

SOME CHARACTERIZATIONS OF WEAK LEFT BRACES

SHOUFENG WANG

ABSTRACT. As generalizations of skew left braces, weak left braces were introduced recently by Catino, Mazzotta, Miccoli and Stefanelli to study certain special degenerate set-theoretical solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation. In this note, as analogues of the notions of regular subgroups of holomorphs of groups and Gamma functions on groups, we propose the notions of good inverse subsemigroups and Gamma functions associated to Clifford semigroups, respectively, by which weak left braces are characterized. Moreover, symmetric, λ -homomorphic and λ -anti-homomorphic weak left braces are introduced and the algebraic structures of these weak left braces are given.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Yang-Baxter equation first appeared in Yang's study of exact solutions of many-body problems in [18] and Baxter's work of the eight-vertex model in [3]. The equation is closely related to many fields in mathematics and physics. Due to the complexity in solving the Yang-Baxter equation, Drinfeld suggested to study set-theoretical solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation in [11]. To construct set-theoretical solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation by the view of algebra, several kinds of algebraic structures have been introduced in literature. In particular, Rump introduced left braces in [17] as a generalization of Jacobson radical rings, and a few years later, Cedó, Jespers and Okniński [9] reformulated Rump's definition of left braces. Guarnieri and Vendramin [13] introduced skew left braces as generalization of left braces. In particular, they showed that skew left braces are connected closely with regular subgroups of holomorphs of groups and proved that (skew) left braces can provide (involutive) non-degenerate set-theoretical solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation. Moreover, Campedel, Caranti and Del Corso [4] investigated skew left braces by using so-called Gamma functions on groups introduced there. On the other hand, several special classes skew left braces, such as bi-skew left braces (i.e. symmetric skew left braces), λ -homomorphic and λ -anti-homomorphic skew left braces, have been introduced and studied (see [1, 2, 5, 10] and the reference therein).

Recently, Catino, Mazzotta, Miccoli and Stefanelli [6], and Catino, Mazzotta and Stefanelli [7] introduced weak left braces and dual weak left braces by using inverse semigroups and Clifford semigroups, respectively, and proved that weak left braces and dual weak left braces can provide certain special degenerate set-theoretical solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation. More recent information on weak left braces and their generalizations can be found in [8, 12, 15, 16]. In particular, the text [8] gave a construction method for dual weak left braces.

The aim of this note is to continue the investigation of weak left braces by using the existing results. We obtain some characterizations of weak left braces, dual weak left braces and some special classes of them. In Section 2, some necessary preliminaries on inverse semigroups, Clifford semigroups and weak left braces are presented. In Section 3, we introduce the notions of good inverse subsemigroups and Gamma functions associated to Clifford semigroups by which weak left braces are characterized. In Section 4, we introduce symmetric, λ -homomorphic and λ -anti-homomorphic weak left braces, respectively, and show that these weak left braces are all dual weak left braces. The

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20M18, 16T25, 16Y99.

Key words and phrases. Inverse semigroup, Clifford semigroup, weak left brace, Gamma function.

results obtained in the present paper can be regarded as the enrichment and extension of the corresponding results in the texts [1, 2, 4, 5, 13].

2. PRELIMINARIE

In this section, we recall some notions and known results on inverse semigroups, Clifford semigroups and weak left braces. Let $(S, +)$ be a semigroup. We denote the set of all idempotents in $(S, +)$ by $E(S, +)$ and the center of $(S, +)$ by $C(S, +)$, respectively. That is,

$$E(S, +) = \{x \in S \mid x + x = x\}, \quad C(S, +) = \{x \in S \mid x + a = a + x \text{ for all } a \in S\}.$$

According to [14], a semigroup $(S, +)$ is called an *inverse semigroup* if for all $x \in S$, there exists a unique element $-a \in S$ such that $a = a - a + a$ and $-a + a - a = -a$. Such an element $-a$ is called *the von Neumann inverse* of a in S . Let $(S, +)$ be an inverse semigroup. It is well known that $-(a + b) = -b - a$ and $-(-a) = a$ for all $a, b \in S$. Moreover, $E(S, +)$ is a commutative subsemigroup of $(S, +)$, and

$$E(S, +) = \{-x + x \mid x \in S\} = \{x - x \mid x \in S\} \text{ and } -e = e \text{ for all } e \in E(S, +).$$

The following lemma gives a characterization of inverse semigroups. Recall that a semigroup $(S, +)$ is *regular* if for each $a \in S$, there exists $a' \in S$ such that $a + a' + a = a$.

Lemma 2.1 ([14]). *A semigroup $(S, +)$ is an inverse semigroup if and only if $(S, +)$ is regular and $e + f = f + e$ for all $e, f \in E(S, +)$.*

An inverse semigroup $(S, +)$ is called a *Clifford semigroup* if $-a + a = a - a$ for all $a \in S$. In this case, we denote $a^0 = -a + a = a - a$ for all $a \in S$. The following lemma gives a characterization of Clifford semigroups.

Lemma 2.2 ([14]). *A semigroup $(S, +)$ is a Clifford semigroup if and only if $(S, +)$ is a regular semigroup and $E(S, +) \subseteq C(S, +)$.*

Let $(S, +)$ and $(S', +')$ be two Clifford semigroups. A map (respectively, bijection) $\phi : S \rightarrow S'$ is called a *semigroup homomorphism* (respectively, *semigroup isomorphism*) from $(S, +)$ to $(S', +')$ if $\phi(x + y) = \phi(x) +' \phi(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$. A *semigroup homomorphism* (respectively, *semigroup isomorphism*) from $(S, +)$ to itself is called a *semigroup endomorphism* (respectively, *semigroup automorphism*) on $(S, +)$. The set of all *semigroup endomorphisms* (respectively, *semigroup automorphisms*) on $(S, +)$ are denoted by $\text{End}(S, +)$ and $\text{Aut}(S, +)$, respectively. Obviously, $\text{End}(S, +)$ and $\text{Aut}(S, +)$ forms a semigroup and a group with respect to the compositions of maps, respectively. In the sequel we shall use the following lemma throughout the paper without further mention.

Lemma 2.3 ([14]). *Let $(S, +)$ and $(S', +')$ be two Clifford semigroups, $a, b \in S$, $e \in E(S, +)$ and $\phi : (S, +) \rightarrow (S', +')$ be a semigroup homomorphism. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} a &= a - a + a, \quad -a + a - a = -a, \quad -(a + b) = -b - a, \quad -(-a) = a, \quad e + a = a + e, \\ e^0 &= e = -e, \quad (-a)^0 = -a^0 = a^{00} = a^0 = -a + a = a - a, \quad a^0 + a = a + a^0 = a, \\ a^0 + a^0 &= a^0, \quad a^0 + b = b + a^0, \quad (a - b)^0 = (a + b)^0 = a^0 + b^0, \quad \phi(-a) = -\phi(a), \quad \phi(a^0) = \phi(a)^0. \end{aligned}$$

From [6], a triple $(S, +, \cdot)$ is called a *weak left brace* if $(S, +)$ and (S, \cdot) are inverse semigroups and the following axioms hold:

$$(2.1) \quad x(y + z) = xy - x + xz, \quad xx^{-1} = -x + x,$$

where x^{-1} is the inverse of x in (S, \cdot) for all $x \in S$. By the second axiom, we have $E(S, +) = E(S, \cdot)$. We also denote this set by $E(S)$ sometimes in this case. From [7], a weak left brace $(S, +, \cdot)$ is called a *dual weak left brace* if (S, \cdot) is a Clifford semigroup. From [13], a dual weak left brace $(S, +, \cdot)$ is called a *skew left brace* if $(S, +)$ and (S, \cdot) are groups. For weak left braces, we have the following key lemmas by Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 2.4 (Theorem 8 in [6] and Lemma 2 in [8]). *Let $(S, +, \cdot)$ be a weak left brace and $a, b \in T, e \in E(S)$. Then $(S, +)$ is a Clifford semigroup and*

$$ea = e + a = a + e, a^0 + a = a + a^0 = a,$$

$$a^0 + b^0 = (a + b)^0, (-a)^0 = a^0 = a^0 - a^0 = -a^0 = a - a = -a + a.$$

In particular, if $(S, +, \circ)$ is a dual weak left brace, then $x^0 = x - x = -x + x = xx^{-1} = x^{-1}x$ for all $x \in S$, and

$$a = a^0a = aa^0, ae = a + e = e + a, (ab)^0 = a^0b^0 = a^0 + b^0, (a^{-1})^0 = a^0.$$

By the comments after Definition 5 and Proposition 6, Lemma 1, Proposition 7, Theorem 8 in [6], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5 ([6]). *Let $(T, +, \cdot)$ be a weak left brace. Define $\lambda_a : T \rightarrow T, b \mapsto -a + ab$ for all $a \in T$. Then $\lambda_a \in \text{End}(T, +)$. Furthermore, define $\lambda : (T, \cdot) \rightarrow \text{End}(T, +), a \mapsto \lambda_a$. Then λ is a semigroup homomorphism. Moreover, we have the following result:*

- (1) *0 is the identity of $(T, +)$ if and only if 0 is the identity of (T, \cdot) .*
- (2) *$ab = a + \lambda_a(b)$ and $\lambda_a(a^{-1}) = -a$ for all $a, b \in T$.*

Let $(T, +)$ be a Clifford semigroup and $a, b \in T$. Denote $H_a = \{x \in T \mid x^0 = a^0\}$. Then it is easy to see that $(H_a, +)$ is a subgroup of $(T, +)$ and x^0 is the identity in $(H_a, +)$ for all $x \in H_a$ by Lemma 2.3. Obviously, $H_a = H_b$ if and only if $a^0 = b^0$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $(T, +, \cdot)$ be a dual weak left brace and $a \in T$. Then $\lambda_a|_{H_a} \in \text{Aut}(H_a, +)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in H_a$. Then $x^0 = a^0$ and

$$(\lambda_a(x))^0 = (-a + ax)^0 = (-a)^0 + (ax)^0 = a^0 + a^0x^0 = a^0 + a^0a^0 = a^0 + a^0 = a^0,$$

and so $\lambda_a(x) \in H_a$. This implies that $\lambda_a(H_a) \subseteq H_a$. Since $\lambda_a \in \text{End}(T, +)$ by Lemma 2.5, we have $\lambda_a|_{H_a} \in \text{End}(H_a, +)$. In view of Lemma 2.4, we have $(a^{-1})^0 = a^0$, and so $H_{a^{-1}} = H_a$. Therefore $\lambda_{a^{-1}}|_{H_a} \in \text{End}(H_a, +)$. For every $x \in H_a$, it follows that

$$\lambda_a|_{H_a} \lambda_{a^{-1}}|_{H_a}(x) = \lambda_{aa^{-1}}(x) = \lambda_{a^0}(x) = -a^0 + a^0x = a^0 + a^0 + x = x^0 + x^0 + x = x$$

by the facts that $\lambda_a \in \text{End}(T, +)$ and $x^0 = a^0$ and Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5. This implies $\lambda_a|_{H_a} \lambda_{a^{-1}}|_{H_a} = \text{id}_{H_a}$. Dually, $\lambda_{a^{-1}}|_{H_a} \lambda_a|_{H_a} = \text{id}_{H_a}$. Thus $\lambda_a|_{H_a} \in \text{Aut}(H_a, +)$. \square

3. SOME CHARACTERIZATIONS OF WEAK LEFT BRACES

In this section, we shall give some characterizations of weak left braces. We first give an analogue of [13, Proposition 1.9] which is also a converse of Lemma 2.5 in some sense.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $(S, +)$ and (S, \cdot) be two inverse semigroups. Then S satisfies the axiom*

$$(3.1) \quad x(y + z) = xy - x + xz$$

if and only if both

$$\lambda_x : (S, +) \rightarrow (S, +), x \mapsto -x + xy \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda : (S, \cdot) \rightarrow \text{End}(S, +), \lambda \mapsto \lambda_x$$

are semigroup homomorphisms for all x in S .

Proof. We first observe that the conditions that λ_x is a semigroup homomorphism for all x , and λ is a semigroup homomorphism are equivalent to the axioms

$$(3.2) \quad -x + x(y + z) = -x + xy - x + xz$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad -xy + xyz = -x + x(-y + yz),$$

respectively. Let $x, y, z \in S$. We first show the necessity. On one hand, we have (3.2) quickly by (3.1). On the other hand, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} xy - x + x(-y) - x + xy &= x(y - y) - x + xy = x(y - y + y) = xy, \\ -x + x(-y) - x + xy - x + x(-y) - x &= -x + x(-y + y) - x + x(-y) - x \\ &= -x + x(-y + y - y) - x = -x + x(-y) - x. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $-xy = -x + x(-y) - x$ as $(S, +)$ is an inverse semigroup, and so

$$-x + x(-y + yz) = -x + x(-y) - x + xyz = -xy + xyz.$$

This gives (3.3). To show the sufficiency, let $z = y^{-1}y$ in (3.3). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} -xy + xy &= -xy + xyy^{-1}y = -x + x(-y + yy^{-1}y) \\ &= -x + x(-y + y) = -x + x(-y) - x + xy, \\ xy &= xy - xy + xy = xy - x + x(-y) - x + xy. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned} -x + x(-y) - x + xy - x + x(-y) - x &= -x + x(-y + y) - x + x(-y) - x \\ &= -x + x(-y + y - y) - x = -x + x(-y) - x. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $-xy = -x + x(-y) - x$ as $(S, +)$ is an inverse semigroup, and so $xy = x - x(-y) + x$ and

$$x - x + xy = x - x + x - x(-y) + x = x - x(-y) + x = xy$$

for all $x, y \in S$. Thus

$$x(y + z) = x - x + x(y + z) = x - x + xy - x + xz = xy - x + xz.$$

This gives (3.1). □

Remark 3.2. Let $S = \{0, 1\}$ and define two binary operations $+$ and \cdot as follows: $0 + 0 = 0 + 1 = 1 + 0 = 0, 1 + 1 = 1$ and $0 \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot 0 = 1 \cdot 1 = 1, 0 \cdot 0 = 0$. Then one can check that $(S, +)$ and (S, \cdot) are idempotent inverse semigroups and (3.2) is satisfied. Since $0 \cdot (1 + 1) = 0 \cdot 1 = 1$ and $0 \cdot 1 - 0 + 0 \cdot 1 = 1 - 0 + 1 = 1$, it follows that (3.1) fails. On the other hand, let $S = \{0, 1, 2\}$. Define two binary operations $+$ and \cdot as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} + & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c|ccc} \cdot & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \end{array}$$

Then one can check that $(S, +)$ and (S, \cdot) are idempotent inverse semigroups and (3.3) is satisfied. Since $0 \cdot (0 + 1) = 0 \cdot 0 = 0$ and $0 \cdot 0 - 0 + 0 \cdot 1 = 0 - 0 + 2 = 0 + 2 = 2$, it follows that (3.1) fails. Finally, we observe that (3.1)–(3.3) together do not imply the axiom $xx^{-1} = -x + x$. For example, let $S = \{0, 1\}$ and define two binary operations $+$ and \cdot as follows: $0 + 0 = 1, 0 + 1 = 1 + 0 = 0, 1 + 1 = 1$ and $0 \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot 0 = 0, 1 \cdot 1 = 1$. Then one can check that $(S, +)$ and (S, \cdot) are idempotent inverse semigroups and (3.1)–(3.3) are satisfied. Since $0 \cdot 0^{-1} = 0 \cdot 0 = 0$ and $-0 + 0 = 0 + 0 = 1$, it follows that the involved axiom fails.

We next characterize weak left braces by using so-called good inverse subsemigroups. To this aim, we need some necessary preliminaries. Let $(S, +)$ be a Clifford semigroup. Define a multiplication on $\text{End}(S, +) \times (S, +)$ as follows:

$$(f, x)(g, y) = (fg, x + f(y)) \text{ for all } (f, x)(g, y) \in \text{End}(S, +) \times (S, +).$$

One can check that $\text{End}(S, +) \times (S, +)$ forms a semigroup with respect to the above multiplication, and is denoted by $\text{End}(S, +) \rtimes (S, +)$.

Definition 3.3. Let $(S, +)$ be a Clifford semigroup. An inverse (respectively, a Clifford) subsemigroup H of $\text{End}(S, +) \rtimes S$ is called *good* if the following conditions hold:

- (G1) $\pi_2|_H : H \rightarrow S, (f, x) \mapsto x$ is a bijection.
- (G2) If $(f, x) \in H$ and $(f, x)^{-1} = (g, y)$, then $(f, f(-y)) \in H$.
- (G3) If $(f, x) \in H$, then $-x + x + f(y) = f(y)$ for all $y \in S$.
- (G4) If $(S, +)$ has an identity 0 , then $f(0) = -x + x$ for all $(f, x) \in H$.

Proposition 3.4. Let $(S, +)$ be a Clifford semigroup and H a good inverse (respectively, Clifford) subsemigroup of $\text{End}(S, +) \rtimes (S, +)$. Define a multiplication \circ on S as follows:

$$(3.4) \quad a \circ b = a + f(b) \text{ for all } a, b \in S,$$

where $(\pi_2|_H)^{-1}(a) = (f, a) \in H$. Then $(S, +, \circ)$ forms a weak left brace (respectively, dual weak left brace) and $H \cong (S, \circ)$. We denote the weak left brace $(S, +, \circ)$ by $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

Proof. Since $(\pi_2|_H)^{-1}$ is a bijection, we can transfer the multiplication of H to a multiplication \circ on S as follows:

$$a \circ b = (\pi_2|_H)((\pi_2|_H)^{-1}(a)(\pi_2|_H)^{-1}(b)) = a + f(b),$$

where $(\pi_2|_H)^{-1}(a) = (f, a) \in H$. Then (S, \circ) forms an inverse semigroup and $\pi_2|_H$ is an isomorphism from H onto (S, \circ) . Let $a, b, c \in S$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a \circ (b + c) &= a + f(b + c) = a + f(b) + f(c) \\ &= a - a + a + f(b) + f(c) = a + f(b) - a + a + f(c) = a \circ b - a + a \circ c. \end{aligned}$$

Let $(\pi_2|_H)^{-1}(a) = (f, a) \in H$ and $(f, a)^{-1} = (g, b)$. Then the inverse of a in (S, \circ) is $a^{-1} = b$ and $(f, a)(g, b)(f, a) = (f, a)$, $(g, b)(f, a)(g, b) = (g, b)$. This implies that $f = fgf$, $a + f(b) + fg(a) = a$ and $gfg = g$, $b + g(a) + gf(b) = b$. So $b - gf(b) + gf(b) = b$. By (G2), $(f, f(-b)) \in H$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (g, b)(f, f(-b))(g, b) &= (gfg, b + gf(-b) + gf(b)) = (g, b), \\ (f, f(-b))(g, b)(f, f(-b)) &= (fgf, f(-b) + f(b) + fgf(-b)) = (f, f(-b)). \end{aligned}$$

Since H is an inverse semigroup, we have $(f, a)^{-1} = (g, b) = (f, f(-b))^{-1}$, and so $(f, a) = (f, f(-b))$. This yields that $a = f(-b) = -f(b)$ and $f(b) = -a$. So

$$a \circ a^{-1} = a \circ b = a + f(b) = a - a = -a + a.$$

Thus, $(S, +, \circ)$ is a weak left brace. If H is a Clifford subsemigroup, then (S, \circ) is also a Clifford semigroup, and so $(S, +, \circ)$ is a dual weak left brace. \square

Remark 3.5. Let $(S, +)$ be a Clifford semigroup and H a good inverse subsemigroup of $\text{End}(S, +) \rtimes (S, +)$. By Proposition 3.4 and its proof, $(S, +, \circ)$ is a weak left brace and $\pi_2|_H$ is a semigroup isomorphism from H onto (S, \circ) . By Lemma 2.4, we have $E(S, +) = E(S, \circ)$ and $e \circ a = e + a$ for all $e \in E(S, +) = E(S, \circ)$ and $a \in S$. Thus

$$x \in E(S, +) \iff x \in E(S, \circ) \iff (\pi_2|_H)^{-1}(x) \in E(H),$$

$$(\pi_2|_H)^{-1}(e)(\pi_2|_H)^{-1}(x) = (\pi_2|_H)^{-1}(e + x)$$

for all $e \in E(S, +)$ and $x \in S$.

Let $(S, +, \cdot)$ be a weak left brace. Then $(S, +)$ is a Clifford semigroup and (S, \cdot) is an inverse semigroup by Lemma 2.4. Consider the semigroup $\text{End}(S, +) \rtimes (S, +)$. By Lemma 2.5, we have $(\lambda_a, a) \in \text{End}(S, +) \rtimes (S, +)$, where λ_a is defined as in Lemma 2.5 for all $a \in S$.

Proposition 3.6. Let $(S, +, \cdot)$ be a weak (respectively, dual weak) left brace. With the above notion, $\mathcal{S}(S) = \{(\lambda_a, a) \mid a \in S\}$ is a good inverse (respectively, Clifford) subsemigroup of $\text{End}(S, +) \rtimes (S, +)$.

Proof. Let $a, b \in S$. Then $(\lambda_a, a)(\lambda_b, b) = (\lambda_a \lambda_b, a + \lambda_a(b)) = (\lambda_{ab}, ab)$ by Lemma 2.5. This shows that $\mathcal{S}(S)$ is a subsemigroup of $\text{End}(S, +) \times (S, +)$. Obviously,

$$\psi : \mathcal{S}(S) \rightarrow (S, \cdot), (\lambda_a, a) \mapsto a$$

is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{S}(S)$ onto (S, \cdot) . So $\mathcal{S}(S)$ is an inverse subsemigroup and $(\lambda_a, a)^{-1} = (\lambda_{a^{-1}}, a^{-1})$. Evidently, $\pi_2|_{\mathcal{S}(S)} : \mathcal{S}(S) \rightarrow S, (\lambda_a, a) \mapsto a$ is a bijection from $\mathcal{S}(S)$ to S , and so (G1) holds. Let $(\lambda_a, a) \in \mathcal{S}(S)$. Then $(\lambda_a, a)^{-1} = (\lambda_{a^{-1}}, a^{-1})$. Moreover, $\lambda_{a^{-1}}(-a) = a^{-1}$ by Lemma 2.5. So $(\lambda_a, \lambda_{a^{-1}}(-a)) = (\lambda_{a^{-1}}, a^{-1}) \in H$. This gives (G2). Finally, let $(\lambda_a, a) \in \mathcal{S}(S)$ and $b \in S$. Then

$$-a + a + \lambda_a(b) = -a + a + (-a + ab) = -a + ab = \lambda(b).$$

This proves (G3). If $(S, +)$ has an identity 0, then 0 is also the identity of (S, \cdot) by Lemma 2.5. This gives that $\lambda_a(0) = -a + a \cdot 0 = -a + a$ for all $a \in S$. Thus $\mathcal{S}(S)$ is a good inverse subsemigroup of $\text{End}(S, +) \times (S, +)$. In particular, if $(S, +, \cdot)$ is a dual weak left brace, then (S, \cdot) is a Clifford semigroup, and so $\mathcal{S}(S)$ is a good Clifford subsemigroup. \square

The following is an analogue of [13, Theorem 4.2, Proposition 4.3].

Proposition 3.7. *Let $(S, +)$ be a Clifford semigroup and denote*

$$\mathbb{WB} = \{(S, +, \cdot) \mid (S, +, \cdot) \text{ forms a weak left brace}\},$$

$$\mathbb{GIS} = \{H \mid H \text{ is a good inverse subsemigroup of } \text{End}(S, +) \times (S, +)\}$$

Then $\mathcal{S} : \mathbb{WB} \rightarrow \mathbb{GIS}, (S, +, \cdot) \mapsto \mathcal{S}(S)$ and $\mathcal{B} : \mathbb{GIS} \rightarrow \mathbb{WB}, H \mapsto \mathcal{B}(H)$ are mutually inverse bijections, and dual weak left braces correspond to good Clifford subsemigroups. Moreover, if $(S, +)$ has an identity 0, then isomorphic weak left brace structures in \mathbb{WB} correspond to conjugate inverse subsemigroups of $\text{End}(S, +) \times (S, +)$.

Proof. By Propositions 3.4 and 3.6, the above maps are well-defined and dual weak left braces correspond to good Clifford subsemigroups.

Let $(S, +, \cdot) \in \mathbb{WB}$ and denote $\mathcal{BS}(S) = (S, +, \circ)$. Then by the proofs of Propositions 3.4 and 3.6, we have $a \circ b = a + \lambda_a(b) = a \cdot b$ for all $a, b \in S$. This shows that \circ and \cdot are the same. Thus $\mathcal{BS} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{WB}}$. Conversely, let $H \in \mathbb{GIS}$ and $\mathcal{B}(H) = (S, +, \circ)$. Then $\mathcal{SB}(H) = \{(\lambda_a, a) \mid a \in S\}$ and $\lambda_a(b) = -a + a \circ b$ for all $a, b \in S$. If $(\lambda_a, a) \in \mathcal{SB}(H), a \in S$, then for all $b \in S$, by (G3) we have $\lambda_a(b) = -a + a \circ b = -a + a + f(b) = f(b)$, where $(\pi_2|_H)^{-1}(a) = (f, a) \in H$. This shows that $(\lambda_a, a) = (f, a) \in H$. On the other hand, let $(f, a) \in H$. Then by (G3), $f(b) = -a + a + f(b) = -a + a \circ b = \lambda_a(b)$ for all $b \in S$, and so $(f, a) = (\lambda_a, a) \in \mathcal{SB}(H)$. Thus $\mathcal{SB} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{GIS}}$.

Assume that 0 is the identity of $(S, +)$. Then by Lemma 2.5, 0 is the identity of (S, \cdot) for each $(S, +, \cdot) \in \mathbb{WB}$. Let $(S, +, \cdot), (S, +, \circ) \in \mathbb{WB}$ and $\phi : (S, +, \cdot) \rightarrow (S, +, \circ)$ be a weak left brace isomorphism. Then $(\phi, 0), (\phi^{-1}, 0) \in \text{End}(S, +) \times (S, +)$. Denote the good inverse subsemigroups associated $(S, +, \cdot)$ and $(S, +, \circ)$ by $H = \{(\lambda_a, a) \mid a \in S\}$ and $H_\circ = \{(\mu_a, a) \mid a \in S\}$, respectively. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi, 0)(\lambda_a, a)(\phi^{-1}, 0) &= (\phi \lambda \phi^{-1}, 0 + \phi(a) + \phi \lambda_a(0)) = (\phi \lambda \phi^{-1}, 0 + \phi(a) + \phi(-a + a \cdot 0)) \\ &= (\phi \lambda \phi^{-1}, \phi(a) + \phi(-a + a)) = (\phi \lambda \phi^{-1}, \phi(a - a + a)) = (\phi \lambda \phi^{-1}, \phi(a)), \end{aligned}$$

$\phi \lambda \phi^{-1}(b) = \phi(-a + a \cdot \phi^{-1}(b)) = \phi(-a) + \phi(a) \circ \phi \phi^{-1}(b) = -\phi(a) + \phi(a) \circ b = \mu_{\phi(a)}(b)$ for all $a, b \in S$. This shows that $(\phi, 0)(\lambda_a, a)(\phi^{-1}, 0) = (\mu_{\phi(a)}, \phi(a))$ for all $a \in S$. Thus $(\phi, 0)H(\phi^{-1}, 0) = H_\circ$. Conversely, assume that $H, K \in \mathbb{GIS}$ and $(\psi, 0)H(\psi^{-1}, 0) = K$ for some $\psi \in \text{Aut}(S, +)$. Observe that $(\psi, 0), (\psi^{-1}, 0) \in \text{End}(S, +) \times (S, +)$ in this case. Let $a, b \in S$ and $(\pi_2|_H)^{-1}(a) = (f, a), (\pi_2|_K)^{-1}(a) = (g, a) \in K$. Then $a \bullet b = a + f(b)$ and $a \circ b = a + g(b)$. Since $(\psi, 0)H(\psi^{-1}, 0) = K$, we have

$$K \ni (\psi, 0)(f, a)(\psi^{-1}, 0) = (\psi f \psi^{-1}, 0 + \psi(a) + \psi f(0))$$

$$\stackrel{(G4)}{=} (\psi f \psi^{-1}, \psi(a) + \psi(-a + a)) = (\psi f \psi^{-1}, \psi(a - a + a)) = (\psi f \psi^{-1}, \psi(a)).$$

This gives that

$$\psi(a) \circ \psi(b) = \psi(a) + \psi f \psi^{-1}(\psi(b)) = \psi(a) + \psi(f(b)) = \psi(a + f(b)) = \psi(a \cdot b).$$

Thus ψ is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{B}(H)$ onto $\mathcal{B}(K)$. \square

Finally, we characterize weak left braces by using Gamma functions on Clifford semigroups. Inspired by the Gamma functions on groups given in [4], we can formulate the notion of Gamma functions for Clifford semigroups as follows.

Definition 3.8. Let $(S, +)$ be a Clifford semigroup and $\gamma : (S, +) \rightarrow \text{End}(S, +)$, $x \mapsto \gamma_x$ be a map. Then γ is called a *Gamma function* on $(S, +)$ if for all $x, y \in S$, the following conditions hold:

- (F1) $\gamma_x \gamma_y = \gamma_{x+\gamma_x(y)}$, $\gamma_{x^0}(x) = x$ and $x^0 + \gamma_x(y) = \gamma_x(y)$.
- (F2) If $\gamma_x(x) = x^0$, then $x = x^0$.
- (F3) There exists $x^{-1} \in S$ such that $\gamma_x(x^{-1}) = -x$ and $\gamma_{x^{-1}}(x) = -x^{-1}$.
- (F4) If $e, f \in E(S, +)$, then $\gamma_e(f) = e + f$.

Definition 3.9. Let $(S, +)$ be a Clifford semigroup and $\gamma : (S, +) \rightarrow \text{End}(S, +)$, $x \mapsto \gamma_x$ be a map. Denote $H_x = \{a \in S \mid a^0 = x^0\}$ for every $x \in S$. Then γ is called a *dual Gamma function* on $(S, +)$ if for all $x, y \in S$, the following conditions hold:

- (D1) $\gamma_x|_{H_x}$ is an automorphism of the subgroup $(H_x, +)$ of $(S, +)$.
- (D2) $\gamma_x \gamma_y = \gamma_{x+\gamma_x(y)}$ and $x^0 + \gamma_x(y) = \gamma_x(y)$.
- (D3) If $e, f \in E(S, +)$, then $\gamma_e(f) = e + f$.

Proposition 3.10. *Let $(S, +)$ be a Clifford semigroup and γ be a dual Gamma function on $(S, +)$. Then γ is a Gamma function on $(S, +)$.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in S$. Then $x^0 \in H_{x^0} = H_x$, and so $\gamma_{x^0}|_{H_x} \in \text{Aut}(H_x, +)$ by (D1), whence $\gamma_{x^0}(x^0) = \gamma_{x^0}|_{H_x}(x^0) = x^0$. By (D2), we have $\gamma_{x^0} \gamma_{x^0} = \gamma_{x^0+\gamma_{x^0}(x^0)} = \gamma_{x^0+x^0} = \gamma_{x^0}$, whence $\gamma_{x^0}|_{H_x} \gamma_{x^0}|_{H_x} = \gamma_{x^0}|_{H_x} \in \text{Aut}(H_x, +)$. This implies that $\gamma_{x^0}|_{H_x} = \text{id}_{H_x}$, and hence

$$\gamma_{x^0}(x) = \gamma_{x^0}|_{H_x}(x) = \text{id}_{H_x}(x) = x.$$

This together with (D2) gives (F1). Since $\gamma_x \in \text{Aut}(H_x, +)$ by (D1) and $x^0 \in H_x$, we have $\gamma_x(x^0) = x^0$. If $\gamma_x(x) = x^0$, then $x = x^0$ as γ_x is injective. Thus (F2) is true. Finally, since $-x \in H_x$ by Lemma 2.4 and $\gamma_x \in \text{Aut}(H_x, +)$, we have $\gamma_x^{-1}(-x) \in H_x$. Denote $x^{-1} = \gamma_x^{-1}(-x)$. Then $H_x = H_{x^{-1}}$ and $\gamma_{x^{-1}} \in \text{Aut}(H_x, +)$. Obviously, $\gamma_x(x^{-1}) = \gamma_x(\gamma_x^{-1}(-x)) = -x$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_x(\gamma_{x^{-1}}(x)) &= (\gamma_x \gamma_{x^{-1}})(x) \stackrel{(D2)}{=} \gamma_{x+\gamma_x(x^{-1})}(x) = \gamma_{x-x}(x) = \gamma_{x^0}(x) \\ &= x = -(-x) = -(\gamma_x \gamma_x^{-1}(-x)) = -\gamma_x(\gamma_x^{-1}(-x)) = \gamma_x(-\gamma_x^{-1}(-x)), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that $\gamma_{x^{-1}}(x) = -\gamma_x^{-1}(-x) = -x^{-1}$ as γ_x is injective. Thus (F3) holds. \square

Proposition 3.11. *Let $(S, +, \cdot)$ be a weak left brace. Then $\lambda : (S, +) \rightarrow \text{End}(S, +)$, $x \mapsto \lambda_x$ is a Gamma function on $(S, +)$, where $\lambda_x(y) = -x + xy$ for all $x, y \in S$. In this case, we denote λ by $\mathcal{G}(S)$. In particular, if $(S, +, \cdot)$ is also a dual weak left brace, then λ is a dual Gamma function.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, $(S, +)$ is a Clifford semigroup. By Lemma 2.5, $\lambda_x \in \text{End}(S, +)$ and $xy = x + \lambda_x(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$, and so the above λ is indeed a well-defined function. Let $x, y \in S$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_x \lambda_y(z) &= \lambda_x(-y + yz) = \lambda_x(-y) + \lambda(yz) = -\lambda_x(y) + \lambda_x(yz) = -(-x + xy) - x + xyz \\ &= -(x - x + xy) + xyz = -(x + \lambda_x(y)) + xyz = -(x + \lambda_x(y)) + (x + \lambda_x(y))z = \lambda_{x+\lambda_x(y)}(z) \end{aligned}$$

and $-x + x + \lambda_x(y) = -x + x - x + xy = -x + xy = \lambda_x(y)$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.4,

$$\lambda_{x^0}(x) = -x^0 + x^0x = -x^0 + x^0 + x = x^0 + x = x.$$

Thus (F1) holds. If $x^0 = \lambda_x(x) = -x + xx$, then

$$x = x + x^0 = x - x + xx = x^0 + xx = x^0xx = xx$$

by Lemma 2.4. This implies that $x \in E(S, \cdot) = E(S, +)$, and so $x = -x + x$. Thus (F2) holds. (F3) follows from Lemma 2.5 (2). If $e, f \in E(S, +)$, then

$$\lambda_e(f) = -e + ef = e + ef = e + e + f = e + f$$

by Lemma 2.4. This gives (F4). Thus λ is a Gamma function on $(S, +)$. The remaining assertion follows from Lemma 2.6. \square

Proposition 3.12. *Let $(S, +)$ be a Clifford semigroup and $\gamma : (S, +) \rightarrow \text{End}(S, +)$, $x \mapsto \gamma_x$ be a Gamma function on $(S, +)$. Define a binary operation \circ on S as follows:*

$$x \circ y = x + \gamma_x(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in S.$$

Then $(S, +, \circ)$ is a weak left brace and x^{-1} appeared in Definition 3.8 is the inverse of x in (S, \circ) for all $x \in S$. In this case, we denote $(S, +, \circ)$ by $\mathcal{B}(\gamma)$. In particular, if γ is a dual Gamma function, then $(S, +, \circ)$ is a dual weak left brace.

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in S$. Then

$$(x \circ y) \circ z = (x + \gamma_x(y)) \circ z = x + \gamma_x(y) + \gamma_{x+\gamma_x(y)}(z)$$

$$\stackrel{(F1)}{=} x + \gamma_x(y) + \gamma_x\gamma_y(z) = x + \gamma_x(y + \gamma_y(z)) = x \circ (y + \gamma_y(z)) = x \circ (y \circ z).$$

This gives that (S, \circ) is a semigroup. By (F3) and (F1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \circ x^{-1} &= x + \gamma_x(x^{-1}) = x - x = -x + x, \\ x \circ x^{-1} \circ x &= (x - x) \circ x = (-x + x) \circ x \\ &= -x + x + \gamma_{-x+x}(x) = -x + x + x = x - x + x = x, \\ x^{-1} \circ x \circ x^{-1} &= x^{-1} \circ (x - x) = x^{-1} + \gamma_{x^{-1}}(x - x) \\ &= x^{-1} + \gamma_{x^{-1}}(x) - \gamma_{x^{-1}}(x) = x^{-1} - x^{-1} + x^{-1} = x^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that (S, \circ) is a regular semigroup and $E(S, +) \subseteq E(S, \circ)$. Conversely, Let $x \in E(S, \circ)$. Then $x = x \circ x = x + \gamma_x(x)$, and hence $-x + x = -x + x + \gamma_x(x) = \gamma_x(x)$. This together with (F2) gives that $x = -x + x \in E(S, +)$. So $E(S, \circ) \subseteq E(S, +)$. Thus $E(S, +) = E(S, \circ)$. Let $e, f \in E(S, +) = E(S, \circ)$. Then $e \circ f = e + \gamma_e(f) = e + e + f = e + f$ by (F4). Dually, $f \circ e = f + e$. Therefore $e \circ f = f \circ e$. Thus (S, \circ) forms an inverse semigroup and x^{-1} is the inverse of x in (S, \circ) . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} x \circ (y + z) &= x + \gamma_x(y + z) = x + \gamma_x(y) + \gamma_x(z) \\ &= x - x + x + \gamma_x(y) + \gamma_x(z) = x + \gamma_x(y) - x + x + \gamma_x(z) = x \circ y - x + x \circ z. \end{aligned}$$

We have shown that $(S, +, \circ)$ is a weak left brace. In particular, if γ is a dual Gamma function, then $\gamma_x|_{H_x}$ is an automorphism of the subgroup $(H_x, +)$ of $(S, +)$. By the proof of Proposition 3.10, we have $x^{-1} = \gamma_x^{-1}(-x) \in H_x = H_{x^{-1}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} x^{-1} \circ x &= x^{-1} + \gamma_{x^{-1}}(x) = x^{-1} - x^{-1} = \gamma_x^{-1}(-x) - \gamma_x^{-1}(-x) \\ &= \gamma_x^{-1}(-x) + \gamma_x^{-1}(x) = \gamma_x^{-1}(-x + x) = \gamma_x^{-1}(x^0) = x^0 = x \circ x^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that (S, \circ) is a Clifford semigroup. So $(S, +, \circ)$ is a dual weak left brace. \square

Proposition 3.13. *Let $(S, +)$ be a Clifford semigroup and denote*

$$\mathbb{WB} = \{(S, +, \cdot) \mid (S, +, \cdot) \text{ forms a weak left brace}\},$$

$$\mathbb{GF} = \{\gamma \mid \gamma \text{ is a Gamma function on } (S, +)\}$$

Then

$$\mathcal{G} : \mathbb{WB} \rightarrow \mathbb{GF}, (S, +, \cdot) \mapsto \mathcal{G}(S) \text{ and } \mathcal{B} : \mathbb{GF} \rightarrow \mathbb{WB}, \gamma \mapsto \mathcal{B}(\gamma)$$

are mutually inverse bijections, and dual weak left braces correspond to dual Gamma functions.

Proof. By Propositions 3.11 and 3.12, the above \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{B} are well-defined, and dual weak left braces correspond to dual Gamma functions.. Let $(S, +, \cdot) \in \mathbb{WB}$. By Proposition 3.11, $\mathcal{G}(S) = \lambda$ and $\lambda_x(y) = -x + xy$ for all $x, y \in S$. Moreover, $x \circ y = x + \lambda_x(y) = xy$ by Proposition 3.12 and Lemma 2.5. Thus $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{G}(S) = \text{id}_{\mathbb{WB}}$. Conversely, let $\gamma \in \mathbb{GF}$, $\mathcal{B}(\gamma) = (S, +, \circ)$ and $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}(\gamma)) = \mu$. By Propositions 3.11 and 3.12, we have $x \circ y = x + \gamma_x(y)$ and $\mu_x(y) = -x + x \circ y$ for all $x, y \in S$. In view of (F1), we obtain that

$$\mu_x(y) = -x + x \circ y = -x + x + \gamma_x(y) = \gamma_x(y)$$

for all $x, y \in S$. This yields that $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}(\gamma)) = \gamma$. Thus $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{B} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{GF}}$. \square

Combining Propositions 3.7 and 3.13, we obtain the following result which is an analogue of [4, Theorem 2.2] and [5, Remark 2.3].

Theorem 3.14. *Let $(S, +)$ be a Clifford semigroup. The following data are equivalent:*

- (1) *A binary operation \circ on S such that $(S, +, \circ)$ is a weak left brace (respectively, dual weak left brace).*
- (2) *A good inverse (respectively, Clifford) subsemigroup of $\text{End}(S, +) \rtimes (S, +)$.*
- (3) *A Gamma (respectively, dual Gamma) function on $(S, +)$.*

4. SYMMETRIC, λ -HOMOMORPHIC AND λ -ANTI-HOMOMORPHIC WEAK LEFT BRACES

Inspired by symmetric, λ -homomorphic and λ -anti-homomorphic skew left braces appeared in literature, in this section we introduce symmetric, λ -homomorphic and λ -anti-homomorphic weak left braces and consider their characterizations and constructions.

Symmetric skew left braces were firstly introduced in the paper of Childs [10] under the name bi-skew brace and studied by Caranti [5], and the name of “symmetric skew left braces” was firstly formulated in [1]. The following notion can be regarded as a generalization of symmetric skew left braces.

Definition 4.1. Let $(S, +)$ and (S, \cdot) be two inverse semigroups. Then $(S, +, \cdot)$ is called a *symmetric weak left brace* if the following axioms hold:

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} x(y + z) &= xy - x + xz, \\ x + (yz) &= (x + y)x^{-1}(x + z). \end{aligned}$$

From [1, 10], a symmetric weak left brace $(S, +, \cdot)$ is called a *symmetric skew left brace* if both $(S, +)$ and (S, \cdot) are groups.

We first point out that symmetric weak left braces are special dual weak left braces.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $(S, +, \cdot)$ be a symmetric weak left brace. Then $-x + x = xx^{-1}$ and $x - x = x^{-1}x$ for all $x \in S$. As a consequence, $(S, +, \cdot)$ is a dual weak left brace.*

Proof. We first prove that $E(S, +) = E(S, \cdot)$. Let $e \in E(S, +)$. Then $e = -e = e + e$. By (4.1), we have

$$ee = e(e + e) = ee - e + ee = ee + e + ee, \quad e + ee = (e + e)e^{-1}(e + e) = ee^{-1}e = e,$$

whence $ee + e + ee = ee$ and $e + ee + e = e + e = e$. Since $(S, +)$ is an inverse semigroup, we have $ee = -e = e \in E(S, \cdot)$. Thus $E(S, +) \subseteq E(S, \cdot)$. Dually, $E(S, \cdot) \subseteq E(S, +)$.

Next, we show that $ef = e + f$ for all $e, f \in E(S, +) = E(S, \cdot)$. In fact, by (4.1) and the fact that $(S, +)$ and (S, \cdot) are inverse semigroups, by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} ef &= e(f + f) = ef - e + ef = ef + e + ef = e + ef, \\ e + f &= e + (ff) = (e + f)e^{-1}(e + f) = (e + f)e(e + f) \\ &= e(e + f) = ee - e + ef = ee + e + ef = e + ef. \end{aligned}$$

This gives that $ef = e + f$.

Finally, we prove $-x + x = xx^{-1}$ and $x - x = x^{-1}x$ for all $x \in S$. We only prove the first equation, and the other equation can be proved dually. Let $x, y \in S$. Then $x(y + x^{-1}x) = xy - x + xx^{-1}x = xy - x + x$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} x(y + x^{-1}x) &= x(y - y + y + x^{-1}x) = x(y + x^{-1}x - y + y) \\ &= xy - x + x(x^{-1}x - y + y) = xy - x + xx^{-1}x - x + x(-y + y) \\ &= xy - x + x - x + x(-y + y) = xy - x + x(-y + y) = x(y - y + y) = xy. \end{aligned}$$

This gives that

$$(4.2) \quad xy = xy - x + x \text{ for all } x, y \in S.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} -x + x(-x^{-1} + x^{-1}) &= -x + xx^{-1}x - x + x(-x^{-1} + x^{-1}) \\ &= -x + x(x^{-1}x - x^{-1} + x^{-1}) \stackrel{(4.2)}{=} -x + xx^{-1}x = -x + x, \\ -x(-x^{-1} + x^{-1}) + x &= -(-x + x(-x^{-1} + x^{-1})) = -(-x + x) = -x + x. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (4.2) we have

$$x = (xx^{-1})x = (xx^{-1})x - xx^{-1} + xx^{-1} = x + xx^{-1} + xx^{-1} = x + xx^{-1}.$$

Again by (4.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x(-x^{-1} + x^{-1}) &= x(-x^{-1} + x^{-1}) - x + x \\ &= x(-x^{-1} + x^{-1}) - x(-x^{-1} + x^{-1}) + x \\ &= x(-x^{-1} + x^{-1}) - x(-x^{-1} + x^{-1}) + x - x + x \\ &= x - x + x(-x^{-1} + x^{-1}) - x(-x^{-1} + x^{-1}) + x \\ &= x - x + x(-x^{-1} + x^{-1}) = x - x + x = x. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting x by x^{-1} in the above equation, we have $x^{-1}(-x + x) = x^{-1}$, and so

$$x = (x^{-1})^{-1} = (x^{-1}(-x + x))^{-1} = (-x + x)^{-1}x = (-x + x)x.$$

This implies that

$$-x + x = -x + (x + xx^{-1}) = (-x + x) + (xx^{-1}) = (-x + x)(xx^{-1}) = xx^{-1},$$

as required. By the above statements, both $(S, +)$ and (S, \cdot) are Clifford semigroups, and hence $(S, +, \cdot)$ is a dual weak left brace. \square

In 2022, λ -homomorphic skew left braces are introduced in [1]. Inspired this notion, we can define λ -homomorphic weak left braces.

Definition 4.3. A weak left brace $(S, +, \cdot)$ is called λ -homomorphic if the map λ given in Lemma 2.5 is a homomorphism from $(S, +)$ to $\text{End}(S, +)$, that is, for all $x, y, z \in S$,

$$(4.3) \quad \lambda_x \lambda_y(z) = -x + x(-y + yz) = -y - x + (x + y)z = \lambda_{x+y}(z)$$

From [1, 10], a λ -homomorphic weak left brace $(S, +, \cdot)$ is called a λ -homomorphic skew left brace if $(S, +, \cdot)$ is a skew left brace.

Proposition 4.4. A λ -homomorphic weak left brace $(S, +, \cdot)$ is necessarily a dual weak left brace.

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in S$. On one hand,

$$\begin{aligned} x(y + x + (-x)(-x + xz)) &= xy - x + x(x + (-x)(-x + xz)) \quad (\text{by (2.1)}) \\ &= xy + x - x + (x - x)(-x + xz) \quad (\text{by (4.3)}) \\ &= xy + x - x + x - x - x + xz \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.4 and the fact } x - x \in E(S)) \\ &= xy - x + xz = x(y + z). \quad (\text{by (2.1)}) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} x + (-x)(-x + xz) &= -x + x + (-x + x)z \quad (\text{by (4.3)}) \\ &= -x + x - x + x + z = -x + x + z, \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.4}) \\ x(y + x + (-x)(-x + xz)) &= x(y + (-x + x) + z) = x((-x + x) + y + z) \\ &= x(-x + x)(y + z). \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.4 and the fact } x - x \in E(S)) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $x(-x + x)(y + z) = x(y + z)$ for all x, y, z in S . This implies that

$$x^{-1}(-x^{-1} + x^{-1})(-x + x) = x^{-1}(-x + x) = x^{-1}xx^{-1} = x^{-1}.$$

On the other hand,

$$x^{-1}(-x^{-1} + x^{-1})(-x + x) = x^{-1}(-x + x)(-x^{-1} + x^{-1}) = x^{-1}xx^{-1}x^{-1}x = x^{-1}x^{-1}x.$$

So $x^{-1} = x^{-1}x^{-1}x$, whence $xx^{-1} = xx^{-1}x^{-1}x$. Substituting x by x^{-1} in this equation, we obtain $x^{-1}x = x^{-1}xxx^{-1}$. This gives $xx^{-1} = xx^{-1}x^{-1}x = x^{-1}xxx^{-1} = x^{-1}x$. Hence (S, \cdot) is also a Clifford semigroup and $(S, +, \cdot)$ is a dual weak left brace. \square

Recently, λ -anti-homomorphic skew left braces are introduced in [2]. We can extend this notion as follows.

Definition 4.5. A weak left brace $(S, +, \cdot)$ is called λ -anti-homomorphic if the map λ given in Lemma 2.5 is an λ -anti-homomorphism from $(S, +)$ to $\text{End}(S, +)$, that is, for all $x, y, z \in S$,

$$(4.4) \quad \lambda_y \lambda_x(z) = -y + y(-x + xz) = -y - x + (x + y)z = \lambda_{x+y}(z).$$

From [2, Definition 3.1], a λ -anti-homomorphic weak left brace $(S, +, \cdot)$ is called a λ -anti-homomorphic skew left brace if $(S, +, \cdot)$ is a skew left brace.

Lemma 4.6. A weak left brace $(S, +, \cdot)$ is λ -anti-homomorphic if and only if the following axiom holds:

$$(4.5) \quad y(-x + xz) = -x + (x + y)z.$$

Proof. Obviously, (4.5) implies (4.4). To show the converse, we first observe that

$$y + (-y + y(-x + xz)) = yy^{-1} + y(-x + xz) = yy^{-1}y(-x + xz) = y(-x + xz)$$

by Lemma 2.4. Moreover, by Lemma 2.4 again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y + (-y - x + (x + y)z) &= yy^{-1} - x + (x + y)z = -x + yy^{-1} + (x + y)z = -x + yy^{-1}(x + y)z \\ &= -x + (yy^{-1} + (x + y))z = -x + (x + yy^{-1} + y)z = -x + (x + yy^{-1}y)z = -x + (x + y)z. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (4.4) also implies (4.5). \square

Proposition 4.7. A λ -anti-homomorphic weak left brace $(S, +, \cdot)$ is necessarily a dual weak left brace.

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in S$. We first observe by (2.1) and Lemma 2.4 that

$$-x^{-1} + x^{-1}(-x) = x^{-1}x - x^{-1} + x^{-1}(-x) = x^{-1}(x - x) = x^{-1}xx^{-1} = x^{-1},$$

$-x + x(-x^{-1}) = x$ and

$$x^{-1}(-x + xx) = x^{-1}(-x) - x^{-1} + x^{-1}xx = x^{-1}(-x) - x^{-1} + x^{-1}x + x$$

$$= x^{-1}x + x^{-1}(-x) - x^{-1} + x = x^{-1} - x^{-1} + x^{-1}(-x) - x^{-1} + x = x^{-1} + x^{-1} - x^{-1} + x = x^{-1} + x.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} -x + x^{-1}(x + (-x + xx)x) &= -x + x^{-1}x - x^{-1} + x^{-1}(-x + xx)x = -x - x^{-1} + x^{-1}(-x + xx)x \\ &= -x - x^{-1} + (x^{-1} + x)x \stackrel{(4.4)}{=} -x + x(-x^{-1} + x^{-1}x) = -x + x(-x^{-1}) = x. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.6) \quad -x + y(x + (-x)z) &\stackrel{(4.5)}{=} -x + x + (-x + y)z \\ &= (-x + x)(-x + y)z = (-x + x - x + y)z = (-x + y)z. \end{aligned}$$

Take $y = z = x$ in (4.6). Then

$$(4.7) \quad -x + x(x + (-x)x) = (-x + x)x = xx^{-1}x = x.$$

Adding x from the left on the both sides of (4.6), we have

$$(4.8) \quad (x - x)y(x + (-x)z) = x - x + y(x + (-x)z) = x + (-x + y)z.$$

Take $y = xx$ and $z = x$ in (4.8). Then $(x - x)xx(x + (-x)x) = x + (-x + xx)x$. This gives that

$$\begin{aligned} -x + x^{-1}(x + (-x + xx)x) &= -x + x^{-1}(x - x)xx(x + (-x)x) \\ &= -x + x^{-1}xx^{-1}xx(x + (-x)x) = -x + x^{-1}xx(x + (-x)x) \\ &= -x + x^{-1}x + x(x + (-x)x) = x^{-1}x - x + x(x + (-x)x) \stackrel{(4.7)}{=} x^{-1}x + x = x^{-1}xx. \end{aligned}$$

By the above statement, we have $x = x^{-1}xx$, whence $xx^{-1} = x^{-1}xxx^{-1}$. Substituting x by x^{-1} in this equation, we obtain $x^{-1}x = xx^{-1}x^{-1}x$. This gives $x^{-1}x = xx^{-1}x^{-1}x = x^{-1}xxx^{-1} = xx^{-1}$. Hence (S, \cdot) is also a Clifford semigroup and $(S, +, \cdot)$ is a dual weak left brace. \square

From the above statements, we have known that symmetric, λ -homomorphic and λ -anti-homomorphic weak left braces are all dual weak left braces. To describe their structures, we need to recall a construction method of dual weak left braces given in [8]. Recall that a *lower semilattice* (Y, \leq) is a partially ordered set in which any two elements α and β have a greatest lower bound $\alpha\beta$.

Lemma 4.8 (Theorem 1 in [8]). *Let Y be a lower semilattice, $\{(B_\alpha, +, \cdot) \mid \alpha \in Y\}$ a family of disjoint skew left braces. For each pair α, β of elements of Y such that $\alpha \geq \beta$, let $\phi_{\alpha, \beta} : B_\alpha \rightarrow B_\beta$ be a skew left brace homomorphism such that*

- (1) $\phi_{\alpha, \alpha}$ is the identical automorphism of B_α , for every $\alpha \in Y$,
- (2) $\phi_{\beta, \gamma}\phi_{\alpha, \beta} = \phi_{\alpha, \gamma}$, for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in Y$ such that $\alpha \geq \beta \geq \gamma$.

Then $S = \bigcup \{B_\alpha \mid \alpha \in Y\}$ endowed with the addition and the multiplication, respectively, defined by

$$a + b = \phi_{\alpha, \alpha\beta}(a) + \phi_{\beta, \alpha\beta}(b) \quad \text{and} \quad ab = \phi_{\alpha, \alpha\beta}(a)\phi_{\beta, \alpha\beta}(b),$$

for all $a \in B_\alpha$ and $b \in B_\beta$, is a dual weak left brace, called strong semilattice S of skew left braces B_α and denoted by $S = [Y; B_\alpha; \phi_{\alpha, \beta}]$. Conversely, any dual weak left brace can be constructed in this way.

In view of Propositions 4.2, 4.4, 4.7 and Lemma 4.8, it is not hard to prove the following proposition which gives the constructions of symmetric, λ -homomorphic and λ -anti-homomorphic weak left braces.

Proposition 4.9. *A symmetric (respectively, λ -homomorphic, λ -anti-homomorphic) weak left brace is exactly a strong semilattice of a family of symmetric (respectively, λ -homomorphic, λ -anti-homomorphic) skew left braces.*

Observe that [2, Proposition 3.11] says that a skew left brace $(S, +, \cdot)$ is symmetric if and only if $(S, +, \cdot)$ is λ -anti-homomorphic. This together with Proposition 4.9 yields the following result.

Proposition 4.10. *Symmetric weak left braces are exactly λ -anti-homomorphic weak left braces.*

Funding: The paper is supported by Nature Science Foundations of China (12271442, 11661082).

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Bardakov, M. Neshchadim, M. Yadav, On λ -homomorphic skew braces, *J. Pure App. Algebra* 226 (2022) 106961.
- [2] V. Bardakov, M. Neshchadim, M. Yadav, Symmetric skew braces and brace systems, *Forum Mathematicum* 35 (2023) 713–738.
- [3] R. J. Baxter, Partition function of the eight-vertex lattice model, *Ann. Phys.* 70 (1972) 193–228.
- [4] E. Campedel, A. Caranti, I. Del Corso, Hopf-Galois structures on extensions of degree p^2q and skew braces of order p^2q : the cyclic Sylow p -subgroup case, *J. Algebra* 556 (2020) 1165–1210.
- [5] A. Caranti, Bi-skew braces and regular subgroups of the holomorph, *J. Algebra* 562 (2020) 647–665.
- [6] F. Catino, M. Mazzotta, M. M. Miccoli, P. Stefanelli, Set-theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation associated to weak braces, *Semigroup Forum* 104 (2022) 228–255.
- [7] F. Catino, M. Mazzotta, P. Stefanelli, Rota-Baxter operators on Clifford semigroups and the Yang-Baxter equation, *J. Algebra* 622 (2023) 587–613.
- [8] F. Catino, M. Mazzotta, P. Stefanelli, Solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation and strong semi-lattices of skew braces, *Mediterr. J. Math.* 21 (2024) 67, 22pp.
- [9] F. Cedó, E. Jespers, J. Okniński, Braces and the Yang-Baxter equation, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 327 (1) (2014) 101–116.
- [10] Lindsay N. Childs, Bi-skew braces and Hopf Galois structures, *N.Y. J. Math.* 25 (2019) 574–588.
- [11] V. G. Drinfeld, On some unsolved problems in quantum group theory, in: P. P. Kulish (Ed.), *Quantum Groups*, in: *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 1510, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1992, pp. 1–8.
- [12] X. Q. Gong, S. F. Wang, Post Clifford semigroups, the Yang-Baxter equation, relative Rota-Baxter Clifford semigroups and dual weak left braces, (2024) [arXiv:2407.19722v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.19722)
- [13] L. Guarnieri, L. Vendramin, Skew braces and Yang-Baxter equation, *Math. Comp.* 86 (2017) 2519–2534.
- [14] J. M. Howie, *Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, vol. 12, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995, Oxford Science Publications.
- [15] Q. X. Liu, S. F. Wang, Set-theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation and regular $*$ -semibraces, (2024) [arXiv:2407.12533v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.12533)
- [16] M. Mazzotta, B. Rybolowicz, P. Stefanelli, Deformed solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation associated to dual weak braces, *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata (1923-)* (2024) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10231-024-01502-7>
- [17] W. Rump, Braces, radical rings, and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, *J. Algebra* 307 (2007) 153–170.
- [18] C. N. Yang, Some exact results for the many-body problem in one dimension with repulsive delta-function interaction, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 19 (1967) 1312–1315.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, YUNNAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, KUNMING, YUNNAN 650500, CHINA
Email address: wsf1004@163.com