Chien-Shiung Wu as the experimental pioneer in quantum entanglement

Yu Shi

Wilczek Quantum Center, Shanghai Institute for Advanced Study, Shanghai 201315, China Department of Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

Advised by Prof. Chen Ning Yang, we review the early pioneering work by Chien-Shiung Wu on entangled photons created from the electron-positron annihilation. This paper formed a basis of the author's speech at International Symposium Commemorating 110th Birth Anniversary of Chien-Shiung Wu, and of a few articles on the subject in Chinese.

1. Early research experiences of C. S. Wu

From 1930 to 1934, Chien-Shiung Wu received her undergraduate education in Central University (renamed Nanjing University in 1949), located at Nanjing, then capital of China. Her thesis was on testing the Bragg equation of x ray diffraction in a crystal, under the supervision of Shih-Yuana Sze (1908-2007), who in 1933 became a professor and the head of the physics department at this university, having obtained his D. Sci under the supervision of Madam Curie in 1933, working on nuclear spectroscopy.

After graduation, Wu worked in Zhejiang University at Hangzhou for a year as a teaching assistant, before joining Institute for Physics, Academia Sinica, then at Shanghai, where she worked on spectroscopy of gases with Z. W. Ku, who had received her PhD under the supervision of D. M. Dennison at University of Michigan, supported by Levi Barbour Scholarship. In a year, likely with the recommendation of Ku, Wu prepared to go to University of Michigan for graduate study with self support provided by her uncle. En route, in visiting Physics Department of the University of California at Berkely, she was impressed by this department, especially the cyclotron, invented by Ernest Lawrence. Besides, she also heard of some disadvantages in the University of Michigan, including the discrimination against women as well as the prospective of socializing mainly with fellow Chinese students as there are hundreds of Chinese students there, she decided to remain in Berkeley for her graduate study, and she succeeded.¹

From 1936 to 1940, Chien-Shiung Wu did her PhD in Berkeley.¹ Her research started in 1938, with Ernest Lawrence and Emilio Segre as her advisors. Wu was the first student of Segre in USA.

Her first experiment, in 1938, supervised by Lawrence, was on the x rays excited by electrons from beta decay of the radioactive lead.

Starting in 1939, supervised by Segre, Wu worked on the products of uranium

fission, especially xenon. From 1940 to 1942, Wu remained here as a postdoc, continuing the work on the fission products of uranium and other elements, often using neutrons produced in the cyclotron.

In 1942, Wu left Berkeley to teach in Smith College, Massachusetts. In 1943, she became a lecturer in Princeton University. In 1944, she joined Columbia University as a member of the Manhattan Project. She joined the processing of concentrated uranium, and her main focus was on developing very sensitive gamma ray detector.¹

Her result in Berkeley on the cross section of the absorption of neutrons by xeron became useful in the Manhattan Project.

After the War, Wu remained in Columbia first as a research scientist. Starting from 1946, she published a few papers on slow neutron scattering of hydrogen. Starting from 1949, she worked on beta decay and became an expert on it.

2. Wu-Shaknov Experiment

In 1949, Wu and her student Irving Shaknov studied the angular correlation of scattered photons from electron-positron annihilation.²

Wu-Shaknov experiment confirmed an important theoretically predicted value. The experiment originated in a proposal by John Wheeler in 1946, to verify the prediction of quantum electrodynamics that the two photons emitted in the annihilation of a positron and an electron, with zero total angular momentum, must be polarized at right angles to each other. In Wheeler's proposed experiment, each photon is scattered by an electron, and coincidence measurements are made on the two photons scattered at a same scattered angle, which is polar angle with respect to the initial direction of the photon, but with different azimuthal angle differences. A key quantity is the asymmetry ratio between the coincidence counting rates for parallel scattering directions and perpendicular scattering directions.

The detailed theoretical investigations, made by Pryce and Ward, and by Snyder, Pasternack, and Hornbostel, predicted maximal asymmetry ratio to be 2.85, at a scattering angle of 82 degree. Then using two Geiger counters as detectors, Bleuler and Bradt had observed an asymmetry ratio not inconsistent with the theory, but with the error so large that it cannot be compared with the theory in details. Similar experiments by Hanna using more efficient counter arrangements gave the asymmetry ratio consistently smaller than predicted.

Thus an experiment based on more efficient photon detectors and more favorable conditions were needed. This Wu and Shaknov provided. Their paper was published as a Letter to the Editor in 1950.

In their paper, Wu and Shaknov wrote: "the recently developed scintillation counter has been proved to be a reliable and highly efficient gamma-ray detector." They improved the efficiency to be around ten times that of Geiger counters, consequently the coincidence counting rate increased 100 times. They used two photomultiplier tubes and two anthracene crystals, where the photons are scattered. The positron source Cu^{64} was activated by deuteron bombardment on a copper target

Wu and quantum entanglement

in the Columbia cyclotron. In their experiment, the mean scattering angle is very close to 82 degree, the predicted maximum of anisotropy. In taking the coincidence measurements, one detector was kept fixed, and the other was oriented to be with azimuth differences of 0, 90, 180, and 270 degrees. The asymmetry ratio was found to be 2.04 ± 0.08 , which was very close to the theoretical value 2.00.

3. Quantum entanglement

Wu-Shaknov experiment was the first one producing quantum entanglement in a controlled manner. Note that we have specified "in a controlled manner", as most of the quantum states in nature are entangled. But entanglement produced in a controlled manner allows clear investigations on entanglement.

Investigation on entanglement had been pioneered by Einstein, Podolsky and Rosen (EPR) in 1935.³ In their thought experiment on two particles entangled in positions or momenta, EPR exposed that in such a quantum state, unless there are elements of reality beyond quantum mechanical description, there must exist correlation between particles, however far from each other. EPR argued that this means that quantum mechanics is not a complete description of reality. The quantum correlation exposed by EPR was dubbed quantum entanglement by Schröedinger in the same year.

In his response to EPR paper, Niels Bohr insisted that the entangled particles are inseparable, however far apart, and are also indivisible with the measurement apparatus interacting with one of the particles.⁴

In the following year, Wendell Furry proposed that after the separation of the entangled particles, in the sense that their wave functions do not overlap, the entangled state reduces to a product state in which each particle is in a definite state.⁵ One may further suppose a classical probabilistic mixture of various kinds of product states. Clearly, for a uniform probabilistic mixture, a measurement of each individual particle gives the same result as the entangled state. On the other hand, argued Furry, such a classical probabilistic mixture seems to avoid the problems raised by EPR. In a private communication with David Bohm, Einstein himself proposed that many-body quantum mechanics may break down when particles are far enough part.

In 1951, Bohm gave a technically simpler version of EPR argument, using an entangled spin singlet state of two spin-half particles.⁶ Bohm maintained that the combined system is conceptually analyzable into components that satisfy appropriate laws. He developed a hidden variable theory, which is causal, realistic but with hidden nonlocal interaction between distant particles, and admittedly artificial in form.

4. Bohm-Aharonov revisiting Wu-Shaknov experiment

In 1957, Bohm and his student Aharonov noted that at that time, the kind of entanglement as in a spin state can only be experimentally studied in terms of entangled states of photon polarizations, as produced in positron-electron annihilation.⁷ Bohm and Aharonov still used the word "correlation" rather than "entanglement". Previously people had already noted the actual quantum state of the photon pair, though without attention to its significance of entanglement.^{8,9}

Bohm and Aharonov made a thorough investigation on the crucial role of quantum entanglement in the photon pair in producing the result of the coincidence measurement after each photon is scattered by an electron, i.e. in a Compton scattering. They demonstrated that only the entangled state produce the correct theoretical value very close to the experimental result of Wu and Shaknov, while the products or their classical probabilistic mixture, as proposed by Furry, lead to very different calculation results.

Therefore, thanks to the analysis of Bohm and Aharonov, Wu-Shaknov experiment done a few years earlier indeed produced an entangled state of photon polarizations, and became a smoking gun showing that EPR discussions concern real properties of matter, rather than "mere philosophy".

5. An attempt in testing violation of Bell Inequality

In 1964, John Bell published an inequality that later bears his name, which is a consequence of the assumption of locality and realism but is violated by quantum mechanics. Bell inequality concerns correlations between the entangled particles. In order that the Bell inequality is violated, the two spins need to be measured along two directions that are neither parallel nor perpendicular.

It was considered whether Wu-Shaknov experiment, or some variation of it, can be used to test Bell inequality. However, there are two obstacles. One, as noted by Abnor Shimony and John Clauser respectively, was that in Wu-Shaknov experiment, polarizations in the two wings of the apparatus are either parallel or perpendicular, and could not be in other angles. Clauser visited Wu, who confirmed this. The other problem was that even if the first problem were put aside, the photons were measured by scattering with electrons, but transfer from the entanglement between photons to electrons is too weak to allow violation of Bell inequality. On the other hand, the energies of the photons produced in electron-positron annihilation are too high to be measurable by using polaroids, thus they would go through without being measured. Such a measurement is only suitable for low-energy photons, as nowadays routinely done in laboratories.

Clauser's visit raised Wu's interest in this subject. With her student Leonard Kasday and John Ullman, she tried an experiment testing the violation of Bell inequality.¹⁰

They analyzed their result by making two additional assumptions. First, it was assumed that an ideal polarization analyzer can be constructed. Second, the results in the ideal polarization analyzers and in Compton scattering are described by quantum mechanics anyway. Then they argued that their experiment agreed with quantum mechanics and violated local realism. It was generally agreed that these

Wu and quantum entanglement

assumptions are not serious.

6. Summary

Wu-Shaknov experiment is historically very important, as it establishes the validity of quantum entanglement and making Wu the experimental pioneer in it, even though at the time of experiment these were not known.

The success of this experiment must have been benefited from Wu's experience in developing very sensitive gamma ray detector for Manhattan project.

Wu's work was characterized by precision. The most famous testimony of it was of course Wu experiment on parity. Nevertheless, Wu–Shaknov experiment was also a testimony.

7. Acknowledgment

Quite a few years ago, Professor Chen Ning Yang advised me to include Wu's work on quantum entanglement when writing about Chien-Shiung Wu. The author thanks Prof. Yang for his advice, encouragement and discussions through the years.

This paper, except the abstract and acknowledgment, was written in 2022. It was sent to Prof. Da Hsuan Feng on May 16, 2022. The paper formed the basis of my speech "Scientific Integrity of Chien-Shiung Wu: From Quantum Entanglement to Parity Nonconservation" made in International Symposium Commemorating 110th Birth Anniversary of Chien-Shiung Wu, May 31, 2022,^{11,12} which was followed by a few Chinese articles.¹³⁻¹⁶

References

- 1. T. C. Chiang, tranlated by T. F. Wong, *Madame Wu Chien-Shiung: The First Lady* of *Physics Research*, World Scientific, Singapore (2014).
- 2. C. S. Wu, I. Shaknov, Phys. Rev. 77, 136 (1950).
- 3. A. Einstein, B. Podolsky, and N. Rosen, Phys. Rev. 47, 777 (1935).
- 4. N. Bohr, Phys. Rev. 48, 696 (1935).
- 5. W. Furry, Phys. Rev. 49, 393 (1935).
- 6. D. Bohm, Quantum Theory, Pretence-Hall, New York, 1951.
- 7. D. Bohm, Y. Aharonov, Phys. Rev. 108, 1070 (1957).
- 8. W. Heitler, Quantum Theory of Radiation, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1954.
- 9. H. Snyder, S. Pasternack and J. Hornbostel, Phys. Rev. 63, 440 (1948).
- 10. L. R. Kasday, J. D. Ullman and C. S. Wu, Il Nuovo Cimento B 25, 633 (1975).
- Schedual and abstracts, International Symposium Commemorating 110th Birth Anniversary of Chien-Shiung Wu, May 31, 2022.
- Video records, International Symposium Commemorating 110th Birth Anniversary of Chien-Shiung Wu, May 31, 2022.
- 13. Y. Shi, The scientific contributions and scientific integrity of Chien-Shiung Wu: from quantum entanglement to parity nonconservation, Micius Forum, June 2, 2023.
- 14. Y. Shi, *Chien-Shiung Wu: from quantum entanglement to parity nonconservation*, Emerging Science and Technology **3** (3), 225-243 (2023).

Y. Shi

- 15. Y. Shi, Historic origin of quantum entanglement in particle physics: C. S. Wu, T. D. Lee, C. N. Yang and Other Predecessors, Micius Forum, March 17, 2023; Y. Shi, Historic origin of quantum entanglement in particle physics, Progress in Physics 43 (3), 57-67 (2023).
- 16. Y. Shi, The road of quantum entanglement: from Einstein to 2022 Nobel Prize in Physics, Chinese Journal of Nature 44 (6), 455-465 (2022).