
- [3] R. Di Gennaro, G. Ilardi, R.M. Miró-Roig, H. Schenck and J. Vallès, *Free curves, eigenschemes and pencils of curves*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **56** (2024), 2424–2440.
- [4] A. Dimca and G. Sticlaru, *Free and nearly free surfaces in P^3* , Asian J. Math **22** (2018), 787–810.
- [5] A. Dimca and G. Sticlaru, *Computing Milnor fiber monodromy for some projective hypersurfaces*, Contemp. Math. **742** (2020), 31–52.
- [6] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative Algebra with a view towards Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 150, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1995.
- [7] M. Hochster, J. A. Eagon, *Cohen-Macaulay rings, invariant theory, and the generic perfection of determinantal loci*, Amer. J. Math., **93**, (1971), 1020–1058.
- [8] L.H. Lim. *Singular values and eigenvalues of tensors: a variational approach*. In 1st IEEE International Workshop on Computational Advances in Multi-Sensor Adaptive Processing (2005), 129–132.

- [9] L. Qi, *Eigenvalues of a real supersymmetric tensor*, Journal of Symbolic Computation **40** (2005), 1302–1324.
- [10] K. Saito, *Theory of logarithmic differential forms and logarithmic vector fields*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **27** (1980), 265–291.
- [11] H. Schenck, S.O. Tohăneanu, *Freeness of conic-line arrangements in \mathbb{P}^2* , Comment. Math. Helv. **84** (2009), 235–258.
- [12] H. Terao, *Arrangements of hyperplanes and their freeness I, II*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **27** (1980), 293–320.
- [13] J. Vallès, *Free divisors in a pencil of curves*, Journal of Singularities **11** (2015), 190–197.

DI GENNARO: DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI “RENATO CACCIOPOLI”, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI NAPOLI FEDERICO II, 80126 NAPOLI, ITALY

Email address: digennar@unina.it

MIRÓ-ROIG: DEPARTMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES I INFORMÀTICA, UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA, 08007 BARCELONA, SPAIN

Email address: miro@ub.edu, ORCID 0000-0003-1375-6547