

EXPONENTIAL SUMS WEIGHTED BY ADDITIVE FUNCTIONS

AYLA GAFNI AND NICOLAS ROBLES

ABSTRACT. We introduce a general class \mathcal{F}_0 of additive functions f such that $f(p) = 1$ and prove a tight bound for exponential sums of the form $\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) e(\alpha n)$ where $f \in \mathcal{F}_0$ and $e(\theta) = \exp(2\pi i\theta)$. Both ω , the number of distinct primes of n , and Ω , the total number primes of n , are members of \mathcal{F}_0 . As an application of the exponential sum result, we use the Hardy-Littlewood circle method to find the asymptotics of the Goldbach-Vinogradov ternary problem associated to Ω , namely we show the behavior of $r_\Omega(N) = \sum_{n_1+n_2+n_3=N} \Omega(n_1)\Omega(n_2)\Omega(n_3)$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Lastly, we end with a discussion of further applications of the main result.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an arithmetic function and consider the exponential sum

$$(1.1) \quad S_f(\alpha; X) := \sum_{n \leq X} f(n) e(\alpha n),$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and X a positive real number. Here we use the standard notation $e(\theta) = e^{2\pi i\theta}$. Exponential sums of this form are ubiquitous throughout mathematics, and obtaining nontrivial bounds for these sums is central to many topics in analytic number theory. Indeed, at the heart of the Hardy-Littlewood circle method is Weyl's inequality, which can be viewed as a bound on $S_f(\alpha; X)$ where f is the indicator function of a monic polynomial [22, Theorem 4.1].

If $f = \Lambda$, the von Mangoldt function, then Vinogradov [23] showed that

$$S_\Lambda(\alpha, X) \ll \left(\frac{X}{q^{1/2}} + X^{4/5} + X^{1/2} q^{1/2} \right) (\log X)^4,$$

for real α such that $|\alpha - \frac{a}{q}| \leq q^{-2}$ with $(a, q) = 1$. In the same spirit, the case $f = \mu$, the Möbius function, was considered by Davenport [5], and $f = \mu * \mu$ was considered in [1]. The situation for $f = \sigma_{k_1, k_2}$, the generalized divisor function, can be found in [17] for $k_1 = k_2 = 0$ and in [2]. General bounds when f is a multiplicative function with certain growth conditions were treated in [16].

Our objective in this note is to study (1.1) when f is an additive function and solve an associated problem in the theory of partitions as an application.

1.1. Exponential sums with additive coefficients. The main result of this paper is a bound on $S_f(\alpha; X)$ for f belonging to a broad class of *additive functions*. Recall that an arithmetic function f is called additive if it satisfies the condition $f(ab) = f(a) + f(b)$ when $(a, b) = 1$.

Definition 1.1. We define \mathcal{F}_0 to be the set of all additive functions f satisfying $f(p) = 1$ for each prime p .

Example 1.1. Let $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ denote the representation of n as a product of powers of distinct primes and define $\Omega_k(n) = \alpha_1^k + \alpha_2^k + \cdots + \alpha_r^k$ where k is an arbitrary nonnegative integer, see [10]. The function $f = \Omega_k$ is a significant example of f in the class \mathcal{F}_0 . In particular, $\Omega_0 = \omega$, the number of distinct prime divisors of n and $\Omega_1 = \Omega$, the total number of prime divisors of n , honoring multiplicity.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 11L03, 11L07, 11L20. Secondary: 11P55, 11P82.

Keywords and phrases: additive functions, weights associated to partitions, Hardy-Littlewood circle method, Goldbach problem, Vinogradov bound, exponential sums.

The class \mathcal{F}_0 is related, but not identical, to the idea of ‘small’ and ‘large’ additive functions. ‘Small’ additive functions, as described in [12, § 14.7], are typically functions like $\omega(n) = \sum_{p|n} 1$ and $\Omega(n) = \sum_{p^a||n} \alpha$, whose average order is $C \log \log n$. In contrast, ‘large’ additive functions are typically functions such as

$$(1.2) \quad \beta(n) = \sum_{p|n} p \quad \text{and} \quad B(n) = \sum_{p^a||n} \alpha p.$$

For further discussions, see [7, 14].

Our result on exponential sums of the form (1.1) with $f \in \mathcal{F}_0$ is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(a, q) = 1$ and $|\alpha - a/q| \leq q^{-2}$. Let $f(n)$ be an additive function in \mathcal{F}_0 , and let $F_f(X)$ denote the least upper bound of f on powers of primes up to X . That is,*

$$(1.3) \quad F_f(X) = \max_{p^\ell \leq X} |f(p^\ell)|.$$

Then for any $\Delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ we have

$$(1.4) \quad S_f(\alpha; X) \ll \left(\frac{X}{q^\Delta} + X^{\frac{5}{6}} + X^{1-\Delta} q^\Delta \right) ((\log X)^4 + (\log X) F_f(X)).$$

This result compares well with other similar bounds in the literature. For instance, if we specialize to the representative case $f = \omega$, then Theorem 1.1 yields

$$(1.5) \quad S_\omega(\alpha; X) \ll \left(\frac{X}{q^\Delta} + X^{\frac{5}{6}} + X^{1-\Delta} q^\Delta \right) (\log X)^4$$

for any $\Delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Since $\omega = \mathbf{1} * \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{P}}$, the techniques put forward in [4] are very much applicable. While those techniques are somewhat more versatile as they can be applied to a wider range of arithmetic functions, they yield the slightly weaker bound

$$(1.6) \quad S_\omega(\alpha; X) \ll \frac{X}{q^{\frac{1}{6}}} (\log X)^{\frac{7}{3}} + X^{\frac{16}{17}} (\log X)^{\frac{39}{17}} + X^{\frac{7}{8}} q^{\frac{1}{8}} (\log X)^{\frac{9}{4}}.$$

In comparison, the improvements obtained in [9] yield the tighter bound

$$(1.7) \quad S_\omega(\alpha; X) \ll \frac{X}{q^{\frac{1}{4}}} (\log X)^{\frac{5}{2}} + X^{\frac{6}{7}} (\log X)^{\frac{19}{7}} + X^{\frac{3}{4}} q^{\frac{1}{4}} (\log X)^{\frac{5}{2}}.$$

Moreover, in [3] the methods from [16] were adapted from multiplicative functions to additive functions with specific growth conditions. For ω the bound in [3] is

$$(1.8) \quad S_\omega(\alpha; X) \ll \frac{X \log \log X}{\log X} + \frac{X \log \log X (\log X)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{R^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq R \leq q \leq \frac{X}{R}.$$

The reader is also referred to [9, § 1.2] to see how additive functions can be manufactured from other fundamental arithmetic functions and how their associated exponential sums can be bounded.

For many applications of the circle method, including the application given in this paper, any of the bounds in (1.5), (1.6), (1.7) or (1.8) is enough to handle the minor arcs associated to ω . The reason for this is that when setting up the arcs in our applications we only need q to be a power of $\log X$ and therefore minimal savings suffice in this case. However, in general, it is much more advantageous, and difficult, to decrease the exponent associated to powers of X than it is to decrease the exponent associated to powers of $\log X$. This could be particularly useful in future number theoretic applications outside the realm of the circle method. In addition, Theorem 1.1 offers the added benefit of providing a flexible range for Δ which could be customized for different applications.

1.2. An application to the circle method. To illustrate an application of Theorem 1.1, we introduce the Goldbach-Vinogradov problem. Vinogradov [23] showed that

$$(1.9) \quad S_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{P}}}(\alpha; X) = \sum_{p \leq X} e(\alpha p) \ll \left(\frac{X}{\sqrt{q}} + X^{\frac{4}{5}} + \sqrt{X} \sqrt{q} \right) (\log X)^3,$$

where the sum is taken over primes p . The proof was later simplified considerably by Vaughan [21], see also [6, § 25] and [13, § 23]. Let $\theta(n) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{P}}(n) \log n$. Employing (1.9) along with the Hardy-Littlewood circle method, Vinogradov proved that

$$(1.10) \quad r_{\theta}(N) := \sum_{n_1+n_2+n_3=N} \theta(n_1)\theta(n_2)\theta(n_3) = \mathfrak{S}(N) \frac{N^2}{2} + O\left(\frac{N}{(\log N)^A}\right),$$

for every $A > 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ and where

$$\mathfrak{S}(N) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(q)c_q(N)}{\varphi(q)^3}.$$

Here $c_q(n)$ is the Ramanujan sum and $\varphi(q)$ is the Euler totient function. Using an elementary argument the asymptotic in (1.10) can effectively be rearranged to yield the celebrated result that every sufficiently large odd integer is the sum of three primes.

The object of study in our application of Theorem 1.1 is the Goldbach-Vinogradov problem associated to Ω , which we recall is an additive function in \mathcal{F}_0 . Following the analogy with (1.10), let $r_{\Omega}(N)$ be defined as

$$(1.11) \quad r_{\Omega}(N) := \sum_{n_1+n_2+n_3=N} \Omega(n_1)\Omega(n_2)\Omega(n_3).$$

This corresponds to the number of ways of writing an integer N as the sum of three integers n_1, n_2 and n_3 with solutions weighted based on the number of the total prime divisors of n_1, n_2 and n_3 . We may imagine that the solution is drawn from a multiset wherein each number n occurs with multiplicity $\Omega(n)$.

Theorem 1.2. *For all sufficiently large N and every $A > 0$ and $\Delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$,*

$$(1.12) \quad r_{\Omega}(N) = \mathfrak{S}\left(N, \lfloor A + 4\Delta^{-1}(A+4) \rfloor\right) \frac{N^2}{2} + O\left(\frac{N^2(\log \log N)^3}{(\log N)^A}\right),$$

where the implied constant depends only on A and Δ . Here

$$(1.13) \quad \mathfrak{S}(N, M) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\mathfrak{f}(q; N, M)}{N} \right)^3 c_q(N),$$

is the singular series associated to r_{Ω} and

$$(1.14) \quad \mathfrak{f}(q; N, M) = \frac{N}{q} \left((\Omega * \mu)(q) + \sum_{g|q} \frac{g\mu(g)}{\varphi(g)} \sum_{j=1}^M \frac{P_{j,g}(\log \log \frac{Ng}{q})}{(\log \frac{Ng}{q})^{j-1}} \right)$$

Here $c_q(n)$ is the Ramanujan sum and P_j 's are computable polynomials of degree at most 1.

1.3. Structure of the paper. In Section 2 we prove Theorem 1.1 using elementary tools that gravitate around (1.9) and bypass techniques from probabilistic number theory and sieve theory. Next, in Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.2. This will require some preliminary lemmas that we will establish along the way such as the convergence of the singular series $\mathfrak{S}(N, M)$ in (1.13) as well as the Siegel-Walfisz analogue of the summatory function $\sum_{n \leq X} \Omega(n)$ over arithmetic progressions. This last step will require revisiting the work of Selberg [19] and Delange [8]. We conclude with some additional ideas for future work in Section 4, including an analogous result to Theorem 1.1

for a larger class of additive functions and a variety of partition problems that could be tackled with these exponential sums.

1.4. Notation. Throughout the paper, the expressions $f(X) = O(g(X))$, $f(X) \ll g(X)$, and $g(X) \gg f(X)$ are equivalent to the statement that $|f(X)| \leq (\geq)C|g(X)|$ for all sufficiently large X , where $C > 0$ is an absolute constant. A subscript of the form \ll_α means the implied constant may depend on the parameter α . The Latin character p will always denote a prime and \mathbb{P} the set of primes. The prime zeta function is $P(s) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} p^{-s}$ for $\text{Re}(s) > 1$. We use the common shorthand $e(\theta) = e^{2\pi i\theta}$, and $c_q(x) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \\ (a,q)=1}} e(\frac{ax}{q})$ denotes the Ramanujan sum. Finally, we shall use the convention that δ , ε , and η denote arbitrarily small positive quantities that may not be the same at each occurrence.

2. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. We start with an auxiliary lemma that could be useful outside the scope of the paper.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a non-negative real function, and suppose that there exists $\gamma > 0$, $x \geq 1$, $y \geq 1$, such that G satisfies*

$$(2.1) \quad G(\alpha) \ll xq^{-\gamma} + y + x^{1-\gamma}q^\gamma$$

whenever $|\alpha - a/q| \leq q^{-2}$ and $(q, a) = 1$ with $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then G also satisfies

$$(2.2) \quad G(\alpha) \ll x(q + x|\alpha q - a|)^{-\gamma} + y + x^{1-\gamma}(q + x|\alpha q - a|)^\gamma$$

for all such α, a, q . The implicit constants in (2.1) and (2.2) are uniform with respect to $x, y, \gamma, \alpha, a, q$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and fix $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(q, a) = 1$ and $|\alpha - a/q| \leq q^{-2}$. First observe that if $\alpha = a/q$, then the result is immediate. Thus we can suppose that $\alpha \neq a/q$. Now choose $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(r, b) = 1$, and

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{b}{r} \right| \leq \frac{|\alpha q - a|}{2r} \quad \text{and} \quad r \leq \frac{2}{|\alpha q - a|}.$$

We cannot have $a/q = b/r$, for then we would have $|\alpha - a/q| = |\alpha - b/r| = |\alpha - a/q|/2$ and so $\alpha = a/q$ which is expressly excluded. Thus

$$\frac{1}{qr} \leq \left| \frac{b}{r} - \frac{a}{q} \right| \leq \left| \alpha - \frac{a}{q} \right| + \left| \alpha - \frac{b}{r} \right| \leq \left| \alpha - \frac{a}{q} \right| + \frac{q}{2r} \left| \alpha - \frac{a}{q} \right| \leq \left| \alpha - \frac{a}{q} \right| + \frac{1}{2rq}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{2|\alpha q - a|} \leq r \leq \frac{2}{|\alpha q - a|}.$$

Thus by (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(\alpha) &\ll \min \{ xq^{-\gamma} + y + x^{1-\gamma}q^\gamma, xr^{-\gamma} + y + x^{1-\gamma}r^\gamma \} \\ &\ll \min \{ xq^{-\gamma} + y + x^{1-\gamma}q^\gamma, x^{1-\gamma}(x|\alpha q - a|)^\gamma + y + x(x|\alpha q - a|)^{-\gamma} \} \\ &\ll \min \{ xq^{-\gamma}, x(x|\alpha q - a|)^{-\gamma} \} + y + x^{1-\gamma}q^\gamma + x^{1-\gamma}(x|\alpha q - a|)^\gamma \\ &\ll x(q + x|\alpha q - a|)^{-\gamma} + y + x^{1-\gamma}(q + x|\alpha q - a|)^\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

which is what we wanted to prove. \square

Equipped with this preliminary result, we may now prove our main result on exponential sums.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We have

$$(2.3) \quad S_f(\alpha; X) = \sum_{n \leq X} f(n) e(\alpha n) = \sum_{\substack{p^\ell m \leq X \\ p \nmid m}} f(p^\ell) e(\alpha p^\ell m).$$

Let $M \leq X$ be a parameter that will be defined later. First consider the terms with $m > X/M$, so that $p^\ell \leq M$. Then by Lemma 2.2 of [22], together with Lemma 2.1, we have

$$(2.4) \quad \left| \sum_{p^\ell \leq M} \sum_{\substack{\frac{X}{M} < m \leq \frac{X}{p^\ell} \\ p \nmid m}} f(p^\ell) e(\alpha p^\ell m) \right| \ll F_f(M) \sum_{p^\ell \leq M} \left| \sum_{\substack{\frac{X}{M} < m \leq \frac{X}{p^\ell} \\ p \nmid m}} e(\alpha p^\ell m) \right|$$

$$(2.5) \quad \ll F_f(M) \sum_{p^\ell \leq M} \left(\left| \sum_{\substack{\frac{X}{M} < m \leq \frac{X}{p^\ell}} e(\alpha p^\ell m) \right| + \left| \sum_{\substack{\frac{X}{Mp} < m \leq \frac{X}{p^{\ell+1}}} e(\alpha p^{\ell+1} m) \right| \right)$$

$$(2.6) \quad \ll F_f(M) \left(\sum_{p^\ell \leq M} \min \left(\frac{X}{p^\ell}, \|\alpha p^\ell\|^{-1} \right) + \sum_{p^{\ell+1} \leq M} \min \left(\frac{X}{p^{\ell+1}}, \frac{1}{\|\alpha p^{\ell+1}\|} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{p \leq \frac{X}{M}} \left| \sum_{\substack{\frac{X}{Mp} < m \leq \frac{X}{p^{\ell+1}} \\ \ell = \lfloor \log_p(M) \rfloor}} e(\alpha p^{\ell+1} m) \right| \right)$$

$$(2.6) \quad \ll F_f(M) \left(\sum_{n \leq M} \min(Xn^{-1}, \|\alpha n\|^{-1}) + \frac{X}{M} \pi \left(\frac{X}{M} \right) \right) \\ \ll F_f(M) \left[\left(\frac{X}{q + X|\alpha q - a|} + M + q + X|\alpha q - a| \right) \log 2X + \left(\frac{X}{M} \right)^2 \right].$$

The second term in line (2.4) accounts for the terms with $p \mid m$. The final term in line (2.5) is the contribution from numbers $n = p^\ell m$ such that $p \mid m$ and $p^\ell \leq M < p^{\ell+1}$. In that case, we must have that $\ell = \lfloor \log_p(M) \rfloor$. Further, the inner sum in (2.5) is empty unless $p \leq \frac{X}{M}$.

Thus it remains to consider

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{m \leq \frac{X}{M}} \sum_{\substack{p^\ell \leq \frac{X}{m} \\ p \nmid m}} f(p^\ell) e(\alpha p^\ell m) = \sum_{m \leq \frac{X}{M}} \left(\sum_{\substack{p \leq \frac{X}{m} \\ p \nmid m}} e(\alpha p m) + \sum_{\substack{p^\ell \leq \frac{X}{m} \\ p \nmid m \\ \ell \geq 2}} f(p^\ell) e(\alpha p^\ell m) \right) \\ = \sum_{m \leq \frac{X}{M}} (L_1(m) + L_2(m)).$$

Notice that

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{m \leq \frac{X}{M}} L_2(m) \ll \sum_{m \leq \frac{X}{M}} \sum_{\substack{p^\ell \leq \frac{X}{m} \\ p \nmid m \\ \ell \geq 2}} f(p^\ell) \ll F_f(X) \sum_{m \leq \frac{X}{M}} \sum_{\substack{p^\ell \leq \frac{X}{m} \\ \ell \geq 2}} 1 \ll XM^{-\frac{1}{2}} F_f(X) (\log X)^{-1}.$$

We now turn our attention to L_1 . We have,

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{\substack{p \leq \frac{X}{m} \\ p \nmid m}} e(\alpha p m) = \sum_{p \leq \frac{X}{m}} e(\alpha p m) + O \left(\left| \sum_{\substack{p \leq \frac{X}{m} \\ p \mid m}} e(\alpha p m) \right| \right).$$

For the big- O term of (2.9), notice that if $p \mid m$ we can write $m = ph$, and the restriction $p \leq X/m$ becomes $p^2 \leq X/h$. Summing over m , we thus obtain

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{m \leq \frac{X}{M}} \left| \sum_{\substack{p \leq \frac{X}{m} \\ p \mid m}} e(\alpha pm) \right| \leq \sum_{h \leq \frac{X}{M}} \left| \sum_{p^2 \leq \frac{X}{h}} e(\alpha p^2 h) \right| \ll \sum_{h \leq \frac{X}{M}} \left(\frac{X}{h} \right)^{1/2} \left(\log \frac{X}{m} \right)^{-1}.$$

Putting together (2.8), (2.9), and (2.10), we see that

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{m \leq \frac{X}{M}} \sum_{\substack{p^\ell \leq \frac{X}{m} \\ p \nmid m}} f(p^\ell) e(\alpha p^\ell m) = \sum_{m \leq \frac{X}{M}} \sum_{p \leq \frac{X}{m}} e(\alpha pm) + O(XM^{-\frac{1}{2}} F_f(X) (\log X)^{-1}).$$

To estimate the main term of (2.11), we fix $m \leq X/M$ and consider the inner sum. Let $R = \left(\frac{X}{m}\right)^\theta$ for a convenient $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Choose $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $r \leq R$, $(b, r) = 1$, and $|\alpha mr - b| \leq R^{-1}$. Then, by Theorem 3.1 of [22], partial summation, and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \leq \frac{X}{m}} e(\alpha mp) &\ll (\log X)^3 \left(\frac{Xm^{-1}}{\left(r + \frac{X}{m} |\alpha mr - b|\right)^{1/2}} + \left(\frac{X}{m}\right)^{\frac{4}{5}} + \left(\frac{X}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(r + \frac{X}{m} |\alpha mr - b|\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\ &\ll (\log X)^3 \left(\frac{Xm^{-1}}{\left(r + \frac{X}{m} |\alpha mr - b|\right)^{1/2}} + \left(\frac{X}{m}\right)^{\frac{4}{5}} + \left(\frac{X}{m}\right)^{\frac{\theta}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} + \left(\frac{X}{m}\right)^{1 - \frac{\theta}{2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

If $r + \frac{X}{m} |\alpha mr - b| \geq \left(\frac{X}{m}\right)^{\frac{2}{5}}$, we have that

$$\sum_{p \leq \frac{X}{m}} e(\alpha mp) \ll (\log X)^3 \left(\left(\frac{X}{m}\right)^{\frac{4}{5}} + \left(\frac{X}{m}\right)^{\frac{\theta}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} + \left(\frac{X}{m}\right)^{1 - \frac{\theta}{2}} \right).$$

This is optimized when $\frac{2}{5} \leq \theta \leq \frac{3}{5}$, in which case the right hand side simplifies to $(\log X)^3 \left(\frac{X}{m}\right)^{\frac{4}{5}}$. The total contribution to (2.11) in this case is at most

$$(2.12) \quad \sum_{m \leq \frac{X}{M}} (\log X)^3 \left(\frac{X}{m}\right)^{\frac{4}{5}} \ll (\log X)^3 XM^{-\frac{1}{5}}.$$

It remains to consider the case when $r + \frac{X}{m} |\alpha mr - b| < \left(\frac{X}{m}\right)^{\frac{2}{5}}$. Fix a', q' such that $q' \leq X^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $|\alpha - \frac{a'}{q'}| \leq (q')^{-1} X^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. If $\frac{b}{mr} \neq \frac{a'}{q'}$, then

$$\frac{1}{q'mr} \leq \left| \frac{b}{mr} - \frac{a'}{q'} \right| \leq \left| \alpha - \frac{b}{mr} \right| + \left| \alpha - \frac{a'}{q'} \right| \leq \frac{1}{mr} \left(\frac{X}{m}\right)^{-\frac{3}{5}} + \frac{1}{q'X^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

If we choose $M \geq 4X^{\frac{5}{6}}$, we arrive at the contradiction:

$$1 \leq q' \left(\frac{X}{m}\right)^{-\frac{3}{5}} + \frac{mr}{X^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq 2X^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{X}{m}\right)^{-\frac{3}{5}} \leq 2X^{\frac{1}{2}} M^{-\frac{3}{5}} < \frac{7}{8}.$$

Thus we must have that $bq' = a'mr$. Since $(r, b) = (q', a') = 1$, we have $r \mid q'$ and $\left(\frac{q'}{r}, a'\right) = 1$, which gives $\frac{q'}{r} \mid m$. Write $m = c \cdot \frac{q'}{r}$. Then

$$r + \frac{X}{m} |\alpha mr - b| = \frac{r}{q'} \left(q' + \frac{Xq'}{mr} |\alpha cq' - ca'| \right) = \frac{r}{q'} (q' + X |\alpha q' - a'|).$$

Thus the total contribution from terms with $r + \frac{X}{m}|\alpha mr - b| < \left(\frac{X}{m}\right)^{\frac{2}{5}}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\ll \sum_{r|q} \sum_{c \leq \frac{Xr}{Mq'}} (\log X)^3 \left(\left(\frac{Xr}{cq'} \right) \left(\frac{r}{q'} (q' + X|\alpha q' - a'|) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\frac{Xr}{cq'} \right)^{\frac{4}{5}} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{r|q'} \sum_{c \leq \frac{Xr}{Mq'}} (\log X)^3 \left(\left(\frac{X}{c} \right) \left(\frac{r}{q'} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (q' + X|\alpha q' - a'|)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\frac{Xr}{cq'} \right)^{\frac{4}{5}} \right) \\
 &\ll \left(\frac{X \log X}{(q' + X|\alpha q' - a'|)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{r|q'} \left(\frac{r}{q'} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{r|q'} \left(\frac{Xr}{q'} \right)^{\frac{4}{5}} \left(\frac{Xr}{Mq'} \right)^{\frac{1}{5}} \right) (\log X)^3 \\
 &= \left(\frac{X (\log X) \sigma_{-1/2}(q')}{(q' + X|\alpha q' - a'|)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + XM^{-\frac{1}{5}} \sigma_{-1}(q') \right) (\log X)^3 \\
 (2.13) \quad &\ll \left(\frac{X}{(q' + X|\alpha q' - a'|)^{\Delta}} + XM^{-\frac{1}{5}} \right) (\log X)^4,
 \end{aligned}$$

for any $\Delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Here we used that $\sum_{r|q'} \left(\frac{r}{q'}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sigma_{-1/2}(q') \ll X^\varepsilon$ and $\sum_{r|q'} \frac{r}{q'} = \sigma_{-1}(q') \ll \log X$.

Putting (2.12) and (2.13) into (2.11) and combining that with (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_f(\alpha; X) &\ll (\log X)^4 \left(\frac{X}{(q' + X|\alpha q' - a'|)^{\Delta}} + XM^{-\frac{1}{5}} \right) + XM^{-\frac{1}{2}} F_f(X) (\log X)^{-1} \\
 &\quad + F_f(M) \left(\frac{X}{q + X|\alpha q - a|} + M + q + X|\alpha q - a| \right) \log(2X) + F_f(M) \left(\frac{X}{M} \right)^2 \\
 (2.14) \quad &\ll (\log X)^4 + (\log X) F_f(X) \left(\frac{X}{(q' + X|\alpha q' - a'|)^{\Delta}} + X^{\frac{5}{6}} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

again choosing $M = 4X^{\frac{5}{6}}$.

Now let a, q be as in the statement of the theorem. If $q \leq 2q'$, then we have immediately

$$(2.15) \quad S_f(\alpha; X) \ll ((\log X)^4 + (\log X) F_f(X)) (X(q)^{-\Delta} + X^{\frac{5}{6}}).$$

If $q > 2q'$, then $\frac{a}{q} \neq \frac{a'}{q'}$ and we have

$$\frac{1}{q'q} \leq \left| \frac{a}{q} - \frac{a'}{q'} \right| \leq \left| \alpha - \frac{a}{q} \right| + \left| \alpha - \frac{a'}{q'} \right| < \frac{1}{q^2} - \left| \alpha - \frac{a'}{q'} \right| < \frac{1}{2q'q} + \left| \alpha - \frac{a'}{q'} \right|.$$

Hence

$$|\alpha q' - a'| > \frac{1}{2q},$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_f(\alpha; X) &\ll ((\log X)^4 + (\log X) F_f(X)) \left(\frac{X}{(Xq^{-1})^{\Delta}} + X^{\frac{5}{6}} \right) \\
 (2.16) \quad &= ((\log X)^4 + (\log X) F_f(X)) (X^{\frac{5}{6}} + X^{1-\Delta} q^{\Delta}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.15) and (2.16) gives the result. \square

Remark 2.1. The latest improvement on Vinogradov's bound shows that the power of $\log X$ in (1.9) can be reduced from 3 to $\frac{5}{2}$ and therefore one could slightly improve the bound for S_f by removing a small fraction from $\log X$. However, as discussed in the introduction, this usually does not matter much as saving powers of X is more valuable than saving powers of $\log X$.

Remark 2.2. Another generalization can be accomplished following the technique put forward in [9] and it would be as follows. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(a, q) = 1$ and $|\alpha - a/q| \leq \frac{\Upsilon}{q^2}$ with $\Upsilon > 0$. Then under the conditions of Theorem 1.1 one has

$$(2.17) \quad S_f(\alpha; X) \ll \left(\frac{X \max\{1, \Upsilon^\Delta\}}{q^\Delta} + X^{\frac{5}{6}} + X^{1-\Delta} q^\Delta \right) \left((\log X)^4 + (\log X) F_f(X) \right)$$

for any $\Delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Theorem 1.1 follows by taking $\Upsilon = 1$.

3. THE TERNARY GOLDBACH-VINOGRADOV PROBLEM ASSOCIATED TO OMEGA

In this section we shall use the circle method to prove Theorem 1.2, following a blueprint similar to that of [18, § 8]. Throughout this section, we adopt the shorthand notation

$$(3.1) \quad F_N(\alpha) := \sum_{n \leq N} \Omega(n) e(\alpha n),$$

for $S_\Omega(\alpha; N)$.

3.1. Preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let ρ be defined by*

$$(3.2) \quad \rho(s; z, q) := \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{z}{p^s} \right).$$

There exists a family $\{z \mapsto A_r(z) : r = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ of holomorphic functions inside the open disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 2\}$ such that, for all $j \geq 1$, with

$$(3.3) \quad \lambda_j(z, q) := \sum_{r=1}^j \binom{j-r}{j-r} A_r(z) \rho^{(j-r)}(1; z, q),$$

one has for $|z| \leq 2 - \delta$, $x \geq 1$, $1 \leq q \leq (\log x)^b$, for some $b > 0$, $(h, q) = 1$ and $t \geq 1$

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{\substack{n < x \\ n \equiv h \pmod{q}}} z^{\Omega(n)} = \frac{x}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{j=1}^t \lambda_j(z, q) (\log x)^{z-j} + O_{\delta, t, b} \left(\frac{x}{\varphi(q)} (\log x)^{z-t-1} (\log \log q)^{t+2} \right).$$

Moreover, one has the upper bound

$$(3.5) \quad |\lambda_j(z, q)| \ll_{\delta, j} (\log \log q)^{j+1}.$$

Proof. See [11, Theorem 3]. □

Differentiating with respect to z and then letting $z \rightarrow 1$ leads to

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{\substack{n < x \\ n \equiv h \pmod{q}}} \Omega(n) = \frac{x}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{j=1}^t \frac{P_{j,q}(\log \log x)}{(\log x)^{j-1}} + O_{t,b} \left(\frac{x \log \log x}{\varphi(q) (\log x)^t} (\log \log q)^{t+2} \right),$$

where $P_{j,q}(y)$ are polynomials in y of degree at most one, given by

$$(3.7) \quad P_{j,q}(\log \log x) := b(j, q) \log \log x + B(j, q)$$

with

$$(3.8) \quad b(j, q) := \lambda_j(1, q) \quad \text{and} \quad B(j, q) := \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} \frac{d}{dz} \lambda_j(z, q).$$

The explicit form of the holomorphic functions A_r , and hence the explicit form of λ_j , can be found using the techniques in [12, § 14] as well as [20, Chapter II.6].

Lemma 3.2. *Let f be as in (1.14), and let M be an arbitrary positive integer. Let N be a large positive real number and For $1 \leq x \leq N$, $1 \leq q \leq (\log x)^b$ for some $b > 0$, and $(a, q) = 1$, we have*

$$(3.9) \quad F_x\left(\frac{a}{q}\right) = f(q; x, M) + O_M\left(\frac{Nq^\varepsilon \log \log N}{(\log N)^M}\right),$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$, where $Q = (\log N)^b$.

Proof. We start by writing

$$(3.10) \quad F_x\left(\frac{a}{q}\right) = \sum_{n \leq x} \Omega(n) e\left(n \frac{a}{q}\right) = \sum_{1 \leq r \leq q} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv r \pmod{q}}} \Omega(n) e\left(n \frac{a}{q}\right) = \sum_{1 \leq r \leq q} e\left(r \frac{a}{q}\right) \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv r \pmod{q}}} \Omega(n)$$

where $(a, q) = 1$. The inner sum presents a problem since r and q are not necessarily coprime. Let $g = (r, q)$. We may then write the inner sum as

$$(3.11) \quad \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv r \pmod{q}}} \Omega(n) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq \frac{x}{g} \\ m \equiv \frac{r}{g} \pmod{\frac{q}{g}}}} \Omega(gm) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq \frac{x}{g} \\ m \equiv \frac{r}{g} \pmod{\frac{q}{g}}}} [\Omega(g) + \Omega(m)],$$

by the total additivity of the function Ω (i.e., $\Omega(mn) = \Omega(m) + \Omega(n)$ for all m and n). Therefore

$$(3.12) \quad \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv r \pmod{q}}} \Omega(n) = \Omega(g) \left(\frac{x}{q} + O(1)\right) + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq \frac{x}{g} \\ m \equiv \frac{r}{g} \pmod{\frac{q}{g}}}} \Omega(m).$$

Inserting this into F_x we see that

$$(3.13) \quad F_x\left(\frac{a}{q}\right) = \sum_{g|q} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq q \\ (r, q) = g}} e\left(r \frac{a}{q}\right) \left[\Omega(g) \left(\frac{x}{q} + O(1)\right) + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq \frac{x}{g} \\ m \equiv \frac{r}{g} \pmod{\frac{q}{g}}}} \Omega(m) \right] =: S_1 + S_2.$$

For the first sum we have

$$(3.14) \quad S_1 = \sum_{g|q} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq q \\ (r, q) = g}} e\left(r \frac{a}{q}\right) \Omega(g) \left(\frac{x}{q} + O(1)\right) = \frac{x}{q} \sum_{g|q} \Omega(g) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq q \\ (r, q) = g}} e\left(r \frac{a}{q}\right) + O\left(\sum_{1 \leq r \leq q} \Omega(r)\right).$$

The exponential sum can be evaluated by writing $r = gr'$ and $q = gq'$ so that $(r, q) = g$ if, and only if, $(r', q') = 1$. Therefore

$$(3.15) \quad \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq q \\ (r, q) = g}} e\left(r \frac{a}{q}\right) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r' \leq q' \\ (r', q') = 1}} e\left(r' \frac{a}{q'}\right) = c_{q'}(a) = \mu(q') = \mu\left(\frac{q}{g}\right),$$

since $(a, q') = 1$. This leaves us with

$$(3.16) \quad S_1 = \frac{x}{q} \sum_{g|q} \Omega(g) \mu\left(\frac{q}{g}\right) + O(q \log \log q) = \frac{x}{q} (\Omega * \mu)(q) + O(q \log \log q).$$

We now consider the second sum,

$$(3.17) \quad S_2 = \sum_{g|q} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq q \\ (r, q) = g}} e\left(r \frac{a}{q}\right) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq \frac{x}{g} \\ m \equiv \frac{r}{g} \pmod{\frac{q}{g}}}} \Omega(m).$$

We now use Lemma 3.1 to write

$$(3.18) \quad \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq \frac{x}{g} \\ m \equiv \frac{x}{g} \pmod{\frac{q}{g}}}} \Omega(n) = \frac{\frac{x}{g}}{\varphi(\frac{q}{g})} \sum_{j=1}^M \frac{P_{j,\frac{q}{g}}(\log \log \frac{x}{g})}{(\log \frac{x}{g})^{j-1}} + O\left(\frac{x \log \log x (\log \log q)^{M+2}}{(\log x)^M}\right).$$

Since this estimate is independent of r , we may move the sum over r to the inside and evaluate it using (3.15). This yields

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} S_2 &= \sum_{g|q} \frac{\mu(\frac{q}{g})}{\varphi(\frac{q}{g})} \frac{x}{g} \sum_{j=1}^M \frac{P_{j,\frac{q}{g}}(\log \log \frac{x}{g})}{(\log \frac{x}{g})^{j-1}} + O\left(\sum_{g|q} \frac{x \log \log x (\log \log q)^{M+2}}{(\log x)^M}\right) \\ &= \frac{x}{q} \sum_{g|q} \frac{g\mu(g)}{\varphi(g)} \sum_{j=1}^M \frac{P_{j,g}(\log \log \frac{xg}{q})}{(\log \frac{xg}{q})^{j-1}} + O\left(\frac{Nq^\varepsilon \log \log N}{(\log N)^M}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, adding up the contributions from S_1 and from S_2 we arrive at

$$(3.20) \quad F_x\left(\frac{a}{q}\right) = \frac{x}{q} \left((\Omega * \mu)(q) + \sum_{g|q} \frac{g\mu(g)}{\varphi(g)} \sum_{j=1}^M \frac{P_{j,g}(\log \log \frac{xg}{q})}{(\log \frac{xg}{q})^{j-1}} \right) + O\left(\frac{Nq^\varepsilon \log \log N}{(\log N)^M}\right).$$

The main term on the right-hand side is recognized to be $\mathfrak{f}(q; x, M)$. □

Lemma 3.3. *For M, q positive integers and N a real number, we have*

$$(3.21) \quad \mathfrak{f}(q; N, M) \ll \frac{NM \log \log N}{q^{1-\varepsilon}}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. We write

$$\mathfrak{f}(q; N, M) = \frac{N}{q} \left((\Omega * \mu)(q) + \sum_{g|q} \frac{g\mu(g)}{\varphi(g)} \sum_{j=1}^M \frac{P_{j,g}(\log \log \frac{Ng}{q})}{(\log \frac{Ng}{q})^{j-1}} \right).$$

Noticing that $\Omega * \mu$ and μ both take values in $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ and recalling that $P_{j,q}$ are polynomials of degree at most one, we have

$$(3.22) \quad \mathfrak{f}(q; N, M) \ll \frac{N}{q} \left(1 + M \log \log N \sum_{g|q} \frac{g}{\varphi(g)} \right).$$

Since $\varphi(a) > a^{1-\delta}$ and $\sum_{a|n} a^\nu \ll n^{\nu+\delta}$ for every $\delta > 0$, we have

$$\sum_{g|q} \frac{g}{\varphi(g)} \ll \sum_{g|q} g^\delta \ll q^{2\delta}.$$

The result now follows by setting $\delta = \varepsilon/2$. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let $c_q(N)$ denote the Ramanujan sum. The singular series $\mathfrak{S}(N, M)$ defined by*

$$(3.23) \quad \mathfrak{S}(N, M) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\mathfrak{f}(q; N, M)}{N} \right)^3 c_q(N),$$

converges absolutely. Moreover, for any $\eta > 0$ one has that

$$(3.24) \quad \mathfrak{S}(N, M; Q) = \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \left(\frac{\mathfrak{f}(q; N, M)}{N} \right)^3 c_q(N) = \mathfrak{S}(N, M) + O\left(\frac{(M \log \log N)^3}{Q^{1-\eta}}\right),$$

where the implied constant depends only on η .

Proof. Clearly $|c_q(N)| \leq q$. Let $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{3}$ be arbitrary. By Lemma 3.3 there exists a constant $C_\varepsilon > 0$ so that

$$(3.25) \quad \left| \frac{\mathfrak{f}(q; N, M)}{N} \right|^3 |c_q(N)| \leq C_\varepsilon \frac{(M \log \log N)^3}{q^{2-3\varepsilon}}.$$

This shows that $\mathfrak{S}(N, M)$ converges absolutely by the comparison test. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathfrak{S}(N, M) - \mathfrak{S}(N, M; Q)| &= \left| \sum_{q>Q} \left(\frac{\mathfrak{f}(q; N, M)}{N} \right)^3 c_q(N) \right| \\ &\leq C_\varepsilon (M \log \log N)^3 \sum_{q>Q} \frac{1}{q^{2-3\varepsilon}} \ll \frac{(M \log \log N)^3}{Q^{1-3\varepsilon}}, \end{aligned}$$

from which the result follows by choosing $\varepsilon = \min\{\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{6}\}$. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let B be positive real number and M be a positive integer such that $M + 1 > B$. If $1 \leq q \leq Q = (\log N)^B$ and $(a, q) = 1$, then for $\alpha = a/q + \beta$ with $|\beta| \leq Q/N$, we have*

$$(3.26) \quad F_N(\alpha) = \frac{\mathfrak{f}(q; N, M)}{N} u(\beta) + O\left(\frac{NQ^{1+\varepsilon}}{(\log N)^{M+1}}\right)$$

as well as

$$(3.27) \quad F_N(\alpha)^3 = \left(\frac{\mathfrak{f}(q; N, M)}{N}\right)^3 u(\beta)^3 + O\left(\frac{N^3 Q^{1+\varepsilon} (\log \log N)^2}{(\log N)^{M+1}}\right),$$

where the implied constant depends on B and M . Here $u(\beta) = \sum_{n \leq N} e(n\beta)$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} F_N(\alpha) - \frac{\mathfrak{f}(q; N, M)}{N} u(\beta) &= \sum_{n \leq N} \Omega(n) e(\alpha n) - \frac{\mathfrak{f}(q; N, M)}{N} \sum_{n \leq N} e(\beta n) \\ &= \sum_{n \leq N} \Omega(n) e\left(n \frac{a}{q}\right) e(\beta n) - \frac{\mathfrak{f}(q; N, M)}{N} \sum_{n \leq N} e(\beta n) \\ (3.28) \quad &= \sum_{n \leq N} \left\{ \Omega(n) e\left(n \frac{a}{q}\right) - \frac{\mathfrak{f}(q; N, M)}{N} \right\} e(\beta n). \end{aligned}$$

We apply partial summation to obtain

$$(3.29) \quad \begin{aligned} F_N(\alpha) - \frac{\mathfrak{f}(q; N, M)}{N} u(\beta) &= A(N) e(N\beta) - 2\pi i \beta \int_1^N A(x) e(\beta x) dx \\ &\ll |A(N)| + |\beta| N \max\{A(x) : 1 \leq x \leq N\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.30) \quad A(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} \left(\Omega(n) e\left(n \frac{a}{q}\right) - \frac{\mathfrak{f}(q; x, M)}{x} \right).$$

We now need to bound $A(x)$ for $1 \leq x \leq N$. Lemma 3.2 requires $q \leq (\log x)^b$ for some b . Choosing $b = 2B$, we split the interval $1 \leq x \leq N$ into $1 \leq x \leq \exp(q^{\frac{1}{2B}})$ and $\exp(q^{\frac{1}{2B}}) \leq x \leq N$. For small x , we have

$$(3.31) \quad x \leq \exp(q^{\frac{1}{2B}}) \leq \exp(Q^{\frac{1}{2B}}) \leq \exp(\sqrt{\log N}) \ll N^\varepsilon.$$

Thus by Lemma 3.3, we have for $1 \leq x \leq \exp(q^{\frac{1}{2B}})$

$$(3.32) \quad A(x) \ll \sum_{n \leq x} \Omega(n) + \mathfrak{f}(q; x, M) \ll x \log \log x \ll N^\varepsilon.$$

Meanwhile, for $\exp(q^{\frac{1}{2B}}) \leq x \leq N$, we have by Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) &= \sum_{n \leq x} \left(\Omega(n) e\left(n \frac{a}{q}\right) - \frac{\mathfrak{f}(q; x, M)}{x} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n \leq x} \Omega(n) e\left(n \frac{a}{q}\right) - \mathfrak{f}(q; x, M) + O\left(\frac{\mathfrak{f}(q; x, M)}{x}\right) \\ &= F_x\left(\frac{a}{q}\right) - \mathfrak{f}(q; x, M) + O_M(q^\varepsilon \log \log x) \ll_M \frac{NQ^\varepsilon}{(\log N)^{M+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\log \log x \leq \log \log N = \log Q^{1/B} \ll Q^\varepsilon$. Putting this into (3.29) yields

$$(3.33) \quad \begin{aligned} F_N(\alpha) - \frac{\mathfrak{f}(q; N, M)}{N} u(\beta) &\ll |A(N)| + |\beta| N \max\{A(x) : 1 \leq x \leq N\} \\ &\ll \frac{NQ^\varepsilon}{(\log N)^{M+1}} + \frac{|\beta| N^2 Q^\varepsilon}{(\log N)^{M+1}} \ll \frac{NQ^{1+\varepsilon}}{(\log N)^{M+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

since $|\beta| \leq Q/N$. This shows the estimate for $F_N(\alpha)$.

Next, we note that $|u(\beta)| \leq N$ and that the main term in (3.26) is $\ll_M N \log \log N$. Moreover, the error term in (3.26) holds for all $\varepsilon > 0$, in particular, for $\varepsilon = (M+1-B)/(2B)$. We then have

$$\frac{NQ^{1+\varepsilon}}{(\log N)^{M+1}} = \frac{N}{(\log N)^{M+1-B-\varepsilon B}} < N.$$

This implies that

$$F_N(\alpha)^3 = \left(\frac{\mathfrak{f}(q; N, M)}{N} u(\beta) \right)^3 + O\left(N^2 (\log \log N)^2 \frac{NQ^{1+\varepsilon}}{(\log N)^{M+1}} \right)$$

and the estimate for $F_N(\alpha)^3$ now follows. \square

3.2. The major and minor arcs. We decompose the unit interval $[0, 1]$ into two disjoint sets: the major and minor arcs, which we define as follows. Let $B > 0$ and $Q = (\log N)^B$. For $1 \leq q \leq Q$ and $0 \leq a \leq q$ with $(a, q) = 1$ the major arc $\mathfrak{M}(q, a)$ is the interval consisting of all real numbers $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ such that $|\alpha - \frac{a}{q}| \leq \frac{Q}{N}$. The major arcs are pairwise disjoint for large N . The set of major arcs is

$$(3.34) \quad \mathfrak{M} := \bigcup_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \bigcup_{\substack{0 \leq a \leq q \\ (a, q) = 1}} \mathfrak{M}(q, a).$$

The minor arcs will therefore be $\mathfrak{m} = [0, 1] \setminus \mathfrak{M}$. By orthogonality we have that

$$(3.35) \quad r_\Omega(N) = \int_0^1 F_N(\alpha)^3 e(\alpha N) d\alpha = \left(\int_{\mathfrak{M}} + \int_{\mathfrak{m}} \right) F_N(\alpha)^3 e(\alpha N) d\alpha.$$

Our task is to now extract the main term for $r_\Omega(N)$ from the integral over \mathfrak{M} and show that the the integral over \mathfrak{m} is of lower order. We first use the results from the previous section to compute the integral over the major arcs.

Theorem 3.1 (The major arcs). *Let B be a positive real number and M a positive integer with $M + 1 > B$. Then the integral over the major arcs is*

$$(3.36) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{M}} F_N(\alpha)^3 e(-\alpha N) d\alpha = \mathfrak{S}(N, M) \frac{N^2}{2} + O_{B, M} \left(\frac{N^2}{(\log N)^C} \right),$$

for any number $C < \min\{B, M + 1 - 5B\}$.

Proof. The first observation is that the length of the major arcs $\mathfrak{M}(q, a)$ is Q/N if $q = 1$ and $2Q/N$ if $q \geq 2$. We use Lemma 3.5 to handle the error term as

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathfrak{M}} &:= \int_{\mathfrak{M}} \left[F_N(\alpha)^3 - \left(\frac{\mathfrak{f}(q; N, M)}{N} \right)^3 u(\beta)^3 \right] e(-N\alpha) d\alpha \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq a \leq q \\ (a, q) = 1}} \int_{\mathfrak{M}(q, a)} \left[F_N(\alpha)^3 - \left(\frac{\mathfrak{f}(q; N, M)}{N} \right)^3 u(\beta)^3 \right] e(-N\alpha) d\alpha \\ &\ll \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq a \leq q \\ (a, q) = 1}} \int_{\mathfrak{M}(q, a)} \frac{N^3 Q^{1+\varepsilon} (\log \log N)^2}{(\log N)^{M+1}} d\alpha \\ &\ll \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq a \leq q \\ (a, q) = 1}} \frac{N^2 Q^{2+\varepsilon} (\log \log N)^2}{(\log N)^{M+1}} \\ &\leq \frac{N^2 Q^{4+\varepsilon} (\log \log N)^2}{(\log N)^{M+1}} \\ (3.37) \quad &\leq \frac{N^2 (\log \log N)^2}{(\log N)^{M+1-4B-\varepsilon B}}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$. On the other hand, if $\alpha = a/q + \beta \in \mathfrak{M}(q, a)$, then $|\beta| \leq Q/N$ and we see that for the main term

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\mathfrak{M}} &:= \int_{\mathfrak{M}} \left(\frac{\mathfrak{f}(q; N, M)}{N} \right)^3 u \left(\alpha - \frac{a}{q} \right)^3 e(-N\alpha) d\alpha \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq a \leq q \\ (a, q) = 1}} \left(\frac{\mathfrak{f}(q; N, M)}{N} \right)^3 \int_{\mathfrak{M}(q, a)} u \left(\alpha - \frac{a}{q} \right)^3 e(-N\alpha) d\alpha \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \left(\frac{\mathfrak{f}(q; N, M)}{N} \right)^3 \sum_{\substack{0 \leq a \leq q \\ (a, q) = 1}} e \left(-\frac{Na}{q} \right) \int_{a/q - Q/N}^{a/q + Q/N} u \left(\alpha - \frac{a}{q} \right)^3 e(-N\alpha) d\alpha \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \left(\frac{\mathfrak{f}(q; N, M)}{N} \right)^3 c_q(N) \int_{-Q/N}^{Q/N} u(\beta)^3 e(-N\beta) d\beta \\ (3.38) \quad &= \mathfrak{S}(N, M; Q) \int_{-Q/N}^{Q/N} u(\beta)^3 e(-N\beta) d\beta. \end{aligned}$$

The integral over β was evaluated in [18, § 8.4] and it was shown to be $\frac{N^2}{2} + O\left(\frac{N^2}{Q^2}\right)$. Consequently, we arrive at

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}} F_N(\alpha)^3 e(-N\alpha) d\alpha$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathfrak{S}(N, M; Q) \int_{-Q/N}^{Q/N} u(\beta)^3 e(-N\beta) d\beta + O\left(\frac{N^2(\log \log N)^2}{(\log N)^{M+1-4B-\varepsilon B}}\right) \\
&= \left(\mathfrak{S}(N, M) + O\left(\frac{(\log \log N)^3}{Q^{1-\eta}}\right)\right) \left(\frac{N^2}{2} + O\left(\frac{N^2}{Q^2}\right)\right) + O\left(\frac{N^2(\log \log N)^2}{(\log N)^{M+1-4B-\varepsilon B}}\right) \\
&= \mathfrak{S}(N, M) \frac{N^2}{2} + O\left(\frac{N^2(\log \log N)^3}{Q^{1-\eta}}\right) + O\left(\frac{N^2(\log \log N)^2}{(\log N)^{M+1-4B-\varepsilon B}}\right) \\
(3.39) \quad &= \mathfrak{S}(N, M) \frac{N^2}{2} + O\left(\frac{N^2(\log \log N)^3}{(\log N)^{B(1-\eta)}}\right) + O\left(\frac{N^2(\log \log N)^2}{(\log N)^{M+1-4B-\varepsilon B}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $(\log \log N)^3 \ll (\log N)^\delta$ for all $\delta > 0$. Thus, choosing ε and η sufficiently small in (3.39), we have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}} F_N(\alpha)^3 e(-N\alpha) d\alpha = \mathfrak{S}(N, M) \frac{N^2}{2} + O\left(\frac{N^2}{(\log N)^C}\right),$$

and this ends the proof. \square

We can encapsulate the application of Theorem 1.1 into the following result for the minor arcs.

Theorem 3.2 (The minor arcs). *For any $B > 0$, we have*

$$(3.40) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{m}} F_N(\alpha)^3 e(-\alpha N) d\alpha \ll \frac{N^2(\log \log N)^2}{(\log N)^{B\Delta-4}}$$

for any $\Delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and where the implied constant depends only B .

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}$. By Dirichlet's theorem, for any real α there exists a fraction $a/q \in [0, 1]$ with $1 \leq q \leq N/Q$ and $(a, q) = 1$ such that

$$(3.41) \quad \left| \alpha - \frac{a}{q} \right| \leq \frac{Q}{qN} \leq \min\left(\frac{Q}{N}, \frac{1}{q^2}\right).$$

Moreover, $Q < q \leq \frac{N}{Q}$, for otherwise $q \leq Q$ and then $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}(q, a) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$, which would be a contradiction. By Theorem 1.1 with $f = \Omega$ we have

$$(3.42) \quad F_N(\alpha) \ll \left(\frac{N}{q^\Delta} + N^{5/6} + N^{1-\Delta} q^\Delta\right) (\log N)^4.$$

for any $\Delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. The above lower and upper bounds on q yields

$$(3.43) \quad F_N(\alpha) \ll \left(\frac{N}{(\log N)^{B\Delta}} + N^{5/6} + N^{1-\Delta} \left(\frac{N}{\log N}\right)^\Delta\right) (\log N)^4 \ll \frac{N}{(\log N)^{B\Delta-4}}.$$

Now, we have by [12, Eq. (14.146)] that

$$(3.44) \quad \int_0^1 |F_N(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha = \sum_{n \leq N} \Omega(n)^2 \leq N(\log \log N)^2.$$

Consequently, we end up with

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.45) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{m}} |F_N(\alpha)|^3 d\alpha &\ll \sup\{|F_N(\alpha)| : \alpha \in \mathfrak{m}\} \int_{\mathfrak{m}} |F_N(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll \frac{N}{(\log N)^{B\Delta-4}} \int_0^1 |F_N(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \\
&\ll \frac{N^2(\log \log N)^2}{(\log N)^{B\Delta-4}},
\end{aligned}$$

which was the last ingredient of the proof. \square

3.3. The asymptotic formula. We now prove Theorem 1.2 by merging our results from the major arcs (Theorem 3.1) and minor arcs (Theorem 3.2). For any positive number B and positive integer M with $M + 1 > 2B$, and any $\Delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, we have

$$(3.46) \quad \begin{aligned} r(N) &= \left(\int_{\mathfrak{M}} + \int_{\mathfrak{m}} \right) F_N(\alpha)^3 e(-\alpha N) d\alpha \\ &= \mathfrak{S}(N, M) \frac{N^2}{2} + O\left(\frac{N^2}{(\log N)^C}\right) + O\left(\frac{N^2(\log \log N)^2}{(\log N)^{B\Delta-4}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where C is any number satisfying $C < \min\{B, M + 1 - 4B\}$.

Let $A > 0$ and $\Delta \in (0, 1)$ be given. Choose $B = \Delta^{-1}(A+4)$ and $M = \lfloor A+4B \rfloor$. Then $M+1 > B$ and

$$\min\{B, M + 1 - 4B, B\Delta - 4\} = B\Delta - 4 = A.$$

Thus we arrive at

$$(3.47) \quad r(N) = \mathfrak{S}\left(N, \lfloor A + 4\Delta^{-1}(A+4) \rfloor\right) \frac{N^2}{2} + O\left(\frac{N^2(\log \log N)^2}{(\log N)^A}\right),$$

as desired.

4. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

A desirable next step after Theorem 1.1 would be to enlarge the class \mathcal{F}_0 . Consider the more general class \mathcal{F}_b , consisting of additive functions f satisfying $f(p) = p^b$. Notice that

$$(4.1) \quad \beta(n) = \sum_{p|n} p \quad \text{and} \quad B(n) = \sum_{p^\alpha || n} \alpha p.$$

are then examples of functions in \mathcal{F}_1 . It should be possible to modify the methods used in this paper to expand Theorem 1.1 to include functions in \mathcal{F}_b for general $b \geq 0$. Moreover, it would also be interesting to obtain upper and lower bounds for $\mathfrak{S}(N, M)$ in (1.13). We will address these questions in forthcoming work.

Moreover, rather than considering sums of the form

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{n \leq X} f(n) e(\alpha n)$$

where f is an additive function, we could instead consider sums of the form

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{n \leq X} z^{f(n)} e(\alpha n)$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C}$. This problem is closely related to the generalized divisor function $d_z(n)$ where

$$(4.4) \quad d_z(n) = \prod_{p^\alpha || n} \frac{z(z+1) \cdots (z+\alpha-1)}{\alpha!}.$$

The Dirichlet series and Euler product associated to $d_z(n)$ are given by

$$(4.5) \quad \zeta^z(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_z(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-z}$$

for $\text{Re}(s) > 1$. It would be very interesting to derive a bound for (4.3), i.e. find $\mathfrak{f}(X; z)$ such that

$$(4.6) \quad \sum_{n \leq X} z^{f(n)} e(\alpha n) \ll \mathfrak{f}(X; z).$$

Since f is additive, we see that $z^{f(n)}$ is multiplicative and hence the search for $\mathfrak{f}(X; z)$ could, in principle, be achieved by adapting the techniques from Montgomery and Vaughan [16] or Dong *et*

al. [9]; however tailored approaches are preferred as they lead to tighter bounds. If we recall the work of [19] and [8], then a method of obtaining $\sum_{n \leq x} \omega(n)$ involves first finding $\sum_{n \leq x} z^{\omega(n)}$ with sufficient uniformity in z , then differentiating with respect to z , and finally letting z tend to 1. If one could adapt such techniques, then bounds on (4.2) could be achieved in a unified way; however the uniformity on z represents a difficulty.

Moreover, new results on weighted asymptotics could be derived by considering the generating function

$$(4.7) \quad \Psi_{w,f}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{p}_{w,f}(n) w^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - w^n)^{z^{f(n)}}$$

where $w, z \in \mathbb{C}$ and f is an arithmetic, potentially additive, function. To successfully extract $\mathfrak{p}_{w,f}$ through the Hardy-Littlewood circle method, one will need non-trivial bounds of the form (4.6) to deal with the minor arcs.

Lastly, a second line of future work could be as follows. Assuming the Riemann hypothesis we could integrate $z^{f(n)}$ over the contour in [15, Figure 2.1] that perforates the zeros of $\zeta(s)$ on the critical line and obtain a better understanding of the link between the zeros of $\zeta(s)$ and the enumeration of partitions weighted by ω or Ω . In particular, this would allow for an ‘explicit formula’ to arise naturally much like in the prime number theorem. The assumption of the Riemann hypothesis could also be relaxed at the expense of weakening some of the resulting error terms.

5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Robert Vaughan for helpful discussions during the early stages of this manuscript. The authors would also like to thank Gérald Tenenbaum for the reference of Lemma 3.1 as well as for valuable feedback on a preliminary draft. During the course of this research, AG was partially supported by NSF Grant OIA-2229278 and by Simons Travel Support for Mathematicians Grant No. 946710.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Basak, N. Robles, and N. Zaharescu. Exponential sums over Möbius convolutions with applications to partitions. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 2025.
- [2] B. Berndt, N. Robles, A. Zaharescu, and D. Zeindler. Partitions with multiplicities associated with divisor functions. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 533, 2024.
- [3] M. Das. Exponential sums with additive coefficients and its consequences to weighted partitions. *Submitted, preprint arXiv:2412.21129*, 2024.
- [4] M. Das, N. Robles, A. Zaharescu, and D. Zeindler. Partitions into semiprimes. *Submitted, preprint arXiv:2212.12489*, 2022.
- [5] H. Davenport. On some infinite series involving arithmetical functions. II. *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, 8, 1937.
- [6] H. Davenport. *Multiplicative number theory*, volume 74 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, third edition, 2000. Revised and with a preface by Hugh L. Montgomery.
- [7] J.-M. De Koninck and A. Ivić. *Topics in Arithmetical Functions*. Mathematics Studies 43, 1980.
- [8] H. Delange. Sur des formules de Atle Selberg. *Acta Arithmetica*, 19, 1971.
- [9] A. Dong, N. Robles, A. Zaharescu, and D. Zeindler. Exponential sums twisted by general additive functions. *Preprint, arXiv:2412.20101*, 2024.
- [10] R. L. Duncan. A class of additive arithmetical functions. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 69:34–36, 1962.
- [11] Y. Dupain, R. R. Hall, and G. Tenenbaum. Sur l’équidistribution modulo 1 de certaines fonctions de diviseurs. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 26 (2), 1982.
- [12] A. Ivić. *The Riemann zeta-function*. John Wiley and Sons, 1985.
- [13] D. Koukoulopoulos. *The distribution of prime numbers*, volume 203 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, [2019] ©2019.
- [14] J. Kubilius. *Probabilistic Methods in the Theory of Numbers*. Transl. Math. Monogr., American Mathematical Society, 1964.

- [15] X. Meng. Number of prime factors over arithmetic progressions. *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, 71, 2018.
- [16] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan. Exponential Sums with Multiplicative Coefficients. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 43, 1977.
- [17] Y. Motohashi. On the distribution of the divisor function in arithmetic progressions. *Acta Arithmetica*, 7, 1973.
- [18] M. B. Nathanson. *Additive Number Theory: The Classical Bases*, volume 164 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [19] A. Selberg. Note on a paper by L. G. Sathe. *Journal of the Indian Mathematical Society*, 18, 1953.
- [20] G. Tenenbaum. *Introduction to Analytic and Probabilistic Number Theory, third edition*. American Mathematical, 2015.
- [21] R. C. Vaughan. Sommes trigonométriques sur les nombres premiers. *Comptes Rendus Hebdomadaires des Séances de l'Académie des Sciences. Séries A et B*, 285(16):A981–A983, 1977.
- [22] R. C. Vaughan. *The Hardy-Littlewood method*. Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [23] I. M. Vinogradov. Improvement of some theorems in the theory of primes. *C. R. (Doklady) Acad. Sci. URSS (N.S.)*, 37:115–117, 1942.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF MISSISSIPPI, P.O. BOX 1848, UNIVERSITY, MS 38677
Email address: argafni@olemiss.edu

RAND CORPORATION, ENGINEERING AND APPLIED SCIENCES, 1200 S HAYES ST, ARLINGTON, VA, 22202
Email address: nrobles@rand.org