TROPICAL FRÉCHET MEANS

BO LIN, KAMILLO FERRY, CARLOS AMÉNDOLA, ANTHEA MONOD, AND RURIKO YOSHIDA

ABSTRACT. The Fréchet mean is a key measure of central tendency as a barycenter for a given set of points in a general metric space. It is computed by solving an optimization problem and is a fundamental quantity in statistics. In this paper, we study Fréchet means in tropical geometry—a piecewise linear, combinatorial, and polyhedral variant of algebraic geometry that has gained prominence in applications. A key property of Fréchet means is that uniqueness is generally not guaranteed, which is true in tropical settings. In solving the tropical Fréchet mean optimization problem, we obtain a geometric characterization of the collection of all Fréchet means in a general tropical space as a tropically and classically convex polytope. Furthermore, we prove that a certificate of positivity for finitely many quadratic polynomials in $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ always exists, given that their quadratic homogeneous components are sums of squares. We propose an algorithm to symbolically compute the Fréchet mean polytope based on our exact quadratic optimization result and study its complexity.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Fréchet mean is a central concept in metric geometry, representing a generalized notion of an average for a given set of points. It plays a crucial role in statistical analysis and geometric optimization, appearing in diverse applications ranging from shape analysis to evolutionary biology. Unlike in Euclidean spaces, where the mean is uniquely defined as the barycenter, the Fréchet mean in general metric spaces is determined by solving an optimization problem and may not be unique.

In this paper, we investigate the Fréchet mean in the context of tropical geometry—a combinatorial and polyhedral counterpart to classical algebraic geometry that has found prominence in applications such as phylogenetics, machine learning, and algebraic statistics, see [14, 17]. The piecewise linear structure of tropical spaces introduces significant challenges in understanding the existence, uniqueness, and computation of Fréchet means. A key difficulty is that tropical Fréchet means are not necessarily unique, leading to the problem of characterizing the full set of solutions.

In this paper we make both geometric and algorithmic contributions to the problem of computing tropical Fréchet means. We give a geometric characterization of the set of all Fréchet means in a general tropical space. We show that this set forms a tropically and classically convex polytope, providing a precise combinatorial description of its structure. To achieve this result, we leverage techniques from quadratic optimization and polynomial positivity. In particular, we establish that for any finite collection of quadratic polynomials in $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, a certificate of positivity always exists if their homogeneous quadratic components are sums of squares. This result allows us to develop an algorithm to compute the Fréchet mean polytope and analyze its computational complexity.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 14T90, 62R01, 62R20, 90C24.

Key words and phrases. Fréchet means, tropical geometry, quadratic optimization, algebraic statistics.

2 BO LIN, KAMILLO FERRY, CARLOS AMÉNDOLA, ANTHEA MONOD, AND RURIKO YOSHIDA

1.1. **Related Work.** A similar statistical quantity of centrality that is defined by a similar optimization problem is the *Fermat–Weber point*, which is a generalization of the median to general metric spaces. These have been introduced in tropical settings identical to those of our work by Lin and Yoshida [13] who also study their uniqueness properties.

Comăneci and Joswig give bounds on the dimension of the set of tropical Fermat–Weber points and propose an approach to its computation using optimal transport [5]. In particular, they observed that the set of tropical Fermat–Weber points for a given sample forms a polytrope. Sabol et al. independently established the same conclusion of the polytrope characterization, though instead implement a gradient-based method for computing tropical Fermat–Weber points.

Both tropical Fréchet means and tropical Fermat–Weber points describe location problems of *tropically quasiconvex functions* proposed and studied by Comăneci [4], who further shows that this class of location problems enjoy particularly nice properties for use as consensus methods in phylogenetics.

2. TROPICAL METRIC SPACES

In this section, we present the space in which we work—the tropical projective torus—and the metric with which we endow this space—the tropical metric. We also review key properties of this space that will be important for our study of tropical Fréchet means.

2.1. The Tropical Projective Torus and the Tropical Metric. For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the tropical operations:

$$x \oplus y = (\max\{x_1, y_1\}, \dots, \max\{x_n, y_n\}), \quad \lambda \odot x = (\lambda + x_1, \dots, \lambda + x_n).$$

Usual metrics in \mathbb{R}^n do not behave well tropically, so we consider instead the following space to define an appropriate tropical distance. Define the equivalence relation in \mathbb{R}^n :

 $x \sim y \iff$ all coordinates of (x - y) are equal.

The tropical projective torus $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ is the quotient space given by the set of equivalence classes under \sim ; in other words, it is the space constructed by identifying vectors that differ from each other by tropical scalar multiplication. Denote the equivalence class of x by $[x] \in \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$.

The tropical projective torus is a metric space when endowed with the following distance function.

Definition 1. Let $[x], [y] \in \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$. We define the *tropical metric* on $\mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ as

$$d_{\rm tr}([x], [y]) := \max_{1 \le i < i' \le n} |(x_i - y_i) - (x_{i'} - y_{i'})|$$

= $\max_{1 \le i \le n} (x_i - y_i) - \min_{1 \le i \le n} (x_i - y_i).$

Example 2. $d_{tr}((4,0,9),(0,-1,5)) = \max\{4,1,4\} - \min\{4,1,4\} = 4 - 1 = 3.$

Proposition 3 ([10, Prop. 5.19]). The tropical metric is a well-defined metric.

The metric space on which we seek to study and solve the Fréchet mean optimization problem is then the tropical projective torus equipped with the tropical metric: $(\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}, d_{tr})$.

 \Diamond

Recall that a *(classical) polytope* $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined as the set of convex combinations of a finite set of points $\{a_1, \ldots, a_m\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$:

convexity that will be important in our work.

$$P = \operatorname{conv}(a_1, \dots, a_m) \coloneqq \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j a_j \mid \lambda_j \ge 0, \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j = 1 \right\}.$$

A classical polytope can be seen as the image of a standard *m*-simplex Δ^m under the linear map $\Delta^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ given by the multiplication with a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$.

Similarly, a tropical polytope $\operatorname{tconv}(A)$ is the image of a linear map $\mathbb{R}^m/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1} \to \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ given by tropical multiplication with a matrix $A \in \mathbb{T}^{m \times n}$. The tropical polytope $\operatorname{tconv}(A)$ is explicitly given by the set

$$\operatorname{tconv}(a_1,\ldots,a_m) \coloneqq \left\{ \bigoplus_{j=1}^m \lambda_j a_j \mid \lambda_j \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

By slight abuse of notation, we denote both the matrix and the set of its columns $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ by A.

The identification $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1} \cong \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ allows us to compare tropical polytopes with classical polytopes, but it turns out that a tropical polytope is, in general, not classically convex; see Figure 4. This motivates the definition of a *polytrope*, which is a tropical polytope that is also a classical polytope [11].

Being both tropically and classically convex reveals much about the structure of a polytope. For example, for a given polytrope $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$, Develin and Sturmfels [6] show that there exists a tropical square matrix $C \in \mathbb{T}^{n \times n}$ such that P is given by

$$P = \mathcal{Q}(C) \coloneqq \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1} \mid x_i - x_j \ge c_{ij} \}.$$

In fact, it has been shown that above matrix C satisfies the relation

$$\operatorname{tconv}(C^*) = \mathcal{Q}(C^*) = \mathcal{Q}(C)$$

where $C^* = I_n \oplus C \oplus C^{\odot 2} \oplus \cdots \oplus C^{\odot (n-1)}$ is the *Kleene star* of *C* [19] and the identity matrix for tropical matrix product is given by

$$I_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\infty & \dots & -\infty \\ -\infty & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & -\infty \\ -\infty & \dots & -\infty & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example 4. Consider the matrix

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & -5 \\ -4 & 0 & -\infty \\ 0 & 3 & -\infty \end{pmatrix} \text{ with Kleene star } C^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -5 \\ -4 & 0 & -9 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$



FIGURE 1. The polytrope from Example 4. The three black dots are the tropical vertices while there are two additional pseudovertices

The resulting polytrope is given by the inequalities

$$\begin{array}{ll} x_2 - x_1 \leq -1, & x_3 - x_1 \leq 5, & x_3 - x_2 \geq 3, \\ x_2 - x_1 \geq -4, & x_3 - x_1 \geq 0, & x_3 - x_2 \leq 9. \end{array}$$

While the conversion of a facet description (*h*-description) into a vertex description (*v*-description) of a classical polytope is difficult in general (even exponential in some cases [3]), we can obtain a subset of the classical vertices of a polytrope using the Floyd–Warshall algorithm in time $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$.

To calculate all pseudovertices—that is, all vertices of a polytrope as a classical polytope—we use the following result to calculate the pseudovertices on all line segments between all pairs of tropical vertices [6].

Theorem 5 ([6, Prop. 3]). The tropical line segment between two points $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ is the union of at most d-1 ordinary line segments.

Corollary 6. Given a matrix $C \in \mathbb{T}^{n \times n}$ with P = Q(C), the classical v-description of P may be calculated in $\mathcal{O}(n^3 \log n)$ time.

Proof. The procedure of calculating the breakpoints of a tropical line segment as in Theorem 5 requires sorting the vector of differences x - y, which can be done in $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ time. To find all pseudovertices of P, we need to calculate the breakpoints of all line segments between all pairs of tropical vertices.

The tropical vertices of P are obtained by computing the Kleene star C^* . Then, we need to compute the breakpoints of n^2 tropical line segments which involves a sorting operation costing $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ time. This means the total time required to compute all breakpoints is $\mathcal{O}(n^3 \log n)$.

It turns out that the metric balls for the tropical metric are polytropes. We give a variant of the construction of the corresponding matrix C due to Joswig [10, Example 6.39] as it will prove useful for explicit computations.

Lemma 7. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ and $r \ge 0$. The tropical ball $B_{tr}(y,r)$ is a polytrope.

Proof. First, assume that y = (0, ..., 0). Then $x \in \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ satisfying

$$d_{\mathrm{tr}}(x,0) = \max_{1 \le i < j \le n} \left| x_i - x_j \right| \le r$$

is equivalent to

$$x_i - x_j \ge -r$$
, and $x_j - x_i \ge -r$, (1)

which is exactly the polytrope given by the matrix

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -r & \dots & -r \\ -r & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & -r \\ -r & \dots & -r & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now, we can reduce the case of arbitrary center $y \in \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ to the above case by translation

$$B_{tr}(y,r) - y = B_{tr}(0,r).$$

Inspecting the inequalities in (1) gives the matrix C with entries

$$c_{ij} = -r + y_i - y_j$$

representing $B_{tr}(y, r)$ as a polytrope.

3. Defining Tropical Fréchet Means

With the setup and relevant results outlined in the previous section, we now define our main object of interest. A tropical Fréchet mean of P is a point \tilde{x} that minimizes the sum of squares of the tropical distances from \tilde{x} to every point in P.

Definition 8. For a finite set P of points $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m \in \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$, any point $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ minimizing the objective function

$$c(x) \coloneqq \sum_{j=1}^{m} d_{\mathrm{tr}}^2(\tilde{x}, p_j) \tag{2}$$

is a tropical Fréchet mean of P.

First, we note that any finite set $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ indeed admits a tropical Fréchet mean.

Lemma 9. For any finite set P of points $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m \in \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$, there exists at least one tropical Fréchet mean $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$.

Proof. The tropical projective torus equipped with the tropical metric is a proper metric space (i.e., every closed, bounded subspace is compact) [16]. Thus, the existence of the tropical Fréchet mean is guaranteed [18]. \Box

We now show a convexity result for the tropical distance—namely, that the squared tropical distance to a fixed point is a convex function. In other words, the squared tropical distance of a convex combination is less than or equal to the convex combination of the squared tropical distances.

Lemma 10. Let $x, y, p \in \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{R}^1$. Set $d_{\lambda} = d_{tr}(\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)x, p)$ for $0 \le \lambda \le 1$. Then

- (i) $d_{\lambda}^2 \leq \lambda \cdot d_1^2 + (1 \lambda) \cdot d_0^2$; and
- (ii) If equality in (i) holds for $0 < \lambda < 1$, then $d_0 = d_1$.

Proof. Since the tropical metric is invariant under translation, we may assume $p = \tilde{0}$. Then, by Definition 1,

$$d_{\lambda} = \max_{1 \le i \le n} (\lambda y_i + (1 - \lambda) x_i) - \min_{1 \le i \le n} (\lambda y_i + (1 - \lambda) x_i).$$

For given λ , there exist indices i, i' such that

$$d_{\lambda} = (\lambda y_i + (1 - \lambda)x_i) - (\lambda y_{i'} + (1 - \lambda)x_{i'}) = \lambda (y_i - y_{i'}) + (1 - \lambda)(x_i - x_{i'}).$$
(3)

Note that $|y_i - y_{i'}| \le d_1$ and $|x_i - x_{i'}| \le d_0$, so we get the following inequality:

$$d_{\lambda}^{2} = (\lambda(y_{i} - y_{i'}) + (1 - \lambda)(x_{i} - x_{i'}))^{2}$$

$$\leq (\lambda d_{1} + (1 - \lambda)d_{0})^{2}$$

$$= \lambda^{2} d_{1}^{2} + (1 - \lambda)^{2} d_{0}^{2} + \lambda(1 - \lambda) \cdot 2d_{1}d_{0}$$

$$\leq \lambda^{2} d_{1}^{2} + (1 - \lambda)^{2} d_{0}^{2} + \lambda(1 - \lambda)(d_{1}^{2} + d_{0}^{2})$$

$$= \lambda d_{1}^{2} + (1 - \lambda)d_{0}^{2},$$
(4)

which proves (i). For (ii), suppose $0 < \lambda < 1$ and the equality $d_0 = d_1$ holds. Then $\lambda(1 - \lambda) \cdot 2d_1d_0 = \lambda(1 - \lambda)(d_1^2 + d_0^2)$, thus $(d_1 - d_0)^2 = 0$, so (ii) holds.

It turns out that knowing one Fréchet mean for a finite set P already provides very significant information on all other possible Fréchet means for P. This results in the following characterization in terms of the tropical distance.

Theorem 11. For a finite set P of points $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m \in \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$, there exist constants $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_m \geq 0$ such that for any Fréchet mean \tilde{x} of P and $1 \leq j \leq m$, we have $d_{tr}(\tilde{x}, p_j) = d_j$.

Proof. If P has a unique Fréchet mean, the claim is immediate. Otherwise, suppose \tilde{x} and \tilde{y} are two different Fréchet means of P. This means

$$C \coloneqq \sum_{j=1}^m d_{\mathrm{tr}}^2(\tilde{x}, p_j) = \sum_{j=1}^m d_{\mathrm{tr}}^2(\tilde{y}, p_j),$$

is minimal among all points in $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$. Hence, for any $0 < \lambda < 1$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} d_{\rm tr}^2(\lambda \tilde{y} + (1-\lambda)\tilde{x}, p_j) \ge C.$$

By Lemma 10.(i), we get for $1 \le j \le m$ that

$$d_{\rm tr}^2(\lambda \tilde{y} + (1-\lambda)\tilde{x}, \ p_j) \le \lambda \cdot d_{\rm tr}^2(\tilde{y}, p_j) + (1-\lambda) \cdot d_{\rm tr}^2(\tilde{x}, p_j), \tag{5}$$

and summing over j gives

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} d_{\rm tr}^2 (\lambda \tilde{y} + (1-\lambda)\tilde{x}, \ p_j) \le \lambda C + (1-\lambda)C = C.$$

Hence all inequalities in (5) are equalities. It follows by Lemma 10.(ii) that $d_{tr}(\tilde{x}, p_j) = d_{tr}(\tilde{y}, p_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. This means the constants d_j are given by $d_j = d_{tr}(\tilde{x}, p_j)$.

3.1. The Fréchet Mean Polytrope. As a consequence of Theorem 11, the set \overline{P} of all possible Fréchet means lies in the intersection of tropical balls. We can actually show the converse and characterize \overline{P} as this intersection of metric balls. This justifies the following terminology.

Definition 12. For a finite set $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ of points, we denote the set of Fréchet means of P by \overline{P} and call it the *Fréchet mean polytrope* (or *FM polytrope* for short) of P.

Corollary 13. For a finite set $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$, the set \overline{P} is a polytrope in $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1} \cong \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. In particular, \overline{P} is bounded in $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$.

Proof. From Theorem 11, we get constants d_1, \ldots, d_m such that every Fréchet mean \tilde{x} of P satisfies $d_{tr}(\tilde{x}, p_j) = d_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. Then \tilde{x} belongs to the closed balls $B_{tr}(p_j, d_j)$ for every $1 \leq j \leq m$, meaning $\overline{P} \subseteq \bigcap_{j=1}^m B_{tr}(p_j, d_j)$.

Conversely, if a point \tilde{y} satisfies the inequalities $d_{tr}(\tilde{y}, p_j) \leq d_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} d_{\rm tr}^2(\tilde{y}, p_j) \le \sum_{j=1}^{m} d_j^2.$$
(6)

The sum of squares on the right hand side of (6) is minimal, so \tilde{y} is already a Fréchet mean of p, meaning $\overline{P} = \bigcap_{j=1}^{m} B_{tr}(p_j, d_j)$.

Finally, every closed ball centered at p_j with radius d_j is defined by finitely many linear inequalities of the form $x_i - x_k \leq c_{k,ik}$ and it is bounded in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . Thus, the intersection of finitely many bounded polytropes is still a bounded polytrope, which completes our proof. \Box

3.2. Case Study: Two Points. We first investigate the case of computing the tropical Fréchet mean for two points in $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$, thus the sample size is m = 2. It turns out these Fréchet means are closely related to tropical geodesics.

Definition 14. For points $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$, the tropical line segment between them is

$$\Gamma_{x,y}^{\mathrm{tr}} \coloneqq \{ a \odot x \oplus b \odot y \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R} \} \subset \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{R} \mathbf{1}.$$

Tropical line segments are geodesics, but these are not the only geodesics; in fact, there are infinitely many geodesics between any two given points [17].

Proposition 15. The midpoint of a tropical geodesic Γ_{p_1,p_2} between two points $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ is a tropical Fréchet mean. Conversely, every tropical Fréchet mean of $P = \{p_1, p_2\}$ is the midpoint of some geodesic Γ_{p_1,p_2} .



FIGURE 2. This figure shows the intersection of balls centered at $P = \{(0,0,8), (0,2,4), (0,5,3), (0,10,2)\}$. The associated Fréchet mean polytrope \overline{P} is the line segment from (0,3,3) and (0,4,4) and the minimal sum of squares is 34.

Proof. Let $\ell = d_{tr}(p_1, p_2)$. For any point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$, we have

$$d_{\rm tr}(x,p_1)^2 + d_{\rm tr}(x,p_2)^2 \ge \frac{(d_{\rm tr}(x,p_1) + d_{\rm tr}(x,p_2))^2}{2} \\ \ge \frac{d_{\rm tr}(p_1,p_2)^2}{2} = \frac{\ell^2}{2}.$$
(7)

If m is the midpoint of Γ_{p_1,p_2} , then

$$d_{\rm tr}(m, p_1) = d_{\rm tr}(m, p_2) = \frac{\ell}{2}.$$

Therefore (7) is an equality and m is a Fréchet mean of P.

Conversely, if \tilde{x} is a Fréchet mean of P, then all equalities in (7) must hold. Hence $d_{tr}(\tilde{x}, p_1) + d_{tr}(\tilde{x}, p_2) = \ell$ and $d_{tr}(\tilde{x}, p_1) = d_{tr}(\tilde{x}, p_2)$, which means $d_{tr}(\tilde{x}, p_1) = d_{tr}(\tilde{x}, p_2) = \frac{\ell}{2}$. By definition of d_{tr} , there exists a tropical geodesic from p_i to \tilde{x} with length $\frac{\ell}{2}$ for i = 1, 2, so their concatenation is a tropical geodesic from p_1 to p_2 and \tilde{x} is its midpoint.

4. Computing Tropical Fréchet Means

In this section, we focus on computational aspects of solving the optimization problem (2) to obtain tropical Fréchet means.

As a consequence of Corollary 13, we may compute the FM polytrope for a finite set $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ in the following manner:

- (1) Compute one Fréchet mean \tilde{x} ;
- (2) Compute the constants d_j from Theorem 11 from \tilde{x} ;
- (3) Compute the intersection of the tropical balls $B_{tr}(p_j, d_j)$ centered at each $p_j \in P$ with radius d_j .

From Lemma 7, we know that each $B_{tr}(p_j, d_j)$ is a polytrope, which simplifies the calculation of the intersection in Step (3). In that case, the FM polytrope \overline{P} itself is a polytrope represented by the matrix $\overline{C} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with entries

$$\bar{c}_{ij} = \max_{1 \le k \le m} -d_k + p_{k,i} - p_{k,j}.$$
(8)

Proposition 16. Given a tropical Fréchet mean \tilde{x} for a finite set $P = \{p_1, \ldots, p_m\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$, an h-description of the associated Fréchet mean polytrope \overline{P} can be computed in $\mathcal{O}(mn^2)$ time. A tropical v-description of \overline{P} can be computed in $\mathcal{O}(mn^2 + n^3)$ time and the classical v-description may be computed in $\mathcal{O}(mn^2 + n^3 \log n)$ time.

Proof. Computing the *h*-description of \overline{P} using (8) means we need to find the minimum of *m* values, which can be done in linear time. Finding the *h*-description in terms of the matrix \overline{C} requires $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ -many operations, resulting in a $\mathcal{O}(mn^2)$ runtime.

To obtain a tropical v-description from \overline{C} , we need to compute the Kleene star \overline{C}^* , for which we can use the Floyd–Warshall algorithm, resulting in a total runtime of $\mathcal{O}(mn^2 + n^3)$ when starting from a tropical Fréchet mean.

Finally, computing the classical v-description using Theorem 5 requires $\mathcal{O}(n^3 \log n)$ time, totaling in $\mathcal{O}(mn^2 + n^3 \log n)$ time.

A clear advantage to this approach is that the computation of the *h*-description is *embar*rassingly parallelizable.

It remains to compute an initial Fréchet mean for Step (1). This itself is an intricate matter given its nature as a quadratic optimization problem, which we now discuss.

4.1. Exact Quadratic Optimization. In order to compute an initial Fréchet mean directly, we need the exact solution to a piecewise quadratic minimization problem. We may break down this problem since the objective function is always the maximum of finitely many quadratic functions over \mathbb{R} . We illustrate this fact with the following example.

Example 17. Let n = m = 3 and

$$P = \{p_1, p_2, p_3\} = \{(-3, 0, 0), (0, -6, 0), (0, 0, -12)\}.$$

We claim that $(0,0,-1) \in \overline{P}$ and the corresponding minimal sum of squares is 186:

$$d_{\rm tr}^2((0,0,-1),p_1) = [(-3-0) - (0-(-1))]^2 = 4^2 = 16,$$

$$d_{\rm tr}^2((0,0,-1),p_2) = [(-6-0) - (0-(-1))]^2 = 7^2 = 49,$$

$$d_{\rm tr}^2((0,0,-1),p_3) = [(-12-(-1)) - (0-0)]^2 = 11^2 = 121,$$

$$\implies 16 + 49 + 121 = 186.$$

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$, the squared tropical distances between x and each point $p_i \in P$ admit the following expressions.

$$d_{tr}^{2}(x, p_{1}) = \max\left((x_{1} - x_{2} + 3)^{2}, (x_{1} - x_{3} + 3)^{2}, (x_{2} - x_{3})^{2}\right),$$

$$d_{tr}^{2}(x, p_{2}) = \max\left((x_{1} - x_{2} - 6)^{2}, (x_{1} - x_{3})^{2}, (x_{2} - x_{3} + 6)^{2}\right),$$

$$d_{tr}^{2}(x, p_{3}) = \max\left((x_{1} - x_{2})^{2}, (x_{1} - x_{3} - 12)^{2}, (x_{2} - x_{3} - 12)^{2}\right).$$



FIGURE 3. The tropical Fréchet mean is an intersection of tropical spheres. We visualise points in $\mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ by taking the representative with zero as its first coordinate. The figure shows the unique Fréchet mean (0, 0, -1) of the points from Example 17.

The objective function for the tropical Fréchet mean is the maximum of 27 quadratic functions in real variables x_1, x_2, x_3 for each possible combination of the components of each $d_{tr}^2(\tilde{x}, p_j)$. Note that each x_i^2 has a nonnegative coefficient.

First, we show that the maximum of these 27 functions is always at least 186. To see this, we use the following lower bounds on each $d_{tr}^2(\tilde{x}, p_j)$.

$$d_{\rm tr}^2(x,p_1) \ge (x_1 - x_3 + 3)^2,$$

$$d_{\rm tr}^2(x,p_2) \ge (x_2 - x_3 + 6)^2,$$

$$d_{\rm tr}^2(x,p_3) \ge \frac{4}{11}(x_1 - x_3 - 12)^2 + \frac{7}{11}(x_2 - x_3 - 12)^2.$$
(9)

These lower bounds allow us to estimate the sum of squares as claimed.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} d_{tr}^{2}(x, p_{i}) \ge (x_{1} - x_{3} + 3)^{2} + (x_{2} - x_{3} + 6)^{2} + \frac{4}{11}(x_{1} - x_{3} - 12)^{2} + \frac{7}{11}(x_{2} - x_{3} - 12)^{2}$$

$$= \frac{3}{11} \left[5x_{1}^{2} - 10x_{1}x_{3} + 6x_{2}^{2} - 12x_{2}x_{3} + 11x_{3}^{2} - 10x_{1} - 12x_{2} + 22x_{3} + 693 \right]$$

$$= \frac{3}{11} \left[5(x_{1} - x_{3} - 1)^{2} + 6(x_{2} - x_{3} - 1)^{2} + 682 \right]$$

$$\ge \frac{3}{11} \cdot 682 = 186.$$

Surprisingly, it is always possible to find such a certificate. Note that all quadratic functions that appeared in the computation of the tropical Fréchet mean in Example 17 are sums of

squares of linear functions, so their homogeneous degree 2 components are also sums of squares. We show that it suffices to check all lower bounds that may be expressed as in (9). Indeed, this is implied by our following general result.

Theorem 18. Let $f_1, \ldots, f_\ell \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be quadratic polynomials with nonnegative degree 2 homogeneous components $f_{j,2}$. Define a function $\overline{f} \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\bar{f}(x) \coloneqq \max_{j \in [\ell]} f_j(x)$$

and let $c^* := \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \overline{f}(x)$. Then there exist nonnegative weights $w_1, \ldots, w_\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} w_j f_j(x) \ge c^*, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and $\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} w_j = 1.$

Proof. Since $f_{j,2}(x) \ge 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we also have $f_{j,2}(x-a) \ge 0$ for any $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Thus we may assume that \overline{f} is minimal at 0, meaning $\overline{f}(0) = c^*$.

Now for $1 \leq j \leq \ell$, write

$$f_{j}(x) = f_{j,2}(x) + f_{j,1}(x) + f_{j,0}(x)$$

= $f_{j,2}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ji}x_{i} + b_{j},$ (10)

where $a_{ji}, b_j \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $f_j(0) \leq \overline{f}(0) = c^*$ and by assumption $f_{j,2}(x) \geq c^*$, we have $b_j \leq c^*$, and at least one of the $f_j(0)$ assumes the value c^* . After reordering, there is an index $j^* \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}$ such that

$$b_1 = \dots = b_{j^*} = c^*$$
 and $b_{j^*+1}, \dots, b_{\ell} < c^*$.

Since the f_j are continuous functions, small perturbations $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ of 0 still satisfy

$$\bar{f}(x) = \max_{1 \le j \le \ell} f_j(x) = \max_{1 \le j \le j^*} f_j(x).$$
(11)

Claim. For any vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the homogeneous linear parts of each f_j satisfy

$$\max_{1 \le j \le j^*} f_{j,1}(v) = \max_{1 \le j \le j^*} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ji} v_i \ge 0.$$
(12)

To see this, suppose there exists $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that for every $1 \leq j \leq j^*$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ji} v_i < c^*.$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, we let $x'_i = v_i \cdot \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then for $1 \leq j \leq j^*$, we have

$$f_j(x'_1, \dots, x'_n) = f_{j,2}(x'_1, \dots, x'_n) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ji}v_i\right) \cdot \varepsilon + c^*$$
$$= C_{i,v}\varepsilon^2 + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ji}v_i\right) \cdot \varepsilon + c^*,$$

where $C_{i,v}$ is a constant that only depends on $f_{j,2}$ and v. When $\varepsilon > 0$ and $|\varepsilon|$ is small enough, (11) implies $f_j(x'_1, \ldots, x'_n) < c^*$, hence $\bar{f}(x'_1, \ldots, x'_n) < c^*$. This is a contradiction to 0 being the global minimum of \bar{f} which proves (12).

Now, let $A = (a_{ji}) \in \mathbb{R}^{j^* \times n}$ and $\mathbf{1} = (1, \ldots, 1)^{\mathbb{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^{j^*}$. By (12) the matrix inequality

$$Av \le -c^* \mathbf{1} \tag{13}$$

has no solution $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Farkas' Lemma [23, Proposition 1.7] implies that there exists a vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^{j^*}$ such that $y^{\mathbb{T}} \ge 0$, $y^{\mathbb{T}} \cdot A = 0$, and $y^{\mathbb{T}} \cdot c^* \mathbf{1} > 0$. Hence $y_j \ge 0$, $\sum_{j=1}^{j^*} c^* y_j > 0$ and for $1 \le i \le n$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{j^*} a_{ji} y_j = 0.$$
(14)

Finally, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{j^*} y_j f_j(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{j^*} y_j f_{j,2}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{j^*} y_j f_{j,1}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{j^*} y_j c^*$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{j^*} y_j f_{j,2}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{j^*} y_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ji} x_i\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{j^*} y_j c^*$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{j^*} y_j f_{j,2}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^{j^*} y_j a_{ji}\right) x_i + \sum_{j=1}^{j^*} y_j c^*$$

$$\stackrel{(14)}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{j^*} y_j f_{j,2}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{j^*} y_j c^*.$$

Hence $\sum_{j=1}^{j^*} y_j f_j(x) \ge \sum_{j=1}^{j^*} y_j c^*$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. So we can normalize the y_j and take

$$w_j = \begin{cases} \frac{y_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{j^*} y_j} & \text{if } 1 \le j \le j^*, \text{ and} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

which concludes the proof.

We remark that a widely-used method for calculating Fréchet means in the classical setting is *Sturm's algorithm* [21]. However, a key assumption required to implement this algorithm requires the space to be nonpositively curved, which is an assumption that fails in the tropical projective torus.

In fact, the curvature behavior of the tropical projective torus is known to be complicated [1] since regions of all positive, negative, and undefined curvature exist throughout the tropical projective torus. This affects the output of Sturm's algorithm even in negatively curved settings which we illustrate with the following example, taken from Matteo [15].

Example 19. Consider the set

$$P_1 := \{(0,0,0), (0,2,4), (0,5,1)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3 / \mathbb{R} \mathbf{1}.$$

Figure 4 shows the tropical geodesic triangle, where the edges between the points in P_1 are tropical line segments; this is a triangle with negative curvature in the sense of Alexandrov



FIGURE 4. Tropical skinny triangle in the sense of Alexandrov. The correct Fréchet mean is shown in green, whereas Sturm's algorithm converges to a wrong point (in red).

[1]. The Fréchet mean (0, 2, 1) is marked in green; Sturm's algorithm converges after 46274 iterations to an incorrect minimum, marked in red.

The failure of Sturm's algorithm motivates the need to search for an alternative algorithm that can compute tropical Fréchet means.

4.2. Reduced Gradient Algorithm. The problem of computing an initial tropical Fréchet mean has the following properties that make it amenable to a *reduced gradient algorithm* [8]. First, the objective function is piecewise quadratic, since

- any function $(x_i x_j + c)^2$ is convex as a composition of convex functions;
- $d_{tr}(x,p)^2$ for fixed $p \in \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ is convex because it is a maximum over functions of the form above; and
- sums of convex functions are also convex.

Any local minimum of a convex function is then a global minimum [2, Section 4.2.2]. This also guarantees that our proposed greedy Algorithm 1 below to compute tropical Fréchet means indeed finds a global minimum.

Algorithm 1 A greedy algorithm to compute a tropical Fréchet mean.
1: procedure GreedyFrechetMean
2: Input: data $P = \{p^{(1)}, \dots, p^{(m)}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{R}1$
3: Output: Fréchet mean \overline{P} and minimum sum of squares S
4: Initialize $v \leftarrow \frac{1}{m}(p^{(1)} + \dots + p^{(m)})$ and $S \leftarrow c(v)$
5: Let $k \leftarrow 1$
6: while S decreases along some direction $e_i - e_j$ do
7: Choose a direction $e_i - e_j$ along which S decreases
8: $v \leftarrow v + \frac{2}{k+2}(e_i - e_j)$
9: Update \tilde{S} and increment k
10: return (v, S)

Using Theorem 18, we obtain at least a set containing the FM polytrope \overline{P} , which is still is a compact convex set.

14 BO LIN, KAMILLO FERRY, CARLOS AMÉNDOLA, ANTHEA MONOD, AND RURIKO YOSHIDA

Also, at any given point, the objective function c is given by a sum of squares of the form $(x_i - x_j - c)^2$. This means that the gradient of c will be a linear combination of vectors $e_i - e_j$. For this reason, it suffices to minimize c along the $(e_i - e_j)$ -directions. Using these observations, we may employ a modified reduced gradient algorithm as in Algorithm 1 to calculate an initial tropical Fréchet mean. The convergence rate of reduced gradient algorithms is known to be sublinear [7].

5. Numerical Experiments

In this section, we discuss the implementation to compute tropical Fréchet means and Fréchet mean polytropes, and present results of numerical experiments.

This study deals with two computational problems: calculating a single Fréchet mean and calculating the FM polytrope from given data. The computation of the latter depends on the former, in other words, to calculate the FM polytrope, we require a single tropical Fréchet mean. The single tropical Fréchet mean may be computed using Algorithm 1, from which the FM polytrope may then be computed using the procedure outlined in Proposition 16.

The computation of the FM polytrope is carried out using exact arithmetic and its accuracy depends on that of the initial Fréchet mean. Our experiments focus on testing this connection and better understanding the robustness of the FM polytrope computation subject to various Fréchet mean initiations.

We employ the solvers Clarabel.jl [9] and Ipopt [22], for which there exists a Julia binding supporting MathOptInterface.

We compute several examples of tropical Fréchet means by hand and then employ the aforementioned solvers to obtain a candidate for a tropical Fréchet mean and compare it to the manually calculated solution. We also compare the performance of optimization according to Section 4.1 to the numerical computation using Algorithm 1.

Example 20. Consider the three points

$$P = \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, 2, \frac{2}{5}, 2, 2\right), \left(2, 2, 2, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{5}\right), \left(\frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, 2, \frac{1}{5}, 2, 2\right) \right\}.$$

Calculating a tropical Fréchet mean numerically with Clarabel gives the following candidate

$$x_1 \approx (-0.773, -0.773, 0.034, -1.048, -0.172, 0.034)$$

which attains a sum of squared tropical distances of

$$c(x_1) \approx 7.280000000839 \dots > 7.28.$$

Calculating the FM polytrope \overline{P} improves on this and instead gives points which exactly attain the sum of squared tropical distances $\frac{182}{25} = 7.28$.

We can moreover certify that the vertices of \overline{P} are indeed tropical Fréchet means using the approach from Theorem 18. The corresponding lower bounds on the tropical distances are given by

$$d_{\rm tr}^2(x,p_1) \ge \left(x_1 - x_3 + \frac{9}{5}\right)^2, \ d_{\rm tr}^2(x,p_2) \ge \left(x_2 - x_5 - \frac{8}{5}\right)^2, \ d_{\rm tr}^2(x,p_3) \ge \left(x_4 - x_6 - \frac{9}{5}\right)^2.$$

Checking for $\bar{x} = \left(-\frac{3}{5}, -\frac{3}{5}, 0, -\frac{4}{5}, 0, 0\right)$ gives the following lower bound for the sum of squares

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{3} d_{tr}^{2}(x,p_{i}) &\geq \left(x_{1} - x_{3} + \frac{9}{5}\right)^{2} + \left(x_{2} - x_{5} - \frac{8}{5}\right)^{2} + \left(x_{4} - x_{6} - \frac{9}{5}\right)^{2} \\ &= x_{1}^{2} - 2x_{1}x_{3} + \frac{18}{5}x_{1} + x_{2}^{2} - 2x_{2}x_{5} - \frac{16}{5}x_{2} + x_{3}^{2} - \frac{18}{5}x_{3} + x_{4}^{2} - 2x_{4}x_{6} \\ &\quad + \frac{18}{5}x_{4} + x_{5}^{2} + \frac{16}{5}x_{5} + x_{6}^{2} - \frac{18}{5}x_{6} + \frac{226}{25} \\ &= \left(x_{1} - x_{3} + \frac{3}{5}\right)^{2} + \left(x_{2} - x_{3} + \frac{3}{5}\right)^{2} + \left(x_{4} + x_{3} + \frac{4}{5}\right)^{2} \\ &\quad + 2\left(x_{4} - x_{5} + \frac{8}{5}\right) + \frac{182}{25} \\ &\geq \frac{182}{25}. \end{split}$$

We also ran experiments for randomly generated data. We generated 10 samples each for dimensions $n \in \{5, 10, 15, 20\}$ and sample sizes $m \in \{n, 2n, 3n\}$. The experiments were run on a MacBook Pro with an 2,4 GHz Apple M2 Max. Each computation was allotted a maximum of 16GB of memory.

The average runtime for each dimension and sample size is shown in Figure 5. In our experiments we found that in general the numerically obtained Fréchet mean is very close to the actual tropical Fréchet mean, up to an error of order 10^{-5} .

Software Availability. Our implementation in Julia for computing tropical Fréchet means is freely available at





FIGURE 5. Average timings of calculating tropical Fréchet means for random samples in dimension $n \in \{5, 10, 15, 20\}$ and sample sizes $m \in \{n, 2n, 3n\}$.

6. DISCUSSION

In this paper, we studied the optimization problem of computing Fréchet means under the tropical metric in the tropical projective torus. Tropical Fréchet means are in general not unique; we give a characterization of the set of all tropical Fréchet means as a classical and tropical convex polytope, and prove existence of a nonnegative certificate for the computation of tropical Fréchet means. We studied the exact computation of tropical Fréchet means and the tropical Fréchet means polytrope; we proposed and implemented an algorithm to compute tropical Fréchet means and tested it numerically. We now discuss several future research directions.

We have seen that the behavior of the FM polytrope for a given sample is widely varying, ranging from unique Fréchet means to polytropes of different dimensions. As the intersection of boundaries of tropical balls, it is immediate that the FM polytrope must have codimension at least one. Beyond this observation, it is not clear how to predict the dimension of the FM polytrope. Thus, criteria for the expected dimension of an FM polytrope, or even uniqueness of tropical Fréchet means is an interesting question for further study.

With a view towards statistical applications, it is also reasonable to consider tropical Fréchet means up to an error or ε . In this setting, the constants provided by Theorem 11 become intervals, and the FM polytrope is obtained as the intersection of ε -thickenings of tropical balls. Joswig and Schröter [12] studied a parameterized version of polytopes and the question of finding the feasible set of weights for a given parameterization such that the resulting polytrope is nonempty. This work could serve as a starting point for computing a FM polytrope up to an error and computing tropical Fréchet means from real data.

The classical definition of Fréchet means involves the minimization of a sum of squared distances. This may also be generalized to sums of arbitrary positive powers—that is, finding points minimizing the objective function

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} d_{\mathrm{tr}}^{q}(\tilde{x}, p_{j}),$$

leading to a notion of tropical q-Fréchet means. For q = 1, this recovers the tropical Fermat– Weber problem as has been observed by Comăneci [4] and previously studied by Lin and Yoshida [13]. A natural question then follows on the properties of tropical q-Fréchet means for $q \neq 1, 2$ and how existing results on tropical Fermat–Weber points and those established in this paper on tropical Fréchet means may be generalized to the arbitrary q setting. The cases q = 1, 2constitute tropically convex location problems in the sense of Comăneci [4], which then opens the question of whether the remaining cases also exhibit tropical convexity in the same sense and whether they may be studied in the same framework.

In summary, our work advances the understanding of statistical and optimization problems in tropical geometry and opens new avenues for algorithmic approaches to geometric data analysis in non-Euclidean spaces. The characterization of the tropical Fréchet mean polytope has potential implications in applications where tropical structures arise naturally, including computational biology, optimization, and machine learning.

REFERENCES

Acknowledgments

We would like to extend special thanks to Ariff Jazlan Johan for contributing to Algorithm 1 and helping with implementations. We also wish to thank Marco Botte, Stephan Huckemann, Beatrice Matteo, and Roan Talbut for helpful discussions.

C. A. and K. F. are funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy – The Berlin Mathematics Research Center MATH+ (EXC-2046/1, project ID: 390685689). A. M. is supported by the UK Research and Innovation: Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council under grant reference [EP/Y028872/1].

References

- Carlos Améndola and Anthea Monod. "An invitation to tropical Alexandrov curvature". en. In: Algebraic Statistics 14.2 (2023), pp. 181–214. ISSN: 2693-3004, 2693-2997. DOI: 10.2140/astat.2023.14.181 (cit. on p. 12).
- [2] Stephen P. Boyd and Lieven Vandenberghe. *Convex optimization*. Cambridge, UK ; New York: Cambridge University Press, 2004. 716 pp. ISBN: 978-0-521-83378-3 (cit. on p. 13).
- [3] D. Bremner. "Incremental Convex Hull Algorithms Are Not Output Sensitive". In: Discrete & Computational Geometry 21.1 (1999), pp. 57–68. ISSN: 0179-5376. DOI: 10.1007/PL00009410 (cit. on p. 4).
- [4] Andrei Comăneci. "Tropical convexity in location problems". In: Mathematical Methods of Operations Research (2024). ISSN: 1432-5217. DOI: 10.1007/s00186-024-00869-w (cit. on pp. 2, 16).
- [5] Andrei Comăneci and Michael Joswig. "Tropical medians by transportation". In: Math. Program. 205.1-2 (2024), pp. 813–839. ISSN: 0025-5610,1436-4646. DOI: 10.1007/s10107-023-01996-8 (cit. on p. 2).
- [6] Mike Develin and Bernd Sturmfels. "Tropical convexity". In: Documenta Mathematica 9 (2004), pp. 1–27. ISSN: 1431-0635, 1431-0643. DOI: 10.4171/dm/154 (cit. on pp. 3, 4).
- J.C Dunn and S Harshbarger. "Conditional gradient algorithms with open loop step size rules". In: *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 62.2 (1978), pp. 432–444. ISSN: 0022-247X. DOI: 10.1016/0022-247X(78)90137-3 (cit. on p. 14).
- [8] Marguerite Frank and Philip Wolfe. "An algorithm for quadratic programming". In: Naval Research Logistics Quarterly 3.1 (Mar. 1956), pp. 95–110. ISSN: 0028-1441, 1931-9193. DOI: 10.1002/nav.3800030109 (cit. on p. 13).
- [9] Paul J. Goulart and Yuwen Chen. Clarabel: An interior-point solver for conic programs with quadratic objectives. 2024. arXiv: 2405.12762 [math.OC] (cit. on p. 14).
- [10] Michael Joswig. Essentials of tropical combinatorics. Vol. 219. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 2021. DOI: 10.1090/gsm/219 (cit. on pp. 2, 5).
- [11] Michael Joswig and Katja Kulas. "Tropical and ordinary convexity combined". In: Advances in Geometry 10.2 (2010), pp. 333-352. ISSN: 1615-7168. DOI: 10.1515/advgeom. 2010.012 (cit. on p. 3).

REFERENCES

- [12] Michael Joswig and Benjamin Schröter. "Parametric Shortest-Path Algorithms via Tropical Geometry". In: *Mathematics of Operations Research* 47.3 (2022), pp. 2065–2081. ISSN: 0364-765X. DOI: 10.1287/moor.2021.1199 (cit. on p. 16).
- [13] Bo Lin and Ruriko Yoshida. "Tropical Fermat–Weber Points". en. In: SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics 32.2 (2018), pp. 1229–1245. ISSN: 0895-4801, 1095-7146. DOI: 10.1137/16M1071122 (cit. on pp. 2, 16).
- [14] Diane Maclagan and Bernd Sturmfels. Introduction to Tropical Geometry. Vol. 161. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. Providence, Rhode Island: American Mathematical Society, 2015. ISBN: 978-0-8218-5198-2. DOI: 10.1090/gsm/161 (cit. on p. 1).
- [15] Beatrice Matteo. "Fréchet Means in Tropical Geometry". MA thesis. London, UK: Imperial College London, 2021 (cit. on p. 12).
- [16] Ezra Miller, Megan Owen, and J. Scott Provan. Polyhedral computational geometry for averaging metric phylogenetic trees. 2014. arXiv: 1211.7046 [math.MG] (cit. on p. 5).
- [17] Anthea Monod, Bo Lin, Ruriko Yoshida, and Qiwen Kang. Tropical Geometry of Phylogenetic Tree Space: A Statistical Perspective. 2022. arXiv: 1805.12400 [math.MG] (cit. on pp. 1, 7).
- [18] Shin-ichi Ohta. "Barycenters in Alexandrov spaces of curvature bounded below". In: Advances in Geometry 12 (Oct. 2012), pp. 571–587. DOI: 10.1515/advgeom-2011-058 (cit. on p. 5).
- [19] María Jesús de la Puente. "On tropical Kleene star matrices and alcoved polytopes". In: *Kybernetika* 49.6 (2013), pp. 897–910. ISSN: 0023-5954. DOI: 10338.dmlcz/143578 (cit. on p. 3).
- [20] John Sabol, David Barnhill, Ruriko Yoshida, and Keiji Miura. Tropical Fermat-Weber Polytropes. 2024. arXiv: 2402.14287 [math.CO] (cit. on p. 2).
- [21] Karl-Theodor Sturm. "Probability measures on metric spaces of nonpositive curvature". In: Heat kernels and analysis on manifolds, graphs, and metric spaces (Paris, 2002). Vol. 338. Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003, pp. 357–390. ISBN: 0-8218-3383-9. DOI: 10.1090/conm/338/06080 (cit. on p. 12).
- [22] Andreas Wächter and Lorenz T. Biegler. "On the implementation of an interior-point filter line-search algorithm for large-scale nonlinear programming". In: *Math. Program.* 106.1 (2006), pp. 25–57. ISSN: 0025-5610,1436-4646. DOI: 10.1007/s10107-004-0559-y (cit. on p. 14).
- [23] Günter M Ziegler. Lectures on polytopes. Vol. 152. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012 (cit. on p. 12).

BO LIN, GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, USA

KAMILLO FERRY, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY BERLIN, GERMANY *Email address*, Corresponding author: ferry@math.tu-berlin.de

CARLOS AMÉNDOLA, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY BERLIN, GERMANY

ANTHEA MONOD, IMPERIAL COLLEGE LONDON, UK

Ruriko Yoshida, Naval Postgraduate School, USA

18