

# RANK GROWTH OF ELLIPTIC CURVES OVER $S_3$ EXTENSIONS WITH FIXED QUADRATIC RESOLVENTS

DANIEL KELIHER AND SUN WOO PARK

ABSTRACT. We study the probability with which an elliptic curve  $E/k$ , subject to some technical conditions, gains rank upon base extension to an  $S_3$ -cubic extension  $K/k$  with quadratic resolvent field  $F/k$ , all three fields of which are subject to some mild technical conditions. To do so, we determine the distribution (under a non-standard ordering) of Selmer ranks of an auxiliary abelian variety associated to  $E$  and  $S_3$ -cubic extensions  $K/k$  following ideas of Klagsbrun, Mazur, and Rubin. One corollary of this distribution is that  $E$  gains rank by at most one upon base extension to  $K$  with probability at least 31.95%.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Main Results	2
1.2. Layout	3
1.3. Acknowledgements	3
2. Selmer Groups	4
3. Parameterizing $S_3$ Cubic Extensions	5
4. Measuring Rank Growth in $S_3$ Extensions	6
4.1. Useful abelian varieties and their prime Selmer groups	7
4.2. Local conditions defining the desired prime Selmer groups	9
5. Markov Chains and Ranks	11
5.1. Preliminary results	11
5.2. Controlling Localizations via Corestrictions	15
6. Fan Structures Following [KMR14]	23
7. Proof of the main theorem	25
References	26

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Questions related to expected ranks (or ranks of Selmer groups) of elliptic curves have a rich history. In [SD08], Swinnerton-Dyer determined the probability distribution of the rank of the 2-Selmer group of quadratics twists by  $d$  of elliptic curve with full rational two torsion as the number of prime factors of  $d$  grows arbitrarily large. This was the first work to view the successive manipulation of local conditions of the Selmer group as a Markov process. In [Kan13], Kane obtained the same distribution in the same setting, but without the “non-standard” ordering on twists adopted by Swinnerton-Dyer.

In [KMR14], Klagsbrun, Mazur, and Rubin determined the average size of the  $p$ -Selmer ranks of an elliptic curve meeting some mild conditions. Their work similarly adopts this Markov chain perspective and relies on a different non-standard ordering, which we likewise adopt in this paper (see Definition 7.2). Explicit versions of the results of [KMR14] were proved in the function field setting by the second author [Par22].

Finally, a series of papers by Smith [Smi23a], [Smi23b], determined the distribution of  $2^\infty$ -Selmer coranks, essentially resolving (assuming the finiteness of the Tate-Shafarevich group) a conjecture of Goldfeld [Gol79], under the usual ordering of quadratic twists.

Results like these are also connected to enumerating field extensions (say, with prescribed degree and Galois group) for which a fixed elliptic curve either does or does not gain rank upon base extension. For quadratic extensions, this is Goldfeld's conjecture, but a bit more is known. Lemke Oliver and Thorne [LOT19] gave lower bounds for the number of degree  $n \geq 2$ ,  $S_n$ -extensions  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  for which a fixed  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  *does* gain rank upon base extension to  $K$ . The first author [Kel22] gave lower bounds for the number of quartic  $A_4$  and  $S_4$ -extensions for which a fixed  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  of rank zero *does not* gain rank upon base change. Pathak and Ray [PR24] likewise gave lower bounds on the number of meta-abelian extensions for which a fixed curve of rank zero does not gain rank. For all three results, the lower bounds on the number of extensions meeting the prescribed rank growth behavior are a density zero (but still an infinitely large) set among the set of degree  $n$  number fields with bounded discriminant and specified Galois group when compared to known asymptotics, or to Malle's predictions [Mal02], [Mal04], for the number of such extensions.

In this present work, our motivating question is the following. For a fixed elliptic curve  $E$  defined over a number field  $k$ , how often does the rank of  $E$  change upon base extension to an  $S_3$ -cubic extension of  $k$ ? Here we deal with the generic case in which the Galois group of the 3-torsion field of  $E/k$  contains  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ .

A different manifestation of this problem comes via studying Selmer groups of cubic twists family of an elliptic curve with  $j$ -invariant zero. Producing a trivial Selmer group for such a twist of a curve by  $d$  essentially produces a cubic field obtained by adjoining  $\sqrt[3]{d}$  for which the original curve does not gain rank upon base change. In [ABS24], Alpöge, Bhargava and Shnidman determined the average size of 2-Selmer groups in such a family. The average size of the 3-Selmer groups of the same family was determined by Koymans and Smith in [KS24].

**1.1. Main Results.** Let  $k$  be a number field and let  $F/k$  be a quadratic extension. We classify  $S_3$ -cubic extensions of  $k$  with *quadratic resolvent*  $F$ , i.e. the quadratic extension intermediate to the Galois closure of  $K/k$  and  $k$ , into three categories

- (A) When  $\zeta_3 \in k \subset F$ ; (B) When  $\zeta_3 \notin k$  and  $F = k(\zeta_3)$ ; (C) When  $\zeta_3 \notin F$ .

These cases emerge naturally from Lemma 3.1 and are explained further in Remark 3.2. We fully describe the allowable quadratic extensions  $F/k$  for our results in Definition 3.4.

We first state a coarse version of our results which gives a lower bound on how often one might expect the rank of  $E/k$  to grow by at most one upon base extension to an  $S_3$ -cubic extension.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Fix a number field  $k$  and a quadratic extension  $F/k$  which is admissible in the sense of Definition 3.4. Fix an elliptic curve  $E/k$  for which  $\mathrm{Gal}(k(E[3])/k) \supset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ , and  $F$  is linearly disjoint from  $k(E[3])$  over  $k$ . Then the density, with respect to the fan structure (see Definition 7.2), of  $S_3$ -cubic extensions  $K/k$  with quadratic resolvent  $F/k$  for which  $\mathrm{rk}(E/K) \leq \mathrm{rk}(E/k) + 1$  is at least 31.95%.*

The ordering on the  $S_3$ -cubic extensions (from which we obtain various densities) is according to the *fan structure*. For further discussion of this ordering, see Remark 6.5.

Given an  $S_3$ -cubic extension  $K/k$ , there exists an auxiliary four dimensional abelian variety  $B_{K/k}$  depending on  $K/k$ , its quadratic resolvent  $F/k$ , and  $E/k$  (see Definition 4.3 and the surrounding discussion) whose rank of  $k$ -rational points satisfies the relation

$$\mathrm{rk}(B_{K/k}(k)) = 2(\mathrm{rk}(E(K)) - \mathrm{rk}(E(k))).$$

That is, the variety  $B_{K/k}$  measures the rank growth of  $E$  in  $S_3$ -cubic extensions.

Theorem 1.1 follows from the following result on the probability distribution of dimensions of  $1 - \sigma_K$  Selmer groups of families of abelian varieties  $B_{K/k}$  (denoted as  $\mathrm{Sel}_{1-\sigma_K}(B_{K/k}/k)$ ), where

$\sigma_K \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/k)$  is any element of order 3. Their dimensions as  $\mathbb{F}_3$ -vector spaces give an upper bound on rank growths of  $E$  with respect to  $K/k$ :

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{Sel}_{1-\sigma_K}(B_{K/k}/k) \geq \text{rk}(E(K)) - \text{rk}(E(k)).$$

**Theorem 1.2.** *Fix a number field  $k$  and a quadratic extension  $F/k$  which is admissible in the sense of Definition 3.4. Fix an elliptic curve  $E/k$  for which  $\text{Gal}(k(E[3])/k) \supset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  and  $F$  is linearly disjoint from  $k(E[3])$  over  $k$ . Then the density, with respect to the fan structure (see Definition 7.2), of  $S_3$ -cubic extensions  $K/k$  with quadratic resolvent  $F$  for which the variety  $B_{K/k}$  satisfies  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{Sel}_{1-\sigma_K}(B_{K/k}/k) = s$  is*

$$\begin{cases} \rho_E \cdot \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+3^{-k}} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{s}{2}} \frac{3}{3^k-1} & \text{if } s \text{ is even,} \\ (1 - \rho_E) \cdot \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+3^{-k}} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \frac{3}{3^k-1} & \text{if } s \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\rho_E \in [0, 1]$  is a computable constant depending only on  $E$  and is given by Definition 7.1.

We list the percentage values of the probability distribution of the dimensions of Selmer groups for the case where  $\rho_E = 1$  and  $\rho_E = 0$ . Each respective case corresponds to families of  $S_3$ -cubics whose dimensions of Selmer groups of  $B_{K/k}$  are all even or all odd. The respective probability distribution for different values of  $\rho_E \in (0, 1)$  can be obtained from linearly interpolating the two probabilities in each row. In particular, the density, with respect to the fan structure, of  $S_3$ -cubic extensions  $K/k$  with quadratic resolvent  $F/k$  for which  $\text{rk}(E/K) = \text{rk}(E/k)$  is at least  $\rho_E \cdot 31.95\%$ .

$s$	Density	
	$\rho_E = 1$	$\rho_E = 0$
0	31.9502	0
1	0	31.9502
2	47.9253	0
3	0	47.9253
4	17.9720	0
5	0	17.9720
6	2.07369	0
7	0	2.07369
8	$7.77635 \times 10^{-2}$	0
9	0	$7.77635 \times 10^{-2}$
$\geq 10$	$\leq 9.67988 \times 10^{-4}$	$\leq 9.67988 \times 10^{-4}$

This theorem is an immediate consequence of Theorem 7.3. From Corollary 7.4, we see that the proportion of extensions exhibiting larger rank growth decays quadratic-exponentially.

**1.2. Layout.** In Section 2, we introduce the necessary machinery for discussing Selmer groups of abelian varieties. In Section 3, we explain a very explicit parameterization of  $S_3$ -cubic extensions with a fixed quadratic resolvent field following [CM11]. In Section 4, we show how the measuring rank growth in  $S_3$ -cubic extensions can be obtained from understanding rank growths in an associated  $C_3$ -cyclic extensions and constructing four dimensional abelian varieties whose Selmer groups encode much of this data. In Sections 5 and 6, we explain how to model rank growth questions as one of a distribution of Selmer ranks. Much of the material in these sections is adapted or expanded from [KMR13, KMR14] and tailored to our setting. Finally, in Section 7, we prove our main result, Theorem 7.3, from which Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 follow directly.

**1.3. Acknowledgements.** This project began after a JMM 2023 special session on arithmetic statistics; we thank the organizers for inviting us. Much of the preliminary work was completed at a PANTS meeting at the University of Georgia, we thank the grant organizers for making such a meeting possible. We are indebted to Jiuya Wang and Robert Lemke Oliver for their guidance and

many helpful conversations. We thank Jordan Ellenberg for his input on the proof of Proposition 4.4. Finally, we thank Valentin Blomer, Ashay Burungale, Michael Daas, Zev Klagsbrun, Peter Koymans, Alex Smith, and Douglas Ulmer. Most of this work was completed while the first author was a postdoc at the University of Georgia and while the second was a graduate student at the University of Wisconsin, Madison and a postdoc at MPIM; we thank these institutions for their support.

## 2. SELMER GROUPS

Here, we briefly introduce Selmer groups of abelian varieties. For a more thorough treatment, see e.g. [Sil86] or [Cas91].

Throughout this section, let  $A$  and  $A'$  be abelian varieties over a number field,  $k$ , together with an isogeny  $\phi : A \rightarrow A'$ . From these, one has the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker \phi \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\phi} A' \rightarrow 0.$$

After taking the long exact sequence on Galois cohomology groups and quotienting it appropriately, one arrives at the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A'(k)/\phi(A(k)) \rightarrow H^1(k, \ker \phi) \rightarrow H^1(k, A)[\phi] \rightarrow 0.$$

Above, the map  $A'(k)/\phi(A(k)) \rightarrow H^1(k, \ker \phi)$ , the global Kummer map, is given by sending  $\bar{P} \in A'(k)/\phi(A(k))$  to the class in  $H^1(k, \ker \phi)$  of maps all cohomologous to the map  $G_k \rightarrow \ker \phi$  given by  $\sigma \mapsto \bar{P}^\sigma - \bar{P}$ . One likewise defines the local Kummer maps with  $k_v$  in place of  $k$  for every place  $v$  of  $k$ .

Further, for each place  $v$  of  $k$ , there are restriction maps:

$$A'(k)/\phi(A(k)) \rightarrow A'(k_v)/\phi(A(k_v))$$

given by inclusion of points; there are also

$$H^1(k, \ker \phi) \rightarrow H^1(k_v, \ker \phi) \quad \text{and} \quad H^1(k, A) \rightarrow H^1(k_v, A)$$

given by the restriction of maps for the absolute Galois group  $G_k$  to the decomposition group at  $v$ . Finally, define the *restricted cohomology* group by

$$H_f^1(k_v, \ker \phi) := \text{Image} \left( A'(k_v)/\phi(A(k_v)) \rightarrow H^1(k_v, \ker \phi) \right).$$

**Definition 2.1.** The  $\phi$ -Selmer group, denoted  $\text{Sel}_\phi(A/k)$ , is given by the exactness of

$$0 \rightarrow A'(k)/\phi(A(k)) \rightarrow \text{Sel}_\phi(A/k) \rightarrow \prod_v H^1(k_v, \ker \phi)/H_f^1(k_v, \ker \phi).$$

**Example 2.2** (The  $p$ -Selmer group of an elliptic curve). Fix a prime  $p$  and let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over  $k$ . Consider the multiplication-by- $p$  maps  $[p] : E \rightarrow E$ . Then

$$0 \rightarrow E(k)/pA(k) \rightarrow \text{Sel}_p(E/k) \rightarrow \prod_v H^1(k_v, E[p])/H_f^1(k_v, E[p])$$

gives the  $p$ -Selmer group of  $E$ .

**Remark 2.3.** For an abelian variety  $A$  defined over a number field  $k$ , and  $p$  a prime, we have that

$$\text{rk}(A(k)) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Sel}_p(A/k).$$

A major theme will be to control  $\text{Sel}_p(A/k)$ , namely force it to have dimension zero, by judicious choices of twists. This in turn gives us an understanding of the rank of  $A(k)$ . For instance, if  $E^d/k$  is the quadratic twist of an elliptic curve  $E/k$  by some  $d \in k^\times/(k^\times)^2$ , then with  $F = k(\sqrt{d})$ , one has

$$\text{rk}(E^d(k)) = \text{rk}(E(F)) - \text{rk}(E(k)) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Sel}_2(E^d/k).$$

The idea is then to understand the 2-Selmer group of  $E^d$  from the data of  $\text{Sel}_2(E/k)$  and  $F = k(\sqrt{d})$ . See, for example, [MR10], where, among other things, the authors bound the number of  $F$  for which the  $\text{Sel}_2(E^F/k)$  has a prescribed  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -dimension under some mild conditions.

### 3. PARAMETERIZING $S_3$ CUBIC EXTENSIONS

In this section we recall, for a quadratic extension of number fields  $F/k$ , how to construct  $S_3$ -cubic extensions  $K/k$  for which the unique quadratic subfield of the Galois closure  $\tilde{K}/k$  of  $K/k$  is  $F/k$ . That is,  $\tilde{K}/k$  is a Galois  $S_3$ -extension containing the  $S_3$ -cubic extension  $K/k$  and has unique quadratic sub-extension  $F/k$ . We follow the set-up of [CM11] and modify their notations somewhat to suit our purposes.

Let  $k$  be a number field, and let  $\zeta_3$  be a primitive cube root of unity. Throughout,  $F/k$  will denote a quadratic extension of  $k$ . Now, let  $F_z = F(\zeta_3)$  and  $k_z = k(\zeta_3)$ . Let  $\tau_2$  be the generator of  $\text{Gal}(F/k) \simeq C_2$  and  $\tau$  be the generator of  $\text{Gal}(F_z/F)$ .

$S_3$ -cubic extensions  $K/k$  with quadratic resolvent  $F$  depend on  $\tau, \tau_2$  and their actions on  $\zeta_3$ . We need three cases, for each of which we define a subset  $T$  of the group ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\text{Gal}(F_z/k)]$ .

- (i)  $T = \{\tau_2 + 1\}$  when  $\tau$  is trivial and  $\tau_2$  fixes  $\zeta_3$ ; in this case  $\zeta_3 \in k$ .
- (ii)  $T = \{\tau_2 - 1\}$  when  $\tau$  is trivial and  $\tau_2$  does not fix  $\zeta_3$ ; in this case  $F = k(\zeta_3)$ .
- (iii)  $T = \{\tau + 1, \tau_2 + 1\}$  when  $\tau$  is nontrivial and does not fix  $\zeta_3$ ; in this case  $\zeta_3 \notin F$ .

Note that cases (i), (ii), and (iii) above correspond to cases (3), (4), and (5) in [CM11, Remark 2.2]

We now state how to obtain  $S_3$ -cubic extensions with a fixed quadratic resolvent field. The following lemma is the content of Proposition 2.7 and Lemma 2.8 in [CM11].

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $S_3(F_z)$  be the 3-Selmer group of  $F_z$ , i.e. the group  $\{u \in F_z \mid u\mathcal{O}_{F_z} = \mathfrak{q}^3\}/(L^\times)^3$*

- (1) *There exists a bijection between isomorphism classes of  $S_3$ -cubic extensions  $K/k$  with given quadratic resolvent field  $F/k$  and equivalence classes of triples  $(a_0, a_1, u)$  modulo the equivalence relation  $(a_0, a_1, u) \sim (a_1, a_0, 1/u)$ , where  $a_0, a_1$ , and  $u$  are as follows:
  - The  $a_i$  are coprime integral square-free ideals of  $F_z$  such that  $a_0 a_1^2 \in \text{Cl}(F_z)^3$  and  $a_0 a_1^2 \in (I/I^3)[T]$ , where  $I$  is the group of fractional ideals of  $F_z$ .
  - $u \in S_3(F_z)[T]$ , and  $u \neq 1$  when  $a_0 = a_1 = Z_L$ .*
- (2) *The condition  $a_0 a_1^2 \in (I/I^3)[T]$  is equivalent to:  $a_1 = \tau_2(a_0)$  in case (i);  $a_0 = \tau_2(a_0)$  and  $a_1 = \tau_2(a_1)$  in case (ii);  $a_1 = \tau(a_0) = \tau_2(a_0)$  in case (iii).*
- (3) *If  $(a_0, a_1)$  is a pair of ideals satisfying the above there exist an ideal  $q_0$  and an element  $\alpha_0$  of  $F_z$  such that  $a_0 a_1^2 q_0^3 = \alpha_0 \mathcal{O}_{F_z}$  with  $\alpha_0 \in (F_z^*/(F_z^*)^3)[T]$ . The cubic extensions  $K/k$  corresponding to such a pair  $(a_0, a_1)$  are given as follows: for any  $u \in S_3(F_z)[T]$  the extension is the cubic subextension of  $N_z = F_z(\sqrt[3]{\alpha_0 u})$  (for any lift  $u$  of  $\bar{u}$ ).*

**Remark 3.2.** Here we briefly summarize how to construct  $S_3$ -cubic extensions  $K/k$  with a fixed quadratic resolvent  $F/k$  following from Lemma 3.1 applied to conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) listed above. Given  $F/k$ , we let  $H$  be the Hilbert class field of  $F/k$  and let  $H_3$  be the extension intermediate to  $H$  and  $F$  such that  $\text{Gal}(H_3/F) \simeq \text{Cl}(F)/\text{Cl}(F)^3$ .

**Case (A)** *Constructing  $S_3$ -cubics following condition (i).* Let  $k$  be a number field with  $\zeta_3 \in k$  and let  $F/k$  be a quadratic extension. Let  $H$  be the Hilbert class field of  $F$  and let  $H_3$  be the extension intermediate to  $H$  and  $F$  such that  $\text{Gal}(H_3/F) \simeq \text{Cl}(F)/\text{Cl}(F)^3$ . Let  $p$  be a prime of  $k$  such that  $\text{Frob}_p(H_3/k) = 1$ . Suppose that  $p$  splits completely into two primes  $\mathfrak{p}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_1$  in  $F$  and both are cubes in  $\text{Cl}(F)$ . Note also that  $\tau_2$ , the generator of  $\text{Gal}(F/k)$ , exchanges  $\mathfrak{p}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_1$ . Now, following Lemma 3.1, there is an ideal  $\mathfrak{q}$  of  $F$  and element  $\alpha \in F$  such that  $\mathfrak{p}_0 \mathfrak{p}_1^2 \mathfrak{q}^3 = (\alpha)$ . Finally, the extension  $F(\sqrt[3]{\alpha})$  is a  $C_3$ -extension of  $F$  and a Galois  $S_3$ -extension of  $k$ . Its cubic subextension is an  $S_3$ -cubic extension of  $k$ .

Likewise, if  $p^{(1)}, \dots, p^{(m)}$  are  $m$  distinct primes of  $k$  for which  $\text{Frob}_{p^{(j)}}(H_3/k) = 1$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , and each splits as  $\mathfrak{p}_0^{(j)} \mathfrak{p}_1^{(j)}$  in  $F$ , then setting  $\mathfrak{a}_0 = \prod_j \mathfrak{p}_0^{(j)}$  and  $\mathfrak{a}_1 = \prod_j \mathfrak{p}_1^{(j)}$ , there are  $\alpha \in F$  and  $\mathfrak{q}$  so  $\mathfrak{a}_0 \mathfrak{a}_1^2 \mathfrak{q}^3 = (\alpha)$  and we can obtain  $S_3$ -cubic extension as the cubic subextension of  $F(\sqrt[3]{\alpha})$ .

Suppose further that  $H_3 = F$ . Then, up to a choice of an inclusion of lifts of 3-Selmer units, this is a complete description of  $S_3$ -cubic extensions of  $k$  with fixed quadratic resolvent  $F/k$ .

**Case (B)** *Constructing  $S_3$ -cubics following condition (ii).* We will work over the rational numbers. Let  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$  and note  $\text{Cl}(F)$  is trivial, In the notation of the previous example,  $H_3 = F$ .

– Let  $p$  be a rational prime which is inert in  $F$ ; say  $p\mathcal{O}_F = \mathfrak{p}$ . The prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  is fixed by  $\tau_2$  and its ideal class is in  $\text{Cl}(F)^3$ . By Lemma 3.1, any such prime  $p$  gives an  $S_3$ -cubic extension as the appropriate subextension of  $F(\sqrt[3]{p})$ .

– Let  $p$  be a rational prime which splits completely as  $p\mathcal{O}_F = \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{p}'$  in  $F$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a}_0 = \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{a}_1 = \mathfrak{p}'$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{a}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{a}_1$  are exchanged under the action of  $\tau_2$  and satisfy the conditions of Lemma 3.1. In particular, the ideal class of  $\mathfrak{a}_0 \mathfrak{a}_1^2$  lies in  $\text{Cl}(F)^3$ . Any such prime  $p$  also gives an  $S_3$ -cubic extension as the appropriate subextension of  $F(\sqrt[3]{p})$ .

The same construction works for a base field  $k$  for which  $\zeta_3 \notin k$  and  $F = k(\zeta_3)$  subject to the constraint that  $[H_3 : F] = 1$ , where, as above,  $H_3$  is the sub-extension of the Hilbert class field of  $F$  over  $k$  whose Galois group is the cube of the relative class group of  $F/k$ .

**Case (C)** *Constructing  $S_3$ -cubics following condition (iii).* Let  $k$  be a number field and let  $F/k$  be a quadratic extension so that  $\zeta_3 \notin F$ . Set  $F_z = F(\zeta_3)$ . As in the previous example, let  $H_3$  denote the sub-extension of the Hilbert class field of  $F_z$  so that  $\text{Gal}(H_3/F_z) \simeq \text{Cl}(F_z)^3$ .

Let  $p$  be a prime of  $k$  so that  $\text{Frob}_p(H_3/k) = 1$ . Then  $p$  splits completely into two primes in  $F$ , i.e.  $p\mathcal{O}_F = \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{p}'$ . Similarly,  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}'$  split completely in  $F_z$ , say as  $\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_{F_z} = \mathfrak{P}_0\mathfrak{P}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{p}'\mathcal{O}_{F_z} = \mathfrak{P}'_0\mathfrak{P}'_1$ . Set  $\mathfrak{a}_0 = \mathfrak{P}_0\mathfrak{P}'_0$  and  $\mathfrak{a}_1 = \mathfrak{P}_1\mathfrak{P}'_1$  and note that  $\tau(\mathfrak{a}_0) = \tau_2(\mathfrak{a}_0) = \mathfrak{a}_1$ . Again following Lemma 3.1, let  $\alpha_0 \in F_z$  be the element so that  $\mathfrak{a}_0 \mathfrak{a}_1^2 \mathfrak{q}_0^3 = \alpha_0 \mathcal{O}_{F_z}$  for some ideal  $\mathfrak{q}_0$ . As before, a corresponding  $S_3$ -cubic extension of  $k$  is the cubic subfield of  $F_z(\sqrt[3]{\alpha_0})$ .

As in case (A), one could take multiple primes  $p_i$  of  $K$  so that  $\text{Frob}_{p_i}(H_3/k) = 1$ , and repeat the construction with the product of primes of  $F_z$  above  $p$ .

**Remark 3.3.** Note that case (B) does not construct all of the  $S_3$ -cubic extensions implied by condition (ii) and Lemma 3.1. However, we need the explicit description of the primes in the parameterization afforded by the additional condition that  $H_3 = F$ .

**Definition 3.4.** We call a quadratic extension  $F$  of a base field  $k$  *admissible* if they jointly meet the three rightmost conditions of any row in the following table.

Our Param.	Cohen-Morra's Cond.	$\zeta_3 \in k?$	$\zeta_3 \in F?$	Further Conditions on $F/k?$
Case (A)	(i)	Yes	Yes	None
Case (B)	(ii)	No	Yes, i.e. $F = k(\zeta_3)$	$H_3 = F$
Case (C)	(iii)	No	No	None

As before, if  $H$  is the Hilbert class field of  $F/k$ , we define  $H_3$  to be the extension intermediate to  $H$  and  $F$  such that  $\text{Gal}(H_3/F) \simeq \text{Cl}(F)/\text{Cl}(F)^3$ .

#### 4. MEASURING RANK GROWTH IN $S_3$ EXTENSIONS

We now need to understand how the rank of an elliptic curve  $E/k$  changes upon base extension to a number field  $L/k$  and how rank grows in various subextensions between  $L$  and  $k$ . We will then specialize to the case when  $L/k$  is a Galois  $S_3$ -extension, as in the previous section.

To get the desired relations, we use the results of [DD10]. Let  $L/k$  be a Galois extension of number fields and set  $G = \text{Gal}(L/k)$ . Fix an elliptic curve  $E/k$  and let  $\chi_L$  be the character of the complex representation of  $E(L) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ .

For any subgroup  $H \leq G$ , write  $\mathbf{1}_H$  for the trivial character on  $H$ . Now, for any  $K/k$  fixed by  $H \leq G$ , we have

$$\text{rk}(E/K) = \langle \text{Ind}_H^G \mathbf{1}_H, \chi_L \rangle.$$

Let  $K_i/k$  and  $K'_j/k$  be subextensions of  $L$  fixed by subgroups  $H_i \leq G$  and  $H'_j \leq G$ , respectively. If, as complex representations of  $G$ , we have

$$\bigoplus_i \text{Ind}_{H_i}^G \mathbf{1}_{H_i} = \bigoplus_j \text{Ind}_{H'_j}^G \mathbf{1}_{H'_j},$$

then

$$\sum_i \text{rk}(E(K_i)) = \sum_j \text{rk}(E(K'_j)).$$

Letting  $L/k$  be the Galois closure of an  $S_3$ -cubic extension of  $k$ , a group theory computation delivers the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $K/k$  be a an  $S_3$ -cubic extension with Galois closure  $\tilde{K}/k$  and quadratic resolvent  $F/k$ . If  $E$  is an elliptic curve over  $k$ , then*

$$\text{rk}(E(K)) - \text{rk}(E(k)) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \text{rk}(E(\tilde{K})) - \text{rk}(E(F)) \right). \quad (1)$$

**Remark 4.2.** In the previous lemma,  $\tilde{K}/F$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ -cubic extension, and  $\text{rk}(E(\tilde{K})) - \text{rk}(E(F))$  is the rank of the 2-dimensional abelian variety obtained by taking the kernel of the Weil restriction map  $\text{Res}_F^{\tilde{K}} E \rightarrow E$ . We will consider these and related varieties in the following sections.

**4.1. Useful abelian varieties and their prime Selmer groups.** Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over  $k$ . In the spirit of [MR07], we construct a 4-dimensional abelian variety whose algebraic rank of  $k$ -rational points can be utilized to understand rank growth of  $E$  with respect to an  $S_3$ -cubic  $K/k$ . As in previous sections,  $K/k$  denotes an  $S_3$ -cubic extension with Galois closure  $\tilde{K}/k$ , which in turn has a unique quadratic subfield  $F/k$ , i.e. its quadratic resolvent.

**Definition 4.3.** Define a 4-dimensional abelian variety over  $k$  by

$$B_{K/k} := \text{Ker} \left( \text{N}_F^{\tilde{K}} : \text{Res}_k^{\tilde{K}} E \rightarrow \text{Res}_k^F E \right), \quad (2)$$

where  $\text{N}_F^{\tilde{K}} : \tilde{K} \rightarrow F$  is the field norm map.

The variety  $B_{K/k}$  depends on  $F$ . Also, note  $B_{K/k}$  corresponds to the isotypic component of the 2-dimensional standard representation of  $S_3$  of the 6-dimensional abelian variety  $\text{Res}_k^{\tilde{K}} E$  over  $k$ . Hence, it is the case that  $\text{rk}(B_{K/k}(k)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ .

For  $F = k(\sqrt{\alpha})$ , let  $E_F$  denote the quadratic twist of  $E/k$  by  $\alpha$ . Similar to the above, one obtains the relation

$$\text{rk}(E(\tilde{K})) = \text{rk}(E(K)) + \text{rk}(E_F(k)) + \text{rk}(B_{K/k}(k)). \quad (3)$$

Above, each summand on the right hand side corresponds to isotypic components given by the trivial representation, the 1-dimensional sign representation, and the 2-dimensional standard representation of  $S_3$ . Using Lemma 4.1, we obtain

$$\text{rk}(E(K)) - \text{rk}(E(k)) = \frac{1}{2} \text{rk}(B_{K/k}(k)). \quad (4)$$

Pick an order 3 element  $\sigma_K \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/F)$ . Because  $\text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/F)$  is a unique normal subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/k)$ , the element  $\sigma_K$  is an endomorphism of  $B_{K/k}$ . In lieu of [MR07, Proposition 4.1], we

apply [Par24, Proposition 4.2.4] to give the following description of  $1 - \sigma_K$  torsion subgroup of  $B_{K/k}$ .

**Proposition 4.4.** *There exists a  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -equivariant isomorphism*

$$B_{K/k}[1 - \sigma_K] \cong \left( \text{Res}_k^F E \right) [3] \cong \text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/F)}^{\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)} E[3]$$

*Proof.* The proposition can be deduced from taking Weil restriction  $\text{Res}_k^F$  on both sides of the Galois-equivariant isomorphism stated in [MR07, Proposition 4.1]. To make the paper self contained, we also present a different strategy of the proof.<sup>1</sup> We first propose an equivalent construction of  $B_{K/k}$ . Consider the coordinate addition map  $\mathbb{Z}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  by  $(x_i)_{i=1}^3 \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^3 x_i$ , and denote its kernel by  $S(3)$ . We then have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S(3) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0. \quad (5)$$

Given a fixed elliptic curve  $E$  over a global field  $k$ , let  $B_E$  be the 4-dimensional abelian variety over  $k$  defined as

$$B_E := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(S(3), S(3)), E). \quad (6)$$

Note that  $B_E$  is an  $S_3$ -bimodule, and  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(B_E) \supset \mathbb{Z}[S_3]$ . Let  $\psi : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(B_E)$  be a morphism which factors as

$$\psi : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/k) \cong S_3 \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(B_E). \quad (7)$$

By construction, the twist of  $B_E$  by  $\psi$ , denoted as  $B_E^\psi$ , is isomorphic to  $B_{K/k}$  as abelian varieties over  $k$ . Note that given any  $\tau \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ , the element  $\psi(\tau)$  acts on  $B_E$  by multiplying the elements of  $B_E$  on the left via matrix multiplication.

As a  $2 \times 2$  matrix over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , the representations of  $\sigma_K \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/F)$  and  $1 - \sigma_K$  are given by

$$\sigma_K := \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - \sigma_K := \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

The left exactness of the  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\cdot, E)$  functor implies

$$B_E^\psi[1 - \sigma_K] \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}} \left( \frac{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(S(3), S(3))}{(1 - \sigma_K)\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(S(3), S(3))}, E \right) \quad (9)$$

as  $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ -modules, where  $\psi$  induces the action of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$  by left multiplication with elements in  $S_3 \cong \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ . But notice that

$$\frac{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(S(3), S(3))}{(1 - \sigma_K)\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(S(3), S(3))} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}. \quad (10)$$

Here, the non-trivial order-3 element  $\sigma_K \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/k)$  acts trivially on the module  $(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$ , whereas  $\tau_2 \in \text{Gal}(F/k)$  acts as an involution on the module  $(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$  by swapping the coordinates. This implies that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}} \left( \frac{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(S(3), S(3))}{(1 - \sigma_K)\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(S(3), S(3))}, E \right) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}((\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}, E) \cong (\text{Res}_k^F E)[3]. \quad (11)$$

Because given any  $\tau \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ ,  $\psi$  acts as an automorphism on  $(\text{Res}_k^F E)[3]$ , we obtain the desired  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -equivariant isomorphism  $B_{K/k}[1 - \sigma_K] \cong (\text{Res}_k^F E)[3]$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.5.** The multiplication by 3 map  $\times 3 : B_{K/k} \rightarrow B_{K/k}$  can be factored as the composition  $(1 - \sigma_K) \circ (1 - \sigma_K)$ . This can be seen from the fact that the minimal polynomial of  $1 - \sigma_K$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$  is given by  $\lambda^2 + 3(\lambda + 1) = 0$ .

<sup>1</sup>The authors thank Jordan Ellenberg for proposing a generalized version of this construction.

Using the isogeny  $1 - \sigma_K : B_{K/k} \rightarrow B_{K/k}$ , one can obtain the following short exact sequence of Galois cohomology groups over  $k$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \frac{B_{K/k}(k)}{(1-\sigma_K)B_{K/k}(k)} & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, B_{K/k}[1-\sigma_K]) & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, B_{K/k}[1-\sigma_K]) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \prod_v \text{res}_v & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \prod_v \frac{B_{K/k}(k_v)}{(1-\sigma_K)B_{K/k}(k_v)} & \xrightarrow{\prod_v \delta_v} & \prod_v H^1(k_v, B_{K/k}[1-\sigma_K]) & \longrightarrow & \prod_v H^1(k_v, B_{K/k}[1-\sigma_K]) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

As in Section 2 and Definition 2.1, the  $(1 - \sigma_K)$ -Selmer group of  $B_{K/k}$  is given by

$$\text{Sel}_{1-\sigma_K}(B_{K/k}/k) := \{c \in H^1(k, B_{K/k}[1-\sigma_K]) : \prod_v \text{res}_v(c) \in \prod_v H_f^1(k_v, B_{K/k}[1-\sigma_K])\}. \quad (12)$$

Proposition 4.4 implies, for any collections of  $S_3$ -cubics extensions  $\{K/k\}$  with *fixed* quadratic resolvent field  $F/K$ , that

$$\text{Sel}_{1-\sigma_K}(B_{K/k}/k) \subset H^1(k, (\text{Res}_k^F E)[3]) \cong H^1(F, E[3]), \quad (13)$$

where the isomorphism follows from Shapiro's lemma. A standard argument using Galois cohomology groups demonstrate that  $\text{Sel}_{1-\sigma_K}(B_{K/k}/k)$  is a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_3$ -vector space. Furthermore, one has the relation

$$\text{rk}(E(K)) - \text{rk}(E(k)) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{Sel}_{1-\sigma_K}(B_{K/k}/k). \quad (14)$$

This follows the following equation by 2, which is obtainable from [MR07, Proposition 6.3]:

$$\text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_3[\zeta_3]} \text{Sel}_{3^\infty}(B_{K/k}/k) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{Sel}_{1-\sigma_K}(B_{K/k}/k). \quad (15)$$

**4.2. Local conditions defining the desired prime Selmer groups.** The local conditions that define  $\text{Sel}_{1-\sigma_K}(B_{K/k}/k)$  depend on the decomposition of prime ideals of  $k$  over in quadratic resolvent field  $F/k$ . To identify the differences in such local conditions, we introduce the notion of coordinate-wise maximal isotropic subspaces of a direct sum of quadratic vector spaces over finite fields. The results stated here are for our particular  $S_3$  extensions; a flexible, general version can be found in the PhD thesis of the second author [Par24, Section 4.3].

**Definition 4.6.** Let  $q : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$  be a non-degenerate quadratic form on a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -vector space  $V$ . For any  $n \geq 1$ , one obtains a non-degenerate quadratic form  $q^{\oplus n} : V^{\oplus n} \times V^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^{\oplus n}$ . For each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , denote by  $\pi_i : V^{\oplus n} \rightarrow V$  the projection morphism to the  $i$ -th coordinate. We say that a subspace  $W \subset V^{\oplus n}$  is *coordinate-wise Lagrangian* if the following two conditions hold for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

- (1) The subspace  $\pi_i(W) \subset V$  is a maximal isotropic subspace of  $V$  with respect to the quadratic form  $q$ .
- (2) The quadratic form  $q$  over  $V$  is trivial over  $\pi_i(W)$ .

We identify the local conditions which defines  $\text{Sel}_{1-\sigma_3}(B_{K/k}/k)$  at primes  $v$  of  $k$  depends on the splitting behavior of  $v$  with respect to the quadratic extension  $F/k$ .

**Example 4.7** (Split primes over  $F/k$ ). Suppose the place  $v$  of  $k$  is unramified over  $F/k$  and factorizes over  $\mathcal{O}_F$  as  $(v) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \mathfrak{p}_2$ . Assume further that  $v$  is not a place of bad reduction of  $E$ . Then  $F_v \cong F_{\mathfrak{p}_1} \oplus F_{\mathfrak{p}_2} \cong k_v \oplus k_v$  and

$$H^1(k_v, (\text{Res}_k^F E)[3]) \cong H^1(k_v, E[3])^{\oplus 2}. \quad (16)$$

The Weil pairing  $E[3] \times E[3] \rightarrow \mu_3$  induces a coordinate-wise symmetric pairing

$$H^1(k_v, (\text{Res}_k^F E)[3]) \times H^1(k_v, (\text{Res}_k^F E)[3]) \rightarrow \mu_3^{\oplus 2}. \quad (17)$$

Denote by  $q_v := q_{\mathfrak{p}_1} \oplus q_{\mathfrak{p}_2} : H^1(k_v, (\text{Res}_k^F E)[3]) \rightarrow \mu_3^{\oplus 2}$  the quadratic form induced from the coordinate-wise symmetric pairing. If we base change the fixed elliptic curve  $E$  to be defined over  $F$ , then we can define the 2-dimensional abelian variety over  $F$ , denoted as  $A_{\tilde{K}/F}$ , to be given by

$$A_{\tilde{K}/F} := \text{Ker} \left( N_F^{\tilde{K}} : \text{Res}_F^{\tilde{K}} E \rightarrow E \right). \quad (18)$$

Then it follows from [MR07, Section 4] and [PR12, Section 4] that both images of the morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{loc}_v : H^1(k, \text{Res}_k^F E[3]) &\cong H^1(F, E[3]) \rightarrow H^1(F_{\mathfrak{p}_1}, E[3]) \oplus H^1(F_{\mathfrak{p}_2}, E[3]) \cong H^1(k_v, E[3])^{\oplus 2} \\ \text{and } \delta_v : \frac{B_{K/k}(k_v)}{(1 - \sigma_K)B_{K/k}(k_v)} &\cong \frac{A_{\tilde{K}/F}(F_{\mathfrak{p}_1})}{(1 - \sigma_K)A_{\tilde{K}/F}(F_{\mathfrak{p}_1})} \oplus \frac{A_{\tilde{K}/F}(F_{\mathfrak{p}_2})}{(1 - \sigma_K)A_{\tilde{K}/F}(F_{\mathfrak{p}_2})} \rightarrow H^1(k_v, E[3])^{\oplus 2} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

are coordinate-wise Lagrangian subspaces of  $H^1(K_v, (\text{Res}_K^M E)[3])$ . That is, each coordinate is a maximal isotropic subspace  $V$  of  $H^1(K_v, E[3])$  such that  $q_v|_V = 0$ . The first map  $\text{loc}_v$  is a direct product of two localization maps  $\text{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}_1} \oplus \text{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$ ; the second map  $\delta_v$  is a direct product of two local Kummer maps  $\delta_{\mathfrak{p}_1} \oplus \delta_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$ . Since  $k_v \cong F_{\mathfrak{p}_1} \cong F_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$ , it follows that the images of the local Kummer maps  $\delta_{\mathfrak{p}_1}$  and  $\delta_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$  have to be identical to each other. In particular, if  $v$  is a place of good reduction of  $B_{K/k}$ , then the unramified cohomology group of  $H^1(k_v, \text{Res}_k^F E[3])$  is given by

$$H_f^1(k_v, B_{K/k}[1 - \sigma_K]) = H_{ur}^1(k_v, \text{Res}_k^F E[3]) = H_{ur}^1(k_v, E[3])^{\oplus 2}. \quad (20)$$

We note that [KMR14, Section 3] shows that the number of ramified coordinate-wise Lagrangian subspaces of  $H^1(k_v, \text{Res}_k^F E[3])$  can be characterized as follows. Here, any Lagrangian subspace  $V \subset H^1(k_v, \text{Res}_k^F E[3])$  is ramified if  $V \neq H_{ur}^1(k_v, \text{Res}_k^F E[3])$ . We hence have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} E[3](k_v) = i \iff \#\{\text{Coordinate-wise Ramified Lagrangian Subspaces}\} = \lceil 3^{2(i-1)} \rceil. \quad (21)$$

Among the ramified coordinate-wise Lagrangian subspaces of  $H^1(k_v, E[3])^{\oplus 2}$ , only  $3^{i-1}$  of them can occur as the restricted cohomology group  $H_f^1(k_v, B_{K/k}[1 - \sigma_K])$ .

**Example 4.8** (Inert or ramified primes over  $F/k$ ). Now we suppose the place  $v$  of  $k$  is inert or ramified over  $F/k$ . Assume further that  $v$  is not a place of bad reduction of  $E$ . Then  $F_v$  is an unramified (or respectively ramified) quadratic extension of  $k_v$ . Shapiro's lemma implies that

$$H^1(k_v, (\text{Res}_k^F E)[3]) \cong H^1(F_v, E[3]), \quad (22)$$

and the Weil pairing  $E[3] \times E[3] \rightarrow \mu_3$  over  $F_v$  (not over  $k_v$ ) induces a symmetric pairing

$$H^1(k_v, \text{Res}_k^F E[3]) \times H^1(k_v, \text{Res}_k^F E[3]) \rightarrow \mu_3. \quad (23)$$

As before, denote by  $q_v : H^1(k_v, \text{Res}_k^F E[3])$  the quadratic form induced from the coordinate-wise symmetric pairing. By [MR07, Section 4] and [PR12, Section 4], we obtain that both images of the morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{loc}_v : H^1(k, \text{Res}_k^F E[3]) &\cong H^1(F, E[3]) \rightarrow H^1(F_v, E[3]) \\ \text{and } \delta_v : \frac{B_{K/k}(k_v)}{(1 - \sigma_K)B_{K/k}(k_v)} &\cong \frac{A_{\tilde{K}/F}(F_v)}{(1 - \sigma_K)A_{\tilde{K}/F}(F_v)} \rightarrow H^1(F_v, E[3]) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

are Lagrangian subspaces of  $H^1(k_v, (\text{Res}_k^F E)[3])$ . As shown in [KMR14, Section 3], the number of ramified coordinate-wise Lagrangian subspaces of  $H^1(k_v, \text{Res}_k^F E[3])$  can be characterized as follows:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} E[3](k_v) = i \iff \#\{\text{Coordinate-wise Ramified Lagrangian Subspaces}\} = \lceil 3^{i-1} \rceil. \quad (25)$$

All of these subspaces arise from restricted cohomology groups  $H_f^1(k_v, B_{K/k}[1 - \sigma_K])$  for some  $S_3$ -cubic extension  $K/k$  and its associated 4-dimensional abelian variety  $B_{K/k}$ .

**Remark 4.9.** From both examples, we observe that regardless of whether the place  $v$  of  $k$  is split, inert, or ramified over  $F/k$ , as long as  $v$  is a place of good reduction of  $E$  over  $k$ , we obtain

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} E[3](k_v) = i \iff \#\{\text{Coordinate-wise Ramified Lagrangian Subspaces of form } H_f^1(k_v, B_{K/k}[1 - \sigma_K])\} = \lceil 3^{i-1} \rceil. \quad (26)$$

The above equivalence relation can be formulated as follows.

- If  $i = 0$ , then  $H^1(k_v, \text{Res}_k^F E[3])$  is trivial, and changes in local conditions at such places  $v$  do not affect the cardinality of  $\text{Sel}_{1-\sigma_K}(B_{K/k}/k)$ .
- If  $i = 1$ , then  $H^1(k_v, \text{Res}_k^F E[3])$  has a unique ramified coordinate-wise Lagrangian subspace that is equal to  $H_f^1(k_v, B_{K/k}[1 - \sigma_K])$  for some  $K/k$ .
- If  $i = 2$ , then  $H^1(k_v, \text{Res}_k^F E[3])$  has three ramified coordinate-wise Lagrangian subspaces that are equal to  $H_f^1(k_v, B_{K/k}[1 - \sigma_K])$  for some  $K/k$ , each of which arises from three ramified order-3 local characters  $\omega : \text{Gal}(\overline{k_v}/k_v) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  over the local field  $k_v$ .

We also obtain an analogue of Poonen-Rains heuristics which states that the intersection of two maximal isotropic subspaces characterizes the dimension of  $(1 - \sigma_K)$ -Selmer group of  $B_{K/k}$ .

**Proposition 4.10.** *Consider the following diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H^1(k, (\text{Res}_k^F E)[3]) & \\ & \downarrow & \\ \prod_v \frac{B_{K/k}(k_v)}{(1-\sigma_K)B_{K/k}(k_v)} & \longrightarrow & \prod_v \text{place of } k H^1(k_v, (\text{Res}_k^F E)[3]). \end{array} \quad (27)$$

- (1) For each place  $v$  of  $k$ , the images of the horizontal and vertical maps are coordinate-wise Lagrangian subspaces term with respect to the quadratic form  $q_v$ .
- (2) The intersection of the images of the horizontal and vertical maps are isomorphic to  $\text{Sel}_{1-\sigma_K}(B_{K/k}/k)$ .

*Proof.* The fact that the image of the horizontal map is a maximal isotropic subspace of the lower-right term follows from [MR07, Proposition 4.4]. The fact that the vertical map is a maximal isotropic subspace can be obtained from adapting the proof of [PR12, Theorem 4.13], where the result follows from the 9-term Poitou-Tate sequence.  $\square$

## 5. MARKOV CHAINS AND RANKS

**5.1. Preliminary results.** Recall from the previous section that given a cyclic  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ -extension  $K/k$ , one can define the 2-dimensional abelian variety

$$A_{K/k} := \text{Ker}(N_k^K : \text{Res}_k^K E \rightarrow E)$$

and using the cyclic generator  $\sigma_K \in \text{Gal}(K/k)$ , the Selmer group  $\text{Sel}_{1-\sigma_K}(A_{K/k}/k)$  governs the rank growth of  $E$  with respect to the extension  $K/k$ . The dimensions of these Selmer groups can be understood from constructing what are called the local Selmer structures. These are characterized as a collection of finite dimensional vector sub-spaces of  $H^1(k, E[3])$  and are heavily utilized in [MR07, KMR14]. The goal of this section is to introduce relevant notations and key results regarding local Selmer structures as outlined in [KMR14], which will serve as backbone for understanding the dimensions of  $\text{Sel}_{1-\sigma_K}(B_{K/k}/k)$  as  $K/k$  varies over  $S_3$ -cubic extensions.

Before starting the next definition, we again note that  $k$  is the base field,  $F/k$  is a quadratic extension (and will be the quadratic resolvent of  $S_3$ -cubic extensions of  $k$ ), and by assumption the elliptic curve  $E$  is such that  $k(E[3])$  and  $F$  are linearly disjoint over  $k$ .

**Definition 5.1.** We define a set of square-free products of primes of  $F$  corresponding to cases (A), (B), (C) with conditions on the primes as appearing in Remark 3.2,

- *A set of primes for case (A).*  $k$  is a number field such that  $\zeta_3 \in k$ ,  $F/k$  is a quadratic extension,  $H_3$  is the subextension of the Hilbert class field of  $F$  for which  $\text{Gal}(H_3/F) \simeq \text{Cl}(F)^3$ . Define

$$\mathcal{D}^A := \left\{ \mathfrak{d} = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i \mathcal{O}_F : p_i \text{ a prime of } k \text{ such that } \text{Frob}_{p_i}(FH_3/k) = 1, \text{Frob}_{p_i}(k(E[3])/k) \neq 1 \right\}.$$

- *A set of primes for case (B).* Here  $k = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$ , and so the Hilbert class field of  $F$  is trivial.

$$\mathcal{D}^B := \left\{ \mathfrak{d} = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i \mathcal{O}_F : p_i \text{ a prime of } k \text{ such that } \text{Frob}_{p_i}(k(E[3])/k) \neq 1 \right\}.$$

- *A set of primes for case (C).* Here  $k$  is any number field,  $F/k$  is a quadratic extension for which  $\zeta_3 \notin F$ ,  $F_z = F(\zeta_3)$ , and  $H_3$  is the subextension of the Hilbert class field of  $F_z$  for which  $\text{Gal}(H_3/F_z) \simeq \text{Cl}(F_z)^3$ . Define

$$\mathcal{D}^C := \left\{ \mathfrak{d} = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i \mathcal{O}_F : p_i \text{ a prime of } k \text{ such that } \text{Frob}_{p_i}(k(E[3])/k) \neq 1 \text{ and } \text{Frob}_{p_i}(H_3/k) = 1 \right\}.$$

- Define  $\mathcal{P}_i^k$  to be the set of places of  $k$  such that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} E[3](k_v) = i$ .
- Define  $\mathcal{D}$  to be square-free products of primes supported on  $\mathcal{P}_1^k \cup \mathcal{P}_2^k$ .
- We write  $\mathcal{D}^*$  in the results that follow to indicate that any fixed choice of  $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^A, \mathcal{D}^B$ , or  $\mathcal{D}^C$  may be taken in place of  $\mathcal{D}^*$ .

**Remark 5.2.** Results below are concerned with working out the local conditions of Selmer groups at places supported by elements of  $\mathcal{D}^*$  and can be worked out for a choice  $\mathcal{D}^*$  taken to be  $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^A, \mathcal{D}^B$ , or  $\mathcal{D}^C$ . Since we are concerned with the parameterizations of  $S_3$ -cubic extensions, we will only specialize to the cases that  $\mathcal{D}^*$  is  $\mathcal{D}^A, \mathcal{D}^B$ , or  $\mathcal{D}^C$ . For the case  $\mathcal{D}^* = \mathcal{D}$ , see the final sections of [KMR14].

Next we define the sets of primes on which the ideals in  $\mathcal{D}^*$  are supported.

**Definition 5.3.** Fix a quadratic extension  $F/k$ . Define the following sets of primes,

$$\mathcal{P}_i^{F,*} := \mathcal{P}_i^F \cap \mathcal{D}^*,$$

i.e., the primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $F$  in the support of elements of  $\mathcal{D}^*$  for which the  $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  on  $E[3]$  has  $3^{2-i}$  fixed points (the latter being equivalent to  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} E[3]/(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} - 1) = i$ ).

Further, define

$$\mathcal{P}_i^{k,*} := \{p = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathcal{O}_k \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}_i^F \cap \mathcal{D}^*\}$$

to be the primes of  $k$  below primes of  $\mathcal{P}_i^{F,*}$ .

As with  $\mathcal{D}^*$ , we will express results below for the appropriate  $\mathcal{P}_i^{k,*}$  which are applicable for  $\mathcal{P}_i^{k,A}, \mathcal{P}_i^{k,B}, \mathcal{P}_i^{k,C}$ .

**Definition 5.4.** Let  $v$  be a place of  $k$ , and let  $E$  be a fixed elliptic curve over  $k$ . We introduce the following notations which are introduced in [KMR14].

- $\Sigma$ : A finite set of places of  $k$  containing places of bad reduction of  $E$ , places above  $(3) \subset \mathbb{Z}$ , all Archimedean places of  $k$ , and places dividing any one of the elements  $u$  in  $S_3(F_z)[T]$ , the set of virtual 3-Selmer units of  $F_z$ .
- $\mathfrak{d}$ : A square-free product of places of  $k$ .
- $\Sigma(\mathfrak{d})$ : A finite set of places of  $k$  containing places dividing  $\omega$  and places in  $\Sigma$ .
- $\mathcal{C}(k)$ : The set of characters

$$\mathcal{C}(k) := \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k), \mu_3). \quad (28)$$

- $\mathcal{C}_{ram}(k)$ : The set of ramified  $\mu_3$ -characters of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$  in  $\mathcal{C}(k)$ .
- $k(E[3])$ : The minimal Galois extension of  $k$  over which all the 3-torsion points of  $E$  are rational.
- $k_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}$ : The fixed field of  $\bigcap_{c \in \text{Sel}(E[3],\omega)_k} \text{Ker}(c : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k(E[3])) \rightarrow E[3])$ , see (47) and (46)
- $\text{Res}_k(E[3])$ : The map given by the composition

$$H^1(k, E[3]) \rightarrow H^1(k(E[2]), E[3]) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Hom}(G_{k(E[3])}, E[2])^{\text{Gal}(k(E[3])/k)}.$$

- $\Omega_{\mathfrak{d}}$ : A set of Cartesian products of local characters

$$\Omega_{\mathfrak{d}} := \prod_{v \in \Sigma} \mathcal{C}(k_v) \times \prod_{v | \mathfrak{d}} \mathcal{C}_{ram}(k_v). \quad (29)$$

- $\Omega_{\mathfrak{d}}^S$ : Given a subset  $S \subset \prod_{v \in \Sigma} \mathcal{C}(k_v)$ , it is a subset of Cartesian products of local characters

$$\Omega_{\mathfrak{d}}^S := S \times \prod_{v | \mathfrak{d}} \mathcal{C}_{ram}(k_v) \subset \Omega_{\mathfrak{d}}. \quad (30)$$

- $i_{\mathfrak{d}} : \Omega_{\mathfrak{d}} \rightarrow \prod_{v \text{ place of } k} \mathcal{C}(k_v)$ : The inclusion map of characters such that  $\omega_v$  is unramified if  $v \notin \Sigma(\mathfrak{d})$ .
- $\eta_{\mathfrak{d},v} : \Omega_{\mathfrak{d}v} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{d}}$ : The projection map, if  $\mathfrak{d}v \in \mathcal{D}$ .
- $\omega_v$ : A local character in  $\mathcal{C}(k_v)$ .
- $A_{K/k}^{\omega_v}$ : A 2-dimensional abelian variety over  $k_v$  obtained from twisting  $A_{K/k}$  by a local character  $\omega_v \in \mathcal{C}(k_v)$ .
- $\mathcal{H}_{\omega_v}$ : The restricted cohomology group obtained from the image of the local Kummer map induced from twisting by the local character  $\omega_v \in \mathcal{C}(k_v)$ :

$$\mathcal{H}_{\omega_v} := \text{Im} \left( \frac{A_{K/k}^{\omega_v}(k_v)}{(1 - \sigma_K)A_{K/k}^{\omega_v}(k_v)} \rightarrow H^1(k_v, E[3]) \right). \quad (31)$$

It is not hard to show that  $\mathcal{H}_{\omega_v}$  is a Lagrangian subspace of  $H^1(k_v, E[3])$ , and that there exists a cyclic  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  extension  $K/k$  such that  $\mathcal{H}_{\omega_v} = H_f^1(k_v, A_{K/k}[1 - \sigma_K])$ . In particular if  $v \in \Sigma(w)$ , then  $\mathcal{H}_{\omega_v}$  is coordinate-wise ramified.

- $\mathcal{H}_{\omega}$ : A Cartesian product of restricted cohomology groups  $\mathcal{H}_{\omega} := (\mathcal{H}_{\omega_v})_{v \text{ place of } k}$  obtained from  $\omega \in i_{\mathfrak{d}}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{d}})$ .

**Definition 5.5** (Local Selmer structure).

- (1) Let  $\mathcal{H} := (\mathcal{H}_v)_{v \text{ place of } k}$  be a Cartesian product of Lagrangian subspaces  $\mathcal{H}_v \subset H^1(k_v, E[3])$ . The local Selmer structure of  $E[3]$  associated to  $\mathcal{H}$  is defined as

$$\text{Sel}(E[3], \mathcal{H})_k := \{c \in H^1(k, E[3]) : \prod_v \text{res}_v(c) \in \prod_v \mathcal{H}_v\}. \quad (32)$$

- (2) Given a choice of a square-free product  $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$  and a Cartesian product of local characters  $\omega \in i_{\mathfrak{d}}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{d}})$ , the local Selmer group of  $E[3]$  associated to  $\omega$  is defined as

$$\text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_k := \text{Sel}(E[3], \mathcal{H}_{\omega})_k = \{c \in H^1(k, E[3]) : \prod_v \text{res}_v(c) \in \prod_v \mathcal{H}_{\omega_v}\}. \quad (33)$$

- (3) Given a character  $\omega \in i_{\mathfrak{d}}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{d}})$ , we denote by  $\text{rk}(\omega)$  the dimension

$$\text{rk}(\omega) := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_k. \quad (34)$$

**Definition 5.6.** Suppose that  $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\omega \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{d}}$ . If  $v \in \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_2$  and  $v \nmid \mathfrak{d}$ , we denote by

$$t_{\omega}(v) := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{Im} \left( \text{loc}_v : \text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_k \rightarrow H_{ur}^1(k_v, E[3]) \right). \quad (35)$$

where  $\text{loc}_v$  denotes the localization map with respect to the place  $v$ .

The differences between the dimensions of local Selmer structures constructed from two Cartesian product of Lagrangian subspaces  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{H}'$  that only differ at a single place  $v$  can be obtained from the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.7** (Proposition 7.2 of [KMR14]). *Suppose that  $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega_\delta$ , and  $v \in \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_2$  such that  $v \nmid \mathfrak{d}$ . Let  $\omega' \in \eta_{\mathfrak{d},v}^{-1}(\omega) \subset \Omega_{\mathfrak{d}v}$ .*

(1)  $|\eta_{\mathfrak{d},v}^{-1}(\omega)| = 6$

(2) *Suppose that  $v \in \mathcal{P}_1$ . Then  $0 \leq t(v) \leq 1$  and*

$$\text{rk}(\omega') = \begin{cases} \text{rk}(\omega) - 1 & \text{if } t_\omega(v) = 1 \\ \text{rk}(\omega) + 1 & \text{if } t_\omega(v) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (36)$$

(3) *Suppose that  $v \in \mathcal{P}_2$ . Then  $0 \leq t(v) \leq 2$  and*

$$\text{rk}(\omega') = \begin{cases} \text{rk}(\omega) - 2 & \text{if } t_\omega(v) = 2 \\ \text{rk}(\omega) & \text{if } t_\omega(v) = 1 \\ \text{rk}(\omega) + 2 & \text{if } t_\omega(v) = 0 \text{ for exactly 2 of } \omega' \in \eta_{\mathfrak{d},v}^{-1}(\omega) \\ \text{rk}(\omega) & \text{if } t_\omega(v) = 0 \text{ for the other 4 of } \omega' \in \eta_{\mathfrak{d},v}^{-1}(\omega). \end{cases} \quad (37)$$

The above proposition demonstrates that differences in dimensions of local Selmer structures can be obtained from understanding differences in local conditions as  $t(v)$  ranges over the set of places  $v$  where the local conditions differ. The probability that  $t(v)$  is equal to 0, 1, 2 can be obtained as a Chebotarev density theoretic statement using the following two propositions.

**Proposition 5.8** (Proposition 9.3 of [KMR14]). *Suppose  $E$  is an elliptic curve over  $k$  such that  $\text{Gal}(k(E[3])/k) \supset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ . Denote by  $k_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}$  be the Galois extension of  $k$  defined as*

$$k_{\mathfrak{d},\omega} = \text{Fixed field of } \bigcap_{c \in \text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_k} \text{Ker}(c : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k(E[3])) \rightarrow E[3]). \quad (38)$$

where we identify elements of  $\text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_k$  to lie in  $H^1(k(E[3]), E[3])$  via the inflation-restriction sequence of Galois cohomology groups. Then for every  $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\omega \in \Omega_\delta$ :

(1) *There exists a  $\text{Gal}(k(E[3])/k)$  module isomorphism  $\text{Gal}(k_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k(E[3])) \cong E[3]^{\text{rk}(\omega)}$ .*

(2) *The map  $\text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_k \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k(E[3])), E[3])$  induces isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_k &\cong \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(k_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k(E[3])), E[3])^{\text{Gal}(k(E[3])/k)}, \\ \text{Gal}(k_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k(E[3])) &\cong \text{Hom}(\text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_k, E[3]). \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

(3) *The extension  $k_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k$  is unramified away from places dividing  $\Sigma(\mathfrak{d})$ .*

Before we can state some result on Markov operators governing variations of Selmer ranks, we need to first give an effective Chebotarev density theorem from [KMR14] which can be used in giving rates of convergence for Markov operators given later in this section and also understanding the frequency with which “random” Selmer structures have  $\mathbb{F}_3$ -dimensions of various size after localization.

**Theorem 5.9** (An Effective Chebotarev Density Theorem, Theorem 8.1 of [KMR14]). *There is a nondecreasing function  $\mathcal{L} : [1, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  such that for*

- every  $Y \geq 1$ ,
- every  $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$  with  $N\mathfrak{d} < Y$ ,
- every Galois extension  $L/k$  that is abelian of exponent  $p$  over  $k(E[3])$  and unramified outside of  $\Sigma(\mathfrak{d})$ ,
- every pair of subset  $S, S' \subset \text{Gal}(L/K)$  stable under conjugation with  $S$  non-empty, and
- every  $X > \mathcal{L}(Y)$ ,

we have

$$\left| \frac{|\{\mathfrak{q} \notin \Sigma(\mathfrak{d}) : \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{q} \leq X, \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}(L/k) \in S'\}|}{|\{\mathfrak{q} \notin \Sigma(\mathfrak{d}) : \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{q} \leq X, \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}(L/k) \in S\}|} - \frac{|S'|}{|S|} \right| \leq \frac{1}{Y}. \quad (40)$$

Further,  $\{\mathfrak{q} \notin \Sigma(\mathfrak{d}) : \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{q} \leq X, \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}(L/k) \in S\}$  is nonempty.

**Proposition 5.10** (Proposition 9.4 of [KMR14]). *Fix  $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\omega \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{d}}$ . For every  $\mathfrak{q} \notin \Sigma(\mathfrak{d})$ , define*

$$t_{\omega}(\mathfrak{q}) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3}(\text{loc}_{\mathfrak{q}}\text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_k). \quad (41)$$

Let  $c_{i,j}$  be given by the entries in the following table:

	$j = 0$	$j = 1$	$j = 2$
$i = 1$	$3^{-\text{rk}(\omega)}$	$1 - 3^{-\text{rk}(\omega)}$	$0$
$i = 2$	$3^{-2\text{rk}(\omega)}$	$4(3^{-\text{rk}(\omega)} - 3^{-2\text{rk}(\omega)})$	$1 - 4 \cdot 3^{-\text{rk}(\omega)} + 3^{1-2\text{rk}(\omega)}$

Then for  $i = 2$  and  $j = 0, 1, 2$ ,

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_i(X) \mid \mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{d}, t(\mathfrak{q}) = j\}}{\#\{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_i(X) \mid \mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{d}\}} = c_{i,j}; \quad (42)$$

further, if  $\mathcal{L}$  is a function satisfying Theorem 5.9, then for every  $Y > \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{d}$  and every  $X > \mathcal{L}(Y)$  we have

$$\left| \frac{\#\{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_i(X) \mid \mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{d}, t(\mathfrak{q}) = j\}}{\#\{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_i(X) \mid \mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{d}\}} - c_{i,j} \right| \leq \frac{1}{Y}. \quad (43)$$

Finally, if it also the case  $3 \mid [K(E[3]) : K]$ , then (42) and (43) are also true for  $i = 1$  and  $j = 0, 1, 2$ .

**Proposition 5.11** (Proposition 9.5 of [KMR14]). *For every subset  $S \subset \Omega_1$ , the mod 3 Lagrangian Markov operator  $M_L = [m_{r,s}]$ , where*

$$m_{r,s} = \begin{cases} 1 - 3^{-r} & \text{if } s = r - 1 \geq 0 \\ 3^{-r} & \text{if } s = r + 1 \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

on the space

$$\left\{ \text{maps } f : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \|f\| := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} |f(n)| \text{ converges} \right\}$$

governs the rank data  $\Omega^S$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  in that

$$m_{\text{rk}(\omega), s}^{(i)} = \frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_i(X) - \delta} \left| \left\{ \chi \in \eta_{\delta, \mathfrak{q}}^{-1}(\omega) : \text{rk}(\chi) = s \right\} \right|}{\sum_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_i(X) - \delta} \left| \left\{ \eta_{\delta, \mathfrak{q}}^{-1}(\omega) \right\} \right|} \quad (44)$$

where  $M^i = [m_{r,s}^{(i)}]$ .

Moreover, every function  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfying Theorem 5.9 is a convergence rate for  $(\Omega^S, M_L)$ .

**5.2. Controlling Localizations via Corestrictions.** For a number field  $L$  and an elliptic curve  $E/L$ , write  $\mathcal{P}_j^L$  be the primes  $p$  of  $L$  for which  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} H_{ur}^1(L_p, E[3]) = j$ . We first prove the following results that hold for any set of square-free elements  $\mathcal{D}$  and set of primes  $\mathcal{P}_j^k$ . As such, we do not yet make the choice of  $\mathcal{D}^*$  and the according set of primes  $\mathcal{P}_j^{k,*}$ .

**Lemma 5.12.** *Suppose that  $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\omega \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{d}}$ . Let  $p \in \mathcal{P}_j^k$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2$ , be a prime of  $k$  that splits completely in  $F$  as  $p\mathcal{O}_F = \mathfrak{p}_0\mathfrak{p}_1$ . Then for any  $c \in \text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F$ , whose image under the localization map  $\text{loc}_p$  is identified in  $H_{ur}^1(k_p, E[3]) \simeq \mathbb{F}_3$  or  $\mathbb{F}_3^2$ , we have*

$$\text{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}(c) + \text{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}_1}(c) \equiv \text{loc}_p \text{cor}_{F/k}(c) \pmod{3}, \quad (45)$$

as vectors in  $\mathbb{F}_3^j$ .

*Proof.* Since  $p$  splits completely in  $F$ , we have  $k_p = F_{\mathfrak{p}_0} = F_{\mathfrak{p}_1}$ , and so

$$H_{ur}^1(k_p, E[3]) = H_{ur}^1(F_{\mathfrak{p}_0}, E[3]) = H_{ur}^1(F_{\mathfrak{p}_1}, E[3]).$$

Recall the three local cohomology groups above are the images of the appropriate Selmer group in  $H^1(k_p, E[3])$ . For a prime  $p \in \mathcal{P}_j^F$  with  $j = 1$  or  $j = 2$ , we have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathrm{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}_1} \oplus \mathrm{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}_1}} & H_{ur}^1(F_{\mathfrak{p}_0}, E[2]) \oplus H_{ur}^1(F_{\mathfrak{p}_1}, E[3]) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & H_{ur}^1(k_p, E[3])^{\oplus 2} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathbb{F}_3^{2j} \\ \mathrm{cor}_{F/k} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathrm{cor}_{F/k} c_{\mathfrak{p}_0} + \mathrm{cor}_{F/k} c_{\mathfrak{p}_1} & & & & \swarrow v_0 + v_1 \\ \mathrm{cor}_{F/k}(\mathrm{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{loc}_p} & H_{ur}^1(k_p, E[3]) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathbb{F}_3^j & & \end{array}$$

Note that the leftmost corestriction map  $\mathrm{cores}_{F/k}$  is an isomorphism. This is because one has  $\mathrm{cores}_{F/k} \circ \mathrm{res}_{F/k} : H^1(F, E[3]) \rightarrow H^1(F, E[3])$  is a multiplication by 2 map, and  $\mathrm{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_3$ -vector space.  $\square$

**Remark 5.13.** The utility of (45) is the following: we will use the methods of [KMR14] to understand the distribution of primes  $p \in \mathcal{P}_j^k$  of  $k$  for which  $\mathrm{loc}_p \mathrm{cor}_{F/k}(c)$  is some fixed element of  $\mathbb{F}_3^k$ . From this, we can understand the possibilities for  $\mathrm{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}(c)$  and  $\mathrm{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}_1}(c)$ , which essentially determine the size of the Selmer group of  $E$  upon base change of an  $S_3$ -cubic extension with quadratic resolvent field  $F$ .

Recall from (38) that  $k_{\mathfrak{d}, \omega}$  is the abelian extension of  $k(E[3])$  obtained by taking the fixed field of the intersection of kernels of the restrictions to  $k(E[3])$  of each element of  $\mathrm{Sel}(E, \omega)_k$ . As in (38), for a quadratic extension  $F/k$  we define

$$F_{\mathfrak{d}, \omega} = \text{Fixed field of } \bigcap_{c \in \mathrm{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F} \mathrm{Ker}(c : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/F(E[3])) \rightarrow E[3]). \quad (46)$$

We will use the notation  $k_{\mathfrak{d}, \omega}$  to denote instead

$$k_{\mathfrak{d}, \omega} = \text{Fixed field of } \bigcap_{c \in \mathrm{cor}_{F/k}(\mathrm{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F)} \mathrm{Ker}(c : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k(E[3])) \rightarrow E[3]). \quad (47)$$

By Proposition 5.8, we have that

$$\mathrm{Gal}(k_{\mathfrak{d}, \omega}/k(E[3])) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{cor}_{F/k}(\mathrm{Sel}(E, \omega)_F), E[3]) \simeq E[3]^{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \mathrm{Sel}(E, \omega)_F}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Gal}(F_{\mathfrak{d}, \omega}/F(E[3])) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Sel}(E, \omega)_F, E[3]) \simeq E[3]^{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \mathrm{Sel}(E, \omega)_F}.$$

**Lemma 5.14.** *With the notation as above:*

- (1) We have  $F_{\mathfrak{d}, \omega} = Fk_{\mathfrak{d}, \omega}$ .
- (2) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Gal}(k_{\mathfrak{d}, \omega}/k) &\cong \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3) \rtimes E[3]^{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \mathrm{Sel}(E, \omega)_F}, \quad \text{and} \\ \mathrm{Gal}(F_{\mathfrak{d}, \omega}/k) &\cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \left( \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3) \rtimes E[3]^{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \mathrm{Sel}(E, \omega)_F} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

*Proof.* Because the group  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  does not have any index 2 normal subgroup, we have  $F \cap k(E[3]) = k$ . The isomorphism  $\mathrm{cores}_{F/k} : \mathrm{Sel}(E, \omega)_F \rightarrow \mathrm{cor}_{F/k}(\mathrm{Sel}(E, \omega)_k)$  implies that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \mathrm{Sel}(E, \omega)_F = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \mathrm{cor}_{F/k}(\mathrm{Sel}(E, \omega)_F)$ , from which all the statements of the lemma follow.  $\square$

For the rest of this section, let  $q$  denote a prime of  $k$ , let  $\mathfrak{q}$  denote a prime above  $q$  in  $k_{\mathfrak{d}, \omega}$ , and let  $\mathfrak{Q}$  denote a prime above  $q$  in  $F_{\mathfrak{d}, \omega}$ .

For now, we only consider primes  $q$  of  $K$  which split into two primes in  $F$ , say  $q\mathcal{O}_F = Q_0Q_1$ . Consequently, because  $F$  and  $k_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}$  are linearly disjoint,  $\mathfrak{q}$  is forced to split completely as  $\mathfrak{q}\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}} = \mathfrak{Q}_0\mathfrak{Q}_1$ . The Artin symbol

$$\left(\frac{k_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k}{\mathfrak{q}}\right)$$

determines localization at  $q$ . The two Artin symbols

$$\left(\frac{F_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k}{\mathfrak{Q}_i}\right)$$

determine localization at  $\mathfrak{q}_i$ . As elements, for  $i = 0, 1$ ,

$$\left(\frac{F_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k}{\mathfrak{Q}_i}\right)^{f(Q_i|q)} = \left(\frac{F_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k}{\mathfrak{Q}_i}\right)^1 = \left(\frac{F_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/F}{\mathfrak{Q}_i}\right).$$

Hence, there are 3 Artin symbols in play, all of which lie in a common conjugacy class of  $\text{Gal}(k_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k)$ :

$$\left(\frac{k_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k}{\mathfrak{q}}\right), \left(\frac{F_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k}{\mathfrak{Q}_0}\right), \left(\frac{F_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k}{\mathfrak{Q}_1}\right).$$

Because  $\mathfrak{Q}_0, \mathfrak{Q}_1$  are primes lying above  $\mathfrak{q}$ , we use the canonical restriction map which forgets the  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  component

$$\text{res}_{F_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}} : \text{Gal}(F_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k)$$

to obtain

$$\text{res}_{F_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}} \left(\frac{F_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k}{\mathfrak{Q}_0}\right) = \left(\frac{k_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k}{\mathfrak{Q}_0 \cap k_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}}\right) = \left(\frac{k_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k}{\mathfrak{q}}\right).$$

The identical equation can be obtained for  $\mathfrak{Q}_1$  as well. Because  $\text{res}_{F_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}}$  induces an isomorphism between  $\text{Gal}(F_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/F)$  and  $\text{Gal}(k_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k)$ , we in fact obtain

$$\left(\frac{F_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k}{\mathfrak{Q}_0}\right) = \left(\frac{k_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k}{\mathfrak{q}}\right) = \left(\frac{F_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k}{\mathfrak{Q}_1}\right).$$

Because we have that the 3 Artin symbols are equal to one another, Lemma 5.12 implies that for each prime  $\mathfrak{q}$  lying above  $p$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{loc}_p(\text{cores}_{F/k}(c)) \left(\frac{k_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k}{\mathfrak{q}}\right) &= \text{loc}_p(\text{cores}_{F/k}(c))(\text{Frob}_p) \\ &= \text{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}(c)(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}) + \text{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}_1}(c)(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}_1}) \\ &= \text{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}(c) \left(\frac{F_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k}{\mathfrak{Q}_0}\right) + \text{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}_1}(c) \left(\frac{F_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k}{\mathfrak{Q}_1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Because the 3 Artin symbols are equal to each other and the localization map at  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  is defined as evaluating  $c$  at the Frobenius element of  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ , we have

$$\text{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}(c) \left(\frac{F_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k}{\mathfrak{Q}_0}\right) = \text{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}_1}(c) \left(\frac{F_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k}{\mathfrak{Q}_1}\right).$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \text{loc}_p(\text{cores}_{F/k}(c)) \left(\frac{k_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k}{\mathfrak{q}}\right) &= 2\text{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}(c) \left(\frac{F_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k}{\mathfrak{Q}_0}\right), \\ 2\text{loc}_p(\text{cores}_{F/k}(c)) \left(\frac{k_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k}{\mathfrak{q}}\right) &= \text{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}(c) \left(\frac{F_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k}{\mathfrak{Q}_0}\right) = \text{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}_1}(c) \left(\frac{F_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k}{\mathfrak{Q}_1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This relation can also be obtained from the fact that the composition of the corestriction map  $\text{cores}_{F/k}$  with the restriction map  $\text{res}_{F/k}$  is a multiplication by 2 over the Selmer group  $\text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F$ .

**Definition 5.15.** Let  $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\omega \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{d}}$ . Given a prime  $p$  over  $k$ , we denote by

$$t_{\omega}(p) := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \operatorname{im} \left( \operatorname{loc}_p : \operatorname{cor}_{F/k}(\operatorname{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F) \rightarrow H_{ur}^1(k_p, E[3]) \right). \quad (49)$$

With these notations in hand, we can analyze how changes in local characters at a single prime  $p$  that splits over  $F/k$  affect the dimensions of local Selmer groups.

**Lemma 5.16.** *Let  $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\omega \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{d}}$ . Let  $p \in \mathcal{P}_j^k$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2$  be a prime of  $k$  that splits completely in  $F$  as  $p\mathcal{O}_F = \mathfrak{p}_0\mathfrak{p}_1$ . Then for any  $\omega' \in \eta_{\mathfrak{d},p}^{-1}(\omega) \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{d}p}$ ,*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \operatorname{Sel}(E[3], \omega')_F - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \operatorname{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \in \mathcal{P}_0^k \\ 2 & \text{if } p \in \mathcal{P}_1^k \text{ and } t_{\omega}(p) = 0 \\ -2 & \text{if } p \in \mathcal{P}_1^k \text{ and } t_{\omega}(p) = 1 \\ 4 & \text{if } p \in \mathcal{P}_2^k \text{ and } t_{\omega}(p) = 0 \\ & \text{for exactly 2 of } \omega' \in \eta_{\mathfrak{d},p}^{-1}(\omega) \\ 0 & \text{if } p \in \mathcal{P}_2^k \text{ and } t_{\omega}(p) = 0 \\ & \text{for the other 4 of } \omega' \in \eta_{\mathfrak{d},p}^{-1}(\omega) \\ 0 & \text{if } p \in \mathcal{P}_2^k \text{ and } t_{\omega}(p) = 1 \\ -4 & \text{if } p \in \mathcal{P}_2^k \text{ and } t_{\omega}(p) = 2. \end{cases} \quad (50)$$

*Proof.* Given an ideal  $I \subset \mathcal{O}_k$ , denote by

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_k^I &:= \operatorname{Ker} \left( \bigoplus_{v \nmid I} \operatorname{loc}_v : H^1(k, E[3]) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \nmid I} H^1(k_p, E[3]) / \mathcal{H}_{\omega_v} \right), \\ \operatorname{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_{k,I} &:= \operatorname{Ker} \left( \bigoplus_{v|I} \operatorname{loc}_v : \operatorname{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_k^I \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v|I} H^1(k_v, E[3]) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

As stated in Proposition 7.2 of [KMR14] and Theorem 3.9 of [KMR13], we have the following two short exact sequences, where we let  $I = p\mathcal{O}_F = \mathfrak{p}_0\mathfrak{p}_1$ . For short-hand notation, we will use  $(p)$  to denote  $p\mathcal{O}_F$ .

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_{F,(p)} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F \rightarrow (\bigoplus_{i=0}^1 \operatorname{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}_i})(\operatorname{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F^{(p)}) \cap (\bigoplus_{i=0}^1 \mathcal{H}_{\omega_{\mathfrak{p}_i}}) \rightarrow 0. \\ 0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_{F,(p)} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sel}(E[3], \omega')_F \rightarrow (\bigoplus_{i=0}^1 \operatorname{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}_i})(\operatorname{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F^{(p)}) \cap (\bigoplus_{i=0}^1 \mathcal{H}_{\omega'_{\mathfrak{p}_i}}) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

We note that for all  $i = 0, 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\omega_{\mathfrak{p}_0}} &= \mathcal{H}_{\omega_{\mathfrak{p}_1}} = H_{ur}^1(k_p, E[3]), \\ \mathcal{H}_{\omega'_{\mathfrak{p}_0}} &= \mathcal{H}_{\omega'_{\mathfrak{p}_1}} \neq H_{ur}^1(k_p, E[3]), \end{aligned}$$

because these spaces are images of local Kummer maps, both of which are isomorphic to  $k_p$  rational points of the 2-dimensional abelian variety  $A_{K/k}$ . Because the space

$$(\bigoplus_{i=0}^1 \operatorname{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}_i})(\operatorname{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F^{(p)}) \subset \bigoplus_{i=0}^1 H^1(k_p, E[3])$$

is a Lagrangian subspace with respect to the sum of local Tate pairings (as shown in Theorem 3.9 of [KMR13]), we can compare the dimensions to obtain the isomorphism

$$(\bigoplus_{i=0}^1 \operatorname{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}_i})(\operatorname{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F^{(p)}) \cong \operatorname{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}(\operatorname{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F^{\mathfrak{p}_0}) \oplus \operatorname{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}_1}(\operatorname{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F^{\mathfrak{p}_1}). \quad (53)$$

Denote by  $F_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}^{(p)}$  the Galois extension over  $F$  defined as

$$F_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}^{(p)} := \text{Fixed field of } \bigcap_{c \in \operatorname{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F^{(p)}} \operatorname{Ker} \left( c : \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{k}/F(E[3])) \rightarrow E[3] \right). \quad (54)$$

Following the proof of Lemma 5.12, the images of the two localization maps  $\text{loc}_{p_0}$  and  $\text{loc}_{p_1}$  of  $\text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F^{(p)}$  are identical. Hence, we have for any Lagrangian subspaces  $\mathcal{H}_{\omega_{p_i}}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{\omega'_{p_i}}$ ,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3}(\oplus_{i=0}^1 \text{loc}_{p_i})(\text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F^{(p)}) \cap (\oplus_{i=0}^1 \mathcal{H}_{\omega_{p_i}}) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \quad (55)$$

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3}(\oplus_{i=0}^1 \text{loc}_{p_i})(\text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F^{(p)}) \cap (\oplus_{i=0}^1 \mathcal{H}_{\omega'_{p_i}}) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \quad (56)$$

Recall that

$$t_\omega(p) := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{im} \left( \text{loc}_p : \text{cor}_{F/k}(\text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F) \rightarrow H_{ur}^1(k_p, E[3]) \right).$$

Using the isomorphism induced from the corestriction map  $\text{cor}_{F/k}$ , we can apply the proof of Proposition 7.2 of [KMR14] to obtain

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3}(\oplus_{i=0}^1 \text{loc}_{p_i})(\text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F^{(p)}) \cap (\oplus_{i=0}^1 \mathcal{H}_{\omega_{p_i}}) = 2 \cdot t_\omega(p). \quad (57)$$

We hence obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{Sel}(E[3], \omega')_F - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3}(\oplus_{i=0}^1 \text{loc}_{p_i})(\text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F^{(p)}) \cap (\oplus_{i=0}^1 \mathcal{H}_{\omega'_{p_i}}) - 2 \cdot t_\omega(p). \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

One thing to notice here is that if  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F \leq 1$ , then  $t_\omega(p) = 0$ . Indeed, this follows from the surjection stated in (52). Likewise, if  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F \leq 3$ , then  $t_\omega(p) \neq 2$ .

We proceed to prove the rest of the lemma by considering two cases where  $p \in \mathcal{P}_1^k$  or  $p \in \mathcal{P}_2^k$ .

**Case 1:**  $j = 1$

Suppose  $p \in \mathcal{P}_1^k$ . Then because  $H_{ur}^1(k_p, E[3])$  has a unique unramified Lagrangian subspace and a unique ramified Lagrangian subspace,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3}(\oplus_{i=0}^1 \text{loc}_{p_i})(\text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F^{(p)}) \cap (\oplus_{i=0}^1 \mathcal{H}_{\omega'_{p_i}}) = 2 - 2 \cdot t_\omega(p). \quad (59)$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{Sel}(E[3], \omega')_F - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } t_\omega(p) = 0 \\ -2 & \text{if } t_\omega(p) = 1. \end{cases} \quad (60)$$

**Case 2:**  $j = 2$

Suppose  $p \in \mathcal{P}_2^k$ . Then because  $H_{ur}^1(k_p, E[3])$  has a unique unramified Lagrangian subspace and 3 ramified Lagrangian subspaces,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3}(\oplus_{i=0}^1 \text{loc}_{p_i})(\text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F^{(p)}) \cap (\oplus_{i=0}^1 \mathcal{H}_{\omega'_{p_i}}) = \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if } t_\omega(p) = 0 \text{ for one of the Lag. subsp.} \\ 2 & \text{if } t_\omega(p) = 0 \text{ for two other Lag. subsp.} \\ 2 & \text{if } t_\omega(p) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } t_\omega(p) = 2. \end{cases} \quad (61)$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{Sel}(E[3], \omega')_F - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F = \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if } t_\omega(p) = 0 \text{ for one of the Lag. subsp.} \\ 0 & \text{if } t_\omega(p) = 0 \text{ for two other Lag. subsp.} \\ 0 & \text{if } t_\omega(p) = 1 \\ -4 & \text{if } t_\omega(p) = 2. \end{cases} \quad (62)$$

□

Lemma 5.16 shows that the set of all possible images of the localization maps  $\text{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}_0} \oplus \text{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}_1}$  is identical to the set of all possible subsets of  $H^1(k_v, \text{Res}_k^F E[3])$  that is equal to  $H_f^1(k_v, B_{K/k}[1 - \sigma_K])$  appearing in Remark 4.9. This leverages us to use the corestriction map to govern distribution of images of local Kummer maps by using local characters in  $\Omega_{\mathfrak{d}}$  for each square-free  $\mathfrak{d}$ .

We now turn our focus to analyzing how changes in local characters at an inert prime  $p$  over  $F = k(\zeta_3)/k$  affect the dimensions of local Selmer groups.

**Lemma 5.17.** *Suppose  $\text{Gal}(k(E[3])/k) = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ . Let  $p$  be a prime of  $k$  that is inert over  $k(\zeta_3)/k$ . Then the following equivalence relation holds.*

- (1)  $p \in \mathcal{P}_1^k \cap \mathcal{P}_2^F$  if and only if  $\text{Frob}_p$  has order 2 in  $\text{Gal}(k(E[3])/k)$ .
- (2)  $p \in \mathcal{P}_0^k \cap \mathcal{P}_0^F$  if and only if  $\text{Frob}_p$  has order 8 in  $\text{Gal}(k(E[3])/k)$ .

We note that because  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  has 12 elements of order 2 and 12 elements of order 8 with determinant  $-1$ , Chebotarev density theorem implies that approximately half of inert primes  $p$  lie in  $\mathcal{P}_1^k \cap \mathcal{P}_2^F$ , and the other half lie in  $\mathcal{P}_0^k \cap \mathcal{P}_0^F$ .

*Proof.* There are 24 elements in  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  whose determinant is equal to  $-1$ . The 12 elements of order 2 form a single conjugacy class of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ , and the other 12 elements of order 8 form two conjugacy classes, each consisting of 6 elements. Over the field  $F = k(\zeta_3)$ , we have  $\text{Gal}(k(E[3])/F) = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ . Apply Proposition 5.9 of [KMR14] to obtain that  $p \in \mathcal{P}_2^F$  if and only if  $\text{Frob}_p$  has order 2 in  $\text{Gal}(k(E[3])/k)$ .

Because  $\zeta_3 \notin k$ , we have  $p \notin \mathcal{P}_2^k$ . We now show that  $p \in \mathcal{P}_1^k$  if and only if  $p \in \mathcal{P}_2^F$ . Suppose  $p \in \mathcal{P}_1^k$ . Then the 3-division polynomial of  $E$ , a degree 4 polynomial whose roots are  $x$ -coordinates of the 3-torsion points of  $E$ , factorizes as

$$\psi_3 = (x - a_1)(x - a_2)(x^2 + \alpha x + \beta) \quad (63)$$

for some  $a_1, a_2, \alpha, \beta \in k_p$ . Exactly one of  $\sqrt{a_i^3 + Aa_i + B}$ , which is the  $y$ -coordinate of 3-torsion point, is in  $k_p$ . Because  $k(\zeta_3)_p/k_p$  is a degree 2 Galois extension, it follows that  $\#E[3](k(\zeta_3)_p) \geq 5$ . This implies that  $p \in \mathcal{P}_2^F$ .

Suppose on the other hand that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3}(k_p) = 0$ . Denote by  $E^{-3}$  the quadratic twist of  $E$  by  $-3$ . Then it follows that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} E^{-3}[3](k_p) \leq 1$  because  $\text{Gal}(k(E^{-3}[3])/k) = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  as well. But if  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} E^{-3}[3](k_p) = 1$ , then we have  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} E^{-3}[3](k(\zeta)_p) = 2$ . Because

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} E^{-3}[3](k_p) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} E[3](k_p) \geq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} E[3](k(\zeta_3)_p),$$

we have a contradiction. Hence,  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} E^{-3}[3](k_p) = 0$ , which implies that  $p \in \mathcal{P}_0^F$ .  $\square$

We can now prove an analogue of Lemma 5.16 for inert primes.

**Lemma 5.18.** *Let  $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\omega \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{d}}$ . Let  $p \in \mathcal{P}_j^k$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2$  be a prime of  $k$  that is inert in  $F$ . Suppose that  $F = k(\zeta_3)$ . Then for any  $\omega' \in \eta_{\mathfrak{d}, p}^{-1}(\omega) \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{d}p}$ ,*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{Sel}(E[3], \omega')_F - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \in \mathcal{P}_0^k \\ 2 & \text{if } p \in \mathcal{P}_1^k \text{ and } t_{\omega}(p) = 0 \\ -2 & \text{if } p \in \mathcal{P}_1^k \text{ and } t_{\omega}(p) = 1. \end{cases} \quad (64)$$

*Proof.* The strategy of the proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 5.16. We have the following two short exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_{F, (p)} &\rightarrow \text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F \rightarrow \text{loc}_p(\text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F^{(p)}) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\omega_p} \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow \text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_{F, (p)} &\rightarrow \text{Sel}(E[3], \omega')_F \rightarrow \text{loc}_p(\text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F^{(p)}) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\omega'_p} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

By Shapiro's lemma,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\omega_p} = H_{ur}^1(F_p, E[3]) \cong H_{ur}^1(k_p, \text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(\overline{k_p}/k_p)}^{\text{Gal}(\overline{k_p}/k_p)} E[3]) \cong \text{Ind}_{\{e\}}^{\text{Gal}(F_p/k_p)} (H_{ur}^1(k_p, E[3])).$$

Indeed this is the case as shown in Lemma 5.17, where we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \mathcal{H}_{\omega_p} = 2 \cdot \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} H_{ur}^1(k_p, E[3]).$$

Likewise, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{\omega'_p} = \text{Ind}_{\{e\}}^{\text{Gal}(F_p/k_p)} \left( \frac{H^1(k_p, E[3])}{H_{ur}^1(k_p, E[3])} \right). \quad (66)$$

We can apply the proof of Proposition 7.2 of [KMR14] to obtain

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{loc}_p(\text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F^{(p)}) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\omega_p} = 2 \cdot t_\omega(p), \quad (67)$$

and if  $p \in \mathcal{P}_1^k$ , then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{loc}_p(\text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F^{(p)}) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\omega'_p} = 2 - 2 \cdot t_\omega(p). \quad (68)$$

Combine both equations to obtain the desired statement of the lemma.  $\square$

**Remark 5.19.** The significance of Lemma 5.16 and Lemma 5.18 is that the parity of  $\text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)$  gets preserved regardless of consecutively changing the local conditions at primes  $p$  of  $k$ .

We now obtain Chebotarev statements that determine the values of  $t_\omega(p)$  for each case of families of  $S_3$ -cubics over  $k$ . Hence, we will make the choice of  $\mathcal{D}^*$  and the according set of primes  $\mathcal{P}_j^{k,*}$  in accordance to the families of  $S_3$ -cubics corresponding to cases (A), (B), and (C). An analogue of Proposition 9.4 of [KMR14] can be written as follows.

**Proposition 5.20.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}^* = \mathcal{D}^A, \mathcal{D}^B$ , or  $\mathcal{D}^C$ . Fix  $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}^*$  and  $\omega \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{d}}$ . Denote by  $r$  the quantity*

$$r_\omega := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F & \text{if } \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{Sel}(E[3], \omega) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} (\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F - 1) & \text{if } \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{Sel}(E[3], \omega) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases} \quad (69)$$

Let  $c_{i,j}(r_\omega)$  be given by the entries in the following table:

	$j = 0$	$j = 1$	$j = 2$
$i = 1$	$3^{-r_\omega}$	$1 - 3^{-r_\omega}$	$0$
$i = 2$	$3^{-2r_\omega}$	$4(3^{-r_\omega} - 3^{-2r_\omega})$	$1 - 4 \cdot 3^{-r_\omega} + 3^{1-2r_\omega}$

Then for  $i = 2$  and  $j = 0, 1, 2$ , regardless of whether  $p$  splits over  $F/k$ , or remains inert over  $F = k(\zeta_3)/k$ ,

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{p \in \mathcal{P}_i^{k,*}(X) \mid p \nmid \mathfrak{d}, t_\omega(p) = j\}}{\#\{p \in \mathcal{P}_i^{k,*}(X) \mid p \nmid \mathfrak{d}\}} = c_{i,j}(r_\omega). \quad (70)$$

Furthermore, if  $\mathcal{L}$  is a function satisfying Theorem 8.1 of [KMR14], then for every  $Y > \mathbf{Nd}$  and every  $X > \mathcal{L}(Y)$  we have

$$\left| \frac{\#\{p \in \mathcal{P}_i^{k,*}(X) \mid p \nmid \mathfrak{d}, t_\omega(p) = j\}}{\#\{p \in \mathcal{P}_i^{k,*}(X) \mid p \nmid \mathfrak{d}\}} - c_{i,j}(r_\omega) \right| \leq \frac{1}{Y}. \quad (71)$$

Finally, if it also the case  $3 \mid [K(E[3]) : K]$ , then the two equations are also true for  $i = 1$  and  $j = 0, 1, 2$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . Denote by  $\hat{k}_{\mathfrak{d}, \omega}$  the Galois extension over  $k$  as follows:

$$\hat{k}_{\mathfrak{d}, \omega} := \text{Fixed field of } \bigcap_{c \in \text{cor}_{F/k} \text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F} \text{Ker}(c : \text{Gal}(\overline{k}/k(E[3])) \rightarrow E[3]). \quad (72)$$

Note that  $\hat{k}_{\mathfrak{d},\omega} = k_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}$ . Apply Proposition 9.4 of [KMR14] with the Galois extension  $\hat{k}_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}$  to obtain the desired statement.

Suppose that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . Then Lemma 5.16 and Lemma 5.18 show that there exists a distinguished non-trivial element  $c^*$  which lies in any  $\text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_{F,(\mathfrak{d})}$  for any  $\omega \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{d}}$ . Note that  $c^*$  maps to a trivial element in  $H_{ur}^1(k_{\mathfrak{p}}, E[3])$  under the localization map  $\text{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for any  $\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{d}$ . This prompts us to define  $\hat{k}_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}$  differently from the previous case as follows:

$$\hat{k}_{\mathfrak{d},\omega} := \text{Fixed field of } \bigcap_{\substack{c \in \text{cor}_{F/k} \text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F \\ c \notin \langle c^* \rangle}} \text{Ker}(c : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k(E[3])) \rightarrow E[3]). \quad (73)$$

Note that  $\hat{k}_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}$  is an index 9 subfield of  $k_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}$ . Then  $\text{Gal}(\hat{k}_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}/k(E[3])) \cong E[3]^{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F - 1}$ . Apply Proposition 9.4 of [KMR14] with the Galois extension  $\hat{k}_{\mathfrak{d},\omega}$  to obtain the desired statement.

We note that in both cases, the field  $\hat{k}_{\mathfrak{d},\omega} \cap FH_3$  is equal to  $k$ , because all the primes  $p \in \mathcal{P}_i^{k,*}$  satisfy the condition that  $\text{Frob}_p(FH_3/k) = 1$ .  $\square$

Applying Proposition 9.5 of [KMR14], we finally obtain the Markov operator governing the dimensions of  $\text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F$ .

**Definition 5.21.** We denote by  $M_L = [m_{r,s}]$  the **alternating** mod 3 Lagrangian Markov operator over the state space

$$\left\{ \text{maps } f : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \|f\| := \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} |f(s)| \text{ converges} \right\}$$

defined as

$$m_{r,s} = \begin{cases} 1 - 3^{-\frac{r}{2}} & \text{if } s = r - 2 \geq 0, r \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ 1 - 3^{-\frac{r-1}{2}} & \text{if } s = r - 2 \geq 0, r \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ 3^{-\frac{r}{2}} & \text{if } s = r + 2 \geq 1, r \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ 3^{-\frac{r-1}{2}} & \text{if } s = r + 2 \geq 1, r \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 5.22.** Let  $\mathcal{D}^* = \mathcal{D}^A, \mathcal{D}^B$ , or  $\mathcal{D}^C$ . For every subset  $S \subset \Omega_1$ , the **alternating** mod 3 Lagrangian Markov operators  $M_L = [m_{r,s}]$  governs the rank data  $\Omega^S$  on  $\mathcal{D}^*$  in that

$$m_{\text{rk}(\omega),s}^{(i)} = \frac{\sum_{q \in \mathcal{P}_i^{k,*}(X)_{-\delta}} \left| \left\{ \chi \in \eta_{\delta,q}^{-1}(\omega) : \text{rk}(\chi) = s \right\} \right|}{\sum_{q \in \mathcal{P}_i^{k,*}(X)_{-\delta}} \left| \left\{ \eta_{\delta,q}^{-1}(\omega) \right\} \right|} \quad (74)$$

where  $M^i = [m_{r,s}^{(i)}]$ .

Moreover, every function  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfying Theorem 8.1 of [KMR14] is a convergence rate for  $(\Omega^S, M_L)$ .

The stationary distribution of the alternating mod  $p$  Lagrangian Markov operator  $M_L$  can be computed from applying Proposition 2.4 of [KMR14].

**Proposition 5.23.** Let  $f : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a map which lies in the set

$$\left\{ \text{maps } f : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \|f\| := \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} |f(s)| \text{ converges} \right\}.$$

Denote by  $\rho(f)$  the quantity

$$\rho(f) := \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0, \text{even}}} |f(s)|.$$

Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M_L^k(f) = \rho(f) \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\text{even}} + (1 - \rho(f)) \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\text{odd}}, \quad (75)$$

where

$$\mathbf{E}_{\text{even}}(s) := \begin{cases} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+3^{-k}} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{s}{2}} \frac{3}{3^k-1} & \text{if } s \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{\text{odd}}(s) := \begin{cases} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+3^{-k}} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \frac{3}{3^k-1} & \text{if } s \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Remark 5.24.** The infinite product above can be estimated as follows:

$$\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+3^{-k}} \approx 0.3195022.$$

For small values of  $s$ ,  $\mathbf{E}_{\text{even}}(s)$  and  $\mathbf{E}_{\text{odd}}(s)$ , are approximately as follows.

$s$	$\mathbf{E}_{\text{even}}(s)$	$\mathbf{E}_{\text{odd}}(s)$
0	0.319502	0
1	0	0.319502
2	0.479253	0
3	0	0.479253
4	0.17972	0
5	0	0.17972
6	0.020737	0
7	0	0.020737
8	0.00078	0
9	0	0.00078
10	$0.94 \times 10^{-5}$	0

## 6. FAN STRUCTURES FOLLOWING [KMR14]

We begin by recalling some definitions from [KMR14] which will be used this section and the following section where we prove our main results. As in the previous section, many of these definitions are stated for a general set  $\mathcal{D}^*$  consisting of products of primes. In applications we'll then make a choice, setting  $\mathcal{D}^*$  to be  $\mathcal{D}^A$ ,  $\mathcal{D}^B$ , or  $\mathcal{D}^C$  corresponding to products of primes respecting cases  $A, B, C$  of our parametrization for  $S_3$ -cubic extensions by quadratic resolvent. These dependencies on cases  $A, B, C$  are important, but rather mild, so we delay the case-by-case analysis for as long as possible.

**Definition 6.1** (As in Definition 10.1 of [KMR14]). For any  $\chi \in C(k) = \text{Hom}(G_k, \mu_3)$  and  $v$  a place of  $k$ , write  $\chi_v$  for the restriction of  $\chi$  to  $G_{k_v}$ .

- For  $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}^*$ , define

$$C(\mathfrak{d}) := \{\chi \in C(k) : \chi \text{ is unramified at all } \mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{d} \text{ and unramified outside } \Sigma(\mathfrak{d}) \cup \mathcal{P}_0^{k,*}\}. \quad (76)$$

- For  $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}^*$ , we denote by  $\eta_{\mathfrak{d}} : C(\mathfrak{d}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{d}}$  the product of restriction maps. In other words, we have  $\eta_{\mathfrak{d}}(\chi) = (\chi_v)_{v \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{d})}$ .
- For  $X > 0$ ,

$$C(X) := \{\chi \in C(k) : \chi \text{ is unramified outside of } \Sigma \cup \{\mathfrak{q} : \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{q} \leq X\}\} \quad (77)$$

- Finally, define the intersection of the above two sets as

$$C(\mathfrak{d}, X) := C(\mathfrak{d}) \cap C(X). \quad (78)$$

**Proposition 6.2** (As in Proposition 10.7 of [KMR14]). *Suppose  $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}^*$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$  is a function satisfying Theorem 8.1 of [KMR14], and  $X > \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{d})$ . Then  $\eta_{\mathfrak{d}} : C(\mathfrak{d}, X) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{d}}$  is surjective. Furthermore, for every  $\omega \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{d}}$ ,*

$$\frac{\#\{\chi \in C(\mathfrak{d}, X) \mid \eta_{\mathfrak{d}}(\chi) = \omega\}}{\#\Omega_{\mathfrak{d}}} = \frac{1}{\#\Omega_{\mathfrak{d}}}. \quad (79)$$

**Definition 6.3** (As in Definition 3.14 of [KMR14]). Let  $\mathcal{L} : [1, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  be a non-decreasing function. Let  $\{L_n(Y)\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of real valued functions defined as follows:

$$L_1(Y) := \mathcal{L}(Y)$$

$$L_{n+1}(Y) := \max \left\{ \mathcal{L}\left(\prod_{j \leq n} L_j(Y)\right), Y L_n(Y) \right\}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Given  $m, w \in \mathbb{Z}$  and a choice of a set  $\mathcal{D}^*$  of square-free products of places  $v \in \mathcal{P}_1^{k,*} \cup \mathcal{P}_2^{k,*}$ , define the *fan* as follows

$$\mathcal{D}_{m,w,X}^* := \left\{ \mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}^* \mid \mathfrak{d} = \{q_j\}_{j=1}^m \text{ with } \mathbf{N}(q_j) < L_j(X) \forall j, \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^m \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} E[3](k_{q_j}) = w \right\}. \quad (80)$$

**Definition 6.4** (As in Definition 11.4 of [KMR14]). Let  $\{L_n(Y)\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of real valued functions defined as in Definition 3.14 of [KMR14]. For  $m, w \geq 0$ , and a choice of a set of square-free products of places  $\mathcal{D}^*$ , we define the *fan structure* on  $\mathcal{C}(k)$  as

$$\mathcal{B}_{m,w,\mathcal{D}^*,X} := \bigsqcup_{\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}_{m,w,X}^*} \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d}, \mathcal{L}(L_{m+1}(X))) \subset \mathcal{C}(k). \quad (81)$$

As usual we use  $\mathcal{D}^*$  for a fixed choice of either  $\mathcal{D}^A$ ,  $\mathcal{D}^B$ , or  $\mathcal{D}^C$ .

**Remark 6.5.** The ordering imposed on the fan structure is non-standard in that the ordering on  $\mathfrak{d}$  is not by norm, and the final ordering on  $S_3$ -cubic extensions in our theorems is not by, say, discriminant.

Under the natural ordering with  $\mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{d}) \leq X$ , the number of possible primes in the support of  $\mathfrak{d}$  grows like  $\log \log X$ . Under the ordering by  $\mathcal{L}$ , we allow sufficiently many primes in the support of  $\mathfrak{d}$  so that the number of such possible primes grow *linearly* with  $m$ , and the upper bound on the norms of such primes are functions of  $X$ .

The faster growth in the number of admissible  $\mathfrak{d}$  allows one to actually obtain the convergence of a Markov process. Ordering  $\mathfrak{d}$  by norm in this regime is *not* sufficient to obtain the desired convergence.

For example, suppose the function  $\mathcal{L}$  is given by  $\mathcal{L}(X) = \log X$ . Then the fan  $\mathcal{D}_{m,w,X}^*$  is a set of composite numbers  $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}^*$  whose set of distinct prime factors is  $\{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m\}$ , and each factor  $q_i$  satisfies  $\mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{d}) \leq X^{i-1} \log X$ .

We are now ready to state the theorem from which our result all follow. The theorem should be thought of as a version of Theorem 11.6 in [KMR14] for which all the choice of  $\mathcal{D}^*$  (in the notation of [KMR14]) and all dependent structures have been further restricted to respective cases  $A, B, C$  of the parameterization in Section 3.

**Definition 6.6** (As in Definition 3.9 of [KMR14]). Given a square-free product of places  $\mathfrak{d}$  of  $k$ , we denote by  $E_{\mathfrak{d}} : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the probability distribution defined as

$$E_{\mathfrak{d}}(s) := \frac{\#\{\omega \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{d}} \mid \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{Sel}(E[3], \omega)_F = s\}}{\#\Omega_{\mathfrak{d}}}. \quad (82)$$

**Theorem 6.7.** *If  $m, w, s \geq 0$  and  $\bigcup_X \mathcal{D}_{m,w,X}^*$  is non-empty, then*

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{\chi \in \mathcal{B}_{m,w,\mathcal{D}^*,X} : \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{Sel}(E[3], \eta_{\mathfrak{d}}(\chi))_F = s\}|}{|\mathcal{B}_{m,w,\mathcal{D}^*,X}|} = (M_L^w(E_1))(s). \quad (83)$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 10.7 of [KMR14], for any squarefree  $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}^*$  and  $X > \mathcal{L}(N\mathfrak{d})$ , the restriction of characters in  $C(\mathfrak{d}, X)$  to  $\Omega_1$  is equidistributed. We note that such equidistribution results are obtained regardless of the choice of the virtual 3-Selmer units  $u \in S_3(F_z)[T]$ , because the set  $\Sigma$  already includes the places dividing any of the elements in  $S_3(F_z)[T]$ . The proof of the theorem is the same as Theorem 11.6 in [KMR14] with the appropriate  $\mathcal{D}^*$  in place of  $\mathcal{D}$ .  $\square$

## 7. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

We first introduce a couple of notations that will be used in the statement of the main theorem.

**Definition 7.1.** We denote by  $\rho_E \in [0, 1]$  the probability that  $E_1$  is even, i.e.

$$\rho_E := \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0, \text{even}}} E_1(s). \quad (84)$$

Above,  $E_1$  is as in Definition 6.6 with  $\mathfrak{d}$  taken to be the empty product of primes in  $k$ .

**Definition 7.2.** Let  $\mathcal{D}^*$  be one of  $\mathcal{D}^A, \mathcal{D}^B, \mathcal{D}^C$  from Definition 5.1. For every  $m \geq 0$  and  $X > 0$ , let

$$\mathcal{B}_{m,*}(X) := \bigcup_w \mathcal{B}_{m,w,\mathcal{D}^*,X} \quad (85)$$

be a collection of  $S_3$ -cubic extensions  $K$  of  $k$  with a fixed quadratic resolvent field  $F/k$ . The right hand side is a union of fan structures as in Definition 6.4.

We now have all the ingredients to state and prove the main theorem. Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are immediate consequences of the following result.

**Theorem 7.3.** *Fix an admissible quadratic extension  $F/k$ . Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over a number field  $k$  for which  $\text{Gal}(k(E[3])/k) \supset SL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ , and  $F$  and  $k(E[3])$  are linearly disjoint over  $k$ . Then for every  $s \geq 0$ , we have*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{K \in \mathcal{B}_{m,*}(X) \mid \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{Sel}_{1-\sigma_K}(B_{K/k}/k) = s\}}{\#\mathcal{B}_{m,*}(X)} = \rho_E \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\text{even}}(s) + (1 - \rho_E) \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\text{odd}}(s). \quad (86)$$

*Proof.* Given an  $S_3$ -cubic extension  $K/k$ , denote by  $\chi_K \in \text{Hom}(G_k, \mu_3)$  the global character whose fixed field is  $K$ . Using the parametrization of  $S_3$ -cubics in Section 3, we can find a squarefree product of places  $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}^*$  such that  $\chi_K \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d})$ .

Denote by  $\eta_{\mathfrak{d}} : C(\mathfrak{d}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{d}}$  the product of restriction maps of global characters. By Proposition 4.10 and Shapiro's Lemma,

$$\text{Sel}_{1-\sigma_K}(B_{K/k}/k) \cong \text{Sel}(E[3], \eta_{\mathfrak{d}}(\chi_K))_F. \quad (87)$$

Hence, the problem of computing the probability distribution of dimensions of  $\text{Sel}_{1-\sigma_K}(B_{K/k}/k)$  can be reduced to that of computing the probability distribution of dimensions of local Selmer groups  $\text{Sel}(E[3], \eta_{\mathfrak{d}}(\chi_K))_F$ . The theorem then follows from applying Proposition 5.23 and Theorem 6.7. The parity  $\rho_E$  appears from the fact that the Markov operator  $M_L$  preserves the parity of the dimensions of local Selmer groups  $\text{Sel}(E[3], \eta_{\mathfrak{d}}(\chi_K))_F$ .  $\square$

As a corollary, we are able to obtain quadratic exponential decay in the probability that an elliptic curve achieves arbitrarily large rank growths over fan structures of  $S_3$ -cubic extensions.

**Corollary 7.4.** *Fix an admissible quadratic extension  $F/k$ . Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over a number field  $k$  for which  $\text{Gal}(k(E[3])/k) \supset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ , and  $F$  and  $k(E[3])$  are linearly disjoint over  $k$ .*

*Let  $C := \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-3^{-k}} \approx 1.785312342$ . Then for every  $s \geq 4$ , we have*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{K \in \mathcal{B}_{m,*}(X) \mid \text{rk}(E(K)) - \text{rk}(E(k)) \geq s\}}{\#\mathcal{B}_{m,*}(X)} < \begin{cases} C \cdot 3^{-\frac{s(s-2)}{8}} & \text{if } s \text{ even} \\ C \cdot 3^{-\frac{(s-1)(s-3)}{8}} & \text{if } s \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \quad (88)$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, assume  $s$  is even and  $s \geq 4$ . We have

$$\frac{\#\{K \in \mathcal{B}_{m,*}(X) \mid \text{rk}(E(K)) - \text{rk}(E(k)) \geq s\}}{\#\mathcal{B}_{m,*}(X)} \leq \frac{\#\{K \in \mathcal{B}_{m,*}(X) \mid \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} \text{Sel}_{1-\sigma_K}(B_{K/k}/k) \geq s\}}{\#\mathcal{B}_{m,*}(X)}$$

Taking arbitrarily large values of  $m$  and  $X$  on both sides of the equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{K \in \mathcal{B}_{m,*}(X) \mid \text{rk}(E(K)) - \text{rk}(E(k)) \geq s\}}{\#\mathcal{B}_{m,*}(X)} \\ & \leq \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+3^{-k}} \cdot \left( \sum_{j=\frac{s}{2}}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^j \frac{3}{3^k-1} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{3^{\frac{s}{2}}}{3^{\frac{s}{2}-1}} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{s}{2}-1} \frac{1}{3^k-1} \cdot \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+3^{-k}} \cdot \left( 1 + \sum_{j=\frac{s}{2}+1}^{\infty} \prod_{k=\frac{s}{2}+1}^j \frac{3}{3^k-1} \right) \\ & \leq \left( \frac{3^{\frac{s}{2}}}{3^{\frac{s}{2}-1}} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{s}{2}-1} \frac{1}{1-3^{-k}} \right) \cdot 3^{-\frac{s(s-2)}{8}} \\ & \leq \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-3^{-k}} \cdot 3^{-\frac{s(s-2)}{8}}. \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

The upper bound for the case when  $s$  is odd can be obtained from using the upper bound for the probability that  $\text{rk}(E(K)) - \text{rk}(E(k)) \geq s-1$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [ABS24] Levent Alpöge, Manjul Bhargava, and Ari Shnidman. Integers expressible as the sum of two rational cubes, 2024.
- [BSD65] Bryan Birch and Peter Swinnerton-Dyer. Notes on elliptic curves (ii). *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 165(218):79–108, 1965.
- [Cas91] J. W. S. Cassels. *Lectures on elliptic curves*, volume 24 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [CM11] Henri Cohen and Anna Morra. Counting cubic extensions with given quadratic resolvent. *Journal of Algebra*, 325(1):461–478, 2011.
- [CT16] Henri Cohen and Frank Thorne. Dirichlet series associated to quartic fields with given cubic resolvent. *Res. Number Theory*, 2:Paper No. 29, 40, 2016.
- [Dar03] Henri Darmon. *Rational Points on Modular Elliptic Curves*. American Mathematical Society, 2003.
- [DD10] Tim Dokchitser and Vladimir Dokchitser. On the Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer quotients modulo squares. *Annals of Mathematics*, 172(1):567–596, 2010.
- [DLR15] Henri Darmon, Alan Lauder, and Victor Rotger. Stark points and  $p$ -adic iterated integrals attached to modular forms of weight one. *Forum of Mathematics, Pi*, 3:e8, 2015.
- [DR11] Henri Darmon and Victor Rotger. Algebraic cycles and Stark-Heegner points. *Lecture notes for Arizona Winter School 2011*, pages 23–32, 2011.
- [Gol79] Dorian Goldfeld. Conjectures on elliptic curves over quadratic fields. In *Number theory, Carbondale 1979 (Proc. Southern Illinois Conf., Southern Illinois Univ., Carbondale, Ill., 1979)*, volume 751 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 108–118. Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- [Gro91] Benedict Gross. Kolyagin’s work on modular elliptic curves in L-functions and arithmetic (Durham, 1989). *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*, 153:235–256, 1991.

- [GZ86] Benedict Gross, , and Don Zagier. Heegner points and derivatives of L-series. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 84(2):225–320, 1986.
- [HB93] D.R. Heath-Brown. The size of Selmer groups for the congruent number problem. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 111(1):171–196, 1993.
- [How01] Everett W. Howe. Isogeny classes of abelian varieties with no principal polarizations. *Moduli of Abelian Varieties (Texel Island, 1999)*, *Birkhauser Progress in Mathematics*, pages 203–216, 2001.
- [Kan13] Daniel Kane. On the ranks of the 2-Selmer groups of twists of a given elliptic curve. *Algebra Number Theory*, 7(5):1253–1279, 2013.
- [Kel22] Daniel Keliher. Rank growth of elliptic curves in  $S_4$  and  $A_4$  quartic extensions of the rationals, 2022. Accepted to Pacific Journal of Mathematics.
- [KM04] Jürgen Klüners and Gunter Malle. Counting nilpotent Galois extensions. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 572:1–26, 2004.
- [KMR13] Zev Klagsbrun, Barry Mazur, and Karl Rubin. Disparity in Selmer ranks of quadratic twists of elliptic curves. *Annals of Mathematics*, 178:287–320, 2013.
- [KMR14] Zev Klagsbrun, Barry Mazur, and Karl Rubin. A Markov model for Selmer ranks in families of twists. *Compositio Mathematica*, 150:1077–1106, 2014.
- [KP23] Peter Koymans and Carlo Pagano. On Malle’s conjecture for nilpotent groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B*, 10:310–354, 2023.
- [KS24] Peter Koymans and Alexander Smith. Sums of rational cubes and the 3-selmer group, 2024.
- [LOT19] Robert J Lemke Oliver and Frank Thorne. Rank growth of elliptic curves in non-abelian extensions. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2021(24):18411–18441, 12 2019.
- [Mal02] Gunter Malle. On the Distribution of Galois Groups. *Journal of Number Theory*, 92(2):315–329, 2002.
- [Mal04] Gunter Malle. On the Distribution of Galois Groups, II. *Experimental Mathematics*, 13(2):129 – 136, 2004.
- [MM09] M Ram Murty and V Kumar Murty. The Sato-Tate conjecture and generalizations. *Current Trends in Science: Platinum Jubilee Special*, pages 639–646, 2009.
- [MR07] Barry Mazur and Karl Rubin. Finding large Selmer rank via an arithmetic theory of local constants. *Annals of Mathematics*, 166:579–612, 2007.
- [MR10] B. Mazur and K. Rubin. Ranks of twists of elliptic curves and Hilbert’s tenth problem. *Invent. Math.*, 181(3):541–575, 2010.
- [Par22] Sun Woo Park. On the prime Selmer ranks of cyclic prime twist families of elliptic curves over global function fields, 2022. arXiv:2211.11486.
- [Par24] Sun Woo Park. *Arithmetic Statistics of Selmer Groups of Twist Families of Elliptic Curves Over Global Fields*. PhD thesis, University of Wisconsin, Madison, 2024. Copyright - Database copyright ProQuest LLC; ProQuest does not claim copyright in the individual underlying works; Last updated - 2024-06-01.
- [PR12] Bjorn Poonen and Eric Rains. Random maximal isotropic subspaces and selmer groups. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 25(1):245–269, 2012.
- [PR24] Siddy Pathak and Anwesh Ray. Rank stability of elliptic curves in certain metabelian extensions, 2024. arXiv:2401.13582.
- [SD08] Peter Swinnerton-Dyer. The effect of twisting on the 2-Selmer group. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 145(3):513–526, 2008.
- [Sil86] Joseph H. Silverman. *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, volume 106 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
- [Smi23a] Alexander Smith. The distribution of  $\ell^\infty$ -Selmer groups in degree  $\ell$  twist families I, 2023. arXiv:2207.05674.
- [Smi23b] Alexander Smith. The distribution of  $\ell^\infty$ -Selmer groups in degree  $\ell$  twist families II, 2023. arXiv:2207.05143.
- [Ulm04] Douglas Ulmer. Elliptic curves and analogies between number fields and function fields. *Heegner Points and Rankin L-Series MSRI Publications*, 49:285–315, 2004.
- [Zha01] Shouwu Zhang. Heights of Heegner points on Shimura curves. *Annals of Mathematics*, 153:27–147, 2001.
- [Zha14] Wei Zhang. Selmer groups and the indivisibility of Heegner points. *Cambridge Journal of Mathematics*, 2(2):191–253, 2014.
- [ZI04] Shou-Wu Zhang and Mathematical Sciences Research Institute. *Gross-Zagier Formula for  $GL(2)$ , II*, page 191–242. Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications. Cambridge University Press, 2004.

DANIEL KELIHER, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CONCOURSE PROGRAM, CAMBRIDGE, MA  
02139, USA

*Email address:* `keliher@mit.edu`

*URL:* <https://www.danielkeliher.com/>

SUN WOO PARK, MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS, VIVATSGASSE 7, 53111 BONN, GERMAN

*Email address:* `s.park@mpim-bonn.mpg.de`

*URL:* <https://sites.google.com/wisc.edu/spark483>