

LOCAL BEHAVIOUR OF THE SECOND ORDER DERIVATIVES OF SOLUTIONS TO p -LAPLACE EQUATIONS

FELICE IANDOLI, DOMENICO VUONO

ABSTRACT. We consider the equation $-\Delta_p u = f(x)$ in Ω , where Δ_p is the p -Laplace operator. We provide L^∞ -type estimates for the second derivatives of solutions when p approaches to 2.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is concerned with the regularity of the second order derivatives of weak solutions to:

$$(1.1) \quad -\Delta_p u = f(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

where $\Delta_p u := -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ is the p -Laplace operator and Ω is a domain of \mathbb{R}^n with $n \geq 2$. For $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega)$, the weak formulation of (1.1) is the following

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} (\nabla u, \nabla \psi) dx = \int_{\Omega} f \psi dx \quad \forall \psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega).$$

The main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Ω be a domain of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, and u be a weak solution of (1.1). Assume that $f \in L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega) \cap W_{loc}^{2,l}(\Omega)$, with $l > n/2$. For $k > 0$ fixed, there exists $\mathfrak{C} := \mathfrak{C}(k, l, n) > 0$ small enough such that if $|p - 2| < \mathfrak{C}$, then*

$$\| |\nabla u|^k D^2 u \|_{L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \mathcal{C},$$

where $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(k, n, l, \Omega, p, \|\nabla u\|_{L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)}, \|f\|_{L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)}, \|f\|_{W_{loc}^{2,l}(\Omega)})$ is a positive constant.

Remark 1.2. *The following example shows that the presence of the weight $|\nabla u|^k$ is necessary in order to establish Theorem 1.1. Consider $u(x_1, \dots, x_n) := |x_1|^{p'}/p'$, $p' = \frac{p-1}{p}$. This function satisfies $\Delta_p u = 1$ in \mathbb{R}^n , for any $n \geq 1$. One can note that $\partial_{x_1 x_1}^2 u = C_p |x_1|^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}$, which of course is not in $L^\infty(\Omega)$, for any Ω open set such that $\Omega \cap \{x_1 = 0\} \neq \emptyset$ and for any $p > 2$. On the other hand $|\nabla u|^k D^2 u$ is locally in L^∞ if $p \leq 2 + k$. Note that in such an example, when k goes to zero, we obtain $p \leq 2$. We do not know the exact relationship between p and k in general, as it*

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J60, 35B65.

Key words and phrases. p -Laplacian, regularity, Calderòn-Zygmund estimates, Moser's iteration.

depends on the behavior of the constant in the Calderón-Zygmund inequality (see Remark 1.3), which is not explicit.

Remark 1.3. *The range of p for which our theorem holds true depends upon the Calderón-Zygmund constant, more precisely it is sufficient that*

$$2 - \frac{1}{C(n, \hat{q})} < p < \min \left\{ 2 + \frac{1}{\hat{q} - 1}, 2 + \frac{1}{C(n, \hat{q})} \right\},$$

with $C(n, \hat{q})$ given by (2.6), and

$$(1.3) \quad \hat{q} := \hat{q}(k, l, n) = \max \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{k} + 1 \right) \frac{2\nu}{\nu - 2}, \frac{2 - k}{k} \frac{l\nu}{l(\nu - 2) - \nu} \right\},$$

where $\frac{2l}{l-1} < \nu < 2^*$ if $n \geq 3$ and $\frac{2l}{l-1} < \nu < r$ for some fixed $r > \frac{2l}{l-1}$ if $n = 2$.

Let us now discuss the state of the art of the regularity results concerning the equation (1.1). In general, solutions of (1.1) are not classical. It is well known (see [22, 27, 31, 42]) that for every $p > 1$ and under suitable assumptions on the source term, solutions of (1.1) are of class $C_{loc}^{1,\beta}(\Omega) \cap C^2(\Omega \setminus Z_u)$, where Z_u denotes the set where the gradient vanishes.

second order estimates for p -Laplace equations have been extensively investigated in the past decades, leading to significant advancements in the understanding of regularity properties.

In [13], under the minimal assumption $f \in L^2$, the $W^{1,2}$ regularity of the stress field $|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u$ was established. Moreover, for $1 < p < 2$ the authors established that $u \in W^{2,2}$ under stronger assumptions on the source term. Similar regularity results for the stress field were obtained in [3, 33].

For $1 < p < 3$, the $W^{2,2}$ regularity of solutions was further developed in [16, 36] for $f \in W^{1,1} \cap L^q$, with $q > n$. Additionally, in these works, by imposing a sign condition on the source term, it was shown that for $p \geq 3$, the solutions belong to $W^{2,q}$, with $q < (p-1)/(p-2)$ (this is an optimal result). In particular, in [36], the $W^{1,2}$ regularity was investigated for terms of the form $|\nabla u|^{\alpha-1} \nabla u$. More general results, can be found in [8, 39, 40].

The anisotropic counterpart of these results, which involves significant technical challenges, has been explored in [1, 2, 6, 7]. Additionally, we highlight recent advances in regularity theory for the vectorial case, presented in [4, 15, 35, 37].

For p close to 2, more general regularity estimates, in the spirit of a natural Calderón-Zygmund theory, were obtained in [5, 34]. In particular, in [5] new estimates on the third derivatives of the solution have been recently introduced. In this framework, a general theory has only been developed in [10, 11, 12, 17, 18, 19, 20, 24, 25, 26, 28, 29].

In this paper, exploiting Serrin's technique [41] (see also [21, 38]), we establish L^∞ -type estimates for the second derivatives of the solution. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first result in this direction.

Strategy of the proof. In the sequel, we will use the notation $u_i := u_{x_i}$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, to indicate the partial derivative of u with respect to x_i . The second derivatives of u will be denoted with $u_{ij} := (\partial^2 u)/(\partial^2 x_i x_j)$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. We denote by ∇u and by $D^2 u$ respectively the gradient vector and the Hessian matrix of u .

We explain the proof when $n \geq 3$, being the case $n = 2$ similar. Let $x_0 \in \Omega$ and $B_{2R} := B_{2R}(x_0) \subset \subset \Omega$. First of all we consider the regularized problem

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} \left((\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_\varepsilon \right) = f(x) & \text{in } B_{2R}(x_0) \\ u_\varepsilon = u & \text{on } \partial B_{2R}(x_0), \end{cases}$$

where $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. We assume for the moment $f \in C^2(B_{2R})$, this hypothesis will be removed by means of a density argument.

The existence of a weak solution of (1.4) follows by a classical minimization procedure. Notice that by standard regularity results [23, 27], the solution u_ε is regular. In [23, 22, 32] (see also [2, Section 5]) it has been proven that for any compact set $K \subset \subset B_{2R}(x_0)$ the sequence u_ε is uniformly bounded in $C^{1,\beta'}(K)$, for some $0 < \beta' < 1$. Moreover, it follows that $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{C^{1,\beta}(K)}$, for all $0 \leq \beta < \beta'$.

With all these ingredients (see the last proof of the paper) it is enough to prove that the function $g_\varepsilon(x) := (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{k}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|$ is locally uniformly bounded in ε and then pass to the limit for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. This estimate is the core of the paper and it is obtained in Prop. 3.4. In order to get such an estimate we use a Moser's iteration process [38]. The starting point for this iteration process is to prove that

$$(1.5) \quad \|g_\varepsilon\|_{L^{2^*q}(B_{h'})}^q \leq \mathcal{C} \frac{q}{h-h'} \|g_\varepsilon\|_{L^{\nu(q-\hat{s})}(B_h)}^{q-\hat{s}}, \quad \hat{s} := \frac{1}{k},$$

for any $q \geq 1/k$ and any $0 < h' < h < 2R$, and where \mathcal{C} is independent of ε , B_h and $B_{h'}$ are the balls centered at x_0 of radii respectively h and h' , and $\nu < 2^*$. With such an estimate one can start the Moser's iteration with the choice in (3.44) we build the sequences $p_i \rightarrow \infty$ and $h_i \rightarrow R'$, for any $R' < R$, for $i \rightarrow \infty$ in such a way that, by applying i -times (1.5), we obtain $\|g_\varepsilon\|_{L^{p_i}(B_{h_i})} \leq \mathcal{C}$, for a constant \mathcal{C} independent of i and ε . Passing to the limit in i we obtain the desired bound on the L^∞ -norm of g_ε on $B_{R'}$.

The inequality (1.5) is crucial for this paper and it needs several ingredients. In the preparation Lemma 3.1, we study the second linearized of the equation (1.2) and, by choosing a suitable test function, we obtain the L^2 -estimates for ∇g_ε^q , which, by means of Sobolev embedding, is bounded from below by the l.h.s. of (1.5). The estimate in (3.10) in Lemma 3.1 depends on some powers of the second derivatives of the solution u_ε . To handle these powers of $u_{\varepsilon,ij}$ we make a repeated use, among other things, of the result in [5] which provides uniform estimates for the L^q norms of $u_{\varepsilon,ij}$ (see Remark 2.3). This is the content of the Prop. 3.2. After

that, we conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1 passing to the limit in ε and removing the C^2 assumption on the forcing term f .

Organization. The paper is organized in two sections. In the first one we recall some results that are used in the proof. In the second section we give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We recall the Calderón-Zygmund inequality for elliptic operators (see e.g. [27])

Lemma 2.1. *Let Ω be a bounded smooth domain of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, and let $w \in W_0^{2,q}(\Omega)$, then there exists a positive constant $C(n, q)$ such that*

$$(2.6) \quad \|D^2 w\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq C(n, q) \|\Delta w\|_{L^q(\Omega)}.$$

The following theorem is very important for our purposes.

Theorem 2.2 ([5, 34]). *Let Ω be a domain of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, and $u \in C_{loc}^{1,\beta}(\Omega)$ be a weak solution to (1.1), with $f(x) \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(\Omega) \cap C_{loc}^{0,\beta'}(\Omega)$. Let $q \geq 2$ and p be such that*

$$2 - \frac{1}{C(n, q)} < p < \min \left\{ 2 + \frac{1}{q-1}, 2 + \frac{1}{C(n, q)} \right\},$$

with $C(n, q)$ given by (2.6). Then we have $u \in W_{loc}^{2,q}(\Omega)$.

We shall make repeated use of the following remark.

Remark 2.3. *In order to establish our results we shall also exploit the fact that for any domain $\tilde{\Omega} \subset\subset \Omega$ there exists positive constant \mathcal{C} depending on $n, q, \tilde{\Omega}, p$, $\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})}, \|f\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})}, \|f\|_{W^{1,1}(\tilde{\Omega})}$ such that*

$$(2.7) \quad \|D^2 u_\varepsilon\|_{L^q(\tilde{\Omega})} \leq \mathcal{C},$$

This is a consequence of Theorem 2.2, see [5, Proof of Theorem 1.3].

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

We introduce the notation

$$(3.8) \quad g_\varepsilon := (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{k}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|.$$

We begin with a preliminary lemma in which we estimate from above the L^2 -norm of the gradient of the function g_ε^q localized in some fixed ball B_{2R} . In this lemma we shall study the second linearized of the weak formulation of the regularized problem (1.4), i.e.

$$(3.9) \quad \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} (\nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla \varphi) dx = \int_{B_{2R}} f \varphi dx \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(B_{2R}).$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, and $B_{2R} \subset\subset \Omega$. Let $u_\varepsilon \in C_{loc}^{1,\beta}(B_{2R})$ be a weak solution of the regularized problem (1.4), with $p > 1$. We assume that $f \in C^2(\Omega)$. Then, for any $k \in \mathbb{R}$, $q \geq 1$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\nabla g_\varepsilon^q \psi\|_{L^2(B_{2R})}^2 &\leq q^2 C(p, k) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq-1} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} |D^2 u_\varepsilon|^4 \psi^2 dx \\
 (3.10) \quad &+ qC(p) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q} |\nabla \psi|^2 dx \\
 &+ qC(p) \int_{B_{2R}} |f_{ij}| (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{2kq+2-p}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-1} \psi^2 dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

for any nonnegative smooth function ψ compactly supported in B_{2R} and where $C(p, k)$ and $C(p)$ are positive constants.

Proof. Let u_ε as in the statement. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.11) \quad \nabla \left((\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{qk}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^q \right) &= q(\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{qk}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{q-2} u_{\varepsilon,ij} \nabla u_{\varepsilon,ij} \\
 &+ qk(\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{qk-2}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^q D^2 u_\varepsilon \nabla u_\varepsilon,
 \end{aligned}$$

by means of the Young inequality it is sufficient to estimate from above the L^2 -norms of the two summands on the r.h.s. above. Let us start with the first summand in the r.h.s. of (3.11). Since $f \in C_{loc}^{1,\beta'}(\Omega)$, by standard regularity results (see [22, 27]), we deduce that $u_\varepsilon \in C^3(B_{2R})$. We consider the second linearized equation of the regularized problem. We fix $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ and we use $\varphi_{ij} := (\partial^2 \varphi) / (\partial^2 x_i x_j) \in C_c^\infty(B_{2R})$ in (3.9), since u_ε is regular, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.12) \quad &\int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,ij}, \nabla \varphi) dx \\
 &+ (p-2) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{p-4}{2}} (\nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}) (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,i}, \nabla \varphi) dx \\
 &+ (p-2)(p-4) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{p-6}{2}} (\nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}) (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,i}, \nabla u_\varepsilon) (\nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla \varphi) dx \\
 &+ (p-2) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{p-4}{2}} (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,ij}, \nabla u_\varepsilon) (\nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla \varphi) dx \\
 &+ (p-2) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{p-4}{2}} (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,i}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}) (\nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla \varphi) dx \\
 &+ (p-2) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{p-4}{2}} (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,i}, \nabla u_\varepsilon) (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}, \nabla \varphi) dx = \int_{B_{2R}} f_{ij} \varphi dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consider ψ as in the statement. For fixed numbers $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $q \geq 1$, we consider the following test function

$$(3.13) \quad \varphi(x) := (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{2kq+2-p}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} u_{\varepsilon,ij} \psi^2 \in C_c^1(B_{2R}).$$

So, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \varphi &= (2q-1)(\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{2kq+2-p}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} \nabla u_{\varepsilon,ij} \psi^2 \\ &+ (2kq+2-p)(\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{2kq-p}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} u_{\varepsilon,ij} \psi^2 D^2 u_\varepsilon \nabla u_\varepsilon \\ &+ 2(\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{2kq+2-p}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} u_{\varepsilon,ij} \psi \nabla \psi := J_1 + J_2 + J_3. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging the function φ defined in (3.13) in the equation (3.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,ij}, J_1 + J_2 + J_3) dx \\ &+ (p-2) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{p-4}{2}} (\nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}) (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,i}, J_1 + J_2 + J_3) dx \\ &+ (p-2)(p-4) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{p-6}{2}} (\nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}) (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,i}, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \times \\ &\quad \times (\nabla u_\varepsilon, J_1 + J_2 + J_3) dx \\ (3.14) \quad &+ (p-2) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{p-4}{2}} (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,ij}, \nabla u_\varepsilon) (\nabla u_\varepsilon, J_1 + J_2 + J_3) dx \\ &+ (p-2) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{p-4}{2}} (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,i}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}) (\nabla u_\varepsilon, J_1 + J_2 + J_3) dx \\ &+ (p-2) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{p-4}{2}} (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,i}, \nabla u_\varepsilon) (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}, J_1 + J_2 + J_3) dx \\ &- \int_{B_{2R}} f_{ij} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{2kq+2-p}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} u_{\varepsilon,ij} \psi^2 dx \\ &=: I_1 + \dots + I_{18} - I_{19}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate the term $I_1 + I_{10}$. For $p \geq 2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.15) \quad I_1 + I_{10} &= (2q-1) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} \psi^2 |\nabla u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^2 dx \\ &+ (2q-1)(p-2) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq-1} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} \psi^2 (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,ij}, \nabla u_\varepsilon)^2 dx \\ &\geq (2q-1) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} \psi^2 |\nabla u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

In the case $p < 2$, using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we obtain

$$(3.16) \quad I_1 + I_{10} \geq (2q-1)(p-1) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} \psi^2 |\nabla u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^2 dx.$$

Using (3.15) and (3.16) in (3.14) we have

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & (2q-1) \min\{1, p-1\} \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} \psi^2 |\nabla u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^2 dx \\ & \leq |I_2| + \cdots + |I_9| + |I_{11}| + \cdots + |I_{18}| + |I_{19}|. \end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate the right-hand side of (3.17). First, we estimate the terms $|I_2| + |I_4| + |I_7| + |I_{11}| + |I_{13}| + |I_{16}|$. By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we obtain

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & |I_2| + |I_4| + |I_7| + |I_{11}| + |I_{13}| + |I_{16}| = \\ & |2kq + 2 - p| \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq-1} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} u_{\varepsilon,ij} \psi^2 (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,ij}, D^2 u_\varepsilon \nabla u_\varepsilon) dx \\ & + (2q-1)|p-2| \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq-1} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} \psi^2 (\nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}) (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,ij}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon,i}) dx \\ & + (2q-1)|p-2||p-4| \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{2kq-4}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} \psi^2 \times \\ & \quad \times (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}, \nabla u_\varepsilon) (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,i}, \nabla u_\varepsilon) (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,ij}, \nabla u_\varepsilon) dx \\ & + |2kq + 2 - p||p-2| \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{2kq-4}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} u_{\varepsilon,ij} \psi^2 \times \\ & \quad \times (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,ij}, \nabla u_\varepsilon) (\nabla u_\varepsilon, D^2 u_\varepsilon \nabla u_\varepsilon) dx \\ & + (2q-1)|p-2| \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq-1} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} \psi^2 (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,i}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}) (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,ij}, \nabla u_\varepsilon) dx \\ & + (2q-1)|p-2| \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq-1} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} \psi^2 (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,i}, \nabla u_\varepsilon) (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,ij}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}) dx \\ & \leq qC(p, k) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{2kq-1}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} \psi^2 |\nabla u_{\varepsilon,ij}| |D^2 u_\varepsilon|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $C(p, k)$ is a positive constant.

We estimate the terms $|I_3| + |I_{12}|$. Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.19) \quad & |I_3| + |I_{12}| = 2 \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} u_{\varepsilon,ij} \psi (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,ij}, \nabla \psi) dx \\
& + 2|p-2| \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq-1} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} u_{\varepsilon,ij} \psi (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,ij}, \nabla u_\varepsilon) (\nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla \psi) dx \\
& \leq C(p) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-1} \psi |\nabla u_{\varepsilon,ij}| |\nabla \psi| dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where $C(p)$ is a positive constant. In a similar way we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.20) \quad & |I_5| + |I_8| + |I_{14}| + |I_{17}| = \\
& + |2kq + 2 - p| |p - 2| \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{2kq-4}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} u_{\varepsilon,ij} \psi^2 \times \\
& \quad \times (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}, \nabla u_\varepsilon) (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,i}, D^2 u_\varepsilon \nabla u_\varepsilon) dx \\
& + |2kq + 2 - p| |p - 2| |p - 4| \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{2kq-6}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} \times \\
& \quad \times u_{\varepsilon,ij} \psi^2 (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}, \nabla u_\varepsilon) (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,i}, \nabla u_\varepsilon) (\nabla u_\varepsilon, D^2 u_\varepsilon \nabla u_\varepsilon) dx \\
& + |2kq + 2 - p| |p - 2| \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{2kq-4}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} u_{\varepsilon,ij} \psi^2 \times \\
& \quad \times (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon,i}) (\nabla u_\varepsilon, D^2 u_\varepsilon \nabla u_\varepsilon) dx \\
& + |2kq + 2 - p| |p - 2| \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{2kq-4}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} u_{\varepsilon,ij} \psi^2 \times \\
& \quad \times (\nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_{\varepsilon,i}) (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}, D^2 u_\varepsilon \nabla u_\varepsilon) dx \\
& \leq qC(k, p) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq-1} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-1} \psi^2 |D^2 u_\varepsilon|^3 dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where $C(p, k)$ is a positive constant.

For the terms $|I_6| + |I_9| + |I_{15}| + |I_{18}|$, we have the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& |I_6| + |I_9| + |I_{15}| + |I_{18}| \\
&= 2|p-2| \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq-1} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} u_{\varepsilon,ij} \psi (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}, \nabla u_\varepsilon) (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,i}, \nabla \psi) dx \\
&+ 2|p-2| |p-4| \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{2kq-4}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} u_{\varepsilon,ij} \psi \times \\
&\quad \times (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}, \nabla u_\varepsilon) (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,i}, \nabla u_\varepsilon) (\nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla \psi) dx \\
(3.21) \quad &+ 2|p-2| \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq-1} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} u_{\varepsilon,ij} \psi (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,i}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}) (\nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla \psi) dx \\
&+ 2|p-2| \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq-1} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} u_{\varepsilon,ij} \psi (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,i}, \nabla u_\varepsilon) (\nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}, \nabla \psi) dx \\
&\leq C(p) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{2kq-1}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-1} |D^2 u_\varepsilon|^2 |\nabla \psi| \psi dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where $C(p)$ is a positive constant.

For the last term $|I_{19}|$, obviously, we have

$$(3.22) \quad |I_{19}| \leq \int_{B_{2R}} |f_{ij}| (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{2kq+2-p}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-1} \psi^2 dx.$$

Using (3.18), (3.19), (3.20), (3.21) and (3.22) in the estimate (3.17) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& (2q-1) \min\{1, p-1\} \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} \psi^2 |\nabla u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^2 dx \\
&\leq qC(p, k) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{2kq-1}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} \psi^2 |\nabla u_{\varepsilon,ij}| |D^2 u_\varepsilon|^2 dx \\
&+ C(p) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-1} \psi |\nabla u_{\varepsilon,ij}| |\nabla \psi| dx \\
(3.23) \quad &+ qC(p, k) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq-1} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-1} \psi^2 |D^2 u_\varepsilon|^3 dx \\
&+ C(p) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{2kq-1}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-1} |D^2 u_\varepsilon|^2 |\nabla \psi| \psi dx \\
&+ \int_{B_{2R}} |f_{ij}| (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{2kq+2-p}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-1} \psi^2 dx \\
&:= \mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2 + \mathcal{J}_3 + \mathcal{J}_4 + \mathcal{J}_5.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate the right-hand side of (3.23). Using weighted Young's inequality we get

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_1 \leq & q\theta \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} \psi^2 |\nabla u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^2 dx \\ & + qC(p, k, \theta) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq-1} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} |D^2 u_\varepsilon|^4 \psi^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $C(p, k, \theta)$ is a positive constant.

In the same way we estimate

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_2 \leq & \theta \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} \psi^2 |\nabla u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^2 dx \\ & + C(p, \theta) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q} |\nabla \psi|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $C(p, \theta)$ is a positive constant.

Obviously, we estimate \mathcal{J}_3 in the following way

$$(3.26) \quad \mathcal{J}_3 \leq qC(p, k) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq-1} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} |D^2 u_\varepsilon|^4 \psi^2 dx.$$

For the last term \mathcal{J}_4 , by standard Young's inequality we have

$$(3.27) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_4 \leq & C(p) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq-1} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} |D^2 u_\varepsilon|^4 \psi^2 dx \\ & + C(p) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q} |\nabla \psi|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $C(p)$ is a positive constant.

Using (3.24), (3.25), (3.26) and (3.27) in (3.23) we obtain

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{aligned} & ((2q-1) \min\{1, p-1\} - (q+1)\theta) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} \psi^2 |\nabla u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^2 dx \\ & \leq qC(p, k, \theta) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq-1} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} |D^2 u_\varepsilon|^4 \psi^2 dx \\ & + C(p, \theta) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q} |\nabla \psi|^2 dx \\ & + \int_{B_{2R}} |f_{ij}| (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{2kq+2-p}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-1} \psi^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by choosing $\theta := \theta(p)$ sufficiently small, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
& q^2 \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} \psi^2 |\nabla u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^2 dx \\
& \leq q^2 C(p, k) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq-1} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} |D^2 u_\varepsilon|^4 \psi^2 dx \\
& + qC(p) \int_{B_{2R}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q} |\nabla \psi|^2 dx \\
& + qC(p) \int_{B_{2R}} |f_{ij}| (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{2kq+2-p}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-1} \psi^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Concerning the second summand in the r.h.s. of (3.11) we note that it can be trivially estimated by the first term in the r.h.s. of the above inequality. This proves (3.10) and concludes the proof. \square

In the following proposition, in the spirit of [21, 38, 41], we prove that, when $n \geq 3$, we may estimate the L^{2^*q} -norm of g_ε defined in (3.8), on a ball of radius h' in terms of some power of the $L^{\nu(q-\frac{1}{k})}$ -norm, with $\nu < 2^*$, on a larger ball B_h . This is the starting point for a Moser's iteration argument, which will be performed in Prop. 3.4.

Proposition 3.2. *Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$, and $B_{2R} \subset\subset \Omega$. Let $u_\varepsilon \in C_{loc}^{1,\beta}(B_{2R})$ be a weak solution of the regularized problem (1.4). Assume $f \in C_{loc}^{1,\beta'}(\Omega) \cap W_{loc}^{2,l}(\Omega)$, with $l > n/2$. For $0 < k \leq 1$ fixed, there exists $\mathfrak{C} := \mathfrak{C}(k, l, n) > 0$ such that if $|p-2| < \mathfrak{C}$ then the following holds true. For any $q \geq \frac{1}{k}$ and any ν such that $2l/(l-1) < \nu < 2^*$, there exists $\mathcal{C} > 0$ depending on $k, n, l, R, p, \|\nabla u\|_{L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)}, \|f\|_{L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)}$ and $\|f\|_{W_{loc}^{2,l}(\Omega)}$ such that*

$$(3.29) \quad \|g_\varepsilon\|_{L^{2^*q}(B_{h'})}^q \leq \mathcal{C} \frac{q}{h-h'} \|g_\varepsilon\|_{L^{\nu(q-\hat{s})}(B_h)}^{q-\hat{s}}, \quad \hat{s} := \frac{1}{k},$$

for any $0 < h' < h < 2R$ and where g_ε is defined in (3.8).

Proof. Let h and h' be real numbers satisfying $h' < h \leq 2R$. Let $\psi(x)$ be the standard cut-off function such that $\psi(x) = 1$ in the ball $B_{h'}$, $\psi(x) = 0$ in the complement of the ball B_h , and $|\nabla \psi| \leq 2/(h-h')$. Recall the notation (3.8), by taking the square root of the inequality (3.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.30) \quad \|\nabla g_\varepsilon^q\|_{L^2(B_{h'})} &\leq C(p, k) \left(q \left(\int_{B_h} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq-1} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} |D^2 u_\varepsilon|^4 \psi^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\
&\quad + \sqrt{q} \left(\int_{B_h} |f_{ij}| (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{2kq+2-p}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-1} \psi^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \left. + \sqrt{q} \|g_\varepsilon^q \nabla \psi\|_{L^2(B_h)} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Summing the same quantity on both sides of the previous inequality (3.30), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.31) \quad \|g_\varepsilon^q\|_{W^{1,2}(B_{h'})} &\leq C(p, k) \left(q \left(\int_{B_h} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq-1} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} |D^2 u_\varepsilon|^4 \psi^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\
&\quad + \sqrt{q} \left(\int_{B_h} |f_{ij}| (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{2kq+2-p}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-1} \psi^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \left. + \sqrt{q} \|g_\varepsilon^q \nabla \psi\|_{L^2(B_h)} \right) + \|g_\varepsilon^q\|_{L^2(B_{h'})}.
\end{aligned}$$

By using Sobolev's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.32) \quad \|g_\varepsilon^q\|_{L^{2^*}(B_{h'})} &\leq C_S C(p, k) \left[q \left(\int_{B_h} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq-1} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-2} |D^2 u_\varepsilon|^4 \psi^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\
&\quad + \sqrt{q} \left(\int_{B_h} |f_{ij}| (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{2kq+2-p}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2q-1} \psi^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\sqrt{q}}{h-h'} \|g_\varepsilon^q\|_{L^2(B_h)} \right] := \mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2 + \mathcal{I}_3,
\end{aligned}$$

where C_S denotes the Sobolev's constant and where we have used the inequalities $h' \leq h$ and $|\nabla \psi| \leq 2/(h-h')$.

We estimate \mathcal{I}_1 . Fix $\hat{s} := 1/k \geq 1$, independent of q , to be chosen later, and $\frac{2l}{l-1} < \nu < 2^*$. Note that $\frac{2l}{l-1} < 2^*$ since by hypothesis we have $l > \frac{n}{2}$. By using Holder's inequality with exponents $(\frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{\nu-2})$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.33) \quad \mathcal{I}_1 &\leq q C_S C(p, k) \left(\int_{B_h} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{(2\hat{s}-2)\frac{\nu}{\nu-2}} |D^2 u_\varepsilon|^{4\frac{\nu}{\nu-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{\nu-2}{2\nu}} \times \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_{B_h} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{(kq-1)\frac{\nu}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{\nu(q-\hat{s})} \psi^\nu dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.2, see Remark 2.3, there exists a positive constant \mathcal{C} independent of ε and depending on $n, \hat{s}, \nu, R, p, \|\nabla u\|_{L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)}, \|f\|_{L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)}$, and $\|f\|_{W_{loc}^{1,1}(\Omega)}$ such that

$$(3.34) \quad \left(\int_{B_h} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{(2\hat{s}-2)\frac{\nu}{\nu-2}} |D^2 u_\varepsilon|^{4\frac{\nu}{\nu-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{\nu}{2(\nu-2)}} \leq \left(\int_{B_h} |D^2 u_\varepsilon|^{\frac{2\nu}{\nu-2}(\hat{s}+1)} dx \right)^{\frac{\nu-2}{2\nu}} \leq C.$$

By (3.34) and the inequality (3.33) we infer that

$$(3.35) \quad \mathcal{I}_1 \leq \hat{C}q \left(\int_{B_h} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq-1\frac{\nu}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{\nu(q-\hat{s})} \psi^\nu dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}}$$

where $\hat{C} = \hat{C}(k, n, \nu, R, p, \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty_{loc}(\Omega)}, \|f\|_{L^\infty_{loc}(\Omega)}, \|f\|_{W_{loc}^{1,1}(\Omega)})$ is a positive constant independent of ε and q .

We estimate the term \mathcal{I}_2 . To begin with, we notice that, by [32, Theorem 1.7] (see also [22] and [14, Theorem 2.1]), we have

$$(3.36) \quad \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{2R})} \leq C,$$

where $C(p, n, R, \|f\|_{L^s(B_{2R})})$ is a positive constant not depending on ε and $s > n$.

Since $p < 4$ and since ∇u_ε is uniformly bounded in L^∞ we infer that $(\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{4-p}$ is uniformly bounded in L^∞ . From the latter fact, by (3.36) and by using Holder's inequality with exponents $(l, l/(l-1))$ we obtain

$$(3.37) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_2 &\leq \sqrt{q} C_S C(p, k, R, \|f\|_{L^s(B_{2R})}) \left(\int_{B_h} |f_{ij}|^l dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2l}} \times \\ &\times \left(\int_{B_h} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{(kq-1)\frac{l}{l-1}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2(q-\hat{s})\frac{l}{l-1}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{(2\hat{s}-1)\frac{l}{l-1}} \psi^{\frac{2l}{l-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{l-1}{2l}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f \in W^{2,l}(B_{2R})$, by using Holder's inequality with exponents $(\frac{\nu(l-1)}{2l}, \frac{\nu(l-1)}{l(\nu-2)-\nu})$, we have

$$(3.38) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_2 &\leq \sqrt{q} C \left(\int_{B_h} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{(2\hat{s}-1)\frac{l\nu}{l(\nu-2)-\nu}} dx \right)^{\frac{l(\nu-2)-\nu}{2l\nu}} \times \\ &\times \left(\int_{B_h} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{(kq-1)\frac{\nu}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{(q-\hat{s})\nu} \psi^\nu dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}}, \end{aligned}$$

with $C = C(p, k, n, R, \|f\|_{L^s(B_{2R})}, \|f\|_{W^{2,l}(B_{2R})})$.

By (3.38) and by Theorem 2.2, see Remark 2.3, we deduce that

$$(3.39) \quad \mathcal{I}_2 \leq \dot{C} \sqrt{q} \left(\int_{B_h} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{(kq-1)\frac{\nu}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{\nu(q-\hat{s})} \psi^\nu dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}},$$

where $\dot{C} = \dot{C}(k, n, \nu, R, p, \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty_{loc}(\Omega)}, \|f\|_{L^\infty_{loc}(\Omega)}, \|f\|_{W_{loc}^{2,l}(\Omega)})$ is a positive constant independent of ε and q .

In order to estimate the term \mathcal{I}_3 , we can reason in a similar way as done for the estimate on \mathcal{I}_1 . Exploiting the uniform boundedness in ε of the L^∞ -norm of the gradient of u_ε and using Holder's inequality with exponents $(\frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{\nu-2})$, we obtain

$$(3.40) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_3 &\leq \frac{\sqrt{q}}{h-h'} C_S C(p, k, n, R, \|f\|_{L^s(B_{2R})}) \left(\int_{B_h} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{2\hat{s}\frac{\nu}{\nu-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{\nu-2}{2\nu}} \times \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{B_h} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{kq-1\frac{\nu}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{\nu(q-\hat{s})} \psi^\nu dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.2 (see also Remark 2.3) we get

$$(3.41) \quad \mathcal{I}_3 \leq \frac{\sqrt{q}}{h-h'} \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \left(\int_{B_h} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{(kq-1)\frac{\nu}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{\nu(q-\hat{s})} \psi^\nu dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}}$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(k, n, \nu, R, p, \|\nabla u\|_{L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)}, \|f\|_{L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)})$ is again a positive constant independent of q and ε . We remark that in the estimates (3.34), (3.38) and (3.40) we have applied Theorem 2.2 with $D^2 u_\varepsilon \in L^{\hat{q}}(B_{2R})$ where

$$(3.42) \quad \hat{q} = \max \left\{ (\hat{s} + 1) \frac{2\nu}{\nu - 2}, (2\hat{s} - 1) \frac{l\nu}{l(\nu - 2) - \nu} \right\}.$$

Collecting (3.32), (3.35), (3.39), and (3.41), using that $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ we infer that

$$\|g_\varepsilon^q\|_{L^{2^*(B_{h'})}} \leq \mathcal{C} \frac{q}{h-h'} \left(\int_{B_h} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{(kq-1)\frac{\nu}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{\nu(q-\hat{s})} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}},$$

where $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(k, n, \nu, l, R, p, \|\nabla u\|_{L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)}, \|f\|_{L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)}, \|f\|_{W_{loc}^{2,l}(\Omega)})$ is independent of q and ε . We conclude by noticing that $\nu(kq-1) = \nu k(q-\hat{s})$. \square

Remark 3.3. *The case $n = 2$ is obtained in a very similar way. The only difference is in the use of the Sobolev inequality to estimate from below the left hand side of (3.31). In this framework we can use the embedding $W_{loc}^{1,2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L_{loc}^r(\Omega)$ with $r > \frac{2l}{l-1}$. Then one has to continue the proof by choosing $2 < \frac{2l}{l-1} < \nu < r$, and eventually obtain the (3.29) with $2^* \rightsquigarrow r$.*

Owing to Prop. 3.2 and Remark 3.3, we can perform a Moser's iteration argument and obtain that $(\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{k}{2}} u_{\varepsilon,ij}$ is locally (uniformly in ε) bounded in L^∞ .

Proposition 3.4. *Fix $0 < R' < R$. Under the hypotheses of Prop. 3.2, there exists a constant $\mathcal{C} > 0$ depending on $k, n, l, R', R, p, \|\nabla u\|_{L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)}, \|f\|_{L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)}$ and $\|f\|_{W_{loc}^{2,l}(\Omega)}$ such that*

$$(3.43) \quad \left| (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{k}{2}} u_{\varepsilon,ij} \right|_{L^\infty(B_{R'})} \leq \mathcal{C}.$$

Proof. Recall the function g_ε defined in (3.8), and the inequality (3.29). We use a Moser's type iteration. For $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\hat{s} = 1/k$, we set

$$(3.44) \quad \begin{cases} q_0 = \hat{s} \\ q_{i+1} = \frac{2^*}{\nu} q_i + \hat{s}. \end{cases}$$

We note that with this definition we have for any $i \geq 0$

$$(3.45) \quad q_i = \hat{s} \sum_{j=0}^i \left(\frac{2^*}{\nu} \right)^j \leq \hat{s}(i+1) \left(\frac{2^*}{\nu} \right)^i.$$

Using the choice $h = h_i = R'(1 + 2^{-i})$, $h' = h_{i+1}$ and $q = q_i$, the inequality (3.29) becomes

$$(3.46) \quad \|g_\varepsilon^{q_i}\|_{L^{2^*}(B_{h_{i+1}})} \leq 2C2^i q_i \|g_\varepsilon\|_{L^{\nu(q_i - \hat{s})}}^{q_i - \hat{s}}.$$

with $C = C(k, n, l, R', R, p, \|\nabla u\|_{L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)}, \|f\|_{L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)}, \|f\|_{W_{loc}^{2,l}(\Omega)})$ positive constant. Set $p_{i+1} = 2^* q_i$, by (3.44) we infer that $p_i = \nu(q_i - \hat{s})$, so that

$$(3.47) \quad \|g_\varepsilon\|_{L^{p_{i+1}}(B_{h_{i+1}})} \leq (2C2^i q_i)^{\frac{2^*}{p_{i+1}}} \|g_\varepsilon\|_{L^{p_i}(B_{h_i})}^{\frac{2^*}{\nu} \frac{p_i}{p_{i+1}}}.$$

The iteration yields

$$(3.48) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{B_{h_{i+1}}} (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{kp_{i+1}}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon,ij}|^{p_{i+1}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_{i+1}}} \\ & \leq \prod_{k=0}^i [(2C2^k q_k)^{\frac{(2^*)^{i-k}}{\nu^{i-k}}}]^{\frac{2^*}{p_{i+1}}} \left(\int_{B_{2R'}} |g_\varepsilon|^{\nu(q_0 - \hat{s})} dx \right)^{\frac{(2^*)^{i+1}}{\nu^{i+1} p_{i+1}}} \\ & = |B_{2R'}|^{\frac{(2^*)^{i+1}}{\nu^{i+1} p_{i+1}}} \prod_{k=0}^i [(2C2^k q_k)^{\frac{(2^*)^{i-k}}{\nu^{i-k}}}]^{\frac{2^*}{p_{i+1}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used that $q_0 = \hat{s}$ and by $|B_{2R'}|$ the measure of $B_{2R'}$. We prove that the r.h.s. of the above inequality is bounded in i . From one hand we have

$$(3.49) \quad \frac{1}{p_{i+1}} \leq \left(\frac{\nu}{2^*} \right)^i \frac{1}{\hat{s} 2^*},$$

so that $|B_{2R'}|^{\frac{(2^*)^{i+1}}{\nu^{i+1} p_{i+1}}}$ is bounded from above by a constant independent of i . We analyze the second factor in the r.h.s. of (3.48), i.e.

$$(3.50) \quad \prod_{k=0}^i [(2C2^k q_k)^{\frac{(2^*)^{i-k}}{\nu^{i-k}}}]^{\frac{2^*}{p_{i+1}}} = \exp \left(\sum_{k=0}^i \left(\frac{2^*}{\nu} \right)^{i-k} \frac{2^*}{p_{i+1}} \log(2C2^k q_k) \right).$$

Since $2\mathcal{C}2^k q_k \geq 1$, by using (3.49) we obtain

$$(3.50) \leq \exp \left(\sum_{k=0}^i \left(\frac{2^*}{\nu} \right)^{-k} \frac{1}{\hat{s}} \log(2\mathcal{C}2^k q_k) \right).$$

We use (3.45) to continue the chain of inequality and infer that there exists $M > 1$ such that

$$(3.50) \leq \exp \left(\sum_{k=0}^i \left(\frac{\nu}{2^*} \right)^k \frac{1}{\hat{s}} k \log(M) \right),$$

which is bounded in i since $\nu/2^* < 1$. Passing to the limit for $i \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.48), and noticing that $\|(\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{k}{2}} u_{\varepsilon,ij}\|_{L^\infty(B_{R'})} \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|(\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{k}{2}} u_{\varepsilon,ij}\|_{L^{p_i}(B_{h_i})}$, we eventually obtain

$$\left| (\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{k}{2}} u_{\varepsilon,ij} \right|_{L^\infty(B_{R'})} \leq \mathcal{C},$$

where \mathcal{C} is a positive constant not depending on ε . \square

Remark 3.5. *The same thesis of Prop. 3.4 holds true in the case $n = 2$, it is enough to change 2^* with r according to the notation of Remark 3.3.*

We are in position to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let us begin with the case $n = 3$. Fix $x_0 \in \Omega$ and let $R > 0$ such that $B_{2R}(x_0) \subset\subset \Omega$ and let $R' < R$. We prove that $u \in L^\infty(B_{R'}(x_0))$. Consider u_ε solution to (1.4). By Proposition 3.4 it is enough to prove that $(\varepsilon + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{k/2} u_{\varepsilon,ij}$ converges a.e. to $|\nabla u|^k u_{ij}$. Assume for the moment

$$(3.51) \quad f \in C^2(\Omega).$$

Let us consider a compact set $K \subset\subset B_{R'}$. We recall (see [2, 22, 32]) that,

$$(3.52) \quad u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u \quad \text{in the norm } \|\cdot\|_{C^{1,\beta}(K)}.$$

By Schauder estimates (see [27]) we have that $\|u_\varepsilon\|_{C^{2,\beta}(\tilde{K})} \leq C$ for any compact set $\tilde{K} \subset\subset (B_{R'} \setminus Z_u)$, with C positive constant not depending on ε . So, by the latter inequality, it follows that the limit in (3.52) holds in $C^2(\tilde{K})$. So, by (3.43), for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we deduce that

$$(3.53) \quad \left\| |\nabla u|^k u_{ij} \right\|_{L^\infty(B_{R'} \setminus Z_u)} \leq \mathcal{C}.$$

Moreover by Theorem 2.2 we have $u_i \in W^{1,\hat{q}}(B_{2R})$, with $\hat{q} > 1$ in (3.42), and therefore, by using Stampacchia's theorem (see [30, Theorem 6.19]) we get that $\nabla u_i = 0$ a.e on the set $\{|\nabla u| = 0\}$. From this fact, it follows that

$$(3.54) \quad \left\| |\nabla u|^k u_{ij} \right\|_{L^\infty(B_{R'})} \leq \mathcal{C},$$

with $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(k, n, l, \nu, R, R', p, \|\nabla u\|_{L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)}, \|f\|_{L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)}, \|f\|_{W_{loc}^{2,l}(\Omega)})$.

The last step consists of removing the assumption (3.51). Let $f \in W_{loc}^{2,l}(\Omega) \cap L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)$. By standard density argument we can infer that there exists a sequence $\{f_m\} \subset C^\infty(\Omega)$ such that

$$(3.55) \quad f_m \rightarrow f \quad \text{in } W_{loc}^{2,l}(\Omega), \quad \|f_m\|_{L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C\|f\|_{L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)},$$

with C a positive constant independent on m . To proceed we consider a sequence $\{u_m\}$ of weak solutions to the following system

$$(3.56) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u_m|^{p-2}\nabla u_m) = f_m & \text{in } B_{2R} \\ u_m = u & \text{on } \partial B_{2R}. \end{cases}$$

By (3.54) applied to u_m we have

$$(3.57) \quad \left\| |\nabla u_m|^k u_{m,ij} \right\|_{L^\infty(B_{R'})} \leq \mathcal{C},$$

with $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(k, n, l, \nu, R, R', p, \|\nabla u_m\|_{L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)}, \|f_m\|_{L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)}, \|f_m\|_{W_{loc}^{2,l}(\Omega)})$.

First, we recall that (see [32, Theorem 1.7], [22] and [14, Theorem 2.1]), we have

$$(3.58) \quad \|\nabla u_m\|_{L^\infty(B_{2R})} \leq C,$$

where $C(p, R, n, \|f_m\|_{L^s(B_{2R})})$ is a positive constant and $s > n$.

Now, let us consider a compact set $K \subset\subset B_{R'}$. We recall that,

$$(3.59) \quad u_m \rightarrow u \quad \text{in the norm } \|\cdot\|_{C^{1,\beta}(K)}.$$

By [13, Theorem 2.1] (see also [36, Theorem 1.1]), we deduce

$$(3.60) \quad u_m \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } W_{loc}^{2,2}(\tilde{K}),$$

for any compact set $\tilde{K} \subset\subset (B_{R'} \setminus Z_u)$. By (3.55), (3.58), (3.59) and (3.60), passing to the limit in (3.57) we deduce

$$(3.61) \quad \left\| |\nabla u|^k u_{ij} \right\|_{L^\infty(B_{R'})} \leq \mathcal{C},$$

with $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(k, n, l, \nu, R, R', p, \|\nabla u\|_{L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)}, \|f\|_{L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)}, \|f\|_{W_{loc}^{2,l}(\Omega)})$.

The case $n = 2$ follows by Remarks 3.3 and 3.5 reasoning as above. This concludes the proof. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We warmly thanks Berardino Sciuonzi for suggesting us this problem. The authors are supported by PRIN PNRR P2022YFAJH *Linear and Nonlinear PDEs: New directions and applications*. Felice Iandoli has been partially supported by INdAM-GNAMPA Project *Stable and unstable phenomena in propagation of Waves in dispersive media* E5324001950001. D. Vuono has been partially supported by INdAM-GNAMPA Project *Regularity and qualitative aspects of nonlinear PDEs via variational and non-variational approaches* E5324001950001.

REFERENCES

- [1] C.A. ANTONINI, G. CIRAULO, A. FARINA. Interior regularity results for inhomogeneous anisotropic quasilinear equations. *Mathematische Annalen* 387 (3), 1745–1776.
- [2] C.A. ANTONINI, A. CIANCHI, G. CIRAULO, A. FARINA, V. MAZ'YA. Global second-order estimates in anisotropic elliptic problems. *Preprint* arXiv:2307.03052
- [3] C.A. ANTONINI, G. CIRAULO, F. PAGLIARIN. Second order regularity for degenerate p -Laplace type equations with log-concave weights. *Preprint* arXiv:2501.02106
- [4] A. BALCI, A. CIANCHI, L. DIENING, V. MAZ'YA. A pointwise differential inequality and second-order regularity for nonlinear elliptic systems. *Math. Ann.*, 383 (2022), no. 3-4, 1775–1824.
- [5] D. BARATTA, B. SCIUNZI, D. VUONO. Third order estimates and the regularity of the stress field for solutions to p -Laplace equations. *Preprint* arXiv:2402.17566
- [6] D. BARATTA, L. MUGLIA, D. VUONO. Second order regularity for solutions to anisotropic degenerate elliptic equations. *Preprint* arXiv:2408.00135
- [7] D. CASTORINA, G. RIEY, B. SCIUNZI. Hopf Lemma and regularity results for quasilinear anisotropic elliptic equations. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 58 (2019), no. 3, Paper No. 95, 18 pp.
- [8] A. CELLINA. The regularity of solutions to some variational problems, including the p -Laplace equation for $2 \leq p < 3$. *ESAIM: COCV* 23 (2017), 1543–1553.
- [9] A. CIANCHI, V.G. MAZ'YA. Global Lipschitz regularity for a class of quasilinear elliptic equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 36(1), (2011), 100–133.
- [10] A. CIANCHI, V.G. MAZ'YA. Global boundedness of the gradient for a class of nonlinear elliptic systems. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 212(1), (2014), 129–177.
- [11] A. CIANCHI, V.G. MAZ'YA. Gradient regularity via rearrangements for p -Laplacian type elliptic boundary value problems. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 16(3), (2014), 571–595.
- [12] A. CIANCHI, V.G. MAZ'YA. Global gradient estimates in elliptic problems under minimal data and domain regularity. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* 14 (2015), no. 1, 285–311.
- [13] A. CIANCHI, V.G. MAZ'YA. Second-order two-sided estimates in nonlinear elliptic problems. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 229, (2018), 569–599.
- [14] A. CIANCHI, V. MAZ'YA. Global boundedness of the gradient for a class of nonlinear elliptic systems, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 212 (2014) 129–177.
- [15] A. CIANCHI, V. MAZ'YA. Optimal second-order regularity for the p -Laplace system. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 132 (2019), 41–78.
- [16] L. DAMASCELLI, B. SCIUNZI. Regularity, monotonicity and symmetry of positive solutions of m -Laplace equations. *J. of Differential Equations*, 206, 2004, no.2, pp. 483–515.
- [17] C. DE FILIPPIS, F. DE FILIPPIS, M. PICCININI. Bounded minimizers of double phase problems at nearly linear growth. *Preprint* arXiv:2411.14325
- [18] C. DE FILIPPIS, G. MINGIONE. On the regularity of minima of non-autonomous functionals. *The Journal of Geometric Analysis*, 30 (2020), 1584–1626.
- [19] C. DE FILIPPIS, G. MINGIONE. Regularity for Double Phase Problems at Nearly Linear Growth. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 247(2023), no.5, Paper No. 85, 50 pp.
- [20] C. DE FILIPPIS, G. MINGIONE. Nonuniformly elliptic Schauder theory. *Invent. Math.*, 234(2023), no.3, 1109–1196.
- [21] E. DE GIORGI. Convergence problems for functionals and operators. *Proc. Int. Meeting on Recent Methods in Nonlinear Analysis* (Rome, 1978), Pitagora, Bologna, 1979, pp. 131–188.
- [22] E. DI BENEDETTO. $C^{1+\alpha}$ local regularity of weak solutions of degenerate elliptic equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* 7(8), 1983, pp. 827–850.

- [23] L. DIENING, P. KAPLICKÝ, S. SCHWARZACHER. BMO estimates for the p -Laplacian. *Non-linear Anal.*, 75 (2012), no. 2, 637–650.
- [24] H. DONG, F. PENG, Y. ZHANG, Y. ZHOU. Hessian estimates for equations involving p -Laplacian via a fundamental inequality *Adv. Math.* 370 (2020) 107212.
- [25] F. DUZAAR, G. MINGIONE. Gradient continuity estimates. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 39(3-4), (2010), 379–418.
- [26] F. DUZAAR, G. MINGIONE. Gradient estimates via non-linear potentials. *Amer. J. Math.*, 133(4), (2011), 1093–1149.
- [27] D. Gilbarg, N. S. Trudinger. *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*. Springer, Reprint of the 1998 Edition.
- [28] T. KUUSI, G. MINGIONE. A nonlinear Stein theorem, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 51 (2014), no. 1-2, 45–86.
- [29] T. KUUSI, G. MINGIONE. Vectorial nonlinear potential theory. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* 20(4), 2018, 929–1004.
- [30] E. H. LIEB, M. LOSS. Analysis, volume 14 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics. *American Mathematical Society*, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [31] G.M. LIEBERMAN. Boundary regularity for solutions of degenerate elliptic equations. *Non-linear Anal.*, 12(11), 1988, 1203–1219.
- [32] G. M. LIEBERMAN. The natural generalization of the natural conditions of Ladyzhenskaya and Ural'tseva for elliptic equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 16 (1991), no. 2-3, pp. 311–361.
- [33] LOU H. On singular sets of local solutions to p -Laplacian equations. *Chinese Annals of Mathematics, Series B*, 29(5), (2008), 521–530.
- [34] C. MERCURI, G. RIEY, AND B. SCIUNZI. A regularity result for the p -Laplacian near uniform ellipticity. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 48:3 (2016), 2059–2075.
- [35] M. MIŚKIEWICZ. Fractional differentiability for solutions of the inhomogeneous p -Laplace system. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 146 (2018), no.7, 3009–3017.
- [36] L. MONTORO, L. MUGLIA, B. SCIUNZI. Optimal second order boundary regularity for solutions to p -Laplace equations. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, 2025, 64(2), 50.
- [37] L. MONTORO, L. MUGLIA, B. SCIUNZI, D. VUONO. Regularity and symmetry results for the vectorial p -Laplacian. *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications* 2025, 251, 113700
- [38] J.K. MOSER On Harnack's theorem for elliptic differential elliptic equations. *Comm. on Pure and Applied Math.*, 14, pp. 577–591, 1961.
- [39] B. SCIUNZI. Some results on the qualitative properties of positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations. *NoDEA. Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications*, 14(3-4), 2007, 315–334.
- [40] B. SCIUNZI. Regularity and comparison principles for p -Laplace equations with vanishing source term. *Comm. Cont. Math.*, 16(6), 2014, 1450013, 20.
- [41] J. SERRIN. Local behaviour of solutions of quasilinear equations. *Acta Math.* 111 (1964), pp. 247–302.
- [42] P. TOLKSDORF. *Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations*. *J. Differential Equations*. 51(1), 1984, pp. 126–150.

Email address, Felice Iandoli: felice.iandoli@unical.it

Email address, Domenico Vuono: domenico.vuono@unical.it

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DELLA CALABRIA, PONTE PIETRO BUCCI 31B, 87036 ARCAVACATA DI RENDE, COSENZA, ITALY