

# ORIGAMI: REAL STRUCTURE, ENUMERATION AND QUANTUM MODULARITY

RAPHAËL FESLER AND PETER ZOGRAF

**ABSTRACT.** We define real origami (that is, origami equipped with a real structure) and enumerate them using the combinatorics of zonal polynomials. We explicitly express the numbers of genus 2 real origami with 2 simple zeros in terms of the sums of divisors, showing that their generating function is a quantum modular form. Furthermore, we show that replacing zonal polynomials with Schur polynomials we can effectively count the classical (complex) origami. As a by-product, we establish a connection between classical origami and a specific class of double Hurwitz numbers. Finally, we discuss some conjectures and open questions involving Jack functions, quantum modular forms, and integrable hierarchies.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Real origami and zonal polynomials	2
2.1. Definitions and preliminaries	2
2.2. Enumeration of real origami of genus 2	4
2.3. Involutions without fixed point and the Gelfand pair $(S_{2n}, H_n)$	6
3. Complex origami and quasimodularity	10
3.1. Complex origami	10
3.2. Enumeration by degree and genus	13
3.3. Quasimodularity of generating functions	13
4. Origami and Jack functions	14
Acknowledgments	16
References	16
Appendix A	18
Appendix B	21

## 1. INTRODUCTION

An *origami*  $X$  is a square-tiled surface of a special kind that can be constructed as follows. Take  $n$  identical unit squares  $S = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  and label their opposite sides as "top"-"bottom" and "left"-"right". Glue the squares together by identifying the top and bottom sides and the left and right sides, reversing the orientation. As a result, we get a compact surface without boundary that carries a natural complex structure and a holomorphic Abelian differential. We will call such an object a

---

*E-mail addresses:* raphael.fesler@gmail.com, zograf@pdmi.ras.ru.

*complex* origami to distinguish it from a *real* origami that we will define a little later.

Recall that a complex origami is conveniently represented by two permutations  $h, v$  in the symmetric group  $S_n$  (where  $h$  stands for "horizontal" and  $v$  stands for "vertical"). Moreover, each origami  $X$  is a ramified cover of the torus  $T = \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z}$ , where the projection  $f : X \rightarrow T$  is holomorphic with only one critical value at  $0 \in T$ . The ramification profile of  $f$  over  $0 \in T$  is described by the cycle structure of the commutator  $[h, v] = hvh^{-1}v^{-1} \in S_n$ . Clearly,  $X$  is connected if and only if  $h$  and  $v$  generate a subgroup in  $S_n$  that acts transitively on the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . (See [16, 24] and the references therein for an accessible introduction.)

In the present paper we will predominantly deal with *real* origami. In a broad sense, an origami is called *real* if it admits an anti-holomorphic involution that covers the ordinary complex conjugation on the torus  $T$ . However, this very general definition makes it hard to count real origami. To make the situation more tractable, we impose on real origami two additional conditions. First, we require the anti-holomorphic involution to be fixed point free, and second, we do not allow it to have invariant cylinders in the vertical cylinder decomposition of  $X$ , see Definition 2.1.

Effective origami enumeration is a long-standing combinatorial problem. The main objective of the present paper is to propose an independent approach to real origami count based on the combinatorics of the symmetric group (more precisely, zonal polynomials), see Section 2. In the special case of genus 2 and 2 simple zeros we explicitly express the number of real origami of a given degree (= the number of squares) in terms of divisor sums (Theorem 2.7). The algorithm of the real origami count also applies to the case of complex origami (with zonal polynomials replaced by Schur polynomials), see Section 3.1. Finally, Section 4 discusses further connections of real origami with Jack polynomials, quantum modular forms, and integrable hierarchies. Some numerical data is given in Appendices and Tables at the end of the paper.

## 2. REAL ORIGAMI AND ZONAL POLYNOMIALS

**2.1. Definitions and preliminaries.** We begin with two definitions of real origami and show their equivalence.

**Definition 2.1** (geometric). An origami  $f : X \rightarrow T$  is called *real* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- $f : X \rightarrow T$  is a connected ramified cover of  $T$  branching over  $0 \in T$ ;
- there exist an anti-holomorphic fixed point free involution  $\phi : X \rightarrow X$  such that  $f \circ \phi = \text{conj} \circ f$ , where  $\text{conj} : T \rightarrow T$  is the complex conjugation;
- the preimage of the meridian  $\beta = \{x = 1/2\} \subset T$  splits into disjoint union of pairs of non-intersecting cycles  $f^{-1}(\beta) = (\sigma_1, \bar{\sigma}_1, \dots, \sigma_m, \bar{\sigma}_m)$ , where  $\bar{\sigma}_i = \phi(\sigma_i^{-1})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .

**Definition 2.2** (combinatorial). A pair of permutations  $h, v \in S_{2n}$  defines a real origami if the following conditions are satisfied:

- the group generated by  $h$  and  $v$  acts transitively on the set  $\{1, \bar{1}, \dots, n, \bar{n}\}$ ;
- there exist an involution without fixed points  $\tau \in S_{2n}$  such that  $\tau h \tau h^{-1} = \tau v \tau v = id$ ;

- the cycle decomposition of  $v$  consist of an even number of cycles  $\gamma_1\bar{\gamma}_1 \dots \gamma_m\bar{\gamma}_m$ , where  $\bar{\gamma}_i = \tau\gamma_i^{-1}\tau$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .

*Remark 2.3.* The numbers that count real origami provide a version of real twisted elliptic Hurwitz numbers, cf. [12]. The combinatorial model described by Definition 2.2 was introduced in [12] in a different context of tropical geometry. The next proposition answers, in particular, a question from [12] about a geometric counterpart of Definition 2.2.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Definitions 2.1 and 2.2 are equivalent.*

*Proof.* Let us show that Definition 2.1 implies Definition 2.2. Take  $b = (1/2, 1/2) \in T$  as the base point, and denote by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  the loops that freely generate the fundamental group  $\pi_1(T \setminus \{0\}, b)$ , see Fig. 1.




FIGURE 1. Loops on the torus.

Consider the monodromy representation

$$\rho : \pi_1(T \setminus \{0\}, b) \rightarrow S_{2n},$$

and put  $h = \rho(\alpha)$ ,  $v = \rho(\beta)$ . Notice that the preimage  $f^{-1}(b) \in X$  consists of  $2n$  distinct points  $a_1, \dots, a_{2n}$  that are pairwise interchanged by  $\phi$ , thus giving an involution  $\tau$  in  $S_{2n}$  without fixed points. It is an easy check that the triple  $(h, v, \tau)$  satisfies all the conditions of Definition 2.2.

Now let us show that Definition 2.2 implies Definition 2.1. Using  $h$  and  $v$  we construct a connected cover  $f : X \rightarrow T$  with the prescribed ramification profile over  $0 \in T$ . Take a regular point  $x \in X$  and choose a path  $s$  connecting  $f(x)$  with the base point  $b = (1/2, 1/2) \in T$ . Lift the path  $s$  to  $X$  starting at  $x$ ; it will end at some point  $a_i \in f^{-1}(b)$ . Consider the path  $\text{conj} \circ s^{-1}$  that is reverse and complex conjugate to  $s$ , and lift it to  $X$  starting at  $\tau a_i \in f^{-1}(b)$ . It will end at some point in  $f^{-1}(f(x))$  that we denote by  $\phi(x)$ . We need to verify that  $\phi(x)$  is independent of the path  $s$ . This is easy to see when  $f(x)$  belongs to the interior of the unit square  $S$ , in which case a path connecting  $f(x)$  with  $b$  inside  $S$  is unique up to homotopy. Let us show that  $\phi(x)$  extends smoothly to the entire surface  $X$ ; to do it is sufficient to consider  $s = \alpha$  and  $s = \beta$ . In the first case lift the path  $\alpha$  to  $X$  starting at some  $a_i$ ; it will end at  $h(a_i) \in f^{-1}(b)$ . With  $\tau h(a_i)$  as a start point, lift the reverse and complex conjugate of  $\alpha$  to  $X$ ; it will end at  $h^{-1}\tau h(a_i) = \tau a_i$ , i.e.  $\phi(a_i) = \tau a_i$  (here we used that  $\text{conj}(\alpha) = \alpha$  and  $h^{-1}\tau h(a_i) = \tau$ ). In the second case, a similar argument also yields  $\phi(a_i) = \tau a_i$ , thus proving that the map

$\phi : X \rightarrow X$  is well defined. By construction,  $f$  is anti-holomorphic and satisfies the relation  $f \circ \phi = \text{conj} \circ f$ . Since  $\tau$  is an involution,  $\phi \circ \phi = \text{id}$ . Moreover, the condition that  $\tau$  has no fixed points implies  $\phi(x) \neq x$  for all  $x \in X$ .

It is straightforward to verify the last condition in Definition 2.1 provided it holds for Definition 2.2.  $\square$

*Remark 2.5.* Since  $\phi : X \rightarrow X$  is a fixed point free involution, the ramification profile of  $f : X \rightarrow T$  has the form  $\lambda = [\lambda_1^2 \dots \lambda_l^2]$  (i.e., each element of the partition  $\lambda$  enters with even multiplicity). Equivalently, the commutator  $[h, v] = hvh^{-1}v^{-1}$  has the same cycle structure  $\lambda = [\lambda_1^2 \dots \lambda_l^2]$ .

*Remark 2.6.* Denote by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{geom}}(2n)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{comb}}(2n)$ ) the set of real origami of degree  $2n$  given by Definition 2.1 (resp. by Definition 2.2). It is easy to see that the forgetful map  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{comb}}(2n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{geom}}(2n)$  is independent of the labeling of the points in  $f^{-1}(b)$  and therefore projects to the map  $\pi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{comb}}(2n)/S_{2n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{geom}}(2n)$ . It is standard to show that  $\pi$  is a bijection (see [4]). Recall that an automorphism of a cover  $f$  can be identified with a permutation on  $f^{-1}(b)$  yielding

$$\text{Stab}_{S_{2n}}(h, v) = \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(\pi(h, v)).$$

This means that  $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{comb}}(2n)/(2n)!|$  is the weighted number of real origami (where each origami is counted with weight reciprocal to the order of its group of real automorphisms).

**2.2. Enumeration of real origami of genus 2.** Here we derive an explicit formula for the number of real origami of arbitrary even degree  $2n$  (equal to the number of squares) with 2 simple zeros following an approach of Zorich, see [24]. Denote by  $\mathcal{H}(\mu_1 - 1, \dots, \mu_k - 1)$  the moduli space of holomorphic Abelian differentials with  $k$  zeros of orders  $\mu_1 - 1, \dots, \mu_k - 1$ , where  $\mu = [\mu_1 \dots \mu_k]$  is a partition of  $2n$ . By the Riemann–Hurwitz formula, the genus of the underlying surface is then

$$g = 1 + n - \frac{k}{2}$$

(in particular,  $k$  must be even).

Let us proceed with simple examples. The case of Abelian covers (that is,  $g = 1$ , or, equivalently,  $\mu = [1^{2n}]$ ) is well-known, see [24]. The next case  $g = 2$  is less trivial. The moduli space of genus 2 Abelian differentials consists of 2 strata — the principal stratum  $\mathcal{H}(1, 1)$  and the codimension 1 stratum  $\mathcal{H}(2)$ . Clearly, no real origami can belong to the stratum  $\mathcal{H}(2)$ . It means that all genus 2 real origami lie in the principal stratum  $\mathcal{H}(1, 1)$ . We have

**Theorem 2.7.** *The number of genus 2 degree  $2n$  real origami in  $\mathcal{H}(1, 1)$  is given by the formula*

$$|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{geom}}(2n) \cap \mathcal{H}(1, 1)| = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_2(n) - \sigma_1(n)),$$

where

$$\sigma_1(n) := \sum_{d|n} d, \quad \sigma_2(n) := \sum_{d|n} d^2,$$

and  $d$  runs over the divisors of  $n$ .

*Proof.* After A. Zorich [24], cf. also [5, 8], we use separatrix diagrams and cylinder decompositions to count real origami in strata of Abelian differentials. Roughly speaking, a separatrix diagram is the closure of the union of non-closed horizontal

trajectories of a holomorphic Abelian differential. The complement to a separatrix diagram is a disjoint union of flat cylinders, each foliated into circles. In the case of  $\mathcal{H}(1, 1)$ , there are 4 separatrix diagrams — one 1-cylinder, two 2-cylinder and one 3-cylinder, see [8, 25]. Out of these diagrams only one is suitable (i.e., invariant with respect to the anti-holomorphic involution that identifies two cylinders of the complement), see Fig. 2.2.




FIGURE 2. 2-cylinder separatrix diagram invariant with respect to the anti-holomorphic involution (left) and its 1-cylinder non-orientable factor (right).

Let us factorize this separatrix diagram by the anti-holomorphic involution. We will get a Möbius graph with one vertex, two twisted loops and two boundary components that are glued to the boundary components of the cylinder. This gives us a non-orientable square-tiled surface composed from  $n$  unit squares. Let  $\ell_1, \ell_2$  be the lengths of the loops, then  $\ell = \ell_1 + \ell_2$  is the length of each boundary component. We compute the number of ways to glue the cylinder of circumference  $\ell$  to the Möbius graph. First, we notice that  $\ell$  can be split into the sum  $\ell_1 + \ell_2$  of positive integers in  $\ell - 1$  ways. Second, one boundary component of the cylinder can be shifted by  $0, 1, \dots, \ell - 1$  units relative to the other one. We also see that  $n$  must be divisible by  $\ell$ . All together, this yields

$$|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{geom}(2n) \cap \mathcal{H}(1, 1)| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell|n} \ell(\ell - 1),$$

where the factor  $1/2$  accounts for the rotational symmetry of the Möbius graph.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.8.** *The number of real origami in  $\mathcal{H}(1, 1)$  has the asymptotics*

$$|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{geom}(2n) \cap \mathcal{H}(1, 1)| = \frac{\zeta(3)}{6} n^3 + O(n^2) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Proof.* It is well known that  $\sigma_1(n) = \frac{\pi^2}{12} n^2 + O(n \log(n))$  and  $\sigma_2(n) = \frac{\zeta(3)}{3} n^3 + O(n^2)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , see, e.g., [15].  $\square$

*Remark 2.9.* As shown in [7], the generating function for the numbers of complex origami in the stratum  $\mathcal{H}(\mu_1 - 1, \dots, \mu_k - 1)$  of Abelian differentials with  $k$  zeros of orders  $\mu_1 - 1, \dots, \mu_k - 1$  is a quasimodular form of weight  $2g$  (here  $\mu = [\mu_1 \dots \mu_k]$  is a partition of  $2n$  encoding the ramification profile over  $0 \in T$ ). Although not

(quasi)modular, the generating function for the numbers  $\sigma_2(n)$  that appear in Theorem 2.7 belongs to the class of *quantum modular forms* introduced by D. Zagier in [23]. Therefore, it is natural to expect that the following is true:

**Conjecture 2.10.** *The generating function for the numbers of real origami in the stratum  $\mathcal{H}(\mu_1-1, \mu_1-1, \dots, \mu_k-1, \mu_k-1)$  of Abelian differentials with  $\mu$  a partition of  $n$  is a quantum modular form.*

**2.3. Involutions without fixed point and the Gelfand pair  $(S_{2n}, H_n)$ .** Denote by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\circ}(\lambda)$  the number of real origami in the sense of (slightly modified) Definition 2.2, where

- $\tau := (1 \bar{1}) \dots (n \bar{n})$  is fixed,
- transitivity condition *not required*,
- $\lambda = [\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_s]$  is a partition of  $n$  such that the commutator  $[h, v]$  has cycle structure  $[\lambda_1^2 \dots \lambda_s^2]$ , see Remark 2.5.

Our goal for the rest of this section is to prove the identity

$$\sum_{\rho \vdash n} Z_{\rho} = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\circ}(\lambda) p_{\lambda},$$

where  $Z_{\rho}$  are zonal polynomials and  $p_{\lambda}$  are (products of) power sums.

We start by recalling the necessary results from [1]. Let  $S_{2n}$  be the symmetric group on  $2n$  elements  $\{1, \bar{1}, \dots, n, \bar{n}\}$  with ordering  $1 < \bar{1} < \dots < n < \bar{n}$ , and  $\tau$  is fixed as above:

$$\tau = (1, \bar{1}) \dots (n, \bar{n}).$$

**Definition 2.11.** The hyperoctahedral group  $H_n$  is the centralizer of  $\tau$  in the symmetric group  $S_{2n}$ .

In other words,  $H_n$  consists of the elements  $\sigma \in S_{2n}$  satisfying  $\tau \sigma \tau^{-1} = id$ . The order of  $H_n$  is  $2^n n!$ .

**Example 2.12.** Some elements of  $S_6$  belonging to  $H_3$ :

$$(1, 2, 3)(\bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}); (1, 2, 3, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}); (1, 2)(\bar{1}, \bar{2})(3)(\bar{3}); (1, 3, 2)(\bar{1}, \bar{3}, \bar{2}), (3\bar{3}) \dots$$

Consider now the subset  $C^{\sim}(\tau) = \{\sigma \in S_{2n} \mid \tau \sigma = \sigma^{-1} \tau\}$  of  $S_{2n}$ .

**Lemma 2.13** (see [1] for details). *Let  $\sigma = c_1 \dots c_m \in C^{\sim}(\tau)$ , where  $c_1, \dots, c_m$  are independent cycles. Then for every  $i$*

- either there exists  $j \neq i$  such that  $c_i = (u_1 \dots u_k)$  and  $c_j = (\tau u_k \dots \tau u_1)$ ,
- or  $c_i$  has even length  $2k$  and looks like  $c_i = (u_1 \dots u_k \tau u_k \dots \tau u_1)$ ;

here  $\{u_1, \dots, u_{2n}\}$  is a set of elements permuted by  $S_{2n}$ .

In the first case we say that the cycles  $c_i$  and  $c_j$  are  $\tau$ -symmetric, and in the second case the cycle  $c_i$  is  $\tau$ -self-symmetric.

**Definition 2.14.** Denote by  $B_n^{\sim}$  the set of permutations  $\sigma \in C^{\sim}(\tau)$  such that their cycle decomposition contains no  $\tau$ -self-symmetric cycles.

The properties of  $B_n^{\sim}$  that we need are summarized in the following two propositions.

**Proposition 2.15.** *Any element  $\sigma \in B_n^{\sim}$  satisfies  $\sigma \tau \sigma = id$ . In particular, both  $\sigma \tau$  and  $\tau \sigma$  are involutions without fixed points. Moreover, each of the following two sets is in bijection with  $B_n^{\sim}$ :*

- (1) the set  $S_{2n}/H_n$  of right cosets of  $H_n$  in  $S_{2n}$ ;
- (2) the set of fixed-point-free involutions  $\iota \in S_{2n}$ .

In particular, all these sets have cardinality  $(2n - 1)!!$ .

**Example 2.16.** Here are a few permutations belonging to  $B_3^\sim$ :

$$(2.1) \quad (1, 2, 3)(\bar{3}, \bar{2}, \bar{1}); (1, 2)(\bar{1}, \bar{2})(3)(\bar{3}); (1, 3, 2)(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}), \dots$$

However, the following permutations *do not* belong to  $B_3^\sim$ :

$$(1, 2, \bar{2})(\bar{2}, 2, \bar{1}); (1, \bar{1})(2, \bar{2})(3)(\bar{3}); (1, \bar{3}, 3)(\bar{3}, 3, \bar{1}), \dots$$

Fix a partition  $\lambda \vdash n$ ,  $\lambda = [\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_s]$ , and denote by  $B_\lambda^\sim \subset B_n^\sim$  the set of permutations whose decomposition into independent cycles consists of  $s$  pairs of  $\tau$ -symmetric cycles of lengths  $\lambda_1 \dots, \lambda_s$ . Apparently,  $B_n^\sim = \sqcup_{\lambda \vdash n} B_\lambda^\sim$ .

**Proposition 2.17.**  $B_\lambda^\sim$  is an  $H_n$ -conjugacy class in  $S_{2n}$ .

**Example 2.18.** In Example (2.1), we see that the first and last permutations belong to  $B_{[\bar{3}]}^\sim$ , while the second one belongs to  $B_{[2,1]}^\sim$ . Furthermore, to illustrate Proposition 2.15, we notice that  $\sigma = (1, 2, 3)(\bar{3}, \bar{2}, \bar{1}) \in B_{[3]}^\sim$ , and  $\sigma\tau = (1\bar{2})(2\bar{3})(3\bar{1})$  is an involution without fixed points.

Our next step will be to express the commutator

$$[v, h] = v h v^{-1} h^{-1}$$

where  $(v, h) \in B_n^\sim \times H_n$ , in terms of certain connection coefficients in the group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[S_{2n}]$ . This makes sense since we conjugate an element of  $B_n^\sim$  with an element of  $H_n$ , see Proposition 2.17). Thus, if  $v \in B_\lambda^\sim$ , then  $h v^{-1} h^{-1}$  is also in  $B_\lambda^\sim$ .

Rewrite the commutator as follows:

$$(2.2) \quad [v, h] = (v\tau)(\tau h v^{-1} h^{-1}).$$

We see that because  $v$  and  $h v^{-1} h^{-1}$  belong to  $B_n^\sim$ , then  $(v\tau)(\tau h v^{-1} h^{-1})$  is just the product of two involutions without fixed points by Proposition 2.15. Furthermore, we can rewrite

$$\tau h v^{-1} h^{-1} = h \tau v^{-1} h^{-1} = h v \tau h^{-1}.$$

As we will see soon, the involutions without fixed points are closely related to the Hecke algebra of the Gelfand pair  $(S_{2n}, H_n)$ . Following [9] (see also [18], Chapter VII, for details), consider the set  $\mathcal{P}_n = \{\iota_1, \dots, \iota_{(2n-1)!!}\}$  of perfect matchings on the set  $\{1, \bar{1}, \dots, n, \bar{n}\}$ . Denote by  $G(\iota_i, \iota_j)$  the graph with vertices  $\{1, \bar{1}, \dots, n, \bar{n}\}$ , whose edges are formed by  $\iota_i$  and  $\iota_j$ . Each of the  $s \geq 1$  connected components of  $G(\iota_i, \iota_j)$  contains an even number of edges  $2\lambda_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, s$ , arranged in weakly decreasing order; see Fig. 3.

Denote by  $\Lambda(\iota_i, \iota_j) = [\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_s]$  the corresponding partition of  $n$ .

Equivalently, a perfect matching  $\iota_k$  can be thought of as an involution in  $S_{2n}$  without fixed points that we will denote (slightly abusing notation) by the same symbol. In this case the product  $\iota_i \iota_j$  has cycle decomposition of the form  $[\lambda_1^2 \dots \lambda_s^2]$  with the same entries  $\lambda_k$  as above. We will freely use both of these approaches.

The commutator in (2.2) can be decomposed as follows. Fix perfect matchings  $v\tau$  and  $\iota$  such that  $\Lambda(v\tau, \iota) = \mu$ , with  $\mu \vdash n$ , and fix an element  $h \in H_n$ . Introduce the numbers

$$\kappa_{\mu, \lambda}^\mu = 2^n n! \# \{h v \tau h^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}_n \mid \Lambda(h v \tau h^{-1}, \iota) = \mu \text{ and } \Lambda(v\tau, h v \tau h^{-1}) = \lambda\},$$




FIGURE 3. Two perfect matchings:  $\iota_1 = (1, \bar{1})(2, \bar{2})(3, \bar{3})$  (blue) and  $\iota_2 = (1, \bar{1})(2, \bar{3})(3, \bar{2})$  (red). Here  $\Lambda(\iota_1, \iota_2) = [2, 1]$ . As permutations,  $\iota_1 \iota_2 = (1)(\bar{1})(2, 3)(\bar{2}, \bar{3})$ , with cycle decomposition  $[2^2, 1^2]$ .

where  $\lambda$  and  $\nu$  are partitions of  $n$ , and the factor  $2^n n!$  is the order of  $H_n$ . In particular,

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\circ}(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu} \kappa_{\mu, \lambda}^{\mu},$$

where  $\mu$  runs over all partitions of  $n$ .

The numbers  $\kappa_{\mu, \lambda}^{\mu}$  admit an explicit expression in terms of connection coefficient in a double coset algebra that we describe now. Recall that  $H_n$  is a subgroup of  $S_{2n}$  of order  $|H_n| = 2^n n!$ . We say that a permutation  $\sigma \in S_{2n}$  belongs to the double coset  $\mathcal{K}_{\lambda} \in H_n \backslash S_{2n} / H_n$  if  $\sigma \tau \sigma^{-1} \tau$  has cycle structure  $\lambda = [\lambda_1 \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_s \lambda_s]$ . The double cosets  $\mathcal{K}_{\lambda}$  are naturally indexed by partitions  $\lambda \vdash n$ .

*Remark 2.19.* It is important to recall that we defined  $B_{\lambda}^{\sim}$  as the set of permutations  $\sigma \in B_n^{\sim}$  having cycle decomposition  $\lambda$ . Since  $\mathcal{K}_{\lambda}$  consists of *all* permutations  $\sigma \in S_{2n}$  such that  $\sigma \tau \sigma^{-1} \tau$  has cycle decomposition  $\lambda$ , this implies  $|\mathcal{K}_{\lambda}| = |B_{\lambda}^{\sim}| \cdot |H_n|$ .

Define  $K_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}[S_{2n}]$  as the formal sum of all elements of  $\mathcal{K}_{\lambda}$ . Then  $K_{\lambda}$  form a basis of a commutative subalgebra of  $\mathbb{C}[S_{2n}]$ , known as the double coset algebra, that identifies with the Hecke algebra of the Gelfand pair  $(S_{2n}, H_n)$ .

Denote by  $b_{\mu, \lambda}^{\mu} = [K_{\mu}] K_{\mu} K_{\lambda}$  the coefficient at  $K_{\mu}$  in the linear expansion of  $K_{\lambda} K_{\mu}$  with respect to the basis  $K_{\lambda}$ .

The reason for introducing this machinery is due to the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.20** ([13], Lemma 3.2). *We have*

$$b_{\mu, \lambda}^{\mu} = \kappa_{\mu, \lambda}^{\mu}.$$

Recall a particular case of a “real” analogue of the Frobenius formula.

**Lemma 2.21** ([13], Lemma 3.3).

$$b_{\mu, \lambda}^{\mu} = \frac{|K_{\mu}| |K_{\lambda}|}{(2n)!} \sum_{\rho \vdash n} \chi^{2\rho}(1) \omega^{\rho}(\lambda) \omega^{\rho}(\mu) \omega^{\rho}(\mu),$$

where  $2\rho = [2\rho_1 \dots 2\rho_m]$  is a partition of  $2n$  and  $\chi^{2\rho}(1)$  is the dimension of the irreducible representation  $\chi^{2\rho}$  of  $S_{2n}$ . In addition,  $\omega^{\rho}(\mu) := \omega^{\rho}(x)$  is the value of the zonal spherical function  $\omega^{\rho}$  on any  $x$  in  $\mathcal{K}_{\mu}$  with  $\omega^{\rho}(x) = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sum_{h \in H_n} \chi^{2\rho}(xh)$ .



We now properly define the zonal polynomials

**Definition 2.22.** For  $\lambda = [1^{m_1} 2^{m_2} \dots]$  a partition of  $n$  put  $z_\lambda := \prod_i i^{m_i} m_i!$ . The zonal polynomials  $Z_\rho$  are defined by the formula

$$Z_\rho = |H_n| \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \frac{1}{z_{2\lambda}} \omega^\rho(\lambda) p_\lambda,$$

where  $p_\lambda = \prod_i p_i^{m_i}$  and  $p_i$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  power sum.

We now have all the ingredients to prove the following

**Theorem 2.23.**

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{\rho \vdash n} Z_\rho = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\circ(\lambda) p_\lambda.$$

*Proof.* Expand the right-hand side of (2.4):

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\circ(\lambda) p_\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \kappa_{\mu, \lambda}^\mu p_\lambda \quad (\text{by Eq. (2.3)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \frac{|K_\mu| |K_\lambda|}{(2n)!} \sum_{\rho \vdash n} \chi^{2\rho}(1) \omega^\rho(\lambda) \omega^\rho(\mu) \omega^\rho(\mu) p_\lambda \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.21}) \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the Hecke algebra of  $(S_{2n}, H_n)$  has the scalar product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2n}} f(\sigma) g(\sigma)$$

and for zonal spherical functions we have

$$\langle \omega^\lambda, \omega^\rho \rangle = \delta_{\lambda, \rho} \frac{(2n)!}{\chi^{2\lambda}(1)}.$$

We continue to get

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \frac{|K_\mu| |K_\lambda|}{(2n)!} \sum_{\rho \vdash n} \chi^{2\rho}(1) \omega^\rho(\lambda) \omega^\rho(\mu) \omega^\rho(\mu) p_\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \frac{|K_\lambda|}{(2n)!} \sum_{\rho \vdash n} \chi^{2\rho}(1) \omega^\rho(\lambda) \underbrace{\sum_{\mu \vdash n} |K_\mu| \omega^\rho(\mu) \omega^\rho(\mu) p_\lambda}_{=\langle \omega^\rho, \omega^\rho \rangle} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sum_{\rho \vdash n} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} |K_\lambda| \omega^\rho(\lambda) p_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

From Definition 2.22 of zonal polynomials with the help of the formula

$$|K_\lambda| = \frac{|H_n|^2}{z_{2\lambda}}$$

(see [18], Chapter VII, Section 2) we eventually get

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sum_{\rho \vdash n} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} |K_\lambda| \omega^\rho(\lambda) p_\lambda \\
&= \frac{1}{|H_n|} \sum_{\rho \vdash n} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \frac{|H_n|^2}{z_{2\lambda}} \omega^\rho(\lambda) p_\lambda \\
&= \sum_{\rho \vdash n} Z_\rho
\end{aligned}$$

completing the proof.  $\square$

To finish this section consider the polynomials

$$P_n^\circ(\mathbf{p}) := \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\circ(\lambda) p_\lambda,$$

where  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots)$ , and arrange them into the generating function

$$\mathcal{P}^\circ(\mathbf{p}, t) = \sum_n P_n^\circ(\mathbf{p}) t^n.$$

This generating function enumerates *all* real origami that are not necessarily connected. To get the generating function counting only *connected* real origami (as claimed in Definition 2.1) it is sufficient to take the logarithm:

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{p}, t) := \log \mathcal{P}^\circ(\mathbf{p}, t).$$

Denote by  $P_n = P_n(\mathbf{p})$  the coefficient of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{p}, t)$  at  $t^n$ . Then the coefficient of  $P_n$  by the monomial  $p_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \vdash n$ , gives the number of *connected* real origami of degree  $2n$  with ramification profile  $\lambda = [\lambda_1 \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_2 \dots]$  (counted with weights reciprocal to the orders of their automorphism groups).

An advantage of Theorem 2.23 is that it provides a reasonably fast algorithm for counting origami. Appendix A contains the list of polynomials  $P_n$  up to  $n = 13$  (i.e. up to degree 26).

### 3. COMPLEX ORIGAMI AND QUASIMODULARITY

In this section we provide a relatively fast algorithm for enumeration of complex origami in the spirit of Theorem 2.23<sup>1</sup>. We then express complex origami in terms of strictly monotone double Hurwitz numbers, and recover the quasimodularity of their generating function.

#### 3.1. Complex origami.

**Definition 3.1.** An origami  $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda, n}$  is a (possibly disconnected) finite cover  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} \oplus i\mathbb{Z})$  of degree  $n$ , branched only over the origin 0 with ramification profile  $\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \vdash n$ .

Equivalently, an origami  $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda, n}$  is a pair of permutations  $(\sigma, \rho)$  in  $S_n$  such that the commutator  $[\sigma, \rho] = \sigma \rho \sigma^{-1} \rho^{-1}$  belongs to the conjugacy class  $C_\lambda$  in  $S_n$ ; see [16] for details.

<sup>1</sup>What we call complex origami are the ordinary ones, but we emphasize their difference from the “real” origami introduced earlier in the article.

We are interested in the enumeration of isomorphism classes of origami, and to this purpose define the following numbers:

$$h_{\lambda,n}^{\circ} = \frac{1}{n!} \#\{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda,n}\}.$$

These numbers can be computed via the classical representation theory of the symmetric group  $S_n$ . To this end, let  $\lambda$  be a Young diagram drawn in English notation. Pick up a box  $\square$  and denote by  $a(\square)$  the *arm-length* of  $\square$  defined as the number of boxes lying strictly to the right of  $\square$ . Similarly denote by  $\ell(\square)$  the *leg-length* the number of boxes lying strictly below  $\square$ . We define  $d^\lambda$  the hook product of  $\lambda$  as

$$d_\lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{\square \in \lambda} (a(\square) + \ell(\square) + 1).$$

The quantity  $d^\lambda$  has a well known representation theoretic interpretation, namely

$$d_\lambda = \frac{|\lambda|!}{\chi^\lambda(1)}$$

where  $|\lambda| = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots$  and  $\chi^\lambda(1)$  is the dimension of the irreducible representation of  $S_n$  indexed by  $\lambda$  (see [21]). The following proposition can be found in [21] exercise 7.68. For completeness we provide here a proof.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Denote by  $p_i$  the  $i^{\text{th}}$  power sum, and by  $s_i$  the  $i^{\text{th}}$  Schur polynomial. For a partition  $\lambda = [\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots]$  put  $p_\lambda = p_{\lambda_1} p_{\lambda_2} \dots$  and  $s_\lambda = s_{\lambda_1} s_{\lambda_2} \dots$ . Then the following equality holds:*

$$(3.1) \quad Q_n^{\circ}(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} d_\lambda s_\lambda = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} h_{\lambda,n}^{\circ} p_\lambda.$$

In particular, Formula (3.1) gives an expansion of normalized Schur polynomials in terms of the power sums.

*Proof.* The proof of (3.1) is based on the Frobenius formula<sup>2</sup> that we recall now. Denote by

$\mathcal{N}(C_{\lambda_1}, \dots, C_{\lambda_k}, C_\rho) = \#\{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k, \omega) \in C_{\lambda_1} \times \dots \times C_{\lambda_k} \times C_\rho \mid \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_k = \omega\}$ , where  $C_{\lambda_i}$ 's are arbitrary conjugacy classes in  $S_n$  and  $C_\rho$  is fixed. Then the Frobenius formula states that

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{N}(C_{\lambda_1}, \dots, C_{\lambda_k}, C_\rho) = \frac{|C_{\lambda_1}| \dots |C_{\lambda_k}|}{n!} \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \frac{\chi^\mu(C_{\lambda_1}) \dots \chi^\mu(C_{\lambda_k}) \chi^\mu(C_\rho)}{\chi^\mu(1)^{k-1}},$$

where  $\chi^\mu$  is the character of the irreducible representation indexed by  $\mu$  of dimension  $\chi^\mu(1)$ , and  $\chi^\mu(C_\lambda)$  is its value on the conjugacy class  $C_\lambda$ .

We refer to [17], Appendix A, for a proof and further details.

For a given  $\sigma \in S_n$  define

$$N(\sigma) = \#\{(\alpha, \beta) \in S_n \times S_n \mid \alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1} = \sigma\}.$$

The function  $N$ , the sum of all commutators, is a function class on  $S_n$ , i.e constant on the conjugacy classes, and thus can be expressed in terms of characters of  $S_n$ .

<sup>2</sup>It is also called Burnside formula in the literature.

Note that  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha^{-1}$  are in the same conjugacy class, say  $C_\lambda$ . If  $\hat{\alpha}$  is a fixed conjugate of  $\alpha^{-1}$ , then there are  $\frac{n!}{|C_\lambda|}$  elements  $\beta \in S_n$  such that  $\hat{\alpha} = \beta\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}$ , giving

$$N(\sigma) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \frac{n!}{|C_\lambda|} \#\{(\alpha, \hat{\alpha}) \in C_\lambda \times C_\lambda \mid \alpha\hat{\alpha} = \sigma\}.$$

Using Formula (3.2), we get

$$\#\{(\alpha, \hat{\alpha}) \in C_\lambda \times C_\lambda \mid \alpha\hat{\alpha} = \sigma\} = \frac{|C_\lambda|^2}{n!} \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \frac{\chi^\mu(C_\lambda)\chi^\mu(C_\lambda)\chi^\mu(\sigma)}{\chi^\mu(1)},$$

that implies

$$N = \sum_{\lambda} |C_\lambda| \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \frac{\chi^\mu(C_\lambda)\chi^\mu(C_\lambda)\chi^\mu}{\chi^\mu(1)}.$$

The group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[S_n]$  carries an inner product given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} f(\sigma)g(\sigma).$$

From there we get that

$$\langle N, \chi^\mu \rangle = \frac{1}{\chi^\mu(1)} \sum_{\lambda} |C_\lambda| \chi^\mu(C_\lambda)^2 = \frac{n!}{\chi^\mu(1)} = d_\mu$$

by the orthogonality of the characters.

The Frobenius characteristic map  $ch$  from the space of class functions  $f$  to the space of symmetric polynomials is given by

$$ch(f) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} f(\sigma) p_{c(\sigma)} = \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \frac{1}{z_\mu} f(\mu) p_\mu,$$

where  $c(\sigma)$  stands for the cycle type of  $\sigma \in S_n$ ,  $\mu = [1^{m_1} 2^{m_2} \dots]$ , and  $z_\mu = \prod i^{m_i} m_i!$ . Using the formula for the Schur polynomials

$$s_\lambda = \sum_{\mu} \frac{1}{z_\mu} \chi^\lambda(\mu) p_\mu,$$

we finally get the following equality (that is actually true for any class function of  $S_n$ )

$$ch(N) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \langle N, \chi^\lambda \rangle s_\lambda$$

finishing the proof.  $\square$

Consider now the generating function

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{p}, t)^\circ := \sum_n \mathcal{Q}_n^\circ(\mathbf{p}) t^n = \sum_n \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} h_{\lambda, n}^\circ p_\lambda t^n.$$

Its logarithm

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{p}, t) := \log \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{p}, t)^\circ = \sum_n \mathcal{Q}_n(\mathbf{p}) t^n$$

enumerates *connected* complex origami. More precisely, the coefficient

$$Q_n(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} h_{\lambda,n} p_\lambda$$

is a homogeneous polynomial in  $p_1, p_2, \dots$  of degree  $n$ , where  $p_i$  has degree  $i$ . The number  $h_{\lambda,n}$  counts the origami of degree  $n$  and ramification profile  $\lambda \vdash n$  with weights reciprocal to the orders of their groups of automorphisms.

Proposition 3.2 allows us to compute the polynomials  $Q_n$  relatively fast (it took about 40 min. of an average PC time to get  $Q_n$  up to  $n = 30$ ). The first 15 polynomials are listed in Appendix B<sup>3</sup>.

**3.2. Enumeration by degree and genus.** A simple specialization of (3.3) provides the enumeration of origami by genus and degree. Under the substitution  $p_i \mapsto x^{i-1}$  we have

$$Q_n(\mathbf{p})|_{p_i=x^{i-1}} = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} h_{\lambda,n} \prod_{i=1}^k x^{\lambda_i-1},$$

where  $\lambda = [\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_k]$  is a partition of  $n$  of length  $k = \ell(\lambda)$ . Regrouping the terms in the right hand side of the above formula and taking the Riemann-Hurwitz formula into account, we get

$$Q_n(\mathbf{p})|_{p_i=x^{i-1}} = \sum_{g \geq 1, n \geq 1} H_{g,n} x^{2g-2},$$

where

$$H_{g,n} = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash n \\ \ell(\lambda) = n+2-2g}} h_{\lambda,n}$$

is the number of connected origami of degree  $n$  and genus  $g$ ; see Tables 1 to 4 at the end of the paper for the results up to degree 30 and genus 15.

**3.3. Quasimodularity of generating functions.** As it is proven in [7] the generating functions  $\mathcal{Q}_g(t) = \sum_n H_{g,n} t^n$  are quasimodular for any fixed  $g \geq 2$ . Here we describe a different approach to the quasimodularity of these generating functions. To do it, we will relate them to the results of [11] using the unique decomposition of an arbitrary permutation into a product of strictly monotone transpositions.

To be more precise, a sequence of transpositions  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k$ ,  $\tau_i = (a_i, b_i)$ , is called *strictly monotone* if  $a_i < b_i$  and  $b_i < b_{i+1}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Introduce the numbers  $H_{g,n}^\sim$  by the formula

$$(3.4) \quad H_{g,n}^\sim = \frac{1}{n!} \# \{(\sigma, \rho, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{2g-2}) \mid \tau_i \in C_{[2, 1^{n-2}]}, (\sigma, \rho) \in S_n \times S_n \text{ and } \sigma \rho \sigma^{-1} \rho^{-1} \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{2g-2} = id\},$$

where the sequence of transpositions  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{2g-2}$

- is strictly monotone, and
- $\langle \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{2g-2}, \sigma, \rho \rangle$  acts transitively on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ .

The numbers  $H_{g,n}^\sim$  are known in the literature as (a special case of) connected double strictly monotone Hurwitz numbers.

The following fact was established in [11]:

<sup>3</sup>The coefficients  $h_{\lambda,n}$  with  $\lambda$  of the form  $[2i+1, 1^j]$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , and  $2i+1+j \leq n \leq 15$  were previously computed in [6] by a different method and agree with our results.

**Theorem 3.3** ([11], Thm 4.4). *For a fixed genus  $g \geq 2$  the generating function*

$$\mathcal{Q}_g^\sim(t) = \sum_n H_{g,n}^\sim t^n$$

*is a quasimodular form of mixed weight not larger than  $6g - 6$ .*<sup>4</sup>

Quasimodularity of the generating function  $\mathcal{Q}_g(t) = \sum_n H_{g,n} t^n$  is then an immediate consequence of

**Theorem 3.4.** *In the notation as above, we have*

$$H_{g,n}^\sim = H_{g,n}.$$

*Proof.* The proof is based on

**Lemma 3.5** ([3], Lemma 2.5). *Each permutation in  $S_n$  can be uniquely decomposed into the product of a strictly monotone sequence of transpositions. Moreover, if the permutation has cycle type  $\lambda$ , then the number of transpositions is  $n - \ell(\lambda)$ , where  $\ell(\lambda)$  is the length of the partition  $\lambda$ .*

In combinatorial terms, the enumeration of origami is given by

$$(3.5) \quad n! \cdot H_{g,n} = \#\{(\sigma, \rho) \in S_n \times S_n \mid \sigma \rho \sigma^{-1} \rho^{-1} = \omega\}$$

with a permutation  $\omega$  in some fixed conjugacy class  $C_\lambda$  of  $S_n$  where  $\lambda = [\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k]$ . By lemma 3.5, we can further rewrite (3.5) as

$$n! \cdot H_{g,n} = \#\{(\sigma, \rho) \in S_n \times S_n \mid \sigma \rho \sigma^{-1} \rho^{-1} = \tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-\ell(\lambda)}\}$$

in a *unique* way, where  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-\ell(\lambda)}$  is a strictly increasing sequence of transpositions. Furthermore,  $n - \ell(\lambda) = 2g - 2$  by the Riemann–Hurwitz formula, so that the right hand side in (3.5) becomes the definition (3.4) of  $H_{g,n}^\sim$ , thus completing the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. ORIGAMI AND JACK FUNCTIONS

Here we observe how the Jack symmetric functions interpolate between the complex and real origamis count.

We introduce the Jack functions following [18]. First, define a partial order on the set of partitions given by

$$\lambda \leq \mu \Leftrightarrow |\lambda| = |\mu| \text{ and } \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_i \leq \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_i \text{ for } i \geq 1$$

Denote by  $\Lambda$  the algebra of symmetric functions with rational coefficient. For any partition  $\mu$  we denote by  $m_\mu$  the monomial symmetric function, by  $p_\mu$  the power sum function and by  $s_\mu$  the Schur function associated to the partition  $\mu$ . For  $\alpha$  an indeterminate let  $\Lambda^\alpha := \mathbb{Q}[\alpha] \otimes \Lambda$  the algebra of symmetric function with rational coefficient in  $\alpha$ . We denote by  $\langle, \rangle_\alpha$  the  $\alpha$ -deformation of the Hall scalar product defined by

$$\langle p_\lambda, p_\mu \rangle = z_\lambda \alpha^{\ell(\lambda)} \delta_{\lambda, \mu}$$

where  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are partitions,  $z_\lambda$  is given by Definition 2.22, and  $\ell(\lambda)$  is the number of parts of the partition  $\lambda$ .

**Definition 4.1** ([18] Chapter VI.10). There exists a unique family of symmetric functions  $J_\lambda^{(\alpha)} \in \Lambda^\alpha$ , called the Jack functions, indexed by partitions and satisfying the following properties

<sup>4</sup>What we denote by  $H_{g,n}^\sim$  is denoted by  $H_{0,0,2g-2}^{g,d}()$  in [11].

- orthogonality:  $\langle J_\lambda^{(\alpha)}, J_\mu^{(\alpha)} \rangle = 0$  for  $\lambda \neq \mu$ ,
- triangularity:  $[m_\mu] J_\lambda^{(\alpha)} = 0$  except if  $\mu \leq \lambda$ ,
- normalization:  $[m_{1^n}] J_\lambda^{(\alpha)} = n!$  for  $\lambda \vdash n$ ,

where  $[m_\mu] J_\lambda^{(\alpha)}$  denotes the coefficient by the monomial  $m_\mu$  in  $J_\lambda^{(\alpha)}$ , and  $[1^n]$  is the partition with  $n$  parts equal to 1.

In particular, for  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\alpha = 2$ , the Jack polynomials are given by

$$J_\lambda^{(1)} = d_\lambda s_\lambda \text{ and } J_\lambda^{(2)} = Z_\lambda.$$

It was proved in Section 2 that the expansion in terms of power sums of  $\sum_n \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} J_\lambda^{(2)} t^n$  is the generating function  $\mathcal{P}^\circ(t, \mathbf{p})$  for (possibly disconnected) real origami. Respectively, in Section 3 we have shown that the expansion in terms of power sums of  $\sum_n \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} J_\lambda^{(1)} t^n$  is the generating function  $\mathcal{Q}^\circ(t, \mathbf{p})$  for (possibly disconnected) complex origami. Taking their logarithm we get the generating functions that enumerate *connected* origamis, both real and complex. Thus, we arrive at

**Proposition 4.2.** *The Jack polynomials interpolate between the numbers of real and complex origamis.*

Precisely, it means that the generating functions for the numbers of real and complex origamis admit identical expressions in terms of the Jack polynomials that differ only by the value of the parameter  $\alpha$ .

Let  $b := \alpha - 1$  and define the following expansion in terms of power sums depending on the parameter  $b$ :

$$\mathcal{R}(t, \mathbf{p}; b) := \log \left( \sum_n \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} J_\lambda^{(b)} t^n \right) = \sum_n \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} r_\lambda(b) p_\lambda t^n;$$

in particular,  $\mathcal{R}(t, \mathbf{p}; 0) = \mathcal{Q}(t, \mathbf{p})$  and  $\mathcal{R}(t, \mathbf{p}; 1) = \mathcal{P}(t, \mathbf{p})$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{R}_\mu(t, \mathbf{p}; 0)$  the generating function for the numbers of complex connected origami in  $\mathcal{H}(\mu_1 - 1, \dots, \mu_k - 1)$ . Similarly, denote by  $\mathcal{R}_\mu(t, \mathbf{p}; 1)$  the generating function for the numbers of connected real origami in  $\mathcal{H}(\mu_1 - 1, \mu_1 - 1, \dots, \mu_k - 1, \mu_k - 1)$ . (For example,  $\mathcal{R}_{[2]}(t, \mathbf{p}; 1)$  is computed in Theorem 2.7.)

By [7]  $\mathcal{R}_\mu(t, \mathbf{p}; 0)$  is a quasimodular form for any  $\mu$ . If Conjecture 2.10 is true, then

**Conjecture 4.3.** *The generating series  $\mathcal{R}_\mu(t, \mathbf{p}; b)$  interpolates between the quasimodular forms given by the generating functions for the numbers of connected complex origamis belonging to  $\mathcal{H}(\mu_1 - 1, \dots, \mu_k - 1)$  and the quantum modular forms given by the generating function for the numbers of connected real origamis belonging to  $\mathcal{H}(\mu_1 - 1, \mu_1 - 1, \dots, \mu_k - 1, \mu_k - 1)$ .*

We finish this paper with two open questions. The first one has the flavor of the  $b$ -conjecture from [10] (see [2] for recent results).

**Open question 4.4.** *Is it possible to interpret the coefficients  $r_\lambda(b)$  of the series  $\mathcal{R}(t, \mathbf{p}; b)$  in terms of origami count for other values of  $b$ ?*

The second open question is related to integrable hierarchies. Recall that

$$\frac{1}{d_\lambda} = d_\lambda^{-1} = s_\lambda(1, 0, 0, \dots)$$

where  $d_\lambda$  is the hook product and  $s_\lambda(1, 0, 0, \dots)$  is a specialization of the Schur function.

The following facts are well known:

**Proposition 4.5.** *The series*

$$\tau_{-1}(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{\lambda} d_{\lambda}^{-1} s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{p})$$

*is a tau function of the KP (Kadomtsev–Petviashvili) integrable hierarchy, see [20], while the series*

$$\tau_0(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{\lambda} d_{\lambda}^0 s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{p})$$

*is a tau function of the large BKP integrable hierarchy, see [14] and [19], Section 5.2.*

This raises the following natural question:

**Open question 4.6.** *Consider the series  $\tau_c(\mathbf{p}) := \sum_{\lambda} d_{\lambda}^c s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{p})$  for a general integer  $c$ . Is it related to any integrable hierarchy? (For  $c = 1$  this is the generating function for the numbers of complex origami, see Proposition 3.2.)*

**Acknowledgments.** The authors thank Marvin Anas Hahn and Hannah Markwig for their detailed explanations of the results from [11] and [12]. We also extend our gratitude to Maxim Kazarian, Maksim Karev, Sergei Lando, Alexander Orlov and Anton Zorich for stimulating discussions. The first author is grateful to the Steklov Mathematical Institute in St. Petersburg for its hospitality during the preparation of this work.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Burman, R. Fesler, Ribbon decomposition and twisted Hurwitz numbers *Mathematics Research Reports, Vol. 5 (2024) p. 1-19*
- [2] G. Chapuy and M. Dołęga, Non-orientable branched coverings, b-Hurwitz numbers, and positivity for multiparametric Jack expansions, *Adv. Math.* 409 (2022), 108645
- [3] G. Borot, S. Charbonnier, N. Do, E. Garcia-Failde Relating ordinary and fully simple maps via monotone Hurwitz numbers. *Elect. J. Comb.* 26 3 (2019), 3-43
- [4] R. Cavalieri, E. Miles. *Riemann Surfaces and Algebraic Curves: A First Course in Hurwitz Theory.* London Mathematical Society Student Texts Book 87 (2016).
- [5] V. Delecroix, Q. Gendron and C. Matheus. Combinatorics of square-tiled surfaces and geometry of moduli spaces, Lecture notes of a minicourse at the IMPA–ICTP online summer school “Aritmética, Grupos y Análisis (AGRA) IV”.
- [6] M. Dudina, Enumeration of Origami Curves, Graduation thesis, HSE University, under supervision of S. Lando (2016).
- [7] A. Eskin, A. Okounkov, Asymptotics of numbers of branched coverings of a torus and volumes of moduli spaces of holomorphic differentials. *Invent. Math.* 145, 59–103 (2001).
- [8] E. Goujard, M. Möller, Counting Feynman-like graphs: Quasimodularity and Siegel–Veech weight. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* 22 (2020), no. 2, pp. 365–412
- [9] I.P. Goulden and D.M. Jackson. Maps in locally orientable surfaces, the double coset algebra, and zonal polynomials. *Canadian J. Math.* 48(3):569–584, 1996.
- [10] I. P. Goulden and D. M. Jackson. Connection coefficients, matchings, maps and combinatorial conjectures for Jack symmetric functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 348(3):873–892, 1996.
- [11] M. Anas Hahn, J.W. van Ittersum and F. Leid. Triply mixed coverings of arbitrary base curves: Quasimodularity, quantum curves and a mysterious topological recursions. in *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré D* 9.2 (2022), p. 239-296.
- [12] M. Anas Hahn, H. Markwig. Tropical twisted Hurwitz numbers for elliptic curves, arXiv: 2403.00333



- [13] P. J. Hanlon, R. P. Stanley, and J. R. Stembridge, Some combinatorial aspects of the spectra of normally distributed random matrices, *Contemporary Math.* 138(1992), 151—174
- [14] V. Kac and J. van de Leur, The geometry of spinors and the multicomponent BKP and DKP hierarchies, CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes, vol. 14, 1998
- [15] G. Hardy, E. Wright. An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, 5th ed. Oxford, England: Oxford University Press, 1979.
- [16] J. Kremer, Invariants of complex and p-adic origami curves, KIT-Bibliothek, Karlsruhe, 2009.
- [17] S. Lando and A. Zvonkin. Graphs on Surfaces and Their Applications. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 141, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [18] I. G. Macdonald. Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials, Second edition. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 348(3), 1995.
- [19] S. Natanzon, . and A. Orlov, BKP and projective Hurwitz numbers. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, 2017, vol. 107, no 6, p. 1065-1109.
- [20] A. Okounkov. Toda equations for Hurwitz numbers, *Math. Res. Letters* 7 (2000), pp. 447–453.
- [21] R. Stanley Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 2, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics,
- [22] D. Zagier, Elliptic modular forms and their applications, in: The 1-2-3 of modular forms, Universitext, Springer, Berlin, 2008, p. 1–103.
- [23] D. Zagier, Quantum modular forms. *Quanta of Maths*, 11, 5, (2010) p. 659-675
- [24] A. Zorich . Square Tiled Surfaces and Teichmüller Volumes of the Moduli Spaces of Abelian Differentials. In: Burger, M., Iozzi, A. (eds) *Rigidity in Dynamics and Geometry*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (2002).
- [25] A. Zorich, Geometry and dynamics in moduli spaces, Lecture notes of a course at Université Paris Diderot (2023)

## APPENDIX A

The first 13 polynomials  $P_n$  for real origami count.

$$P_1 = p_1$$

$$P_2 = \frac{3p_1^2}{2} + p_2$$

$$P_3 = \frac{4}{3}p_1^3 + 3p_1p_2 + 8p_3$$

$$P_4 = \frac{7}{4}p_1^4 + 7p_1^2p_2 + 26p_1p_3 + \frac{35}{2}p_2^2 + 36p_4$$

$$P_5 = 10p_1^3p_2 + 74p_1^2p_3 + 63p_1p_2^2 + 180p_1p_4 + 128p_2p_3 + 360p_5 + \frac{6}{5}p_1^5$$

$$P_6 = 790p_1p_2p_3 + 19p_1^4p_2 + 132p_1^3p_3 + \frac{421}{2}p_1^2p_2^2 + 510p_1^2p_4 + 2072p_1p_5 + 1256p_2p_4 + 3364p_6 + 2p_1^6 + \frac{292}{3}p_2^3 + 748p_3^2$$

$$P_7 = 2616p_1^2p_2p_3 + 9368p_1p_2p_4 + 21p_1^5p_2 + 250p_1^4p_3 + 425p_1^3p_2^2 + 1232p_1^3p_4 + 667p_1p_3^2 + 7212p_1^2p_5 + 4320p_1p_2^3 + 3228p_2^2p_3 + 23272p_1p_6 + 14268p_2p_5 + 12140p_3p_4 + 43008p_7 + \frac{8}{7}p_1^7$$

$$P_8 = 7152p_1^3p_2p_3 + 37308p_1^2p_2p_4 + 25116p_1p_2^2p_3 + 111148p_1p_2p_5 + 98212p_1p_3p_4 + 35p_1^6p_2 + 390p_1^5p_3 + \frac{1845}{2}p_1^4p_2^2 + 2404p_1^4p_4 + 2773p_1^2p_2^3 + 18452p_1^3p_5 + 18018p_1^2p_3^2 + 91512p_1^2p_6 + 37360p_2^2p_4 + 31480p_2p_3^2 + 338616p_1p_7 + 200372p_2p_6 + 159096p_3p_5 + 595872p_8 + \frac{15}{8}p_1^8 + \frac{7855}{4}p_2^4 + 81300p_4^2$$

$$P_9 = 15480p_1^4p_2p_3 + 109640p_1^3p_2p_4 + 115154p_1^2p_2^2p_3 + 505236p_1^2p_2p_5 + 427408p_1^2p_3p_4 + 322216p_1p_2^2p_4 + 289148p_1p_2p_3^2 + 1792892p_1p_2p_6 + 1443088p_1p_3p_5 + 913052p_2p_3p_4 + 39p_1^7p_2 + 572p_1^6p_3 + 1523p_1^5p_2^2 + 4336p_1^5p_4 + 7985p_1^3p_2^3 + 42600p_1^4p_5 + 50336p_1^3p_3^2 + 14263p_1p_2^4 + 274412p_1^3p_6 + 73072p_2^3p_3 + 1514640p_1^2p_7 + 689124p_1p_4^2 + 552924p_2^2p_5 + 5316368p_1p_8 + 3079760p_2p_7 + 2399024p_3p_6 + 2176784p_4p_5 + 9732480p_9 + \frac{13}{9}p_1^9 + \frac{415568}{3}p_3^3$$

$$P_{10} = 31880p_1^5p_2p_3 + 276200p_1^4p_2p_4 + 381852p_1^3p_2^2p_3 + 1667396p_1^3p_2p_5 + 1438732p_1^3p_3p_4 + 1612012p_1^2p_2^2p_4 + 1435712p_1^2p_2p_3^2 + 736416p_1p_2^3p_3 + 8847740p_1^2p_2p_6 + 7208984p_1^2p_3p_5 + 5485904p_1p_2^2p_5 + 30502912p_1p_2p_7 + 23714864p_1p_3p_6 + 21771640p_1p_4p_5 + 14693000p_2p_3p_5 + 175455360p_{10} + 56p_1^8p_2 + 854p_1^7p_3 + 2713p_1^6p_2^2 + 7260p_1^6p_4 + 20654p_1^4p_2^3 + 82140p_1^5p_5 + 128886p_1^4p_3^2 + \frac{149129}{2}p_1^2p_2^4 + 674208p_1^4p_6 + 4998640p_1^3p_7 + 3460860p_1^2p_4^2 + 1322144p_1p_3^3 + 1122384p_2^3p_4 + 1581772p_2^2p_3^2 + 26393184p_1^2p_8 + 8987972p_2^2p_6 + 6735424p_2p_4^2 + 6003272p_3^2p_4 + 96544960p_1p_9 + 54907152p_2p_8 + 41882080p_3p_7 + 36556576p_4p_6 + 9129800p_1p_2p_3p_4 + \frac{9}{5}p_1^{10} + \frac{134316}{5}p_2^5 + 17986368p_5^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{11} = & 55p_1^9p_2 + 1140p_1^8p_3 + 3870p_1^7p_2^2 + 11384p_1^7p_4 + 43404p_1^5p_2^3 + 153484p_1^6p_5 + 272328p_1^5p_3^2 + 264251p_1^3p_4^4 + 1491220p_1^5p_6 + 300805p_1p_5^5 + \\
& 13705008p_1^4p_7 + 12392112p_1^3p_4^2 + 7385264p_1^2p_3^3 + 2122172p_2^4p_3 + 96366464p_1^3p_8 + 19973912p_2^3p_5 + 14883776p_2p_3^3 + 528511152p_1^2p_9 + \\
& 193616576p_1p_5^2 + 173402912p_2^2p_7 + 108350608p_2^2p_5 + 101430112p_3p_4^2 + 1918857600p_1p_{10} + 1076045456p_2p_9 + 807949616p_3p_8 + \\
& 692682192p_4p_7 + 648080528p_5p_6 + 3538971648p_{11} + 12584624p_1p_2^2p_4 + 16850520p_1p_2^2p_3^2 + 167234832p_1^2p_2p_7 + 130549184p_1^2p_3p_6 + \\
& 118145736p_1^2p_4p_5 + 98793352p_1p_2^2p_6 + 74388360p_1p_2p_4^2 + 66080032p_1p_2^2p_4 + 50078040p_2^2p_3p_4 + 600663776p_1p_2p_8 + 458233888p_1p_3p_7 + \\
& 402046336p_1p_4p_6 + 270568528p_2p_3p_6 + 243473664p_2p_4p_5 + 56242p_1^6p_2p_3 + 600920p_1^5p_2p_4 + 1035758p_1^4p_2^2p_3 + 4587924p_1^4p_2p_5 + \\
& 3874532p_1^4p_3p_4 + 5861024p_1^3p_2^2p_4 + 5235648p_1^3p_2p_3^2 + 3974286p_1^2p_2^2p_3 + 32531652p_1^3p_2p_6 + 26243392p_1^3p_3p_5 + 29801064p_1^2p_2^2p_5 + \\
& 49884592p_1^2p_2p_3p_4 + 158652640p_1p_2p_3p_5 + \frac{12}{11}p_1^{11}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{12} = & 91p_1^{10}p_2 + 1540p_1^9p_3 + \frac{12915}{2}p_1^8p_2^2 + 16998p_1^8p_4 + \frac{273205}{3}p_1^6p_2^3 + 257756p_1^7p_5 + 555712p_1^6p_3^2 + \frac{3223801}{4}p_1^4p_4^4 + 2943628p_1^6p_6 + 1816638p_1^2p_5^5 + \\
& 32613208p_1^5p_7 + 37407906p_1^4p_4^2 + \frac{88100192}{3}p_1^3p_3^3 + 287566896p_1^4p_8 + 38271412p_2^4p_4 + 66631804p_2^3p_3^2 + 2104344944p_1^3p_9 + 1162388176p_1^2p_5^2 + \\
& 407596652p_3^3p_6 + 462537480p_2^2p_4^2 + 11464289616p_1^2p_{10} + 3642334416p_2^2p_8 + 2331019824p_2p_5^2 + 2175531184p_2^3p_6 + 42258213120p_1p_{11} + \\
& 23426252496p_2p_{10} + 17367281152p_3p_9 + 14652355776p_4p_8 + 13389488640p_5p_7 + 78107489280p_{12} + 2404196128p_1^2p_4p_6 + 2066035248p_1p_2^2p_7 + \\
& 1294670016p_1p_2^2p_5 + 1207490320p_1p_3p_4^2 + 972100840p_2^2p_3p_5 + 815030648p_2p_2^2p_4 + 12846295888p_1p_2p_9 + 9642384528p_1p_3p_8 + \\
& 8261151696p_1p_4p_7 + 7758064752p_1p_5p_6 + 5551682880p_2p_3p_7 + 4888199952p_2p_4p_6 + 3887608864p_3p_4p_5 + 445351672p_1^2p_2p_4^2 + \\
& 394132080p_1^2p_2^2p_4 + 237898672p_1p_2^2p_5 + 178529760p_1p_2p_3^2 + 3586570032p_1^2p_2p_8 + 2733056752p_1^2p_3p_7 + 519616368p_1^3p_3p_6 + 472997216p_1^3p_4p_5 + \\
& 590228172p_1^2p_2^2p_6 + 78639768p_1^4p_3p_5 + 118718688p_1^3p_2^2p_5 + 75443516p_1^2p_2^2p_4 + 101222238p_1^2p_2^2p_3^2 + 25292562p_1p_2^4p_3 + 664565320p_1^3p_2p_7 + \\
& 96810356p_1^4p_2p_6 + 99792p_1^7p_2p_3 + 1200424p_1^6p_2p_4 + 2515692p_1^5p_2^2p_3 + 10940516p_1^5p_2p_5 + 9358988p_1^5p_3p_4 + 17593430p_1^4p_2^2p_4 + 15764100p_1^4p_2p_3^2 + \\
& 15975672p_1^3p_2^2p_3 + 199191488p_1^3p_2p_3p_4 + 949890208p_1^2p_2p_3p_5 + 598894168p_1p_2^2p_3p_4 + 3236864904p_1p_2p_3p_6 + 2909892120p_1p_2p_4p_5 + \frac{7}{3}p_1^{12} + \\
& \frac{2052388}{3}p_2^6 + 61638120p_3^4 + 608665728p_4^3 + 6554232744p_6^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{13} = & 643859068p_1^4p_2p_3p_4 + 4093431816p_1^3p_2p_3p_5 + 3874065256p_1^2p_2^2p_3p_4 + 20914479904p_1^2p_2p_3p_6 + 18842052576p_1^2p_2p_4p_5 + \\
& 12645149408p_1p_2^2p_3p_5 + 10553645728p_1p_2p_3^2p_4 + 71992619712p_1p_2p_3p_7 + 63054973696p_1p_2p_4p_6 + 50445809472p_1p_3p_4p_5 + 78p_1^{11}p_2 + \\
& 1958p_1^{10}p_3 + 8305p_1^9p_2^2 + 24524p_1^9p_4 + 160354p_1^7p_2^3 + 423924p_1^8p_5 + 1000824p_1^7p_2^3 + 2044805p_1^5p_2^4 + 5497112p_1^7p_6 + 7777788p_1^3p_2^5 + 70668936p_1^6p_7 + \\
& 95736936p_1^5p_4^2 + 95413672p_1^4p_3^3 + 8293615p_1p_2^6 + 745897520p_1^5p_8 + 65634668p_2^5p_3 + 6818573984p_1^4p_9 + 4991110064p_1^3p_5^2 + 783593872p_1p_3^4 + \\
& 796611148p_2^4p_5 + 1180544128p_2^3p_3^3 + 49520174800p_1^3p_{10} + 7879041744p_1p_4^3 + 9058317248p_2^3p_7 + 4712743184p_3^3p_4 + 273753243648p_1^2p_{11} + \\
& 84485536624p_1p_6^2 + 84858380624p_2^2p_9 + 48592010112p_2^2p_7 + 40792326592p_3p_5^2 + 38243343808p_4^2p_5 + 1011362247168p_1p_{12} + \\
& 555386417280p_2p_{11} + 407551789696p_3p_{10} + 339652019200p_4p_9 + 305715407616p_5p_8 + 291371529472p_6p_7 + 189776794816p_1p_4p_8 + \\
& 173644723456p_1p_5p_7 + 127364328048p_2p_3p_8 + 109256967056p_2p_4p_7 + 101982926496p_2p_5p_6 + 85032513488p_3p_4p_6 + 155782p_1^8p_2p_3 + \\
& 2214512p_1^7p_2p_4 + 5344350p_1^6p_2^2p_3 + 23698592p_1^6p_2p_5 + 19983660p_1^6p_3p_4 + 45421680p_1^5p_2^2p_4 + 40577388p_1^5p_2p_3^2 + 51305366p_1^4p_2^3p_3 + \\
& 251938712p_1^5p_2p_6 + 203127576p_1^5p_3p_5 + 384416636p_1^4p_2^2p_5 + 324867016p_1^3p_2^3p_4 + 434306912p_1^3p_2^2p_3^2 + 164214258p_1^2p_2^4p_3 + 2158625288p_1^4p_2p_7 + \\
& 1685821112p_1^4p_3p_6 + 1523696152p_1^4p_4p_5 + 2549409104p_1^3p_2^2p_6 + 1920972056p_1^3p_2p_4^2 + 1706890192p_1^3p_3^2p_4 + 1545765412p_1^2p_2^3p_5 + \\
& 1149514008p_1^2p_2p_3^3 + 487600060p_1p_2^4p_4 + 867439976p_1p_2^3p_3^2 + 15497789280p_1^3p_2p_8 + 11829753456p_1^3p_3p_7 + 10378483712p_1^3p_4p_6 + \\
& 13411499296p_1^2p_2^2p_7 + 8373969184p_1^2p_3^2p_5 + 7847449728p_1^2p_3p_4^2 + 5260464648p_1p_2^3p_6 + 5933482352p_1p_2^2p_4^2 + 2655872524p_2^3p_3p_4 + \\
& 83233125264p_1^2p_2p_9 + 62485128224p_1^2p_3p_8 + 53613891552p_1^2p_4p_7 + 50122945456p_1^2p_5p_6 + 47173787152p_1p_2^2p_8 + 30266786896p_1p_2p_5^2 + \\
& 28040715136p_1p_3^2p_6 + 21147875680p_2^2p_3p_6 + 19049391216p_2^2p_4p_5 + 16931248224p_2p_3^2p_5 + 15881677056p_2p_3p_4^2 + 303335034544p_1p_2p_{10} + \\
& 224865824832p_1p_3p_9 + \frac{14}{13}p_1^{13} + 1883040929280p_{13}
\end{aligned}$$

## APPENDIX B

The first 15 polynomials  $Q_n$  for complex origami count.

$$Q_1 = p_1$$

$$Q_2 = \frac{3p_1^2}{2}$$

$$Q_3 = \frac{4p_1^3}{3} + 3p_3$$

$$Q_4 = \frac{7}{4}p_1^4 + 9p_1p_3 + 7p_2^2$$

$$Q_5 = \frac{6}{5}p_1^5 + 27p_1^2p_3 + 24p_1p_2^2 + 40p_5$$

$$Q_6 = 2p_1^6 + 45p_1^3p_3 + 80p_1^2p_2^2 + 225p_1p_5 + 128p_2p_4 + \frac{189}{2}p_3^2$$

$$Q_7 = 1260p_7 + \frac{8}{7}p_1^7 + 1024p_1p_2p_4 + 90p_1^4p_3 + 160p_1^3p_2^2 + 775p_1^2p_5 + 486p_1p_3^2 + 360p_2^2p_3$$

$$Q_8 = \frac{495}{2}p_1^4 + 4032p_1^2p_2p_4 + 2700p_1p_2^2p_3 + \frac{15}{8}p_1^8 + 2584p_4^2 + 9800p_1p_7 + 5832p_2p_6 + 4500p_3p_5 + 135p_1^5p_3 + 345p_1^4p_2^2 + 1925p_1^3p_5 + \frac{4131}{2}p_1^2p_3^2$$

$$Q_9 = 72576p_9 + 11776p_1^3p_2p_4 + 12780p_1^2p_2^2p_3 + 51840p_1p_2p_6 + 41625p_1p_3p_5 + 26496p_2p_3p_4 + \frac{13}{9}p_1^9 + 4232p_3^3 + 201p_1^6p_3 + 568p_1^5p_2^2 + 4550p_1^4p_5 + 5544p_1^3p_3^2 + 1560p_1p_2^4 + 43512p_1^2p_7 + 20608p_1p_4^2 + 16500p_2^2p_5$$

$$Q_{10} = 29568p_1^4p_2p_4 + 41580p_1^3p_2^2p_3 + 252720p_1^2p_2p_6 + 208125p_1^2p_3p_5 + 161500p_1p_2^2p_5 + \frac{9}{5}p_1^{10} + 138735p_5^2 + 266112p_1p_2p_3p_4 + 297p_1^7p_3 + 1008p_1^6p_2^2 + 8470p_1^5p_5 + \frac{28539}{2}p_1^4p_3^2 + 8340p_1^2p_2^4 + 142835p_1^3p_7 + 102976p_1^2p_4^2 + 37224p_1p_3^3 + 31488p_2^3p_4 + 48384p_2^2p_3^2 + 714420p_1p_9 + 409600p_2p_8 + 312669p_3p_7 + 269568p_4p_6$$

$$Q_{11} = 64512p_1^5p_2p_4 + 113400p_1^4p_2^2p_3 + 933120p_1^3p_2p_6 + 756000p_1^3p_3p_5 + 865500p_1^2p_2^2p_5 + 368640p_1p_2^3p_4 + 495720p_1p_2^2p_3^2 + 4456448p_1p_2p_8 + 3395259p_1p_3p_7 + 2985984p_1p_4p_6 + 2029536p_2p_3p_6 + 6652800p_{11} + 1820800p_2p_4p_5 + \frac{12}{11}p_1^{11} + 1451520p_1^2p_2p_3p_4 + 405p_1^8p_3 + 1440p_1^7p_2^2 + 16125p_1^6p_5 + 29646p_1^5p_3^2 + 29280p_1^3p_2^4 + 389305p_1^4p_7 + 360960p_1^3p_4^2 + 214110p_1^2p_3^3 + 62640p_2^4p_3 + 3892860p_1^2p_9 + 1448425p_1p_5^2 + 1296540p_2^2p_7 + 812700p_2^3p_5 + 760320p_3p_4^2$$

$$Q_{12} = \frac{67420}{3}p_2^6 + \frac{1945689}{4}p_3^4 + 127232p_1^6p_2p_4 + 273420p_1^5p_2^2p_3 + 2757240p_1^4p_2p_6 + 2256750p_1^4p_3p_5 + 3429000p_1^3p_2^2p_5 + 2202880p_1^2p_2^3p_4 + 2971620p_1^2p_2^2p_3^2 + 735480p_1p_2^4p_3 + 26517504p_1^2p_2p_8 + 20125917p_1^2p_3p_7 + 17770752p_1^2p_4p_6 + 15324260p_1p_2^2p_7 + 9653850p_1p_2^3p_5 + 8945280p_1p_3p_4^2 + 7222500p_2^2p_3p_5 + 6092928p_2p_2^3p_4 + \frac{7}{3}p_1^{12} + 12477456p_6^2 + 5765760p_1^3p_2p_3p_4 + 24245568p_1p_2p_3p_6 + 21654400p_1p_2p_4p_5 + 32722380p_3p_9 + 27594752p_4p_8 + 25112500p_5p_7 + 525p_1^9p_3 + 2380p_1^8p_2^2 + 26600p_1^7p_5 + \frac{121149}{2}p_1^6p_3^2 + 88740p_1^4p_2^4 + 921739p_1^5p_7 + 1094400p_1^4p_4^2 + 841740p_1^3p_3^3 + 15445080p_1^3p_9 + \frac{17425525}{2}p_1^2p_5^2 + 3066120p_2^2p_6 + 3537536p_2^2p_4^2 + 79035264p_1p_{11} + 44100000p_2p_{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_{13} = & 889574400p_{13} + 236544p_1^7p_2p_4 + 582120p_1^6p_2^2p_3 + 7185024p_1^5p_2p_6 + 5816250p_1^5p_3p_5 + 11089500p_1^4p_2^2p_5 + 9461760p_1^3p_3^2p_4 + 12668400p_1^3p_2^2p_3^2 + \\
& 4817880p_1^2p_2^4p_3 + 114163712p_1^3p_2p_8 + 87106761p_1^3p_3p_7 + 76640256p_1^3p_4p_6 + 99496460p_1^2p_2^2p_7 + 62185050p_1^2p_3^2p_5 + 58216320p_1^2p_3p_4^2 + \\
& 39268800p_1p_2^3p_6 + 44394496p_1p_2^2p_4^2 + 19975680p_2^3p_3p_4 + 568800000p_1p_2p_{10} + 421694424p_1p_3p_9 + 355827712p_1p_4p_8 + 325752000p_1p_5p_7 + \\
& 240205824p_2p_3p_8 + 206260992p_2p_4p_7 + 192456000p_2p_5p_6 + 160621056p_3p_4p_6 + \frac{14}{13}p_1^{13} + 18627840p_1^4p_2p_3p_4 + 155434464p_1^2p_2p_3p_6 + \\
& 140067200p_1^2p_2p_4p_5 + 94446000p_1p_2^2p_3p_5 + 78928128p_1p_2p_3^2p_4 + 693p_1^{10}p_3 + 3080p_1^9p_2^2 + 44275p_1^8p_5 + 108108p_1^7p_3^2 + 225360p_1^5p_2^4 + 1993222p_1^6p_7 + \\
& 2762496p_1^5p_4^2 + 2752695p_1^4p_3^3 + 245920p_1p_2^6 + 49878180p_1^4p_9 + 36985775p_1^3p_5^2 + 5885586p_1p_3^4 + 6001000p_2^4p_5 + 8881200p_2^2p_3^3 + 510314112p_1^2p_{11} + \\
& 158827392p_1p_6^2 + 160065072p_2^2p_9 + 91846629p_3^2p_7 + 76957875p_3p_5^2 + 72176000p_4^2p_5 \\
Q_{14} = & 1668429476p_7^2 + 3959000000p_1^2p_2p_{10} + 2934227916p_1^2p_3p_9 + 2473000960p_1^2p_4p_8 + 2267989500p_1^2p_5p_7 + 2225062548p_1p_2^2p_9 + \\
& 1277745462p_1p_3^2p_7 + 1066416750p_1p_3p_5^2 + 1001712000p_1p_4^2p_5 + 969478524p_2^2p_3p_7 + 840927744p_2^2p_4p_6 + 748898784p_2p_3^2p_6 + 412416p_1^8p_2p_4 + \\
& 1172880p_1^7p_2^2p_3 + 16562880p_1^6p_2p_6 + 13554000p_1^6p_3p_5 + 30841500p_1^5p_2^2p_5 + 32993280p_1^4p_3^2p_4 + 44292420p_1^4p_2^2p_3^2 + 22402440p_1^3p_4^2p_3 + \\
& 396886016p_1^4p_2p_8 + 302522913p_1^4p_3p_7 + 267245568p_1^4p_4p_6 + 461080200p_1^3p_2^2p_7 + 288584775p_1^3p_3^2p_5 + 269170560p_1^3p_3p_4^2 + 272937600p_1^2p_3^2p_6 + \\
& 309372672p_1^2p_2^2p_4^2 + 83637000p_1p_2^4p_5 + 122778720p_1p_2^2p_3^3 + \frac{12}{7}p_1^{14} + 3335307264p_1p_2p_3p_8 + 2872105600p_1p_2p_4p_7 + 2676888000p_1p_2p_5p_6 + \\
& 2235382272p_1p_3p_4p_6 + 1356192000p_2p_3p_4p_5 + 51964416p_1^5p_2p_3p_4 + 722094912p_1^3p_2p_3p_6 + 651145600p_1^3p_2p_4p_5 + 656734500p_1^2p_2^2p_3p_5 + \\
& 550094976p_1^2p_2p_3^2p_4 + 278069760p_1p_2^3p_3p_4 + 1108344384p_1^2p_6^2 + 421363712p_2^3p_8 + 409239500p_2^2p_5^2 + 210182144p_2p_4^3 + 199624500p_3^3p_5 + \\
& 284539392p_3^2p_4^2 + 12367555200p_1p_{13} + 6772211712p_2p_{12} + 4930038036p_3p_{11} + 4067200000p_4p_{10} + 3614673600p_5p_9 + 3384115200p_6p_8 + \\
& 918p_1^{11}p_3 + 4704p_1^{10}p_2^2 + 66475p_1^9p_5 + 191970p_1^8p_3^2 + 533040p_1^6p_2^4 + 3933041p_1^7p_7 + 6482688p_1^6p_4^2 + 7638273p_1^5p_3^3 + 1720560p_1^2p_2^6 + \\
& 138874500p_1^5p_9 + \frac{258762175}{2}p_1^4p_5^2 + 41211072p_1^2p_3^4 + 10241280p_2^5p_4 + 23788080p_2^4p_3^2 + 2368405116p_1^3p_{11}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_{15} = & 163459296000p_{15} + 20415628800p_4p_5p_6 + 16605275724p_1^2p_2^2p_9 + 9518013918p_1^2p_3^2p_7 + 7986713625p_1^2p_3p_5^2 + 7488768000p_1^2p_4^2p_5 + \\
& 6294863872p_1p_2^3p_8 + 6055371000p_1p_2^2p_5^2 + 3151167488p_1p_2p_4^3 + 2989955250p_1p_3^3p_5 + 4215780864p_1p_3^2p_4^2 + 2828872512p_2^3p_3p_6 + \\
& 2545728000p_2^3p_4p_5 + 3402135000p_2^2p_3^2p_5 + 3186012672p_2^2p_3p_4^2 + 1886913792p_2p_3^3p_4 + 100997922816p_1p_2p_{12} + 73498522284p_1p_3p_{11} + \\
& 60646400000p_1p_4p_{10} + 53899508025p_1p_5p_9 + 50557353984p_1p_6p_8 + 40816080000p_2p_3p_{10} + 34008864768p_2p_4p_9 + 30628659200p_2p_5p_8 + \\
& 29166752160p_2p_6p_7 + 25543213056p_3p_4p_8 + 23348722950p_3p_5p_7 + 98385920p_1^5p_2^3p_4 + 131607504p_1^5p_2^2p_3^2 + 83577600p_1^4p_2^4p_3 + \\
& 1186988032p_1^5p_2p_8 + 906266466p_1^5p_3p_7 + 796925952p_1^5p_4p_6 + 1724128700p_1^4p_2^2p_7 + 1077211575p_1^4p_3^2p_5 + 1009958400p_1^4p_3p_4^2 + \\
& 1359970560p_1^3p_2^3p_6 + 1537148928p_1^3p_2^2p_4^2 + 621208000p_1^2p_2^4p_5 + 921050640p_1^2p_2^2p_3^3 + 156702720p_1p_2^5p_4 + 349742880p_1p_2^4p_3^2 + \\
& 19702720000p_1^3p_2p_{10} + 14601393963p_1^3p_3p_9 + 12327714816p_1^3p_4p_8 + 11287058125p_1^3p_5p_7 + 680960p_1^9p_2p_4 + 2163240p_1^8p_2^2p_3 + \\
& 35582976p_1^7p_2p_6 + 28746000p_1^7p_3p_5 + 76857000p_1^6p_2^2p_5 + \frac{422039538}{5}p_3^5 + \frac{8}{5}p_1^{15} + \frac{9811577245}{3}p_5^3 + 20187936000p_1p_2p_3p_4p_5 + 129217536p_1^6p_2p_3p_4 + \\
& 2691009216p_1^4p_2p_3p_6 + 2426860800p_1^4p_2p_4p_5 + 3274254000p_1^3p_2^2p_3p_5 + 2733087744p_1^3p_2p_3^2p_4 + 2071296000p_1^2p_2^3p_3p_4 + 24932450304p_1^2p_2p_3p_8 + \\
& 21398803328p_1^2p_2p_4p_7 + 19979784000p_1^2p_2p_5p_6 + 16649349120p_1^2p_3p_4p_6 + 14400795024p_1p_2^2p_3p_7 + 12611137536p_1p_2^2p_4p_6 + \\
& 11206180224p_1p_2p_3^2p_6 + 1062p_1^{12}p_3 + 5920p_1^{11}p_2^2 + 102235p_1^{10}p_5 + 311958p_1^9p_3^2 + 1117120p_1^7p_2^4 + 7400323p_1^8p_7 + 13636608p_1^4p_4^2 + \\
& 19128492p_1^6p_3^3 + 8468992p_1^3p_2^6 + 345532149p_1^6p_9 + 384100260p_1^5p_2^5 + 202786308p_1^3p_3^4 + 17624448p_2^6p_3 + 8841342708p_1^4p_{11} + 5497694208p_1^3p_6^2 + \\
& 909144432p_2^4p_7 + 92296713600p_1^2p_{13} + 24782355199p_1p_7^2 + 27814506192p_2^2p_{11} + 15128277255p_3^2p_9 + 11347796736p_3p_6^2 + 10950460416p_4^2p_7
\end{aligned}$$

TABLE 1. Number of complex origami of genus  $g \leq 7$  and degree  $d \leq 30$

$d \setminus g$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	1						
2	3/2						
3	4/3	3					
4	7/4	16					
5	6/5	51	40				
6	2	125	895/2				
7	8/7	250	2645	1260			
8	15/8	480	10970	22716			
9	13/9	769	36210	204813	72576		
10	9/5	1305	204455/2	1251364	1844992		
11	12/11	1845	252963	5897515	22898872	6652800	
12	7/3	2905	1153133/2	68997247/3	755203519/4	221042352	
13	14/13	3773	1196407	77420987	1177955068	3541805088	889574400
14	12/7	5622	2376781	232385498	11951703583/2	37242034072	36804223224
15	8/5	6982	4375513	632608951	25793853778	1463867417063/5	2187354789568/3
16	31/16	9920	7893228	1595092400	97761236930	1850410329588	9404060075112
17	18/17	11700	13323270	3745811960	332544854429	9847878739864	89951590073796
18	13/6	16523	44766941/2	24999351608/3	1033399238519	45566512617381	4117963046068735/6
19	20/19	18615	35362698	17543425750	2969117673405	187597330815919	4376648043083560
20	21/10	25389	112412637/2	35504174989	31918356295471/4	3497439457170376/5	24092889582888032
21	32/21	28720	84383518	206113869715/3	20197837305287	351691666938395144/3	15873381482595046147/7
22	18/11	37755	256592113/2	129103300974	97127880876161/2	7607528525353639	1026717048398989327/2
23	24/23	41118	184617019	233615759144	111343300254203	22632300940847170	2051782644293906901
24	5/2	55345	542403593/2	413542969895	980386498607549/4	63524925757973979	45419662418857625419/6
25	31/25	58001	376719701	708449494342	518957184833896	845989628823907901/5	26018590762599856469
26	21/13	75495	1073541961/2	1193844359250	2126383631890665/2	430034339069982127	167910837473121614903/2
27	40/27	81430	725727194	5863055947867/3	2108534616523198	1047135281059367535	255943686574745486898
28	2	102942	1007386665	3160184047614	8139312351181037/2	2453325851086614562	741262551284963908481
29	30/29	106785	1327427217	4977886895985	7640320671017787	5546005695691838947	2048919731443074360567
30	12/5	139242	1807688043	7772645020410	28044834986384939/2	12138674333408224675	32565071476679640061757/6



TABLE 2. Number of complex origami of genus  $g = 8 \dots 10$  and degree  $d \leq 30$

$d \setminus g$	8	9	10
15	163459296000		
16	8143111914624		
17	193384506243840	39520825344000	
18	2973952153937904	2312882651411712	
19	33724882414394000	64337950293173568	12164510040883200
20	303335630797746596	1154562366493598912	820634271502694400
21	15214878006528625536	26262986467481393472	4644631106519040000
22	14555613814464572932	158352633319758487184	540735568245302924352
23	82163396151489103668	1364004293197120038484	8150321022900364573056
24	415373154121461526161	80372287483019487329407/8	96705245220413325506992
25	1907804084050076167468	64813171985485528190432	946502130038492766756112
26	8053321768082407917400	373030655339582803158424	7895472549944594518993908
27	31539903289944316470903	1943368628582588135753249	57502313484416742556875831
28	808548802975291149510846/7	37088009364066173383333343/4	372451801484855535477578996
29	398189251690176385353627	40904453684459735325696824	2177071023099342103410800332
30	1299460224149740821910012	168200816668468081373046107	34860944808099359359034732131/3

TABLE 3. Number of complex origami of genus  $g = 11 \dots 13$  and degree  $d \leq 30$

$d \setminus g$	11	12	13
22	355818427261727293440		
23	12914363474580728203776	2154334728240414720000	
24	300943344434082542732160	185155053842445131980800	
25	5121654150794434759618880	7532524379814235890656256	1193170003333152768000000
26	68439945654406252402152384	196450713160102079377793280	113894735112136044221644800
27	752423319177440922554720240	3734862321209227772164887552	5143210219224978114733350912
28	7031567014599687661186104688	55639757000650670155352449152	148721581521973424183863048128
29	57221172732267012922115463604	680496602208863462334037722368	3130300901582416277061327970560
30	826142276193803767564436150163/2	7059375340054134070837385574896	154630904804283210742240067755264/3

TABLE 4. Number of complex origami of genus  $g = 14, 15$  and degree  $d \leq 30$

$d \backslash g$	14	15
27	777776389315596582912000000	
28	81764157739216967337428582400	
29	4064822526732317856433918771200	589450799582646796969574400000
30	129285359717415405598641857329152	67753528732337683444789063680000