

PRINCIPAL SUSY AND NONSUSY W-ALGEBRAS AND THEIR ZHU ALGEBRAS

NAOKI GENRA¹, ARIM SONG², AND UHI RINN SUH³

ABSTRACT. This paper consists of two parts. In the first part, we prove when \mathfrak{g} is a simple basic Lie superalgebra with a principal odd nilpotent element f the W-algebra $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ for $F = -\frac{1}{2}[f, f]$ is isomorphic to the SUSY W-algebra $W^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$, which implies the supersymmetry of $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$. In the second part, we introduce a finite SUSY W-algebra, which is a Hamiltonian reduction of $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ for the Takiff superalgebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \wedge(\theta)$ and show that it is isomorphic to the Zhu algebra of a SUSY W-algebra. As a corollary, we show a finite SUSY principal W-algebra is isomorphic to a finite principal W-algebra.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. SUSY vertex algebras. A supersymmetric (SUSY) vertex algebra V introduced by Kac [22] is a vertex algebra endowed with an odd derivation D whose square is the even derivation ∂ of the vertex algebra. A SUSY vertex algebra corresponds to a chiral part of two-dimensional superconformal field theory in physics, which has been studied intensively since the 1980s (see, for example, [15, 33, 41]). Mathematically, its structure theory was developed by Heluani-Kac [20]. They introduced the language of Λ -bracket $[\cdot_{\Lambda} \cdot] : V \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\Lambda] \otimes V$ where $\Lambda = (\lambda, \chi)$ for an odd indeterminate χ and $\lambda = -\chi^2$ as an analogue of the λ -bracket of a vertex algebra [4]. More precisely, the Λ -bracket on a SUSY vertex algebra V is given by

$$[a_{\Lambda} b] = [Da_{\lambda} b] + \chi[a_{\lambda} b], \quad a, b \in V. \quad (1.1)$$

Here $[a_{\lambda} b] = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \lambda^{(n)} a_{(n)} b$ is the λ -bracket of the vertex algebra and due to the sesquilinearity of Λ -bracket $[a_{\Lambda} b]$, the information (1.1) determines not only $[a_{\lambda} b]$ and $[Da_{\lambda} b]$ but also $[a_{\lambda} Db]$ and $[Da_{\lambda} Db]$. In addition, an element $\tau \in V$ such that

$$[\tau_{\Lambda} \tau] = (2\partial + 3\lambda + \chi D)\tau + \frac{\lambda^2 \chi}{3} c \quad (1.2)$$

and $D\tau$ is a conformal vector of V is called a superconformal vector.

¹This work was supported by the World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI), MEXT, Japan, and JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP21K20317 and JP24K16888.

²This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education(RS-2024-00409689).

³This work was supported by NRF Grant #2022R1C1C1008698 and Creative-Pioneering Researchers Program by Seoul National University.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a basic Lie superalgebra with a nondegenerate supersymmetric invariant bilinear form $(\cdot|\cdot)$. The most fundamental example of a SUSY vertex algebra is the affine SUSY vertex algebra $V^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}})$ of level k . It is generated by the parity reversed vector superspace $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ of \mathfrak{g} and the Λ -bracket is given by

$$[\bar{a}_\Lambda \bar{b}] = (-1)^{p(a)} (\overline{[a, b]} + (k + h^\vee)\chi(a|b)) \quad (1.3)$$

for $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$ and the dual Coxeter number h^\vee . Remarkably, the vertex subalgebra $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a free field vertex algebra and $V^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}) \simeq V^k(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})$ as vertex algebras. In other words, one can impose a supersymmetry on the affine vertex algebra $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ by tensoring a free field vertex algebra, and the resulting SUSY vertex algebra is the SUSY affine vertex algebra $V^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}})$. Moreover, the SUSY vertex algebra has a superconformal vector called Kac-Todorov [24], and the induced conformal weights of \bar{a} and $D\bar{a}$ are $\frac{1}{2}$ and 1 for any $a \in \mathfrak{g}$.

1.2. SUSY W-algebras and nonSUSY W-algebras. A W-algebra $W^k(\mathfrak{g})$ is introduced by Feigin-Frenkel [10] as a quantum Hamiltonian reduction of the affine vertex algebra $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ of level k associated with a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Afterward, Kac-Roan-Wakimoto [21] extended the concept and defined a W-algebra $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ for a basic Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} and an even nilpotent element F . Its structure theory can be found in [9, 13, 25], and the representation theory has also been developed (see [3] and the references in it).

On the other hand, a SUSY W-algebra was introduced in physics literature in [29] and written in terms of SUSY vertex algebra in [30]. For an odd nilpotent element f in an $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ subalgebra \mathfrak{s} of \mathfrak{g} , a SUSY W-algebra $W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ is the quantum Hamiltonian reduction of the SUSY affine vertex algebra $V^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}})$ given by the SUSY analog of a BRST cohomology. In the recent papers by second and third authors [28, 36, 37, 40], various aspects of SUSY W-algebras have been studied.

Recall the relation between SUSY affine vertex algebras and affine vertex algebras described in Section 1.1. Conjecturally, a similar statement holds for W-algebras. In other words, we expect supersymmetry can be imposed on an ordinary W-algebra by tensoring it with a free-field vertex algebra. Furthermore, the resulting SUSY vertex algebra is expected to be isomorphic to a SUSY W-algebra. In this paper, we focus on the cases when W-algebras are associated with principal nilpotent elements.

To see this conjecture more carefully, we need to remind the result in [9] that the W-algebra $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ is strongly generated by $\dim(\mathfrak{g}^F)$ elements, where $\mathfrak{g}^F = \text{Ker}(\text{ad } F)$. On the other hand, the SUSY W-algebra $W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ is strongly generated vertex algebra by $2\dim(\mathfrak{g}^f)$ elements [30]. From the $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ representation, we have

$$\mathfrak{g}^F = \text{Ker}(\text{ad } f) = \mathfrak{g}^f \oplus [e, \mathfrak{g}^f] \quad (1.4)$$

for $F = -\frac{1}{2}[f, f]$ and hence $\dim(\mathfrak{g}^F) \leq 2 \cdot \dim(\mathfrak{g}^f)$, which implies the number of generators of $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ is always less than or equal to that of $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$.

In addition, we can compare the conformal weights of the generators for the two vertex algebras, where the conformal weights on $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ and $W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ are induced from the conformal vector and superconformal vector, respectively. Here, the superconformal vector of $W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ is explicitly written in [39] based on the Kac-Todorov vector of $V^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}})$ and a superconformal vector of $bc\beta\gamma$ -system [38]. In conclusion, for any $n \in \frac{\mathbb{N}+1}{2}$, the number of weight n generators for $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ and $W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ are the same. Meanwhile, the SUSY W-algebra $W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ has $\dim \mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{s}}$ of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ generators where $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{s}}$ is the centralizer of \mathfrak{s} in \mathfrak{g} but every generator of the W-algebra $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ has weight ≥ 1 .

Therefore, we can conjecture that the W-algebra $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ tensored with the free field vertex algebra $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{s}})$ has a supersymmetry. In particular, when $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{s}} = 0$, we expect that the W-algebra $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ itself has a supersymmetry. Note that if f is an odd principal nilpotent and $F = -\frac{1}{2}[f, f]$, then $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{s}} = 0$. In this paper, we show $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ indeed has a supersymmetry under these conditions on f and F (see Theorem 1.1).

1.3. Finite SUSY W-algebras. As a finite counterpart of W-algebra, there is an associative algebra called finite W-algebra. Finite W-algebras were introduced by Kostant [27] and Premet [34, 35] and later studied more intensively in relationship with affine W-algebras [2, 9].

Let \mathfrak{g} be a semisimple Lie algebra with a \mathbb{Z} -grading, \mathfrak{n} be the subalgebra with positively graded elements, and F be a nilpotent element with degree -1 . The finite W-algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ introduced by Premet is the associative algebra

$$(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{n})} \mathbb{C}_{-\chi})^{\text{adn}} \quad (1.5)$$

where $\chi : \mathfrak{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $n \mapsto (F|n)$ and $\mathbb{C}_{-\chi}$ is the 1-dimensional representation of \mathfrak{n} determined by $-\chi$. On the other hand, $U(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ is isomorphic to the H -twisted Zhu algebra of the affine W-algebra $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$, where H is the Hamiltonian operator induced from the conformal vector [9]. Finite W-algebras are interesting in the context of the representation theory of affine W-algebras since the Zhu functor [43] explains the correspondence between positive energy simple modules of a vertex operator algebra and simple modules of its Zhu algebra [14].

A finite W-algebra for a basic Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} has also been investigated by various mathematicians (see, for example, [32, 42] and references in them.) Furthermore, the first author and his collaborators [16, 18, 19] also have worked on finite W-algebras to study affine W-algebras through them. Crucially, it is still true that the finite W-algebra for a Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} given by (1.5) is isomorphic to the H -twisted Zhu algebra of $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ [2, 9].

In this paper, we introduce a finite SUSY W-algebra $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$, which is a reduction of the Takiff superalgebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \wedge(\theta)$. A SUSY W-algebra $W^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ also has a Hamiltonian operator from its superconformal vector, and hence the corresponding Zhu algebra exists. In this paper, we define $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ as an analog of Premet's construction (1.5) of a finite W-algebra and show that it is isomorphic to the Zhu algebra of $W^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$. The representation

theory of Takiff superalgebras has been studied, for example, in [5, 6], and we expect these results to be lifted to the SUSY W-algebras.

1.4. Outline and Main results. Let \mathfrak{g} be a basic Lie superalgebra. In the first part of this paper, we show the isomorphism between principal W-algebras and SUSY W-algebras as vertex algebras. In Section 2, we recall the screening operators and free field realizations of W-algebras based on the results in [17]. In Section 3, we review the SUSY screening operators and free field realizations of SUSY W-algebras associated with odd principal nilpotent elements introduced in [39]. Section 4 focuses on the case when f is an odd principal nilpotent element. Then, $F = -\frac{1}{2}[f, f]$ is automatically an even principal nilpotent. By observing the screening operators for $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ and $W^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2). *Let f be a principal odd nilpotent element in \mathfrak{g} . Then, the two vertex algebras are isomorphic:*

$$W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F) \simeq W^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f) \quad (1.6)$$

for $F = -\frac{1}{2}[f, f]$ and all $k \neq h^\vee$. Hence, the principal W-algebra $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ has an $N = 1$ SUSY structure.

In the second part of this paper, we define finite SUSY W-algebras. In Section 5, we introduce the Zhu algebra $Zhu_H(W^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f))$ of a SUSY W-algebra and show that the Zhu functor commutes with the BRST cohomology (Theorem 5.6). In Section 6, we define in Definition 6.2 the new associative algebra $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ called a finite SUSY W-algebra for the Takiff superalgebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ and show the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 6.7). *Let f be an odd nilpotent element in an $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Then the following two associative algebras are isomorphic:*

$$Zhu_H(W^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)) \simeq U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f). \quad (1.7)$$

We obtain the following isomorphism as a corollary of Theorem 1.1 and 1.2.

Corollary 1.3 (Theorem 6.8). *Let f be the odd principal nilpotent in \mathfrak{g} and $F = -\frac{1}{2}[f, f]$. Then*

$$U(\mathfrak{g}, F) \simeq U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f) \quad (1.8)$$

where $U(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ is the finite W-algebra associated with \mathfrak{g} and F .

2. FREE FIELD REALIZATION OF W-ALGEBRAS

We recall free field realizations of the (non-SUSY) affine W-algebras. The base field is \mathbb{C} unless otherwise noted. The superspace is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space, and elements in the homogeneous subspace of degree $\bar{0}$ (resp. $\bar{1}$) are called even (resp. odd), where $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \simeq \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}\}$. The \mathbb{Z}_2 -degree of an element a is called the parity of a , denoted by $p(a)$.

2.1. Definitions. Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple basic Lie superalgebra, $(\cdot|\cdot)$ the normalized even supersymmetric invariant bilinear form on \mathfrak{g} such that $(\theta|\theta) = 2$ for an even highest root θ of \mathfrak{g} , and h^\vee the dual Coxeter number of \mathfrak{g} . We have

$$\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(a|b) = 2h^\vee(a|b), \quad a, b \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}$ denotes the Killing form on \mathfrak{g} . Choose a nilpotent element F in the even part $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ of \mathfrak{g} and a semisimple element H of \mathfrak{g} such that $(F, H/2)$ is a good pair. Then $\text{ad}(H/2)$ defines a $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -grading

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_j, \quad \mathfrak{g}_j = \{a \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [H, a] = 2ja\}. \quad (2.2)$$

Let I be the set of roots of \mathfrak{g} . Fix a root vector u_α for each $\alpha \in I$. Then $I = \bigsqcup_{j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} I_j$ with $I_j = \{\alpha \in I \mid u_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_j\}$, and there exists a set I_\geq of positive roots such that $I_\geq \subset \bigsqcup_{j \geq 0} I_j$. Let Π be the set of simple roots of I_\geq . Then $\Pi = \Pi_0 \sqcup \Pi_{\frac{1}{2}} \sqcup \Pi_1$, where $\Pi_j = \Pi \cap I_j$. Let \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} containing H . Choose a basis $\{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$ of \mathfrak{h} and extend it to a basis $\{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I \sqcup J}$ of \mathfrak{g} by adding root vectors.

Let \mathfrak{n}_\pm be two Lie subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} defined by

$$\mathfrak{n}_+ = \bigoplus_{j > 0} \mathfrak{g}_j, \quad \mathfrak{n}_- = \bigoplus_{j < 0} \mathfrak{g}_j. \quad (2.3)$$

Then $\{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I_+}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{n}_+ with $I_+ = \bigsqcup_{j > 0} I_j$. Choose $u^\alpha := u_{-\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in I_+$ such that $(u^\alpha|u_\beta) = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}$. Then $\{u^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I_+}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{n}_- . For $k \in \mathbb{C}$, the BRST complex $C^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ is defined as follows:

$$C^k(\mathfrak{g}, F) := V^k(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \Phi(\mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}}) \otimes F(\mathfrak{A}),$$

- $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ is the affine vertex algebra of \mathfrak{g} at level k , which is freely generated by basis elements of \mathfrak{g} with the λ -bracket relations

$$[a_\lambda b] = [a, b] + k(a|b), \quad a, b \in \mathfrak{g};$$

- $\Phi(\mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}})$ is the Weyl vertex superalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}}$, which is freely generated by basis elements of

$$\Phi_{\mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}}} \simeq \mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}}$$

with the λ -bracket relations

$$[\Phi_{a\lambda} \Phi_b] = (F|[a, b]);$$

- $F(\mathfrak{A})$ is the charged fermion vertex superalgebra associated to $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_+ \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-$, where $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}$ denotes the parity-reversed \mathfrak{a} , freely generated by basis elements of

$$\varphi_{\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_+} \simeq \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_+, \quad \varphi_{\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-} \simeq \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-$$

with the only non-trivial λ -bracket relations between the free generators

$$[\varphi_{\bar{a}\lambda}\varphi^{\bar{b}}] = (a|b).$$

$C^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ has the \mathbb{Z} -grading induced from the one on $F(\mathfrak{A})$ by $\deg \varphi^{\bar{a}} = -\deg \varphi_{\bar{b}} = 1$, $\deg \partial A = \deg A$, and $\deg : AB := \deg A + \deg B$ for all $A, B \in F(\mathfrak{A})$. Consider an odd element d in $C^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$:

$$\begin{aligned} d = & \sum_{\alpha \in I_+} (-1)^{p(\alpha)} : u_\alpha \varphi^\alpha : - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in I_+} (-1)^{p(\alpha)p(\gamma)} c_{\alpha, \beta}^\gamma : \varphi_\gamma \varphi^\alpha \varphi^\beta : \\ & + \sum_{\alpha \in I_{\frac{1}{2}}} : \Phi_\alpha \varphi^\alpha : + \sum_{\alpha \in I_+} (F|u_\alpha) \varphi^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where $p(\alpha) = p(u_\alpha)$, $\Phi_\alpha = \Phi_{u_\alpha}$, $\varphi_\alpha = \varphi_{\bar{u}_\alpha}$, $\varphi^\alpha = \varphi^{\bar{u}^\alpha}$ and $c_{\alpha, \beta}^\gamma$ is the structure constant defined by $[u_\alpha, u_\beta] = \sum_{\gamma \in I_+} c_{\alpha, \beta}^\gamma u_\gamma$. It follows from [10, 25] that $(C^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)^\bullet, d_{(0)})$ forms a cochain complex. The cohomology of the complex

$$W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F) := H^\bullet(C^k(\mathfrak{g}, F), d_{(0)})$$

is called the affine W-algebra of \mathfrak{g}, F at level $k \in \mathbb{C}$ [10, 23]. By [25, 26], we have $H^i(C^k(\mathfrak{g}, F), d_{(0)}) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$.

Let J_a be an element in $C^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0}$ defined by

$$J_a = a + \sum_{\beta, \gamma \in I_+} (-1)^{p(\gamma)} c_{a, \beta}^\gamma : \varphi_\gamma \varphi^\beta :,$$

where $c_{a, \beta}^\gamma$ is the structure constant determined by the formulae: $[a, u_\beta] = \sum_{\gamma \in I \sqcup J} c_{a, \beta}^\gamma u_\gamma$. Then

$$[J_{a\lambda} J_b] = J_{[a, b]} + \nu_k(a|b)\lambda, \quad \nu_k(a|b) = k(a|b) + \frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(a|b) - \frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(a|b), \quad a, b \in \mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0}. \quad (2.4)$$

Hence $J_{\mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0}}$ generates a vertex subalgebra of $C^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ isomorphic to the affine vertex superalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0}$ at level ν_k , which we denote by $V^{\nu_k}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0})$. Let C_+ be a vertex subalgebra of $C^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ generated by $J_{\mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0}}$, $\Phi_{\mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}}}$, and $\varphi^{\bar{n}^-}$. Then C_+ is $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded by the \mathbb{Z} -grading on $C^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$. By [8, Theorem 5.7], $(C_+^\bullet, d_{(0)})$ is a subcomplex of $C^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$, and

$$W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F) \simeq H^0(C_+, d_{(0)}) = \text{Ker}(d_{(0)} : C_+^0 \rightarrow C_+^1) \subset C_+^0 \simeq V^{\nu_k}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0}) \otimes \Phi(\mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Using a surjective vertex superalgebra homomorphism $V^{\nu_k}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0}) \twoheadrightarrow V^{\nu_k}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ induced from $\mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0$, we obtain the following map

$$\mu^k : W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F) \rightarrow V^{\nu_k}(\mathfrak{g}_0) \otimes \Phi(\mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}}),$$

called the Miura map. The Miura map is known to be injective for all $k \in \mathbb{C}$ by [3, 12, 31].

2.2. Free field realizations. Recall that \mathfrak{h} is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} containing H . Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K$ be the affine Lie algebra of \mathfrak{h} with the Lie bracket

$$[a \otimes t^m, b \otimes t^n] = m(a|b)\delta_{m+n,0}K, \quad [\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}, K] = 0, \quad a, b \in \mathfrak{h}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_+ = \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}K$ a Lie subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}$. Let \mathbb{C}_α be the one-dimensional simple \mathfrak{h} -module corresponding to a character $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. One can extend \mathbb{C}_α to an $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_+$ -module by $\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]t = 0$ and $K = k \in \mathbb{C}$ on \mathbb{C}_α . The induced $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module

$$\pi_\alpha^k = \text{Ind}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_+}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}} \mathbb{C}_\alpha := U(\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}) \otimes_{U(\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_+)} \mathbb{C}_\alpha.$$

is called the Fock $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module of the highest weight $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ at level k . Then $\pi^k := \pi_0^k$ has a structure of a vertex algebra called the Heisenberg vertex algebra of \mathfrak{h} at level k :

$$[a_\lambda b] = k(a|b)\lambda, \quad a, b \in \mathfrak{h},$$

and π_α^k is a π^k -module, and simple if $k \neq 0$.

Define an intertwining operator $e^\alpha(z): \pi_\beta^{k+h^\vee} \rightarrow \pi_{\alpha+\beta}^{k+h^\vee}\{z\}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ by

$$e^\alpha(z) = s_\alpha z^{\frac{\alpha(0)}{k+h^\vee}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{k+h^\vee} \sum_{n<0} \alpha_{(n)} \frac{z^{-n}}{n}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{k+h^\vee} \sum_{n>0} \alpha_{(n)} \frac{z^{-n}}{n}\right),$$

provided that $k+h^\vee \neq 0$, where $\alpha(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{(n)} z^{-n-1}$ is the field on π^{k+h^\vee} corresponding to $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^* \simeq \mathfrak{h}$, s_α is the shift operator mapping the highest weight vector of π_β^k to that of $\pi_{\alpha+\beta}^k$ and commuting with $h_{(n)}$ for all $n \neq 0$ and $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. We have

$$h(z)e^\alpha(w) \sim \frac{\alpha(h)e^\alpha(w)}{z-w}, \quad \partial_z e^\alpha(z) = \frac{1}{k+h^\vee} : \alpha(z)e^\alpha(z) :.$$

Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h}$. Then notice that

$$\nu_k(a|b) = (k+h^\vee)(a|b), \quad a, b \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

Hence, $V^{\nu_k}(\mathfrak{g}_0) = \pi^{k+h^\vee}$ and the Miura map is

$$\mu^k: W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F) \hookrightarrow \pi^{k+h^\vee} \otimes \Phi(\mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Theorem 2.1 ([17]). *Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h}$ and that k is generic. Then*

$$W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F) \simeq \text{Im } \mu^k = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Pi} \text{Ker} \left(\int S_\alpha(z) dz: \pi^{k+h^\vee} \otimes \Phi(\mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}}) \rightarrow \pi_{-\alpha}^{k+h^\vee} \otimes \Phi(\mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}}) \right),$$

where $S_\alpha(z): \Phi(\mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}}) \otimes \pi^{k+h^\vee} \rightarrow \Phi(\mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}}) \otimes \pi_{-\alpha}^{k+h^\vee}\{z\}$ is an intertwining operator defined by

$$S_\alpha(z) = \begin{cases} e^{-\alpha}(z) & \text{if } \alpha \in \Pi_1, \\ \Phi_\alpha(z)e^{-\alpha}(z) & \text{if } \alpha \in \Pi_{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{cases}$$

The operators $\int S_\alpha(z) dz := \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} S_\alpha(z)$ are called the *screening operators* of $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$. Theorem 2.1 gives a free field realization of $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ in terms of the screening operators.

Remark 2.2. *In the original paper [17], the condition*

$$(F|u_\alpha) \neq 0, \quad \alpha \in \Pi_1$$

is assumed for the screening operator $\int S_\alpha(z) dz$ to exist, but it is always true.

Proof. Write F as a linear combination of (negative) root vectors in \mathfrak{g}_{-1} : $F = \sum_{\beta \in I_1} c_\beta u_{-\beta}$. If $(F|u_\alpha) = 0$ for some $\alpha \in \Pi_1$, then $c_\alpha = 0$. Using the fact that $\operatorname{ad} F: \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0$ is injective, it follows that $[F, u_\alpha] = \sum_{\beta \in I_1 \setminus \{\alpha\}} c_\beta [u_{-\beta}, u_\alpha]$ is non-zero. Thus, there exists $\gamma = -\beta + \alpha \in I$ for some $\beta \in I_1 \setminus \{\alpha\}$ such that $u_\gamma \in \mathfrak{g}_0$, contrary to our assumption that $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h}$. \square

3. FREE FIELD REALIZATION OF SUSY W-ALGEBRAS

We recall the definition of supersymmetric(SUSY) W-algebras and introduce their free field realization. As in Section 2, we set \mathfrak{g} to be a finite-dimensional simple basic classical Lie superalgebra with the invariant bilinear form $(\cdot|\cdot)$ such that $(\theta|\theta) = 2$ for an even highest root θ of \mathfrak{g} . Assume that \mathfrak{g} has a subalgebra \mathfrak{s} isomorphic to $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2) = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{E, e, H, f, F\}$, whose even subspace (E, H, F) forms an \mathfrak{sl}_2 triple.

3.1. SUSY W-algebras. With respect to the adjoint action of $\frac{H}{2}$, the Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} decomposes into the direct sum of eigenspaces (2.2). Let \mathfrak{n}_+ and \mathfrak{n}_- be the two vector subspaces defined by (2.3). For $k \in \mathbb{C}$, the SUSY BRST complex $C^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ is defined as follows:

$$C^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f) := V^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes F(\bar{\mathfrak{A}}), \quad (3.1)$$

- $V^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}})$ is the SUSY affine vertex algebra of level k , i.e., it is the SUSY vertex algebra freely generated by the basis elements of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$, the parity-reversed \mathfrak{g} , whose Λ -bracket relation between $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$ are given by

$$[\bar{a}_\Lambda \bar{b}] = (-1)^{p(a)(p(b)+1)} \overline{[a, b]} + \chi(a|b)(k + h^\vee); \quad (3.2)$$

- $F(\bar{\mathfrak{A}})$ is the SUSY charged free fermion vertex algebra associated with \mathfrak{g} , i.e., the SUSY vertex algebra $F(\bar{\mathfrak{A}})$ is freely generated by the basis elements of

$$\phi^{\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-} \simeq \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-, \quad \phi_{\mathfrak{n}_+} \simeq \mathfrak{n}_+,$$

where the only nontrivial relation between the free generators is

$$[\phi^{\bar{a}}_\Lambda \phi_b] = (a|b). \quad (3.3)$$

Remark 3.1. *The Λ -bracket (3.2) is the same as the bracket (1.3) up to the sign $(-1)^{p(a)p(b)}$. These two Λ -brackets define isomorphic vertex algebras via the map given*

by

$$\bar{a} \mapsto \sqrt{-1}^{p(a)} \bar{a}, \quad D\bar{a} \mapsto \sqrt{-1}^{p(a)} D\bar{a}. \quad (3.4)$$

Consider the following even element in $C^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$

$$d = \sum_{\alpha \in I_+} : \bar{u}_\alpha \phi^\alpha : + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in I_+} (-1)^{p(\alpha)(p(\beta)+1)} : \phi_{[u_\alpha, u_\beta]} \phi^\beta \phi^\alpha : - \sum_{\alpha \in I_+} (f|u_\alpha) \phi^\alpha, \quad (3.5)$$

where $\phi^\alpha = \phi^{\bar{u}^\alpha}$ and $\phi_\alpha = \phi_{u_\alpha}$ for each $\alpha \in I_+$. It is shown in [30] that the odd endomorphism $d_{(0|0)}$ is an odd derivation on $C^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ whose square vanishes, where

$$d_{(0|0)}(A) := [d_\Lambda A] |_{\chi=\lambda=0}, \quad A \in C^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f). \quad (3.6)$$

Therefore, one can define the cohomology with this derivation.

Definition 3.2 ([30]). *Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite basic simple Lie superalgebra with an odd nilpotent f that lies in a subalgebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$. The SUSY W-algebra associated with \mathfrak{g} and f of level $k \in \mathbb{C}$ is defined by*

$$W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f) := H(C^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f), d_{(0|0)}).$$

For each $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, let $J_{\bar{a}}$ be an element in $C^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ defined by

$$J_{\bar{a}} = \bar{a} + \sum_{\beta, \gamma \in I_+} (-1)^{(p(a)+1)(p(\beta)+1)} (u^\gamma | [u_\beta, a]) : \phi^\beta \phi_\gamma :. \quad (3.7)$$

From the relation

$$[J_{\bar{a}} \Lambda J_{\bar{b}}] = (-1)^{p(a)(p(b)+1)} J_{\overline{[a, b]}} + \chi(a|b)(k + h^\vee) \quad (3.8)$$

for $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0}$, one can see that $J_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\leq 0}}$ generates a SUSY affine vertex algebra. We denote this subalgebra by $V^{\zeta_k}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\leq 0})$. The level ζ_k of this algebra is k shifted by $\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}} - \frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0}}$, as one can see in Remark 3.3. Consider the subalgebra of the SUSY BRST complex generated by $J_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\leq 0}} \oplus \phi^{\bar{n}^-}$ and denote it by $\tilde{C}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$. As a result of the similar argument for usual W-algebras, $(\tilde{C}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f), d_{(0|0)})$ forms a cochain complex whose cohomology is concentrated on the charge 0 space and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f) &\simeq H(\tilde{C}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f), d_{(0|0)}) = H^0(\tilde{C}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f), d_{(0|0)}) \\ &= \text{Ker}(d_{(0|0)} : (\tilde{C}^k)^0 \rightarrow (\tilde{C}^k)^1) \subset (\tilde{C}^k)^0 \simeq V^{\zeta_k}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\leq 0}), \end{aligned}$$

where $(\tilde{C}^k)^0$ and $(\tilde{C}^k)^1$ are the charge 0 and charge 1 subspaces of $\tilde{C}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$, respectively.

Remark 3.3 ([39]). *For any finite-dimensional Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} with a supersymmetric bilinear form $(\cdot | \cdot)$, the SUSY affine vertex algebra of level k is defined similarly to (3.2) but with the Λ -bracket replaced by*

$$[\bar{a} \Lambda \bar{b}] = (-1)^{p(a)(p(b)+1)} \overline{[a, b]} + \chi \tau_k(a|b), \quad \tau_k(a|b) = k(a|b) + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(a|b). \quad (3.9)$$

Since we have the relation (2.1) for a simple or abelian Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} , (3.9) coincides with (3.2) in these cases. Also, note that if we replace τ_k by the bilinear form $\tau_k + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}} -$

$\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0}}$ defined on $\mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0}$, it recovers the Λ -bracket relation in (3.8). Therefore, we use the notation $V^{\zeta_k}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\leq 0})$ for $\zeta_k := k + \frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}} - \frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0}}$ to denote the SUSY vertex algebra generated by $J_{\bar{a}}$'s for $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0}$.

3.2. Supersymmetric Miura map. Recall from Section 3.1 that the SUSY W-algebra $W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ can be realized as a vertex subalgebra of $V^{\zeta_k}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\leq 0})$. This SUSY affine vertex algebra has a natural projection map $V^{\zeta_k}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\leq 0}) \twoheadrightarrow V^{\nu_k}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0)$, where $V^{\nu_k}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0)$ is the SUSY vertex subalgebra generated by $J_{\bar{a}}$'s for $a \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. To align with the notation introduced in Remark 3.3, we denote the level by ν_k defined in (2.4). With the use of the projection, one obtains the *supersymmetric Miura map*

$$\bar{\mu}^k : W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f) \rightarrow V^{\nu_k}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0). \quad (3.10)$$

Note that $W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ and $V^{\nu_k}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0)$ have conformal $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_+$ -gradings for any $k \neq -h^\vee$, and the map (3.10) preserves the conformal grading. It easily follows from [24, 39] that the SUSY W-algebra has a conformal grading given by $\Delta(J_{\bar{a}}) = \frac{1}{2} - j$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g}_j$, while $\Delta(\bar{a}) = \frac{1}{2}$ for each $a \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ in $V^{\nu_k}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0)$. Applying the argument in [31], we get the injectivity of $\bar{\mu}^k$ for $k \neq -h^\vee$.

Proposition 3.4. *For $k \neq -h^\vee$, the supersymmetric Miura map $\bar{\mu}^k$ is injective.*

Proof. By Lemma 15 of [31], the injectivity of $\bar{\mu}^k$ follows from the injectivity of

$$\text{gr}\bar{\mu}^k : \text{gr}W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f) \rightarrow \text{gr}V^{\nu_k}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0)$$

induced from the Li's filtration. Note that $\text{gr}\bar{\mu}^k$ is equal to the supersymmetric Miura maps for classical SUSY W-algebras, and we can check their injectivity using the presentations of their free generators as follows. As in [40], let $\{r_j \mid j \in J^f\}$ and $\{r^j \mid j \in J^f\}$ be bases of $\text{Ker}(\text{adf})$ and $\text{Ker}(\text{ade})$ in \mathfrak{g} satisfying $(r^i \mid r_j) = \delta_{i,j}$. Also, let us define

$$r_m^i = (\text{adf})^m r^i, \quad r_j^n = c_{j,n} (\text{ade})^n r_j$$

for $i, j \in J^f$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and some constants $c_{j,n}$ so that $(r_m^i \mid r_j^n) = \delta_{m,n} \delta_{i,j}$. Then, the classical SUSY W-algebra of level k is freely generated by $\{\omega(\bar{r}_j) \mid j \in J^f\}$, where $\omega(\bar{r}_j)$ contains \bar{r}_j as a linear term. By observing the presentation of $\omega(\bar{r}_j)$ in Theorem 6.3 of [40], one can see that the terms of $\omega(\bar{r}_j)$ contained in $\mathbb{C}[D] \otimes \mathfrak{g}_0$ is equal to $(-(k+h^\vee)D)^{2l} \bar{r}_j^{2l}$, when $r_j \in \mathfrak{g}_{-l}$. It shows the injectivity of $\text{gr}\bar{\mu}^k$ for $k \neq -h^\vee$, which implies the desired statement. \square

3.3. Free field realizations. Let \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} containing H as in Section 2. Let us denote by $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$ the parity-reversed space of \mathfrak{h} . Then the *SUSY Heisenberg algebra* $\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{h}}$ associated with \mathfrak{h} is the infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebra

$$\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{h}} := (\bar{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K$$

whose Lie bracket relations among generators are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} [a \otimes t^m, b \otimes t^n] &= m(a|b)\delta_{m+n,0}K, \\ [\bar{a} \otimes t^m, \bar{b} \otimes t^n] &= (a|b)\delta_{m+n,-1}K, \quad [a \otimes t^m, \bar{b} \otimes t^n] = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

for $a, b \in \mathfrak{h}$. Note here that $a \otimes t^m$'s and K are even with central K , while $\bar{b} \otimes t^n$'s are odd. One can easily observe from (3.11) that $\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{h}}$ contains the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}$. Now, considering a Lie subalgebra

$$\mathcal{H}_+^{\mathfrak{h}} := (\bar{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}K \quad (3.12)$$

as a Borel subalgebra, one can define the Fock modules for the SUSY Heisenberg algebras.

Definition 3.5. For each $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and $k \in \mathbb{C}$, let $\mathbb{C}_\alpha := \mathbb{C}|\alpha\rangle$ (resp., $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_\alpha := \mathbb{C}|\alpha\rangle$) be the $\mathcal{H}_+^{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module where

$$h|\alpha\rangle = \alpha(h)|\alpha\rangle, \quad h \in \mathfrak{h},$$

while $\bar{\mathfrak{h}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] \oplus \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]t$ acts as zero on $|\alpha\rangle$, $K = k$ and $|\alpha\rangle$ is even (resp., odd). Then, we define the even Fock module and the odd Fock module of $\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{h}}$ of highest weight $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ as follows:

$$\bar{\pi}_\alpha^k = \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{H}_+^{\mathfrak{h}}}^{\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{h}}} \mathbb{C}_\alpha, \quad P\bar{\pi}_\alpha^k = \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{H}_+^{\mathfrak{h}}}^{\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{h}}} \overline{\mathbb{C}}_\alpha,$$

where P denotes the parity change.

Especially, the even Fock module $\bar{\pi}_0^{k+h^\vee} := \bar{\pi}_0^{k+h^\vee}$ has a SUSY vertex algebra structure isomorphic to $V^k(\bar{\mathfrak{h}})$ under the isomorphism $\bar{\pi}_0^{k+h^\vee} \rightarrow V^k(\bar{\mathfrak{h}})$

$$\begin{aligned} (h_{i_1} \otimes t^{-m_1}) \cdots (h_{i_r} \otimes t^{-m_r})(\bar{h}_{j_1} \otimes t^{-n_1}) \cdots (\bar{h}_{j_s} \otimes t^{-n_s}) \\ \mapsto (D\bar{h}_{i_1})_{(-m_1)} \cdots (D\bar{h}_{i_r})_{(-m_r)}(\bar{h}_{j_1})_{(-n_1)} \cdots (\bar{h}_{j_s})_{(-n_s)}|0 \end{aligned}$$

for $h_i \in \mathfrak{h}$ and positive integers m_i 's and n_j 's. Thus, we regard $\bar{\pi}_0^{k+h^\vee}$ as a SUSY vertex algebra, which we call the *SUSY Heisenberg vertex algebra associated with \mathfrak{h} at level k* .

For each simple root α of \mathfrak{g} and $k+h^\vee \neq 0$, we use the notations as follows:

$$\widehat{\pi}^{k+h^\vee} := \bar{\pi}_0^{k+h^\vee}, \quad \widehat{\pi}_{-\alpha}^{k+h^\vee} := \begin{cases} \bar{\pi}_{-\alpha}^{k+h^\vee} & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is odd,} \\ P\bar{\pi}_{-\alpha}^{k+h^\vee} & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

Next, we use the formal Laurent series in variable $Z = (z, \theta)$, where z is even, and θ is an odd variable with $\theta^2 = 0$. We refer to [20] for the calculus of formal series in Z . For each simple root $\alpha \in \Pi$, define $e^{-\alpha}(Z)$ to be the formal Laurent series in $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\pi}^{k+h^\vee}, \widehat{\pi}_{-\alpha}^{k+h^\vee})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\alpha}(Z) \\ = s_{-\alpha} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{k+h^\vee} \sum_{n<0} Z^{-n-1|1} \alpha_{(n|1)}\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{k+h^\vee} \sum_{n<0} \frac{Z^{-n|0}}{n} \alpha_{(n|0)}\right) \\ \exp\left(\frac{1}{k+h^\vee} \sum_{n>0} \frac{Z^{-n|0}}{n} \alpha_{(n|0)}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{k+h^\vee} \sum_{n \geq 0} Z^{-n-1|1} \alpha_{(n|1)}\right) Z^{-\frac{\alpha(0|0)}{k+h^\vee}|0}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

In (3.14), the exponential of the series is defined via the power sum, and the element $\alpha \in \widehat{\pi}^{k+h^\vee}$ is given by $\alpha = (\bar{h}_\alpha)_{(-1)}|0\rangle \in \widehat{\pi}^{k+h^\vee}$ for the coroot $h_\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$ of α . Also, $s_{-\alpha} : \widehat{\pi}^{k+h^\vee} \rightarrow \widehat{\pi}_{-\alpha}^{k+h^\vee}$ is the linear operator with the opposite parity of the root α , which is determined by the properties

$$\begin{aligned} s_{-\alpha}|0\rangle &= |-\alpha\rangle, \\ [\bar{h}_{(n|i)}, s_{-\alpha}] &= 0 \text{ for } (n|i) \neq 0, \\ [\bar{h}_{(0|0)}, s_{-\alpha}] &= -\frac{\alpha(h)s_{-\alpha}}{k+h^\vee} \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

for any $h \in \mathfrak{h}$.

Assume $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h}$. Then, the target space $V^{\nu k}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0)$ of $\bar{\mu}^k$ is isomorphic to the SUSY Heisenberg vertex algebra $\widehat{\pi}^{k+h^\vee}$. Therefore, one can rewrite (3.10) as

$$\bar{\mu}^k : W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f) \rightarrow \widehat{\pi}^{k+h^\vee}.$$

Theorem 3.6 ([39]). *Assume that $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h}$. Then for generic $k \in \mathbb{C}$,*

$$W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f) \simeq \text{Im } \bar{\mu}^k = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Pi} \text{Ker} \left(\int e^{-\alpha}(Z) dZ : \widehat{\pi}^{k+h^\vee} \rightarrow \widehat{\pi}_{-\alpha}^{k+h^\vee} \right)$$

where $\int e^{-\alpha}(Z) dZ$ is the super residue of the series $e^{-\alpha}(Z)$.

4. W-ALGEBRAS VS. SUSY W-ALGEBRAS

Assume that \mathfrak{g} is a simple basic Lie superalgebra that admits a principal odd nilpotent f . In other words, \mathfrak{g} has an $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{E, e, H, f, F\}$ embedding satisfying $F = -\frac{1}{2}[f, f]$ and $\dim(\mathfrak{g}^f) = \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g})$ for $\text{Ker}(\text{ad}f) = \mathfrak{g}^f$. In this section, we show that the W-algebra $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ and SUSY W-algebra $W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ are isomorphic for any $k \neq -h^\vee$.

Notice first that the even nilpotent F is also principal in \mathfrak{g} . Considering the adjoint action of the principal $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ subalgebra, the Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} is decomposed as a sum of irreducible $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ -modules as

$$\mathfrak{g} = R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_l,$$

where $l = \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g})$ and each R_i has dimension ≥ 3 whose weight spaces are 1-dimensional with homogeneous parity [11]. Therefore, one can form a basis for $\mathfrak{g}_0^F = \mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \text{Ker}(\text{ad}F)$ by taking the even nonzero vectors of lowest weight in R_i 's. It implies that $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_0^F) = l = \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g})$, that is, F is also principal in \mathfrak{g} .

Theorem 4.1. *For generic k , the principal SUSY W-algebra of level k is isomorphic to the principal W-algebra of level k .*

Proof. Take the set of simple roots $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ to be purely odd satisfying $\Pi = \Pi_{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then, one can choose the odd principal nilpotent f as

$$f = e^{\alpha_1} + \cdots + e^{\alpha_l},$$

where $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I_+}$ and $\{e^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I_+}$ are the dual bases of $\mathfrak{g}_{>0}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{<0}$ satisfying $(e_\alpha | e^\beta) = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}$.

We show that the image of $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ in Theorem 2.1 coincides with the image of $W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ in Theorem 3.6 by comparing the screening operators. First, the domains of the screening operators are isomorphic due to the following vertex algebra homomorphism

$$\tau: \pi^{k+h^\vee} \otimes \Phi(\mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \hat{\pi}^{k+h^\vee}, \quad \sqrt{k+h^\vee} \Phi_\alpha \mapsto \bar{h}_\alpha, \quad h \mapsto D\bar{h}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $h_\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$ is the coroot of the simple root α . It follows from the fact that $h_\alpha = [f, e_\alpha]$ and

$$[\Phi_{\alpha\lambda} \Phi_\beta] = (F|[e_\alpha, e_\beta]) = ([f, e_\alpha] | [f, e_\beta])$$

for any simple roots α and β . Using the isomorphism τ , one can consider the SUSY Fock module $\hat{\pi}_{-\alpha}^{k+h^\vee}$ as a $\pi^{k+h^\vee} \otimes \Phi(\mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}})$ -module. For the highest weight vector $|\alpha\rangle \in \hat{\pi}_{-\alpha}^{k+h^\vee}$, we have $\tau(h)_{(0)}|\alpha\rangle = (D\bar{h})_{(0)}|\alpha\rangle = -\alpha(h)|\alpha\rangle$. Therefore, as $\pi^{k+h^\vee} \otimes \Phi(\mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}})$ -modules,

$$\pi_{-\alpha}^{k+h^\vee} \otimes \Phi(\mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}}) \simeq \hat{\pi}_{-\alpha}^{k+h^\vee}, \quad (4.2)$$

which gives an isomorphism of the codomains of the screening operators.

Now, Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.6 with $\Pi = \Pi_{\frac{1}{2}}$ show that if k is generic, the Miura map and the supersymmetric Miura map induce isomorphisms

$$W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F) \simeq \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Pi} \text{Ker} \int \Phi_\alpha(z) e^{-\alpha}(z) dz,$$

$$W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f) \simeq \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Pi} \text{Ker} \int e^{-\alpha}(Z) dZ.$$

Under the identifications (4.1) and (4.2), we have

$$\int \Phi_\alpha(z) e^{-\alpha}(z) dz = \int e^{-\alpha}(Z) dZ$$

for all $\alpha \in \Pi$. Therefore, $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F) \simeq W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ for generic k . \square

We introduce a *continuous family of vector spaces* as in [7] (the same idea was also used in [1]). Let $\{V^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{C}}$ be a family of vector subspaces of the same dimension d in the fixed vector space W . $\{V^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{C}}$ is called continuous if the induced map $\mathbb{C} \ni k \mapsto V^k \in \text{Gr}(d, W)$ is continuous, where $\text{Gr}(d, W)$ is the Grassmannian manifold.

Suppose that $k \neq -h^\vee$. Let $\pi := \pi^1$ and $\hat{\pi} := \hat{\pi}^1$. Then $\pi^{k+h^\vee} \simeq \pi$ and $\hat{\pi}^{k+h^\vee} \simeq \hat{\pi}$ by $h/\sqrt{k+h^\vee} \mapsto h$ for all $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. Since the principal SUSY/non-SUSY W-algebras $W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$, $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ and the SUSY Heisenberg vertex algebras $\hat{\pi} \simeq \Phi(\mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}}) \otimes \pi$ are $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded by the conformal weights, the Miura maps induce injective maps between finite-dimensional vector spaces for each k and $\Delta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$:

$$W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)_\Delta \hookrightarrow \hat{\pi}_\Delta \hookrightarrow W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)_\Delta,$$

where V_Δ denotes the homogeneous subspace of V of the conformal weight Δ . Then $W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)_\Delta$ and $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)_\Delta$ can be thought of subspaces of the fixed vector spaces $\hat{\pi}_\Delta$.

Thus, $\left\{W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)_\Delta\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-h^\vee\}}$ and $\left\{W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)_\Delta\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-h^\vee\}}$ define continuous families of vector spaces.

Corollary 4.2. *Theorem 4.1 holds for all $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-h^\vee\}$.*

Proof. Apply [7, Lemma 5.14] with Theorem 4.1 for the continuous families

$$\left\{W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)_\Delta\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-h^\vee\}} \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)_\Delta\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-h^\vee\}}$$

of vertex superalgebras. \square

It is important to note that the principal SUSY W-algebra $W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ can only be defined for Lie superalgebras \mathfrak{g} that admit an odd simple root system. For explicit examples of such \mathfrak{g} and the corresponding $W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$, we refer to [39, Section 6].

5. ZHU ALGEBRAS OF SUSY W-ALGEBRAS

5.1. Complexes with good almost linear differentials. This section reviews some useful facts on cohomologies with good, almost linear differentials. See [9] for the details.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional vector superspace with the grading

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\substack{p, q \in \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2}, p+q \in \mathbb{Z}_+; \\ \Delta \in \frac{\mathbb{Z}_{>0}}{2}}} \mathfrak{g}^{pq}[\Delta] \quad (5.1)$$

and $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the tensor algebra with the induced grading $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{p, q \in \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2}, \Delta \in \frac{\mathbb{Z}_{>0}}{2}} \mathcal{T}^{pq}[\Delta]$. Suppose \mathfrak{g} is nonlinear Lie superalgebra, i.e., there is a bilinear skew-symmetric bracket with the Jacobi identity satisfying

$$[\mathfrak{g}^{p_1 q_1}[\Delta_1], \mathfrak{g}^{p_2 q_2}[\Delta_2]] \subset \bigoplus_{l \geq 0, \Delta < (\Delta_1 + \Delta_2)} \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{g})^{p_1 + p_2 + l, q_1 + q_2 - l}[\Delta]. \quad (5.2)$$

For such \mathfrak{g} , we have the \mathbb{Z}_+ -grading called charge and two filtrations defined by

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathfrak{g}^n, \quad F^p(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{p' \geq p} \mathfrak{g}^{p'q}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_\Delta = \bigoplus_{\Delta' \leq \Delta} \mathfrak{g}[\Delta'], \quad (5.3)$$

where $\mathfrak{g}^n = \bigoplus_{p+q=n, \Delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}/2} \mathfrak{g}^{pq}$ and $\mathfrak{g}[\Delta] = \bigoplus_{p, q \in \mathbb{Z}/2} \mathfrak{g}^{pq}[\Delta]$. Then (5.3) naturally induces the corresponding \mathbb{Z}_+ -grading and filtrations on the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Suppose we have an almost linear good differential $d : U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$, increasing the charge by 1 and preserving both filtrations. Here, the almost linear good differential means that the graded differential d^{gr} has the properties

$$\begin{aligned} d^{\text{gr}}(\mathfrak{g}^{pq}) &\subset \mathfrak{g}^{p+q+1} \text{ for any } p, q \in \mathbb{Z}/2; \\ \text{Ker}(d^{\text{gr}}|_{\mathfrak{g}^{pq}}) &= \text{Im}(d^{\text{gr}}|_{\mathfrak{g}^{p-1q}}) \text{ for } p+q \neq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

For the definition of the graded differential d^{gr} , we refer to [9, Section 4].

Theorem 5.1 ([9]). *Let \mathfrak{g} be a non-linear Lie superalgebra with the properties (5.1), (5.2), (5.3) and d is a good almost linear differential on $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Then the following hold.*

(1) *The cohomology $H(U(\mathfrak{g}), d)$ is concentrated on the charge 0 part, that is,*

$$H(U(\mathfrak{g}), d) = H^0(U(\mathfrak{g}), d).$$

(2) *Let $H(\mathfrak{g}, d)$ be the non-linear Lie superalgebra spanned by elements $\{E_i = \epsilon_i + \eta_i \mid i \in I\} \subset U(\mathfrak{g})$, where E_i is in $F^{p_i}(U(\mathfrak{g}))$, $\{\epsilon_i \mid i \in I\} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a basis of $H(\mathfrak{g}, d^{gr})$ and $E_i - e_i \in F^{p_i+1/2}(U(\mathfrak{g}))$. Then*

$$H(U(\mathfrak{g}), d) \simeq U(H(\mathfrak{g}, d)).$$

Consider the $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -module $R = \mathbb{C}[\partial] \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ with the grading

$$R = \bigoplus_{\substack{p, q \in \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2}, p+q \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \\ \Delta \in \frac{\mathbb{Z}_{>0}}{2}}} R^{pq}[\Delta] \quad (5.5)$$

induced from that of \mathfrak{g} . It follows from the fact that ∂ preserves the (p, q) bi-grading and increases the Δ -grading by 1. Suppose that R is a nonlinear Lie conformal algebra, i.e., there is a bilinear skew-symmetric bracket satisfying the Jacobi identity and

$$R^{p_1 q_1}[\Delta_1]_{(n)} R^{p_2 q_2}[\Delta_2] \subset \bigoplus_{l \geq 0} \mathcal{T}(R)^{p_1+p_2+l, q_1+q_2-l}[\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - n - 1]. \quad (5.6)$$

We define \mathbb{Z}_+ -grading called charge, decreasing filtration, and $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}/2$ -grading as

$$R = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} R^n, \quad F^p(R) = \bigoplus_{p' \geq p} R^{p'q}, \quad R[\Delta] = \bigoplus_{p, q} R^{pq}[\Delta], \quad (5.7)$$

where $R^n = \bigoplus_{p+q=n, \Delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}/2} R^{pq}$. Analogously, we define the corresponding gradings and filtration on the universal enveloping vertex algebra $V(R)$. Then we get the vertex algebra analogue of Theorem 5.1.

Theorem 5.2 ([9]). *Let $R = \mathbb{C}[\partial] \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ be a non-linear Lie conformal algebra with the properties (5.5), (5.6), (5.7) and d is a good almost linear differential on $V(R)$. Then the following hold.*

(1) *The cohomology $H(V(R), d)$ is concentrated on the charge 0 part, that is,*

$$H(V(R), d) = H^0(V(R), d).$$

(2) *Let $H(R, d)$ be the non-linear Lie conformal algebra $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -spanned by elements $\{E_i = \epsilon_i + \eta_i \mid i \in I\} \subset V(R)$ where E_i is in $F^{p_i}(V(R))$, $\{\epsilon_i \mid i \in I\} \subset R$ is a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -basis of $H(R, d^{gr})$ and $E_i - e_i \in F^{p_i+1/2}(V(R))$. Then*

$$H(V(R), d) \simeq V(H(R, d)).$$

Let H be a Hamiltonian operator on $V(R)$ such that $H(a) = \Delta(a)a$ for all $a \in V(R)[\Delta(a)]$. The H -twisted Zhu algebra $Zhu_H(V(R))$ is the associative algebra

$$Zhu_H(V(R)) := V(R)/J(R), \quad (5.8)$$

where $J(R)$ is the two-sided ideal of $V(R)$ generated by $(\partial + H)(V(R))$. Denote the image of $a \in V(R)$ in $Zhu_H(V(R))$ by a . The Lie bracket and the associative product on $Zhu_H(V(R))$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} [Zhu_H(a), Zhu_H(b)] &:= [Zhu_H(a), Zhu_H(b)]_{\hbar=1}, \\ Zhu_H(a)Zhu_H(b) &= Zhu_H(:ab:) + \int_0^1 [Zhu_H(H(a)), Zhu_H(b)]_x dx, \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

where $[Zhu_H(a), Zhu_H(b)]_{\hbar} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \binom{\Delta_a - 1}{j} \hbar^j Zhu_H(a_{(j)}b)$.

Theorem 5.3 ([9]). *Let R and d be given as in Theorem 5.2. Then*

$$Zhu_H(H(V(R), d)) \simeq H(Zhu_H(V(R)), Q)$$

as associative algebras, where Q is the differential on $Zhu_H(V(R))$ induced from d . Moreover, $Zhu_H(V(R)) \simeq U(\mathfrak{g})$ so that

$$Zhu_H(H(V(R), d)) \simeq H(U(\mathfrak{g}), Q).$$

5.2. Zhu algebras of SUSY W-algebras. In the following, we adopt the assumptions and notations for a Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} as stated in Section 3 and let $k \neq -\hbar^\vee$.

Recall the SUSY BRST complex

$$C^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f) := V^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes F(\bar{\mathfrak{A}}) \quad (5.10)$$

and its superconformal vector $G = \omega + \tau + \partial\bar{H}$, where ω is the Kac-Todorov vector and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= \sum_{\alpha \in I_+} (-1)^{p(\alpha)} 2j_\alpha : (\partial\phi_\alpha)\phi^\alpha : \\ &\quad - \sum_{\alpha \in I_+} (-1)^{p(\alpha)} (1 - 2j_\alpha) : \phi_\alpha \partial\phi^\alpha : + \sum_{\alpha \in I_+} : (D\phi_\alpha)(D\phi^\alpha) : \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

for j_α such that $[x, u_\alpha] = j_\alpha u_\alpha$. Then the conformal weights given by G on $C^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ are

$$\Delta(\bar{u}_\alpha) = \Delta(\phi_\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} - j_\alpha, \quad \Delta(\phi^\alpha) = j_\alpha, \quad \Delta(D) = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (5.12)$$

Denote the induced Hamiltonian operator H on $C^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ and write $H(a) = \Delta(a)a$ for any homogeneous element $a \in C^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$.

Definition 5.4. *We denote the cohomology of the complex $Zhu_H(C^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f))$ with the differential Q induced from $d_{(0|0)}$ by*

$$W^{fn}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f) := H(Zhu_H(C^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)), Q). \quad (5.13)$$

The Zhu algebra $Zhu_H(C^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f))$ is the associative superalgebra

$$Zhu_H(C^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)) \simeq U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_* \oplus \phi^{\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_-} \oplus \phi_{\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}}), \quad (5.14)$$

where $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_* = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{g}}$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{n}}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_- = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-$ and the commutator relation of the nonlinear Lie superalgebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_* \oplus \phi^{\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_-} \oplus \phi_{\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} [\bar{a}, \bar{b}]_* &= (k + h^\vee)(a|b), & [\bar{a}, b]_* &= (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} \overline{[a, b]}, & [a, b]_* &= (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} [a, b], \\ [\phi^m, \phi_n]_* &= (-1)^{p(m)} [\phi^{\bar{m}}, \phi_{\bar{n}}]_* = (m|n), & [\phi^{\bar{m}}, \phi_n]_* &= [\phi^m, \phi_{\bar{n}}]_* = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

for $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$, $n \in \mathfrak{n}$ and $m \in \mathfrak{n}_-$. In (5.15), we denote $\bar{a} := Zhu_H(\bar{a})$,

$$a := Zhu_H(D\bar{a}) - (k + h^\vee)(x|a), \quad (5.16)$$

$\phi^{\bar{m}} := Zhu_H(\phi^{\bar{m}})$, $\phi^m := Zhu_H(D\phi^{\bar{m}})$, $\phi_n := Zhu_H(\phi_n)$, and $\phi_{\bar{n}} := Zhu_H(D\phi_n)$ with an abuse of notation. To avoid confusion, we denote the bracket on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_*$ by $[\ ,]_*$ and the bracket on \mathfrak{g} by $[\ ,]$.

The differential Q on $Zhu_H C^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ is given to satisfy the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\bar{a}) &= \sum_{\beta \in I_+} \left[(-1)^{p(\bar{a})p(\beta)} \phi^{\bar{u}^\beta} \overline{[u_\beta, a]} + (-1)^{p(\beta)+1} (k + h^\vee) \phi^{u^\beta} (u_\beta|a) \right], \\ Q(a) &= \sum_{\beta \in I_+} \left[(-1)^{p(\bar{a})p(\beta)+1} \phi^{u^\beta} \overline{[u_\beta, a]} + (-1)^{p(a)p(\beta)} \phi^{\bar{u}^\beta} [u_\beta, a] \right], \\ Q(\phi^{\bar{u}^\alpha}) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in I_+} \left[(-1)^{p(\bar{a})p(\beta)} \phi^{\bar{u}^\beta} \phi^{\overline{[u_\beta, u^\alpha]}} \right], \\ Q(\phi^{u^\alpha}) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in I_+} \left[(-1)^{p(\bar{a})p(\beta)+1} \phi^{u^\beta} \phi^{\overline{[u_\beta, u^\alpha]}} + (-1)^{p(\alpha)p(\beta)} \phi^{\bar{u}^\beta} \phi^{[u_\beta, u^\alpha]} \right], \\ Q(\phi_{u_\alpha}) &= (-1)^{p(\bar{a})} \bar{u}_\alpha - (f|u_\alpha) + \sum_{\beta \in I_+} (-1)^{p(\bar{a})p(\beta)} \phi^{\bar{u}^\beta} \phi_{[u_\beta, u_\alpha]}, \\ Q(\phi_{\bar{u}_\alpha}) &= (-1)^{p(\alpha)} u_\alpha \\ &\quad + \sum_{\beta \in I_+} \left[(-1)^{p(\bar{a})p(\beta)+1} \phi^{u^\beta} \phi_{[u_\beta, u_\alpha]} + (-1)^{p(\alpha)p(\beta)} \phi^{\bar{u}^\beta} \phi_{\overline{[u_\beta, u_\alpha]}} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

where $\Delta(\phi^\beta) = j_\beta$. Remark that if we denote $\hat{a} := Zhu_H(D\bar{a})$ (cf. a in (5.16)), then

$$[\hat{a}, \hat{b}]_* = (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} (\widehat{[a, b]} - (k + h^\vee)([x, a]|b)) \quad (5.18)$$

and

$$Q(\hat{a}) = \sum_{\beta \in I_+} \left[(-1)^{p(\bar{a})p(\beta)+1} \phi^{u^\beta} \overline{[u_\beta, a]} + (-1)^{p(a)p(\beta)} \phi^{\bar{u}^\beta} \widehat{[u_\beta, a]} - (-1)^{p(\beta)} (k + h^\vee) j_\beta \phi^{\bar{u}^\beta} (u_\beta|a) \right]. \quad (5.19)$$

Now, recall from (3.7) the building blocks $J_{\bar{a}}$'s in $C^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$. Again, by abusing notation, let us denote $J_{\bar{a}} := Zhu_H(J_{\bar{a}})$ and $J_a := Zhu_H(DJ_{\bar{a}})$. Then one can check that the

subspaces $r_+ := J_{\bar{\mathfrak{n}}} \oplus \phi_{\bar{\mathfrak{n}}}$ and $r_- := J_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\leq 0}} \oplus \phi^{\bar{\mathfrak{n}}}$ of $Zhu_H C^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ are both nonlinear Lie superalgebras. For example, the commutation relations in r_- are given by

$$\begin{aligned} [J_{\bar{a}}, J_{\bar{b}}]_* &= (k + h^\vee)(a|b), & [J_{\bar{a}}, J_b]_* &= (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} J_{\overline{[a,b]}}, \\ [J_a, J_b]_* &= (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} (J_{[a,b]} - (k + h^\vee)([x, a]|b)), \\ [J_{\bar{a}}, \phi^{\bar{n}}]_* &= (-1)^{p(a)} [J_a, \phi^{\bar{n}}]_* = -\phi^{\overline{[n, \bar{a}]}}, & [J_a, \phi^{\bar{n}}]_* &= -\phi^{[n, a]} \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

and $[J_{\bar{a}}, \phi^{\bar{n}}] = [\phi^{\bar{n}_1}, \phi^{\bar{n}_2}] = [\phi_1^{\bar{n}}, \phi^{\bar{n}_2}] = 0$ for $a \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\leq 0}$ and $n, n_1, n_2 \in \mathfrak{n}_-$.

Proposition 5.5. *We have the following properties for the differential Q on the complex $Zhu_H C^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$:*

- (1) $Q(U(r_+)) \subset U(r_+)$ and $Q(U(r_-)) \subset U(r_-)$,
- (2) $U(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f) \simeq H(U(r_-), Q)$.

Proof. (1) Recall from [30] the action of $d_{(0|0)}$ on $J_{\bar{a}} \in C^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ for $a \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\leq 0}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{(0|0)}(J_{\bar{a}}) &= \sum_{\beta \in I_+} (-1)^{p(\bar{a})p(\beta)} : \phi^\beta (J_{\pi_{\leq \overline{[u_\beta, a]}}} + (f|[u_\beta, a])) : \\ &\quad + \sum_{\beta \in I_+} (-1)^{p(\beta)+1} (k + h^\vee) D\phi^\beta(u_\beta|a). \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

Since D and $d_{(0|0)}$ supercommute, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{(0|0)}(DJ_{\bar{a}}) &= \sum_{\beta \in I_+} (-1)^{p(\bar{a})p(\beta)+1} : D(\phi^\beta) (J_{\pi_{\leq \overline{[u_\beta, a]}}} + (f|[u_\beta, a])) : \\ &\quad + \sum_{\beta \in I_+} (-1)^{p(a)p(\beta)} : \phi^\beta DJ_{\pi_{\leq \overline{[u_\beta, a]}}} : + \sum_{\beta \in I_+} (-1)^{p(\beta)} (k + h^\vee) \partial\phi^\beta(u_\beta|a). \end{aligned}$$

Now, by (5.9), the differential Q acts on the elements in r_- as

$$\begin{aligned} Q(J_{\bar{a}}) &= \sum_{\beta \in I_+} (-1)^{p(\bar{a})p(\beta)} \phi^{\bar{u}^\beta} (J_{\pi_{\leq \overline{[u_\beta, a]}}} + (f|[u_\beta, a])) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\beta \in I_+} (-1)^{p(\beta)+1} (k + h^\vee) \phi^{u^\beta}(u_\beta|a), \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q(J_a) &= \sum_{\beta \in I_+} (-1)^{p(a)p(\beta)} (\phi^{\bar{u}^\beta} J_{\pi_{\leq \overline{[u_\beta, a]}}} - (k + h^\vee) \phi^{\bar{u}^\beta}([x, u_\beta]|a)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\beta \in I_+} (-1)^{p(\bar{a})p(\beta)+1} \phi^{u^\beta} (J_{\pi_{\leq \overline{[u_\beta, a]}}} + (f|[u_\beta, a])). \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

The terms in (5.23) follow from the definition of the product on the Zhu algebra. More precisely, we know $H(\phi^\beta) = j_\beta \phi^\beta$, $H(D\phi^\beta) = (j_\beta + \frac{1}{2})D\phi^\beta$ and

$$[\phi^{u^\beta}, J_{\pi_{\leq \overline{[u_\beta, a]}}}]_* = (-1)^{p(\beta)+1} \phi^{[\pi_{\leq \overline{[u_\beta, a]}}, u^\beta]}, \quad [\phi^{\bar{u}^\beta}, J_{\pi_{\leq \overline{[u_\beta, a]}}}]_* = -\phi^{[\pi_{\leq \overline{[u_\beta, a]}}, \bar{u}^\beta]}. \quad (5.24)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & Zhu_H \left((-1)^{p(\bar{a})p(\beta)+1} : D(\phi^\beta) J_{\pi_{\leq [u_\beta, a]}} : + (-1)^{p(a)p(\beta)} : \phi^\beta D J_{\pi_{\leq [u_\beta, a]}} : \right) \\ &= (-1)^{p(\bar{a})p(\beta)+1} \phi^{u_\beta} J_{\pi_{\leq [u_\beta, a]}} + (-1)^{p(a)p(\beta)} \phi^{\bar{u}_\beta} J_{\pi_{\leq [u_\beta, a]}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

By (5.17), (5.22) and (5.23), we conclude $Q(U(r_-)) \subset U(r_-)$. Also, since

$$Q(\phi_{u_\alpha}) = (-1)^{p(\bar{\alpha})} J_{\bar{u}_\alpha} - (f|u_\alpha), \quad Q(\phi_{\bar{u}_\alpha}) = (-1)^{p(\alpha)} J_{u_\alpha}, \quad (5.26)$$

we have $Q(U(r_-)) \subset (U(r_-))$.

(2) By (5.26), one can easily see that $H(U(r_+), Q) = \mathbb{C}$. Observe $Zhu_H C^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f) = U(r_+) \otimes U(r_-)$, and hence

$$W^{fn}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f) \simeq H(U(r_+), Q) \otimes H(U(r_-), Q) \simeq H(U(r_-), Q).$$

□

Recall that the SUSY W-algebra $W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ can also be defined via the subcomplex $\tilde{C}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ generated by r_- . Since we have $Zhu_H \tilde{C}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f) = U(r_-)$, Proposition 5.5 implies that

$$W^{fn}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f) \simeq H(Zhu_H \tilde{C}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f), Q).$$

The following theorem shows that the SUSY finite W-algebra is also considered as the Zhu algebra of the corresponding SUSY affine W-algebra.

Theorem 5.6. *As associative superalgebras, we have*

$$W^{fn}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f) \simeq Zhu_H W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f) \simeq H^0(Zhu_H \tilde{C}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f), Q). \quad (5.27)$$

Proof. Recall that the conformal weight on $\tilde{C}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ is defined by

$$\Delta(J_{\bar{u}_\alpha}) = \frac{1}{2} - j_\alpha, \quad \Delta(\phi^\alpha) = j_\alpha, \quad \Delta(D) = \frac{1}{2} \quad (5.28)$$

for $u_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}(j_\alpha)$. Consider the $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ bi-grading on $\tilde{C}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ defined as follows:

$$\text{gr}(J_{\bar{u}_\alpha}) = (j_\alpha, -j_\alpha), \quad \text{gr}(\phi^\alpha) = \left(-j_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}, j_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad \text{gr}(D) = (0, 0). \quad (5.29)$$

Then,

$$d_{(0|0)}^{\text{gr}}(J_{\bar{a}}) = \sum_{\beta \in I_+} (-1)^{p(\bar{a})p(\beta)} \phi^\beta (f|[u_\beta, a]), \quad d_{(0|0)}^{\text{gr}}(\phi^\alpha) = 0$$

for any $\alpha \in I_+$, and hence

$$H(\tilde{C}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f), d_{(0|0)}^{\text{gr}}) = V(\mathbb{C}[\partial] \otimes (J_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}f} \oplus D J_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}f})).$$

This implies that the differential $d_{(0|0)}$ is a good almost linear differential. Hence, by Theorem 5.3, we have

$$H(Zhu_H \tilde{C}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f), Q) \simeq Zhu_H H(\tilde{C}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f), d_{(0|0)}) = Zhu_H W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f). \quad (5.30)$$

Now, we consider the $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -grading Δ on $U(r_-)$ such that

$$\Delta(J_{\bar{u}_\alpha}) = \frac{1}{2} - j_\alpha, \quad \Delta(J_{u_\alpha}) = 1 - j_\alpha, \quad \Delta(\phi^{\bar{u}^\alpha}) = j_\alpha, \quad \Delta(\phi^{u^\alpha}) = \frac{1}{2} + j_\alpha. \quad (5.31)$$

and the $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ bi-grading given by

$$\text{gr}(J_{\bar{u}_\alpha}) = \text{gr}(J_{u_\alpha}) = (j_\alpha, -j_\alpha), \quad \text{gr}(\phi^{\bar{u}^\alpha}) = \text{gr}(\phi^{u^\alpha}) = \left(-j_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}, j_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\right). \quad (5.32)$$

Then, using the same argument as in the affine case, the differential Q is a good almost linear differential. Hence, $Zhu_H W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f) \simeq H^0(Zhu_H \tilde{C}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f), Q)$. \square

6. FINITE SUSY W-ALGEBRAS

In this section, we introduce finite SUSY W-algebras and compare them with the Zhu algebras of SUSY W-algebras. Throughout the rest of this paper, we set \mathfrak{g} to be a finite-dimensional simple basic Lie superalgebra satisfying the assumptions stated in Section 3.

6.1. Definition of finite SUSY W-algebras. Recall that $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the parity reversed vector superspace of \mathfrak{g} . Consider the Lie superalgebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ endowed with the Lie bracket extending that of \mathfrak{g} and

$$[\bar{a}, b] = \overline{[a, b]}, \quad [\bar{a}, \bar{b}] = 0 \quad (6.1)$$

for $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$. Note that $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is isomorphic to the Takiff superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \wedge(\theta)$ under the map $a \mapsto a$ and $\bar{a} \rightarrow a \otimes \theta$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Using the bilinear form (\mid) on \mathfrak{g} , define the bilinear form $\langle \mid \rangle$ on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ by

$$\langle \bar{a} \mid b \rangle = (-1)^{p(a)} \langle a \mid \bar{b} \rangle = (a \mid b), \quad \langle a \mid b \rangle = \langle \bar{a} \mid \bar{b} \rangle = 0. \quad (6.2)$$

This is a supersymmetric nondegenerate invariant bilinear form on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$.

One can extend the Lie bracket (6.1) by considering the central extension $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C}K$ of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. The Lie bracket on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C}K$ extends that of \mathfrak{g} and

$$[\bar{a}, b] = \overline{[a, b]}, \quad [\bar{a}, \bar{b}] = (-1)^{p(a)} K(a \mid b) \quad (6.3)$$

for $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$. Let us denote

$$U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) := U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C}K) / U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C}K)(K - (k + h^\vee)) \quad (6.4)$$

for $k \neq -h^\vee$ in \mathbb{C} .

Remark 6.1. *The SUSY affine vertex algebra $V^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}})$ with the Λ -bracket (1.3) has the Hamiltonian operator H coming from Kac-Todorov superconformal vector in $V^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}})$ ([20, 24]) and it gives rise to the Zhu algebra*

$$Zhu_H V^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}) \simeq U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}). \quad (6.5)$$

In addition, by the Remark 3.1, we can also conclude $U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ is the Zhu algebra of the SUSY affine vertex algebra given by (3.2).

Denote $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}} := \mathfrak{n} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{n}}$ and let χ be the character on $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ defined by

$$\chi(\tilde{n}) = \langle f | \tilde{n} \rangle. \quad (6.6)$$

Then $\chi(n) = 0$ and $\chi(\bar{n}) = -\langle f | n \rangle$ for $n \in \mathfrak{n}$. Consider the 1-dimensional $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ -module $\mathbb{C}_{\pm\chi}$ given by $\tilde{n} \cdot 1 = \pm \langle f | \tilde{n} \rangle$. Then, $U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ is a right $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ -module via the right multiplication and $U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}} \mathbb{C}_{\chi}$ is a left $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ -module by the adjoint action.

Definition 6.2. *The finite SUSY W-algebra is an associative superalgebra*

$$U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f) = (U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}} \mathbb{C}_{-\chi})^{\text{ad } \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}}. \quad (6.7)$$

Equivalently, it is the associative superalgebra given by

$$U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f) = \left(U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) / U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})(\tilde{n} + \langle f | \tilde{n} \rangle | \tilde{n} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}) \right)^{\text{ad } \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}}. \quad (6.8)$$

Remark 6.3. *For a nonzero constant ℓ and the corresponding character χ_{ℓ} of $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ satisfying $\chi_{\ell}(\tilde{n}) = \ell \langle f | \tilde{n} \rangle$, let us consider $U_{\ell}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f) := (U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}} \mathbb{C}_{-\chi_{\ell}})^{\text{ad } \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}}$. Then*

$$U_{\ell}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f) \simeq U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f). \quad (6.9)$$

Indeed, the algebra automorphism of $U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ given by $\tilde{a} \mapsto \ell^{-2j_a} \tilde{a}$ for $\tilde{a} \in \mathfrak{g}_{j_a} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{j_a}$ induces the isomorphism between $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ and $U_{\ell}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ in (6.9). We also note that the finite SUSY W-algebra $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ is independent of the choice of k . This can be deduced from the automorphism on $U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ given by $\tilde{a} \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+h}} \tilde{a}$, $a \mapsto a$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Obviously, $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ is the degree 0 part of the Lie superalgebra cohomology $H(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}, U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}} \mathbb{C}_{-\chi})$. In the rest of this section, we show that the cohomology is concentrated in degree 0 part, which further implies that $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f) \simeq H(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}, U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}} \mathbb{C}_{-\chi})$. As an associative superalgebra, we can identify $U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}} \mathbb{C}_{-\chi}$ with the universal enveloping algebra $U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{p}})$ of the nonlinear Lie subalgebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$. We also consider the exterior algebra $\wedge \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}^*$ generated by $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}^*$. Note that this space can be viewed as the universal enveloping algebra $U(\Pi \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}^*)$ of the supercommutative Lie superalgebra $\Pi \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}^*$, where $\Pi \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}^*$ is the parity reversed space of $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}^*$. The complex of the Lie superalgebra cohomology of $U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}} \mathbb{C}_{-\chi}$ is

$$C(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}, U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}} \mathbb{C}_{-\chi}) := \wedge \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}^* \otimes U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}), \quad (6.10)$$

that is, the universal enveloping algebra of the nonlinear lie superalgebra $\Pi \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}^* \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$. The differential d_L on $C(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}, U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}} \mathbb{C}_{-\chi})$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} d_L(1 \otimes a) &= \sum_{\alpha \in I_+} u_{\alpha}^* \otimes \text{ad} u_{\alpha}(a) + \sum_{\alpha \in I_+} \bar{u}_{\alpha}^* \otimes \text{ad} \bar{u}_{\alpha}(a), \\ d_L(\tilde{u}_{\gamma}^* \otimes 1) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in I_+} (c_{\alpha, \tilde{\gamma}}^{\beta} u_{\alpha}^* \wedge u_{\beta}^* + c_{\alpha, \tilde{\gamma}}^{\bar{\beta}} u_{\alpha}^* \wedge \bar{u}_{\beta}^* + c_{\bar{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma}}^{\beta} \bar{u}_{\alpha}^* \wedge u_{\beta}^* + c_{\bar{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma}}^{\bar{\beta}} \bar{u}_{\alpha}^* \wedge \bar{u}_{\beta}^*), \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

where $\pi_+([u_\alpha, \tilde{u}_\gamma]) = \sum_{\beta \in I_+} (c_{\alpha, \tilde{\gamma}}^\beta u_\beta + c_{\alpha, \tilde{\gamma}}^{\bar{\beta}} \bar{u}_\beta)$ and $\pi_+([\bar{u}_\alpha, \tilde{\gamma}]) = \sum_{\beta \in I_+} (c_{\bar{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma}}^\beta u_\beta + c_{\bar{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma}}^{\bar{\beta}} \bar{u}_\beta)$ for the canonical projection $\pi_+ : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C}K \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ and $\tilde{u}_\gamma = u_\gamma$ or \bar{u}_γ . Furthermore, for an arbitrary element $a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_s \otimes m$ in the complex, the differential d_L acts as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} d_L(a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_s \otimes m) &= \sum_{i=1}^s (-1)^{p(a_1) + \cdots + p(a_{i-1}) + i - 1} a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d_L(a_i) \wedge \cdots \wedge a_s \otimes m \\ &\quad + (-1)^{p(a_1) + \cdots + p(a_s) + s} a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_s \otimes d_L(m). \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

On the complex (6.10), we consider the $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -grading Δ given by

$$\Delta(1 \otimes \bar{u}_\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} - j_\alpha, \quad \Delta(1 \otimes u_\alpha) = 1 - j_\alpha, \quad \Delta(\bar{u}_\alpha^* \otimes 1) = \frac{1}{2} + j_\alpha, \quad \Delta(u_\alpha^* \otimes 1) = j_\alpha \quad (6.13)$$

and the $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ bi-grading called (p, q) -grading provided as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gr}(1 \otimes \bar{u}_\alpha) &= \text{gr}(1 \otimes u_\alpha) = (j_\alpha, -j_\alpha), \\ \text{gr}(\bar{u}_\alpha^* \otimes 1) &= \text{gr}(u_\alpha^* \otimes 1) = \left(-j_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}, j_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

With respect to the (p, q) -grading, the graded differential d_L^{gr} satisfies

$$d_L^{\text{gr}}(1 \otimes a) = \sum_{\alpha \in I_+} \left(\langle f | [u_\beta, a] \rangle u_\alpha^* + \langle f | [\bar{u}_\alpha, a] \rangle \bar{u}_\alpha^* \right) \otimes 1, \quad d_L^{\text{gr}}(\bar{u}_\beta^* \otimes 1) = 0 \quad (6.15)$$

for $a \in \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\tilde{u}_\beta = u_\beta$ or \bar{u}_β . Hence d_L^{gr} is a good almost linear differential. By Theorem 5.1, $H(C(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}, U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}} \mathbb{C}_{-\chi}), d_L) = H^0(C(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}, U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}} \mathbb{C}_{-\chi}), d_L)$ which directly implies the following proposition.

Proposition 6.4. *The finite SUSY W-algebra $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ is isomorphic to the Lie superalgebra cohomology $H(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}, U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}} \mathbb{C}_{-\chi})$.*

6.2. $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ and $Zhu_H W^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$. In order to compare $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ and $Zhu_H W^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$, let us derive another construction of $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ via a double complex. Consider the left $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ -modules $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})_{-\chi}$ and $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})$, both of which are isomorphic to $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})$ as vector superspaces. For $\tilde{n} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ and $A \in U(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})$, the actions of \tilde{n} on A are defined as $\tilde{n} \cdot A = (\tilde{n} - \langle f | \tilde{n} \rangle)A$ in the former and by the left multiplication in the latter. Similarly, let $U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})_\chi$ be the right $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ -modules via the right multiplication and the right action on $U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})_\chi \simeq U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes \mathbb{C}_\chi$, respectively. Define

$$M := U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})} U(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})_{-\chi} \simeq U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})_\chi \otimes_{U(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})} U(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}) \quad (6.16)$$

to be the $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ -bimodule whose left action is the adjoint action and the right action is the sign twisted multiplication. Here, the sign twisted means that $m \cdot \tilde{n} = (-1)^{p(\tilde{n})} m \tilde{n}$ for $m \in M$ and $\tilde{n} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$.

The Lie superalgebra homology of the right $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ -module M is defined via the complex

$$C_h := M \otimes \wedge \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}. \quad (6.17)$$

The differential d_h on C_h satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} d_h(m \otimes c_1 \wedge c_2 \cdots \wedge c_s) &= \sum_{1 \leq t \leq s} (-1)^{P_1} m \cdot c_t \otimes c_1 \wedge \cdots \check{c}_t \cdots \wedge c_s \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq r < t \leq s} (-1)^{P_2} m \otimes [c_r, c_t] \wedge c_1 \wedge \cdots \check{c}_r \cdots \check{c}_t \cdots \wedge c_s, \end{aligned} \quad (6.18)$$

where $P_1 = (p(c_t) + 1)(p(c_1) + \cdots + p(c_{t-1}) + t - 1)$ and $P_2 = (p(c_r) + p(c_t))(p(c_1) + \cdots + p(c_{r-1}) + r - 1) + (p(c_t) + 1)(p(c_{r+1}) + \cdots + p(c_{t-1}) + (t - r - 1))$. In particular, we have

$$d_h(1 \otimes \tilde{n}) = (-1)^{\tilde{n}}(\tilde{n} + \langle f | \tilde{n} \rangle). \quad (6.19)$$

Now, we consider the Lie superalgebra cohomology of the left $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ -module $M \otimes \wedge \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$. The complex for the cohomology is

$$C_c := \wedge \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}^* \otimes (M \otimes \wedge \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}) \quad (6.20)$$

and the differential d_c is defined as in (6.11) and (6.12). Combining the $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ -module homology and cohomology, consider the complex

$$C_I = \wedge \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}^* \otimes M \otimes \wedge \tilde{\mathfrak{n}} \quad (6.21)$$

and the differential $d_I = d_c + (-1)^\delta \otimes d_h$, where δ reflects the grading of $\wedge \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}^*$ part. Note that one can check that $d_I^2 = 0$ by direct computation.

Proposition 6.5. *The following two associative superalgebras are isomorphic:*

$$U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f) \simeq H(C_I, d_I). \quad (6.22)$$

Proof. The proposition follows directly from the analogous statement in the proof of Theorem A.6 in [9]. Here, we briefly review the idea in the reference. Let us consider the bicomplex

$$C_I = \bigoplus_{p, -q \in \mathbb{Z}_+} C^{p, q}, \quad d_I = d_c + d_h, \quad (6.23)$$

where $C^{p, q} = \wedge^p \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}^* \otimes M \otimes \wedge^{-q} \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$. In order to find the cohomology $H(C_I, d_I)$, we consider the corresponding spectral sequence $(E_r, d^r \mid r \geq 1)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{p, q} &= H^{p, q}(C_I, d_c) = \wedge^p \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}^* \otimes H^q((U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})_\chi \otimes_{\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}} U(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})) \otimes \wedge \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}, d_h) \\ &= \wedge^p \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}^* \otimes U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})_\chi \otimes_{\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}} H^q(U(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}) \otimes \wedge \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}, d_h) \\ &= \delta_{q, 0} \wedge^p \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}^* \otimes (U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})_\chi \otimes_{U(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})} \mathbb{C}) \\ &= \delta_{q, 0} C^p(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}, U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})} \mathbb{C}_{-\chi}). \end{aligned} \quad (6.24)$$

Hence, the spectral sequence stabilizes at $r = 2$ and $E_\infty \simeq H(C_I, d_I) \simeq H(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}, U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})} \mathbb{C}_{-\chi}) = U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$. \square

Recall that we have a nondegenerate bilinear form $\langle | \rangle$ on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. We can identify $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_-$ with $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}^*$ using the bilinear form. More precisely, since $\langle \bar{u}^\alpha | u_\beta \rangle = (-1)^{p(\alpha)} \langle u^\alpha | \bar{u}_\beta \rangle = \delta_{\alpha, \beta}$, we

can identify

$$u_\beta^* \equiv \bar{u}^\beta, \quad \bar{u}_\beta^* \equiv (-1)^{p(\beta)} u^\beta. \quad (6.25)$$

Hence, the coadjoint action of $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ on $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}^*$ gives rise to the action of $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ on $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_-$. The coadjoint actions are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}_\alpha \cdot u_\beta^*(\tilde{n}) &= (-1)^{p(\bar{\alpha})p(\beta)+1} u_\beta^*([\bar{u}_\alpha, \tilde{n}]) = (-1)^{p(\bar{\alpha})p(\beta)+1} \langle \bar{u}^\beta \mid [\bar{u}_\alpha, \tilde{n}] \rangle = 0, \\ u_\alpha \cdot u_\beta^*(\tilde{n}) &= (-1)^{p(\alpha)p(\beta)+1} u_\beta^*([u_\alpha, \tilde{n}]) = \langle \overline{[u_\alpha, u^\beta]} \mid \tilde{n} \rangle, \\ u_\alpha \cdot \bar{u}_\beta^*(\tilde{n}) &= (-1)^{p(\alpha)p(\bar{\beta})+1} \bar{u}_\beta^*([u_\alpha, \tilde{n}]) = (-1)^{p(\alpha)+p(\beta)} \langle [u_\alpha, u^\beta] \mid \tilde{n} \rangle, \\ \bar{u}_\alpha \cdot \bar{u}_\beta^*(\tilde{n}) &= (-1)^{p(\bar{\alpha})p(\bar{\beta})+1} \bar{u}_\beta^*([\bar{u}_\alpha, \tilde{n}]) = (-1)^{p(\alpha)+p(\beta)+1} \langle \overline{[u_\alpha, u^\beta]} \mid \tilde{n} \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (6.26)$$

for any $\tilde{n} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$. Therefore, $\bar{u}_\alpha \cdot \bar{u}^\beta = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} u_\alpha \cdot \bar{u}^\beta &= \pi_{<0}(\overline{[u_\alpha, u^\beta]}), \\ u_\alpha \cdot u^\beta &= (-1)^{p(\alpha)} \pi_{<0}([u_\alpha, u^\beta]), \\ \bar{u}_\alpha \cdot u^\beta &= (-1)^{p(\alpha)+1} \pi_{<0}([u_\alpha, u^\beta]), \end{aligned} \quad (6.27)$$

where $\pi_{<0} : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_-$ is the canonical projection map.

Consider the sets $\Psi^{\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_-} = \{\Psi_{\tilde{n}_-} \mid \tilde{n}_- \in \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_-\}$ and $\Psi_{\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}} = \{\Psi_n \mid n \in \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}\}$. Here, the parity of the elements are given by $p(\Psi^{\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_-}) = p(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_-)$ and $p(\Psi_{\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}}) = p(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})$. Take the complex

$$C_{II} = S(\Psi^{\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_-}) \otimes M \otimes S(\Psi_{\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}}) \quad (6.28)$$

and the bijection map $\iota : C_I \rightarrow C_{II}$ defined by

$$a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_t \otimes m \otimes c_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge c_t \mapsto \Psi^{a_1} \cdots \Psi^{a_t} \otimes m \otimes \Psi_{c_1} \cdots \Psi_{c_t}. \quad (6.29)$$

Here, we used the identification (6.25) in the map $a_i \in \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}^* \mapsto \Psi^{a_i} \in \Psi^{\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_-}$, that is,

$$u_\alpha^* \mapsto \Psi^{\bar{u}^\alpha} =: \Psi^{\bar{\alpha}}, \quad \bar{u}_\alpha^* \mapsto (-1)^{p(\alpha)} \Psi^{u^\alpha} =: (-1)^{p(\alpha)} \Psi^\alpha. \quad (6.30)$$

Obviously, the endomorphism

$$d_{II} := \iota \circ d_I \circ \iota^{-1} \quad (6.31)$$

is a differential on C_{II} . In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{II}(\Psi^{\bar{\beta}} \otimes (1 \otimes 1) \otimes 1) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in I_+} \Psi^{\bar{\alpha}} \Psi^{\overline{[u_\alpha, u^{\bar{\beta}]}}} \otimes (1 \otimes 1) \otimes 1, \\
 d_{II}(\Psi^\beta \otimes (1 \otimes 1) \otimes 1) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in I_+} \left((-1)^{p(\alpha)} \Psi^{\bar{\alpha}} \Psi^{[u_\alpha, u^\beta]} - \Psi^\alpha \Psi^{\overline{[u_\alpha, u^{\bar{\beta}]}} \right) \otimes (1 \otimes 1) \otimes 1, \\
 d_{II}(1 \otimes (m \otimes 1) \otimes 1) &= \sum_{\alpha \in I_+} \left(\Psi^{\bar{\alpha}} \otimes [u_\alpha, m] + (-1)^{p(\alpha)} \Psi^\alpha \otimes \overline{[u_\alpha, m]} \right) \otimes 1, \\
 d_{II}(1 \otimes (\bar{m} \otimes 1) \otimes 1) &= \sum_{\alpha \in I_+} \left((-1)^{p(\alpha)} \Psi^{\bar{\alpha}} \otimes \overline{[u_\alpha, m]} + \Psi^\alpha \otimes (k + h^\vee)(u_\alpha | a) \right) \otimes 1, \\
 d_{II}(1 \otimes (1 \otimes 1) \otimes \Psi_{\bar{n}}) &= (-1)^{p(n)} 1 \otimes (1 \otimes n) \otimes 1 + \sum_{\alpha \in I_+} \Psi^{\bar{\alpha}} \otimes (1 \otimes 1) \otimes \Psi_{\overline{[u_\alpha, n]}} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{\alpha \in I_+} (-1)^{p(\alpha)} \Psi^\alpha \otimes (1 \otimes 1) \otimes \Psi_{[u_\alpha, n]}, \\
 d_{II}(1 \otimes (1 \otimes 1) \otimes \Psi_n) &= (-1)^{p(\bar{n})} 1 \otimes (1 \otimes \bar{n}) \otimes 1 \\
 &\quad + \sum_{\alpha \in I_+} (-1)^{p(\alpha)} \Psi^{\bar{\alpha}} \otimes (1 \otimes 1) \otimes \Psi_{[u_\alpha, n]}
 \end{aligned} \tag{6.32}$$

for $\beta \in I_+$, $m \in \mathfrak{g}$, $n \in \mathfrak{n}$. Also, we define $\Psi^a := \Psi^{\pi_{<0}(a)}$, $\Psi^{\bar{a}} := \Psi^{\overline{\pi_{<0}(a)}}$, $\Psi_a := \Psi_{\pi_{>0}(a)}$ and $\Psi_{\bar{a}} := \Psi_{\overline{\pi_{>0}(a)}}$ for any $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. By construction, the following proposition is obtained directly.

Proposition 6.6. *The two complexes (C_I, d_I) and (C_{II}, d_{II}) are quasi-isomorphic by the map ι in (6.29). In other word,*

$$U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f) \simeq H(C_{II}, d_{II}). \tag{6.33}$$

Recall that $Zhu_H(W^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)) \simeq H(Zhu_H(C^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f), Q))$, where $Zhu_H(C^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)) = U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_* \oplus \phi_{\bar{n}} \oplus \phi_{\bar{n}})$ and Q is given by (5.17).

Theorem 6.7. *The complex $(Zhu_H C^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f), Q)$ is quasi-isomorphic to (C_{II}, d_{II}) . Hence, as associative superalgebras,*

$$U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f) \simeq Zhu_H W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f). \tag{6.34}$$

Proof. By Remark 6.3, it is enough to show that $U_\ell(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f) \simeq Zhu_H W^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ for any nonzero constant ℓ . Let $\ell = -\sqrt{-1}$. Let us consider the following elements in C_{II} :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Psi_*^n &:= \sqrt{-1}^{p(n)} \Psi^n, & a_* &:= \sqrt{-1}^{p(a)} a, & \Psi_{n*} &:= \sqrt{-1}^{p(n)} \Psi_n, \\
 \Psi_*^{\bar{n}} &:= \sqrt{-1}^{p(n)} \Psi^{\bar{n}}, & \bar{a}_* &:= \sqrt{-1}^{p(a)} \bar{a}, & \Psi_{\bar{n}*} &:= \sqrt{-1}^{p(n)} \Psi_{\bar{n}},
 \end{aligned} \tag{6.35}$$

where $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{n}_-$, $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, and $n \in \mathfrak{n}$. In Remark 3.1, one can see where the coefficients in (6.35) originate from. Define a map $\iota : C_{II} \rightarrow Zhu_H C^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_*^{\tilde{\alpha}} \otimes (1 \otimes 1) \otimes 1 &\mapsto (-1)^{p(\alpha)} \phi^{\tilde{u}^\alpha}, & \Psi_*^\alpha \otimes (1 \otimes 1) \otimes 1 &\mapsto (-1)^{p(\tilde{\alpha})} \phi^{u^\alpha} \\ 1 \otimes (\tilde{a}_* \otimes 1) \otimes 1 &\mapsto \tilde{a} \\ 1 \otimes (1 \otimes 1) \otimes \Psi_{n*} &\mapsto \phi_n, & 1 \otimes (1 \otimes 1) \otimes \Psi_{\tilde{n}*} &\mapsto \phi_{\tilde{n}}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.36)$$

for $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$, $\tilde{n} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ and $n \in \mathfrak{n}$. It is obvious that ι is bijective and we have to show that $\iota \circ d_{II} = Q \circ \iota$. Since the differentials d_{II} and Q are both odd derivations, it is enough to prove

$$\iota \circ d_{II}(A) = Q \circ \iota(A) \quad (6.37)$$

for any $A \in \Psi^{\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}} \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes 1) \oplus \Psi_{\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}}$. This equality can be proved directly using (5.17) and (6.32). For example,

$$\begin{aligned} \iota \circ d_{II}(\Psi_{n*}) &= \iota \circ d_{II}(\sqrt{-1}^{p(n)} \Psi_n) \\ &= \sqrt{-1}^{p(n)} \iota \left(1 \otimes (1 \otimes (-1)^{p(\tilde{n})} \tilde{n}) \otimes 1 + \sum_{\alpha \in I_+} (-1)^{p(\alpha)} \Psi^{\tilde{\alpha}} \otimes (1 \otimes 1) \otimes \Psi_{[u_\alpha, n]} \right) \\ &= \sqrt{-1}^{p(n)} \iota \left(1 \otimes \left((-1)^{p(\tilde{n})} \tilde{n} + \ell \langle f | \tilde{n} \rangle \right) \otimes 1 + \sum_{\alpha \in I_+} (-1)^{p(\alpha)} \Psi^{\tilde{\alpha}} \otimes (1 \otimes 1) \otimes \Psi_{[u_\alpha, n]} \right) \\ &= (-1)^{p(\tilde{n})} \tilde{n} + \langle f | \tilde{n} \rangle + \sum_{\alpha \in I_+} (-1)^{p(\tilde{n})p(\alpha)} \phi^{\tilde{u}^\alpha} \phi_{[u_\alpha, n]} = Q(\phi_n) = Q \circ \iota(\Psi_{n*}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \iota \circ d_{II}(\Psi_*^\beta) &= \iota \circ d_{II}(\sqrt{-1}^{p(\beta)} \Psi^\beta) \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}^{p(\beta)}}{2} \iota \left(\sum_{\alpha \in I_+} \left((-1)^{p(\alpha)} \Psi^{\tilde{\alpha}} \Psi^{[u_\alpha, u^\beta]} - \Psi^\alpha \Psi^{[u_\alpha, u^\beta]} \right) \otimes (1 \otimes 1) \otimes 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in I_+} \left[(-1)^{p(\beta)p(\alpha)+p(\beta)+p(\alpha)} \phi^{u^\alpha} \phi^{[u_\alpha, u^\beta]} + (-1)^{p(\beta)p(\alpha)+p(\beta)+1} \phi^{\tilde{u}^\alpha} \phi^{[u_\alpha, u^\beta]} \right] \\ &= Q((-1)^{p(\tilde{\beta})} \phi^{u^\beta}) = Q \circ \iota(\Psi_*^\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we used the facts

$$\sqrt{-1}^{p(a)} \sqrt{-1}^{p(b)} \sqrt{-1}^{p(ab)} = (-1)^{p(a)p(b)+p(a)+p(b)}, \quad (\sqrt{-1}^{p(a)})^{-1} = (-\sqrt{-1})^{p(a)}.$$

Analogously, one can prove (6.37) for any other element A in $\Psi^{\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}} \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes 1) \oplus \Psi_{\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}}$. \square

6.3. Principal SUSY finite W-algebras. In this section, let f be the odd principal nilpotent element in \mathfrak{g} and $F = -\frac{1}{2}[f, f]$. In Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2, we showed that $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F) \simeq W^k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ for $k \neq -h^\vee$. Now, we want to compare the finite W-algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ and the SUSY finite W-algebra $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$. Recall the following two facts

- $U(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ is the $H_{N=0}$ -twisted Zhu algebra of $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ ([9, 18]),

- $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ is the $H_{N=1}$ -twisted Zhu algebra of $W^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ (Theorem 6.7),

where $H_{N=0}$ and $H_{N=1}$ are the Hamiltonian operators induced from the conformal vectors of $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ and $W^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$, respectively. Hence, by comparing two operators $H_{N=0}$ and $H_{N=1}$, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 6.8. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a basic simple Lie superalgebra and f be the odd principal nilpotent. For $F = -\frac{1}{2}[f, f]$, we have*

$$U(\mathfrak{g}, F) \simeq U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f). \quad (6.38)$$

Proof. By the observation in the previous paragraph, it is enough to show that $H_{N=0}$ and $H_{N=1}$ induce the same operator via the isomorphism between $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ and $W^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$. More precisely, we need to show the map τ in (4.1) preserves the conformal weight. Recall the notations in (4.1). We know

$$\Delta_0(\Phi_\alpha \otimes 1) = \Delta_1(\bar{h}_\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \Delta_0(1 \otimes h) = \Delta_1(D\bar{h}) = 1, \quad (6.39)$$

where Δ_0 and Δ_1 are the conformal weights given by $H_{N=0}$ and $H_{N=1}$ and hence we get the theorem. \square

Example 6.9. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ spanned by $\{E, H = 2x, F, e, f\} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, where $(E, 2x, F)$ is the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple and $e, f \in \mathfrak{g}_1$. Additionally, we fix the Lie brackets*

$$\begin{aligned} [x, e] &= \frac{1}{2}e, & [x, f] &= -\frac{1}{2}f, \\ [e, e] &= 2E, & [f, f] &= -2F, & [e, f] &= [f, e] = -2x, & [F, e] &= f, & [E, f] &= e \end{aligned} \quad (6.40)$$

and the bilinear form

$$(E|F) = 2(x|x) = 1, \quad (e|f) = -(f|e) = -2. \quad (6.41)$$

Let us take the character χ such that $\bar{e} \mapsto -1$, $e \mapsto 0$, $\bar{E} \mapsto 0$, $E \mapsto 0$ and $k + h^\vee = 1$. Then $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ is generated by the following two elements

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\bar{F}} &:= \bar{F} - 2\bar{f}\bar{x} + 2\bar{x} - f - 4\bar{x}x; \\ w_F &:= F - 2\bar{f}x - 2f\bar{x} - 4x^2, \end{aligned} \quad (6.42)$$

and $\{w_{\bar{F}}, w_F\}$ is a PBW basis of $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$. Indeed, one can check that $\text{ad } \bar{e}(w_{\bar{F}}) = \text{ad } e(w_{\bar{F}}) = \text{ad } \bar{e}(w_F) = \text{ad } e(w_F) = 0$ in $U^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})/U^k(\mathfrak{g})(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}} + \chi(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}) | \tilde{\mathfrak{n}} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{n}})$. For example, $\text{ad } \bar{e}(w_F) = 0$ can be checked by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad } \bar{e}(F) &= -\bar{f} \\ \text{ad } \bar{e}(\bar{f}x) &= 2x + \bar{f}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\bar{e}\right) = -\frac{1}{2}\bar{f} + 2x \\ \text{ad } \bar{e}(f\bar{x}) &= -2\bar{x}\bar{x} = -\frac{1}{2} \\ \text{ad } \bar{e}(x^2) &= x\left(-\frac{1}{2}\bar{e}\right) + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\bar{e}\right)x = -\frac{1}{2}[\bar{e}, x] - x = -x + \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.43)$$

Similarly, since $\text{ad } e(F) = -f$, $\text{ad } e(\bar{f}x) = 2\bar{x}x$, $\text{ad } e(f\bar{x}) = -\frac{1}{2}f - 2\bar{x}x$, and $\text{ad } e(x^2) = 0$, one can conclude that $w_F \in U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$.

Observe that in the commutator $[w_{\bar{F}}, w_{\bar{F}}]$, the term $[-f, -f] = -2F$ is the only non-constant part contained in the subalgebra generated by F and \bar{F} . Hence, $[w_{\bar{F}}, w_{\bar{F}}] = 2w_{\bar{F}}^2 = -2w_F + (\text{constant}) =: w_{\bar{F}}^c$. Similarly, we can show $[w_F, w_{\bar{F}}] = [w_F, w_F] = 0$. Therefore, $[w_{\bar{F}}^c, U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)] = 0$ and $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ can be considered as a supercommutative algebra generated by $w_{\bar{F}}$.

On the other hand, $U(\mathfrak{g}, F)$ is known in [18] to be isomorphic to the ghost center $\tilde{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ of \mathfrak{g} , which is the subalgebra generated by

$$Q = \frac{1}{2}H^2 + EF + FE, \quad C = Q + \frac{1}{2}ef - \frac{1}{2}fe$$

inside the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ of \mathfrak{g} . Note that C is the Casimir element of \mathfrak{g} and Q is that of \mathfrak{g}_0 . See the introduction in *loc. cit.* for the reference of the above facts. Let $T = 4Q - 4C + \frac{1}{2}$. Then $\tilde{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{C}[C] \oplus \mathbb{C}[C]T$ with the relation $T^2 = 4C + \frac{1}{4}$. Under the isomorphism $\tilde{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq U(\mathfrak{g}, F)$, $\mathbb{C}[C]$ is the even part of $U(\mathfrak{g}, F)$, while $\mathbb{C}[C]T$ is the odd part. Therefore, we obtain an isomorphism of associative superalgebras:

$$U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, f) \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq U(\mathfrak{g}, F), \quad w_{\bar{F}} \mapsto T, \quad w_{\bar{F}}^c \mapsto 8C + \frac{1}{2}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aganagic, E. Frenkel, and A. Okounkov, *Quantum q -Langlands correspondence*, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. **79** (2018), 1–83. MR3881458
- [2] Tomoyuki Arakawa, *Representation theory of \mathcal{W} -algebras*, Invent. Math. **169** (2007), no. 2, 219–320. MR2318558
- [3] ———, *Introduction to W -algebras and their representation theory*, Perspectives in Lie theory, 2017, pp. 179–250. MR3751125
- [4] Bojko Bakalov and Victor G. Kac, *Field algebras*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **3** (2003), 123–159. MR1932531
- [5] Chih-Whi Chen, Shun-Jen Cheng, and Uhi Rinn Suh, *Whittaker modules of central extensions of Takiff superalgebras and finite supersymmetric W -algebras*, arXiv:2410.22819.
- [6] Shun-Jen Cheng, *Representations of central extensions of differentially simple lie superalgebras*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **154** (1993/06/01), no. 3, 555–568.
- [7] Thomas Creutzig, Naoki Genra, and Shigenori Nakatsuka, *Duality of subregular \mathcal{W} -algebras and principal \mathcal{W} -superalgebras*, Adv. Math. **383** (2021), Paper No. 107685, 52. MR4232554
- [8] Alberto De Sole and Victor G. Kac, *Freely generated vertex algebras and non-linear Lie conformal algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **254** (2005), no. 3, 659–694. MR2126487
- [9] ———, *Finite vs affine W -algebras*, Jpn. J. Math. **1** (2006), no. 1, 137–261. MR2261064
- [10] Boris Feigin and Edward Frenkel, *Quantization of the Drinfel’d-Sokolov reduction*, Phys. Lett. B **246** (1990), no. 1-2, 75–81. MR1071340
- [11] L. Frappat, E. Ragoucy, and P. Sorba, *W -algebras and superalgebras from constrained WZW models: a group theoretical classification*, Comm. Math. Phys. **157** (1993), no. 3, 499–548. MR1243709
- [12] Edward Frenkel, *Wakimoto modules, opers and the center at the critical level*, Adv. Math. **195** (2005), no. 2, 297–404. MR2146349

- [13] Edward Frenkel and David Ben-Zvi, *Vertex algebras and algebraic curves*, Second, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 88, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004. MR2082709
- [14] Igor B. Frenkel and Yongchang Zhu, *Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras*, Duke Math. J. **66** (1992), no. 1, 123–168. MR1159433
- [15] Daniel Friedan, Emil Martinec, and Stephen Shenker, *Conformal invariance, supersymmetry and string theory*, Nuclear Phys. B **271** (1986), no. 1, 93–165. MR845945
- [16] Naoki Genra, *Twisted zhu algebras*, arXiv:2409.09656.
- [17] ———, *Screening operators for \mathcal{W} -algebras*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **23** (2017), no. 3, 2157–2202. MR3663604
- [18] ———, *Finite \mathcal{W} -algebras of $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$ and ghost centers*, Eur. J. Math. **10** (2024), no. 2, Paper No. 31, 18. MR4746635
- [19] Naoki Genra and Thibault Juillard, *Reduction by stages for finite \mathcal{W} -algebras*, Math. Z. **308** (2024), no. 1, Paper No. 15, 36. MR4781732
- [20] Reimundo Heluani and Victor G. Kac, *Supersymmetric vertex algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **271** (2007), no. 1, 103–178. MR2283956
- [21] Victor Kac, Shi-Shyr Roan, and Minoru Wakimoto, *Quantum reduction for affine superalgebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **241** (2003), no. 2-3, 307–342. MR2013802
- [22] Victor G. Kac, *Vertex algebras for beginners*, Second, University Lecture Series, vol. 10, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998. MR1651389
- [23] Victor G. Kac, Shi-Shyr Roan, and Minoru Wakimoto, *Quantum reduction for affine superalgebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **241** (2003), no. 2-3, 307–342. MR2013802
- [24] Victor G. Kac and Ivan T. Todorov, *Superconformal current algebras and their unitary representations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **102** (1985), no. 2, 337–347. MR820579
- [25] Victor G. Kac and Minoru Wakimoto, *Quantum reduction and representation theory of superconformal algebras*, Adv. Math. **185** (2004), no. 2, 400–458. MR2060475
- [26] ———, *Corrigendum to: “Quantum reduction and representation theory of superconformal algebras” [Adv. Math. **185** (2004), no. 2, 400–458; mr2060475]*, Adv. Math. **193** (2005), no. 2, 453–455. MR2137292
- [27] Bertram Kostant, *On Whittaker vectors and representation theory*, Invent. Math. **48** (1978), no. 2, 101–184. MR507800
- [28] Gahng Sahn Lee, Arim Song, and Uhi Rinn Suh, *Dirac reductions and classical \mathcal{W} -algebras*, J. Math. Phys. **64** (2023), no. 1, Paper No. 011703, 34. MR4539361
- [29] Jens Ole Madsen and Eric Ragoucy, *Quantum Hamiltonian reduction in superspace formalism*, Nuclear Phys. B **429** (1994), no. 2, 277–290. MR1299068
- [30] Alexander Molev, Eric Ragoucy, and Uhi Rinn Suh, *Supersymmetric \mathcal{W} -algebras*, Lett. Math. Phys. **111** (2021), no. 1, Paper No. 6, 25. MR4200967
- [31] Shigenori Nakatsuka, *On Miura maps for \mathcal{W} -superalgebras*, Transform. Groups **28** (2023), no. 1, 375–399. MR4552179
- [32] Yung-Ning Peng, *Finite \mathcal{W} -superalgebras via super Yangians*, Adv. Math. **377** (2021), Paper No. 107459, 60. MR4186002
- [33] C. N. Pope, *A Review of \mathcal{W} strings*, International Symposium on Black holes, Membranes, Wormholes and Superstrings, 19924.
- [34] Alexander Premet, *Special transverse slices and their enveloping algebras*, Adv. Math. **170** (2002), no. 1, 1–55. With an appendix by Serge Skryabin. MR1929302
- [35] ———, *Enveloping algebras of Slodowy slices and the Joseph ideal*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **9** (2007), no. 3, 487–543. MR2314105

- [36] Eric Ragoucy, Arim Song, and Uhi Rinn Suh, *Generators of supersymmetric classical W -algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **397** (2023), no. 1, 111–139. MR4538284
- [37] ———, *$N = 2$ supersymmetric structures on classical W -algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **404** (2023), no. 3, 1607–1640. MR4677586
- [38] Uhi Rinn Suh and Sangwon Yoon, *Supersymmetric extension of universal enveloping vertex algebras*, Journal of Algebra **667** (2025), 35–74.
- [39] Arim Song, *Free Field Realization of Supersymmetric W -algebras*, ArXiv eprints (arXiv:2404.05275, 2024), available at [math.CO/2404.05275](https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.05275).
- [40] Uhi Rinn Suh, *Structures of (supersymmetric) classical W -algebras*, J. Math. Phys. **61** (2020), no. 11, 111701, 27. MR4169236
- [41] Edward Witten, *Nonabelian Bosonization in Two-Dimensions*, Commun. Math. Phys. **92** (1984), 455–472.
- [42] Yang Zeng and Bin Shu, *Finite W -superalgebras for basic Lie superalgebras*, J. Algebra **438** (2015), 188–234. MR3353030
- [43] Yongchang Zhu, *Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1996), no. 1, 237–302. MR1317233

(N. Genra) FACULTY OF SCIENCE, ACADEMIC ASSEMBLY, UNIVERSITY OF TOYAMA 3190 GOFUKU, TOYAMA 930–8555, JAPAN

Email address: genra@sci.u-toyama.ac.jp

(A. Song) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DENVER, 2199 S UNIVERSITY BLVD, DENVER, CO 80210, USA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, GWANAK-RO 1, GWANAK-GU, SEOUL 08826, KOREA

Email address: Arim.Song@du.edu

(U. R. Suh) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, GWANAK-RO 1, GWANAK-GU, SEOUL 08826, KOREA

Email address: uhrisu1@snu.ac.kr