

Non-local functionals, total variation, and Gamma-convergence with respect to area-strict convergence *

Panu Lahti and Zhuolin Li

February 11, 2025

Abstract

We study a class of non-local functionals that was introduced by Brezis–Seeger–Van Schaftingen–Yung [5], and can be used to characterize the total variation of functions. We establish the Γ -limit of these functionals with respect to area-strict convergence.

1 Introduction

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, and define the measure

$$\nu_\gamma(A) := \iint_A |x - y|^{\gamma-n} dy dx, \quad A \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open. For a measurable function $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let

$$E_{\gamma,\lambda}(u, \Omega) := \{(x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega: |u(x) - u(y)| > \lambda|x - y|^{1+\gamma}\}, \quad \lambda \in (0, \infty),$$

and then define the functional

$$F_{\gamma,\lambda}(u, \Omega) := \lambda \nu_\gamma(E_{\gamma,\lambda}(u, \Omega)).$$

This was studied in the case $\gamma = n$ in [6, 14], and then more generally for $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ by Brezis–Seeger–Van Schaftingen–Yung in [4, 5].

Denoting $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let

$$C_n := \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |x_1| d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x),$$

where \mathcal{H}^{n-1} is the $(n-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure, and \mathbb{S}^{n-1} is the unit sphere. We will always consider $\gamma > 0$. In response to a question posed in [4], Picenni [13] showed that for a special function of bounded variation $u \in \text{SBV}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \text{BV}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma,\lambda}(u, \mathbb{R}^n) = \frac{C_n}{\gamma} |D^a u|(\mathbb{R}^n) + \frac{C_n}{\gamma+1} |D^j u|(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (1.1)$$

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26B30, 26A46, 49J45

Keywords: Total variation, special function of bounded variation, nonlocal functional, area-strict convergence, Gamma-convergence

See §2.1 for the notation. The space $\text{SBV}(\Omega)$ of special functions of bounded variation is a subset of $\text{BV}(\Omega)$, composed of functions with zero Cantor parts.

The first author of the current paper showed the following lower bound in [11] for BV functions whose variation measure may also have a Cantor part: for $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and u is in the homogeneous BV space $\dot{\text{BV}}(\Omega)$, we have

$$\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma, \lambda}(u, \Omega) \geq \frac{C_n}{\gamma} |D^a u|(\Omega) + \frac{C_n}{\gamma + 1} |D^c u|(\Omega) + \frac{C_n}{\gamma + 1} |D^j u|(\Omega). \quad (1.2)$$

For BV functions whose variation measure Du has a nonvanishing Cantor part $D^c u$, it may happen that the limit $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma, \lambda}(u, \Omega)$ fails to exist, see [11, Example 3.23]. This is one reason why it is of interest to investigate the Γ -limit; see Section 2 for definitions. The Γ -limit is currently not known, but if it exists, it is necessarily at most

$$\frac{C_n}{\gamma + 1} |Du|(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

see Remark 4.26. Note that this limit does not agree, in the case of SBV functions, with the “pointwise” limit obtained in (1.1). For this reason, we consider Γ -convergence with respect to a different topology from the usual L^1_{loc} -topology; namely the *area-strict topology*. We call Γ -convergence with respect to the area-strict topology Γ_{AS} -convergence.

The following is our main theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\gamma > 0$ and let Ω be either \mathbb{R}^n or a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary. The Γ_{AS} -limit of the functionals $F_{\gamma, \lambda}(\cdot, \Omega)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ is*

$$\frac{C_n}{\gamma} |D^a u|(\Omega) + \frac{C_n}{\gamma + 1} |D^s u|(\Omega).$$

Note that for $u \in \dot{\text{SBV}}(\Omega)$, this limit does agree with the “pointwise” limit of (1.1).

Acknowledgments. The authors wish to thank Jan Kristensen for helpful discussions.

2 Definitions

2.1 Basic notations and definitions

We work in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n with $n \geq 1$. We denote the Euclidean norm by $|\cdot|$, and the n -dimensional Lebesgue outer measure by \mathcal{L}^n . We denote the characteristic function of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ by $\mathbb{1}_A: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$. The sets of finite positive Radon measures and probability measures on an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ are denoted by $\mathcal{M}^+(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{M}^1(\Omega)$, respectively. The set of \mathbb{R}^l -valued Radon measures, with $l \in \mathbb{N}$, is denoted by $\mathcal{M}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^l)$. Weak* convergence $\mu_i \xrightarrow{*} \mu$ in $\mathcal{M}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^l)$ means $\int_{\Omega} \phi d\mu_i \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \phi d\mu$ for all $\phi \in C_c(\Omega)$. For any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^l)$, its barycentre is defined by

$$\bar{\mu} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^l} z d\mu(z).$$

The theory of BV functions that we rely on can be found in the monograph Ambrosio–Fusco–Pallara [1]. By Ω we always mean an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . A function $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ is of bounded variation, denoted $u \in \text{BV}(\Omega)$, if its weak derivative is an \mathbb{R}^n -valued Radon measure with finite total variation. This means that there exists a (necessarily unique) \mathbb{R}^n -valued Radon measure Df such that for all $\varphi \in C_c^1(\Omega)$, the integration-by-parts formula

$$\int_{\Omega} u \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_k} dx = - \int_{\Omega} \varphi dD_k u, \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

holds. The total variation of Du is denoted by $|Du|$. If we do not know a priori that $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ is a BV function, then we consider

$$\text{Var}(u, \Omega) := \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} u \operatorname{div} \varphi dx, \varphi \in C_c^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n), |\varphi| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

If $\text{Var}(u, \Omega) < \infty$, then the \mathbb{R}^n -valued Radon measure Du exists and $\text{Var}(u, \Omega) = |Du|(\Omega)$ by the Riesz representation theorem, and we denote $u \in \dot{\text{B}}\text{V}(\Omega)$. Moreover, $u \in \text{BV}(\Omega)$ provided that also $u \in L^1(\Omega)$. The BV norm is defined by

$$\|u\|_{\text{BV}(\Omega)} := \|u\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \text{Var}(u, \Omega).$$

Denote by $S_u \subset \Omega$ the set of non-Lebesgue points of $u \in \text{BV}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$. We write the Radon–Nikodym decomposition of the variation measure of u into the absolutely continuous and singular parts with respect to \mathcal{L}^n as

$$Du = D^a u + D^s u.$$

We denote the density of $D^a u$ by ∇u . Furthermore, we define the Cantor and jump parts of Du as

$$D^c u := D^s u \llcorner (\Omega \setminus S_u), \quad D^j u := D^s u \llcorner S_u,$$

where

$$D^s u \llcorner S_u(A) := D^s u(S_u \cap A), \quad \text{for } D^s u\text{-measurable } A \subset \Omega.$$

Thus we get the decomposition

$$Du = D^a u + D^c u + D^j u.$$

If $\text{Var}(u, \Omega) = \infty$, we interpret the quantity $|Du|(\Omega)$, or a sum such as

$$\frac{C_n}{\gamma} |D^a u|(\Omega) + \frac{C_n}{\gamma + 1} |D^s u|(\Omega),$$

to be infinite.

Definition 2.1. Let $u, u_\lambda \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ for $\lambda > 0$. We say that $u_\lambda \rightarrow u$ strictly in Ω if $u_\lambda \rightarrow u$ in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ and

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} |Du_\lambda|(\Omega) = |Du|(\Omega).$$

Denote the function $(1 + |\cdot|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ by $E(\cdot)$.

Definition 2.2. Let $u, u_\lambda \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ for $\lambda > 0$. We say that $u_\lambda \rightarrow u$ area-strictly in Ω if $u_\lambda \rightarrow u$ in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ and

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} E(Du_\lambda) = \int_{\Omega} E(Du),$$

where for any $v \in \text{BV}(\Omega)$ the integral is defined by

$$\int_{\Omega} E(Dv) := \int_{\Omega} E(\nabla v) \, dx + |D^s v|(\Omega).$$

2.2 Γ -convergence

The usual notion of Γ -convergence is defined with respect to L^1_{loc} -convergence, as follows. As usual, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open set.

Definition 2.3. Functionals $\Phi_\lambda: L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, with $\lambda > 0$, are said to Γ -converge to a functional $\Phi: L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ if the following two properties hold:

1. For every $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ and for every family $\{u_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0}$ such that $u_\lambda \rightarrow u$ in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_\lambda(u_\lambda, \Omega) \geq \Phi(u, \Omega).$$

2. For every $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, there exists a family $\{u_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0}$ such that $u_\lambda \rightarrow u$ in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$\limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_\lambda(u_\lambda, \Omega) \leq \Phi(u, \Omega).$$

We also consider Γ -convergence defined with respect to area-strict convergence, as follows.

Definition 2.4. Functionals $\Phi_\lambda: L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, with $\lambda > 0$, are said to Γ_{AS} -converge to a functional $\Phi: L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ if the following two properties hold:

1. For every $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ and for every family $\{u_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0}$ such that $u_\lambda \rightarrow u$ area-strictly as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_\lambda(u_\lambda, \Omega) \geq \Phi(u, \Omega).$$

2. For every $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, there exists a family $\{u_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0}$ such that $u_\lambda \rightarrow u$ area-strictly as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$\limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_\lambda(u_\lambda, \Omega) \leq \Phi(u, \Omega).$$

As mentioned before, the existence of a Γ -limit (defined with respect to L^1_{loc} -convergence) of the functionals $F_{\gamma,\lambda}(\cdot, \Omega)$ (recall that we always assume $\gamma > 0$) is currently not known. Our main theorem, Theorem 1.3, says that the Γ_{AS} -limit of the functionals $F_{\gamma,\lambda}(\cdot, \Omega)$ exists and is given by

$$\frac{C_n}{\gamma} |D^a u|(\Omega) + \frac{C_n}{\gamma + 1} |D^s u|(\Omega).$$

In Sections 3 and 4, we will prove the lower and upper bounds, respectively, of the Γ_{AS} -convergence.

2.3 Generalised Young measures

In this subsection, we introduce the notion of generalised Young measures and some related results, which will be helpful in the subsequent proof. For a systematic discussion on this topic, see [15, 9].

The set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is assumed to be a bounded open set throughout this subsection. Fix a positive integer m , and denote by \mathbb{B}^m and \mathbb{S}^{m-1} the open unit ball and the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^m , respectively. Consider the linear transformation S on $C(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{B}^m)$ given by

$$(Sf)(x, z) := (1 - |z|)f\left(x, \frac{z}{1 - |z|}\right), \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}, z \in \mathbb{B}^m,$$

for any $f \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^m)$. Then set

$$\mathbf{E}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) := \{f \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^m) : Sf \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times \overline{\mathbb{B}^m})\},$$

where by $Sf \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times \overline{\mathbb{B}^m})$ we mean that Sf can be continuously extended. The norm on $\mathbf{E}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ is given by the natural choice

$$\|f\|_{\mathbf{E}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)} := \|Sf\|_{C(\overline{\Omega} \times \overline{\mathbb{B}^m})} = \sup_{(x, z) \in \overline{\Omega} \times \overline{\mathbb{B}^m}} |(Sf)(x, z)|,$$

under which $\mathbf{E}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ is a Banach space and $S: \mathbf{E}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \rightarrow C(\overline{\Omega} \times \overline{\mathbb{B}^m})$ is an isometric isomorphism ([15], §12.1).

If $f \in \mathbf{E}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$, the *recession function* defined by

$$f^\infty(x, z) := \limsup_{\substack{(x', z') \rightarrow (x, z) \\ t \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{f(x', tz')}{t} \quad (2.5)$$

exists for any $(x, z) \in \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^m$. The function f^∞ is positively homogeneous in the second argument and coincides with Sf on $\overline{\Omega} \times \partial\mathbb{B}^m$. The class $\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ consists of autonomous integrands (i.e., integrands only depending on $z \in \mathbb{R}^m$) and is defined analogously.

For any integrand $f \in \mathbf{E}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$, we can define the corresponding integral on any \mathbb{R}^m -valued Radon measure on Ω by

$$\int_A f(x, \mu) := \int_A f\left(\cdot, \frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{L}^n}\right) d\mathcal{L}^n + \int_A f^\infty\left(\cdot, \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu^s|}\right) d|\mu^s|$$

for any Borel set $A \Subset \Omega$. This definition is consistent with the quantity $\int_\Omega E(Dg)$ in Definition 2.2.

Now we give the definition of generalised Young measures. Recall that in this subsection, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded open set.

Definition 2.6. An \mathbb{R}^m -valued *generalised Young measure* defined on Ω is a triple $\nu = ((\nu_x)_{x \in \Omega}, \lambda_\nu, (\nu_x^\infty)_{x \in \overline{\Omega}})$, where

- (i) $(\nu_x)_{x \in \Omega} \subset \mathcal{M}^1(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is the *oscillation measure*;
- (ii) $\lambda_\nu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\overline{\Omega})$ is the *concentration measure*;

(iii) $(\nu_x^\infty)_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} \subset \mathcal{M}^1(\mathbb{S}^{m-1})$ is the *concentration-direction measure*;

and it satisfies:

- (iv) the map $x \mapsto \nu_x$ is weakly* measurable with respect to $\mathcal{L}^n \llcorner \Omega$, i.e., the function $x \mapsto \langle \nu_x, f(x, \cdot) \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f(x, \cdot) d\nu_x$ is \mathcal{L}^n -measurable for any bounded Borel function $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$;
- (v) the map $x \mapsto \nu_x^\infty$ is weakly* measurable with respect to λ_ν , i.e., the function $x \mapsto \langle \nu_x^\infty, f(x, \cdot) \rangle$ is λ_ν -measurable for any bounded Borel function $f: \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{S}^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$;
- (vi) $x \mapsto \langle \nu_x, |\cdot| \rangle \in L^1(\Omega)$, i.e., the 1-moment $\int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |z| d\nu_x dx$ is finite.

The collection of all \mathbb{R}^m -valued generalised Young measures on Ω is denoted by $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$.

The functions in $\mathbf{E}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ can be considered as “test integrands” for Young measures (for simplicity, we sometimes omit the word “generalised”). For $f \in \mathbf{E}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $\nu \in \mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$, the duality pairing is defined by

$$\langle \nu, f \rangle := \int_{\Omega} \langle \nu_x, f(x, \cdot) \rangle dx + \int_{\overline{\Omega}} \langle \nu_x^\infty, f^\infty(x, \cdot) \rangle d\lambda_\nu(x).$$

Correspondingly, we have $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \subset (\mathbf{E}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m))^*$.

Definition 2.7. (i) Given any finite Radon measure $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^m)$ with the Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodým decomposition

$$\gamma = \gamma^a \mathcal{L}^n \llcorner \Omega + \gamma^s,$$

we associate to it an *elementary Young measure* $\varepsilon_\gamma \in \mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$, which is given by

$$\varepsilon_\gamma = ((\delta_{\gamma^a(x)})_{x \in \Omega}, |\gamma^s|, (\delta_{P(x)})_{x \in \overline{\Omega}}), \quad (2.8)$$

where δ_z is the Dirac measure supported at $z \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $P := \frac{d\gamma^s}{d|\gamma^s|}$.

- (ii) Given a sequence $\{\gamma_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^m)$ with $\sup_j |\gamma_j|(\overline{\Omega}) < \infty$, we say that $\{\gamma_j\}$ *generates* $\nu \in \mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ (written as $\gamma_j \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Y}} \nu$) if $\varepsilon_{\gamma_j} \xrightarrow{*} \nu$ in $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$, i.e.,

$$\langle \varepsilon_{\gamma_j}, f \rangle \rightarrow \langle \nu, f \rangle \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty$$

for any $f \in \mathbf{E}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$.

The following result, which is considered to be the fundamental theorem of generalised Young measures, implies the precompactness of $\mathcal{M}(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^m) \subset \mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ under the weak* topology. Recall that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is assumed to be a bounded open set throughout this subsection.

Theorem 2.9 ([15], Theorem 12.5). *Suppose that $\{\gamma_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^m)$ is a sequence of Radon measures with $\sup_j |\gamma_j|(\overline{\Omega}) < \infty$. Then there exists a subsequence $\{\gamma_{j_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a Young measure $\nu \in \mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $\gamma_{j_k} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Y}} \nu$.*

Given any $u \in \text{BV}(\Omega)$, we can associate to $Du \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ the elementary Young measure ε_{Du} as above, and define the class of BV Young measures:

$$\mathbf{BVY}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) := \{\nu \in \mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) : \text{there exists } \{u_j\} \subset \text{BV}(\Omega) \text{ with } Du_j \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Y}} \nu\}.$$

Then Theorem 2.9 implies the following:

Corollary 2.10. *Suppose that $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \text{BV}(\Omega)$ is bounded. Then there exists a subsequence $\{u_{j_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\nu \in \mathbf{BVY}(\Omega)$ such that*

$$Du_{j_k} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Y}} \nu.$$

The representation of limits via Young measures can be extended to a larger class of integrands

$$\mathbf{R}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) := \{f : \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : f \text{ is Carathéodory and of linear growth, and } f^\infty \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^m) \text{ exists}\},$$

where a function $f : \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Carathéodory if $f(\cdot, z)$ is measurable for every $z \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $f(x, \cdot)$ is continuous for \mathcal{L}^n -a.e. $x \in \overline{\Omega}$.

Proposition 2.11 ([15], Proposition 12.11). *Suppose that $\nu_j \xrightarrow{*} \nu$ in $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and assume that $f \in \mathbf{R}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$. Then $\langle\langle \nu_j, f \rangle\rangle \rightarrow \langle\langle \nu, f \rangle\rangle$.*

3 Lower bound

In this section we prove the lower bound for the Γ_{AS} -convergence of the functionals $F_{\gamma, \lambda}(\cdot, \Omega)$.

3.1 Area-strict and norm convergence

First we will investigate the relationship between area-strict convergence and convergence in the BV norm, using generalised Young measures as a tool. We will only use the results of this subsection in the case $n = 1$, but we prove them for general n .

Recall that $E(\cdot)$ denotes the function $(1 + |\cdot|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Lemma 3.1. *The function $h(t) := E(t) - t$ defined on $[0, \infty)$ is strictly decreasing. In particular, we have*

$$E(t) - t \geq E(s) - s \quad \text{for any } 0 \leq t \leq s < \infty,$$

and equality holds if and only if $t = s$.

Proof. We have

$$h'(t) = E'(t) - 1 = \frac{t}{E(t)} - 1 < 0 \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq t < \infty.$$

□

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded open set with $\mathcal{L}^n(\partial\Omega) = 0$, and a sequence $\{u_j\} \subset \text{BV}(\Omega)$ converges to $u \in \text{BV}(\Omega)$ in the area-strict sense. Let $\nu = ((\nu_x)_{x \in \Omega}, \lambda_\nu, (\nu_x^\infty)_{x \in \bar{\Omega}}) \in Y^{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ be an arbitrary Young measure generated by some subsequence of $\{Du_j\}$. Then we have the following:*

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_x &= \delta_{\bar{\nu}_x} \quad \text{for } \mathcal{L}^n\text{-a.e. } x \in \Omega, \\ \lambda_\nu &\perp \mathcal{L}^n, \quad \lambda_\nu \llcorner \partial\Omega = 0, \\ \nu_x^\infty &= \delta_{\bar{\nu}_x^\infty} \quad \text{for } \lambda_\nu\text{-a.e. } x \in \Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Moreover, there hold

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla u(x) &= \bar{\nu}_x \quad \text{for } \mathcal{L}^n\text{-a.e. } x \in \Omega, \\ D^s u &= \bar{\nu}_x^\infty \lambda_\nu. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Note that this is essentially the autonomous version of Reshetnyak's continuity theorem (see [10], Theorem 5).

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $Du_j \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Y}} \nu$. Note that $|Du_j|(\Omega)$ is bounded, and thus $Du_j \xrightarrow{*} Du$ (see e.g. [1, Proposition 3.13]). Then for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, the following holds true:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Du, \varphi \rangle &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle Du_j, \varphi \rangle \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) \langle \nu_x, \cdot \rangle dx + \int_{\bar{\Omega}} \varphi(x) \langle \nu_x^\infty, \cdot \rangle d\lambda_\nu(x) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) (\bar{\nu}_x dx + \bar{\nu}_x^\infty d\lambda_\nu(x)), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$Du = \bar{\nu}_x \mathcal{L}^n \llcorner \Omega + \bar{\nu}_x^\infty \lambda_\nu \llcorner \Omega.$$

Equating the absolutely continuous and singular parts with respect to \mathcal{L}^n on both sides, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla u(x) &= \bar{\nu}_x + \bar{\nu}_x^\infty \frac{d\lambda_\nu}{d\mathcal{L}^n}(x) \quad \text{for } \mathcal{L}^n\text{-a.e. } x \in \Omega, \\ D^s u &= \bar{\nu}_x^\infty \lambda_\nu^s, \end{aligned}$$

where λ_ν^s is the singular part of λ_ν with respect to \mathcal{L}^n . Since ν is generated by $\{Du_j\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} E(Du_j) &= \int_{\Omega} \langle \nu_x, E(\cdot) \rangle dx + \int_{\bar{\Omega}} \langle \nu_x^\infty, |\cdot| \rangle d\lambda_\nu(x) \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} E(\bar{\nu}_x) dx + \int_{\Omega} |\bar{\nu}_x^\infty| \frac{d\lambda_\nu}{d\mathcal{L}^n} dx + \int_{\Omega} |\bar{\nu}_x^\infty| d\lambda_\nu^s(x) + \lambda_\nu(\partial\Omega) \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} E(\bar{\nu}_x + \bar{\nu}_x^\infty \frac{d\lambda_\nu}{d\mathcal{L}^n}) dx + \int_{\Omega} |\bar{\nu}_x^\infty| d\lambda_\nu^s(x) + \lambda_\nu(\partial\Omega) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} E(\nabla u) dx + |D^s u|(\Omega) + \lambda_\nu(\partial\Omega), \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where the second line follows from Jensen's inequality and the third from Lemma 3.1. On the other hand, the sequence $\{u_j\}$ converges to u in the area-strict sense, which indicates

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} E(Du_j) = \int_{\Omega} E(Du) = \int_{\Omega} E(\nabla u) \, dx + |D^s u|(\Omega). \quad (3.6)$$

Combining (3.5) and (3.6), we know that $\lambda_{\nu} \lfloor \partial\Omega = 0$ and all the inequalities in (3.5) are equalities. By the strong convexity of $E(\cdot)$ and $|\cdot|$ on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , the first inequality of (3.5) — which is now an equality — implies

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_x &= \delta_{\bar{\nu}_x} \quad \text{for } \mathcal{L}^n\text{-a.e. } x \in \Omega, \\ \nu_x^{\infty} &= \delta_{\bar{\nu}_x^{\infty}} \quad \text{for } \lambda_{\nu}\text{-a.e. } x \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.1, we know that the second inequality in (3.5) holds true as an equality if and only if

$$\bar{\nu}_x^{\infty} \frac{d\lambda_{\nu}}{d\mathcal{L}^n}(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } \mathcal{L}^n\text{-a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

Notice that (3.3) indicates $\bar{\nu}_x^{\infty} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ for λ_{ν} -a.e. $x \in \Omega$, which further implies $\frac{d\lambda_{\nu}}{d\mathcal{L}^n}(x) = 0$ for \mathcal{L}^n -a.e. $x \in \Omega$.

The above argument implies that the sequence $\{Du_j\}$ itself generates a Young measure ν that satisfies (3.3) and (3.4).

The proof is now complete. \square

Proposition 3.7. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open set. Let $\{u_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda>0} \subset \dot{\text{BV}}(\Omega)$ and let $u \in \text{BV}(\Omega)$ such that $u_{\lambda} \rightarrow u$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ area-strictly in Ω . Then we have*

$$\limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} |D(u_{\lambda} - u)|(\Omega) \leq 2|D^s u|(\Omega).$$

Proof. From Lemma 3.2 we know that $Du_j \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Y}} \nu$, where $\nu \in Y^{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a BV Young measure and satisfies the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_x &= \delta_{\bar{\nu}_x} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla u(x) = \bar{\nu}_x \quad \text{for } \mathcal{L}^n\text{-a.e. } x \in \Omega, \\ \lambda_{\nu} &\perp \mathcal{L}^n, \quad \lambda_{\nu} \lfloor \partial\Omega = 0, \\ \nu_x^{\infty} &= \delta_{\bar{\nu}_x^{\infty}} \quad \text{for } \lambda_{\nu}\text{-a.e. } x \in \Omega, \quad \text{and} \quad D^s u = \bar{\nu}_x^{\infty} \lambda_{\nu}^s. \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the integrand $g(x, z) := |z - \nabla u(x)|$. The integrand g is Carathéodory by definition, but not necessarily in the class $\mathbf{R}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ since ∇u can be unbounded and the recession function g^{∞} obtained as in (2.5) may not be exactly $|\cdot|$. Hence, replace ∇u by its truncation $T_M(\nabla u)$ defined by

$$T_M(\nabla u)(x) := \begin{cases} \nabla u(x), & |\nabla u(x)| \leq M \\ M \frac{\nabla u(x)}{|\nabla u(x)|}, & |\nabla u(x)| > M. \end{cases}$$

Then the corresponding integrand $g_M(x, z) := |z - T_M(\nabla u)(x)|$ is Carathéodory and of linear growth, and $g_M^\infty(x, z) = |z|$. By Proposition 2.11, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} |Du_j - Du|(\Omega) \leq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} |Du_j - \nabla u \mathcal{L}^n|(\Omega) + |D^s u|(\Omega) \\
& \leq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} |Du_j - T_M(\nabla u) \mathcal{L}^n|(\Omega) + \int_{\Omega} |T_M(\nabla u) - \nabla u| dx + |D^s u|(\Omega) \\
& = \int_{\Omega} \langle \delta_{\bar{\nu}_x - T_M(\nabla u)(x)}, |\cdot| \rangle dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle \delta_{\bar{\nu}_x^\infty}, |\cdot| \rangle d\lambda_\nu + \int_{\Omega} |T_M(\nabla u) - \nabla u| dx + |D^s u|(\Omega) \\
& = 2 \int_{\Omega} |T_M(\nabla u) - \nabla u| dx + 2|D^s u|(\Omega).
\end{aligned}$$

The last line converges to $2|D^s u|$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$, which gives the desired result. \square

The following lemmas shows that area-strict convergence is retained on good subsets.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open. Suppose $u \in \mathring{B}\dot{V}(\Omega)$ and $\{u_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0} \in \mathring{B}\dot{V}(\Omega)$, and that $u_\lambda \rightarrow u$ area-strictly in Ω . If $W \subset \Omega$ is open with $|Du|(\partial W \cap \Omega) = 0 = \mathcal{L}^n(\partial W \cap \Omega)$, then $u_\lambda \rightarrow u$ area-strictly in W as well. Thus*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_W E(Du_\lambda) = \int_W E(Du), \tag{3.9}$$

and if W is moreover bounded, also

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} Du_\lambda(W) &= Du(W), \\
\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} |Du_\lambda|(W) &= |Du|(W).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. We have

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} E(Du_\lambda) = \int_{\Omega} E(Du),$$

and by the lower semicontinuity of the area functional with respect to L^1_{loc} -convergence, see [15, Theorem 11.7], we have

$$\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_W E(Du_\lambda) \geq \int_W E(Du) \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega \setminus \bar{W}} E(Du_\lambda) \geq \int_{\Omega \setminus \bar{W}} E(Du).$$

Since $\int_{\partial W \cap \Omega} E(Du) = 0$, these are necessarily equalities. In particular, (3.9) follows. Now the proof is completed by noting that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_W g(Du_\lambda) = \int_W \langle \nu_x, g(\cdot) \rangle dx + \int_W \langle \nu_x^\infty, g^\infty(\cdot) \rangle d\lambda_\nu = \int_W g(Du)$$

by Lemma 3.2, where $\nu = ((\nu_x)_{x \in W}, \lambda_\nu, (\nu_x^\infty)_{x \in \bar{W}})$ is the Young measure generated by $\{u_\lambda\}$ on W , and $g(z)$ is taken to be $z, |z|$, respectively. \square

3.2 Lower bound in one dimension

We will first prove the lower bound for the Γ -convergence in one dimension. Recall that we always consider $0 < \gamma < \infty$. When considering families $\{u_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0}$, we will rely on certain estimates known for a fixed function u . In particular, the following result will be needed; it was originally proved in [13].

Proposition 3.11. [11, Proposition 3.18] *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ be open and let $u \in \dot{B}\dot{V}(\Omega)$. Then*

$$\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma,\lambda}(u, \Omega) \geq \frac{2}{\gamma} |D^a u|(\Omega).$$

By [4, Theorem 1.4] we know that for an open interval $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, we have

$$F_{\gamma,\lambda}(u, \Omega) \leq C' \text{Var}(u, \Omega) \quad (3.12)$$

for all $\lambda > 0$ and for some constant C' depending only on n and γ . In fact, this is proved in [4] only in the case $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$, but when $n = 1$ it clearly holds for any open interval Ω as well, since we find an extension v of u with $|Dv|(\mathbb{R}) = |Du|(\Omega)$, and then

$$F_{\gamma,\lambda}(u, \Omega) \leq F_{\gamma,\lambda}(v, \mathbb{R}) \leq C' \text{Var}(v, \mathbb{R}) = C' \text{Var}(u, \Omega).$$

Now we prove the following generalization of Proposition 3.11.

Proposition 3.13. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ be open, let $u \in \dot{B}\dot{V}(\Omega)$, and let $u_\lambda \rightarrow u$ area-strictly in Ω as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Then*

$$\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma,\lambda}(u_\lambda, \Omega) \geq \frac{2}{\gamma} |D^a u|(\Omega).$$

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. First consider a bounded open interval $W \subset \Omega$ with $|Du|(\partial W \cap \Omega) = 0$. By Lemma 3.8, then also $u_\lambda \rightarrow u$ area-strictly in W as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ (x, y) \in W \times W : \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{1+\gamma}} > (1 + \varepsilon)\lambda \right\} \\ & \subset \left\{ (x, y) \in W \times W : \frac{|u_\lambda(x) - u_\lambda(y)|}{|x - y|^{1+\gamma}} > \lambda \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ (x, y) \in W \times W : \frac{|(u - u_\lambda)(x) - (u - u_\lambda)(y)|}{|x - y|^{1+\gamma}} > \varepsilon\lambda \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon} F_{\gamma,(1+\varepsilon)\lambda}(u, W) \leq F_{\gamma,\lambda}(u_\lambda, W) + \varepsilon^{-1} F_{\gamma,\varepsilon\lambda}(u - u_\lambda, W).$$

Note that $F_{\gamma,\lambda}(v, W) < \infty$ whenever $v \in \dot{B}\dot{V}(\Omega)$ by (3.12), and so we can subtract

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma,\lambda}(u_\lambda, W) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon} \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma,(1+\varepsilon)\lambda}(u, W) - \varepsilon^{-1} \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma,\varepsilon\lambda}(u - u_\lambda, W) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon} \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma,(1+\varepsilon)\lambda}(u, W) - C' \varepsilon^{-1} \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} |D(u - u_\lambda)|(W) \quad \text{by (3.12)} \quad (3.14) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon} \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma,(1+\varepsilon)\lambda}(u, W) - 2C' \varepsilon^{-1} |D^s u|(W) \quad \text{by Proposition 3.7} \\ & \geq \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon} \frac{2}{\gamma} |D^a u|(W) - 2C' \varepsilon^{-1} |D^s u|(W) \quad \text{by Proposition 3.11.} \end{aligned}$$

Then consider an arbitrary bounded open set $W \subset \Omega$ with $|Du|(\partial W \cap \Omega) = 0$. We can write it as a union of pairwise disjoint open intervals $W = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} W_j$ with $|Du|(\partial W_j \cap \Omega) = 0$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma, \lambda}(u_\lambda, W) &\geq \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma, \lambda}\left(u_\lambda, \bigcup_{j=1}^N W_j\right) \\ &\geq \sum_{j=1}^N \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma, \lambda}(u_\lambda, W_j) \\ &\geq \sum_{j=1}^N \left[\frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon} \frac{2}{\gamma} |D^a u|(W_j) - 2C' \varepsilon^{-1} |D^s u|(W_j) \right] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon} \frac{2}{\gamma} |D^a u|\left(\sum_{j=1}^N W_j\right) - 2C' \varepsilon^{-1} |D^s u|(W). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma, \lambda}(u_\lambda, W) \geq \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon} \frac{2}{\gamma} |D^a u|(W) - 2C' \varepsilon^{-1} |D^s u|(W).$$

We can choose the bounded open set $W \subset \Omega$ such that $|Du|(\partial W \cap \Omega) = 0$, $|D^s u|(W) < \varepsilon^2$, and $|D^a u|(\Omega \setminus W) < \varepsilon$. It follows that

$$\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma, \lambda}(u_\lambda, \Omega) \geq \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma, \lambda}(u_\lambda, W) \geq \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon} \frac{2}{\gamma} (|D^a u|(\Omega) - \varepsilon) - 2C' \varepsilon.$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get the result. \square

We also need the following key estimate, which is essentially the main result of [11].

Proposition 3.15. [11, Corollary 3.9] *Let $-\infty < b_1 \leq b_2 < \infty$ and $-\infty < L_1 < L_2 < \infty$, and let u be increasing with $u(x) = b_1$ for $x < L_1$ and $u(x) = b_2$ for $x > L_2$. Let $\gamma > 0$. Then for every $\delta > 0$, we have*

$$\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda \nu_\gamma(\{(x, y) \in (L_1 - \delta, L_2 + \delta)^2 : |u(x) - u(y)| > \lambda |x - y|^{1+\gamma}\}) \geq \frac{2(b_2 - b_1)}{\gamma + 1}.$$

Proposition 3.16. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ be open and let $u \in \dot{B}\dot{V}(\Omega)$, and let $u_\lambda \rightarrow u$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ area-strictly in Ω . Then*

$$\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma, \lambda}(u_\lambda, \Omega) \geq \frac{2}{\gamma + 1} |Du|(\Omega).$$

In the proof, we will denote by $(Du)_+$ and $(Du)_-$ the positive and negative parts of Du , so that $Du = (Du)_+ - (Du)_-$.

Proof. First assume that Ω is connected, that is, $\Omega = (a, b)$ for some $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$. We can assume that u is the pointwise representative

$$u(x) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{B(x,r)} u \, d\mathcal{L}^1 \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega. \quad (3.17)$$

By BV theory on the real line, see [1, Section 3.2], we know that $\lim_{x \rightarrow a^+} u(x)$ exists, and that for all Lebesgue points $y \in \Omega$ of u ,

$$u(y) = \lim_{x \rightarrow a^+} u(x) + Du((a, y]).$$

Fix $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. For $|Du|$ -a.e. $x \in \Omega$, we have that

$$\frac{|Du([x-r, x+r])|}{|Du|([x-r, x+r])} > 1 - \varepsilon^2$$

with $r > 0$ small enough. Moreover, for all except at most countably many $r > 0$, we have $|Du|(\{x-r\}) = 0 = |Du|(\{x+r\})$. Thus by Vitali's covering theorem, we find a sequence of pairwise disjoint compact intervals $[c_j, d_j] \subset \Omega$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\frac{|Du([c_j, d_j])|}{|Du|([c_j, d_j])} > 1 - \varepsilon^2, \quad (3.18)$$

$|Du|(\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} [c_j, d_j]) = 0$, and $|Du|(\{c_j\}) = |Du|(\{d_j\}) = 0$.

For sufficiently large $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$|Du| \left(\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^N [c_j, d_j] \right) < \varepsilon^2. \quad (3.19)$$

We find $\delta > 0$ such that the intervals $[c_j - \delta, d_j + \delta]$, $j = 1, \dots, N$, are still pairwise disjoint and contained in Ω . By Lemma 3.8, we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} Du_\lambda((c_j, d_j)) \rightarrow Du([c_j, d_j]) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} |Du_\lambda|((c_j, d_j)) = |Du|([c_j, d_j])$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, N$. Thus for sufficiently large λ there hold, for $j = 1, \dots, N$,

$$\frac{|Du_\lambda((c_j, d_j))|}{|Du_\lambda|((c_j, d_j))} > 1 - \varepsilon^2 \quad \text{by (3.18),} \quad (3.20)$$

$$||Du_\lambda|((c_j, d_j)) - |Du|([c_j, d_j])| < \varepsilon^2/N, \quad (3.21)$$

$$\text{and } |Du_\lambda| \left(\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^N (c_j, d_j) \right) < \varepsilon^2 \quad \text{by (3.19).} \quad (3.22)$$

Consider such sufficiently large λ and define a measure μ_λ on Ω as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \mu_\lambda := (Du_\lambda)_+ & \text{on } [c_j, d_j] & \text{if } Du_\lambda([c_j, d_j]) > 0 \\ \mu_\lambda := -(Du_\lambda)_- & \text{on } [c_j, d_j] & \text{if } Du_\lambda([c_j, d_j]) < 0, \end{cases}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, N$, and $\mu_\lambda := 0$ outside these intervals. From (3.20), we have

$$|Du_\lambda - \mu_\lambda|((c_j, d_j)) < \varepsilon^2 |Du_\lambda|((c_j, d_j)). \quad (3.23)$$

Define

$$v_\lambda(y) := \lim_{x \rightarrow a^+} u_\lambda(x) + \mu_\lambda((a, y]) \quad \text{for } y \in \Omega.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |D(u_\lambda - v_\lambda)|(\Omega) &= |Du_\lambda - \mu_\lambda|(\Omega) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N |Du_\lambda - \mu_\lambda|((c_j, d_j)) + |Du_\lambda| \left(\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^N (c_j, d_j) \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^N \varepsilon^2 |Du_\lambda|((c_j, d_j)) + \varepsilon^2 \quad \text{by (3.23), (3.22)} \\ &\leq \varepsilon^2 (|Du|(\Omega) + \varepsilon^2) + \varepsilon^2 \quad \text{by (3.21)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^N |v_\lambda(c_j - \delta) - v_\lambda(d_j + \delta)| &= \sum_{j=1}^N |Dv_\lambda((c_j, d_j))| \\ &\geq (1 - \varepsilon^2) \sum_{j=1}^N |Du_\lambda|((c_j, d_j)) \quad \text{by (3.23)} \\ &\geq (1 - \varepsilon^2) \sum_{j=1}^N (|Du|((c_j, d_j)) - \varepsilon^2/N) \quad \text{by (3.21)} \\ &\geq (1 - \varepsilon^2) (|Du|(\Omega) - 2\varepsilon^2) \quad \text{by (3.19)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

It is also easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ (x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega : \frac{|v_\lambda(x) - v_\lambda(y)|}{|x - y|^{1+\gamma}} > (1 + \varepsilon)\lambda \right\} \\ &\subset \left\{ (x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega : \frac{|u_\lambda(x) - u_\lambda(y)|}{|x - y|^{1+\gamma}} > \lambda \right\} \\ &\cup \left\{ (x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega : \frac{|(v_\lambda - u_\lambda)(x) - (v_\lambda - u_\lambda)(y)|}{|x - y|^{1+\gamma}} > \varepsilon\lambda \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma, \lambda}(u_\lambda, \Omega) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon} \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma, (1+\varepsilon)\lambda}(v_\lambda, \Omega) - \varepsilon^{-1} \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma, \varepsilon\lambda}(v_\lambda - u_\lambda, \Omega) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon} \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma, (1+\varepsilon)\lambda}(v_\lambda, \Omega) - C'\varepsilon^{-1}|D(u_\lambda - v_\lambda)|(\Omega) \quad \text{by (3.12)} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon} \sum_{j=1}^N \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma, (1+\varepsilon)\lambda}(v_\lambda, (c_j - \delta, d_j + \delta)) - C'\varepsilon^{-1}\varepsilon^2(|Du|(\Omega) + 2) \quad \text{by (3.24)} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{2}{\gamma + 1} |v_\lambda(c_j - \delta) - v_\lambda(d_j + \delta)| - C'\varepsilon(|Du|(\Omega) + 2) \quad \text{by Proposition 3.15} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon} \frac{2}{\gamma + 1} (1 - \varepsilon^2)(|Du|(\Omega) - 2\varepsilon^2) - C'\varepsilon(|Du|(\Omega) + 2)
\end{aligned}$$

by (3.25). Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get the result.

In the general case we have $\Omega = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \Omega_k$, where the Ω_k 's are disjoint open intervals, possibly empty. For $M \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma, \lambda}(u_\lambda, \Omega) & \geq \sum_{k=1}^M \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma, \lambda}(u_\lambda, \Omega_k) \\
& \geq \frac{2}{\gamma + 1} \sum_{k=1}^M |Du|(\Omega_k).
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $M \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma, \lambda}(u_\lambda, \Omega) \geq \frac{2}{\gamma + 1} |Du|(\Omega).$$

□

Now we get the lower bound for the Γ -limit in the one-dimensional case.

Proposition 3.26. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ be open, let $u \in \dot{B}\dot{V}(\Omega)$, and let $u_\lambda \rightarrow u$ area-strictly in Ω . Then*

$$\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma, \lambda}(u_\lambda, \Omega) \geq \frac{2}{\gamma} |D^a u|(\Omega) + \frac{2}{\gamma + 1} |D^s u|(\Omega).$$

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. We find an open set $W' \subset \Omega$ such that $|D^a u|(W') < \varepsilon$ and $|D^s u|(\Omega \setminus W') = 0$. We also find an open set $W \Subset W'$ such that $|Du|(W \setminus W') < \varepsilon$ and $|Du|(\partial W) = 0$. Now we have $u_\lambda \rightarrow u$ area-strictly in W and in $\Omega \setminus \overline{W}$ by (3.9). Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma, \lambda}(u, \Omega) & \geq \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma, \lambda}(u, W) + \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma, \lambda}(u, \Omega \setminus \overline{W}) \\
& \geq \frac{2}{\gamma + 1} |Du|(W) + \frac{2}{\gamma} |D^a u|(\Omega \setminus \overline{W}) \quad \text{by Propositions 3.16, 3.13} \\
& \geq \frac{2}{\gamma + 1} (|D^s u|(\Omega) - \varepsilon) + \frac{2}{\gamma} (|D^a u|(\Omega) - \varepsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get the result. □

3.3 Higher dimensions

Now we can generalize from one dimension to higher dimensions with standard techniques. As before, we denote the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n by \mathbb{S}^{n-1} .

Lemma 3.27. *Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open and bounded, $u \in \dot{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{V}(\Omega)$, and $u_\lambda \rightarrow u$ area-strictly in Ω . Then for all $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u_\lambda \cdot \sigma|^2} dx + |D^s u_\lambda \cdot \sigma|(\Omega) \right) = \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u \cdot \sigma|^2} dx + |D^s u \cdot \sigma|(\Omega).$$

Proof. Fix $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Define $h(v) := \sqrt{1 + |v \cdot \sigma|^2}$, so that $h \in \mathbf{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (recall Section 2.3) and $h^\infty(v) = |v \cdot \sigma|$. Then this result follows from Lemma 3.2. \square

For a unit vector $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, denote by $\langle \sigma \rangle^\perp$ the $(n-1)$ -dimensional hyperplane perpendicular to σ and containing the origin. For an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $z \in \langle \sigma \rangle^\perp$, also denote $\Omega_{\sigma,z} := \{t \in \mathbb{R} : z + \sigma t \in \Omega\}$ and

$$u_{\sigma,z}(t) := u(z + \sigma t), \quad t \in \Omega_{\sigma,z}.$$

For $u \in \dot{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{V}(\Omega)$, we note the following facts that can be found in [1, Section 3]: for $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,

$$\int_{\Omega} d|D^a u \cdot \sigma| = \int_{\langle \sigma \rangle^\perp} |D^a u_{\sigma,z}|(\Omega_{\sigma,z}) dz, \quad (3.28)$$

and then

$$C_n \int_{\Omega} d|D^a u| = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_{\langle \sigma \rangle^\perp} |D^a u_{\sigma,z}|(\Omega_{\sigma,z}) dz d\sigma. \quad (3.29)$$

The analogous fact with the absolutely continuous parts replaced by the Cantor or jump part also holds true.

Proposition 3.30. *Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open, $u \in \dot{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{V}(\Omega)$, and $u_\lambda \rightarrow u$ area-strictly in Ω . Then $(u_\lambda)_{\sigma,z} \rightarrow u_{\sigma,z}$ area-strictly for a.e. $z \in \langle \sigma \rangle^\perp$.*

Proof. Fix $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. By Fubini's theorem, we get $(u_\lambda)_{\sigma,z} \rightarrow u_{\sigma,z}$ in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega_{\sigma,z})$ for a.e. $z \in \langle \sigma \rangle^\perp$. The area functional is lower semicontinuous with respect to L^1_{loc} -convergence, and so for a.e. $z \in \langle \sigma \rangle^\perp$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_{\sigma,z}} \sqrt{1 + (u'_{\sigma,z}(t))^2} dt + |D^s u_{\sigma,z}|(\Omega_{\sigma,z}) \\ & \leq \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{\Omega_{\sigma,z}} \sqrt{1 + ((u_\lambda)'_{\sigma,z}(t))^2} dt + |D^s (u_\lambda)_{\sigma,z}|(\Omega_{\sigma,z}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

On the other hand, from (3.28) and Lemma 3.27 we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\langle \sigma \rangle^\perp} \left(\int_{\Omega_{\sigma,z}} \sqrt{1 + ((u_\lambda)'_{\sigma,z}(t))^2} dt + |D^s (u_\lambda)_{\sigma,z}|(\Omega_{\sigma,z}) \right) dz \\ & = \int_{\langle \sigma \rangle^\perp} \left(\int_{\Omega_{\sigma,z}} \sqrt{1 + (u'_{\sigma,z}(t))^2} dt + |D^s u_{\sigma,z}|(\Omega_{\sigma,z}) \right) dz. \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

Combining (3.31) with (3.32), it follows that necessarily for a.e. $z \in \langle \sigma \rangle^\perp$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_{\sigma,z}} \sqrt{1 + (u'_{\sigma,z}(t))^2} dt + |D^s u_{\sigma,z}|(\Omega_{\sigma,z}) \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{\Omega_{\sigma,z}} \sqrt{1 + ((u_\lambda)'_{\sigma,z}(t))^2} dt + |D^s(u_\lambda)_{\sigma,z}|(\Omega_{\sigma,z}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Now we prove the lower bound for the Gamma-limit.

Theorem 3.33. *Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open, $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, and $u_\lambda \rightarrow u$ area-strictly in Ω . Then*

$$\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma,\lambda}(u_\lambda, \Omega) \geq \frac{C_n}{\gamma} |D^a u|(\Omega) + \frac{C_n}{\gamma+1} |D^s u|(\Omega). \quad (3.34)$$

Proof. We can assume that $\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma,\lambda}(u_\lambda, \Omega) < \infty$. Then by Gobbino–Picenni [12, Theorem 1.1], we know that $|Du|(\Omega) < \infty$. Since $u_\lambda \rightarrow u$ area-strictly in Ω , we have $u_\lambda \in \text{BV}(\Omega)$ for all sufficiently large λ , and we can assume that this is in fact true for all $\lambda > 0$.

Antonucci et al. [2, p. 622] note that for $g \in L^1(\Omega \times \Omega)$, we have the change of variables formula

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x', y') dx' dy' = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_{\langle \sigma \rangle^\perp} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(z + \sigma x, z + \sigma y) |x - y|^{n-1} dx dy dz d\sigma.$$

Thus we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} g(x', y') dx' dy' = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_{\langle \sigma \rangle^\perp} \int_{\Omega_{\sigma,z}} \int_{\Omega_{\sigma,z}} g(z + \sigma x, z + \sigma y) |x - y|^{n-1} dx dy dz d\sigma. \quad (3.35)$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda \nu_\gamma \left(\left\{ (x', y') \in \Omega \times \Omega : \frac{|u_\lambda(x') - u_\lambda(y')|}{|x' - y'|^{1+\gamma}} > \lambda \right\} \right) \\ &= \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{1}_{\{(x', y') \in \Omega \times \Omega : |u_\lambda(x') - u_\lambda(y')| / |x' - y'|^{1+\gamma} > \lambda\}} (x', y') |x' - y'|^{\gamma-n} dx' dy' \\ &= \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_{\langle \sigma \rangle^\perp} \\ & \quad \int_{\Omega_{\sigma,z}} \int_{\Omega_{\sigma,z}} \mathbb{1}_{\{(x,y) \in \Omega_{\sigma,z} \times \Omega_{\sigma,z} : |u_\lambda(z + \sigma x) - u_\lambda(z + \sigma y)| / |x - y|^{1+\gamma} > \lambda\}} (x, y) \cdot |x - y|^{\gamma-1} dx dy dz d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

where the last line follows from (3.35). Then with Fatou's lemma we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda \nu_\gamma \left(\left\{ (x', y') \in \Omega \times \Omega : \frac{|u_\lambda(x') - u_\lambda(y')|}{|x' - y'|^{1+\gamma}} > \lambda \right\} \right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_{\langle \sigma \rangle^\perp} \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda \int_{\Omega_{\sigma,z}} \int_{\Omega_{\sigma,z}} \\
& \quad \mathbb{1}_{\{(x,y) \in \Omega_{\sigma,z} \times \Omega_{\sigma,z} : |u_\lambda(z+\sigma x) - u_\lambda(z+\sigma y)|/|x-y|^{1+\gamma} > \lambda\}}(x, y) \cdot |x - y|^{\gamma-1} dx dy dz d\sigma \\
& \geq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_{\langle \sigma \rangle^\perp} \left[\frac{1}{\gamma} |D^a u_{\sigma,z}|(\Omega_{\sigma,z}) dz d\sigma + \frac{1}{\gamma+1} |D^s u_{\sigma,z}|(\Omega_{\sigma,z}) \right] dz d\sigma \quad \text{by Prop. 3.30, 3.26} \\
& = \frac{C_n}{\gamma} |D^a u|(\Omega) + \frac{C_n}{\gamma+1} |D^s u|(\Omega) \quad \text{by (3.29)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

4 Upper bound

In this section we consider the upper bound for the Γ_{AS} -limit.

Just as in the case of the lower bound, we rely on certain estimates known for a fixed function u . In particular, by Picenni [13, Theorem 1.2] we know that for $u \in \text{SBV}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there holds

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma,\lambda}(u, \mathbb{R}^n) = \frac{C_n}{\gamma} |D^a u|(\mathbb{R}^n) + \frac{C_n}{\gamma+1} |D^s u|(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (4.1)$$

We have the following generalization of this fact.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be either \mathbb{R}^n or a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary. Suppose that $u \in \text{SBV}(\Omega)$. Then*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma,\lambda}(u, \Omega) = \frac{C_n}{\gamma} |D^a u|(\Omega) + \frac{C_n}{\gamma+1} |D^s u|(\Omega).$$

Proof. Due to (1.2) and (4.1), we only need to prove that

$$\limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma,\lambda}(u, \Omega) \leq \frac{C_n}{\gamma} |D^a u|(\Omega) + \frac{C_n}{\gamma+1} |D^s u|(\Omega) \quad (4.3)$$

when $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary. Consider such Ω . It supports a Poincaré inequality, see e.g. [1, Proposition 3.21, Theorem 3.44]. Then it follows (see e.g. [3, Eq. (3.3)]) that $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ and thus $u \in \text{SBV}(\Omega)$.

First consider an open interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $v \in \text{SBV}(I)$. We can extend v to $w \in \text{SBV}(\mathbb{R})$ with $|Dw|(\mathbb{R}) = |Dv|(I)$. Then by (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma,\lambda}(v, I) & \leq \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma,\lambda}(w, \mathbb{R}) = \frac{2}{\gamma} |D^a w|(\mathbb{R}) + \frac{2}{\gamma+1} |D^s w|(\mathbb{R}) \\
& = \frac{2}{\gamma} |D^a v|(I) + \frac{2}{\gamma+1} |D^s v|(I).
\end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Returning to the n -dimensional situation, we can extend u to a function (still denoted by the same letter) $u \in \text{SBV}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $|Du|(\partial\Omega) = 0$; this is shown e.g. in [1, Proposition

3.21], though without stating the property that the extension has no Cantor part, but this follows since the extension is constructed using a simple reflection argument. Fix $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Choose an open Ω' with $\Omega \Subset \Omega'$ and

$$|Du|(\Omega' \setminus \Omega) < \varepsilon.$$

Given any $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $z \in \langle \sigma \rangle^\perp$, we have that $(\overline{\Omega})_{\sigma,z} \subset (\Omega')_{\sigma,z}$. Note that $(\Omega')_{\sigma,z}$ is an open subset of \mathbb{R} , and thus is an at most countable collection of pairwise disjoint intervals. Denote these by $I_{\sigma,z,j}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $(\overline{\Omega})_{\sigma,z}$ is compact, there is a finite subcollection $\{I_{\sigma,z,j}\}_{j=1}^{M_{\sigma,z}}$ which still contains $(\overline{\Omega})_{\sigma,z}$. We find intervals $I'_{\sigma,z,j} \Subset I_{\sigma,z,j}$ whose union still contains $(\overline{\Omega})_{\sigma,z}$. Note that these intervals are at a strictly positive distance from each other. Fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $u_N := \max\{\min\{u, N\}, -N\}$. For sufficiently large $\lambda > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_{\sigma,z}} \int_{\Omega_{\sigma,z}} \mathbb{1}_{\{(x,y): |u_N(z+\sigma x) - u_N(z+\sigma y)|/|x-y|^{1+\gamma} > \lambda\}}(x,y) \cdot |x-y|^{\gamma-1} dx dy \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{M_{\sigma,z}} \int_{I'_{\sigma,z,j}} \int_{I'_{\sigma,z,j}} \mathbb{1}_{\{(x,y): |u_N(z+\sigma x) - u_N(z+\sigma y)|/|x-y|^{1+\gamma} > \lambda\}}(x,y) \cdot |x-y|^{\gamma-1} dx dy. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \int_{\Omega_{\sigma,z}} \int_{\Omega_{\sigma,z}} \mathbb{1}_{\{(x,y): |u_N(z+\sigma x) - u_N(z+\sigma y)|/|x-y|^{1+\gamma} > \lambda\}}(x,y) \cdot |x-y|^{\gamma-1} dx dy \\ & \leq \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{1}_{\{(x,y): |u_N(z+\sigma x) - u_N(z+\sigma y)|/|x-y|^{1+\gamma} > \lambda\}}(x,y) \cdot |x-y|^{\gamma-1} dx dy \\ & \leq C' |Du_{\sigma,z}|(\mathbb{R}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda > 0$ by (3.12). This is integrable over $z \in \langle \sigma \rangle^\perp$ by (3.29) (with D^a replaced by D), which justifies the use of Fatou's lemma below. Moreover, note that for a.e. $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and a.e. $z \in \langle \sigma \rangle^\perp$, by (3.29) we have

$$|D^c u_{\sigma,z}|(\mathbb{R}) = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

By (3.35) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda \nu_\gamma \left(\left\{ (x', y') \in \Omega \times \Omega : \frac{|u_N(x') - u_N(y')|}{|x' - y'|^{1+\gamma}} > \lambda \right\} \right) \\ & = \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{1}_{\{(x',y'): |u_N(x') - u_N(y')|/|x'-y'|^{1+\gamma} > \lambda\}}(x',y') |x' - y'|^{\gamma-n} dx' dy' \\ & = \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_{\langle \sigma \rangle^\perp} \\ & \quad \int_{\Omega_{\sigma,z}} \int_{\Omega_{\sigma,z}} \mathbb{1}_{\{(x,y): |u_N(z+\sigma x) - u_N(z+\sigma y)|/|x-y|^{1+\gamma} > \lambda\}}(x,y) \cdot |x-y|^{\gamma-1} dx dy dz d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

With Fatou's lemma and (4.5), the integral in the last line can be further controlled by the

following

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_{\langle \sigma \rangle^\perp} \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda \int_{\Omega_{\sigma,z}} \int_{\Omega_{\sigma,z}} \\
& \quad \mathbb{1}_{\{(x,y): |u_N(z+\sigma x) - u_N(z+\sigma y)|/|x-y|^{1+\gamma} > \lambda\}}(x,y) \cdot |x-y|^{\gamma-1} dx dy dz d\sigma \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_{\langle \sigma \rangle^\perp} \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{M_{\sigma,z}} \lambda \int_{I'_{\sigma,z,j}} \int_{I'_{\sigma,z,j}} \\
& \quad \mathbb{1}_{\{(x,y): |u_N(z+\sigma x) - u_N(z+\sigma y)|/|x-y|^{1+\gamma} > \lambda\}}(x,y) \cdot |x-y|^{\gamma-1} dx dy dz d\sigma \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_{\langle \sigma \rangle^\perp} \sum_{j=1}^{M_{\sigma,z}} \left[\frac{1}{\gamma} |D^a u_{\sigma,z}|(I'_{\sigma,z,j}) + \frac{1}{\gamma+1} |D^j u_{\sigma,z}|(I'_{\sigma,z,j}) \right] dz d\sigma
\end{aligned}$$

by (4.4) and (4.6). Combining the two estimates above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda \nu_\gamma \left(\left\{ (x', y') \in \Omega \times \Omega : \frac{|u_N(x') - u_N(y')|}{|x' - y'|^{1+\gamma}} > \lambda \right\} \right) \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_{\langle \sigma \rangle^\perp} \left[\frac{1}{\gamma} |D^a u_{\sigma,z}|((\Omega')_{\sigma,z}) + \frac{1}{\gamma+1} |D^j u_{\sigma,z}|((\Omega')_{\sigma,z}) \right] dz d\sigma \\
& = \frac{C_n}{\gamma} |D^a u|(\Omega') + \frac{C_n}{\gamma+1} |D^j u|(\Omega') \quad \text{by (3.29)} \\
& \leq \frac{C_n}{\gamma} |D^a u|(\Omega) + \frac{C_n}{\gamma+1} |D^j u|(\Omega) + \frac{C_n}{\gamma} \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\{ (x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega : \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{1+\gamma}} > \lambda \right\} \\
& \subset \left\{ (x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega : \frac{|u_N(x) - u_N(y)|}{|x - y|^{1+\gamma}} > (1 - \varepsilon)\lambda \right\} \\
& \cup \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{|(u - u_N)(x) - (u - u_N)(y)|}{|x - y|^{1+\gamma}} > \varepsilon\lambda \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma,\lambda}(u, \Omega) \\
& \leq (1 - \varepsilon)^{-1} \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma,(1-\varepsilon)\lambda}(u_N, \Omega) + \varepsilon^{-1} \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma,\varepsilon\lambda}(u - u_N, \mathbb{R}^n) \\
& \leq (1 - \varepsilon)^{-1} \left(\frac{C_n}{\gamma} |D^a u|(\Omega) + \frac{C_n}{\gamma+1} |D^j u|(\Omega) + \frac{C_n}{\gamma} \varepsilon \right) + \varepsilon^{-1} \frac{C_n}{\gamma} |D(u - u_N)|(\mathbb{R}^n)
\end{aligned}$$

by (4.1). Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ and then $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get the result. \square

The following lemma follows from [10].

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and let $u \in \dot{\text{B}}\text{V}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a sequence $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ in $\dot{W}^{1,1}(\Omega) \cap C^\infty(\Omega)$ such that*

$$v_i \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega), \quad E(Dv_i)(\Omega) \rightarrow E(Du)(\Omega),$$

and the functions

$$\tilde{v}_i(x) := \begin{cases} v_i(x) - u(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \end{cases}$$

lie in the space $\dot{\text{B}}\text{V}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $|D\tilde{v}_i|(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) = 0$, and $\tilde{v}_i \rightarrow 0$ in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. This is given in [10, Lemma 1] for bounded open sets; however, the proof therein applies to any open set in \mathbb{R}^n . The fact that $\tilde{v}_i \rightarrow 0$ in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is not given there, but can be obtained as follows. We already know that $\tilde{v}_i \rightarrow 0$ in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$. On the other hand, given $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ and $r > 0$, we note that $\tilde{v}_i = 0$ in $B(x, r) \setminus \Omega$, and then we can use a Poincaré inequality (see e.g. [8, Lemma 2.2]) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(x,r)} |\tilde{v}_i| \, dy &\leq Cr |D\tilde{v}_i|(B(x, r)) \\ &= Cr |D\tilde{v}_i|(B(x, r) \cap \Omega) \\ &\leq Cr |Du|(\Omega) + |Dv_i|(\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

for a constant C independent of i . Since $|Dv_i|(\Omega)$ is a bounded sequence, it follows that \tilde{v}_i is bounded in $\text{BV}(B(x, r))$, and thus by BV compactness, we find a subsequence of \tilde{v}_i (not relabeled) converging in $L^1(B(x, r))$ to a limit, which is necessarily 0. We can do this for each $r = j$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and then by a diagonalization argument, we obtain the convergence $\tilde{v}_i \rightarrow 0$ in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for a further subsequence (not relabeled). \square

We have also the following standard approximation lemma.

Lemma 4.8. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and bounded, and let $u \in \text{BV}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a sequence of functions $w_i \in \text{BV}(\Omega)$ with $w_i \rightarrow u$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ and*

$$|D^j w_i|(\Omega) = |Dw_i|(\Omega) \rightarrow |Du|(\Omega) \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. The coarea formula (see, e.g., Theorem 5.9 in [7]) gives

$$|Du|(\Omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |D\mathbb{1}_{E_t}|(\Omega) \, dt,$$

where $E_t := \{x \in \Omega : u(x) > t\}$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Then it is possible to take $M \gg 1$ such that

$$\text{both } E_M \text{ and } \{x \in \Omega : u(x) < -M\} \text{ have finite perimeters in } \Omega \quad (4.9)$$

$$\text{and } \int_{\{x \in \Omega : |u(x)| \geq M-1\}} |u| \, dx < \varepsilon. \quad (4.10)$$

Let k be a positive integer to be determined later, and define

$$I_0 := \left(-M, -M + \frac{2M}{k}\right),$$

$$I_j := \left[-M + j\frac{2M}{k}, -M + (j+1)\frac{2M}{k}\right), \quad j = 1, \dots, k-1.$$

Select numbers $t_j \in I_j$ such that E_{t_j} has finite perimeter in Ω with

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{2M}{k} |D\mathbb{1}_{E_{t_j}}|(\Omega) \leq \int_{-M}^M |D\mathbb{1}_{E_t}|(\Omega) dt. \quad (4.11)$$

Then define

$$u_\varepsilon := -M\mathbb{1}_\Omega + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{2M}{k} \mathbb{1}_{E_{t_j}}.$$

By the boundedness of Ω and the choice of t_j , we know that $u_\varepsilon \in \text{BV}(\Omega)$ with

$$Du_\varepsilon = D^j u_\varepsilon = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{2M}{k} D\mathbb{1}_{E_{t_j}} \llcorner \Omega.$$

The definition of u_ε indicates that

$$|u_\varepsilon - u| \leq \frac{2M}{k} \quad \text{in } \{x \in \Omega : t_0 < u(x) \leq t_{k-1}\}, \quad (4.12)$$

$$\text{and } |u_\varepsilon| \leq |u| + \frac{2M}{k} \quad \text{in } E_{t_{k-1}} \cup (\Omega \setminus E_{t_0}). \quad (4.13)$$

Thus, the L^1 -distance between u_ε and u is controlled as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |u_\varepsilon - u| dx &\leq \int_{E_{t_{k-1}} \cup (\Omega \setminus E_{t_0})} \left(2|u| + \frac{2M}{k}\right) dx + \int_\Omega \frac{2M}{k} dx \\ &\leq \int_{\{x \in \Omega : |u(x)| \geq M-1\}} 2|u| dx + \mathcal{L}^n(\Omega) \frac{4M}{k} \leq 4\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

if k is chosen such that $2M/k < \min\{1, \varepsilon/\mathcal{L}^n(\Omega)\}$. On the other hand, by the coarea formula we have that

$$|Du_\varepsilon|(\Omega) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{2M}{k} |D\mathbb{1}_{E_{t_j}}|(\Omega),$$

and thus (4.11) and the coarea formula imply that $|Du_\varepsilon|(\Omega) \leq |Du|(\Omega)$. Recalling also the lower semicontinuity of the total variation with respect to L^1 convergence, we have that the sequence $\{w_i\}$ defined by $w_i := u_{1/i}$ satisfies the desired properties. \square

Now we prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.14. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be either \mathbb{R}^n or a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary. Suppose $u \in \dot{\text{B}}\dot{\text{V}}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a family $\{u_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0}$ with $u_\lambda \rightarrow u$ area-strictly as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, and such that*

$$\limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma,\lambda}(u_\lambda, \Omega) \leq \frac{C_n}{\gamma} |D^a u|(\Omega) + \frac{C_n}{\gamma+1} |D^s u|(\Omega).$$

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and take a \mathcal{L}^n -negligible compact set $K \subset \Omega$ such that

$$|D^s u|(\Omega \setminus K) < \varepsilon/2 \quad \text{and} \quad |D^a u|(K) = 0. \quad (4.15)$$

Then choose a bounded open set $W \Subset \Omega$ containing K with

$$|Du|(W \setminus K) < \varepsilon/2, \quad \mathcal{L}^n(\partial W) = 0 = |Du|(\partial W).$$

It follows that

$$|Du|(W) = |D^s u|(K) + |Du|(W \setminus K) < |D^s u|(\Omega) + \varepsilon/2. \quad (4.16)$$

Take a cutoff function $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ on \mathbb{R}^n , $\eta = 1$ in K , and the support of η is a subset of W .

Apply Lemma 4.7 to $u \in \dot{\text{B}}\dot{\text{V}}(\Omega \setminus K)$ to obtain functions v_i converging to u area-strictly in $\Omega \setminus K$. We can extend v_i by u to K , and the extended functions (not relabelled) are in $\dot{\text{B}}\dot{\text{V}}(\Omega)$ and it is easy to see that v_i converge to u area-strictly in Ω . From Lemma 4.7 we also get $v_i \rightarrow u$ in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$. Now choosing $v = v_i$ for a sufficiently large i , we obtain a function $v \in \dot{W}^{1,1}(\Omega \setminus K) \cap C^\infty(\Omega \setminus K)$. Take an open set Ω_ε with $W \Subset \Omega_\varepsilon \Subset \Omega$. Then the function v lies in $\dot{\text{B}}\dot{\text{V}}(\Omega)$ and can be chosen such that $\|v - u\|_{L^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)} < \varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega \setminus K} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla v|^2} dx + |D^s v|(\Omega \setminus K) &\leq \int_{\Omega \setminus K} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2} dx + |D^s u|(\Omega \setminus K) + \varepsilon/2 \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega \setminus K} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2} dx + \varepsilon \quad \text{by (4.15),} \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

and, by (3.10) and the fact that $\mathcal{L}^n(\partial W) = 0 = |Du|(\partial W)$, we get

$$|Dv|(W) \leq |Du|(W) + \varepsilon/2. \quad (4.18)$$

Using Lemma 4.8, we find a sequence $w_i \in \text{BV}(W)$ with $w_i \rightarrow v$ in $L^1(W)$ and

$$|D^j w_i|(W) = |Dw_i|(W) \rightarrow |Dv|(W). \quad (4.19)$$

Then define

$$u'_i := \eta w_i + (1 - \eta)v$$

By the Leibniz rule for BV functions, we have

$$|Du'_i| \leq |Dw_i| \llcorner W + |Dv| \llcorner (\Omega \setminus K) + |w_i - v| |\nabla \eta|.$$

In particular, it follows that $|D^c u'_i|(\Omega) = 0$,

$$|\nabla u'_i| \leq |\nabla v| \mathbb{1}_{\Omega \setminus K} + |w_i - v| |\nabla \eta|, \quad \text{and} \quad |D^j u'_i| \leq |D^j w_i| \llcorner W. \quad (4.20)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} |D^j(u'_i)|(\Omega) &\leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} |D^j w_i|(W) \\
&\leq |Du|(W) + \varepsilon/2 \quad \text{by (4.19), (4.18)} \\
&\leq |D^s u|(\Omega) + \varepsilon \quad \text{by (4.16),}
\end{aligned}$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u'_i|^2} \, dx &= \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega \setminus K} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u'_i|^2} \, dx \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega \setminus K} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla v|^2} \, dx \quad \text{by (4.20)} \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega \setminus K} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2} \, dx + \varepsilon \quad \text{by (4.17),}
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u'_i| \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| \, dx + \varepsilon.$$

Taking u' to be u'_i for sufficiently large $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $u' \in \text{SBV}(\Omega)$ with $\|u' - u\|_{L^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)} < \varepsilon$,

$$|D^s u'|(\Omega) \leq |D^s u|(\Omega) + \varepsilon, \tag{4.21}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u'|^2} \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2} \, dx + \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u'| \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| \, dx + \varepsilon \tag{4.22}$$

With the choices $\varepsilon = 1/k$, label $u_k := u'$. The open sets $\{\Omega_{1/k}\}$ can be taken as an exhaustion of Ω , that is, $\Omega_{1/k} \Subset \Omega_{1/(k+1)}$ and $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \Omega_{1/k} = \Omega$. The sequence $\{u_k\}$ chosen as above satisfies the following:

$$u_k \in \text{SBV}(\Omega), \quad u_k \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} E(Du_k)(\Omega) \leq E(Du)(\Omega).$$

The functional $\int_{\Omega} E(\cdot)$ is lower semicontinuous with respect to weak* convergence, which further implies that $u_k \rightarrow u$ area-strictly in Ω . By (4.21) and (4.22), we get

$$|D^j u_k|(\Omega) = |D^s u_k|(\Omega) \leq |D^s u|(\Omega) + 1/k \quad \text{and} \quad |D^a u_k|(\Omega) \leq |D^a u|(\Omega) + 1/k. \tag{4.23}$$

For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, by Theorem 4.2 we find $\lambda_k > k$ such that

$$F_{\gamma, \lambda}(u_k, \Omega) \leq \frac{C_n}{\gamma} |D^a u_k|(\Omega) + \frac{C_n}{\gamma + 1} |D^s u_k|(\Omega) + \frac{1}{k}$$

for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_k$. We can also assume that $\lambda_{k+1} > \lambda_k$. Define $u_\lambda := u_k$ for all $\lambda \in [\lambda_k, \lambda_{k+1})$. Note that $k \rightarrow \infty$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, and so we get $u_\lambda \rightarrow u$ area-strictly as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. For all

$\lambda \in [\lambda_k, \lambda_{k+1})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\gamma,\lambda}(u_\lambda, \Omega) &= F_{\gamma,\lambda}(u_k, \Omega) \\ &\leq \frac{C_n}{\gamma} |D^a u_k|(\Omega) + \frac{C_n}{\gamma+1} |D^s u_k|(\Omega) + \frac{1}{k} \\ &\leq \frac{C_n}{\gamma} (|D^a u|(\Omega) + 1/k) + \frac{C_n}{\gamma+1} (|D^s u|(\Omega) + 1/k) + \frac{1}{k} \end{aligned}$$

by (4.23). Thus

$$\limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma,\lambda}(u_\lambda, \Omega) \leq \frac{C_n}{\gamma} |D^a u|(\Omega) + \frac{C_n}{\gamma+1} |D^s u|(\Omega).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Combine Theorems 3.33 and 4.14. □

The recovery sequence can be chosen to consist of smooth functions.

Proposition 4.24. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be either \mathbb{R}^n or a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary. Suppose $u \in \dot{B}\mathbb{V}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a family $\{u_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0} \subset C^\infty(\Omega)$ with $u_\lambda \rightarrow u$ area-strictly as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, and such that*

$$\limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma,\lambda}(u_\lambda, \Omega) \leq \frac{C_n}{\gamma} |D^a u|(\Omega) + \frac{C_n}{\gamma+1} |D^s u|(\Omega).$$

Proof. Assume first that u is bounded, so that $|u| \leq M$ for a given $0 < M < \infty$. Take the sequence $\{u_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0}$ from Theorem 4.14. In the case $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$, note that by the construction, every function u_λ is smooth outside some closed ball $\overline{B}(0, R_\lambda)$. Then for every $\lambda > 0$, from Lemma 4.7 we find a sequence $\{v_{\lambda,i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ such that $v_{\lambda,i} \in \dot{W}^{1,1}(B(0, R_\lambda+1) \cap C^\infty(B(0, R_\lambda+1)))$, and $v_{\lambda,i} \rightarrow u_\lambda$ area-strictly in $B(0, R_\lambda+1)$. We can also assume that $v_{\lambda,i} \rightarrow u_\lambda$ a.e. in $B(0, R_\lambda+1)$. Take a cutoff function $\eta_\lambda \in C_c^\infty(B(0, R_\lambda+1))$ with $0 \leq \eta_\lambda \leq 1$ and $\eta_\lambda = 1$ in $B(0, R_\lambda)$. Then define

$$u_{\lambda,i} := \eta_\lambda v_{\lambda,i} + (1 - \eta_\lambda) u_\lambda.$$

By the Leibniz rule for BV functions, it is easy to check that $u_{\lambda,i} \in \dot{W}^{1,1}(\Omega) \cap C^\infty(\Omega)$, $u_{\lambda,i} \rightarrow u_\lambda$ in $L^1(\Omega)$, $u_{\lambda,i} \rightarrow u_\lambda$ area-strictly in Ω , and $u_{\lambda,i} \rightarrow u_\lambda$ a.e. in Ω . In the case where Ω is a bounded Lipschitz domain, we get these properties directly from Lemma 4.7, without the need for a cutoff function. Moreover, since $|u| \leq M$, we can assume that also $|u_{\lambda,i}| \leq M$ (this follows from the constructions used in the proofs of Lemma 4.7 and 4.8). Notice that $u_{\lambda,i} = u_\lambda$ outside $B_{R_\lambda+1}$, then it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ (x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega : \frac{|u_{\lambda,i}(x) - u_{\lambda,i}(y)|}{|x - y|^{1+\gamma}} > \lambda + 1 \right\} \setminus \left\{ (x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega : \frac{|u_\lambda(x) - u_\lambda(y)|}{|x - y|^{1+\gamma}} > \lambda \right\} \\ &\subset B(0, R_\lambda + 1) \times B(0, (2M/(\lambda + 1))^{1/(1+\gamma)} + R_\lambda + 1) \\ &\quad \cup B(0, (2M/(\lambda + 1))^{1/(1+\gamma)} + R_\lambda + 1) \times B(0, R_\lambda + 1). \end{aligned}$$

This justifies an application of Fatou's lemma to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \nu_\gamma \left(\left\{ (x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega : \frac{|u_{\lambda, i}(x) - u_{\lambda, i}(y)|}{|x - y|^{1+\gamma}} > \lambda + 1 \right\} \right) \\ & \leq \nu_\gamma \left(\left\{ (x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega : \frac{|u_\lambda(x) - u_\lambda(y)|}{|x - y|^{1+\gamma}} > \lambda \right\} \right), \end{aligned}$$

For sufficiently large $i = i(\lambda)$, we have $\|u_{\lambda, i(\lambda)} - u_\lambda\|_{L^1(\Omega)} < 1/\lambda$,

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} E(Du_{\lambda, i(\lambda)}) - \int_{\Omega} E(Du_\lambda) \right| < 1/\lambda,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu_\gamma \left(\left\{ (x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega : \frac{|u_{\lambda, i(\lambda)}(x) - u_{\lambda, i(\lambda)}(y)|}{|x - y|^{1+\gamma}} > \lambda + 1 \right\} \right) \\ & \leq \nu_\gamma \left(\left\{ (x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega : \frac{|u_\lambda(x) - u_\lambda(y)|}{|x - y|^{1+\gamma}} > \lambda \right\} \right) + 1/\lambda. \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $v_\lambda := u_{k, i(k)}$ for $\lambda \in [k + 1, k + 2)$. Then $v_\lambda \rightarrow u$ area-strictly, and for all $\lambda \in [k + 1, k + 2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \nu_\gamma \left(\left\{ (x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega : \frac{|v_\lambda(x) - v_\lambda(y)|}{|x - y|^{1+\gamma}} > \lambda \right\} \right) \\ & \leq \lambda \nu_\gamma \left(\left\{ (x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega : \frac{|v_\lambda(x) - v_\lambda(y)|}{|x - y|^{1+\gamma}} > k + 1 \right\} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{\lambda}{k} k \nu_\gamma \left(\left\{ (x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega : \frac{|u_k(x) - u_k(y)|}{|x - y|^{1+\gamma}} > k \right\} \right) \quad \text{by (4.25)} \end{aligned}$$

As $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, we have also $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lambda/k \rightarrow 1$. Thus $\{v_\lambda\}_{\lambda > 0}$ is the required family.

In the general case, we note that for the truncations we have $\min\{M, \max\{-M, u\}\} \rightarrow u$ area-strictly in Ω as $M \rightarrow \infty$. Then it is straightforward to construct the required family. \square

Remark 4.26. Combining Lemma 4.8 with Theorem 4.2, we can also show the following. Suppose $u \in \mathring{B}V(\Omega)$. Then there exists a family $\{u_\lambda\}_{\lambda > 0}$ with $u_\lambda \rightarrow u$ in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, and such that

$$\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma, \lambda}(u_\lambda, \Omega) \leq \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{\gamma, \lambda}(u_\lambda, \Omega) \leq \frac{C_n}{\gamma + 1} |Du|(\Omega).$$

This shows that the ordinary Γ -limit of the functionals, if it exists, is at most

$$\frac{C_n}{\gamma + 1} |Du|(\Omega),$$

which in the case of SBV functions for which $D^a u \neq 0$ is strictly smaller than the ‘‘point-wise’’ limit given in (1.1).

References

- [1] L. Ambrosio, N. Fusco, and D. Pallara, *Functions of bounded variation and free discontinuity problems*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000. [3](#), [8](#), [13](#), [16](#), [18](#), [19](#)
- [2] C. Antonucci, M. Gobbino, M. Migliorini, and N. Picenni, *Optimal constants for a nonlocal approximation of Sobolev norms and total variation*, *Anal. PDE* 13 (2020), no. 2, 595–625. [17](#)
- [3] A. Björn and J. Björn, *Poincaré inequalities and Newtonian Sobolev functions on noncomplete metric spaces*, *J. Differential Equations* 266 (2019), no. 1, 44–69. [18](#)
- [4] H. Brezis, A. Seeger, J. Van Schaftingen, and P.-L. Yung, *Families of functionals representing Sobolev norms*, preprint 2021. [1](#), [11](#)
- [5] H. Brezis, A. Seeger, J. Van Schaftingen, and P.-L. Yung, *Sobolev spaces revisited*, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl.* 33 (2022), no. 2, 413–437. [1](#)
- [6] H. Brezis, J. Van Schaftingen, and P.-L. Yung, *A surprising formula for Sobolev norms*, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* 118 (2021), no. 8, Paper No. e2025254118, 6 pp. [1](#)
- [7] L. C. Evans and R. F. Gariepy, *Measure theory and fine properties of functions*. Revised edition. Textbooks in Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2015. [21](#)
- [8] J. Kinnunen, R. Korte, A. Lorent, and N. Shanmugalingam, *Regularity of sets with quasiminimal boundary surfaces in metric spaces*, *J. Geom. Anal.* 23 (2013), no. 4, 1607–1640. [21](#)
- [9] J. Kristensen, B. Raiță, *An introduction to generalized Young measures*. Lecture note 45/2020. Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in den Naturwissenschaften Leipzig, 2020. [5](#)
- [10] J. Kristensen and F. Rindler, *Characterization of generalized gradient Young measures generated by sequences in $W^{1,1}$ and BV*, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 197 (2010), no.2, 539–598. [8](#), [20](#), [21](#)
- [11] P. Lahti, *A sharp lower bound for a class of non-local approximations of the total variation*, *Math. Annalen* 2025.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-025-03101-0> [2](#), [11](#), [12](#)
- [12] M. Gobbino and N. Picenni, *Gamma-liminf estimate for a class of non-local approximations of Sobolev and BV norms*, preprint 2023.
<https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.05560> [17](#)
- [13] N. Picenni, *New estimates for a class of non-local approximations of the total variation*, *J. Funct. Anal.* 287 (2024), no.1, Paper No. 110419, 21 pp. [1](#), [11](#), [18](#)

- [14] A. Poliakovsky, *Some remarks on a formula for Sobolev norms due to Brezis, Van Schaftingen and Yung*, J. Funct. Anal. 282 (2022), no. 3, Paper No. 109312, 47 pp. [1](#)
- [15] F. Rindler, *Calculus of variations*. Universitext. Springer International Publishing AG, Cham, 2018. [5](#), [6](#), [7](#), [10](#)

ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS SCIENCE,
CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
BEIJING 100190, PR CHINA
E-mail address, P. Lahti: panulahti@amss.ac.cn

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS IN THE SCIENCES,
INSELSTRASSE 22, LEIPZIG, 04103, GERMANY
E-mail address, Z. Li: zhuolin.li@mis.mpg.de